# On the Asymptotic Behavior of Wightman Functions in Space-Like Directions\* \*\*

IRA HERBST

The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Received January 3, in revised form May 9, 1972

Abstract. The asymptotic behavior of the truncated vacuum expectation value of a product of N (unbounded) quasilocal operators,  $F(x) = \langle Q_1(x_1) \dots Q_N(x_N) \rangle_T$ , is investigated for some of the separations space-like. It is shown that unless all clusters  $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}\}$  are partially time-like (or light-like) separated from their complements  $\{x_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, x_{i_N}\}$ , F(x) decreases faster than any inverse power of the diameter of the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ .

### I. Introduction

The asymptotic behavior of the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of a product of field operators,  $\langle 0|\varphi(x_1)...\varphi(x_N)|0\rangle$ , has been studied by many authors [1-5] for some of the separations,  $x_i - x_j$ , space-like. Although rapid decrease of the truncated VEV (after smearing with rapidly decreasing test functions) has been proved for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_N)$  in some regions of  $\mathbb{R}^{4N}$ , there does not seem to be any general statement of the space-like asymptotic behavior of this function available in the literature<sup>1</sup>. In this note we extend the method of Ruelle [3] to show fast decrease in a much larger region of  $\mathbb{R}^{4N}$ .

# **II.** Definitions and Results

We consider a scalar Wightman field [7],  $\varphi(x)$ , and define the "quasilocal" operators

$$Q_i(0) = \int \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M_i} d^4 y_j\right) f_i(y_1, \dots, y_{M_i}) \,\varphi(y_1) \dots \varphi(y_{M_i}) \,, \tag{1}$$

for i = 1, ..., N. Here  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , the Schwartz space of infinitely differentiable functions which decrease (along with all derivatives) faster than any

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We thank R. Haag for pointing out the work of H. Araki [6] whose results for the truncated VEV of *bounded* operators are essentially equivalent to our Theorem 1. We remark that Araki's proof does not generalize to unbounded operators.

I. Herbst:

inverse power of the distance. We denote the translated  $Q_i(0)$  by  $Q_i(x)$ . In addition to the Wightman axioms [7] we assume there is a gap in the spectrum of  $P^2$  between the vacuum and a lowest mass. The object we wish to study is the truncated VEV [8]

$$F(x) = \langle Q_1(x_1) \dots Q_N(x_N) \rangle_T, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N).$$
(2)

In the following the notation  $A \subset B$  will mean that  $A \subseteq B$  but that A is neither empty nor equal to B. We will use a prime to designate the complement of a set.

Define the set  $\mathcal{N} = \{1, ..., N\}$ . We will make use of the diameter, D(x), of the set  $\{x_1, ..., x_N\}$ :

$$D(x) = \max\{ \|x_i - x_j\| : i, j \in \mathcal{N} \}.$$
 (3)

Here ||z|| denotes the Euclidean norm of the vector z. For  $\lambda > 0$  we define the region

$$T_N(\lambda) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{4N} : \text{ for each } X \subset \mathcal{N} \text{ there exist } i \in X , \\ j \in X' \text{ such that } \| \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j \| < \lambda |t_i - t_j| \} .$$

$$(4)$$

Thus for  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $x \in T_N(\lambda)$  means that every cluster  $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}\}$  contains points which are time-like separated from points in its complement. It is in the complement of  $T_N(\lambda)$ ,  $S_N(\lambda) = T'_N(\lambda)$  where we expect F(x)to be rapidly decreasing. Our main result is summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** For any  $\lambda > 1$ , there exist constants  $c_k(\lambda)$  such that for all  $x \in S_N(\lambda)$  and all k

$$|F(x)| < c_k(\lambda) (1 + D(x))^{-k}.$$
(5)

Thus as long as at least one cluster of points separates in a space-like direction from its complement (even if the time-like separation within clusters increases) the truncated function is rapidly decreasing.

It is also instructive to treat more explicitly the situation where individual clusters retain their identity as the space-like separation between them becomes large. In the case of two clusters we are thus led to consider the function

$$G(x) = \langle 0 | Q_1(x_1) \dots Q_k(x_k) E_0^{\perp} Q_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) \dots Q_N(x_N) | 0 \rangle.$$
(6)

Here  $E_0^{\perp} = I - |0\rangle \langle 0|$  and for all  $i \in \mathcal{N}_0 = \{1, ..., k\}$  and  $j \in \mathcal{N}_0'$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\| \ge \lambda |t_i - t_j|.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

The number  $\lambda$  is fixed and > 1. In order to see how the behavior of G(x) is related to that of the truncated VEV we expand G(x) in truncated

VEV's. We first introduce the abbreviation

$$\langle X \rangle_T = \langle Q_{i_1}(x_{i_1}) \dots Q_{i_j}(x_{i_j}) \rangle_T \tag{8}$$

where  $X = \{i_1, ..., i_j\}$  and it is understood that the numbers  $i_1, ..., i_j$  appear in numerical order in Eq. (8). Then we can write

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\text{partitions}} \langle X_{i_1} \rangle_T \dots \langle X_{i_j} \rangle_T \tag{9}$$

where the sum is over a subset of the partitions  $\{X_{i_1}, ..., X_{i_j}\}$  of X into disjoint subsets. The partitions which do not appear in Eq. (9) are those which are subpartitions of  $\{\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_0'\}$ .

Here both the limitations and strengths of Theorem 1 become apparent: Even when x satisfies condition (7), the right hand side of Eq. (9) contains factors of the form (8) with  $(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_j}) \in T_j(1)$ , *i.e.*, in a region where all clusters are partially *time-like* separated from their complements. If  $\langle X \rangle_T$  is not bounded in this region it is easy to see that G(x) can grow even if the condition (7) is satisfied.

