Pl. Syst. Evol. 184: 77-87 (1993)

# Cytological analysis of complex-heterozygotes in populations of *Oenothera grandiflora* (*Onagraceae*) in Alabama

ELFRIEDE SCHUMACHER and ERICH STEINER

Received March 11, 1992

Key words: Onagraceae, Oenothera grandiflora, O. biennis, O. villosa. – Chromosome arrangements, complex-heterozygotes, incompatibility alleles, populations. – Flora of Alabama.

Abstract: Genetic analysis of unusual complex-heterozygous genotypes in populations of O. grandiflora from Alabama (USA) has shown that these strains are composed of a typical grandiflora (B) complex and an altered B complex (designated as  $B^A$ ) which probably contains genetic elements derived from an A genotype such as the beta complex of O. biennis group 1. Analysis of the meiotic configurations of artificial hybrids between the new strains and a series of complexes of known segmental arrangement allowed determination of the arrangements of the unknown complexes. These data are evidence for origin of the altered B complexes.

Until the late 1970's *Oenothera grandiflora* was regarded as a self-compatible, structurally homozygous relic species (CLELAND 1972), the members of which more or less uniformly possessed the ancestral segmental arrangement of chromosomes characteristic of subsect. *Oenothera*, namely 1·2 3·4 5·6 7·10 9·8 11·12 13·14 (STEINER 1952). The discovery of self-incompatible individuals in several populations in Alabama (STUBBE & RAVEN 1979) stimulated interest in a more detailed study of this species which is believed to be one of the progenitors of the complexheterozygous species, *O. biennis* and *O. parviflora*. *O. grandiflora* is distributed throughout the southeastern United States and is not restricted to the vicinity of Mobile, Alabama, as once thought. Study of earlier, as well as more recent collections from a number of different localities in Alabama (STEINER & STUBBE 1984, 1986) brought new insights into the population structure of *O. grandiflora*; a greater diversity of chromosome arrangements was observed and a number of structurally heterozygous individuals was found, as to be expected for an open-pollinated species, their occurrence apparently related to the presence of numerous Si alleles.

Special attention was directed toward several unusual genotypes within populations of *O. grandiflora* which were complex-heterozygotes with a meiotic config-

### 78 E. SCHUMACHER & E. STEINER: Complex-heterozygotes in Oenothera grandiflora

Strain	Chromosome configuration	Original culture number		
Bay Minette A-6	7 pairs	84/340-1		
Bigbee 2	7 pairs	84/372-1		
Bolinger 4	7 pairs	84/363-1		
Cantonment 1	7 pairs	84/331-3		
Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 10, 2 pairs	84/301-1		
Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 10, 2 pairs	84/301-2		
Castleberry A-4	⊙ 12, 1 pair	84/304-1		
Castleberry A-7	⊙ 14	84/307-1		
Castleberry A-9	⊙ 10, 2 pairs	84/309-1		
Castleberry B-8	7 pairs	84/318-7		
Chastang 1	⊙ 12, 1 pair	84/350-3		
Chastang 7	⊙ 8, 3 pairs	84/356-1		
County Road 6-1	⊙ 4, 5 pairs	84/368-1		
Flomaton 1	7 pairs	84/321-1		
Flomaton 6	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs	84/326-1		
Frankville	7 pairs	84/370-2		
Sims Chapel	7 pairs	84/359 b		

Table 1. Representative strains from *Oenothera grandiflora* populations in Alabama analysed in the current study. For geographic origin see STEINER & STUBBE (1986)

uration of either a circle of 10 and 2 pairs, a circle of 12 and 1 pair, or a circle of 14 chromosomes. Genetic analysis of the complex-heterozygotes has shown them to be composed of a typical grandiflora complex (B type) and a complex which is distinctly different, tending phenotypically toward the A type characteristic of O. villosa and the beta complexes of the O. biennis group 1. This latter complex, designated as  $B^A$ , generally lacks an Si allele or a lethal; therefore, upon selfing of the complex-heterozygotes viable homozygotes,  $B^AB^A$ , may appear among the progeny, although in varying numbers, depending upon the particular strain.

