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#### Abstract

Chapter 1 provides a brief introduction. Chapters 2 and 3 of this thesis describe the development new methods for the synthesis of nitrogen containing heterocycles via palladium catalyzed carboamination reactions. The development of conditions for the synthesis of functionalized pyrrolidines from $N$-protected $\gamma$-aminoalkenes and aryl bromides or triflates mediated by weak base and palladium catalysis is described in Chapter 2. These conditions, which use $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in place of the strong base $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$, tolerate the presence of a broad array of functional groups and significantly expand the scope of the methodology. Chapter 3 describes the development of a four-step synthesis of cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholines from enantiomerically pure amino alcohols. The key step in the synthesis is a Pd-catalyzed carboamination reaction between a substituted ethanolamine derivative and an aryl or alkenyl bromide. The morpholine products are generated in good diastereoselectivity with full retention of enantiopurity. This chapter also describes the synthesis of fused bicyclic morpholines, 2,3- and 2,5disubstituted morpholines, and 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazine products.

Chapter 4 describes the development of a simple step-wise procedure to achieve a room temperature Curtius rearrangement of benzylic and heteroarylmethyl carboxylic acids. The developed conditions provide an alternative to previously described one-pot procedures that require heating or the use of Lewis acids, and the mild conditions allow


the Curtius rearrangement to occur while preventing the formation of unwanted ester byproducts or other deleterious side reactions.

The investigation of synthetic small molecule transcription activation domains (TADs) is described in Chapter 5. The synthesis of a small library of compounds intended to replicate key aspects of potent natural TADs is described. The compounds are functionally evaluated as either activators or inhibitors of transcription in cells.

Chapter 6 describes efforts to expand the scope of tandem Wittig rearrangement/aldol reactions to allow the synthesis of anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy esters and anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \gamma$-dihydroxy esters. Strategies focusing on the use of dialkyl acetals or Lewis acid activated aldehydes as electrophiles are discussed. An alternative approach involving use of $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Al}$, or Sc enolates as nucleophiles is reported. Preliminary work on the utilization of pyridinium salt electrophiles for the preparation of substituted piperidines is also discussed.

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Importance of Alkaloids and Nitrogen-Containing Compounds

Alkaloids and nitrogen-containing natural compounds have potent activity in many different biological systems. As a result of their biological activity, these compounds have played a profound role in the course of human society. These compounds are found at the heart of some religious rituals, various healing therapies, and some recreational activities (Figure 1-1). For example mescaline (1), a natural product found in the peyote cactus, has been used for over 3000 years as an entheogen and supplement to various transcendence practices including meditation, psychonautics, and psychedelic psychotherapy. ${ }^{1}$ In a similar fashion, tetrahydroharmine (2) is an active ingredient in the drink ayahuasca, a brew used for divinatory and healing purposes by the native peoples of the Amazonian Colombia. ${ }^{2}$ Alternatively, penicillin (3) was discovered in 1928 and is the most widely used antibiotic in the world. ${ }^{3}$ Cocaine (4) is a highly addictive recreational drug with activity as a serotonine reuptake inhibitor. ${ }^{4}$

Figure 1-1: Important Nitrogen-Containing Compounds


The chemical methods for preparing alkaloids and nitrogen-containing natural compounds are diverse. A key aspect of any method for the preparation of these compounds is the need to form new carbon-nitrogen bonds. This chapter will highlight some of the most useful and widely used methods for the formation of this important linkage.

### 1.2 Standard Methods for Forming New Carbon-Nitrogen Bonds

Early chemical methods for the formation of carbon-nitrogen bonds include substitution reactions, chemical rearrangements, or pericyclic reactions (Figure 1-2). ${ }^{5}$ Nucleophilic substitution reactions are one of the most basic and broadly used transformations in organic chemistry. Chemical rearrangements are a powerful alternative to substitution reactions, as many chemical rearrangements form new carbon-nitrogen bonds from structures not suitable for displacement chemistry. Pericyclic reactions are a type of chemical rearrangement that proceeds through a cyclic transition state while simultaneously forming and breaking both $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-bonds.

Figure 1-2: Some Standard Methods That Can Form Carbon-Nitrogen Bonds Substitution Reaction:


General Rearrangement Reaction:


Diels-Alder Pericyclic Cycloaddition:






Curtius Rearrangement:


Aza-Diels-Alder Cycloaddition:


Nucleophilic substitution reactions require substrates containing a carbon-bound leaving group, where nitrogen nucleophiles can attack the electrophilic carbon to form a new carbon-nitrogen bond. Bimolecular nucleophilic substitution reactions proceed with inversion of the carbon stereocenter, so the stereochemistry of the product is controlled by the stereochemistry of the substrate.

Many different chemical rearrangements are known to produce new carbonnitrogen bonds. These rearrangements include the Beckmann rearrangement, Curtius rearrangement, the Lossen rearrangement, the Hofmann rearrangement, and the Schmidt rearrangement. These rearrangement reactions allow the migration of an alkyl group by the intermediate formation of a nitrogen bound leaving group. In contrast to the bimolecular nucleophilic substitution reactions, rearrangement reactions typically proceed with retention of the relative stereochemistry at the migrating carbon center.

Pericyclic reactions have been widely used in chemical syntheses because they can be used to generate multiple new stereocenters with excellent diastereoselectivity. While the Diels-Alder cycloaddition for the formation of two new carbon-carbon $\sigma$-bonds in cyclohexene is one of the most widely known and used pericyclic reactions, this class of reactions can be used to form new carbon-nitrogen $\sigma$-bonds as well. Example pericyclic reactions include: electrocyclic reactions, cycloadditions, sigmatropic reactions, group transfer reactions, cheletropic reactions, and dyotropic reactions.

### 1.3 Metal-Catalyzed Methods for Forming New Carbon-Nitrogen Bonds

Work with metal catalysts has led to the development of new types of reactions for the formation of carbon-nitrogen bonds. ${ }^{6}$ Transition metals can facility carbon-nitrogen
bond formation via insertion reactions and reductive elimination reactions. Key metals in this field include copper, nickel, palladium, gold, and rhodium. Focusing on palladium, this metal has been used effectively in alkene hydroaminations, ${ }^{7}$ carboaminations, ${ }^{8}$ diaminations, ${ }^{9}$ oxidative aminations, ${ }^{10}$ chloroaminations, ${ }^{11}$ aminoacetoxylations, ${ }^{12}$ and hetero-Heck transformations ${ }^{13}$ (Figure 1-3). Further advancements in these methodologies are important for the development of useful and highly efficient chemical methodologies.

Figure 1-3: Metal-Catalyzed Alkene Amination Reactions


### 1.4 Conclusions

While many methods are known for the efficient preparation of new carbonnitrogen bonds in biologically important molecules, challenges still remain in this area of research. Much of the work presented in this thesis is focused on the discovery and application of new or improved methods for addressing some challenges in the current field of chemistry. A unifying feature in the methods developed in Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of this thesis is the formation of new carbon-nitrogen bonds. Alternatively, Chapter 5 investigates nitrogen-containing heterocyclic isoxazolidines and isoxazolines as small molecule compounds with potential activity as artificial transcriptional activation domains (TADs). The final chapter of this thesis (Chapter 6) focuses on the formation of new carbon-carbon bonds through Wittig rearrangements and aldol condensations.
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## Chapter 2

## Mild Conditions for the Synthesis of Functionalized Pyrrolidines via Palladium Catalyzed Carboamination Reactions

### 2.1 Introduction

The development of synthetic methods for the construction of substituted pyrrolidines has been of longstanding importance in organic chemistry due to the prevalence of this moiety in biologically active molecules and natural products (Figure 2-1). ${ }^{1}$ For example, the natural product preussin (1) has antifungal, antiviral, and antitumor activity. ${ }^{2}$ Anisomycin (2) is a pyrrolidine natural product that has antifungal and cytotoxic activity, while broussonetine $C(\mathbf{3})$ is a potent glycosidase inhibitor. ${ }^{3,4}$ Captopril (4) is an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor that is marketed as a hypertension therapeutic and accounted for $\$ 1.1$ billion in sales in $1996 .{ }^{5}$ Compound 5 has been identified as a potent BACE-1 inhibitor and is a potential therapeutic for Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{6}$

Over the past several years, the palladium-catalyzed carboamination of $\gamma$ aminoalkenes with aryl bromides has emerged as an efficient and stereoselective method for the construction of substituted pyrrolidine derivatives. ${ }^{7,8}$ These transformations effect tandem cyclization and coupling in a process that generates a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, and up to two stereocenters in one step. For example, treatment of Boc-protected amine 6
with 4-bromoanisole in the presence of $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ and catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and dppb afforded pyrrolidine 7 in $60 \%$ yield with $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ (Figure 2-2). ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$

Figure 2-1: Biologically Active Pyrrolidines
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Figure 2-2: Pyrrolidine Synthesis via Palladium-Catalyzed Carboamination


Despite the synthetic utility of these transformations, the reactions are typically conducted in the presence of the strong base NaOt Bu , which limits the scope of this method. For example, the use of $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ restricts the functional group tolerance of these reactions, and transformations of aryl triflate electrophiles, which decompose in the presence of strong base, have not been reported. Additionally, Cbz protecting groups, which are frequently employed in the synthesis of complex alkaloids, are incompatible with the strongly basic conditions. This chapter describes the development of new
conditions that replace $\mathrm{NaOt} t \mathrm{Bu}$ with weaker bases $\left(\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$, which significantly expands the scope of the carboamination method.

The work for this chapter was conducted in collaboration with another graduate student, Myra Bertrand. ${ }^{9}$ My contribution to the project consisted of optimization of reaction conditions and partial establishment of reaction scope.

### 2.2 Development of Reaction Conditions Utilizing Weak Base

The use of weak bases in Pd-catalyzed $N$-arylation reactions of amines with aryl halides had been previously established. ${ }^{10}$ Using the reported weak base $N$-arylation conditions in combination with our conditions for pyrrolidine synthesis, an initial screen of potential weak bases was conducted (Table 2-1). Both $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ generated the desired pyrrolidines (9) in moderate yield (Table 2-1, entries 1-2). The other inorganic bases $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ and KOAc generated a complex mixture of products (Table 2-1, entries 3-5), while soluble nitrogen bases did not induce catalytic turnover and only led to recovery of starting material (Table 2-1, entries 6-8). While $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ provided the best crude yield of the desired pyrrolidine, inseperable impurities prevented the isolation of clean product. The $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ reaction led to isolation of clean product and was therefore selected for further optimization through a solvent screen.

Table 2-1: Base Optimization ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv 8, 2.3 equiv base, 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{ArBr}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DPE-Phos, $1,4-$ Dioxane ( 0.2 M ), $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DBU $=1,8$-Diazabicycloundec-7-ene. TMG $=$ Tetramethylguanidine. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Heck-type side product isolated in $20 \%$ yield. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Containes $10 \%$ inseperable impurity. ${ }^{e}$ GC characterization.

Results from the solvent screen are shown in Table 2-2. Reaction temperature played an important role in reaction selectivity and yield. Temperature was initially varied to maintain reactions below the boiling point of the main solvent. Reducing the temperature to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for THF led to a greatly reduced reaction rate (Table 2-2, entry 8). The optimal result was obtained using dioxane as solvent at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while dimethoxyethane provided a nearly comparable yield (Table 2-2, entries 5-6). After changing solvent, the weak base $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ provided a significantly reduced yield of desired product, as compared to $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (Table 2-2, entries 6-7).

Table 2-2: Solvent Optimization ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Br}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> 8 | $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ 4 mol \% DPE-Phos |  | Boc | Boc |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Solvent |  |  |  |
|  |  | -18 h |  |  |
|  |  | zaldehyde | 9 | 10 |
| entry | solvent | $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | yield $9(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | yield 10 (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | Toluene | 100 | 60 | 0 |
| 2 | NMP | 100 | 10 | 2 |
| 3 | DMF | 100 | 53 | 10 |
| 4 | 1,4-Dioxane | 100 | 61 | 20 |
| 5 | 1,4-Dioxane | 85 | 79 | 0 |
| 6 | DME | 85 | 75 | 1 |
| 7 | DME | 85 | $40^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |
| 8 | THF | 65 | $57^{\text {d }}$ | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv 8, 2.3 equiv $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{ArBr}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DPE-Phos, solvent $(0.2 \mathrm{M}), 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NMP}=N$-Methylpyrrolidone. $\mathrm{DMF}=$ Dimethylformamide. $\mathrm{DME}=1,2-$
Dimethoxyethane. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ used as base instead of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Reaction time $=42 \mathrm{~h}$.

### 2.3 Exploration of Scope

With optimal reaction conditions established for an initial substrate and aryl halide combination, the full reaction scope was explored (Table 2-3). Reactions utilizing weak base are effective for the transformation of a number of different substrate combinations. Many functional groups are tolerated under these mild conditions, including aldehydes (Table 2-3, entry 3), enolizable ketones (Table 2-3, entry 4), nitro groups (Table 2-3, entries 6 and 11), methyl esters (Table 2-3, entries 8 and 14), and alkyl acetates (Table 2-3, entry 9). In addition, the carboamination reactions of electron-rich (Table 2-3, entry 10), electron-neutral (Table 2-3, entries 1,2,5, 7, and 13), and heterocyclic (Table 2-3, entry 12) aryl bromides proceed with good chemical yield. The mild conditions also are effective for stereoselective reactions, and provide selectivities
that are comparable to those observed in reactions that use $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ as base. For example, transformations of starting materials $\mathbf{6}$ and 16, which bear a substituent adjacent to the nitrogen atom, provide cis-2,5-disubstituted products 28 and 29 with excellent ( $>20: 1$ ) diastereoselectivity (Table 2-3, entries 11-12). Similarly, substrates 14 and 15, which are substituted at the allylic position, are transformed to trans-2,3-disubstituted products $\mathbf{2 6}$ and 27 with good stereocontrol (12 to 15:1).

In addition to providing increased tolerance of base-sensitive functional groups, the new reaction conditions also allow for the efficient carboamination of substrates bearing Cbz-protecting groups. For example, the Pd-catalyzed coupling of $\mathbf{1 3}$ with 2bromonaphthalene using $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base provided the desired product $\mathbf{2 4}$ in $88 \%$ isolated yield (Table 2-3, entry 7). In contrast, cleavage of the Cbz-group from the substrate was problematic when reactions were conducted with $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ as base; these conditions provided only a $17 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{2 4}$. More complex $\gamma$-aminoalkene substrates are also efficiently transformed using the new reaction conditions. As shown in Table 2-3 (entries 13-14), Pd-catalyzed reactions of $\mathbf{1 7}$ with bromobenzene or methyl-4-bromobenzoate proceeded smoothly to provide $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ with excellent stereoselectivity. Trisubstituted pyrrolidine $\mathbf{3 0}$ has been previously employed as an intermediate in the synthesis of the natural product (+)-preussin. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~g}, 11}$

Table 2-3: Palladium-Catalyzed Carboamination of $N$-Protected $\gamma$-Aminoalkenes with Functionalized Aryl Bromides ${ }^{\text {a }}$


[^0]The main limitations of these new reaction conditions involve transformations of sterically encumbered substrate combinations. For example, attempts to convert substrates bearing internal alkenes to pyrrolidines were unsuccessful under these conditions. In addition, the reaction of methyl 2-bromobenzoate with $\mathbf{6}$, which bears a substituent on C-1 (adjacent to the nitrogen atom), was not effective. However, Myra Bertrand demonstrated that this $o$-substituted aryl bromide was effectively coupled with the less hindered carbamate $15 .{ }^{9}$ This limitation was later overcome in subsequent studies in the lab. ${ }^{12}$

In addition to greatly expanding the scope of Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions involving aryl bromide substrates, the use of mildly basic reaction conditions also allows for the first Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions with aryl triflates, as demonstrated by Myra Bertrand. ${ }^{9}$

### 2.4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed new conditions for palladium-catalyzed carboamination reactions of $N$-protected $\gamma$-aminoalkenes with aryl bromides and triflates. These conditions, which use $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (or $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for aryl triflates) in place of the strong base $\mathrm{NaOt} t \mathrm{Bu}$, tolerate the presence of a broad array of functional groups, and significantly expand the scope of this method.

### 2.5 Experimental Section

General: All reactions were carried out under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere in oven- or flame-dried glassware. All catalysts, reagents, anhydrous dioxane, and
anhydrous DME were obtained from commercial sources and were used without further purification. Pent-4-enyl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (11), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~N}$-pent-4-enylacetamide (12), ${ }^{13}$ (3-methylpent-4-enyl)carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (15), ${ }^{13}$ (1-phenylpent-4enyl)carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (6), ${ }^{13}$ 4-pentenylamine, ${ }^{13}$ and $( \pm)-(1 R, 3 S)$-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-nonylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid tert-butyl ester (17) ${ }^{14}$ were prepared according to published procedures. Ratios of regioisomers and/or diastereomers were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and/or capillary GC analysis of crude reaction mixtures. Yields refer to isolated yields of compounds estimated to be $\geq 95 \%$ pure as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, GC, and/or combustion analysis. The yields reported in the experimental section describe the result of a single experiment, whereas the yields reported in Table 23 are average yields of two or more experiments. Thus, the yields reported in the experimental section may differ from those shown in Table 2-3.

## Synthesis of Substrates:



4-Bromobenzyl acetate. ${ }^{15}$ A flame-dried flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with 4-bromobenzyl alcohol (4.0 g, 21.4 mmol$)$, acetic anhydride $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, pyridine ( 20 mL ), and DMAP (268 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The tube was purged with nitrogen, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 22 h until the starting material had been consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine (10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using $5 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes
as the eluent to afford $4.4 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathrm{Cbz}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ Pent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester (13). ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~A}$ flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with a solution of 4-pentenylamine $(175 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether). Triethylamine ( $7.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl chloroformate ( $3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 2 h ). A solution of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M})$ was added, the mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, and was extracted with diethyl ether ( 100 mL ). The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography using $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent to afford 1.9 g $(50 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 2.16-2.00 (m, 2 H), 1.67-1.52 (m, 2 H).


3-Methylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester (14). A flamedried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with 3-methylpent-4-enoic $\operatorname{acid}^{17}(6.85 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was purged with nitrogen, benzene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was
added and the resulting solution was cooled to ca. $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice water bath. Oxalyl chloride ( $14 \mathrm{~mL}, 160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to the solution and the resulting mixture was warmed to rt, stirred for 1 h , and then concentrated in vacuo. The crude 3-methylpentenoyl chloride product of this reaction was dissolved in THF (100 mL ), and slowly added to a separate flask containing aqueous ammonium hydroxide (100 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 6 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ), the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude 3-methylpent-4-enylcarboxamide was dissolved in THF ( 100 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in THF $(200 \mathrm{~mL}$, $200 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 36 h , then was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$, and diluted with ether ( 200 mL ). An aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{M})$ was added and an insoluble white material precipitated. The organic supernatant was decanted to a clean Erlenmeyer flask and the precipitate was washed with ether ( 100 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtered to afford a solution of 3-methylpentenylamine in diethyl ether (ca. 0.1 M ). The solution of 3methylpentenylamine ( $300 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( 11.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl chloroformate ( $6.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 16 h ). A solution of 1.0 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added, the mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, and was extracted with diethyl ether (100
$\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(200$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography using $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent to afford 1.2 g ( $17 \%$ over the five steps) of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 4.87-4.58 (m, 1 H), 3.27-3.08 (m, 2 H), 2.25-2.11 (m, 1 H), 1.58-1.40 (m, 2 H), 1.00 $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.3$, 143.6, 136.6, 128.4, 128.1, $128.0,113.4,66.5,39.2,36.4,35.6,20.2$; IR (film) $1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 72.07; H, 8.21; N, 6.00. Found: C, 72.28; H, 8.29; N, 6.08.


1-Phenylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester (16). Treatment of a solution of 1-phenylpent-4-enyl-amine ${ }^{1}$ in diethyl ether ( $250 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) with triethylamine ( $9.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl chloroformate $(5.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ using a procedure analogous to that described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{6}$ afford 3.86 g ( $52 \%$ ) of the title compound as a waxy white solid, m.p. $51-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.54-6.97 (m, 10 H$), 5.86-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H), 4.79-4.47 (m, 1 H ), 2.12-1.94 (m, 2 H ), 1.92-1.64 (m, 2 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6,142.3,137.4,136.4,128.5,128.4,128.0,127.2,126.3,115.2,66.6,54.9$, 35.6, 30.2 (two aromatic carbons are incidentally equivalent); IR (film) $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 77.26; $\mathrm{H}, 7.17$; $\mathrm{N}, 4.74$. Found: C, $77.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.69$.

## Synthesis of Functionalized Pyrrolidines via Coupling with Aryl Bromides (Table 3)

## General Procedure for Pd-Catalyzed Carboamination Reactions of Aryl Bromides.

A flame-dried Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with the aryl bromide ( 1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dpe-phos ( $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.3 equiv). The tube was purged with nitrogen and a solution of the $N$-protected amine substrate ( 1.0 equiv) in dioxane ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) was then added via syringe. The resulting mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until the starting material had been consumed as determined by GC analysis. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate ( 1 mL ) were added. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.


2-Napthalen-2-ylmethylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (18). ${ }^{1}$ The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 2-bromonapthalene ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with pent-4-enyl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester $(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. This procedure afforded $58 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.70$ (m, 4 H ), 1.56-1.50 (s, 9 H ).


2-(4-tert-Butylbenzyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (19). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 4-tert-butyl bromobenzene ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with pent-4-enyl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. This procedure afforded $66 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ $3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.67$ (m, 4 H ), 1.55-1.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.36-1.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.5$, $148.9,136.1,129.1,125.2,79.0,58.8,46.4,40.0,34.3,31.4,29.7,28.6,22.7$; IR (film) $1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, $75.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.41$. Found: C, $75.46 ; \mathrm{H}$, 9.88; N, 4.38.

(20). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 4-bromobenzaldehyde (89 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with pent-4-enyl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) except DME was used in place of dioxane and the reaction was conducted at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure afforded 94 mg ( $81 \%$ ) of the title compound as a colorless oil. This compound was found to exist as a 1:1 mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis; data are
for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41-7.29 (m, 2 H), 4.13-3.92 (m, 1 H$), 3.46-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.9,154.4,146.6$, 134.7, 130.1, 129.8, 79.3, 58.4, 46.3, 40.8, 39.9, 29.6, 28.5, 28.3, 23.4, 22.6; IR (film) 1693, $1606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 70.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.01 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.84$. Found: C, 70.45; H, 8.14; N, 4.72.

(21). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 4-bromoacetophenone (120 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with pent-4-enyl-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) except DME was used in place of dioxane and the reaction was conducted at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure afforded $118 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of the title compound as a white solid, m.p. $63-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This compound was found to exist as a 1:1 mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35-7.22 (m, 2 H), 4.11-3.94 (m, 1 H), 3.46-3.04 (m, 3H), 2.74-2.55 (m, 4 H), 1.85$1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 197.9, 197.7, 154.5, 154.4, $145.0,144.9,135.3,135.2,129.7,129.5,128.5,128.3,79.4,79.1,58.5,58.3,46.7,46.2$, 40.6, $39.6,29.7,28.9,28.5,26.5,23.4,22.6$; IR (film) $1686,1607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 71.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.62$. Found: C, 71.18; H, 8.30; N, 4.60.


