# The Effect of Cilofungin (LY 121019) in Combination with Amphotericin B or Flucytosine Against *Candida* Species

Die Wirkung von Cilofungin (LY 121019) in Kombination mit Amphotericin B oder Flucytosin auf *Candida*-Arten

A.N. Bulo, Suzanne F. Bradley and Carol A. Kauffman

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michingan, U.S.A.

University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A.

**Key words:** Candida — antifungals — cilofungin — amphotericin B — flucytosine — synergism **Schlüsselwörter:** Candida — Antimykotika — Cilofungin — Amphotericin B — Flucytosin — Synergismus

Summary: Cilofungin was combined with amphotericin B or flucytosine to determine if synergistic inhibition or killing occurred against 50 strains of various *Candida* species. Synergistic inhibition of growth occurred only once with amphotericin B and cilofungin and only 2 times with flucytosine and cilofungin. Synergistic killing occurred in 5 strains with the amphotericin B-cilofungin combination and in 7 strains with the flucytosine-cilofungin combination. Antagonism occurred frequently with both the amphotericin B-cilofungin and the flucytosine-cilofungin combinations.

Zusammenfassung: Cilofungin wurde mit Amphotericin B oder Flucytosin kombiniert, um eine etwaige synergistische Wachstumshemmung oder Inaktivierung von 50 Stämmen unterschiedlicher Candida-Arten zu untersuchen. Eine synergistische Wachstumshemmung wurde nur einmal mit Amphotericin B und Cilofungin und zweimal mit Flucytosin und Cilofungin beobachtet. Eine synergistische Abtötung trat an 5 Stämmen mit der Amphotericin B-Cilofungin- und an 7 Stämmen mit der Flucytosin-Cilofungin-Kombination auf. Häufiger wurden bei beiden Kombinationen Antagonismen gefunden.

## Introduction

LY 121019, now known as cilofungin, is a new antifungal agent which has been shown to be effective against several *Candida* species, especially *Candida albicans* and *C. tropicalis*, the major causes of disseminated candidosis (2–4, 7, 8). It has been suggested that the drug may be effective against *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* although the minimum inhibitory concentra-

tion (MIC) is generally higher for these organisms (2, 7). Against other *Candida* strains and other yeast-like fungi cilofungin does not appear to be as active (2).

Currently, the drug of choice for serious Candida infections is amphotericin B, despite its serious toxicity (6). In some instances, flucytosine can be added to synergistically treat Candida infections (5). Combination therapy with flucytosine and amphotericin B has the added advantage of allowing reduction of the daily amphotericin B dose and thus decreasing the toxicity (1). We tested cilofungin with amphotericin B and with flucytosine in vitro to determine if synergism might occur when this new anti-Candida drug was combined with the drugs used commonly in the treatment of candidosis.

#### **Materials and Methods**

# Fungi

Fifty clinical isolates of *Candida* species maintained in our laboratory were examined. Organisms grown overnight on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco, Inc., Detroit, MI) were suspended in 0.9% saline to a concentration of 2 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/ml, (0.55 optical density reading at 660 nm). The final inoculum was prepared in either Sabouraud dextrose broth (Difco, Inc.), or yeast nitrogen base broth (YNB) (Difco, Inc.), depending on which drug was to be studied.

# Antifungal agents

Cilofungin (Lilly Research Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) was solubilized in 50% ethanol to give a concentration of 1000  $\mu$ g/ml. A further dilution was made in either Sabouraud dextrose broth or YNB to give a concentration of 80  $\mu$ g/ml.

Amphotericin B (Fungizone) (E.R. Squibb & Sons, Inc., Princeton, NJ) was solubilized in sterile distilled water to a con-

centration of 1000 µg/ml and further diluted in Sabouraud dextrose broth to a concentration of 20 µg/ml.

Flucytosine (Hoffman-LaRoche Inc., Nutley, NJ) was solubilized in sterile 0.9% saline to give a concentration of 1000  $\mu$ g/ml and further diluted in YNB broth to a concentration of 20  $\mu$ g/ml.

