

***Individual Pay and Outside Options: Evidence from the  
Polish Labour Force Survey***

*By: Fiona Duffy and Patrick Paul Walsh*

Working Paper No. 364  
March 2001

# **Individual Pay and Outside Options: Evidence from the Polish Labour Force Survey**

*by*

*Fiona Duffy and Patrick Paul Walsh\**

\*The Transition Group, Department of Economics, Trinity College, Dublin 2 and LICOS, Centre of Transition Economics, Deberiotstraat 34, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. This paper was presented to LICOS in Leuven, The Transition Group in Dublin, CEPR/WDI in Moscow, IZA in Bonn and research seminar in UCDDuring 2000. We thank all participants for their comments. We are also grateful to Jozef Konings, Hartmut Lehamann, Peter Neary, Jan Svejnar, Jonathan Wadsworth, Ciara Whelan and Frank Walsh for valuable comments. Fiona Duffy is Government of Ireland Research Scholar.

[fduffy@tcd.ie](mailto:fduffy@tcd.ie)  
[ppwalsh@tcd.ie](mailto:ppwalsh@tcd.ie)

## **Abstract**

Using Polish Labour Force Survey data, we examine whether competition for labour has induced individual pay to depend on outside options, availability and quality of jobs. Exploiting the lack of inter-regional job and worker flows we estimate the elasticity of individual pay, amongst a rich set of individual characteristics, to be approximately -0.1 for local unemployment (job shortages) and + 0.1 for local job reallocation (restructuring). Variations in local labour market conditions explain approximately 50 per cent of the differences in expected individual earnings across regions, while differences in inherited human capital and occupation structures explain the rest.

*Keywords:* Wage Determination, Unemployment, Job Reallocation and Polish Regions.

*Journal of Economic Literature:* Classification Numbers J6, L0 and O5.

## Introduction

The introduction of competition for labour in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) theoretically should induce individual earnings, for any given ability, to reflect outside earning options. In this paper we match regional, firm and individual level data to explore whether this has been a reality in Poland during transition.

While the full benefits of labour market liberalisation have been constrained by the lack of inter-region worker and job flows this has the advantage of allowing us to model outside earning options to depend solely on local (regional) labour market conditions<sup>1</sup>. In addition, intra-region structural rigidities may also have constrained the role of competition in local labour markets. An important feature of local labour markets in Poland is that they inherited idiosyncratic structures coming out of planning<sup>2</sup>. While local labour markets have the same economic institutions and macroeconomic environment, we can expect unemployment (job shortages) and job reallocation (restructuring) to evolve differently across local labour markets during transition. We intend to exploit the cross section variation in local labour market conditions in our analysis of outside earning options and individual pay.

---

<sup>1</sup> Inter-regional job and worker flows (adjustments) have been virtually absent during transition (see Faggio and Konings, 1999, and Boeri and Scarpetta 1996). The absence of migration flows ensures our econometric work will not have to concern itself with the standard Harris-Todaro critique, the need to model migration as a key labour supply determinant of regional wage and unemployment levels.

<sup>2</sup> Boeri and Scarpetta (1996) explain growing polarisation in employment performance across Polish regions as an outcome of the inherited and rather idiosyncratic firm structures. Exposure to world markets rendered the capital accumulated by many firms hopelessly out-of-date. Many eastern regions of Poland tended to be historically CMEA market oriented and enjoyed a privileged position in terms of allocation of resources over the forty years of central planning. These regions had a high concentration of Mining, Defence and Natural Resource Extraction industries. A stylised eastern region is one with a large industrial conglomerate surrounded by private agricultural holdings with capital not easily adaptable to the market economy. Yet, many western regions of Poland had produced goods for export outside the CMEA before 1990 and had developed better infrastructure and inherited capital that was more adaptable in the global economy. Repkine and Walsh (1999) estimate the empirical relevance of historical trade links to be very significant in the modelling of industrial production.

Using regional data, we first identify conditions in local labour markets that explain differences in expected (average) earnings across the regions of Poland during transition. We model conditions in local labour markets using local unemployment rates calculated from Labour Force Survey (LFS) data and local job reallocation rates calculated from Amadeus Company Accounts data. The former empirically captures the tightness of the labour market or job shortages. The latter captures the speed at which new and restructured firms are replacing non-restructured firms in local firm populations. The composition change in labour demand increases the number of good jobs in local labour markets. In section I we review the theoretical and empirical literature that have used unemployment in wage equations, and motivate why one should also consider using job reallocation.

In section II we evaluate the impact of (instrumented) local labour market conditions on average monthly wage levels using regional data across 49 (voivodships) regions of Poland over the period 1991-96, while controlling for other unobservable deterministic factors using panel data techniques. We find that employees who work in regions of high unemployment earn less, on average, other things constant, than those surrounded by low unemployment. The elasticity of average pay with respect to local unemployment is approximately  $-0.1$ . In addition, we find that workers in more restructured regions receive higher pay, on average, other things constant, than those surrounded by non-restructured firms. The elasticity of average pay with respect to local job reallocation is approximately  $+0.1$ . In terms of local labour market conditions job shortages do not tell the whole story. The nature of the jobs in the firm populations also matter for wage determination.

In section III we evaluate the impact of (instrumented) local labour market conditions, human capital, and occupation characteristics on individual earnings using a panel of males from the Polish LFS data over the period 1994-1996.<sup>3</sup> Our empirical approach has a number of innovations. First, we match local unemployment and job reallocation rates to the individual data and instrument to avoid simultaneity bias in our results. Second, we correct for sample selection bias by conditioning our earnings equation on a participation model. Finally, we include a rich set of education categories and occupation controls.

The unemployment and job reallocation elasticity of individual pay is estimated to be approximately -0.1 and +0.1, respectively, while controlling for a rich set of human capital and occupation characteristics, among other factors. Large regional variation in unemployment and job reallocation rates induce large differences in expected individual earnings, for any given ability, across the various regions of Poland. Local outside earning options explain approximately 50 per cent of the differences in expected individual earnings across regions, while differences in inherited human capital and occupation structures explain the rest.

A secondary issue investigated, is to what extent past investments in human capital and choice of occupation under planning are rewarded in terms of earning potential during the transition period. Normally in mature market economies we would expect investment in human capital to target high wage occupations. This selection bias is

---

<sup>3</sup> The general consensus within firm level CEE studies to date, as reviewed by Svejnar (1999), is that there is little evidence of a detectable “wage curve” effect across CEE countries. Yet, Basu et al (1997) for example, using firm level data, finds the wage elasticity with respect to the local or (district-level) unemployment rate is statistically significant, a negative coefficient of -0.03 for Poland. In contrast to Basu et al, using individual data from the Polish Labour Force Survey we model individual earnings to depend

not a strong feature of this data as most human capital and occupation structures were an outcome of the planning era. However we do test which educational and occupational specific work experience yield high returns from the market economy.

Our findings support the consensus that technical (specialist) education under the communist regime is not as well rewarded as academic (broad) education during transition. One should not use years of schooling but rather categorical variables, since the type of education under planning is important. Jobs for life and full participation under planning ensures that using the number of years of working age is a good proxy for work experience. While experience earnings profiles are very flat, only young workers, under the age of 25, get a positive return to experience. These results again point to the lack of return from years of work experience under planning. We find there are occupational specific returns. For example, Hotels and Restaurants, Education, and Health pay the same low pay as Agriculture, while Retailing, Transport and Construction pay up to 20 per cent more and Finance, Motor Cars and Public Administration get over 20 per cent more than Agriculture. Finally, we also report nice interaction effects between human capital and occupation characteristics and local labour market conditions. Individuals with youth, academic education or in high wage occupations have elastic responses to outside labour market conditions rather than unitary responses. Individuals that are older, technically trained or in low wage occupations are found to have inelastic responses to outside labour market conditions. This is strong evidence of intra-regional structural rigidities, legacies of planning that mitigate the ability of certain individuals to

---

on (instrumented) local labour market conditions (local unemployment and job reallocation rates), human capital and workplace characteristics.

take advantage of their recent right to take up outside options and benefit from competition in their local labour market.

### **Section I: Literature Review**

The relationship between the wage and local unemployment levels has been debated for many generations. From the beginning of the 1970s, the first generation of papers, summarised in Table 1, argued that regions with high unemployment also experience high wage levels. The theoretical foundations for such a relationship can be found in Harris and Todaro (1970)<sup>4</sup>. Hall (1970, 1972), using data for twelve U.S. cities in 1966, found a positive relationship between expected pay and unemployment when estimating wage equations across cities. Reza (1978), Adams (1985) and Marston (1985) also found that there was a positive relationship using individual level data.

However, by the end of the 1980s this accord began to crumble. The problem in the earlier literature was a failure to control for regional fixed effects. Regional dummies were not included in the estimated wage equations. Including these effects led to new evidence in a second generation of papers, summarised in Table 2, which suggested that regional pay and unemployment were in fact negatively correlated<sup>5</sup>. Using British data Blackaby and Manning (1987, 1990a,b,c) find evidence of a negative spatial relationship between joblessness and workers' remuneration. Freeman (1988) used American and more disaggregated British data to find similar results. Card (1990) used Canadian data to establish the negative relationship.

