# ASSESSMENT OF EPA PLUME MODEL <u>UDKHDEN</u> PREDICTIONS IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

**Report Prepared for** 

# WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS Walnut Creek, California

and

# ALYESKA PIPELINE SERVICE COMPANY Anchorage, Alaska

By

# Steven J. Wright

The University of Michigan Department of Civil Engineering Ann Arbor, Michigan

January 2, 1987

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
RECOMMENDATIONS	4
BACKGROUND	5
MODELLING ISSUES	7
AVAILABLE DATA SETS	12
SIMULATIONS	13
RESULTS	14
RESULTS	16
DISCUSSION	18
REFERENCES	21
TABLES	23
FIGURES	31
APPENDIX 1 - COMPUTER OUTPUTS	
Unstratified Fluids	51
Vertical Round Jets in Stratified Fluid	56
Horizontal Round Jets in Stratified Fluid	72
Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Fluid	87
APPENDIX 2 - RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS	122

### INTRODUCTION

The EPA plume model UDKHDEN (Muellenhoff, 1985) has been employed by Woodward Clyde Consultants to simulate the behavior of the effluent from the outfall diffuser from the Ballast Water Treatment facility in Port Valdez, Alaska. The plume model was developed to simulate the behavior of sewage outfall diffusers which typically have much larger density differences than are associated with the treated ballast water discharges. Because of the low density differences, the effect of ambient stratification is quite pronounced and the effluent is trapped below the surface under nearly all combinations of discharge and ambient conditions. Field surveys have been conducted to measure the dilution and vertical distribution of the effluent on several occasions. Under the particular conditions of small density difference between the effluent and the ambient fluid and relatively strong ambient stratification, the effluent dilution and rise height have been measured to be larger than predicted by UDKHDEN. This behavior can be qualitatively anticipated on the basis of the model assumptions inherent in the formulation of UDKHDEN.

The purpose of this report is to compare the performance of UDKHDEN in predicting the behavior of a number of experimental data collected at the University of Michigan to examine the nature of the discrepancies with particular attention paid to the low buoyancy cases. As a result of this comparison, recommendations for the interpretation of the UDKHDEN predictions in order to achieve more accurate predictions are made. The report documents the details of the comparison and the relevant conclusions.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the comparison between the available experimental data and the UDKHDEN predictions, the following conclusions are obtained:

1. UDKHDEN generally over-predicts the dilution as a function of trajectory distance for buoyant jets in unstratified fluids. This is most likely due to the choice of entrainment coefficient in the model; no detailed investigation of the adjustment of the coefficients was performed. From previous experience with plume modelling, it is unlikely that this effect accounts for the observed discrepancies in the field surveys and the UDKHDEN predictions. This is borne out by the interpretation of the other data available as discussed in the following conclusions. The main influence of the entrainment coefficient would be on the predictions of the trapping level (these would be too low) and for cases where the stratification is so weak that the plume is not trapped below the surface. The definition of trapping level in the model is so unrelated to the location of the intrusion layer in an actual situation that any discrepancies due to the choice of the entrainment coefficient is probably only for the cases of negligible stratification that the choice of entrainment coefficient is important.

2. The model use of the predicted dilution at the neutrally buoyant level (trapping level) is unjustified, particularly for discharges for low buoyancy. While it may be argued that the predictions at the trapping elevation may be more accurate predictors for certain conditions, it is observed that using the model outputs at the maximum height of rise are more consistent and provide a more meaningful means for the prediction of dilutions observed in laboratory investigations.

3. In general, the use of UDKHDEN predictions at the maximum height of rise slightly over-predicts the dilutions observed in the laboratory experiments if the discharge is vertical or if it is horizontal with strong buoyancy. It is only for the case of low buoyancy horizontal discharges that the model significantly over-predicts the dilution. This is apparently due to the inability of the model to describe the turbulence collapse due to buoyant damping, an effect which is extended over a considerable distance for a nearly nonbuoyant horizontal discharge. It is not obvious how to alter the model to correct this problem since the predictions at both the trapping level and at the maximum height of rise are qualitatively similar.

4. The features of the UDKHDEN model that consider the interaction between adjacent ports in a multiport diffuser appear to behave satisfactory as essentially analogous results are obtained for single buoyant jets and for multiport discharges.

5. The use of an alternate plume model described in Wright, et al (1982) supports the above conclusions and differs from the UDKHDEN predictions in a way that can be explained solely on the basis of adjusted entrainment coefficients. Therefore, it is unlikely that a significant improvement in the model can be obtained by adjustment of the entrainment coefficients. Rather, a different interpretation of model predictions is required along with empirical adjustments in order to reproduce the laboratory data.

The actual Ballast Water effluent diffuser differs from the laboratory experiments in that the discharge angle of the ports is at 45  $^{\circ}$  to the horizontal and intermediate between the horizontal and vertical discharges studied in the laboratory investigations. Because of the considerable difference in model - data comparisons between the horizontal and vertical discharge, there is uncertainty as to a specific recommendation that would be appropriate for this particular application. However, with the above conclusions, it appears that UDKHDEN, when interpreted according to the recommendations outlined in this report, "fails" only when the discharge is horizontal with low buoyancy in relatively strong stratification. This is apparently due to the fact that the turbulence collapse occurs over a relatively long trajectory distance and is not properly accounted for by the entrainment relation. A strongly buoyant horizontal discharge will be diverted vertically and thus the collapse region will be similar to that for a vertical discharge. The 45° discharge should more nearly correspond to the vertical discharge condition in this regard and it is recommended that the model predictions for the ballast water diffuser be interpreted in accordance with the suggested corrections for the vertical discharges. A comprehensive laboratory study would be required to provide more specific information.

### BACKGROUND

Oil contaminated ballast water from oil tankers calling on Port Valdez, Alaska is treated and then discharged into the ocean waters through a submerged outfall diffuser. Typically, this ballast water is somewhat less dense than the surrounding waters, but not so much as typical sewage effluents; the density of the discharged effluent ranges from about 12 to 24  $\sigma_t$  units. Consequently, ambient stratification is nearly always important.

The EPA has a suite of plume models (Muellenhoff, 1985) for predicting dilution and spreading or trapping levels from outfall diffuser discharges. Most of these are of the integral type in which the model assumptions required in their formulation are known to fail as the jet rises above the neutrally buoyant level. In particular, they fail to account explicitly for the relatively thick intrusion layer that develops as a result of the jet collapse, (see Fig. 1 for definition of maximum height of rise, intrusion layer, etc.). A number of more or less ad-hoc assumptions are made to account for this effect and one commonly employed is to account for the "blocking" effect by assuming that the intrusion layer acts as a passive barrier to the entrainment of ambient water. This blocking effect is assumed to hold for both internal collapse and for surface spreading. Thus, if the extent of the spreading layer can be predicted by some other model, the numerical computation is terminated at the lower boundary, Koh (1983). The EPA model UDKHDEN does not explicitly account for a blocking layer, but it does terminate the computation at the neutrally buoyant level (based upon computed centerline or maximum density difference). In general, this position would be somewhat above the bottom of the intrusion layer and thus would be expected to overestimate the dilution with a blocking correction of the type proposed by Koh. However, it is possible, for example, for a vertically discharged neutrally buoyant jet to travel a considerable distance before collapsing with a considerable amount of mixing. Since UDKHDEN terminates the computation at the neutrally buoyant level, there would be no predicted dilution (i.e., a dilution of 1). An important question is thus whether or not the collapse layer prevents the entrainment of ambient fluid. The question will be of most relevance for low buoyancy discharges since the trajectory distance to the neutrally buoyant level will be a smaller fraction of the total rise height in that situation.

