### Two $\gamma 2L$ subunit domains confer low $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity to ternary $GABA_A$ receptors

### Naomi Nagaya\* and Robert L. Macdonald\*†

\*Departments of Neurology and † Physiology, University of Michigan Health System, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48104-1687, USA

(Received 24 August 2000; accepted after revision 2 December 2000)

- 1. The sensitivity of  $GABA_A$  receptors (GABARs) to  $Zn^{2+}$  inhibition depends on subunit composition. The predominant neuronal forms of mammalian GABARs,  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta$ , are differentially sensitive to  $Zn^{2+}$  inhibition;  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  receptors are substantially less sensitive than  $\alpha\beta\delta$  receptors. Recently, functional domains involved in  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity have been identified in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. Our aim in the present study was to localize functional domains of low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity within  $\gamma 2L$  subunits.
- 2. Chimeric subunits were constructed by progressively replacing the rat  $\gamma 2L$  subunit sequence with that of the rat  $\delta$  subunit sequence. Whole-cell currents were recorded from mouse L929 fibroblasts coexpressing wild-type rat  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits with a chimeric  $\delta \gamma 2L$  subunit.
- 3. Unlike  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits, the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit was found to contain a determinant of low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity in the N-terminal extracellular region. In addition, we identified determinants in the M2 segment and the M2–M3 loop of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit that are homologous to those found in  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  subunits.
- 4. We postulate that the interface between the latter two domains, which may form the outer vestibule of the channel, represents a single functional domain modulating Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity. Thus, the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of ternary GABARs appears to be determined by two functional domains, one in the N-terminal extracellular region and one near the outer mouth of the channel.

The  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABAR) is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter receptor in the mammalian central nervous system. Functional mammalian GABARs are thought to be pentameric combinations of homologous subunits drawn from seven different families with multiple subtypes:  $\alpha(1-6)$ ,  $\beta(1-3)$ ,  $\gamma(1-3), \delta, \epsilon, \pi$  and  $\theta$  (Macdonald & Olsen, 1994; Davies et al. 1997; Hedblom & Kirkness, 1997; Bonnert et al. 1999). Like other members of the ligand-gated ion channel gene superfamily, GABAR subunits have a putative membrane topology consisting of a large N-terminus, four membranespanning segments (M1–M4), one extracellular and two intracellular loops connecting the membrane-spanning segments (M1-M2, M2-M3, M3-M4), and an extracellular C-terminus. It has been suggested that neuronal GABARs are predominantly ternary  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta$  combinations (Angelotti et al. 1993; McKernan & Whiting, 1996).

The divalent cation  $Zn^{2+}$  has been proposed to be an endogenous modulator of synaptic transmission (Smart *et al.* 1994; Harrison & Gibbons, 1994). Its ability to inhibit native GABARs, however, varies with neuronal type, age and activity (Smart *et al.* 1994; Kapur & Macdonald, 1997). Studies of recombinant receptors indicate that the inhibitory activity of  $Zn^{2+}$  on GABAR currents depends on subunit composition (Draguhn et al. 1990; Smart et al. 1991). Binary  $\alpha\beta$  GABARs have a high sensitivity to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ with  $IC_{50}$  values ranging from 0.1 to 1  $\mu$ M (Draguhn *et al.* 1990; Smart et al. 1991; Wooltorton et al. 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). In ternary  $\alpha\beta X$  GABARs (where X is  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\epsilon$  or  $\pi$ ), Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity is decreased. Receptors containing  $\pi$  subunits have a Zn<sup>2+</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> of ~2  $\mu$ M (Neelands & Macdonald, 1999), receptors containing  $\delta$ subunits have  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 5 to 16  $\mu$ M (Saxena & Macdonald, 1996; Krishek et al. 1998), and receptors containing  $\epsilon$  subunits have a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> of ~40  $\mu$ M (Whiting et al. 1997; Neelands et al. 1999). Incorporation of a  $\gamma$  subunit, however, has an even greater effect on GABAR Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity, resulting in  $IC_{50}$  values that range from 20 to 600  $\mu$ M (Saxena & Macdonald, 1996; Burgard et al. 1996; Krishek et al. 1998).

Functional domains involved in  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  sensitivity have been identified in two GABAR subunit families,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The extracellular end of the M2 segment in the  $\beta$  subunit has been shown to be a major determinant of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ sensitivity in  $\beta$  homomers and  $\alpha\beta$  receptors (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). The M2–M3 extracellular loop of the  $\alpha$ 6 subtype has been shown to confer higher  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity to  $\alpha 6\beta 3\gamma 2L$  GABAR isoforms as compared to  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors (Fisher & Macdonald, 1998). In addition, in GABA<sub>C</sub> receptors, which are structurally homologous to GABARs, the N-terminus has an essential role in conferring  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity to  $\rho 1$  homomers (Wang *et al.* 1995). Such functional domains, however, have yet to be identified for other GABAR subunit families including  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ .

To determine which domains of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit subtype are involved in conferring low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity to GABARs, we constructed GABAR chimeras by replacing varying lengths of the rat  $\gamma 2L$  subunit with the homologous sequence of the rat  $\delta$  subunit. These chimeric subunits were coexpressed in mouse L929 cells with wildtype  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits, and whole-cell currents were elicited by application of GABA. The relative  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivities of GABARs containing the chimeric subunits revealed that two different and non-contiguous  $\gamma 2L$ subunit domains, one in the N-terminal extracellular region and one near the outer mouth of the channel, contributed to the regulation of  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity.

### METHODS

### Construction of expression vectors and mutagenesis

Full-length cDNAs for the rat GABAR  $\alpha 1$  (Dr A. Tobin, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA),  $\beta 3$  (Dr D. Pritchett, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA),  $\delta$  (Dr K. Angelides, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA) and  $\gamma 2L$  subunits (F. Tan, University of Michigan) were subcloned into the expression vector pCMVneo (Huggenvik *et al.* 1991). Chimeras were constructed using the splice overhang extension method. Point mutations were generated using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Oligonucleotide primers were synthesized by the University of Michigan DNA synthesis core. Sequences of chimeras and point mutants were verified by fluorescent DNA sequencing (University of Michigan DNA sequencing core).