---

<sup>4</sup> Their argument is based on Adam Smith's theory of "compensating differentials" and a long run zero migration equilibrium.



Using larger microeconomic datasets, summarised in Table 3, Blanchflower and Oswald (1994) document a negative empirical relationship between local unemployment and pay determination which they term a “Wage Curve”. What emerges from the authors’ pooled cross-sectional regressions on micro-data is a pattern linking individual pay to regional unemployment, with a typical wage curve described by the following specification;

$$\ln W_{it} = \beta_0 - 0.1 \ln U_{jt} + \beta_2 X_{it} + \beta_2 D_j + \beta_3 D_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1.1)$$

The natural logarithm of individual  $i$  in period  $t$  hourly/monthly/yearly earnings,  $W_{it}$ , is explained by the natural logarithm of regional unemployment rate,  $U_{jt}$ , a vector of workplace & human capital characteristics,  $X_{it}$  (age, education, work experience and occupation), regional and time dummies,  $D_j$ , and  $D_t$ , respectively. Regression results on samples across 12 countries suggest that the unemployment elasticity of pay approximates,  $-0.1$ . A hypothetical doubling of unemployment is associated with a drop in pay of 10%. Empirical estimates show that a worker who is employed in an area of high unemployment earns less than an individual who works in a region with low joblessness when controlling for other individual and regional specific factors. This highlights the importance of the *tightness* of the outside labour market on the ability of individuals to earn.

In general equilibrium models of unemployment, where the conventional labour supply curve is replaced with a wage setting curve, a negative relationship between pay and unemployment is clearly predicted. The macroeconomic models of Layard, Nickell and Jackman (1991) and the microeconomic efficiency wage model of Shapiro and

---

<sup>5</sup> This correlation refers to levels of unemployment and wages and not changes in these variables found in a

Stiglitz (1984) are good examples of modelling wage and unemployment outcomes with conventional labour demand equations and imperfectly competitive wage setting behaviour. The expected wage set in general equilibrium reflects an aggregation over firms that reward productivity and pay labour rents.

The relationship between the wage and local job reallocation across firm populations has not yet been studied. Yet, Belzil (2000), at the country level for Denmark, finds a strong empirical link between real wages and job reallocation, amongst other factors including unemployment. Planned economies were oversized in terms of Industrial and Agricultural employment. Mass inter-sector job flows were anticipated. In addition, the downsizing and restructuring of traditional firms and the entry of new firms was expected to induce even greater intra-sector job reallocation over-time. In transition economies, structural change in employment was clearly needed for economic efficiency. Aghion and Blanchard (1994) write down a strong theoretical link relationship between wage determination, unemployment and job reallocation from the previously State Owned Sector (SOS) to the New Private Sector (NPS)<sup>6</sup>. Their model predicts that the expected wage level in a labour market, among other factors, will be negatively related to the unemployment rate and positively related to job reallocation. Unemployment reduces expected earnings in the economy by blocking restructuring in the previously SOS and inducing wage constraint in the NPS. Workers do not vote for restructuring due to a low probability of re-employment after a possible layoff during restructuring. Yet, strong

---

conventional regional Phillips curves.

<sup>6</sup> This approach incorporates an imperfectly competitive model of restructuring and insider wage determination in the labour market to model expected earnings in the previously state owned, the new private sector and in unemployment. This builds on Konings and Walsh (1994, 2000) and Bughin (1996) who explicitly model interactions of imperfections in product and labour markets in partial equilibrium.

NPS growth will induce restructuring of the previous SOS and reallocate jobs away from the previous SOS towards the NPS. Given that wages, unemployment and job reallocation are clearly jointly determined outcomes we will have to choose a good instrument in the empirical sections of the paper to clearly identify the relationship.

We use the Amadeus Company Accounts Data is used to construct regional job reallocation rates<sup>7</sup>. We use the regional job reallocation rates constructed by Faggio and Konings (1999). They use the indices developed in Davis and Haltwinger (1992). We define a discrete measure of firm  $i$  growth over the period  $t-1$  to  $t$  in region  $j$  as follows:

$$g_{ijt} = \left( \frac{y_{ijt} - y_{ijt-1}}{(y_{ijt} + y_{ijt-1})/2} \right) \quad (1.2)$$

To examine the contribution of expanding and declining firms to the overall evolution of regional employment we sum the growth rates of each growing firm (POS), weighted by firm employment size,  $S_{ijt}$ , and sum the absolute growth rates of each declining firm (NEG) weighted by firm size  $S_{ijt}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} POS_{jt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ijt} g_{ijt} && \text{if } g_{ijt} > 0, \text{ and} \\ NEG_{jt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n S_{ijt} |g_{ijt}| && \text{if } g_{ijt} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The annual net change,  $NET_{jt}$ , in regional employment is a net outcome that is induced by employment growth in expanding firms being offset by employment falls in declining firms. The reallocation of jobs across firms within regional employment is captured by the  $RES_{jt}$  index calculated as follows:

---

<sup>7</sup> The data consist of incorporated companies across all sectors that satisfy one of the following conditions: Employment > 100, Total Assets > 16 million US dollars and operating revenues > 8 million US dollars.

$$\begin{aligned}
 NET_{jt} &= POS_{jt} - NEG_{jt} \\
 RES_{jt} &= POS_{jt} + NEG_{jt} - |NET_{jt}|
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.4}$$

Faggio and Konings (1999) analyse and model regional job reallocation rates across five CEE countries including Poland. They find that the vast majority of job reallocation occurs within region and not between regions. This indicates the lack of job mobility across regions of Poland. In addition, striking differences in job flows are observed within regions. For example in Warsaw the annual job creation rate was 4.7 per cent, the annual job destruction rate was 5.4 per cent, leading to an annual job reallocation rate of 9.7 per cent. Annually, nearly 10 per cent of employment is reallocated away from one set of firms towards another during each year of transition. In contrast in Zamoj, one of the weakest regions, the annual job creation rate was 2 per cent, the annual job destruction rate was 4 per cent, leading to an annual job reallocation rate of 4 per cent. The factors behind intra-regional simultaneous job creation and destruction at each point of the transition process were also examined. Most of the job flows were intra-sector and not inter-sector. Firm level dynamics were driven mainly by, downsizing of large firms, changing ownership structures and the market orientation of production.

Job reallocation rates are pure compositional shifts in the firms that host jobs in the regional employment pool over a period of a year. Restructuring requires that traditional firms either exit or move towards their production possibility frontier and induce new firms to enter. Over-time, more jobs should find themselves in either new or restructured firms. The job reallocation index captures this move to efficiency in firm populations extremely well. Job reallocation rates in narrowly defined sectors in mature

---

The data set does exclude small firms. This is likely to underestimate the job reallocation rate but can be

economies are even larger than those reported for transition economies<sup>8</sup>. This index is also likely to reflect compositional changes in firm populations that induce efficiency and increase average pay. The nature of the composition shift will be different, but the effect on wages should be the same. In the next section we present our empirical model of average regional wages.

## **Section II: Empirical Model of the Outside Option**

Table 4 presents average monthly wage, unemployment rates and job reallocation rates, averaged over the period 1994 to 1996 across six groupings of Polish regions based on public infrastructure deficits, outlined in Annex I. Nominal wages are expressed in Polish Zloty. We observe that wages and restructuring increase as we move from Group I to VI (the most developed region in each year). Increases in wages and job reallocation also increase within each regional grouping overtime, but more so in the more advanced groupings, thus increasing the disparity in wage and restructuring levels across regions overtime. The evolution of the unemployment rate across time and regions ranked by their development level seems to follow an inverse U-shape during transition. Unemployment increases as we move from Group I to Group III and then falls in the most developed regions in each time period. Walsh (2000) provides evidence, using the same regional taxonomy, that regional unemployment inflows increase and duration shortens with regional job reallocation (instrumented by our regional public infrastructure ranking), alongside other deterministic factors, inducing an inverse U-shape during transition. This highlights the potential simultaneity in the determination of wages,

---

expected to track trends in local job reallocation rates extremely well.

unemployment and restructuring, joint outcomes driven by initial conditions of public infrastructure deficits across regions.

In what follows we provide econometric evidence for the assertion that wage levels are determined by unemployment and restructuring levels across regions and time while controlling for simultaneity problems and the presence of other deterministic but omitted factors. We have information on 49 voivodships over a 6 year period giving us a total of 294 observations. We estimate the impact of the log of the unemployment rate,  $UR_{jt}$ , and job reallocation rate,  $RES_{jt}$ , in region  $j$  and period  $t$ , on the log of the average monthly wage,  $W_{jt}$ , in region  $j$  and period  $t$  while controlling for other factors. Job reallocation and unemployment rates are jointly determined by RANK, RANK squared and RANK cubed, regional and year controls to avoid an endogeneity problem. RANK takes on a value of 1 to 49, the public infrastructure ranking of the regions in Annex I. The local job reallocation rate controls for the rate at which non-restructured firms are been replaced with restructured firms during transition, this increasing the number of good jobs in the local labour market. The local unemployment rate controls for job shortages in the local labour market. The outside earnings (average monthly regional wages) model is written as follows,

$$\ln W_{jt} = \alpha + \beta_1 \ln UR_{jt} + \beta_2 \ln RE_{jt} + \beta_3 D_t + v_j + \varepsilon_{jt} \quad (2.1)$$

Unobserved heterogeneity in region  $j$  is controlled for by the inclusion of a unit specific residual,  $v_j$ , that is comprised of a collection of factors not in the regression that are specific to region and constant over time, for example, human capital and occupation structures of regions, amongst other region specific factors.