The origin of the  $B^A$  genotype is of particular interest. To test the hypothesis that hybridization between *O. grandiflora* and *O. biennis* played a role in the evolution of this new genotype, it is necessary to determine the segmental arrangement of its chromosomes and to compare it with those of the homozygous strains of *O. grandiflora* and of *O. biennis*. This is a report of such cytological analysis.

# Material and methods

The strains under investigation were obtained from seeds collected in Alabama as reported in STEINER & STUBBE (1986). They are listed in Table 1 together with their meiotic configurations and initial culture numbers. The complexes of these strains were combined with a series of complexes of known segmental arrangement (Table 2). Analysis of the meiotic

Complex	Segmental arrangement						
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	5.6	$7 \cdot 8$	9·10	11 · 12	13 · 14
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	9·8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
<sup>h</sup> elata Guatemala	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 10$	$5 \cdot 9$	$7 \cdot 4$	6 · 8	11 · 12	$13 \cdot 14$
<sup>h</sup> elata Cholula	$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 2$	5.9	$7 \cdot 10$	$6 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
<sup>h</sup> grandiflora Brewton	$1 \cdot 3$	$2 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	<b>9</b> · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
<sup>h</sup> grandiflora York	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
excellens chicaginensis	1 · 2	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
punctulans chicaginensis	$1 \cdot 4$	3 · 9	$5 \cdot 2$	$7 \cdot 8$	6 · 12	$11 \cdot 10$	$13 \cdot 14$
undans hungarica	1 · 4	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 10$	$7 \cdot 6$	9·13	$11 \cdot 12$	8 · 14
a Forsberg	$1 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 8$	$2 \cdot 10$	9·11	6 · 12	$13 \cdot 14$
α Fruitland	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 5$	6 · 12	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 4	$11 \cdot 8$	$13 \cdot 14$
α lowa II	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 14$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 11$	9.6	10.12	$13 \cdot 4$
a Littleton	$1 \cdot 10$	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 8$	$7 \cdot 2$	9 · 11	6 · 12	13 · 14
α Monett	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 10$	$5 \cdot 12$	$7 \cdot 14$	9 · 8	11.6	$13 \cdot 4$
a Yamika	$1 \cdot 8$	$3 \cdot 14$	5 · 11	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 12	2.6	$13 \cdot 4$
gaudens lamarckiana	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 12$	5.6	$7 \cdot 11$	9·4	8 · 14	$13 \cdot 10$
velans lamarckiana	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	5 · 8	7.6	<b>9 · 10</b>	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
<sup>h</sup> blandina	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9·14	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 8$
a Bestwater	$1 \cdot 4$	3 · 8	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 2	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
β Bestwater	1 · 14	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 9$	$7 \cdot 8$	6 · 12	$11 \cdot 10$	$13 \cdot 4$
a Birch Tree II	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
β Birch Tree II	$1 \cdot 13$	3 · 2	$5 \cdot 7$	4·12	9.6	$11 \cdot 10$	8 · 14
α Camp Peary	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
a Delaware	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 6$
β Delaware	$1 \cdot 4$	3 · 8	$5 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 14$	9.6	$11 \cdot 10$	$13 \cdot 12$
α Lanoraie	$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 12$	$5 \cdot 2$	$7 \cdot 11$	9.8	6 · 10	$13 \cdot 14$
β Lanoraie	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 12$	5.6	$7 \cdot 4$	9·11	$10 \cdot 14$	13 · 8
a Richmond	1 · 9	$3 \cdot 10$	5 · 14	$7 \cdot 4$	$2 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
albicans suaveolens Grado	$1 \cdot 12$	3.6	$5 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 14$	9·4	$11 \cdot 10$	13 · 8
flavens suaveolens Grado	$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	9·8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
albicans biennis deVries	$1 \cdot 4$	3.6	$5 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 14$	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 10$	$13 \cdot 12$
α Buck Creek	$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 10$	$5 \cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 6$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
β Buck Creek	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 5	$6 \cdot 8$	$7 \cdot 12$	9·13	$11 \cdot 4$	$10 \cdot 14$
β Elma II	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 12	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 4	$11 \cdot 13$	8·14
α Indian River	1 · 4	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 7$	6 · 10	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	13 · 14
$\alpha$ Micaville	1 · 5	3 · 9	$2 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	$4 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	13 · 14
$\alpha$ Wakefield	$1 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 10$	5 · 4	$2 \cdot 6$	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
β Wakefield	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 5$	6.8	$7 \cdot 12$	9·13	$11 \cdot 4$	$10 \cdot 14$
α Williamsville	$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 2$	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	11 · 12	$13 \cdot 14$
rigens ammophila	$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	5.6	$7 \cdot 11$	<b>9</b> · 10	$8 \cdot 14$	$13 \cdot 12$
percurvans ammophila	$1 \cdot 14$	$3 \cdot 5$	6 · 8	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 2	$11 \cdot 4$	13 · 12
$\alpha$ angustissima	1 · 13	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 8$	7·14	9.2	$11 \cdot 12$	6 · 10
α Camas	1 · 9	3 · 5	4 · 6	$7 \cdot 10$	$2 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 14$	13 · 12
α biennis 1	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	5 · 14	7 · 10	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
rubens biennis deVries	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 12	5.6	$7 \cdot 11$	9.4	8 · 14	13 · 10
B type Brewton	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	11 · 12	13 · 14
B <sup>A</sup> type Brewton	$1 \cdot 6$	3.2	5 · 11	7 · 10	9 · 4	8 · 12	13 · 14