#### Cell culture and transient transfection

The mouse fibroblast cell line L929 (American Tissue Type Collection, Rockville, MD, USA) was grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% horse serum, 100 i.u. ml<sup>-1</sup> penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin (all from Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA). Cells were maintained in a 37 °C incubator with 95% air-5% CO<sub>2</sub> in 10 cm culture dishes. Cells were passaged every 3-4 days using 0.5% trypsin-0.2% EDTA (Boehringer-Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in divalent-free, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.15 mM NaCl, pH 7.3). Twenty-four hours prior to transfection, cells were seeded at a density of 300000 in 60 mm culture dishes. Cells were transfected using a modified calcium phosphate precipitation method. Plasmids encoding GABAR subunits were mixed in a 1:1:1 ratio using  $3-4 \ \mu g$  of each along with  $2 \mu g$  of pHook-1 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA), which encodes the cell surface antibody sFv. Following addition of DNA, cells were incubated for 4-5 h in 3% CO<sub>2</sub> and shocked for 30 s with 15% glycerol in BBS buffer (50 mM Bes, 280 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>). The following day, transfected cells were selected and concentrated using Capture-Tec beads (magnetic beads coated with hapten; Invitrogen). Selected cells were replated on 35 mm culture dishes and used for recording about 24 h later.

### Electrophysiological recording solutions and techniques

For whole-cell recording, the external bath solution consisted of (mM): 142 NaCl, 8.1 KCl, 6 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 glucose and 10 Hepes at pH 7.4 and osmolality between 311 and  $325 \text{ mosmol kg}^{-1}$ . The concentration of Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the bath solution did not change the GABAR  $IC_{50}$  values for  $Zn^{2+}$ . The  $IC_{50}$  values for the wild-type receptors  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  were similar to those reported previously in the literature (Gingrich & Burkat, 1998; Krishek et al. 1998). Recording electrodes were filled with an internal solution of (mm): 153 KCl, 1 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 K-EGTA, 10 Hepes and 2 Mg-ATP at pH 7.3 and osmolality adjusted to 295–300 mosmol kg<sup>-1</sup>. These solutions provided a chloride equilibrium potential near 0 mV. Patch pipettes were pulled from microhaematocrit tubes made of soda-lime glass (i.d. = 1.1-1.2 mm, o.d. = 1.3-1.4 mm; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) on a P-87 Flaming Brown puller (Sutter Instrument Co., San Rafael, CA, USA). Pipettes had resistances of  $5-10 \text{ M}\Omega$  and were coated with polystyrene Q-Dope (GC Electronics, Rockfield, IL, USA) before use. Currents were recorded with an Axoclamp 200A patch clamp amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA, USA), DigiData 1200 interface (Axon Instruments) and Zenith Pentium computer as well as on Beta videotape. Series resistance was compensated by 90%. GABA and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> were prepared as stock solutions of 100 mM in water. All working solutions were prepared on the day of the experiment by diluting stock solutions in external solution. Drugs were applied to cells using a modified U-tube delivery system with a 10–90% rise time of 70–150 ms (Greenfield & Macdonald, 1996). GABA-induced currents were recorded at a holding potential of -75 mV. All experiments were performed at room temperature.

#### Analysis of whole-cell currents

Whole-cell currents were analysed off-line using Axoscope (Axon Instruments) and Prism software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Normalized concentration—response data for the different isoforms were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation:

$$I = I_{\text{max}} / (1 + 10^{(\log \text{EC}_{50} - \log X)n_{\text{H}}}),$$

where X is the concentration of drug,  $n_{\rm H}$  represents the Hill coefficient and I represents current expressed as a percentage of the maximum current elicited by saturating concentrations of GABA for each cell or in the case of Zn<sup>2+</sup>, as a percentage of the current elicited by GABA alone ( $I_{\rm max}$ ). Data in the figures were derived from a single fit of averaged responses from all cells. Data in Tables 1 and 2 were derived from fits of individual cells and are reported as means  $\pm$ S.E.M. Statistical tests were performed by one-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls multiple comparisons tests where P < 0.05. Results of significance tests are presented in the relevant table legends.

### Expression of $\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L chimeric GABARs

Although the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma 2L$  subunit families share only 35% homology with each other (Shivers *et al.* 1989), the four chimeras we constructed produced functional channels when coexpressed with wild-type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. The GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values for all of the chimeric isoforms were distinct from those observed for binary  $\alpha\beta$ receptors (~1  $\mu$ M; Angelotti *et al.* 1993), indicating that the chimeric subunits were incorporated and influenced channel properties.

### RESULTS

### Design of $\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L chimeric subunits

To determine which domains of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit were involved in conferring low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity to ternary GABARs, four chimeric subunits were created by

Table 1. GABA sensitivit	ty of receptors con	ntaining wild-typ	be, chimeric and mutar	nt subunits		
Receptor isoform	$\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ( $\mu$ M)	Hill slope	Peak current (pA)	n		
αβδ	$4.4 \pm 1.0$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$136.0\pm36.7$	5		
αβγ	$15.5 \pm 3.6$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$472.9 \pm 145.9$	5		
$lphaeta\delta$ - $\gamma(M1e)$	$2.8 \pm 0.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$506.4 \pm 142.9$	5		
$lphaeta\delta$ - $\gamma$ (M1i)	$25.4 \pm 4.8$	$1.1 \pm 0.07$	$362.0 \pm 250.9$	3		
$lphaeta\delta$ - $\gamma(M2e)$	$23.9 \pm 3.4$	$0.9 \pm 0.02$	$219.3 \pm 50.8$	5		
$\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma$ (M3e)	$3.5 \pm 0.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$158.7 \pm 62.8$	6		
$\alpha\beta\delta(MVS \rightarrow STI)$	$5.1 \pm 1.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$191.7 \pm 44.0$	3		
$\alpha\beta\gamma(\text{STI}\rightarrow\text{MVS})$	$19.1 \pm 5.0$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$2164 \pm 1203$	3		
$\alpha\beta\delta(M278S)$	$4.3 \pm 1.7$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$366.6 \pm 129.2$	3		
$\alpha\beta\delta(V279T)$	$3.0 \pm 0.8$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$137.4 \pm 110.5$	3		
$\alpha\beta\delta(S280I)$	$2.5 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$328.5 \pm 134.0$	3		
$\alpha\beta\gamma$ (I282S)	$13.8 \pm 1.4$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$408.0 \pm 115.6$	4		
$\alpha\beta\delta(S283K)$	$3.0 \pm 0.5$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$197.2 \pm 50.8$	4		
$lphaeta\gamma$ (K285S)	$13.2 \pm 1.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$636.6 \pm 100.5$	3		

Values are means  $\pm$  s.E.M. GABA log EC<sub>50</sub> values for  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(M278S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(V279T)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(S280I)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(S283K)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(MVS \rightarrow STI)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \gamma(M1e)$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta \gamma(M3e)$  are all significantly different from those of  $\alpha\beta\delta \gamma(M1i)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \gamma(M2e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(STI \rightarrow MVS)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  (Newman-Keuls post hoc, one-way ANOVA). GABA Hill slope for  $\alpha\beta\delta \gamma(M2e)$  is significantly different from that of  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ (Newman-Keuls post hoc, one-way ANOVA).

