

ON THE K -THEORY OF CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS

Kevin R. COOMBES

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A.

Communicated by C.A. Weibel

Received 5 May 1986

Let X be a smooth projective curve over a finite field. The main result is that the odd-dimensional K -theory of the extension of X to the algebraic closure is the sum of two copies of the K -theory of the field. Two plausible conjectures are advanced which would suffice to compute the K -theory of X itself. These provisional computations are then related to the L -functions of X .

Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective curve over a finite field F . Let J denote the Jacobian variety of X . Write \bar{F} for the algebraic closure of F and $\bar{X} = X \times_F \bar{F}$. The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1. *If $n \geq 0$, then $K_{2n+1}(\bar{X}) = K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}) \oplus K_{2n+1}(\bar{F})$.*

The theorem is proved in the first section. The techniques used are a shameless exploitation of the work of Quillen, Soulé, and Suslin. Quillen's results on higher K -theory [9] and finite fields [8] form a solid and indispensable foundation. Soulé's study [11] of the K -theory of varieties over finite fields and Suslin's study [12] of the torsion in higher K -theory raise on this foundation an imposing edifice, from which vantage point the way to Theorem 1 can be clearly seen.

The remainder of the paper is built on a more conjectural foundation. The computation of the K -theory of X is reduced to certain properties of function fields.

Conjecture A. Let Y be a geometrically integral variety over a field k . Let $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. Then

$$(K_i(\bar{k}(Y))/K_i(\bar{k}))^G \approx K_i(k(Y))/K_i(k).$$

Conjecture B. The K -theoretic product yields an isomorphism

$$\bar{F}(X)^* \otimes K_{2n-1}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow K_{2n}(\bar{F}(X)).$$

Conjecture A was known ‘classically’ for $i = 0, 1$ and has been proven for $i = 2$ by Colliot–Thélène [2] and Suslin [13].

Conjecture B was proven for $n = 1$ by Tate [14] and generalized by Suslin [13]. Furthermore, it will be seen that Conjecture B is equivalent to an isomorphism

$$K_{2n}(\bar{X}) \approx \text{Tor}(J(\bar{F}), K_{2n-1}(\bar{F})).$$

The main consequence of these conjectures is

Theorem 2. *If both Conjectures A and B hold, then*

- (i) $K_{2n+1}(X) \approx K_{2n+1}(F) \oplus K_{2n+1}(F)$;
- (ii) $K_{2n}(X) \approx \text{Tor}(J(\bar{F}), K_{2n-1}(\bar{F}))^G$.

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2(i) will be proven in the first section of the paper. Theorem 2(ii) will be proven in the second section. The second section concludes with a study of the relationship between the K -theory of X and its L -function. The groups computed by Theorem 2 have the orders predicted by the Quillen–Lichtenbaum conjectures [6, 10].

Notation. Let A be an abelian group, n a positive integer, l a prime number. Write

- ${}_n A$ = n -torsion subgroup,
- A_{tor} = subgroup of all torsion elements,
- $A\{l\}$ = l -primary torsion subgroup.

1. Odd-dimensional K -groups

Let X be a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over a field F . Quillen [9] has constructed an exact localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(F(X)) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X_0} K_{n-1}(F(x)) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $F(X)$ is the function field of X and, for each closed point x , $F(x)$ is its residue field.

Let \mathcal{K}_n denote the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the presheaf $U \rightarrow K_n(U)$. Then Quillen [9] has also constructed an acyclic resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \eta_* K_n(F(X)) \rightarrow \coprod_x i_* K_{n-1}(F(x)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, the localization sequence can be decomposed into two different flavors of shorter exact sequences:

$$(A_n) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow K_n(F(X)) \rightarrow \coprod K_{n-1}(F(x)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(B_n) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}) \rightarrow K_n(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

For the remainder of this section, let F be a finite field with algebraic closure \bar{F} . Write \bar{X} for the curve $X \times_{\text{Spec } F} \text{Spec } \bar{F}$ obtained by base extension.

