

UM-HSRI-77-7

A FOUNDATION FOR SYSTEMS ANTHROPOMETRY

auchure fali

2. 19.1

Phase 1

Herbert M. Reynolds, Ph.D.

CONTRACT F44620-76-C-0115

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BOLLING AFB, D.C. 20332

Interim Report January 31, 1977

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data E	intered)	•		
REPORT DOCUMENTATION F	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A FOUNDATION FOR SYSTEMS ANTHROPOMETRY Phase I		 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim Scientific 1 June 76 - 30 Nov 76 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER UM-HSRI 77-7 		
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)		
Herbert M. Reynolds, PhD		F44620-76-C-0115		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Highway Safety Research Institute The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 2313/A4		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE		
Air Force Office of Scientific Research (NL) Bolling AFB DC 20332		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
		129		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(11 different	from Controlling Office)	Unclassified		
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
Approved for public release; distri	bution unlimited	l.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	in Block 20, il dillerent tro	m Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Anthropometry, Biomechanics, Kinematics, Human Engineering, Links, Joint Centers of Mobility, Anatomical Axes Systems, Anthropometric Landmarks				
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and The purpose of the present pro properties and requirements of thre essence, the research has the expre linkage of the whole body for predi dynamic computer simulations. This subsidiary tasks dealing with 1) th relationship of externally and inte	I Identify by block number) gram is to condu e-dimensional dy ssed goal of ind cting body motio effort may be d e identification rnally "stable"	ct basic research into the namic anthropometry. In uctively describing the n in three-dimensional ivided into three , location, and landmarks; 2) the definition		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 . EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

•

.

-

. •

An Interim Report To

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332

A FOUNDATION FOR SYSTEMS ANTHROPOMETRY Phase I

AN INTERIM REPORT FOR PHASE I OF CONTRACT #F44620-76-C-0115

HERBERT M. REYNOLDS, Ph.D. PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

January 31, 1977

Prepared by

Highway Safety Research Institute The University of Michigan Ann Arbor 48109

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section				Page
1.0.	Intro	duction		1
2.0.	Perspe	ectives o	on Systems Anthropometry	2
3.0.	Metho	dological	Considerations for Systems Anthropometry	14
	3.1. 3.2.	Landmark Three-Di	Definitions	15 18 22
	3.3.	Kinemati	ical Problems for Systems Anthropometry	23
4.0.	Task	Reports 1	for Phase I	27
	4.1.	Errors i Co-ordir	in Definition of an Anatomically-Based nate System Using Anthropometric Data Pobbins)	20
		(D. n. r	Introduction	29
		4.1.2.	Analysis	31
		4.1.3.	Examples	32
		4.1.4.	Conclusions	34
	4.2.	Radiogra Measurer	aphic Methodology for Systems Anthropometry ment (M. Bender)	35
		4.2.1.	Origin Calibration and Image Distance Calibration	37
		4.2.2.	Quantitative Stereoradiography	43
		4.2.3.	Sources of Error	50
,	4.3.	Body Siz (H. M. I	zing Scheme for Systems Anthropometry Reynolds and D. H. Golomb)	53
	4.4.	Three-D [.] (H. M. I	<pre>imensional Geometry of the Adult Pelvis Reynolds)</pre>	56 56
	4.5.	Statist Three-D	ical and Probabilistic Properties of imensional Anthropometric Data (D. H. Golomb).	69
		4.5.1.	Discussion of a Linkage Model	69
		4.5.2.	Analysis of Stewardess Data	71
	4.6.	Geometr of.Body	ic Model Estimates of Inertial Properties Segments (B. Bowman)	103
		4.6.1.	Analytical Determination of the Inertial Properties of a Cored Frustum of a Right Filiptical Cone	103

Page	
------	--

			4.6.1.1.	Definition of a Mathematical Operator	108
			4.6.1.2.	Constants	108
			4.6.1.3.	Mass Properties	109
			4.6.1.4.	Moments of Inertia	110
			4.6.1.5.	Use of the Formulae	111
		4.6.2.	Computer	Evaluation of Inertial Properties	111
	4.7. Measurement of St Geometric Model I		ment of St ic Model I	riated Muscle Density for nput (J. Freeman)	113
		4.7.1.	Procedure		114
		4.7.2.	Discussio	m	118
		4.7.3.	Summary.		119
5.0.	Summa	ary, Cond	clusions an	nd Recommendations	120
6.0.	Bibli	ography.			122

LIST OF FIGURES

Number		Page
1.	Systems Analysis of "a Priori" Design Approach Utilizing Systems Anthropometry	5
2.	Stick Figure "Linkage" Model	8
3.	Body Segmentation Scheme of Dempster (1955) to arrive at 27 Segments	10
4.	Body Segmentation Scheme used on Cadavers by (a) Clauser, et al. (1969) and Chandler, et al. (1975) and on Living Subjects (b)	11
5.	Comparison of Parametric Characteristics of Workspace and Impact Models	13
6.	Radiograph of Right Innominate Bone with Targeting Device Placed on Boney Surfaces	16
7.	Diagram of Primary, Secondary and Tertiary Axis Systems	19
8.	Pelvic Data and Coordinate System	30
9.	Basic Configurations for Producing Stereoradiographs	36
10.	Photograph of X-ray Image Distance and Origin Calibration Device	38
11.	X-ray Radiograph of Calibration Device	40
12.	Image Distance Calibration Geometry	41
13.	Origin Calibration Geometry	44
14.	Stereoradiography Geometry for Determining Elevation of a Point from a Reference Point on a Surface	45
15.	Diagram of Coordinates of Two Stereopair Points	47
16.	Equivalent Composite of the Stereopair	49
17.	X-ray Stereopair of Calibration Device	52
18.	Sizing Scheme for Male and Female Specimens from the Hamann-Todd Skeletal Collection	57
19.	Sample Hamann-Todd Data Collection Sheet and Translation from the German	61

iii

Number

.

.

20	Photograph of Subject in Standard Seated Position	72
21	Correlation of Surface Landmarks with Vertex	80
22	Correlation of Surface Landmarks with Iliocristale	89
23	Projection of Least Volume Ellipsoid Axes, Scaled to Explained Variance, on to XZ Plane	95
24	Y-Component of Variance along the Axes of the Least Volume Ellipsoids	101
25	A Cored Frustum of a Right Elliptical Cone	105
26	Sample Cadaver Examination Sheet	115
27	Sample Data and Calculation Sheet used in Tissue Density Determination Process	117

Page

LIST OF TABLES

Number	r	Page
1	Potential Coordinate System Locations using Anthro- pometric Data	33
2	Comparison by Sizing Cells of 1960-62 Health Examination Survey and Hamann-Todd Collection for Males and Females	58
3	Correlations of Z-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry	74
4	Correlations of X-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry	75
5	Correlations of Y-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry	77
6	Landmark Coordinate Correlations	81
7	Covariance Matrices of Landmarks	90
8	Principle Components Analysis of Three-Dimensional Stewardess Data	93
9	Inertial Property Parameters	106
10	Example Output from Computer Program which Evaluates Inertial Properties of Segments	112

1.0 Introduction

This interim report has been prepared to describe progress in the first six month's effort of a research program sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research contract # F44620-76-C0115. The results of our current research activities have contributed primarily to a philosophical and analytical understanding of future directions for systems anthropometry. This suggested frame of research is discussed in sections that follow as argumentative propositions from which profitable research hypotheses will result. Our results to date are based upon discussions, readings from the literature, and analysis of available data.

-1-

2.0 Perspective on Systems Anthropometry

Progress in dynamic systems anthropometry for the past 20 years has been dominated by the reports and papers of Wilfrid Taylor Dempster. His Air Force-sponsored research as an anatomist led to a significant advance in our understanding of the dynamic properties of the human body. In essence, he successfully illustrated the complexity of the openchain link system of the human body but decided that only an approximation of the linkage system geometry was possible (Dempster, 1960). He assumed that a "...link...must change its length, of course, from moment-to-moment in an unpredictable way" (p. 571, 1960). Thus, Dempster conceived body measurements as practical point-to-point lengths similar to those made by traditional anthropometrists for a hundred years or more. His landmarks for anthropometric lengths improved estimates of the possible kinematic range of body activity, but they are, at best, two-dimensional approximations.

A recent AMRL-sponsored investigation conducted by Snyder, Chaffin and Schutz (1974) continued the work of Dempster into the torso. Linkage concepts were again utilized as points on and in the body, but this investigation presented evidence to contradict Dempster's unpredictable link assumption. The results of this investigation point out that the dynamic body does behave in a statistically predictable manner. The variation associated with the predicted results, however, must be carefully considered in a model of the open-chain link system of the human body.

For our purposes, there are three fundamental sources of variation - measurement errors, sampling errors and population differences. An

-2-

analogy of measuring the distance between two chalk points on a blackboard demonstrates these errors. First, two points are made on the blackboard and never erased. Measurement error is the difference between lengths measured in successive trials. The second major error sampling - occurs when the chalk points are erased and put on the blackboard at the time of each successive measurement. Thus, the points at each time of measurement represent two points from the infinite population of points. The third major source of variation - population differences - occurs in chalkboard and chalk which are not manufactured uniformly. These differences are often referred to as problems in quality control and/or tolerance in machines and adaptative variation in biological populations. All three of these sources of variation interact and each contains part of the other in an hierarchy going from measurement and sampling error to population differences.

There are possible strategies available to minimize the effects of this variation on the predictive results (Churchill and McConville, 1976).

<u>Strategy 1</u>. Sample size may be decreased as measurement accuracy increases. Thus, the level of confidence is based upon measurement accuracy and precision rather than a large sample size.

<u>Strategy 2</u>. Experimental variation due to sampling errors and population differences may be reduced by appropriate subject selection.

To summarize what has been said thus far - Systems Anthropometry and Dempster's Dynamic Anthropometry have the same goals but different methodologies. Dempster did not develop either a set of data or a methodology which would be capable of treating the human body as a

-3-

three-dimensional dynamic system. Dempster primarily refined the landmarks used in traditional anthropometric studies by demonstrating relationships between a complex open-chain linkage model of the body limbs and certain bony landmarks on those limbs.

The research task at present is to lay the foundation for an anthropometric description of the body that will be suitable for threedimensional predictive models (e.g. manikins, mathematical, statistical) of dynamic behavior of the body. Figure 1 presents a systems analysis of how and where this systems anthropometry will be used to solve dynamic man-machine interface problems. This approach presumes that if the geometry and the forces in an environment can be specified, the results of dynamic interaction between man and machine can be predicted. As a consequence, insight into possible risks and benefits can be obtained from modeling results prior to hardware implementation and experimental testing. For example, the effect of a change in the design of a restraint system could be simulated in a dynamic model to obtain information on the possible benefits or risks of such changes for the population. Empirical quantitative descriptions of the environment are more available than equivalent descriptions of the human body. This is particularly evident in our knowledge of body geometry and the probabilistic distribution of these geometrics in the population. Once the methodology is formulated and appropriate data collected, the more traditional experimental approach using the actual physical environment can be used to validate the predicted results rather than to evaluate the man/machine system.

The characteristics of this systems anthropometry that differentiate it from other efforts is the unique combination of deterministic parameters with probabilistic functions that describe the fundamental properties of

-4-



Figure 1. Systems Analysis of "a Priori" Design Approach Utilizing Systems Anthropometry.

-5-

the dynamic human body. The fundamental deterministic parameters of links, mass, shape, and strength have probabilistic properties. It is also recognized that the deterministic force-displacement parameters have probabilistic properties, but they are confounded by unique dynamic-taskenvironments. Presently, for lack of the needed empirical data on all of these parameters in the population, every instance in which a variable in a specified task-environment is modified or changed, new empirical data are needed to determine the response characteristics of the dynamic body. In some instances, the task-environment-body relationships may be assumed to be collinear in a simulation. This simplifies the problem but brings in a major risk factor in the assessment of the results. Therefore, it appears that with more empirical data describing the fundamental properties of the human body that have probability distributions, the risk factors in assessing the simulation results may be reduced. Figure 1 attempts to present a systems overview of how the major parameters in a simulation would be utilized relative to the type of parameters and type of data.

Recent modeling and experimental efforts at the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle by Andriacchi, Schultz, Belytschko and others sharply illustrates the paucity of empirical data on the dynamic geometry of the human body. These investigators have attempted to study the human spine in a geometrical configuration model (Schultz and Galente, 1970). They used planar radiographic data from Bakke (1931) for range of motion (<u>in</u> <u>vivo</u>), and in a more recent study (Andriacchi, et al, 1974), they used data on osteological specimens from Lanier (1939) and anthropometric information on embalmed cadavers from Clauser, McConville and Young (1969) for spinal geometry. It is remarkable that these sources are available

-6-

and that the investigators in Chicago were capable of synthesizing data with such unique differences. This sort of examination of the basic data set in models leads only to questions of validation and application. Others have approached their modeling efforts from the same basis - in essence, educated guesses of the body linkage geometry.

Our current description of the human body linkage system is derived from a series of interconnected fixed surface landmarks. Lengths between these surface landmarks are measured on the body by various techniques and incorporated into models. For example, Figure 2 presents a stick-man model based on two studies (Dempster, 1964; Snyder, Chaffin and Schultz, 1972) that relied primarily on the surface expression of the skeletal landmarks sutiable for a linkage model of the human body. While this approach can present basic generalized body linkage configuration information, it relies on only one physical dimension--point-to-point lengths.

The addition of other physical dimensions--time and mass--increases the complexity of the problem but still requires a basic understanding of the human linkage system. A recent kinematic study of paths of movement of the upper extremity (Ayoub, Deivanayagam, and Kennedy, 1976) demonstrates the complexity and magnitude of the problem when the linkage system is studied by measurements of length and time. These paths of motion were measured in a limb composed of several joints each of which has several degrees of freedom. A major source of variation in their results is apparently observed in the paths of extension and return of the arm to the initial position. Variation observed in the two total paths may be ascribed to an interaction between the musculo-skeletal system and the laws of physics (e.g. the effect of gravity might have accentuated this variation).

-7-



Figure 2. Stick Figure "Linkage" Model

.

-8-

In my opinion, their results point out that there may be more reproducibility associated with the initial and final body position geometry than in the paths of motion between the two configurations. That is to say, variation in the static geometry of the initial and final positions is primarily a function of anatomical differences in body size whereas variation in the paths of motion is possibly a function of several independent external and internal parameters that have unique relationships to these paths of motion.

Other studies have concentrated on the length and mass dimensions of the body (Clauser, et al., 1969; Chandler, et al., 1975). These investigations have continued research in the mass distribution of the body relative to a fixed-length linkage system. They have measured each segment in the appendages--arms, legs, and head--as a fixed-length link with inertial properties. These segments have been treated as rigid bodies connected at hinge points in the joints.

However, the torso does not apparently behave as a unit or as a series of discrete segments in regard to the relationship between its links and mass distribution. Past efforts at segmenting the body, and in particular, the torso, are depicted in Figure 3 (Dempster, 1955) and Figure 4a (Clauser, et al., 1969; Chandler, et al., 1975) and 4b (studies on the living). Only Dempster attempted to deal with the complex relationship between mass distribution and the linkage system of the torso. His segmentation plan was based upon musculature associated with his linkage system concept in the shoulder and a functional anatomical division of upper and lower torso (See Figure 3).

Thus, the addition of a second physical dimension produces immediate methodological consequences. The present investigation is systematically

-9-



Figure 3. Segmentation Scheme Used by Dempster (1955) to Arrive at 27 Segments by Starting at <u>a</u>, Proceeding Through <u>b</u>, and <u>c</u> Cuts.



-11-

approaching the study of man in a dynamic mode that requires measurements of length and mass in the context of time. The foundation of a new systems anthropometry will lie in a methodology that can treat the human body as a dynamic system. As a result, a unique synthesis of several fields of investigation is occurring and new words are forming and old words are taking on a new meaning.

The study of the link, shape, mass and strength of the human body as a system may be called "anthropomechanics" - a cumbersome word, but it conveys the desired meaning. The data generated in this field of investigation are ultimately used in simulations that study the activity or response of a body within a given dynamic environment. There has been a division in the data, personnel and models that treat the activity of the body in workspace problems separately from the response of the body in impact and acceleration problems. It is felt that this division is perhaps more artificial than real. Figure 4 presents a comparison of the two types of models with respect to some general parametric characteristics of each. For three-dimensional models, the anthropomechanical data input appears to be more different in semantics than in substance. The real differences appear in the deterministic environments represented in both models. These differences probably will require analytically different approaches until mathematical and computer techniques are sufficiently advanced to handle the real-time and display differences. Despite these differences, the effect upon anthropomechanical data required is negligible. The environmental requirements of three-dimensional analysis makes the two problems even more comparable in their anthropomechanical data input.

-12-



Figure 5. Comparison of parametric characteristics of Workspace and Impact Models.

3.0 Methodological Considerations for Systems Anthropometry.

The preceding section attempted to place Systems Anthropometry within the perspective of past, present, and future research utilization. Actual implementation of a methodology that will lay the foundation for Systems Anthropometry is in the developmental stage. As a result, some of the elements required in a Systems Anthropometry will be discussed in the following subsections. These elements are, in general, landmark definition, three-dimensional geometric measurements, and kinematical problems of Systems Anthropometry.

3.1. Landmark Definitions

Landmark definition is perhaps one of the most critical problems confronting advances in three-dimensional systems anthropometry. Analysis of errors arising from presently available identification techniques of landmarks is discussed in a following section by D. Hurley Robbins. The errors are significant problems for both accuracy and precision of the results, and efforts to reduce the error by a significant amount may require an independent research investigation.