Receptor isoform	$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ( $\mu$ M)	Hill slope	n
αβδ	$8.6 \pm 1.8$	$-0.7 \pm 0.1$	5
αβγ	$307.6 \pm 68.7$	$-0.9 \pm 0.2$	3
$\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma(M1e)$	$23.9 \pm 2.2$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	4
$\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma$ (M1i)	$19.8 \pm 4.6$	$-0.9 \pm 0.06$	3
$\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma(M2e)$	$11.3 \pm 1.0$	$-0.8 \pm 0.05$	4
$\alpha\beta\delta$ - $\gamma$ (M3e)	$5.4 \pm 1.2$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	5
$\alpha\beta\delta(MVS \rightarrow STI)$	$15.8 \pm 2.9$	$-0.9 \pm 0.03$	4
$\alpha\beta\gamma(\text{STI}\rightarrow\text{MVS})$	$55.1 \pm 6.0$	$-0.6 \pm 0.03$	3
$\alpha\beta\delta(M278S)$	$7.2 \pm 3.4$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	3
$\alpha\beta\delta(V279T)$	$7.0 \pm 1.9$	$-1.1 \pm 0.3$	2
$\alpha\beta\delta(S280I)$	$5.3 \pm 1.2$	$-1.1 \pm 0.7$	3
$\alpha\beta\gamma$ (I282S)	$345.7 \pm 154$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	3
αβδ(S283K)	$9.8 \pm 2.3$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	5
$\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$	$178.2 \pm 26.7$	$-0.8 \pm 0.05$	4

Values are means  $\pm$  s.E.M.  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}\log \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  for  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M3e)$  is significantly different from those of  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M1e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M1e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M1e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(MVS \rightarrow STI)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(STI \rightarrow MVS)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ .  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}\log \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values for  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M1e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M1e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta \cdot \gamma(M2e)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(MVS \rightarrow STI)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(S283K)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(M278S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta(S280I)$  and  $\alpha\beta\delta(V279T)$  are significantly different from those of  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  (STI  $\rightarrow$  MVS),  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ .  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}\log \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  for  $\alpha\beta\gamma(STI \rightarrow MVS)$  is significantly different from those of  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ .  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}\log \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  for  $\alpha\beta\gamma(STI \rightarrow MVS)$  is significantly different from those of  $\alpha\beta\gamma(I282S)$ ,  $\alpha\beta\gamma(K285S)$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ . (Newman-Keuls post hoc, one-way ANOVA).  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}$  Hill slopes are not significantly different (Newman-Keuls post hoc, one-way ANOVA).

progressively replacing the wild-type  $\gamma 2L$  subunit sequence from the N-terminus with wild-type  $\delta$  sequence. Figure 1 depicts the putative membrane topology for each of the  $\delta$ - $\gamma 2L$  chimeras including the large N-terminus, the four transmembrane segments (M1-M4) and their interconnecting loops, and the extracellular C-terminus. These chimeras divided the GABAR subunit sequence into five sections: (1) the N-terminus, (2) the M1 segment, (3) the M1-M2 loop and the M2 segment, (4) the M2-M3 loop and (5) the M3 and M4 segments, the M3-M4 loop and the C-terminus.

### GABA sensitivity of GABARs containing wild-type and chimeric subunits

Wild-type and chimeric forms of the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma 2L$  subunits produced functional GABAR channels when coexpressed with wild-type  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits in L929 fibroblasts. Cells were voltage clamped at -75 mV, and whole-cell currents were elicited by application of GABA. Comparison of concentration-response curves for GABARs containing wild-type subunits indicated that  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors had a higher EC<sub>50</sub> for GABA than  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (Fisher & Macdonald, 1997; P < 0.001; Table 1). Currents from GABARs containing chimeric subunits were differentially sensitive to GABA (Fig. 2A). Two of the isoforms containing chimeric  $\delta \gamma 2L$  subunits,  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M3e)$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M1e)$ , had GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values similar to that of GABARs containing the wild-type  $\delta$  subunit (Fig. 2B, Table 1). The other two chimeric receptors,  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M2e)$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M1i)$ , had higher GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values that were similar to that of GABARs containing the wild-type  $\gamma 2L$  subunit (Fig. 2B, Table 1). These results suggest that the juxtaposition of the  $\gamma$  subunit transmembrane and/or loop sequences with the  $\delta$  subunit N-terminal extracellular sequence could influence GABA EC<sub>50</sub> value was observed.

### $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity of GABARs containing wild-type and chimeric subunits

Currents evoked from wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ receptors by EC<sub>50</sub> concentrations of GABA were differentially inhibited by coapplication of 10  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> (Fig. 3A). The Zn<sup>2+</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors was significantly higher than that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (Fig. 3B, P < 0.001; Table 2).



### Figure 1. Schematic representation of four $\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L chimeric subunits

The putative membrane topologies for four  $\delta - \gamma 2L$  chimeras are shown. Above each subunit, the chimera name is given, and below each subunit, the splice sites are given by amino acid and residue number. For each subunit, the extracellular N- and C-termini are indicated (N, C) and the four putative transmembrane segments labelled (M1–M4). The extent of rat  $\delta$  subunit sequence is represented by dashed lines whereas the extent of rat  $\gamma 2L$  subunit sequence is represented by continuous lines.

Currents evoked from chimeric GABARs by EC<sub>50</sub> concentrations of GABA were also differentially inhibited by coapplication of  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$  Zn<sup>2+</sup> (Fig. 4A). Progressive replacement of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit from the N-terminus with the  $\delta$  subunit sequence produced a progressive decrease in  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> (Fig. 4B). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2 L(M1e)$ isoform had an average  $Zn^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> that was substantially lower than that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors (P < 0.001; Table 2). Replacement of the wild-type  $\gamma 2L$  subunit with this chimeric subunit accounted for 63% of the log difference between  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L$ (M3e) receptors, suggesting that the N-terminal extracellular domain contained a critical determinant. Replacement of additional  $\gamma 2L$  subunit sequence (the M1 segment) with the  $\delta$  subunit sequence produced little change in  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity (Fig. 4B); the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M1i)$  isoform had an average  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  that was unchanged from that of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M1e)$  isoform (Table 2), suggesting that the M1 segment was not involved in conferring low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity. Extending the  $\delta$  subunit sequence through the  $\gamma$ 2L subunit M1–M2 loop and the M2 segment, however, again decreased  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  (Fig. 4B). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2 L(M2e)$ isoform had an average  $Zn^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> that was substantially lower than that of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M1e)$  isoform (Table 2). This chimeric subunit accounted for an additional 19% of the log difference between  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2 L$ and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M3e)$  receptors, suggesting that the M1-M2 loop and M2 segment contained another determinant of low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity. Extending the  $\delta$  subunit sequence through the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit M2–M3 loop also decreased  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  (Fig. 4B). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2 L(M3e)$ isoform had an average  $Zn^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> that was lower than that of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M2e)$  isoform (Table 2). This chimeric subunit accounted for an additional 18% of the log difference between  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2 L$ and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \gamma 2L(M3e)$  receptors, suggesting that the M2-M3 loop contained a third determinant of low  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity.