There is a fair amount of experimental evidence available to suggest that the existence of the intrusion layer has a far less significant influence on the dilution than suggested by the blocking correction recommended by Koh. Jirka and Harleman (1979) showed that there is a near field jump region, Fig. 2. The existence of the internal jump has been demonstrated in a number of studies. Even though they ignore entrainment within the jump, this approach predicts a reduced blocking layer thickness and thus, more dilution. Wright (1985) discussed Koh's blocking models for both unstratified and stratified cases. For the surface spreading model, he showed (also formulated by Wright and Bühler, 1986 (appended to this report)) that the inclusion of an internal jump with explicitly computed entrainment results in approximately a 35 % increase in predicted dilution when compared to Koh's model and also showed that the available experimental evidence supports this analysis. For less buoyant flows, the predicted difference can be even greater and recent evidence for single round buoyant jets indicates that the near field dilution process may be dominated by the surface jump phenomenon (unpublished data).

In the case of discharges into stratified fluids where there is an internal intrusion, Wright (1985) suggested that a conceptually similar occurrence may result. However, there is no direct observational evidence as an obvious jump region is not observed. However, in the study by Wong (1984) flow visualization indicated that a significant amount of the total entrainment inflow enters into the mixing zone from the opposite side of the intrusion layer from the jet source, indicating that the intrusion layer does not act as a passive barrier to entrainment. Those experimental studies of buoyant jets in stratified fluids, Wallace and Wright (1984) (two dimensional jets) Wright, et al, (1982) (diffusers) Wong(1984) (round buoyant jets) all arrive at the same conclusion; the integral model prediction must be continued all to the maximum height of rise in order to reasonably well predict dilution. This implies that the blocking effect is best to be totally ignored and that the assumption in UDKHDEN to terminate the computation at the neutrally buoyant level is questionable. The data mentioned above primarily consists of measurements within the intrusion layer where the near field turbulence has been completely extinguished. Therefore, all near field mixing processes are accounted for by the buoyant jet model and therefore represent the prediction desired from a model such as UDKHDEN.

#### MODELLING ISSUES

Because there are some differences between the UDKHDEN and the models that were used in the above referenced studies, it was decided to run the UDKHDEN model against a variety of the available experimental data, compare the dilution predictions, and arrive at a conclusion regarding the validity of the UDKHDEN predictions and possible ways to improve them.

There are a number of features of the UDKHDEN model that affect the interpretation of a comparison of predicted results against the experimental data. Those issues that are relevant to the interpretation are discussed below. A conceptually similar model formulation was described in Wright, et al (1982); this model has undergone refinements over the years but is still conceptually the same. The major differences are in the selection of entrainment coefficients, etc. This model was also run for much of the same experimental data to aid in the interpretation of the results. This is referred to below as the UM model. The differences between the two as they relate to model interpretation are discussed below. All numerical analyses were conducted on an APPLE Macintosh. Changes in the UDKHDEN program were only made to make it machine compatible and did not influence the computational logic.

## FEATURES OF THE UDKHDEN AND UM MODELS

One major difference between the two models is the inclusion of the ambient current. Because of previous observations that the mechanics of the jet mixing is significantly different for a single round buoyant jet in a crossflow than for a two dimensional jet, the UM diffuser model formulation does not include the effect of a crossflow although other models have been developed to describe the extensive data set of Wright (1977) and such an effect could be included in the formulation rather easily.

One of the minor features of the UDKHDEN model that does have a somewhat important influence on the interpretation of the results is in the choice of profiles. The UDKHDEN model uses profile shapes of the sort

where C refers to the concentration,  $C_m$  is the maximum or centerline value, x is a lateral coordinate and b is a characteristic profile width. The UM model uses gaussian profiles of the sort

$$C = C_m \exp \left[-\frac{x}{\lambda b}\right]^2$$

where b is now a different characteristic width and is specifically defined as the lateral location to where the velocity drops to e<sup>-1</sup> of the centerline value. The multiplier  $\lambda$  on the concentration profile accounts for the differences in the profile widths of velocity and concentration or density. This varies between jets and plumes and also between round and two-dimensional discharges; the UM model formulation accounts for this by making it a function of the local densimetric Froude number, much as the entrainment coefficient is commonly regarded as a function of the same variable. The variation in  $\lambda$  has little effect on the prediction of the jet fluxes of mass, but does have an important effect on the predicted minimum dilution. For example, the average dilution  $S_a$  is defined as the ratio of the local jet volume flux q to the source value Q

$$S_a = q/Q$$

The flux weighted minimum dilution  $S_m$  is defined, however, as

$$S_m = C_0 / C_m = \frac{\int u \left(\frac{C}{C_m}\right) dA}{Q}$$

where u is the local velocity and the integral is over the jet area normal to the jet trajectory. The relationship between the  $S_a$  and  $S_m$  can be demonstrated for the UM profiles to be

Two Dimensional Buoyant Jet

$$S_a / S_m = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \lambda^2}{\lambda^2}}$$

Round Buoyant Jet

$$S_a / S_m = \frac{1 + \lambda^2}{\lambda^2}$$

and is therefore dependent both upon whether or not the diffuser discharge is

computed to be merged or not and upon the specific value of  $\lambda$ . The relationship between the two will be different in the UDKHDEN model because of the different profile assumptions. This model does not include a  $\lambda$  factor in the concentration profile and thus can be integrated directly to yield for

Two Dimensional Jets

$$S_a / S_m = 1.426$$

Round Buoyant Jets

 $S_a / S_m = 1.926$ 

These compare with 1.414 and 2.0, respectively for the gaussian profiles if  $\lambda$  is assumed to be equal to unity. Because the UDKHDEN model predicts average dilution and all of the experimental data are in terms of minimum dilution, it is important to recognize a conversion between the two and also to distinguish whether axisymmetric or two dimensional flows are being considered. For all the diffuser flows simulated, the UDKHDEN model predicts merged conditions so the two dimensional profile is valid for all of those data. In any case, if the appropriate model is to be interpreted, the model output (S<sub>a</sub> for UDKHDEN and S<sub>m</sub> for UM) is compared to the observed S<sub>m</sub>. In the unstratified flow comparisons, however, the data is converted to a consistent variable; the details are discussed below.

There is a different zone of flow establishment correction in the UDKHDEN model than in the UM one. One difficulty is that it cannot simulate low Froude number discharges and these data sets are rejected in the numerical analysis. In the comparison of results below, all data sets where this is a problem are ignored.

The entrainment relations are different between the two models. I don't have complete documentation of the UDKHDEN model but it appears from the source code listing that the entrainment coefficient that is typically associated with the densimetric Froude number has been set to zero and thus that the entrainment coefficient is the same for jets and plumes. In general, previous research indictaes that the entrainment coefficient is different for a plume than for a jet and different also between round and two dimensional jets; the UM model entrainment coefficients have been optimized to describe the relevant data for unstratified jets and plumes including a feature to handle problems encountered in simulations in stratified fluids. The superiority of this entrainment formulation is easily seen in the comparison of results for the dilution versus trajectory distance in unstratified fluids as described below.

Finally, the merging or conversion from single round discharges to an equivalent line source are treated differently between the two models. The UDKHDEN model uses a superposition model that reduces the entrainment circumference as the individual jet surfaces overlap until a two dimensional condition is reached. The UM model simply converts between a round buoyant jet and a two dimensional one once the jet width reaches a certain fraction of the port spacing. In the present version of the UM model, all fluxes are kept constant in the merging computation, so the two models should be more or less equivalent except for the entrainment formulation through the merging zone. Because of the profile shapes there is a discontinuity in the minimum dilution, maximum velocity, etc. in the UM model at merging, but because of the use of the  $\lambda$  factor, there is no simple way to make all variables consistent even with an approach such as used in UDKHDEN model. Conceptually, the UDKHDEN model should be somewhat better in the description of the merging, but the effect is minor except right at the merging location and the differences are within the variations introduced by choice of profile shapes, entrainment coefficients, etc. as discussed above.