## Susceptibility determinations

Initial studies were performed to determine the MIC each of the 50 isolates for cilofungin, amphotericin B, and flucytosine. Sabouraud dextrose broth was used for amphotericin B MICs; YNB was used for flucytosine MICs. MICs for cilofungin were determined for both media. Initially, 100 µl of the broth was added to each well except the first of a 96 well U bottom microtiter plate (Flow Laboratories, Inc., McLean, VA). For cilofungin, 100 µl of the 80 µg/ml solution was added to the first well of each row, and for amphotericin B and flucytosine, 100 µl of the 20 µg/ml solution was added to the first well of each row. Serial twofold dilutions were made across the plate using a Costar octapette (Costar, Inc., Cambridge, MA). The final well received no drug, serving as a positive growth control. The concentration of cilofungin ranged from 0.04 µg/ml to 40 µg/ml, while that of amphotericin B and flucytosine ranged from 0.01 µg/ml to 10 µg/ml. Each different fungal isolate was added to the 12 wells in a given row so that the final inoculum was 1 x 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/well. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 30°C. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration showing no visible turbidity using a microtiter plate reader.

After determining the MIC for each drug for each of the 50 organisms, synergy studies were performed in a similar manner by determining the MIC for each drug in the presence of a constant amount of the other drug added at a concentration four-fold less than the previously determined

Table 1: Comparison of MIC of cilofungin (CF) alone or with amphotericin B (AmB) or flucytosine (5FC) against 50 strains of *Candida* 

Organism	Cumulative percentage of strains inhibited at indicated concentration (µg/ml)											
Organism	.04	.08	.16	.31	.62	1.25	2.50	5	10	20	40	
C. albicans (20) CF CF + AmB CF CF + 5 FC			25 20	55 95	100 100	10 35	95 100	100				
C. tropicalis (8) CF CF + AmB CF CF + 5 FC			25 12	37 37	62 62 25	25 62	75 62	87 87 75 87	87	100	100 100 100	
C. glabrata (8) CF CF + AmB CF CF + 5 FC							12	50 87 25	100 100 100 100			
C. parapsilosis (8) CF CF + AmB CF CF + 5FC	. •		12	50 12	50 12		50 50		75	100 100 100 100		
Candida species (6)* CF CF + AmB CF CF CF + 5FC					17 17		50 33 17 33	67 50 33	67 67 50	83 83 83 83	100 100 100	

MIC. The MIC was read in the same manner as described above.

After reading the MIC for each isolate,  $100~\mu l$  was taken from those wells which showed no turbidity and plated on Sabouraud dextrose agar for assays involving amphotericin B and on YNB agar for those involving flucytosine. The plates were incubated at  $30^{\circ} C$  for 24 hrs and the minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) was read as the lowest concentration of drug in which only one or no fungal colonies persisted.

Synergy was defined as a four-fold or greater reduction in the MIC or the MFC for the combination as compared with the value for each drug alone. Antagonism was

defined as a four-fold or greater increase in the MIC or MFC for the combination as compared with the value for each drug alone.

## Results

Table 1 shows MICs for cilofungin alone and when combined with either amphotericin B or flucytosine. In no case was the addition of amphotericin B to cilofungin synergistic; in only one isolate (*C. tropicalis*) did the addition of flucytosine to cilofungin produce synergistic inhibition of growth.

Table 2: Comparison of MIC of amphotericin B	(AmB) and flucytosine (5FC) alone or with cilofungin
(CF) against 50 strains of Candida	

Organism	Cu	ımulativ	e perce	ntage c	of strains	s inhibite	d at indi	cated co	ncentratio	n (µg/	ml)
-	.01	.02	.04	.08	.16	.31	.62	1.25	2.50	5	10
C. albicans (20) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF		5	10 10	5 30 25	50 50 60 50	100 70 60	100				100
C. tropicalis (8) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF		12	12	62 50	62	50 50 75 75	87 75	100 87	100		100 100
C. glabrata (8) AmB AmB + CF 5FC		50	62	25 75	25 37	87 50	100 100				100
5FC+CF	12	30	37	62	75	100					100
C. parapsilosis (8) AmB AmB + CF					37	75 50	100 100				•
5FC 5FC+CF		25 12	50 25	62 50	87 75	87	, 00				100 100
Candida species (6)* AmB AmB + CF				•		17	83 33	100	100		
5FC 5FC+CF		17	50	67 33	67	83	100	100			

Antagonism was also uncommon, occurring only once when flucytosine was added to cilofungin.