The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 2-bromonapthalene ( 125 mg , 0.60 mmol ) with $N$-pent-4-enyl-acetamide ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This procedure afforded $101 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale yellow oil. This compound was found to exist as a $\sim 3: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.84-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-$ 7.37 (m, 2.3 H), 7.32-7.27 (m, 0.7 H), 4.45-4.37 (m, 0.7 H), 4.17-4.09 (m, 0.3 H), 3.633.49 (m, 0.7 H), 3.45-3.32 (m, 2 H), 3.09-3.02 (m, 0.3 H), 2.86-2.69 (m, 1 H), 2.11 (s, 2 H), $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.


1-(2-(4-Nitrobenzyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethanone (23). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $N$-pent-4-enyl-acetamide ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) except dppe was used in place of Dpephos as ligand, DME was used in place of dioxane and the reaction was conducted at 85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure afforded $77 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of the title compound as a white solid, m.p. $139-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This compound was found to exist as a $\sim 7: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 0.15 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-$ $3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.15 \mathrm{H})$, $2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.15 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2.55 \mathrm{H})$, $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3.15 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 0.85 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.4,168.9,147.0,146.5,145.5,130.2,130.0,123.8,123.5,59.4,57.9,47.8$, $45.4,40.6,38.8,30.1,28.5,23.7,22.922 .0,21.7$; IR (film) $1640,1516 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 62.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.50 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.28$. Found: C, $62.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.08$.


2-(Naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid benzyl ester (24). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 2-bromonaphthalene $(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ with pent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This procedure afforded $151 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. This compound was found to exist as a $1: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3.5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.56-7.32 (m, 7.5 H), 7.25-7.19 (m, 1 H), 5.27-5.16 (m, 2 H), 4.28-4.12 (m, 1 H), 3.54$3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.9,154.8,137.1,136.8,136.5,136.4,133.4,132.1,128.4,128.1$, $128.0,127.9,127.85,127.79,127.74,127.65,127.6,127.4,125.93,125.86,125.34$, $125.26,67.0,66.5,59.3,58.8,46.8,46.6,40.8,39.6,29.7,28.9,23.5,22.7$; IR (film) $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, $79.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.05$. Found: C, $80.01 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.78; N, 4.11.


2-(4-(Methoxycarbonyl)benzyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid benzyl ester (25). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 4bromobenzoate ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with pent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester ( 110 mg , 0.5 mmol ) except DME was used in place of dioxane and the reaction was conducted at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure afforded $152 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale yellow oil. This compound was found to exist as a $1: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44-7.23 (m, 6 H), 7.16-7.08 (m, 1 H), 5.22-5.11 (m, 2 H), 4.18-4.02 (m, 1 H), 3.90 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 1.88-1.61 (m, 4 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.1, 167.0, 154.8, 144.4, 144.3, 137.0, 136.7, 129.64, 129.56, 129.3, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 67.0, 66.5, 58.9, $58.5,52.0,46.8,46.6,40.7,39.5,29.8,28.9,23.5,22.7$; IR (film) $1721,1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 71.37; H, 6.56; $\mathrm{N}, 3.96$. Found: C, 71.15; H, 6.62; $\mathrm{N}, 4.03$.

( $\pm$ )-(2R,3S)-2-(4-(Acetoxymethyl)benzyl)-3-methylpyrrolidine-1-
carboxylic acid benzyl ester (26). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 4-bromobenzyl acetate ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 3-methylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid
benzyl ester ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was assessed by LAH reduction of the crude product obtained in a duplicate reaction, and was found to be $12: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The minor diastereomer was separated upon chromatographic purification to afford $143 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil with >20:1 dr. Data are for the major diastereomer, which was found to exist as a 1:1 mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.09-7.02 (m, 1 H), 5.23-5.10 (m, 2 H), 5.09-5.02 (m, 2 H), 3.73-3.48 (m, 2 H), 3.343.18 (m, 1 H ), 3.15-3.07 (m, 0.5 H), 3.01-2.92 (m, 0.5 H ), 2.82-2.73 (m, 0.5 H$), 2.70-$ $2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.9,155.1,154.9,138.8,137.1,136.8$, 133.9, 133.7, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 67.0, 66.5, 66.15, 66.09, 65.9, 65.7, 45.4, 45.2, 39.9, 38.3, 36.8, 35.8, 31.1, 30.2, 21.0, 19.3, 19.1. IR (film) 1740, $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (ESI): 404.1839 (404.1838 calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$). The stereochemistry of the above compound was assigned based on comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra to those obtained for the related product $( \pm)-(2 R, 3 S)-2-(4-$ Acetylbenzyl)-3-methylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid benzyl ester, the stereochemistry of which was elucidated through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR nOe experiments. ${ }^{9}$

( $\pm$ )-(2R,3S)-2-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-3-methylpyrrolidine-1-
carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (27). The general procedure was employed for the
reaction of 4-bromoanisole ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 3-methylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid benzyl ester ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was assessed by TFA-mediated deprotection of the crude product obtained in a duplicate reaction, and was found to be $15: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The minor diastereomer was separated upon chromatographic purification to afford $58 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale yellow oil with >20:1 dr. Data are for the major diastereomer, which was found to exist as a $1: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the rotamers mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14-$ $7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05-2.89 (m, 1H), 2.75-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.09-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.75 (m, 1 H$), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.1,154.7,131.0,130.6,130.3,113.8,113.6,79.2,78.9,65.9,65.5,55.2,45.5,44.9$, 39.1, $37.7,36.7,35.8,31.1,30.3,28.6,19.4,19.2$; IR (film) $1692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 70.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.91 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.59$. Found: C, $70.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.87 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.60$.

The stereochemistry of the above compound was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR nOe analysis of the product obtained through treatment of 27 with TFA to afford 27 a as shown below.

( $\pm$ )-(2R,3S)-2-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-3-methylpyrrolidinium-2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (27a). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with 27 (42 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$. Methylene chloride ( 1 mL ) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trifluoroacetic acid ( 1 mL ) was then added slowly and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 25 min . The crude mixture was concentrated in vacuo to afford 41 mg ( $96 \%$ ) of the title compound as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 1$ H), 8.74 (s, br, 1 H ), 7.10 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.80 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (s, br, 1 H ), 3.74 (s, 3 H), 3.28-3.18 (m, 1 H), 3.15-3.07 (m, 2 H), 3.00-2.86 (m, 2 H), 2.23-2.15 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.5(\mathrm{q}, J=36.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.8,129.9,127.6,116.1(\mathrm{q}, J=290.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 114.2, 66.8, 55.1, 43.4, 38.3, 36.0, 32.2, 16.8; IR (film) 3502, $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI): 206.1541 (206.1545 calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-(2R,5S)-2-(3-Nitrobenzyl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (28). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 1-bromo-3-nitrobenzene ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with (1-phenylpent-4-enyl)carbamic acid tertbutyl ester ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was assessed by TFA-mediated deprotection of the crude product obtained in a duplicate reaction, and was found to be $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Chromatographic purification afforded $151 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil with >20:1 dr. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.16-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 7.36-7.16 (m, 5 H), 5.08-4.68 (m, 1 H), 4.28-4.09 (m, 1 H), 3.69-3.43 (m, 1 H), 2.88-2.76 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.24 (m, 1 H), 2.01-1.92 (m, 1 H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.75-
$1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.8,148.2,144.3$, $141.1,135.7,129.3,128.2,126.6,125.5,124.1,121.4,79.7,63.0,60.4,40.6,34.3,28.1$ (two aliphatic carbons are accidentally equivalent); IR (film) 1687, $1530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.09; H, 6.85; N, 7.32. Found: C, 68.97; H, 6.98; N, 7.19. The stereochemistry of the above compound was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR nOe analysis of the product obtained through treatment of $\mathbf{2 8}$ with TFA, followed by aqueous NaOH , to afford 28a as shown below.

( $\pm$ )-(2R,5S)-2-(3-Nitrobenzyl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine (28a). Treatment of 28 ( 100 mg , 0.26 mmol ) with $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was effected using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of compound $\mathbf{2 7 a}$, with the following modification. The crude residue obtained upon removal of $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure afforded $65 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of the title compound as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.17-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.02$ (m, 1 H), 7.63-7.57 (m, 1 H$), 7.44$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.86$ (m, 2 H ), 2.20-2.09 (m, 1 H$), 2.00-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.2,144.9,142.3,135.5,129.1,128.2,126.8,126.5,123.9$, 121.2, 62.2, 59.6, 42.9, 33.9, 30.9; IR (film) $1526 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI): 283.1435 (283.1447 calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-(2S,5R)-2-Phenyl-5-(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)pyrrolidine-1-
carboxylic acid benzyl ester (29). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of 3-bromopyridine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 1-phenylpent-4-enylcarbamate benzyl ester ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was assessed by $\mathrm{HCl}-$ mediated deprotection of the crude product obtained in a duplicate reaction, and was found to be $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Chromatographic purification afforded $144 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil with $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.59-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-$ $4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.80$ (m, 2 H ), 1.76-1.65 (m, 1 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.5,150.5,147.9$, 143.6, 136.7, 136.5, 134.3, 128.4, 128.3, 127.5, 127.3, 126.8, 125.6, 123.4, 66.7, 63.0, 61.1, 38.1, 34.3, 28.6; IR (film) $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI): 395.1736 (395.1735 calculated for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

The stereochemistry of the above compound was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR nOe analysis of the product obtained through treatment of 29 with 6 N HCl , followed by aqueous NaOH , to afford 29a as shown below.

( $\pm$ )-(2R,5S)-3-(5-Phenylpyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)pyridine (29a). A flask was charged with $29(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h , and then was cooled to rt . Distilled water was then added ( 2 mL ), the crude mixture was washed with ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the ether layers were discarded. The aqueous layer was then basified with 1 M NaOH to pH 11 and extracted twice with ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ether layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography using $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane as the eluent to afford 22 $\mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.55-$ 8.42 (m, 2 H$), 7.61-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36$ (m, 2 H$), 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.4,147.7,136.6,135.1,128.3,127.1,126.7$, 123.3, 62.3, 60.0, 39.7, 33.3, 30.6 (two aromatic carbons are incidentally equivalent); IR (film) $3410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI): 239.1537 (239.1548 calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-(2S,3S,5R)-2-Benzyl-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-5-nonylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (30). ${ }^{2}$ The general procedure was employed for the reaction of bromobenzene ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with ( $\pm$ )-( $1 R, 3 S$ )-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-nonylpent-4-enylcarbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude material obtained upon workup showed the
formation of the desired product as a $>20: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. This procedure afforded $74 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil with $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$. The stereochemistry was assigned by comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to data previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{14}$ This compound was found to exist as a $3: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1.25 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.44$ (m, 1 H), 3.06-2.96 (m, 1 H), 2.82-2.68 (m, 0.25 H$), 2.61-2.47$ (m, 0.75 H$), 2.32-2.12$ (m, 1.75 H$), 2.05-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.84$ (m, 12 H ), 0.13- -0.08 (m, 6 H ).

( $\pm$ )-(2S,3S,5R)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)-2-(4-methoxycarbonylbenzyl)-5-nonylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester (31). The general procedure was employed for the reaction of methyl 4-bromobenzoate (52 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $( \pm)-(1 R, 3 S)$-3-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxy)-1-nonylpent-4enylcarbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was assessed by LAH reduction of the crude product obtained in a duplicate reaction, and was found to be $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Chromatographic purification afforded 83 mg ( $72 \%$ ) of the title compound as a colorless oil with >20:1 dr. This compound was found to exist as a $3: 1$ mixture of rotamers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis; data are for the mixture. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
$\delta 7.99-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})$, $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.53$ (m, 0.7 H), 2.33-2.12 (m, 1.7 H), 2.07-1.93 (m, 0.3 H$), 1.65-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.12--0.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.3,154.8,145.9,129.9,129.4,127.6,79.2,71.4,62.1,55.7,51.9,38.0,37.2,36.3$, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 28.1, 26.5, 25.8, 22.7, 18.1, 14.1, -4.7, -5.0; IR (film) 1726, 1694 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 68.82; H, 9.98; N, 2.43. Found: C, 68.43; H, 9.98; N, 2.42.

The stereochemistry of the above compound was determined through $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of $\mathbf{3 1}$ to afford 31a as shown below. The stereochemistry of 31a was assigned by comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 1}$ to that previously obtained for the related molecule 32. ${ }^{14}$

( $\pm$ )-(2S,3S,5R)-2-(4-Hydroxymethylbenzyl)-1-methyl-5-nonylpyrrolidin-3-ol (31a). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a steam of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{3 1}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrahydrofuran ( 3 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in tetrahydrofuran) was added dropwise via syringe. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 21 h ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, slowly quenched with water $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with diethyl ether ( 5 mL ). Aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10$
M) and water ( 0.3 mL ) were added sequentially and an insoluble white precipitate formed. The organic supernatant was decanted to a clean Erlenmeyer flask and the precipitate was washed with diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil obtained was purified by flash chromatography using $10 \% \rightarrow 20 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane as the eluent to afford $38 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 138.8, 129.5, 127.1, $73.7,70.2,65.9,64.9,39.5,38.6,34.7,33.1,31.9,29.9,29.6,29.5,29.3,26.4,22.6,14.1$ (two aromatic carbons are incidentally equivalent); IR (film) $3384 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI): 348.2900 ( 348.2903 calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).
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## Chapter 3

## Synthesis of Substituted Morpholines via Palladium Catalyzed Carboamination Reactions

### 3.1 Background

In recent years drug discovery efforts have revealed several interesting biologically active compounds that contain $C$-substituted morpholine units (Figure 3-1). ${ }^{1,2}$ Specifically, Polygonapholine (1), the first reported 2,6-disubstituted morpholine natural product was collected from the marine sponge Chelonaplysilla sp. from a marine lake in the nation of Palau. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits antimicrobial activity against Bacillus subtilis and shows in vivo anti-inflammatory activity. ${ }^{3}$ Compound $\mathbf{2}$ was found to be the most potent compound in a screen for new centrally acting $\alpha 1$ agonists and has potential therapeutic value in the treatment of dementia and other central nervous system disorders characterized by symptoms of noradrenergic insufficiency. ${ }^{4}$ Phendimetrazine (3) is a widely prescribed appetite suppressant with annual sales of 3.1 million dollars for one of the generic varieties in 2007. ${ }^{5}$ The remaining compounds in Figure $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5})$ contain cis-3,5disubstituted morpholines. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ acts as a selective cholecystokinin-2 receptor antagonists, with potential for treatment of gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas, such as Barrett's metaplasia and pancreatic cancer, as well as gastroesophageal reflux disease and peptic ulcers. ${ }^{2 b}$ The meso-cis-3,5-dimethyl morpholine subunit was obtained by chromatographic separation of diastereomers from a non-selective morpholine
cyclization. Compound $\mathbf{5}$ has shown nanomolar activity as a caspase- 1 inhibitor and is a potential therapeutic for inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis or osteoarthritis. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$

Figure 3-1: Biologically Important Morpholines


Despite the medicinal importance of these molecules, the development of new approaches for their synthesis remains relatively unexplored. ${ }^{1,6}$ For example, few methods allow the preparation of 3,5 -disubstituted morpholines, ${ }^{7}$ and only two approaches for the stereoselective synthesis of cis-3,5-disubstituted derivatives have been described (Figure 3-2). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a} .8}$ Both of these strategies are limited in scope, as one affords symmetrically disubstituted (meso) products (6), ${ }^{8}$ and the other was used only for the generation of a single monocyclic morpholine (7) in route to biologically active compound 5. ${ }^{2 a}$ Development of a new, efficient, method for the diastereoselective synthesis of these types of compounds would be a valuable advancement in synthetic chemistry.

Figure 3-2: Known Methods for Synthesizing cis-3,5-Disubstituted Morpholines




### 3.2 Introduction

Previous work in the Wolfe lab established a concise asymmetric synthesis of cis-2,6-disubstituted piperazines that involves Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions of N allyl ethylenediamine derivatives. ${ }^{9,10}$ It was hypothesized that a similar strategy may be applied to the construction of 3,5-disubstituted morpholines. As shown in Scheme 3-1, enantiopure $N$-Boc amino alcohols (8) could be converted to $O$-allyl ethanolamines 9 using standard methods. These compounds could then be transformed to the desired heterocycles $\mathbf{1 0}$ through Pd-catalyzed coupling with an aryl or alkenyl halide. ${ }^{11}$ This strategy should provide access to a broad array of enantiopure cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholines that are difficult to generate using existing methods.

## Scheme 3-1: Synthetic strategy



The substrates for the Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions were synthesized in three steps from commercially available starting materials 8a-e (Scheme 2). Treatment of the N -protected amino alcohols with NaH and allyl bromide afforded allyl ethers 11a-e.

Cleavage of the Boc-group followed by Pd-catalyzed $N$-arylation of the resulting amine trifluoroacetate salts provided 9a-f in moderate to good yield. For a representative reaction sequence, chiral HPLC analysis indicated complete retention of enantiomeric purity ( $99 \%$ ee) during the preparation of substrate $\mathbf{9 a}$ from $\mathbf{8 a}$.

## Scheme 3-2: Synthesis of Substrates

$\substack{\text { 2) allyl } \\ \text { bromide }}$

[^1]
### 3.3 Exploration of Reaction Conditions

Initial studies examined the coupling of a simple substrate and aryl bromide under reaction conditions similar to those used in the related piperazine-forming carboamination reactions. ${ }^{9}$ A preliminary ligand screen revealed the formation of three main products (Table 3-1, Entry 1-5). The desired 3,5-disubstituted morpholine (10) formed in most cases, but an unsaturated morpholine (12) and Heck-type alkene arylation product (13) were also generated. Small amounts of several other unidentified side products were detected. The ratio of ligand to palladium played an important role in reaction selectivity (Table 3-1, Entries 4,5). Increasing the amount of base and aryl bromide helped the reactions proceed to full completion (Table 3-1, Entries 5,6). Other
ligands surveyed did not provide improved results for the desired morpholine product (Table 3-1, Entries 7-17). The initial choice of ligand based on the related piperazine system proved to be the optimal catalyst.

Table 3-1: Ligand Screen ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv 9c, 2.0 equiv NaOtBu , catalyst (as indicated), toluene $(0.4 \mathrm{M}), 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TTMPP $=\operatorname{Tris}(2,4,6-$ trimethoxyphenyl)phosphine. TDBPP $=\operatorname{Tris}(2,4-\mathrm{di}-t \mathrm{Buphenyl})$ phosphite. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{KOtBu}$ was used in place of $\mathrm{NaOt} t \mathrm{Bu}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Product ratios were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude product mixtures after workup.

With a preferred catalytic system established, the remaining reaction conditions were investigated. Testing alternative bases known to facilitate similar palladium catalyzed reactions confirmed that NaOtBu was the best choice (Table 3-2). Similarly, the screening of other potential solvents demonstrated a preference for toluene (Table 3-3).

Table 3-2: Base Screen ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Table 3-3: Solvent Screen ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv 9c, 2.0 equiv $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}$, toluene $(0.4 \mathrm{M})$, $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Product ratios were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude product mixtures after workup.

### 3.4 Synthesis of cis-3,5-Disubstituted Morpholines

The results of studies on the scope of 3,5-disubstituted morpholine-forming carboamination reactions are illustrated in Table 3-4. Several different 2 -subsituted $O$ allylethanolamines were effectively converted to the desired heterocycles, including
heteroatom-containing substrates derived from methionine (Table 3-4, Entry 7), serine (Table 3-4, Entry 8), and tryptophan (Table 3-4, Entry 9). Although the yields in these reactions were modest (46-66\%), the diastereoselectivities were uniformly high (> 20:1 dr). As a representative example, the Pd-catalyzed carboamination reaction of $\mathbf{9 a}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ proceeded with no erosion of enantiopurity. Side products of general structure $\mathbf{1 2}$ were observed in crude reaction mixtures, accounting for reduced yield of the desired morpholines. Spectroscopic analysis ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ) of crude reaction mixtures indicated these side products were formed as $10-35 \%$ of the mixture (Table 3-5). The presence of electron-neutral or slightly electron-deficient N -aryl groups on the substrates was tolerated. However, efforts to employ a morpholine precursor bearing an $N$ - $p$ methoxyphenyl) moiety led to a poor yield of $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ due to competing $N$-arylation or Heck arylation of the substrate (Table 3-4, Entry 3). In some instances side products resulting from sequential $N$-arylation and Heck arylation of the substrate were also isolated. Low yields were also obtained when starting materials with $N$-( $p$-cyanophenyl) groups were used, as competing Heck arylation of the substrate alkene group was again problematic. Similarly, efforts to couple $N$-Boc-protected substrate 11a with 1-bromo-4-tertbutylbenzene afforded only a Heck arylation product.

Table 3-4: Synthesis of cis-3,5-Disubstituted Morpholines ${ }^{\text {a }}$


[^2]Table 3-5: Reaction Product Ratios


### 3.5 Expansion to Bicyclic Morpholines and Other Substitution Patterns.

In order to further explore the utility of this method for the synthesis of other substituted morpholines, reactions of several $N$-aryl ethanolamine derivatives with different substitution patterns were examined. The synthetic work for the bicyclic morpholine examples was conducted by Brandon Rosen, an undergraduate co-worker on this project. Substrates 14a-d were prepared by $O$-allylation of $2-(N-$ phenylamino)cyclohexanol or -cyclopentanol. The known trans-2-( $N$ phenylamino)cycloalkanols were prepared in one step from aniline and cyclohexene oxide or cyclopentene oxide. As shown in Table 3-6, the substrates were coupled with aryl bromides using the optimized reaction conditions. These transformations afforded the desired bicyclic morpholines 15a-e in moderate to good yields with excellent diastereoselectivities (>20:1 dr). Alternatively, compounds $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ were converted into

2,3-disubstituted morpholine 17 and 2,5-disubstituted morpholine 19 (Scheme 3-3). However, both $\mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ were produced with only modest (2:1) diastereoselectivity.

The nature of the aryl halide coupling partner had a significant effect on the yield of the morpholine-forming reactions. Use of electron-rich or electron-neutral derivatives provided acceptable yields of the desired heterocycles. In addition, the coupling of 9a with an alkenyl halide (Table 3-4, entry 2) was also successful. However, most attempts to employ electron-poor aryl bromides led to complex mixtures of products, although the carboamination reactions of $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{- d}$ with 4-bromobenzophenone (Table 3-6, entries 4-5) and of 16 with 3-bromobenzonitrile (Scheme 3-3) gave useful quantities of desired products. The coupling of $\mathbf{9 c}$ with the sterically hindered 2 -bromotoluene provided a $66 \%$ yield of 10a (Table 3-4, entry 5), but 1-bromo-2-methylnaphthalene failed to react with 9c under similar conditions.

