

**MECHANISM FOR SUPPRESSION OF  
RADIATION-INDUCED SEGREGATION BY OVERSIZED SOLUTE  
ADDITION IN AUSTENITIC STAINLESS STEEL**

by

Micah Jeremiah Hackett

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
(Nuclear Engineering and Radiological Sciences)  
in The University of Michigan  
2008

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Gary S. Was, Chair  
Professor Michael Atzmon  
Professor Lumin Wang  
Advisory Scientist Reza Najafabadi, Lockheed Martin

© Micah Jeremiah Hackett 2008  

---

All Rights Reserved

To my family

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to first thank my advisor, Dr. Was, for all of his patience, guidance, hard work, and support through my graduate program.

I want to thank my fellow group members for all of their encouragement, help with research questions, assistance in the lab, and nights spent in MIBL: Gaurav Gupta, Elaine West, Deepak Kumar, Pantip Ampornrat, Josh McKinley, Brent Capell, Ryan Dropek, Neal Ham, Rongsheng Zhou, Kelly Wichmann, Anne Campbell, and Janelle Penisten. Additional thanks to those at the Phoenix Memorial Laboratory for their assistance in a variety of tasks, specifically, Philip Keavey and Rob Blackburn. Special thanks to Jeremy Busby for all of his help in learning to RIS measurement techniques at Oak Ridge National Lab and for answering so many of my questions over the years. Additional thanks to Jim Bentley, Ed Kenik and Neal Evans of SHaRE at ORNL for all of their help in microscopy. Also thanks to Mike Miller at ORNL for his help in performing FIB and LEAP.

For their help in the Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory, I want acknowledge Ovidiu Toader, Victor Rotberg and Fabian Naab, for all of their assistance in performing irradiations. Thanks go to Zhijie (George) Jiao for help in many important areas, most notably dislocation loop imaging. Special thanks to Sebastien Teyseyre for all of his help, guidance and trust during my time at Michigan.

For my colleagues at Lockheed Martin, I want to acknowledge their help in my research: Nathan Lewis, George Young, Steve Attanasio, Paul Sander, and many others. Most especially, I want to thank Reza Najafabadi for the help in *ab initio* methods and for the guidance throughout my graduate career. Also thanks to Nancy Carder, Nicole Huchet, Craig Williamson, and those with MUSC and the Naval Reactors Naval Nuclear Propulsion Fellowship program for their financial support to enable me to pursue this degree.

To Julie Tucker, I am indebted to you for lengthy technical conversations over the years, your review of my work, advice in research, and your friendship.

And finally, but most importantly, special appreciation goes to my entire family, my parents, my grandparents, my siblings, for their constant love, support, and commitment to me. I am so blessed to have such an amazing family.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-FG07-03ID14542. This research was also performed under appointment to the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Fellowship Program sponsored by Naval Reactors Division of the U.S. DOE. A portion of this research was conducted at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is sponsored by the Division of Scientific User Facilities, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xxiv
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xxviii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2. BACKGROUND .....	5
2.1 Radiation-Induced Segregation.....	5
2.1.1 Cause of RIS .....	6
2.1.2 RIS Sensitivity .....	7
2.1.3 Experiments in RIS .....	9
2.1.4 Effect of Irradiation Particle Type on RIS.....	12
2.1.5 Effects of Dislocation Loops on RIS .....	13
2.1.6 Effects of Void Swelling on RIS .....	16
2.1.7 Effects of Grain Boundary Orientation on RIS.....	17
2.2 Mechanisms of RIS.....	18
2.2.1 Early Work in RIS Mechanisms .....	19
2.2.2 RIS Mechanisms for Binary Alloy System.....	20
2.2.3 RIS Mechanisms for a Ternary Alloy System.....	24
2.3 Experimental Results in Oversized Solute Alloys.....	34
2.3.1 Effects of Oversized Solute Additions on RIS .....	34
2.3.2 Effects of Oversized Solutes on Microstructure .....	39
2.4 RIS Mechanisms in Oversized Solute Alloys.....	42
2.4.1 Linear Size Factors for Oversized Solutes.....	42
2.4.2 Solute-Defect Binding .....	43
2.4.3 Interstitial Impurity Trapping .....	49
3. OBJECTIVE .....	97

4.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.....	99
4.1.	Alloys and Sample Preparation.....	99
4.1.1	Alloys.....	99
4.1.2.	Alloy Processing.....	100
4.1.3.	Preparation.....	101
4.2.	Sample Irradiation.....	102
4.2.1	Overview of Irradiation Setup.....	102
4.2.2	Determining Displacement Damage.....	104
4.2.3	Calculating Radiation Dose.....	105
4.2.4	Aperture System and Beam Scanning.....	106
4.2.5	Thermocouples.....	108
4.2.6	Irradiation Monitoring.....	109
4.2.7	Radioactivity and Beta Counting.....	110
4.3	Post-Irradiation Sample Preparation.....	112
4.3.1	TEM Preparation.....	112
4.3.2	Ion Milling.....	113
4.4	Radiation-Induced Segregation.....	114
4.4.1	STEM Instrumentation.....	114
4.4.2	STEM/EDS Measurements of RIS.....	115
4.4.3	STEM/EDS Composition Calculation.....	116
4.5	Dislocation Loop Imaging.....	117
4.5.1	Dark-field Technique.....	117
4.5.3	Loop Measurements.....	118
4.6	Determination of Oversized Solute in Solution.....	119
4.6.1	X-ray Diffraction.....	119
4.6.2	SEM Imaging.....	120
4.6.3	TEM Imaging.....	121
4.6.4	FIB and LEAP.....	121
4.7	Error analysis.....	123
5.	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS.....	150
5.1	Radiation-Induced Segregation Results.....	151
5.1.1	Grain Boundary Segregation.....	152
5.1.2	RIS in the +Zr Alloys at 400°C.....	153
5.1.3	RIS in the +Hf Alloys at 400°C.....	155
5.1.4	RIS in the +Zr Alloys at 500°C.....	157
5.1.5	RIS in the +Hf Alloys at 500°C.....	158
5.2	Results from Dislocation Loop Imaging.....	159
5.2.1	Dislocation Loops for the +Zr Alloys.....	159
5.2.2	Dislocation Loops for the +Hf Alloys.....	161
5.3	Determination of the Amount of Oversized Solute in Solution.....	162
5.3.1	X-ray Diffraction.....	164
5.3.2	SEM Imaging of Carbides.....	165
5.3.3	TEM Imaging Analysis.....	166
5.3.4	LEAP Analysis.....	167