Table 2 shows MICs for amphotericin B and flucytosine when used singly and when combined with cilofungin. Addition of cilofungin to amphotericin B was synergistic once (C. glabrata) and antagonistic in 5 strains (2 C. tropicalis isolates, and one each of C. glabrata, C. albicans, and C. parapsilosis). When cilofungin was added to flucytosine, synergism occurred only once (C. albicans) and antagonism occurred 8 times (3 C. albicans strains, 2 C. glabrata, one each of C. tropicalis, C. guilliermondii, and C. lusitaniae).

In every instance except one, antagonism and synergism were only four-fold higher or lower than the MIC for the single drug. In that one instance, a strain of *C. glabrata* had a MIC for the combination of flucytosine-cilofungin 8-fold greater than that for flucytosine alone.

Table 3 shows MFCs for cilofungin alone and when combined with either amphotericin B or flucytosine. No synergism with cilofungin-amphotericin B was noted, and in only 3 instances (*C. tropicalis*) was synergism seen with the cilofungin-flucytosine combination. Antagonism, on the other hand, was seen more frequently.

The addition of amphotericin B to cilofungin increased the MFC  $\geq$  4-fold in 2 C.

Table 3: Comparison of MFC of cilofungin (CF) alone or with amphotericin B (AmB) or flucytosine	
(5FC) against 50 strains of Candida	

Organism	Cumulative percentage of strains at indicated concentration (µg/ml)											
	.04	.08	.16	.31	.62	1.25	2.50	5	10	20	40	
C. albicans (20) CF CF+AmB CF CF+5FC				10 5	20 10	25	20 25	80 50	90 60	15 95 70	100 100 100 100	
C tropicalis (8) CF CF+AmB CF CF+5FC				12	25 12	37 25	25 50	50	50 25 62 75	62 50	100 100 100 100	
C. glabrata (8) CF CF+AmB CF CF+5FC									62 50 50 37	100 100 62 100	100	
C. parapsilosis (8) CF CF + AmB CF CF + 5FC							25	50			100 100 100 100	
Candida species (6)* CF CF+AmB CF CF+5FC								17 17 17 17	50 50	50 33	100 100 100 100	

tropicalis strains and 3 *C. albicans* strains. The addition of flucytosine to cilofungin was frequently antagonistic, as noted in 7 *C. albicans*, 1 *C. tropicalis*, and 1 *C. parapsilosis* isolates.

Table 4 shows MFCs for amphotericin B and flucytosine when used alone and when cilofungin was added. Addition of cilofungin to amphotericin B was synergistic for 5 strains — 2 C. tropicalis and 3 C. glabrata. This same combination was antagonistic for 7 strains — 3 C. parapsilosis, 2 C. tropicalis, and one each of C. albicans and C. glabrata. When cilofungin was added to flucytosine, synergism occurred 4 times, in one strain each of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, C. glabrata, and C. krusei. This combina-

tion was antagonistic in 16 of the 50 strains (32%) — 6 C. parapsilosis, 4 C. glabrata, and one each of C. albicans, C. tropicalis, C. krusei, C. guilliermondii, C. pseudotropicalis, and C. lusitaniae.

In the 12 instances of synergism noted when MFCs were compared for single vs. two drugs, 7 were only 4-fold decreases in the MFC. Antagonism, which occurred in 37 of the 200 combinations tested, was at the 4-fold level in 18 of the 37 instances.