Table 3-6: Synthesis of Bicyclic Morpholines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{Br}$ $\qquad$
14


22 h yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$
1


65\%
2



73\%
54\%
5


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv substrate, 2.0 equiv $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{Br}, 2.0-2.7$ equiv $\mathrm{NaOt} \mathrm{Bu}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}$, toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield (average of two or more experiments). All products were formed with $>20: 1$ dr as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude products prior to purification.

Scheme 3-3: Disubstituted Morpholines with Poor Diastereoselectivity


The mechanism of the morpholine-forming carboamination reactions is likely similar to that of related transformations that generate piperazines, pyrrolidines, and other nitrogen heterocycles. ${ }^{9.11}$ As shown in Scheme 3-4, the key intermediate in the conversion of $\mathbf{9}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ is palladium(aryl)(amido) complex 20, which is produced by oxidative addition of the aryl bromide to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ followed by $\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation. ${ }^{12}$ The relative stereochemistry of the substituted morpholine products is most consistent with a pathway involving syn-aminopalladation of 20 through a boat-like transition state (21) to afford 22. Chair-like transition states for intramolecular syn-aminopalladation reactions that generate six-membered rings appear to be less favorable than boat-like transition states due to poor overlap between the alkene $\pi$-system and the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. ${ }^{9 b}$ Reductive elimination from 22 would provide the cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholine products $\mathbf{1 0}$. This mechanism also accounts for the conversion of $\mathbf{1 6}$ to cis-2,3-disubstituted morpholine 17, and 18 to trans-2,5-disubstituted morpholine 19 (Scheme 3-5). The modest diastereoselectivities observed in the reactions of $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ are presumably due to relatively small differences in the energies of transition states in which the substrate Rgroup is oriented in a psueduoaxial vs. pseudoequatorial position. ${ }^{9 b}$

## Scheme 3-4: Mechanism and Stereochemistry

9






Scheme 3-5: Stereochemical Rational for 2,3- and 2,5-Disubstituted Morpholines



As noted above in Table 3-1, we observed the formation of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4oxazine 5a as a side product in the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}$ catalyzed coupling of 9 c with 2bromotoluene. This compound is presumably generated via $\beta$-hydride elimination from intermediate 22 to provide 23 (Scheme 3-6). This complex could then be transformed into unsaturated heterocycle 12a by alkene dissociation and subsequent Heck arylation ${ }^{13}$ of the resulting product 24 .

## Scheme 3-6: Formation of 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazine 12a



We felt that it may be possible to optimize conditions so that unsaturated compounds such as 12a would be generated as the major products in coupling reactions between 9 and aryl bromides. The mechanism outlined in Scheme 3-6 suggests that catalysts or ligands that either slow $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-forming reductive elimination, facilitate $\beta$-hydride elimination, or both, may favor the conversion of $\mathbf{2 2}$ to $\mathbf{2 3}$, which in turn leads to generation of 12. Thus, we examined the use of catalysts supported by relatively electron rich monodentate ligands. The rate of reductive elimination from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$
decreases as ligand basicity increases and ligand size decreases. However, steric effects can outweigh electronic effects, as electron-rich ligands that are sterically bulky are known to promote reductive elimination. ${ }^{13}$ While a phosphite ligand showed improved selectivity for $\mathbf{1 2}$, the best result was obtained after switching to an $N$-heterocyclic carbene based system (Table 3-1, Entries 15-16). Reaction conditions that generated the catalytic system in situ led to poor reproducibility. Utilization of the premade catalyst $(\operatorname{IPr}) \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{Cl}^{14}$ provided consistent results for the coupling of bromobenzene with $\mathbf{9 c}$, providing clean selectivity for the unsaturated product $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ with a $57 \%$ isolated yield (Scheme 3-7). The scope of this reaction is currently limited, as use of 2-bromotoluene as the electrophile afforded only $21 \%$ yield of 12a. Increased sterics bulk on the aryl coupling partner appears to promote $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reductive elimination leading to the substituted morpholine products. Purification of the unsaturated morpholine products is also difficult due to their hydrolytic lability. Preliminary efforts to further manipulate the 3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazines proved unsuccessful. Further optimization of conditions or use of this transformation in tandem/sequenced reactions may improve synthetic utility. A catalytic hydrogenation reduced 12a with no selectivity, providing a roughly equal mixture of both product diastereomers (Scheme 3-8). An ionic hydrogenation has been accomplished by the Zhou group, but the reaction was likely facilitated by protection of the ring nitrogen with the strong electron withdrawing tosyl group (26, Scheme 3-9). ${ }^{15}$ While good diastereoselectivity was attained with a sterically demanding isopropyl side chain, no selectivity was observed with the less crowded isobutyl side chain.

Scheme 3-7: Steric Influence on 3,4-Dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazine Synthesis


Scheme 3-8: Hydrogenation of 3,4-Dihydro-2H-1a,4-oxazine


Scheme 3-9: Ionic Hydrogenation of 3,4-Dihydro-2H-1a,4-oxazine


### 3.6 Conclusions

In conclusion, a new method has been developed for the concise asymmetric synthesis of cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholines from readily available enantiopure amino alcohol precursors. The modular nature of this approach permits variation of the morpholine substituents, and also provides access to fused-ring morpholine derivatives. In addition, modification of catalyst structure can lead to potentially useful 3,4-dihydro2 H -1,4-oxazine products. The strategies described in this chapter significantly expand the range of substituted morpholines that can be prepared in a concise, stereocontrolled manner.

### 3.7 Experimental Section

General: All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in oven or flame dried glassware. Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0) and all phosphine ligands were purchased from Strem Chemical Co. and used without further purification. All aryl bromides were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich Chemical CO or Acros Chemical CO) and were used as obtained. $N$-phenyl- $\alpha$-bromoacetamide, ${ }^{16}(S)$-2-(Boc-amino)-1-propanol, ${ }^{17} \quad N_{\alpha}$-Boc-L-tryptophan, ${ }^{18} \quad(R)$-tert-butyl $\quad$ 1-(benzyloxy)-3-hydroxypropan-2-ylcarbamate, ${ }^{19} \quad$ (S)-tert-butyl $\quad$ 1-hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2ylcarbamate, ${ }^{20}$ (S)-tert-butyl 1-hydroxy-4-(methylthio)butan-2-ylcarbamate, ${ }^{21}$ and 1-(phenylamino)butan-2-ol, ${ }^{22}$ were prepared according to published procedures. ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-(phenylamino)cyclohexanol ${ }^{23}$ and ( $\pm$ )-trans-2-(phenylamino)cyclopentanol ${ }^{24}$ were synthesized via ring-opening of cyclohexene oxide or cyclopentene oxide with aniline. ${ }^{23}$ Toluene and THF were purified using a GlassContour solvent purification system. Yields refer to isolated yields of compounds estimated to be $\geq 95 \%$ pure as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and either capillary GC (known compounds) or ESI Mass Spectrometry (new compounds). The yields reported in the Supporting Information describe the result of a single experiment, whereas the yields reported in Table 3-4, Table 3-5, and Table 6 are average yields of two or more experiments. Thus, the yields reported in the supporting information may differ from those shown in Table 3-4, Table 3-5, and Table 6.

## Synthesis of Substrates



S1
( $\pm$ )-N-Phenyl-2-(but-3-en-2-yloxy)acetamide (S1). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with 3-butene-2-ol $(0.73 \mathrm{~mL}$, 8.41 mmol ) and THF ( 3.5 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath and sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $336 \mathrm{mg}, 8.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then a solution of phenyl bromoacetamide ${ }^{16}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 13.5 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 1 h ). The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered by suction filtration to remove insoluble material, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluent to afford the title compound ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ) as colorless crystals, m.p. $48-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,10.1,17.2$ Hz, 1 H ), $5.28-5.18$ (m, 2 H ), 4.05 (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ (d, $J=$ 6.4 Hz, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.8,138.4,137.0,128.8,124.2,119.6$, 117.2, 78.0, 67.5, 20.9; IR (film) 3386, $1682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 228.0996 ( 228.1000 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

( $\pm$ )-N-[2-(But-3-en-2-yloxy)ethyl]aniline (16). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 1}(450 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF (4.4 mL). The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath, and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF , $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 23 h ). The mixture was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with diethyl ether (10 $m L)$. An aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{M})$ was added followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6$ mL ), and an insoluble white solid precipitated. The organic supernatant was decanted to a clean Erlenmeyer flask and the precipitate was washed with diethyl ether ( 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo to afford an amber oil. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography using $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluent to afford the title compound $(263 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71$ (ddd, $J=7.1,10.2,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.66-3.59 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.44(m, 1 H), 3.29-3.16(m, 2 H), $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.1,140.0,129.0,117.3,115.8,112.9,77.0,66.4$, 43.6, 21.1; IR (film) 3403, $1604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 192.1382 (192.1388 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

(-)-(S)-Benzyl
3-(1-benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-(tert-
butoxycarbonylamino)propanoate (S2). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $N_{\alpha}$-Boc-L-tryptophan ${ }^{18}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ and DMF ( 3.3 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath, and sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $389 \mathrm{mg}, 9.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then benzyl bromide ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 36 h ). The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude yellow oil was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluent to afford the title compound $(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a white solid, m.p. $98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-3.2\left(c=2.88, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), 5.05 (s, 2 H ), $5.00-4.87$ (m, 3 H ), 4.63-4.51 (m, 1 H$), 3.25-3.09$ (m, 2 H ), 1.30 (s, 9 $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.0,155.1,137.4,136.4,135.3,128.7,128.5$, $128.4,128.3,127.5,126.9,126.6,121.9,119.3,119.0,109.6,109.2,79.7,66.9,54.4$, 49.8, 28.3, 27.9 (two aromatic carbon signals are incidentally equivalent); IR (film) 3427, $1713 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 507.2249 (507.2260 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

(-)-(S)-tert-Butyl 1-(1-benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-3-hydroxypropan-2ylcarbamate (8e). The reduction of $\mathbf{S 2}(10.82 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was conducted for 18 h using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 6}$. This procedure afforded the title compound $(7.22 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a colorless solid, m.p. $94-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-7.0\left(c=1.43, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31-7.21 (m, 4 H), 7.20-7.07 (m, 4 H), 6.98 (s, 1 H), 5.26 (s, 2 H ), 4.84 (d, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.03-3.91 (m, 1 H$), 3.73-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.3,137.5,136.6,128.7,128.3,127.6$, 126.8, 126.7, 121.9, 119.3, 119.1, 111.0, 109.7, 79.6, 64.9, 53.0, 49.9, 28.3, 26.9; IR (film) $3356,1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 403.2006 ( 403.1998 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).


S3 ( $\pm$ )-( $\left.1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}\right)$ ) $N$-(2-hydroxycyclohexyl)- $N$-phenylbenzamide (S3). A solution of trans-2-(phenylamino)cyclohexanol ${ }^{23}(956 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ), was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and benzoyl chloride ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 48 h , then was transferred to a separatory funnel. The mixture was washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and
concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ dichloromethane as the eluant to afford $1.13 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%)$ of the title compound as a white solid, m.p. $182-184{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.24$ (m, 2 H), 7.24-7.06 (m, 8 H), 4.77-4.61 (m, 1 H), 3.53-3.37 (m, 1 H$), 2.76$ (s, br, 1 H ), $2.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.29-1.00 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 173.2, 139.5, 136.8, 130.8, 129.1, $128.7,128.3,127.63,127.56,71.7,61.5,35.7,30.2,25.2,24.3$; IR (film) $3319,1622, \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; MS (ESI) 318.1465 ( 318.1470 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

$\mathbf{S 4}( \pm)$-cis-2-(phenylamino)cyclohexanol (S4). A solution of $\mathbf{S 3}$ (3.0 g, 10.2 mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 50 mL ) under nitrogen was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thionyl chloride ( $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, and $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux with vigorous stirring for 6 h , then was cooled to rt, filtered, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ethyl acetate layers were discarded, and the aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH}>9$ using 5 M NaOH . The aqueous layer was then extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined ether layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $15 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant to afford $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%)$ of the title compound as a white solid, m.p. $75-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{25}$ m.p. $72-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09-4.02 (m, 1 H ), 3.73 ( s , br, 1 H ), 3.44-3.37(m, 1 H ), 2.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.90-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.2,129.2,117.6,113.7,67.6,54.8,31.3,27.0,23.5,20.0$; IR (film) 3397, $1505 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 214.1211 (214.1208 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).


S5 ( $\pm$ )-( $\left.1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}\right)$ - $N$-(2-hydroxycyclopentyl)- $N$-phenylbenzamide (S5). A solution of trans-2-(phenylamino)cyclopentanol ${ }^{24}(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine $(8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ), was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring and benzoyl chloride ( $3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel then washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was dissolved in methanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and potassium carbonate $(6.9 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h , then was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 25 mL ). The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a red-orange oil. The crude material was dissolved in a minimal amount of hot ethyl acetate and then cooled in a $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer until crystals formed. Filtration afforded 2.27 g (81\%) of the title
compound as a white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 109-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.03$ (m, 10 H$), 4.79-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.82-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,140.1,136.4,130.3,129.3,128.8,128.3,127.64,127.57,76.8,67.5$, 32.5, 28.4, 21.0; IR (film) 3401, $1633 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 304.1312 (304.1313 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

( $\pm$ )-cis-2-(phenylamino)cyclopentanol (S6). The conversion of $\mathbf{S 5}$ ( 1.41 g , 5.0 mmol ) to the title compound was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{S 4}$. This procedure afforded $880 \mathrm{mg}(99 \%)$ of the title compound as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-$ 6.61 (m, 3 H), 4.33-4.23(m, 1 H), 3.69-3.53 (m, 1 H), $3.05(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.00-1.75 (m, 3 H), 1.69-1.49 (m, 2 H ) (the OH signal was not observed due to broadening), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.7,129.3,118.1,113.5,71.3,59.4,32.5$ 30.1, 20.3; IR (film) $3398,1504 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 178.1225 (178.1232 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).
$\xrightarrow[\text { 2) allyl bromide }]{\substack{\mathrm{Boc} \\ \text { 1) } \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}}}$

General Procedure 1: Conversion of N -Boc-2-aminoethanols to N -Boc-1-allyloxy-2aminoethanes. A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $N$-Boc-2-aminoethanol (1 equiv) and a sufficient volume of DMF to provide a 0.5 M solution. The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath, and sodium hydride ( 1.1 equiv, $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) was added. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$, then allyl bromide ( 1.1 equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 2 h ). The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

(-)-(S)-tert-Butyl (2-allyloxy-1-methylethyl)carbamate (11a). General Procedure 1 was conducted on ( $S$ )-2-(Boc-amino)-1-propanol ${ }^{17} 8 \mathbf{8 a}(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and gave the title compound ( $2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a colorless oil after purification by chromatography using $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{D}-15.9(c=1.33$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.94-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.20-5.15 (m, 1 H), $4.71(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.9$, $134.3,116.3,78.3,72.9,71.5,45.6,28.0,17.5$; IR (film) $3345,1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) $238.1415\left(238.1419\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

(-)-(S)-tert-Butyl 1-(allyloxy)-3-phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate (11b).
General Procedure 1 was conducted on (S)-tert-butyl 1-hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2ylcarbamate ${ }^{20} \mathbf{8 a}(10.30 \mathrm{~g}, 41.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and gave the title compound $(10.21 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-21.8\left(c=2.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ H), $5.97-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.02-3.88 (m, 3 H ), 3.39-3.30(m, 2 H ), 2.95-2.80(m, 2 H ), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.1,138.0,134.3,129.2,128.1,126.0,116.7,78.7,71.8,69.8$, 51.4, 37.6, 28.1; IR (film) $3348,1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 314.1735 ( 314.1732 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

(-)-(S)-tert-Butyl 1-(allyloxy)-4-(methylthio)butan-2-ylcarbamate
(11c). General Procedure 1 was conducted on (S)-tert-butyl 1-hydroxy-4-(methylthio)butan-2-ylcarbamate ${ }^{21} \mathbf{8 c}(510.8 \mathrm{mg}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ using THF as solvent and
2.0 equiv of sodium hydride. This modified procedure gave the title compound (353.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.6\left(c=0.59, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.94-5.82$ (m, 1 H), 5.30-5.22 (m, 1 H$), 5.21-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.5,134.5,117.0,79.2,72.1$, 71.7, 49.7, 31.9, 30.7, 28.3, 15.5; IR (film) 3342, $1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 298.1445 (298.1453 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

(+)-(R)-tert-Butyl 1-(allyloxy)-3-(benzyloxy)propan-2-ylcarbamate
(11d). General Procedure 1 was conducted on (R)-tert-butyl 1-(benzyloxy)-3-hydroxypropan-2-ylcarbamate ${ }^{19} \mathbf{8 d}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ using NaOt Bu ( 1.1 equiv) in place of sodium hydride. This modified procedure gave the title compound $(1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as a colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.6\left(c=2.93, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ H), $5.92-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.03-3.84(m, 3 H ), 3.64-3.45 (m, 4 H ), $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.4,138.2,134.6,128.3,127.6,127.5,116.9,79.3,73.1,72.0,68.9,68.8$, 49.7, 28.3; IR (film) $3345,1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 344.1833 ( 344.1838 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

(-)-(S)-tert-Butyl
1-(allyloxy)-3-(1-benzyl-1H-indol-3-
yl)propan-2-ylcarbamate (11e). General Procedure 1 was conducted on $\mathbf{8 e}(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.88$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and gave the title compound $(2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ as a colorless oil after purification by chromatography using $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-10.4(c=0.96$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18-7.02 (m, 4 H$), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.10-2.95 (m, 2 H ), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.4,137.5,136.4$, $134.6,128.6,128.5,127.4,126.7,126.6,121.6,119.2,119.0,116.8,111.4,109.4,78.9$, $71.9,70.2,50.8,49.7,28.3,27.0$; IR (film) $3425,1709 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 443.2314 (443.2311 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )- $N$-[2-(Allyloxy)butyl]aniline (18). General Procedure 1 was conducted on 1-(phenylamino)butan-2-ol ${ }^{22}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ using THF as solvent. This modified procedure gave the title compound $(0.639 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.21-7.14 (m, 2 H), 6.74-6.67 (m, 1 H), 6.66-6.59 (m, 2 H$), 5.99-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.32-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.33-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.4,135.1,129.2,117.4,116.9,113.0,78.7,70.2,46.2$,
25.0, 9.8; IR (film) $3402,1604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 206.1535 (206.1545 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}$, $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).


General Procedure 2: Conversion of N -Boc-2-(allyloxy)ethylamines to N -aryl-2(allyloxy)ethylamines. A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with the $N$-Boc-2-(allyloxy)ethylamine (1.0 equiv) and a sufficient volume of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide a 2 M solution. The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath and an equal volume of trifluoroacetic acid was added dropwise. The solution was warmed to rt and stirred until the starting material was consumed as judged by TLC analysis (ca. 1 h ). The reaction was concentrated in vacuo, then azeotroped twice with toluene to remove any remaining trifluoroacetic acid. The crude 2(allyloxy)ethylammonium trifluoroacetate was immediately carried on without further purification.

A Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with nitrogen. The tube was charged with NaOt Bu (2.4 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ complex, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), and either $\left(o\right.$-biphenyl) $\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%),( \pm)-\mathrm{BINAP}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, or $\mathrm{P}(t \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The tube was then evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen, and the aryl bromide (1.0 equiv) and a 0.5 M solution of the 2-(allyloxy)ethylammonium trifluoroacetate (1.0 equiv) in toluene were added (aryl bromides that were solids at room temperature were added as
solids following the addition of $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu})$. The mixture was heated to $50-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until the amine was consumed as judged by GC analysis (12-18 h). The mixture was cooled to rt, diluted with ether ( 5 mL ), filtered through Celite, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

(-)-(S)-N-[1-(Allyloxy)propan-2-yl]aniline (9a). General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of 11a ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and bromobenzene using ( $o$ biphenyl) $\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}$ as ligand and a reaction temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as a light yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $7.5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. The enantiopurity was judged to be $99 \%$ ee by chiral hplc analysis (chiralcel OD column, $0.5 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes, $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}=$ 5.71 min and 8.39 min$),[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.0\left(c=0.30, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.18-7.11 (m, 2 H$), 6.70-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.30-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.4,134.7,129.3,117.3,117.0,113.4,73.5$, 72.2, 48.3, 18.1; IR (film) $3401,1603 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 214.1204 (214.1208 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

( $\pm$ )-N-[1-(Allyloxy)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl]-4-methoxyaniline
General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of $\mathbf{1 1 b}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $4-$ bromoanisole using tri-tert-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate as ligand and a reaction
temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $581 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98-2.85 (m, 2 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 152.1, 141.1, 138.6, 134.6, 129.3, $128.2,126.1,116.7,115.0,114.8,71.9,70.0,55.5,55.0,37.0$; IR (film) 3386, 2932, 1513 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 298.1794$ (298.1807 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(-)-(S)-N-[1-(Allyloxy)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl]aniline (9c). General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of $\mathbf{1 1 b}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ with bromobenzene using ( $\pm$ )-BINAP as ligand and a reaction temperature of $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $806 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-42.9\left(c=0.92, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 5.21-5.17 (m, 1 H), 4.04-3.91 (m, 3 H), 3.81-3.73(m, 1 H), 3.45-3.37 (m, 2 H), 3.00-2.88 (m, 2 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.1,138.5,134.6,129.4,129.3$, $128.4,126.3,117.4,117.0,113.5,72.2,70.0,53.9,37.1$; IR (film) $3406,1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) 268.1690 (268.1701 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

(-)-(S)-N-[1-(Allyloxy)-4-(methylthio)butan-2-yl]aniline (9d).
General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of $11 \mathrm{c}(165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), with bromobenzene using (o-biphenyl) $\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}$ as ligand and a reaction temperature of $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a light amber oil after purification by chromatography using 5\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-31.8$ ( $c=$ $0.76, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 6.69-6.65 (m, 2 H), 5.98-5.86 (m, 1 H), 5.33-5.25 (m, 1 H), 5.23-5.18 (m, 1 H), 4.01 (d, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.85 (s, br, 1 H ), $3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 1.93-1.82 (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.3$, 134.5, 129.1, 117.2, $116.8,113.2,72.0,71.1,51.6,31.4,30.9,15.4$; IR (film) 3391, $1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 252.1419 ( 252.1422 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NOS}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(+)-(R)-N-[1-(Allyloxy)-3-(benzyloxy)propan-2-yl]-4-chloroaniline
( $\mathbf{9}$ e). General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of $\mathbf{1 1 d}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ with 4-chlorobromobenzene using ( $\pm$ )-BINAP as ligand and a reaction temperature of 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $910 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.8(c=$ $0.95, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.56-6.50(m, 2 H), 5.94-5.82 (m, 1 H$), 5.26(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.7,138.1,134.5,129.1,128.4,127.7,127.6,121.9$, 117.1, 114.3, 73.3, 72.2, 68.8, 68.7, 52.8; IR (film) $3414,1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 332.1403 (332.1417 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