6.	MODELING OF OVERSIZED SOLUTE EFFECTS ON RIS .....	235
6.1	RIS Modeling .....	235
6.1.1	The MIK Model .....	235
6.1.2	The MIK Model with Trapping .....	236
6.1.3	Model Results .....	239
6.1.4	Model Benchmarking .....	239
6.1.5	MIK Model Results .....	240
6.1.5.1	Temperature Dependence .....	240
6.1.5.2	Dose Dependence .....	241
6.1.5.3	Dose Rate Dependence .....	241
6.1.6	MIK-T Model .....	242
6.1.6.1	Binding Energy .....	242
6.1.6.2	Trapping Radius .....	243
6.1.6.3	Recombination Radius .....	244
6.1.7	Variation of Experimental Parameters .....	245
6.1.7.1	Temperature .....	245
6.1.7.2	Dose .....	247
6.1.7.3	Dose Rate .....	248
6.1.7.4	Oversized Solute Concentration .....	249
6.1.8	Sensitivity Analysis .....	249
6.2	Determination of Binding Energies .....	252
6.2.1	Ab initio Method .....	252
6.2.2	Binding Energy Determination .....	253
6.2.3	Differences between Zr and Hf .....	255
6.2.4	Trapping and Recombination Radii .....	255
6.2.5	Lattice Parameters .....	256
6.3	Model Results for the Alloys .....	257
6.3.1	MIK-T Model Results .....	257
6.3.2	Alloy Results .....	258
7.	DISCUSSION .....	294
7.1	Validity of RIS Results .....	294
7.1.1	Comparison of Reference Alloy RIS to Literature Values .....	295
7.1.2	MIK Model Compared to Reference Alloy RIS .....	299
7.1.3	Comparison of Reference Alloy Loop Microstructure with Literature Values .....	301
7.1.4	Relationship between Loop Microstructure and RIS .....	304
7.1.5	Effect of Proton Energy on RIS .....	307
7.2	Comparison of MIK-T Model Results with Experimental RIS Measurements .....	308
7.3	Mechanism Responsible for Loss of Effect from Oversized Solutes .....	311
7.3.1	Loss of Oversized Solute Effectiveness due to Solute Poisoning .....	311
7.3.2	Loss of Oversized Solute to Precipitation .....	313
7.3.3	Atom Probe Analysis .....	321



8. CONCLUSIONS.....	354
Future Work.....	355
APPENDICES .....	358
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	480

## LIST OF FIGURES

### Figure

- 2.1 (a) The diffusion of A atoms through the vacancy flux is greater than the diffusion of B atoms through the vacancy flux; (b) the diffusion of B atoms through the interstitial flux is greater than A atoms; and (c) the resulting concentration profile shows the enrichment of B atoms and depletion of A atoms at the grain boundary.....57
- 2.2 Calculated steady-state concentration profiles of element A in a B-25%A alloy as a function of distance from the grain boundary. The dose rate is  $10^3$  dpa/s.....58
- 2.3 The temperature and dose rate regime in which stainless steels are susceptible to RIS .....59
- 2.4 Experimental Cr GB measurements after neutron irradiation for 300 series stainless steels at various temperatures.....60
- 2.5 Concentration profiles of 304SS irradiated with neutrons at 288°C for a commercial purity alloy, showing (a) Fe, Cr and Ni, and (b) Si, P and S grain boundary concentrations; a high purity alloy, showing the grain boundary concentration for (c) Fe, Cr and Ni, and (d) Si and P.....61
- 2.6 Change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentrations for a series of HP 304SS with additions of Si or Mo after proton irradiation at 360°C to a dose of 5.5 dpa .....62
- 2.7 Amount of Cr depletion at the grain boundary as a function of radiation dose for a variety of Fe-based, 304 and 316 stainless steels. Symbol shading represents the particle type, with grey, closed symbols for proton irradiations, open symbols for electron irradiations, and x symbols for He<sup>+</sup> irradiations. ....63
- 2.8 Grain boundary segregation for Ni, Cr and Fe as a function of Ni concentration for a constant 15Cr alloy after electron irradiation at 500°C to 7 dpa.....64

2.9	Grain boundary segregation for Ni, Cr and Fe as a function of Cr concentration for a constant 20Ni alloy after electron irradiation at 500°C to 7 dpa.....	65
2.10	Ni and Cr grain boundary concentration as a function of dose after proton irradiation of a Fe-20Cr-24Ni alloy at 400°C.....	66
2.11	Ni and Cr grain boundary concentration as a function of temperature after proton irradiation of a Fe-20Cr-24Ni alloy to a dose of 0.5 dpa. ....	67
2.12	Network, loop and total dislocation density as a function of dose. ....	68
2.13	The formation and loss of DLFZ near a grain boundary as a function of dose .....	69
2.14	Dose dependence of the DLFZ width under 1 MeV electron irradiation at 523 K.....	70
2.15	Width of RIS profile relative to the width of the DLFZ under 1 MeV electron irradiation at 1 dpa. The calculated solid line is not convoluted to match the experimental measurements. ....	71
2.16	The tilt angle between two grain boundaries has a strong effect on the resulting RIS profile, with increasing tilt angle corresponding to increasing RIS. Depletion is small for (a) a small tilt angle of 3.5° and (b) a large tilt angle of 60°.....	72
2.17	RIS dependence on misorientation tilt angle after electron irradiation at 350°C. ....	73
2.18	Concentrations of point defects and defect-solute complexes at the center of a thin foil irradiated at 0°C as a function of irradiation time.....	74
2.19	Concentrations of point defects and defect-solute complexes at the center of a thin foil irradiated at 500°C as a function of irradiation time.....	75
2.20	Representation of the migration energy, defined as the different between the equilibrium energy and the saddle point energy.....	76
2.21	Comparing experimental Cr concentration levels from proton-irradiated stainless steels to calculated concentrations from the MIK model.....	77
2.22	Cr concentration at the grain boundary as a function of temperature for proton-irradiated Fe-20Cr-24Ni after 0.5 dpa. The symbols represent AES measurements, the upper line is the MIK model calculated Cr concentration, while the lower line is calculated by the Perks model.....	78