### Point mutations in the outer vestibule

Of the three structural determinants identified by the  $\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L chimeras, we proceeded to further delineate two adjacent domains: (1) the M1-M2 loop and the M2 segment and (2) the M2–M3 loop. We chose to focus on these adjacent regions since previous studies of GABARs had identified functional domains for Zn<sup>2+</sup> in the M2 segment of  $\beta$  subunits and in the M2–M3 loop of  $\alpha$  subunits, suggesting the presence of homologous domains in  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Fisher & Macdonald, 1998; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). We targeted the extracellular end of the M2 segment and the proximal end of the M2–M3 extracellular loop, subunit regions putatively associated with the outer vestibule of the channel. Specific amino acid residues in the wild-type  $\delta$  and  $\gamma 2L$  subunits were targeted for sitedirected mutagenesis based on studies of Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity involving other GABAR subunits.

The M2 segment. The putative channel-lining M2 segment is highly conserved across all GABAR subunits. There are, however, a few sequence differences among subunit families. Between the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ 2L subunits, there are differences at four positions in the M2 sequence

(Fig. 5.4). Three differences occur in a triplet of amino acids at the extracellular end of the M2 segment. Residues in the  $\alpha 1$  subunit that are homologous to the second and third position of the triplet, I270 and S271, have been shown to be water accessible in  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  receptors (Xu &



Figure 2. GABA sensitivity of GABARs containing chimeric  $\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L subunits

A, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors (upper left),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors (lower left), or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M3e)$  receptors (lower right). The indicated concentrations of GABA were applied for 6–12 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. B, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ) are shown by continuous lines. Concentration–response curves for wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  (right) receptors are shown for comparison (dashed lines). The peak response to each concentration of GABA was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated EC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ).

Akabas, 1996). Residues in the  $\beta 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits homologous to the third position of the triplet, H292 and H267, respectively, were shown to be major determinants of  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}$  inhibition in  $\beta$  homomers and binary  $\alpha\beta$  receptors and also appeared to be water accessible (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Therefore, we focused on the extracellular triplet of residues and made the mutations M278S, V279T, S280I (MVS  $\rightarrow$  STI) and S280M, T281V, I282S (STI $\rightarrow$ MVS) in the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ 2L subunits, respectively.

Coexpression of  $\delta$  or  $\gamma 2L$  M2 mutant subunits with wildtype  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits resulted in functional GABARs. The GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (MVS  $\rightarrow$  STI) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (STI  $\rightarrow$  MVS) isoforms were similar to those of their respective wild-type receptors (Table 1), suggesting that the triple mutations in the M2 segment did not affect GABA sensitivity. The Zn<sup>2+</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> values of GABARs containing either of the M2 mutants differed from those of GABARs containing wild-type subunits (Fig. 5B and C). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (MVS  $\rightarrow$  STI) isoform had an average  $Zn^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> that was substantially higher than that of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  isoform (Table 2). This mutant subunit accounted for 17% of the log difference between  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors. The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L(STI \rightarrow MVS)$  isoform had an average  $Zn^{2+}$  $IC_{50}$  that was substantially lower than that of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  isoform (P < 0.001; Table 2). This mutant subunit accounted for 48% of the log difference between  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+} \operatorname{IC}_{50}$  values of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors. These results indicated that the extracellular end of the M2 segment plays an important role in determining the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of ternary GABARs. Introduction of the  $\gamma 2L$ triplet into the  $\delta$  subunit background decreased  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity whereas removal of the triplet from the  $\gamma 2L$ subunit background increased Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity. The



Figure 3.  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of GABARs containing wild-type  $\delta$  or  $\gamma 2L$  subunits

A, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (left) or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors (right). GABA or GABA plus 10  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> was applied for 7 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. The concentration of GABA used was near the EC<sub>50</sub> value for the given isoform. B, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors ( $\bullet$ ) or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ). The peak response to each concentration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response to GABA alone for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated IC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ). effects of these mutations, however, were not equivalent for the  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits (see Discussion).

To determine whether the influence of the M2 triplet on  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity was dependent on only one of the residues, we made a set of point mutations. Mutagenesis at the third position of the triplet in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits has

been shown to influence the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of binary  $\alpha\beta$ receptors (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Therefore, point mutations were made at this position in the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ 2L subunits at S280 and I282, respectively. In addition, the contributions of the first and second residues of the triplet were tested by making the point mutations M278S and V279T in the  $\delta$  subunit.



Figure 4.  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  sensitivity of GABARs containing chimeric  $\delta$ - $\gamma$ 2L subunits

A, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors (upper left),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors (lower left), or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M3e)$  receptors (lower right). GABA or GABA plus 10  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> was applied for 6–12 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. B, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1i)$  receptors (O),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M2e)$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ), and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1e)$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M1i)$  receptors (O),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M2e)$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ), and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta \cdot \gamma 2L(M3e)$  receptors ( $\Delta$ ) are shown by continuous lines. Concentration–response curves for wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  (right) receptors are shown for comparison (dashed lines). The peak response to each concentration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response to GABA alone for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated IC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ).

Coexpression of the  $\delta$  or  $\gamma 2L$  M2 triplet mutants with wild-type  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits resulted in functional GABARs. The GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values of the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (M278S),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (V279T),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S280I) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (I282S) isoforms were similar to those of their respective wild-type receptors (Table 1), suggesting that these point mutations in the M2 triplet had little effect on GABA sensitivity. The  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> values of GABARs containing any of the M2 triplet mutants were similar to those of GABARs containing wild-type subunits (Fig. 6A and B). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (M278S),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (V279T) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S280I) isoforms had average IC<sub>50</sub> values that were similar to that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (Table 2). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ L(I282S) isoform had an average IC<sub>50</sub> that was similar to that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ L





A, M2 segment sequences for the rat  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\beta 3$ ,  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits are shown. Residue numbers are based on the mature protein amino acid sequences. Residues in the  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits previously shown to be water accessible are underlined (Xu & Akabas, 1996; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). The four sequence differences between the M2 segments of the  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits are in bold and italicized. The triplets at the extracellular ends of the  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  M2 segments that were targeted for mutagenesis are underlined. *B*, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (MVS  $\rightarrow$  STI) receptors (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (STI  $\rightarrow$  MVS) receptors (right). GABA or GABA plus 10  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> was applied for 7 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. *C*, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (MVS  $\rightarrow$  STI) receptors ( $\bullet$ ) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (STI  $\rightarrow$  MVS) receptors ( $\Delta$ ). Concentration–response curves for wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  (right) receptors are shown for comparison (dashed lines). The peak response to each concentration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response to GABA alone for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated IC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ). receptors (Table 2). These results indicated that mutations of the individual residues in the M2 triplet did not replicate the effect of the triple mutation on the  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of ternary GABARs.