As a consequence of the work of Quillen and Soulé, sequences (A_n) and (B_n) simplify considerably. There is, however, a difference depending on whether n is odd or even. Let $n \geq 1$. Quillen's proof [8] that $K_{2n}(F) = 0$ implies

$$(A_{2n+1}) \quad \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{2n+1}) = K_{2n+1}(F(X)).$$

Now Soulé [11, Proposition 3] has shown that $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}) \approx K_n(F)$. Combined with (A_{2n+1}) , this yields

$$(B_{2n+1}) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_{2n+1}(F) \rightarrow K_{2n+1}(X) \rightarrow K_{2n+1}(F(X)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using Soulé's result in the case of the even-dimensional K -groups, one has

$$(B_{2n}) \quad K_{2n}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{2n}).$$

Therefore

$$(A_{2n}) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_{2n}(X) \rightarrow K_{2n}(F(X)) \rightarrow \coprod K_{2n-1}(F(x)) \rightarrow K_{2n-1}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

By passing to the direct limit over finite extensions of F , one obtains the same sequences for \bar{X} over \bar{F} . For the sake of completeness, note also that

$$K_0(X) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Pic}(X),$$

$$K_1(X) = F^* \oplus F^*.$$

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a curve over either a finite field or its algebraic closure. Let E be the function field of X . If $n \geq 2$, then $K_n(X)$ and $K_n(E)$ are torsion groups.*

Proof. Harder [5] showed that $K_n(X)$ is finite for X defined over a finite field and $n \geq 1$. The result follows for X over the algebraic closure by passage to the direct limit. Finally, the result follows for function fields from sequences (A_{2n}) and (B_{2n+1}) . \square

Proposition 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field L . Let $E = \overline{L(X)}$ be the algebraic closure of its function field. Then there is an injection*

$$\Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{K}_n)_{\text{tor}} \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X_E, \mathcal{K}_n)_{\text{tor}}.$$

Proof. Write $E = \varinjlim A$ where $A \supset L(X)$ is a finite algebraic extension field. Since the exact sequences (A_n) and (B_n) are stable under base change and K -theory

commutes with direct limits, it suffices to show that

$$\Gamma(X_L, \mathcal{K}_n)_{\text{tor}} \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X_A, \mathcal{K}_n)_{\text{tor}} .$$

For K -theory with any finite coefficients, Suslin [12] has constructed specialization maps

$$K_n(X_A; \mathbb{Z}/r) \rightarrow K_n(X_L; \mathbb{Z}/r)$$

which split off the K -theory of X_L . In particular,

$$K_n(X_L)_{\text{tor}} \hookrightarrow K_n(X_A)_{\text{tor}} .$$

Since the sequences (B_n) split compatibly with base change [11], the result follows. \square

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over a finite field F . Then*

- (i) $K_{2n+1}(\bar{X}) = K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}) \oplus K_{2n+1}(\bar{F})$;
- (ii) $K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}(X)) = K_{2n+1}(\bar{F})$.

Proof. The two parts are equivalent by sequence (B_{2n+1}) . Write E for the algebraic closure of the function field $\bar{F}(X)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Gamma(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{K}_{2n+1}) \\ & \searrow \beta & & & \downarrow \text{base change} \\ K_{2n+1}(E) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & & & \Gamma(X_E, \mathcal{K}_{2n+1}) \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism comes from (A_{2n+1}) .

By Suslin [12], the composite $\beta\alpha$ is an isomorphism on torsion. Since $K_{2n+1}(\bar{F})$ is all torsion, α is an injection. By Proposition 1.2, the composite $\gamma\beta$ is also an injection on torsion. When $n \geq 1$, Lemma 1.1 shows that all of $K_{2n+1}(E)$ is torsion. So, β is an injection. Finally, since $\beta\alpha$ is also surjective on torsion, α must be surjective on torsion and hence surjective. Since the case $n = 0$ has been noted earlier, the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 1.4. *Let A be a smooth affine curve over a finite field F . If $n \geq 1$, then*

$$K_{2n+1}(\bar{A}) = K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}) .$$

Proof. Let X be a smooth completion. The corollary follows from the localization sequence

$$\coprod_{x \in X-A} K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}(x)) \xrightarrow{N} K_{2n+1}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow K_{2n+1}(\bar{A}) \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that the norm maps are surjections

$$N: K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}(x)) \twoheadrightarrow K_{2n+1}(\bar{F}) = H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{H}_{2n+2}). \quad \square$$

Corollary 1.5. *Assume Conjecture A holds when $i = 2n + 1$, $Y = X$ is a curve, and $k = F$ is a finite field. Then*

$$K_{2n+1}(X) \approx K_{2n+1}(F) \oplus K_{2n+1}(F).$$

Proof. Using exact sequence (B_{2n+1}) , one sees that the corollary is equivalent to showing that there is an isomorphism $K_{2n+1}(F(X)) \approx K_{2n+1}(F)$. By Theorem 1.3(ii), this isomorphism holds over E . The conjecture then implies that it also holds over F . \square

Remark. (i) Conjecture A is trivially true when $i = 0$, since both sides are zero. If $i = 1$, the conjecture follows from $K_1(F) = F^*$ and Hilbert’s Theorem 90. The conjecture has been proven for $i = 2$ by Colliot–Thélène [2] under mild hypotheses and in general by Suslin [13]. Their proofs rely on the Merkurjev and Suslin [7] version of Hilbert’s Theorem 90 for K_2 . Conjecture A should, therefore, be related to a generalization of Hilbert’s Theorem 90 to higher K -theory.