2.23	Cr concentrations at the grain boundary as a function of dose for proton-irradiated Fe-20Cr-24Ni at 400°C. The symbols represent AES measurements, the upper line is the MIK model calculated Cr concentration, while the lower line is calculated by the Perks model. ....	79
2.24	Grain boundary Cr depletion as a function of dose for a variety of 304 and 316 stainless steel alloys. The oversized solute additions include Zr, Hf, Ti, and Nb. Symbol shading represents the particle type, with grey, closed symbols for proton irradiations, black, closed symbols for neutron irradiations, cross-hatch symbols for He <sup>+</sup> irradiations, and open symbols for electron irradiations. Meanwhile, symbol shape represents the oversized solute type, with square symbols for Zr, diamonds for Hf, triangles for Ti and circles for Nb. ....	80
2.25	Chromium grain boundary concentration profiles for various oversized solute alloys after 1 MeV electron irradiation to 10.8 dpa at 500°C. Not all oversized solute additions resulted in a decrease in Cr depletion. ....	81
2.26	Grain boundary segregation as a function of Nb concentration after electron irradiation to 7.2 dpa at 773 K in Fe-16Cr-13Ni. ....	82
2.27	Grain boundary segregation versus linear size factor and vacancy-solute binding energy for solute additions of 0.5 at% of Ti, Nb, Zr, and Hf in Fe-18Cr-12Ni after electron irradiation to 10.8 dpa at 723 K. ....	83
2.28	Cr concentration profile as a function of distance from the grain boundary for 316L and 316+0.2%Zr alloys after irradiation with 1 MeV electrons to a dose of 10 dpa at 450°C. ....	84
2.29	Focusing on Cr, the grain boundary depletion as a function of temperature for 316L and 316+0.2%Zr after 1 MeV electron irradiation. ....	85
2.30	Cr concentration as a function of the Zr alloy concentration after 400 keV He <sup>+</sup> irradiation at 500°C. The closed symbols represent a dose of 0.85 dpa and the open symbols are for 3.4 dpa. ....	86
2.31	The change in grain boundary Cr concentration for a reference Fe-18Cr-8Ni, 1.25Mn irradiated with protons at 400°C to a dose of 0.5 dpa, compared to two similar oversized solute alloys with 0.04 and 0.16at% Zr irradiated to 1.0 dpa. ....	87
2.32	The vacancy-cluster density of Fe-16Cr-17Ni alloys with Nb and Ti additions after 1 MeV electron irradiation to 0.1 dpa. ....	88
2.33	Void swelling as a function of temperature after 1 MeV electron irradiation to 2.0 dpa for Fe-16Cr-17Ni alloys with Nb and Ti additions. ....	89

2.34	Arrhenius plot of the interstitial loop density in Fe-16Cr-17Ni with additions of Nb or Ti. ....	90
2.35	Void swelling as a function of dose for Hf and Pt-modified 316SS after Ni <sup>++</sup> irradiation at 500°C.....	91
2.36	Loop volume fraction as a function of dose for Hf and Pt-modified 316SS after Ni <sup>++</sup> irradiation at 500°C. ....	92
2.37	Loop diameter as a function of dose for Hf and Pt-modified 316SS after Ni <sup>++</sup> irradiation at 500°C.....	93
2.38	Fine-scale precipitate formation of ZrC and HfC after fast neutron irradiation to 35 dpa at 600°C.....	94
2.39	The fraction of occupied solute-defect traps as a function of the binding energy for defect trapping. Fractions are shown for 650°C at 10 <sup>-3</sup> dpa/s and 500°C at 10 <sup>-6</sup> dpa/s.....	95
2.40	Amount of segregation for Ni and Cr as a function of binding energy for irradiation at 573K and 773K for a Fe-15Cr-20Ni alloy. ....	96
4.1	TEM sample bar design produced by electron-discharge machining for proton irradiation. ....	128
4.2	(a) Position of the Stinger thermal imager in capturing infrared radiation from the samples during irradiation, and (b) illustration of the sample stage that was used for proton irradiation of the TEM bars.....	129
4.3	Photograph of eight samples plus two guide bars mounted on the stage prior to irradiation. The image shows thermocouples spot-welded onto the samples.....	130
4.4	A drawing of the hold-down bars used to secure samples on the stage during irradiation.....	131
4.5	View of the copper stage with samples mounted, thermocouple wires, and hold-down bars.....	132
4.6	A view of the damage rate as a function of depth into the irradiated surface as calculated by SRIM 2006 for 3.2 MeV protons in Fe-16Cr-13Ni alloy. A relatively uniform damage region is created from a depth of 5 – 35 μm, with a maximum damage depth at ~ 43 μm.....	133

