PLANT ANIMAL INTERACTIONS

Raymond V. Barbehenn · David N. Karowe · Zhong Chen

Performance of a generalist grasshopper on a C_3 and a C_4 grass: compensation for the effects of elevated CO_2 on plant nutritional quality

Received: 18 September 2003 / Accepted: 5 March 2004 / Published online: 7 April 2004 © Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract The increasing CO_2 concentration in Earth's atmosphere is expected to cause a greater decline in the nutritional quality of C_3 than C_4 plants. As a compensatory response, herbivorous insects may increase their feeding disproportionately on C₃ plants. These hypotheses were tested by growing the grasses Lolium multiflorum C₃) and Bouteloua curtipendula C₄) at ambient (370 ppm) and elevated (740 ppm) CO_2 levels in open top chambers in the field, and comparing the growth and digestive efficiencies of the generalist grasshopper Melanoplus sanguinipes on each of the four plant \times CO₂ treatment combinations. As expected, the nutritional quality of the C_3 grass declined to a greater extent than did that of the C_4 grass at elevated CO_2 ; protein levels declined in the C_3 grass, while levels of carbohydrates (sugar, fructan and starch) increased. However, M. sanguinipes did not significantly increase its consumption rate to compensate for the lower nutritional quality of the C₃ grass grown under elevated CO_2 . Instead, these grasshoppers appear to use post-ingestive mechanisms to maintain their growth rates on the C₃ grass under elevated CO₂. Consumption rates of the C3 and C4 grasses were also similar, demonstrating a lack of compensatory feeding on the C₄ grass. We also examined the relative efficiencies of nutrient utilization from a C_3 and C_4 grass by M. sanguinipes to test the basis for the C₄ plant avoidance hypothesis. Contrary to this hypothesis, neither protein nor

R. V. Barbehenn (⊠)
Departments of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental
Biology and Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1048, USA
e-mail: rvb@umich.edu
Tel.: +1-734-7642770

D. N. Karowe Department of Biological Sciences, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5410, USA

Z. Chen School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011-5018, USA sugar was digested with a lower efficiency from the C_4 grass than from the C_3 grass. A novel finding of this study is that fructan, a potentially large carbohydrate source in C_3 grasses, is utilized by grasshoppers. Based on the higher nutrient levels in the C_3 grass and the better growth performance of *M. sanguinipes* on this grass at both CO_2 levels, we conclude that C_3 grasses are likely to remain better host plants than C_4 grasses in future CO_2 conditions.

Keywords Melanoplus sanguinipes (grasshopper) · Lolium multiflorum C₃ grass) · Bouteloua curtipendula C₄ grass) · Nutrient · Digestion

Introduction

The CO_2 level in Earth's atmosphere is widely expected to double during this century (e.g., Falkowski et al. 2000; Houghton et al. 2001), substantially altering the nutritional quality of C₃ plants; levels of nonstructural carbohydrates will increase and protein (nitrogen) will decrease (Poorter 1993; Poorter et al. 1997; Wand et al. 1999). The effects of these changes on leaf-chewing insect herbivores have been examined primarily on caterpillars feeding on C₃ dicots (Bezemer and Jones 1998). The responses of caterpillars vary widely, ranging from effective compensation to reduced fitness. Little work has been done on the effects of elevated CO₂ on the nutritional ecology of grasshoppers (Johnson and Lincoln 1990, 1991). We are aware of one unpublished study examining the effects of elevated CO₂ on grasshoppers feeding on a C₄ grass (Montjoy 1992), and none examining the effects of elevated CO₂ on C₃ grasses and grasshoppers. C₃ grasses are more strongly affected by elevated CO_2 than are C_4 grasses (Wand et al. 1999), suggesting that elevated CO₂ will more strongly affect grasshoppers feeding on C3 grasses than those feeding on C₄ grasses. Indeed, it has been hypothesized that herbivores will disproportionately increase their feeding damage on C₃ plants to compensate for the larger changes in C₃ plants in elevated CO₂ (Lincoln et al. 1984, 1986; Lambers 1993).

Grasshoppers play important roles in rangeland ecology (Parker and Root 1981; Hewitt and Onsager 1983; Tscharntke and Greiler 1995; Belovsky and Slade 2000). Much of our knowledge about the nutritional ecology of grasshoppers on grasses has been generated by tests of the C_4 plant avoidance hypothesis, which states that C_4 plants should be avoided by herbivores because these plants have lower levels of nutrients and lower nutrient availability than C₃ plants (Caswell et al. 1973; Caswell and Read 1975, 1976). Field and laboratory studies on diet selection have produced mixed results, however (Capinera 1978; Boutton et al. 1978, 1980; Landa and Rabinowitz 1983; Heidorn and Joern 1984; Pinder and Kroh 198 7; Pinder and Jackson 1988). Although Caswell and Reed (1975, 1976) present microscopical evidence that some fraction of the nutrient-rich bundle sheath cells in C_4 grasses remains intact following ingestion by grasshoppers, no analyses of nutrient assimilation efficiencies were made to determine whether intact bundle sheath cells necessarily result in lower nutrient availability from C₄ grasses. A single unpublished study comparing protein and soluble carbohydrate digestibility by a grass-specialist grasshopper (Boys 1981) found no significant reduction in nutrient digestibility from a C₄ grass. It was suggested, however, that generalist grasshoppers might not be equally efficient at processing C₄ grasses because they lack the specialized mandibles of graminivorous species (Isely 1944; Boys 1981; Patterson 1984). In this study, we compared the efficiency of nutrient utilization from a C₃ and a C₄ grass to determine whether nutrient utilization efficiencies are necessarily lower from C₄ grasses by generalist grasshoppers.

