Ex. 3.: Vincetoxicum gonocarpos Walt. (Fl. Carol. 104. 1788) is correct as published. Greek carpos is masculine but a neuter adjective based on it would have been 'gonocarpon.' Therefore gonocarpos is correct as a masculine substantive epithet. The transfer, Gonolobus gonocarpos (Walt.) Perry (Rhodora 40: 284. 1938), is correct as published. The transfer, Matelea gonocarpos (Walt.) Shinners (Field & Lab. 18: 73. 1950, 'gonocarpa') must be corrected from the Latin adjectival three-ending feminine form ('gonocarpa') to the original Greek masculine substantive form, gonocarpos.

Ex. 4: Vaccinium macrocarpon Aiton (Hort. Kew 2: 13. 1789) is correct as published. Since macrocarpon (n.) agrees with Vaccinium (n.), botanists will interpret the epithet as a neuter adjective. The transfers, (1) Oxycoccus palustris var. macrocarpos (Aiton) Pers. (Syn. Pl. 1: 419. 1805, 'macrocarpus'), (2) Oxycoccus macrocarpos (Aiton) Pursh (Fl. Amer. Sept. 1: 263. 1814, 'macrocarpus'), and (3) Schollera macrocarpos (Aiton) Britton (Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 5: 253. 1894, 'macrocarpa') all require correction from the three-ending Latin forms, masculine 'macrocarpus' and feminine 'macrocarpa.' to Greek two-ending form, macrocarpos.

Ex. 5: Cyperus monostachyos L. (Mant. 2: 180. 1771) is correct as published. Since monostachyos can be interpreted as adjectival (m.) in agreement with Cyperus (m.), botanists will interpret the epithet as a masculine adjective. The transfers, (1) Abilgaardia monostachyos (L.) Vahl (Enum. 2: 296. 1805, 'monostachya'), (2) Fimbristylis monostachyos (L.) Hassk. (Pl. Jav. Rar. 61. 1848, 'monostachya'), and (3) Iriha monostachyos (L.) Kuntze (Rev. Gen. Pl. 1: 752. 1891, 'monostachya') all require correction from Latin three-ending feminine form, 'monostachya,' to Greek two-ending format, monostachyos.

Literature Cited

Nicolson, Dan H. 1974. Orthography of names and epithets: Latinization of personal names. *Taxon* 23: 549–561.

Stearn, William T. 1973. Botanical Latin. David & Charles, Newton Abbot. 566 pp.

PORPHYROSTROMIUM TREVISAN (1848) VS. *ERYTHROTRICHOPELTIS* KORNMANN (1984) (RHODOPHYTA)

Michael J. Wynne¹

Summary

Although *Erythrotrichia* Areschoug (1850) has been conserved against *Porphyrostromium* Trevisan (1848), these two generic names are heterotypic. The latter genus becomes available to serve for the new genus as established and circumscribed by Kornmann (1984) for *Bangia ciliaris* Carm. ex Harv. and *Porphyra boryana* Montagne. *Erythrotrichopeltis* Kornmann (1984) is to be regarded as a later synonym of *Porphyrostromium*.

Kornmann (1984) recently demonstrated the occurrence of a non-obligate heteromorphic life cycle involving two bangiophycean taxa previously regarded as belonging to two different genera. Thus, *Erythrotrichia ciliaris* (Carm. ex Harv.) Thur. is the erect filamentous/"trichoid" expression, and *Erythropeltis discigera* (Berth.) Schmitz is the prostrate/"peltoid" expression of the same alga. Both phases reproduce by monospores, and the trichoid phase also appears to undergo sexual reproduction. Kornmann established the genus *Erythrotrichopeltis* on the basis of this heteromorphic cycle, with *E. ciliaris* as type.

A second species was assigned to his new genus by Kornmann (1984), Erythrotrichia boryana (Montagne) Berthold (1882), originally Porphyra boryana Montagne (1846). This second species was the basis of the genus Porphyrostromium of Trevisan (1848). Erythrotrichia Areschoug (1850) has been conserved against Porphyrostromium (Voss et al., 1983); these two genera, however, are taxonomic synonyms, not nomenclatural synonyms. The type of Erythrotrichia is E. ceramicola (Lyngb.) Aresch.