4.7	A closer view of the displacement rate as a function of damage layer depth as calculated by SRIM 2006. An average displacement rate is taken at $\sim 26 \mu\text{m}$ for $3.2 \text{ MeV p}^+$ , where the displacement rate is $5.3 \times 10^{-5}$ displacements/ $\text{\AA}$ /ion, and at $\sim 12 \mu\text{m}$ for $2.0 \text{ MeV p}^+$ , where the displacement rate is $8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ displacements/ $\text{\AA}$ /ion.....	134
4.8	Setup of the laser alignment procedure for proper alignment of the aperture system on the irradiation stage.....	135
4.9	Representation of the laser beam pattern hitting the samples with a properly aligned stage.....	136
4.10	Schematic of the tantalum aperture system used to measure aperture current and shape the beam pattern for sample irradiation. ....	137
4.11	Schematic of beam-on-aperture overlap, with the resulting stage-to-aperture current ratio using a 3-mm beam size. ....	138
4.12	Beam scanning pattern of the raster scanner used during proton irradiation,.....	139
4.13	Beam profile monitor, including the beam selector knob set at ‘beam profile’ for beam size measurements. ....	140
4.14	Image of the oscilloscope showing the positions of the fiduciary marks. ....	141
4.15	Oscilloscope image showing BPM current signal for beam size measurements.....	142
4.16	Stinger pyrometer temperature history for an irradiation, showing the number of measured temperature data points for all samples on the irradiation stage.....	143
4.17	Beta activity model for predicting the number of beta counts as a function of time expected for an irradiation using $3.2 \text{ MeV p}^+$ of austenitic stainless steels. The combination of both exponential equations provided in the figure is used to predict the number of beta counts. ....	144
4.18	Illustration of TEM discs cut from an irradiated TEM bar. ....	145
4.19	Sample foil with grain boundary aligned edge-on and the excitation volume of the electron beam as it passes through the specimen.....	146
4.20	STEM image of a properly aligned grain boundary, with the boundary defined as a high-contrast line, including beam damage from STEM/EDS RIS measurements across the boundary. ....	147

4.21	Relrod streaks, in (a) and (b), representing faulted dislocation loops, appear between the 111 and 200 spots along the $g = 113$ reflection for the $[0\ 1\ 1]$ zone axis.....	148
4.22	ZrC precipitate phase in 316+HiZr, imaged using a BSE detector in SEM. ....	149
5.1	Concentration profile as a function of distance from the grain boundary for Fe, Cr and Ni for the Ref-Zr alloy irradiated at 400°C to 3 dpa. The left y-axis is for Fe concentration while Cr and Ni concentrations are plotted on the right y-axis. ....	196
5.2	Cr concentration profiles as a function of distance from the grain boundary at 400°C for Ref-Zr, LoZr and HiZr, at doses of (a) 3 dpa, (b) 7 dpa, and (c) 10 dpa.....	197
5.3	Change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration for the +Zr alloy series after proton irradiation at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa. ....	198
5.4	Cr concentration profiles as a function of distance from the grain boundary at 400°C for Ref-Hf, LoHf and HiHf, at doses of (a) 3 dpa, (b) 7 dpa, and (c) 10 dpa.....	199
5.5	Change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration for the +Hf alloy series after proton irradiation at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa. ....	200
5.6	Cr concentration profiles as a function of distance from the grain boundary at 500°C for Ref-Zr, LoZr and HiZr, at doses of (a) 1 dpa and (b) 3 dpa.....	201
5.7	Change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration for the +Hf alloy series after proton irradiation at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa. ....	202
5.8	Cr concentration profiles as a function of distance from the grain boundary at 500°C for Ref-Hf, LoHf and HiHf, at doses of (a) 1 dpa and (b) 3 dpa. ....	203
5.9	Change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration for the +Hf alloy series after proton irradiation at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa. ....	204
5.10	Dislocation loop images at 400°C, 3 dpa of (a) Ref-Zr, (b) LoZr, and (c) HiZr.....	205
5.11	Dislocation loop images at 400°C, 7 dpa of (a) Ref-Zr, (b) LoZr, and (c) HiZr.....	206
5.12	Dislocation loop size distributions of the relative frequency of loop diameter for the +Zr alloys after 400°C irradiation to 3 dpa for (a) Ref-Zr, (b) LoZr,	

and (c) HiZr, and to 7 dpa for (d) Ref-Zr, (e) LoZr, and (f) HiZr. Vertical lines denote the average loop diameter. ....	207
5.13 Average dislocation loop diameter for the +Zr alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after proton irradiation at 400°C. ....	208
5.14 Average dislocation loop density for the +Zr alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after proton irradiation at 400°C. ....	209
5.15 Total loop line length for the +Zr alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after 400°C proton irradiation. ....	210
5.16 Dislocation loop images at 400°C, 3 dpa of (a) Ref-Hf, (b) LoHf, and (c) HiHf. ....	211
5.17 Dislocation loop images at 400°C, 7 dpa of (a) Ref-Hf, (b) LoHf, and (c) HiHf. ....	212
5.18 Dislocation loop size distributions of the relative frequency of loop diameter for the +Zr alloys after 400°C irradiation to 3 dpa for (a) Ref-Hf, (b) LoHf, and (c) HiHf, and to 7 dpa for (d) Ref-Hf, (e) LoHf, and (f) HiHf. Vertical lines denote the average loop diameter. ....	213
5.19 Average dislocation loop diameter for the +Hf alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after proton irradiation at 400°C. ....	214
5.20 Average dislocation loop density for the +Hf alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after proton irradiation at 400°C. ....	215
5.21 Total loop line length for the +Hf alloys as a function of dose from 3 – 7 dpa after 400°C proton irradiation. ....	216
5.22 XRD pattern for Ref-Zr showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. ....	217
5.23 XRD pattern for LoZr showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. The gray lines represent the most strongly diffracting (highest intensity) $2\theta$ angles for ZrC in this $2\theta$ range and include the (hkl) planes for each line. ....	218
5.24 XRD pattern for HiZr showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. The gray lines represent the most strongly diffracting (highest intensity) $2\theta$ angles for ZrC in this $2\theta$ range and include the (hkl) planes for each line. ....	219



