

The Frattini module

By

ROBERT L. GRIESS and PETER SCHMID

1. Introduction. This note resulted from an effort to answer the following question of R. Baer. Suppose G is a finite group with a unique minimal normal subgroup N which is nonabelian. If p is a prime dividing $|N|$, does there exist a (finite) faithful $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module A admitting a group extension $A \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ with $A \subseteq \Phi(H)$. This has been answered independently by W. Gaschütz and the authors; the answer is yes.

Throughout the paper, K denotes a field of characteristic p and G is a finite group whose order is divisible by p . All modules are finitely generated. If M is an irreducible (right) KG -module, then P_M is the (principal) indecomposable projective KG -module with M in the head, i.e. with $P_M/P_M J \cong M$ where $J = \Phi_{KG}(KG)$ is the Jacobson radical of KG . K is always regarded as trivial KG -module. $A_K(G)$ denotes the kernel of a projective cover $P_1 \twoheadrightarrow P_K J$; $A_K(G)$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

We recall a theorem by Gaschütz.

Result (Gaschütz [4]). *Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $A = A_K(G)$. Then there exists a Frattini extension $A \twoheadrightarrow \hat{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$, i.e. with $A \subseteq \Phi(\hat{G})$. Any other Frattini extension of G by a (finite) KG -module is an epimorphic image over G of \hat{G} .*

The maximal Frattini extension $A \twoheadrightarrow \hat{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$ can be constructed via free presentations. We give the necessary details in section 3.

In view of Gaschütz's result, $A_K(G)$ is called the Frattini module of G (with respect to K). It is known that $A_K(G) \neq 0$ (since the characteristic p of K divides $|G|$; for a p' -group H , $A_K(H) = 0$) and that $A_K(G)$ is indecomposable (Lemma 1). An irreducible KG -module M has nonvanishing 1-cohomology if and only if M occurs in the socle of $A_K(G)$; $H^2(G, M) \neq 0$ if and only if M occurs in the largest completely reducible factor module ("head") of $A_K(G)$ (Lemma 3).

On the basis of these observations we establish first:

Theorem 1. *The centralizer in G of the socle of $A_K(G)$ is just the greatest p -nilpotent normal subgroup $O_{p'}(G)$ of G .*

This already answers Professor Baer's question, because of Gaschütz's result.

The corresponding statement for the head of the Frattini module is false, in general. A counterexample is provided by the alternating group $G = \text{Alt}(5)$ and $K = \mathbb{F}_2$. On the other hand, if G is p -solvable, $O_{p'}(G)$ is also the centralizer of all irreducible KG -modules with nonvanishing 2-cohomology. This is a consequence of

Theorem 2. *If G is p -solvable, then $\dim H^2(G, M) \geq \dim H^1(G, M)$ for any irreducible KG -module M .*

The proof of Theorem 2 depends upon the famous Fong-Swan theorem and a cohomological property of liftable modules (see section 5).

We are able to characterize the groups G for which $A_K(G)$ is faithful:

Theorem 3. *Let $A = A_K(G)$. Then either $C_G(A) = O_{p'}(G)$ or G is p -supersolvable with cyclic Sylow p -subgroups; the latter happens if and only if $\dim A = 1$ (and so $C_G(A) = O_{p'}(G)$).*

In particular, $A_K(G)$ is faithful if and only if $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and G is not metacyclic having a cyclic normal Sylow p -subgroup.

2. Minimal resolutions. It is convenient to discuss the cohomological aspects of the Frattini module within the context of minimal resolutions. Let (P_0, d_0) be a projective cover of the trivial KG -module K and, inductively for $n \geq 1$, (P_n, d_n) a projective cover of the kernel $Y_{n-1} = \text{Ker } d_{n-1}$. Then the exact sequence

$$\mathfrak{A}_K(G): \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} K \rightarrow 0$$

is called a minimal (KG -projective) resolution of K . By uniqueness of projective covers all Y_n, P_n are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism). Clearly $P_0 = P_K, Y_0 = P_K J$, and $Y_1 = A_K(G)$. By construction all P_n belong to the principal p -block.