¹ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A.

= Conferva ceramicola Lyngb. [=E. carnea (Dillw.) J. Ag.], whereas the type of Porphyrostromium is P. 'boryi' Trevisan = Porphyra boryana Montagne (1846) (see Silva, 1952). According to Art. 14.6 of the Sydney Code (Voss et al., 1983), Porphyrostromium Trevisan (1848) becomes available to serve as the generic name for the genus circumscribed by Kornmann (1984). Porphyrostromium boryana (Montagne) Trevisan serves as the type of this generic name in the Erythropeltidaceae with a nonobligate heteromorphic life cycle, and Bangia ciliaris is transferred to this genus:

Porphyrostromium ciliare (Carm. ex Harv.) comb. nov. Basionym: Bangia ciliaris Carmichael ex Harvey in Hooker, Br. Fl. II, p. 316 (1833).

There has been some taxonomic disagreement on the status of *Erythrotrichia ciliaris*. For example, Heerebout (1968) included it in the synonymy of *E. carnea* (Dillw.) J. Ag., while recognizing *E. boryana* (Mont.) Berthold as a separate species. Ardré (1970) thought that Portuguese material she identified as *E. ciliaris* was very close to the type specimen of *Porphyra boryana* Mont., but she refrained from saying that they were identical. Kornmann (1984) asserted that Ardré's Portuguese material was indeed *E. boryana* and different from genuine *E. ciliaris*. Despite these differences in taxonomic judgements, it is clear that *Porphyrostromium* Trevisan is a legitimate name (Drew and Ross, 1965) that should be used for this genus [i.e., *Erythrotrichopeltis*] segregated from *Erythrotrichia* by Kornmann (1984).

Literature Cited

- Ardré, F. 1970. Contribution à l'étude des algues marines du Portugal. I-La flore. Portug. Acta Biol.
 (B) 10: 1-423 + [1], 56 pls.
- Areschoug, J. E. 1850. Phycearum, quae in maribus scandinaviae crescunt, enumeratio. Nova Acta R. Soc. Scient. Upsal. 14: 385-454, 3 pls.
- Berthold, G. 1882. Die Bangiaceen des Golfes von Neapel und der angrenzenden Meeres-abschnitte. Fauna und Flora des Golfes von Neapel. Monographie 8. Leipzig. 28 pp., 1 pl.
- Drew, K. M. and R. Ross. 1965. Some generic names in the Bangiophycidae. Taxon 14: 93-99.
- Heerebout, G. R. 1968. Studies on the Erythropeltidaceae (Rhodophyceae-Bangiophycidae). Blumea 16: 139-157.

Hooker, W. J. 1833. British flora. Vol. 2. London.

- Kornmann, P. 1984. Erythrotrichopeltis, a new genus of the Erythropeltidaceae (Bangiophyceae, Rhodophyta). Helgol. Meeresunters. 38: 207-224.
- Montagne, J. F. C. 1846. Algues. In: Durieu de Maisonneuve, Exploration scientifique de l'Algérie ... Sciences naturelles. Botanique, tome I. Paris. 197 pp.
- Silva, P. C. 1952. A review of nomenclatural conservation in the algae from the point of view of the type method. Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 25: 241-324.

Trevisan, V. B. A. 1848. Saggio di una monografia delle alghe coccotalle. Padova. 112 pp.

Voss, E. G. et al. (eds.) 1983. International code of botanical nomenclature, adopted by the Thirteenth International Botanical Congress, Sydney, August 1981. Regnum Veget., 111. Bohn, Scheltema and Holkema, Utrecht.

LECTOTYPIFICATION OF SPECIES NAMES OF RHODYMENIALES (RHODOPHYTA) FOR THE RED ALGAL FLORA OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND NORTHERN WASHINGTON

Michael W. Hawkes¹

Summary

While preparing a floristic treatment of the red algal order Rhodymeniales in British Columbia and northern Washington, several names were found to be lacking holotypes or were incorrectly typified.

¹ Dept. of Botany, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 2B1, Canada.