---

<sup>8</sup> Baldwin et al. (1998) for the US and Walsh and Whelan (2000) for Ireland, using rich plant level data,

The random effect specification is justified on the basis of a Hausman test. The intercept and time dummies, in addition to the random effects, are also included in the regression to control for the evolution of the unobservable macroeconomic deterministic factors over time, such as inflation. The results of our expected outside earning regressions across Polish regions are presented in Table 5 and Table 6.

In Table 5 we report results that do not control for the possibility of regional specific omitted variables. In the final column we instrument the unemployment and job reallocation rates. The unemployment elasticity is estimated to be in the region of -0.7 and the job reallocation elasticity is estimated to be in the region of 0.8. Tests for AR1 in the residuals indicate that model specifications are not valid. It is likely that the same regional specific omitted variables in each year are driving the auto-regressive processes. In Table 6 we report the results of our random effect models, which control for the presence of region specific unobserved deterministic heterogeneity. Results from the Hausman specification test verify the appropriateness of our random effect models. In the final column of Table 6 we instrument the unemployment and the job reallocation rate. The unemployment elasticity of pay for Poland is in the region of  $-0.08$  and the job reallocation elasticity is estimated to be in the region of  $+0.8$ . Workers earn higher levels of pay, on average, in regions that have lower unemployment and where firm populations have undertaken more restructuring during transition. Given that the job reallocation and unemployment rate are key determinants of expected regional monthly wages (outside

---

calculate annual job reallocation rates of persistently around 15 per cent, 1973 to 1994.

earning options) we now turn to examine their impact on individual earnings when controlling for human capital and occupations characteristics, among other factors<sup>9</sup>.

### **Section III: The Outside Option and Individual Earnings**

The data used in this section is the Polish LFS data for the years 1994 to 1996. The Polish LFS is a quarterly household survey, February, May, August and November, starting in May 1992. This paper uses three data waves of the survey, corresponding to the November 1994, 1995 and 1996. The survey includes individuals older than 15 years and there is no upper age limit. However it does omit individuals living in military barracks and dormitories, and any household members residing abroad. The Polish LFS does not differ from the usual western survey. It contains more than 50 questions and allows one to distinguish between the employed, the unemployed and those not in the labour force according to ILO/OECD definitions. From May 1993, the introduction of the rotating panel resulted in one half of the current sample being used in the survey for the next quarter, and the other half being used in the same quarter the following year. This allows us to create a panel to track individuals overtime. We have deliberately excluded females in this panel as they are more affected by short-term supply side considerations than their male counterparts. The total number of observations in the survey is 75,204 and the panel is 14,203 male individuals over 1994, 1995, and 1996. For each observation we have a record of age (year of birth), type of completed education, regional location (voivodship), occupation (previous occupation) in the reference week and wage which corresponds to their net earnings in the previous month

---

<sup>9</sup> We do check whether the unemployment rate is really a proxy for demographic variables such as population density. Such variables are not significant in our regional or individual earnings regressions.



from a main job measured in thousands of polish zloty<sup>10</sup>. In annex II we outline the categories of education and 2-digit occupation dummies used. We have imposed on this panel the time varying local job reallocation rate (*RES*) and the local unemployment rate (*UR*) used in the last section of the paper.

In Tables 8 and 9 we present results at the individual level using Polish LFS data for the years 1994-1996. In Table 8 we estimate an augmented wage curve, assuming a random selection process to employment, described by the following specification,

$$\ln W_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln UR_{jt} + \beta_2 \ln RES_{jt} + \beta_3 X_{it} + \beta_4 D_j + \beta_5 D_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3.1)$$

The natural logarithm of individual *i* previous months net earnings from a principal job<sup>11</sup>,  $W_{it}$ , in period *t* is explained by the natural logarithm of the regional unemployment rate,  $UR_{jt}$ , and the regional job reallocation rate,  $RES_{jt}$ . Both are instrumented using RANK, identified as regions 1-49 in annex I, RANK squared, RANK cubed, region and time dummies. This will allow us to test whether unemployment and restructuring levels in local labour markets have similar effects on the expected earnings of individuals, as on average regional wage levels estimated in the previous section, while controlling for a rich set of human capital and occupation characteristics. These include non-linearity's in individual age/education and occupation dummies,  $X_{it}$ , regional and time,  $D_j$ , and  $D_t$ , respectively.

In the model we have chosen not to include a measure of work experience gained by each individual, years of tenure in a current job, or in their last job if out of

---

<sup>10</sup> In Poland, wages are not automatically linked to the consumer price index so our analysis is based on nominal as opposed to real wage levels. A potential criticism of our work is that to measure real wages in different regions one should have regional consumer price data. However, Blanchflower and Oswald (1995) postulate that "nominal wages are likely to be sufficient whenever year and regional dummies can be included in the regression equations". We have amended our model to include such dummies.

employment. Under planning, most individuals stayed in the same job for the duration of their working age and the participation rate was high. Working age and job tenure were perfectly correlated. The transition period has started to break down this traditional correlation. Yet, as documented in Table 7, one can calculate the percentage of males in regional employment who have not changed jobs since the beginning of transition. The most developed region has around 35 per cent of males and the least developed region around 60 per cent of males remaining in the same pre-transitional job in 1996. Those that resist job changes, apart from being in less advanced regions, are in older age groups. Much of the work experience gained under communism by older workers may not be rewarded so well in a market economy. Younger age groups have less work experience but any work experience accumulated, particularly during transition, may be highly rewarded in the market economy. We test for such a non-linearity in return to age, or a flat experience and earning profile as documented for many other CEE countries<sup>12</sup>.

Using the same rationale it may also be the case that returns to education gained under a communist regime may not be as good as those found using data from a western economy. Flanagan (1994) using pre and post-transition data for the Czech Republic, includes education in the form of categorical variables, and finds a decrease in the rate of return to vocational education from 0.11 to 0.07 and an increase in the rate of return to university education from 0.31 to 0.39. Chase (1997) using similar data for the Czech Republic finds that the returns to technical education/training greatly diminished while

---

<sup>11</sup> Admittedly, it does not include fringe benefits or any payments of wages in kind.

<sup>12</sup> Flanagan (1994) finds that the return to work experience gained under planning declined during the first few years of transition in the Czech Republic. Chase (1997) using micro-data for the Czech Republic and Slovakia also finds that returns to work experience fell during transition as young workers were favoured in jobs created during transition. Kreuger and Pische (1995) and Bird et al. (1994) for east Germany and

returns to academic education experienced a large increase during transition. We intend to test for such hypotheses using our categorical variables of education.

However when regressing monthly earnings of individuals on a vector of human capital characteristics, the analytical problem of selectivity bias must be acknowledged. The estimated rate of return to human capital characteristics might in fact be underestimated because workers who expect the lowest pay choose not to participate. The large drop in participation rates documented by Boeri (1999) for many former socialist economies may suggest that there could be some sort of sample selection at work. Bias in the estimates of a restricted sample is a well-known problem. An important assumption that is made in the earnings regression is violated, and so the expected value of the disturbance term will not be zero in the presence of an omitted variable. To overcome this selectivity or omitted variable bias we run a Heckman (1979) two-step estimation procedure. Correcting for sample selection bias can theoretically change the sign, magnitude or significance of the relationships found in regression (3.1), assuming a random selection into the workforce. Our selection model is written as the following,

$$Z_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln U_{jt} + \beta_2 \ln RES_{jt} + \beta_3 X_{it} + \beta_4 D_j + \beta_5 D_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $Z_{it} = 1$  if an individual is working, and zero otherwise. We include a dummy for marriage an additional individual specific variable to identify the instrumentation. The Heckman lambda,  $\lambda_i$ , is computed for each individual in the selected sample. In Table 9 we estimate an augmented wage curve, conditioned on the probability of participating in employment, described by the following specification.

---

Halpern and Korosi (1997) for Hungary document very flat experience earning profiles. Return to experience gained under planning clearly fell during transition.

$$\ln W_{it} \Big|_{z_{it}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln U_{jt} + \beta_2 \ln RES_{jt} + \beta_3 X_{it} + \beta_4 D_j + \beta_5 D_t + \beta_6 \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (3.3)$$

The regression models the contributions of our explanatory variables to the expected earnings of all males of working-age as it is conditioned on the probability of participating in employment.