I have had extensive experience with the UM model in terms of the adjustment of the basic model parameters such as the entrainment coefficients, etc. Presumably, experimentation with the UDKHDEN model would result in similar experiences. An important observation has been that changes in the entrainment coefficient do not significantly alter the predicted  $S_m$  (taken at the maximum height of rise  $Z_m$ ) because as the entrainment coefficient is increased,  $Z_m$  decreases, thereby decreasing the total trajectory over which the increased entrainment occurs. Therefore, it has been found that the predicted  $S_m$  is basically insensitive to variations in the entrainment coefficient whereas the maximum height of rise is much more sensitive. The dilution at the neutrally buoyant level must be more sensitive than at the maximum rise, but some of the same effect must be present since increased dilution will lower the elevation at which the neutrally buoyant level is computed to occur. Therefore, UDKHDEN will probably compute nearly the same dilutions as the UM model, but will predict somwhat lower trapping levels and maximum height of rise. These differences between the two were observed in the intercomparison, but the effect was generally minor.

#### AVAILABLE DATA SETS

There were several data sets available against which the validity of the UDKHDEN model could be assessed. These include:

1.) The diffuser data reported in Wright, et al (1982). These include data from discharges from either a single side or both sides of the diffuser. The discharges were horizontal in both cases. From the original study, there appears to be little difference between the two data sets and this data can be regarded as essentially equivalent; results presented below reinforce this conclusion. The data consists of measurements of concentration profiles within the intrusion layer from which the maximum concentration was used to define  $S_m$ . These were reported in Wright, et al (1982) in terms of minimum dilution, spreading level (vertical location of the maximum concentration in the intrusion layer), and layer thickness. Also recorded were the visual observations of the maximum height of rise,  $Z_m$ . A representative profile was used to estimate the relationship between the average and minimum dilution as discussed below. There were 40 separate experiments reported in this study and a comparison with nearly all of these are reported below.

One difficulty is that the original study was intended to examine conditions that were more representative of sewage outfall discharges and so the low buoyancy cases that may be appropriate for the present problem were not examined in detail.

2. Wong (1984) made an extensive study on round buoyant jets in a stratified fluid. This included all of the types of measurements described above for the diffusers; in addition, he measured concentration profiles along the jet trajectory. For a baseline data set, he also made a few measurements in unstratified fluids and demonstrated that the results were comparable to previous data. For the stratified fluids, he studied both horizontal and vertical discharges, each for the limiting cases of essentially of pure jets and plumes. This data set has the potential for more detailed interpretation of the model predictions. When interpreted in conjunction with the diffuser data, reasonable conclusions can be developed.

In terms of the data quality, there are some minor differences between the various data. All measurements were obtained with a similar type of measurement technique. However, Wong refined the apparatus used in the original diffuser study and probably improved the quality of the measurements. Therefore, his data are probably of higher quality in general. There is no reason to expect a major problem

with any of the data with one exception. The less buoyant data for the diffuser study were apparently collected without sufficient attention paid to being beyond the collapse point. Therefore they may underestimate the dilution somewhat. In the report by Wong, he reports on some of the data collected with the one-sided diffuser apparatus but with a single port discharge. In his report, much of the data is consistent with his later measurements, but some of the data indicate lower dilutions with a maximum discrepancy of approximately 50%. Therefore, this point will be kept in mind when interpreting the results.

### SIMULATIONS

With the available data sets, a detailed simulation effort was conducted. This included:

**A**. Running the test data set supplied with the source code to verify that the model worked correctly.

**B**. Running five of Wong's unstratified flow data cases to compare predictions in unstratified flow to examine that effect. This included two plumelike and two jetlike cases with one intermediate case. The test cases are indicated in Table 1 which is taken from Wong's thesis.

C. Running 16 data cases from Wong's vertical jets in stratified fluids. These were taken for representative conditions that ranged from the strongly buoyant cases to jetlike flows. This was distinguished on the basis of the ratio  $l_m/l_b$  which is a ratio of the momentum length scale  $l_m = M^{3/4}/B^{1/2}$  to the maximum rise height length scale for a plume  $l_b = B^{1/4}/\epsilon^{3/8}$  where  $\epsilon = -g/\rho_0 d\rho/dz$  is the square of the buoyancy frequency. The simulations and data analysis by Wong indicate that this is a good single parameter to describe the results and representative data sets over the range of variables were considered. Table 2 which is taken from Wong's thesis identifies the basic variables.

**D**. Running 13 data cases from Wong's horizontal jets in stratified fluids. Again, the data sets were selected to vary over the range of variables. Table 3 identifies the relevant experiments. **E**. All of the diffuser data reported in Wright, et al (1982) for which dilutions were reported. Two of the data sets had sufficiently low source Froude numbers that they were rejected by UDKHDEN, but all other data were examined. This information is summarized in the publication appended to this report.

The UM model was run on the data in set **B** and about half the data in sets **C** and **D** primarily to provide an extra basis for interpretation of results.

#### RESULTS

In general the results are dependent upon the ration  $l_m/l_b$  as discussed above. As discussed by Wright, et al, similar ratios may be defined for two dimensional or round buoyant jet discharges as

Two Dimensional Jets

$$I_{m}/I_{b}$$
 (2-dim) =  $\frac{M/s \ \epsilon^{1/2}}{B/s}$  =  $\frac{M \ \epsilon^{1/2}}{B}$ 

Round Jet

$$I_{m} / I_{b}$$
 (round jet) =  $\frac{M^{3/4} \epsilon^{3/8}}{B^{3/4}} = [I_{m} / I_{b} (2 \text{ dim.})]^{3/4}$ 

where M and B are the momentum and buoyancy fluxes per port and s is the spacing between adjacent ports. It can be seen that the ratio is independent of the port spacing and so the ratio for round buoyant jets may be considered to be descriptive of two dimensional ones as well. Furthermore, since the transitions from plumelike to jetlike flows occurs at a value of the ratio on the order of 1, the magnitude of the ratio for round jets can be taken as a rough indicator of whether the flow is jetlike or plumelike for either the diffuser or single port discharges

 $l_m/l_b < 1$ ; Flow is buoyancy driven

 $l_m/l_b > 1$ ; Flow is momentum driven

As discussed above, the relationship between predicted minimum and average dilution depends upon the assumed profile shapes and the particular value of the profile parameter  $\lambda$ . For example, the limiting value of  $\lambda$  in the UM model for a round plume is 1.2 and is the same for a two dimensional plume. For jets, the ratio is 1.28 for round jets and 1.4 for two dimensional ones. This results in the ratio  $S_a/S_m$  of

Round Jets - 1.61 Round Plumes - 1.69 Two Dimensional Jets - 1.23 Two Dimensional Plumes - 1.30

which are found to reproduce most available experimental data fairly well although there is considerable uncertainty for the two dimensional plume. However, the bulk of the data reported in this report are in the gravitational collapse or intrusion layer and the nature of the profiles may be considerably different. Since velocity profiles were not measured within the layer, it is not possible to directly compute the flux weighted average dilution and thus the ratio  $S_a/S_m$  as

$$S_a / S_m = \frac{C_m \int u \, dA}{\int uC \, dA}$$

However, a lower bound on the ratio  $S_a/S_m$  can be estimated by assuming that the velocity profile in the intrusion layer is uniform. Furthermore, since the turbulence is completely damped out within the intrusion layer in the laboratory experiments, the ratio taken with the standard plume profile assumptions should provide an upper bound since the concentration and velocity profiles are due in part to turbulent intermittency which vanishes in the intrusion layer. Therefore, the profile given in Wright, et al (1982) for two dimensional flow and from Wong (Fig. 3) for round jets were numerically integrated to obtain

Round Jets:  $S_a/S_m = 1.625$ 

Two dimensional Jets:  $S_a/S_m = 1.28$ 

Since these are almost exactly what are given above for the gaussian profiles, these will be used to convert the minimum dilutions in the experimental data to average dilution for purposes of making final recommendations.

Copies of all relevant UDKHDEN computer outputs are attached as Appendix A.

