

Chronic Mastitis in Egypt and Morocco: Differentiating between Idiopathic Granulomatous Mastitis and IgG4-Related Disease

Steven G. Allen, MEng,* Amr S. Soliman, MD, PhD,[†] Kathleen Toy, HT(ASCP)QIHC,[‡] Omar S. Omar, MD,[§] Tamer Youssef, MD,[¶] Mehdi Karkouri, MD,** Essam Ayad, MD,^{††} Azza Abdel-Aziz, MD,^{‡‡} Ahmed Hablas, MD,^{§§} Ali Tahri, MD,^{¶¶} Hanna N. Oltean, MPH,^{***} Celina G. Kleer, MD,[‡] and Sofia D. Merajver, MD, PhD*

*Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; [†]Epidemiology, University of Nebraska, Omaha, Nebraska; [‡]Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; [§]Surgery, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt; [¶]Surgical Oncology, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt; **Pathology, Hassan the Second University, Casablanca, Morocco; ^{††}Pathology, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt; ^{‡‡}Pathology, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt; ^{§§}Gharbiah Cancer Society, Tanta, Egypt; ^{¶¶}Centre Hospitalier Universitaire-Mohamed VI, Marrakesh, Morocco; ^{***}Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

■ **Abstract:** Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis (IGM) is a benign, frequently severe chronic inflammatory lesion of the breast. Its etiology remains unknown and reported cases vary in their presentation and histologic findings with an optimal treatment algorithm yet to be described owing mainly to the disease's heterogeneity. IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) is a newly recognized systemic fibroinflammatory condition characterized by a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate with many IgG4-positive plasma cells, storiform fibrosis, and obliterative phlebitis. Immunosuppressive therapy is considered to be an effective first-line therapy for IgG4-RD. We sought to clarify and classify chronic mastitis according to the histologic findings of IgG4-RD mastitis with respect to IGM and to develop a robust diagnostic framework to help select patients for optimal treatment strategies. Using the largest collection to date (43 cases from Egypt and Morocco), we show that despite sharing many features, IGM and IgG4-RD mastitis are separate diseases. To diagnostically separate the diseases, we created a classification schema—termed the Michigan Classification—based upon our large series of cases, the consensus statement on IgG4-RD, and the histologic description of IGM in the literature. Using our classification, we discerned 17 cases of IgG4-RD and 8 cases of IGM among the 43 chronic mastitis cases, with 18 indeterminate cases. Thus, our Michigan Classification can form the basis of rational stratification of chronic mastitis patients between these two clinically and histopathologically heterogeneous diseases. ■

Key Words: Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis, IgG4-related disease, mastitis

Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis (IGM) is a non-neoplastic, chronic inflammatory lesion of the breast that mimics carcinoma both clinically and radiologically (1–5). IGM affects mostly parous women of child-bearing age, but has been reported in the age

range of 11–80 years (2,6). Patients most commonly present with an enlarging, firm, and tender breast lump with erythema and occasionally nipple retraction and/or axillary lymphadenopathy (3,4,7,8). With these concerning clinical characteristics and nonspecific imaging findings frequently resembling inflammatory breast cancer, the diagnosis is often made by core needle biopsy (1–4,6,7,9). Histologically, IGM appears as noncaseating granulomas, frequently centered on the breast lobules, with epithelioid histiocytes and multinucleated giant cells and varying numbers of plasma cells, lymphocytes, neutrophils, and eosinophils (8,10). IGM remains a diagnosis of exclusion, however, as

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Sofia Merajver, MD, PhD, Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, 1500 Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA, or e-mail: smerajve@umich.edu

DOI: 10.1111/tbj.12628

© 2016 The Authors. The Breast Journal Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc., 1075-122X/16

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. The Breast Journal, Volume 22 Number 5, 2016 501–509

there are multiple processes that may cause granulomatous inflammation of the breast (8). An optimal treatment algorithm remains elusive owing mainly to the lack of a complete etiological classification. Therapeutic choices comprise observation, immunosuppressive therapy, wide local excision, mastectomy, or combined therapies; however, these strategies have varied success and recurrences are common (2,6,7,9,11).

IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) is a newly recognized fibroinflammatory condition affecting various organs (12–14). It is characterized by a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate with many IgG4-positive plasma cells, storiform fibrosis, obliterative phlebitis, and often elevated serum IgG4 concentration (12–17). Reportedly uncommon are the presence of well-formed granulomas and a marked neutrophilic infiltration (12,13,18). IgG4-RD is now known to at least partly explain a broad range of medical conditions previously believed to be unique diseases (12,15,17). While no randomized clinical trials have been conducted, glucocorticoid treatment is the standard first-line therapy (12,15).

In contrast to the abundance of cases in other organs, there have been up to now only nine reported cases of IgG4-RD occurring in the breast (19–23). Among these cases of IgG4-RD mastitis, there is a great heterogeneity in the clinical and histopathologic findings and apparent overlap with IGM characteristics. Consistent with this observation, IgG4-RD is known to have variations in histologic appearance depending on the specific organ involved (12–14,17,18). Furthermore, IGM itself is known to have a heterogeneous appearance and lacks a consensus on an optimal treatment protocol (2,3,6,9,11). Thus, we sought to clarify and classify chronic mastitis according to the histologic findings of IgG4-RD mastitis with respect to IGM from a large retrospective collection of cases from Egypt and Morocco, in order to better characterize and distinguish the subset of chronic mastitis patients with IgG4-RD who would benefit from immunosuppressive therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

We performed a retrospective hospital-based study and identified 43 cases of chronic mastitis of unknown

etiology from five hospitals in Morocco (L'hôpital Ibn Rochd, Hassan II University and L'Hôpital Ibn Toufail) and Egypt (Cairo University Medical School, Mansoura University Oncology Center, and the Tanta Cancer Center) (5). The average age of the patients was 36.9 years (SD 9.1, range 17–60). Cases were defined as any female patient with histopathologic diagnosis of chronic mastitis of unknown etiology based upon an excisional biopsy and seen at the study hospitals from 2008 to 2011. All patients initially presented with a breast mass and routine clinical workup (e.g., acid-fast staining and appropriate cultures) was performed to rule out other common mass-forming and granulomatous-forming lesions of infectious and other etiologies. The only exclusion criterion was previous diagnosis of malignancy (5). Further epidemiological and clinical characteristics (including lactation history and parity) of the study population are reported in detail in Oltean et al. (5). The study underwent ethics board review and approval at all the institutions named above and at the University of Michigan.

Pathology Review and Dual Staining Protocol

Blinded to the IgG4 quantification, two authors (C.K. and S.G.A.) analyzed one hematoxylin and eosin slide from each case sectioned from the same paraffin-embedded tissue cassette as were the immunostained slides. The parameters assessed were: a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate, storiform fibrosis, obliterative phlebitis, epithelioid histiocytes, granulomas, giant cells, and neutrophils. Immunohistochemistry staining was performed as described previously (24). Specific to this study, slides were incubated for 1.5 hours at room temperature with an antibody cocktail containing rabbit anti-IgG (Cat# 269A-15; Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA) diluted 1:5400 and mouse anti-IgG4 (Cat# 367M-15; Cell Marque) diluted 1:900 and detected with Mach 2 Double Stain 2 (Biocare Medical, Concord, CA) for 10 minutes at room temperature.

Imaging and Counting Protocol

Hematoxylin and eosin images were captured using an Olympus BX41TF microscope with an Olympus UD03 CCD at 100× and 400× magnification (Waltham, MA). After immunostaining, digital images were captured by an Olympus DP26 CCD using an Olympus IX-51 microscope. The 200× immunostain