At present, we have devised a targeting technique which has solved some of the problems. Radiographic tests of the technique revealed that the target is visible on radiographs of osteological specimens (Figure 6). The target is made of four small wires of tungsten arrayed in a pattern that defines a point on the surface of any irregularly shaped body. A maleable target of minimal depth is necessary due to the three-dimensional requirement of observing the same point in two separate views. This targeting procedure should meet the geometric requirements of landmark definition but we may encounter imaging problems when the targets are applied and radiographs are taken of cadaver subjects. Accurate identification of the anatomical landmark may remain basically a perceptive quality of the investigator but perhaps the precision with which the landmarks are targeted will be increased through the use of the previously described targeting device.

A major barrier for dynamic anthropometry for many years has been found in the landmarks. Basically, without a discrete point, uniquely defined, measurements only approximate a fixed body geometry. Traditional definitions have primarily relied upon the surface expression of skeletal

-15-



Bony Surfaces, not Necessarily Representing an Anthropometric Landmark Radiographs of Right Innominate Bone with Targeting Device Placed on

or muscular landmarks. For the purposes of three-dimensional systems anthropometry, landmarks will be projected onto the skeleton as vectors from the body surface. The targeting device aids in the solution to the immediately asked question of "how do you define a point on the surface of the skin?" In essence, the targeting device defines the point and the anthropometrist defines the area. The problem changes from defining a finite point in a large surface area to defining a finite area and measuring a point defined by the target. Thus, the accuracy of point definition is determined by the accuracy of the targeting device, and the precision of point definition is determined by the area boundary definition.

In conclusion, landmarks must be selected and defined initially on osteological material. They must be palpable from the surface of the skin, as well as targetable for a radiograph. The experimental procedure will be to study the skeleton to define landmarks and then measure the location of a surface target relative to those skeletally-defined landmark locations. Since this investigation is using fresh cadavers, the program will consist first of x-raying the body in a fixed position with the appropriate surface targets in place. Next, the skeleton will be exposed immediately under the surface ("external") landmark and another set of radiographs made for the same unaltered body position to measure the location of skeletal ("internal") landmarks. The results will provide a correlation of three-dimensional cartesian coordinate locations of the two target locations (external and internal). The remaining investigative activities will be devoted to the mathematical functions of those landmarks relative to the joint centers of mobility.

-17-

3.2 Three-dimensional Geometry: Axes Systems.

Three-dimensional geometric data requires the use of frames of reference (Figure 7). There are two probable origins for the primary, inertial frame of reference that are dependent upon the initial anatomical position. At present, the origin for the seated position should be the the Seat Reference Point (SRP) and the Floor Reference Point (FRP) for the standing position. In both instances the principal axes will have the same orientation. The z-axis will be aligned with the vertical gravity vector, the x- and y-axes will be in the horizontal plane. Their orientation will be determined by the anatomical orientation of the body such that posterior to anterior defines the + x direction, right lateral to left lateral defines the + y direction, and inferior to superior defines the + z direction. Only in the initial anatomical position will it be necessary to establish these directions, thereafter, they will remain fixed and immutable. In summary, the primary frame of reference will be a right-handed orthogonal axis system relatively aligned with the gravity vector and fixed to the environmental geometry.

The secondary reference system will be defined by anatomical landmarks measured with cartesian coordinates in the primary axis system. This secondary axis system will, however, have statistical properties that will not be observed in the primary axis system. That is, it will reflect variation in the population and therefore have a probable error associated with it. The secondary axis system defined in the pelvis by the right and left anterior superior iliac spines and symphysion reflects measurement error, sampling error and population differences whereas the primary axis system only contains measurement error. This pelvis axis system has been proposed for use at NAMRL in their human volunteer sled tests (Thomas, personal communication).

-18-





At least one other axis system is needed to define completely the geometry for simulating motion of a multi-segment body. This tertiary axis system defines the spatial orientation of each segment, the location of the joint centers of mobility, the location of segment centers of mass, and a reference frame for the momental ellipsoid of inertia. Each tertiary axis system, however, must be carefully defined so that there is no motion between landmarks used in its definition. Thus, the axis system will be "stable" and always reflect motion of the segment relative to the secondary axis system.

Traditional simulation concepts have considered the body as a series of interconnected rigid geometrical bodies that simulate the segments of the body. Hanavan (1964) defined such a model for estimating the mass distribution properties of the whole body in various fixed positions. In Hanavan's model, as well as succeeding more sophisticated models, segment axes systems have been defined by the principal axes of inertia located at the segment's center of mass. Dynamic simulations, founded in a deterministic philosophy from which mechanistic analogues of the human body have been devised, treat each segment as pivoting "mass-links." Thus, each link has a fixed pivot point, fixed mass, and the geometry of the mass is partially defined by its fixed-length link. This analytical treatment is mathematically "clean" since it uses intrinsic properties of the geometric bodies to simulate anatomical segments of the human body.

The linkage system has thus become an engineering concept superimposed upon the human anatomy. It cannot supplant the musculo-skeletal system since the link is a conceptual parameter for describing body motion and does not incorporate any of the random properties of the human dynamic system. Links have been defined as straight-line distances between proximal

-20-

and distal pivot points which represent joint centers of rotation. However, these joint centers of rotation are in reality probabilistic paths of instantaneous centers of joint rotation which can and do apparently change the link length as a function of movement in adjacent segments (Dempster, 1960). Thus, the fixed-length link is not a fundamental anatomical unit but an analytical engineering tool with which the skeleton and joints have been modeled.

The present research is therefore developing different analytical concepts of the linkage system utilizing joint centers of mobility and changing link lengths which affect the choice of landmarks of segment axis system definition. Systems anthropometry will perhaps not change the use of some of these geometric shape simulations to estimate the mass distribution properties of segments, but it will provide three-dimensional data on the location of anatomical landmarks used to define segment axes systems. Therefore, landmarks used in defining axes systems must be chosen such that they are "stable" and always remain fixed between adjacent joint centers of mobility that define the segment.

In addition, as illustrated in Figure 7, the center of mass and momental ellipsoid of inertia of each segment can also be located relative to the tertiary axis system. These mass distribution properties could then be based upon empirically predicted data rather than the current estimates based upon geometric models. To date, empirical information on the direction and location of the principal axes of inertia have been measured only once on six embalmed male cadavers (Chandler, et al., 1975). An investigative effort is presently in progress sponsored jointly by AMRL and NHTSA to quantify these parameters on a sample of thirty living men. This new study will investigate only the mass distribution properties of these subjects and not

-21-

the kinematic properties necessary for a complete description of the human dynamic system. In order to relate these new results with the results of the present investigation, comparable segment axes systems based upon anatomical landmarks are necessary. Each segment axis system, therefore, is defined by three surface landmarks. Within this axis system, the principal axes of inertia will be located at the segment center of mass. The present investigation has proposed a similar procedure to locate the joint center of mobility for each major joint. As a result, the inertial and kinematical geometries may be described comparably within the same set of axes systems. However, the axes systems must be defined and described carefully since some of the landmarks currently being used for their definition may need to be re-defined as research progresses in the present investigation.

3.3. Kinematical Problems for Systems Anthropometry

The kinematical problem focuses on joint centers of mobility. Previous efforts have simulated body motion using hinge points that introduce errors in some link length estimates of as much as 5 cm. (Dempster, 1955). Since the body is an open-chain link system of many biomechanically significant joints, the difference in geometric body motion configuration between predicted and real could become substantial.

In order to reconcile these geometric differences, a three-dimensional anthropometry is required that quantitatively defines joint kinematics. Link length, therefore, can be considered to be the result of three-dimensional body position at a fixed point in time. It would not be a measured quantity but a parameter derived from an analysis of body geometry. This is perhaps the most radical implication of three-dimensional systems anthropometry. That is, the linkage system may be defined by centers of joint mobility located within anatomical axes systems.

Phase II will investigate the thigh, pelvic, and lumbar segments that provide contrasts in the problems encountered in joint centers of mobility and axes systems definition in the body. For example, the thigh has three landmarks on the femur at Trochanterion and the right and left femoral condyles whereas the lumbar region contains 4 to 6 vertebrae that are difficult to identify with palpation and also have some relative motion among them (Snyder, et al., 1974). The pelvis is perhaps best-suited for defining an anatomically-based axis system, because it has several reasonably well-defined skeletal landmarks that are commensurately distant from one another.

Geometric description of joint centers of mobility can be resolved

-23-

into experimental hypotheses. First, what is the size, or volume, of each joint center of mobility boundary? Thus, can the joint centers be adequately modeled as points or are probabilistic paths of instantaneous joint centers of rotation necessary? These questions must be posed for each <u>significant</u> joint which in the present investigation would be initially the hip joint centers and the L5/S1 joint centers of mobility.

For example, the hip has a ball-and-socket joint whose center of rotation has been traditionally defined by Trochanterion on the femur in anthropometric surveys. Dempster (1955) proposed however, that the hip joint center could only be located within a "...l.2- to l.5-inch ellipse" (p. 117) when measured as a point halfway between the seat surface and the anterior-superior iliac spine with the body in a seated position. Thus, the location of the hip joint center has a certain amount of error which according to Dempster could be substantial. It would appear that a point may not be sufficient to describe the geometry of joint mobility found in the hip. Since the L5/SI joint center of mobility has not been equally studied, any conclusions regarding it would be premature except to say that its skeletal geometry restrict motion to a larger degree than found in the hip joint. Thus, the lumbar/pelvic joint center of mobility may be less complex than the pelvic/femur center.

Other hypotheses can be posed for experiments that test the degree to which differing amounts of motion of one segment relative to an adjacent fixed segment affects the geometry of the joint center of mobility. For example, the femur is a rigid bone whose path of motion in the sagittal plane relative to a fixed pelvis axis system can be plotted. The geometry of the joint center of mobility must be studied in the hip joint relative to the total time elapsed for the motion. Thus, is the description of the

-24-

hip joint center of mobility from a time-lapse stereo-radiographic series of measurements applicable to a "high-speed" environment? Tests of a rate-dependency hypothesis require time-lapse and high-speed (over 100 frames per second) radiographic capability both of which are available at HSRI.

The actual test configuration has not been decided, but the possibility of using non-human primates is being discussed. Non-human primates would be desirable in order to determine the amount of information needed by type of joint and associated degrees of freedom, and also to test the relationship between <u>in vivo</u> and fresh primate cadaver kinematic results. Furthermore, the non-human primates have a very real advantage over fresh cadavers in regard to their body size and physical maneuverability. The use of non-human primates, however, would not provide quantitative data applicable to the human body but would make dynamic research hypotheses more feasible to test. Thus, based upon the results obtained from a very limited number of non-human primates, the types of data required to describe and predict the kinematic behavior of the joint center of mobility could be prescribed.

Subsequent studies would then be undertaken to determine the most probable paths of motion for each major joint center of mobility. For example, for each degree of freedom in a joint, one equation describing the most probable path of motion would be defined. The hip joint has three degrees of freedom defined by extension-flexion in a para-sagittal plane, abduction-adduction in the frontal plane, and rotation in the transverse plane. As a result, each plane would contain a most probable path of motion which would be empirically described relative to the pelvic axis system. A generalized form of the data will be necessary since they must

-25-

describe the joint center of mobility geometry for a specified sample of individuals within the population.

In conclusion, this section has significant implications for Phase II and following research in Phase III. In postulating the methodological implications of systems anthropometry, several research problems have been discussed. Solution of these problems will represent significant progress in our knowledge of the dynamic human body. Specifically, these results will eventually provide the basis for a complete geometric description of the dynamic human body based on empirical data.

4.0. Task Reports for Phase I

The following subsections have been written by members of the research team. Each section summarizes the results of specific tasks identified by the project director to accomplish the goals of Phase I. The goals and appropriate sections are as follows:

٩,

a) Establish the data collection methodology for the new system anthropometry, including development of a means of spatially identifying anatomical and anthropometric landmarks suitable for a three-dimensional coordinate description.

Subsection 4.1 D. H. Robbins

4.2 M. Bender

b) Develope a data analysis methodology, and determine utility of the data in present or future models, such as AMRL's COMBIMAN Model.

Subsection 4.3 H. M. Reynolds and D. H. Golomb

4.5 D. H. Golomb

c) Coordinate data collection and analysis in mutually complimentary formats.

Subsection 4.4 H. M. Reynolds

4.6 B. Bowman

4.7 J. Freeman

d) Examine and analyze three-dimensional cartesian coordinate
 data already collected on 280 individuals for the development of suit able statistical summarization and presentation techniques.

Subsection 4.5 D. H. Golomb

Some of these sections will serve as the basis for papers to be presented at scientific meetings as well as publications in scientific

-27-

journals. In particular, Subsections 4.1 and 4.3 will be used for oral presentations and 4.5 will be used as the basis for two written publications. The overall results of Phase I research will be discussed in Section 5.0 with the addition of specific recommendations for Phases II and III.
4.1. Errors in Definition of an Anatomically-Based Coordinate System Using Anthropometric Data.

by D. H. Robbins

4.1.1. Introduction

Given the spatial location of three non-collinear points on the human body, it is possible to construct a coordinate system which can be used to describe the motion of the body or body segments, provided that the points remain equidistant from one another and are rigidly fixed to that part of the anatomy in question. However, it is known that it is not possible to obtain the precise location in space of points on the body using common techniques of anthropometry. Therefore, it follows that some error is inherent in any coordinate system which is constructed from anthropometric data. The purpose of this discussion is to present formulas for defining the location of a coordinate system in space based on anthropometric data and to demonstrate the uncertainty of its location using estimated data from the human pelvis.

Figure 8 shows coordinate systems and data for the human pelvis. Location of the pelvis is defined by three points--left and right anterior superior iliac spines and symphysion (points 1, 2, and 3). An inertial coordinate system (I, J, K) is shown superimposed on a moving pelvic system defined by (i, j, k). Data in the x, y, z system for the three points are based on best estimates of professional anthropometrists. Potential error estimates for the points represents a consensus opinion. The fourth point (4), which is the origin of the moving pelvis coordinate system, is located by dropping a perpendicular from point 1 to a line connecting points 2 and 3. It is apparent that point 4 and the axes of this moving system will not coincide with the inertial system when

-29-



	x (cm)	y (cm)	z (cm)
Point 1	0 ± 2	0 ± 2	-14.28 ± 3
Point 2	0 ± 1	14 ± 5	0 ± 3
Point 3	0 ± 1	-14 ± 5	0 ± 3

Figure 8. Pelvic Data and Coordinate System

-30-

errors of measurement occur in the anthropometric data.

4.1.2. Analysis.

The direction of the coordinates in the moving system are given by:

$$i = \alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 J + \alpha_3 K$$
$$j = \beta_1 I + \beta_2 J + \beta_3 K$$
$$k = \gamma_1 I + \gamma_2 J + \gamma_3 K$$

Direction cosines for the y-axis will be

$$\beta_{1} = [(x_{2} - x_{3}) + (\delta x_{2} - \delta x_{3})]/d_{23}$$

$$\beta_{2} = [(y_{2} - y_{3}) + (\delta y_{2} - \delta y_{3})]/d_{23}$$

$$\beta_{3} = [(z_{2} - z_{3}) + (\delta z_{2} - \delta z_{3})]/d_{23}$$

where

$$d_{23} = \sqrt{[(x_2 - x_3) + (\delta x_2 - \delta x_3)]^2 + [(y_2 - y_3) + (\delta y_2 - \delta y_3)]^2 + [(z_2 - z_3) + (\delta z_2 - \delta z_3)]^2}$$

and δx_1 , δx_2 , and δx_3 are the errors inherent in the anthropometric data. The z-axis is defined by dropping a perpendicular from point 1 to the y-axis yielding

$$\gamma_1 = (x_1 + \delta x_1 - x_4)/d_{14}$$

$$\gamma_2 = (y_1 + \delta y_1 - y_4)/d_{14}$$

$$\gamma_3 = (-z_1 + \delta z_1 - z_4)/d_{14}$$

where

$$d_{14} = \sqrt{(x_1 + \delta x_1 - x_4)^2 + (y_1 + \delta y_1 - y_4)^2 + (z_1 + \delta z_1 - z_4)^2}$$

Because of orthogonality,

$$\beta_1\gamma_1 + \beta_2\gamma_2 + \beta_3\gamma_3 = 0$$

The location of point 4 can be written

$$x_{4} = x_{2} + t(x_{2} - x_{3}) + \delta x_{2} + t(\delta x_{2} - \delta x_{3})$$

$$y_{4} = y_{2} + t(y_{2} - y_{3}) + \delta y_{2} + t(\delta y_{2} - \delta y_{3})$$

$$z_{4} = z_{2} + t(z_{2} - z_{3}) + \delta z_{2} + t(\delta z_{2} - \delta z_{3})$$

where t is defined as a proportionality factor along d_{23} . Substitution into the orthogonality condition and simplification yields

١.

$$t = \frac{(x_1 + \delta x_1 - x_2 - \delta x_2)(x_2 + \delta x_2 - x_3 - \delta x_3)}{d_{23}^2} + \frac{(y_1 + \delta y_1 - y_2 - \delta y_2)(y_2 + \delta y_2 - y_3 - \delta y_3)}{d_{23}^2} + \frac{(-z_1 - \delta z_1 - z_2 - \delta z_2)(z_2 + \delta z_2 - z_3 - \delta z_3)}{d_{23}^2}$$

Direction cosines (α_1 , α_2 , and α_3) for the x-axis are determined from

h = ixj = $(\beta_2\gamma_3 - \beta_3\gamma_2)I + (\beta_3\gamma_1 - \beta_1\gamma_3)J + (\beta_1\gamma_2 - \beta_2\gamma_1)K$ Euler angles can now be defined to describe the orientation of the moving system with respect to the fixed system. The angles (ϕ , θ , and ψ) are associated with the (x, y, and z) axes respectively:

 $\theta = \sin^{-1}(-\alpha_3)$ $\phi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{\beta_3}{\gamma_3})$ $\psi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1})$

4.1.3. Examples

The location of point 4 and the Euler angles associated with the moving coordinate system have been computed for several combinations of errors within the ranges given in Figure 8. The results are tabulated in Table 1.