## Discussion

Cilofungin is a new antifungal agent that has a mechanism of action different from

Table 4: Comparison of MFC of amphotericin B	(AmB)	and flucytosine (	5FC	alone or with cilofungin
(CF) against 50 strains of Candida	` '	· ·	. ,	· ·

Organism	Cumulative percentage of strains at indicated concentration (μg/ml)										
	.01	.02	.04	.08	.16	.31	.62	1.25	2.50	5	10
C. <i>albicans</i> (20) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF				5 10	10 20	5 30 30	75 60 50 40	100 95 45		100 55	100 100
C. tropicalis (8) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF				12	25	12 37	12 25	50 50 37	62 62	87 75	100 100 100 100
C. <i>glabrata</i> (8) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF			25 12	50	37 62 37	75 50	25 62	50 75	87 87	100	100 100 100
C. parapsilosis (8) AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF			12	37	50 12	87 37	12 12 50	37 75	75 25 87	100 50	100 100 100
Candida species (6)* AmB AmB + CF 5FC 5FC + CF			17	33		50 17	17 50	67 33 67	67 83 83	83	100 100 100 100

other antifungal agents in that it inhibits synthesis of beta-1,3-glucan leading to cell wall damage (3). It is possible that cilofungin might act synergistically with other antifungal agents, especially flucytosine, which acts, not on the cell wall, but at the level of DNA replication (5). Although it is possible to get synergistic action with two agents active at the level of the cell wall and cell membrane, such as cilofungin and amphotericin B, it seems less likely that this combination will result in synergism.

We found that, indeed, cilofungin added to amphotericin B showed only minimal synergistic inhibition or killing of any of the *Candida* species tested. When the corresponding studies were performed, which looked at the effect of sub-inhibitory concentrations of amphotericin B added to cilofungin, synergy did not occur in any strain tested.

We also found that synergistic inhibition or killing of *Candida* strains was rarely noted with the combination of cilofungin and flucytosine. In fact, antagonism was quite common with this combination. In no instance did a resistant strain become susceptible to cilofungin or flucytosine when the second drug was added.

The usefulness of cilofungin in *Candida* infections is not known at this time. It would appear to be active against *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* by in vitro tests (2, 3,

7). We could not verify by our in vitro assays a potential role for combination therapy with amphotericin B-cilofungin or flucytosine-cilofungin. However, comparing cilofungin alone with combination therapy in experimental Candida infections could possibly show an in vivo synergistic effect not detected by in vitro assays.

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by the Veterans Administration Research Service.

# References

- 1. Bennett, J.E., W.E. Dismukes, R.J. Duma, et al (1979): A comparison of amphotericin B alone and combined with flucytosine in the treatment of cryptococcal meningitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 301, 126-131.
- Bulo, A.N., S.F. Bradley and C.A. Kauffman (1988): Susceptibility of yeast-like fungi to a new antifungal agent, LY 121019. Mycoses 31,

- 3. Gordee, R.S., D.J. Zeckner, L.F. Elis, A.L. Thakkar and L.C. Howard (1984): In vitro and in vivo anti-Candida activity and toxicology of LY 121019. J. Antibiotics 37, 1054—1065.
- 4. Hobbs, M., J. Perfect and D. Durack (1988): Evaluation of in vitro antifungal activity of LY 121019. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 7, 77-80
- 5. Kauffman, C.A. (1988): Flucytosine. In: Antimicrobial Agents Annual, 3. J. Verhoef and P.K. Peterson, editors. Elsevier Science Publishers BV, Amsterdam, pp 246–250.

  6. Medoff, G. and G.S. Kobayashi (1980): Strategies in the treatment of systemic fungal infection.
- fections. N. Engl. J. Med. 302, 145-155.
- 7. Melchinger, W. and J. Müller (1987): Studies on the in vitro sensitivity of yeast strains isolated from clinical specimens to LY 121019, a new
- antifungal agent. Mykosen 30, 605–608.

  Spitzer, E.D., S.J. Travis and G.S. Kobayashi (1988): Comparative in vitro activity of LY 121019 and amphotericin B against clinical isolates of *Candida* species. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 7, 80–81.

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Carol A. Kauffman, VA Medical Center, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105, U.S.A.