(ii) The conjecture must be made in the context of a quotient of K -groups. In general, the map $K_n(F) \rightarrow K_n(E)^G$ is not an isomorphism. For example, take $n = 2$, $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $E = \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Then $\{-1, -1\}$ is a nontrivial element of the kernel.

(iii) Corollary 1.5 would also follow if the conjecture were only known for the torsion subgroup of K_i . It is likely that it would suffice equally well to prove the conjecture for K -theory with finite coefficients.

2. Even dimensional K -groups

In this section, we study the even-dimensional K -groups of a curve X over a finite field. First, Conjecture B will be used to compute the K -theory of \bar{X} . Then Conjecture A will be used to descend to X . Finally, the orders of these K -groups will be compared with special values of the L -functions of X . Throughout the section, write $Y = \bar{X}$ and $k = \bar{F}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume Conjecture B holds. Then*

$$K_{2n}(Y) \approx \text{Tor}(J(k), K_{2n-1}(k)).$$

Proof. To use the multiplicative structure of K -theory, it is necessary to study the effect on

$$(A_1) \quad 0 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow k(Y)^* \rightarrow \coprod \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

of tensoring with $K_{2n-1}(k)$. There is also an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow J(k) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where J is the Jacobian variety of Y . All the groups k^* , $J(k)$, and $K_{2n-1}(k)$ are divisible torsion groups. Therefore tensoring $(*)$ with $K_{2n-1}(k)$ yields the pair of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}(Y) \otimes K_{2n-1}(k) &\approx K_{2n-1}(k), \\ \text{Tor}(J(k), K_{2n-1}(k)) &\approx \text{Tor}(\text{Pic}(Y), K_{2n-1}(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, introduce the group $D(Y) = k(Y)^*/k^*$ of principal divisors on Y . Then

$$k(Y)^* \otimes K_{2n-1}(k) \approx D(Y) \otimes K_{2n-1}(k).$$

Finally, tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D(Y) \rightarrow \coprod \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

with $K_{2n-1}(k)$ and using the above isomorphisms, one obtains a four-term exact sequence which can be compared with (A_{2n}) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(J(k), K_{2n-1}(k)) & \rightarrow & k(Y)^* \otimes K_{2n-1}(k) & \rightarrow & \coprod K_{2n-1}(k) & \longrightarrow & K_{2n-1}(k) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow m & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow K_{2n}(Y) & \longrightarrow & K_{2n}(k(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \coprod K_{2n-1}(k(y)) & \rightarrow & K_{2n-1}(k) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The proposition follows. \square

Remarks. (i) When $n = 1$, Tate [14] has proven Conjecture B. Many of the consequences to be drawn from this conjecture are based on his arguments in [14].

(ii) Since Quillen [8] has shown that $K_{2n-1}(k)(l) \approx \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(n)$, one might look for a version of this conjecture computing torsion over any algebraically closed field k . Suslin [13] has proven such a generalization of Tate’s result when $n = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. *If both Conjectures A and B hold, then*

$$K_{2n}(X) \approx \text{Tor}(J(\bar{F}), K_{2n-1}(\bar{F}))^G.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that $K_{2n}(X) \approx K_{2n}(\bar{X})^G$. Since $K_{2n}F = K_{2n}\bar{F} = 0$, it follows from Conjecture A that $K_{2n}(F(X)) = H^0(G, K_{2n}(\bar{F}(X)))$.

Write $C = K_{2n}(\bar{F}(X))/K_{2n}(\bar{X})$. There are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(G, K_{2n}(\bar{X})) \rightarrow K_{2n}(F(X)) \rightarrow H^0(G, C) \rightarrow H^1(G, K_{2n}(\bar{X})) \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(G, C) \rightarrow \coprod_x K_{2n-1}F(x) \rightarrow K_{2n-1}F \rightarrow 0$$

obtained by taking the Galois cohomology of (A_{2n}) over \bar{F} . Then the result follows by comparing these sequences with (A_{2n}) over F . \square

In order to get a more complete description of $K_{2n}(X)$, it is useful to keep track of the action of $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. Recall [3, 6, 11, 14] the definitions of the standard l -adic Galois modules

$$T_l = \varprojlim \mu_l^n(\bar{F}),$$

$$V_l = T_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l = \varinjlim \mu_l^n(\bar{F}).$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_l(1) = \varprojlim \mu_l^n(\bar{F}),$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_l(n) = \mathbb{Z}_l(1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l(1) \quad (n \text{ copies}).$$

For any l -adic Galois module M , let $M(n) = M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l(n)$. Also, write $W_l = \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ so that

$$W_l(1) = \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1) = \bar{F}^*\{l\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. $\text{Tor}(J(\bar{F}), K_{2n-1}(\bar{F}))\{l\} = V_l(n)$.

