Retinoids suppress cysteine-rich protein 61 (CCN1), a negative regulator of collagen homeostasis, in skin equivalent cultures and aged human skin *in vivo*

Taihao Quan, Zhaoping Qin, Yuan Shao, Yiru Xu, John J. Voorhees and Gary J. Fisher

Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Correspondence: Taihao Quan and Gary J. Fisher, Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan Medical School, 1150 W. Medical Center Dr, Medical Science I, Room 6447, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5609, USA, Tel.: 734 763-1469, Fax: 734 647-0076, e-mail: thquan@umich.edu and gjfisher@umich.edu

Abstract: Alterations in connective tissue collagen are prominent features of both chronologically aged and photoaged (ageing because of sun exposure) human skin. These age-related abnormalities are mediated in part by cysteine-rich protein 61 (CCN1). CCN1 is elevated in the dermis of both chronologically aged and photoaged human skin *in vivo* and promotes aberrant collagen homeostasis by down-regulating type I collagen, the major structural protein in skin, and promoting collagen degradation. Vitamin A and its metabolites have been shown to improve chronologically aged and photoaged skin by promoting deposition of new collagen and preventing its degradation. Here, we investigated regulation of CCN1 expression by retinoids in skin equivalent cultures and chronologically aged and photoaged human skin *in vivo*. In skin equivalent cultures, all-*trans* retinoic acid (RA), the major bioactive form of vitamin A in skin,

Introduction

Type I collagen comprises the bulk of dermal connective tissue, which physically supports human skin (1–3). Alterations in dermal collagen organization, structure, and content occur in both chronologically aged and photoaged human skin (1–4). These abnormalities are largely caused by an imbalance of collagen homeostasis, characterized by reduced production of type I collagen and elevated matrix-degrading matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)¹ (5–8). This imbalance of collagen homeostasis is associated with the wrinkled appearance of photoaged skin and the thinning of aged skin.

Human skin has the capacity to convert vitamin A (all-*trans* retinol) to its biologically active metabolite all-*trans* retinoic acid (RA). Treatment of aged or photoaged skin with retinol or RA stimulates collagen production in both chronologically aged and photoaged human skin (5,9,10). This stimulation improves the appearance and function of skin. The molecular mechanisms by which RA regulates collagen production in aged and photoaged

Abbreviations: AFM, atomic force microscopy; CCN, cysteine-rich protein 61, connective tissue growth factor, nephroblastoma overexpressed; CCN1, cysteine-rich protein 61; CRABP-2, cellular RA-binding protein II; ECM, extracellular matrix; MMP-1, matrix metalloproteinase-1; ¹MMPs, matrix metalloproteinases; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RA, all-*trans*-retinoic acid; rhCCN1, recombinant human CCN1; ROL, retinol; UV, ultraviolet.

significantly increased type I procollagen and reduced collagenase (matrix metalloproteinases-1, MMP-1). Addition of recombinant human CCN1 to skin equivalent cultures significantly reduced type I procollagen and increased MMP-1. Importantly, RA significantly reduced CCN1 expression in skin equivalent cultures. Topical treatment with retinol (vitamin A, 0.4%) for 7 days significantly reduced CCN1 mRNA and protein expression in both chronologically aged (80 + years) and photoaged human skin *in vivo*, compared to vehicle-treated skin. These data indicate that the mechanism by which retinoids improve aged skin, through increased collagen production, involves down-regulation of CCN1.

Key words: collagen – cysteine-rich protein 61 – matrix metalloproteinase-1 – retinoic acid – skin ageing – skin equivalent cultures