Lemma 1. *All Y_n are indecomposable, nonzero, and nonprojective.*

Note our permanent assumption that the characteristic p of K divides $|G|$. A proof of Lemma 1 is very accessible in Gruenberg [6] (see especially Theorem 2.9): $Y_0 \neq 0$ since K is not projective; Y_0 has no proper projective direct summand for this were a direct summand of P_0 contained in $P_0 J$ (projective KG -modules are injective; apply then Nakayama's lemma); Y_0 is indecomposable by a result of Heller [8], because K is indecomposable. Proceed by induction.

It is known that for a projective KG -module P socle and head are isomorphic. (It suffices to handle the case where P is indecomposable. A proof in this situation is outlined in Serre [10], Exercise 14.6.) We make use of this in proving

Lemma 2. *The socle $\text{soc}(Y_n)$ is isomorphic to $P_n/P_n J$ ($n \geq 0$).*

Proof. The claim is evident for $n = 0$; so let $n \geq 1$. We have to show that any minimal submodule M of P_n is contained in Y_n . Let $P = P_M$ be the associated projective direct summand of P_n . Assume $M \not\subseteq Y_n$. Then $P \cap Y_n = 0$ since M is the unique minimal submodule of P . It follows that P is isomorphic to a submodule of

$$P_n/Y_n \cong Y_{n-1} \subseteq P_{n-1} J.$$

Since P is injective, P is isomorphic to a direct summand of Y_{n-1} , contrary to Lemma 1. (Of course, one may also argue directly by means of Nakayama's lemma.)

Let M be a completely reducible KG -module. Then, because of $Y_{n-1} \subseteq P_{n-1} J$, the map $\text{Hom}_{KG}(P_{n-1}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{KG}(Y_{n-1}, M)$ is zero. Since $P_n/P_n J \cong Y_{n-1}/Y_{n-1} J$,

by definition of cohomology via projective resolutions we have thus, for $n \geq 0$, K -isomorphisms

$$(*) \quad H^n(G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(P_n, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(P_n/P_n J, M).$$

This is not new (see for instance [6], Lemma 2.11). Since $Y_1 \cong P_2/Y_2$ is the Frattini module $A = A_K(G)$, (*) together with Lemma 2 yields:

Lemma 3. *Let M be an irreducible KG -module and $D = \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, M)$. Denote by r and s the multiplicities of M in $\text{soc}(A)$ and A/AJ , respectively. Then there are D -isomorphisms $H^1(G, M) \cong D^{(r)}$, $H^2(G, M) \cong D^{(s)}$.*

Changing the field carries minimal resolutions to minimal resolutions. We include the basic argument.

Lemma 4. *Suppose L is an extension field of K . If M is an irreducible KG -module, then $M^L = M \otimes_K L$ is a completely reducible LG -module and*

$$\dim_L H^n(G, M^L) = \dim_K H^n(G, M) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Proof. The existence of a (finite) splitting field (of characteristic p) shows that KG/J is a separable algebra. Observe also that $D = \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, M)$ is a (commutative) field which is separable over K . We may conclude that $J^L = J \otimes_K L$ is the Jacobson radical of $LG = KG \otimes_K L$.

It is now clear that M^L is completely reducible. (In the important case that L/K is a finite Galois extension, M^L is a direct sum of irreducible LG -modules conjugate under the Galois group, each isomorphism type appearing with the same multiplicity.) Moreover, we see that

$$\mathfrak{U}_K(G)^L: \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_2^L \rightarrow P_1^L \rightarrow P_0^L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

is a minimal (LG -projective) resolution of L . Using the natural isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{LG}(P_n^L, M^L) = \text{Hom}_{KG}(P_n, M)^L$ we have thus, in view of (*), isomorphisms of L -vector spaces

$$H^n(G, M^L) \cong \text{Hom}_{LG}(P_n^L, M^L) \cong H^n(G, M) \otimes_K L$$

for $n \geq 0$. This proves the lemma.

As $\mathfrak{U}_K(G)^L = \mathfrak{U}_L(G)$ is a minimal resolution of L , for any extension field L of K , we may infer that all kernels Y_n are indeed absolutely indecomposable. In particular, $A_K(G)$ is absolutely indecomposable. The (exact) scalar extension functor $- \otimes_K L$ takes $A_K(G)$ to $A_L(G)$, preserving the Loewy structures. Essentially we may restrict ourselves, therefore, to the study of the Frattini module of G over the prime field $K = \mathbb{F}_p$.

