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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss hyperbolic holomorphic maps on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Our aim is to introduce invariant currents and measures which describe the dynamics.

The simplest model is the example $\left(z^{2}, w^{2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ can be written as $\left[z^{2}: w^{2}: t^{2}\right]$.

Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a holomorphic self-map of degree $d$. In homogeneous coordinates, $f=[P: Q: R]$ where $P, Q, R$ are homogenous polynomials of degree $d$ with no common zeros except for the origin, so $f$ is a well defined holomorphic map. The space of such maps is denoted by $\mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}_{d}$.

Some results on the dynamics of such maps have been obtained in ([FS1]), ([FS2]), ([FS3]). We recall a few notions.

Definition 1.1 The Fatou set $F=F_{f}$ off $\in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}_{d}$ is the largest open set on which the iterates $\left(f^{n}\right)$ is a normal family. The Julia set $J=J_{f}$ is the complement of $F_{f}$.

Definition 1.2 Let $g: M \rightarrow M$ be a continuous self map on a manifold. The non wandering set $\Omega=\Omega_{g}$ is the set of points $x \in M$ such that for every neighborhood $U$ of $x$ there is an $n \geq 1$ with $f^{n}(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

We want to study the dynamics of $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}$, under the assumption that $f$ is prehyperbolic on $\Omega_{f}$, see ( $[\mathrm{Ru}]$ ). The examples we have in mind are perturbations of maps of the following type:

$$
f([z: w: t])=\left[P(z, t): Q(w, t): t^{d}\right]
$$
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where $P, Q$ define polynomial maps on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ which are hyperbolic on their nonwandering set. It is well known that on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ this is equivalent to the fact that all critical points are in the basin of attraction of attracting cycles.

We study in this paper the class of maps $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}_{d}$, which are strongly hyperbolic on $\Omega_{f}$ (s-hyperbolic), see definition 3.2. In particular we assume that periodic points are dense in $\Omega_{f}$. If $f$ is prehyperbolic on $\Omega_{f}$, then $\Omega_{f}=S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ where the unstable dimension of $S_{j}$ is $j$. In this case we show that each $S_{i}$ is nonempty (Theorem 3.4) and that $J \backslash S_{2}=\cup_{x \in S_{1}} W^{s}(x)$ where $W^{s}(x)$ is the stable manifold of $x$.

The set $S_{2}$ is the support of a probability measure $\mu$ which is mixing and of maximal entropy. It is a basic set ([FS2]).

The set $S_{1}$ decomposes into a finite number of basic sets: $S_{1}=\cup_{j} S_{1}^{j}$. There is an ordering among the basic sets: $S_{1}^{j}<S_{1}^{k}$ if one can go from $S_{1}^{k}$ to $S_{1}^{j}$ see the paragraph after Theorem 2.5 for a precise definition. We are interested in describing dynamical objects related to $S_{1}^{j}$ assuming $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal for this order.

More precisely, in order to describe the "foliated" structure of $J$ it is natural to consider positive closed currents. It is shown in ([FS2]) that $J$ is the support of a positive closed current $T$ which is obtained as the limit of $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}$, where $\omega$ is the standard Kahler form on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

We show that if $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal and $f$ is s-hyperbolic then the restriction of the current $T$ to $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ is laminar, i.e. near $S_{1}^{j}$ it is an integral of currents of integration of local stable manifolds. Indeed $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ is open in $J$. We prove that the stable manifold of a point $x \in S_{1}^{j}$ is dense in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$. The approach is to obtain the result by proving a convergence result for the currents $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}}{d^{n}}\left[W_{R}^{s}(x)\right]$, where $x \in S_{1}^{j}$ and $\left[W_{R}^{s}(x)\right.$ ] is the current of integration on the local stable manifold at $x$. The approach is similar to the one used by Bedford and Smillie ([BS2]) in the case of Hénon maps in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, which are biholomorphisms.

The set $S_{2}$ is the repelling part of the dynamics. By analogy with Hénon maps, paragraph 4 , we introduce an open set

$$
U^{-}=\left\{z ;\left\{f^{-n}(z)\right\} \text { converge locally uniformly near z to } ; S_{2}\right\}
$$

and $K^{-}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash U^{-}$. The set $K^{-} \backslash S_{0}$ is the union of unstable manifolds $W^{u}(\tilde{x})$ with $x_{0} \in S_{1}$ (Proposition 4.2). Recall that for endomorphisms the unstable manifold depends on the prehistory of a point.

We prove the existence of positive closed $(1,1)$ currents $\sigma$, supported on $K^{-}$ and satisfying the functional equation $f_{*} \sigma=d \sigma$, where $d$ is the algebraic degree of $f$. When $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal we describe the structure of a current $\sigma$ satisfying the previous equation and whose support is $\overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)}$.

Indeed when $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal the closure of $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ is obtained by adding a finite number of attracting cycles.

For this current we show that $\nu:=T \wedge \sigma$ is an invariant probability measure with support equal to $S_{1}^{j}$ and $f$ is mixing with respect to $\nu$ (see Theorems 6.2 and 6.3).

## 2 Hyperbolicity for endomorphisms

We first recall a few definitions and results on hyperbolic sets for smooth maps on a compact Riemannian manifold $M$. We refer to ([Ru]) for the general theory.

Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ a smooth map on $M$ and suppose $K$ is a compact forward invariant set for $f$, i.e. $f(K)=K$.

The first problem is to generalize the notion of hyperbolic set for diffeomorphisms to general smooth maps (endomorphisms).

We could say that (but as explained below we will not) $K$ is hyperbolic if there is a continuous splitting of the tangent bundle $T_{x} M=V_{x}^{s}+V_{x}^{u}$ for $x \in K$ with $V^{s}$ contracted and $V^{u}$ expanded under $f^{\prime}$. More precisely, there exist constants $C>1, \Theta>1$ such that for every $x \in K, f^{\prime}\left(V_{x}^{u}\right) \subset V_{f(x)}^{u}, f^{\prime}\left(V_{x}^{s}\right) \subset V_{f(x)}^{s}$ and $\left|D f^{k}(x) \xi\right| \leq \frac{C}{\Theta^{k}}|\xi| \forall k=1,2, \ldots, \xi \in V_{x}^{s}$ and $\left|D f^{k}(x) \xi\right| \geq \frac{\Theta^{k}}{C}|\xi| \forall k=$ $1,2, \ldots, \xi \in V_{x}^{u}$. If $K$ is hyperbolic in this sense, there are associated local stable manifolds $W_{x}^{s}$ to each point $x \in K$. These are smooth manifolds of the same dimension as $V_{x}^{s}$ and $f\left(W_{x}^{s}\right) \subset W_{f(x)}^{s}$ as germs. Moreover $T_{x} W_{x}^{s}=V_{x}^{s}$.

However, if one tries to construct unstable manifolds, they are not necessarily unique because preimages are not unique. Rather, an unstable manifold at $x \in$ $K$ depends on the prehistory chosen for $x$, i.e. one fixes any sequence $\tilde{x}=$ $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \leq 0}, x_{0}=x, f\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k+1}$. Note that $\tilde{x}$ is not necessarily unique since points can have several preimages. There is an unstable manifold $W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ through $x$ of the same dimension as $V_{x}^{u}$, and these manifolds have the following invariance property: $f\left(W_{\left(\ldots, x_{-1}\right)}^{u}\right) \subset W_{\left(\ldots x_{0}\right)}^{u}$ as germs. Moreover, $T_{x} W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}=V_{x}^{u}$.

So all the possible unstable manifolds are tangent to each other, but depend otherwise on the prehistory chosen.

The condition that all the unstable manifolds are tangent is too restrictive, because the tangent space should depend on the prehistory.

Hence we introduce

$$
\tilde{K}:=\left\{\tilde{x}=\left(x_{k}\right) \in \Pi_{k \leq 0} K ; f\left(x_{k-1}\right)=x_{k}\right\}
$$

and similarly $\tilde{M}$.
The map $f$ induces a map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}, \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}):=\left(f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$.
The tangent bundle of $\tilde{K}$ is the set of $(\tilde{x}, \xi)$ with $\xi \in T_{x_{0}} M$. The set $K$ is said to be prehyperbolic for $f$ if there is a continuous splitting of the tangent bundle for $\tilde{K}, T_{\tilde{x}}=V_{x_{0}}^{s}+V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ with $V_{x_{0}}^{s}$ contracted and $V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ expanded under $f^{\prime}$. More precisely there are constants $C>1, \Theta>1$ such that for every $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{k}\right) \in \tilde{K}$ there is a splitting of $T_{x_{0}} M=V_{x_{0}}^{s}+V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ and

$$
\left|D f^{k}\left(x_{0}\right) \xi^{\prime}\right| \leq C \Theta^{-k}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|, \quad \xi^{\prime} \in V_{x_{0}}^{s} ;\left|D f^{-k}\left(x_{0}\right) \xi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq C \Theta^{-k}\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|, \quad \xi^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}
$$

We also assume that $D f\left(V_{x_{0}}^{s}\right) \subset V_{f\left(x_{0}\right)}^{s}$ and $(D f)\left(V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}\right)=V_{f(\tilde{x})}^{u}$. Under these assumptions the dimensions of $V_{x_{0}}^{s}$ and $V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ are locally constant. We will call the
dimension of $V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$, the unstable dimension. Given $x \in K$, for $R$ sufficiently small we define the local stable manifold

$$
W_{x, R}^{s}:=\left\{y \in M ; d\left(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(x)\right)<R \text { for } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

Given $x_{0} \in K$ let $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{k}\right) \in \tilde{K}$ be a prehistory for $x_{0}$. We define the local unstable manifold for that prehistory as:

$$
W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}:=\left\{y_{0} \in M ; \exists\left(y_{k}\right)_{k \leq 0} \in \tilde{M} \text { and } d\left(y_{k}, x_{k}\right)<R\right\}
$$

Similarly we define the global stable and unstable sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{x}^{s}:=\left\{y \in M ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(x)\right)=0\right\} \\
W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}:=\left\{y_{0} \in M ; \exists\left(y_{k}\right)_{k \leq 0} \in \tilde{M} d\left(y_{k}, x_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

These are tangent at $\tilde{x}$ to $V_{x_{0}}^{s}$ and $V_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ respectively. The maps $x \rightarrow W_{x, R}^{s}$ and $\tilde{x} \rightarrow W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$ are continuous for the $\mathscr{C}^{r}$ topology on the space of parametrized discs. Moreover there are constants $L>0, \lambda>1$ such that if $y, z \in W_{x, R}^{s}$ then

$$
d\left(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(z)\right) \leq L \lambda^{-n} d(y, z)
$$

If $\tilde{y}=\left(y_{n}\right), \tilde{z}=\left(z_{n}\right)$ are prehistories as in the definition of $W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$, then $d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \leq L \lambda^{-|n|} d\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$.

Definition 2.1 The set $K$ has local product structure if $R$ can be chosen such that for all $x \in K, y \in \tilde{K}$

$$
W_{x, R}^{s} \cap W_{\tilde{y}, R}^{u} \subset K
$$

and the intersection consists of at most one point.
In this case there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that if $d(x, y)<2 \epsilon$ then $W_{x, R}^{s} \cap W_{\tilde{y}, R}^{u}$ consists of exactly one point $[x, y]$. Moreover there is an $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x,[x, y]) & \leq L d(x, y) \\
d(y,[x, y] & \leq L d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.2 Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be as above and let $\Omega$ be the non wandering set for $f$. We say that $f$ satisfies Axiom A if
i) $\Omega$ is a compact prehyperbolic set.
ii) Periodic points are dense in $\Omega$.

Theorem 2.3 ([Ru p.160]) Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map with non wandering set $\Omega$. Assume $f$ satisfies Axiom A. Then
i) $\Omega$ has local product structure.
ii) $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{N}$ is a finite union of pairwise disjoint closed forward invariant sets such that $f$ is topologically transitive on each $\Omega_{i}$ (i.e. $f$ has a dense forward orbit on each $\Omega_{i}$ ). The above decomposition is unique, the sets $\Omega_{i}$ are called the basic sets.
iii) Each basic set $\Omega_{i}$ is a finite union $\Omega_{i}=\Omega_{i, 1} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{i, N_{i}}$ of smallest pairwise disjoint closed sets which are permuted cyclically by $f$.

Remark 2.4 Each $\Omega_{i}$ is isolated in the nonwandering set, more precisely, there exists a compact neighborhood $\bar{U}$ of $\Omega_{i}$ such that if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, f\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n+1} \forall n$ and $x_{n} \in \bar{U} \forall n$, then $x_{n} \in \Omega_{i} \forall n$ ([Ru p. 160], [Pz],[Mo]).