#### RESULTS

### Dilutions along trajectory

The computations of the UDKHDEN and UM models for a jet in an unstratified fluid were made for 5 runs from the data by Wong. The results are provided in Figs. 4 and 5. Observed minimum dilutions were converted to average dilution (in Fig. 4 only) by the ratio 1.926; a ratio of 1.6 (as estimated from the gaussian profiles) would give even poorer agreement with the data. It can be seen that the predictions are quite good for the UM model, while the dilution is overestimated by the UDKHDEN simulations. The effect is more pronounced for jetlike flows than for plumes as would be expected by the use of a constant entrainment coefficient. Thus UDKHDEN appears to overestimate the dilution. This same influence is later observed in the stratified flow results as slightly higher terminal  $S_m$  dilutions but also reduced  $Z_m$  values are computed when compared to the UM model predictions.

#### Vertical Round Buoyant Jets in Stratified Fluids

The results of the predictions of  $Z_m$  and  $S_a$  or  $S_m$  (at  $Z_m$ ) versus  $l_m/l_b$  are presented in Figs. 6 - 9. These are presented as a ratio of computed to observed parameter in each case except that the predicted  $S_a$  from UDKHDEN is compared directly to the observed  $S_m$ . The  $S_a$  computed by UDKHDEN at the neutrally buoyant level is also presented in Fig. 10, i.e. this is the given output. In addition, the trapping level prediction from each model is compared against the observed level of maximum effluent concentration within the intrusion layer in Fig. 11 and 12. There is a slight problem with the existing version of the UDKHDEN model since it doesn't printout the results exactly at  $Z_m$ ; this modification would have to be made in the model to use it practically.

In general, both models somewhat underpredict the dilution (if interpreted in terms of profiles) and the maximum height of rise. If only the plume flows are considered, there is no major problem using the dilution at the trapping level, but the failure of the predicted dilution at the trapping level for the more nonbuoyant flows can be easily seen. Using the dilution predicted at the maximum rise gives nearly a constant ratio of predicted and observed dilution so the conversion between the model predictions and experimental observations is quite straightforward in either model use. Both models are nearly equivalent, although it can be seen that the higher entrainment coefficient in UDKHDEN results in slightly higher dilution and lower height of rise. The neutrally buoyant level is not a particularly good predictor of the trapping level for low buoyancy flows since the jet mixes considerably above the neutrally buoyant level, thereby raising the elevation at which the fluid would tend to level out.

### Horizontal Round Buoyant Jets in Stratified Fluids

The results of the predictions of dilution at  $Z_m$  (from the UM model) and  $Z_t$  from UDKHDEN versus  $l_m/l_b$  are presented in Figs. 13-15. In all three plots, the predictions fail for nonbuoyant flows as the dilution is significantly overpredicted. The qualitative effect is the same in all three plots so the choice of the maximum height of rise for the dilution prediction is not significantly better or worse than the use of the neutrally buoyant level. The UM model is considerably better in its predictions, obviously because of its smaller entrainment coefficient, but suffers the same general effect. This must be due to the fact that the jet collapse occurs over a relatively long horizontal trajectory and either the entrainment is not well modelled or else there is more re-entrainment of jet fluid in this case.

The trapping level prediction is compared against the observed level of maximum effluent concentration within the intrusion layer in Fig. 16. The scatter at large values of  $l_m/l_b$  is due to the very small absolute values of the trapping elevation. In general, the absolute error is about the same for each case and reasonable predictions of this quantity are afforded from the model.

### Diffuser Discharges into Stratified Fluids

In all cases the UDKHDEN model predicts merging before the maximum height of rise and in all but three cases before the neutrally buoyant level. The UM model predicts predicts roughly similar results; they are not presented herein. Therefore, the data can be interpreted as two dimensional flows. The results are plotted as a function of  $l_m/l_b$  (for round buoyant jets) in Figs. 17-19. The results are essentially the same as for the single round jets; in Fig. 20, the data for diffusers and single jets are presented. The squares are for the single jets, while the crosses are for the diffuser data. Although there is a minor difference between the ratio of predicted and observed dilution, much of this is apparently due to the difference in profile constants between the two cases. This conversion ratio of 1.625/1.28 ( dilution ratio difference between round and two dimensional jets) would just about account for the difference between the two data sets. Thus, UDKHDEN does not seem to provide a consistent relationship between minimum and average dilution; the UM model is much more satisfactory in this regard.

In order to establish the equivalence of the discharges from one side and both sides of the diffuser, the additional plot in Fig. 21 was developed. This merely separates the two data sets with the squares indicating the discharge from a single sided diffuser. The issue of the interaction between opposing rows of ports appears to be unimportant in the interpretation of results.

In Fig. 19, the prediction of the trapping level is presented, and it is seen that the trapping level is under-predicted for nonbuoyant flows. In these data, the absolute values of the trapping elevation are larger than for the single jet discharges, therefore, the discrepancy is significant.

#### DISCUSSION

There are several things obvious from the comparison of the model predictions against the various experimental data. One of the most obvious of these is that the model prediction at the neutrally buoyant level has little to do with the prediction of the flow characteristics within the intrusion layer for flows that have little buoyancy. Therefore, the present implementation of the UDKHDEN model has little to offer for that situation. Even though the predictions are better for buoyancy driven flows, the dilution predictions at the maximum height of rise are superior and even these slightly under-estimate the observed dilutions. Therefore it is recommended to use the predictions at the maximum height of rise and adjust these accordingly to obtain appropriate model predictions. The present implementation of the model needs to be altered in order to provide the output for the conditions at the maximum height of rise.

A second issue is that the model apparently uses too large of entrainment coefficients. This is a relatively unimportant influence so long as the jet remains trapped below the surface, but for surfacing jets, the overestimate of the dilution may be on the order of 50 % for nonbuoyant flows. This would be for the prediction of minimum dilution with the assumed profile conversion between average and

minimum dilution. The estimate of average dilution appears to be off by not more than 25% for the nonbuoyant flows. For buoyancy driven flows, the average dilution is reasonably well predicted and is at most 10% too large. Compared to the uncertainties associated with the applications in stratified fluids, these differences are relatively minor. Also, the predictions of dilution at the maximum rise in stratified fluids are less sensitive to the choice of entrainment coefficient. Therefore, the correction in entrainment coefficients may be appropriate, but not necessarily crucial.

The recommendations for the Port Valdez ballast water effluent outfall diffuser is complicated by the fact that there is a considerable difference in behavior between vertical and horizontal buoyant jets. Since the entire interactions are highly nonlinear, it is not obvious that an application for 45° port discharges may be generated by interpolating linearly between the horizontal and vertical discharge results. In general, the problem with the horizontal discharge may be complicated by both re-entrainment of jet fluid from the intrusion layer and an incorrect description of the collapse process; other data from Wong (1984) indicates that both effects may be important. Obviously, experimentation is necessary in order to resolve the question, but it is my opinion that the results for vertical diffuser would be more appropriate for the 45° port discharge since the finite angle of discharge carries the jet vertically away from the source and intrusion region, whereas the horizontal discharge creates a collapse region that completely blocks the discharge level. There are some data available for two dimensional buoyant jets in a stratified fluid to support this conclusion. Lee and Cheung (1986) present data for plane buoyant jets discharges at 45°. Their numerical model is conceptually very similar to the UM model and with very nearly the same entrainment coefficients. They indicate that their model slightly over-predicts minimum dilution by about 10% for the discharges at 45°. The same model nearly exactly predicts the vertical plane buoyant jets reported by Wallace and Wright (1984). On this basis, it is presumed that the effect of angle of discharge has an almost insignificant effect although there is a slight tendency to indicate an over-prediction of dilution with decreasing discharge angle. In the absence of other data, this is used to confirm the recommendations given herein.