3. Relation modules. We fix a free presentation $R \mapsto F \twoheadrightarrow G$ with F of finite rank $d(F)$. Let P be a maximal projective submodule of the KG -module $R^K = R/R' \otimes K$, and let $A = R^K/P$. Clearly $R^K \cong P \oplus A$, and A possesses no proper projective summand.

Using the above notation, we have the following

Lemma 5 (Gaschütz [4]). *A is isomorphic to $A_K(G)$, and*

$$P \oplus P_1 \cong P_K \oplus (KG)^{(d(F)-1)}$$

where P_1 is the projective cover of $P_K J$.

For a proof we refer also to Gruenberg [6], Theorem 2.9.

Gaschütz has handled the (crucial) case $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ only. Here we may identify $R^K = R/R' R^p$. Write bars for factor groups modulo $R' R^p$. If $M \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ is a group extension with M a (finite) KG -module and $d(H) \leq d(F)$, then there exists always an epimorphism $\varphi: \bar{F} \twoheadrightarrow H$ "over G ", i.e. making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{R} & \twoheadrightarrow & \bar{F} \twoheadrightarrow G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \parallel \\ M & \twoheadrightarrow & H \twoheadrightarrow G \end{array}$$

commutative ([6], Proposition 6.14). This provides an approach to Gaschütz's other result mentioned in the introduction. One verifies that $A \twoheadrightarrow \bar{F}/P \twoheadrightarrow G$ represents the maximal Frattini p -extension of G . This extension may also be described by taking any minimal supplement of \bar{R} in \bar{F} .

Remarks. Gruenberg has extended the Gaschütz theory to more general coefficient rings. Consider for instance the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -lattice R/R' . Let $R/R' = P \oplus A$ be a projective excision, i.e. P is $\mathbb{Z}G$ -projective and A has no proper projective summand. (Note, however, that the Krull-Schmidt theorem does not hold for $\mathbb{Z}G$ -lattices.) Then A is a faithful $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, provided G is not cyclic ([6], Proposition 5.12). This result has stimulated Theorem 3.

If $A = A_K(G)$ for K a field, as usual, $\dim H^2(G, A) = 1$ by a theorem of Tate (cf. [5], § 11.3), and any nonsplit extension $A \twoheadrightarrow \hat{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$ represents the (unique) maximal essential extension in the category $(\mathbb{K}G)$ discussed in [5], § 11. "Essential" means that any supplement of A in \hat{G} which intersects A in a KG -module coincides with \hat{G} .

Lemma 6. *Let H be a subgroup of G . Then, as a KH -module, $A_K(G) \cong A_K(H) \oplus Q$ for some projective KH -module Q .*

Proof. Consider the free presentation $R \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow G$; let F_0 denote the inverse image in F of H . By Schreier's theorem, $R \twoheadrightarrow F_0 \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a free presentation of H with F_0 of finite rank. From Lemma 5 it follows that there are a projective KG -module P and a projective KH -module P_0 such that

$$A_K(G) \oplus P \cong R/R' \otimes K \cong A_K(H) \oplus P_0$$

as KH -modules. Since P is also KH -projective and since $A_K(H)$ has no proper projective summand (Lemma 1), application of the Krull-Schmidt theorem gives the assertion.

If G is a p -group, the minimum number of generators $d(G) = \dim H^1(G, K)$ equals the dimension of the socle of $A = A_K(G)$, and $\dim A/AJ = \dim H^2(G, K)$ is the minimum number of KG -generators $d_{KG}(A)$ of A . In this case $P_K = KG$, and

$$\dim A = 1 + (d(G) - 1) |G|.$$

Proposition 1. *Let G_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $\dim A_K(G) = 1 + k|G_p|$ for some integer $k \geq d(G_p) - 1$.*

Proof. Straightforward from Lemma 6.

4. Socle and head of $A_K(G)$. By a theorem of Brauer [3], $O_{p'}(G)$ is the centralizer (kernel) of the principal p -block (ideal). Since the Frattini module $A = A_K(G)$ belongs to the principal p -block, we have thus

$$(**) \quad O_{p'}(G) \subseteq C_G(A).$$

It follows that $O_{p'p}(G)$ is contained in the centralizer of both $\text{soc}(A)$ and A/AJ .