The unconditioned results in the second column of Table 8 and the conditioned results in the second column Table 9 are very similar. No evidence of sample selectivity bias was found. We find that the impact of the outside labour market has the same estimated impact on pay even when we control for a rich set of individual and occupation characteristics and sample selection bias. The estimated unemployment elasticity of pay is -0.11 and restructuring elasticity of pay is estimated to be 0.07. Regional unemployment rates can be as high as 25 per cent and as low as 3 per cent, while regional job reallocation rates can be higher than 10 per cent and lower than 1 per cent. Both of these rates dictate the availability and quality of the jobs in terms of pay in the outside local labour market. For any given occupation and human capital characteristics, individuals can expect to have large differences in expected earnings across the various regions of Poland. For example, Warsaw paid 30 per cent more, on average, than the average pay across all other regions during the period 1994-1996. Outside local labour market conditions are estimated to explain 51 per cent of this differential, and individual characteristics the remaining 49 per cent, where local job reallocation accounts for 34 per cent and local unemployment rate 17 per cent, respectively.

In addition, given the nature of local labour markets, we find that individuals get higher pay due to certain human capital and workplace characteristics, among other factors. We report the estimated rate of return to human capital and workplace

characteristics in Table 10. We find that earnings, holding other factors constant, increase by 22 per cent for those with at least academic primary education, 25 per cent with academic secondary schooling and 57 per cent with university education. The returns to vocational primary at 17 per cent, technical secondary at 18 per cent and technical college at 38 per cent, are clearly less than the corresponding academic training. This supports a general consensus that during transition, technical education under the communist regime is not as well rewarded as academic education during transition.

We measure work experience as years of working age. While experience earnings profiles are very flat, only young workers, under the age of 25, get a positive return to experience, 1 per cent for every year. The return to work experience declines slowly in every year up to 55 years of age and declines at a rate of 5 per cent thereafter. These results again point to the lack of return from years of work experience under planning. Finally, we test the rate of return from inheriting certain occupational skills. We find there are occupational specific returns. In table 10 we group these into occupations that get the same, up to, and above 20 per cent of Agricultural pay.

Given that certain human capital and occupation characteristics yield a higher expected return than others, it may be the case that the response of individual pay to outside labour market conditions may not be uniform. Intra-regional structural rigidities may mitigate the ability of certain individuals to take advantage of outside options. In table 11 we report the elasticity of expected individual pay with respect to local labour market conditions when estimating the model (3.3) on different groupings of the data by age, sector and education. Males when grouped by youth, academic education, or a service sector job have elastic responses to outside labour market conditions rather than

unitary responses. Groupings by older, technically trained or agriculture/manufacturing sectors are found to have inelastic responses to outside labour market conditions. This is strong evidence of intra-regional structural rigidities resulting from planning. Apart from the market system not rewarding certain human capital and occupation types, they are also less able to exploit outside earning opportunities created by the market economy.

### **Conclusion**

The benefits of competition in the Polish labour market have been inhibited by the lack of inter-region worker and job flows and intra-region structural rigidities. Yet, these legacies of planning have allowed us to study the role of competition in the labour market in an environment far less complex than that observed in mature market economies. This paper provides us with a clear picture of the importance of competition and outside options in labour markets undergoing a transition to a market economy. Overall the liberalisation of the market has allowed individuals, by varying degrees, to earn more by exploiting the presence of outside earning options in local labour markets.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adams, J.D., "Permanent Differences in Unemployment and Permanent Wage Differentials" *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 100, pp29-56, 1985.
- Aghion, P. and Blanchard, O., "On the Speed of Transition in Central Europe", *NBER Macroeconomic Annual*, pp283-320, 1994.
- Baldwin, J., Dunne T. and Haltiwanger J., "A Comparison of Job Creation and Job Destruction in Canada and the United States", forthcoming in *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 1999.
- Basu, S., Estrin, S. and Svejnar, J. "Employment and Wage Behaviour of Industrial Enterprises in Transitional Economies: The Cases of Poland and Czechoslovakia", *Economics of Transition*, 5: pp271-287, 1997.
- Belzil, C. "Job Creation and Job Destruction, Worker Reallocation, and Wages", *Journal of Labour Economics*, Vol. 18, No.2, pp183-203, 2000.
- Bird, E., Schwarze, J., and Wagner, G., "Wage Effects of the Move Towards Free Markets in East Germany", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 47(3): pp390-400, 1994.
- Blackaby, D.H. and Manning, D.N. "Regional Earnings Revisited", *Manchester School*, 55, pp158-183, 1987
- Blackaby, D.H. and Manning, D.N., "Earnings, Unemployment and the Regional Employment Structure in Britain", *Regional Studies*, 24, pp529-535, 1990a
- Blackaby, D.H. and Manning, D.N., "The North-South Divide: Earnings, Unemployment and the Cost of Living Differences in Great Britain", *Papers of the Regional Science Association*, 69, pp43-55, 1990b
- Blackaby, D.H. and Manning, D.N., "The North-South Divide: Questions of Existence and Stability", *Economic Journal*, 100, pp510-527, 1990c
- Blanchflower, D.G. and Oswald, A.J., The Wage Curve, MIT Press: Cambridge, 1994
- Blanchflower, D.G. and Oswald, A.J., "An Introduction to the Wage Curve", *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9, 153-167, 1995.
- Boeri, T., "Transition with Labour Supply", SITE, Stockholm School of Economics, Working Paper, SITE/WP-99/0146, 1999.
- Boeri, T. and Scarpetta, S., "Regional Mismatch and the Transition to a Market Economy," *Labour Economics* 3, pp233-254, 1996.

Bughin, J., "Trade Unions and Firms' Product Market Power", *Journal of Industrial Economics*, Vol. XLIV, no 3, pp. 289-307, 1996

Card, D., "Unexpected Inflation, Real Wages and Employment Determination in Union Contracts", *American Economic Review*, 80, pp669-688, 1990

Chase, R., "Markets for Communist Human Capital: Returns to Education and Experience in Post-Communist Czech Republic and Slovakia", Working Paper no.81, WDI, University of Michigan Business School, 1997.

Davis, S. and Haltwinger, J. 'Gross Job Creation, Gross Job Destruction and Employment Reallocation', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107, 819-863, 1992.

Faggio, G. and Konings, J. "Gross Job Flows and Firm Growth in Transition Countries: Evidence using Firm Level Data on Five Countries" mimeograph, LICOS, Centre for Transition Economics, K.U. Leuven, 1999

Flanagan, R., "Were Communists Good Human Capitalists? The Case of the Czech Republic", Mimeograph Stanford University, 1994.

Freeman, R.B., "Evaluating the European View That the United States Has No Unemployment Problem", *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings*, 78 pp294-299, 1988.

Gora, M. and Lehmann, H., "How Divergent is Regional Labour Market Adjustment in Poland." In Scarpetta, S. and Woergoetter, A. eds., The Regional Dimension of Unemployment in Transition Countries: A Challenge for Labour Market and Social Policies. Paris: OECD, 1995.

Hall, R.E. "Why is the Unemployment Rate So High at Full Employment" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 3, pp369-402, 1970

Hall, R.E. "Turnover in the Labour Force" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 3 pp709-756, 1972

Halpern, L. and Korosi, G., "Labour Market Characteristics and Profitability (Econometric Analysis of Hungarian Firms), 1986-1995", Working Paper No. 41, WDI, University of Michigan Business School, 1995.

Harris, J.R. and Todaro, M.P. "Migration, Unemployment and Development: A Two-Sector Analysis" *American Economic Review*, 60 pp126-142, 1970

Heckman, J., "Sample Selection Bias as a Specification Error", *Econometrica*, 60, pp153-161, 1979.



Huber, P. and Scarpetta, S., "Regional Economic Structures and Unemployment in Central and Eastern Europe: An Attempt to Identify Common Patterns." In Stefano Scarpetta and Andreas Woergoetter, Eds., The Regional Dimension of Unemployment in Transition Countries: A Challenge for Labour Market and Social Policies. Paris: OECD, 1995

Janssens, S. and Konings, J. "One More Wage Curve: The Case of Belgium", *Economic Letters*, 1999.

Konings, J. and Walsh, P., "Evidence of Efficiency Wage Payments in U.K. Firm Level Panel Data", *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 104, pp542-555, 1994.

Konings, J. and Walsh, P." The Impact of Product Market Competition on Employment Determination in Unionised and non-Unionised Firms: Firm level evidence for the UK ", Vol. 4, pp385-394, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 2000.

Kreuger, A. and Pischke, Jorn-Steffen, "A Comparative Analysis of East and West German Labor Markets: Before and After Unification", in: Freeman, R.B. and Katz, L.K., eds., Differences and Changes in Wage Structures, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1995.

Marston, S.T., "Two Views of the Geographic Distribution of Unemployment", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 100, pp57-79, 1985.

Layard, R., Nickell S. and Jackman, R., Unemployment: Macroeconomic Performance and the Labour Market, Oxford University Press, 1991.

Marston, S.T., "Two Views of the Geographic Distribution of Unemployment", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 100, pp57-79, 1985.

Repkine, A. and Walsh, P. " Evidence of European Trade and Investment U-Shaping Industrial Output in Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland and Romania", *Journal of Comparative Economics*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp730-752, 1999.

Reza, A.M. "Geographical Differences in Earnings and Unemployment Rates", *Review of Economics and Statistics* ,60, pp201-208, 1978.