In order to interpret the results in light of this recommendation, the results for vertical discharges are analyzed more completely. The ratio of  $S_a$  computed by UDKHDEN and the minimum observed dilution appears to be a decreasing function

of the ratio  $l_m/l_b$  although the trend is probably not statistically significant. The average ratio  $S_a/S_m$  for all vertical discharges is 1.43 with a standard deviation of 0.18. Converting the experimental data to an average dilution by the ratio 1.625 for the thus requires an increase of 1.625/1.43 = 1.14 in the predicted dilution in order to obtain the appropriate average dilution. The results for horizontal discharges in the buoyancy driven regime are more or less equivalent. For example, the average ratio of dilutions for horizontal round buoyant jets with  $l_m/l_b < 1$  is 1.37 with a standard deviation of 0.19. For the diffuser discharges, the ratio is essentially the same, except that the profile conversion factor is different. There are relatively few data in the plume region for the diffuser discharges, but the average dilution ratio is approximately 1.4 also. The conversion factor for average dilution for these cases would thus be 1.28/1.4 = 0.91. Practically, more analysis of the available data could be performed, but there is insufficient information to define average dilution in the experiments since the velocity profile was not measured. In general, a ten percent uncertainty in the estimate of average dilution for a diffuser discharge is probably acceptable anyway. Therefore, it may be satisfactory to just use the predicted average dilution at the maximum height of rise.

In general, the qualitative findings of this investigation are consistent with the field observations at the Port Valdez outfall diffuser site. When the effluent buoyancy is significant, the present implementation of the UDKHDEN model is probably satisfactory for predicting both trap depths and dilutions. However, under the low buoyancy, high stratification conditions encountered in the field study conducted in October, 1985, both the trapping level and dilution are under-predicted. The discrepancies in predicted dilution are of an appropriate magnitude for those observed in this work and it would be interesting to check the results of a revised model prediction against this data.

#### REFERENCES

Jirka, G.H. and Harleman, D.R.F., (1979) "Stability and Mixing of a Vertical Plane Buoyant Jet in Confined Depth," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 94, No. 2, pp. 275-304.

Koh, R.C.Y., "Wastewater Field Thickness and Initial Dilution," Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 109, No. 9, 1983, pp. 1232-1240.

Lee, J.H.W. and Cheung, V.W.L. (1986), "Inclined Plane Buoyant Jet in Stratified Fluid," Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 112, No. 7, July, 1986, pp. 580-589.

Muellenhoff, W.P., A.M. Soldate, Jr., D.J. Baumgartner, M.J. Schuldt, L.R. Davis, and W.E. Frick, (1985) "Initial Mixing Characteristics of Municipal Ocean Discharges, Volume I. Procedures and Applications," U.S. EPA publication EPA/600/3-85/073a.

Wallace, R.B. and Wright, S.J. (1984) "Spreading Layer of a Two-Dimensional Buoyant Jet," Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 110, No. 6, pp. 813-828.

Wong, D.R. (1984) "Buoyant Jet Entrainment in Stratified Fluids," PhD Dissertation, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Wright, S.J. (1977) "Effects of Ambient Crossflows and Density Stratification on the Characteristic Behavior of Round Turbulent Buoyant Jets," Report No. KH-R-36, W.M. Keck Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.

Wright, S.J., Wong, D.R., Wallace, R.B., and Zimmerman, K.E., (1982) "Outfall Diffuser Behavior in Stratified Ambient Fluid," Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, Vol. 108, HY4, pp. 483-501.

Wright, S.J. (1985), Discussion to "Wastewater Field Thickness and Initial Dilution," by R.C.Y. Koh, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 111, No. 5, pp. 891-896.

Wright, S.J. and Wallace, R.B. (1979) "Two-Dimensional Buoyant Jets in a Stratified Fluid," Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, Vol. 105, No. HY11, pp. 1393-1406.

Wright, S.J. And Bühler, J. (1986) "Control of Buoyant Jet Mixing by Far Field Spreading," (with J. Bühler) Proceedings of ASCE Symposium on Advancements in Aeronautics, Fluid Mechanics, and Hydraulics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 1986, pp. 736-743.

UDKHDEN	Run #			4			2	5		r
	81/LM	0.234 0.161 0.136 0.079	0.094	0.233 0.182 0.148 0.089	0. 190	0.173 0.135 0.109 0.066	0.086 0.060 0.053 0.020	0.259 0.259 0.159 0.098	0.396 0.327 0.326 0.326 0.271 0.157	0 418 0.339 C 0.241 0.196 0.130
nents cal	SQBLM	0.378 0.271 0.214 0.110	0.144	0.407 0.293 0.239 0.118	0.359	0.320 0.212 0.170 0.090	0.094 0.058 0.048 0.023	0.502 0.343 0.263 0.137	0.716 0.477 0.376 0.338 0.338	1 198 0.870 0.645 0.433 0.195
suren ertic id	ZLM	2.093 1.495 1.196 0.598	0.659	2.267 1.619 1.296 0.648	1.813	1.771 1.265 1.012 0.506	0.531 0.378 0.303 0.152	2.413 1.724 1.379 0.690	3.358 2.399 1.819 1.439 0.960	3.305 2.360 1.888 1.416 0.944
e Mea F a V F Flu	УГИ	0000	0.0		0.0	0000	0000	0000 0000	00000	00000
o the on oi bient	ta B	3.91 2.70 1.33	1.43		3.67	2.16	5.66 3.85 4.32	3.75 2.90 1.42	2.87 53.81 53.81 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 53.82 54.55 5	4.43 3.55 2.55 4.08 4.20 7.08
ts to ectio t Aml	N	6.72 4.83 4.83 1.97	2.32		7.37	6.73 6.85 1.85 1.80	13.70 8.50 3.31	7.76 5.29 4.06 2.11	7.84 5.29 3.75 2.21	13.52 9.80 7.27 4.88 2.19
an Fi oss-S agnan	5	0.148 0.207 0.262 0.509	0.431	0.149 0.208 0.255 0.555	0. 136	0.148 0.225 0.280 0.527	0.073 0.118 0.148 0.302	0.129 0.169 0.246 0.474	0.126 0.189 0.240 0.267 0.452	0.074 0.102 0.137 0.205 0.456
ussi e Cre m Sta	~	35.0 25.0 10.0	10.0	35.0 25.0 10.0	35.0	35.0 25.0 10.0	35.0 25.0 10.0	35.0 25.0 10.0	35.0 25.0 15.0	35.0 25.0 15.0 10.0
nd Ga .n th nifor	×	0000	0.0	0000	0.0	0000	0000	0000	00000	3 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
ons ar ion j n a Ur	EPS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>0</b> .0	0. 0	0.0	0. 0	0. 3
ditic ntrat et ir	Ľ	16.72	15.18	15.44	19.30	19.76	68 . 96	14.50	10.42	10.59
l Con Conce ant J	RD	0.05619	0.06190	0.06085	0.04867	0.04754	0.00687	0.06478	0.09015	0.08870
menta acer Buoy	a	71.82	65.33	66.30	82.53	82 01	66 . <del>6</del> .2	44.53	30 . 17	29.60
tperil of Tr	٩	1.060	1.060	1.060	1.060	1.060	0.611	1.060	1.060	0.00
Ê	RUN	0204 - 1	0208 - 1	0208-2	0208-3	0208 - 4	02 10- 1	02 10-2	02   1 - 1	0215-1

TABLE 1.Identification of Test Data for Vertical Buoyant jets in UnstratifiedFluids, from Wong (1984)