Theorem 1. $O_{p'p}(G) = C_G(\text{soc}(A))$.

First Proof. Let $C = C_G(\text{soc}(A))$. By Lemma 3 C is the centralizer of the irreducible KG -modules with nonvanishing 1-cohomology. We know $C \supseteq O_{p'p}(G)$. Assume C is not p -nilpotent. Let $H = O^p(C)$ be the smallest normal subgroup of C with p -factor group. Since H is not a p' -group, application of Shapiro's lemma and the exact cohomology sequence gives the existence of an irreducible KH -module B with $H^1(H, B) \neq 0$. Since $H^1(H, K) = \text{Hom}(H, K) = 0$, B is nontrivial.

The same argument yields a KG -composition factor M of the coinduced module $\text{Hom}_{KH}(KG, B)$ with $H^1(G, M) \neq 0$. By Clifford theory, $C_H(M)$ is the intersection of some conjugates in G of $C_H(B)$. Since B is a nontrivial KH -module, this implies $C_G(M) \not\subseteq H = O^p(C)$, a contradiction.

Second Proof. The following argument is more direct and avoids the use of Shapiro's lemma. Assume again that $H = O^p(C)$ is not a p' -group. Then $A_K(H) \neq 0$ by Lemma 1. By Lemma 6 $A_K(H)$ is isomorphic to a KH -submodule of $A = A_K(G)$. Let B be a minimal KH -submodule of A occurring in the socle of $A_K(H)$. Let $\bar{B} = \sum_{g \in G} Bg$. Since H is normal in G , Bg is a KH -module being G -conjugate to B ($g \in G$). (Compare with Clifford theory.)

Let M be a minimal KG -submodule of \bar{B} . Then M is centralized by H . On the other hand, M is a direct sum of irreducible KH -modules conjugate to B . Consequently $B \cong K$ is a trivial KH -module and so by Lemma 3 $\text{Hom}(H, K) = H^1(H, K) \neq 0$, contradicting $O^p(H) = H$.

The situation for 2-cohomology, i.e. for the head of the Frattini module, is quite different. To illustrate this we give an example.

Example 1. Let $G = \text{Alt}(5)$ be the alternating group of degree 5.

(a) $K = \mathbb{F}_2$.

There are 3 distinct types of irreducible KG -modules, say K, P, X . P is the reduction of the (absolutely) irreducible $\mathbb{Q}G$ -module of dimension 4 coming from the permutation representation of G on 5 letters; P is the Steinberg module and thus projective (cf. [10], § 16.4). X decomposes over \mathbb{F}_4 into 2 distinct absolutely irreducible modules of dimension 2 which are conjugate under a field automorphism. (Note

$G \cong SL(2, 4)$; X is a composition factor of the indecomposable permutation module obtained from the 2-transitive representation of $G \cong PSL(2, 5)$ on 6 letters.) We have $KG = P_K \oplus P_X^{(2)} \oplus P^{(4)}$.

By direct calculation (or looking at the Cartan matrix given in [10], § 18.6) one gets the following Loewy sections for P_K, P_X :

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_K: & \quad K, X, K \oplus K, X, K, \\
 P_X: & \quad X, K \oplus K, X, K \oplus K, X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A = A_K(G)$ has dimension 5, and $A/AJ \cong K, \text{soc}(A) \cong X$. In particular, X is the only irreducible KG -module with nonvanishing 1-cohomology, K the only one with nonvanishing 2-cohomology.

(b) $K = \mathbb{F}_3$.

Here $A_K(G)$ is (absolutely) irreducible of dimension 4.

(c) $K = \mathbb{F}_5$.

Besides the trivial module and the Steinberg module, there is only one irreducible KG -module Y ($\dim Y = 3$). Socle and head of $A_K(G)$ are isomorphic to Y , and $\dim A_K(G) = 6$.

Remark. Baer's problem as formulated at the very beginning can be settled easily if the (unique, nonabelian) minimal normal subgroup N of G has a nontrivial irreducible module X over \mathbb{F}_p with $H^2(N, X) \neq 0$. In general, since N is not p -nilpotent, the Frobenius p -nilpotence criterion and Shapiro's lemma guarantee the existence of an $\mathbb{F}_p N$ -module Y such that $H^2(N, Y) \neq 0$ and $H^0(N, Y) = 0$. The coinduced module $M = \text{Hom}_N(\mathbb{F}_p G, Y)$ satisfies $H^n(G, M) \cong H^n(N, Y)$ for all $n \geq 0$ (Shapiro). Let $M \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ be any nonsplit extension. Then every minimal supplement of M in H represents a faithful Frattini extension of G , as desired.