(-)-(S)-3-[1-(Allyloxy)-3-(1-benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)propan-2-
ylamino]benzonitrile (9f). General Procedure 2 was employed for the coupling of 11e ( $249.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.593 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), with 3-bromobenzonitrile using ( $\pm$ )-BINAP as ligand and a reaction temperature of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $151.0 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $7.5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant followed by heating under vacuum in a Kugelrohr apparatus ( $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.3 \mathrm{Torr}$ ) to remove hydrocarbon impurities. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-45.4\left(c=0.53, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.06$ (m, 2 H), 6.95-6.90 (m, 2 H), 6.81-6.73 (m, 2 H), 5.97-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.32-5.23 (m, 3 H), $5.21-5.16$ (m, 1 H ), 4.18 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.99-3.95 (m, 2 H), 3.88-3.79 (m, 1 H), 3.49-3.39 (m, 2 H ), 3.16-3.03 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.6$, $137.4,136.5,134.4,129.9,128.7,128.5,127.6,126.8,126.7,121.9,120.4,119.4,119.3$, $118.8,117.4,117.2,115.4,112.8,110.8,109.7,72.1,70.3,53.0,49.8,26.5$; IR (film) 3391, 2227, $1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 444.2053 (444.2052 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

cis-2-(allyloxy)cyclohexylaniline (14a). A solution of $\mathbf{S 4}$ ( $764 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0$ mmol ) in THF ( 16 mL ) cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen with stirring. Solid $\mathrm{NaH}(216 \mathrm{mg}$, $5.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ suspension in mineral oil) was added slowly and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Allyl bromide ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was warmed to rt. After the starting material had been completely consumed as judged by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and transferred to a separatory funnel. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant to afford 610 mg $(66 \%)$ of the title compound as an amber oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.20-7.13$ (m, 2 H$), 6.70-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.16-4.03 (m, 2 H), 3.93-3.86 (m, 1 H), 3.71-3.66(m, 1 H$), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.05-1.97 (m, 1 H ), 1.81-1.68 (m, 2 H ), 1.67-1.50 (m, 2 H ), 1.48-1.26 (m, 3 H ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.4,135.4,129.2,117.0,116.2,113.6,75.5,69.6,53.7$, 28.3, 27.4, 24.2, 20.2; IR (film) 3402, $1505 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 232.1707 (232.1701 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

trans-2-(allyloxy)cyclohexylaniline (14b). ${ }^{26}$ The conversion of trans-2(phenylamino)cyclohexanol ${ }^{23}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ to the title compound was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that described above for the synthesis of

14a. This procedure afforded $578 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$ of the title compound as an amber oil. Spectroscopic properties were consistent with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{26}$

trans-2-(allyloxy)cyclopentylaniline (14c). The conversion of trans-2(phenylamino)cyclopentanol ${ }^{24}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to the title compound was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that described above for the synthesis of 14a. This procedure afforded $535 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of the title compound as an amber oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-$ 3.65 (m, 2 H ), 3.48 (s, br, 1 H ), 2.25-2.10 (m, 1 H), 1.87-1.59 (m, 4 H), 1.43-1.30 (m, 1 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.6,135.0,129.0,117.0,116.4,113.0,84.9,69.9$, 59.8, 31.5, 30.0, 21.7; IR (film) $3404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 218.1543 (218.1545 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

cis-2-(allyloxy)cyclopentylaniline (14d). The conversion of $\mathbf{S 6}$ ( $532 \mathrm{mg}, 3$ mmol) was accomplished using a procedure analogous to that described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 4 a}$. This procedure afforded $601 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of the title compound as an amber oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.19-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-$ $5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.6,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.05-3.98 (m, 1 H), 3.96-3.89 (m, 2 H ), 3.75-3.65 (m, 1 H), 2.07-1.94 (m, 1 H ), $1.88-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.0,135.0$,
129.2, 116.9, 116.7, 113.3, 79.9, 70.3, 56.1, 29.8, 29.4, 20.3; IR (film) 3401, 1602, 1505 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 240.1366 (240.1364 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

## General Procedure 4: Synthesis of Morpholines via Pd-Catalyzed Carboamination.

 A Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with nitrogen. The tube was charged with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}(9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaOtBu}(96.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The tube was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen, then the aryl bromide ( 1.0 mmol ) and a solution of the amine substrate $(0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the schlenk tube (aryl bromides that were solids at room temperature were added as solids following the addition of NaOtBu ). The mixture was heated to $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until the substrate was consumed as judged by GC analysis (12-18 h). The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. (-)-(3S,5R)-3-Benzyl-5-(2-methylbenzyl)-4-phenylmorpholine (10a). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 2-bromotoluene with $\mathbf{9 c}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-3.1(c=1.20$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$,
7.14-7.07 (m, 4 H), 7.07-7.00(m, 1 H ), 3.71 (dd, $J=5.4,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ H), 2.81-2.66 (m, 4 H ), $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.5,138.9$, $137.0,136.5,130.4,129.6,129.5,129.1,128.5,126.3,126.3,125.9,121.7,119.6,69.6$, 69.6, 57.9, 56.9, 37.0, 33.6, 19.6; IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 358.2174 (358.2171 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(+)-(3R,5S)-3-(4-tert-Butylbenzyl)-5-methyl-4phenylmorpholine (10b). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 1-bromo-4-tert-butylbenzene with 9a. This procedure gave the title compound ( 88.7 mg , $55 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with 5\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. The enantiopurity was judged to be $99 \%$ ee by chiral hplc analysis (chiralcel OD column, $0.05 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes as the eluant, $0.25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}=51.29 \mathrm{~min}$ and $63.38 \mathrm{~min}),[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+112.1\left(c=0.90, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.32$ (m, 2 H$), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.11$ (m, 2 H ), 7.06-7.01 (m, 3 H ), 3.79 (dd, $J=3.4$, $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40-3.32$ (m, 1 H ), 2.62 (dd, $J=3.0,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.47 (dd, $J=10.8,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.0$, 148.0, 135.9, 129.2, 128.7, 125.3, 122.5, 121.6, 73.0, 70.1, 58.7, 52.7, 36.3, 34.3, 31.3, 16.4; IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 324.2330 ( 324.2327 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(+)-(3R,5S)-3-Cinnamyl-5-methyl-4-phenylmorpholine (10c).
General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of $\beta$-bromostyrene $(2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) with $9 \mathbf{9}$ using 4 equiv of $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ and a catalyst composed of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}(18.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. This modified procedure gave the title compound $(70 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%)$ as a dark amber oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+48.3(c=1.50$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 7.3, 11.4 Hz, 1 H), $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.1,137.3,132.1$, $129.1,128.4,127.1,126.7,125.9,123.2,122.7,73.1,70.7,57.3,53.4,34.2,16.2 ;$ IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 294.1858 (294.1858 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-( $\left.3 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)$-3-Benzyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-(4methylbenzyl)morpholine (10d). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4-bromotoluene with $9 \mathbf{b}$ on a 0.30 mmol scale. This procedure gave the title compound (27 mg, 23\%) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with 5\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
7.17-7.12 (m, 1 H$), 7.05-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ (dt, $J=2.8,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.57$ (m, 2 H), 2.37-2.26 (m, 5 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.7$, 141.3, 138.7, 135.6, $135.6,129.0,129.0,128.8,128.3,126.1,125.9,114.6,71.1,71.1,61.4,61.3,55.5,37.2$, 36.8, 21.0; IR (film) $3436,2924,1509 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 388.2280 ( 388.2277 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

(+)-(3S,5R)-3-Benzyl-5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-4phenylmorpholine (10e). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 3bromoanisole with 9 c. This procedure gave the title compound $(96.4 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.5\left(c=1.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.62-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7$, 147.1, 140.7, 139.1, 129.6, 129.5, 129.2, 128.6, 126.3, 121.5, 120.1, 116.9, 115.1, 111.4, 68.8, $68.7,56.3,56.2,55.1,36.6,36.6$; IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 374.2117 (374.2120 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(+)-(3S,5R)-3-Benzyl-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4-
phenylmorpholine (10f). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4 -
bromoanisole with $9 \mathbf{c}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.9\left(c=0.71, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35-7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.27-7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.18-7.12 (m, 4 H$), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.89-6.84 (m, 2 H ), 3.86-3.76 (m, 5 H ), 3.63-3.50(m, 4 H ), 2.87-2.73 (m, 4 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.1,147.2,139.1,131.1,130.1,129.6,129.2,128.6,126.3$, $120.0,116.9,114.0,68.7,56.5,56.3,55.2,36.6,35.7$. (two aliphatic carbon signals are incidentally equivalent); IR (film) $1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 374.2113 ( 374.2120 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

(+)-(3R,5S)-3-Benzyl-5-[2-(methylthio)ethyl]-4-
phenylmorpholine (10g). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of bromobenzene with 9d. This procedure gave the title compound ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+122.9\left(c=0.88, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.31$ (m, 2 H$), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.51$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.2,139.0,129.5,129.1,128.5,126.3,120.5,117.7,69.5$,
$68.9,56.8,53.9,36.6,31.3,29.1,15.5$; IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 328.1725 (328.1735 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NOS}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

(+)-(3S,5R)-3-(Benzyloxymethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-(4methylbenzyl)morpholine (10h). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4-bromotoluene with $\mathbf{9 e}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as an amber oil after purification by chromatography with $7.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+136.8\left(c=0.93, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.22$ (m, 7 H ), $7.14-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.55$ (m, 1 H), 3.52-3.46 (m, 2 H), 3.42-3.37 (m, 1 H), 2.59 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.5,138.0,136.0,135.4,129.4,129.4,129.0,128.4$, 127.8, 127.6, 123.7, 115.8, 73.4, 68.3, 67.8, 67.7, 54.9, 53.2, 35.7, 21.0; IR (film) 1595 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 422.1873 ( 422.1887 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(+)-(3S,5R)-3-\{3-[(1-Benzyl-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl]-5-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)morpholino\}benzonitrile (10i). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 2-bromonaphthalene with $\mathbf{9 f}$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a yellow solid after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant followed by heating under vacuum in a Kugelrohr
apparatus ( $195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.3$ Torr) to remove hydrocarbon impurities. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. m.p. $83-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+55.5\left(c=1.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.77-7.72 (m, 2 H$), 7.50-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.51 (ddd, $J=2.2,11.7,26.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.33-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.3,137.3,136.6,135.9,133.5,132.2,130.4$, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.5, 127.2, 126.7, 126.5, 126.3, 125.6, 122.1, 121.2, 119.6, 119.4, 118.7, 117.8, 116.9, 113.5, 111.8, 109.9, 68.4, 67.6, 54.4, 54.0, 49.9, 36.6, 25.8; IR (film) $2228,1596 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 570.2519 ( 570.2521 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

(+)-(S)-3-Benzyl-5-(2-methylbenzyl)-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-
1,4-oxazine (12a). The title compound was isolated as a side product in the above reaction of $9 \mathbf{b}$ with 2-bromotoluene (yellow oil, $14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%) .[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+74.9(c=1.02$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-$ $6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dd, $J=2.3,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.6, $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.61-2.54 (m, 1 H ), $2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $149.0,139.6,137.5,136.6,130.7,130.2,129.7,129.5,128.9,128.2,126.3,126.0,125.7$, 123.7, 122.7, 118.2, 64.1, 63.3, 36.2, 33.4, 19.3; IR (film) $1658,1596 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 356.2012 ( 356.2014 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

(S)-3,5-Dibenzyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazine (12b). A

Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with nitrogen. The tube was charged with $[(\operatorname{IPr}) \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{Cl}]^{27}(15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}(112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ mmol), and phenanthrene ( $89.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. Bromobenzene ( $105 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a solution of $9 \mathbf{~} \mathbf{~ ( ~} 133.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol ) in toluene ( $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ ) were added to the Schlenk tube. The mixture was heated to $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until the amine substrate was consumed as judged by GC analysis (15 h). The mixture was cooled to rt, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (3 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo. A crude yield of $79 \%$ was calculated as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis with phenanthrene as an internal standard The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $1.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant to afford the title compound ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. This material contained ca. $10 \%$ of an unknown impurity as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. This material readily decomposes on a TLC plate or in a chloroform solution open to air. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-$ 7.16 (m, 8 H), 7.12-7.05 (m, 2 H), 7.04-6.98 (m, 1 H), 6.81-6.73 (m, 2 H), 6.69-6.62 (m, 2 H ), $6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.3,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.1,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.6,140.0,139.6,129.9,129.5$, 129.0, 128.9, 128.2, 128.1, 126.1, 126.0, 123.6, 122.7, 119.4, 64.2, 63.1, 36.2, 36.0; IR
(film) 1658, 1596, $1492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 342.1861 ( 342.1858 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-( $3 R^{*}, 4 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-3-Benzyl-4-phenyloctahydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine (15a). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of bromobenzene with $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This procedure gave the title compound (150 mg, 65\%) as white solid after purification by chromatography with $2 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. m.p. $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.38-7.28 (m, 6 H), 7.26-7.20 (m, 1 H), 6.93-6.83 (m, 2 H), 6.79-6.71 (m, 1 H), 4.04 (d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.20$ (m, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.5,139.9,129.6,129.3,128.7,126.3,116.6$, $111.8,75.6,67.8,53.0,37.2,31.7,25.4,25.0,20.9$ (one aliphatic carbon signal is absent due to incidental equivalence); $\operatorname{IR}$ (film) $1598,1503 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 308.2011 (308.2014 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

$( \pm)-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 \mathrm{aS}{ }^{*}, 8 \mathrm{aS}{ }^{*}\right)$-3-Benzyl-4-phenyloctahydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine (15b). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of bromobenzene with $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This procedure gave the title compound ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid, after purification by chromatography with $2 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
analysis before and after purification. m.p. $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.40-7.08 (m, 8 H), 6.99-6.91 (m, 2 H), $3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.37-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.09(\mathrm{t}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.20$ (m, 3 H ), 1.16-0.94 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 148.7, 138.5, 129.1, 128.9, 128.2, 128.0, 126.3, 126.1, 80.8, 71.7, 66.6, 62.5, 37.5, 31.3, 29.7, 24.6, 24.5; IR (film) $1488,1448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 308.2018 ( 308.2014 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

( $\pm$ )-(3R*,4aS*, $7 \mathbf{a S}^{*}{ }^{*}$ )-3-benzyl-4phenyloctahydrocyclopenta $[b][1,4]$ oxazine (15c). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of bromobenzene with $\mathbf{1 4 c}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as yellow solid after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. m.p. $84-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס 7.39-7.33 (m, 2 H ), 7.30-7.26 (m, 2 H ), 7.24-7.28 (m, 3 H ), 7.17-7.12 (m, 1 H ), 7.04$7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{tt}, J=3.6,10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.8,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 149.2, 138.6, 129.0, $128.9,128.3,126.5,126.2,126.0,82.9,72.8,68.7,61.8,36.6,26.7,26.2,17.4$; IR (film) 1597, 1492, $1126 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 294.1844 (294.1858 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).


$$
( \pm)-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 a S^{*}, 7 a S^{*}\right)-\text { Phenyl }
$$

phenyloctahydrocyclopenta[b][1,4]oxazin-3-ylmethyl)phenyl ketone (15d). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4-bromobenzophenone with $\mathbf{1 4 c}(163 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. This procedure gave the title compound ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as yellow solid after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant followed by heating under vacuum in a Kugelrohr apparatus ( $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.3$ Torr) to remove hydrocarbon impurities. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. m.p. $84-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78-7.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.61-3.48(m, 2 H), 3.40-3.31 (m, 1 H), 2.81-2.65 (m, $2 H), 2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.3,149.1,143.8,137.7,135.6,132.3,130.3,129.9,129.1,128.8$, $128.2,126.5,126.1,82.9,72.7,68.6,61.6,36.7,26.6,26.2,17.4 ;$ IR (film) 1658,1606 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 398.2119 (398.2120 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.


$$
( \pm)-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 7 \mathrm{a} R^{*}\right) \text {-Phenyl }
$$

phenyloctahydrocyclopenta[b][1,4]oxazin-3-ylmethyl)phenyl ketone (15e). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4-bromobenzophenone with $\mathbf{1 4 d}$ ( 109 mg , 0.5 mmol ). This procedure gave the title compound ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as yellow oil after
purification by chromatography with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant followed by heating under vacuum in a Kugelrohr apparatus $\left(160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.3\right.$ Torr) to remove hydrocarbon impurities. This material was judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis before and after purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-$ 7.56 (m, 1 H), 7.52-7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.37-7.30 (m, 2 H), 6.91 (d, $J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.83-3.68 (m, 2 H), 3.58-3.50 (m, 1 H ), 3.11 (dd, $J=1.2,13.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0,1$ H), 2.38-2.25 (m, 1 H ), 2.12-1.86 (m, 3 H ), 1.74-1.50 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.3,147.1,144.7,137.7,135.8,132.3,130.6,130.0,129.6,129.3,128.3$, $116.9,111.9,78.2,66.0,56.3,52.7,35.6,31.3,28.1,21.6$; IR (film) $1658,1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ MS (ESI) 420.1925 (420.1939 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

( $\pm$ )-3-[(2-Methyl-4-phenylmorphlin-3-yl)methyl]benzonitrile
(17). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 3-bromobenzonitrile with 16. This procedure gave the title compound as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers. The crude material was judged to be of $1.3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant gave the title compound $(65 \mathrm{mg}$, $45 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The pure material was judged to be of $2: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Major (cis) diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.13$ (m, 3 H), 6.78-6.69 (m, 3 H), 4.07-3.89 (m, 3 H), $3.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.3,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (dt, $J=3.8,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.91 (dd, $J=6.2,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.7,141.6,133.6,132.7,129.4,129.3,129.0,119.2,118.8,116.1,111.9$, 75.2, 66.8, 62.0, 41.6, 29.8, 18.8. Minor (trans) diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.49-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ 3.74 (m, 2 H), 3.56-3.50 (m, 1 H ), 3.31-3.23 (m, 1 H$), 3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ (dd, $J=$ $3.8,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.2$, $140.8,133.9,132.7,129.8,129.1,128.7,120.0,118.7,116.8,112.3,68.7,67.9,59.7$, 43.9, 32.4, 16.9. IR (film) 2229, $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 293.1656 (293.1654 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

( $\pm$ )-5-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethyl)-2-ethyl-4-phenylmorpholine (19). General Procedure 4 was employed for the coupling of 4-bromobiphenyl with $\mathbf{1 8}$. This procedure gave the title compound as a separable mixture of diastereomers. The crude material was judged to be of $2: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Purification by chromatography with $2 \rightarrow 5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant gave the major diastereomer trans-19 ( $57.2 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ) and minor diastereomer cis-19 (30.1 mg, 17\%) for a combined total mass of $87.3 \mathrm{mg}(49 \%, 2: 1 \mathrm{dr})$. The separated diastereomers were each judged to be of $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis after purification.


EtMajor diastereomer: trans-5-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethyl)-2-ethyl-4-phenylmorpholine (trans-19); yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$, $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,13.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 151.1$, $140.9,139.1,137.6,129.5,129.3,128.7,127.1,127.0,126.9,123.3,122.5,76.8,68.5$, $58.5,57.4,34.8,25.5,9.9$; IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;MS (ESI) 358.2164 (358.2171 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.
 phenylmorpholine (cis-19), yellow solid, m.p. $125-127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=11.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.4,140.9,139.0,138.6,129.8,129.4$, $128.7,127.2,127.1,127.0,118.9,115.1,77.2,67.1,57.2,47.1,30.7,26.8,9.9 ;$ IR (film) $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 358.2171 (358.2171 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).

Ligand Screen for the Synthesis of Morpholines via Pd-Catalyzed Carboamination.
A Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with nitrogen. The tube was
charged with the appropriate palladium catalyst or precatalyst, ligand (where appropriate), phenanthrene ( 1.0 equiv) as an internal standard, and $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ or KOt Bu (2.0 equiv). The tube was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen, then the aryl bromide (2.0 equiv) and a solution of the amine substrate ( 1.0 equiv) in toluene ( 0.4 M ) were added to the Schlenk tube. The mixture was heated to $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 15 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo and the crude product mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Results are shown Table 3-1.

(-)-(E)-(2S)-N-(1-Phenyl-3-(3-o-tolylallyloxy)propan-2$\mathbf{y l}$ )aniline (13). Isolation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ from the mixtures described in Table 3-1 proved to be difficult. As such, a sample of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was prepared by a modification of General Procedure 4. A Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with nitrogen. The tube was charged with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$, dppf ( $4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(212$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The tube was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen, then 2 bromotoluene ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a solution of $\mathbf{9 b}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the Schlenk tube. The mixture was heated to $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring until the substrate was consumed as judged by GC analysis ( 16 h ). The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using 5\% EtOAc/hexanes as
the eluant to afford the title compound $(8 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%)$ as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-21.7(c=$ $0.69, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 6.85-6.77 (m, 1 H$), 6.73-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (dt, $J=6.2,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.22-4.12 (m, 2 H), 3.96 (s, br, 1 H ), 3.80 ( s , br, 1 H ), 3.51-3.44 (m, 2 H ), 3.03-2.90 (m, 2 H ), 2.33 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.1,138.5,135.7,135.5,130.4$, 130.3, 129.4, 129.4, 128.4, 127.6, 127.2, 126.3, 126.1, 125.8, 117.5, 113.5, 72.0, 69.8, 54.0, 37.2, 19.8; IR (film) 3404, 1601, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (ESI) 380.1986 ( 380.1990 calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## Assignment of Stereochemistry

cis-3,5-Disubstituted morpholines derived from alanine, methionine, and serine. The stereochemistry of 5-methylmorpholine $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ was assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below. The stereochemistry of morpholines $\mathbf{1 0 c}$, and $\mathbf{1 0 g}$-h were assigned based on analogy to 10b.

cis-3,5-Disubstituted morpholines derived from phenylalanine, and tryptophan. Due to overlap of key signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholines derived from phenylalanine, the stereochemistry of these compounds was established through preparation of a 1:1.4 mixture of cis-isomer 10a and trans-isomer 25 via hydrogenation of dihydro-2H-1,4-oxazine 12a. The stereochemistry of trans-
disubstituted 3-benzylmorpholine $\mathbf{2 5}$ was assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY and 1D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below. The stereochemistry of cisdisubstituted morpholine $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ was assigned by comparison to $\mathbf{2 5}$. The stereochemistry of morpholines 10e-f, and 10i were assigned based on analogy to 10a.