Table 2. Chromosome formulae of complexes used in the present analysis

# 80 E. SCHUMACHER & E. STEINER: Complex-heterozygotes in Oenothera grandiflora

Cross	Chromosome configuration
Bay Minette A-6 × York Flomaton 6 × York York × Bigbee 2 York × Frankville Bigbee 2 × Bolinger 4 Castleberry B-8 × Bolinger 4 Castleberry B-8 × Frankville Flomaton 1 × Bigbee 2 Flomaton 1 × Bolinger 4 Flomaton 1 × Frankville County Road 6-1 × Brewton Flomaton 1 × County Road 6-1 Castleberry B-8 × Brewton Bigbee 2 × Brewton Flomaton 1 × Brewton	7 pairs 7 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 7 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 7 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 7 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs 7 pairs 9 4, 5 pairs
Bolinger $4 \times York$	7 pairs

Table 3. Chromosome configurations of hybrids between various homozygous strains of *Oenothera grandiflora* 

configurations of these hybrids allowed determination of the arrangements of the unknown complexes.

The large-circled complex-heterozygous strains were first crossed as pollen parents with the artificial heterogamous hybrids *albicans percurvans* and *albicans undans* as seed parents.

The offspring consisted of twin hybrids in which the egg complex, *albicans*, was combined with either the typical B complex or with the  $B^A$  complex. These two types were then combined with a series of complexes of known segmental arrangement. By determining the configuration at meiosis of each of these complex combinations data were obtained that allowed determination of the segmental arrangements of the B and  $B^A$  complexes of the various collections.

The meiotic chromosome configurations of the hybrids were determined using the acetocarmine method for anther squashes as described by STEINER & STUBBE (1984). The method of analysis for determining the segmental arrangement of a complex is described by CLELAND (1972: 61, 65). Such analysis is now facilitated by a computer program devised by WASMUND (1980).

In addition, appropriate complex combinations permitted recognition of the presence of a self-incompatibility allele in the complexes under investigation.

# Results

Tables 3, 4, 6, and 7 list the chromosome configurations of the new races in combination with those of known segmental arrangements.

