open.michigan

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

SI 623. Outcome-Based Evaluation. Bibliography

Selected Articles & Books
Alkin, Marvin C. Evaluation Roots: Tracing Theorists' Views and Influences. Thousand Oaks, CA. Sage, 2004.
Note: Ch 2 is available as a PDF.
http://www.sagepub.com/Alkin%20Chapter%202_5074.pdf

Bishop, A. P., et al. (2000). Socially grounded user studies in digital library development. *First Monday*, (May).
http://www.firstmonday.dk/issues/issue5_6/bishop/

Brophy, Peter (2006) Measuring library performance : principles and techniques. London, Facet.

Chen, Huey Tsyh. Practical Program Evaluation : Assessing and Improving Planning, Implementation, and Effectiveness. Thousand Oaks, CA. Sage, 2005.
(Esp. Ch 2-A conceptual framework for program evaluation for practitioners)

Dresang, E. T., Gross, M., & Holt, L. E. (2003). Project CATE: Using outcome measures to assess school-age children's use of technology in urban public libraries: A collaborative research process. Library & Information Science Research, 25.1, 19-42

Durrance, J. C. (1994). *Meeting Community Needs through Job and Career Centers.* New York: Neal-Schuman.

Durrance, Joan C. and Karen E. Fisher with Marian Bouch Hinton. (2005) *How Libraries and Librarians Help: A Guide to Identifying User-Centered Outcomes***. Chicago: ALA Editions, 2005. COURSE TEXT.**

Durrance, J. C., & Pettigrew, K. E. (2001). Toward context-centered methods for evaluating public library networked community information initiatives. *First Monday*.

Durrance, J. C., & Fisher-Pettigrew, K. E. (2002) Factors influencing changes in approaches to public sector evaluation. *Reference and User Services Quarterly* 42 (1), 43-53.

Durrance, J. C., & Fisher-Pettigrew, K. E. (2003) Determining How Libraries and Librarians Help. *Library Trends*. 51(4): 305-334.

Hernon, P., & Nitecki, D. (2001). Service quality: a concept not fully explored. *Library Trends,* 49 (1), 687-708.

Hert, C. (2001). User-Centered Evaluation and Its Connection to Design. IN C. R. McClure and J.C. Bertot. *Evaluating Networked Information Services: Techniques, Policy, and Issues.* Medford: Information Today. 155-73.

Institute for Museum and Library Services. (2000). *Perspectives on outcome based evaluation for libraries and museums.* Washington: IMLS. http://www.imls.gov/pubs/pdf/pubobe.pdf

Kyrillidou, M. (2002). From input and output measures to quality and outcome measures, or, from the user in the life of the library to the library in the life of the user. *Journal of Academic Librarianship,* 28, 42-46.

Lincoln, Y. (2002). Insights into library services and users from qualitative research. *Library and Information Science Research*, 24, 3-16.

Lyons, Ray & Kaske, Neal (2008). Honorable Mention: What public library national ratings say. Public Libraries (Nov-Dec:36-43)

Mehra, B. Bishop, A. P., Bazzell, I. (2000). The role of use scenarios in developing a community health information system. *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science*, 26 (4).

Morley, E., Vinson, E., & Hatry, H.P., *Outcome measurement in nonprofit organizations: Current practices and recommendations.* Washington D.C., Independent Sector and Urban Institute, 2001. http://www.independentsector.org/programs/research/outcomes.pdf

O'Connor, Lisa, Carolyn J. Radcliff, and Julie A. Gedeon, "Applying Systems Design and Item Response Theory to the Problem of Measuring Information Literacy Skills," *College and Research Libraries* 63, no. 6 (2002): 528-543. See also ARL New Measures Initiative at http://www.arl.org/stats/newmeas/index.html

O'Connor, Lisa, Carolyn J. Radcliff, and Julie A. Gedeon. Assessing Information Literacy Skills: Developing a Standardized Instrument for Institutional and Longitudinal Measurement. http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlevents/oconnor.pdf