(3S,5S)-3-Benzyl-5-(2-methylbenzyl)-4-phenylmorpholine (25). A Schlenk tube was evacuated, flame dried, and backfilled with hydrogen. The tube was charged with platinum ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ on activated carbon), then evacuated and backfilled with hydrogen four times. A solution of $\mathbf{1 2 a}(14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2: 1,0.9$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M})$ was added to the Schlenk tube. The mixture was stirred until the oxazine was consumed as judged by GC analysis ( 15 h ). The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 $\mathrm{mL})$ and filtered through celite. The celite was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic solutions were concentrated in vacuo to provide a yellow oil. This material was judged to be of $1.6: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant to yield the title compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ) as a yellow oil along with $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ ( $1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ) as a yellow oil for a combined isolated yield ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%, 1.4: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). The diastereomers were judged to be of $>20: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis after purification. The $(3 S, 5 S)$ stereoisomer was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and MS for purposes of comparison to compound 10a as described above. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31-7.16 (m, 5 H), 7.15-6.98 (m, 7 H ), 3.87 (dd, $J=3.4,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2$
H), $3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,13.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) 358.2163 ( 358.2171 calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$).
( $\pm$ )-cis-3-[(2-methyl-4-phenylmorphlin-3-yl)methylbenzonitrile (cis-17). The stereochemistry of cis-2,3-disubstituted morpholine cis-17 was assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below.

( $\pm$ )-trans-5-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethyl)-2-ethyl-4-phenylmorpholine (trans-19) and ( $\pm$ )-[cis]-5-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethyl)-2-ethyl-4-phenylmorpholine (cis-19).

The stereochemistry of trans- and cis-2,5-disubstituted morpholines 19 were assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below.

trans-19

cis-19
trans-Fused bicyclic morpholines 15b-d. The stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 5 d}$ was assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below. The stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 c}$ was assigned based on analogy to $\mathbf{1 5 d}$.

cis-Fused bicyclic morpholines 15a and 15e. The stereochemistry of 15e was assigned on the basis of 2D NOESY experiments. The key nOe signals are shown below. The stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ was assigned based on analogy to $\mathbf{1 5 e}$.


### 3.8 References

${ }^{1}$ For a review on the synthesis and biological significance of C-substituted morpholines, see: Wijtmans, R.; Vink, M. K. S.; Schoemaker, H. E.; van Delft, F. L.; Blaauw, R. H.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. Synthesis 2004, 641.
${ }^{2}$ For selected examples of biologically active cis-3,5-disubstituted morpholines, see: (a) O’Neil, S. V.; Wang, Y.; Laufersweiler, M. C.; Oppong, K. A.; Soper, D. L.; Wos, J. A.; Ellis, C. D.; Baize, M. W.; Bosch, G. K.; Fancher, A. N.; Lu, W.; Suchanek, M. K.; Wang, R. L.; De, B.; Demuth, T. P., Jr. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 5434. (b) Allison, B. D.; Phuong, V. K.; McAtee, L. C.; Rosen, M.; Morton, M.; Prendergast, C.; Barrett, T.; Lagaud, G.; Freedman, J.; Li, L.; Wu, X.; Venkatesan, H.; Pippel, M.; Woods, C.; Rizzolio, M. C.; Hack, M.; Hoey, K.; Deng, X.; King, C.; Shankley, N. P.; Rabinowitz, M. H. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 6371. (c) Josien, H. B.; Clader, J. W.; Bara, T. A.; Xu, R.; Li, H.; Pissarnitski, D.; Zhao, Z. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2006004880 A2, January 12, 2006; Chem. Abstr. 2006, 144, 129004.
${ }^{3}$ Bobzin, S. C.; Faulkner, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4403.
${ }^{4}$ Nozulak, J.; Vigouret, J. M.; Jaton, A. L.; Hofmann, A.; Dravid, A. R.; Weber, H. P.; Kalkman, H. O.; Walkinshaw, M. D. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 480. 5 Actavis extends portfolio with four new products in the US. http://www.actavis.com/en/media\%2Bcenter/newsroom/articles/for\%2Bnew\%2Bproduct s\%2Bus.htm (accessed March 17, 2011).
${ }^{6}$ For recent approaches to the synthesis of C-substituted morpholines, see: (a) Yar, M.; McGarrigle, E. M.; Aggarwal, V. K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 257. (b) Penso, M.; Lupi, V.; Albanese, D.; Foschi, F.; Landini, D.; Tagliabue, A. Synlett 2008, 2451. (c) Wilkinson, M. C.; Bell, R.; Landon, R.; Nikiforov, P. O.; Walker, A. J. Synlett 2006, 2151. (d) Lanman, B. A.; Myers, A. G. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1045. (e) Tiecco, M.; Testaferri, L.; Marini, F.; Sternativo, S.; Santi, C.; Bagnoli, L.; Temperini, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 2651.

7 For stereoselective syntheses of trans-3,5-disubstituted morpholines, see: (a) Leijondahl, K.; Boren, L.; Braun, R.; Bäckvall, J. -E. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2027. (b) Dave, R.; Sasaki, N. A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 388. (c) Dave, R.; Sasaki, N. A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 15. (d) Takahata, H.; Takahashi, S.; Kouno, S. -i.; Momose, T. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2224. For non-stereoselective syntheses of 3,5-disubstituted morpholines, see: (e) Revesz, L.; Blum, E.; Wicki, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5577. (f) Enders, D.; Meyer, O.; Raabe, G.; Runsink, J. Synthesis 1994, 66. (g) Barluenga, J.; Najera, C.; Yus, M. Synthesis 1978, 911.
${ }^{8}$ D'hooghe, M. D.; Vanlangendonck, T.; Törnroos, K. W.; De Kimpe, N. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4678
${ }^{9}$ (a) Nakhla, J. S.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3279. (b) Nakhla, J. S.; Schultz, D. M.; Wolfe, J. P. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 6549.
${ }^{10}$ For related syntheses of pyrrolidines, imidazolidin-2-ones, isoxazolidines, and pyrazolidines via Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions, see: (a) Ney, J. E.; Wolfe, J. P.

Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3605. (b) Bertrand, M. B.; Neukom, J. D.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8851. (c) Fritz, J. A.; Wolfe, J. P. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 6838. (d) Lemen, G. S.; Giampietro, N. C.; Hay, M. B.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2533. (e) Giampietro, N. C.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12907.
${ }^{11}$ For reviews on Pd-catalyzed carboamination reactions, see: (a) Wolfe, J. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 571. (b) Wolfe, J. P. Synlett 2008, 2913.
${ }^{12}$ (a) Barder, T. E.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12003. (b) Yamashita, M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5344.
${ }^{13}$ (a) Heck, R. F. Synlett 2006, 2855. (b) Beller, M.; Zapf, A.; Reirmeier, T. H. in Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ed, Beller, M.; Bolm, C., Eds; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004, pp 271-305.
${ }^{14} \operatorname{IPr}=1,3$-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene. Although this electron-rich ligand is also sterically bulky, it appears that ligand electronic properties play a larger role than steric properties in this particular reaction. For further discussion on the steric and electronic properties of NHC ligands, see: Diez-Gonzalez, S.; Nolan, S. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 874.
${ }^{15}$ Wang, L.; Liu, Q. B.; Wang, D. S.; Li, X.; Han, X. W.; Xiao, W. J.; Zhou, Y. G. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1119.
${ }^{16}$ Ratnakar, J. S.; Woods, M.; Lubag, A. J. M.; Kovács, Z.; Sherry, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6-7.
${ }^{17}$ Rengasamy, R.; Curtis-Long, M. J.; Seo, W. D.; Jeong, S. H.; Jeong, I.; Park, K. H. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2898-2901.
${ }^{18}$ Keller, O.; Keller, W. E.; Look, G.; Wersin, G. Org. Syn. Coll. Vol. 7, 1990, $70-76$.
${ }^{19}$ Dave, R.; Sasaki, A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 15-18.
${ }^{20}$ Chankeshwara, S. V.; Chakraborti, A. K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3259-3262.
${ }^{21}$ Correa, A.; Denis, J. N.; Greene, A. E. Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 1-9.
${ }^{22}$ Mojtahedi, M. M.; Abaee, M. S.; Hamidi, V. Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 1671-1674.
${ }^{23}$ Wang, Z.; Cui, Y. -T.; Xu, Z. -B.; Qu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2270-2274.
${ }^{24}$ Arai, K.; Lucarini, S.; Salter, M.; Ohta, K.; Yamashita, Y.; Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8103-8111.
${ }^{25}$ Lewis, J. W.; Myers, P. L.; Ormerod, J. A.; J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 25212524.
${ }^{26}$ Prasad, B. A.; Sanghi, R.; Singh, V. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 7355-7363.
${ }^{27}$ Marion, N.; Frémont, P.; Puijk, I. M.; Ecarnot, E. C.; Amoroso, D.; Bell, A.; Nolan, S. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2380-2384.

## Chapter 4

## Development of a Mild Curtius Rearrangement for the Synthesis of Benzylic and Heteroarylmethyl Amines

### 4.1 Introduction

The Curtius rearrangement was first reported in 1890 when Thomas Curtius described the conversion of benzoylhydrazine (1) to diphenylurea (3) (Scheme 4-1). ${ }^{1}$

## Scheme 4-1: Discovery of the Curtius Rearrangement



The defining step of the Curtius rearrangement is the conversion of an acyl azide (5) to an isocyanate (6) via a 1,2-carbon-to-nitrogen migration with extrusion of dinitrogen (Scheme 4-2). ${ }^{2}$ Subsequent hydrolysis of the isocyanate generates primary amines, or trapping of the isocyanate with a nucleophile leads to ureas, carbamates, or amides. Converting a carboxylic acid to an amine functionality is fundamentally useful in organic synthesis, and as such, the Curtius rearrangement has been widely utilized and has been carefully investigated. Useful reviews summarizing the development and application of this rearrangement are available. ${ }^{3}$ A key feature of the Curtius rearrangement is that it proceeds with retention of stereopurity. ${ }^{4}$ Mechanistic studies indicate that the rearrangement proceeds through a concerted mechanism with an asynchronous transition state. ${ }^{5}$

## Scheme 4-2: The Curtius Rearrangement



### 4.2 Modern Developments and Current Challenges

The Curtius rearrangement was quickly recognized as a highly useful transformation, but the potential for acyl azides to decompose explosively introduced a safety hazard and methodological challenge for some substrates. This limitation led to the development of reagents and methods that facilitate acyl azide generation, Curtius rearrangement, and isocyanate trapping in a single flask. The most commonly used reagent for this transformation is diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA), which allows the direct transformation of carboxylic acids into carbamates. ${ }^{6}$ Use of this reagent is potentially complicated by the high temperatures required to achieve conversion to the desired carbamate, which could compromise the stability of sensitive functionalities. Furthermore, substrates that contain acidic protons adjacent to the carboxylic acid undergoing rearrangement, such as benzylic or malonic acids (7), are problematic for the Curtius rearrangement using DPPA, often leading to ester byproducts (9) as judged by LC-MS characterization. ${ }^{7}$ This could potentially occur through elimination of hydrazoic acid, formation of the ketene ( $\mathbf{8}$ ) and subsequent trapping with the alcohol nucleophile (Scheme 4-3, eq 1). ${ }^{8}$

For example, selective ester formation was observed by LC-MS when attempting to convert acid $\mathbf{1 0}$ to carbamate $\mathbf{1 1}$ by heating $\mathbf{1 0}$ with DPPA and triethylamine in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$
(Scheme 4-3, eq 2). To avoid this side reaction, it was found that sequential formation of the acyl azide $\mathbf{1 3}$ and treatment with $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ at room temperature in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by addition of $t$-BuOH led to the desired product in moderate yield (Scheme 4-3, eq 3).

## Scheme 4-3: Formation of undesired ester byproducts



1. $(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
desired product.
not observed


Benzylic amines are found in many important biologically active molecules. ${ }^{9}$ For example, three highly profitable pharmaceutical products have chrial benzylic amines in their structures. Zoloft (14) helps treat depression and obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD); Cialis (15) is an erectile dysfunction therapeutic, and Sensipar (16) helps to treat hyperparathyroidism. Furthermore, benzylic amines bearing stereogenic centers have been used as ligands in asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{10}$ Optimization of this room temperature Curtius rearrangement was motivated by the broad importance of benzylic amines.

Figure 4-1: Example Chiral Benzylic Amines Found in Bilogically Active Molecules
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Zoloft - Pfizer
$\$ 1.77$ Billion (2006)
Depression and OCD
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Cialis - Eli-Lilly
$\$ 560$ Million (2008)
Erectile Dysfunction


16
Sensipar - Amgen
$\$ 350$ Million (2008)
Hyperparathyroidism

A general method for the formation of benzylic amines from readily available carboxylic acids would prove particularly useful, especially when considering the powerful asymmetric hydrogenation methods that have been developed for accessing $\alpha$ chiral benzylic acids. ${ }^{11}$ This chapter describes the development of a step-wise procedure for conversion of benzylic and heteroarylmethyl acids to carbamate protected amines via a room temperature Curtius rearrangement.

### 4.3 Initial Optimization

The first task in optimization was to screen a variety of Lewis acids and solvents with the aim of determining the optimal conditions for Curtius rearrangement of benzylic acyl azides. For this initial study, the acyl azide 17, derived from 4-methoxybenzoic acid, was employed as a standard substrate, with MeOH as the nucleophile to trap the isocyanate. As a control, alternate Curtius conditions were tested (refluxing 4methoxybenzoic acid, DPPA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and MeOH or $t \mathrm{BuOH}$ ), but they led to numerous side products instead of rearrangement product 18a. A wide variety of Lewis acids and solvents were tested, with no improvement over the initial result. A key observation was made during these studies that in some instances, acyl azide $\mathbf{1 7}$ was not undergoing rearrangement to the isocyanate until after the addition of methanol. This observation led
us to the discovery that in the presence of $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathbf{1 7}$ was cleanly converted to the desired methyl carbamate 18a after 18 h at room temperature, in the absence of any additive (Figure 4-2). Attempts to increase the rate of conversion to 18a by addition of catalytic HCl , and other more acidic alchohol solvents such as hexafluoroisopropanol and trifluoroethanol led in all cases to ester byproducts in addition to product 18a.

## Figure 4-2: Room temperature Curtius rearrangement in MeOH



There are few reports of low temperature Curtius rearrangements; one notable exception is the work by Lebel and coworkers where they report a useful one-pot Curtius rearrangement using $\mathrm{TMSN}_{3}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{12}$ The authors reveal that a benzylic acid substrate was problematic, leading to a mixture of desired product and the anticipated ester byproduct, thus highlighting the utility of this discovery. This simple solution to the problematic Curtius rearrangement of benzylic and heteroarylmethyl acyl azides is complementary to existing methods and provides an alternative procedure for more sensitive compounds that are unstable to heat or Lewis Acids. For example, the acyl azide derived from 2-(benzofuran-3-yl)acetic acid forms extensive side products in the presence of Lewis acids at room temperature or when heated with DPPA, but converts cleanly to carbamate 18i at room temperature in methanol (Table 4-1, entry 9).

Table 4-1: Acyl azide formation and room temperature Curtius rearrangement.


[^3]
### 4.4 Synthesis of Methyl Carbamates

To determine the generality of the step-wise acyl azide formation and room temperature Curtius rearrangement in methanol, the scope of the transformation was investigated (Table 4-1). A wide variety of benzylic and heterocyclic acids convert to the corresponding methyl carbamates in good yield (Table 4-1). Chiral, non-racemic acids can also be converted to the corresponding protected amines without racemization of the adjacent stereogenic center (Table 4-1, entries 6 and 7). The reaction can be run under an air atmosphere without strict moisture exclusion, and purification of the resulting products is simplified by the absence of additives. In many applications, simply concentrating the reaction mixture provides product of sufficient purity for subsequent chemical transformations. Aromatic acids also undergo rearrangement cleanly in high yield (Table 4-1, entry 12), however aliphatic acids provide the corresponding carbamate in poor yields due to competetive hydrolysis of the acyl chloride during acyl azide formation. Substrates bearing a quarternary carbon center adjacent to the acyl azide undergo the rearrangement at a slower rate, achieving $86 \%$ yield after 12 days. (Table $4-1$, entry 11). This limitation is not especially troubling, since substrates bearing quaternary carbons will not be susceptible to ester byproduct formation using established procedures. ${ }^{13}$ It should also be noted that increasing the reaction temperature $\left(40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ can shorten the reaction time considerably for substrates listed in Table 1, but often leads to increased formation of undesired byproducts.

### 4.5 Synthesis of Various Carbamates

The procedure described above provides a convenient and mild method for accessing benzylic and heteroarylmethyl amines without forming ester byproducts, however, removal of methyl carbamates can often require harsh basic conditions. Thus, conditions were sought to further expand this protocol so that alternative alcohol nucleophiles could be utilized to form more readily deprotected carbamates. A simple solution would be to perform the rearrangement in $t$ - BuOH to form the Boc carbamate, however, it was found that both $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ and $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ provided slow conversion to products $\mathbf{1 8 m}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 n}$, revealing that the steric nature of the alcohol nucleophile greatly effects the rate of product formation (Table 4-2, entries 9-11).

Table 4-2: Solvent screen

|  <br> 17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent (condition) | Product | \% Conv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | THF, MeOH (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 37 |
| 2 | p-Dioxane, MeOH (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 21 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 46 |
| 4 | Benzene, MeOH (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 68 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 74 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 87 (81) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 75 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (5 equiv) | 18a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 47 |
| 9 | iPrOH | 18m, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{iPr}$ | $48^{\text {b }}$ |
| 10 | t-BuOH | $\mathbf{1 8 n}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}$ | $28^{\text {b }}$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}$ (5 equiv) | 180, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}$ | Trace |

[^4]An important consideration was to determine whether the rearrangement would take place without using the desired alcohol as the solvent. This would allow preparation of alternative carbamates that could be deprotected under more mild conditions without using excessive amounts of potentially expensive alchohol nucleophiles. A variety of solvents were screened using 5 equivalents of MeOH as an additive. Chloroform was effective in providing the fastest rearrangement. Although it is possible that rearrangement could be promoted by trace amounts of HCl present in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, we found that the product was formed with equal efficiency in base-washed $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The hydrogenbonding capability of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ could also be responsible. ${ }^{14}$ Comparison of entries 6-8 (Table 4-2) reveals that 5 equivalents of the alcohol nucleophile was the optimal condition to achieve a reasonable yield in 24 hours.

Using the optimal conditions from Table 4-2 (entry 6) a variety of substrates and different alcohol nucleophiles were tested (Table 4-3). Again, the transformation appears to be general for both benzylic and heteroaryl substrates with less sterically hindered nucleophiles. Note that the majority of commerically purchased chloroform is stabilized with added ethanol, even if unspecified. Ethanol can compete with other alcohol nucleophiles to trap the isocyanate intermediate. It is possible to use anhydrous chloroform stabilized with amylenes to avoid this complication. Carbamate derivatives bearing a variety of more easily deprotected side chains were formed in good yield.

Table 4-3: Formation of easily deprotected carbamates

|  |  | 1. $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone <br> 3. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (5 equiv.), rt |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Time | $\mathrm{R}^{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | Product | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |

2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ SEMOH $=2$-(Trimethlsilyl)ethanol
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yields.

### 4.6 Conclusions

In conclusion, a simple step-wise procedure to achieve a room temperature Curtius rearrangement of benzylic and heteroarylmethyl carboxylic acids is reported. The conditions described in this chapter provide an alternative to already described one-pot
procedures that require heating or the use of Lewis acids. Hopefully this simple result will enable others to easily prepare benzylic and heteroarylmethyl carbamates without the formation of unwanted ester byproducts or other deleterious side reactions. The mild nature of these conditions and the ease of purification will hopefully encourage their use in more complicated synthetic applications.

### 4.7 Experimental Section

General: Unless otherwise noted, all material were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich packaged under nitrogen in Sure/Seal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ bottles and used directly. Reactions were monitored using Agilent 1100 Series LCMS with UV detection at 254 nm and 215 nm and a low resonance electrospray mode (ESI). Medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was performed on a Combiflash ${ }^{\circledR}$ Companion $^{\circledR}$ (Teledyne Isco) with Redisep ${ }^{\circledR}$ normal-phase silica gel ( $35-60$ micron) columns and UV detection at $254 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-400 $(400 \mathrm{mHz})$ spectrometer at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are recorded in ppm from the solvent resonance. Mass spectra were obtained on a high resonance Electrospray Time-of-Flight mass spectrometer. Enantiomeric excess was measured by chiral SFC.

General Procedure A (Acyl Azide Formation and Curtius Rearrangements in $\mathbf{M e O H})$ : To a flask under nitrogen atmosphere containing acetic acid ( 1.0 mmol ) was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$. The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and oxalyl chloride ( $175 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by DMF ( $39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The
solution was allowed to warm to rt and maintained until gas evolution ceased ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting residue was taken up in acetone (5 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ and transferred dropwise to a vigorously stirring aqueous solution $(0.4 \mathrm{M})$ of sodium azide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was maintained at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes at which time it was partitioned between $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. CAUTION: the aqueous layer may contain hydrazoic acid $\left(\mathrm{HN}_{3}\right)$ as a byproduct. ${ }^{15}$ The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 5 mL ), then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to provide acyl azide, which could be stored at rt for several hours, or at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several days without decomposition or rearrangement. Although acyl azides are a widely used intermediate in organic synthesis, the authors caution that low molecular weight acyl azides can be potentially explosive if evaporated to dryness. ${ }^{16}$ On larger scale, the authors recommend partial concentration of the acyl azide intermediate, followed by introduction of MeOH . The acyl azide residue was transferred to a vial using MeOH ( 5 mL , including rinses). The solution was maintained at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , at which time it was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography using a gradient of $2-80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes to yield the desired product.

## General Procedure B (Curtius rearrangement for alternative carbamates):

To a flask charged with acyl azide (1.0 equiv., prepared from acetic acid) was added $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 5 mL , anhydrous, stabilized with amylenes) followed by alcohol ( 5.0 equiv.). (Note that the majority of commercially purchased chloroform is stabilized with added ethanol, even if unspecified. Ethanol can compete with other alcohol nucleophiles to trap
the isocyanate intermediate. It is recommended to use anhydrous chloroform stabilized with amylenes to avoid this complication.) The resulting solution was maintained at rt for 24 h , at which time it was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography using a gradient of $2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to yield the desired product.

methyl 4-methoxybenzylcarbamate (18a). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 4.28$ (d, J=5.77 Hz, 2 H) 5.11 (br. s., 1 H$) 6.81-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 44.48,52.02,55.17,76.68,77.00,77.31,113.92,128.75$, 130.61, 156.95, 158.90; IR (film) 3317, 2950, 1689, $1161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) m / z$ 218.07876; found 218.07652.
 General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.06$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (br. s., 1 H$), 7.18-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \operatorname{ppm} 44.3,52.2,128.7,128.7,133.1,137.1,157.0$; IR (film) 3308, 2948, $1686,1538 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) m / z 222.02923$; found 222.02905.

methyl 2-chlorobenzylcarbamate (18c). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.26$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ (br. s., 1 H$), 7.17-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100
$\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 42.9,52.2,126.9,128.8,129.4,129.7,133.4,135.9,156.9$; IR (film) 3297, 3061, 2957, 1687, $1542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 200.04728; found 200.04718 .
 methyl 2-methoxybenzylcarbamate (18d). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.37 (d, $J=5.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.33 (br.s., 1 H ), 6.88 (d, $J=8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.93 (td, $J=7.43$, $0.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 40.8,51.8,55.1$, $76.7,77.0,77.3,110.1,120.4,126.6,128.6,129.2,156.9,157.3$; IR (film) 3331, 3006, 1701, 1603.
 methyl naphthalen-2-ylmethylcarbamate (18e). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (d, $J=5.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.27 (br. s., 1 H ), 7.40 (d, $J=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.44-7.53$ (m, 2 H), 7.71 (s, 1 H ), $7.78-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 45.1 ; 52.2,125.5$, 125.8, 125.9, 126.1, 127.57, 127.64, 128.4, 132.7, 133.2, 135.9, 157.1; IR (film) 3325, 3005, 1690, $1534 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 216.10191; found 216.10193.