Recall the ordering among basic sets. We say $\Omega_{i}>\Omega_{j}$ if and only if $W^{u}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \cap$ $W^{s}\left(\Omega_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$, which means that it is possible to go from $\Omega_{i}$ to $\Omega_{j}$. The No-cycle condition means that one cannot find basic sets $\Omega_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Omega_{i_{p}}, p>1$ such that $\Omega_{i_{1}}>\Omega_{i_{2}}>\ldots \Omega_{i_{p}}>\Omega_{i_{1}}$.

The interest of hyperbolic maps on their nonwandering set $\Omega$ is their stability. We have the following result ([Ru, p.168]), ([Pz]) and ([Mo, p. 182]).

Theorem 2.5 The set of $\mathscr{C}^{r}$ maps on $M$, satisfying Axiom $A$ and the No-cycle condition is open. In particular the non-wandering sets of such maps are close if the maps are close.

If $f$ is such a map, there exists a neighborhood $\mathscr{U}(f) \subset \mathscr{C}^{r}(M, M)$ such that for $g \in \mathscr{U}(f), \tilde{f}$ is conjugate to $\tilde{g}$ on their prehyperbolic cover. More precisely, there is a homeomorphism $h: \tilde{\Omega}(f) \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}(g)$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\tilde{\Omega}(f) \rightarrow \tilde{f} & \tilde{\Omega}(f) \\
h \downarrow & \\
\tilde{\Omega}(g) \rightarrow \rightarrow^{\tilde{g}} & \tilde{\Omega}(g)
\end{array}
$$

We consider $\tilde{\Omega}(f)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}(g)$ as subsets of $\tilde{M} \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology, so the fact that the conjugating homeomorphism is close to the identity makes sense.

## 3 Holomorphic maps in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}, f \in \mathscr{\mathscr { H } \mathscr { C } _ { d }}$. Let $\omega$ be the Kähler form in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ normalized such that $\int \omega \wedge \omega=1$. It was shown in ([FS2]) that the sequence of positive closed $(1,1)$ forms $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}$ converges in the sense of currents to a positive closed current $T$, whose support is equal to the Julia set $J_{f}$ and which satisfies the following functional equation

$$
f^{*} T=d T
$$

where $d$ denotes the algebraic degree of $f$.
It was shown in [FS2] that $\mu:=T \wedge T$ is a probability measure satisfying $f^{*} \mu=d^{2} \mu$ and hence is a measure of maximal entropy, $\ln d^{2}$, see ([Gr]). Observe that by Bezout's Theorem $f$ is a $d^{2}$ to 1 map.

Let $\Omega=\Omega_{f}$ be the non wandering set of $f$ and assume $\Omega$ is prehyperbolic. Then $\Omega$ can be decomposed into disjoint sets $\Omega=: S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ where $S_{j}$ is of unstable dimension $j$. A priori some of the $S_{j}$ could be empty. However since $f(\Omega)=\Omega$ it is clear that $f\left(S_{j}\right)=S_{j} j=0,1,2$. The following result is proved in ([FS2]).

Theorem 3.1 Assume $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{H}_{d}$ is prehyperbolic on $\Omega_{f}$. Then
i) $\Omega_{f} \neq J_{f}, J_{f} \neq S_{2}$.
ii) $S_{0}$ is the union of a finite number of attracting periodic orbits and the Fatou components are preperiodic to attracting basins.
iii) $S_{2} \supset S_{\mu}:=$ support of $\mu, f^{-1}\left(S_{\mu}\right)=S_{\mu}$.

Definition 3.2 We will say that $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}$ is $s$ - hyperbolic if
i) $f$ is prehyperbolic on $\Omega_{f}$
ii) $f^{-1}\left(S_{2}\right)=S_{2}$
iii) There is an algebraic variety $A$ of dimension 1 such that $A \cap S_{1}=\emptyset$.
iv) Periodic points are dense in $\Omega_{f}$.
v) There exists a neighborhood $U$ of $S_{1}$ such that $f^{-1}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap U=S_{1}$.

Because of i) and iv), $s$ - hyperbolic maps satisfy Axiom A.
Remark 3.3 Observe that if $D f$ is injective at every point of $S_{1}$, then condition iii) is satisfied with $A=C$, the critical set.

Condition v) allows us to prove a shadowing Lemma and the following result, see also ([Ru p. 103]) and ([Pz]).

Theorem 3.4 If $K$ is prehyperbolic, satisfies v) above and has a local product structure, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $K$ such that iff $f^{m}(y) \in U$ for all $m \geq 0$, then $y \in W_{x}^{s}$ for some $x \in K$, in fact $y$ is in the local stable manifold of $x$. If $\tilde{y}=\left(y_{k}\right)$ is a prehistory such that all $y_{k} \in U$ then $y_{0} \in W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ for some $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{k}\right) \in \tilde{K}$.

If $K$ satisfies only conditions i) to iv), then $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)=\cup_{x \in S_{1}^{1}} W^{s}(x)$.
Remark 3.5 The last statement is proved in a standard way ([Ru]) by using a similar statement in the hyperbolic cover. We need condition v) only to prove that a neighborhood of $S_{1}^{1}$ in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$ is contained in the union of local stable manifolds centered on $S_{1}^{1}$.

Theorem 3.6 Assumef $\in \mathscr{\mathscr { H }} \mathscr{C}_{d}$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. Then each of the $S_{j}$ is nonempty. Moreover $J \backslash S_{2}=W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right):=\left\{y ; \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(f^{n}(y), S_{1}\right)=0\right\}$, $S_{1}$ has local product structure. Furthermore, $W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)=\cup_{x \in S_{1}} W_{x}^{s}$, and more precisely, there is a $1 \gg$ $\epsilon>0$ and a $1 \gg R>0$ so that if an orbit $\left\{f^{n}(y)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ remains at distance $<\epsilon$ from $S_{1}$, then $y \in W_{R}^{S}(x)$ for some $x \in S_{1}$.

Proof. We know by Theorem 3.1 that $S_{2}$ is nonempty. Assume $S_{1}$ is empty. Then $\Omega=S_{0} \cup S_{2}$. Let $x \in J \backslash S_{2}$. The Julia set $J$ is totally invariant and $\left\{f^{n}(x)\right\}$ has to cluster somewhere on $\Omega$. Hence $\left\{f^{n}(x)\right\}$ has to cluster on $S_{2}$. But this is impossible since $f^{-1}\left(S_{2}\right)=S_{2}$ and $S_{2}$ is repelling. Hence $S_{1}$ is nonempty.

Assume $S_{0}$ is empty. Then $\Omega=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and by Theorem 3.1, ii), $J=\mathbb{P}^{2}$. There is a neighborhood $U \supset S_{2}$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \subset \subset U$. So orbits of points in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash S_{2}$ cluster only at $S_{1}$. Let $S_{1}=\cup S_{1}^{j}$ be the decomposition of $S_{1}$ in basic sets given by Theorem 2.3. For $R$ small enough, let

$$
W_{R}^{j}=\left\{z ; d\left(\left\{f^{n}(z)\right\}, S_{1}^{j}\right) \leq R\right\} .
$$

Each $W_{R}^{j}$ is closed and $\cup_{n, j} f^{-n}\left(W_{R}^{j}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash S_{2}$. It follows that for some $j_{0}, W_{R}^{j_{0}}$ has nonempty interior. Moreover $W_{R}^{j_{0}}=\cup_{x \in S_{1}^{j_{0}}}\left(W^{s}(x) \cap W_{R}^{j_{0}}\right)$ is a union of local stable manifolds. Fix $x \in S_{1}^{j_{0}}$ such that the local stable manifold $W^{s}(x) \cap W_{R}^{j_{0}}$ intersects the interior of $W_{R}^{j_{0}}$. We can assume that $x$ is a periodic point. Let $\tilde{x}$ be the prehistory of $x$ in $\tilde{S}_{1}$ consisting of the periodic orbit of $x$. Consider $W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$. Using the local product structure one sees that $W_{R}^{j_{0}} \cap W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u} \subset S_{1}^{j_{0}}$ and contains a disc from $W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$ about $x$, because one can just follow a transverse disc to $W^{s}(x)$ contained in the interior of $W_{R}^{j_{0}}$. Hence there is a ball $B(x, r)$ such that $B(x, r) \cap W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u} \subset S_{1}^{j_{0}}$. Since $f$ maps $S_{1}^{j_{0}}$ to itself, it follows that

$$
W_{\tilde{x}}^{u} \subset S_{1}^{j_{0}} .
$$

We can assume, using the result of Siu-Yeung ([SY]) that $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash A$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Indeed, we can suppose that $A$ is of large degree and contained in a Zariski open dense set of varieties $X$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash X$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. This would imply that $f^{n}$ restricted to $B(x, r) \cap W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$ is equicontinuous, which is impossible. So $S_{0}$ is non empty.

Let $y \in J \backslash S_{2}$. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $S_{2}$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \subset \subset U$. We can assume $y \notin U$. We want to show that $f^{n}(y) \rightarrow S_{1}$. If not, $f^{n}(y)$ will also cluster on $S_{2}$, let $m$ be the smallest integer such that $f^{m}(y) \in U$. This implies that $f^{m-1}(y) \in U$, a contradiction. So $J \backslash S_{2} \subset W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)$. If $y \in W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)$ it cannot be in the Fatou set nor in $S_{2}$, the other inclusion follows.

That $S_{1}$ has local product structure follows from the density of periodic points and Theorem D.2, in ([Ru] p.155). One deduces from the local product structure that $W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)=\cup_{x \in S_{1}} W^{s}(x)$ and that more precisely, there is an $0<\epsilon \ll 1$ and an $0<R \ll 1$ so that if an orbit $\left\{f^{n}(y)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ remains closer to $S_{1}$ than $\epsilon$, then $y \in W_{R}^{s}(x)$ for some $x \in S_{1}$.

Corollary 3.7 Assume $f \in \mathscr{T} \mathscr{C}_{d}$ is $s$ - hyperbolic on $\Omega$. Then the Julia set has empty interior.

Proof. Assume first that the interior of $S_{2}$ is non empty. Then
$\left(f^{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{int}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{int}\left(S_{2}\right)$ and $S_{2} \cap\left[\cup_{n=0}^{N} f^{-n} C\right]=\emptyset$ where $C$ denotes the critical set, and this is still valid for any algebraic variety close to $C$. Since $\operatorname{int}\left(S_{2}\right)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, the family $\left(f^{n}\right)$ is normal, which contradicts the uniform expansion of $f$ on $S_{2}$.

As a consequence, if $\operatorname{int}(J)$ is non empty, then the interior of $W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)$ is not empty. Let $\Delta^{2}$ be a polydisc in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Fix $0<\epsilon \ll 1$ small enough. Define

$$
F_{m}:=\left\{z \in \Delta^{2} ; \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{n}(z), S_{1}\right) \leq \epsilon \forall n \geq m .\right\}
$$

There is an $m$ such that $F_{m}$ has nonempty interior. By the last part of Theorem 3.4, $f^{m}\left(F^{m}\right)$ is contained in the union of local stable manifolds of $S_{1}$.

Let $W^{s}(p)$ be such a manifold. We can assume that $p$ is periodic. We show that $W_{R}^{u}(\tilde{p})$ is contained in $S_{1}$ for the prehistory $\tilde{p}$ consisting of the periodic orbit of $p$.

Any $W_{R}^{s}(x)$ close enough to $W_{R}^{s}(p)$ is going to intersect $W_{R}^{u}(\tilde{p})$. So a disc in $W^{u}(\tilde{p})$ about $p$ is contained in $S_{1}$, by the local product structure. Since $S_{1}$ is forward invariant it follows that $W^{u}(\tilde{p}) \subset S_{1}$. Let $k$ be the period of $p$. Then $f^{k}$ maps $W^{u}(\tilde{p})$ to itself. Clearly the sequence $\left\{\left(f_{\mid W^{u}(\tilde{p})}^{k}\right)^{m}\right\}_{m}$ is not a normal family. Since $S_{1}$ is contained in the Kobayashi hyperbolic complement of a perturbation of $A$, ([SY]) this leads to a contradiction.

We discuss now the decomposition of $\Omega=S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ into basic sets, i.e. closed disjoint sets with dense orbit as given in the abstract setting by Theorem 2.3.

We study the special case of $f \in \mathscr{\mathscr { H }} \boldsymbol{b}_{d}$ which is assumed to be $s$ - hyperbolic on $\Omega$. We have already seen that the basic sets for $S_{0}$ are just finitely many attracting periodic orbits. The corresponding stable sets are the basins of attraction. We next show that $S_{2}$ is a basic set.