If G is p -solvable (and K a field of characteristic p , as usual), there is always a nontrivial irreducible KG -module with nonvanishing 2-cohomology, provided G is not p -nilpotent. This will be shown in the next section. Here we handle the following special situation.

Proposition 2. *If the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic, socle and head of $A = A_K(G)$ are irreducible, and $O_{p', p}(G) = C_G(A/AJ)$.*

Proof. Since the Sylow p -subgroups of G are cyclic, by a result of Alperin and Janusz [1] every projective KG -module P_n appearing in the minimal resolution $\mathcal{U}_K(G)$ of K is indecomposable. Hence from Lemmas 1 and 3 it follows that $\text{soc}(A) \cong P_1/P_1J$ and $A/AJ \cong P_2/P_2J$ are irreducible. ($A_K(G)$ is even uniserial here!)

Let $H = C_G(A/AJ)$. By (**) $H \supseteq O_{p', p}(G)$. Assume H is not p -nilpotent. Since the Sylow p -subgroups of H are cyclic, we know that socle and head of $A_K(H)$ are irreducible. From Theorem 1 it follows $H^1(H, K) = 0$, hence $O^p(H) = H$ and $\text{Ext}(H/H', K) = 0$. Furthermore p does not divide the order of the Schur multiplier $H_2(H)$ of H . From the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology we may infer that $H^2(H, K) = 0$. But $A_K(H)$ is isomorphic to a factor module of A (Lemma 6).

Since H is a normal subgroup of G centralizing A/AJ , Lemma 3 yields the contradiction $H^2(H, K) \neq 0$. (Clearly one may also argue using Shapiro.)

5. p -solvable groups. By a p -adic field we mean a field of characteristic 0 which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation and whose residue class field is of characteristic p . Hasse and Schmidt have proved that there are p -adic fields with prescribed residue class fields (cf. [7], p. 10). We fix a p -adic field E with residue class field K . Let S be the valuation ring of E , \mathfrak{p} its maximal ideal ($K = S/\mathfrak{p}$). A KG -module M is called liftable (to S or E) if there is an S -free SG -module \tilde{M} whose reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} is M ($M = \tilde{M} \otimes_S K$).

Proposition 3 (Scott [9]). *If M is an irreducible KG -module which can be lifted, then $\dim H^2(G, M) \geq \dim H^1(G, M)$.*

Proof. Scott's proof deals with Brauer characters, assuming that E is a splitting field for G . We give an alternative approach avoiding characters. It is well known that the minimal resolution $\mathfrak{U}_K(G)$ of K (section 2) can be lifted to a minimal (SG -projective) resolution

$$\mathfrak{U}_S(G): \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{P}_2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_2} \tilde{P}_1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_1} \tilde{P}_0 \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_0} S \rightarrow 0$$

of S (see for instance [10], § 14.4). By hypothesis there is an S -free SG -module \tilde{M} such that $M = \tilde{M} \otimes_S K$. Write bars for the corresponding EG -modules. Since $\text{Hom}_{SG}(\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{M})$ is S -free, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{SG}(\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{M}) \otimes_S E = \text{Hom}_{EG}(\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{M})$$

and
$$\text{Hom}_{SG}(\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{M}) \otimes_S K = \text{Hom}_{KG}(P_n, M).$$

From (*) it follows $\dim_K H^n(G, M) = \dim_E \text{Hom}_{EG}(\tilde{P}_n, \tilde{M})$ ($n \geq 0$).

By Maschke's theorem \tilde{P}_n is completely reducible. Let a_n denote the multiplicity of the (irreducible) EG -module \tilde{M} in \tilde{P}_n , b_n that in $\tilde{Y}_n = \tilde{Y}_n \otimes_S E$ ($\tilde{Y}_n = \text{Ker } \tilde{d}_n$), and let $c = \dim \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, M)$. Then $\dim H^n(G, M) = c a_n$ and $b_n = a_{n+1} - b_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 0$). If $M \cong K$ is a trivial module, $a_0 - b_0 = 1$ and $H^0(G, M) \cong K$. If M is nontrivial, $a_0 = b_0$ and $H^0(G, M) = 0$. Thus for any integer $r \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^r (-1)^n \dim H^n(G, M) = (-1)^r c b_r.$$

Specializing to $r = 2$ gives $\dim H^2(G, M) - \dim H^1(G, M) = c b_2 \geq 0$, as desired.