(S)-methyl 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylpropylcarbamate (18f). Prepared according to General Procedure A. $[\alpha]{ }^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-64.9\left(c \quad 1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.65 (s, 3 H ), 4.43 (br. s., 1 H ), 5.11 (br. s., 1 H ), 7.17 (d, $J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.27-7.34$
(m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 19.6, 33.4, 52.1, 60.6, 128.1, 128.5, 132.7, 140.5, 156.5; IR (film) 3329, 2963, 1690, $1534 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 264.07618$; found 264.07586 .

(S)-methyl 1-phenylethylcarbamate (18g). Prepared according to General Procedure A. $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-76.8\left(c\right.$ 1.77, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 1.49 (d, $J=6.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (s, 3 H ), 4.88 (br. s., 1 H ), 5.26 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.24-7.30$ (m, 1 H ), 7.30-7.39 (m, 4 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 22.3,50.5,51.9$, 125.8, 127.1, 128.4, 143.6, 156.1; IR (film) 3323, 2972, 1686, $1529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 202.08385$; found 202.08390.

methyl furan-2-ylmethylcarbamate (18h). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.48$ Hz, 2 H ), 5.17 (br. s., 1 H ), 6.21 (d, $J=2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.30 (dd, $J=3.13,1.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (dd, $J=1.76,0.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 37.9,52.1,106.9$, $110.2,142.0,151.6,156.8$; IR (film) $3324,3006,1700,1522 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 178.04746; found 178.04718.

methyl benzofuran-3-ylmethylcarbamate (18i). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (d, $J=5.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.19 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.24-7.29$ (m, 1 H ), 7.33 (td, $J=7.70,1.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.47-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \operatorname{ppm} 34.9,52.1,111.5,117.9,119.7,122.7,124.6,126.6,142.41,155.45$,
156.95; IR (film) 3332, 3006, 1683, $1534 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+$ Na) $m / z 228.06311$; found 228.06298 .
 methyl (5-chlorofuro[3,2-b]pyridin-3-yl)methylcarbamate (18j). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 3.64 (s, 3 H ), 4.47 (dd, J=6.16, $0.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.72 (br. s., 1 H ), 7.20 (d, J=8.51 Hz, 1 H ), 7.67 (d, $J=8.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 33.6,52.1$, $118.4,119.4,121.0,146.3,146.7,147.0,147.8,157.0$; IR (film) 3256, 3126, 3083, 2957, 1703, $1614 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 241.03745; found 241.03761.

methyl 2-phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate (18k). Prepared according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.08 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.11-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 29.3,51.5,55.1,124.7,126.6,128.3,146.98,155.06 ;$ IR (film) 3335, 2977, 1697, $1525 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 216.09950; found 216.09955.
 according to General Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (br. s., 1 H ), 7.38 (dd, $J=8.90,2.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (d, $J=8.90$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 52.7,115.2,120.9,122.7,130.8,131.4$,
134.0, 153.4; IR (film) 3299, 1698, 1518, 1248, 1075, 1049; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 285.92409$; found 285.92363.
 (2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl 4-methoxybenzylcarbamate (180). Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 0.04 (s, 9 H), $0.94-1.03$ (m, 2 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), $4.13-4.23$ (m, 2 H), 4.28 (d, J=5.77 Hz, 2 H ), 5.00 (br. s., 1 H ), $6.83-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (d, $J=8.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.5,17.7,44.4,55.2,63.0,113.9,128.8,130.8,156.7,158.9$; IR (film) 3328, 2952, 2897, 1691, $1511 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ m/z 304.13394; found 304.13188.
 benzyl 4-methoxybenzylcarbamate (18p). Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.87$ Hz, 2 H$), 5.08-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (d, $J=8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.43$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 44.5,55.2,66.7,114.0,128.0,128.4$, $128.8,130.5,136.5,156.3,158.9$; IR (film) $3310,3034,2941,1684,1546 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 294.11006$; found 294.10999.

allyl 4-methoxybenzylcarbamate (18q). ${ }^{17} \quad$ Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.32$ (d, $J=5.97 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.60 (d, $J=5.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.97 (br. s., 1 H ), 5.22 (dq, $J=10.47,1.34$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.21,1.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87-6.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 44.6,55.3,65.6,114.1$, 117.6, 128.9, 130.5, 132.9, 156.2, 159.0; IR (film) 3305, 3017, 2955, 1684, $1649 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl (6-methoxybenzofuran-3yl)methylcarbamate (18r). Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 4.44 (d, $J=5.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.90 (br. s., 1 H ), 6.89 (dd, $J=8.51,2.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ (d, $J=2.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.5,17.7$, $35.0,55.7,63.3,96.1,111.8,118.0,119.9,120.0,141.4,156.6,156.7,158.3$; IR (film) 3330, 3005, 1691, $1518 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 344.12886; found 344.12902.

(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl benzo[d]isoxazol-3ylmethylcarbamate (18s). Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.49 (br. s., 1 H ), $7.21-7.34$ (m, 1 H ), $7.45-7.58$ (m, 2 H ), 7.78 (d, $J=7.82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.6,17.6,36.0,63.6,109.7$, 120.2, 121.8, 123.5, 130.0, 156.1, 156.7, 163.3; IR (film) 3326, 2953, 3895, 1692, 1529 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 293.13160$; found 293.13193.

(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl thiophen-3-ylmethylcarbamate (18t). Prepared according to General Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 112
$0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13$ (br. s., 1 H ), 7.01 (d, $J=4.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (d, $J=1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26 (dd, $J=4.99,2.93$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.6,17.7,40.1,63.0,121.7,126.1,127.0$, 139.6, 156.6; IR (film) $3329,2952,2896,1691,1517 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 280.07980$; found 280.08012.

(S)-(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl 1-phenylethylcarbamate (18u). ${ }^{18}$ Prepared according to General Procedure B. $[\alpha]{ }^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-57.1$ (c 1.56, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), 4.01-4.23 (m, 2 H), 4.81 (br. s., 1 H ), 4.88-5.01 (m, 1 H ), $7.14-7.41$ (m, 5 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}-1.50,17.74,22.48,50.52,63.00,125.90,127.22$, 128.57, 143.72, 155.93; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288.13903$; found 288.13928 .


3-(carboxymethyl)-5-chlorofuro[3,2-b]pyridin-4-ium (11j). To a flask charged with $\mathrm{MeOH}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(7 . \mathrm{mL})$, and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added methyl 2-(5-chlorofuro[3,2-b]pyridin-3-yl)acetate ${ }^{19}(0.677 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a solid in a single portion. The solution was maintained at rt for 18 h , at which time it was acidified $(\mathrm{pH}=3.0)$ with conc. HCl . The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3$ x 10 mL$)$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to provide 3-(carboxymethyl)-5-chlorofuro[3,2-b]pyridin-4-ium ( $0.648 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d,
$J=8.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 27.4, 114.6, 119.3, 122.0, 145.7, 146.3, 146.7, 149.3, 171.2.
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## Chapter 5

## Exploration of Bisisoxazolidines as Small Molecule Transcriptional Activation Domains

### 5.1 Introduction

Transcription is the process by which cells create an RNA sequence that is complementary to a DNA template. ${ }^{1}$ Regulation of transcription plays a critical role in controlling the levels of gene expression in a cell and thus controlling cellular function and morphology. Transcription factor (TF) proteins serve to facilitate the activation or repression of transcription at specific genes. 1 Activator TFs up-regulate gene expression by binding to specific sites on DNA, recruiting chromatin remodeling enzymes that modify DNA-histone complexes, and initiating transcription by assembling the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme (Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$

Figure 5-1: Activator TFs bind to DNA (black line) and recruit chromatin remodeling enzymes that help unwrap the DNA and allow initiation of transcription by RNA polymerase II


The misregulation of transcription is associated with many human diseases. For example, over $50 \%$ of all human cancers are found to have alterations in the function of the TF p53. ${ }^{3}$ Similarly, NF-кB is found to be constitutively active and misregulated in inflammatory disorders and most cancers. ${ }^{4}$ The development of artificial molecules capable of predictably manipulating the levels of transcription has good potential for disease therapy or for mechanistic investigations, and important progress has been made in both areas. ${ }^{5}$

Early experiments on TFs revealed that natural TFs are modular proteins minimally containing a DNA-binding domain (DBD) and a regulatory domain (RD), and each domain functions independently of the other one. ${ }^{6}$ The DBD selectively targets specific DNA sequences for a given gene, localizing the RD near the gene to be regulated. The $R D$ is either a transcriptional activation domain (TAD) that binds to and recruits components of the transcriptional machinery for activation, or it is a transcriptional repression domain that recruits components of the repression machinery. ${ }^{1}$ Domain swapping experiments show that TF activity is maintained when the TAD of one TF is linked to the DBD of a different TF. ${ }^{7}$ This result indicates that TADs and DBDs can be investigated independently. Excellent advances have been made in the development of artificial DBDs, including good sequence selectivity with polyamides, triplex-forming oligonucleotides, peptide nucleic acids, and designer proteins. ${ }^{8}$ Progress in the development of artificial TADs has seen slower progress.

The development of artificial TADs has been challenging due to the limited amount of information known about natural RDs. ${ }^{6,9}$ The largest class of natural activator RDs is the amphipathic class, containing a mix of polar and hydrophobic amino acid residues in 119
short repeats (Figure 2). ${ }^{10}$ These natural activator RDs associate with multiple binding partners while facilitating the assembly of the transcriptional machinery. RD binding partners include components of the chromatin remodeling machinery, the proteasome, and the Mediator complex. ${ }^{11}$

## Figure 5-2: Natural activation domains contain repeats of polar and hydrophobic residues <br> VP16 441 AspPheAspLeuAspMet...AspPheGluPheAspAsn 477 <br> Gal4 843 GlnThrAlaTyrAsnAlaPheGly...AspAspValTyrGlnTyrLeuPhe 869

At least two different methods can be used to functionally evaluate small molecule artificial TADs. Artificial TADs can be linked to a functional DBD and evaluated by their ability to upregulate transcription and imitate natural TFs. Alternatively, natural and artificial TADs can be used to squelch activation levels by binding to transcriptional machinery and inhibiting the activity of activator TFs.

Previous work in the Mapp lab and the Uesugi lab has shown that small molecules are capable of functionally replacing TADs in artificial TFs for activation (Figure 5-3). ${ }^{12}$ Isoxazolidine (4) was the first reported example of a small molecule TAD. In particular, a variety of isoxazolidines are capable of acting as TADs. While isoxazolidines functionalized with only polar or only hydrophobic groups show poor activation, compounds containing a combination of hydrophobic and polar groups show robust activation (Figure 5-3). ${ }^{12 a}$ Functional group position and ring stereochemistry did not strongly influence activation efficiency. ${ }^{12 b}$ The only other small molecule TAD known at the initiation of this research was wrenchnolol (5), reported by the Uesugi lab (Figure 5-3). ${ }^{13}$ Wrenchnolol binds selectively to a subunit of the mediator complex, Sur-2 (Med23), and can function as part of an artificial TF in vivo at moderate levels. While the

Uesugi group demonstrated an entirely artificial TF based on $\mathbf{5}$ and a polyamide DBD, transcription levels only 3.5 times basal expression were attained.

Figure 5-3: Isoxazolidines functionalized with mostly polar or hydrophobic functional groups are poor TADs. Amphipathic isoxazolidines robustly activate transcription


Development of an artificial TAD with potential for potent activity as part of an artificial TF or for squelching experiments remains a challenge limiting the potential utility of artificial TADs. A review of TAD potencies revealed that activation levels can be increased synergistically when a single TAD is repeatedly displayed as part of a TF. ${ }^{14}$ The greater than additive improvement in activity demonstrated with natural TADs led the Mapp lab to hypothesize that bisisoxazolidine TADs would show improved potency. An array of bisisoxazolidines were targeted to probe this hypothesis. Since amphipathic mono-isoxazolidines showed much stronger activity than isoxazolidines functionalized with mainly hydrophobic or mainly polar substituents, compounds containing a mix of both polar and hydrophobic substituents were targeted (Figure 5-4). Initial experiments
would evaluate TADs by their ability to functionally upregulate transcription in cells as part of an artificial TF.

Figure 5-4: Compounds targeted for evaluation as TADs. Compounds containing varying functionality, regiochemistry, and stereochemistry are targeted


### 5.2 Synthesis of Bisisoxazolidines for Synergystic TAD Activity

The synthetic strategy for preparation of bisisoxazolidines utilizes an iterative approach to construct the ring system (Figure 5-5). Isoxazolidines can be synthesized from a diastereoselective 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an oxime and allylic alcohol to build the heterocyclic scaffold. ${ }^{5,6,19}$ Diastereoselective nucleophilic addition functionalizes C3, and alkylation of N2 introduces another substituent. Deprotection and oxidation of the alcohol side chain allows formation of another oxime, and the process is repeated to produce bisisoxazolidines.

Figure 5-5: Synthetic Strategy for Bisisoxazolidine Synthesis


In the forward direction, the commercially available chiral epoxide (R)-glycidol can be protected and ring opened to form a chiral allylic alcohol (14, Figure 5-6). Similarly, commercially available 3-methyl-butanal is efficiently converted to an oxime (15). Activation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with tert-butyl hypochlorite forms a nitrile oxide that subsequently undergoes diastereoselective $[3+2]$ cycloaddition with the allylic alcohol to form isoxazoline 16. Protection of the free secondary alcohol followed by lewis-acid assisted grignard addition into $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ forms isoxazolidine 17 in excellent yield and good diastereoselectivity. Further functionalization of the isoxazolidine is accomplished by N alkylation under microwave conditions with p-methoxybenzyl bromide to generate $\mathbf{1 8}$. The allyl side chain is converted to an alcohol through the three step sequence of dihydroxylation with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, oxidative cleavage with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to form an aldehyde, and then reduction with sodium borohydride. Protection of the newly formed alcohol as a methoxy methyl ether, then silyl deprotection of the C 5 diol forms isoxazolidine 21. Oxidative cleavage with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ and condensation with hydroxylamine leads to isoxazolidine 22, fully functionalized for a second cycloaddition.

Figure 5-6: Synthesis of the first isoxazolidine ring






Formation of the second bisisoxazolidine ring is accomplished via a second [3+2] cycloaddition of the nitrile oxide of $\mathbf{2 2}$ with allyl alcohol (Figure 5-7). While previous efforts in the Mapp lab to establish diastereoselective conditions for the second cycloaddition were unsuccessful, both isoxazolidine-isoxazoline diastereomers were desired in order to evaluate the influence of stereochemistry on bisisoxazolidine TAD efficiency. While the initial mixture of syn and anti-adduct diastereomers were difficult to separate by chromatography on silica gel, silyl protection of the free alcohol led to easily separable diastereomeric products. Stereochemical assignment of the diastereomeric products is assigned based on analogy to the crystalographically established structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 5-4). ${ }^{15}$ Benzyl grignard addition to anti-adduct 23
yielded a single diastereomers and provided $\mathbf{2 5}$ after microwave assisted $N$-allylation. Conversely, syn-adduct 24 provided both diastereomers, 26 and 27, after $N$-allylation.

## Figure 5-7: Synthesis of bisisoxazolidines



An initial series of bisisoxazolidines was prepared for functional evaluation as TADs by covalently attaching the compounds to oxidized dexamethasone (OxDex) via a short linker fragment (Figure 5-8). Standard functional group conversion and amide-bond formation techniques can be used to prepare appropriate compounds for evaluation in HeLa cell based transcription assays. ${ }^{16}$ A similar series of compounds with variable functionalization of the alcohol side chain is shown in Figure 5-9.

Figure 5-8: Preparation of Targeted TADs for Activation Assay







Conditions: a) $\mathrm{BnMgCl}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, tol/THF $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) Allyl bromide, $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, microwave; c) TBAF, THF; d) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; e) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; f) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) ;$ g) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; h) ROH, HOBT HBTu, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; i) 1,3-diaminopropane; j) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, t \mathrm{BuOH}$; k) OxDex, HOBt, HBTu, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$.

Figure 5-9: Synthesis of TADs with Variable Alcohol Functionalization


Conditions: a) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$; b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; c) ROH, HOBT $\mathrm{HBTu}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; d) 1,3-diaminopropane; e) $\mathrm{OxDex}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{HBTu}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMF; f) $\mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Another isoxazolidine-isoxazoline TAD was prepared to probe the influence of para-methoxy benzyl N -substitution on the first ring compared to N -benzyl substitution as utilized in 4 (Figure 5-10). Alternatively, a TAD was prepared from a racemic mixture of the original isoxazolidine minor diastereomers (43), while a TAD from a racemic mixture of the isoxazolidine major diastereomer was synthesized (42, Figure 5-11).

Figure 5-10: Synthesis of a TAD to Probe the Influence of Nitrogen Substitution


Figure 5-11: Synthesis of Isoxazolidine TADs with Variable Stereochemistry




Conditions: a) Allyl- $\mathrm{MgCl}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, tol/THF $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; c) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; d) BnBr , $i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, microwave; e) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, t \mathrm{BuOH}$; f) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; g) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, MeOH ; h) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; i) ROH, HOBT HBTu, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; j) 1,3-diaminopropane; k) OxDex, HOBt, HBTu, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$.

### 5.3 Functional Evaluation of TADs

The TAD conjugates were assessed in a cell based transcriptional activation assay as a standard dual-reporter luciferase assay. ${ }^{17}$ To test small molecule TADs in cells, an appropriate DNA-targeting moiety was required. The assay was established based on a system developed by Kodadek and co-workers in which a fusion protein consisting of the Gal4 DNA binding domain and the minimal ligand binding domain of the glucocorticoid receptor is constitutively expressed in the cells. ${ }^{18}$ The small molecule TADs under examination are thus tagged with an oxidized form of dexamethasone (OxDex); upon binding of the TAD-OxDex conjugate, the complex localizes the small molecule to binding sites for Gal4 that control the activity of a reporter gene, firefly luciferase. A measurement of luciferase activity provides direct information regarding the ability of the small molecule to function as a TAD. Results from the luciferase assays in HeLa cell culture suggested that the targeted compounds were ineffective as TADs, producing very minimal increases in the transcriptional activity.

In the course of testing new small molecules for function as TADs, it became necessary to prepare a new batch of our positive control 42. Two different diastereomers of the isoxazolidine TAD were prepared (Figure 5-11). Surprisingly, the new sample of 42 that I prepared showed no significant activity in the cell based assay. I carefully prepared a total of four batches of $\mathbf{4 2}$, and each one showed no significant activity. Another member of the lab also prepared an inactive sample of $\mathbf{4 2}$ while conducting similar activation experiments. Alternatively, Dr. Ryan Casey, another graduate student at the time, later prepared an active batch of 42. An explanation for this inconsistent activity has not been perfectly established. The Mapp lab is working towards testing the
effective binding of various OxDex conjugates with isolated Glucocorticoid Receptor (GR) in a collaboration with Professor Jorge Iniguez. These experiments will help probe an aspect of the transcriptional activation biological assay to help establish whether there are differences in binding between the active and inactive samples. Differences in binding affinity to the GR could influence activity through a conformational difference in binding or through an affect on trafficking of the liganded complex to the nucleus.

Further experiments have been conducted to functionally evaluate the small library of isoxazolidine-isoxazoline and bisisoxazolidine TAD mimics that I have synthesized. The isoxazolidine-isoxazoline and bisisoxazolidine azide intermediates have been evaluated in some initial NMR based experiments aimed at evaluating binding to components of the transcriptional machinery. Similarly, these compounds have been tested as inhibitors of transcriptional activation in squelching experiments against a VP16-derived activator. While none of these molecules have shown significant functional activity, the Mapp lab has many more targets against which to further evaluate these compounds as transcriptional inhibitors.

### 5.4 Conclusion

A small library of compounds has been synthesized in an effort to identify potent small molecule TADs. The compounds were designed in an effort to replicate the repetitive display of functionality that is known to generate a greater than additive improvement in potency from natural activators.

Initial functional evaluation of this library of compounds was conducted through a transcriptional activation assay in cells, but none of the compounds showed significant
activity. The resynthesis of a positive control for the activation assay revealed inconsistent activity between different batches of compounds for the assay. Further experiments have been targeted to probe whether the differently activating samples show different binding affinities to a key protein involved in the biological assay.

The targeted small molecule TAD mimics have also been evaluated as inhibitors of transcriptional activation through squelching experiments. While these compounds showed no activity against a VP16-derived activator, the Mapp lab is interested in testing their function against many other natural activators.

### 5.5 Experimental Section

General: Unless otherwise noted, starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and toluene were dried by passage through activated alumina columns and degassed by stirring under a dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. NMP and DMF were used as purchased without further purification. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ was distilled from sodium metal, and t - BuOH was distilled from $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. All reactions involving airor moisture-sensitive reagents were performed under a dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Purification by column chromatography was carried out with E. Merck Silica Gel 60 (230-400 mesh). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 500 MHz and 125 MHz , respectively, unless otherwise specified. Reverse-phase HPLC purification was performed on a Varian ProStar 210 equipped with Rainin Dynamax UVD II detector using a $\mathrm{C} 18(8 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm})$ Radial-PakTM cartridge using a gradient of $0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as the mobile phase. UVvis spectra were measured in MeOH . In order to
determine the concentration of all methotrexate conjugates (3-7), the characteristic UVvis absorptions of methotrexate at $\lambda_{\max }=257,302$, and 370 nm with extinction coefficients of $23,000,22,000$, and $7,100 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, was used. Once the concentration was determined, the sample was aliquoted, lyophilized, and stored at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The in vitro transcription assays were carried out as previously described. ${ }^{17}$ The buffer used for transcription assays contains $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,400 \mathrm{mM}$ of each NTP, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of salmon sperm carrier DNA, 10 mM HEPES ( pH 7.9 ), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.25 mM DTT, and $10 \%$ glycerol. 3-Methylbutyraldehyde oxime (15), ${ }^{19}$ (S)-1-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)but-3-en-2-ol (14), ${ }^{20}$ 2-(tert-Butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-(1R)-1$\left[(5 \mathrm{R})\right.$-3-isobutyl-4,5-dihydro-isoxazol-5-yl]-ethanol (16), ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ and $\mathbf{4 2}^{17}$ were prepared according to published procedures. Yields refer to isolated yields of compounds estimated to be $\geq 95 \%$ pure as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## Synthesis of Compounds


(R)-3-isobutyl-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (17). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 6}$ (1.0 equiv) in THF ( 0.2 M) cooled in an ice $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath was added $\operatorname{DMAP}$ ( 0.10 eq) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2.2 equiv). TBSOTf (2.2 equiv) was then added dropwise and the solution slowly warmed to ambient temperature. The reaction was complete in 2 h as indicated by TLC analysis. The mixture was again cooled in an ice- H 2 O bath, diluted with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure
yielded the title compound $\mathbf{1 7}(10.58 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 4.63-4.56(m, 1 H$), 3.71-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.12$ (m, 2 H ), $1.95-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06-0.04(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.9,80.3,74.1$, $64.4,38.6,36.7,26.0,25.9,25.8,22.6,22.4,18.3,18.1,-4.4,-4.8,-5.5,-5.5$.