Theorem 3.8 Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{T}_{d}$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. The set $S_{2}$ of unstable dimension 2 is a basic set and $S_{2}=S_{\mu}$. The unstable set of $S_{2}$ is open with locally pluripolar complement.
Proof. We know from Theorem 3.1 that $S_{2}$ contains the support $S_{\mu}$ of $\mu$. Let $\sigma_{2}:=S_{2} \backslash S_{\mu}$. We claim that $\sigma_{2}$ is closed. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of $S_{\mu}$ such that $f^{-k}(V) \subset \subset V$ for some $k \geq 1$, recall that $f^{-1}\left(S_{\mu}\right)=S_{\mu}$. Observe that points in $V \backslash f^{-n k}(V)$ are wandering. Hence $S_{2} \backslash S_{\mu}$ cannot intersect that set. So $V \cap \sigma_{2}$ is empty, hence $\sigma_{2}$ is closed.

Let $C$ be the critical set of $f$. Clearly $C \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$. Define $\mathscr{C}:=\overline{\cup_{n \geq 0} f^{n}(C)}$. Since $f$ is $s-$ hyperbolic, $f^{-1}\left(S_{2}\right)=S_{2}$. Using that $f^{-\ell}(W) \subset \subset W$ for some small neighborhood $W$ of $S_{2}$, and some $\ell \geq 1$, it follows that $\mathscr{C} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$. Locally in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{C}$ one can define holomorphic local branches of inverses of $f^{n}$ $f_{i}^{-n}$. A theorem of Ueda ([U]) asserts that they are equicontinuous.

For any continuous function $\phi$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, define $A_{\phi}^{n}(x)=\frac{1}{d^{2 n}} \sum_{i=1}^{d^{2 n}} \phi\left(f_{i}^{-n}(x)\right)$.
By the above result it is clear that for any given $\phi$, the sequence of functions $\left(A_{\phi}^{n}\right)$ is locally equicontinuous in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{C}$. On the other hand it is shown in ([FS3]) that there exists a locally pluripolar set $\mathscr{E}$ (independent of $\phi$ ) such that for $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{E}, A_{\phi}^{n}(x) \rightarrow \mu(\phi)$, in particular the limit does not depend on $x$. As a consequence $A_{\phi}^{n}(x) \rightarrow \mu(\phi)$ uniformly on compact sets of the nonempty open set $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{C}$.

Observe that $\sigma_{2}$ is also totally invariant. Let $\phi=1$ in a neighborhood of $S_{\mu}$ and 0 in a neighborhood of $\sigma_{2}$. Since $A_{\phi}^{n}(x) \rightarrow 1$, for $x \in \sigma_{2}$, this implies that $\sigma_{2}$ is empty.

It is shown in ([FS2]) that the measure $\mu$ is ergodic. Hence $\mu$ almost every point has a dense orbit. As a consequence, $S_{2}=S_{\mu}$ is a basic set.

Recall that

$$
W^{u}\left(S_{2}\right)=\left\{y ; \exists \tilde{y}=\left(y_{n}\right) y_{0}=y, f\left(y_{n-1}\right)=y_{n} \text { and } d\left(y_{n}, S_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0\right\} .
$$

We have already mentioned that except on a pluripolar set
$\mathscr{E}, \frac{1}{d^{2 n}} \sum_{i=1}^{d^{2 n}} \epsilon_{x_{i}^{n}} \rightarrow \mu$.

So $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{E} \subset W^{u}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Since there is an open set $U \supset S_{2}$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \subset \subset$ $U$, then $W^{u}\left(S_{2}\right)$ is open with locally pluripolar complement.

## 4 The inverse Julia set $J^{-}$

We recall first a few facts about invariant currents for Hénon maps.
Let $f$ be a Hénon map in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, for example $f(z, w)=\left(z^{2}+c+a w, z\right), c \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$. Consider $\tilde{f}[z: w: t]=\left[z^{2}+c t^{2}+a w t: z t: t^{2}\right]$, the rational extension of $f$ to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\omega$ denote the standard Kähler form of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

It is shown in ([FS5]) that $\frac{\left(\tilde{f}^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{2^{n}}$ converges to a positive current $\tilde{\mu}^{+}$on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The restriction $\mu^{+}$of $\tilde{\mu}^{+}$to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ has been considered in ([BS1]), ([BS2]) where it was extensively studied.

It is proved in ([FS3]) that the current $\tilde{\mu}^{+} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{+}$is well defined and that this measure is equal to the Dirac mass $\delta_{p_{-}}$at the point of indeterminacy of $\tilde{f}$ i.e. $p_{-}=[0: 1: 0]$. The point $p_{-}$appears as the only "repelling" point for $\tilde{f}$. (For any small neighborhood $U(p)$ and any point $q \in U(p), q \neq p$, there is an integer $m(q)$ so that $\tilde{f}^{n}(q) \notin U(p, \forall n \geq m(q)$.)

Similarly it is possible to define $\tilde{\mu}^{-}$for the map $\tilde{f}^{-1}$ (the extension to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of the automorphism $f^{-1}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ ) and to consider the probability measure $\tilde{\mu}^{-} \wedge \tilde{\mu}^{+}=: \nu$, which is an invariant measure of maximal entropy supported on a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The properties of the measure $\nu$ are studied in ([BS1]), ([BS2]), ([BLS]).

When $f$ is a holomorphic map on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, the analogue of the current $\tilde{\mu}^{+}$is what we have called $T$. So $T=\lim \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}$. The probability measure $\mu:=T \wedge T$ is well defined. If $f$ is prehyperbolic on its nonwandering set $\Omega$, then $S_{\mu}:=\operatorname{supp} \mu$ is contained in the repelling part $S_{2}$, see Theorem 3.1.

For any holomorphic map $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$ the probability measure $\mu$ is mixing and of maximal entropy ([FS2]).

In the case of Hénon maps, $K^{-}$is defined as the set of points $z$ such that $\left\{f^{-n}(z)\right\}$ is bounded. Equivalently we can consider

$$
U^{-}=\left\{z ;\left\{f^{-n}(z)\right\} \text { converges to } p, \text { the support of } \delta_{p_{-}}\right\}
$$

and define $K^{-}:=\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash U^{-}$. The convergence to $p_{-}$is always uniform in a small neighborhood, so $U^{-}$is open. By analogy with the previous definition we define $U_{f}^{-}$for $f \in \mathscr{T} \mathscr{B}_{d}$ as follows:

For any set $V$, set $V_{n}:=\left\{f^{-n}(z) ; z \in V\right\}$.

$$
U_{f}^{-}:=\left\{z_{0} ; \exists \text { a neighbh } V \text { of } z_{0} \text { with } \operatorname{dist}\left\{V_{n}, \operatorname{Supp} \mu\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { when } n \rightarrow \infty\right\}
$$

Then $K_{f}^{-}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash U_{f}^{-}$.
Definition 4.1 The backward Julia set $J^{-}=J_{f}^{-}$is defined to be $\partial K^{-}=\partial K_{f}^{-}$.

It is clear that $f\left(K^{-}\right)=K^{-}, f^{-1}\left(K^{-}\right) \supset K^{-}, f\left(J^{-}\right) \supset J^{-}, f\left(U^{-}\right) \supset U^{-}$ and $f^{-1}\left(U^{-}\right) \subset U^{-}$.

Assume that $f$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. Let $\left(S_{1}^{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq \ell$, be the basic sets in $S_{1}$. We will consider the corresponding unstable sets
$W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{k}\right)=\left\{y ; \exists \tilde{y}=\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \leq 0}\right.$, a prehistory of $y=y_{0}$, such that $\left.d\left(y_{n}, S_{1}^{k}\right) \rightarrow 0\right\}$.
Proposition 4.2 Suppose $f$ is $s-$ hyperbolic. Then $K^{-}=\cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}} W^{u}(\tilde{x}) \cup S_{0}=$ $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$. More precisely, for arbitarily small $R>0, \cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}} W_{R}^{u}(\tilde{x})$ contains a neighborhood of $S_{1}$ in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right)$. In particular, $K^{-} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$.

Proof. Let $x \notin W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$. Then no prehistory of $x$ converges to $S_{1}$. So all prehistories cluster only on $S_{2} \cup S_{0}$. Therefore since $S_{2}$ is attracting for $f^{-1}$, they converge to $S_{2}$. And the same holds in a neighborhood of $x$. Hence $K^{-}$is contained in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$. The inclusion $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup S_{0} \subset K^{-}$is clear.

Since $S_{1}$ has the local product structure, see Theorem 3.5, Theorem 2.5 implies that $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right)=\cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}} W^{u}(\tilde{x})$. Indeed $S_{2}$ is completely invariant so $K^{-} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$ 。

Corollary 4.3 Assume $f$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. Then the complement of $K^{-}$in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a domain of holomorphy. So $K^{-}$is connected.

Proof. Except for a finite set of points in $S_{0}$, there is a possibly singular holomorphic disc (a piece of some $W^{u}(\tilde{x})$ ) through any point in $K^{-}$, which is contained in $K^{-}$. It follows from the solution of the Levi Problem in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash K^{-}$is a domain of holomorphy. Hence $K^{-}$is connected.

We want to describe more precisely the sets $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$. Recall that we have an ordering among the $\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$.

Theorem 4.4 Assume $f$ is an $s$ - hyperbolic map. Then $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \cap W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)=S_{1}^{j}$. If $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal, then $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \backslash S_{1}^{j}$ is contained in the region of attraction of $S_{0}$, $\overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)} \backslash W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \subset S_{0}$. The set $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ is relatively open in $J$. Also $\cup_{x \in S_{1}^{j}} \overline{W_{R}^{s}(x)}=$ : $J_{R}$, the union of local stable manifolds, $R \ll 1$ contains a neighborhood of $S_{1}^{j}$ in $J$.

Proof. We know by Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.2 that $W^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)=\cup_{x \in S_{1}} W^{s}(x)$ and $W^{u}\left(S_{1}\right)=\cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}} W^{u}(\tilde{x})$. Hence for each $j, W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)=\cup_{p \in S_{1}^{j}} W^{s}(p)$ and $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)=\cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{j}} W^{u}(\tilde{x})$. Let $p \in S_{1}^{j}$ and $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{j}$. Assume $x \in W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(\tilde{q})$. Notice that we can replace $x$ by a forward iterate of $x$. Hence we can assume that $x \in W_{R}^{S}(p)$, the local stable manifold of $p$. We want to show first that $x$ is recurrent.

Let $B$ be a ball containing $x$. Then $B$ will contain a disc $\Delta$ intersecting $W_{R}^{s}(p)$ transversally. Since periodic orbits are dense in $S_{1}^{j}$, (see Definition 3.2) we have that $\Delta$ will also intersect $W_{R}^{s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ transversally, where $p^{\prime}$ is a periodic point in $S_{1}^{j}$. By topological transitivity and expansion, we can assume that $f^{m}(\Delta)$
is close to $W_{\tilde{q}_{k}, R}^{u}$ for arbitrarily large $m$ for any $k$. On the other hand, we have a prehistory of $x, \tilde{x}$ for which the distance between $x_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ approaches 0 . By the contraction in the stable direction it then follows that for some $k, f^{k+m}(\Delta)$ will intersect $B$. So $x$ is recurrent. This proves that $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \cap W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)=S_{1}^{j}$.

Suppose $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal for the ordering $>$, and $p \in W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \backslash S_{1}^{j}$. We want to show that $p$ is in the domain of attraction of $S_{0}$. Let $C$ denote the set of cluster points of the forward orbit of $p$. Then $C$ is contained in the nonwandering set, $C$ cannot intersect $S_{2}$. If $C$ intersects two separate $S_{1}^{k}, C$ must contain points that are not in $S_{1} \cup S_{0}$. Hence $C$ can only intersect one $S_{1}^{k}$. If $k \neq j$, this contradicts that $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal, if $k=j$, this contradicts that $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) \cap W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)=S_{1}^{j}$. So necessarily $C \subset S_{0}$. Hence $p$ is in the region of attraction for $S_{0}$.

Next, suppose $p_{0} \in \overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)} \backslash\left(S_{0} \cup W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)\right)$. Note that any such point must have a preimage in the same set. Hence we can find a prehistory $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n \leq 0}$ of $p_{0}$ in this set. The cluster points must be nonwandering and cannot intersect $S_{0}$, so must be in some $S_{1}^{k}$.

If $k \neq j$, then $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ clusters at $S_{1}^{k}$. This contradicts that for small $R$, an arbitrarily small neighborhood $U$ of $S_{1}^{j}, \cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{j}} \overline{W_{R}^{u}(\tilde{x})} \backslash U$ is a compact subset of the region of attraction of $S_{0}$ and that $\cup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{j}} W^{u}(\tilde{x})$ contains a neighborhood of $S_{1}^{j}$ in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$. (See Proposition 4.2.) Hence $k=j$, so $p_{0} \in W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$, a contradiction.