Table 1. Histopathology of Chronic Mastitis

Case number	Diagnosis	Average IgG4/hpf	Average IgG/hpf	Ratio	Dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate	Fibrosis, focally storiform	Obliterative phlebitis	Epithelioid histiocytes	Granulomas	Giant cells	Neutrophils
1	IgG4-RD	166	55	75.10%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not epithelioid	Vague	Present	<5/hpf
2	IgG4-RD	99.3	110	47.50%	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	Vague	None	Prominent, pockets
3	IgG4-RD	68.3	83.3	45.10%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	None	<5/hpf
4	IgG4-RD	64	22.3	74.10%	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	None	None	Prominent, involves skin
5	IgG4-RD	52.7	39.7	57.00%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Yes	None	None	<5/hpf
6	IgG4-RD	48.7	33	59.60%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	None	Prominent
7	IgG4-RD	42.3	90	32.00%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	None	<5/hpf
8	IgG4-RD	41.7	62	40.20%	Yes	Yes	No veins in section	Not epithelioid	None	Rare	Moderate, pockets
9	IgG4-RD	31	60.3	40.50%	Yes	Yes	Not obliterative	Not epithelioid	None	None	<5/hpf
10	IgG4-RD	28.7	19	62.00%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not epithelioid	Vague	Rare	<5 hpf
11	IgG4-RD	27.3	28	18.90%	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	None	None	<5/hpf
12	IgG4-RD	26.3	16.7	61.20%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not epithelioid	None	None	Moderate, central
13	IgG4-RD	19.7	38	34.10%	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	Vague	None	<5/hpf
14	IgG4-RD	17.7	18	49.50%	Yes	Yes	Not obliterative	Yes	Vague	None	<5/hpf
15	IgG4-RD	16.7	23	42.00%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not epithelioid	None	None	<5/hpf
16	IgG4-RD	12	14	46.20%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Vague	None	Prominent
17	IgG4-RD	62.3	82.3	43.10%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Yes	Vague	Present	<5/hpf
18	IGM	30.3	94	24.40%	Yes	Not storiform	No veins in section	Yes	Vague	Present	<5 hpf
19	IGM	29.7	113.7	20.70%	Mixed	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Yes	Well-formed	Present	Prominent
20	IGM	27.7	173	13.80%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Vague	Present	Moderate
21	IGM	26.7	36	42.60%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Well-formed	Present	<5/hpf
22	IGM	9.3	63.7	12.80%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Yes	Well-formed	Present	<5/hpf
23	IGM	9	14.7	38.00%	Yes	Not storiform	Yes	Yes	Vague	Present	<5/hpf
24	IGM	7.3	56	11.60%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Yes	Vague	Present	<5/hpf
25	IGM	60	110.3	35.20%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	Vague	Present	<5/hpf
26	Indeterminate	49.7	123.7	28.70%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	Present	Prominent, diffuse
27	Indeterminate	31	77	28.70%	Yes	Scar	Not obliterative	Yes	None	None	Moderate
28	Indeterminate	23	116.7	16.50%	Mixed	No fibrosis	Not obliterative	Not epithelioid	None	Present	Prominent
29	Indeterminate	22	198.7	10.00%	Mixed	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	Present	Moderate
30	Indeterminate	18.7	75.7	19.80%	Yes	Not storiform	No veins in section	Yes	None	Present	<5/hpf
31	Indeterminate	17.7	129.3	12.00%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	Present	<5/hpf
32	Indeterminate	15.3	32	32.40%	Mixed	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	None	Moderate
33	Indeterminate	14.7	17.3	45.80%	Yes	No fibrosis	No phlebitis	Yes	None	None	Prominent, central
34	Indeterminate	13	99.3	11.60%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Yes	None	None	Moderate
35	Indeterminate	13	55	19.10%	Yes	Scar	Yes	None	None	None	<5/hpf
36	Indeterminate	9	10.3	46.60%	Yes	Scar	No phlebitis	None	None	None	<5/hpf
37	Indeterminate	9	74.3	10.80%	Mixed	No fibrosis	Not obliterative	Not epithelioid	None	Rare	Prominent, central
38	Indeterminate	8.7	33	20.80%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Yes	Vague	None	Prominent
39	Indeterminate	6.7	59	10.20%	Yes	Yes	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	Present	<5/hpf
40	Indeterminate	5	31	13.90%	Mixed	Scar	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	Rare	Prominent
41	Indeterminate	0.7	247.3	0.30%	Yes	Not storiform	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	Vague	Rare	Prominent
42	Indeterminate	0	186	0.00%	Mixed	No fibrosis	No phlebitis	Not epithelioid	None	None	Prominent