It is obvious that the trivial module $M = K$ can be lifted. In this case Proposition 3 may also be deduced from the universal coefficient theorem. One obtains more precisely

$$\dim H^2(G, K) = \dim H^1(G, K) + \dim H_2(G) \otimes K.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume G is p -solvable. Then the socle of the Frattini module $A = A_K(G)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of A/AJ .*

Proof. According to Lemma 3, the claim of Theorem 2 is equivalent to the statement that $\dim H^2(G, M) \geq \dim H^1(G, M)$ for every irreducible KG -module M . This will be derived from the preceding proposition.

By the classical result of Hasse-Schmidt there is a p -adic field E with residue class field K . Let F be the field obtained from E by adjoining the $|G|$ -th roots of unity. F is again p -adic and its residue class field L is a finite extension of K . By Lemma 4 $M^L = M \otimes_K L$ is a completely reducible LG -module and $\dim_K H^n(G, M) = \dim_L H^n(G, M^L)$ for all $n \geq 0$. By the Fong-Swan theorem (for a lucid proof see [10], § 17.6) every irreducible summand of M^L can be lifted. Now apply Proposition 3.

Corollary 1. *If G is p -solvable, $O_{p',p}(G) = C_G(A/AJ)$.*

Proof. Immediate from (**) and Theorems 1 and 2.

In case G is p -solvable, $\text{soc}(A)$ can be described very elegantly. Denote by A_0 the direct sum of all complemented p -chief factors of G , counting multiplicities with regard to some fixed chief series of G . It is known that an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module M has nonvanishing 1-cohomology here if and only if M is isomorphic (as G -module) to a complemented p -chief factor of G , and that $H^1(G, M) \cong \text{Hom}_G(C_G(M), M)$. For a proof based on the Hochschild-Serre sequence we refer to [2], Lemmas 3 and 4. It follows that A_0 is isomorphic to the socle of the Frattini module of G over \mathbb{F}_p .

Applying Theorem 2 and Gaschütz's result stated in the introduction, we have therefore the following

Corollary 2. *Suppose G is p -solvable and A_0 is the direct sum of all complemented p -chief factors of G , as above. Then A_0 is isomorphic to the socle of $A_K(G)$ ($K = \mathbb{F}_p$), and there is a Frattini extension $A_0 \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ of G .*

6. p -supersolvable groups. Recall that G is p -supersolvable if and only if $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is an abelian group whose exponent divides $p - 1$. In particular, p -supersolvable groups are of p -length 1. Because of Brauer's theorem, G is p -supersolvable if and only if every irreducible module in the principal p -block is of dimension 1 (cf. [2]; it suffices to study the case $K = \mathbb{F}_p$).

Proposition 4. *Assume G is p -solvable of p -length 1. Then the restriction from G to a Sylow p -subgroup G_p of G takes $\mathfrak{A}_K(G)$ to $\mathfrak{A}_K(G_p)$, preserving lower and upper Loewy series of any projective P_n .*

Proof. Let M be a KG -module all of whose composition factors are in the principal block. We show that $MJ = [M, G_p]$. This means that M/MJ is also the largest completely reducible factor module of M viewed as a KG_p -module. The proof that $\text{soc}(M) = H^0(G_p, M)$ is the group of fixed points under G_p is quite similar.

By hypothesis $O_{p',p}(G) = O_{p'}(G)G_p$. Applying Brauer's theorem therefore yields $[M, G_p] = [M, O_{p',p}(G)] \subseteq MJ$. On the other hand, $M/[M, G_p]$ is a completely reducible $K[G/O_{p',p}(G)]$ -module (Maschke). Consequently $MJ = [M, G_p]$, as desired.

It is now evident that $\mathfrak{A}_K(G)$, viewed as a sequence of KG_p -modules, is a minimal KG_p -projective resolution of K .