By Proposition 4.2. $p_{0} \in W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{k}$.
We prove next that if $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal, then $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ is open in $J$. Consider $\cup_{x \in S_{1}^{j}} \overline{W_{R}^{s}(x)}=F$, the union of local stable manifolds $R \ll 1$. Then $F$ is closed and we want to show it contains a neighborhood of $S_{j}^{1}$ in $J$. If not there is $x \in J$ arbitrarily close to $S_{1}^{j}$, not in $F$, say $x \in W^{s}(p), p \in S_{1}^{k}$. By Theorem 3.5, the orbit $\left\{f^{n}(x)\right\}$ cannot remain close to $S_{1}^{j}$. The distance from $f^{n}(x)$ to $S_{j}^{1}$ can increase only if the orbit follows an unstable manifold of a point in $S_{1}^{j}$. But we have seen that $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ enters immediately into a basin of attraction. This is impossible for $\left\{f^{n}(x)\right\}$.

Proposition 4.5 Assume $f$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. The set $K^{-}$is an attractor for $f$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash S_{2}$, i.e. $\left\{f^{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact set in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash S_{2}$ to $K^{-}$.

Proof. Fix a neighborhood $U\left(K^{-}\right)$and a neighborhood $V\left(S_{2}\right)$. There exists an integer $N>1$ so that is $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left(U\left(K^{-}\right)\right)$and $n \geq N$, then all preimages $f^{-n}(x)$ are in $V\left(S_{2}\right)$. Hence for any $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash V\left(S_{2}\right), n \geq N, f^{n}(x) \in U\left(K^{-}\right)$.

Remark 4.6 The Julia set $J$ is also an attractor for $f^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash S_{0}$, in the following sense. If $\overline{B(x, r)}$ is a ball disjoint from $S_{0}$ then $\left(f^{-n}\right)(B(x, r))$ converge uniformly to $J$. The analogy with Hénon maps can be continued. The attracting point at infinity, $p=[1: 0: 0]$ for the Hénon map $h=\left[z^{2}+c t^{2}+a w t: z t: t^{2}\right]$, plays the role of $S_{0}$.

## 5 Positive closed currents on $J$ and $K^{-}$.

### 5.1 Invariant currents on $K^{-}$

We first introduce a class of invariant currents supported on $K^{-}$and study their intersection with $T$.

We recall first the definition of the direct image or push forward of a current. Let $R$ be a current on a smooth manifold $M$. Assume $g: M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth proper map, $N$ a smooth manifold. The direct image $g_{*} R$ of $R$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
<g_{*} R, \phi>:=<R, g^{*} \phi> \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any test form $\phi$. Observe that $g_{*}$ preserves the dimension of the current, i.e. the type of the forms $\phi, g^{*} \phi$.

Similarly we would like to to define the pull-back of the current $R$ on $N$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
<g^{*} R, \phi>=<R, g_{*} \phi> \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a smooth test form and $g_{*} \phi$ is the current defined in (1). This works if $g$ is a diffeomorphism, in fact $g_{*} \phi=\left(g^{-1}\right)^{*} \phi$, so is a smooth test form also.

This works also well if $g$ is a finitely sheeted unbranched covering. In this case

$$
g_{*} \phi=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(g_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*} \phi
$$

where $g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, g_{k}^{-1}$ are the local inverses of $g$, so is also a smooth test form which can hence be paired with $R$.

Unfortunately, we will use maps $g: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ which are holomorphic of degree $d \geq 2$ and are always branched.

If $R$ is a closed, positive $(1,1)$ current on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, then $\pi^{*} R=d d^{c} u$ where $u$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. We define $f^{*} R$ by the equation $\pi^{*} f^{*} R=$ $d d^{c}(u \circ F)([\mathrm{FS} 3])$. Let $Z=f(C)$ be the branch locus of $f$, here $C$ denotes the critical set. Then

$$
f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash f^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash(Z)
$$

is a finitely sheeted covering map and $f^{*} R$ is classically defined as a current on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash f^{-1}(Z)$ using the relation

$$
<f^{*} R, \phi>=<R, f_{*} R>.
$$

The two definitions coincide on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash f^{-1}(Z)$. When $R$ gives no mass to $Z$ then $f^{*} R$ as defined in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is just the trivial extension to $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash f^{-1}(Z)$.

For a positive current $R$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ we have $\operatorname{supp}_{\nrightarrow} R=f(\operatorname{supp} R)$ : The fact that $\operatorname{supp} f_{*}(R) \subset f(\operatorname{supp} R)$ is valid for all currents. Suppose $x=f(y) \in f(\operatorname{supp} R)$
and let $\phi$ be a positive form supported in a neighborhood of $x$. Then $f^{*} \phi>0$ near $y$ and $<f_{*} R, \phi>=<R, f^{*} \phi \gg 0$. So $x$ is in the support of $f_{*} R$.

Recall also that for a positive closed $(1,1)$ current $R$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ the mass $\|R\|$ of $R$ is given by

$$
\|R\|=\int R \wedge \omega
$$

It is possible to choose a plurisubharmonic function $u$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ satisfying $u(\lambda z)=$ $c \ln |\lambda|+u(z)$, with $c=\|R\|$ and $d d^{c} u=\pi^{*} R$. See [FS3].

Claim 5.1 A potential $v$ for $\pi^{*}\left(f_{*} R\right)$ is given by
$v(z)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{F\left(z_{i}\right)=z} u\left(z_{i}\right)$ counted with multiplicity.
Proof. Obvious.
Observe that for a positive current $R$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ we have the formula

$$
f_{*} f^{*} R=d^{2} R
$$

Given $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}_{d}$. We assume $f$ is $s-$ hyperbolic on $\Omega$. We consider the set $\mathscr{S}$ of positive closed $(1,1)$ currents $S$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $\|S\|=1$ such that $f_{*} S=d S$ and support of $S$ is disjoint from the support of $\mu$ which is equal to $S_{2}$ (since $f$ is $s$ - hyperbolic).

Theorem 5.2 Assume that $f$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. The set $\mathscr{S}$ is a nonempty convex weakly compact set. The currents in $\mathscr{S}$ are supported in $K^{-}$.

Proof. Let $R$ be any positive closed current, $\|R\|=1$, with support disjoint from $S_{2}$. Such currents exist. For example $R=\frac{[C]}{\|C\|}$ where [C] is the current of integration on the critical set of $f$. We can assume that $\operatorname{supp} R$ is disjoint from an open set $U \supset S_{2}$ such that $f^{-k}(U) \subset \subset U$ for some positive integer $k$. Consider $\sigma_{N}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{f_{*}^{n} R}{d^{n}}$. Let $\sigma$ be any limit point of the sequence $\sigma_{N}$. Since $\sigma_{N}-\frac{f_{*} \sigma_{N}}{d}=O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ it follows that $f_{*} \sigma=d \sigma$. Since $f\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash U\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash U$ the support of $\sigma$ is disjoint from $U$. If $R$ is a positive closed $(1,1)$ current, then the mass of $f_{*} R$ is the same as $d *$ the mass of $R$ :

$$
\int f_{*} R \wedge \omega=\int R \wedge f^{*} \omega
$$

and $f^{*} \omega=($ degree of f$) \omega+d d^{c} u$ where $u$ is a $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Since $R$ is closed, $\int R \wedge d d^{c} u=0$.

We prove next that the support of any element $S$ in $\mathscr{S}$ is contained in $K^{-}$.
Fix $x_{0} \notin K^{-} \cup S_{2}$. All prehistories of $x_{0}$ cluster only on $S_{2}$. Define $\phi_{n}(x)$ := $\max _{g \in f_{i}^{-n}(x)} \operatorname{dist}\left(S_{2}, y\right)$. Given $\epsilon>0, \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}, S_{2}\right)>2 \epsilon$, there is an $n_{0}$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}, \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)<\epsilon$. By continuity there is an $\epsilon>r>0$ such that for $x \in B\left(x_{0}, r\right), \phi_{n}(x)<\epsilon$. If $\Theta$ is a test form with support in $B\left(x_{0}, r\right), S$ has no mass on $\operatorname{supp}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\Theta)$ when $n \geq n_{0}$, hence $<\left(f^{n}\right)_{*} S, \Theta>=<S,\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \Theta>=0$ so $\langle S, \theta\rangle=0$ since $\left(f^{n}\right)_{*} S=d^{n} S$. It follows that $\operatorname{supp} S \subset K^{-}$. Consequently $\mathscr{S}$ is compact.

The convexity is clear.

Example 5.1 $f_{0}[z: w: t]=\left[z^{d}: w^{d}: t^{d}\right]$. Then $K^{-}=\{z w t=0\}$.
The only positive closed $(1,1)$ currents supported by $K^{-}$are of the form $\alpha[z=0]+\beta[w=0]+\gamma[t=0] \quad([\mathrm{Fe}])$. So the elements of $\mathscr{S}$ are of the form above, with $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$. We will need some estimates for the mass of the push forward of a current.

Proposition 5.3 Let $\tau$ be a positive closed $(1,1)$ current in an open set $U$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\chi \in \mathscr{C}_{0}{ }^{\infty}(U)$. Then there is a constant $A$ (depending on $\chi$ ) and a constant $C$ depending on $f$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)_{*} \chi \tau}{d^{n}}\right\| \leq\|\chi \tau\|+A C\|\tau\|
$$

Moreover $\left\|\frac{f_{*}^{n} \chi \tau}{d^{n}}\right\| \rightarrow \int \chi \tau \wedge T$. If $\tau$ is a relatively compact region in a Riemann surface $R$ and $T_{\mid R}$ has no mass on $\partial \tau$, then $\left\|\frac{f_{x}^{n} \tau}{d^{n}}\right\| \rightarrow \int \tau \wedge T$.

Proof. Let $F$ be a pull back of $f$ to $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. There is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\log |z|-C \leq \frac{1}{d^{n}} \log \left|F^{n}\right| \leq \log |z|+C
$$

So

$$
\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}=\omega+d d^{c} u_{n}
$$

with $-C \leq u_{n} \leq C$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\chi \tau)}{d^{n}}, \omega> & =<\chi \tau, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}> \\
& =<\chi \tau, \omega>+<\chi \tau, d d^{c} u_{n}> \\
& =<\chi \tau, \omega>+<d d^{c} \chi \tau, u_{n}>
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate follows.
The second statment follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\chi \tau)}{d^{n}}\right\| & =<\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\chi \tau)}{d^{n}}, \omega> \\
& =<\chi \tau, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}> \\
& =<\chi \tau, d d^{c} G_{n}> \\
& =<d d^{c}(\chi \tau), G_{n}>
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\chi$ has compact support, $d d^{c}(\chi \tau)$ has measure coefficients. Hence
$\left\|\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\chi \tau)}{d^{n}}\right\| \rightarrow<d d^{c}(\chi \tau), G>=<\chi \tau, d d^{c} G>$. Here $G_{n}, G$ are local potentials. The last statement follows easily.

Proposition 5.4 Let $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}, d \geq 2$, and let $R$ be a positive closed $(1,1)$ current in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\psi \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(U), \psi \geq 0$. Define $R_{n}:=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)_{*}(\psi R)}{d^{n}}$. The sequence $R_{n} \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $c:=\int \psi R \wedge T=0$. If $c \neq 0$, all subsequences have limit points and all the limit points are nonzero positive closed currents of mass $c$. If $U \cap S_{\mu}=\emptyset$, then the limits are supported on $K^{-}$. Moreover $\partial R_{n}$ and $\partial \bar{\partial} R_{n}$ converge to zero in the strong sense of measures.

Proof. We show first that $\left\|R_{n}\right\|$ converge to $\langle\psi R, T\rangle=$ : c. By definition, $\left\|R_{n}\right\|=<R_{n}, \omega>=<\psi R, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}>$. We know from Proposition 5.3 that $\left\|R_{n}\right\|$ will be bounded. We can assume that $U$ is contained in a canonical coordinate chart. So $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}=d d^{c} u_{n}$ where $u_{n}$ are smooth plurisubharmonic functions converging uniformly on compact sets to a function $u$, satisfying $d d^{c} u=T$ ([FS3]). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\psi R, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}> & =<\psi R, d d^{c} u_{n}> \\
& =<d d^{c} \psi \wedge R, u_{n}> \\
& \rightarrow<d d^{c} \psi \wedge R, u> \\
& =<\psi R, d d^{c} u> \\
& =<\psi R, T>
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence any weak limit of $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ has mass $c$. In particular, $R_{n} \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $c=0$.