Accepted for publication 27 January 2011

skin are not well understood. Recently, the CCN (cysteine-rich protein 61, connective tissue growth factor, nephroblastoma overexpressed) family member (11-13), cystein-rich protein 61 (CCN1) has been shown to inhibit type I procollagen synthesis and induce collagen degradation via up-regulation of collagenase matrix metalloproteinases-1 (MMP-1) (14,15). CCN1 has been shown to exhibit diverse cellular functions such as regulation of cell proliferation, chemotaxis, inflammation, apoptosis, adhesion, motility and extracellular matrix remodeling (11,13,16-18). CCN1 expression is highly induced by various stimuli such as phorbol ester TPA, Vitamin D, inflammation and wound healing (16,18,19). On the other hand, CCN1 expression is inhibited by retinoic acid in breast cancer cell lines (19). We have previously reported that CCN1 is predominantly expressed by dermal fibroblasts and is significantly elevated in photoaged and chronologically aged human skin in vivo (14). As elevated CCN1 negatively regulates collagen homeostasis and RA improves aberrant collagen homeostasis, we investigated whether RA is able to regulate CCN1 expression in skin equivalent cultures and in human skin in vivo. Our data indicate that treatment of skin equivalent cultures or aged/photoaged human skin in vivo with RA significantly reduced CCN1 and that this reduction was associated with increased type I procollagen production and reduced MMP-1 expression. These data suggest that the mechanism by which retinoids improve collagen homeostasis in aged human skin involves suppression of CCN1 expression.

Materials and methods

Procurement of human tissue samples and retinol treatment in human skin *in vivo*

Full-thickness punch biopsies (4 mm) were obtained from healthy adult human volunteers, as previously described (4,20). For young and chronologically aged skin, the biopsies were obtained from healthy sun-protected buttock skin according to age: 21-30 years for young group and ≥80 years for aged group. For photoaged skin, the biopsies were obtained from the extensor forearm and sun-protected skin was biopsied from underarm of same individual. The age of photoaged subjects involved in this study ranged from 55 to 65 years. The presence of severe photodamage was determined based on clinical criteria, as described previously (5). For retinol treatment, retinol (vitamin A, 0.4%) and its vehicle (70% ethanol, 30% polyethylene glycol, and 0.05% butylated hydroxytoluene) were applied topically to sun-protected buttock skin (chronologically aged group) and photoaged forearm skin for 7 days at single treatment. The retinol and its vehicle were applied under occlusion to prevent loss and exposure to light. All procedures involving human volunteers were approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board, and all volunteers provided written informed consent.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging

Human skin equivalent culture and human skin biopsies were embedded in OCT, and cryo-sections (10 μ m) were attached onto the microscope cover glass (1.2 mm diameter; Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA, USA). These AFM samples were allowed to air dry for at least 24 h before imaging them to AFM analysis. AFM images were carried out using a Multimode Nanoscope IIIa AFM (Veeco Instrument Inc., Santa Barbara, CA, USA) equipped with a $120 \times 120 \times 3.2 \ \mu m$ (X,Y,Z dimension) tube J scanner. An initial scan position of the skin was determined by light optical image. AFM images were obtained with tapping mode using a silicon etched cantilever (NSC15/AIBS; MikroMasch, San Jose, CA, USA) with a full tip cone angle ${\sim}40^{\rm o}$ and the tip radius of curvature ~ 10 nm. AFM images were acquired at a scan rate of 1.0 Hz, 512×512 pixels resolution, integral and proportional gain settings of 0.4 and 0.6, respectively. The image quality was optimized by dynamically lowering the scan rate and setpoint and increasing the gains and drive amplitude.

Immunohistology

Immunohistology was performed as described previously (14,21). Briefly, skin OCT-embedded cryo-sections (7 μ m) were fixed in paraformaldehyde. Subsequently, the slides were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with normal rabbit serum followed by incubation of goat polyclonal antibodies against CCN1 (sc-8560; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). To verify antibody specificity, antibodies were incubated with antigenic peptides (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 30 min prior to addition to skin sections. All sections were lightly counterstained with haematoxy-lin and were mounted with mounting media (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). The intensity of positive staining was quantified by computerized image analysis (Image-pro Plus software, version 4.1; Media Cybernetics, MD, USA).

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from skin equivalent cultures and human skin using Micro RNA isolation kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Total RNA (100 ng) was reverse transcribed, with random primers, using Taqman Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Real-time PCR was performed on a 7300 Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems) using Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix Reagents (Applied Biosystems). Primers and probes for real-time PCR are as follows: CCN1, sense primer, 5'-TCAAAGACCTGTGGAACTGGTATC-3'; anti-sense primer, 5'-CA CAAATCCGGGTTTCTTTCA-3'; probe, 5'-CAATGACAACCCTGA GTGCCGCCT-3'; CRABP-2, sense primer, 5'-CAAGACCTCGT GGACCAGAGA-3'; anti-sense primer, 5'-ACCCTGGTGCACACA ACGT-3'; probe, 5'-TCCGCCGTCATGGTCAGGATCAGTC-3'. Primers and probes for 36B4, type I procollagen and MMP-1 were described previously (1,21–23). Target genes and 36B4 mRNA levels were quantified and normalized to 36B4, a housekeeping control.