Scarpetta, S. "Spatial Variations in Unemployment in Central and Eastern Europe: Underlying Reasons and Labour Market Policy Options". In Stefano Scarpetta and Andreas Woergoetter, Eds., The Regional Dimension of Unemployment in Transition Countries: A Challenge for Labour Market and Social Policies. Paris: OECD, 1995

Shapiro, Carl and Stiglitz, Joseph E., "Equilibrium Unemployment as a Worker Discipline Device", *American Economic Review*, June 1984, 74, pp433-444, 1984

Svejnar, J. "Labour Markets in the Transitional Central and East European Economies" *Chapter 42, pp 2809-2857, Handbook of Labor Economics, Volume 3, Edited by O. Ashenfelter and D. Card, Elsevier Science, 1999.*

Walsh, P. and Whelan, C. "The Importance of Structural Change in Industry for Growth", forthcoming *Journal Of The Statistical and Social Inquiry Society Of Ireland, 2000*

Walsh, P. "Regional Unemployment in Poland: A Legacy of Central Planning", LICOS, Centre for Transition Economics, Catholic University of Leuven, Discussion Paper 88/2000.

**Table 1**

First Generation Papers 1970s and 1980s

Author	Data	Result (Pay & Unemployment relationship)
Hall (1970, 1972)	BLS* 12 U.S. cities in 1966 Sample size = 12 regions	Positive
Reza (1978)	BLS* 1970-1972 U.S. data sample size = 18 regions	Positive
Adams (1985)	U.S. Panel Study of Income Dynamics 1970- Sample size = 2000	Positive
Marston (1985)	Current Pop. Survey (1970s) Sample size = 0.5 million	Positive

\* Bureau of Labour Statistics

**Table 2**

Second Generation Papers late 1980s and 1990s

Author	Data	Country	Result
Blackaby & Manning (1987, 1990a, 1990b, 1990c)	General Household Survey 1964-1984 Microeconomic wage equations Sample: 7,288 employed males	U.K.	Negative
Card (1990)	Sample of 1293 Canadian labour contracts 1968-1983 Uses OLS & instrumental variable methods	Canada	Negative
Freeman (1988)	G.H.S & C.P.S*	U.K. & U.S.A.	Negative
Janssens & Konings (1999)	Social Economic Panel 1985, 1988 & 1992 Sample: 6,727 households	Belgium	Negative
Blanchflower & Oswald (1994)	See table 3 G.H.S. General Household Survey & C.P.S. Current Population Survey	12 countries	Negative

**Table 3**

## Blanchflower &amp; Oswalds' Wage Curves in 12 Nations

---



---

<b>Country</b>	<b>Dependent Variable</b>	<b>Data-set</b>	<b>Coefficient on LogU</b>	<b>Fixed Effects</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
USA	Annual Earnings	Current Population Survey 1963-1990	-0.1	Yes	1,730,175
UK	Monthly Earnings	General Household Survey 1973-90	-0.8	Yes	175,500
Canada	Gross annual earnings	Survey of Consumer Finances 1972-87	-0.9	Yes	82,739
S. Korea	Gross Monthly Earnings	Occupational Wage Surveys 1971-86	-0.4	Yes	1,359,387
Austria	Gross monthly earnings	ISSP** 1986-89	-0.9	Some	1,587
Italy	Gross monthly earnings	ISSP 1986-89	-0.1	Yes	1,041
Holland	Net monthly earnings	ISSP 1988-91	-0.12	Some	1,867
Switzerland	Net monthly earnings	ISSP 1987	-0.12	No	645
Norway	Gross yearly earnings	ISSP 1989-91	-0.8	Some	2,599
S. Ireland	Net monthly earnings	ISSP 1988-91	-0.36	No	1,363
Australia	Weekly income	IDS 1986	-0.19	No	8,429
Germany	Gross monthly earnings	ISSP 1986-91	-0.13	Yes	4,629

---



---

\*\*ISSP= International Social Survey Programme

Column 4: Coefficients on local unemployment variables in micro-econometric wage equations.

Column 5: Fixed effects refer to controls for region or occupations. In all equations, personal variables are included as controls.

---



---

**Table 4**  
Job Reallocation Rates and Unemployment Rates and Wage Levels by Regional Social Development Groupings

	<b>Regional Grouping</b>	<b>Job Reallocation Rate</b>	<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	<b>Monthly Wage</b>
1994	I	1.03	16.8	446.2
	II	2.12	16.9	461.3
	III	2.56	18.8	474.7
	IV	3.48	22.6	500.0
	V	4.37	18.9	512.8
	VI	6.94	12.1	530.1
				<i>Min 425.1 Max 657.3</i>
1995	I	2.19	16.2	588.2
	II	4.44	16.6	610.4
	III	4.45	17.7	626.3
	IV	4.63	21.0	630.0
	V	5.71	17.8	667.8
	VI	7.98	11.1	700.9
				<i>Min 566.3 Max 876.7</i>
1996	I	2.57	14.1	740.0
	II	4.51	14.9	769.7
	III	4.81	15.9	784.8
	IV	5.45	18.4	790.4
	V	5.87	16.2	844.0
	VI	8.44	09.4	897.9
				<i>Min 713.4 Max 1130.6</i>

---

Source: Amadeus Company Accounts Data and Polish Year Books. Group I is the least developed and Group VI the most developed grouping.

**Table 5**  
OLS Regressions across Polish Regions 1991-96

	<b>OLS</b>	<b>OLS</b>	<b>2SLS**</b>
	<b>Log Wage</b>	<b>Log Wage</b>	<b>Log Wage</b>
<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	0.99	0.98	0.98
<b>Constant</b>	3.4 (18.0)*	3.3 (15.6)*	3.2 (15.2)*
<b>Log Unemployment Rate</b>	-.12 (7.6)*	-.11 (6.6)*	-.07 (5.0)*
<b>Log Job Reallocation Rate</b>		.02 (2.8)*	.08 (11.0)*
<b>Year Dummies</b>	YES	YES	YES
<b>Observations</b>	294	294	294
<b>Heterosced.</b>	$\chi^2(55) = 14.7$	$\chi^2(56) = 11.8$	$\chi^2(56) = 13.3$
<b>AR1</b>	$\chi^2(1) = 188.7$	$\chi^2(1) = 188.6$	$\chi^2(1) = 169.1$

T-statistics in parenthesis, \* indicates significance at the 5% level.

\*\* Instruments include RANK, RANK squared, time and regional dummies.

**Table 6**  
GLS Regressions across Polish Regions 1991-96

	<b>GLS</b>	<b>GLS</b>	<b>GLS**</b>
	<b>Log Wage</b>	<b>Log Wage</b>	<b>Log Wage</b>
<b>R<sup>2</sup> (Within )</b>	0.99	0.99	0.99
<b>R<sup>2</sup> (Between)</b>	0.19	0.19	0.48
<b>R<sup>2</sup> (Overall)</b>	0.99	0.98	0.99
<b>Constant</b>	3.3 (12.1)*	3.2 (9.7)*	3.2 (8.9)*
<b>Log Unemployment Rate</b>	-.06 (2.6)*	-.06 (2.2)*	-.08 (2.2)*
<b>Log Job Reallocation Rate</b>		.02 (1.6)	.08 (4.9)*
<b>Random Effects</b>	YES	YES	YES
<b>Year Dummies</b>	YES	YES	YES
<b>Observations</b>	294	294	294
<b>Hausman test</b>	$\chi^2(6) = 4.8$	$\chi^2(6) = 3.5$	$\chi^2(6) = 0.01$
<b>Heterosced.</b>	$\chi^2(55) = 5.4$	$\chi^2(56) = 2.9$	$\chi^2(56) = 2.94$
<b>AR1</b>	$\chi^2(1) = 1.7$	$\chi^2(1) = 1.7$	$\chi^2(1) = 1.7$

T-statistics in parenthesis, \* indicates significance at the 5% level.

\*\* Instruments include RANK, RANK squared, time and regional dummies.

**Table 7**

Age Composition of Job Tenure by Regional Group 1996

	<i>Job Tenure</i>	<i>15-24 years</i>	<i>25-34 years</i>	<i>35-44 years</i>	<i>&gt;44 years</i>
<i>I</i>	<i>48 &lt; 7 years</i>	25	35	28	12
	<i>52 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	27	38	35
<i>II</i>	<i>49 &lt; 7 years</i>	23	37	25	15
	<i>51 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	25	36	39
<i>III</i>	<i>51 &lt; 7 years</i>	23	38	26	13
	<i>49 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	21	44	35
<i>IV</i>	<i>55 &lt; 7 years</i>	28	33	24	15
	<i>45 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	21	41	38
<i>V</i>	<i>48 &lt; 7 years</i>	25	32	28	15
	<i>52 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	24	44	32
<i>VI</i>	<i>58 &lt; 7 years</i>	23	32	27	18
	<i>42 &gt; 7 years</i>	0	16	35	49

Source: Polish Labour Force Survey

**Table 8**

Wage Curve Regressions across Polish Individuals.