Patton, Michael Quinn (2008). 4th ed. *Utilization-focused evaluation.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
Chapters
1. Evaluation Use: Both Challenge and Mandate
2. What Is Utilization-Focused Evaluation? How Do You Get Started?
3. Fostering Intended Use by Intended Users: The Personal Factor
4. Intended Uses of Findings
5. Intended Process Uses: Impacts of Evaluative Thinking and Experiences
PART II. FOCUSING EVALUATIONS: CHOICES, OPTIONS, AND DECISIONS
6. Situational Evaluation: Being Active-Reactive-Interactive-Adaptive
7. Focusing on Outcomes: Beyond the Goals Clarification Game

8. Evaluation Focus Options: Developmental Evaluation and Other Alternatives
9. Implementation Evaluation: What Happened in the Program?
10. Conceptualizing the Intervention: Alternatives for Evaluating Theories of Change
PART III. APPROPRIATE METHODS
11. Evaluations Worth Using: Utilization-Focused Methods Decisions
12. The Paradigms Debate and a Utilization-Focused Synthesis
13. The Meanings and Reporting of Evaluation Findings: Analysis, Interpretation, Judgment, and Recommendations
PART IV. REALITIES AND PRACTICALITIES OF UTILIZATION-FOCUSED EVALUATION
14. Power, Politics, and Ethics
15. Utilization-Focused Evaluation: Processes and Premises

Rubin, Rhea Joyce. (2006) Demonstrating Results: Using Outcome Measurement in Your Library. Chicago, ALA Editions.<PLA Planning for Results Series.> ISBN 0-8389-3560

Smith, Kenneth R. *New Roles And Responsibilities For The University Library: Advancing Student Learning Through Outcomes Assessment*.
http://www.arl.org/stats/newmeas/outcomes/HEOSmith.html

Steffen, N., K.C. Lance, R. Logan. (2002). Time to tell the whole story: outcome-based evaluation and the Counting on Results Project. *Public Libraries* 41, 222-28.

Steffen, N. and K.C. Lance. (2002). Who's doing what: Outcome-based evaluation and demographics in the Counting on Results Project. *Public Libraries*, 41.

Unruh, K., K. Pettigrew, J.C. Durrance (2002). Towards Effective Evaluation of Digital Community Information Systems. *Annual Conference of the Association for Information Science & Technology*. Philadelphia.

Manuals. Guides. Methods/Approaches
Durrance, J. C., Fisher-Pettigrew, K. E., (2002). How Libraries and Librarians Help—Putting Outcome Evaluation in Context: A Toolkit.
http://ibec.ischool.washington.edu/ (click on Tools)

Evaluation Forum. *A field guide to outcome-based evaluation*. Seattle, WA. Evaluation Forum, 2002.

Hennen, T. Annual HAPLR. Ratings of "Great American Public Libraries. *American Libraries*. Latest: October 2005.

Hernon, P., & Altman, E. (1996). *Service quality in academic libraries*. Norwood: Ablex.

Hernon, P., & Altman, E. (1998). *Assessing service quality*: *Satisfying the expectations of library customers*. Chicago: American Library Association.

Hernon, P. & R.E. Dugan. (2002). *An Action Plan for Outcomes Assessment in Your Library*. Chicago: American Library Association.

Institute for Museum and Library Services. (2001). *New directives, new directions: Documenting outcomes in IMLS grants to libraries and museums*. Washington. IMLS. http://www.imls.gov/grants/current/crnt_obebasics.htm

Kellogg, W.K. Foundation. Logic Model Development Guide. 2001.
http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/Tools/Evaluation/Pub3669.pdf

Kellogg, W.K. Foundation Evaluation Handbook.
http://www.wkkf.org/Pubs/Tools/Evaluation/Pub770.pdf

National Science Foundation. The 2002 User-Friendly Handbook for Project Evaluation http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2002/nsf02057/start.htm

Patton, M. Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications. (Also under selected articles and books)

Rossi, P., H. E. Freedman, M.W. Lipsey. (2003). *Evaluation: A systematic approach*. 7th Edition. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Rand. Getting To Outcomes 2004. Promoting Accountability Through Methods and Tools for Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation. Technical Report 101-CDC By MATTHEW CHINMAN, PAMELA IMM,ABRAHAM WANDERSMAN. Funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. January 2004. Detailed guide to the use of outcome assessment. Steps in OBE. Identifies best practice examples. CDC's influence seen in its 'gold standard' approaches.
RAND. Getting to Outcomes 2004 URL:
http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR101/