5.25 XRD pattern for Ref-Zr showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. ....	220
5.26 XRD pattern for LoHf showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. The gray lines represent the most strongly diffracting (highest intensity) $2\theta$ angles for HfC in this $2\theta$ range and include the (hkl) planes for each line. Note that these lines do not correspond to any diffraction peaks, meaning that no HfC was identified. ....	221
5.27 XRD pattern for HiHf showing intensity as a function of $2\theta$ , scanned from $2\theta = 20 - 70^\circ$ . The peaks for austenite are highlighted by arrows. The gray lines represent the most strongly diffracting (highest intensity) $2\theta$ angles for HfC in this $2\theta$ range and include the (hkl) planes for each line. ....	222
5.28 SEM-BSE images showing high-contrast precipitates for (a) LoZr, (b) HiZr, (c) LoHf, and (d) HiHf alloys. These precipitates are highlighted in (a) for LoZr. ....	223
5.29 TEM image of the HfC precipitate microstructure in HiHf in the unirradiated condition. ....	224
5.30 TEM image of the ZrC precipitate microstructure in HiZr in the unirradiated condition. ....	225
5.31 Size distribution of HfC precipitates in unirradiated HiHf. ....	226
5.32 Size distribution of ZrC precipitates in unirradiated HiZr. ....	227
5.33 Mass/charge ratio for LoZr: (a), (b), and (c) in the unirradiated condition, (d) at 3 dpa, and (e) at 7 dpa. Zr intensity peaks are shown with Mo peaks to demonstrate the presence of the $2+ \text{Zr}^{90}$ peak and the overlap of subsequent $2+ \text{Zr}$ peaks with Mo $2+$ peaks. The presence of Zr is detected for the unirradiated condition in (b). ....	228
5.34 Mass/charge ratio for HiZr: (a) in the unirradiated condition, (b) and (c) at 3 dpa, and (d) at 7 dpa. Zr intensity peaks are shown with Mo peaks to demonstrate the presence of the $2+ \text{Zr}^{90}$ peak and the overlap of subsequent $2+ \text{Zr}$ peaks with Mo $2+$ peaks. The presence of Zr is detected in the unirradiated condition and at 3 and 7 dpa, shown in (b), (c) and (d). Unidentified peaks occur (b), (c) and (d) which cannot be attributed to any particular element. ....	229
5.35 Mass/charge ratio for (a) unirradiated LoHf, (b) unirradiated HiHf, and (c) HiHf at 3 dpa. Hf intensity peaks are shown for the HiHf alloy only. The absence of Hf peaks for LoHf indicates that the Hf in solution is below the	

detectable limit. The presence of Hf is detected in the unirradiated condition in (b) but uncertain in (c), due to additional peaks with a lower mass/charge ratio than Hf which cannot be identified. Notice that any Hf in solution in LoHf is below the detection limit and cannot be seen in the mass/charge ratio spectrum.....	230
5.36 The final image taken in FIB prior to last annular milling process. Although the image is blurry and may require additional focusing, more scans for the purpose of focusing mean more Ga <sup>+</sup> ion implantation into the sample tip.....	231
5.37 Atom maps of Ni for (a) unirradiated HiZr, (b) LoZr at 3 dpa, and (c) HiZr at 7 dpa. No precipitation of Ni is observed until after irradiation of the alloys.....	232
5.38 Atom maps of Si for (a) unirradiated HiZr, (b) LoZr at 3 dpa, and (c) HiZr at 7 dpa. No clustering of Si is observed until after irradiation of the alloys.....	233
5.39 Atom maps of oversized solute for (a) unirradiated LoZr (b) HiZr at 7 dpa, and (c) unirradiated HiHf. The distribution of oversized solute does not appear to be clustered nor is there any change in distribution due to irradiation dose.....	234
6.1 Benchmarking of the MIK model, showing grain boundary Cr concentration as a function of temperature for Fe-20Cr-24Ni alloy with a dose rate of $7 \times 10^{-6}$ dpa/s at 0.5 dpa with MIK in black and MIK-T in green.....	267
6.2 Benchmarking of the MIK model, showing grain boundary Ni concentration as a function of temperature for Fe-20Cr-24Ni alloy with a dose rate of $7 \times 10^{-6}$ dpa/s at 0.5 dpa with MIK in black and MIK-T in orange.....	268
6.3 Variation of grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration as a function of temperature from 200 – 600°C for the MIK model at 1 dpa.....	269
6.4 Grain boundary concentrations for Ni and Cr as a function of dose for the MIK model at 400°C.....	270
6.5 Variation of grain boundary Ni and Cr concentration as a function of dose rate from $10^{-8}$ – $10^{-3}$ dpa/s for the MIK model.....	271
6.6 Grain boundary concentrations for Cr and Ni as a function of the binding energy from 0 to 1.0 eV at 400°C, 1 dpa, with a dose rate of $10^{-6}$ dpa/s and a solute concentration of 0.5 at%. The reference value for studying the variation of other MIK-T model input parameters is shown in the figure by a vertical line at 0.5 eV.....	272