	<b>2SLS</b>	<b>2SLS</b>
	<b>Log Wage</b>	<b>Log Wage</b>
<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	0.87	0.89
<b>Constant</b>	6.5 (6.2)*	8.1 (8.4)*
<b>Log Unemployment Rate**</b>	-.15 (9.3)*	-.11 (7.6)*
<b>Log Job Reallocation Rate**</b>	.07 (4.3)*	.07 (4.6)*
<b>Age</b>	.13 (18.4)*	.08 (12.4)*
<b>Age<sup>2</sup></b>	-.003 (15.6)*	-.002 (9.7)*
<b>Age<sup>3</sup></b>	.00002 (13.8)*	.00001 (7.8)*
<b>Education</b>		.49 (23.3)*
<b>Education<sup>2</sup></b>		-.10 (15.9)*
<b>Education<sup>3</sup></b>		.01 (13.6)*
<b>Regional Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Occupation Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Year Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Observations</b>	26,404	26,404

T-statistics in parenthesis, Assuming Random Selection 1994-1996

\* indicates significance at the 5% level.

\*\* Instruments include RANK, RANK squared, RANK cubed, time and regional dummies



**Table 9**

Earning Regression Conditioned on Probability of Participating 1994-1996

<i>Log Wage</i>	<b>Heckman Selection Model</b>	<b>Heckman Selection Model</b>
<b>Constant</b>	6.5 (6.2)*	7.9 (6.4)*
<b>Log Unemployment Rate**</b>	-.16 (5.4)*	-.11 (4.1)*
<b>Log Job Reallocation Rate**</b>	.06 (3.4)*	.07 (3.6)*
<b>Age</b>	.13 (19.6)*	.08 (12.4)*
<b>Age<sup>2</sup></b>	-.003 (16.9)*	-.002 (9.6)*
<b>Age<sup>3</sup></b>	.00002 (15.3)*	.00001 (7.8)*
<b>Education</b>		.50 (23.4)*
<b>Education<sup>2</sup></b>		-.10 (16.1)*
<b>Education<sup>3</sup></b>		.01 (13.7)*
<i>Probit</i>		
<b>Constant</b>	2.5 (7.2)*	2.3 (6.3)*
<b>Log Unemployment Rate**</b>	.11 (1.9)	.09 (1.5)
<b>Log Job Reallocation Rate**</b>	.04 (0.8)*	.05 (.09)
<b>Age</b>	-.13 (6.1)*	-.11 (4.9)*
<b>Age<sup>2</sup></b>	.003 (7.2)*	.003 (6.1)*
<b>Age<sup>3</sup></b>	-.00003 (9.2)*	-.00003 (8.1)*
<b>Married</b>	-.03 (1.6)	-.03 (1.6)
<b>Education</b>		.42 (4.9)*
<b>Education<sup>2</sup></b>		-.14 (5.4)*
<b>Education<sup>3</sup></b>		.01 (4.9)*
<b>Regional Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Occupation Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Year Dummies</b>	YES	YES
<b>Rho</b>	-.28	-.02
<b>Heckman Lambda</b>	-.10 (13.1)*	-.009 (.013)
<b>Observations</b>	42,621	42,621

Z-statistics in parenthesis

\* indicates significance at the 5% level.

\*\* Instruments include RANK, RANK squared, RANK cubed, time and regional dummies

**Table 10**  
Rate of Return to Human Capital and Occupation Characteristics  
(Relative to the default in bold).

<b><i>Not Completed Primary</i></b>	
Primary Education (Hauptschule)	.22
Technical Training (Meister, Geselle)	.17
Technical High School (Technisches Gymnasium)	.18
High School (Gymnasium)	.25
Technical College	.38
University	.57
<b><i>Age 15</i></b>	
Age 20	.01
Age 25	.00
Age 35	-.02
Age 45	-.04
Age 55	-.05
Age 65	-.05
<b><i>Agriculture*</i></b>	
2, 9,12, 24,29,30, 31, 32, 33	<i>Value &lt; 0</i>
4,7,8,10,14,15,16,17,18,20,22,23,25,27	$0 > \textit{Value} < 0.2$
5,6,11,13,19,21,28,26,	<i>Value &gt; 0.2</i>

\*ANNEX II OCCUPATION CATEGORIES

**Table 11**  
Elasticity of Pay with respect to Local Unemployment and Local Job Reallocation  
by Human Capital and Occupation Groupings.

	<b>Local Unemployment</b>	<b>Local Job Reallocation</b>
<b>Age</b>		
< 34	-0.15	+0.10
> 34	-0.09	+0.08
<b>Sector</b>		
Agriculture	<i>Not Significant</i>	<i>Not Significant</i>
Manufacturing	-0.05	+0.06
Services	-0.13	+0.12
<b>Education</b>		
Academic	-0.14	+0.08
Technical	-0.01	+0.06

\*Regressions as in Table 9, splitting data by Human Capital and Occupation Groupings.

## **ANNEX I. RANKING OF REGIONS BY INHERITED PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**

Some previous studies have developed regional labour market taxonomies of Poland, for example, Huber and Scarpetta (1994) and Góra and Lehmann (1995). In this section we outline the taxonomy of Polish regions based on the level of public infrastructure development that persisted across regions up to 1996. Our taxonomy ranks all 49 voivodships (the highest regional administrative units) in a continuum of public infrastructure development. We also bundle voivodships into six groups which represent development from Group I (least developed) to Group VI (the most developed).

Our classification scheme ranks voivodships by six infrastructure indicators. Using a Borda electoral scheme, the sum of the best six rankings establishes the overall score for each region. Thus, the highest possible score is 6, when a region is always ranked number one, and the worst possible score is 294, when a region is always ranked last, at 49. The regions are then sorted in ascending order. Large discrete breaks in the score of voivodships determined the hiatus between our six regional groupings, leading to the regional taxonomy of Table A1.

*< Table A1 here >*

The taxonomy reflects a systematic ranking of regions by their infrastructure development that persists during the transition period. With the exception of Warsaw and Lodz, all regions in our regional groupings I, II and III are located in eastern regions of Poland. Eastern regions mainly inherited poor infrastructure, while western regions inherited superior infrastructure.

TABLE A1

Taxonomy of the Inherited Public Infrastructure of Polish regions <sup>a</sup>

I	II	III	IV	V	VI
41. Ciechanowskie	32. Chelmskie	25. Czestochowskie	17. Walbrzyskie	8. Katowickie	1. Warszawskie
42. Ostroleckie	33. Kieleckie	26. Bialostockie	18. Slupskie	9. Zielonogorskie	2. Szczecinskie
43. Krosnienskie	34. Radomskie	27. Plockie	19. Elblaskie	10. Legnickie	3. Poznanskie
44. Sieradzkie	35. Tarnowskie	28. Suwalskie	20. Gorzowskie	11. Bydgoskie	4. Wroclawskie
45. Przemyskie	36. Koninskie	29. Kaliskie	21. Lubelskie	12. Opolskie	5. Krakowskie
46. Bialskopodlaskie	37. Skierniewickie	30. Rzeszowskie	22. Torunskie	13. Koszalimskie	6. Lodzkie
47. Siedleckie	38. Nowosadeckie	31. Piotrkowskie	23. Leszczynskie	14. Bielskie	7. Gdanskie
48. Lomzynskie	39. Tarnobrzeskie		24. Pilskie	15. Jeleniogorskie	
49. Zamojskie	40. Wloclawskie			16. Olsztynskie	

<sup>a</sup> Ranked in ascending order by a rank score that sums the ranked positions in six indicators summarised by the above taxonomy in TableA2.

*A: Number of Telephones in a region per 1000 inhabitants :* A developed telephone network is an important part of the social capital infrastructure within a region. Measuring the number of telephones in a region per 1000 inhabitants is a simple indicator of the quality of public infrastructure in the region. The most (least) developed region has 391.5 (136.2) phones per 1000 inhabitants.

*B: Number of Fax Machines in a region per 1000 inhabitants:* Related to the provision of a telephone network is the availability of fax machines within a region. The most (least) developed region has 60 (16.9) fax machines per 1000 inhabitants.

*C: Number of Railways in a region per 100km squared:* Another simple indicator of the quality of public infrastructure in a region is the number of railways in that region per 100 km squared. The most (least) developed region has 21.8 (2.7) railways per 100 km squared.

*D: Number of Public Roads per 100km squared:* The quality of public infrastructure is also enhanced by the number of public roads per 100 km squared in a region. The most (least) developed region has 180.1 (43.4) per 100km squared.

*Share of Population in Urban Areas:* A relatively high share is indicative of decentralised economic activity. The most (least) developed region has 93 (31) per cent of populations in urban areas

*F: Share of Services in Total Regional Employment (per cent):* A developed service sector is an important part of public infrastructure. The most (least) developed region has 63 (26) per cent of employment classified as services.

## ANNEX II EDUCATION AND OCCUPATION CATEGORIES

- 1 Not Completed Primary
- 2 Primary Education (Hauptschule)
- 3 Technical Training (Meister, Geselle)
- 4 Technical High School (Technisches Gymnasium)
- 5 High School (Gymnasium)
- 6 Technical College
- 7 University

### 2 Digit Occupational Codes

- *AGRICULTURE, FISHING AND FORESTRY*

- 2 Forestry, logging and related service activities.
- 3 Agriculture, hunting and related service activities.
- 4 Fishing, operation of fish hatcheries and fish farms.

- *MINING*

- 5 Mining & Extraction of coal and lignite, crude petroleum and natural gas, uranium & thorium ores, metal ores.
- 6 Other quarrying.

