

Unless otherwise noted, the content of this course material is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution – Non-commercial – Share Alike 3.0 License.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>.

Copyright © 2007, Jeffrey K. MacKie-Mason.

You assume all responsibility for use and potential liability associated with any use of the material. Material contains copyrighted content, used in accordance with U.S. law. Copyright holders of content included in this material should contact [open.michigan@umich.edu](mailto:open.michigan@umich.edu) with any questions, corrections, or clarifications regarding the use of content. The Regents of the University of Michigan do not license the use of third party content posted to this site unless such a license is specifically granted in connection with particular content. Users of content are responsible for their compliance with applicable law. Mention of specific products in this material solely represents the opinion of the speaker and does not represent an endorsement by the University of Michigan. For more information about how to cite these materials visit <http://michigan.educommons.net/about/terms-of-use>.

Any medical information in this material is intended to inform and educate and is not a tool for self-diagnosis or a replacement for medical evaluation, advice, diagnosis or treatment by a healthcare professional. You should speak to your physician or make an appointment to be seen if you have questions or concerns about this information or your medical condition. Viewer discretion is advised: Material may contain medical images that may be disturbing to some viewers.

# Case: File Sharing

SI 646, Information Economics  
Prof. Jeff MacKie-Mason

January 31, 2007

Read Felix Oberholzer and Koleman Strumpf. The effect of file sharing on record sales – an empirical analysis. In *Second Workshop on the Economics of Peer-to-Peer Systems*, 2004. Revised June 2005; available at [http://www.unc.edu/~cigar/papers/FileSharing\\_June2005\\_final.pdf](http://www.unc.edu/~cigar/papers/FileSharing_June2005_final.pdf).

Be prepared to engage in class debate concerning the following questions. You should be prepared to argue *both for and against* the assertions.

1. Asserted: “Sharing files is largely non-rivalrous because the original owner retains his copy of a downloaded file” (p. 2). Because the goods are non-rivalrous, social welfare is maximized by allowing unrestricted file-sharing.
2. Asserted: File sharing increases recording sales because users are able to sample more material and find out what they want to buy.
3. Asserted: File sharing is no different than providing a public library service, and should be treated the same.
4. “[Estimating equation (1)] is generally inappropriate because the number of downloads is likely to be correlated with unobservable album-level heterogeneity” (p. 14). What does this mean?
5. “This is evidence consistent with a ubiquitous scarcity of supply” (p. 18). But on p. 2 (see above), the authors said that file sharing is “non-rivalrous”. How can there be scarcity of non-rivalrous goods?