This study tested four hypotheses: (1) elevated atmospheric CO_2 reduces the nutritional quality of C_3 grasses but has little effect on C₄ grasses, (2) generalist grasshoppers compensate for changes in plant quality under elevated CO_2 by increasing their consumption rates on C_3 grasses, but elevated CO₂ causes no change in consumption rates on C_4 grasses, (3) grasshoppers compensate for the lower levels of nutrients in C₄ grasses by consuming greater amounts of these plants than C_3 grasses, and (4) nutrients from C₄ grasses are utilized with lower efficiencies by generalist grasshoppers than are those from C_3 grasses. To test these hypotheses, we compared the effects of elevated CO₂ (740 ppm) and ambient CO₂ (370 ppm) concentrations on the nutritional quality of two grasses (Poaceae): Lolium multiflorum Lam. (Italian ryegrass), a common introduced C₃ pasture grass, and Bouteloua curtipendula (Michx.) Torr. (sideoats grama), a native C₄ rangeland grass. The nutritional quality of these species was determined by measuring foliar protein, sugar, starch, fructan, water, and fiber content and leaf toughness. Melanoplus sanguinipes (Fabricius) (Orthoptera: Acrididae) was used to examine the effect of varying grass nutritional quality on the feeding, digestion and growth of a generalist (forb- and grass-feeding) grasshopper.

Materials and methods

Grasses

Lolium multiflorum and B. curtipendula were grown from seed at the University of Michigan Biological Station, Pellston, Mich., USA. Seeds were examined for endophytic fungi, which were absent, and seedlings were grown in a greenhouse for approximately 3 weeks (Barbehenn et al. 2004a). Seedlings were transplanted to tall 3-1 pots containing an 80:20 (v/v) mixture of potting soil and sand. Pots contained two L. multiflorum or four B. curtipendula; these numbers resulted in approximately equal biomass among pots. Each chamber contained six pots of *L. multiflorum* and six pots of *B*. curtipendula arranged in a checkerboard array and recessed into the ground approximately 20 cm. Open top chambers (0.5 m³) were constructed of PVC tubing, covered on four sides and a frustrum with clear polyester film (Drake et al. 1989; Karowe et al. 1997). Grasses were grown either in 370 ppm or 740 ppm CO₂ (n=20/ treatment) from early June to early August, 2001 (Barbehenn et al. 2004a).

Grasshopper performance

Melanoplus sanguinipes is a common, economically important species throughout most of the United States. Eggs of M. sanguinipes were obtained from a non-diapause colony (USDA, Bozeman, Mont., USA). Grasshopper nymphs were reared to the fourth instar on Triticum aestivum (wheat; C3) seedlings, Cynodon dactylon (bermuda grass; C₄) and wheat bran. Grasshoppers were provided with all three food types together in a screen cage (ca. $30 \times 30 \times 50$ cm), and maintained in an incubator at 30°C with a 16:8 h light: dark photoperiod. A 60-W lightbulb was placed near the cage to allow insects to thermoregulate. Insects appeared to eat both grasses equally, and pots of grasses were replaced as needed. Recently molted fourth-instar nymphs were starved for 4-5 h to ensure that their guts were empty, weighed and randomly assigned to feed on one of the grasses from the four species \times CO₂ treatment combinations. Grasshoppers were placed individually in ventilated plastic containers (ca. 600 ml) and fed the second fully expanded leaves from the grasses. Cut leaves were weighed and placed with an end in water in microcentrifuge tubes. After 24 h, grasshoppers were given a new group of freshly cut leaves from uncut plants in the same chamber used to feed them originally. Thus, each insect fed on plants from a different chamber. The total feeding period was 48 h. Fecal pellets were collected daily, frozen at -80°C, and freeze-dried. All uneaten food was dried at 70°C for at least 72 h and weighed. Consumption was determined as the difference in the initial and final dry weights of the food. The percent dry weight of food and insects were determined from representative samples of each leaf type and grasshopper nymphs, respectively (dried at 70°C for 72 h). Leaves were sampled from each of the chambers used for food at the end of the experiment to collect samples for nutrient analysis (dried at 70°C). At the end of each feeding trial, grasshoppers were starved for 3 h to collect all remaining fecal pellets, and frozen (-80°C). Final dry weights of grasshoppers were taken after they were freezedried. Consumption rate (CR), growth rate (GR) and nutritional indices were calculated on a dry weight basis (Waldbauer 1968). Nutritional indices measuring the overall efficiency of food assimilation and insect growth included approximate digestibility [AD = (ingested mass - fecal mass)/ingested mass], efficiency of conversion of ingested matter (ECI = mass gained/ingested mass), and efficiency of conversion of digested matter [ECD = mass gained/(ingested mass - fecal mass)]. In addition, we measured the assimilation efficiencies of nutrients [AE = (mass of nutrient ingested – mass of nutrient egested)/mass of nutrient ingested], and nutrient assimilation rates [AR = (mass of a nutrient ingested - massof nutrient egested)/day].

Nutrient analyses

Dried grass and fecal samples were ground to a homogeneous powder using a dental amalgamator, and stored in screw-cap centrifuge tubes in the dark at room temperature, or at 4°C under a nitrogen atmosphere for long-term storage. Protein was measured as total amino acids in 6 M HCl hydrolysates with ninhydrin reagent (Sigma) (Barbehenn 1995). Uric acid was measured with uricase in the frass of 6-14 insects in each species \times CO₂ treatment combination (Martin and Van't Hof 1988). The uric acid concentration was multiplied by 2.4 to account for its color factor in the ninhydrin assay, and mean uric acid concentrations were used to correct fecal protein measurements. Sugar (the sum of glucose, fructose and sucrose) and fructan (in the C₃ grass) were measured in ethanol extracts (Barbehenn et al. 2004a). Starch was hydrolyzed with α -amylase and amyloglucosidase in the residue remaining after ethanol extraction, and was measured as glucose (Barbehenn et al. 2004a). All reaction mixtures were scaled to fit in 96-well microtiter plates (200 µl), and absorbance measurements were made with a Bio-Rad Benchmark microplate reader. Neutral detergent fiber (primarily cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) was measured gravimetrically after non-fiber components were solubilized (Van Soest et al. 1991).