0 	Lxper of T INDICATE	iment racer Buo is cm > 1	Conce Conce yant	nditi entre Jet i ure As	ions al ation in a Ul	nd Ga in th nifor ru	iussi ie Cr m St	an Fi oss-S aqnan	ts to section it Aml	o the on of bient	e Mea Fall	asure Verti Jid	cal cal		
NOW	٥	a	RO	Ē	5 PS	×	~	Ð	X	BT	WTW	ZLM	SQBLM	81/LM	
0215-2	1.060	13.28	0.03583	26.22	0. 0	00000	35.0 25.0 15.0	0.168 0.234 0.284 0.340 0.543	8.97 2.62 2.62 44 44	2.78 2.78 1.33	00000	+ 335 0.954 0.763 0.381	0.214 0.153 0.153 0.126 0.066	0.150 0.106 0.093 0.072 0.051	
<b>7805 - 1</b>	<b>8</b> .000	185.20	1.2243U	3.62	0.0	00000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.600 0.762 0.986 1.0000	1000	2.25. 2.25 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05 2.05	00000	5.31 3.799 2.321 0.691 0.691	2.040 1.606 1.241 1.224	0.776 0.619 0.587 0.520 0.563	
<b>3805-2</b>	<b>9</b> 00.	208.86	1.02084	46.4	0.0	00000	0.000	0.616 0.822 1.000 1.000	52888	2.62 2.26 2.06 2.06	00000	4.435 3.168 1.152 1.152 0.576	1.658 1.242 1.021	0.603 0.520 0.455 0.474 0.504	
<b>3805 - 3</b>	<b>5</b> .000	242.65	0.86248	-	0.0	00000		0.879 0.981 1.0000 1.0000	28888	2.25	00000	2.676 1.946 1.460 0.973 0.487	0.981 0.877 0.863 0.863 0.863	0.492 0.483 0.483 0.436 0.436	
0812-1	<b>5</b> .000	<b>2</b> 20. <b>16</b>	0.2376	18.64	0.0	00000	39.1 30.0 17.5 13.9	0.487 0.638 0.711 0.956 0.964	2.05	5.60 3.52 3.52 3.53	00000	2.096 1.609 1.207 0.939 0.746	0.488 0.372 0.334 0.249 0.246	0.301 0.238 0.238 0.189 0.181	
<b>JB 12 - 2</b>	£.000	174.89	0.33382	13.27	0.0	00000	39.1 30.0 17.5	0.492 0.606 0.785 0.920 0.970	2.03 1.03 1.03	5.88 4.77 5.42 3.41	00000	2.944 2.260 1.695 1.318	0.679 0.551 0.355 0.363 0.363	0.451 0.359 0.358 0.258 0.258	
<b>1 - 1</b>	<b>6</b> .000	219.52	0. 18961	23.37	0.0	00000 00000	39.1 30.0 17.5	0,558 0,659 0,735 0,886 0,886	1.78 1.52 1.136 1.13	8.8 9.4 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6	00000	1.672 1.284 0.963 0.749 0.471	0.340 0.288 0.258 0.214 0.191	0.236 0.209 0.188 0.158 0.158	

Table 1 (Continued)

# Table 1 (Continued)

.

.

UDKHDEN F	Run #		1
Ø		0.078 0.057 0.038 0.038 0.025	0.061 0.035 0.015 0.015 0.005
cal	BT/L	0.094 0.074 0.043 0.043	0.053 0.035 0.016 0.016 0.011
asure Verti uiđ	SQBLM	0.617 0.444 0.286 0.184 0.127	0.321 0.167 0.111 0.014 0.037
e Me t 권l	SLH ZLH	00000	000000
o th on o bien	XLM	5.05 2.53 1.64	8.18 3.16 2.04 0.63
its t Secti nt Am	19	4.63 3.66 2.11 1.28	15.67 7.81 7.81 2.39 2.39
lan F coss- cagna	S	0.216 0.273 0.388 0.474 0.784	0.064 0.096 0.127 0.204 0.295
tussi te Ci m St	~	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	43.00 10.00 10.00 10.00
ld Ga n th lifor	×	00000	000000
ons an ition i n a Ur	5 P S	0. 0	o. o
nditi entra fet i	E	64.80	135.12
al Cor Conce yant j	ß	0.02024	86600 0
iment racer Buo	a	100.59	80.45
3xper of T	٥	- . 480	0.511
	NUN	08 18 - 1	1005-A

TABLE 2.Identification of Test Data for Vertical Buoyant jets in StratifiedFluids, from Wong (1984).

ł

Run		$\frac{m}{a^2}$	B C H 3	с (в <sup>- 2</sup> )	$\rho_{\rm R} \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	R 0	H a	ء س ( c m )	z 8 (cm)	ъ	h B (cm)	z (cm	2 1 ( c 1	
0219-82	20.92	973.9	327.2	0.0290	1.00190	0.09748	0.601	63.80						352
0303-82A	58.50	3877.5	618.3	0.0505	1.00220	0.04754	1.294	63.50			13.50-			102
0303-A2B	57.03	3685.6	608.5	0.0580	1.00220	0.04899	1.327	63.50	35.00		-00-11			605
0303-82C	32.07	1165.2	351.8	0.0446	1.00140	0.08836	0.765	57.00	28.00		24.00-			385
0527-82	25.63	0.0020	492.2	0.0502	1.00080	0.02360	1.327	72.00	46.50	33.60	34.00	61.50	27.50	638
1014-82	21.12	2174.6	113.8	0.0643	1.00070	0.01517	3.265	52.00	30.25	23.80	16.00	40.00	24.00	526
1020-82	48.10	2621.2	216.7	2050.0	1.00110	0.03775	1.925	67.00	38.00	15.20	21.00	53.00	32.00	517
1020-82	<b>J9.5</b> 0	1768.4	216.5	- 0.090B	1.00190	0.05068	1.965	46.50	26.50	10.20			20.50	174
1102-82	25.96	163.4	111.8	0.0522	1.00180	0.06840	1.396	43.00	25.50	10.20	10.25	37.75	22.50	116
0929-62	49.48	- 0.9091	189.0	0.1014	1.00110	0.00545	9.497	66.00	37.50	26.30	26.75	51.25	24.50	2041
1001-82	58.50	16684.6	6,000	0.0667	1.00020	0.00538	7.306		48.75	34.50	34.00	63.50	29.50	1457

Table 2 (Continued).

S	ы Ц	1151.	15787.	5287.	5287.	1252.	4767
nete	гb (шэ)	80.ES	24.00	14.50	14.50	00.61	5
Para into ls	zt (cm)	43.50	52.00	29.50	26.50	24.50	24 M
ninal Irgeđ Fluid	h 8 (cm)	20.50	28.00	15.00	12.00	11.50	8
Term ischa ient	е К	25.50	28.60	16.70	15.70	34.80	13 40
f the ets D t Amb	г <sub>в</sub> (ст)	32.00	37.50	19.25	20.00	17.50	18 S.
es of ed Je gnant	z (cm)	56.00	67.00	33.20	1	31.20	1
valu minat d Sta	H A A	6.272	10.215	2.358	2.358	17.874	17 274
sured um-Doi tifie	R O	0.00844	0.00506	0.02807	0.02807	0.00287	0 00107
d Mea loment Stra	$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{g} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{m} \end{array} \right)$	.00140	.00100	. 00590	06500.1	0440	01100
ns an wund M wnsity	с р (в <sup>– 2</sup> )	0.0762	1 1494 1	1 0610.0	1 0610.0	0.1440	
ditio al Ro 1Y De	$\left(\frac{B}{a^3}\right)$	112.8	341.4 0	395.1 0	395.1 0	11.5	
al Cor Vertic Linear	M B 2	7.25.1	1574.6	0.201	0.2014	1420.0	
iment for		C1.11	31 96 16	11.22 5	11.22	9.38	
Exper	Ru n	1006-82	1019-82	0406-83+	0106-83#	0407-83*	
		1 -	-	0	-	-	•

				(a - 2)	$\left(\frac{B}{cm^{3}}\right)$		D	(cm)	(cm)		( u )	(cm)	C B C)	-
1006-82	C1.1C	4725.7	112.8	0.0762	1.00140	0.00844	6.272	56.00	00 . CC	25.50	20.50	43.50	23.00	7757.
1019-82	63.36	19574.6	1.140	0.1494	1.00100	0.00506	10.215	67.00	37.50	28.60	28.00	52.00	24.00	15787.
0406-83+	21.22	2195.0	1.295.1	0.3190	1.00590	0.02807	2.358	33.20	19.25	16.70	15.00	29.50	14.50	5287.
0406-834	21.22	2195.0	1.295.1	0.1190	1.00590	0.02807	2.358	1	20.00	15.70	12.00	26.50	14.50	5287.
0407-03+	9C.1	1420.0	11.5	0.1440	1.00140	0.00287	17.874	31.20	17.50	34.80	11.50	24.50	0.01	1252.
0407-834	90.1	1420.0	11.5	0.1440	1.00440	0.00287	17.874	١	16.50	32.40	11.00	24.00	00.61	4252.
0408-83+	17.28	1455.0	25.1	0.1730	1.00440	0.00963	10.887	91.20	16.50	14.70	10.00	22.50	12.50	4306.
0408-834	17.28	1455.0	25.1	0.1730	1.00440	0.00963	10.887	t	16.50	14.40	10.00	22.50	12.50	4306.
0124-84	14.78	5766.0	36.6	0.1370	1.00130	0.00178	21.106	55.50	16.00	75.80	28.00	44.50	16.50	8515.
0202-84+	19.27	9676.0	52.4	0.1420	1.00180	0.00145	24.075	62.50	33.50	46.10	30.50	<b>3</b> 1.00	20.50	11102.
0203-84	6.74	0.0811	4.8	0.0478	1.00280	0.00225	18.257	15.50	25.50	47.60	17.00	36.00	19.00	JA83.

