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#### Abstract

As a measure of the extent to which the removal of a node disconnects a graph, the cutting number $c(v)$ of a node $v$ in a connected graph $G$ has been defined to be the number of pairs of nodes in different components of $G-\{v\}$. We present a linear algorithm for determining $c(v)$ for all nodes of a tree, and hence for identifying the cutting center, which consists of the nodes v at which $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{v})$ is maximized.
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## 1. Introduction

The cutting number $c(v)$ of a node $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is the number of unordered pairs $\{u, w\}$ of nodes such that every path from $u$ to $w$ contains $v$. In other words, $c(v)$ is the number of pairs of nodes that lie in different components of the subgraph $\mathrm{G}-\{\mathrm{v}\}$. For the tree T1 of Fig. 1, deleting node 6 leaves five components of order one and another of order eight, and $c(6)=50$. Also, $c(8)=48$. The cutting center of a graph is the set of nodes with maximum cutting number. For example, the cutting center of T 1 is $\{6,9\}$. This concept arose from a structural model in psychology [2,3], but it is applicable to any network in which there are communicating processes involving the pairs of nodes of the network.

We present a rather surprising linear algorithm -i.e., linear in the number of nodes of the tree-for computing the cutting number of all nodes of a tree. From the list of cutting numbers,
it is easy to find the cutting center by determining the maximum of the cutting numbers and forming the set of all nodes with that cutting number.

## 2. The cutting center algorithm for trees

We assume that a tree T has a root (which can be chosen arbitrarily) and that $T$ is represented by three items: $p$, the number of nodes in $T$, an


Fig. 1. Tree T1 with cutting center $\{6,9\}$.
endnode list $\mathrm{EL}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, and an associated parent list PA $=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p-1}\right)$.

The endnode list is any enumeration of the nodes of T such that each node precedes its parent. In the associated parent list, each $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the parent of $v_{i}$ in T. Note that PA has length $p-1$ and not $p$, since the root $v_{p}$ has no parent. As an example, tree T1 of Fig. 1 has an endnode list
$\mathrm{EL}=(1,2,3,10,11,4,5,7,6,12,13,14,9,8)$
with the associated parent list
$\mathrm{PA}=(6,6,6,9,9,6,6,8,8,9,9,9,8)$.
These lists can be constructed for a tree of $p$ nodes in $O(p)$ time (see, e.g., $[4,5]$ ), so requiring them does not increase the order of execution time of the algorithm.

The correctness of the algorithm rests on the following analysis. Consider any node $\mathbf{v}$, and let the components of $T-v$ be $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}, C_{k+1}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ containing the parent of v (if v is not the root) (see Fig. 2). Denote by $v_{i}$ the node in $C_{i}$ that is adjacent to v . Let $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the number of descendants of $v_{i}$ so that $\left|C_{i}\right|=d_{i}+1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant$ k. Then, the number of descendants of $v$ is $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}+1\right)+\left(\mathrm{d}_{2}+1\right)+\cdots+\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}+1\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
c(v) & =\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k+1}\left|C_{i}\right| \cdot\left|C_{j}\right|  \tag{1}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k+1}\left|C_{i}\right| \cdot\left(p-1-\left|C_{i}\right|\right)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Line (1) is simply the definition of $c(v)$. For (2), simply note that each $w \in C_{i}$ is separated by $v$ from every vertex except $v$ and those in $C_{i}$, that is,


Fig. 2. Computing the cutting number of node $v$.
from $p-1-\left|C_{i}\right|$ of them. Each pair $w \in C_{i}$ and $x \in C_{h}$ with $j \neq h$ is counted twice (when $i=j$ and when $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{h}$ ), so one divides by 2 .

The algorithm computes two arrays $\mathrm{d}(1 . . \mathrm{p})$ and $\mathrm{c}(1 . \mathrm{p})$ which are defined as
$d(i)=$ the number of descendants of node $i$,
$c(i)=$ the cutting number of node $i$.
These arrays are computed in a single left-to-right scan of lists EL and PA. An important thing to note in the algorithm is that each node in EL occurs and is processed before its parent (that is, if $E L(j)=P A(i)$, then $j>i)$.

The loop invariant, which indicates the values of $c$ and $d$ just before each loop iteration, is as follows:

PD: For each $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ is the number of descendants of $j$ in all subtrees with a root $r$ in $\operatorname{EL}(1 . . i-1)$ for which $j$ is the parent of $r$.

PC: For each $j, c(j)$ is the sum of the terms $\left|\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}\right| * \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{p}-1-\left|\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}\right|\right)$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is a subtree with a root $r$ in $\operatorname{EL}(1 . . \mathrm{i}-1)$ for which j is the parent of $r$, plus, if $j$ is in $\operatorname{EL}(1 . . i-1)$, this term for the component of $\mathrm{T}-\{\mathrm{j}\}$ containing the parent of node j (see formula (2)).

Algorithm. Store in each $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{j})$ the number of descendants of node $j$ and in each $c(j)$ the cutting number of node $j$, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant p$ :

```
\(\mathrm{c}:=0 ; \mathrm{d}:=0\);
(invariant: \(\mathrm{PD} \wedge \mathrm{PC}\) \}
for \(\mathrm{i}:=1\) to \(\mathrm{p}-1\) do
    begin
        \(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{i})):=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{i}))+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{EL}(\mathrm{i}))+1 ;\)
        \(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{i})):=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{i}))+(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{EL}(\mathrm{i}))+1)\)
                            * \(\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-2-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{EL}(\mathrm{i}))\) );
        \(c(E L(i)):=c(E L(i))+(p-1-d(E L(i)))\)
                                    * \(\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{EL}(\mathrm{i})))\)
    end.
```

The first statement of the loop body augments the number of descendants of the parent PA(i) of vertex EL(i) by the number of vertices in component T-PA(i) that contains EL(i). The second statement adds a term in (2) for one of the first $k$ components $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for node $\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{i})$, while the third adds the term for component $k+1$ of node EL(i).
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