Corollary 1. *Let G be of p -length 1, and let $A = A_K(G)$ as usual. Then*

$$\dim A = 1 + (d(G_p) - 1) |G_p|, \quad \dim \text{soc}(A) = d(G_p) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\dim A/AJ = \dim H^2(G_p, K).$$

Moreover, $\dim A/AJ > \frac{1}{4} (\dim \text{soc}(A))^2$.

Proof. Only the final statement needs some comment. It follows from the Golod-Šafarevič theorem which says that

$$\dim H^2(G_p, K) > \frac{d(G_p)^2}{4}$$

(cf. [5], § 7.3).

Corollary 2 (Gaschütz). *If G is p -supersolvable, $d_{KG}(A) > \frac{d(G_p)^2}{4h}$ where h is the order of $G/O_{p',p}(G)$.*

Proof. Since the irreducibles in the principal p -block have dimension 1, by Nakayama's lemma and Lemma 3

$$d_{KG}(A) = d_{KG}(A/AJ) = \max \{ \dim H^2(G, M) \},$$

where M runs through all irreducible modules occurring in A/AJ . By Brauer's theorem, the number of these modules does not exceed $h = |\text{Hom}(G/O_{p',p}(G), \mathbb{F}_p^*)|$. (In fact, h is just the number of distinct irreducibles in the principal p -block.) Thus $d_{KG}(A) \geq \frac{1}{h} \dim A/AJ$. Apply Corollary 1.

Corollary 3. $\dim A = 1$ if and only if G is p -supersolvable with cyclic Sylow p -subgroups.

Proof. If $\dim A = 1$, G has cyclic Sylow p -subgroups by Proposition 1. Furthermore, then $C_G(A) = O_{p',p}(G)$ according to Theorem 1, and $G/C_G(A)$ is cyclic of order dividing $p - 1$.

Conversely, if G is p -supersolvable having cyclic Sylow p -subgroups, $\dim A = 1$ by Corollary 1. (In the solvable case the result of Alperin-Janusz becomes obvious!)

We see that the Frattini module is trivial if and only if G is p -nilpotent with cyclic Sylow p -subgroups.

7. Faithful Frattini modules. Theorem 3 is a consequence of Theorem 1, the preceding Corollary 3, and the following

Proposition 5. *Suppose the dimension of $A = A_K(G)$ is at least 2. Then*

$$C_G(A) = O_{p'}(G).$$

Proof. By (**) $C_G(A) \supseteq O_{p'}(G)$. Assume $C_G(A) \neq O_{p'}(G)$. Then there is a cyclic p -subgroup $H \neq 1$ in $C_G(A)$. Clearly $A_K(H) \cong K$. By Lemma 6, $A \cong K \oplus Q$ as a KH -module where Q is KH -projective (KH -free). Since $\dim A \geq 2$, $Q \neq 0$. Now Q is a direct sum of some copies of the regular module KH and thus faithful for H . It follows $C_H(A) = 1$, a contradiction. We are done.

Corollary. *The Frattini module $A_K(G)$ is faithful if and only if $O_p(G) = 1$ and G is not metacyclic having cyclic Sylow p -subgroups.*

Proof. Clear.

In particular, $A_K(G)$ is faithful when G is a noncyclic p -group. In this case one can construct an explicit Frattini extension of G by a faithful $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module as follows.

Example 2. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ and G be a p -group with $d = d(G) > 1$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ be a minimal set of generators for G and $\{e_x \mid x \in G\}$ a K -basis of KG . G acts on KG by $e_x y = e_{xy}$. Consider the subgroup $H = \langle (e_{x_i}, x_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, d \rangle$ of the semidirect product of KG and G (which is the regular wreath product $K \wr G$). Let $B = \{(z, 1) \mid (z, 1) \in H\}$. Then $H/B \cong G$, and from $d(H) = d(G)$ it follows $B \subseteq \Phi(H)$. It is straightforward that B is indeed a faithful module for G .

By Gaschütz's result, B is an epimorphic image of $A = A_K(G)$. Note that $\dim B < |G| < \dim A$. One may ask for a faithful KG -module B of least dimension, $f(G)$, admitting a Frattini extension $B \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$. We give an upper bound for $f(G)$.