The histopathologic characteristics and IgG4 and IgG immunostaining quantification of the IgG4-RD, IGM, and indeterminate cases.

digital images' field of view was 0.139 mm² in area. This was 20% smaller in area than the hpf reported by Cheuk et al. (19). The three areas of the most intense inflammation were imaged and then IgG4- and IgG-positive cells were quantified in each of the three images using ImageJ and averaged. The IgG4:IgG ratio was calculated as: IgG4/(IgG4 + IgG).

Statistics

OriginPro 9.1 was used to calculate statistical differences using either Fisher's exact test or a *t*-test of unequal variances.

RESULTS

Diagnosis of IgG4-RD and IGM

Table 1 summarizes the histopathologic findings and IgG4 and IgG quantification of the cases. In total, 17 cases of IgG4-RD mastitis were identified along with eight cases of IGM and 18 indeterminate chronic mastitis cases. In our schema, which we term the Michigan Classification, to be classified as most likely IgG4-RD mastitis, a sample must have met at least four of five positive criteria and two of three negative criteria. The positive criteria were adapted from and are consistent with the IgG4-RD consensus statement and the negative criteria were newly developed by our group to propose a classification schema that would encompass the heterogeneity of the findings in our large sample while in agreement with the consensus statement and the general histologic characteristics of IGM described previously (10,13). The positive criteria were: dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate, storiform fibrosis, obliterative phlebitis, >10 IgG4+ cells/hpf, and >40% IgG4:IgG ratio. The negative criteria were: epithelioid histiocytes, well-formed granulomas, and giant cells. Consistent with the histologic description of IGM (10), cases were classified as IGM if they demonstrated: epithelioid histiocytes, vague or well-formed granulomas, and giant cells. The diagnostic criteria for IGM and our newly developed criteria for IgG4-RD mastitis are outlined in Table 2. The IGM category was assigned irrespective of the number of IgG4-positive plasma cells or IgG4:IgG ratio or the presence of other histologic characteristics of IgG4-RD. Although a prominent neutrophilic infiltration has generally been reported as relatively rare

with IgG4-RD, this is known to vary by organ (12,13). Neutrophils were not therefore considered in our diagnostic criteria for breast IgG4-RD because they did not appear to be a distinguishing characteristic between IgG4-RD mastitis and IGM in our large sample.

Pathologic Findings

Both IgG4-RD mastitis (Fig. 1a) and IGM (Fig. 1b) cases overwhelmingly had a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate and only the indeterminate cases tended to have a mixed infiltrate. The presence of storiform fibrosis, however, was a more specific differentiator between the two diseases as it was present in 17 of 17 IgG4-RD mastitis cases (Fig. 1c) and absent in the five of eight IGM cases (Fig. 1d) ($p < 0.001$). Obliterative phlebitis was not a differentiating criterion and was seen in 11 of 17 IgG4-RD cases (Fig. 1e) and present in three of eight IGM cases ($p = 0.39$). The presence of epithelioid histiocytes was the most common IGM feature overlapping with the IgG4-RD cases, being present in five of the 17 IgG4-RD cases. There were no well-formed granulomas in the IgG4-RD mastitis cases and giant cells were present in three cases. Representative images of IGM epithelioid histiocytes, granulomas, and giant cells can be seen in Figure 2a–d.