Assume $c \neq 0$.
Let $\sigma$ be a cluster point of $\left\{R_{n}\right\}, \sigma=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} R_{n_{k}}$. We prove next that $\sigma$ is closed. It suffices to show that $\partial \sigma=0$. Let $\phi$ be a $(0,1)$ test form and let $\chi \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(U)$ be a nonnegative function, $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}(\psi)$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\partial \sigma, \phi> & :=<\sigma, \partial \phi> \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}<R_{n_{k}}, \partial \phi> \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}<\partial R_{n_{k}}, \phi>
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that $\partial$ commutes with $f^{*}$ and Schwarz' inequality, as for Hénon maps ([BS2]), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int \partial R_{n} \wedge \phi\right| & =\left|\int R_{n} \wedge \partial \phi\right| \\
& =\left|\int \frac{f_{*}^{n}(\psi R)}{d^{n}} \wedge \partial \phi\right| \\
& =\left|\int \psi R \wedge \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\partial \phi)}{d^{n}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{d^{n}}\left|\int \psi R \wedge \partial\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \phi\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{d^{n}}\left|\int \partial(\psi R) \wedge\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \phi\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{d^{n}}\left|\int \partial \psi \wedge R \wedge\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \phi\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{d^{n}}\left|\int R \wedge \partial \psi \wedge i \bar{\partial} \psi\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& *\left|\int_{\operatorname{supp}(\psi)} \chi R \wedge\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \phi \wedge \overline{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \phi}\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{d^{n / 2}}\left|\int R \wedge \partial \psi \wedge i \bar{\partial} \psi\right|^{1 / 2}\left|\int \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)_{*}(\chi R)}{d^{n}} \wedge \phi \wedge \bar{\phi}\right|^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have seen that the mass of $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right) *(\chi R)}{d^{n}}$ is uniformly bounded, (Proposition 5.3). Hence we have shown that $\partial \sigma=0$. Notice that the above inequality holds uniformly in $\phi$. Hence we also have shown that $\left\|\partial R_{n}\right\|=O\left(\frac{1}{d^{n / 2}}\right)$, hence goes to zero when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\theta$ is a test function, $<\partial \bar{\partial} R_{n}, \theta>=\frac{1}{d^{n}}<\partial \bar{\partial} \psi \wedge R, \theta\left(f^{n}\right)>$. Hence $\left\|\partial \bar{\partial} R_{n}\right\|=O\left(\frac{1}{d^{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume $U \cap S_{\mu}=\emptyset$. If $x_{0} \notin K^{-}$and $\theta$ is supported near $x_{0}$, $\operatorname{support}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \theta$ is arbitrarily close to $S_{\mu}$, so $<\left(f^{n}\right)_{*}(\psi R), \theta>=0$, for large $n$ and if $\sigma$ is any limit point of $R_{n}$, we have $\langle\sigma, \theta>=0$.

Remark 5.5 If $\psi R \wedge T$ gives no mass to $S_{\mu}$, then cluster points of $R_{n}:=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right) *(\psi R)}{d^{n}}$ are supported on $K^{-}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists $\psi_{1} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right), \psi_{1} \geq 0, \psi_{1} \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $S_{\mu}$ so that $<\psi_{1} \psi R, T><\epsilon$. Using Proposition 5.4 it follows that any weak limit of $\left\{\frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(\psi_{1} \psi R\right)}{d^{n}}\right\}$ has mass $<\epsilon$.

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.4, any weak limit of $\left\{\frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(\left(1-\psi_{1}\right) \psi R\right)}{d^{n}}\right\}$ is supported on $K^{-}$.

Hence any weak limit of $\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\psi R)}{d^{n}}$ has no mass on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash K^{-}$.
Corollary 5.6 Let $R$ be a positive closed $(1,1)$ current in an open set $U$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $\psi \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(U), \psi \geq 0$. Assume $\left\{\sigma_{i}=\frac{\left(f^{n_{i}}\right)_{*}(\psi R)}{d^{n_{i}}}\right\}$ converges to $S$. Then if $\theta \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(V)$ is a test function and $u$ is a continuous plurisubharmonic function on $V$, some open set, $<\theta d d^{c} u, \sigma_{i}>\rightarrow\left\langle\theta d d^{c} u, S>\right.$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\theta d d^{c} u, \sigma_{i}> & =<u, d d^{c}\left(\theta \sigma_{i}\right)> \\
& =<u, d d^{c} \theta \wedge \sigma_{i}>+<u, \theta d d^{c} \sigma_{i}>+2<u, d^{c} \theta \wedge d \sigma_{i}>
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim <\theta d d^{c} u, \sigma_{i}> & =<u, d d^{c} \theta \wedge \sigma> \\
& =<\theta d d^{c} u, \sigma>
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.7 Assume $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{H}_{d}$ is $s$ - hyperbolic. Let $S_{1}^{1}$ be a minimal set. There is a positive closed $(1,1)$ current $\sigma$ supported on $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0},\|\sigma\|=1, f_{*} \sigma=$ $d \cdot \sigma$. In fact $\sigma$ can be taken to be a multiple of a cluster point of the sequence $\sigma_{N}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0}^{N-1} \frac{f_{*}^{n}[\psi D]}{d^{n}}$ where $D$ is any local unstable disc centered at $x$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}_{1}^{1}$ and $\psi \geq 0$ is a test function vanishing in a neighborhood of $\partial D, \psi(x)>0$,

Proof. We can apply Proposition 5.5 to the current $R=[D]$. Let $G$ be a continuous local potential for $T$, i.e. $d d^{c} G=T$. Then $\left.<T, \psi[D]\right\rangle=\int_{D} \psi d d^{c} G$. This integral is not zero because if $G$ were harmonic on $D$, then by ([FS2]), $f_{\mid D}^{n}$ will be normal, but $D$ is an unstable disc and this is impossible. Since $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$ is closed, see Theorem 4.4, we get a current $\sigma$ with the required properties.

### 5.2 Laminar structure on $J$ for $s$-hyperbolic maps

We want to show that locally on $J$ the current $T$ is an integral of currents of integration on stable varieties (a laminar current). For that purpose we first show that $T$ admits a transverse measure on the stable set of a basic set $S_{1}^{j}$, i.e. on $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$.

We introduce some notation and recall some notions.
Let $f \in \mathscr{T} \theta_{d}, d \geq 2$, be an $s$ - hyperbolic map and $S_{1}^{j}$ a basic set of unstable dimension 1. Let $B \subset S_{1}^{j}$ be a flow box centered at a point $p$ of a minimal basic set $S_{1}^{j}$. It can be described as follows:

We can assume that $B$ is relatively open in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ and is homeomorphic to $E * \Delta_{2}$ where $E$ is contained in a holomorphic disc $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ is a holomorphic disc. There is a homeomorphism $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right), \pi: B \rightarrow E * \Delta_{2}$, such that for $x \in E \subset \Delta_{1}, \pi_{1}^{-1}(x)$ is a local stable manifold of a point in $S_{1}^{j}$ which is a holomorphic graph over $\Delta_{2}$. We can assume that $\pi_{2}$ is the restriction to $B$ of a holomorphic projection and hence is defined on a neighborhood of $B$, but $\pi_{1}$ is just continuous, we will identify $B$ and $E * \Delta_{2}$.

Given two points $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime} \in \Delta_{2}$, there is a natural map $\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}: \pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta) \rightarrow \pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)$. The point $\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}(y)$ is determined by the relation $\pi_{1}\left(\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}(y)\right)=\pi_{1}(y)$.

Assume that for each $\zeta \in \Delta_{2}, \mu_{\zeta}$ is a Radon measure on $\pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta)$. Such a family is said to be a transverse measure if and only if $\mu_{\zeta^{\prime}}=\left(\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}\right)_{*} \mu_{\zeta}$ i.e. $\mu_{\zeta^{\prime}}$ is equal to the direct image of $\mu_{\zeta}$ under the map $\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}$.

Given a positive closed $(1,1)$ current $\tau$ on a neighborhood of a flow box, we define for almost every $\zeta \in \Delta_{2}$ the slice of $\tau$ for $\pi_{2}$ on $\pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta)$, i.e. $<\tau, \pi_{2}, \zeta>$ as follows. If $u$ is a potential for $\tau$, then $\left.<\tau, \pi_{2}, \zeta\right\rangle=d d^{c}\left(u_{\mid \pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta)}\right)$. It is a positive measure. The slice is defined for those $\zeta$ for which $u_{\mid \pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta)}$ is not identically $-\infty$. If $u$ is continuous, which is the case for the current $T$, then the slice is always defined. The slicing formula ( $[\mathrm{Fe}]$ ) in this context asserts that for a test function $\theta$

$$
<\tau \wedge i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}, \theta>=\int<\theta,<\tau, \pi_{2}, \zeta \gg d \lambda(\zeta)
$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\Delta_{2}$.
Theorem 5.8 Let $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}, d \geq 2$, be an $s$-hyperbolic map on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. If $B$ is a flow box around a point in a minimal $S_{1}^{j}$ as described above, then $\mu_{\zeta}:=<T, \pi_{2}, \zeta>$ is a transverse measure on $B$ and

$$
\text { (1) } \quad T_{\mid B}=\int\left[V_{y}\right] d \mu_{\zeta_{0}}(y)
$$

where $\left[V_{y}\right]$ denotes the current of integration on the local stable manifold $\pi_{1}^{-1}(y)=$ [ $V_{y}$ ] and $\zeta_{0}$ is any point in $\Delta_{2}$.

Proof. We prove that $\mu_{\zeta}:=<T, \pi_{2}, \zeta>$ is a transverse measure.
Let $D, D^{\prime}$ be two relatively compact open sets in transverse discs, $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}$, and corresponding to each other under the map $\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}$ i.e. $D \subset \pi_{2}^{-1}(\zeta), D^{\prime} \subset \pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)$ and $D^{\prime} \cap B=\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}(D) \cap B$. The map $\chi_{\zeta^{\prime}, \zeta}$ is not defined on the whole disc, only on the intersection with $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$. We want to show that

$$
\mu_{\zeta}(D)=\mu_{\zeta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)
$$

The idea is to use the fact that if one restricts to $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right), f^{n}(D)=: D^{n}$ and $f^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=: D_{n}^{\prime}$ are very close though they have been expanded in the unstable direction. We can write $D^{n}=\cup D_{i}^{n}$ where $f_{i}^{-n}: D_{i}^{n} \rightarrow D_{i} \subset D$ is well defined and the $D_{i}^{n}$ are disjoint.

We know that if $G$ is the Green function for $\pi^{*} T$ then $G\left(F^{n}\right)=d^{n} G$. We identify $D$ with a section over $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. We lift $D^{n}$ by applying $F^{n}$ to the lift of $D$ and identifying with $f^{n}$. So if $f_{i}^{-n}$ is defined we get $G\left(f_{i}^{-n}\right)=G / d^{n}$. It follows, using the change of variables formula, that

$$
\frac{1}{d^{n}} \int_{D_{i}^{n}} d d^{c} G=\int_{D_{i}^{n}}\left(f_{i}^{-n}\right)^{*}\left(d d^{c} G\right)=\int_{D_{i}} d d^{c} G
$$

Summing over $i$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{d^{n}} \int_{f^{n}(D)}\left(d d^{c} G\right)=\int_{D} d d^{c} G
$$

Let $\mu_{\zeta}:=<T, \pi_{2}, \zeta>$.
Let $\Sigma_{n}:=f^{n}(\Delta), \Sigma_{n}^{\prime}:=f^{n}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\chi$ be a test function on $\Sigma_{n}$ with value one on $D_{n}$ and supported on a small neighborhood of $\overline{D_{n}} \cap W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{n}$. Since $\left(D_{n}, \Sigma_{n}\right)$ and $\left(D_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are close and we have uniform expansion, we can assume that there is a function $\chi^{\prime}$ close to $\chi$ in $\mathscr{C}^{2}$ norm with the same properties with respect to $\left(D_{n}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_{n}} d d^{c} G & \leq \int \chi d d^{c} G \\
& =\int d d^{c} \chi G \\
& =\int d d^{c} \chi^{\prime} G+\epsilon \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int \chi^{\prime} d d^{c} G+\epsilon \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{D_{n}^{\prime}} d d^{c} G+2 \epsilon \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\chi$ has support close enough to $D_{n}$. Hence $d^{n} \mu_{\zeta}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right)=d^{n} \mu_{\zeta}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right)+2 \epsilon \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. So since $\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{n}^{\prime}\right)=O\left(d^{n}\right)$, (see Proposition 5.4) we get $\mu_{\zeta}(D)=\mu_{\zeta}\left(D^{\prime}\right)+o(1)$. But $n$ can be chosen arbitrarily large, hence $\mu_{\zeta}(D)=\mu_{\zeta}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$.
i.e. $\left\{\mu_{\zeta}\right\}$ is a transverse measure.

We prove now that $T$ is laminar on $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ near $S_{1}^{j}, S_{1}^{j}$ minimal, i.e. that the representation formula given by the theorem is valid.