Rbund experimental tank - Sampling by 26-probe rake Round experimental tank - Sampling by 13-probe rake Non-linear density stratification Visual reading of spreading layer thickness

• • • 1

# Table 2 (Continued).

		<sup>с</sup> b Re :m)	50 2382.	00 1455.	20 1177	50 940.	15 3271.	50 4641.	00 2401.	1692	50 1420.	no 4090.	00 1245.	00 1383.	50 1490.	.1671 00	- 1643.	- 1598.	2690.
1		t 1 (c	50 11.	00 22.0	50 23	50 21.5	05 14.	00 0	50 15.0	ı	80 29.5	50 25.0	50 26.0	50 19.0	20 42.5	50 28.0			
uids		z (c	32.5	0.10	. 10	3.7C	0.16	25.0	3.00	1	45.6	50.5	10°	3.40	57.0	47.	1	1	
lt F1		н в (св.)	21.00	15.00	11.00	16.00	00.61	21.50	18.50	T	16.30	25.50	17.50	15.50	14.50	19.50	ı	ı	ı
nbier		S E	10.80	16.40	20.20	19.10	19.80	15.00	19.20	ı	24.40	31.10	23.70	20.70	61.70	25.00	i	81.00	- 1 -
nt An		z s (cm)	17.00	26.50	29.50	26.50	18 . OO	10.00	24.00	•	23.50	34.00	32.00	25.00	46.50	37.50	ı	1	ı
agnai		г в с п)	35.00	42.00	45.00	00.00	00.00	24.50	35.00	ı	50.50	65.00	51.00	38.50	65.00	51.00	I	65.00	51.50
ed St		μ <sup>1</sup> μ <sup>1</sup> μ <sup>1</sup>	0.691	0.286	0.205	0.181	0.665	1.110	0.602	0.126	0.204	0.503	0.189	0.350	0.098	0. 136	0.087	0.068	0.394
atifie		R 0	0.19781	0.47864	0.61202	0.78894	0.11603	0.07616	0.15470	0.50808	0.54481	0.12623	0.67229	0.30284	0.90803	0.76860	0.83811	0.86420	0.27311
y-stri		$\rho_{\rm cl}(0)$	1.00410	1.00320	1.00170	1 00170	1.00160	1.00970	1.00090	1.00050	1.00440	1.00100	1.00130	1.00220	1.00210	1.00420	1.00070	1.00050	1.00320
ensit		د (a <sup>-2</sup> )	0.0861	0.1050	0.0754	0.0954	0.0658	0.0965	0.0860	0.0203	0.0683	0.0686	0.0989	0.0991	0.0822	0.1370	0.0532	0.0287	0.0889
rly D		$\left(\frac{B}{a^4}\right)$	213.9	285.3	247.7	209.8	2.170	457.5	261.3	506.1	4.440	860.1	352.7	191.4	1104.0	1242.0	1260.0	1233.0	587.3
Linea		$\left(\frac{c_{m}}{s}^{4}\right)$	445.6	166.2	108.9	69.5	8.958	1692.0	452.8	224.9	158.5	0.4161	121.7	150.2	174.4	235.5	212.0	200.6	568.3
		$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array} \right)$	27.69	16.91	13.68	10.93	27.23	38.64	19.99	19.67	16.51	34.05	14.47	11.51	17.32	20.12	19.10	18.58	31.27
		Run	0519-83	68-0680	C9-1060	69-6160	C8-9160	C8-6160	68-0260	0929-83	<b>E9-0E60</b>	1003-83	1004-83	1011-838	1012-83	1014-83	1017-83	1019-83	0127-84
U	DKHDEŇ	Run #	Г 0519-	- 0680	-1060	- 61 60	-9160 2 <b>2</b>	-6160 5 Q	-0320-	- 6260	-0660	4	- 1001	-1101 6	1012-	1014-	1017-	1019-	0127-

TABLE 3.Identification of Test Data for Horizontal Buoyant jets in StratifiedFluids, from Wong (1984)

# Table 3 (Continued).

30



Figure 1. Internal Intrusion of a Buoyant Jet in a Stratified Fluid.



Figure 2. Surfacing Buoyant Jet with Internal Jump.



Figure 3. Typical Concentration Profile in Intrusion Layer, from Wong (1984).



Figure 4. UDKHDEN Predictions of Average Dilution versus Trajectory Distance for Buoyant Jets in Unstratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 5. UM Model Predictions of Minimum Dilution versus Trajectory Distance for Buoyant Jets in Unstratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 6. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 7. UM Model Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.


Figure 8. UDKHDEN Predictions of Maximum Height of Rise for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 9. UM Model Predictions of Maximum Height of Rise for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 10. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Trapping Level for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 11. UDKHDEN Predictions of Trapping Level for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 12. UM Model Predictions of Trapping Level for Vertical Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 13. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Horizontal Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



t

Figure 14. UM Model Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Horizontal Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 15. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Trapping Level for Horizontal Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 16. UDKHDEN Predictions of Trapping Level for Horizontal Buoyant Jets in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 17. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 18. UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Trapping Level for Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 19. UDKHDEN Predictions of Trapping Level for Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 20. Comparison of UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise for Horizontal Round Buoyant Jets and Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Ambient Fluid.



Figure 21. Comparison of UDKHDEN Predictions of Dilution at Maximum Height of Rise from Single and Double Sided Diffuser Discharges in Stratified Ambient Fluid.

#### **APPENDIX 1**

### LISTING OF UDKHDEN COMPUTER OUTPUTS

A. UNSTRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat #1 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data,Run 1005A 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0.0000805 1 .00511 90. 2. 0. 90. 1000. 2 1.0000 0.

2 1.0000 0.0 00.00 1.00389 0.0 3.00 1.00389 0.0

-

PROGRAM UDKHDEN

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat CASE I.D. #1 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data,Run 1005A SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0051-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .00 1.00389 .000 3.00 1.00389 .000 FROUDE NO=281.23, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72,

0

0+

.030

STARTING LENGTH=

FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS.

ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC.

1.00 1.93 6.31 15.07 15.07 15.07 15.07 15.07 15.07 15.05 41.47 63.71 63.71 63.71 63.71 90.83 81.73 81.73 90.83 81.73 81.73 90.83 1126.55 1126.55 1176.52 1176.52 1176.55 11776.55 117776.55 11776.55 11776.55 11776.55 11776.55 11776 DILUTION .24 .35 .66 1.051.281.282.433.133.133.914.775.716.7310.18 12.80 15.65 .84 7.81 8.96 TIME 0103 .08 .14 DCCL 011 1.000 .305.180.099.099.099.091.068.059.059.059.050.052.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.035.052.035.052.035.052.035.052.035.035.052.035.052.035.025.025.035.025DRHO 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.306 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.128 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.128 1.0000 1.00000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.00000 DUCL WIDTH .05 .08 .86 .92 1.02 1.13 10. .11 90.00 90.00 0.060.060.0090.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH2 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.09 90.00 90.00 00.00 90.00 THT 111111 1282 1285 .00 .03 .07 Ŋ 00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000 ×