Complex combination	Chromosome configuration		
albicans Grado · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 14		
$\beta$ Bestwater · B Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 8, $\odot$ 6		
$\alpha$ biennis $1 \cdot B$ Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
$\beta$ Buck Creek · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 10, ⊙ 4		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 1 pair		
a Indian River · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
a Micaville · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ Williamsville · B Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 4, 5 pairs		
a Delaware · B Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
excellens chicag. B Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
albicans Grado · B Castleberry A-4	⊙ 10, ⊙ 4		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B Castleberry A-4	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · Castleberry A-4	⊙ 4, 5 pairs		
$\alpha$ Richmond · B Castleberry A-4	$\odot$ 6, $\odot$ 4, $\odot$ 4		
albicans Grado · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ <b>1</b> 4		
$\alpha$ Birch Tree II · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 4, 5 pairs		
$\beta$ Birch Tree II · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 14		
$\beta$ Buck Creek · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
elata Cholula · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B Castleberry A-7	$\odot$ 6, $\odot$ 4, 2 pairs		
a Indian River · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 6, 4 pairs		
α Lanoraie · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ Littleton · B Castleberry A-7	$\odot$ 10, $\odot$ 4		
a Micaville · B Castleberry A-7	$\odot$ 10, 2 pairs		
$\alpha$ Wakefield · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
α Williamsville · B Castleberry A-7	⊙ 8, 3 pairs		
<sup>n</sup> hookeri Johansen · B Castleberry A-9	7 pairs		
albicans Grado · B Chastang 1	⊙ 14		
a Delaware · B Chastang 1	⊙ 4, 5 pairs		
<sup>n</sup> blandina · B Chastang 1	⊙ 8, 3 pairs		
elata Guatemala · B Chastang 1	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B Chastang 1	⊙ 6, ⊙ 4, 2 pairs		
<sup>n</sup> hookeri Johansen · B Chastang 1	$\odot$ 6, 4 pairs		
punctulans chicag. · B Chastang 1	⊙ 14		
albicans Grado · B Chastang 7	⊙ 14		
a biennis 1 · B Chastang 7	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B Chastang 7	⊙ 4, ⊙ 4, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B Chastang 7	⊙ 4, 5 pairs		
punctulans chicag. B Chastang 7	$\odot$ 10. 2 pairs		

Table 4. Chromosome configurations of hybrids between the type B grandiflora complex of the complex-heterozygous strains and complexes with known segmental arrangements

Castleberry A-1/1	1 · 4	3 · 2	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	11 · 13	12 · 14
Castleberry A-4	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	<b>9</b> · 8	$11 \cdot 13$	$12 \cdot 14$
Castleberry A-7	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 5	$4 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6
Castleberry A-9	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$
Chastang 1	$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 5	$4 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 6$
Chastang 7	$1 \cdot 4$	3 · 2	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	$11 \cdot 12$	$13 \cdot 14$

Table 5. Chromosome formulae of the B complexes of the complex-heterozygous strains newly found in populations of *Oenothera grandiflora* 

The chromosome formulae of the homozygous strains of *O. grandiflora* determined from these data can be summarized as follows: The strains Bay Minette A-6, Bolinger 4, Castleberry B-8 and one of the complexes of Flomaton 6 exhibit the segmental arrangement believed to be ancestral for subsect. *Oenothera*, namely  $1\cdot 2$  $3\cdot 4 5\cdot 6 7\cdot 10 9\cdot 8 11\cdot 12 13\cdot 14$ . The formulae of the strains Bigbee 2, Flomaton 1, and Frankville are one translocation removed from the foregoing, i.e.,  $1\cdot 3 2\cdot 4 5\cdot 6$  $7\cdot 10 9\cdot 8 11\cdot 12 13\cdot 14$ . One complex of the strain County Road IV-1 has the same formula as one of the homozygous Brewton races, namely  $1\cdot 3 2\cdot 4 5\cdot 14 7\cdot 10 9\cdot 8$  $11\cdot 12 13\cdot 6$ . Cytological data were insufficient to fully establish the segmental arrangements of Cantonment and Sims Chapel, but they appear to be close to the former.