Read Ch 7 & 8
Chapter 7: Q #7: How Will the Quality of Program and/or Initiative Implementation Be Assessed? (Process) PDF

Chapter 8: Q #8: How Well Did the Program Work? (Outcomes) PDF
APPENDIX G

United Way. Measuring Program Outcomes: A practical approach.
http://national.unitedway.org/outcomes/resources/mpo/
<This is the oldest of the outcomes guides—10 years old. Selections from its 1996 manual are included here.>

UWEX. Program Development and Evaluation. Evaluation Site.
http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/index.html

Best Practice & Other Examples
http://www.aecf.org/initiatives/mc/mcid/index.php
Annie E. Casey Foundations. Making Connections: A neighborhood transformation. Family development initiative.

California. State Library. Radio Works! <Longitudinal evaluation>.
http://www.library.ca.gov/newsletter/2005/2005summer/radioworks.html

Children's Partnership. Impacts of technology on outcomes for youth: a 2005 review. By Wendy Lazarus and Andrew Wainer with Laurie Lipper. June 2005. <A review of selected studies>
http://www.childrenspartnership.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Home&TEMPLATE=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&CONTENTID=8652

Colorado. Library Research Service. Counting on Results.
http://www.lrs.org/CoR.asp

Contra Costa County Office of Education. Contra Costa County Children's Report Card 2003. http://www.cocoschools.org/supe/reportcard.html

CSAP. Model Program. Family Effectiveness Training.
http://www.cfs.med.miami.edu/Docs/Miscellaneous/FET.pdf

Documenting the American South: http://docsouth.unc.edu/

Gates Foundation. Evaluation of the Sound Families Initiative.
http://www.soundfamilies.org/page.php/program/evaluation

The Incredible Years. <research-based, proven effective programs for
reducing children's aggression and behavior problems and increasing social
competence at home and at school> http://www.incredibleyears.com/

Peninsula Foundation. The Children's Report, Children in Our Community: A
Report on Their Health and Well-Being.
http://www.pcf.org/peninsula_partnership/pdfs/childrens_report2.pdf
http://www.pcf.org/about/pdfs/childreport2005.pdf

Schweinhart, Lawrence J. The High/Scope Perry School Study Through Age
40: Summary, Conclusions and FAQs.
http://www.highscope.org/Research/PerryProject/PerryAge40SumWeb.pdf

Xiang, Zongping and Lawrence J. Schweinhart. Effects Five Years Later: The
Michigan School Readiness Program Evaluation Through Age 10.
http://www.highscope.org/Research/MsrpEvaluation/msrp-Age10-2.pdf

---

Additional Resources:
The American Journal of Evaluation

Association of American Colleges & Universities. AACU. Liberal Education
Outcomes. A Preliminary Report.
http://www.aacu-edu.org/advocacy/pdfs/LEAP_Report_FINAL.pdf

Association of Research Libraries. Making Library Assessment Work. (ARL led
focus on more user-focused approaches to assessment for academic
libraries)
http://www.arl.org/stats/Hiller_Self.html

California State Library. Outcomes Bibliography.
http://www.library.ca.gov/assets/acrobat/lsta/OutcomesMeasurementBibliog
raphy.pdf

International Asssociation of School Librarianship (IASL). School Libraries
Make a Difference to Student Achievement. Annotated bibliography.
http://www.iasl-online.org/advocacy/make-a-difference.html

Institute for Museum and Library Services. Introduction to Outcome
Oriented Evaluation: Selected Resources. 5/03.
http://www.imls.gov/grants/current/crnt_bib.htm

IMLS. Shaping Outcomes: Making a Difference in Libraries and Museums.
http://www.shapingoutcomes.org/ New website under development.

IMLS. Sheppard, B. *Outcome Based Evaluation: Showing the Difference We Make: Outcome Evaluation in Libraries and Museums*.
http://www.imls.gov/grants/current/crnt_obe.htm

Scholastic. (2006) Research Foundation Paper. School Libraries Work! Scholastic Library Press.   http://www.scholastic.com/librarians/printables/downloads/slw_2006.pdf
Texas State Library. Outcome resources.
http://www.tsl.state.tx.us/outcomes/resources.html

Weblog on Evaluation <Controversies>
http://weblogs.elearning.ubc.ca/mathison/archives/2005/12/the_role_of_rct.html

1/13/09