The slicing formula for $T$ in $B$ gives that for a test function $\theta$

$$
<T \wedge i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}, \theta>=\int<\theta,<\tau, \pi_{2}, \zeta \gg d \lambda(\zeta)=\int\left(\int \theta d \mu_{\zeta}(y)\right) d \lambda(\zeta)
$$

Since the measure $\left(\mu_{\zeta}\right)$ is transverse, we get that $\left.\mu_{0}:=\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}<T, \pi_{2}, \zeta\right\rangle$ is independent of $\zeta$. If we identify $T \wedge i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}$ with a measure, Fubini's theorem gives that

$$
(1)<\pi_{*}(T \wedge i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}), \phi>=\int\left(\int \phi d \lambda(\zeta)\right) d \mu_{0}(y)
$$

Hence $<T, \theta i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}>=\int_{E}\left(\int_{\pi_{1}^{-1}(y)} \theta d \lambda(\zeta)\right) d \mu_{0}(y)$. So $<T, \theta i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta}>=$ $\int<\theta i d \zeta \wedge d \bar{\zeta},\left[V_{y}\right]>d \mu_{0}(y)$. Perturbing the projection $\pi_{2}$ we show that this identity holds as well for all $(1,1)$ test forms. Hence $T=\int\left[V_{y}\right] d \mu_{0}(y)$.

Remark 5.9 We use the same hypothesis as in Theorem 5.9. Let $U$ be a relatively open set in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ (and hence in $J$ ) on which some $f^{n}$ is a homeomorphism (where $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal), so that $f^{n}(U)=B$, a flow box, centered at a point of $S_{1}^{j}$. Furthermore we assume that $U$ and $\Delta$ are disjoint from $\cup_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(C)$, where $C$ is the critical set. Let $\Delta$ be a disc cutting $U$ such that $f^{n}(\Delta \cap U)$ is a level set of $\pi_{2}$ in $B$, cutting all across $B$ and $f^{n}$ is $1-1$ on $\Delta$. a. We have

$$
d \mu_{\Delta}:=d d^{c}\left(G_{\mid \Delta}\right)=\frac{1}{d^{n}} d d^{c}\left(\left(G \circ f^{n}\right)_{\mid \Delta}\right)=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}}{d^{n}}\left[d d^{c}\left(G_{\mid f^{n}(\Delta)}\right)\right]_{\mid \Delta} .
$$

So using the functional equation for $T$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\mid U} & =\left(\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} T / d^{n}\right)_{\mid U} \\
& =\left(\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} T_{\mid B} / d^{n}\right)_{\mid U} \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}}{d^{n}}\left\{\int\left[V_{y}\right] d \mu_{0}(y)\right\}\right)_{\mid U} \\
& =\int\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}\left[V_{y}\right] d d^{c} G_{\mid \Delta} \\
& =\int\left[W_{y}\right] d \mu_{\Delta}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $W_{y}$ denotes the preimage of $V_{y}$ under $f^{n}$.

### 5.3 Convergence results for currents on $J^{-}$

Theorem 5.10 Let $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}, d \geq 2$, be an $s$-hyperbolic map on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Assume that $S_{1}^{j}$ is minimal. There is a positive closed $(1,1)$ current $\sigma$ supported on $\overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)}$ satisfying $f_{*} \sigma=d \cdot \sigma,\|\sigma\|=1$, and a neighborhood $U$ of $S_{1}^{j}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with the following property: If $D \subset \subset R$ is a relatively open subset of a Riemann surface $R$ in $U, R$ is transverse to the stable direction at every point in $R \cap J$, and $T_{\mid R}$ has no mass on $\partial D$, then $\left(\frac{f_{*}^{n}([D])}{d^{n}}\right)$ converges to $\left(\int D \wedge T\right) \sigma$.

Proof. We let $\sigma$ be given as in Corollary 5.7. In particular the proof will show that $\sigma$ is unique. Because of Proposition 5.4, it suffices to consider the case when $R$ is a local graph over an unstable manifold, transverse to the stable direction on $R \cap J$. Observe that if $R$ does not intersect $J$, then $\frac{f_{*}^{n}([D])}{d^{n}} \rightarrow 0$. Hence we can assume that $R$ is a local graph over the unstable direction.

We want to prove that if $D \subset \subset R$ and $D^{\prime} \subset \subset R^{\prime}$ are two such regions with $\int D \wedge T=\int D^{\prime} \wedge T$, then the limits of

$$
\frac{f_{*}^{n}(D)}{d^{n}} \text { and } \frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}{d^{n}}
$$

exist and are the same.
Assume first that $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are two such regions cutting across the flow box $B$ and $D \cap J, D^{\prime} \cap J \subset B$. Denote $\sigma_{n}:=\frac{f_{*}^{n}(D)}{d^{n}}, \sigma_{n}^{\prime}:=\frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}{d^{n}}$.

We want to show that $\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ weakly. By the contraction in the stable direction, the sets $f^{n}(D) \cap W^{s}\left(S_{j}^{1}\right)$ and $f^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \cap W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)$ get closer and closer. The areas of $f^{n}(D)$ and $f^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ are bounded above by $C d^{n}$ and bounded below by $c_{1} d^{n},\left(c_{1}>0\right)$ by Proposition 5.3. Fix $0<\epsilon \ll 1$. Let $K \subset \subset D, K^{\prime} \subset \subset D^{\prime}$ be smaller regions so that $\int_{D \backslash K} T<\epsilon, \int_{D^{\prime} \backslash K^{\prime}} T<\epsilon$ with $T_{\mid R}$ having no mass on $\partial K$, and similarly for $\partial K^{\prime}$. There is a fixed $r>0$ such that for $n$ sufficiently large and for every $x \in f^{n}(K) \cap J$ there is a disc of radius $r, \Delta(x, r) \subset f^{n}(D)$ which is a graph over a similar disc $\Delta\left(x^{\prime}, r\right) \subset f^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\phi$ be a test form in a small neighborhood of $S_{1}^{1}$. We can assume that the support of $\phi$ has diameter less than $\frac{r}{10}$. Then $f^{n}(D) \supset \cup \Delta_{j} \supset f^{n}(K \cap J)$ and
$f^{n}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \supset \cup \Delta_{j}^{\prime} \supset f^{n}\left(K^{\prime} \cap J\right)$ with $\Delta_{j}$ and $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta_{j}$ is a graph over $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ close to $\Delta_{j}^{\prime}$.

We then get

$$
<\frac{f_{*}^{n}(D)}{d^{n}}, \phi>=\frac{1}{d^{n}} \sum<\Delta_{j}, \phi>+<A_{n}, \phi>
$$

where $\left|<A_{n}, \phi>\right| \leq \frac{\left\|f_{*}^{n}(D \backslash K)\right\|}{d^{n}} \leq 2 \epsilon$ for large $n$.
We have a similar formula for $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)_{*}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}{d^{n}}$ and $\Delta_{j}, \Delta_{j}^{\prime}$ are uniformly close. It follows that $\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ in a neighborhood of $S_{1}^{j}$.

Since this is true for all subsequences, using that the limit set of $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ respectively $\left\{\sigma_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ is forward invariant and that positive closed currents have no mass at isolated points, we get that $\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int D \wedge T & =\lim _{n} \int D \wedge \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}} \\
& =\lim _{n} \int \frac{f_{*}^{n} D}{d^{n}} \wedge \omega \\
& =\lim _{n} \int \sigma_{n} \wedge \omega \\
& =\lim _{n} \int \sigma_{n}^{\prime} \wedge \omega \\
& =\int D^{\prime} \wedge T
\end{aligned}
$$

By varying the flow boxes and letting $D^{\prime}$ be a slice of $\pi_{2}$, we see that $T_{\mid R}$ agrees with the push forward of the transverse measure to $R$.

Next we consider the case where $D \cap J$ and $D^{\prime} \cap J$ are contained respectively in two flow boxes $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, but not necessarily cutting all across. We can cover a neighborhood $V$ of $S_{1}^{j}$ by a finite union of not necessarily disjoint small flow boxes.

We choose the flow boxes $B$ by using small discs $\delta$ in local unstable manifolds for which $\partial \delta$ has 0 transverse measure, and then the base of $B$ is $\delta \cap J$.

Fix a $0<r \ll 1$ but $r$ much larger than the diameter of the flow boxes. We will define a refined collection $\mathscr{C}$ of flow boxes: If $B^{\prime}$ is a flow box closer to $B$ than $r$, there is a natural projection of $B^{\prime}$ to the local unstable manifold containing $\delta$. We can let $B_{1}, B_{2}$ be the two flow boxes in $B$ obtained by taking as base the projection of $B^{\prime}, \pi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \cap \delta$ and $\delta \backslash \overline{\pi\left(B^{\prime}\right)}$ respectively.

We allow all flow boxes $\subset B$ which are intersections of such subsets. Then $\mathscr{C}$ contains finitely many flow boxes.

By having the original flow boxes $B$ extended in the stable direction, we may assume that if $x \in f^{n}(R) \cap B$ for some flow box $B$, then $f^{n}(R)$ contains a neighborhood of $x$ cutting all across some flow box ( $x$ might be too near the "top" of $B$ for $f^{n}(R)$ to cut across $B$ ).

Fix $0<\epsilon \ll 1$. Let $A_{\epsilon}$ be a neighborhood of $\partial D$ in $D$ of width $\epsilon$. Since the mass of $T_{\mid R}$ on $\overline{A_{\epsilon}}$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, it follows that the mass of $\frac{f_{n}^{n}\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)}{d^{n}}$ is also arbitrarily small (see Proposition 5.4). Fix $n_{0}$ such that if $f^{n_{0}+\ell}\left(D \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right)$ hits a flow box $B_{i}$ in $x$, then $f^{n_{0}+\ell}(D)$ contains a disc through $x$ cutting all across some $B_{j}$.

By transitivity of $f$ on $S_{1}^{j}$, we can choose $N$ such that $f^{n+N}\left(D \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right)$ hits all the flow boxes for any $n \geq 0$. In the discs $D, D^{\prime}$ we have a pairing between regions which end up as a union of the same number of graphs in the same flow box, we know by the first step that these pieces give the same limit, we cover in this way in $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ a region $R=\cup D_{i}, R^{\prime}=\cup D_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $\int R \wedge T=\int R^{\prime} \wedge T \geq \frac{\alpha}{d^{n}+\mathbb{N}+N}$ where $\alpha$ is a fixed constant. Indeed $\left(\int R \wedge T\right) d^{n_{0}+N}=\int R \wedge\left(f^{n_{0}+N}\right)^{*} T=\int f_{*}^{n_{0}+N} R \wedge T \geq$ $\alpha>0$ because $f_{*}^{n_{0}+N} R$ cuts all the flow boxes.

We continue the process by considering the image of $D, D^{\prime}$ under the map $f^{2\left(n_{0}+N\right)}$, we have new regions in $D \backslash R, D^{\prime} \backslash R^{\prime}$ which end up as graphs in the same flow box. We pair them extending the regions that are already paired.

In a finite number of steps we exhaust arbitrarily large fractions of $\int T \wedge$ ( $D \backslash A_{\epsilon}$ ) or of $\int T \wedge\left(D^{\prime} \backslash A_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)$. Then the remaining regions $B_{\epsilon}, B_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ satisfy $\int T \wedge B_{\epsilon}=\int T \wedge B_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=o(1)$ (going to zero when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ). So we get that for any test form $\phi$

$$
\left|<\sigma_{n}, \phi>-<\sigma_{n}^{\prime}, \phi>\right|=o(1) .
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary $\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$.
Instead of two discs we consider the family of currents $\frac{f_{x}^{m}[D]}{d^{m}}$. We want to show that the sequence is convergent. We consider an annulus $A_{\epsilon}$ in $D$ as before and observe that if $f^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D} \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right)$ intersects a flow box $B$ and $m \geq n_{0}$ then $f^{m}(D) \cap B$ continues across some $B^{\prime}$. We can apply the above procedure to the sets $d^{m_{1}-m_{2}} f_{*}^{m_{2}}(D)$, (i.e. $d^{m_{1}-m_{2}}$ copies of $f_{*}^{m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{D})$ ) and $f_{*}^{m_{1}}(\boldsymbol{D}), m_{1}>m_{2}>\ell N+n_{0}$ where $\ell$ is the number of pairings needed to exhaust most of $\int D \wedge T$. After dividing the sequences by $\frac{1}{d^{m_{1}}}$ we get that $\frac{f^{m_{1}}(D)}{d^{m_{1}}}$ and $\frac{f^{m_{2}}(D)}{d^{m_{2}}}$ are close in the sense of currents.