Skin equivalent cultures

Human skin equivalent cultures (EpiDermTM-FT-400) were kindly provided by MatTek Corporation (Ashland, MA, USA). Skin equivalent cultures consist of type I collagen and two major cell types of human skin, keratinocytes and fibroblasts derived from same donors. Upon receipt, the tissues were cultured in 2.0-ml EFT-400 medium and equilibrated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 h. At the end of equilibration, the tissues were treated with all-*trans*-retinoic acid (10 μ M; Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) for 7 days (fresh retinoic acid-containing medium was replaced every other day) or recombinant human CCN1 (10 μ g/ml; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) for 24 h. Throughout the experiment, the skin equivalent cultures were cultured at air-liquid interface with the lower dermis submerged in culture media and the epidermis exposed to air. At the end of the treatment, both media and cells were collected for analysis.

Western blot analysis and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Western blot was performed as described previously (14,24). Briefly, whole cell extract was resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane and blocked with PBST (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) containing 5% milk. Primary antibodies (CCN1; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Type I procollagen; Southern Biotechnology, Birmingham, AL; MMP-1; Chemicon, CA, USA) were incubated with PVDF membrane for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were washed three times with PBST solution and incubated with appropriate secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. After washing three times with PBST, the blots were developed with ECF (Vistra ECF Western Blotting System; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and were scanned by STORM PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The intensities of specific bands were quantified and normalized using β -actin as a marker for loading control. Secreted type I procollagen from skin equivalent cultures was determined by procollagen type I C-peptide in vitro enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Takara Bio Inc., Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and previous publication (25).

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between groups were determined with the Student's *t*-test. All *P* values are two-tailed and considered significant when P < 0.05.

Results

RA responsiveness in skin equivalent cultures

Retinoids have been shown to regulate collagen homeostasis by stimulation of type I collagen production and reducing collagen degradation in chronologically aged (9,10) and photoaged human skin (5,20,26,27). The molecular mechanisms by which retinoids regulate collagen homeostasis in human skin have been difficult to investigate largely because of lack of appropriate *in vitro* models. For example, primary keratinocytes or dermal fibroblasts are minimally responsive to RA treatment in monolayer culture (28,29). This lack of responsiveness is due, at least in part, to low levels of nuclear retinoid receptors, which mediate expression of retinoidregulated genes (30,31). Therefore, we evaluated the use of skin equivalent cultures as a model to investigate retinoid regulation of collagen homeostasis. Skin equivalent cultures are composed of stratified, differentiated keratinocytes (model epidermis) on top of a type I collagen lattice, in which dermal fibroblasts are embedded (model dermis).

We used AFM to characterize the structure and surface topology of collagen fibrils in skin equivalent cultures and human dermis. AFM images show that the collagenous extracellular matrix in skin equivalent cultures and human dermis are morphologically similar (Fig. 1a). Collagen fibrils are formed into a sheet in both skin equivalent cultures and human dermis. The measurement of dermal surface roughness shows that no significant difference is found between skin equivalent cultures and human dermis (Fig. 1b).

Treatment of skin equivalent cultures with RA significantly increased the number of keratinocyte layers (Fig. 1c). Thickness of the keratinocyte layers was significantly increased (50%, Fig. 1d), similar to the effect of topical application of RA to human skin *in vivo*. (9,20,32). Consistent with these data, RA treatment signifi-



Figure 1. Organization of collagen fibrils and RA responsiveness in skin equivalent cultures. (a) Skin equivalent cultures and human skin were embedded in OCT, and cryo-sections (7 μ m) were analysed by atomic force microscopy (AFM). AFM images were obtained using a Multimode Nanoscope IIIa AFM (Veeco Instrument Inc.,), as described in Experimental Procedures. Images are representative of three experiments. Bar = 1 μ m. Arrows indicate collagen bundles in skin equivalent cultures and human skin (b) Skin dermal roughness was determined by Nanoscope Illa software. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM, N = 4. (c) Skin equivalent cultures were treated with all-trans retinoic acid (10 μ M) for 7 days. Cultures were embedded in OCT, and cryo-sections (7 μ m) were stained with H&E. Lines indicate dermal-epidermal boundary. Images are representative of three experiments. (d) Average vertical height of the stratified layers of keratinocytes was quantified by computerized image analysis. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM, N = 3, *P < 0.05. (e) CRABP-2 mRNA levels were quantified by real-time RT-PCR and were normalized to mRNA for 36B4, a ribosomal protein used as an internal control for quantification. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM, N = 3, *P < 0.05