The M2-M3 loop. The M2-M3 loop is involved in modulating the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  receptors

containing the  $\alpha 1$  or  $\alpha 6$  subunit subtypes (Fisher & Macdonald, 1998).  $\alpha 6\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors are more sensitive to  $Zn^{2+}$  than  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors. Residue H273 of the  $\alpha 6$  subunit confers increased  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity to  $\alpha 6$  subunit-containing receptors whereas the homologous residue in the  $\alpha 1$  subunit, N274, confers decreased  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity



Figure 6. Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of GABARs containing subunits with M2 triplet mutations

A, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(M278S)$  receptors (upper left),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(V279T)$  receptors (upper right),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(S280I)$  receptors (lower left), or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L(I282S)$ receptors (lower right). GABA or GABA plus 10  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> was applied for 7–12 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. *B*, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(M278S)$ receptors ( $\bigcirc$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(V279T)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ),  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta(S280I)$  receptors (O), or  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L(I282S)$  receptors ( $\triangle$ ) are shown by continuous lines. Concentration–response curves for wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (right) receptors are shown for comparison (dashed lines). The peak response to each concentration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response to GABA alone for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated IC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ). to  $\alpha 1$  subunit-containing receptors. In  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2$  receptors,  $\alpha 1(N274)$  has been shown to be water accessible (Xu & Akabas, 1996), and sequence alignment indicates that the position occupied by  $\alpha 6(H273)$  differs between the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  subunit families (Fig. 7*A*). We hypothesized that the positively charged K285 in the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit might electrostatically repulse  $Zn^{2+}$  from the outer mouth of the channel whereas the polar, uncharged S283 residue in the  $\delta$  subunit might interact with  $Zn^{2+}$  (Karlin & Zhu, 1997) and thereby stabilize the cation in the channel. It has





A, M2–M3 loop sequences for the rat  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 6$ ,  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits are shown. Residue numbers are based on the mature protein amino acid sequences. Residues in the  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha 6$  subunits previously shown to influence Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivities of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  and  $\alpha 6\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors are underlined (Fisher & Macdonald, 1998). The homologous residues in the  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits that were targeted for mutagenesis are in bold and italicized. *B*, representative whole-cell currents from L929 fibroblasts expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S283K) receptors (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (K285S) receptors (right). The indicated concentrations of GABA were applied for 6–8 s (horizontal bars) to cells voltage clamped at -75 mV. *C*, concentration–response curves for cells expressing  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S283K) receptors ( $\bullet$ ) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (K285S) receptors ( $\Delta$ ). Concentration– response curves for wild-type  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  (left) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  (right) receptors are shown for comparison (dashed lines). The peak response to each concentration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> was normalized as a percentage of the maximum current response to GABA alone for each cell. Values are means  $\pm$  s.E.M. Data for each isoform were fitted with a four-parameter logistic equation with the indicated IC<sub>50</sub> values and Hill slopes ( $n_{\rm H}$ ). been previously reported that replacement of K285 with an alanine residue did not change the Zn<sup>2+</sup> insensitivity of ternary receptors (Smart *et al.* 1994). To assess the role of this M2–M3 residue in determining Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity, the point mutations S283K and K285S were made in the  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ 2L subunits, respectively.

Coexpression of  $\delta$  or  $\gamma 2L$  M2–M3 mutant subunits with wild-type  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits resulted in functional GABARs. The GABA EC<sub>50</sub> values of the two mutant subunit-containing isoforms,  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S283K) and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$ (K285S), were similar to those of their respective wild-type receptors (Table 1). These results indicated that these point mutations in the M2–M3 loop had little effect on GABA sensitivity.

Mutations in the M2–M3 loop of  $\delta$  or  $\gamma$ 2L subunits had different effects on the  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivities of ternary GABARs (Fig. 7B and C). The  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$ (S283K) isoform had an average  $IC_{50}$  that was slightly higher than that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors (Table 2), suggesting that substitution of a lysine residue at S283 in the wild-type  $\delta$  background had minimal effect on  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity. However, the  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L(K285S)$  isoform had an average IC<sub>50</sub> that was lower than that of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  receptors (Table 2). This mutant subunit resulted in a reduction in Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity that was 15% of the log difference between  $Zn^{2+}$  IC<sub>50</sub> values of  $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2L$  and  $\alpha 1\beta 3\delta$  receptors, suggesting that substitution of a serine residue at K285 in the wild-type  $\gamma 2L$  subunit caused an increase in  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity. Similar to the M2 triple mutants, these point mutations in the M2–M3 loop of  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ 2L subunits did not have equivalent effects on Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity, suggesting that the subunit context was an added factor (see Discussion).

### DISCUSSION

For the purposes of this discussion, we defined a functional domain as one or more amino acids that determined the effectiveness of a modulatory compound (e.g.  $Zn^{2+}$ ). The functional domain could represent the actual binding site for the compound, a transduction device between binding and drug effect, or a structural feature that could indirectly influence binding and/or transduction. In our study, the functional domain was defined by functional differences (e.g.  $IC_{50}$ ) that were introduced by chimera construction and mutagenesis. Using this approach we identified novel structural determinants of low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity for  $\gamma 2L$  subunitcontaining ternary GABARs (Fig. 8). One functional domain in the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit for low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity was localized to a subunit region forming the outer vestibule of the channel and was composed of residues in the M2 segment and the M2-M3 loop. The other functional domain in the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit for low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity was localized to the N-terminal extracellular region. Together, these two functional domains appear to form the basis for differences in  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity between  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors.

## Role of the outer vestibule in regulating $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity

One functional domain in the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit conferring low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity was localized to the region of the outer vestibule of the channel. It was composed of the extracellular end of the M2 segment and the proximal end of the M2–M3 loop. The  $\gamma$ 2L subunit determinant in the M2 segment for reduced Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity consisted of three amino acid residues rather than a single one as was found for the  $\beta$  subunits (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Individual substitutions within the triplet based on  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  sequence differences did not change the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of ternary receptors. As in the  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha 6$  subunit subtypes (Fisher & Macdonald, 1998), the  $\gamma$ 2L subunit M2–M3 loop was also found to make a contribution to  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity. Although these two  $\gamma 2L$  subunit regions were identified as separate determinants, it is likely that they form a single functional domain that was inadvertently divided by the design of our chimeric subunits.