It follows that $\frac{f^{n}(D)}{d^{n}}$ has as limit $\sigma_{c}$ which must necessarily be $\left(\int D \wedge T\right) \sigma$.
Corollary 5.11 If $\theta$ is a test function and $D$ is as in Theorem 5.11, the sequence

$$
\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\theta[D])}{d^{n}} \rightarrow c \sigma, c=\int \theta[D] \wedge T .
$$

Proof. We can replace $\theta[D]$ by a finite sum of the type $\sum c_{j}\left[D_{j}\right], c_{j}$ constants approximating $\theta$ on $D_{j}$. Theorem 5.10 applies because we have an a priori estimate of the errors under push forward, Proposition 5.4.

### 5.4 Convergence results for currents on $J$

Theorem 5.12 Let $S_{1}^{j}$ be a minimal basic set for an $s$ - hyperbolic map $f \in$ $\mathscr{H}_{d}, d \geq 2$. Let $D \subset \subset R \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a region in a Riemann surface $R, D$
intersecting $S_{1}^{j}$ at a point $a$. Then $[D]$ is a closed current in some open set $U$. We assume $U$ is contained in a coordinate chart. Let $\rho \geq 0$ be a test function supported in $U$. Assume $D \cap \operatorname{supp}(\rho)$ is not contained in $\overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)}$. We suppose that the tangent space of $D$ is close to the stable direction at every point of $D \cap J$. Let $u$ be a local potential for a current $\sigma$ (as in Theorem 5.11) associated to $S_{1}^{j}$ in $U$. Then the sequence of currents $\tau_{n}:=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\rho[D])}{d^{n}}$ converges to $c T$ on the open set $\Omega:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left(S_{2} \cup_{j \neq 1} W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)\right)$ where $c=\int_{D} \rho d d^{c} u$.

Proof. We first prove the result for a $(1,1)$ current $\tau$ which is positive closed in an open set $V$ and such that $\tau=\int\left[D_{\alpha}\right] d \nu(\alpha)$ where $D_{\alpha}$ are discs and $\nu$ a probability measure, and the discs $D_{\alpha}$ are graphs over a disc $D_{0},\left(w=h_{\alpha}(z)\right)$. We will also assume that the potential $v, v(z, w)=\int \log \left|w-h_{\alpha}(z)\right| d \nu(\alpha)$, such that $d d^{c} v=\tau$, is continuous in $V$. We assume that $\tau$ extends in this way to a neighborhood of $\bar{V}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a disc in $\Omega$. Let $\theta$ be a nonnegative test function supported in $\Omega, \theta=0$ on a neighborhood of $\partial \Delta$. Define $\sigma_{n}:=\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\theta[\Delta])}{d^{n}}$. We assume that for some large $n, f^{n}(\Delta)$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 5.11. The condition on $\theta$ implies that $\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ converges to $c^{\prime} \sigma$ where $c^{\prime}=\int T \wedge \theta[\Delta]$ (see Corollary 5.12).

We then have $<\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\rho \tau)}{d^{n}}, \theta[\Delta]>=<\rho \tau, \sigma_{n}>$, which converges to $c^{\prime}<\rho \tau, \sigma>$, because the potential of $\tau$ is continuous, see Corollary 5.7. We get, if $\tau_{n}:=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\rho \tau)}{d^{n}}$, that $<\tau_{n}, \theta[\Delta]>\rightarrow<T, \theta[\Delta]><\rho \tau, \sigma>$.

Observe that the convergence is uniform with respect to $\Delta$ as soon as $f^{n_{0}}(\Delta)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.11.

We next show that $\tau_{n} \rightarrow<\rho \tau, \sigma>T$. First we show that $\left(\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|\right)$ is bounded. We observe that $\left\|\frac{f_{x}^{n} \omega}{d^{n}}\right\|=1$. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{f_{*}^{n} \omega}{d^{n}}\right\| & =<\frac{f_{*}^{n} \omega}{d^{n}}, \omega> \\
& =<\omega, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}>=1
\end{aligned}
$$

If $S$ is the limit of some subsequence $\left(\frac{f_{f_{i}}^{n_{i}} \omega}{d^{n_{i}}}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tau_{n_{i}}\right\| & =<\frac{\left(f^{n_{i}}\right)^{*} \rho \tau}{d^{n_{i}}}, \omega> \\
& =<\rho \tau, \frac{\left(f^{n_{i}}\right)_{*} \omega}{d^{n_{i}}}> \\
& =<\rho \tau, S>
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality is a consequence of Corollary 5.6, since the potential of $\tau$ is continuous. Hence $\left(\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|\right)$ is bounded.

We can fatten [ $\Delta$ ] to a current $[\tilde{\Delta}]$ such that $\theta[\tilde{\Delta}]$ has continuous coefficients. If $[\Delta]=1_{\left|z_{1}\right|<1} \delta_{0} d z_{2} \wedge d \overline{z_{2}}$ in local coordinates; we can take $[\tilde{\Delta}]=$ $1_{\left|z_{1}\right|<1} \chi\left(z_{2}\right) d z_{2} \wedge d \overline{z_{2}}$ where $\chi$ is a positive test function.

Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be a cluster point of the sequence $\tau_{n}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We get from the previous discussion that $\tilde{\tau}$ and $<\rho \tau, \sigma>T$ coincide on forms of type $\theta[\tilde{\Delta}]$. We do as observed above. The vector space generated by such forms is dense in the space of $(1,1)$ forms with continuous coefficients near $S_{1}^{1}$. Hence $\tilde{\tau}$ coincide with $<\rho \tau, \sigma>T$ near $S_{1}^{1}$. The cluster values of the sequence $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ are invariant under $f^{*}$, since all of them coincide near $S_{1}^{1}$, we have that $\tilde{\tau}=<\rho \tau, \sigma>T$ in $\Omega$.

When $\tau=[D]$ we cannot say that $<\rho \tau, \sigma_{n}>\rightarrow c^{\prime}<\rho \tau, \sigma>$ because we only have $\sigma_{n} \rightarrow \sigma$ weakly, and the potential of $\tau$ is not continuous. We fatten $D$ into $\tilde{\tau}=\int\left[D_{\alpha}\right] d \nu(\alpha)$ in order that $\tilde{\tau}$ has a local continuous potential. Under $\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}$ each disc $D_{\alpha}$ is expanded along the stable manifold and they get closer and closer near $S_{1}^{1}$. Let $\int_{D_{\alpha}} \rho d d^{c} u=: c(\alpha)$. We can assume the $c(\alpha)^{\prime} s$ are arbitrarily close to $c$. So if $\rho \tilde{\tau}_{n}{ }^{\alpha} \rightarrow \tilde{c} T$ then because the $\left(D_{\alpha}\right)$ have the same behavior $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}\left(\rho D_{\alpha}\right)}{d^{n}} \rightarrow c(\alpha) T$. The $\left(D_{\alpha}\right)$ get closer, the limits are the same up to multiplicative constants and in particular $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\rho[D])}{d^{n}} \rightarrow c T$.
Corollary 5.13 For $a \in S_{1}^{1}, W^{s}(a)$ is dense in $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$.
Proof. Let $D$ be a disc centered at $a$ and contained in $W^{s}(a)$. Let $u$ be a local potential of $\sigma, u$ is pluriharmonic out of $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$. The disc $D$ is not completely contained in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$ hence $u$ is not identically $-\infty$ on $D$. Let $\tau_{n}=\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(D \rho)}{d^{n}}$. We know that $\tau_{n} \rightarrow c T, c \neq 0$ in $\Omega=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left(S_{2} \cup_{j \neq 1} W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right)\right)$, since $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{j}\right) j \neq 1$ is disjoint from $W^{s}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$ we get the result.

### 5.5 Structure of the invariant current $\sigma$ on $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$.

The structure of the current $\sigma$ which is supported on $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$, is not necessarily laminar. Indeed the unstable manifolds might intersect and hence do not give a foliation of $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$. We show here that $\sigma$ is locally an integral of analytic graphs. We first describe "flow boxes" on $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$.
Proposition 5.14 Let $x^{0} \in S_{1}^{1}$, a minimal hyperbolic set for an $s$ - hyperbolic mapf. There are neighborhoods $\Delta=\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2} \subset \Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}=\Delta^{\prime}$ in local coordinates of $x^{0}$ such that the local unstable manifolds intersecting $\Delta$ are graphs over the $\Delta_{1}$ axis with values in $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$.
Proof. We first observe that the unstable direction and the stable direction never coincide at a point $x \in S_{1}^{1}$ since they span the tangent space at $x$. Since the splitting of the tangent bundle is continuous, we get a positive angle between the stable and unstable direction at $x^{0}$ and uniformly in $x^{0}$.

We can assume $\Delta=\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}$ where $\Delta_{1}$ is along the stable direction at $x^{0}$. Using the graph transform, and the construction of unstable manifolds we see that the local unstable manifolds are graphs over $\Delta_{1}$.

Let $A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$ denote the space of holomorphic maps from $\Delta_{1}$ with values in $\Delta_{2}$. We give this space the topology of uniform convergence. For $g \in A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$ we will denote by $V_{g}$ the graph of $g$ and $\left[V_{g}\right]$ the current of integration on $V_{g}$.

Theorem 5.15 Letf be an $s$-hyperbolic map on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $x^{0} \in S_{1}^{1}$, a minimal basic set. In the notation of Proposition 5.15 there is a "flow box" $\Delta$ ' and a positive measure $\lambda$ on $A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that on $\Delta, \sigma=\int\left[V_{g}\right] d \lambda(g)_{\mid \Delta}$, where $V_{g}$ are the local unstable manifolds intersecting $\Delta$.

Proof. Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}$ be the neighborhood containing $x^{0}$, introduced in Proposition 5.15. Let $\Delta^{\prime \prime}=\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ where $\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subset \subset \Delta_{1}$. We define $C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$ as the space of currents $R$ in $\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}$ which can be represented as $R=\int\left[V_{g}\right] d \rho(g)$ where $\rho$ is a finite positive measure on $A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$.

Let $g \in A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. There is a uniform bound on the derivative of $g$ in $\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. It follows that there is a constant $C$ such that if $R \in C\left(\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C} \operatorname{Mass}(\rho) \leq\left\|R \chi_{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}\right\| \leq C \operatorname{Mass}(\rho) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next observe that if $R_{n}$ is a sequence of currents in $C\left(\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ which converges in the sense of currents to a current $R$ in $\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ for some $\Delta_{2} " \supset \overline{\Delta_{2}^{\prime}}$, then the restriction of $R$ to $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ is in $C\left(\Delta_{1}^{\prime \prime} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ : From (1) we deduce that the corresponding measures $\rho_{n}$ have bounded mass. We can assume $\rho_{n} \rightarrow \rho$, a positive measure. Since the problem is local, we can assume we are in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and that $\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}$ is the unit polydisc. Let $u_{n}(z, w)=\int \log \left|w-g_{\alpha}(z)\right| d \rho_{n}(\alpha)$ be the potential of $R_{n}$ in $\Delta_{1} * \mathbb{C}$. For $z_{0} \in \Delta_{1}$ let $\theta_{z_{0}}: A\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{2}$ be the evaluation at $z_{0}$, i.e. $\theta_{z_{0}}(g)=g\left(z_{0}\right)$. We want to show that $u_{n}$ converges in $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ to $u(z, w)=\int \log |w-g(z)| d \rho(g)$. The function $u_{n}\left(z_{0}, \cdot\right)$ is the potential for the measure $\left(\theta_{z_{0}}\right)_{*}\left(\rho_{n}\right)$. If $\rho_{n} \rightarrow \rho, \quad\left(\theta_{z_{0}}\right)_{*} \rho_{n} \rightarrow\left(\theta_{z_{0}}\right)_{*} \rho$, the support of $\left(\theta_{z_{0}}\right)_{*} \rho_{n}$ are contained in a fixed compact. Hence $u_{n}\left(z_{0}, \cdot\right)$ converges to the potential of the measure $\left(\theta_{z_{0}}\right)_{*} \rho$, which proves the claim.

As a consequence $R=\int\left[V_{g}\right] d \rho(g)$.
The current $\sigma$ is obtained as a limit of $\frac{\left[f_{*}^{n}(D)\right]}{d^{n}}$ where $D$ is a Riemann surface in the unstable direction. As in Theorem 5.11 we can consider an annulus $A_{\epsilon}$ near $\partial D$, the mass of $\frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)}{d^{n}}$ is small (Proposition 5.4)for large $n$ and if $f^{n}\left(D \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right)$ hits $\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}$ at $p$ for large $n$, then there is a component of $f^{n}(D) \cap\left(\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ which is a graph over $\Delta_{1}$ and contains $p$. It follows that $\frac{f_{*}^{n}(D)}{d^{n}}$ restricted to $\Delta_{1} * \Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ is approximable in the mass norm by currents in $C\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, by the above arguments, $\sigma=\int\left[V_{g}\right] d \lambda(g)$.

