

BLD*-mappings in $W^{2,2}$ are locally invertible*Juha Heinonen · Tero Kilpeläinen**

Received March 31, 1999 / Revised December 12, 1999 /

Published online July 20, 2000 – © Springer-Verlag 2000

Abstract. We prove that mappings of bounded length distortion are local homeomorphisms if they have L^2 -integrable weak second derivatives.

1. Introduction

In this note, we establish the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Every *BLD*-mapping that belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}$ is a local homeomorphism.*

Mappings of bounded length distortion, abbreviated *BLD*-mappings, were introduced and studied by Martio and Väisälä in [MV]: a mapping f from an open subset Ω of \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, into \mathbf{R}^n is a *BLD*-mapping if

$$(1.2) \quad f \in L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \det df(x) \geq c > 0$$

for some constant c and for almost every x in Ω . Here $L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space of (continuous) functions with essentially bounded first distributional derivatives; thus (1.2) is equivalent to the requirement that f is locally uniformly Lipschitz: there is a constant $L \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y|$$

J. HEINONEN

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

T. KILPELÄINEN

Department of Mathematics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35 (Mattiilanniemi D),
40351 Jyväskylä, Finland (e-mail: terok@math.jyu.fi)

The research of the first author is supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant DMS 96/22844). The research of the second author is supported by the Academy of Finland (Project #8597).

whenever x and y lie in a ball contained in Ω . Lipschitz functions are almost everywhere differentiable, and in (1.3) $df(x)$ denotes the total derivative of f .

BLD-mappings form an interesting subclass of general *quasiregular mappings* [Re], [Ri], and they can be characterized by a quasipreserving property of lengths of paths [MV]. (See also [HKM, Chapter 14] and [HR].)

Theorem 1.1 was informally conjectured by Dennis Sullivan in a discussion with the first author. The conjecture was based on the philosophy of [S], and corroborated by the fact that the “winding map” $(r, \theta, w) \mapsto (r, 2\theta, w)$ in cylindrical coordinates belongs (locally) to $W^{2,p}$ for each $p < 2$ and fails to be a local homeomorphism on the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional (linear) subspace $\{r = 0\}$ of \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$.

We recall another conjecture (due to Olli Martio) which predicts the extremality of the winding map: the so-called inner dilatation K_I of the winding map is 2 and, conjecturally, in dimensions $n \geq 3$, every nonconstant quasiregular map with inner dilatation strictly less than 2 is a local homeomorphism. (See [Ri, I.3.1].) In Sect. 3 below we shall show that the conjecture is true for quasiregular maps whose dilatation tensor belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a mapping that satisfies (1.2) and (1.3), and assume that f has second distributional derivatives in $L^2(\Omega)$. By a theorem of Reshetnyak [Re, Thm. II.6.3], f is an open mapping with discrete fibers (where the latter means that for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ consists of isolated points). The branch set B_f is the closed set in Ω , where f does not define a local homeomorphism.

We assume that $B_f \neq \emptyset$, and then show that this leads to a contradiction. By the general theory of discrete and open mappings, the Hausdorff $(n - 2)$ -measure of $f(B_f)$ is positive [Ri, III.5.3]; therefore, because f is locally Lipschitz, we have that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{n-2}(B_f) > 0$$

as well, where \mathcal{H}_{n-2} denotes the Hausdorff $(n - 2)$ -measure in \mathbf{R}^n . (Note that (2.1) is unknown for general quasiregular mappings with nonempty branch set B_f in dimensions $n \geq 4$. See [Ri, III. 5.4.2] and Sect. 3 below.)

The key point in our argument is the following fact: there is an exceptional set E of Hausdorff $(n - 2)$ -measure zero in Ω such that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |df(y) - (df)_{x,r}| dy = 0$$

for $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, where the barred integral sign denotes the integral average, $B(x, r)$ is an open n -ball with center x and radius $r > 0$, and

$$(df)_{x,r} = \int_{B(x,r)} df(y) dy .$$

This fact follows from the Poincaré inequality

$$\left(\int_{B(x,r)} |df(y) - (df)_{x,r}| dy \right)^2 \leq c(n)r^2 \int_{B(x,r)} |d^2 f(y)|^2 dy ,$$

and the following well-known application of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem and basic covering arguments: if $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{n-2} \left(\left\{ x \in \Omega : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} |u(y)| dy > 0 \right\} \right) = 0 .$$

See [EG, p. 141 and p. 77].

By the above discussion, and by (2.1), there is a point $x \in B_f$ such that (2.2) holds. We shall show that this is impossible. The method is a standard blow-up and normal families argument, used before in the study of branch sets, cf. [Re, II.§10], [MRV], [GMRV].

Without loss of generality, we assume that $x = 0 = f(x)$. Consider mappings

$$\begin{aligned} f_r &: \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \\ f_r(x) &= r^{-1} f(rx), \quad r > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{B}^n is the open unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . Because f is locally uniformly Lipschitz, there is a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$f_r(\mathbf{B}^n) \subset B(0, L)$$

for all $r > 0$ small enough. On the other hand, one also has that

$$B(0, 1/L) \subset f_r(\mathbf{B}^n)$$

by standard properties of *BLD*-mappings ([MV, Lemma 4.6]). The mappings f_r are uniformly *BLD* for all small $r > 0$, and it follows that for a subsequence $f_k = f_{r_k}$, the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = F$$

defines a *BLD*-mapping, $F : \mathbf{B}^n \rightarrow B(0, L)$, such that $B(0, 1/L) \subset F(\mathbf{B}^n)$ ([MV, Theorem 4.7]). Because the convergence of the sequence f_k is locally uniform, it follows from the basic degree theory that $0 \in B_F$; that is, F is not a local homeomorphism at 0.