Leaf toughness

Leaf toughness was measured in freshly cut leaves with a penetrometer during the same time that samples were collected for chemical analysis. Toughness was expressed as the mass (g) necessary to puncture the leaf (Barbehenn et al. 2004a). Toughness was measured in the lamina of the second fully expanded leaf at a point midway along its length. Measurements were made alternately between the C_3 and C_4 grasses and CO_2 treatments.

Statistical analyses

Measures of C₃ and C₄ grass nutritional quality were analyzed with a split-plot, Type III ANOVA (PROC MIXED) (SAS 2000). Models for analyzing grass nutritional quality included CO₂ level and grass species as fixed effects, and block and $CO_2 \times block$ as random effects. $CO_2 \times grass$ species interactions were examined to determine whether there was a differential effect of CO₂ on the nutritional quality of the C3 and C4 grass. Rates of consumption, growth and nutrient assimilation and final weights were analyzed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), using grasshopper initial dry weight as the covariate (PROC MIXED) (Raubenheimer and Simpson 1992; Horton and Redak 1993). Models for analyzing grasshopper performance included grass species and CO₂ level as fixed effects, block and $CO_2 \times block$ as random effects, and the $CO_2 \times grass$ species interaction. No significant differences were observed between male and female growth and consumption rates, and sex was dropped from the models. ANCOVA models for AD used fecal mass as the dependent variable with amount ingested as the covariate, while the model for ECI used weight gained as the dependent variable with amount ingested as the covariate, and those for nutrient AEs used fecal nutrient mass as the dependent variable with nutrient mass ingested as the covariate. ECD could not be tested with ANCOVA because of a significant assimilation \times species interaction, indicating non-parallel slopes, and was analyzed with split-plot ANOVA as described above. Split-plot ANOVA and ANCOVA produced the same pattern of significant differences for most variables, suggesting that ANOVA provided an accurate analysis of these data. Substantial differences between the results of ANOVA and ANCOVA analyses were observed only for protein and sugar AEs, in which case ANOVA detected no significant main effects or interactions for protein or sugar AE. When residuals could not be transformed to fit a normal distribution (e.g., water) Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to determine the significance of differences between grass species and between CO_2 levels (Wilkinson 2000).

Pairwise differences between means were examined by differences of least squares means, generated by PROC MIXED (SAS 2000). These multiple comparisons tested a priori hypotheses, and consequently differences significant at P=0.05 are indicated in the tables.

Results

Grasses

Elevated CO₂ significantly decreased protein levels in the C₃ grass, but not in the C₄ grass, although the CO₂ × species interaction was not significant (Table 1). When grown at ambient CO₂, protein levels in the C₃ grass were 37% higher than in the C₄ grass (Table 1). However, when grown under elevated CO₂, the protein level in *L. multiflorum* was no longer significantly greater than the level in *B. curtipendula*.

Elevated CO_2 markedly increased sugar levels in the C_3 grass, but had no significant effect on those in the C_4 grass, producing a significant CO₂ × grass species interaction. Sugar levels were over twice as high in L. *multiflorum* C_3) as in *B. curtipendula* C_4) at ambient CO_2 , and threefold higher at elevated CO_2 (Table 1). Starch levels in the C_4 grass were 245% higher than in the C_3 grass at ambient CO₂. The difference between starch levels in the grasses under elevated CO_2 was smaller, but still significant, because starch levels increased in the C₃ grass but not in the C_4 grass. Fructan, present only in the C_3 grass, doubled at elevated CO₂. Overall, levels of total nonstructural carbohydrates (TNC; sugar, starch and fructan) were not significantly different in the C_3 grass and the C₄ grass at ambient CO₂, but were 78% higher in the C_3 grass than the C_4 grass at elevated CO_2 , producing a significant $CO_2 \times grass$ species interaction (Table 1).

The water content in *L. multiflorum* was significantly higher than in *B. curtipendula*, but did not differ between CO_2 treatments (Table 1). As expected, the fiber content in the C_4 grass was substantially higher than in the C_3 grass, but was not significantly affected by elevated CO_2 . Leaf toughness, like fiber, was significantly greater in the C_4 grass than in the C_3 grass, and also was not significantly affected by elevated CO_2 (Table 1).

Grasshopper performance

Melanoplus sanguinipes grew 71% more rapidly on the C_3 than on the C_4 grass (Table 2). Most notably, elevated CO_2 had no detrimental effect on the performance of *M. sanguinipes* on either grass species. Contrary to our hypotheses, changes in the nutritional quality of the C_3 grass at elevated CO_2 did not cause grasshoppers to feed at a compensatory rate, nor were consumption rates significantly higher on the C_4 grass than on the C_3 grass (Table 2).

As expected, the C_3 grass was more digestible than the C_4 grass (Table 2), and CO_2 treatment had no effect on the