6.7	Grain boundary concentrations for Cr and Ni as a function of the oversized solute trapping radius from 0 – 1 nm at 400°C, 1 dpa, with a dose rate of $10^{-6}$ dpa/s, a binding energy of 0.5 eV, recombination radius of 0.5 nm, and solute concentration of 0.5 at%. The reference value for studying the variation of other MIK-T model input parameters is shown in the figure by a vertical line at 0.5 nm. The shaded region represents a radius less than the 1 <sup>st</sup> nearest neighbor distance of 0.3 nm.....	273
6.8	Grain boundary concentrations for Cr and Ni as a function of the solute-vacancy recombination radius from 0 – 1 nm at 400°C, 1 dpa, with a dose rate of $10^{-6}$ dpa/s, a binding energy of 0.5 eV, trapping radius of 0.5 nm, and solute concentration of 0.5 at%. The reference value for studying the variation of other MIK-T model input parameters is shown in the figure by a vertical line at 0.5 nm. The shaded region represents a radius less than the 1 <sup>st</sup> nearest neighbor distance of 0.3 nm.....	274
6.9	Grain boundary Cr concentrations as a function of temperature at $10^{-6}$ dpa/s and 1 dpa for the MIK and MIK-T models, with a binding energy of 1.0 eV and solute concentration of 0.5 at% for the MIK-T model.....	275
6.10	Percent difference in the grain boundary Cr concentration between the MIK and MIK-T models as a function of temperature at $10^{-6}$ dpa/s and 1 dpa.....	276
6.11	Value of the exponential in the solute-vacancy dissociation rate as a function of temperature with a binding energy of 1.0 eV .....	277
6.12	The release and recombination rates divided by the trapping rate as a function of temperature, at $10^{-6}$ dpa/s, 1 dpa. ....	278
6.13	The recombination rate relative to its maximum value, at 200°C, as a function of temperature, at $10^{-6}$ dpa/s, 1 dpa. ....	279
6.14	Grain boundary Cr concentrations as a function of dose for the MIK and MIK-T models at 400°C .....	280
6.15	Percent difference in the grain boundary Cr concentration between the MIK and MIK-T models as a function of dose at $10^{-6}$ dpa/s and 400°C.....	281
6.16	Grain boundary Cr concentrations as a function of dose rate at 400°C and 1 dpa.....	282
6.17	The trapped vacancy concentration as a function of dose rate on the left y-axis in blue, and the trapped vacancy concentration divided by the defect production rate as a function of dose on the right y-axis in red, at 400°C and 1 dpa.....	283

6.18	Concentrations for the freely migrating vacancies and the trapped vacancies as a function of dose rate at 400°C, 1 dpa. ....	284
6.19	Grain boundary concentrations for Cr and Ni as a function of the oversized solute concentration from 0 to 1.0 at% at 400°C and 1 dpa, with a binding energy of 0.5 eV and trapping and recombination radii of 0.5 nm. The reference value for studying the variation of other MIK-T model input parameters is shown in the figure by a vertical line at 0.5 at%. ....	285
6.20	Binding energy as a function of nearest neighbor distance from the oversized solute assuming a non-spin-polarized (non-magnetic) system. The top x-axis also includes the distance from the origin, in nm. ....	286
6.21	Relaxation of Hf and Zr ions with 1 <sup>st</sup> nn vacancies. The vacancy lattice position is by the tip of the horizontal arrows. Relaxation of Zr ion toward the vacancy position is much greater than the relaxation of Hf, accounting for the larger binding energy for Zr compared to Hf. ....	287
6.22	The nn positions relative to the oversized solute (OS) atom at the origin for a fcc cell. The 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> , and 6 <sup>th</sup> nn positions are shown. The 5 <sup>th</sup> nn position rests in the face of the adjacent cell away from the oversized solute, one lattice parameter distance from the 1 <sup>st</sup> nn position. ....	288
6.23	Effect of binding energy on the value of the exponential in the solute-vacancy dissociation rate .....	289
6.24	Calculated change in grain boundary concentrations for the +Zr alloys at doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa at 400°C with a solute-vacancy binding energy of 1.08 eV .....	290
6.25	Calculated change in grain boundary concentrations for the +Hf alloys at doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa at 400°C with a solute-vacancy binding energy of 0.71 eV .....	291
6.26	Calculated change in grain boundary concentrations for the +Zr alloys at doses of 1 and 3 dpa at 500°C with a solute-vacancy binding energy of 1.08 eV .....	292
6.27	Calculated change in grain boundary concentrations for the +Hf alloys at doses of 1 and 3 dpa at 500°C with a solute-vacancy binding energy of 0.71 eV .....	293
7.1	A comparison of Cr depletion between the reference alloys in this study and literature values for proton irradiation data, showing the amount of grain boundary (GB) Cr depletion as a function of dose for austenitic stainless steels at temperatures of 360 – 500°C .....	324

7.2	A comparison of Cr depletion between the reference alloys in this study and literature values for neutron irradiation data (black symbols) and proton irradiation data (grey symbols), showing the amount of grain boundary (GB) Cr depletion as a function of dose for austenitic stainless steels at temperatures of 275 – 500°C .....	325
7.3	A comparison of Ni enrichment between the reference alloys in this study and literature values for proton irradiation data, showing the amount of grain boundary (GB) Ni enrichment as a function of dose for austenitic stainless steels at temperatures of 360 – 500°C .....	326
7.4	A comparison at 400°C of the MIK model and experimental measurements for the change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentrations for Ref-Zr and Ref-Hf. ....	327
7.5	A comparison at 500°C of the MIK model and experimental measurements for the change in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentrations for Ref-Zr and Ref-Hf. ....	328
7.6	Average loop diameters for the reference alloys in this study compared to literature values for 316-type stainless steels irradiated with protons at temperatures of 360°C and 400°C .....	329
7.7	Average loop diameters for the reference alloys in this study compared to literature values for 316-type stainless steels irradiated with protons (grey symbols) and neutrons (black symbols) at temperatures of 275 – 400°C.....	330
7.8	Average loop densities for the reference alloys in this study compared to literature values for 316-type stainless steels irradiated with protons at temperatures of 360°C and 400°C. ....	331
7.9	Average loop densities for the reference alloys in this study compared to literature values for 316-type stainless steels irradiated with protons (grey symbols) and neutrons (black symbols) at temperatures of 275 – 400°C.....	332
7.10	Average loop diameters for all alloys in this study, plotted vs. dose for 3 and 7 dpa at 400°C.....	333
7.11	Average loop densities for all alloys in this study, plotted vs. dose for 3 and 7 dpa at 400°C.....	334
7.12	Loop line length as a function of grain boundary Cr depletion for the +Zr alloys at 3 and 7 dpa, 400°C. ....	335