Proof. Quillen's computation [8] of the K -theory of finite fields says that $K_{2n-1}(\bar{F})\{l\} = W_l(n)$. Since $\text{Tor}(J(\bar{F}), W_l) = V_l$, the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Assume both Conjectures A and B hold. Let X be a smooth projective curve over a finite field F with $q = p^s$ elements. Let $l \neq p$ be prime. Let f denote the Frobenius endomorphism of T_l . Then*

$$K_{2n}(X)\{l\} = T_l/(1 - fq^n)T_l.$$

Proof. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & T_l & \rightarrow & T_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \rightarrow & V_l \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 1-fq^n & & \downarrow 1-fq^n & & \downarrow 1-fq^n \\ 0 & \rightarrow & T_l & \rightarrow & T_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l & \rightarrow & V_l \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By Deligne’s proof [3] of the Weil conjectures, the middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_l/(1 - fq^n)T_l &= \text{Ker}(1 - fq^n : V_l \rightarrow V_l) \\
 &= \text{Ker}(1 - \text{Frob} : V_l(n) \rightarrow V_l(n)) \\
 &= H^0(G, V_l(n)) = H^0(G, \text{Tor}(J, K_{2n-1})) \\
 &= H^0(G, K_{2n}(\bar{X})\{l\}) \\
 &= K_{2n}(X)\{l\}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ be the action of the geometric Frobenius [3] on $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. The L -function of X is defined as

$$L(X, s) = P(X, q^{-s})$$

where

$$P(X, t) = \det(1 - \phi t).$$

For any pair of rational numbers a, b , write $a \sim b$ to mean a/b is a power of p .

Corollary 2.5. *Assume both Conjectures A and B hold. Then $L(X, n + 1) \sim \#K_{2n}(X)$.*

Proof. By the functional equation, $L(X, n + 1) \sim L(X, -n)$. It is a standard fact that

$$P(X, t) = \det(1 - ft|T_l).$$

So, $L(X, -n) = \det(1 - fq^n|T_l)$. The l -part of the L -function is therefore given by $\#T_l/(1 - q^n f) = \#K_{2n}(X)\{l\}$. \square

Remark. This is precisely the relation between K -theory and L -functions predicted by the Quillen–Lichtenbaum conjectures [6, 10].

References

- [1] W. Browder, Algebraic K -theory with coefficients \mathbb{Z}/p , in: Geometric Applications of Homotopy Theory I, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 657 (Springer, Berlin, 1978) 40–84.
- [2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, Hilbert’s Theorem 90 for K_2 with application to the Chow groups of rational surfaces, *Invent. Math.* 71 (1983) 1–20.
- [3] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil I, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 43 (1974) 273–308.
- [4] D. Grayson (after D. Quillen), Finite generation of K -groups of a curve over a finite field, in: Algebraic K -theory (Oberwolfach 1980), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 996 (Springer, Berlin, 1982) 69–90.
- [5] G. Harder, Die Kohomologie S -arithmetischer Gruppen über Funktionenkörpern, *Invent. Math.* 42 (1977) 135–175.

- [6] S. Lichtenbaum, Values of zeta-functions, étale cohomology and algebraic K -theory, in: Algebraic K -theory II (Battelle 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 342 (Springer, Berlin, 1973) 489–500.
- [7] A. Merkurjev and A. Suslin, K -cohomology of Severi–Brauer varieties and norm residue homomorphism, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 46 (1982) 1011–1046.
- [8] D. Quillen, On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field, *Ann. of Math.* 96 (1972) 552–586.
- [9] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K -theory I, in: Algebraic K -theory I (Battelle 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 341 (Springer, Berlin, 1973) 85–147.
- [10] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K -theory, *Proc. International Congress of Math., Vol. I (Vancouver 1974)* 171–176.
- [11] C. Soulé, Groupes de Chow et K -théorie de variétés sur un corps fini, *Math. Ann.* 268 (1984) 317–345.
- [12] A. Suslin, On the K -theory of algebraically closed fields, *Invent. Math.* 73 (1983) 241–245.
- [13] A. Suslin, Torsion in K_2 of fields, LOMI Preprint, 1982.
- [14] J. Tate, Symbols in arithmetic, *Proc. International Congress of Math., Vol. I (Nice 1970)* 201–211.