It is likely that the M2 triplet of  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits is not directly involved in Zn<sup>2+</sup> binding. In the  $\beta$  subunit family, the homologous residue to the first position in the triplet has been shown to influence sensitivity to a variety of modulatory compounds. Replacement of the  $\beta 1$ subunit subtype with either the  $\beta 2$  or  $\beta 3$  subunit subtype



# Figure 8. Schematic representation of two domains of the $\gamma 2L$ subunit involved in conferring low $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity

The putative membrane topology of a  $\gamma 2L$  subunit is shown. The extracellular N- and C-termini are indicated (N, C) and the four putative transmembrane segments labelled (M1–M4). The subunit domains involved in conferring low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity to ternary GABARs are indicated by dashed lines with identified key residues (boxed text) shown in their approximate locations. The first domain (I) is composed of the N-terminal extracellular region. The second domain (II) is composed of a triplet of amino acid residues (STI) at the extracellular end of the M2 segment and a single residue (K) at the proximal end of the M2–M3 loop. confers greater receptor sensitivity to furosemide inhibition as well as to loreclezole, ethanol, enflurane, etomidate and  $\beta$ -carboline potentiation (Wingrove *et al.* 1994; Stevenson et al. 1995; Belelli et al. 1997; Mihic et al. 1997; Thompson et al. 1999). The differences in drug sensitivities have been attributed to N265 (rat  $\beta$ 3 subunit) and the homologous S265 (rat  $\beta$ 1 subunit). These observations suggest that the first position in the M2 segment of the  $\gamma$ 2L and  $\delta$  GABAR subunits has a role in transduction of modulator effects rather than in direct binding. Transduction of Zn<sup>2+</sup> binding may be a role subserved by the second and third positions of the M2 triplet as well as by  $\gamma$ 2L(K285) in the M2–M3 loop. Residues  $\gamma$ 2(T281) and  $\gamma^2(I282)$  have recently been identified as two of the three transduction elements required to couple benzodiazepine binding to GABA current potentiation (Boileau & Czajkowski, 1999). The third element that was identified is  $\gamma 2(S291)$ , which is six amino acids C-terminal to γ2(K285) (Boileau & Czajkowski, 1999).

The third position of the M2 triplet has been shown to largely determine the  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of  $\beta$  homomers and binary  $\alpha\beta$  receptors (Wooltorton *et al.* 1997; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Substitution of a serine (as in the  $\delta$  subunit) or an isoleucine (as in the  $\gamma$ 2L subunit) for the histidine in this  $\beta$  subunit position was found to decrease the  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of  $\alpha 1\beta 1$  GABARs (Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Substitution of a histidine at the homologous position of the  $\alpha$ 1 subunit (S271) was found to increase the  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of  $\alpha 1\beta 1$  receptors (Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). Thus, this position appears to be available for  $Zn^{2+}$ binding in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. In  $\gamma$ 2L subunits, however, Zn<sup>2+</sup> interaction does not seem plausible as substitution of a histidine at  $\gamma 2(I282)$  was not found to increase the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2$  receptors (Horenstein & Akabas, 1998).

### Role of the N-terminus in regulating Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity

Another determinant of the low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of  $\gamma 2L$ subunits was localized to the N-terminus. This result was somewhat unexpected in the light of recent studies pointing to the extracellular portion of the M2 segment and the M2-M3 loop as important determinants of GABAR Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity (Wooltorton et al. 1997; Fisher & Macdonald, 1998; Horenstein & Akabas, 1998). However, it was shown that recombinant  $GABA_{C}$  receptor  $\rho 1$ subunits possessed a determinant of Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity in the homologous extracellular region (Wang et al. 1995). A single residue, H156, was found to be critical for  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity. Residue H156 is homologous to a highly conserved asparagine, which is found among all GABAR subunit families except  $\pi$ . Interestingly, this residue is adjacent to H101 (rat sequence) in the  $\alpha$ 1 subunit, which is required for benzodiazepine sensitivity in ternary  $\alpha\beta\gamma$ receptors (Smith & Olsen, 1995). The amino acid sequence in the vicinity of this residue is highlighted by two tryptophan residues (W69 and W94 in the rat GABAR  $\alpha 1$  subunit) that are conserved in all members of the ligand-gated ion channel superfamily and in GABARs demarcate GABA and benzodiazepine binding regions (Smith & Olsen, 1995). In fact, mutation of the  $\rho$ 1(H156) has also been found to influence the GABA sensitivity of  $\rho$ 1 homomers (Kusama *et al.* 1994).

Functional domains determining the Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity of another member of the ligand-gated ion channel superfamily, the glycine receptors (GlyRs), have also been localized to the extracellular N-terminus. Mutagenesis based on chimeric subunit analysis has identified amino acid residues influencing potentiation and inhibition of human  $\alpha 1$  homomers by  $\operatorname{Zn}^{2+}$  (Lynch *et al.* 1998; Laube *et* al. 2000). Replacement of D80 resulted in a loss of potentiation and replacement of T112 resulted in a loss of inhibition. These two residues are located near the conserved tryptophan residues. Mutagenesis based on histidine targeting has also identified residues influencing potentiation and inhibition of GlyR currents by Zn<sup>2+</sup> (Harvey et al. 1999). Mutation of H107 abolished Zn<sup>2+</sup>mediated inhibition and mutation of H215 abolished Zn<sup>2+</sup>-mediated potentiation. Mutation of H109, however, abolished both inhibition and potentiation by  $Zn^{2+}$ . Histidines 107 and 109 are proximal to the conserved tryptophan residues whereas H215 is not.

The complex nature of functional domains for  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  in the N-terminal extracellular region of GlyRs suggests that such domains may also be complex in the homologous region of the GABAR  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  subunits. If the tertiary subunit of ternary GABARs participates in  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  binding, then one might expect that the  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  functional domains could be identified by looking for the presence or absence of common  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  co-ordinating residues (H, C, D and E; Karlin & Zhu, 1997). This approach will not work, however, if the functional domains are involved in a role other than  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  binding. Thus, chimeric subunit analysis would prove more fruitful.