-32-

TABLE 1.POTENTIAL COORDINATE SYSTEM LOCATIONSUSING ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

			Given Predicted												
	1	Point	1	Po	Point 2 Point 3			Point 4							
Case .	x	У	z	x	У	Z	х	У	z	x	У	z	ψ	θ	φ
Skewed about z	Ò	0	-14.28	1	14	0	-1	-14	0	0	0	0	4.09°	0	0
Skewed about y	2	0	-11.28	-1	14	-3	-1	-14	-3	-1	0	-3	0	11.86°	0
Skewed about x	0	2	-11.28	0	14	3	0	-14	-3	0	4.22	-0.9	0	0	12.02°
Z-shift of data	0	0	-11.28	0	14	3	0	-14	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Y-shift of data	0	2	-14.28	0	19	0	0	- 9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
X-shift of data	1	0	-14.28	1	14	0	1	-14	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Typical (about 1/2 maximum error)	1	1	-12.75	5	17	-2	.75	-16	1.8	.16	5	. 01	1.71 [°]	3.97°	-6.58°

*It should be noted that the origin and coordinate system locations do not reflect pelvic symmetry.

*

4.1.4. Conclusions.

Based on the analysis and on the examples, four conclusions have been drawn.

1. The location of a point in a coordinate system (e.g., joint centers and centers of gravity) can be no more accurate than the coordinate system itself.

2. The points which are the most accurately determined should be used in constructing coordinate systems subject to the fact that they must also be the farthest apart.

3. Using these equations, it is possible to study the effect of measurement errors on the ability to define anatomically-based coordinate systems.

4. Given pelvic data plus an estimate of its current range of accuracy using present measurement techniques, we predict errors could be as large as 12° in angular orientation of a coordinate axis and 3 cm. in translation. More typically, however, errors of $\frac{1}{2}$ cm. and 6° are to be expected on the pelvis.

4.2 Radiographic Methodology for Systems Anthropometry Measurement

M Bender

An important goal in the systems anthropometry program is accurate and repeatable quantitative specification of anatomical landmarks in a threedimensional coordinate system. The distribution of these landmarks, or points, includes points on the skin surface and points on the skeletal structure within the body. This condition suggests use of radiographic techniques for the location of such points; the main problem is establishment of a coordinate axes system which permits accurate measurements in a convenient, economical, and straight-forward procedure. After consideration of several approaches involving a rigid cartesian framework in which an x-ray head and film cassette could be mounted and scaled for axes measurements, it was concluded that the problems and costs associated with fabricating, scaling, and performing measurements with such a device would be extensive. A more simple and straight-forward procedure was found in the technique of x-ray stereo photogrammetry, which offers the possibility of accurate location of points in space explicitly from measurements of corresponding images on a pair of x-ray radiographs.

There are basically four configurations of x-ray tube focal spot, object platform, and film plane which can be used to produce stereo-radiographs (NcNeil, 1966). These are shown in Figure 9, and are: a) two stationary tubes with stationary object and film, b) translation of one tube with stationary object and film, c) translation of object with one stationary tube, and d) rotation of object and film with one stationary tube. In practical terms, the first configuration, (a), and the third, (c), are not suitable for purposes of this program because of inavailability

-35-



(a) Two Stationary Tubes withStationary Object.



(b) Translation of one tubewith Stationary object.



(c) Translation of Object with
one Stationary Tube.

ړ



(d) Rotation of Object withone Stationary Tube.

Figure 9. Basic Configurations for Producing Stereoradiographs.

of two appropriately mounted x-ray tubes, and, in the latter, accurate translation of the object and film is not feasible. Configurations (b) and (d) are under consideration for use in this program, but (b) is better suited for use with cadavers than configuration (d) because of the necessity to avoid any possible displacement of a surface skin marker with respect to an internally designated landmark, between exposures in the production of a pair of stereoradiographs. The structure of the x-ray unit at HSRI is well-adapted to this configuration because the single tube displacement can be locked in each position vertically and horizontally and displacements can be conveniently calibrated, but it is essential that the line of tube displacement be parallel to the image plane.

4.2.1. Origin Calibration and Image Distance Calibration.

A 14-inch by 17-inch x-ray film cassette surface was provided with x-ray opaque thin wires at the edges of the cassette frame, at points 90° from each other, so that the images of these points could be connected on the developed image to form an x-y axis system in the image plane. The y-axis corresponds to the 17-inch dimension. In order to establish a reference coordinate system, two calibrations must be performed. These are 1) an origin calibration to position the focal spot of the film, and z) an image distance calibration to determine the perpendicular distance from the focal spot to the film, or image plane. These steps are required regardless of the configuration used to produce stereo radiographs. These steps were accomplished by construction and use of a calibration device, shown in the photograph of Figure 10.

-37-



Figure 10

X-Ray Image Distance and Origin Calibration Device

Two 6-inch by 6-inch parallel planes of aluminum of 1/8-inch thickness are supported by eight rigid plexiglass legs. The surfaces of each plane contain a symmetrical array of four x-ray absorbing targets in the corners, and one in the center of the plane. Average distances between targets, from corner to corner along the edges of the square, are 5.008 + 0.001inches. The upper plane targets consist of 0.010-inch diameter by 0.010inch depth cylinders, and the lower plane targets consist of 1/4-inch crosses, also of 0.010-inch width and 0.010-inch depth. Average distances of the upper surfaces of each plane from a flat granite table surface were found to be 10.024 + 0.002 inches for the upper plane, and 5.012 + 0.001 inches for the lower plane. The device can be dissassembled to interchange the planes, if desired. The supporting legs are place considerably outboard to the target-bearing planes so that x-ray images of the legs do not obscure the tiny images of the targets on the film. An x-ray image of the device is shown in Figure 11, where the x-y markers are connected to form coordinates in the image plane. An idea of the degree of orthogonality of the focal spot and center targets may be obtained by observing the proximity of the center targets. The focal spot was 45.83 inches above the film plane, determined by the calibration procedure described below.

The image distance and origin calibration procedure consists of first aligning as closely as visually possible the calibration device symmetrically with the four edge markers on the film loaded cassette which represent the x- and y-axes. The x-ray tube is adjusted so that the focal spot is approximately vertical over the origin of the x-and y-axes. Geometry of the image distance calibration set-up is shown in Figure 12.

-39-



Figure 11 X-Ray Radiograph of Calibration Device



Figure 12. Image Distance Calibration Geometry.

-41-

Distances between the two sets of diametrically opposite cross target images were measured; an average value was 7.082 inches. The height of the upper surface of the lower plane of the calibration device from the cassette surface was 5.012 inches. An additional dimension, the distance of the film from the cassette surface, must be added to the device height because of the cassette structure which includes the phenolic surface thickness, an intensifying screen, and a layer of felt. An average of a distribution of this measurement was determined to be 0.105 inches.

Determination of the focal spot to image plane distance is obtained from:

$$A + a = na/(n-N),$$

where

A + a is the image distance,

- A is the distance from the focal spot to the plane of the cross targets,
- a is a measured distance between the cross target plane and the film plane,
- n is a measured distance between images of diametrically
 opposite cross target images on the film, and
- N is a measured distance between the diametrically opposite cross targets on the calibration device.

A value for N obtained from measurement on the film was 7.972 inches. From the above given data and their relationship, an image distance of 45.83 inches was determined.

For the origin calibration, the x- and y-coordinates of the image

-42-

of the center cross target were measured on the film. The x- and ycoordinates of the focal spot from the vertical line through the origin are given by

$$X = - x A/a,$$
$$Y = - y A/a.$$

Geometry of the origin calibration is shown in Figure 13. Values obtained for x and y from the film were 0.055 inches and 0.183 inches, respectively, which in turn gives, for X and Y, values of -0.438 inches and -1.456 inches, respectively. In order to complete the origin calibration, it is required to translate either the x-ray tube focal spot or the cassette by the values for X and Y. It is more convenient to translate the cassette, and to do this with the order of accuracy of three or four significant figures, a cassette mounted on a lathe bed would be required.

<u>4.2.2. Quantitative Stereoradiography</u>. Use of stereoradiography for determination of the location of an object in the body with respect to a reference marker placed on the body has long been a common clinical procedure. Figure 14 shows the geometry for locating such points. In general, a single tube is shifted a known distance, B, often called the stereo base, and the differential parallax is measured. The elevation, h, of a point from a reference object plane is given by

 $h = (\Delta p) D/ [(Bd/D) + \Delta p],$

where h is the elevation of the point under consideration, above the plane,

B is the distance between focal spots, or stereo base,

-43-



Figure 13. Origin Calibration Geometry.

6



Figure 14. Stereoradiography Geometry for Determining Elevation of a Point from a Reference Point on a Surface.

-45-

Ę,

1

- D is the object distance, or distance from focal spot to reference object plane,
- d is the image distance, or distance from focal spot to image plane, and

 Δp is the differential parallax (1 + m).

Since D is approximately equal to d, and Δp is relatively small compared with B, a very close approximation for h is

 $h = (\Delta p) D/B.$

From this, the elevation difference is obtained by the product of the differential parallax and object distance--stereo base ratio. The preceding expression can be shown to be a very close approximation to the former by assuming some typical values and comparing the computations for h. Let B = 18 inches, D = 36 inches, so that a value for the object distance-base ratio, K=D/B=2, d=36.2 inches, and p=0.50 inches. The elevation, h, computed exactly is 0.992 inches. The approximation gives h=1.000 inch, an error of 0.008 inch for the assumed conditions.

The difference in elevation determination is only a one-dimensional solution. The procedure for obtaining three-dimensional coordinates of points in the radiation field is as follows. First, an image distance and origin calibration of an x- y marked cassette must be performed. Assume two points, A and B, are to be located in three-dimensional space. An x-ray stereopair is then obtained. Figure 15 is a graphical representation of the resulting stereopair of the points in space. The x and y axes on each exposure are drawn on the films connecting the images of the wire markers that are the X- and Y-axes on the reference platform,

-46-





-47-

or cassette surface. The x and y coordinates of image points a and b are measured, and translated relative to x'- and y'- axes with origin at o, on exposure one and o_2 on exposure two, according to the following computations:

$$x'_{a1} = x_{a1} + o_{1}t_{1}, y'_{a1} = y_{a1}$$

$$x'_{b1} = x_{b1} + o_{1}t_{1}, y'_{b1} = y_{b1}$$

$$x'_{a2} = x_{a2} + o_{2}t_{2}, y'_{a2} = y_{a2}$$

$$x'_{b2} = x_{b2} + o_{2}t_{2}, y'_{b2} = y_{b2}$$

where $o_1 t_1 = -o_2 t_2 = d \tan \theta$ and $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{B/2}{d-s}\right)$ as shown in Figure 16, which represents an equivalent composite of the stereopair. Point T is a reference marker indicating the origin of the X-, Y-axes of the platform plane. Point B is not shown so that the figure does not become unduly complex.

Coordinates of points A and B are then obtained as follows:

Point A	Point B
$p_a = x'_{a1} - x'_{a2}$	$P_{b} = x'_{b1} - x'_{b2}$
$K_a = B/p_a$	$K_b = B/p_b$
$X_a = x'_{a1} K_a$	$X_b = x'_{b1} K_b$
$Y_a = y'_{a1} K_a$	$Y_b = y'_{b1} K_b$
$Z_a = D - dK_a$	$Z_b = D - dK_b$

-48-



Figure 16. Equivalent Composite of the Stereopair.

-49-

<u>4.2.3.</u> Sources of Error. For purposes of the systems anthropometry measurements an ideal x-ray stereoradiographic system would consist of perfect parallelism between the reference plane of object and the film plane, and constant object distance or image distance between exposures. X-ray tube shift, or the stereo base dimension, can be measured quite accurately to orders of 0.5%, but if this displacement is on a slight incline, error in the anthropometry can occur. The calibration device described above will be used to determine the degree of parallelism to be taken into account. The structure of the cassette determines the degree of parallelism between the object reference plane and the image plane. The image plane is separated from the reference plane of the object by 0.105 ± 0.001 inch, due to thicknesses of various components of the cassette, which is an acceptable degree of parallelism, and over small regions of the cassette, several inches, the deviation is less.

Another possible source of error was thought to occur in changes of x-ray film dimensions as a result of the developing and drying process. This was investigated by placing on a substrate an array of 0.002 inch. tungsten filament segments of lengths ranging from 3/8 inch down to 1/32 inch in a random manner. Distances between selected segments and segment lengths were measured repeatedly by seven observers, using a British Indicators, Ltd., metric caliper, which can read to \pm 0.05 millimeter. The array of filaments was then radiographed in contact with film on two samples of 10 by 12 inches and 14 by 17 inches Eastman RP/L-14 x-ray films. The four film samples were subjected to two different developing temperatures, 16° C and 22° C, in pairs, to observe possible effects of change in film size and change in developing temperature. Comparison of measurements of the actual filaments with x-ray images

-50-

of the filaments was made. Overall deviation in the sets of measurements was found to be 0.003%, resulting in the conclusion that film dimension changes are insignificant.

Another type of error in the classification of errors is blunder, which is certainly to be avoided in the handling of data. It was thought that stereo pairs could be produced on single sheets of film in the interests of economy. A stereopair of the calibration device was taken on a single film, as shown in Figure 17. It is to be noted that the calibration device is a symetric, well-designated structure, with ideally divergent x-ray absorbing material properties; the process of selecting appropriate points for corresponding exposures can give rise to confusion amongst various observers, so to reduce the probability of occurrence of blunder, separate films for exposures will be used.

A final consideration is the critical problem in the film measurement process: the identification of a point in the x-ray image. Very small spheres of 0.080 inch diameter were found to be projected as very small ellipses, and in addition, even if the center could be identified, that point would be in error with respect to the anatomical landmark it purports to designate. A more promising approach appears through use of fine tungsten metallic mesh cut in a square of 1/8 inch, placed in contact with an anatomical landmark so that the center of the square is in close contact with the landmark point. X-ray projections of these square meshes offer a better prospect of more accurate location, except in the case where the square is projected as a line. This point identification problem is under investigation.

-51-





4.3. Body Sizing Schemes for Systems Anthropometry

H. M. Reynolds and D. H. Golomb

Defining body size categories is an important procedure in the construction of an experimental design and in the analysis of data. Specifically, in constructing an experimental design, body sizing schemes may be used to stratify a population so that representative samples can be selected, and, in analyzing data, body sizing schemes provide a way of distinguishing between "large" and "small" individuals. This procedure has been applied in selecting pelves from the Hamann-Todd collection at the Cleveland Museum of Natural History (see Section 4.4).

Our work, thus far, in defining body size categories has not been conclusive. Our attempt has been to make these definitions based on a bivariate distribution of two standard anthropometric measurements. A fairly complicated procedure was devised as follows: a line is generated by least squares fitting of one variable versus the other. This line roughly divides the data into two equal segments. Orthogonal lines then may be drawn so that roughly x% of the data is to the left of the first, y% to the left of the second, etc. The least squares fit and the orthogonal lines define the boundaries of the sizing categories (See Figure 18).

This procedure is appealing because it defines the categories based on two variables with an adjustment for the linear relationships between the variables. If the two variables are correlated at a level very close to zero, the above procedure is equivalent to defining a standard rectangular grid--that is, each category is defined by one

-53-

٠.

variable being less than or greater than a fixed value, and by the other variable being between two fixed values, or greater than or less than a fixed value.

To illustrate how the adjustment works, the height and weight of males measured in the 1964 HES study, were used to define 10 sizing categories with our procedure and with the standard rectangular procedure. For this population, height and weight are significantly correlated at about .4. In the standard procedure, the sizing cell defined by data above the 95th percentile height and above the mean weight, contains 5% of the data. In the procedure with the adjustment, the corresponding cell contains 2.4% of the data. Likewise, the sizing cell defined by data above the 95th percentile height and below the 50th percentile weight, contains 0% of the data. Using our procedure, the corresponding cell contains 2.6% of the data.

The above example demonstrates how our body sizing schemes can distinguish between "heavy/tall" and "light/tall" individuals. However, the procedure is somewhat arbitrary. The least squares fit could be reversed, producing different size cell definitions. This was done and the newly defined adjustment worked equally well as in the above example.

We also experimented with using different pairs of variables. Weight is a skewed variable and is, thus, difficult to deal with statistically. Waist circumference, which is nearly normally distributed and highly correlated with weight, was used as a substitute. Pairing waist circumference with height, sizing cells were defined again from the 1964 HES male data. The average height in each cell was statistically

-54-

equal to the average height in the corresponding cells defined by pairing weight with height. Therefore, it appears that the skewness of weight does not effect the sizing procedure.

Preliminary work has indicated a degree of independence between mass distribution, as represented by body weight and circumferences, and linkage, as represented by stature, heights and bone lengths. Therefore, in selecting cadavers for our linkage study, a skeletal dimension/height bivariate should be used in determining the body size categories. Two measurements with low correlation should be chosen so that each measurement can represent orthogonal dimensions in the geometry of the body. These two measurements have not been chosen at this time.

4.4. Three-Dimensional Geometry of the Adult Pelvis

H. M. Reynolds

The Hamann-Todd skeletal collection at the Cleveland Museum of Natural History has been sampled according to a stratification designed to match the U. S. general population as closely as possible. The sample contains 144 females and 141 males divided into six sizing cells (Figure 18).

The sizing cells are defined by the relationship between height and weight in the 1960-62 Health Examination Survey data reported by Stoudt, Damon, McFarland, and Roberts (1965). We have the original data in the computer from which we constructed the sampling cells. In order to make the Hamann-Todd cadaver/skeleton collection comparable with the HES sample, 46.61 lbs. and 31.52 lbs. were added to the H-T male and female weights respectively. These constants are the difference between the average weights in the two samples. The results are compared in Table 2 where it can be observed by the percentage difference (Δ %) that the match is reasonable.