## 6 Invariant measures on minimal invariant sets

Let $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{H}_{d}$ be an $s$ - hyperbolic map. For any $S \in \mathscr{S}$ (see the definition before Theorem 5.3) we can define the measure $\nu_{s}:=T \wedge S$. The wedge product is well defined since $T$ has locally a continuous potential. It follows from ([FS4]) that $\nu_{s}$ is a probability measure.

Let $\sigma$ be the current supported on $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0}$ in Theorem 5.10.
Proposition 6.1 Define $\nu=T \wedge \sigma$. Then $\nu$ is a forward invariant probability measure supported on $S_{1}^{1}$.

Proof. We prove the invariance. Let $\phi$ be a test function. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
<f_{*} \nu, \phi> & =<T \wedge \sigma, f^{*} \phi> \\
& =<\frac{f^{*} T}{d} \wedge \sigma, f^{*} \phi> \\
& =<\frac{\sigma}{d}, f^{*}(T \phi)> \\
& =\lim _{n}<\frac{\sigma}{d}, f^{*}\left(\left(\frac{\left.f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}\right) \phi\right)> \\
& =\lim <\frac{f_{*} \sigma}{d}, \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}} \phi>
\end{aligned}
$$

because the convergence of the local potentials of $\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \omega}{d^{n}}$ is uniform in the above limit. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
<f_{*} \nu, \phi> & =<\sigma, T \phi> \\
= & <T \wedge \sigma, \phi> \\
= & <\nu, \phi>
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $\overline{W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)} \cap J \subset S_{1}^{1}$. So the support of $\nu$ is contained in $S_{1}^{1}$.
Theorem 6.2 The measure $\nu$ is mixing.
Proof. Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two positive test functions with small support in a neighborhood $U$ of $S_{1}^{1}$. We want to show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{n}:=\left(\int \psi\left(f^{n}\right) \phi d \nu\right) \rightarrow\left(\int \psi d \nu\right)\left(\int \phi d \nu\right) \\
I_{n}=\int \psi\left(f^{n}\right) \phi T \wedge \sigma \\
= \\
=\int\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} \psi \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*} T}{d^{n}} \wedge \phi \sigma \\
=<\frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\psi T)}{d^{n}}, \phi \sigma> \\
=
\end{gathered}
$$

The current $\sigma$ was obtained by pushing a disc and averaging. We have seen that the limit does not depend on the disk we start with and that the convergence is uniform for $\left(\frac{f_{*}^{m}(D)}{d^{m}}\right)$ in $U$. It follows that $\sigma_{n}:=\frac{f_{*}^{n}(\phi \sigma)}{d^{n}} \rightarrow c \sigma$ with $c=\int T \wedge \phi \sigma$. Let $G$ be a potential for $T$ in a chart containing $\operatorname{supp} \psi$.
$I_{n}=<\psi T, \sigma_{n}>=<d d^{c} G, \psi \sigma_{n}>=<G, d d^{c} \psi \sigma_{n}>$
Using Proposition 5.5, since $d d^{c} \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 0, d \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 0$, in mass norm we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim I_{n} & =<G, d d^{c} \psi \sigma>c \\
& =<T \psi, \sigma>c \\
& =<\nu, \psi><\nu, \phi>
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 6.3 Let $f$ be an $s$-hyperbolic map in $\mathscr{\mathscr { H }}{ }_{d}$ and let $\nu$ be the measure of Proposition 6.1. Then support $\nu=S_{1}^{1}$.

Proof. We will cover $S_{1}^{1}$ by flow boxes $B_{i}=B_{j, \ell}$. We can assume that $B_{j, \ell} \cap J$ is a union of stable manifolds which are graphs over the $\Delta_{\ell}^{\prime}$ axis.

Let $D \subset R$ be a region cutting all across a flow box such that $D \wedge T \neq 0$, as in Theorem 5.11. Let $A_{\epsilon}$ be a neighborhood of $\partial D$ of width $\epsilon$. Given $0<\epsilon \ll 1$ we choose $n_{0}$ large enough so that for $n \geq n_{0}$ if $f^{n}\left(D \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right)$ intersects $B_{i}$ then the components of the intersection of $f^{n}(D)$ with $B_{i}$ extend as graphs over the $\Delta$ axis (unstable direction) contained in $\tilde{B}_{i}$ (flow boxes slightly enlarged in the $\Delta_{\ell}^{\prime}$ direction).

Let $M$ be the number of boxes $B_{i}$. There is an index $i(n)$ such that $\int \frac{f_{*}^{n}\left(D \backslash A_{\epsilon}\right) \chi_{B_{i}}}{d^{n}} \wedge T \geq \frac{c}{M}$ for all large $n$ where $c$ is a fixed strictly positive constant. Hence $f^{n}(D)$ cuts completely across $\tilde{B}_{i(n)}$ at least $c^{\prime} d^{n}$ times. Since $f$ is transitive and expanding, for some fixed $m, f^{n+m}(D)$ cuts across any $B_{j}$ at least $c^{\prime} d^{n}$ times. Hence $\int_{\tilde{B}_{j}} \frac{f_{*}^{n+m}(D) \wedge T}{d^{n+m}} \geq \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{d^{m}}$. Since $m$ is fixed, we get $(\sigma \wedge T)\left(\tilde{B}_{j}\right) \geq \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{d^{m}}$. We can take the boxes $\left(\tilde{B}_{j}\right)$ of arbitrarily small diameter. So $\operatorname{supp}(\nu)=S_{1}^{1}$.

We consider now the decomposition of the invariant measure $\nu$.
Theorem 6.4 Let $B$ be a small neighborhood of a point $x \in S_{1}^{1}$. Then on $B, T=$ $\int\left[V_{y}\right] d \mu_{0}(y), \sigma=\int\left[V_{g}\right] d \lambda(g)$ and $\nu=T \wedge \sigma=\int\left(\left[V_{y}\right] \wedge\left[V_{g}\right]\right) d \mu_{0}(y) d \lambda(g)$, where the measures $\mu_{0}$ and $\lambda$ are as in Theorems 5.9 and 5.15 respectively.

Proof. We have already proved the representation formulas for $T$ (Theorem 5.9) and $\sigma$ (Theorem 5.15). Since $T$ has continuous potential, if $\sigma_{i} \rightarrow \sigma$ then $T \wedge \sigma_{i} \rightarrow T \wedge \sigma$, so using an approximation to the integral we get that

$$
T \wedge \sigma=\int T \wedge\left[V_{g}\right] d \lambda(g)
$$

If $u$ is a potential for $T$ in a neighborhood of $B$, then $T \wedge\left[V_{g}\right]=d d^{c} u_{\mid V_{g}}$. So

$$
T \wedge \sigma=\int d d^{c} u_{\mid V_{g}} d \lambda(g)
$$

We consider that $u=\lim v_{n}$ where $v_{n}$ are the local potentials for $\sum c_{n}\left[V_{g_{n}}\right], c_{n}$ corresponding to an approximation of $\mu_{0}$ by point masses. Then $d d^{c} u_{\mid V_{g}}=$ $\int\left[V_{y}\right] \wedge\left[V_{g}\right] d \mu_{0}(y)$. This proves the formula for $\nu$.

Corollary 6.5 Assume $S_{1}^{1}$ is minimal. Let $W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$ be the local unstable manifold for the prehistory $\tilde{x}$ with $x_{0} \in S_{1}^{1}$. Then $W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}=\cup_{n \geq 0} f^{n}\left(W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}\right)$ is dense in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $D=W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$. Since $D$ is not contained in $S_{1}^{1}$ and $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cap J=S_{1}^{1}$ we have that $\int T \wedge D=c \neq 0$.

We know $\frac{f_{*}^{n}(D)}{d^{n}} \rightarrow c \sigma$ and support $\sigma$ contains $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$, Theorem 5.10. Hence $W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ is dense in $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$.

Theorem 6.6 Assume that $f \in \mathscr{H}_{d}, d \geq 2$, is an $s-$ hyperbolic map. Let $S_{1}^{1}$ be a minimal basic set. The support of the current $\sigma$ in Theorem 5.11 is equal to $W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right) \cup S_{0}^{\prime}$ with $S_{0}^{\prime} \subset S_{0}$.

Proof. We know from Theorem 6.4 that the support of $\sigma$ contains $S_{1}^{1}$.
The support of $\sigma$ is not contained in $S_{1}^{1}$ because there is an algebraic curve $A$ which does not intersect $S_{1}^{1}$ (see Definition 3.2). But the complement of the support of a nonzero, positive closed $(1,1)$ current is Stein ([FS4]) and hence cannot contain $A$. Hence the support of $\sigma$ must intersect $A$.

Since the support of $f_{*} \sigma=f(\operatorname{supp})=\operatorname{supp}(\sigma)$, then the support is forward invariant. The transitivity of $f$ on $S_{1}^{1}$ implies that for any neighborhood $V$ of a point in $S_{1}^{1}, V \backslash S_{1}^{1}$ has mass for $\sigma$.

We have to consider the possibility that near a point $x_{0} \in S_{1}^{1}$ the mass of $\sigma$ is concentrated near an unstable manifold $W_{\tilde{x}, R}^{u}$ corresponding to a prehistory $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}, \ldots\right)$ but there is no mass along the unstable manifold corresponding to another prehistory $\tilde{x}^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$. By the invariance property all the global unstable manifolds $W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}$ is in the support of $\sigma$. Since $f^{n}$ collapses the direction of unstable manifolds because of contraction in the stable direction, it follows that $f^{n}\left(W_{\tilde{x}}^{u}\right)$ and $f^{n}\left(W_{\tilde{x}^{\prime}}^{u}\right)$ are along the same directions so there is also mass along $W_{\tilde{x}^{\prime}}^{u}$. Hence supp $\sigma \supset W^{u}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$. The support being closed, we add $S_{0}^{\prime} \subset S_{0}$ to get support $\sigma$.

## 7 Examples

Example 7.1 Let $f \in \mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}_{d}$ be defined by

$$
f[z: w: t]=\left[P(z: t): Q(w: t): t^{d}\right]
$$

where $P, Q$ are polynomials of degree $d$ in one variable. Assume that the critical points of $P(z)$ and $Q(w)$ are in the basin of attraction of attracting cycles, i.e. $P, Q$ are hyperbolic on their Julia sets, $J_{P}, J_{Q}$. If we use the inhomogeneous coordinate $t=1$, we get that $S_{2}=J_{P}(z) * J_{Q}(w)$. The basic sets for $S_{1}$ are in $t=1$ \{periodic sinks for $P\} * J_{Q}$ or $J_{P} *\{$ periodic sinks for $Q\}$. We also have the basic set in $t=0$ corresponding to the Julia set for $f_{0}=[P(z: 0): Q(w: 0)]$. Under these assumptions $f$ is $s$-hyperbolic. In these examples the unstable manifold for all prehistories in $S_{1}^{1}$ of a given point coincide and are contained in complex lines. So $J^{-}$is a union of analytic varieties.

Example 7.2 Let $\Phi$ be the Segre map from $\mathbb{P}^{1} * \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. In homogeneous coordinates $\Phi\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[w_{0}: w_{1}\right]\right)=\left[z_{0} w_{0}: z_{1} w_{1}: z_{0} w_{1}+z_{1} w_{0}\right]$. Let $f_{0}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a holomorphic map of degree $d$. There is $f \in \mathscr{\mathscr { H }} \boldsymbol{C}_{d}$ such that $\Phi\left(f_{0}, f_{0}\right)=$
$f \circ \Phi$, see Ueda ([U]). If $f_{0}$ is hyperbolic, then $f$ is hyperbolic. In this case $S_{2}=\Phi\left(J_{0}, J_{0}\right)$ where $J_{0}$ denotes the Julia set of $f_{0}$. The basic sets for $S_{1}$ are of the form $\Phi$ (periodic sink) $* J_{0}$ ). In this case also $J^{-}$is an algebraic variety. In these examples the no-cycle condition is satisfied.

Example 7.3 Perturbations of these examples are quite different. Consider the family of mappings, $0<\epsilon \ll 1$.

$$
f_{\epsilon}[z: w: t]=\left[z^{2}: \frac{1}{10} w t+\frac{z t}{2}+\epsilon w^{2}: t^{2}\right] .
$$

There are three basic sets for $S_{1}$, a circle in $(t=0)$, a quasicircle in $(z=0)$ and in $(t=1)$ we get a solenoid in the region $1-\delta<|z|<1+\delta,|w|<\delta$, where $\delta$ is small if $\epsilon$ is small. The map $f_{\epsilon}$ is injective in a neighborhood of the solenoid so again the unstable manifolds do not have self intersection and indeed there is just one prehistory for a given point.
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