- *MANUFACTURING*

- 7 Food products & beverages
- 8 Tobacco products
- 9 Textiles, wearing apparel, tanning and dressing of leather, manufacture of luggage, handbags, saddlery, harness and footwear.
- 10 Wood, (and of products of wood and cork except furniture), pulp, paper and paper products.
- 11 Publishing, printing and reproduction of recorded media.
- 12 Coke, refined petroleum products and nuclear fuel.
- 13 Chemicals and chemical products.
- 14 Rubber and plastic products.
- 15 Other non-metallic mineral products.
- 16 Basic metals.
- 17 Machinery, & equipment, office machinery and computers, electrical machinery, manufacture of medical, precision & optical instruments, watches and clocks.
- 18 Manufacture of fabricated metal products.
- 19 Manufacture of motor vehicles & other transport equipment.
- 20 Other manufacturing: including manufacture of furniture, and recycling.

- *ELECTRICITY, GAS AND WATER SUPPLY*

- 21 Electricity, gas, steam and hot water supply. Collection purification and distribution of water

- *CONSTRUCTION*
- 22 Construction
- *WHOLESALE & RETAIL TRADE*
- 23 Retail & wholesale trade, repair of household and personal goods.
- *HOTELS & RESTAURANTS*
- 24 Hotels and restaurants.
- *TRANSPORT STORAGE AND COMMUNICATION*
- 25 Land transport, water transport, air transport, cargo handling and storage, other supporting transport activities, activities of transport agencies.
- *FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION.*
- 26 Financial intermediation, insurance and pension funding, except compulsory social security, activities auxiliary to financial intermediation.
- 27 Real estate activities, renting of machinery and equipment.
- *PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE*
- 28 Public administration and defence.
- *EDUCATION*
- 29 Education.
- *HEALTH & SOCIAL WORK*
- 30 Health and social work.
- *OTHER SERVICES*
- 31 Other Community, social and personal service activities Sewage & refuse disposal, sanitation, recreational , cultural and sporting activities, other service activities, Private households with employed persons.
- 32 Private Households With Employed Persons.
- 33 Extra-territorial organisations and bodies.





THE WILLIAM DAVIDSON INSTITUTE  
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

DAVIDSON INSTITUTE WORKING PAPER SERIES - Most Recent Papers

The entire Working Paper Series is available at: [www.wdi.bus.umich.edu](http://www.wdi.bus.umich.edu)

CURRENT AS OF 3/23/01

<b>Publication</b>	<b>Authors</b>	<b>Date</b>
No. 364 Individual Pay and Outside Options: Evidence from the Polish Labour Force Survey	Fiona Duffy and Patrick Paul Walsh	Mar. 2001
No. 363 Investment, Credit Rationing and the Soft Budget Constraint: Evidence from Czech Panel Data (revised Davidson Institute Working Paper No. 60a)	Lubomír Lízal and Jan Svejnar	Feb. 2001
No. 362 A Network Perspective on Inter-Organizational Transfer of R&D Capabilities: A Study of International Joint Ventures in Chinese Automobile Industry	Zheng Zhao, Jaideep Anand and Will Mitchell	Feb. 2001
No. 361 Network Restructuring and Firm Creation in East-Central Europe: A Public-Private Venture	Gerald A. McDermott	Dec. 2000
No. 360 Responses of Private and Public Schools to Voucher Funding: The Czech and Hungarian Experience	Randall K. Filer and Daniel Münich	Oct. 2000
No. 359 Labor Market Uncertainty and Private Sector Labor Supply in Russia	Steven Stillman	Sept. 2000
No. 358 Russian Roulette-Expenditure Inequality and Instability in Russia, 1994-1998	Branko Jovanovic	Sept. 2000
No. 357 Dealing with the Bad Loans of the Chinese Banks	John P. Bonin and Yiping Huang	Jan. 2001
No. 356 Retail Banking in Hungary: A Foreign Affair?	John P. Bonin and István Ábel	Dec. 2000
No. 355 Optimal Speed of Transition: Micro Evidence from the Czech Republic	Stepan Jurajda and Katherine Terrell	Dec. 2000
No. 354 Political Instability and Growth in Dictatorships	Jody Overland, Kenneth L. Simons and Michael Spagat	Nov. 2000
No. 353 Disintegration and Trade	Jarko Fidrmuc and Jan Fidrmuc	Nov. 2000
No. 352 Social Capital and Entrepreneurial Performance in Russia: A Panel Study	Bat Batjargal	Dec. 2000
No. 351 Entrepreneurial Versatility, Resources and Firm Performance in Russia: A Panel Study	Bat Batjargal	Dec. 2000
No. 350 The Dynamics of Entrepreneurial Networks in a Transitional Economy: The Case of Russia	Bat Batjargal	Dec. 2000
No. 349 R&D and Technology Spillovers via FDI: Innovation and Absorptive Capacity	Yuko Kinoshita	Nov. 2000
No. 348 Microeconomic aspects of Economic Growth in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union, 1950-2000	Sergei Guriev and Barry W. Ickes	Nov. 2000
No. 347 Effective versus Statutory Taxation: Measuring Effective Tax Administration in Transition Economies	Mark E. Schaffer and Gerard Turley	Nov. 2000
No. 346 Objectives and Constraints of Entrepreneurs: Evidence from Small and Medium Size Enterprises in Russia and Bulgaria	Francesca Pissarides, Miroslav Singer and Jan Svejnar	Oct. 2000
No. 345 Corruption and Anticorruption in the Czech Republic	Lubomír Lízal and Evžen Kočenda	Oct. 2000

No. 344 The Effects of Direct Foreign Investment on Domestic Firms	Jozef Konings	Oct. 2000
No. 343 On the Identification of Relative Wage Rigidity Dynamics	Patrick A. Puhani	Oct. 2000
No. 342 The Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment in Transition Economies	Alan A. Bevan and Saul Estrin	Oct. 2000
No. 341 The Global Spread of Stock Exchanges, 1980-1998	Klaus Weber and Gerald F. Davis	Nov. 2000
No. 340 The Costs and Benefits of Euro-isation in Central-Eastern Europe Before or Instead of EMU Membership	D. Mario Nuti	Oct. 2000
No. 339 Debt Overhang and Barter in Russia	Sergei Guriev, Igor Makarov and Mathilde Maurel	Sept. 2000
No. 338 Firm Performance and the Political Economy of Corporate Governance: Survey Evidence for Bulgaria, Hungary, Slovakia and Slovenia	Patrick Paul Walsh and Ciara Whela	July 2000
No. 337 Investment and Instability	Nauro F. Campos and Jeffrey B. Nugent	May 2000
No. 336 The Evolution of the Insurance Sector in Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union	Robert B.K. Pye	Aug. 2000
No. 335 Institutional Technology and the Chains of Trust: Capital Markets and Privatization in Russia and the Czech Republic	Bruce Kogut and Andrew Spicer	Aug. 2000
No. 334 The Evolution of Market Integration in Russia	Daniel Berkowitz and David N. DeJong	Aug. 2000
No. 333 Efficiency and Market Share in Hungarian Corporate Sector	László Halpern and Gábor Kőrösi	July 2000
No. 332 Search-Money-and-Barter Models of Financial Stabilization	S.I. Boyarchenko and S.Z. Levendorskii	July 2000
No. 331 Worker Training in a Restructuring Economy: Evidence from the Russian Transition	Mark C. Berger, John S. Earle and Klara Z. Sabirianova	Aug. 2000
No. 330 Economic Development in Palanpur 1957-1993: A Sort of Growth	Peter Lanjouw	Aug. 2000
No. 329 Trust, Organizational Controls, Knowledge Acquisition from the Foreign Parents, and Performance in Vietnamese International Joint Ventures	Marjorie A. Lyles, Le Dang Doanh, and Jeffrey Q. Barden	June 2000
No. 328 Comparative Advertising in the Global Marketplace: The Effects of Cultural Orientation on Communication	Zeynep Gürhan-Canli and Durairaj Maheswaran	Aug. 2000
No. 327 Post Privatization Enterprise Restructuring	Morris Bornstein	July 2000
No. 326 Who is Afraid of Political Instability?	Nauro F. Campos and Jeffrey B. Nugent	July 2000
No. 325 Business Groups, the Financial Market and Modernization	Raja Kali	June 2000
No. 324 Restructuring with What Success? A Case Study of Russian Firms	Susan Linz	July 2000
No. 323 Priorities and Sequencing in Privatization: Theory and Evidence from the Czech Republic	Nandini Gupta, John C. Ham and Jan Svejnar	May 2000
No. 322 Liquidity, Volatility, and Equity Trading Costs Across Countries and Over Time	Ian Domowitz, Jack Glen and Ananth Madhavan	Mar. 2000
No. 321 Equilibrium Wage Arrears: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis of Institutional Lock-In	John S. Earle and Klara Z. Sabirianova	Oct. 2000
No. 320 Rethinking Marketing Programs for Emerging Markets	Niraj Dawar and Amitava Chattopadhyay	June 2000
No. 319 Public Finance and Low Equilibria in Transition Economies: the Role of Institutions	Daniel Daianu and Radu Vranceanu	June 2000
No. 318 Some Econometric Evidence on the Effectiveness of Active Labour Market Programmes in East Germany	Martin Eichler and Michael Lechner	June 2000
No. 317 A Model of Russia's "Virtual Economy"	R.E Ericson and B.W Ickes	May 2000
No. 316 Financial Institutions, Financial Contagion, and Financial Crises	Haizhou Huang and Chenggang Xu	Mar. 2000
No. 315 Privatization versus Regulation in Developing Economies: The Case of West African Banks	Jean Paul Azam, Bruno Biais, and Magueye Dia	Feb. 2000

