

Nonresponse and Measurement Error in Mobile Phone Surveys

by

Courtney Kathryn Kennedy

**A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Survey Methodology)
in the University of Michigan
2010**

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Michael W. Traugott, Chair
Associate Professor James M. Lepkowski
Research Associate Professor Frederick G. Conrad
J. Michael Brick, Westat
Scott Keeter, Pew Research Center

© Courtney Kathryn Kennedy

2010

Acknowledgements

Many generous people made this dissertation possible. I thank my committee for their guidance and support. If it were not for Scott Keeter, this dissertation would be about something else entirely. If it were not for Mike Traugott, my career would be about something else entirely. Fred Conrad helped me to improve several chapters immensely thanks to his insights from cognitive psychology. Mike Brick introduced me to new statistical techniques and challenged me to identify the practical conclusions from this work. Jim Lepkowski helped me to tackle the many statistical issues that arose during this process and inspired me to do research on telephone data collection. In addition, Roger Tourangeau and Bob Groves were fundamental to the success of this endeavor. Roger helped me to develop some of the more inspired aspects of the research design and encouraged me to never let my manuscripts be an idea free zone. Bob endured the earliest plans for this dissertation and challenged me to think critically about all facets of the study.

This dissertation could not have been written without the support of the Everett Group. I approached Steve and Lori Everett with the idea for the experiment at AAPOR in 2008. Undaunted by the enormous price tag, they agreed to help me launch the project and find funding. They picked up the tab for expenses not covered by grants and fellowships. Steve taught me a great deal about survey research and was endlessly patient with me as I finished the dissertation.

I greatly appreciate the support provided by the U.S. Census Bureau Dissertation Fellowship Program, a Doctoral Dissertation Research Grant from the National Science Foundation, and a Predoctoral Fellowship from the Rackham School of Graduate Studies at the University of Michigan.

I also thank my family for their encouragement during my prolonged education. They remain remarkably supportive of my aspirations in survey methodology, especially in light of their uncertainty that the field actually exists. I am particularly indebted to my husband, John, who put up with my weekend trips to ISR and numerous soliloquies about response propensities and telephone usage patterns. I cannot thank you enough for your patience and support.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	ii
List of Figures.....	v
List of Tables.....	vii
Chapter	
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Mechanisms Generating Nonresponse in Cell Phone Surveys.....	14
3 Use of Cognitive Shortcuts in Landline and Cell Phone Surveys.....	71
4 Influences of Telephone Device on Survey Response Distributions.....	149
5 Conclusion.....	181
Appendix A.....	199
References.....	247

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. A Conceptual Framework for Cell Phone Survey Cooperation.....	19
Figure 2.2. Experimental Design and Response Outcomes.....	34
Figure 2.3. Histograms of Response Propensities from the Baseline and Final Models of Response to the Wave 2 Cell Phone Survey.....	50
Figure 3.1. Hypothesized Cell Phone Device Effects Interfering with the Response Process.....	75
Figure 3.2. Mean Exercise Minutes per Day by Question Wording and by Device.....	95
Figure 3.3. Mean Internet Hours per Week by Question Wording and by Device.....	97
Figure 3.4. Histogram of Responses to the Wave 1 Behavioral Frequency Question, by Device.....	103
Figure 3.5. Histogram of Responses to the Wave 2 Behavioral Frequency Question, by Device.....	103
Figure 3.6. Difference in Response Frequencies When List Is Read as Shown Versus Read in the Reverse Order, by Device.....	107
Figure 3.7. Difference in Response Frequencies When List Is Read as Shown Versus Read in the Reverse Order, by Device.....	108
Figure 3.8. Difference in Response Frequencies When List Is Read as Shown Versus Read in the Reverse Order, by Device.....	108
Figure 3.9. Differentiation in Rating Items in Two Batteries, by Device.....	110
Figure 3.10. Length of Open-ended Response to “Next Major Space Mission” Item..	116
Figure 3.11. Length of Open-ended Response To “Ways the Space Program Improved Your Life” Item.....	116