## Subunit context of mutations and shifts in $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity

The shifts in Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity conferred by our sitedirected mutant subunits were asymmetric. The triple mutations in the M2 segment of the  $\gamma$ 2L subunit induced a 48% shift towards  $\delta$  subunit-like sensitivity whereas in the  $\delta$  subunit, the mutations induced only a 17% shift towards  $\gamma 2L$  subunit-like sensitivity. The point mutation in the M2–M3 loop of the  $\gamma$ 2L subunit induced a 15% shift towards  $\delta$  subunit-like sensitivity whereas in the  $\delta$  subunit, the mutation induced only a 4% shift towards  $\gamma$ 2L subunit-like sensitivity. Although it is tempting to presume that asymmetry is an indicator of irrelevance of a given residue or residues, we would argue that, in fact, it points to the importance of context (i.e. tertiary structure) for functional domains. In the 'native' context of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit, removal of the M2 triplet and the M2-M3 loop residue can be interpreted as a loss of elements necessary for low Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity. In the 'nonnative' context of the  $\delta$  subunit, introduction of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit M2 triplet and M2–M3 loop residue cannot, however, be interpreted as acquisition of these same elements because the appropriate subunit context is not available. Therefore, these mutations would not be expected to have the symmetrical effect on  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity in an otherwise 'non-native'  $\delta$  subunit context.

The importance of subunit context for functional domain properties also was demonstrated by the shifts of Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity induced by the  $\delta$ - $\gamma 2L$  chimeric subunits. Removal of the  $\gamma 2L$  subunit N-terminus ( $\delta - \gamma 2L$ (M1e)) resulted in a 63% shift in sensitivity from  $\gamma$ 2L subunitlike to  $\delta$  subunit-like. The estimated contribution of the N-terminus to the low  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of  $\gamma 2L$  subunits based on the site-directed mutant data would indicate a shift of  $\sim 40\%$  (see above). Sequential removal of the M2 triplet  $(\delta - \gamma 2 L(M2e))$  and the M2–M3 loop residue  $(\delta - \gamma 2 L(M3e))$  resulted in shifts of  $\sim 20\%$  each from  $\gamma 2 L$ subunit-like to  $\delta$  subunit-like. The sum of these shifts  $(\sim 40\%)$  is somewhat lower than the sum of those induced by the site-directed mutations involving these subunit segments where the subunit context included the  $\gamma 2L$ N-terminus (~60%). Thus, the potency of the  $\gamma 2L$ subunit outer vestibule in lowering Zn<sup>2+</sup> sensitivity appears to be reduced in the context of the  $\delta$  subunit N-terminus.

Although our study demonstrated that the N-terminus of the  $\gamma 2L$  and  $\delta$  GABAR subunits contributed to  $Zn^{2+}$ sensitivity, it would not be surprising if the homologous regions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits were also found to play a role. The alignment of various N-terminal residues implicated in agonist and benzodiazepine binding among the different subunit families suggests that residues involved in  $Zn^{2+}$  inhibition are also aligned (Sigel & Buhr, 1997). Indeed, differences in the mechanism of  $Zn^{2+}$  antagonism (non-competitive, competitive, mixed) among various receptor isoforms (Legendre & Westbrook, 1991; Gingrich & Burkat, 1998; Krishek *et al.* 1998) might be explained by the availability of  $Zn^{2+}$  functional domains, not only near the channel mouth but also at subunit interfaces whereby agonist binding could be influenced.

- ANGELOTTI, T. P., UHLER, M. D. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1993). Assembly of  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits: analysis of transient single cell expression utilizing a fluorescent substrate/marker gene combination. Journal of Neuroscience 13, 1418–1428.
- BELELLI, D., LAMBERT, J. J., PETERS, J. A., WAFFORD, K. & WHITING, P. J. (1997). The interaction of the general anesthetic etomidate with the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor is influenced by a single amino acid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **94**, 11031–11036.
- BOILEAU, A. J. & CZAJKOWSKI, C. (1999). Identification of transduction elements for benzodiazepine modulation of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors: three residues are required for allosteric coupling. *Journal of Neuroscience* **19**, 10213–10220.

- BONNERT, T. P., MCKERNAN, R. M., FARRAR, S., LE BOURDELLE, B., HEAVENS, R. P., SMITH, D. W., HEWSON, L., RIGBY, M. R., SIRINATHSINGHJI, D. J., BROWN, N., WAFFORD, K. A. & WHITING, P. J. (1999). Theta, a novel gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor subunit. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the USA 96, 9891–9896.
- BURGARD, E. C., TIETZ, E. I., NEELANDS, T. R. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1996). Properties of recombinant  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptor isoforms containing the  $\alpha 5$  subunit subtype. *Molecular Pharmacology* **50**, 119–127.
- DAVIES, P. A., HANNA, M. C., HALES, T. G. & KIRKNESS, E. F. (1997). Insensitivity to anaesthetic agents conferred by a class of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit. *Nature* 385, 820–823.
- DRAGUHN, A., VERDORN, T. A., EWERT, M., SEEBURG, P. H. & SAKMANN, B. (1990). Functional and molecular distinction between recombinant rat  $GABA_A$  receptor subtypes by  $Zn^{2+}$ . Neuron 5, 781–788.
- FISHER, J. L. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1997). Single channel properties of recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing  $\gamma 2$  or  $\delta$  subtypes expressed with  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 3$  subtypes in mouse L929 cells. *Journal of Physiology* **505**, 283–297.
- FISHER, J. L. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1998). The role of an  $\alpha$  subtype  $M_2$ - $M_3$  His in regulating inhibition of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor current by zinc and other divalent cations. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18, 2944–2953.
- GINGRICH, K. J. & BURKAT, P. M. (1998).  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  inhibition of recombinant GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors: an allosteric, state-dependent mechanism determined by the  $\gamma$ -subunit. *Journal of Physiology* **506**, 609–625.
- GREENFIELD, L. J. JR & MACDONALD, R. L. (1996). Whole cell and single channel  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2S$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor currents elicited by a 'multipuffer' drug application device. *Pflügers Archiv* **432**, 1080–1090.
- HARRISON, N. L. & GIBBONS, S. J. (1994). Zn<sup>2+</sup>: an endogenous modulator of ligand- and voltage-gated ion channels. *Neuropharmacology* 33, 935–952.
- HARVEY, R. J., THOMAS, P., JAMES, C. H., WILDERSPIN, A. & SMART, T. G. (1999). Identification of an inhibitory  $Zn^{2+}$  binding site on the human glycine receptor  $\alpha 1$  subunit. *Journal of Physiology* **520**, 53–64.
- HEDBLOM, E. & KIRKNESS, E. F. (1997). A novel class of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit in tissues of the reproductive system. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 15346–15350.
- HORENSTEIN, J. & AKABAS, M. H. (1998). Location of a high affinity  $Zn^{2+}$  binding site in the channel of  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Molecular Pharmacology* **53**, 870–877.
- HUGGENVIK, J. I., COLLARD, M. W., STOFKO, R. E., SEASHOLTZ, A. F.
  & UHLER, M. D. (1991). Regulation of the human enkephalin promoter by two isoforms of the catalytic subunit of cyclic adenosine 3'5'-monophosphate-dependent protein kinase. *Molecular Endocrinology* 5, 921–930.
- KAPUR, J. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1997). Rapid seizure-induced reduction of benzodiazepine and  $Zn^{2+}$  sensitivity of hippocampal dentate granule cell GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience* **17**, 7532–7540.
- KARLIN, S. & ZHU, Z.-Y. (1997). Classification of mononuclear zinc metal sites in protein structures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94, 14231–14236.
- KRISHEK, B. J., MOSS, S. J. & SMART, T. G. (1998). Interaction of  $H^+$ and  $Zn^{2+}$  on recombinant and native rat neuronal  $GABA_A$ receptors. *Journal of Physiology* **507**, 639–652.