(3S,5R)-3-allyl-3-isobutyl-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidine (18). To a solution of isoxazoline $\mathbf{1 7}$ (1.0 eq) in toluene $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ cooled in a dry ice-acetone bath was added distilled $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and the resultant mixture was stirred with continued cooling for 30 min . Allylmagnesium chloride ( 2.0 M solution in THF, 6.0 eq ) was added dropwise over 10 min . The reaction mixture was allowed to stir with continued cooling until the reaction was complete by TLC analysis ( 6 h$). \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture stirred for $20 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20$ mL ) was added and the aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and brine ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. A diastereomeric ratio of $10: 1$ was determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{1 8}(2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.94-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.73 (dd, $J=9.5,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.57$ (m, 2 H ), 2.39 (dd, $J=7,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23$ (dd,
$J=8.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.5,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.07-0.06(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

(3S,5R)-3-allyl-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidine (19). To a solution of isoxazolidine $11(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in DMF ( 3.0 mL ) was added iPr2NEt ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{BnBr}(0.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0 \mathrm{eq})$. The reaction mixture was irradiated in a 1000 W microwave ( $6 \times 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ) @ $20 \%$ power with mixing between each interval. Upon cooling to ambient temperature the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x} 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound 19 (14.72 g, 93\%) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with 5\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.02-5.92 (m, 1 H$), 5.15-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-$ 3.74 (m, 5 H$), 3.71-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19-2.09 (m, 2 H ), 1.97-1.87 (m, 1 H ), 1.64 (dd, $J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.38 (dd, J = 6.5, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.01 (s, 3 H ).


2-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-5-((R)-
2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidin-3-yl)ethanol (20). To a solution of isoxazolidine $19(14.39 \mathrm{~g}, 24.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$, THF ( 33.5 mL ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NMO}(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 27.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) followed by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ ( 5 ml of a $2.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ solution in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature until complete by TLC analysis (24 h). The mixture was cooled in an ice- $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ was added, and the mixture stirred 1 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude diol was taken up in $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and cooled in an ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath. Sodium periodate ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at ambient temperature until complete by TLC analysis ( 30 min ). The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 50$ mL ) and brine ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude aldehyde thus obtained was dissolved in 150 mL MeOH and cooled in an ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath prior to addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 37.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). Upon completion as noted by TLC analysis ( 1 h ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the reaction extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered 135
and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $12.83 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.29-7.25 (m, 2 H ), 6.84-6.80 (m, 2 H ), 5.45 (bs, 1 H), 4.30-4.24 (m, 1 H$), 3.94-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.62$3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=10,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.97$ (m, 1 H ), 1.93-1.87 (m, 1 H ), 1.77-1.68 (m, 1 H ), 1.68-1.60 (m, 1 H ), 1.38 (dd, $J=8.0$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), $0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

(3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-
(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5yl)isoxazolidine ( $\mathbf{S 1}$ ). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{2 0}\left(11.86 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting solution was cooled in an ice-water bath and DIPEA ( $5.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added, followed by MOMCl ( $2.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.45$ equiv) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to come to rt and stired overnight. TLC analysis ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) shows some $\mathbf{S 1}$ remaining. The reaction was then cooled in an ice-water bath, and DIPEA (2.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.75$ equiv) was added, followed by $\mathrm{MOMCl}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.7$ equiv). The reaction was allowed to warm to rt and stirred 24 h . The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with 1

M HCl and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 1}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $15 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.82-3.77 (m, 4 H$), 3.76-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{q}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,10$ Hz, 1 H ), 3.55 (dd, $J=6.0,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.24-2.10 (m, 2 H ), 1.99-1.82 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), 1.00 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90$ (s, 9 H ), 0.89 (s, 9 H ), 0.08 (s, 3 H$), 0.02$ (m, 6 H ), 0.01 (s, 3 H ).

(R)-1-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-
(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)ethane-1,2-diol (21). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 1}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and THF ( 10 mL ). TBAF ( $3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 3.5 mmol , 2.2 equiv) was added slowly, and the reaction was stirred until TLC ( $33 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) indicates reaction completion (2 h). The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $21(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $66 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.23 (s, br, 1 H ), 2.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.33 (dd, $J=8.5,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,12.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ (dd, $J=4.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37$ (dd, $J=7.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidine-5-carbaldehyde oxime (22). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $21(0.508 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Sodium periodate ( $0.424 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred until TLC shows reaction completion ( 1 h ). The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude aldehydes intermediate was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and hydroxylamine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.107 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and potassium carbonate $(0.213 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were added. The reaction was stirred for 2 h , then the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $22(0.468 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a yellow oil after workup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ 138
H), $4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=12,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=6,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

((R)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methanol (S2) and ((S)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methanol (S3). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with oxime ( $\mathbf{2 2}, 468 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and toluene ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The solution was cooled in an ice-water bath, then allyl alcohol ( $0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.3$ mmol, 10 equiv) was added, followed by sodium hypochlorite ( $4.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 744 \mathrm{mM}, 3.1$ mmol, 2.5 equiv) dropwise over 20 min . A white precipitate forms and the reaction is stirred for 1 h . The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compounds $\mathbf{S} 2(0.145 \mathrm{~g}$, $27 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{S 3}$ ( $0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ) as clear colorless oils after purification by chromatography with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant and HPLC gradiation separated the diastereomers. S2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (d, br, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=11,17.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $1.99-181(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

S3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.70 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.57$ (m, 3 H ), 3.77 (s, 3 H ), 3.76 (s, br, 2 H ), 3.74-3.68 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (d, br, $J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (d, br, $J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (s, 3 H ), 2.84 (dd, $J=$ $11,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1$ H), 1.98-1.89 (m, 2 H), 1.89-1.81 (m, 1 H$), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

((R)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-2-(4methox ybenzyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methane (23). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 2}\left(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The solution was cooled in an ice-water bath and DMAP $(0.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(9.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were added, followed by TBSCl ( 9.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9$ equiv). A white precipitate forms, and the reaction is stirred until TLC indicates completion ( 2 h ). The reaction is filtered, diluted with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried
over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $23(0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.39 (s, 3 H ), 2.85 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.0,13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-183(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09$ (s, 3 H$), 0.07$ (s, 3 H ).

((R)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-2-(4-
methox ybenzyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-
yl)-methyl methanesulfonate (S4). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 2}(17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and dichloromethane $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$. The solution is cooled in an ice-water bath and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.117$ mmol, 1.1 equiv) is added. Methylsulfonyl chloride ( $9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.117 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) is added, and the reaction is stirred until ESI-MS indicates reaction completion (1 h). The reaction is diluted with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with aq. 1 M HCl , brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound S4 (0.014 $\mathrm{g}, 68 \%)$ as a yellow oil after workup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.68$
$(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-195 (m, 1 H ), 1.95-1.86 (m, 2 H ), $1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.


2-((3S,5R)-5-((R)-5-(azidomethyl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-3-yl)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)isoxazolidin-3-yl)ethanol (28). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{4}(14.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), sodium azide ( $17.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10 equiv), and DMSO ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ). The reaction flask was attached to a reflux condenser and heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was diluted with sat. aq. ammonium chloride ( 5 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield a protected azide intermediate. The crude clear colorless oil was dissolved in isopropanol $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and conc. aq $\mathrm{HCl}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The solution was stirred until ESI-MS indicates reaction completion (6 h). The reaction was diluted with sat. aq. ammonium chloride ( 5 mL ), extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded compound 28 ( 0.0048 g , $58 \% \%$ ) as a clear colorless oil after after purification by chromatography with $2 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ (dd, $J=5.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02$ (dd, $J=2.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,1 6R,17R)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-N-(2-(2-(2-(((R)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17-carboxamide (11). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $28(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), triphenyl phosphine ( $6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and THF ( 0.2 mL ). The reaction was heated under a reflux condenser to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was allowed to cool to rt and was then transferred into a biphasic mixture of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were partitioned and the organic layer was extracted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous layers were basified with 3 M NaOH (until pH 10 or greater). The aqueous mixture was then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. To a solution of 8-(9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-amino)-3,6-dioxaoctanoic acid ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 3.0$ equiv) dissolved in NMP $(0.067 \mathrm{~mL})$ were
added $\mathrm{HOBt}(6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv based) and HBTU ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv). This solution was agitated for 15 min . The solution of activated ester was added to the crude amine dissolved in NMP $(0.067 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h at which time the reaction was complete as judged by ESI-MS analysis. Excess reagents were quenched by the addition of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction vessel was washed with EtOAc $(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove all residues. The resulting biphasic mixture was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was dissolved in a solution of 20\% piperidine in DMF ( $0.037 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ piperidine, 5.0 equiv) and was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting solution was diluted with aq $0.1 \%$ TFA $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and partially purified by reverse-phase HPLC to remove Fmoc byproducts. The partially purified amine was used immediately in subsequent steps. To a solution of OxDex ${ }^{21}$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) dissolved in NMP $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added HOBt ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HBTU}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting mixture was agitated for 15 min . To this solution were added the amine dissolved in NMP ( 0.15 mL ), 2,6-lutidine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.7$ equiv), and DIPEA ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.7$ equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt . The product was isolated by reverse-phase HPLC purification to provide $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a white solid ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ). The purity of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 897$, found: 897.

(3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-3-benzyl-5-((tert-
butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-
(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidine (S6). A solution of compound 23 ( 109 mg , $0.198 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 2.8 mL ) was cooled in a dry ice-acetone bath. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(75 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise over 15 min and the mixture was stirred with continued cooling for 30 min . Benzylmagnesium chloride ( 0.5 mL of a 2.0 M solution in THF, $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise over 30 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h with continued cooling at which point TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of starting material. Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction and the resultant solution was transferred to an ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath. After slowly warming to rt the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic and aqueous layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 6}(0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.84$ (m, 2 H), 6.02 (s, br, 1 H), 4.65 (s, 2 H), 4.10 (s, br, 1 H), 4.06-3.98 (m, 1 H), 3.82-3.72 (m, 5 H), 3.70-3.62 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H), 3.30-3.00 (m, 2 H), 2.85 (s, 2 H), 2.48-2.38 (m, 1 H), 2.32 (dd, $J=8.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.78(\mathrm{~m}$,
$4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ (s, 9 H$), 0.01$ (s, 3 H ), -0.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-5-((tert-
butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-
(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidine (25). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 6}(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), allyl bromide ( $126 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv), DIPEA ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and DMF ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mL})$. The solution was irradiated in a 1000 W microwave at $10 \%$ power ( $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) with cooling and stirring between each interval until TLC shows reaction completion. Upon cooling to rt , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $25(0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with 7\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.33$ (m, 1 H ), 3.22 (dd, $J=6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.05-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

((3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-
methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidin-5-yl)methanol (S7). The reaction of $\mathbf{2 5}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 1}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 7}(0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $33 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.64-3.58 (m, 1 H ), 3.48-3.39 (m, 5 H), $3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $2.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

((3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-
methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidin-5-yl)methyl methanesulfonate ( $\mathbf{S 8}$ ). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 7}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{S 4}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 8}(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%)$ as a yellow. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.22-7.12 (m,5 H), 7.117.08 (m, 2 H) $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-4.99$ (m, 1 H$), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ (dd, $J=3.0,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-5-(azidomethyl)-3-benzyl-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidine (S9). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 8}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 28. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 9}(0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the
eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.85$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H), $3.29-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.04-1.97 (m, 1 H), 1.96-1.86 (m, 2 H ), 1.74 (dd, $J=7.5,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.64 (dd, $J=5.5$, $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5$ Hz, 3 H).


2-((3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-5-(azidomethyl)-3-benzyl-3'-
isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidin-3'-yl)ethanol (S10). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 9}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 28. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 1 0}(0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2$ as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-$ $5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.82-3.70 (m, 7 H), 3.68- 3.62 (m, 1 H), 3.46-3.39 (m, 4 H), 3.29-3.02 (m, 4 H), 3.002.90 (m, 1 H), 2.86-2.76 (m, 1 H), 2.42-2.28 (m, 1 H), 2.23-2.09 (m, 2 H), 1.96-1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.82-1.70 (m, 2 H), 1.70-1.56 (m, 3 H), 1.08-1.04 (m, 6 H).

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-N-(2-(2-(2-(((3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-3'-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidin-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17carboxamide (12). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 1 0}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 1}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $12(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%)$ as a white solid. The purity of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 1030$, found: 1030 .

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-N-(2-(2-(2-(((3S,3'S,5R,5'R)-3-benzyl-2-(2,3-dihydroxypropyl)-3'-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3,5'-
biisoxazolidin-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17-carboxamide (13). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{S 1 0}(19.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), triphenyl phosphine ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), and THF $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction was heated under a reflux condenser to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was allowed to cool to rt and was then transferred into a biphasic mixture of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were partitioned and the organic layer was extracted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous layers were basified with 3 M NaOH (until pH 10 or greater). The aqueous mixture was then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. To a solution of 8-(9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-amino)-3,6-dioxaoctanoic acid (20 mg, 0.05 mmol , 1.5 equiv) dissolved in NMP $(0.067 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{HOBt}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv based) and HBTU ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). This solution was agitated for 15 min . The solution of activated ester was added to the crude amine dissolved in NMP $(0.067 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h at which time the reaction was complete as judged by ESI-MS analysis. Excess reagents were quenched by the addition of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\operatorname{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction vessel was washed with EtOAc ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to remove all residues. The resulting biphasic mixture was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oil was dissolved in a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( 0.037 mL , 0.076 mmol piperidine, 5.0 equiv) and was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The resulting
solution was diluted with aq $0.1 \%$ TFA $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and partially purified by reverse-phase HPLC to remove Fmoc byproducts. The partially purified amine was used immediately in subsequent steps. The amine product was then dissolved in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, THF $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, then NMO $(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added followed by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ ( $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a $2.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ solution in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}, 0.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.1$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature until complete by ESIMS analysis ( 1 h ). The mixture was cooled in an ice- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bath, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ was added, and the mixture stirred 1 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 x 5 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to yield a trihydroxyamine. To a solution of $\mathrm{OxDex}^{22}(17 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) dissolved in NMP $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added HOBt $(6.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and $\operatorname{HBTU}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting mixture was agitated for 15 min . To this solution were added the trihydroxyamine dissolved in NMP ( 0.15 mL ), 2,6-lutidine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.7$ equiv), and DIPEA ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.7$ equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt . The product was isolated by reverse-phase HPLC purification to provide $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a white solid ( $0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ). The purity of compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{83} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{13}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 1064$, found: 1064.

(S)-5-((tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-3-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5dihydroisoxazole (24). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 3}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 3}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $24(0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $15 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.83-3.77 (m, 5 H), 3.77-3.70 (m, 2 H ), 3.63-3.55 (m, 2 H ), 3.39 (s, 3 H ), $2.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.72 (dd, $J=7.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-183(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86$ (s, 9 H$), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03$ (s, 3 H$)$.

(S)-5-(azidomethyl)-3-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-
methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-
dihydroisoxazole (31). The reaction of $\mathbf{S 3}$ was conducted using a two step procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{S 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 8}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $31(0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $25 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.59$
$(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 5.0, 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.87 (dd, $J=11.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0$, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.0,158.6$, $130.6,129.6,113.6,96.5,78.6,71,0,67.7,64.6,55.3,55.2,53.5,53.1,44.3,42.0,37.5$, 34.0, 25.2, 24.4, 24.3.


2-((3S,5R)-5-((S)-5-(azidomethyl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-3-yl)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)isoxazolidin-3-yl)ethanol (32). The reaction of 31 was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 28. This procedure yielded the title compound $32(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2$ as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.76-4.68 (m, 1 H$), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ H), $3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0$, $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.5,18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(S)-5-(azidomethyl)-3-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-
methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-methoxyethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (33). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with $\mathbf{3 2}(7 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and THF ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ). Sodium hydride ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir for 10 min before addition of iodomethane ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv). After stirring 1 h , TLC analysis shows 32 remaining, so sodium hydride ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 6$ equiv) and iodomethane $(6 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added. The mixture was stirred until TLC analysis indicated reaction completion, then the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. This procedure yielded the title compound $33(0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $25 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.65-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,13.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.51$ (m, 2 H ), 2.01-1.82 (m, 3 H ), 1.62 (dd, $J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ (dd, $J=6.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-N-(2-(2-(2-(((S)-3-((3S,5R)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17carboxamide (7). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 1}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $7(1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$ as a white solid. The purity of compound 7 was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$: 897, found: 897.

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-N-(2-(2-(2-(((S)-3-((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-methoxyethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17-
carboxamide ( $\mathbf{8}$ ). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 3}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 11. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{8}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%)$ as a white solid. The purity of compound $\mathbf{8}$ was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 912$, found: 912.

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-N-(2-(2-(2-(((S)-3-
((3S,5R)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17-carboxamide (9). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 1}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 11. This procedure yielded the title compound $9(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%)$ as a white solid. The purity of compound 9 was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 942$, found: 942.

(3S,3'S,5S,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-5-((tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3'-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidine (3R,3'S,5S,5'R)-2-allyl-3-benzyl-5-((tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-3'-isobutyl-2'-(4methox ybenzyl)-3'-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-3,5'-biisoxazolidine (27). The reaction of 24 was conducted using a two step procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of S6 and 25. This procedure yielded the title compounds $\mathbf{2 6}$ ( $0.405 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ) and $27(0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$ as a clear colorless oils after purification by chromatography with 7\% EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. 26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.23-7.17 (m, 3 H), 7.07-7.02 (m, 2 H ), 6.90 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.00-5.91 (m, 1 H), $5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.01-3.95 (m, 1 H), 3.83-3.64 (m, 10 H ), 3.43 (dd, $J=6.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.34 (s, 3 H), 3.26-3.18 (m, 1 H ), $2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.44$ (m, 2 H ), 1.31 (dd, $J=6.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6$ H). 27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-$ 7.07 (m, 2 H ), 6.87 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-$ $5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.68$ (m, 5H), 3.46-3.30 (m, 5 H), 3.24 (dd, $J=7.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.18 (dd, $J=6.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.31 (dd, $J=8.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.83$
$(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(3S,5R)-3-allyl-2-benzyl-3-isobutyl-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-
octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidine (34). The reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 9}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{3 4}(1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.08 (s, 1 H ), 4.11-4.01 (m, 1 H ), 3.91-3.75 (m, 2 H ), 3.69-3.50 (m, 3 H ), 2.39-2.32 (m, 2 H ), 2.12 (dd, $J=3.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1$, $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6$ H), $0.88-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.03-0.02(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


2-((3S,5R)-2-benzyl-3-isobutyl-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidin-3-yl)ethanol (35). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 4}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 0}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $35(0.730 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}$, br, 1 H ), 4.32-4.25 (m, 1 H ), 3.96-3.85 (m, 3 H ), 3.82-3.75 (m, 1 H ), 3.69 (dt, $J=4.0,6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), $0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.08$ (s, 3 H ), -0.02 (s, 6 H$)$.

(3S,5R)-2-benzyl-3-isobutyl-3-(2-(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)-5-((R)-2,2,3,3,8,8,9,9-octamethyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladecan-5-yl)isoxazolidine (S11). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 5}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 21. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{S 1 1}(0.493 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.01-0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)ethane-1,2-diol (36). The reaction of S11 was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 1}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $36(0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a yellow oil after purification by chromatography with $66 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.36-7.30(m, 4 H ), 7.28-7.23 (m, 1 H ), $4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.87 (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 3.49-3.43 (m, 1 H), 3.39 (s, 3 H ), 3.09 (s, br, 1 H ), 2.39 (dd, $J=9.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.24 (dd, $J=6.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.16 (s, br, 1 H ), 2.02-1.94 (m, 1 H ), 1.93-1.85 (m, 2 H ), $1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
 ((R)-3-((3S,5R)-2-benzyl-3-isobutyl-3-(2-
(methoxymethoxy)ethyl)isoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methanol (38). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 6}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{S 2}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{3 8}(0.014 \mathrm{~g}, \mathbf{2 0 \%})$ as a clear colorless oil after purification by chromatography with $50 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluant and HPLC seperation of diastereomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38$ $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ (dd,
$J=11.0,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-$ $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,14.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-N-(2-(2-(2-(((R)-3-((3S,5R)-2-benzyl-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17carboxamide (6). The reaction of $\mathbf{3 8}$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{S 4}, \mathbf{2 8}$, and $\mathbf{1 1}$. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{6}$ ( $1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ) as a white solid. The purity of compound $\mathbf{6}$ was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 867$, found: 867.

( $\pm$ )-2-((3R*,5R*)-5-(azidomethyl)-2-benzyl-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-3yl)ethanol (41). Compound 41 was prepared in a procedure analogous to those reported
previously. ${ }^{17}$ It was isolated after HPLC separation of diastereomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.94 (d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=6.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.09-1.96 (m, 2 H), 1.74-1.63 (m, 3 H), 1.52-1.44 (m, 1 H), 0.99-0.93 (m, 6 H).

(8S,9R,10S,11S,13S,14S,16R,17R)-N-(2-(2-(2-(((3RS,5RS)-2-benzyl-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-isobutylisoxazolidin-5-yl)methylamino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-9-fluoro-11,17-dihydroxy-10,13,16-trimethyl-3-oxo-6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-3H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-17-carboxamide (43). The reaction of 41 was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 11. This procedure yielded the title compound $\mathbf{4 1}(0.3 \mathrm{mg})$. The purity of compound 43 was confirmed by analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis. The identity was verified by mass spectral analysis of the isolated compound. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}: 798$, found: 798.
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## Chapter 6

## Exploratory Studies on the Reactivity of Electrophiles with Enediol Diboronates

### 6.1 Introduction

Tandem reactions in organic synthesis often allow for the rapid preparation of complex molecules in an efficient manner. Previous work in the Wolfe lab has explored tandem Wittig rearrangement/aldol reactions for the synthesis of glycolate aldols (Figure $6-1) .{ }^{1}$ This reaction provided a new approach to the construction of $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$ dihydroxy esters (2) through a tandem one-pot sequence of two different $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bondforming reactions. ${ }^{\text {1a }}$ Further investigation of the reaction identified a chiral auxiliary that provided excellent stereoselectivity, and after cleavage, provides products in good yield and excellent enantiopurity. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ An improved synthesis of a key intermediate for the natural product alternaric acid was reported as a result of this development. Expansion to imine electrophiles provided selective access to both syn- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-amino esters (3) and anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-amino esters (4) (Figure 6-2). ${ }^{\text {1c }}$ Interestingly, utilization of imines provided access to the syn-products, while use of $N$-Boc-2(phenylsulfonyl)amines electrophiles led to formation of anti-products.