in a C ₃ grass (<i>L. mult</i> ±SE. Fructan is not pr	<i>iflorum</i>) and a C esent in C ₄ gras	²⁴ grass (<i>B. curtipen</i> ses. Non-overlappin	<i>dula</i>). Data are pre g letters within col	esented as mean lumns designate	carbohydrate, ND 1	not determined)			
Grass species	CO ₂ (ppm)	Protein (%DW) n=18-20	Sugar (%DW) <i>n</i> =18–20	Starch (%DW) n=19	Fructan (%DW) <i>n</i> =16–20	TNC (%DW) <i>n</i> =16-20	Water (%FW) $n=20$	Fiber (%DW) n=10	Toughness (g) $n=20$
L. multiflorum	370	27.9±1.6 ^b	11.2±0.8 ^b	2.2±0.3 ^a	1.0 ± 0.2^{a}	14.5±1.2 ^a	79.4±2.5 ^b	29.1±0.7 ^a	306±21 ^a
L. multiflorum	740	$22.8{\pm}1.5^{a}$	$16.8 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$	4.3±0.5 ^b	2.2 ± 0.4^{b}	23.1 ± 0.4^{b}	78.5±1.2 ^b	$32.1{\pm}1.4^{a}$	369 ± 28^{a}
B. curtipendula	370	$20.4{\pm}1.5^{a}$	$4.9{\pm}0.4^{a}$	7.6 ± 0.8^{c}	ND	11.4 ± 1.3^{a}	$71.0{\pm}1.0^{a}$	55.3+1.3 ^b	706±33 ^b
B. curtipendula	740	$19.0{\pm}1.1^{a}$	5.5 ± 0.4^{a}	$8.2\pm0.8^{\circ}$	ND	13.0 ± 1.2^{a}	$70.7{\pm}1.2^{a}$	$57.0\pm1.8^{ m b}$	718±28 ^b
Significance of effect	Sd								
Grass species		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	ND	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
CO_2		0.040	0.003	0.099	0.007	<0.001	NS	NS	NS
CO ₂ × grass species		NS	0.031	NS	ND	0.006	ND	NS	NS
^d P -values <0.10 are 1	sted, and NS in	ndicates P>0.10. The	significance of d	lifferences in wate	r and fructan levels	were determined	by Kruskal–Walli	is tests where poss	ble

AD of either species. Similarly, the efficiency of conversion of ingested mass to body mass (ECI) was significantly greater for grasshoppers that fed on the C₃ grass than on the C₄ grass at both CO₂ levels. The conversion of digested (and assimilated) mass to body mass (ECD) did not differ significantly between the grass species at either CO₂ level. Again, CO₂ treatment had no significant effect on any of the nutritional indices, nor were any significant species \times CO₂ interactions observed. The final weights attained by *M. sanguinipes* on the C₃ grass were 38% higher than on the C₄ grass (Table 2).

Nutrient AEs are the equivalent of ADs for individual nutrients. Protein AE was similar from both grasses, averaging 73% from the C_3 grass and 74% from the C_4 grass (Table 3). A small, but significant, increase in protein AE was observed at elevated CO₂. Melanoplus sanguinipes assimilated sugar with a similar, high efficiency from both grasses. Unlike other variables analyzed in this study, ANCOVA and ANOVA analyses resulted in different patterns of pairwise differences in sugar AE; the pairwise differences determined using an ANCOVA model (Table 3) did not match the results expected from the untransformed means. Unlike sugar, starch was assimilated from the C3 grass 35% more efficiently than from the C₄ grass. Fructan was, in general, efficiently assimilated from the C₃ grass, although low efficiencies were observed in some individuals that fed on L. multiflorum from the elevated CO₂ treatment, producing a significant CO_2 treatment effect (Table 3).

The combined effects of foliar nutrient level, consumption rate and nutrient assimilation efficiency were expressed as nutrient AR (Table 4). Grasshoppers had a 32% higher protein AR from the C_3 grass than from the C_4 grass, largely as a result of the higher levels of protein in the C₃ grass. The protein AR was not significantly affected by elevated CO₂ in either grass species. Carbohydrate ARs each increased significantly under elevated CO₂ from the C_3 grass, but not from the C_4 grass, resulting in a significant $CO_2 \times grass$ species interaction in each case. Under elevated CO_2 , the sugar AR from the C_3 grass increased 63%, the starch AR increased 133% and the fructan AR increased 102%. The sugar AR was higher in grasshoppers that fed on the C_3 grass than on the C_4 grass at both CO_2 levels. In contrast, the starch AR was 167% higher from the C₄ grass than from the C₃ grass at ambient CO_2 , but did not differ between insects feeding on the two grasses at elevated CO₂ because of increased starch levels in L. multiflorum. Although the fructan AR from the C_3 grass doubled under elevated CO₂, fructan remained a minor component (7-8%) of the total carbohydrates assimilated from L. multiflorum. Overall, the AR of total nonstructural carbohydrates from the C₃ grass increased 73% at elevated CO₂, but was unaffected in grasshoppers feeding on the C₄ grass. This pattern was largely the result of higher sugar levels in the C_3 grass at elevated CO_2 , although marked increases in both starch and fructan AR also contributed (Table 4).

Starch comprised a significantly greater proportion of the total carbohydrates assimilated from the C_4 grass than

Table 2 Growth and consumption rates, nutritional indices and final weights of *M. sanguinipes* on a C_3 grass (*L. multiflorum*) and a C_4 grass (*B. curtipendula*) grown at ambient or elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Data are presented as mean±SE. Growth and

consumption rates=mg/day. (AD approximate digestibility, ECI efficiency of conversion of ingested material, ECD efficiency of conversion of digested material). Non-overlapping letters within columns designate significantly different means (P<0.05)

Grass species	CO ₂ (ppm)	Growth rate	Consumption rate	AD	ECI	ECD ^c	Final weight (mg)	п
L. multiflorum	370	7.3±0.5 ^b	46±3 ^a	52.8±3.0 ^{ab}	16.5±1.2 ^b	33.9±3.8 ^a	34±2 ^b	14
L. multiflorum	740	$8.2{\pm}0.6^{b}$	53±5 ^a	56.3 ± 2.7^{b}	$16.1{\pm}1.0^{b}$	$30.0{\pm}3.2^{a}$	38±2 ^b	13
B. curtipendula	370	$4.8{\pm}0.4^{a}$	46±4 ^a	44.2±2.9 ^a	11.0±1.0 ^a	26.6±3.7 ^a	26±2 ^a	10
B. curtipendula	740	4.3±0.6 ^a	47±3 ^a	$45.0{\pm}4.8^{a}$	9.5±1.3 ^a	26.2±3.1 ^a	26±2 ^a	16
Significance of effect	ts ^d							
Grass species		0.011	NS	0.014	< 0.001	NS	< 0.001	
CO ₂		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
$CO_2 \times Grass species$		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

^cECD was analyzed by ANOVA, rather than by ANCOVA

^d*P*-values <0.10 are listed, and *NS* indicates *P*>0.10

from the C₃ grass (P<0.001). While sugar and starch were assimilated in approximately a 1:1 ratio from the C₄ grass, sugar comprised approximately 75% of the carbohydrates assimilated from the C₃ grass at ambient and elevated CO₂ levels.