Table 4 presents the meiotic configurations of the hybrids with the B type grandiflora complex found in the complex-heterozygotes of O. grandiflora populations. The formulae obtained from the analysis of these data are found in Table 5. Castleberry A-9 carries the ancestral arrangement. Chastang 7 is one interchange removed from the latter, having the 1·4 and 3·2. Castleberry A-4 is also one interchange removed from the ancestral arrangement, but differs in having the 11·13 and 12·14. Castleberry A-1/1 has a B complex with both interchanges. The translocation 11·13 and 12·14 appears to be rare; it has not previously been found in O. grandiflora and has only been reported in certain beta villosa complexes. Castleberry A-7 and Chastang 1, which are identical in segmental arrangement, are two interchanges removed from the ancestral arrangement, but differ by only one interchange from the arrangement most common among the alpha biennis group 1 complexes (designated as arrangement C by STEINER & STUBBE 1984).

Table 6 lists the meiotic configurations of a series of hybrids combining complexes of known arrangements with the  $B^A$  type complexes found in the complexheterozygotes of the *O. grandiflora* populations. From these data the segmental arrangements of the  $B^A$  complexes were determined. The  $B^A$  complexes of Castleberry A-1/1 and Chastang 7 proved to be identical with each other as well as with the  $B^A$  complex found in the Brewton population, namely 1.6 3.2 5.11 7.10 9.4 8.12 13.14 (STEINER & STUBBE 1986). The formula of the  $B^A$  complex of Castleberry A-7 differs from the foregoing by one interchange involving the most conserved chromosomes 7.10 and 13.14; it is 1.6 3.2 5.11 7.14 9.4 8.12 13.10.

Complex combination	Chromosome configuration
albicans Grado · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Bestwater $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\bigcirc$ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ biennis 1 · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
rubens · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
a Buck Creek $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Delaware · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
$\beta$ Delaware $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 6, $\odot$ 4, $\odot$ 4
$\alpha$ Fruitland $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 8, 3 pairs
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 10, 2 pairs
$\alpha$ Indian River $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	$\odot$ 10, 2 pairs
$\alpha$ Lanoraie · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 12, 1 pair
$\beta$ Lanoraie · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 14
$\alpha$ Littleton · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Monett · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 14
$\alpha$ Richmond $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 14
velans lamarckiana · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
$\beta$ Wakefield $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 14
$\alpha$ Yakima · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/1	⊙ 10, 2 pairs
albicans Grado · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Bestwater $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ biennis $1 \cdot B^A$ Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Camas $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Forsberg $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 10, 2 pairs
punctulans chicag. · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-1/2	⊙ 12, 1 pair
gaudens lamarckiana · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-4	⊙ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-4	⊙ 12, 1 pair
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-4	⊙ 10, 2 pairs
$\alpha$ Richmond · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-4	⊙ 14
velans lamarckiana · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-4	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
albicans Grado · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 12, 1 pair
$\alpha$ Bestwater $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 14
$\alpha$ Buck Creek · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 14
$\alpha$ Camp Peary $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ <b>14</b>
elata Cholula · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair
gaudens lamarckiana · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 14
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 10, ⊙ 4
$\alpha$ Indian River $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	⊙ 12, 1 pair

Table 6. Chromosome configurations of hybrids between the  $B^A$  complexes of the complex-heterozygous strains and complexes with known segmental arrangements

Table 6 (continued)