The first shipment of 30 male and 30 female pelves has been delayed until the week of 1 February 1977. This delay will not affect our progress in studying joint kinematics but could delay anticipated results on landmark definitions and identification of "stable" skeletal landmarks relative to population differences.

The three-dimensional measurements will be made at the Civil Aeromedical Institute in Oklahoma City under the direction of Dr. Clyde C. Snow. The techniques were developed at CAMI and the landmarks were

-56-



-57-

	•	MALE			FEMALE	
Sample	Cell					
Numb	er	HES	H-T	%	HES	H-T %
] -	x SD	165.8 36.45	165.1 35.80	+0.4	153.4 31.99	153.8 -0.3 26.38
2	x SD	165.3 31.35	163.8 48.41	+0.9	152.9 28.98	152.3 +0.4 37.14
3	x SD	174.2 23.28	174.6 25.65	`-0.2	161.3 22.86	161.3 0.0 24.83
4	x SD	173.9 24.28	173.3 24.41	+0.3	160.6 22.47	160.7 -0.1 23.23
5	x SD	182.7 33.86	182.7 37.50	0.0	169.0 29.44	170.0 -0.6 38.13
6	x SD	182.2 36.17	181.9 30.87	+0.2	169.0 39.17	168.4 +0.4 31.09
x :	X			0.33%		0.3%

Average <u>HEIGHT</u> (cm)

Average <u>WEIGHT</u> (1b.)

	MALE			FEMALE	
Sample Cell					
Number	HES	H-T	%	HES	H-T %
1 x	137.5	139.3	-1.3	114.4	107.5 +6.0
SD	12.72	12.25		10.93	15.35
2 x	175.1	172.4	+1.5	156.9	157.1 -0.1
SD	18.97	15.06		23.24	22.91
3 x	149.6	149.9	-0.2	122.2	118.3 +3.2
SD	12.53	13.98		11.43	14.16
4 x	189.2	183.6	+3.0	166.9	160.7 +3.7
SD	18.59	16.56		26.54	13.84
5 x	164.6	164.2	+0.2	130.3	129.2 +0.8
SD	12.48	16.49		11.75	19.27
6 x	204.7	204.1	+0.3	181.8	171.5 +5.7
SD	19.66	18.94		31.70	25.08
			1.08%		3.25%

 Table 2. Comparison by Sampling Cells between Health Examination

 Survey and Hamann-Todd collection for males and females.

established through discussions between Dr. Snow and myself. In general, there will be 120 points measured on the pelvis which will define the shape of various parts of the pelvis as well as anatomical landmark locations. Detailed descriptions of all landmarks will be included in the final report for Phase II, but the following twelve landmarks will be located on the complete articulated pelvis.

- 1) Right Anterior Superior Iliac Spine
- 2) Left Anterior Superior Iliac Spine
- 3) Right Iliocristale
- 4) Left Iliocristale
- 5) Right Posterior Superior Iliac Spine
- 6) Left Posterior Superior Iliac Spine
- 7) Right Hip Point (Acetabular Centroid)
- 8) Left Hip Point (Acetabular Centroid)
- 9) Right Ischial Tuberosity
- 10) Left Ischial Tuberosity
- 11) Symphysion
- 12) Promontory of Sacrum

Thus, the basic geometry of the pelvis can be described. The additional landmarks will only be measured on the articulated left innominate and sacrum. The total collection of data should provide the three-dimensional shape geometry relative to an anatomical axis system defined by landmarks on the totally articulated pelvis.

The analysis of these data will utilize many of the techniques described in Subsection 4.1 and 4.5. For example, these data will

provide base line information on the accuracy with which anatomical axes systems can be defined. All succeeding work on cadaveric and living subjects should be less accurate since the landmarks are obscured by tissue. In addition, variation in the location of principal landmarks will be summarized and described by the use of principal components analysis (See Subsection 4.5). Additional analytical techniques will be used, but these represent a cross-section of the application of our present efforts to future data collection and analysis in systems anthropometry.

The Hamann-Todd collection is unique in one major aspect of importance to the present investigation. Prior to the skeletalization of each cadaver, Dr. Todd and his associates took a series of anthropometric dimensions according to Martin (1928). A copy of the original data collection sheet with translation is presented in Figure 19. These data on each specimen in our sample have now been entered into the computer and the editing process has begun. These data for our sample will permit the examination of anthropometric data relative to skeletal geometry for the first time. Todd and his associates published a series of papers between 1923 and 1928 discussing the changes that occur in cadavers relative to anthropometric dimensions. These papers will need to be reviewed carefully in order to use these data. Correlations between skeletal geometry and anthropometry as well as a closer examination of the anthropometric characteristics of the individuals in our sample will result.

-60-

ו327	2 No d Phot.	Ort und der Au	nahme: A.T.	Beubachter H	1. 7 <i>.</i> C.
Eigenname	:		andre and a second s	Vaterliche Ascendens:	
	•				
Stammeson	me.				
Gerchlecht	. Wohnort:				
	Ma			Mattarlioho Ascendenz:	
Alter:	58 Geburtsort:				
Sociale &	livag:		-Religion:		
Ernährungs	zustand: sehr mager, mi	ger, mittel, fe	14. sohr fett.	Kopf: Stires: niedrig, boch: schmal, breit: gerad	e. mLCig fliebend, stark
Gesundheita	urustand :		•	fliebend; flach, gewölbt; voll, kielförm	nig.
Krankbeite Defekte :	n (herodithre?):	4		Scheltel: ganz flach, leicht-, mittel-, stark gew	5lbL
Hautfarba:	No. der Hautfarbentalel	eder:	. grauschwarz	Hinterhaupt: steil, flach, gewölbt, stark auslade	ad.
	Surne:		. schwarzbraun	Gesicht:	inside the land solution
• ····	Wange:	7	. rein dunkelbraun	(mit Surne) schmal, mäßig breit, breit, sehr	breit; nach unten-, nach
	Brustbeinregion	<u></u>	. rötlich dunkelbraun	oben zugespitzt; ganz flach, mäß	ig flach, vorgewölbt, vor-
	Bauch (über dem N	abel):	. rötlichbraun	springend, vogeigesicht	
	Schulterblattgeger	nd:	. reinbraun	Wangeabeingegead: stark+, makig vorstehend; mi	IBig-, blark zuruckliegenf.
	Oberarm Beugesei	ite:	r. hellbraunlich	Augenspalte: gerade, schräg; eng-, mißig-, weit mandelförmig; Mongolenfalte, Epie	. geochlitzt; spindelförmig, canthas.
	Oberarm Streckse	ite:	i. olivengelb	Nase: Wurzel: schmal, mittel, breit; ganz	flach, flach, mallig hoch,
	Handteller:		. gelblich	hoch, sehr hoch. Bücken: schmal mittel breit: stark.	- leicht konkey gerade
	Innenfilche d. Obersch	enkols:	. gelblichweiß	leicht-, stark konvex, wellig,	, winklig gebogen.
	Schleimbaut-Oberli	ppe:	. karminweiß	Spitze: aufwärts-, vorwärts-, abwärts Flügel: dick. dünn: boch. niedrig: a	gerichtet. mliesend, mißis sevälbt.
	Schlein.Laut-Unterli	ppe: /	. fahlweiß	gebiäht; durchbohrt wie oft?	rechts: links:
Hautoharak	ler: sammetartig, weich,	raub; feucht,	trocken, fettig.	Septum: lang, kurz; schmal, breit; r keilförmig verjüngt, sanduhr ragend, hochliegend; damhbo	inch hinten-, nach vorne "Drmig; nach unten vor- ihrt.
Irisfarbe:	No. der äugenfarbentafel	oder: a. schw	arzbraun. J. dunkel-	Löcher: sehr schmal, schmai, längson	rat, schrägoval, rundlich.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	braun. c. braun. d. grau. c. helierau. k	hellbraun. e. . dunkelbiau.	grünlich. A dunkel- 1. blau. 4. heliblau.	queroval, breit, sehr breit; <u>k</u> Lochfläche: horizontal, nach vorn oben, i	ism, groż. auch hiaten oben geneigt,
- 9	1. slbinotisch.			Integumentallippen; Procheilie; sehr stark, star	maßie, leicht. Ortho-
6klera:	weiß, bläulich, gelblich. Bereich der geöffneten	Confunktiva: Lidspaite verfi	farbios, fleckig, im	chellie, Opistochoilie. Schleimhautlippen: dünn miuel dick, welstig; einfacher, zusammengesetzte	Lippenleiste; Oberrand: r Bogen.
Haarfarbe:	No. der Haarfarbentafel	a. reinschwar	z /. dunkelbland	Nundspalte: klein, mittel, groß_	
Gen 0	Kopfhaar:	. braunschw	arz g. hellblond	Zähne: gerade, schräg: sebr groß, groß, mittel,	kimin, sehr klein.
	Barthaar:	c. dunkelbra	an A. aschblond	R. m. m. m. p. p. c. i. i. i. i. e. m. m. m. p. p. c. i. i. i. i. c.	<u>p. p. m. m. m.</u> <u>p. p. m. m. m.</u>
• 1	Körperhaar:	d. rötlichbisu	n i. rot	(Diastemata end Tremata einzeichnen, feblende Zähne e haken, absichtlich entiernte umkreisen, absichteth ver	fummetrei, hon, kranke an- refinmmetre einklammern.)
• 5	Schambaar:	e. bellbraus	A. albinotisch	Art der Verstümmelung:	
Haarform:		e. straff d. schl	icht g. gekräuselt	Labidontie, Psalidodontie, Stegodonfie, Opisthod	lantie, Hiatodontie.
e	Kopfbaar:	c. flachwellig	A. locker kraus	Farbe: bläulich, weiß, gelblich. Färbung:	
2	Barthaar :	d. weitwellig	i. dicht kraus	Ohren: anliegend, abstahend, sienkelohren.	Halizrand: oben, binten
c	Körperbaar:	e. engwetlig	A. fil-kil	genaum, ungesaume. Darwin'sches Höckerchen rechts: No. 3	. 2, 3, 4, 5. 6
r_l	Schanhaar:	1. lockig	1. spiralig	links: Ke. 1	, 2, 3, 4, 5. 6
Kërperbohaa	rung: stark, mittel, schu	rach, sehr sch	rach, fehlend.	Durchbohrung im Lappchen r. L ;	ins Helixrand r. 1.

Figure 19. Sample Hamann-Todd Data Collection Sheet and Translation from the German.

Figure 19 (cont.)

.

X.						<u>.</u>				
uach R. MARTIN. 1 Instrumento: 1 angenzikel 2 asterzikel cl W = Wage	1. Körper- größa	4. Hohe des oberen Brust- beis- randes über dem Boden	5. Hohe des Nabels 5. d. B.	G. Höhe des oberen Sym- physen- randes G. d. B.	7. Höhe der rechten Brust- warze Q. d. B.	8. Hobe des rechteur Akromion a. d. B. R.175/	9. Hohe der rethten Eilen- bogen- gelenk- fuge a. d. B.	10. Hohe dos Griffel- fortaizes des rechten Radius &. d. B.	11. Hole der rechten Mittel- finger- epitze G. d. B.	13. Hobe des rectten vorderen Darm- bein- stachels G. d. B.
agsblatt a 1913. endende: St = S T = T aðhennæd	,747 A	۶ <i>۲ א</i> (1856 A	730 A	1357 N	L. 1464 A	ز , ۱٫ ۲ ۸	¥.3 A	دَ7 6 ۸	7-5 A
tologisches Beobachtun Ausgabe Bezeichnung der zu verw A — Anthroyometer G = Gleitzirkel = Banitmaß O = Ohrh	St Länge des rechten Unter- armes	St Linge der rechten Hand	St o. G Breite der rechten Hand	Ganze Bein- länge rechts	Beinlange rechta ohne Fuß	Linge des rechten Ober- schenkels	X Länge des rechten Unier- schenkela	St Linge des rochten Fuffes	St Breite des rechten Fußes	B Umfang der Brust bei rubigem Atmon
Soma I I U	చ ప్) 48.	/ 7 6 49.	76 52.	- 80 975 53.	872 54.	3 / 5 77 T 55.	3 7.3 56.	7 سترسته 58.	;;] 59.	770 61.
¥e.									<u>.</u>	
No.	1									
durch ausgezogene. a durch gebrochene sich auf die Somato- i der Anthropologie. tellen. Alle Zablen Feldor einzutragen.	1. Größte Länge des Kopfes	3. Grötte Breite des Kopfes	4. Kleinste Stirn- breite	6. Joch- bogen- breite	8. Unter- kicfer- winkel- breite	9. Breite swischen den inneren Augen- winkeln	11. Breite der Augenlid- spalte	12. Pupillen- distanz	13. Breite der Nase	14. Breite der Mund- spalte
e sind durch ausgezogene, ensionen durch gebrochene ziehen sich auf die Somato- ehrbuch der Anthropologie. festzustellen. Alle Zablen contalen Feldor einzutragen.	1. Größte Länge des Kepfes	3. Grötte Breite des Kopfes	4. Kleinste Stim- breite	6. Joch- bogen- breite	8. Unter- kicfer- winkel- breite	9. Breite zwischen den innerøn Augen- winkeln <i>5 4</i>	11. Breito der Augenlid- tpalto	12. Pupillen- distanz	13. Breite der Nase	14. Breite der Mund- spalte
Maße sind durch ausgezogene. a Dimensionen durch gebrochene rn bezieben sich auf die Somato- wa Lehrbuch der Anthropologie. nung festzustellen. Alle Zahlen horizontalen Felder einzutragen.	l. Größte Länge des Kopfea	3. Größte Breite des Kopfen	4. Kleinste Stim- breite 102 T	6. Joch- bogen- breite /32	8. Unter- kicfer- winkel- breite ///-/ T	9. Breite zwischen den inneren Augen- winkeln 34 G	11. Breite der Augenlid- spalte -2 G G	12. Pupillen- distanz G	13. Breite der Nase رتی G	14. Breite der Mund- spalte S 5 G
Die wichtigsten abzunehmenden Maße sind durch ausgezogene. die wichtigsten zu berechnenden Dimensionen durch gebrochene Striche bezeichnet. Die Nummern beziehen sich auf die Somato- metrische Technik in R. Marrins Lehrbuch der Anthropologie. Ein × bedeutet: durch Berechnung festzustellen. Alle Zahlen zind in Millimeter in die leeren horizontalen Felder einzutragen.	1. Größte Länge des Kopfes T B Horizon- tul- Umlang des, Kopfes 	3. Grötte Breite des Kopfes T T Sagittaler Kopf- bogen 3/-f 48.	4. Kleinste Stim- breite 7.22 T B Trans- rerusler Kopf- Logen 3.7.7 49.	6. Joch- bogen- breite 7.3 2 T X Lången- breiten- Indes Kopfea 3×100 1	8. Unter- kicfor- winkal- broite //// T Lången- höben- Indez des Kopfos 15×100 1	9. Breite zwischen den inneren Augen- winkeln 344 G W Breiten- hoben- Indes Kopfes .15×100 3	11. Breite der Augenlid- spalte 2 9 G X Trass- versato- pariotal- Indez 4×100 3	12. Pupillen- distanz G S S Pbysie- gnomi- acher Indez 17>100 5	13. Breite der Nase	14. Breite der Mund- spalte 5 - G C Physio- gnomi- scher Ober- gesichts- Index 19×100 - C

-62-

Figure 19 (cont.)

			1									
14.	15.	16.	17.	23.	27.	35.	38.	40.	41.	45.	46.	47
löhe des oben oßen coll- ogels d. B.	liöhe der rechten Knie- gelenk- fuge 6. d. B.	Jiôhe der reckten inneren Knöchel- spitze ū. d. B.	Spann- weite der Arme	Stamm- länge (Körper- höhe im Sitzen)	Lingo dor vorderen Rumpf- wand	Breite zwischen den Akromien	Breite zwischen den Brust- warzen	Größte Breite zwischen dea Darmbein- kämmen (Becken-	Braite zwischen dan vorderen oberen Darmbein- stachela	Ganze Arm- Išage _rechus	Arm- lange rechte ohne Hand	Lange don rechten Ober- armes
18	463	27	1170	* 71	تو ^ت رد	332	127	breite)	ش. م	787	و بر ج	ت ر بی د
^	٨	•	A		×	51	50	51	50	×	×	St
B I mfang r Brost bei spira- tion	B Umfang der Brust bei Exspira- tion	B Größter Umfang des reobien Ober- armos	B Größter Umfang des rechten Ober- armes	B Größter Umfang des rechten Unter- armes	B Kleinster Umfang des rechten Unter- armes	B Größter Umfang des rechten Ober- schenkels	B Größter Umfang des rechteg Unter- schenkols	B Kleinster Umfang dos rechten Unter- schenkels	W Körper- gewicht	X Index der Körper- fülle		
	,	Streckung	Beugung	ر در ر	177	57?	ى تە تە	175	87			
65.	61 b.	65 . 177	65 (1).	66.	67.	68.	69.	70.	71.			
	1		1	1	1					<u> </u>		
-					 		<u> </u>			}		
15.	16 a .	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	25.	29.	3 0.	31.	32.
hrhöbe des čopfes	Ganze Kopfhöhe	Physio- gno- mische Gesichts- böhe	Morpho- logische Gesichts- höhe	Physio- gnomi- sche Ober- gesichts- höhe	Morpho- logische Ober- gesichta- höhe	Höhe der Nase	Lingo des Nason- bodens	Höbe der Schleim- haut- lippen	Physio- gnomi- scho Länge des Ohres	Physio- gnomi- ache Broita des Ohree	Morpho- logische Länge des Ohres	Morpho- logische Breite des Ohres
5.0	220	183	122	74	70	2 ک	فعذه	12	67	37	ئى تى	-7-3
u. O	St	St	G o. St	G o. St	G o. St	G o. St	G o. St	G	G	G	G	o
Kiohem	X Breiten- tiofen- Index der Nase	× Physio- gnomi- scher Ohr- Index	X Morpho- logischer Obr- Index	. sicact 9 16:6	Cuclal : Leij 4	trans	neet. frat.	Ext. Conj.	1			
3×100 21	22×100 13	<u>30×100</u> 29	32×100	317	1006	264	217	207	/3 #			
									1	i		

•

7 .	L.			7	1.	ŀ			
Seliso	tharle:	Farbensiar	n:	Hörse	chirle:	Puls	ation:	Respiration:	Körpertemperatur :
Druckk	raft: r.	i.	r.		٢	r .	L	Mittel: r.	L

Körper:

Weibliche Brust: Puerile Form, Halbkugelform des Warzenhofes, primäre, sekundäre Mamma; Brustentwicklung No. schalenförmig, halbkugelig, konisch, ziegeneuterförmig; öppig, voll, mäßig, klein; stehend, sich senkend, hängend. Warzenhof: Durchmesser transv.: vert.: Farbe No. Rand: scharf, verschwommen; Papille: groß, mittel, klein, vertieft

Gestialies:

Beschneidung und andere Deformationen:

Hände:

Allenfalto:

Fisger: dick, dünn; lang, kurz; verjüngt; hyperextendiert.