cantly increased (2.3-fold) CRABP-2 (cellular RA-binding protein II) mRNA levels (Fig. 1e). The CRABP-2 gene is directly regulated by nuclear retinoic acid receptors and is a well-characterized marker of nuclear retinoic acid receptor activation in human skin (27,31,33–35). These histological and molecular changes in response to RA treatment indicate that skin equivalent cultures are a useful model for investigating mechanisms by which retinoids regulate collagen homeostasis in human skin.

RA increases type I procollagen and reduces MMP-1 in skin equivalent cultures

Importantly, RA treatment significantly increased type I procollagen mRNA (Fig. 2a) and protein levels (Fig. 2b) in skin equivalent cultures, compared to vehicle control. Treatment with RA (10 μ M) for 24 h increased type I procollagen mRNA and protein levels nearly 3-fold. In addition, RA treatment increased the level of extracellular, secreted type I procollagen more than 2-fold (Fig. 2c). RA treatment also significantly reduced the expression of MMP-1, which initiates cleavage of type I collagen fibrils. RA reduced MMP-1 mRNA (Fig. 2d) and protein (Fig. 2e) approximately 50%, in skin equivalent cultures, compared to vehicle control. These data indicate that RA regulates collagen homeostasis, in skin equivalent cultures, as observed in human skin *in vivo* by up-regulation of type I procollagen and down-regulation of MMP-1.

RA reduces CCN1 expression, which negatively regulates collagen homeostasis in skin equivalent cultures

We have previously reported that overexpression of CCN1 in human skin fibroblast monolayer cultures alters collagen homeostasis (14). To further investigate the functions of CCN1, skin equivalent cultures were treated with recombinant human CCN1 (rhCCN1, 10 μ g/ml). Treatment of cultures for 24 h with



Figure 2. all-*trans* retinoic acid increases type I procollagen and reduces matrix metalloproteinases-1 (MMP-1) in skin equivalent cultures. Skin equivalent cultures were treated with all-*trans* retinoic acid (10 μ M) for 7 days. (a) Type I procollagen mRNA; (b) Type I procollagen protein (Western blot), (c) Type I procollagen protein (ELSA); (d) MMP-1 mRNA; (e) MMP-1 protein (Western blot), mRNA levels were quantified by real-time RT-PCR and were normalized to mRNA for 36B4, a ribosomal protein used as an internal control for quantification. Western blot analyses were normalized using β -actin as loading control. Inset shows representative Western blots. Becreted type I procollagen (c) in culture medium was determined by ELISA. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, N = 3, *P < 0.05.

rhCCN1 significantly reduced the levels of type I procollagen mRNA (Fig. 3a) and protein (Fig. 3b) approximately 40%, compared to vehicle control. In addition, CCN1 treatment of skin equivalent cultures increased MMP-1 mRNA (Fig. 3c) and protein (Fig. 3d) approximately 2.5-fold, compared to vehicle control in skin equivalent cultures. These data indicate that collagen metabolism by fibroblasts in skin equivalent cultures is regulated by CCN1.

Given the ability of RA and CCN1 to regulate collagen homeostasis in skin equivalent cultures, we next investigated whether RA could alter CCN1 expression. Importantly, treatment of skin equivalent cultures with RA (10 μ M) for 7 days reduced the expression of CCN1 mRNA (Fig. 3e) protein (Fig. 3f) by approximately 60%. Taken together, the above data indicate that RA regulates both collagen homeostasis and CCN1 expression in skin equivalent cultures.