If G contains a noncyclic maximal subgroup N which is not a direct factor, $f(G) \leq p \cdot f(N)$ by Shapiro's lemma. If no such N exists, G is either elementary abelian or a quaternion group of order 8. When G is elementary abelian of rank $r = d = 2$ and p odd, it is easy to show that $f(G) = 3$ by constructing an example H . For arbitrary r we get $f(G) \leq 3^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}$ by taking a subgroup of index 1 or p in the direct product of $\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ copies of that group H . In general, if G is a p -group, p odd, containing an elementary abelian subgroup of order p^r , then

$$f(G) \leq p^{-r} |G| \cdot 3^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

This is quite a bit less than $\dim A = 1 + |G| (d - 1)$. In case $p = 2$, one obtains

$$f(G) \leq 2^{-r} |G| (5^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor} - \delta),$$

where $\delta = 0$ if r is even and $\delta = 1$ otherwise.

Example 3. Let $\bar{G} = SL(2, 5)$ and $K = \mathbb{F}_2$. By the above corollary and Gaschütz's result there is a faithful $K\bar{G}$ -module B of least dimension admitting a Frattini extension $B \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G}$. Of course, B is an epimorphic image of $A_K(\bar{G})$. We claim that B is uniserial of dimension 9.

Let $Z(\bar{G}) = \langle z \rangle$ and $G = \bar{G}/\langle z \rangle$, the alternating group of degree 5. By minimality, $X = [B, z] = \text{soc}(B)$ is an irreducible $K\bar{G}$ -module. Let $C = H^0(\langle z \rangle, B)$ and D be the inverse image in H of $\langle z \rangle$. Then $B/C \cong X$ and $D = \Phi(H)$. Since \bar{G} is the full covering group of G , B/C is a nontrivial KG -module. We may conclude that $X = D' \subseteq \Phi(D) \subseteq C$ and that $\Phi(D)/X$ is of order 1 or 2.

Now $D/\Phi(D) \twoheadrightarrow H/\Phi(D) \twoheadrightarrow G$ is a Frattini extension. Thus from Example 1 it follows that $\Phi(D) = C$, $D/C \cong A_K(G)$, and $X \cong B/C$ is the 4-dimensional KG -module with nonvanishing 1-cohomology.

Assume $C = X$. Then B is a free module for $\langle z \rangle$. But then $H^n(\langle z \rangle, B) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, D splits over B and the complements are conjugate. If L is a complement to B in D , by a Frattini argument we get

$$H = N_H(L) B = N_H(L),$$

because $B \subseteq \Phi(H)$. However, this would imply that D is abelian. Consequently $C/X \cong K$ is of order 2. B is an uniserial $K\bar{G}$ -module with Loewy sections X, K, X and $\dim B = 9$, as asserted.

References

- [1] J. ALPERIN and G. JANUSZ, Resolutions and periodicity. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37**, 403–406 (1973).
- [2] D. W. BARNES, P. SCHMID, and U. STAMMBACH, Cohomological characterizations of saturated formations and homomorphisms of finite groups. To appear in Comm. Math. Helv.
- [3] R. BRAUER, Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups, I. J. Algebra **1**, 152–167 (1964).
- [4] W. GASCHÜTZ, Über modulare Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen, die von freien Gruppen induziert werden. Math. Z. **60**, 274–286. (1954)
- [5] K. W. GRUENBERG, Cohomological topics in group theory. LNM **143**. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1970.
- [6] K. W. GRUENBERG, Relation modules of finite groups. Amer. Math. Soc. Regional Conference Series in Math. **25**, 1976.
- [7] H. HASSE und F. K. SCHMIDT, Die Struktur diskret bewerteter Körper. J. reine angew. Math. **170**, 4–63 (1934).
- [8] A. HELLER, Indecomposable representations of the loop-space operation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12**, 640–643 (1961).
- [9] L. L. SCOTT, Matrices and cohomology. Ann. of Math. **105**, 473–492 (1977).
- [10] J.-P. SERRE, Linear representations of finite groups. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.

Eingegangen am 10. 8. 1977 *)

Anschriften der Autoren:

Robert L. Griess, Jr.
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48019
U.S.A.

Peter Schmid
Mathematisches Institut der
Universität Tübingen
D-7400 Tübingen 1
Auf der Morgenstelle 10

*) Eine revidierte Fassung ging am 22. 11. 1977 ein.