Fissof: dick, dunn; iarg, kurz; verjungt; upperextenuter. Verstümmelung: Nägol: grod, klein; lang, kurz; schmal, breit; gewölbt, flach; asgittal gekrümmt, oval, rundlich, facherförmig. Wades: dick, dünn; lang, kurz; schmal, breit; Fußgewölbe: boch, mittel, niedrig, Plattfuß. Längste Zebe: r. 1. 2. 1. 1. 2. Große Zehe abstehend, anliegend, eingebogen.

Besondere Beobachtungen: (Tatauierung, Ziernarben, Hornhautnarben, geistige Fähigkeiten usw.)

Cadaver lost i sout : 50 Tuge ! mere entomough sever under some the out a yes I. Ho apare - longer juis many post and commences his is a some Techen light a commence would be and Regebra 1 Period station const la prove clearte a prover
Place and date Observer of acceptance Photo No. Paternal parentage Given name Place of residence Surname Sex Eaternal narentage Place of birth ٨ge religion Social class Condition of nourishment: very thin, thin, average, . obese, very obese Skull: forehead: low, high; narrow, broad; moderately, strongly Condition of health: retreating; flat, domed; full, keel-shaped sicgnesses (hereditary?) defects top of skull: quite flat; lightly-, moderatetely,skincolor # skincolor table or: a gray-black strongly domed. forehead back of skull: inclined, straight, domed, strongly cheeks b black-brown c pure dk. brn projecting sternal abdoren d reddish dk. bn. Face: entire face: high, moderately high, low; elliptical; scapular . reddish bn. . pure bn. (w/ fore- ovoid, round, angular; narrow, moderately broad. upper arm prox. broad, very broad; tapered toward bottom, -top; dist. lite brnish head) palm mucosal upperlip lower lip completely straight, moderately straight; forolive yellow ward-domed; jutting out, shaped like a bird. yellowish cheek area: strongly-, moderately protruding, st-, 'm yellowish-wh. ged. prox. mod- regressed. reddish-wh thigh orbits: straight, Blanted; narrow, moderate, wide; pale white spindleshaped; inner-, outer-epicanthic fold. Nose: root: narpow, avarage, broad; very flat, flat, skin appearance: velvety, smooth, rough; mod., high, high, very high back: narrow, med., broad; markedly-, Blightly moist, dry, greasy concave, straight; markedly-, lightly convex, Iris color: # of eyecolory table or: a black-browny darkbrown, brn, light brn, undulating, bent Tip: upward, forward, Bownward bent. greenish, dark-gray, light-gray, dark blu, Alae: thick, thin; high, low; close, mod. swoll blue, liteblu, albinotic inflated; perforated how many times? R L Septum: long, short; narrow, broad; constricted Sclera: white, bluish, yellowish forward in keel shape; hour-glass shaped; projec Conjunctiva: colorless, mottled, colored in the area of the eyelid(?) ing beneath; foramina: very narrow; narrow, long-oval, trans Haircolor: # haircolor a pure black f dk blnd oval, round, Long oval, broad, bery broad, smal b brown-black, Bite blnd large. table or: c dark brn (abh blnd foraminal position: horizontal, sloped forward, head hair sloped backward. facial hair sreddish br . . red falbinotic Integumental lip: protruded(?): very marked, body hair elite br marked, medium, slight; straight; in-turned(?) pubić hair a very straight g. crinkly/Muscosal lip: thin, med., thick, swollen; b. straight A lightly curled | edged(?); upper border: simple, bowed c. flat-wavy . thickly curled together. d. wavy-broad gwiry (?) Kouth: small, medium, large. e. narrow waves [spiralled Teeth: straight, sloping; very big, big, med., small, very small. f. curly list Body hair: heavy, médium, light, very light, absent R; m m m etc... (Note diastema and tremata; cross out lackin teeth; circle purposely removed teeth; check Ears: close-lying, standing-out, jug-shaped. Helix border: above, below, absent diseased t, bracket purposely mutilated t.) Darwin's point: right No 1...6 Type of ratilation: left No 1...6 orthodontia, prodontia: medium, strong Earlobes: big, small, unattached, attached, Labiodontia, Psalidontia, Stegodontia, absent Opisthodontia, Hiatodontia. Perforation in earlobes: r, l; in edge of Color: bluish, white, yellowish. Coloring ear: r, 1. Katorial(?)

page 2

This is a translation of the instructions appearing at the top long edge of page 2:

The most important measurements are underlined; the most important calculated dimensions are indicated by a broken line. Numbers refer to the somatological techniques in Martin's Iohrbuch der Anthropologie. An 'X' means derive by calculation. All numbers are in mm. and are to be placed in the open spaces on the chart.

Somatological observation form according to R. Martin, 1913 edition. Key to instruments to be used: A = anthropometer, G = spreading calibers; St = sliding calipers; T = calipers (refers to a small sliding calipers); B = tape; O = ear-height measuring instrument (we would use a sliding calipers); W = scales Measurements in hpper 2 columns of page 2: 1. Total body height 4. upper sternal ht. from floor 5. navels ht. above floor 6. upper public symphesis edge from floor 7. Rt. nipple ht. above floor 8. Rt. acromion ht above floor 9. Rt. elbow joint above floor 10. Rt. distal radius end above floor 11. Rt. middle fingertip above floor 13. Rt. iliac crest above floor 48. length of right lower arm 49. length right lnad 52. breadth of right hand 53. total rt. leg length 54. rt. leg length minus foot 55. rt. thigh (upper leg) length 56. rt. lower leg length 58. ±t. foot length wind 59. rt. foot breadth 60. chest circum., relaxed (i.e., not while taking a breath) Measurements in For 2 columns of p. 2. 1. head length 3. head breadth 4. min. forehead breadth bizygomatic diam.
max. Imandibular breadth 9. Min. interorbital breadth 11. orbit (or egelid) breadth 12. interpupillary distance 13. nasal breadth 14. mouth breadth 45. cephalic circum. 48. sagittal arc X cephalic index cephalic length-ht index (X Etterointic ohart) breadth-height index X . X transversa frontoparietal index X (these are physiognomic facial index (X. morphological facial index facial ht. indic: Y upper face index

Upper 2 columns: 14. Ht. rt. greater trochanter(?) from floor 15. Ht. right knos joint from floor 16. Ht. rt. medial malleolus point (ankle) from floor 17. arm span sitting ht.
Length of forward side of trunk (?) 35. bi-acromial breadth 38. breadth between nipples 40. bi-iliac creat breadth creats 41. breadth between superior lower iliad points (standard measurements-I forgot the terminology of the ilina) 45. Total rt. arm length 46. rt. arm length minus hand 47. rt upper arm length 61a. chest circumferance, expanded (inhaling) 61b chest circum, breath expired (exhaling) 65. largest circum right ipper arm, extended 65(1) " " Ħ 11 H. contracted 66. n . . lower H smallest " 67 Ħ Ħ 11 68. greatest 69. stations 11 Ħ upper leg n 11 lower " 70. smallest " Ħ M 71. body weight **X?.** index of body fullness Lower 2 columns: (apparently a standard measurement designs apparent vagueness) 15. ht. of head to ear 16a total head height 17. physiognomic facial ht.18. morphological facial ht. 19. physiognomic upper face ht. 20. morphological upper face ht. 21. nasal ht. 22. nasala length (i.e., of floor of interior (nose) 25. ht. of mucosal lip 29. physiognomic ear length 30. 11 breadth 31. morphological " length 32. X . 69 11 breadth nasal index X breadth-depth idex of nose X physiognomic ear index x morphological ear index

page 4

r 1 1 visual acuity color sense hearing pulse respiration temp. r, 1. etc. Means pressing strength; (Instrument) r 1 Body observations: enerala Female breast: infantile form; hemispherical corona; primary, secondary manmae; breastdevelopment No.: Dreastdevelopment No.: bowl-shaped, hemispherical, conical, 77; very full, full, medium, small; standing up, sinking, hanging possibles (Ceromas: transverse diameter; vertical diameter; Color No. borde border: sharp. 100 repractical. Genitalda: circumcision and other deformations Similan crease : Hands: "Apottottiff Fingers: thick, thin, long, short, tapered; hyperextended. Nails: big, small, long, short; narrow, broad; domed, flat; sagittally bent; oval, round, fan-shaped Calfs: thick, thin, long, short; tense, flabby. Feet: big, small; long, short, narrow, broad; arch: high, medium, low, flat feet Longest toe: r 1, 2; 1t:1, 2. Great toe separate, close-lying, bowed. Special Observations: (tatooing, ornamental scars; epidermal scars; mental abilities, etc.) ** "Ziegenpenter Forming hiterelly means "shope like a good's suffer" (if you doubly come interested).

4.5. <u>Statistical and Probablistic Properties of Three-Dimensional Anthropometric Data</u>. D. H. Golomb

In this section, a discussion is presented of the general population-related causes of variation of 3-dimensional coordinates of landmarks on the human body. These variations are due to the size and shape of individuals, but they are also related to the position and posture of the individual. The variations in posture are perhaps the most difficult to model because two individuals of the same size and shape could have significantly different locations of many landmarks on the body measured in 3-dimensional space.

4.5.1. Discussion of a Linkage Model.

An investigation into the three-dimensional location of skeletal landmarks on the torso was conducted at the University of Michigan (Snyder, et al., 1972). Two models--sitting and standing positions--were constructed to predict the spatial relationship between the landmarks. Each model is fairly general in scope, in that landmarks may be predicted for a wide range of seated and standing positions. Positions, in the model, are defined by the three-dimensional coordinates of the right elbow with the spine of L5, as the origin. In addition to the elbow coordinates, the other independent variables are the following standard anthropometric measurements: stature, sitting height, weight, chest circumference, biacromial breadth and humeral length.

An unexpected trend has been observed in the sitting position model of surface landmarks. It would be expected that the equations predicting

-69-

x-coordinates (values along the anterior/posterior axis) have high loadings from chest circumference or weight; likewise, the equations predicting y-coordinates (values along the lateral axis) have high loadings from biacromial breadth, and humeral length; and, the equations predicting z-coordinates (values along the vertical axis) have high loadings from stature and sitting height. These hypothesized relationships do hold for all the equations predicting z-coordinates and for the x and z coordinates in the lower back. In the equations along the upper spine and for acromion and suprasternale, the elbow coordinates play a dominate role. Specifically, the x-coordinate of the elbow has the highest loading for x predictions; the y-coordinate for y predictions; and, the z-coordinate for z predictions.

Further analysis is necessary to understand the significance of this trend. First, the equations predicting x, y, and z of the upper regions do not imply that standard anthropometry is unrelated to the location of these points since the elbow coordinates are a function of standard anthropometry. The implication is that a simple polynomial relationship (in this model, cubic regressions were derived) may not be sufficient to explain the locations of points on the upper torso. In fact, the weakness of this relationship is further emphasized when it is noted that the elbow, whose position can be relatively independent of torso configuration, is the sole predictor of these points.

The above discussion raises several questions. First, what is the relationship between standard anthropometry and landmarks on the body in three-dimensional space? Second, are the positions of landmarks along the same axis highly correlated? Thus, even though there is substantial variation in the posture of individuals for a given position (e.g., seated with arms at the side), can three-dimensional locations

-70-

of the body be statistically predicted with reasonable accuracy? Furthermore, can the paths of landmark positions be predicted on a moving body?

4.5.2. Analysis of Stewardess Data.

In order to investigate the relationships observed in the Snyder, et al. investigation, three-dimensional positions of 13 landmarks collected on 280 airline stewardess trainees were examined. These data were collected by Herbert Reynolds as part of an anthropometric project for the Federal Aviation Administration but they have not been previously published. All the three-dimensional data were taken for one position - namely, a normal seated position with the subject's hands at her sides (see Figure 20). The analysis below is, thus, limited to describing variation in one particular, fixed position. It should also be mentioned that these data represent a highly selected sample of the general female population. The subjects were hired by the airline only if they met a stringent heightweight table. Thus, in general, the sample does not contain overweight and/or excessively short or tall individuals.

The first step in the analysis identified the standard measurements that have a linear relationship with three-dimensional coordinate data (Tables 3-5). As in the Synder et al. (1972) link study model, stature and sitting height are highly correlated with z-coordinate data, especially those landmarks on the upper part of the body--vertex, right and left acromions, etc. Likewise, almost none of the standard measurements correlate well with the upper body landmarks in the x- and

-71-





Photograph of Measurement Device used in 3-D Stewardess Study

CASES READ= 200

CORRELATION MATRIX

	VERTEX Z	SITTING EYE Z	NECK+SHOULDE R Z	RT. ACROHION Z	SUPRASTERNAL E z
BODY HEIGHT	0.639	8,583	0.634	0.623	0.597
STATURE	8.741	0.660	0.711	0,650	8,625
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	0.692	0.637	0.679	0.637	8.647
SITTING HEIGHT	0.887	8.842	0,844	0,755	0.781
EYE HEIGHT SITTING	0.010	0.798	0,023	0.811	8.736
ACROMIALE HEIGHT	8,586	0,518	0,613	0.597	0.572
THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	0.531	0.307	0.316	0,368	0.338
" HIP CIRCUMFERENCE	0.579	0.556	0°590	0,542	0,546
MAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.519	8.296	0.340	0,381	19 2 9 4
INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.358	8.314	0.364	6.403	0,348
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	0.355	0.350	0.378	0.339	0.330
NECK CIRCUMFERENCE	0.347	0.243	0,341	0.351	0,256
BUTTOCKS DEPTH	0,345	8.532	0.377	0.350	e.353
MAIST DEPTH	8,223	0.221	0.268	0.242	0,294
CHEST DEPTH	0.171	0.154	0.170	0,185	0.189 .
BIACROMIAL BREADTH	0.294	0,294	0,310	0.171	0 <u>.</u> 193
CHEST BREADTH	0.198	0.151	0.193	0.246	8.186
WAIST BREADTH	0.185	0.185	0,227	0.235	0,184
ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	9 9 7 9 6	0.442	0.455	0.454	0.411
ILIOSPINALE BREADTH	0.270	0.266	0,316	0.251	0.216
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	0.547	0.516	P.530	0.436	0.484
HIP BREADTH STANDING	175-8	0.529	0.542	0.467	0.489
SHOULDER BREADTH	0.1.0	0.170	0.201	0.210	8.144
HEAD LENGTH	0.179	0.185	0,160	0.166	0,162
HEAD BREADTH	0.143	0.157	0.147	0.154	0.151

Correlations of Z-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry. Table 3.