Complex combination	Chromosome configuration		
$\alpha$ Littleton · B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	··· 14		
$\beta$ Wakefield $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	· 14		
$\alpha$ Williamsville $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Castleberry A-7	$\odot$ 8, $\odot$ 4, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ angustissima $\cdot B^A$ Chastang 1	$\odot$ 6, $\odot$ 4, $\odot$ 4		
$\alpha$ biennis $1 \cdot B^A$ Chastang 1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair		
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	$\odot$ 12, 1 pair		
$\beta$ Elma II · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	$\odot$ 10, 2 pairs		
$\alpha$ Forsberg $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
punctulans chicag. · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 1	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
albicans Grado · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 8, ⊙ 4, 1 pair		
rubens · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
<sup>h</sup> blandina · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ Birch tree II · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ Fruitland · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 8, 3 pairs		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri deVries · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
<sup>h</sup> hookeri Johansen · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
$\alpha$ Indian River $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
$\alpha$ Iowa II · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	$\odot$ 8, $\odot$ 6		
$\alpha$ Monett $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 14		
$\alpha$ Richmond · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 14		
rigens ammophila · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 10, ⊙ 4		
α Yakima · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 10, 2 pairs		
$\alpha$ Williamston $\cdot$ B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		
$\alpha$ Lanoraie · B <sup>A</sup> Chastang 7	⊙ 12, 1 pair		

Table 7. Chromosome configurations of hybrids between  $B^A$  complexes confirming the identity of their chromosome formulae

Cross	Chromosome configuration		
Brewton × Castleberry A-1/1	7 pairs		
Chastang $7 \times Brewton$	7 pairs		
Brewton × Castleberry A-4	7 pairs		
Castleberry A- $1/1 \times$ Castleberry A-4	7 pairs		
Castleberry A-9 × Castleberry A-4	7 pairs		
Castleberry A- $1/2 \times$ Castleberry A-4	7 pairs		
Castleberry A-1/2 $\times$ Castleberry A-9	7 pairs		

84

End arrangement							Designation	
1.2	3 · 4	5.6	7 · 10	9.8	11 · 12	13 · 14	A	
$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	13 · 14	В	
$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6	С	
$1 \cdot 2$	3 · 4	5 · 13	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	6 · 14	D	
1 · 4	$3 \cdot 2$	5 · 14	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	11 · 12	13.6	Е	
$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	9·14	$11 \cdot 12$	13.8	F	
$1 \cdot 3$	$2 \cdot 4$	$5 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	11 · 12	13.6	G	
$1 \cdot 2$	3.5	$4 \cdot 14$	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 12$	13.6	Н	
$1 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 10$	$9 \cdot 8$	$11 \cdot 13$	$12 \cdot 14$	Ι	
$1 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9 · 8	11 · 13	$12 \cdot 14$	K.	
$1 \cdot 3$	2 · 4	5.6	$7 \cdot 10$	9.8	$11 \cdot 12$	13 · 14	L	

Table 8. Chromosomal end arrangements found among *Oenothera grandiflora* strains from Alabama, Florida, and Tennessee

Crosses among the  $B^A$  complexes (Table 7) show that the  $B^A$  complexes of Castleberry A-1/1, A-1/2, A-4, A-9, and Chastang 7 are identical in segmental arrangement.

# Discussion

The various segmental arrangements (designated A–E) of typical <sup>*h*</sup>grandiflora (B type) complexes previously reported by STEINER & STUBBE (1984) are here supplemented by six new formulae shown in Table 8. All eleven formulae have the 7.10 in common, ten of the eleven have the 9.8 and nine of the eleven the 11.12 chromosome. The remaining chromosomes show more variability; the reason for this difference is unknown.