Figure 6-1: Asymmetric Tandem Wittig Rearrangement/Aldol Reactions


Figure 6-2: Asymmetric Tandem Wittig Rearrangement/Mannich Reactions


### 6.2 Importance of Aldol Addition with Anti Selectivity

Anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy esters and anti- $\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy carbonyl compounds are important synthetic building blocks and can be found in biologically important compounds and natural products. ${ }^{2-4}$ For example, Rapamycin ${ }^{2}(5)$ is a natural product and immunosuppressant drug used to prevent rejection in organ transplantation, AI-77B ${ }^{3}$ (6) is a natural product with antiulcerogenic and antihistaminergic activity, and $3^{\prime} S$ hydroxyneoharringtonine ${ }^{4}$ (7) is a natural product with antileukemia activity. While reactions that selectively generate anti-aldol products are known, none of these reports included products containing unprotected tertiary alcohols, like those potentially formed by the tandem Wittig rearrangement/aldol reaction or found in $3^{\prime} S$ hydroxyneoharringtonine (7). ${ }^{5}$

Figure 6-3: Biologically Important Compounds that Contain 1,2-anti-aldol Fragments


### 6.3 Selection for Anti-Selective Aldol Reactions via Oxonium Electrophiles

The selectivity change in the tandem Wittig rearrangement/Mannich reactions suggested that the selective synthesis of anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy esters should be possible. Consideration of the tandem Wittig Rearrangement/Mannich reactions indicated that the nature of the electrophile played a key role in determining diastereoselectivity. While N -benzyl- and N -Boc-imine electrophiles participate in a boat-like transition state leading to syn-products (10), it was hypothesized in these reactions that use of N -Boc-2(phenylsulfonyl)amines led to formation of anti-products (12) through an $N$-Boc iminium mediated open transition state (11, Figure 6-4). ${ }^{\text {1c }}$ Thus, I hypothesized that a similar strategy could be used for targeting the anti- $\alpha$-alkyl- $\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy esters. The Sinha group has recently reported aldol-type reactions of ketone boron enolates with acetals both intermolecularly ${ }^{6}$ and intramolecularly, ${ }^{7}$ confirming the feasibility of this strategy. It was hypothesized that dialkyl acetals should play a similar role to $N$-Boc-2-
(phenylsulfonyl)amines in the reaction by generating electrophilic alkyl oxonium ion intermediates in situ that should preferentially participate in an open transition state and form the anti-type products. Execution of this strategy for the formation of anti- $\alpha$-alkyl$\alpha, \beta$-dihydroxy esters showed improved selectivity for the anti-products, but the overall diastereoselectivity only shifted to $3: 1$ syn-to-anti under the best conditions explored to date (Figure 6-5). To unequivocally identify the major diastereomer as the syn-diol ester product (16), an authentic sample of the syn-diol ester product was synthesized for comparison (Figure 6-6). Use of 2-phenyl-1,3-dioxane (17) as electrophile showed no improvement in selectivity (Figure 6-5). It's not clear why anti-aldol selectivity for the reactions involving oxonium ion intermediates is not comparable to the reactions involving iminium ion intermediates. It is possible that transition state $\mathbf{1 1}$ has improved organization and stability due to an interaction between the $N$-Boc carbonyl and boron on the enolate, which results in higher stereocontrol for the iminium ion reactions than can be achieved in the oxonium ion cases.

Figure 6-4: Stereochemical Hypothesis for syn- and anti-Product Formation


Figure 6-5: Tandem Wittig Rearrangement/Aldol Reaction



Figure 6-6: Authentic Synthesis of Syn-Diol Ester Product


### 6.4 Selection for Anti-Selective Aldol Reactions via Metal Enolates

Work with the dialkyl acetal electrophiles indicated that diastereoselectivity was influenced by the nature of the electrophile, but efforts to develop electrophiles strongly favoring the desired anti-aldol type products have not yet been successful. We therefore decided to more closely investigate aspects of the enolate nucleophile. While the previously developed tandem Wittig/aldol procedure proceeds through a diboron enolate intermediate (14) in route to syn-aldol type products, we thought it would be possible to favor production of anti-aldol type products by changing the reactivity of the enolate by switching to other metal enolates. Literature precedent indicates that aldol selectivity can be strongly influenced by the metal bound to the intermediate enolates. ${ }^{8}$ In particular, the Kazmaier lab has shown that glycolate titanium enolates can preferentially form antialdol products (22, Figure 6-7). However, none of these reports included products containing unprotected tertiary alcohols (2, Figure 6-1), like those formed by the tandem Wittig rearrangement/aldol reactions.

Figure 6-7: Selectivity for anti-aldol Products Controlled by Metal Enolate


The glycolate aldol reaction was investigated on its own with the intent of expanding to the tandem Wittig/aldol reaction system once conditions strongly favoring anti-aldol selectivity were identified. Starting from $\alpha$-hydroxy ester 23, addition of lithium diisopropyl amide generates a lithium enolate, then addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ followed by benzaldehyde yields products with a minor preference for the anti-aldol stereochemistry (24) as judged by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. Interestingly, it was found that the relative stoichiometry of base and Lewis acid mediated selectivity for the antialdol product (24, Table 6-1). However, further screening of other metals ( $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$, $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlOTf}, \mathrm{TiCl}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}, \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}, \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}, \mathrm{LiClO}_{4}\right)$ showed no improvement in reaction selectivity.

Table 6-1: Lewis Acid Ratio Influence on Diastereoselectivity

|  | 1) LDA <br> 2) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ <br> 3) $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{H}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | equiv. LDA | equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ | ratio LDA: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ | dr 19:24 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4:1 | 1:1.2 |
| 2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2:1 | 1:2.1 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1:1 | 1:1.3 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2:3 | 1:1.4 |
| 5 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2:1 | 1:2.7 |
| 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1:1 | 1:1.2 |

[^6]
### 6.5 Selection for Anti-Selective Aldol Reactions via Aldehyde Activation

Work from the Heathcock ${ }^{9}$ and Oppolzer $^{10}$ labs has shown that reactions of enolates with Lewis acid coordinated aldehydes can provide products with good to excellent anti-selectivity. Although these conditions have not been reported in aldol reactions involving tetrasubstituted enolates or glycolates, application of this stereochemical model to our tandem Wittig/aldol process suggests that a similar strategy should work in our chemistry (Figure 6-8). The reported reactions are proposed to proceed through open transition states ( $\mathbf{2 5}$ and 27) with minimization of gauche interactions leading to good selectivity for the anti-aldol products (26). Both $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ have been shown to promote anti-aldol selectivity, so our preliminary experiments tested these Lewis acids (Figure 6-9). ${ }^{9-11}$ Conducting the Wittig rearrangement followed by reaction with precoordinated Lewis acids provided no evidence of the desired aldol products. Varying the order in which the components were mixed, either adding $\mathbf{1 4}$ to the activated aldehydes or adding the activated aldehydes to 14, did not influence the result. It seems like the added steric bulk of the tetrasubstituted enolate is preventing the desired aldol reactivity, or exchange of boron and titanium may be occurring, reducing the enolate's reactivity. Reaction of a lithium enolate with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ activated benzaldehyde provided the aldol products with selectivity comparable to that observed with aluminum enolates as discussed in the previous section (Table 6-1).

Figure 6-8: Stereochemical Model for Selectivity with Aldehyde Activation


Figure 6-9: Exploring Enolate Addition to Activated Aldehydes



### 6.6 Investigating New Electrophiles for Tandem Wittig Rearrangment Reactions

Development of new tandem Wittig rearrangement/electrophilic alkyl reactions was sought as a way to gain access to new and useful products. Application of epoxide electrophiles was investigated as a route to 1,3-diols, but only Wittig rearrangement products were isolated from these reactions (Figure 6-10). Similarly, Michael acceptors were tested as electrophiles for the enolate intermediates (28), but no evidence of a tandem reaction was observed. Examples of epoxide ring opening and michael addition reactions are known with other metal enolates including $\mathrm{Zn},{ }^{12} \mathrm{Al},{ }^{13}$ and $\mathrm{Li},{ }^{14,15}$ so further exploration of these reactions from glycolate intermediates with other metals (such as Figure 6-7) may lead to formation of the desired products.

Figure 6-10: Testing Epoxides and Michael Acceptors in Tandem Reactions


Alternatively, pyridinium salts have been used as electrophiles for the formation of substituted piperidines. ${ }^{16}$ Use of pyridinium salt $\mathbf{3 1}$ gave promising preliminary results for the construction of substituted piperidine 33 (Figure 6-11). Subjecting the 1,2-Wittig rearrangement enolate intermediate (28) to $\mathbf{3 1}$ generated intermediate 32, which underwent an intramolecular condensation to form $\mathbf{3 3}$ in low yield. The $\alpha$-hydroxy ester (36), which results from Wittig rearrangement without electrophilic trapping, is the other main product isolated from this reaction. Initial modifications of solvent, temperature, concentration, and order of addition have yet to provide improved results. Surprisingly, intermediates from the 2,3-Wittig rearrangement have not shown reactivity with $\mathbf{3 1}$ (Figure 6-12).

Figure 6-11: Tandem 1,2-Wittig Rearrangement/Pyridinium Addition Reaction


Figure 6-12: Tandem 2,3-Wittig Rearrangement/Pyridinium Addition Reaction


Extension of this tandem reaction to other pyridinium salts has not yet been successful. $N$-alkyl pyridinium salts ${ }^{17}$ are isolable and are known electrophiles for nucleophilic addition, but tandem reaction conditions have only afforded $\alpha$-hydroxy ester (36) along with substrate decomposition (Figure 6-13).

Figure 6-13: $N$-Methyl Pyridinium Electrophiles Fail to Provide Tandem Products


To improve the tandem Wittig rearrangement/Pyridinium addition reactions, improved conditions need to be identified that promote full conversion of the Wittig rearrangement intermediate to the tandem reaction product. It is anticipated that improving enolate stability while promoting nucleophilic addition to a pyridinium salt will provide the desired products in improved yields. Screening amine bases may improve results, as improved reactivity was achieved in the Wittig rearrangement/aldol reactions by switching from $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ to $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ for the O -allyl glycolate ester substrate (1a). Exploration of solvent mixtures may help achieve improved results, as the pyridinium salts have low solubility in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, which is currently the best solvent for inducing the Wittig rearrangement. Further exploration of various pyridinium salts my improve conversion with adjustment of electrophelicity.

### 6.7 Conclusions

A range of conditions were explored for expanding the potential utility of tandem Wittig rearrangement/aldol reactions. Use of dialkyl acetal electrophiles led to lower
diastereoselectivity for syn-aldol type products, but a reversal of selectivity to afford the anti-stereoisomer was not achieved. Application of other $\alpha$-hydroxy ester metal enolates shifted aldol selectivity in favor of the anti-aldol products, though the best conditions using aluminum enolates only preferred the anti-aldol products by 2.7:1. Attempts to promote anti-selectivity via open transition state aldol reactions through aldehyde activation with precoordinated Lewis acids were unsuccessful.

Extension of the Wittig rearrangement intermediates to new electrophiles with application towards 1,3-diols (epoxides) or tertiary alcohols (activated alkenes) did not show any evidence of successful reactivity. Pyridinium salt electrophiles have shown preliminary success with the benzyl rearrangement substrate, but low reaction conversion and an initially limited substrate scope indicate that more optimization is needed.

### 6.8 Experimental Section

General: All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in oven or flame dried glassware. Dibutylboron triflate (1.0 M solution in methylene chloride), tertbutyl acrylate, methyl iodide, sodium hydride, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, and DMF were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. All aldehydes, benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, and 2-ethyl oxirane were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich Chemical Co. or Acros Chemical Co.) and were purified by distillation from $\mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Triethylamine and diisopropylethylamine were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. and were purified by distillation from CaH . Phosphate buffer solution ( pH 7 ) was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. Methylene chloride, toluene, and THF was purified using a GlassContour solvent purification system. $( \pm)-\left(1 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 2 \mathrm{~S}^{*}\right)-$

2-Phenylcyclohexyl-2'-(allyloxy)acetate (1a), ${ }^{\text {1a }} \quad( \pm)-\left(1 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 2 S^{*}\right)$-2-Phenylcyclohexyl-2'(benzyloxy)acetate (1b), ${ }^{\text {lb }}$ Methyl 2-(benzyloxy)acetate (13), ${ }^{\text {1a }}( \pm)-\left(2 R^{*}, 3 S^{*}\right)$-Methyl-2-benzyl-2,3-dihydroxypent-4-enoate (19), ${ }^{\text {1a }} \quad( \pm)$-Methyl-2-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (23), ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and 4 -methoxy-1-methyl-pyridinium iodide (35), ${ }^{17}$ were prepared according to published procedures. Yields refer to isolated yields of compounds estimated to be $\geq 95 \%$ pure as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## Synthesis of Substrates



2-Phenyl-1, 3-dioxane (17). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with benzaldehyde ( $2.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 1,3propanediol ( $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv.), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( 2 mg ), and toluene ( 20 mL ). The resulting solution was brought to reflux under a DeanStark trap until the starting material was consumed as judge by TLC analysis (ca. 24 h ). The reaction was then cooled to rt, poured into a sat. aq. solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as the eluent to afford the title compound ( $3.21 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a white solid. Spectroscopic properties were consistent with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{18}$

## Selection for anti-selective aldol reactions via oxonium electrophiles



## ( $\pm$ )-(2R*, 3S*)-methyl 2-benzyl-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-3-phenylpropanoate (16). A

 flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with a 1 M solution of dibutylboron triflate in dichloromethane ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv). The pale yellow solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine ( $0.625 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was added dropwise to afford a colorless solution. Methyl 2-(benzyloxy)acetate (13) (28.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was warmed rt , stirred for 1.5 h , and then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A second portion of $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) was added, then benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise. The reaction was stired at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , the warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/water and stirred another 1 h . The reaction vessel was then opened to air, and pH 7 buffer ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate), and methanol ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) were added. The resulting mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(2$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) was added slowly, and the reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h . The mixture was diluted with ether ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) and water ( 5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate), then was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ ( $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) until a red-orange aqueous phase no longer persisted in order to quench any remaining peroxide. Caution! This procedure is exothermic. The $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$solution should be added via glass pipette SLOWLY DROPWISE. The organic layer was then washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to yield the title compound $\mathbf{1 6}(25.8 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%, 3: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ as a colorless oil. The pure material was judged to be of $3: 1$ dr by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Major (syn) diastereomer (16): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49-7.45$ (m, 2 H ), $7.43-7.36$ (m, 3 H ), 7.24-7.16 (m, 3 H), 7.12-7.08 (m, 2 H ), 4.50 (s, 1 H ), 3.71 (s, 3 H ), 3.23-3.20 (m, 4 H), 2.97 (d, J $=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.48 (d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Minor (anti) diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.49-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36$ (m, 3 H ), 7.24-7.16 (m, 3 H ), 7.12-7.08 (m, 2 H), $4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (s, br, 1 H ).

( $\pm$ )-(2R*,3S*)-methyl
2-benzyl-2-hydroxy-3-(3-hydroxypropoxy)-3phenylpropanoate (18). The reaction of $\mathbf{1 3}(28.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of 16. This procedure afforded the title compound $\mathbf{1 8}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%, 1.5: 1 \mathrm{dr})$ as a colorless oil. The pure material was judged to be of $1.5: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Major (syn) diastereomer (18): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16$ (m, 4 H$), 7.14-7.10$ (m, 1 H), $4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Minor (anti) diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$,
7.25-7.16 (m, 4 H), 7.14-7.10 (m, 1 H$), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1$ H), 1.92-1.82 (m, 2 H$)$.

## Authentic synthesis of syn-diol ester product


( $\pm$ )-(2R*,3S*)-methyl 2-benzyl-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-3-phenylpropanoate (16). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with ( $\pm$ )-(2R*,3S*)-Methyl-2-benzyl-2,3-dihydroxypent-4-enoate (19) ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DMF ( 2 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled in an ice/water bath and sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added. After gas evolution ceased, methyl iodide ( $11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was then quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluent to afford the title compound ( 17.4 mg , $33 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data matched those reported above.

## Selection for anti-selective aldol reactions via metal enolates



A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with diisopropyl amine ( $148 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.25$ equiv) and THF ( 1.0 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled in an ice/water bath and n-butyl lithium ( 2.00 M in hexanes, 0.5 mL , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The resulting stock LDA solution was stirred 15 minutes before use. A separate flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with ( $\pm$ )-Methyl-2-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (23) (36 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and toluene ( 0.5 mL ). The flask was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/water bath. Stock LDA (x equiv) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 10 minutes. Diethyl aluminum chloride ( 1 M in hexanes, x equiv) was added dropwise to the yellow solution and white LiCl precipitated. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 minutes, then benzaldehyde ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to rt and stirred 3 h . The reaction mixture was then quenched with 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 5 mL ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The reaction dr was determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. Spectroscopic properties for (19) were consistent with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{1 a}$ Major (anti) diastereomer (24): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,

## Exploring Enolate Addition to Activated Aldehydes



A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with a 1 M solution of dibutylboron triflate in dichloromethane ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv). The pale yellow solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine ( $0.625 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was added dropwise to afford a colorless solution. Methyl 2-(benzyloxy)acetate (13) (28.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was warmed rt , stirred for 1.5 h , and then cooled to $-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A second flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen, cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and charged with aldehyde ( 2.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ ( 1 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, 4.0 equiv). Cannula transfer of $\mathbf{1 4}$ to the aldehydes or the aldehydes to $\mathbf{1 4}$ was conducted. The reaction was stired at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , the warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/water and stirred another 1 h . The reaction vessel was then opened to air, and pH 7 buffer ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate), and methanol ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) were added. The resulting mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(2$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ substrate) was added slowly, and the reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h . The reaction was worked up as described for 16 above. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis showed formation of $\mathbf{2 3}$.


A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with diisopropyl amine ( $148 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.25 equiv) and THF ( 1.0 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled in an ice/water bath and n-butyl lithium ( 2.00 M in hexanes, 0.5 mL , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added dropwise. The resulting stock LDA solution was stirred 15 minutes before use. A separate flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with ( $\pm$ )-Methyl-2-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (23) (36 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and toluene ( 0.5 mL ). The flask was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/water bath. Stock LDA (2.0 equiv) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 10 minutes, then the reaction solution was cannula transferred to a $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ flask containing benzaldehyde ( 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ ( 1 M in hexanes, 1.0 equiv). The reaction was allowed to warm to rt and stirred 3 h . The reaction mixture was then quenched with 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The reaction dr was determined by crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis.

## Investigating new electrophiles for tandem wittig rearrangement reactions


( $\pm$ )-(1R*,8aR*)-((1R*,2S*)-2-phenylcyclohexyl)
1-benzyl-3,7-dioxo-3,7,8,8a-
tetrahydro-1H-oxazolo[3,4-a]pyridine-1-carboxylate (33). A flame-dried flask was cooled under a stream of nitrogen and charged with a 1 M solution of dibutylboron triflate in dichloromethane ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv). The pale yellow solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine ( $112 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was added dropwise to afford a colorless solution. ( $\pm$ )-( $\left.1 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 2 \mathrm{~S}^{*}\right)$-2-Phenylcyclohexyl-2'(benzyloxy)acetate (1b) ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for 1.5 h . The solution was cannulated into a solution $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) of pyridinium salt $\mathbf{3 1}$ which was formed from 4-methyoxypyridine (61 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and benzyl chloroformate ( $86 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 1 mL ) at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . After 2 h , the reaction mixture was then quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to yield the title compound 33 ( $24.2 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39-7.35 (m, 1 H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 3 H ), 7.25-7.11 (m, 5 H ), 5.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H ),
5.17 (dt, $J=4.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.89 (dd, $J=4.0,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.33$ (m, 5 H ).

$( \pm)-\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}\right)$-2-phenylcyclohexyl 2-hydroxypent-4-enoate (34). The reaction with ( $\pm$ )-(1R*,2S*)-2-Phenylcyclohexyl-2'-(allyloxy)acetate (1a) was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{3 3}$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ as the eluent to afford the title compound (34) ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). The pure material was judged to be of $1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.16 (m, 2.5 H), 5.63-5.54 (m, 0.5 H), 5.17-5.01 (m, 2.5 H), 4.89-4.85 (m, 0.5 H), 4.78-4.73 (m, 0.5 H$), 2.73-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, 2.30-2.23 (m, 0.5 H$), 2.20-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.92$ (m, 1.5 H ), 1.91-1.85 (m, 1 H ), $1.83-1.73$ (m, 1.5 H), 1.63-1.30 (m, 5 H$)$.

## $N$-Methyl pyridinium electrophiles fail to provide tandem products


( $\pm$ )-( $\mathbf{( 1 R ^ { * } , 2 S ^ { * } ) \text { -2-phenylcyclohexyl 2-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate (36). The reaction }}$ with 4-methoxy-1-methyl-pyridinium iodide (35) was conducted using a procedure analogous to that described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{3 3}$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as the eluent to afford the title compound (36) ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29-7.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5$, $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.99-1.92 (m, 1 H), 1.92-1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.84-1.77 (m, 1 H), 1.65-1.56 (m, 1 H), $1.51-1.43$ (m, 2 H), 1.43-1.33 (m, 1 H ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv amine, 1.2 equiv $\mathrm{ArBr}, 2.3$ equiv $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Dpe-phos, dioxane ( $0.2-0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ), $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yield refers to average isolated yield obtained in two or more experiments. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Dppe used in place of Dpe-phos. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ used in place of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The reaction was conducted at 85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DME solvent. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ This example established by Myra Bertrand.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Ligand $=($ o-biphenyl $) \mathrm{P} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ligand $=\mathrm{P}(t \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \bullet \mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Ligand $=( \pm)-\mathrm{BINAP}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 1.0 equiv substrate, 2.0 equiv $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{Br}, 2.0$ equiv $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}$, toluene ( 0.4 M ), $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield (average of two experiments). All products were formed with $>20: 1$ dr as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude products prior to purification. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The reaction was conducted using 4.0 equiv of $\beta$-bromostyrene, 4.0 equiv of $\mathrm{NaOtBu}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $16 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield starting from corresponding carboxylic acid.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conversion to product 18 measured by HPLC-LRMS.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield.

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ Lebel, H.; Leogane, O. Synthesis 2009, 1935-1940.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