-73-

Table 3. (Cont.)		•			
CORRELATION MATRIX		CASES READ	. 280		
	LT. ACROMION Z	ILIOCRISTA le Z	A815 Z	TROCHANTERIO N Z	THIGH CL. Z
BODY WEIGHT	8,594	8,599	0,674	0,275	0.576
STATURE -	0.656	0.574	0,593	8,264	0,338
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	8.637	0,539	0,612	0,222	9 . 489
SITTING HEIGHT	0.757	0.438	0,555	0.277	8.339
EYE HEIGHT SITTING	0.667	6,468	0,510	0,252	0.345
ACROHIALE HEIGHT	0.638	0.216	0.234	0,294	0,281
¹ THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	0,340	0.347	8,464	8.277	0,363
MIP CIRCUMFERENCE	0.538	9,525	0.618	8,298	105 0
** WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.357	0,433	0,465	0.146	0.323
INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	0,369	0.328	0.399	0.057	0.281
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	0.364	0.439	0,436	0.107	8.319
NECK CIRCUMFERENCE	, 0, 323	0.271	0,298	0.085	0.243
· BUTTOCKS DEPTH	0,359	9.484	0,467	0.256	0.437
· MAIST DEPTH	Ø. 244	0.285	0.287	0°647	0,234
CHEST DEPTH	8.169	0.296	0.309	-0.027	8.243
BIACROMIAL BREADTH	0.156	0.243	0,299	8.108	6.143
CHEST BREADTH	0.256	0.191	0,234	0 859	0,189
WAIST BREADTH	0.236	0.311	0.319	0.131	0.264
ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	0.451	0.214	0.211	0.136	0.231
🌾 ILIOSPINALE BREADIM	0,246	0.113	0,033	0 ÷ 0 ÷ 0	0.120
THIGH CL. Z	0.256	0.269	0.487	0,346	1.000
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	8.479	0.397	0,440	0.265	0.326
MIP BREADTH STANDING	0,450	0.451	0.512	0.165	0.389
SHOULDER BREADTH	0.141	0.167 .	0.255	0.073	0,260
HEAD LENGTH	6.209	0.133	0,082	0.021	0.109
HEAD BREADTH	0.173	0.172	0,065	• 3 • 6 3 B	0,134

-74-

CORRELATION MATRIX		CASES REA) = 28a		
	VERTEX X	BITTING EYE X	NECK-SHOULDE R X	RT. ACROMION X	SUPRASTERNAL E x
¹ BODY WEIGHT	0.136	0.159	0.140	. 0.152	0,220
STATURE	0.133	. 6. 188	8,163	0.146	0.198
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	0.468	0,137	0.119	8.136	0.159
SITTING HEIGHT	0.100	0.132	0.091	0,111	0.115
EYE HEIGHT SITTING	8.183	0.211	0.118	8.020	. 8.145
ACROMIALE HEIGHT	0.160	0.147	0.078	0.017	9.107
THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	0.071	0.469	0.037	0.067	8.117
. MIP CIRCUMFERENCE	0.071	0.107	8.851	0,095	0.144
· MAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	8.057	0.102	689.6	0.081	0.123
INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.112	0.103	689.9	0.041	8 * 1 4 8
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	0.070	0.079	8,896	0.201	8.103
NECK CIRCUMFERENCE	0.166	0.160	0,160	0,123	0.176
BUTTOCKS DEPTH	A.076	0.116	8,103	0,093	0.157
WAIST DEPTH	649	0.051	0,062	0.071	. 0.070
CHEST DEPTH	8.096	8.118	0,133	0.140	0.316
N BIACROMIAL BREADTH	0.450	0,035	0,030	0.135	0,013
CHEST BREADTH	0.013	0.766	•0,015	÷0,425	8 8 882
·· WAIST BREADTH	0,032	0.074	0,047	0.110	0.028
ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	064	8,095	0.047	0,054	848
ILIOSPINALE BREADTH	0.078	0.459	0,073	0°024	0 - 1146
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	0,019	660 8	0.076	0.064	0.115
MIP BREADTH STANDING	0.066	0.066	0,058	0.054	0.129
SHOULDER BREADTH	0.028	8 . 4 5	0 . 644	0,865	8.071
HEAD LENGTH	-0-045	-0.053	-0.047	-8 B46	-0,894
HEAD BREADTH	- 6 . 6 1 9	- 8 . 886	-0.024	0,029	-0,035

Correlations of X-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry. Table 4.

-75-

Table 4. (Cont.) CORRELATION MATRIX

CASES READ= 280

	LT. ACROMION X	ILTOCRISTALE X	ASIS X	TROCHANTERIO N X	THIGH CL' X
BODY WEIGHT	0.181	-0.057	0.134	. 0.181	0,265
- STATURE	0.183	-0.066	0.116	0,233	° 9,258
- SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	0.157	-0.037	0.130	0,234	0.274
SITTING HEIGHT	0.101	-0.074	0.225	0,181	0.237
EYE HEIGHT SITTING	0.038	-0.048	0.240	0,176	0,243
SPACROHIALE HEIGHT	8.677	0.049	0,212	0.110	8 % 0.169
THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	0.095	-0.004	0.030	0.084	£ 15.0 .
HIP CIRCUMPERENCE	0.147	-0.072	0,083	9,169	0,232
MAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.111	-0.031	0,082	0.177	9.134
INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.065	8.012	0.060	0.171	0.128
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	0.165	-0.023	0.049	0,082	0.098
NECK CIRCUMPERENCE	Ø. 167	0.003	0.065	0.177	Ø.129
BUTTOCKS DEPTH	0.128	0.056	0.107	0,126	0.155
WAIST DEPTH	0.074	0.118	0.184	0,192	8,289
· CHEST DEPTH	0.113	0.072	0.064	0.081	0,017
BIACROMIAL BREADTH	0.110	-0.040	0.001	0.102	0.126
CHEST BREADTH	0.030	0.029	•0.007	0.065	-0.054
HAIST BREADTH	0,145	-0,059	-0,009	0,126	0,024
ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	0.100	-9.939	0.185	8.199	0.130
ILIOSPINALE BREADTH	0.125	-0,035	0.022	0.096	0.066
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	9.986	-0,102	0.037	0.057	0.174
HIP BREADTH STANDING	0.135	-0.121	0,098	0,131	8.191
SHOULDER BREADTH	4.081	-0.068	0,005	0,144	2,165
HEAD LENGTH	-0.012	-0.020	0,053	0,033	0.006
HEAD BREADTH	0.071	0.094	0,050	0.014	-0.837

-76-

CASES READE 280

COPRELATION MATRIX

.

	VERTEX Y	SITTING EYE Y	NECK-SHOULDE R Y	RT. ACROMION Y	SUPRASTERNAL E Y
BODY WEIGHT	- G . 1 2 8	-9.192	- 6, 643	-0.292	-0.136
STATURE	- 8, 188	- 9.192	0,836	-0,292	898.8
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	-0.110	-9,100	0.096	-0,265	
SITTING HEIGHT	-0.082	-0.061	0.067	-0.214	-8.857
EYE HEIGHT SITING	-0.154	-0.136	-4.928	-0,156	-0.867
ACROMIALE HEIGHT	-0, r58	• P • B 2 B	0,044	8.015	- 0, 002
THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	-0.079	- 6, 853	-0,056	-0.164	-0.110
HIP CIRCUMFERENCE	• 0 • 1 0 3	-0.026	-0,012	-8,249	-0°094
WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	-0.153	- 0° 078	0,040	-0.177	•0,055
INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	-0-091	-0.034	-0.011	-8.170	-0,192
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	• 6 • 6 •	- 8. 978	-0,694	-0,328	-0.113
WINDER CIRCUMFERENCE	0.017	0.010	0.177	•8°859	0 - 0 2 0
***BUTTOCKS DEPTH	-0.139	-0.077	-0.077	-0.161	-0.106
WAIST DEPTH	• Ø . Ø 7 4	+0,02£	0,052	-8.079	0,029
CHEST DEPTH	• G • 022	-0.077		-0.110	• 0 • 1 5 0
BIACROMIAL BREADTH	- C . H62	-0°055	-0.011	-6,519	-8.853
CHEST BREADTH	-8.072	-0.050	0.013	• 0.124	-8.055
HAIST BREADTH	-8.192	•0,041	0,051	-0,126	-0.037
A ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	-9,035	0.014	9,469	-0.109	0,001
ILIOSPINALE BREADTH	-0.821	0,076	0.032	-0-049	-0,023
THIGH CL. Y.	0.047	0.107	0.122	0,005	0,145
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	-8,625	- A . B 04	0,059	-0.163	-0,012
HIP BREADTH STANDING	•0•04	-9.043	-0,006	•0.238	-0,059
SHOULDER BREADTH	-8,059	- 0 · 833	- G - G - G - G - G - G - G - G - G - G	-0.214	• 8 8 8 8 · .
HEAD LENGTH	-8,883	0.037	0,018	-0°085	-0,045
HEAD BREADTH	-0.049	0.066	0°050	- 0 - 0 0 0	• 8, 868

٠

•

.

Table 5. Correlations of Y-Coordinate of Landmarks with Standard Anthropometry.

۰.

-77-

. e 2 . j

Table 5. (Cont.) correlation watrix

CASES READ. 289

	LT, ACROMION Y	ILIOCRISTALE Y	A SISA	TROCHANTERIO	דאופא כר: א
BODY HEIGHT	0.157	8,316	0.176	0,534	0,132
STATURE	0,226	0,323	0,252	B.417	0.102
SUPRASTERNALE HEIGHT	8.214	8.298	0.241	0 • 4 2 1	0.096
SITTING HEIGHT	0.196	0.367	0,240	8,393	8.142
¹ EYE HEIGHT SITTING	0,061	0,369	0.216	0,326	0.096
A ACROMIALE HEIGHT	-0,005	0.264	0.147	0,195	0.839
THIGH CIRCUMFERENCE	8,836	0.117	0,024	B.456	0.104
	0.165	0,300	8,285	0.627	0.214
WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.109	0,365	0,163	0,292	0.125
* INSP CHEST CIRCUMFERENCE	0.112	0.222	0,052	0.176	0.061
SHOULDER CIRCUMFERENCE	8.212	0.227	0.156	0,292	0.107
V. VNECK CIRCUMFERENCE	g.112	0.262	0,227	0.123	0,029
- BUTTOCKS DEPTH	0.014	0.061	4.041	0,372	0.053
WAIST DEPTH	0,123	0,192	9.116	8 2 8 2	0.190
CHEST DEPTH	- 6 - 0 - 0 -	P. CO2	0,054	0.146	0.011
· · BIACROMIAL BREADTH	0.475	0.121	A.166	0°300	0.227
CHEST BREADTH	0,065	0,198	8,045	0.194	• A . Q 6 8
WAIST BREADTH	Ø.12A	A.329	0,162	0,269	0.155
ILIOCRISTALE BREADTH	0.135	0.521	0.546	0 3 A 5	0.077
. ILIOSPINALE BREADTH	0.079	P. 365	0.567	0,206	0.130
BITROCHANTERIC BREADTH	0.198	0.335	0,342	0.419	0.130
HIP BREADTH STANDING	0.208	0.309	0,230	0.613	Ø. 293
SHOULDER BREADTH	0,102	0,158	0,062	8°242	0.352
HEAD LENGTH	0.027	0.159	0,101	0.014	•0,039
HEAD BREADTH	0,028	0.134	0.136	0.022	-0.879

-78-

y-axes. For vertex, this is not unreasonable for this seated position. For example, the correlation of stature with vertex x is .13 and stature with vertex y is -.11. For the lateral dimension of sitting eye (ectocanthus), some correlation with head breadth was expected but is nonexistent. The value calculated is .05, which is not significantly different from zero. The x-coordinate of suprasternale has a correlation with weight at .22 and chest depth at .33, which are also weaker than expected. Even the y-coordinates of the right and left acromions are correlated at a surpirisingly low level with weight and biacromial breadth. The correlations of the right and left acromions with weight are -.29 and .16, respectively, and with biacromial breadth are -.53 and .48. We explain this by the seemingly extensive variations in posture among individual which appears to weaken the relationship of standard anthropometric data to coordinate data. In addition, the relationships may not be linear and, thus, may have to be described by more complex, multivariate statistics.

The coordinate data describing landmarks on the pelvis should be independent of the subject's posture. However, none of the coordinate points measured have a strong simple, linear relationship with standard anthropometry. It is possible that pelvic dimensions are poorly related to body size, but further investigation of this will be delayed until the Hamann-Todd collection pelvic measurements have been completed.

Correlations between the coordinate points show similar relationships to those found in the Snyder model (See correlations - Table 6 and Figure 21). The z-coordinates are highly related with each other

-79-







Z-Coordinate Correlations of Landmarks with Vertex Z

of Landmarks with Vertex X

X-Coordinate Correlations

of Landmarks with Vertex Y

Y-Coordinate Correlations

Figure 21. Correlations of Landmarks with Vertex.

CORRELATION MATRIX

CASES READE 280

	VERTEX 2	SITTING EYE Z	NECK-SHOULDE R Z	RT. ACROMION Z	SUPRASTERNAL E Z
VERTEX Z	.1.040	0.879	8,942	0.876	8.697
SITTING EYE Z	0.879	1.6998	6,852	0.741	0,865
NECK-SHOULDER Z	8 • 9 4 2	0,852	1,998	0.863	0.663
RT. ACROMION Z.	8.876	P.781	0.663	1.000	8,876
SUPRASTERNALE Z	6 8 9 7	8.865	8.883	0,876	1.000
LT. ACROMION Z	8 • 8 • 8	R. 774	0,907	0.887	0.638
ILTOCRISTALE Z	0.553	0.516	8.561	0.556	0,559
ASIS Z	0,504	667 8	8.489	0.473	· 8 • 7 • 6
TROCHANTERION Z	0.226	8.165	0.186	8.219	9.184
THIGH 8. Z	0.252	0.236	0,249	0,272	0.210
THIGH CL. Z	0.262	0.271	0,265	0,252	8,242
OPISTHOCRANION Z	0.797	0.527	0.767	8,681	0.624
CERVICALE Z	8.834	0,715	0.643	0.747	0,762

Table 6. Landmark Coordinate Correlations.

.

•

Table 6. (Cont.) correlation matrix

		CASES READ	- 200		
LT. ACRO	NOIN	ILIOCHISTALE Z	7 218 Y	TROCHANTERIO N Z	THIGH B.
6 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		0.553	0,504	Ø.226	0,252
9.774		0.516	0,499	0,165	0,236
0.001		0.561	0,499	0.136	0,249
0.661		R.55b	0.473	0.219	0.272
0.830	_	0,559	0,469	0.184	0.218
1.000	-	0,569	0,452	0,205	8.245
0.569	_	1,010	0.613	0.171	0.191
0 45		0.613	1.070	0.308	0.338
9.20		0.171	0,338	1.090	0.615
8.24]	-	0.191	U.338	0.615	1.000
0.256		0.269	0.467	0.346	0.470
0.706		0.374	0.356	0.223	0,258
0.75		0.511	8,436	0.173	0.222

.

	Table 6.	(Cont.)			
	CORRELATION MA	TRIX		CASES READ	589
			THIGH CL. Z	OPISTHOCRANI ON Z	CERVICALE Z
	VERTEX Z		0.262	0.797	0,634
	SITTING EYE Z		0.271	0.527	0,715
	NECK-SHOULDER	· 2	8.265	0 . 7 h. 7	0.643
	RT. ACROMION Z		0,252	0.691	0.747
	SUPRASTERNALE	Ż	8.242	0.624	0.762
	LT. ACROMION Z		0,256	0.796	0.756
	ILTOCRISTALE Z		0.269	0.374	0.511
	ASIS Z		0.487	0.356	0,436
	TROCHANTERION	Ż	0.346	0.223	0.173
	THIGH 8. Z		8.470	0.250	0.222
Ξ	THIGH CL. Z		1.000	0.239	0.201
· • •	OPISTHOCRANION	2	0.239	1,000	0.755
•	CERVICALE Z		8.201	0.755	1,000

-83-

Table 6. (Cont.) CORRELATION MATRIX

CASES READ= 240

	VERTEX X	SITTING EYE X	NECK =SHOULDE R X	RT. ACROMION X	SUPRASTERNAL E x
VERTEX X	1.080	8.878	0.714	8.403	0.642
SITTING EYE X	0.878	1.000	0,762	0.431	0,663
NECK+SHOULDER X	0.714	0,762	1,000	0,574	848
RT. ACROMION X	8.403	0.431	0.574	1.000	0.515
SUPRASTERNALE X	8.642	0.663	8.848	0.515	1.000
LT. ACROMION X	0.422	0,485	9.611	0.710	0,585
ILIOCRISTALE X	0,041	6.010	-0,027	-0,017	0,016
· ASIS X	0.035	0,034	0,019	0 024	0.032
TROCHANTERION X	0,024	0.015	8,022	0.476	0.116
THIGH 8. X	0.107	A.076	0.186	0.119	0.150
THIGH CL. X	0.110	8.955	0.109	0.117	0,150
OPISTHOCRANION X	9.816	6 • 8 • 9	0.746	8,445	0.654
CERVICALE X	8.691	0.727	8.616	9 4 4 4	6,566

CASES READ= 280

Table 6. (Cont.) correlation matrix

	LT. ACROMION X	ILIOCRISTALE X	X 6164	TROCHANTERIO N X	THICH B
VERTEX X	Ø , 422	0.891	0,035	8,624	0.19
SITTING EYE X	8.485	0,910	0,034	0.015	0.07
NECK-SHOULDER X	0.611	-0 .027	0.019	0,022	9.10
RT. ACROMION X	0.710	-0.017	0 624	0,078	0.11
SUPRASTERNALE X	0,585	0,016	0.032	0.110	0.150
LT. ACROMION X	1,000	0.957	8.016	8.016	0.03
ILIOCRISTALE X	0.057	1.990	0.435	0,326	0.13
- X SISY	8.016	0.435	1,000	0,339	0.17
TROCHANTERION X	0,016	0.326	0.339	1.000	0.60
THIGH B. X	0.037	0.139	0.174	9 6 6 8 4	1.00
THIGH CL. X	8.039	0.154	0.172	8,688	6.99
T" OPISTHOCRANION X	8°495	- A. 025	0,055	0.012	0.11
CERVICALE X	0,527	-0,846	0,025	0,059	8.14

Table 6. (Cont.)