7.13	Loop line length as a function of grain boundary Cr depletion for the +Hf alloys at 3 and 7 dpa, 400°C. ....	336
7.14	Measured changes in grain boundary Ni and Cr concentrations for the alloys HiZr, Ref-Hf, and HiHf at doses of 3 and 7 dpa, using either 2 MeV or 3.2 MeV protons. The comparison of Cr depletion between 2 MeV and 3.2 MeV irradiations shows that grain boundary segregation values are not affected by protons in this energy range. ....	337
7.15	Change in grain boundary Cr and Ni concentration at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa for all measurements for the +Hf alloys. ....	338
7.16	Comparison of MIK-T and measured values of GB Cr depletion for the +Zr and +Hf alloys irradiated at 400°C to 3 dpa. ....	339
7.17	Comparison of MIK-T and measured values of GB Cr depletion for the +Zr and +Hf alloys irradiated at 400°C to 7 dpa. ....	340
7.18	Comparison of MIK-T and measured values of GB Cr depletion for the +Zr and +Hf alloys irradiated at 500°C to 1 dpa. ....	341
7.19	Comparison of MIK-T and measured values of GB Cr depletion for the +Zr and +Hf alloys irradiated at 500°C to 3 dpa. ....	342
7.20	Binding energy as a function of nearest neighbor distance from the oversized solute. The top x-axis also includes the distance from the origin (oversized solute atom position), in nm. ....	343
7.21	Amount of GB Cr depletion at 400°C for LoZr and HiZr at 7 dpa compared to the MIK-T model using a binding energy of 0 – 0.3 eV. ....	344
7.22	Amount of GB Cr depletion as a function of binding energy at 400°C for LoHf and HiHf at 10 dpa compared to the MIK-T model. ....	345
7.23	Amount of GB Cr depletion as a function of solute concentration in solution for LoZr and HiZr at 400°C, 7 dpa. Trapping and recombination radii are 0.5 nm, and binding energies are 1.08 eV for Zr and 0.71 eV for Hf. ....	346
7.24	Amount of GB Cr depletion as a function of solute concentration in solution for LoHf and HiHf at 400°C, 10 dpa. Trapping and recombination radii are 0.5 nm, and binding energies are 1.08 eV for Zr and 0.71 eV for Hf. ....	347
7.25	Drawing of the concentration of solute in a unit cell as a function of the radius of the unit cell, where $r = \alpha$ at the precipitate boundary and $r = r_e$ at the unit cell boundary, $c_0$ is the initial solute concentration in the unit cell,	

$\bar{c}$ is the average solute concentration as a function of irradiation time, and $c'$ is the equilibrium solute concentration at the precipitate boundary. ....	348
7.26 Hf concentrations remaining in the matrix as a function of irradiation dose at temperatures of 400°C and 500°C, based on the Cr vacancy diffusion coefficient, using a precipitation kinetics analysis developed by Shewmon. ....	349
7.27 Hf concentration remaining in the matrix as a function of density at temperatures of 400°C and 500°C, based on the Cr vacancy diffusion coefficient, using a precipitation kinetics analysis developed by Shewmon. The vertical line shows the reference value for the migration energy of 1.18 eV. ....	350
7.28 Comparison of MIK-T model and measured values of GB Cr depletion at 400°C for HiHZr and HiHf. Oversized solute concentrations for the MIK-T model are the estimated values prior to irradiation based on TEM imaging of ZrC and HfC precipitate microstructure, with 0.11 at% Zr for HiZr and 0.16 at% Hf for HiHf. ....	351
7.29 Correlation of Cr depletion measurements and LEAP analysis, where for each irradiation condition, the detection of oversized solute in solution is consistent with the measured reduction of Cr depletion. ....	352
7.30 Grain boundary Cr depletion as a function of oversized solute concentration for LoZr and HiHf at 400°C, 3 dpa. ....	353
B.1 (a) Selection of an area for Pt deposition to protect the sample during cutting; (b) after deposition of Pt; (c) arrangement of a series of box cuts to drill out a sample post; (d) after the first set of box cuts performed at +52°; (e) a second box cutting at -10° undercuts the sample, leaving a cantilevered sample post; (f) a full view of the post under a protective Pt layer, with surrounding ion beam drilling damage. ....	374
B.2 (a) The Kleindiek tungsten probe is maneuvered and placed on top of the sample post; (b) a top-down view reveals a shadow on the post from the probe; (c) deposition of Pt connects the post to the probe; (d) a final cut releases the sample from the TEM foil; (e) and the probe plus sample post are removed and positioned for the next step in the fabrication process. ....	375
B.3 (a) The sample attached to a tungsten wire is reloaded back into the FIB and imaged in the e <sup>-</sup> beam; (b) box mill of the post edge, with imaging in the Ga <sup>+</sup> beam; (c) large annular milling pattern to establish the initial shape of the sample tip; (d) final annular milling pattern to shape and define the final sample tip; and (e) an image of the final specimen tip. ....	376

B.4	(a) an image of a primary and secondary tip after the final milling process, imaged in the $e^-$ beam; (b) close up view of the primary peak; (c) image of a primary tip without any secondary tips that might interfere with LEAP analysis; and (d) a close of the final specimen tip showing the final tip diameter of less than 100 nm. ....	377
C.1	EDS spectrum for a grain boundary RIS measurement showing the $K_{\beta 1}^{Ni}$ X-ray peak and the position of the $L_{\alpha 1}^{Hf} + L_{\alpha 2}^{Hf}$ X-ray peak. ....	380