Discussion

As expected, elevated CO_2 had little effect on the nutritional quality of the C_4 grass (*B. curtipendula*), but significantly changed the nutritional quality of the C_3 grass (*L. multiflorum*). Under elevated CO_2 , levels of nonstructural carbohydrates (sugar, starch and fructan) increased in the C_3 grass, while protein decreased. This finding supports the hypothesis that elevated CO_2 reduces the nutritional quality of C_3 grasses to a greater extent than that of C_4 grasses, and is consistent with many previous findings (Poorter 1993; Poorter et al. 1997; Wand et al. 1999). Nevertheless, even at future CO_2 levels *L. multi*-

Table 3 Assimilation efficiencies (*AE*) of carbohydrates and protein by *M. sanguinipes* from a C_3 grass (*L. multiflorum*) and a C_4 grass (*B. curtipendula*) grown at ambient or elevated atmospheric CO_2 concentrations. *AE* are presented as mean±SE. Fructan is not present in C_4 grasses. *AE* was calculated as (ingested nutrient mass –

florum would be expected to remain a superior host plant compared to *B. curtipendula*; the C₃ grass contained higher levels of carbohydrates and water than the C₄ grass in both CO₂ treatments and higher levels of protein at ambient CO₂, while the C₄ grass contained a higher level of fiber and was significantly tougher than the C₃ grass. Results of feeding trials with *M. sanguinipes* support this expectation; grasshoppers grew more rapidly and attained higher final weights when they fed on *L. multiflorum* from both CO₂ treatments.

Contrary to the hypothesis that insect herbivores will increase their feeding rates disproportionately on C_3 plants under elevated atmospheric CO₂ (Lincoln et al. 1984, 1986; Lambers 1993), *M. sanguinipes* did not significantly increase its consumption rate when feeding on the C_3 grass grown under elevated CO₂. Instead, *M. sanguinipes* maintained a high growth rate on the C_3 grass grown under elevated CO₂ despite significant changes in its foliar nutritional quality, suggesting that post-ingestive mechanisms enable these grasshoppers to compensate for variable

egested nutrient mass)/ingested nutrient mass \times 100. Non-overlapping letters within columns designate significantly different means (*P*<0.05) as determined by ANCOVA on transformed data. Untransformed summary statistics are presented. (*ND* not determined)

Grass species	CO ₂ (ppm)	AE (%)				n
		Protein	Sugar	Starch	Fructan	
L. multiflorum	370	70.0±2.6 ^a	87.8±1.5 ^a	93.1±0.8 ^b	94.4±0.6 ^b	14
L. multiflorum	740	75.8 ± 1.6^{b}	$90.4{\pm}1.2^{ab}$	93.5±1.1 ^b	73.2 ± 8.5^{a}	13
B. curtipendula	370	73.1 ± 1.6^{ab}	$91.0{\pm}1.8^{b}$	$70.7{\pm}4.9^{a}$	ND	10
B. curtipendula	740	$75.5{\pm}1.7^{b}$	88.5±2.1 ^b	67.3 ± 5.2^{a}	ND	16
Significance of effects ^c						
Grass species		NS	0.066	< 0.001	ND	
CO ₂		0.029	NS	NS	0.034	
$\text{CO}_2 \times \text{Grass species}$		NS	NS	NS	ND	

^c*P*-values <0.10 are listed, and *NS* indicates *P*>0.10. Data were analyzed with ANCOVA, using nutrient mass egested as the dependent variable and nutrient mass ingested as the covariate. The significance of differences in *AE* of fructan were determined by Kruskal–Wallis tests

Table 4 Assimilation rates (*AR*) of protein and carbohydrates by *M.* sanguinipes from a C₃ grass (*L. multiflorum*) and a C₄ grass (*B. curtipendula*) grown at ambient or elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *AR* (mg/day) are presented as mean \pm SE. *TNC* (total

nonstructural carbohydrate) AR is the sum of sugar, starch and fructan AR. Non-overlapping letters within columns designate significantly different means (P < 0.05)]

Grass species	CO ₂ (ppm)	AR (mg/day)					
		Protein	Sugar	Starch	Fructan	TNC	
L. multiflorum	370	9.3±0.8 ^b	4.6±0.3 ^b	0.9±0.06 ^a	0.44±0.03 ^a	6.0±0.4 ^b	14
L. multiflorum	740	$8.6{\pm}0.8^{\mathrm{b}}$	7.5 ± 0.7^{c}	$2.1{\pm}0.2^{b}$	$0.89{\pm}0.16^{b}$	$10.4{\pm}0.8^{c}$	13
B. curtipendula	370	$6.8{\pm}0.6^{a}$	$2.0{\pm}0.2^{a}$	$2.4{\pm}0.3^{b}$	0	4.5 ± 0.4^{a}	10
B. curtipendula	740	6.8 ± 0.4^{a}	$2.3{\pm}0.2^{a}$	2.6 ± 0.3^{b}	0	$4.9{\pm}0.5^{a}$	16
Significance of effects ^d							
Grass species		0.019	< 0.001	< 0.001	ND	< 0.001	
CO ₂		NS	< 0.001	0.028	0.030	0.001	
$CO_2 \times grass species$		NS	0.003	0.016	ND	0.004	