CASES READE 280

	THIGH CL. X	OPISTHOCRANI ON X	CERVICALE X
VERTEX X	0.118	P. 816	0.691
SITTING EYE X	0,085	0.899	0.727
NECK-SHOULDER X	0.109	0.748	0.816
RT' ACROMION X	0.117	0.445	8.494
SUPRASTERNALE X	0.150	0.654	P.808
LT. ACROMION X	0.039	0.495	0.527
ILIOCRISTALE X	0.154	-0.025	-0.046
ASIS X	0.172	0.055	0.025
TROCHANTERION X	0.698	0.012	0.059
THIGH B. X	0.993	P.115	0.148
THIGH CL. X	1.000	0.120	0.144
OPISTHOCRANION X	. 0.120	1.000	0.784
CERVICALE X	0.144	0.784	1.090

-86-

Table 6. (Cont.)						
CORRELATION MATRIX		CASES READ	- 200			
	VERTEX Y	BITTING EYE Y	NECK-SHOULDE R Y	RT. ACROMION Y	SUPRASTERNAL E y	
VERTEX Y	1.393	0.789	0,726	0,548	8.646	
SITTING EYE Y	0.789	1.000	8,692	0,592	0.612	
NECK-SHOULDER Y	8.726	8.692	1.030	0.654	8,865	
RT. ACROMION Y	8°548	9.502	0.654	1.040	6 • 7 4 9	
SUPRASTERNALE Y	0.646	0.612	0,865	0.749	1.000	
LT. ACROMION Y	0.499	8.475	0,724	0,283	0.743	
ILIOCRISTALE Y	0.296	0,237	0.414	0.2 <i>02</i>	8.360	
ASIS Y	0.174	0.215	9,282	8.191	0.241	
TROCHANTERION Y	0.163	0.196	8,242	0.020	8.190	
THIGH 8. Y	0.106	0.154	0.162	6.007	8.149	
THIGH CL. Y.	. 647	0.107	0.122	6,005	0.145	
TABLE 6 Correlation Matrix		CASES READ	- 200			
	LT. ACROMION Y	ILIOCRISTALE Y	A 818 A	TROCHANTERIO N Y	THIGH B. Y	THIGH CL. Y.
VERTEX Y	8.499	8.248	0.174	0,163	8,186	8 8 8 4 7
SITTING EYE Y	0.475	0,237	0,215	0.196	0.154	0.107
NECK-SHOULDER Y	0.724	0.414	0,282	0.242	0,182	0,122
RT. ACROMION Y	P. 283	0.292	0.191	0,020	8.007	808.0
SUPRASTERNALE Y	0.743	8.360	0.241	0,190	0.149	0.145
LT. ACROMION Y	1.000	8.418	0,296	0.350	g.278	0.224
ILIOCRISTALE Y	0.410	1,096	0.715	0.586	6.476	0.500
ASIS Y	0.296	0.715	1.098	6149	0,362	0.261
TROCHANTERION Y	0.350	0,586	677°0	1.000	0.661	8,519
THIGH B. Y	0.278	0.47¢	0.362	6.661	1.000	0 5 3 8
THIGH CL. Y.	0.224	0.300	0,261	0.519	0,508	1.800

-87-

as are the x- and y-coordinates. The correlations of the landmark coordinates on or near the pelvis are similarly, but much less strongly correlated. (See Figure 22).

However, this analysis indicates nothing of the pattern of the variation - for example, in what axis is the most variation? To study this problem, a probability distribution needs to be fitted to the coordinate data for each landmark. The logical choice is a trinormal distribution whose three dimensions correspond to the x, y, and z coordinates: Scatter plots of the coordinate data visually justify the use of this distribution.

Variation is easily studied in the trinormal distribution by examining the covariance matrix and the axes of the smallest volume, confidence ellipsoids of the distribution. The covariance matrices show that the greatest variation is in the z axis for points measured in the upper torso, and in the x axis for points measured on the head and lower portions of the body. (See Table 7). The least variance is in the y axis (with the exception of the anterior superior iliac spine).

The principal axis of the confidence ellipsoid gives that line along which the greatest variation in the portion of the point occurs. (See Table 8). This analysis is sometimes called principal components analysis. This line most frequently lies in the xz-plane for points in the upper body. The axis of least variation follows the y axis with negligible components in the z and x directions.

The graphs of Figure 23, are a projection of the ellipsoid axes onto the xz plane. The origin of each graph is at the mean x-coordinate

-88-





-89-

.27

.56 -- 56 -

Table 7. Covariance Matrices of Landmarks

. •

~

•	VERTEX Z	VERTEX X	VERTEX Y
VERTEX Z	0,7779E 05	0.1017E 05	*1883
VERTEX X	0.1017E 05	0,9049E 05	₩3586.
VERTEX Y	•1883	•3586.	Ø.1443E Ø5

	SITTING EYE Z	SITTING EYE X	SITTING EYE Y
SITTING EYE Z	0.7731E Ø5	1350E 05	216.5
SITTING EYE X	-,1350E 05	0.1012E 06	=6909 ₈
SITTING EYE Y	216.5	=6909.	0,1399E 05

		NECK-SHOULDE R Z	NECK-SHOULDE R X	NECK⇔SHOULDE R Y
NECK-SHOULDER	Z	0.5930E 05	3739.	#789 ,1
NECK-SHOULDER	x	3739.	0.2845E 05	≈2753 ∎
NECK#SHOULDER	Y	-789.1	=2753.	0,1316E 05

95					
		RT. ACROMION Z	RT. ACROMION X	RT ACROMION	
RT.	ACROMION Z	Ø.5879E Ø5	-5619.	-2560	
RT.	ACROMION X	-5619.	Ø.3385E Ø5	•1586.	
RT.	ACROMION Y	-2560	-1586.	Ø.1630E 05	

-90-

Table 7. (Cont.)

•

-

. . •

.

×	н. Н		•
	SUPRASTERNAL E Z	SUPRASTERNAL E X	SUPRASTERNAL E Y
SUPRASTERNALE Z	0.4969E 05	-3981.	₩1155 ₈
SUPRASTERNALE X	≈3981.	Ø.1638E 05	• 1776 e
SUPRASTERNALE Y	=1155.	#1776	0.1027E 05

			• *		
		•	LT. ACROMION Z	LT. ACROMION	LT. ACROMION
LT.	ACROMION	Z	Ø.6176E Ø5	-206,5	• 946 • 9
LT.	ACROMION	X	∞2 08 , 5	Ø,3427E Ø5	•1996.
LT.	ACROMION	Y	-946 g	-1996.	Ø.1629E Ø5

	ILIOCRISTALE Z	ILIOCRISTALE X	ILIOCRISTALE Y
ILIOCRISTALE Z	0.1477E 05	93.17	1779.
ILIOCRISTALE X	93,17	Ø.1145E Ø5	-75,94
ILIOCRISTALE Y	1779.	•75 •94	9724.

	ASIS Z	ASIS X	ASIS Y
ASIS Z	9152.	132.4	-132,1
· ASIS X	132.4	0.1055E 05	-219.1
ASIS Y	-132,1	=219.1	0.1320E 05

Table 7. (Cont.)

	TROCHANTERID . N Z	TROCHANTERIO N X =	TROCHANTERIO N Y
TROCHANTERION Z	8988.	2870.	1682,
TROCHANTERION X	2870.	0.3420E 05	5635.
TROCHANTERION Y	1682.	5635.	0.1160E 05

	THIGH B. Z	THIGH B. X	THIGH B _t Y
THIGH B. Z	2563.	5416,	441.1
THIGH B. X	5416.	0.3026E 05	1614 _e
THIGH B. Y	441.1	1614.	0,1277E 05

E Ø5 8629.	39:46
Ø,2961E	05 *105.1
=105.1	Ø.1297E Ø5
	E 05 8629. 0.2961E =105.1

				•			
	Vertex		Sitting Eye (Ectocanthus)				
	Direction	Cosines		Direct	ion Cosines	S	
Axes:	1	2	3	1	2	3	
Z X Y	.485 .873 049	.874 485 .002	.023 .044 .999	.405 912 .068	.914 .403 045	.013 .081 .997	
Explained Variance: %Variance:	96338. 52.7	72189. 92.2	14222. 100.0	107700. 56.0	71336. 93.0	13427. 100.0	
	Neck-Shoulder			Right Acromion			
	Direction (Cosines		Direction Cosines			
Axes:	1	2	3	1	2	3	
X Y Z	.992 .121 024	.234 978 .169	.002 .171 .985	977 .206 .050	.200 .972 124	.074 .111 .991	
Explained Variance: %Variance:	59774. 59.3	28455. 87.5	12609. 100.0	60091. 55.2	32901. 85.4	15929. 100.0	
	Suprasternale			Left Acromion			
	Direction (Cosines		Direction Cosines			
Axes:	1	2	3	1	2	3	
X Y Z	993 .116 .024	.104 .951 29	.056 .287 .956	999 .006 .021	.004 .994 109	.021 .109 .994	
Explained Variance: %Variance	50178. 65.7	16486. 87.3	9669.9 100.0	61776. 55.0	34487. 85.7	16044. 100.0	

Table 8. Principal Components Analysis of Three-Dimensional

Stewardess Data.

.

-93-

Table 8. (Cont.)

Iliocristale				Anteri	or Superior	· Iliac Spine	
Direction Cosines				Direction Cosines			
Axes:	1	2	3	1	2	3	
X Y Z	.948 .00 .317	.011 .994 033	317 .035 .948	.035 .082 996	.098 .992 .085	.995 101 .027	
Explained Variance: %Variance:	15273. 42.6	11461. 74.5	9144. 100.0	13303. 40.4	10557. 72.5	9052.1 100.0	
Trochanterion				Thigh Breadth			
Direction Cosines			Direction Cosines				
Axes:		_					
	1	2	3	1	2	3	
X Y Z	1 . 117 . 966 . 232	2 .406 260 .876	3 907 .009 .422	1 . 185 . 979 . 089	2 .005 .090 996	3 983 .185 .012	

,

Thigh Clearance

	Direction	Cosines	
Axes:	1	2	3
X Y Z	.359 .933 004	.014 001 .999	933 .359 .013
Explained Variance: %Variance	32919. 62.1	12960. 86.5	7152.9 100.0

Figure 23. Projection of Least Volume Ellipsoid Axes

Scaled to Explained Variance, On To XZ Plane'



Note: Axis 1 is the axis of greatest variation and axis 3 is the axis of least variation.





-97-

.



-98-



-99-

and y-coordinate of the landmark position. The length of an axis is drawn proportionately to the amount of variance along that axis. These graphs indicate the variations of the position of the landmarks in the xy plane. The graphs are scaled the same, and, thus, they may be compared.

The graphs of Figure 24, compare the y-component of variance along the three principal axes. These graphs represent the magnitude of variance projected onto the perpendicular y-axis. These graphs are proportional but are not of the same scale as those of Figure 23. However, the graphs of Figures 23 and 24 can be used to represent the three-dimensional anthropometric data.

The analysis of the different sources of variation points out some very interesting characteristics of the flight attendant trainees and perhaps, the general poulation. The high x and xz components of variation indicate that in a normal seated position, individuals have a tendency to bend forward. The smaller y components and non-existent yz and yx components indicate that individuals do sit fairly straight in the frontal plane--that is, they do not lean to one side or the other or sit twisted. These results support the observation that individuals, for any position, can bend forward at the waist with relative ease and only with great difficulty can they bend the same distance to the side or twist any large angle to the side. This large variation of the body in the x direction will, therefore, cause some difficulties in modeling from empirical data sources.

Unlike the upper part of the body, the pelvis is fairly fixed in a seated position. Therefore, the coordinate data of landmarks on the

-100-


-101-

pelvis should indicate more of the variability in the size and shape of the bone than in the variability in seated positions. The confidence ellipsoids for iliocristale and anterior superior iliac spine are nearly spherical in shape indicating a fair degree of independence of the x, y, and z coordinates. This property will be analyzed further on the Hamann-Todd collection.

4.6. <u>Geometric Model Estimates of Inertial Properties of</u> Body Segments.

B. Bowman

The inertial properties of each body segment must be described in order to complete the anthropomechnaical model of the body. The limbs, in particular, appear to be suitable candidates for rigid geometric body analogies such as cylinders and frusta of cones (elliptical or circular). As a result a portion of the Phase I effort was directed towards several small tasks associated with these models.

<u>4.6.1.</u> Analytical Determination of the Inertial Properties of a Cored Frustum of a Right Elliptical Cone. During Phase I, the following problems were solved:

A. Analytical determination of the moment of inertia about the longitudinal principal axis of cored frustum of a right elliptical cone.

a. The eccentricities of the upper and lower outside cross sections must be equal;

b. The interior core is in the shape of the frustum
of a right elliptical cone with equal eccentricities
of the upper and lower cross sections;

c. The interior core may be a density different fromthat of the outer section.

d. Eccentricities of the inner and outer cross sections
 need not be equal;

e. Principal axes of elliptical cross sections may notbe skewed with respect to each other.

-103-

B. Analytical determination of moments of inertia aboutthe lateral principal axes of the aforedescribed frustum.C. Analytical determination of the mass and the location ofthe center of mass of the cored frustum.

Formulae for the evaluation of these inertial properties and others are given in the following sections. The analytical quantities used in the formulæ are defined in Table 9 and illustrated in Figure 25.



Figure 25. A Cored Frustum of a Right Elliptical Cone

Table 9. TABLE OF INERTIAL PROPERTY PARAMETERS

PARAMETERS	DEFINITIONS		
h	Height of cored frustum of a right elliptical cone		
"i"	A subscript indicating the inner frustum, or core		
"0"	A subscript indicating the outer shell of the cored frustum		
a _i ,b _i	Ellipse x- and y- semi-axis dimensions of the "top" of the core		
a _o ,b _o	Ellipse x- and y- semi-axis dimensions of the "top" of the outer shell		
A _i , ^B i	Ellipse x- and y- semi-axis dimensions of the "base" of the core		
A _o , B _o	Ellipse x- and y- semi-axis dimensions of the "base" of the outer shell		
c _i ,c _o ,C _i ,C _o	The core and outer shell circumferences at the top and base of the cored frustum		
Υ _i	A proportionality constant relating the semi-axes of all cross section of the core. e.g., $\frac{b_i}{a_i} = \frac{B_i}{A_i} = \gamma_i$		
Υ _ο	A proportionality constant relating the semi-axes of all cross sections of the outer shell. e.g., $\frac{b_o}{a_o} = \frac{B_o}{A_o} = \gamma_o$		

PARAMETERS	DEFINITIONS
v _i ,v _o ,v	The volumes of the core, outer shell, and composite cored frustum
^ρ i' ^ρ ο' ^ρ	The mass densities of the core, outer shell, and composite cored frustum
M _i ,M _o ,M	The masses of the core, outer shell, and composite cored frustum
₩ _i ,₩ _o ,₩	The weight of the core, outer shell, and composite cored frustum
I _x ,I _y ,I _z	The mass moments of inertial of the composite cored frustum about the principle ases x-x, y-y, z-z.
Z	Distance from the "top" of the frustum which locates the center of mass of the composite cored frustum on the longitudinal axis

4.6.1.1. Definition of a Mathematical Operator.

It is convenient for the purpose of presenting formulae for the inertial properties of the cored frustum of a right eliptical cone to use a special mathematical notation. In the formulae which appear in the following sections the symbol " Σ " is a linear, algebraic operator defined as follows:

Where f is any function of parameters that must be subscripted either "i" (inner frustum, or core) or "o" (outer shell of the cored frustum).

$$\sum f \equiv \rho_0 f_0 - (\rho_0 - \rho_i) f_i' \qquad 1.$$

where f and f are evaluations of f for "i" parameters and "o" - parameters, respectively.

As an example, consider the simple expression

$$J_2 = \sum \frac{\gamma h}{3} (a^2 + aA + A^2)$$

Since A, a, and γ are parameters which require subscripts (see Table 9), this relation is evaluated by the definition in Equation 1 as

$$J_{2} = \frac{h}{3} \left[\rho_{0} \gamma_{0} (A_{0}^{2} + A_{0}a_{0} + a_{0}^{2}) - (\rho_{0} - \rho_{1}) \gamma_{1} (A_{1}^{2} + A_{1}a_{1} + a_{1}^{2}) \right]$$

4.6.1.2. Constants.

All basic inertial properties of a cored frustum of a right elliptical cone of given dimensions can be expressed in terms of five constant functions, J_1 , J_2 , J_3 , J_4 , and J_5 where:

$$J_1 = \sum \frac{\gamma h^2}{12} (a^2 + 2aA + 3A^2)$$
 2.

* These parameters are γ, a, A, b, B, c, and C.

$$J_2 = \sum \frac{\gamma h}{3} (a^2 + aA + A^2) \qquad 3.$$

$$J_{3} = \sum \frac{h(1 + 2\gamma^{2} + 5\gamma^{4})}{160} (a^{4} + a^{3}A + a^{2}A^{2} + aA^{3} + A^{4}) \quad 4.$$

$$J_{4} = \sum \frac{h^{3}(1 + \gamma^{2})}{60} (a^{2} + 3aA + 6A^{2})$$
 5.

$$J_5 = \sum \frac{h(5+2\gamma^2+\gamma^4)}{160} (a^4 + a^3A + a^2A^2 + aA^3 + A^4) \qquad 6.$$

Here, \sum is the linear operator defined in the preceding section, and γ designates the ratios

$$\gamma_{i} = \frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}} = \frac{B_{i}}{A_{i}}$$

$$\gamma_{o} = \frac{b_{o}}{a_{o}} = \frac{B_{o}}{A_{o}}$$

$$8.$$

and

4.6.1.3. Mass Properties.

The total mass of the cored frustum is

$$M = \pi J_2 \qquad 9.$$

The mass of the core is

$$M_{i} = \frac{\pi \gamma_{i} \rho_{i} h}{3} (a_{i}^{2} + a_{i} A_{i} + A_{i}^{2}) . \qquad 10.$$

The mass of the outer shell is

$$M_{0} = M - M_{1}$$
 . 11.

-109-

The center of mass lies on the longitudinal principal axis at a distance of \overline{Z} from the "top" of the cored frustum, the end with semi-axis dimensions a and b.

$$\overline{Z} = J_1/J_2 \qquad 12.$$

The distance from the "base" is $h - \overline{Z}$.

The volumes of the core and outer shell, respectively, are

$$V_{i} = M_{i}/\rho_{i}$$
 13.

and

$$V_{O} = M_{O}/\rho_{O}$$
 14.

The total volume of the cored frustum is:

$$V = V_i + V_0$$
 15.

and its average density is

$$\rho = M/V \qquad 16.$$

4.6.1.4. Moments of Inertia.

The mass moments of inertia of the cored frustum about the principal axes are given in Equations 17), 18), and 19). The axis x-x in Figure 25 is in the direction of the "a" and "A" ellipse axes, and y-y is in the direction of the "b" and "B" axes. The axis z-z is the longitudinal axis of the cored frustum. The principal axes are mutually orthogonal and intersect at the center of mass.

$$I_{X} = \pi (J_{3} + J_{4} - J_{1}^{2} / J_{2})$$
 17

-110-

$$I_{y} = \pi(J_{4} + J_{5} - J_{1}^{2}/J_{2})$$

 $I_{7} = \pi(J_{3} + J_{5})$

<u>4.6.1.5.</u> Use of the Formulae. The relations given in Equations 1) through 19) may be used to calculate all basic inertial properties of any circular or elliptical, cored or uncored, right cylinder, cone, or frustum. There are only two restrictions: 1) The core and outer shell must be homogeneous with respect to mass distribution, i.e., the mass densities ρ_i and ρ_0 must be constants. 2) Eccentricities of cross sections of both the core and the outer shell must be invariant with respect to longitudinal position, although eccentricities of the inner and outer cross sections need not be equal.