The Castleberry and Chastang strains showing circles of 10, 12 or 14 chromosomes at meiosis are clearly composed of two different complexes, one a B type typical of O. grandiflora, and the second a B type that has been modified in the direction of the A type found in O. villosa as well as in the beta complexes of the biennis group 1 of O. biennis. The latter species is sympatric with O. grandiflora in Alabama and hybrids between the two have been found (STEINER & STUBBE 1986). Nevertheless, the large-circled strains that carry a B<sup>A</sup> complex cannot be simple hybrids between O. grandiflora and O. biennis, as already pointed out by STEINER & STUBBE (1986). It is likely that the B<sup>A</sup> complex has been derived from unstable hybrids between O. grandiflora as seed parent and O. biennis as pollen parent. The integration of some of the beta *biennis* 1 chromosomes into a <sup>h</sup>grandiflora complex could have occurred in such a hybrid. For example, if a hybrid forms a circle of 10 and a circle of 4 and the latter is free of lethals, a <sup>h</sup>grandiflora complex carrying two beta biennis 1 chromosomes is a possible segregate. Other mechanisms of integration are also possible, e.g., a translocation between the two complexes of a hybrid after the interlocking of chromosomes. The question remains as to which

chromosomes, or parts of chromosomes, of the  $B^A$  complex are B or A type chromosomes.

A comparison of the most common  $B^A$  arrangement (1.6 3.2 5.11 7.10 9.4 8.12 13.14) with the arrangements of the beta complexes of *O. biennis* growing in association with the complex-heterozygotes of *O. grandiflora* would be instructive; unfortunately at the time of *O. grandiflora* collections were made, a parallel collection of *O. biennis* strains from the same range did not seem likely to yield new information and was not made. Of the few arrangements determined for *biennis* 1 strains from Alabama earlier (STEINER 1952), most carry the 5.11, 8.12, and 13.14 chromosomes. The 13.14 is regularly present in the B complexes, but the remaining two may be the A chromosomes (or modifications thereof) of the  $B^A$  arrangement. The 3.2 and 7.10 are most likely B chromosomes. In the complex-heterozygotes with a circle of 10 and 2 pairs the pairs are formed by the 7.10 and 13.14 and are in all probability homozygous. The 1.6 and 9.4 chromosomes cannot be classified because of their absence in closely related or associated strains. They may be of hybrid origin, arising from a translocation between an A and a B complex.

Ultimately the analysis of the gene content of single chromosomes may be necessary in order to confirm the presumed hybrid origin of the newly discovered complex-heterozygotes of *O. grandiflora*.

Whether or not the complex-heterozygotes of O. grandiflora will play a role in the further evolution of the species is difficult to say. Presumably the hybridity might confer a selective advantage, thus favoring a gradual spread of the B<sup>A</sup> genome with an ultimate shift to populations which are predominantly complex-heterozygous rather than structurally homozygous. At present they are no true breeding when selfed. Their flower structure favors cross pollination. Nevertheless, the B<sup>A</sup> genome appears to have become established in a number of different local populations in southern Alabama; since it seems unlikely that its distribution can be explained through a multiple origin of the genotype, one is led to conclude that its spread is already in progress.

Financial support for this work was provided in part by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to Prof. Dr WILFRIED STUBBE.

# References

CLELAND, R. E., 1972: *Oenothera*: cytogenetics and evolution. – New York: Academic Press.

STEINER, E. E., 1952: Phylogenetic studies in Euoenothera. - Evolution 6: 69-80.

- STEINER, E., STUBBE, W., 1984: A contribution to the population biology of *Oenothera* grandiflora L'HER. Amer. J. Bot. 71: 1293–1301.
- 1986: Oenothera grandiflora revisited: a new view of its population structure. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 113: 406–412.
- STUBBE, W., RAVEN, P. H., 1979: Genetic self-incompatibility in Oenothera subsect. Euoenothera. – Science 204: 327.
- WASMUND, O. W., 1980: Zytogenetische Untersuchungen zur Systematik einiger Sippen der Subsektion *Euoenothera* der Gattung *Oenothera* (*Onagraceae*). – Staatsexamen, Universität Düsseldorf.

Addresses of the authors: Mrs ELFRIEDE SCHUMACHER, Botanisches Institut, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, D-W-4000 Düsseldorf, Federal Republic of Germany. – Dr ERICH STEINER, Department of Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109, USA.

Accepted September 2, 1992 by F. Ehrendofer