The entire Working Paper Series is available at: [www.wdi.bus.umich.edu](http://www.wdi.bus.umich.edu)

No. 314 Is Life More Risky in the Open? Household Risk-Coping and the Opening of China's Labor Markets	John Giles	Apr. 2000
No. 313 Networks, Migration and Investment: Insiders and Outsiders in Tirupur's Production Cluster	Abhijit Banerjee and Kaivan Munshi	Mar. 2000
No. 312 Computational Analysis of the Impact on India of the Uruguay Round and the Forthcoming WTO Trade Negotiations	Rajesh Chadha, Drusilla K. Brown, Alan V. Deardorff and Robert M. Stern	Mar. 2000
No. 311 Subsidized Jobs for Unemployed Workers in Slovakia	Jan. C. van Ours	May 2000
No. 310 Determinants of Managerial Pay in the Czech Republic	Tor Eriksson, Jaromir Gottvald and Pavel Mrazek	May 2000
No. 309 The Great Human Capital Reallocation: An Empirical Analysis of Occupational Mobility in Transitional Russia	Klara Z. Sabirianova	Oct. 2000
No. 308 Economic Development, Legality, and the Transplant Effect	Daniel Berkowitz, Katharina Pistor, and Jean-Francois Richard	Feb. 2000
No. 307 Community Participation, Teacher Effort, and Educational Outcome: The Case of El Salvador's EDUCO Program	Yasuyuki Sawada	Nov. 1999
No. 306 Gender Wage Gap and Segregation in Late Transition	Stepan Jurajda	May 2000
No. 305 The Gender Pay Gap in the Transition from Communism: Some Empirical Evidence	Andrew Newell and Barry Reilly	May 2000
No. 304 Post-Unification Wage Growth in East Germany	Jennifer Hunt	Nov. 1998
No. 303 How Does Privatization Affect Workers? The Case of the Russian Mass Privatization Program	Elizabeth Brainerd	May 2000
No. 302 Liability for Past Environmental Contamination and Privatization	Dietrich Earnhart	Mar. 2000
No. 301 Varieties, Jobs and EU Enlargement	Tito Boeri and Joaquim Oliveira Martins	May 2000
No. 300 Employer Size Effects in Russia	Todd Idson	Apr. 2000
No. 299 Information Complements, Substitutes, and Strategic Product Design	Geoffrey G. Parker and Marshall W. Van Alstyne	Mar. 2000
No. 298 Markets, Human Capital, and Inequality: Evidence from Rural China	Dwayne Benjamin, Loren Brandt, Paul Glewwe, and Li Guo	May 2000
No. 297 Corporate Governance in the Asian Financial Crisis	Simon Johnson, Peter Boone, Alasdair Breach, and Eric Friedman	Nov. 1999
No. 296 Competition and Firm Performance: Lessons from Russia	J. David Brown and John S. Earle	Mar. 2000
No. 295 Wage Determination in Russia: An Econometric Investigation	Peter J. Luke and Mark E. Schaffer	Mar. 2000
No. 294 Can Banks Promote Enterprise Restructuring?: Evidence From a Polish Bank's Experience	John P. Bonin and Bozena Leven	Mar. 2000
No. 293 Why do Governments Sell Privatised Companies Abroad?	Bernardo Bortolotti, Marcella Fantini and Carlo Scarpa	Mar. 2000
No. 292 Going Public in Poland: Case-by-Case Privatizations, Mass Privatization and Private Sector Initial Public Offerings	Wolfgang Aussenegg	Dec. 1999
No. 291a Institutional Technology and the Chains of Trust: Capital Markets and Privatization in Russia and the Czech Republic	Bruce Kogut and Andrew Spicer	Feb. 2001
No. 291 Institutional Technology and the Chains of Trust: Capital Markets and Privatization in Russia and the Czech Republic	Bruce Kogut and Andrew Spicer	Mar. 1999
No. 290 Banking Crises and Bank Rescues: The Effect of Reputation	Jenny Corbett and Janet Mitchell	Jan. 2000
No. 289 Do Active Labor Market Policies Help Unemployed Workers to Find and Keep Regular Jobs?	Jan C. van Ours	Feb. 2000
No. 288 Consumption Patterns of the New Elite in Zimbabwe	Russell Belk	Feb. 2000
No. 287 Barter in Transition Economies: Competing Explanations Confront Ukrainian Data	Dalia Marin, Daniel Kaufmann and Bogdan Gorochowskij	Jan. 2000
No. 286 The Quest for Pension Reform: Poland's Security through Diversity	Marek Góra and Michael Rutkowski	Jan. 2000

No. 285 Disorganization and Financial Collapse	Dalia Marin and Monika Schnitzer	Oct. 1999
No. 284 Coordinating Changes in M-form and U-form Organizations	Yingyi Qian, Gérard Roland and Chenggang Xu	May 1999
No. 283 Why Russian Workers Do Not Move: Attachment of Workers Through In-Kind Payments	Guido Friebel and Sergei Guriev	Oct. 1999
No. 282 Lessons From Fiascos in Russian Corporate Governance	Merritt B. Fox and Michael A. Heller	Oct. 1999
No. 281 Income Distribution and Price Controls: Targeting a Social Safety Net During Economic Transition	Michael Alexeev and James Leitzel	Mar. 1999
No. 280: Starting Positions, Reform Speed, and Economic Outcomes in Transitioning Economies	William Hallagan and Zhang Jun	Jan. 2000
No. 279 : The Value of Prominent Directors	Yoshiro Miwa & J. Mark Ramseyer	Oct. 1999
No. 278: The System Paradigm	János Kornai	Apr. 1998
No. 277: The Developmental Consequences of Foreign Direct Investment in the Transition from Socialism to Capitalism: The Performance of Foreign Owned Firms in Hungary	Lawrence Peter King	Sept. 1999
No. 276: Stability and Disorder: An Evolutionary Analysis of Russia's Virtual Economy	Clifford Gaddy and Barry W. Ickes	Nov. 1999
No. 275: Limiting Government Predation Through Anonymous Banking: A Theory with Evidence from China.	Chong-En Bai, David D. Li, Yingyi Qian and Yijiang Wang	July 1999
No. 274: Transition with Labour Supply	Tito Boeri	Dec. 1999
No. 273: Sectoral Restructuring and Labor Mobility: A Comparative Look at the Czech Republic	Vit Sorm and Katherine Terrell	Nov. 1999
No. 272: Published in: <i>Journal of Comparative Economics</i> "Returns to Human Capital Under the Communist Wage Grid and During the Transition to a Market Economy" Vol. 27, pp. 33-60 1999.	Daniel Munich, Jan Svejnar and Katherine Terrell	Oct. 1999
No. 271: Barter in Russia: Liquidity Shortage Versus Lack of Restructuring	Sophie Brana and Mathilde Maurel	June 1999
No. 270: Tests for Efficient Financial Intermediation with Application to China	Albert Park and Kaja Sehr	Mar. 1999
No. 269a: Russian Privatization and Corporate Governance: What Went Wrong?	Bernard Black, Reinier Kraakman and Anna Tarassova	May 2000
No. 269: Russian Privatization and Corporate Governance: What Went Wrong?	Bernard Black, Reinier Kraakman and Anna Tarassova	Sept. 1999
No. 268: Are Russians Really Ready for Capitalism?	Susan Linz	Sept. 1999
No. 267: Do Stock Markets Promote Economic Growth?	Randall K. Filer, Jan Hanousek and Nauro Campos	Sept. 1999
No. 266: Objectivity, Proximity and Adaptability in Corporate Governance	Arnoud W.A Boot and Jonathan R. Macey	Sept. 1999
No. 265: When the Future is not What it Used to Be: Lessons from the Western European Experience to Forecasting Education and Training in Transitional Economies	Nauro F. Campos, Gerard Hughes, Stepan Jurajda, and Daniel Munich	Sept. 1999
No. 264: The Institutional Foundation of Foreign-Invested Enterprises (FIEs) in China	Yasheng Huang	Sept. 1999
No. 263: The Changing Corporate Governance Paradigm: Implications for Transition and Developing Countries	Erik Berglof and Ernst-Ludwig von Thadden	June 1999
No. 262: Law Enforcement and Transition	Gerard Roland and Thierry Verdier	May 1999
No. 261: Soft Budget Constraints, Pecuniary Externality, and the Dual Track System	Jiahua Che	June 2000
No. 260: Missing Market in Labor Quality: The Role of Quality Markets in Transition	Gary H. Jefferson	July 1999
No. 259: Do Corporate Global Environmental Standards in Emerging Markets Create or Destroy Market Value	Glen Dowell, Stuart Hart and Bernard Yeung	June 1999