## LIST OF TABLES

### Table

2.1	Segregation predictions based on volume misfit of solute in a binary alloy .....	51
2.2	Estimated binding energies for oversized solutes in a 316L stainless steel.....	52
2.3	RIS data for a series of 316SS with Pt or Hf additions, irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 2.5 and 5 dpa .....	53
2.4	Grain boundary concentration data for a FeCrNi alloy with Zr additions after neutron irradiation.....	54
2.5	A 316+Zr alloy after 1 MeV electron irradiation to doses of 0.85 and 3.4 dpa with, (a) the average void diameter, and (b) the void density, as a function of temperature .....	55
2.6	Experimentally-determine linear size factors of oversized solute atoms in a Fe-Cr-Ni alloy.....	56
4.1	Summary of bulk alloy compositions (wt%) determined by chemical analysis.....	124
4.2	Thermo-mechanical heat treatments and processing steps taken to achieve an average grain size of approximately 20 $\mu\text{m}$ for each alloy .....	125
4.3	X-ray emission energies for elements analyzed in composition analysis, including the energy window used for each window in the Emispec ES Vision software .....	126
4.4	Rules for calculating the propagation of error for $Z$ based on two quantities, $A$ and $B$ , each of which have an associated error .....	127
5.1	Grain boundary (GB) measurements of concentration for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	173

5.2(a) Grain boundary segregation and measurement error for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	174
5.2(b) Error in the segregation measurements calculated using error propagation from the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of the grain boundary and matrix measurements for the +Zr alloys at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	174
5.3 Grain boundary measurements of concentration for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa, normalized to Fe + Cr + Ni = 1 .....	175
5.4 Grain boundary (GB) measurements of concentration for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	176
5.5(a) Grain boundary segregation for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	177
5.5(b) Error in the segregation measurements calculated using error propagation from the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of the grain boundary and matrix measurements for the +Hf alloys at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa .....	177
5.6 Grain boundary measurements of concentration for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 400°C to doses of 3, 7 and 10 dpa, normalized to Fe + Cr + Ni = 1 .....	178
5.7 Grain boundary (GB) measurements of concentration for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	179
5.8(a) Grain boundary segregation for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	180
5.8(b) Error in the segregation measurements calculated using error propagation from the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of the grain boundary and matrix measurements for the +Zr alloys at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	180
5.9 Grain boundary measurements of concentration for the +Zr alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa, normalized to Fe + Cr + Ni = 1 .....	181
5.10 Grain boundary (GB) measurements of concentration for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	182
5.11(a) Grain boundary segregation for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	183

5.11(b) Error in the segregation measurements calculated using error propagation from the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of the grain boundary and matrix measurements for the +Zr alloys at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa .....	183
5.12 Grain boundary measurements of concentration for the +Hf alloys irradiated with protons at 500°C to doses of 1 and 3 dpa, normalized to Fe + Cr + Ni = 1.....	184
5.13 Measured dislocation loop data for the +Zr alloys after 400°C irradiation at doses of 3 and 7 dpa.....	185
5.14 Measured dislocation loop data for the +Hf alloys after 400°C irradiation at doses of 3 and 7 dpa. Loop density error represents the standard deviation of the loop density measurements.....	186
5.15 IDCC data for ZrC and HfC including 2 $\theta$ diffraction angles, inter-planar distance (d), relative intensities, and (hkl) planes.....	187
5.16 XRD results of the bulk lattice parameter and phases detected for the oversized solute alloys and the reference alloys, along with an estimated mass fraction of the secondary XC phases present in the oversized solute alloys.....	188
5.17 Experimental lattice parameters for carbide phases.....	189
5.18 Mean size and density of HfC and ZrC precipitates (ppts.) in HiHf and HiZr, respectively, with the amount of Hf/Zr in the precipitates and remaining in solution.....	190
5.19 Ni <sup>2+</sup> mass/charge ratios with isotopic abundances. The Ni <sup>2+</sup> ratios overlap with the Zr <sup>3+</sup> .....	191
5.20 Mo <sup>2+</sup> mass/charge ratios with isotopic abundances. Some of the Mo <sup>2+</sup> ratios overlap with Zr <sup>2+</sup> ratios.....	192
5.21 Zr <sup>2+</sup> and Zr <sup>3+</sup> mass/charge ratios with isotopic abundances. Mass/charge ratios for both Mo and Ni overlap with Zr, making identification of Zr difficult.....	193
5.22 The Hf <sup>2+</sup> and Hf <sup>3+</sup> mass/charge ratios with isotopic abundances. High mass/charge ratios for Hf make detection more difficult at low concentrations. ....	194
5.23 Results from the identification of oversized solute in LEAP sample analysis. ....	195
6.1 MIK model input values .....	260

6.2	Standard values of the MIK-T model input parameters used for the sensitivity study .....	261
6.3	Changes in grain boundary concentration and the significance of MIK-T model input parameters at 400°C and 1 dpa after changing the input values by a factor of $10^{-4}$ .....	262
6.4	Changes in grain boundary concentration and the significance of MIK-T model input parameters at 500°C and 1 dpa after changing the input values by a factor of $10^{-4}$ .....	263
6.5	Binding energy as a function of nearest neighbor distance for Zr or Hf in non-spin-polarized Fe using the indirect method.....	264
6.6	Binding energy as a function of nearest neighbor distance using the direct method, first for Zr or Hf in non-polarized Fe with an additional <i>p</i> -shell of electrons, and also Zr in spin-polarized Fe .....	265
6.7	Calculated lattice parameters and linear size factors for Zr and Hf in fcc Fe plus experimental size factors.....	266
A.1	Production of relevant isotopes in the ( $p^+$ ,n) reaction.....	363
A.2	Irradiation parameters in calculating activity .....	364
A.3	Production of radioactivity through the ( $p^+$ ,n) reaction after 3 dpa.....	365
A.4	Post-irradiation radioactivity for 2, 3, and 3.2 MeV protons after 3 dpa.....	366
A.5	Summary of sample activity after 2, 3, and 3.2 MeV proton irradiation.....	367

## LIST OF APPENDICES

### Appendix

A.	ACTIVITY CALCULATIONS .....	359
B.	LEAP SAMPLE FABRICATION .....	368
C.	MINIMUM DETECTABILITY LIMIT OF HF IN EDS .....	378
D.	RADIATION-INDUCED SEGREGATION MEASUREMENTS .....	381