IgG4 Quantification

The average number of IgG4 cells per high power field and the IgG4:IgG ratio for each of the IgG4-RD

Table 2. Diagnostic Criteria for IgG4-RD Mastitis and IGM

Positive criteria (4 of 5 present)	Negative criteria (2 of 3 absent)
IgG4-related disease mastitis	
1. Dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate	1. Epithelioid histiocytes
2. Storiform fibrosis	2. Well-formed granulomas
3. Obliterative phlebitis	3. Giant cells
4. >10 IgG4 cells/hpf	
5. >40% IgG4:IgG ratio	
Positive Criteria (3 of 3 present)	Negative criteria
Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis	
1. Epithelioid histiocytes	None
2. Vague or well-formed granulomas	
3. Giant cells	

The positive and negative criteria we developed to distinguish IgG4-RD mastitis from IGM.




Figure 1. IgG4-RD and IGM comparison. Representative H&E images of IgG4-RD (a, c, and e) and IGM (b and d). A dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate is seen in IgG4-RD mastitis (a) and IGM (b), $\times 400$. (c) Storiform fibrosis in IgG4-RD mastitis, $\times 100$, $\times 400$. (d) Non-storiform fibrosis in IGM, $\times 100$, $\times 400$. (e) Obliterative phlebitis in IgG4-RD mastitis, $\times 100$, $\times 400$.

mastitis, IGM, and indeterminate cases are plotted in Figure 3a and b. There were statistically significantly more IgG4+/hpf in the IgG4-RD cases than in the

indeterminate cases ($p < 0.01$), but not compared to the IGM cases ($p = 0.061$). However, the average ratio of the IgG4-RD mastitis cases (49%) was




Figure 2. IGM histopathology. (a and b) Epithelioid histiocytes, $\times 400$. (c and d) Well-formed granulomas and giant cells, $\times 400$.




Figure 3. Quantification of IgG4 and IgG immunostaining. (a) Plot of the average number of IgG4+ plasma cells per high power field. The average IgG4/hpf was significantly higher for the IgG4-RD cases than the indeterminate cases ($p < 0.01$). (b) Plot of the IgG4:IgG plasma cell ratio. The IgG4:IgG ratio is significantly greater in the IgG4-RD cases as compared to the IGM and indeterminate cases (each $p < 0.001$). Solid lines represent means, dashed lines the diagnostic cutoffs., ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

significantly higher than the average ratio for both the IGM cases (26%, $p < 0.001$) and indeterminate cases (20%, $p < 0.001$). As has been reported previously (13,14,16,17), an IgG4:IgG ratio of $>40\%$ proved quite specific as a diagnostic criterion with 14 of 17 IgG4-RD cases meeting the threshold, in contrast to only two of eight IGM cases ($p < 0.01$). Representative images of IgG4 and IgG dual staining of IgG4-RD mastitis and IGM cases are shown in Figure 4a–d, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Our report describes the largest chronic mastitis series to date comprising of 43 cases from Egypt and Morocco and seeks to develop a robust framework

to begin to differentiate and to understand the possible pathogenetic basis of this complex set of diseases. In light of previous work in this field, we first sought to understand IGM in the context of the more recently recognized IgG4-RD. Of these 43 chronic mastitis cases, 17 (40%) were determined to be IgG4-RD mastitis, 8 were IGM, and 18 were indeterminate cases of mastitis. Ogura et al. (20) first posited that IGM could be separated into IgG4-related and non-IgG4-related cases, but our analysis supports the conclusion that the two appear more likely to be distinct disease entities. While the two cases of IGM Ogura et al. (20) reported on did have significant numbers of IgG4 positive cells, an IgG4:IgG ratio was not calculated, which has proven to be the most specific indicator for IgG4-RD in our study and




Figure 4. IgG4 and IgG immunostaining. Images of dual-stained (IgG4+ brown, IgG+ red) IgG4-RD mastitis cases (a and b) and IGM cases (c and d), $\times 200$.

others (13). In our present study, when classified solely based upon the presence of epithelioid histiocytes, giant cells, and vague or well-formed granulomas, it is apparent that some of the IGM cases (see cases 18 and 22 Table 1) do share many features typical for IgG4-RD mastitis. Therefore, the distinction between the two diseases likely only became apparent with our larger sample size.