^dP-values <0.10 are listed, and NS indicates P>0.10. Data were analyzed with ANCOVA, using insect initial dry weight as the covariate

nutritional quality in their host plants. Post-ingestive compensatory responses in grasshoppers include changes in gut size, food residence time, digestive enzyme levels, and nutrient metabolism (Simpson and Simpson 1990; Bernays and Simpson 1990; Hinks et al. 1991; Zanotto et al. 1993; Yang and Joern 1994a, b). Thus, the hypothesis that feeding would be increased on the C₃ grass at elevated CO2 was not supported, but the prediction that elevated CO_2 would not influence consumption rates on the C_4 grass was supported. In addition, M. sanguinipes did not compensate for the lower nutritional quality of the C₄ grass by increasing consumption over that on the C_3 grass, leading us to reject our third hypothesis. While postingestive mechanisms were sufficient to deal with changes in the nutritional quality of the C_3 grass at elevated CO_2 , *M. sanguinipes* was unable to compensate for the lower nutritional quality of the C4 grass. However, further work is needed to examine the efficacy of compensatory mechanisms that may require a longer time to develop than was possible in a 2-day feeding trial.

Although compensatory feeding was commonly observed in early studies, the absence of compensatory feeding on C_3 plants grown under elevated CO_2 has since been observed frequently among herbivorous insects (Bezemer and Jones 1998). Generalist and specialist caterpillars also did not feed at compensatory rates on *L. multiflorum* grown under elevated CO_2 (Barbehenn et al. 2004a). Again, it is noteworthy that post-ingestive mechanisms, rather than increased consumption rates, appear to enable these caterpillars to compensate for decreased nutritional quality in a C_3 grass at elevated CO_2 .

Higher protein AR in grasshoppers feeding on the C_3 grass is likely to have contributed strongly to their better performance on this grass. Although higher carbohydrate AR from the C_3 grass may also have increased the performance of *M. sanguinipes*, the substantially higher carbohydrate AR in grasshoppers that fed on the C_3 grass grown in elevated CO₂ did not significantly increase their growth any further. *Locusta migratoria* grasshoppers also grew no faster when fed a carbohydrate-rich artificial diet

(Zanotto et al. 1993). An additional explanation for the better performance of M. sanguinipes on the C₃ grass is the possibility that the C₃ grass requires lower costs for processing than the C₄ grass. Although our results did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference in ECD between insects that fed on the two grass species, the C₄ grass was almost certainly more energetically costly to chew (Bernays 1986; Bernays and Hamai 1987), and might have required greater costs for digestion as well. M. sanguinipes had higher digestion efficiencies (AD) and conversion efficiencies (ECI) on the C₃ grass than the C₄ grass, but these results were most likely caused by the higher content of indigestible fiber found in C₄ grasses (Van Soest 1994).

Our results show that different host plants provide insect herbivores with different mixtures of carbohydrates, e.g., sugar contributed a greater fraction of the total carbohydrates assimilated by *M. sanguinipes* from the C₃ grass, while starch was the most important carbohydrate source from the C_4 grass. Since fructan is not produced by C_4 grasses, its presence in C₃ grasses is potentially a major difference between the carbohydrates provided by C₃ and C₄ grasses. Previous work on fructan (inulin) digestion by grasshoppers, including *M. sanguinipes*, found that it was not utilized or was only weakly digested (Morgan 1976; Dadd 1977). Thus, contrary to our expectations that grass fructan would act as an indigestible diluent of essential nutrients, our results demonstrate that grass fructan is utilized by grasshoppers. The potential for C₃ grasses to synthesize unusually high levels of fructan (e.g., 30% dry weight) suggests that fructan could be an important energy source in some environmental conditions, such as in cold weather (Volenec and Nelson 1984; Chatterton et al. 1989; Pollack and Cairns 1991). However, our results with L. multiflorum showed that elevated CO₂ does not change fructan levels in this C₃ grass in a nutritionally significant way. Recent results suggest that the effects of elevated CO₂ on L. multiflorum and B. curtipendula represent a common pattern among grasses (Barbehenn et al. 2004b).

The results of this study do not support the C_4 plant avoidance hypothesis as originally stated; levels of nutrients are commonly lower in C₄ grasses than in C₃ grasses (Barbehenn et al. 2004b), but they are not necessarily less digestible. Protein, which is commonly regarded as the most limiting macronutrient for herbivores (Mattson 1980), was assimilated with equal efficiencies from the C_3 and C_4 grass by *M. sanguinipes*. Given that as much as 50% of the soluble protein in C₄ plants resides in their thick-walled bundle sheath cells (Ku et al. 1979), the high efficiencies of digestion of protein from B. curtipendula demonstrate that bundle sheath cells are not a significant barrier to protein digestion, as was hypothesized (Caswell et al. 1973). Similarly, the bundle sheath cell anatomy of C₄ grasses was not an impediment to sugar assimilation by *M. sanguinipes*; the efficiencies of sugar assimilation from a C_3 and C_4 grass by *M. sanguinipes* in this study were approximately 90%, similar to previous measurements in grasshoppers on C₃ plants (Simpson 1982; Ferreira et al. 1992). In contrast to protein and sugar, starch was significantly less digestible from the C₄ grass than from the C₃ grass. While sugars and proteins are small enough to permeate cell walls during digestion (Barbehenn 1992), starch resides in relatively massive grains. Lower starch assimilation from the C_4 grass is, therefore, consistent with a greater structural integrity of the ingested C₄ than C₃ grass tissues (Caswell et al. 1973; Caswell and Reed 1975, 1976). Overall, the results of this study lead us to reject the fourth hypothesis that nutrients are more efficiently digested from C₃ than C₄ grasses. This is consistent with previous findings in a grass-specialist grasshopper (Boys 1981). Although this study examined only a single species of grass from each photosynthetic pathway, the differences in leaf anatomy between these plants (i.e., the presence or absence of bundle sheath cells) are sufficiently distinct to test whether C₄ grass nutrients are necessarily less digestible than those from C₃ grasses.