In any reasonable theory of interactions, correlations should decrease with increasing time-like separation although at a much slower rate than in space-like directions. Thus on physical grounds we are certainly justified in assuming that for all  $\langle X \rangle_T$  of the form (8)

$$|\langle X \rangle_T| < \text{constant}$$
 (10)

although it is an open question whether (10) follows from the Wightman axioms. As an easy corollary of Theorem 1 we find the following:

**Theorem 2.** If 
$$G(x)$$
 is as given in Eq. (6) and if (10) is satisfied, then with

$$s^{2} = \operatorname{Min}\left\{-(x_{i} - x_{j})^{2} : i \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, j \in \mathcal{N}_{0}'\right\}$$
(11)

there exist constants  $c_n(\lambda)$  such that

$$|G(x)| < c_n(\lambda) (1+s)^{-n}$$
(12)

for all x satisfying condition (7).

# III. Proof of Theorem 1

We first present a lemma concerning the structure of  $T_N(\lambda)$ . In the following a superscript c will denote closure.

Lemma 1. If  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$  then

$$T_N^c(\lambda_2) \subset T_N(\lambda_1) \cup \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{4N} : D(x) = 0 \} .$$

$$(13)$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $x \in T_N^c(\lambda_2)$  if and only if for each  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  there exist  $i \in X, j \in X'$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\| \leq \lambda_2 |t_i - t_j|.$$

#### I. Herbst:

Assuming  $x \in T_N^c(\lambda_2)$  and D(x) > 0 we consider a subset  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ . For each *i* we define the set

$$\mathscr{E}_i = \{ j \in \mathscr{N} : x_i = x_j \}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

and let  $\hat{X} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{S}_i} \mathcal{S}_i$ . Thus  $\hat{X}$  is an enlarged X which contains all integers,

*i*, whose corresponding  $x_i$  is equal to some  $x_j$  with  $j \in X$ . If  $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{N}$  there exist  $k \in \hat{X}, j \in \hat{X}'$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{j}\| \leq \lambda_{2} |t_{k} - t_{j}| < \lambda_{1} |t_{k} - t_{j}|.$$
(15)

If  $\hat{X} = \mathcal{N}$ , we choose any  $j \in X'$  and note the existence of a  $k \in \mathscr{E}'_i$  such that the inequalities (15) are satisfied. In either case there is an  $i \in X$ such that  $x_k = x_i$ . This shows that  $x \in T_N(\lambda_1)$  and hence the lemma is proved.

We now define two measures of the space-like separation between clusters in  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ . Thus for  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  let

$$R_X(x) = \operatorname{Min} \{ \| x_i - x_j \| - |t_i - t_j| : i \in X, j \in X' \},$$
(16a)

$$S_X^2(x) = \operatorname{Min}\{\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 - |t_i - t_j|^2 : i \in X, j \in X'\}$$
(16b)

and define

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \operatorname{Max} \left\{ R_X(x) : X \in \mathcal{N} \right\}, \\ S^2(x) &= \operatorname{Max} \left\{ S_X^2(x) : X \in \mathcal{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma summarizes the relevant relationships between R(x), D(x) and S(x):

**Lemma 2.** For  $\lambda > 1$  there exists  $\varepsilon_N(\lambda) > 0$  such that for all  $x \in S_N(\lambda)$ 

$$\varepsilon_{N}(\lambda) D(x) \leq R(x) \leq S(x) \leq D(x) .$$
(18)

Proof. The only part of (18) which does not follow directly from the definitions is the existence of  $\varepsilon_{\rm N}(\lambda)$ . To show this we define the compact set

$$C_N(\lambda) = S_N(\lambda) \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{4N} : x_1 = 0, D(x) = 1 \}.$$
 (19)

Note that if  $x \in C_N(\lambda)$ , then R(x) > 0, for if  $R(x) \le 0$  then by definition  $x \in T_N^c(1)$  and thus by virtue of Lemma 1,  $x \in T_N(\lambda)$ . Since  $C_N(\lambda)$  is compact and R(x) is a continuous function of x we must have

$$R(x) \ge \varepsilon_N(\lambda) > 0$$

for all  $x \in C_N(\lambda)$ . The translation invariance and scale invariance of our definitions then imply (18).

It is now a simple matter to prove Theorem 1 using the methods of Ruelle [3, 9] in conjunction with Lemma 2. The proof is omitted.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Professor E. Wichmann for discussions and Professor D. Williams for a critical reading of the manuscript.

Asymptotic Behavior

# References

- 1. Araki, H.: Ann. Phys. 11, 260 (1960).
- 2. Jost, R., Hepp, K.: Helv. Phys. Acta 35, 34 (1962).
- 3. Ruelle, D.: Helv. Phys. Acta 35, 147 (1962).
- 4. Araki, H.: Hepp, K., Ruelle, D.: Helv. Phys. Acta 35, 164 (1962).
- 5. Streater, R. F.: J. Math. Phys. 8, 1685 (1967).
- Araki, H.: Einführung in die Axiomatische Quantenfeldtheorie, I. (Lecture notes, ETH, Zürich, 1961/62).
- 7. Streater, R.F., Wightman, A.S.: PCT, spin and statistics, and all that. New York: Benjamin 1964.
- 8. Haag, R.: Phys. Rev. 112, 669 (1958).
- 9. Jost, R.: The general theory of quantized fields. Providence, Rhode Island: Am. Math. Soc. 1965.

Ira Herbst The University of Michigan Department of Physics Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104, USA