CCN1 is elevated in chronologically aged and photoaged human skin and reduced by retinoid treatment *in vivo*

We have previously reported that CCN1 is elevated in ageing skin determined by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis (14). To further investigate the expression and localization of CCN1 in skin ageing, we determined CCN1 expression and localization in naturally aged and photoaged human skin by immunohistology. Immunohistochemical staining of CCN1 protein was significantly elevated in the dermis of both naturally aged (80 + years), sun-protected buttocks skin (Fig. 4a) and photoaged extensor forearm skin (Fig. 4b), compared to young or subject-matched underarm (sunprotected) skin, respectively. Levels of CCN1 protein were elevated 3- to 4-fold in the dermis of both naturally aged and photoaged



Figure 3. CCN1 regulates collagen homeostasis, and retinoic acid reduces CCN1 expression in skin equivalent cultures. Skin equivalent cultures were treated with recombinant human CCN1 (10 μ g/ml) for 24 h. (a) Type I procollagen mRNA levels; (b) type I procollagen protein levels; (c) Matrix metalloproteinases-1 (MMP-1) mRNA levels; (d) MMP-1 protein levels; (c) Matrix metalloproteinases-1 (MMP-1) mRNA levels; (d) MMP-1 protein levels; mRNA levels were quantified by real-time RT-PCR and were normalized to mRNA for 36B4, a ribosomal protein used as an internal control for quantification. Western blot analyses were normalized using β -actin as loading control. Inset shows representative Western blots. (e, f) Cultures were treated with all-*trans* retinoic acid (10 μ M) for 7 days. (e) CCN1 mRNA levels; (f) CCN1 protein levels, mRNA levels were quantified by real-time RT-PCR and were normalized to mRNA for 36B4, a ribosomal protein used as an internal control for quantification. Western blots. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, N = 3, *P < 0.05.



Figure 4. Retinoid treatment reduces CCN1 expression in chronologically aged and photoaged human skin in vivo. Full-thickness human skin punch biopsies were obtained from (a) young (21–30 years) and aged (80 + years) buttock skin, or (b) sun-protected underarm and subject-matched photoaged extensor forearm skin. CCN1 protein levels were determined by immunohistology and quantified by computerized image analysis. Figures show representative immunohistology. Data are expressed as means \pm SEM, n = 9, *P < 0.05. (c and d), Aged (80 + years) buttocks skin was topically treated with vehicle or retinol (ROL, 0.4%) for 7 days. (c) CCN1 mRNA levels, (d) CCN1 protein levels. (e and f), Photodamaged forearm skin was topically treated with vehicle or retinol (ROL, 0.4%) for 7 days. (e) CCN1 mRNA levels, (f) CCN1 protein levels. CCN1 mRNA levels were quantified by realtime RT-PCR and were normalized to mRNA for 36B4, a ribosomal protein used as an internal control for quantification. CCN1 protein levels were determined immunohistology and guantified by computerized image analysis. Inset shows representative immunohistology. Data are expressed as means \pm SEM, n = 4-10, *P < 0.05

skin, compared to their respective controls. As elevated CCN1 negatively regulates collagen homeostasis, we investigated the effect of retinoid treatment on CCN1 expression in human skin *in vivo*. Retinol (0.4%) or vehicle was topically applied under occlusion for 7 days to aged (80 + years) buttocks skin or photo-aged extensor forearm skin. Application of retinol significantly reduced elevated CCN1 in both naturally aged and photoaged human skin, compared to vehicle-treated control skin. Retinol reduced the dermal expression of CCN1 mRNA and protein by 50–70% in both naturally aged (Fig. 4c,d) and photoaged forearm (Fig. 4e,f) skin *in vivo*, compared to vehicle-treated control skin. **Discussion**

Chronologically aged and photoaged skin (photoageing) share common molecular features such as altered homeostasis of type I collagen, characterized by decreased collagen synthesis and elevated collagen degradation. Alterations in connective tissue collagen reduce the structural integrity and increase the fragility of skin, thereby significantly impairing skin function. Alterations in dermal collagen homeostasis are mediated, at least in part, by CCN family gene, CCN1 (14,15). CCN1 is predominantly

Quan et al.

expressed in dermal fibroblasts and elevated in chronologically aged, photoaged and UV-irradiated human skin dermis *in vivo* (14,15). Elevated CCN1 negatively regulates collagen homeostasis by inhibiting type I collagen production and promoting collagen degradation.

Retinoids have been used as therapeutic agents for numerous skin diseases, including psoriasis, acne, and photodamage (36). However, the precise mechanism(s) by which RA improves clinical features and skin function in chronologically aged or photoaged skin is not well understood. Our data suggest that one mechanism by which RA improves skin connective tissue may involve suppression of CCN1 in aged human skin.