In conclusion, our results suggest that nutritional differences between C₃ and C₄ grasses may be diminished, but not eliminated, under future CO₂ conditions. Based on foliar nutrient composition and the performance of M. sanguinipes, the C_3 grass (L. multiflorum) would be expected to remain a superior host plant to the C_4 grass (B. *curtipendula*) at elevated CO_2 levels. However, even at ambient CO_2 , grasshoppers make extensive use of *B*. curtipendula and other C4 grasses in the field (e.g., Boutton et al. 1978; Heidorn and Joern 1984; Pinder and Jackson 1988). Although one would expect grasshoppers that fed primarily on C₄ grasses to have lower fitness than those that fed primarily on C₃ grasses, it is not surprising that these mobile herbivores commonly include C4 grasses in their diets. The results of this study suggest that the nutritional quality of C_4 grasses is not as poor as has been hypothesized previously for grasshoppers (Caswell et al. 1973; Caswell and Reed 1975, 1976), and that the relative extent of feeding on C₄ grasses will likely depend on such factors as seasonal variation in the quality of the available host plants and the relative abundance of C_4 grasses in

different geographical regions (Teeri and Stowe 1976; Heidorn and Joern 1984).

Acknowledgements We thank Michael M. Martin for suggesting revisions to the manuscript, Maria Croff and Emily Cloyd for research assistance, Ken Guire and Steve Kohler for statistical consultation, and the University of Michigan Biological Station and Jim Teeri for logistical support. This work was supported by USDA grant 99-35302-8050 to R.V.B. and D.N.K.

References

- Barbehenn RV (1992) Digestion of uncrushed leaf tissues by leafsnipping larval Lepidoptera. Oecologia 89:229–235
- Barbehenn RV (1995) Measurement of protein in whole plant samples with ninhydrin. J Sci Food Agr 69:353–359
- Barbehenn RV, Karowe DN, Spickard A (2004a) Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on the nutritional ecology of C₃ and C₄ grassfeeding caterpillars. Oecologia (in press)
- Barbehenn RV, Chen Z, Karowe DN, Spickard A (2004b) C₃ grasses have higher nutritional quality than C₄ grasses under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂. Global Change Biol (in press)
- Belovsky GE, Slade JB (2000) Insect herbivory accelerates nutrient cycling and increases plant production. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:14412–14417
- Bernays EA (1986) Diet-induced head allometry among foliagechewing insects and its importance for graminivores. Science 231:495–497
- Bernays EA, Hamai J (1987) Head size and shape in relation grass feeding Acridoidea (Orthoptera). Int J Morphol Embryol 16:323–336
- Bernays EA, Simpson SJ (1990) Nutrition. In: Chapman RF, Joern A (eds) Biology of grasshoppers. Wiley, New York, pp 105– 127
- Bezemer TM, Jones TH (1998) Plant-insect herbivore interactions in elevated atmospheric CO₂: quantitative analyses and guild effects. Oikos 82:212–222
- Boutton TW, Cameron GN, Smith BN (1978) Insect herbivory on C₃ and C₄ grasses. Oecologia 36:21–32
- Boutton TW, Smith BN, Harrison AT (1980) Carbon isotope ratios and crop analyses of *Arphia* (Orthoptera: Acrididae) species in southeastern Wyoming. Oecologia 45:299–306
- Boys H (1981) Food selection by some graminivorous Acrididae. PhD Dissertation, University of Oxford
- Capinera JL (1978) Studies of host plant preference and suitability exhibited by early instar range caterpillar larvae. Ann Entomol Soc Am 7:738–740
- Caswell H, Reed FC (1975) Indigestibility of C₄ bundle sheath cells by the grasshopper *Melanoplus confusus*. Ann Entomol Soc Am 68:686–688
- Caswell H, Reed FC (1976) Plant-herbivore interactions: the indigestibility of C_4 bundle sheath cells by grasshoppers. Oecologia 26:151–156
- Chatterton NJ, Harrison PA, Bennett JH, Asay KH (1989) Carbohydrate partitioning in 185 accessions of Gramineae grown under warm and cool temperatures. J Plant Physiol 134:169– 179
- Caswell H, Reed F, Stephenson SN, Werner PA (1973) Photosynthetic pathways and selective herbivory: a hypothesis. Am Nat 107:465–480
- Dadd RH (1977) Qualitative requirements and utilization of nutrients: insects. In: Rechcigl M (ed) CRC Handbook in nutrition and food, vol 1. CRC, Cleveland, pp 305–346
- Drake BG, Leadley PW, Arp WJ, Nassiry D, Curtis PS (1989) An open top chamber for field studies of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on salt marsh vegetation. Funct Ecol 3:363–371