Figure 3.12. Distribution of Number of Wave 2 Questions Not Answered, by Device.....	120
Figure 4.1. Proportion of Respondents Reached Away from Home, by Device.....	165
Figure 4.2. Repeated-Measures Results for Six Items Potentially Sensitive to Respondent Location, by Device.....	167

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Number of Conference Presentations Addressing Cell Phone Surveys, by Year and Topic.....	3
Table 2.1. Hypothesized Nonresponse Mechanisms Measured in Wave 1.....	38
Table 2.2. Logistic Regression Models Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Cell Phone Survey.....	45
Table 2.3. Logistic Regression Model Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Landline Survey.....	56
Table 2.4. Logistic Regression Models for Nonresponse, Noncontact, and Noncooperation in the Wave 2 Cell Phone Survey.....	59
Table 2.5. Effects of Alternative Weights on Wave 2 Survey Estimates.....	63
Table 3.1. Indicators of Cognitive Shortcutting and Associated Hypotheses.....	86
Table 3.2. Response Strategy for Behavioral Frequency Question, by Device.....	101
Table 3.3. Association between Attitudinal and Behavioral Reports for Four Activities, by Device.....	113
Table 3.4. Number of Mentions to Open-Ended Question, by Device.....	118
Table 3.5. Logistic Regression Predicting Nonresponse to One or More Items in Wave 2.....	121
Table 3.6. Summary of Experiments Testing for Greater Usage of Cognitive Shortcuts in Cell Phone versus Landline Interviews.....	124
Table 3.7. Location and Activities of Respondents When the Interview Began, by Device.....	126
Table 3.8. Proportion of Respondents Engaged in Other Activities During the Interview, by Device.....	129

Table 3.9. Audio Fidelity Ratings and Use of Hands-free Headsets, by Device.....	131
Table 3.10. Linear Models Predicting Differentiation Among Items in Two Batteries.....	135
Table 3.11. Linear Models Predicting the Length of Responses to Two Open-ended Questions.....	137
Table 3.12. Logistic Regressions Predicting Use of Estimation as a Response Strategy to a Behavioral Frequency Question.....	139
Table 3.13. Two Regression Models Predicting Item Nonresponse.....	140
Table 3.14. Summary of Evidence that Four Hypothesized Mechanisms Explain Differential Usage of Cognitive Shortcuts.....	144
Table 4.1. Measures of Reliability in Wave 1/Wave 2 Responses, by Treatment Group.....	174
Table A.1.1. Productivity Rates in Wave 1 and Wave 2.....	199
Table A.1.2. Final Disposition for Wave Sample by Treatment.....	200
Table A.2.1. Attrition Between Wave 1 and Wave 2.....	201
Table A.3.1. Logistic Regression Models Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Cell Phone Survey: Comparison of Results Based on Dual Users (Chapter 2) with Results Based on Cell-only Proxies.....	204
Table A.3.2. Logistic Regression Models Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Landline Survey: Comparison of Results Based on Dual Users (Chapter 2) with Results Based on Landline-only Proxies.....	206
Table A.3.3. Logistic Regression Model Predicting Respondent Cooperation with Reporting Phone Number.....	208
Table A.3.4. Logistic Regression Models Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Cell Phone Survey: Comparison of Results Based on Those Reporting Their Phone Number (Chapter 2) with Results Based on Proxies for Those Refusing to Report Their Number.....	210
Table A.3.5. Logistic Regression Models Predicting Response to the Wave 2 Landline Survey: Comparison of Results Based on Those Reporting Their Phone Number (Chapter 2) with Results Based on Proxies for Those Refusing to Report Their Number.....	211

Table A.4.1. Item Nonresponse in Wave 1, by Experimental Group.....	215
Table A.4.2. Respondent-level Variance on Wave 1 Battery.....	217
Table A.4.3. Response Strategy for Wave 1 Behavioral Frequency Question, by Experimental Group.....	219
Table A.6. Differences Between Means for Satisfaction Battery Items, by Experimental Condition.....	223