As retinoids have been shown to increase collagen production in chronologically aged and photoaged skin (5,9,10,20), we investigated the effect of retinoids on CCN1 expression in human skin *in vivo*, and in skin equivalent cultures *in vitro*. Our data indicate that retinoid treatment significantly reduces CCN1 mRNA and protein levels in aged or photoaged human skin *in vivo*, and in skin equivalent cultures. This reduction is associated with increased type I procollagen production and reduced MMP-1 expression in skin equivalent cultures.

The mechanism(s) by which retinoids reduce CCN1 expression in chronologically aged or photoaged human skin remains to be determined. One possibility is that retinoid reduces CCN1 through inhibition of AP-1 transcription factor. CCN1 has been shown to be transcriptionally activated by a variety of extracellular stimuli. We have found that transcriptional regulation of CCN1 in response to UV irradiation is primarily controlled by AP-1 transcription factor, in primary human dermal fibroblasts (15). AP-1 transcriptional activity is elevated in both chronologically aged and photoaged human skin and is critically important in mediating skin connective tissue damage. Importantly, elevated AP-1 is suppressed by applications of retinoid in aged and photoaged human skin *in vivo* (5,10,27,37,38), suggesting that retinoids down-regulate CCN1 expression by inhibiting AP-1 transcription factor. Interestingly, we have reported that elevated CCN1 also activates transcription factor AP-1, (14) suggesting that a positive feedback mechanism may contribute to sustained elevation of CCN1 in aged and photoaged human skin.

Treatment of skin equivalent cultures with RA resulted in increased epidermal cell layers and CRABP-2 expression, which are characteristic responses of human epidermis to retinoid treatment in vivo. Skin equivalent cultures have been shown to be a useful model to study functional interactions between epidermis and dermis (39-41). We find that neither CCN1 nor type I collagen is regulated by retinoid in primary fibroblasts cultured in monolayer or three dimensional collagen lattices (data not shown). An increasing body of evidence indicates that interactions between epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts play a pivotal role in dermal fibroblasts behaviour and functions (42-45). Our data suggest that such interactions may be involved in the regulation of CCN1 expression by retinoid, in dermal fibroblasts, and skin equivalent cultures appear to be a useful model to investigate the nature of these interactions. In summary, our data suggest that the mechanism by which retinoids improve collagen homeostasis in aged and photoaged human skin involves suppression of CCN1 expression.

Acknowledgements

We thank Suzan Rehbine for the procurement of tissue specimens, Laura Van Goor for graphic support, and Diane Fiolek for graphic and administrative assistance. We thank MatTek Corporation (Ashland, MA) for providing human skin equivalent cultures, and also thank Mitch Klausner (MatTek Corporation) and Patrick J Hayden (MatTek Corporation) for technical suggestions. We thank Electron Microbeam Analysis Laboratory (EMAL), University of Michigan College of Engineering for AFM analysis. This work was supported by the National Institute of Health (grant ES014697 to T Quan) and Dermatology Foundation Research grant (to T Quan).

References

- 1 Fisher G J, Quan T, Purohit T *et al*. Am J Pathol 2009: **174**: 101–114.
- 2 Fisher G J, Varani J, Voorhees J J. Arch Dermatol 2008: 144: 666–672.
- 3 Fligiel S E, Varani J, Datta S C *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2003: **120**: 842–848.
- 4 Fisher G J, Wang Z Q, Datta S C et al. N Engl J Med 1997: 337: 1419–1428.
- 5 Griffiths C E, Russman A N, Majmudar G et al. N Engl J Med 1993: **329**: 530–535.
- 6 Talwar H S, Griffiths C E, Fisher G J et al. J Invest Dermatol 1995: 105: 285–290.
- 7 Varani J, Dame M K, Rittie L *et al.* Am J Pathol 2006: **168**: 1861–1868.
- 8 Varani J, Schuger L, Dame M K et al. J Invest Dermatol 2004: 122: 1471–1479.
- 9 Cho S, Lowe L, Hamilton T A *et al.* J Am Acad Dermatol 2005: 53: 769–774.
- 10 Varani J, Warner R L, Gharaee-Kermani M *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2000: 114: 480–486.
- Lau L F, Lam S C. Exp Cell Res 1999: 248: 44–57.
 Leask A, Abraham D J. J Cell Sci 2006: 119: 4803–4810.
- **13** Perbal B. Lancet 2004: **363**: 62–64.
- 14 Quan T, He T, Shao Y *et al.* Am J Pathol 2006: 169: 482–490.
- **15** Quan T, Qin Z, Xu Y *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2010: **130**: 1697–1706.