- KUSAMA, T., WANG, J.-B., SPIVAK, C. E. & UHL, G. R. (1994). Mutagenesis of the GABA  $\rho 1$  receptor alters agonist affinity and channel gating. *NeuroReport* 5, 1209–1212.
- LAUBE, B., KUHSE, J. & BETZ, H. (2000). Kinetic and mutational analysis of  $Zn^{2+}$  modulation of recombinant human inhibitory glycine receptors. *Journal of Physiology* **522**, 215–230.
- LEGENDRE, P. & WESTBROOK, G. L. (1991). Noncompetitive inhibition of γ-aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> channels by Zn. *Molecular Pharmacology* **39**, 267–274.
- LYNCH, J. W., JACQUES, P., PIERCE, K. D. & SCHOFIELD, P. R. (1998). Zinc potentiation of the glycine receptor chloride channel is mediated by allosteric pathways. *Journal of Neurochemistry* 71, 2159–2168.
- MACDONALD, R. L. & OLSEN, R. W. (1994). GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor channels. Annual Review of Neuroscience 17, 569-602.
- MCKERNAN, R. M. & WHITING, P. J. (1996). Which GABA<sub>A</sub>-receptor subtypes really occur in the brain? *Trends in Neurosciences* **19**, 139–143.
- MIHIC, S. J., YE, Q., WICK, M. J., KOLTCHINE, V. V., KRASOWSKI, M. D., FINN, S. E., MASCIA, M. P., VALENZUELA, C. F., HANSON, K. K., GREENBLATT, E. P., HARRIS, R. A. & HARRISON, N. L. (1997). Sites of alcohol and volatile anaesthetic action on GABA<sub>A</sub> and glycine receptors. *Nature* **389**, 385–389.
- NEELANDS, T. R., FISHER, J. L., BIANCHI, M. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1999). Spontaneous and  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)-activated GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor channels formed by  $\epsilon$  subunit-containing isoforms. *Molecular Pharmacology* **55**, 168–178.
- NEELANDS, T. R. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1999). Incorporation of the  $\pi$  subunit into functional GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor channels. *Molecular Pharmacology* **56**, 598–610.
- SAXENA, N. C. & MACDONALD, R. L. (1996). Properties of putative cerebellar γ-aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptor isoforms. *Molecular Pharmacology* 49, 567–579.
- SHIVERS, B. D., KILLISCH, I., SPRENGEL, R., SONTHEIMER, H., KÖHLER, M., SCHOFIELD, P. R. & SEEBURG, P. H. (1989). Two novel GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits exist in distinct neuronal subpopulations. *Neuron* **3**, 327–337.
- SIGEL, E. & BUHR, A. (1997). The benzodiazepine binding site of  $GABA_A$  receptors. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 18, 425-429.
- SMART, T. G., Moss, S. L., XIE, X. & HUGANIR, R. L. (1991).  $GABA_A$  receptors are differentially sensitive to zinc: dependence on subunit composition. *British Journal of Pharmacology* **99**, 643–654.
- SMART, T. G., XIE, X. & KRISHEK, B. J. (1994). Modulation of inhibitory and excitatory amino acid receptor ion channels by zinc. *Progress in Neurobiology* 42, 393–441.
- SMITH, G. B. & OLSEN, R. W. (1995). Functional domains of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 16, 162–168.
- STEVENSON, A., WINGROVE, P. B., WHITING, P. J. & WAFFORD, K. A. (1995).  $\beta$ -carboline  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> receptor inverse agonists modulate  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid via the loreclezole binding site as well as the benzodiazepine site. *Molecular Pharmacology* **48**, 965–969.
- THOMPSON, S. A., ARDEN, S. A., MARSHALL, G., WINGROVE, P. B., WHITING, P. J. & WAFFORD, K. A. (1999). Residues in transmembrane domains I and II determine γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptor subtype-selective antagonism by furosemide. *Molecular Pharmacology* 55, 993–999.

- WANG, T.-L., HACKAM, A., GUGGINO, W. B. & CUTTING, G. R. (1995). A single histidine residue is essential for zinc inhibition of GABA ρ1 receptors. Journal of Neuroscience 15, 7684–7691.
- WHITING, P. J., MCALLISTER, G., VASILATIS, D., BONNERT, T. P., HEAVENS, R. P., SMITH, D. W., HEWSON, L., O'DONNELL, R., RIGBY, M. R., SIRINATHSINGHJI, D. J. S., MARSHALL, G., THOMPSON, S. A. & WAFFORD, K. A. (1997). Neuronally restricted RNA splicing regulates the expression of a novel GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit conferring atypical functional properties. *Journal of Neuroscience* 17, 5027–5037.
- WINGROVE, P. B., WAFFORD, K. A., BAIN, C. & WHITING, P. J. (1994) The modulatory action of loreclezole at the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A receptor is determined by a single amino acid in the  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  subunit. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the* USA **91**, 4569–4573.
- WOOLTORTON, J. R. A., MCDONALD, B. J., MOSS, S. J. & SMART, T. G. (1997). Identification of a  $Zn^{2+}$  binding site on the murine GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor complex: dependence on the second transmembrane domain of  $\beta$  subunits. *Journal of Physiology* **505**, 633–640.
- XU, M. & AKABAS, M. H. (1996). Identification of channel-lining residues in the M2 membrane-spanning segment of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor α1 subunit. Journal of General Physiology **107**, 195–205.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Sharon Baughman, Hyun Chung, Nadia Esmaeil, José S. Santos, Lisa M. Sharkey, Fang Sun and Jie Zhang for technical assistance and Matt T. Bianchi for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01-NS33300 (R.L.M.) and 5T32-NS07222 (N.N.) and an Epilepsy Foundation of America fellowship (N.N.).

### Corresponding author

R. L. Macdonald: Neuroscience Lab Building, 1103 E. Huron Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48104-1687, USA.

Email: rlmacd@umich.edu