Next,

$$\int_{B(0,r_k)} |df(y) - (df)_{0,r_k}| dy = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |df_k(y) - (df_k)_{0,1}| dy \rightarrow 0$$

by assumption. By passing to another subsequence, we may assume that

$$(df_k)_{0,1} \rightarrow M$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where M is an invertible $n \times n$ -matrix and that

$$df_k(y) \rightarrow M \text{ at a.e. } y \in \mathbf{B}^n,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that all the matrices in question lie in a compact set of $n \times n$ -matrices with definite positive distance from the zero locus of the determinant function.

Because $f_k \rightarrow F$ uniformly and $df_k \rightarrow M$ a.e., we must have that

$$dF(y) = M \text{ for a.e. } y \in \mathbf{B}^n.$$

But this means that, up to a linear change of coordinates, F is a conformal mapping if $n \geq 3$, or an analytic function if $n = 2$. (This follows from the generalized Liouville theorem for $n \geq 3$ [Re, II §5.9], and from Weyl’s lemma if $n = 2$.) In either case F must be a local homeomorphism, contradicting the result that $0 \in B_F$. The proof of the theorem is therefore complete.

3. Remarks on the quasiregular case

The argument in Sect. 2 works for general quasiregular mappings with some limitations. We use the terminology of [Ri].

For a (nonconstant) quasiregular map f in \mathbf{R}^n it is natural to consider the *dilatation tensor*

$$G_f(x) = \det df(x)^{-2/n} df(x)^* df(x),$$

defined almost everywhere in the domain of f . Thus, G_f is a bounded matrix valued measurable function with $\det G_f(x) = 1$ almost everywhere. As in Sect. 2, we find that if $G_f \in W^{1,p}$ for some $1 \leq p \leq n$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |G_f(y) - (G_f)_{x,r}| dy = 0$$

for x outside an exceptional set of Hausdorff $(n - p)$ -measure zero. By a recent result of Martio et. al. [MRV, Lemma 3.2], f is locally invertible in a neighborhood of each point x such that (3.1) holds, provided $n \geq 3$. The proof in [MRV] is a blow-up and normal families argument similar to that in the previous section. (Note that $G_f = Id$ for each holomorphic function f so that the dimensional restriction $n \geq 3$ is necessary.) It follows in particular that every nonconstant quasiregular map of a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, is a local homeomorphism if $G_f \in W^{1,n}$. This can be improved somewhat:

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be a nonconstant quasiregular map of a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, with a nonempty branch set B_f . If f is Hölder continuous of order α on B_f and if G_f belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}$, then $p < n - \alpha(n - 2)$.*

Proof. Because $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}(f(B_f)) > 0$ [Ri, III.5.3], the α -Hölder continuity of f on B_f implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha(n-2)}(B_f) > 0$, so that we must have $n - p > \alpha(n - 2)$ by the above discussion. \square

Note that every quasiregular mapping f is locally Hölder continuous of order $\alpha = K_I^{1/(1-n)}$, where $K_I = K_I(f)$ is the *inner dilatation* of f ([Ri, I.2.1, III.1.11]). Thus Proposition 3.2 is never vacuous.

If $n = 3$, then $B_f = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{H}_1(B_f) > 0$ for all discrete and open maps, in particular for nonconstant quasiregular maps, by [MR, 2.20]. Moreover, if $K_I(f) < 2$, then f is locally Lipschitz continuous on B_f by a theorem of Martio [Ri, III.4.7]. We thus have the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Let f be a nonconstant quasiregular map of a domain in \mathbf{R}^n with dilatation tensor G_f in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$. If either $n = 3$, or $n \geq 4$ and the inner dilatation K_I of f is less than 2, then f is locally invertible.*

For $n = 3$ Theorem 3.3 improves earlier results of Iwaniec [I], Manfredi [M], Gutlyanskii et. al. [GMRV], and Martio et. al. [MRV]. Because the dilatation tensor of the winding map belongs (locally) to $W^{1,p}$ for all $p < 2$, it is tempting to believe that each nonconstant quasiregular map f with $G_f \in W^{1,2}$ is locally invertible in all dimensions $n \geq 3$.

References

- [EG] Evans, L.C., Gariepy, R.F., Measure theory and fine properties of functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [GMRV] Gutlyanskii, V.Y., Martio, O., Ryazanov, V., Vuorinen, M., On the local injectivity and asymptotic linearity of quasiregular mappings, *Studia Math.* **128** (1998), 243–271.
- [HKM] Heinonen, J., Kilpeläinen, T., Martio, O., Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [HR] Heinonen, J., Rickman, S., Geometric branched covers between generalized manifolds, preprint Univ. Helsinki **240** (1999).
- [I] Iwaniec, T., Regularity theorems for solutions of partial differential equations for quasiconformal mappings in several dimensions, *Dissertationes Mathematicae CXCVIII* (1982), 1–48.
- [M] Manfredi, J.J., Regularity of minima of functionals with p -growth, *J. Diff. Eq.* **76** (1988), 203–212.
- [MR] Martio, O., Rickman, S., Measure properties of the branch set and its image of quasiregular mappings, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.* **541** (1973), 1–16.
- [MRV] Martio, O., Ryazanov, V., Vuorinen, M., *BMO* and injectivity of space quasiregular mappings, *Math. Nachr.* **205** (1999), 149–161.
- [MV] Martio, O., Väisälä, J., Elliptic equations and maps of bounded length distortion, *Math. Ann.* **282** (1988), 423–443.

- [Re] Reshetnyak, Yu. G., Space mappings with bounded distortion, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs 73*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
- [Ri] Rickman, S., *Quasiregular mappings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [S] Sullivan, D., Exterior d , the local degree, and smoothability; In: *Prospects of topology*, Quinn, F. (ed.) Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1995.