- **16** Bai T, Chen C C, Lau L F. J Immunol 2010: **184**: 3223–3232.
- 17 Brigstock D R. J Endocrinol 2003: 178: 169–175.
- 18 Jun J I, Lau L F. Nat Cell Biol 2010: 12: 676–685.
 19 Tsai M S, Bogart D F, Li P *et al.* Oncogene 2002:
- 21: 964–973.
- 20 Fisher G J, Voorhees J J. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc 1998: 3: 61–68.
- 21 Quan T, He T, Kang S *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2002: 119: 499–506.
- 22 Quan T, He T, Kang S *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2002: **118**: 402–408.
- 23 Quan T, He T, Kang S et al. Am J Pathol 2004: 165: 741–751.
- **24** Quan T, He T, Voorhees J J *et al.* J Biol Chem 2001: **276**: 26349–26356.
- 25 Quan T, Qin Z, Xia W et al. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc 2009: 14: 20–24.
- **26** Kang S, Fisher G J, Voorhees J J. Arch Dermatol 1997: **133**: 1280–1284.
- **27** Wang Z, Boudjelal M, Kang S *et al.* Nat Med 1999: **5**: 418–422.
- 28 Elder J T, Astrom A, Pettersson U et al. J Invest Dermatol 1992: 98: 36S–41S.
- 29 Elder J T, Astrom A, Pettersson U *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 1992: 98: 673–679.
- 30 Fisher G J, Talwar H S, Xiao J H *et al.* J Biol Chem 1994: 269: 20629–20635.
 31 Di W, Li X Y, Datta S *et al.* J Invest Dermatol
- 31 Di W, Li X Y, Datta S et al. J Invest Dermatol 1998: 111: 1109–1115.

- **32** Fisher G J, Voorhees J J. FASEB J 1996: **10**: 1002–1013.
- 33 Astrom A, Pettersson U, Chambon P et al. J Biol Chem 1994: 269: 22334–22339.
 34 Siegenthaler G, Tomatis I, Chatellard-Gruaz D
- et al. Biochem J 1992: **287** (Pt 2):383–389. **35** Virtanen M Sirsin A Vahlquist A *et al.* Exp. Der-
- Virtanen M, Sirsjo A, Vahlquist A et al. Exp Dermatol 2010: 19: 674–681.
 Orfanos C E, Zouboulis C C, Almond-Roesler B
- et al. Drugs 1997: **53**: 358–388. **37** Fisher G J, Datta S, Wang Z et al. J Clin Invest
- 2000: **106**: 663–670. **38** Fisher G J, Talwar H S, Lin J *et al.* J Clin Invest
- 1998: **101**: 1432–1440. **39** Afag F, Zaid M A, Khan N *et al.* Exp Dermato
- 39 Afaq F, Zaid M A, Khan N *et al.* Exp Dermatol 2009: 18: 553–561.
 40 Basset-Seguin N, Culard J F, Kerai C *et al.* Differ-
- 40 Basset-Seguin N, Culard J F, Kerai C et al. Differentiation 1990: 44: 232–238.
 41 Martin R, Pierrard C, Lejeune F et al. Eur J Der-
- Waturi N, Fiendro C, Lejeune F *et al.* Eur J Defmatol 2008: **18**: 128–135.
 42 Bauer B S, Tredget F F, Marcoux Y *et al.* Linvest
- 42 Bauer B S, Tredget E E, Marcoux Y et al. J Invest Dermatol 2002: 119: 456–463.
 43 Ghaffari A, Kilani R T, Ghahary A, J Invest Der-
- 43 Ghaffari A, Kilani R T, Ghahary A. J Invest Dermatol 2009: 129: 340–347.
 44 Harrison C A, Gossiel F, Bullock A J et al, Br J
- **45** Nowinski D, Hoijer P, Engstrand T *et al.* J Invest Dermatol 2002: **119**: 449–455.