Furthermore, we were able to ascertain which histologic features are the most specific for differentiating between IgG4-RD mastitis and IGM by classifying IGM cases without regard to their IgG4-RD features. Therefore, by our schema, it was determined the most common and least specific IgG4-RD features seen in IGM were a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate (7/8 cases) and large numbers of IgG4-positive plasma cells (5/8 cases). In contrast, the dual criteria of storiform fibrosis coupled with an IgG4:IgG ratio >40% is reasonably specific for IgG4-RD mastitis with 14/17 cases meeting both criteria. In addition, since we did not specify which of the positive or negative criteria must be met to diagnose IgG4-RD mastitis, we can determine the most frequently absent IgG4-RD feature and most frequently present IGM feature. This is helpful in further clarifying the distinction between borderline cases. Obliterative phlebitis was the feature most often absent from IgG4-RD mastitis cases and epithelioid histiocytes the most common IGM characteristic seen in IgG4-RD mastitis. Therefore, the presence of giant cells or well-formed granulomas is quite specific within the breast for IGM when differentiating between it and IgG4-RD mastitis. Conversely, the absence of obliterative phlebitis should not preclude a diagnosis of IgG4-RD mastitis.

The average number of IgG4-positive plasma cells per high power field is lower for some of our reported IgG4-RD mastitis cases (12–29 cells/hpf for 7 of the 17 cases) than for cases reported in the literature (18,19). We point to two likely explanations for this apparent deviation. First, our samples were biopsy samples known to have fewer IgG4+/hpf than surgical specimens (18) and, in fact, the consensus criteria lowers the requirement from 30 to 10 IgG4+/hpf for biopsy samples (13). All of our IgG4-RD mastitis cases met this lower threshold. Second, we had an observable area of 0.139 mm² compared to 0.196 mm² reported by Cheuk et al. (19) and mentioned by the consensus statement (13). While this area difference would not affect the IgG4:IgG ratio, it would be expected to affect the absolute number of

positive cells. If the absolute counts for our IgG4-RD cases were multiplied by a 1.2× area scaling factor, then 13 of the 17 cases would have greater than 30 IgG4+ cells/hpf – even as biopsy and not surgical specimens. Ultimately though, it is the IgG4:IgG ratio that is a better and more specific diagnostic criterion for IgG4-RD.

In summary, we report on the largest chronic mastitis series to date comprising of 43 cases from Egypt and Morocco, of which 17 (40%) were IgG4-RD of the breast, 8 were IGM, and 18 indeterminate cases of mastitis. This also represents the largest collection of IgG4-RD of the breast—nearly doubling the number of previously reported cases (19–23). Among our samples, storiform fibrosis, an IgG4:IgG ratio >40%, and the absence of well-formed granulomas and giant cells is a highly specific 4-variable panel for IgG4-RD mastitis. IGM on the other hand is characterized by many giant cells and epithelioid histiocytes and one should not be misled by the occasional high number of IgG4-positive plasma cells or increased IgG4:IgG ratio. Thus, our Michigan Classification requiring the presence of four of five positive criteria and absence of two of three negative criteria suggests a rational stratification basis between these two clinically and histopathologically heterogeneous diseases.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial support from the National Cancer Institute F30 fellowship program (NIH/NCI 1F30CA173910-01A1, SGA), the University of Michigan Rackham Predoctoral Fellowship (SGA), the Breast Cancer Research Foundation (SDM), the Avon Foundation (AS, SDM), and the Cancer Epidemiology Education in Special Populations Program (AS) (NIA R25 CA112383).

REFERENCES

1. Dursun M, Yilmaz S, Yahyayev A, et al. Multimodality imaging features of idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: outcome of 12 years of experience. *Radiol Med (Torino)* 2012;117:529–38.
2. Kuba S, Yamaguchi J, Ohtani H, Shimokawa I, Maeda S, Kanematsu T. Vacuum-assisted biopsy and steroid therapy for granulomatous lobular mastitis: report of three cases. *Surg Today* 2009;39:695–9.
3. Erozyen F, Ersoy YE, Akaydin M, et al. Corticosteroid treatment and timing of surgery in idiopathic granulomatous mastitis confusing with breast carcinoma. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010;123:447–52.
4. Hovanesian Larsen LJ, Peyvandi B, Klipfel N, Grant E, Iyengar G. Granulomatous lobular mastitis: imaging, diagnosis, and treatment. *Am J Roentgenol* 2009;193:574–81.