- Falkowski P, Scholes RJ, Boyle E, Canadell J, Canfield D, Elser J, Gruber N, Hibbard K, Högberg P, Linder S, Mackenzie FT, Moore B III, Pedersen T, Rosenthal Y, Seitzinger S, Smetacek V, Steffen W (2000) The global carbon cycle: a test of our knowledge of Earth as a system. Science 290:291–296
- Ferreira C, Marana SR, Terra WR (1992) Consumption of sugars, hemicellulose, starch, pectin and cellulose by the grasshopper *Aracris* [sic] *flavolineata*. Entomol Exp Appl 65:113–117
- Heidorn T, Joern A (1984) Differential herbivory on C_3 and C_4 grasses by the grasshopper *Ageneotettix deorum* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Oecologia 65:19–25
- Hewitt GB, Onsager JA (1983) Control of grasshoppers on rangeland in the United States: a perspective. J Range Manage 36:202–207
- Hinks CF, Cheeseman MT, Erlandson MA, Olfert O, Westcott ND (1991) The effects of kochia, wheat and oats on digestive proteinases and the protein economy of adult grasshoppers, *Melanoplus sanguinipes*. J Insect Physiol 37:417–430
- Horton DR, Redak RA (1993) Further comments on analysis of covariance in insect dietary studies. Entomol Exp Appl 69:263– 275
- Houghton JT, Ding Y, Griggs DJ, Noguer M, van der Linden P, Xiaosu D (2001) Intergovernmental panel on climate change, third assessment report. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Technical summary. United Nations Environmental Program, New York
- Isely FB (1944) Correlation between mandibular morphology and food specificity in grasshoppers. Ann Entomol Soc Am 37:47–67
- Johnson RH, Lincoln DE (1990) Sagebrush and grasshopper responses to atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. Oecologia 84:103–110
- Johnson RH, Lincoln DE (1991) Sagebrush carbon allocation patterns and grasshopper nutrition: the influence of CO₂ enrichment and soil mineral limitation. Oecologia 87:127–134
- Karowe DN, Siemens DS, Mitchell-Olds T (1997) Species-specific response of glucosinolate content to elevated atmospheric CO₂. J Chem Ecol 23:2569–2582
- Ku MSB, Schmitt MR, Edwards GE (1979) Quantitative determination of RuBP carboxylase-oxygenase protein in leaves of several C₃ and C₄ plants. J Exp Bot 30:89–98
- Lambers H (1993) Rising CO₂, secondary plant metabolism, plantherbivore interactions and litter decomposition. Theoretical considerations. Vegetatio 104/105:263–271
- Landa K, Rabinowitz D (1983) Relative preference of *Arphia* sulphurea (Orthoptera: Acrididae) for sparse and common prairie grasses. Ecology 64:392–395
- Lincoln DE, Sionit N, Strain BR (1984) Growth and feeding response of *Pseudoplusia includens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to host plants grown in controlled carbon dioxide atmospheres. Environ Entomol 13:1527–1530
- Lincoln DE, Couvet D, Sionit N (1986) Responses of an insect herbivore to host plants grown in carbon dioxide enriched atmospheres. Oecologia 69:556–560
- Martin MM, Van't Hof HM (1988) The cause of reduced growth of Manduca sexta larvae on a low-water diet: increased metabolic processing costs or nutrient limitation? J Insect Physiol 34:515– 525
- Mattson WJ (1980) Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 11:119–161
- Montjoy CS (1992) The effects of elevated carbon dioxide on the growth, reproduction and food consumption by *Melanoplus differentialis* and *Melanoplus sanguinipes* feeding on *Andropogon gerardii*. MSc Thesis, University of South Carolina

- Morgan MRJ (1976) Gut carbohydrases in locusts and grasshoppers. Acridida 5:45–58
- Parker MA, Root RB (1981) Insect herbivores limit habitat distribution of a native composite, *Machaeranthera canescens*. Ecology 62:1390–1392
- Patterson BD (1984) Correlation between mandibular morphology and specific diet of some desert grassland Acrididae (Orthoptera). Am Midl Nat 111:296–303
- Pinder JE, Jackson PR (1988) Plant photosynthetic pathways and grazing by phytophagous orthopterans. Am Midl Nat 120:201– 211
- Pinder JE, Kroh GC (1987) Insect herbivory and photosynthetic pathways in old-field ecosystems. Ecology 68:254–259
- Pollack CJ, Cairns AJ (1991) Fructan metabolism in grasses and cereals. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 42:77–101
- Poorter H (1993) Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to an elevated ambient CO₂ concentration. Vegetatio 104/105:77–97
- Poorter H, van Berkel Y, Baxter R, den Hertog J, Dijkstra P, Gifford RM, Griffin KL, Roumet C, Roy J, Wong SC (1997) The effect of elevated CO₂ on the chemical composition and construction costs of leaves of 27 C₃ species. Plant Cell Environ 20:472–482
- Raubenheimer D, Simpson SJ (1992) Analysis of covariance: an alternative to nutritional indices. Entomol Exp Appl 62:221-231
- SAS (2000) The SAS system for Windows. Version 8e. SAS Institute, Cary
- Simpson SJ (1982) Changes in the efficiency of utilisation of food throughout the fifth-instar nymphs of *Locusta migratoria*. Entomol Exp Appl 31:265–275
- Simpson SJ, Simpson CL (1990) The mechanisms of nutritional compensation by phytophagous insects. In: Bernays EA (ed) Insect-plant interactions, vol 2. CRC, Boca Raton, pp 111–160
- Teeri JA, Stowe LG (1976) Climatic patterns and the distribution of C₄ grasses in North America. Oecologia 23:1–12
- Tscharntke T, Greiler H-J (1995) Insect communities, grasses, and grasslands. Annu Rev Entomol 40:535–558
- Van Soest PJ (1994) Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, p 476
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J Dairy Sci 74:3583–3597
- Volenec JJ, Nelson CJ (1984) Carbohydrate metabolism in leaf meristems of tall fescue. Plant Physiol 74:590–594
- Waldbauer GP (1968) The consumption and utilization of food by insects. Adv Insect Physiol 5:229–289
- Wand SJE, Midgley GF, Jones MH, Curtis PS (1999) Responses of wild C₄ and C₃ grass (Poaceae) species to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration: a meta-analytic test of current theories and perceptions. Global Change Biol 5:723–741
- Wilkinson L (2000) SYSTAT: the system for statistics. SYSTAT, Evanston
- Yang Y, Joern A (1994a) Gut size changes in relation to variable food quality and body size in grasshoppers. Funct Ecol 8:36–45
- Yang Y, Joern A (1994b) Influence of diet quality, developmental stage, and temperature on food residence time in the grasshopper *Melanoplus differentialis*. Physiol Zool 67:598–616
- Zanotto FP, Simpson SJ, Raubenheimer D (1993) The regulation of growth by locusts through post-ingestive compensation for variation in the levels of dietary protein and carbohydrate. Physiol Entomol 18:425–434