<u>4.6.2.</u> Computer Evaluation of Inertial Properties. A task which will be completed near the beginning of Phase II is the development of a computer program which will evaluate the analytical expressions for inertial properties presented in the foregoing sections. This program will accept as input: 1) the height of the cored frustum; 2) a pair of weights or weight densities or a density - weight pair for core and/or outer shell and/or total frustum; and 3) the two semiaxis lengths or, optionally, one semi-axis length and the circumference for each of the four elliptical cross sections which describe the core and outer shell of the composite frustum at its "top" and "base".

Example output from a preliminary version of the computer program is shown in Table 10.

-111-

Table 10. CALF--ESTIMATED INPUT DATA

CARDS: DATA

38.100 2.976 3.400 -18.700 2.976 -19.400 5.050 -18.700 2. 1423.93 0000000 -36.700 1065.98 001

CIRCUMFERENCE 19.40 18.70 36.70 18.70 Y-SEMI-AXIS (B) INPUT DATA (CM) 2.98 5.05 3.40 2.98 FROM (H) ELLIPTICAL CROSS-SECTION DIMENSIONS CROSS SECTION X-SEMI-AXIS 2.98 2.76 2.98 6.58 38.10 FRUSTRUM HEIGHT (CM) FRUSTRUM BASE FRUSTRUM TOP CORE BASE COPE TOP

CROSS SECTION AREAS AND TOP OUTER AND INNER RATIOS WEIGHTED BY BASE 1.00000 **OPTION #3 FOR B/A-RATIO ADJUSTRENT** B∕A (I): 0. 36969 B/A (0) :

••

32695. CIRCUMFERENCE 18.70 19.14 18.70 36.74 12: 366875. e B ТY Y-SEMI-AXIS 2.83 5.43 2.98 2.98 ADJUSTED ELLIPTICAL CROSS-SECTION DIMENSIONS (CM) : 362619. :XI X-SEMI-AXIS (A) 2.98 3.25 6.25 2.98 (GM*CM**2)--MOMENTS OF INERTIA CROSS SECTION FRUSTRUM BASE FRUSTRUM TOP CORE BASE CORE TOP

BASE AND 22.43 CM FROM ON LONGITUDINAL AXIS, 15.67 CM FROM TOP OF MASS: CENTER LOCATION OF

	OUTER SHELL	INNER CORE	TOTAL
	OF FRUSTRUN	OF FRUSTRUM	FRUSIRUM
WEIGHT (GM*CM/SEC**2) :	1457410.	1509684.	2967095.
WEIGHT DENSITY (GM/SEC**2/CM**2)	: 1066.0	1423.9	1222.3
HASS (GM) :	1486.1	1539.5	3025.6
MASS DENSITY (GM/CM**3) :	1.0870	1.4520	1.2464
VOLUME (CM**3) :	1367.	1060.	2427.

4.7. Measurement of Striated Muscle Density

J. Freeman

A methodology for determination of the density of unpreserved human striated muscle tissue has been developed at HSRI. The density of human striated muscle will be used in formulae developed in Phase I of this project, describing the inertial properties of cored frusta of right elliptical cones. Using these formulae, modeling of body segments with two different homogenous volumes will be possible. Thus, a segment, such as the thigh, may be modeled with soft and hard tissue densities representative of the body muscle and bone densities. The density of human bone tissue has been documented in both the fresh and preserved conditions (Blanton and Biggs, 1968). However, human muscle density has been best documented only on preserved specimens (Clauser, et al., 1969). This study has been undertaken, therefore, to provide a determination of the density of unpreserved human striated muscle. <u>4.7.1. Procedure</u>. This investigation will proceed in two parts: an initial data gathering phase, and, once 12-15 cadavers have been sampled, a data analysis phase. The work done with each cadaver during the data collection phase will itself be composed of two parts: an initial Anthropometric and Roentgenographic examination of the cadaver, and within the following 24 hours, a determination of the density of muscle tissue sampled from the cadaver. Sample sites have been chosen in the upper and lower extremities as well as the torso. Muscle tissue taken from the extremities during the initial examination will be excised in the shape of a roughly one to two inch cylinder from the belly of each muscle chosen. Samples from muscles in the torso will be taken, if possible, at sites where large continuous amounts of muscle are found without encroaching fascia or other connective tissues. Small slivers of tissue will later be removed from these large tissue samples for the actual determination of muscle density.

The initial examination of the cadaver will yield information through several approaches (refer to figure 26 sample cadaver examination sheet showing measurements expected to be taken). Anthropometric measurements will be made of the cadaver overall as well as measurements which can be related more directly to those parts of the body from which samples will be taken. In addition, skinfold measurements and measurements of fat thickness above the sample sites will be taken. The maximum circumference of the muscle, where appropriate, will also be recorded. This information, together with data on bone, muscle and fat breadth obtained from x-ray studies should enhance our understanding of the relationship of muscle density to size.

-114-

P.1 of 4

1 (

SUBJECT NO	DATE / /	SUBJECT NO	DATE	1	1
		FOREARM (Brachioradialis)			
AGE		1. Anthropometry			
SEX		Radiale-Stylion 1.			
PACE		Olecronon-Stylion 1.			
		Forearm Circumference			
HGT		Wrist Cricumference			
WGT		2. X-ray			
SENERAL BODY CONDITION:		Bone Breadth I			
		Muscle Breadth Ant.			
CAUSE OF DEATH:		Post.			
		Fat Breadth Ant.			
DATE OF DEATH / /		Post.			
		3. Skinfold			
UPPER ARM (Biceps brachii, Triceps bra	ach1i - long head)	Brachioradialis			
1. Anthropometry		-			
Acromion-Radiale 1.		4. Pat Inickness			
Arm Circumference		Brachioradialis			
Elbow Breadth		5. Muscle Circumference			
2. I-Ray		Brachtoradia its			
Bone Breadth	And the set of the set of the set	THIGH (Rectus femoris, Biceps femori	s - long head)		
Muscle Breadth Ant.		1. Anthropometry			
Post.		Trochanterior-Femoral			
Fat Breadth Ant.		condyle			
Post.		Nid-Thigh Circumference			
3. Skinfold		Ence Breadth			
Biceps brachii		2. X-Ray			
Triceps brachii		Bone Breadth			
4. Fat Thickness		Muscle Breadth Ant.			
Biceps brachii		Post.			
Triceps brachii		Fat Breadth Ant.			
5. Muscle Circumference		Post. –			
Biceps brachii		-			
Triceps brachii					

P.3 of 4

			P.4 of 4
SUBJECT NO.	DATE / /	SUBJECT NO	DATE / /
THIGH (cont.)			
3. Skinfold		RELK (Irapezius)	
Rectus femoris		1. Anthropometry	
Biceps femoris		Neck Circumference	
4. Fat Thickness		Neck Breadth	
Rectus femoris		2. Skinfold	
Biceps femoris		Trapezius	
5. Muscle Circumference		3. Fat Thickness	
Rectus femoris	·		
Biceps femoris		BACK (Latissimus dorsi)	
SHANK (Gastrocnemius - medial head)		AV1114 / /	
1. Anthropometry		AAILLA (PECTORAIIS Wajor)	
Tibiale-Stylion 1.		1. Anthropometry	
fibiale-Stylion 1.		Chest Circumference	
Max. Calf Circumference		Chest Breadth	
Ankle Breadth		· Chest Depth	
2. I-Ray		2. Skinfold	
Bone Breadth I		Latissimus dorsi	
Muscle Breadth Ant.		Pectoralis major	
Post.		3. Fat Thickness	
Fat Breadth Ant.		Latissicus dorsi	
Post.		Pectoralis major	ter franken syn
3. Skinfold			
Gastrocnemius			
A Est Thickness			
fastrochemius			
5. Muscle Circumference			
Gastrocnemius			

.

Figure 26. Sample Cadaver Examination Sheet.

-115-

.

."

Muscles from which samples will be taken have been chosen for their size as well as ease of identification. Where possible samples will be taken from the right side of the body. Those muscles chosen for sampling in the extremities include: Biceps brachii and Triceps brachii (long head) in the arm; Brachioradialis in the forearm; Rectus femoris and Biceps femoris (long head) in the thigh; and the medial head of the Gastrocnemius in the shank. The neck, back and axilla will be sampled in the trunk as represented by the Trapezius, Latissimus dorsi and Pectoralis major muscles, respectively.

Muscle density measurements will be made on three slivers of tissue from each of the nine muscles dissected from each cadaver. Each sliver will be dissected from its muscle sample to cause as little distortion in the tissue as possible. The sliver will be immediately weighed on a double-pan, analytical balance and then immersed in a 25 ml. pycnometer filled with distilled water. Care will have been taken to assure that the muscle tissue, Pycnometer and room are approximately in thermal equilibrium as fluctuations in temperature can effect the accuracy of the resultant density determination. The Pycnometer will be quickly immersed in a 50 watt ultrasonic bath to remove any air bubbles adhering to the tissue or the inner surface of the Pycnometer. The Pycnometer will then be sealed, and at the end of a two minute stabilization period, the Pycnometer will be weighed. Following Figure 27, which shows the data sheet and calculations to be used in the density determination procedure, (the format of which was kindly volunteered by Clauser, McConville and Yourg), we can see that if the weights of the empty and water-filled Pyncometer are already known, and if the ambient temperature

-116-

Date / /	Card
Subject number	
Tissue sampleSample Site	
<pre>l. Wt. of sampleWater temp</pre>	- .
2. Wt. of bottle	
3. Wt. of bottle plus water	
4. Wt. of bottle, water and sample	
5. Wt. of water	_(3-2)
6. Wt. of water and sample	_(4-2)
7. Wt. of water less Wt. of Sample	_(6-1)
8. Wt. of water less water not displ	_(5-7)
9. Displaced vol. (8)*(temp.correc.)	
intl	
10. D=mass(1) vol.(9)	
ll. Dry sample Wt	
12. % H ₂ 0 (100-(11/1))	

.

.

,

Figure 27. Sample Data and Calculation Sheet used in Tissue Density Determination Process.

٠.

.

! 1

is known, the density of the muscle tissue may be calculated. A determination of the percent of water in muscle tissue will then also be performed as shown in the bottom of the form in Figure 27.

<u>4.7.2.</u> Discussion. In any micro-analytical research such as this, much consideration must be given to the discovery of sources of error and their possible solutions. Below are listed potential sources of error in this research and the procedures which have been devised to reduce or quantify them.

- air bubbles -

Air bubbles in the Pycnometer could cause an error in volume determination. Therefore, prior to sealing, the Pycnometer is immersed to its neck in an ultrasonic bath removing air bubbles from the sides of the vessel or tissue.

- Evaporation of water from the Pycnometer -

Initially very noticeable as an instability in serial weight readings, has been controlled by thoroughly drying the outside of the Pycnometer, sealing the ground glass joints with stop-cock grease and allowing a two minute stabilization period after sealing before the weight of the Pycnometer is measured.

- Evaporation of water from tissues -

Evaporation of water from tissue samples is a serious problem that could cause distortion of tissues and changes in density. Sealing tissue samples in air-tight bags immediately after removal from the cadaver and a reduction of open-air time to an absolute minimum should reduce water loss from tissues and the resultant error.

-118-

Similarly, the total elapsed time between tissue removal and density measurement will be kept to a minimum so that tissues will not need to be frozen or preserved - which could possibly cause tissue distortion or water loss.

- Temperature Fluctuations -

The density of the muscle tissue as well as that of water will change with temperature. Therefore, all density measurements will be carried out at a standard room temperature. (21-22°C).

- Tissue Distortion -

Since large muscle samples must first be removed from the cadaver and then slivers made from these samples, the possibility of mechanical distortion of the tissue is high. However, careful manual dissection appears at this time to be the least destructive technique for producing small muscle samples for the Pycnometer. This is a problem area which, at this time appears not to have an ideal solution.

4.7.3. Summary.

The data collected in this study, based upon the examinations of 12-15 cadavers and over three hundred density determinations, will enable a confident statement of the density of unpreserved human striated muscle and may allow further understanding of the relationship between anthropometrical measurements and the physical structure of the human body.

-119-

6.0. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations.

Phase I has developed a measurement technique and examined some three-dimensional anthropometric data for analyzing variation in a sample of subjects fixed in space and time. Stereoradiography appears to provide an accurate measurement technique for the three-dimensional location of surface and skeletal landmarks. Some problems may be encountered, however, in the use of this measurement system to investigate the joint kinematics relative to those landmarks if the linkage geometry is rate dependent. Stereoradiography and the method of principal components analysis are equally well-suited for three-dimensional anthropometry of fixed subjects. Some three-dimensional anthropometric data have been summarized through principal components analysis which defines the shape of the threedimensional data by magnitude (variance) and direction (direction cosines).

Phase II is investigating the measurement and analytical requirements of anthropometry of a three-dimensional body moving in space and time. Phase II will use data from a limited sample for development of anthropometric hypotheses about the population as dynamic systems. The human linkage system, one of the least quantified components of systems anthropometry will be investigated. This investigation will begin with the lumbar/pelvic/femur linkage system. Additional research on other areas of the human linkage system will be required. In particular, we recommend that the present effort continue into an examination of the spinal column and shoulder linkage system. The results of Phase II will need to be substantiated in a larger sample, but more importantly, the spinal column must be examined with respect to its interdependence with the lumbar/pelvic/ femur system. We feel that significant progress towards a systems anthro-

-120-

pometry can be obtained by additional research on the human torso.

In conclusion, the role that systems anthropometry can take in handling real-world design problems that require human interface appears to be significantly larger than a comparable position for traditional anthropometry. Anthropometry, in general, has long been considered a useful, but limited, research tool. One of its criticisms has centered on the highly personal manner in which the measurements have been selected and made. Landmarks have been identified by training and experience, and dimensions have been measured relative to an often poorly defined series of external and internal planes. Systems anthropometry as a three-dimensional mensuration technique uses well-defined axis systems, and any desirable geometry may be specified within the three-dimensional array of landmarks. The definition and identification of landmarks as well as population differences in the location of these landmarks will remain as sources of variation in systems anthropometry that must be statistically analyzed. The practicality of the new anthropometric techniques of systems anthropometry must, however, be demonstrated.

6.0 Bibliography

- Andriacchi, Thomas, Albert Schultz, Ted Belytschko, and Jorge Galente 1974, "A Model for Studies of Mechanical Interactions Between the Human Spine and Rib Cage." J. Biomechanics 7: 497-507.
- Ayoub, M. M., S. Deivanayagam, and K. W. Kennedy 1976, Paths of of Movement for Selected Body Segments During Typical Pilot Tasks. AMRL-TR-75-111, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 3. Bakke, S. N. 1931, <u>Röntgenologische Beobachtungen über die</u> Bewegeungen der Wirbelsäule. Acta Radiol. Suppl. 13. 75pp.
- 4. Blanton, P. L. and N. L. Biggs 1968, "Density of Fresh and Embalmed Human Compact and Cancellous Bone." Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop. 29(1): 39-44.
- 5. Chandler, R. F., C. E. Clauser, J. T. McConville, H. M. Reynolds, and J. W. Young 1975, <u>Investigation of Inertial Properties</u> of the Human Body. Final Report DOT HS-801 430, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Washington, D. C.
- 6. Churchill, E. and J. T. McConville 1976, <u>Sampling and Data Gathering</u> <u>Strategies for Future USAF Anthropometry</u>. AMRL-TR-74-102, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 7. Clauser, E. C., J. T. McConville, and J. W. Young 1969, <u>Weight</u>, <u>Volume</u>, and <u>Center of Mass of Segments of the Human Body</u>. <u>AMRL-TR-69-70</u>, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 8. Dempster, Wilfred Taylor 1955a, "The Anthropometry of Body Action." Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 63(4): 559-585.
- 9. Dempster, Wilfred Taylor 1955b, <u>Space Requirements of the Seated</u> <u>Operator: Geometrical, Kinematic, and Mechanical Aspects of the</u> <u>Body with Special Reference to the Limbs</u>. WADC-TR-55-159, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 10. Dempster, W. T., L. A. Skerr, and J. G. Priest 1964, "Conversion Scales for Estimating Humeral and Femoral Lengths and the Lengths of Functional Segments in the Limbs of American Caucasoid Males." Human Biology 36(3): 246-262.
- 11. Hanavan, Ernest P. 1964, <u>A Mathematical Model of the Human Body</u>. AMRL-TR-64-102, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- Lanier, R. 1939, "The Presacral Vertebrae of American White and Negro Males." Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop. 25(3): 341-420.
- 13. Martin, R. 1928, Lehrbuch der Anthropologie. G. Fischer, Jena.
- 14. McNeil, G. T. 1966, "X-Ray Stereo Photogrammetry." Photo. Eng. 32:

-122-

- 15. Schultz, Albert B. and Jorge O. Galente 1970, "A Mathematical Model for the Study of the Mechanics of the Human Vertebral Column." J. Biomechanics 3: 405-416.
- 16. Snyder, R. G., D. B. Chaffin, and R. K. Schutz 1972, Link System of the Human Torso. AMRL-TR-71-68, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- 17. Stoudt, Howard W., Albert Damon, Ross McFarland, and Jean Roberts 1965, Weight, Height, and Selected Body Dimensions of Adults. NCHS, Series 11, No. 8, U. S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
- 18. Thomas, Daniel 1976, Personal communication.
- 19. Thornton, William 1976, Personal communication.