5. Oltean HN, Soliman AS, Omar OS, *et al.* Risk factors for chronic mastitis in morocco and egypt. *Int J Inflamm* 2013;2013:184921.
6. Sakurai K, Fujisaki S, Enomoto K, Amano S, Sugitani M. Evaluation of follow-up strategies for corticosteroid therapy of idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. *Surg Today* 2011;41:333–7.
7. Baslaim MM, Khayat HA, Al-Amoudi SA. Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: a heterogeneous disease with variable clinical presentation. *World J Surg* 2007;31:1677–81.
8. Kok KY, Telisinghe PU. Granulomatous mastitis: presentation, treatment and outcome in 43 patients. *Surgeon* 2010;8:197–201.
9. Lai EC, Chan WC, Ma TK, Tang AP, Poon CS, Leong HT. The role of conservative treatment in idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. *Breast J* 2005;11:454–6.
10. Lacambra M, Thai TA, Lam WL, *et al.* Granulomatous mastitis: the histological differentials. *J Clin Pathol* 2011;64:405–11.
11. Hur SM, Cho DH, Lee SK, *et al.* Experience of treatment of patients with granulomatous lobular mastitis. *J Korean Surg Soc* 2013;85:1–6.
12. Stone JH, Zen Y, Deshpande V. IgG4-related disease. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:539–51.
13. Deshpande V, Zen Y, Chan JK, *et al.* Consensus statement on the pathology of IgG4-related disease. *Mod Pathol* 2012;25:1181–92.
14. Umehara H, Okazaki K, Masaki Y, *et al.* A novel clinical entity, IgG4-related disease (IgG4RD): general concept and details. *Mod Rheumatol* 2012;22:1–14.
15. Kamisawa T, Takuma K, Egawa N, Tsuruta K, Sasaki T. Autoimmune pancreatitis and IgG4-related sclerosing disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;7:401–9.
16. Deshpande V, Khosroshahi A. Diagnostic guidelines for IgG4-related disease with a focus on histopathological criteria. *Diagn Histopathol* 2013;19:119–27.
17. Mahajan VS, Mattoo H, Deshpande V, Pillai SS, Stone JH. IgG4-related disease. *Annu Rev Pathol* 2014;9:315–47.
18. Zen Y, Nakanuma Y. IgG4-related disease: a cross-sectional study of 114 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2010;34:1812–9.
19. Cheuk W, Chan AC, Lam WL, *et al.* IgG4-related sclerosing mastitis: description of a new member of the IgG4-related sclerosing diseases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2009;33:1058–64.
20. Ogura K, Matsumoto T, Aoki Y, Kitabatake T, Fujisawa M, Kojima K. IgG4-related tumour-forming mastitis with histological appearances of granulomatous lobular mastitis: comparison with other types of tumour-forming mastitis. *Histopathology* 2010;57:39–45.
21. Ogiya A, Tanaka K, Tadokoro Y, *et al.* IgG4-related sclerosing disease of the breast successfully treated by steroid therapy. *Breast Cancer* 2014;21:231–5.
22. Zen Y, Kasahara Y, Horita K, *et al.* Inflammatory pseudotumor of the breast in a patient with a high serum IgG4 level: histologic similarity to sclerosing pancreatitis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2005;29:275–8.
23. Dite P, Trna J, Kinkor Z, *et al.* Unusual multiorgan immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) inflammation: autoimmune pancreatitis, mikulicz syndrome, and IgG4 mastitis. *Gut Liv* 2013;7:621–4.
24. Schwartz T, Stark A, Pang J, *et al.* Expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 as a marker of mammary stem cells in benign and malignant breast lesions of Ghanaian women. *Cancer* 2013;119:488–94.