PLUME HAS REACHED WATER SURFACE

DILUTION= 228.73

1 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat #2 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0210-1
0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0.0000666 1 00511 90. 2.
0 0 0 10000 0. 1000.
2 1.0000 0.
0 0 0.00 1.01112 0.0
3.00 1.01112 0.0

-Т PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat CASE I.D. #2 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0210-1 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0051-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M FROUDE NO=137.62, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72, VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01112 1.01112 DEPTH (M) 00. 3.00

0

0+

.030

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.31 1.93 6.31 15.09 15.09 15.09 15.09 19.50 23.33 23.35 23.33 23.35 23.33 25.71 65.13 74.74 84.55 84.55 84.55 94.58 110.483 1110.483 TIME 1.000 1.000 .305 .180 .128 .080 .080 .080 .080 .035 .035 .035 .035 .026 .023 .018 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL 1.000 DRHO 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.306 1.129 1.129 1.025 1.055 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0333 1.0 DUCL WIDTH .01 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.06 00.09 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 TH2  $\begin{array}{c} 0.06\\$ 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 THI .00 .03 .07 N ×

171.43 195.65 220.89 247.17							DILUTION	1.00 6.82 6.82 12.24 12.24 12.24 51.38 61.48 61.48 72.38 61.48 72.38 71.48 136.17 136.17 136.17 198.23 198.23
12.88 15.39 18.00 20.72						.059	TIME	00 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
.011 .010 .009 .008			M WITH G 1985	5-1	₩ <b>-</b> 90	GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .934 .282 .157 .157 .058 .075 .037 .037 .031 .023 .018 .012
.010 .010 .009 .008			GE PROBLE NTS. AU	, Run 021	TER= .01 2.00-M	RTING LEN	ITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .934 .282 .157 .075 .075 .075 .075 .075 .075 .016 .012 .0118
.021 .020 .019		n 0215-1	KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIE	rlow data	** DIAME * DEPTH= .62,	STA	VE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .376 .278 .278 .278 .219 .1240 .158 .158 .158 .152 .152
.92 1.01 1.10 1.17		data, Ru 2.	ROGRAM UD) LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC:	ratified 1	00 G/CM3 0.00-M *: Y (M/S) 0 3= 94339		FIRST LIN WIDTH	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
00.06 00.06 00.06	E	fied Flow	PI TO MULTIPI URRENTS AI	at ng's Unst:	SE TTY=1.0000 PACING=1000 PROFILE VELOCIT VELOCIT .000 .000 .2/PORT DIJ		IN SEC. TH2	80000000000000000000000000000000000000
00.06 00.06 00.06	R SURFAC	Unstrati Justrati 060 90. 0.	ABIENT CU	nstrat.di Dn of Woi	HARGE CAN 'S DENSE L ** SP2 (CATION I (G/CM3) 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51		IRS-TIME TH1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06\\$
1.42 1.58 1.75 1.91	HED WATE 06	Wong's l Wong's l 1 .01( 90. 1 0.0	AN SC	FILE: ur Simulatio	<pre>DRT DISCH DOD CU-M/ DRTS=</pre>		IN METE Z	
0.00	HAS REAC ON= 262.	ation of 0 0 0 0 2 1.00961		SAL DATA .D. #3	SINGLE PC RGE= 0.00 BER OF PC AMBIENT (M) I (M) I .00 .00 .00 .00		NGTHS ARI Y	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0.00 0.00 0.00	PLUME	412 Control Co		UNIVER CASE I	DI SCHA ** NUM DEPTH 3 FROUDE		ALL LE X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
					0 0.	+		

PLUME HAS REACHED WATER SURFACE

DILUTION= 285.94

1 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat #4 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0208-1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0.0000653 1 .01060 90. 2. 0 90. 10000. 2 1.0000 0. 3.00 1.02280 0.0 3.00 1.02280 0.0

Ч

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat CASE I.D. #4 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0208-1

.060 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0106-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .00 1.02280 .000 3.00 1.02280 .000 STARTING LENGTH= FROUDE NO= 15.20, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 94339.62,

0

0+

DILUTION	1.00	1.99 /	6.56	11.52 0	16.99	23.03 1	29.64	36.83~	44.57	52.87	61.70	$71.06 \checkmark$	91.28	113.45	137.49	163.33	190.92	220.19
TIME	.00	.08	.31	.72	1.26	1.88	2.57	3.30	4.07	4.88	5.72	6.58	8.38	10.28	12.26	14.31	16.43	18.62
DCCL	1.000	.967	.293	.167	.113	.084	.065	.052	.043	.036	.031	.027	.021	.017	.014	.012	.010	.000
DRHO	1.000	.967	.293	.167	.113	.084	.065	.052	.043	.036	.031	.027	.021	.017	.014	.012	.010	.000
DUCL	1.000	1.000	.343	.236	.195	.175	.161	.152	.145	.139	.135	.131	.124	.118	.114	.110	.106	.103
HLDIM	.01	.03	60.	.15	.19	.24	.28	.33	.37	.41	.45	.49	.57	. 65	.73	.81	.89	.96
TH2	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
ТНТ	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
27	00.	.06	.15	.23	.32	.40	.48	.57	.65	.74	.82	.91	1.08	1.25	1.42	1.59	1.76	1.93
ч	00.	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
×	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00

PLUME HAS REACHED WATER SURFACE

DILUTION= 239.32

PLUME HAS REACHED WATER SURFACE

90.00 90.00

90.06

TIME  $\begin{array}{c} . 00 \\ . 12 \\ .$ 9.40 11.96 14.66 17.47 20.38 23.40 26.51 .060 292 1166 1112 083 064 064 052 064 036 052 036 036 036 036 036 017 017 012 010 010 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL 1.000 .964 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBLENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0106-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #5 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0210-2 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M .964 .292 .1166 .112 .083 .083 .064 .052 .043 .031 .031 .027 DRHO 1.000 017 014 012 010 #5 Simulation of Wong's Unstratified Flow data, Run 0210-2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000445 1 .01060 90. 2. 1.000 1.000 .240 .240 .179 .157 .157 .157 .139 .135 .128 .1128 .1172 .1113 .1113 DUCL PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO= 14.53, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 94339.62, MIDTH . 64 . 72 . 80 . 88 . 96 VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.09 00.09 90.00 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01160 1000. . 0 1.01160 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 0.0 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01160 <sup>~</sup> 00 1.011<sup>~</sup> DEPTH (M) 3.00 3.00 00.

0

O +

DILUTION

1.00 2.00 2.00 17.14 17.14 23.29 37.38 45.31 45.31 45.31 53.81 62.86 72.46 93.21 115.98 167.24 195.60

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: unstrat.dat Н

L I

#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### LISTING OF UDKHDEN COMPUTER OUTPUTS

#### B. VERTICAL JETS IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

DILUTION 1.00 2.48 8.09 14.78 .00.441.483.15 TIME .070 1.000 .761 .233 .128 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0148-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #1 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1112-82 2.00-M 1.000 .727 .150 DRHO -.006 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DILUTION= 14.43 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= DUCL 1.000 .325 .486 #1 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1112-82 #2 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0406-82
0 1 0 0 0 0
0.0000275 1 .01480 90. 2. PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO= 5.09, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 67567.57, MIDTH .05 .20 VELOCITY (M/S) .000 TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.69 METERS BELOW SURFACE, 2 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z Z TH1 TH2 90.00 90.00 90.00 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT .01480 90. 1000. DENSITY (G/CM3) .99997 1.04249 90.00 90.00 90.00 1000. 0 0.0 .0 0.0 00 .31 208.00 u. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99997  $\begin{array}{cccc} 0. & 90. \\ 2 & 1.0000 \\ 00.00 & 1.00698 \\ 10.00 & 1.032472 \end{array}$ Ч 1.042495 0.00 0.00 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0.0000307 DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 10.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 × 0+ 0 Ч Ч

----

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMATTONT CTUDENITE AND VIEDITIC TORS

H

CASE I.D. #2 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0406-82 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT

DILUTION TIME .00 .46 1.50 2.92 4.77 7.82 .062 .649 .204 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL .071 1.000 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 .0148-M STARTING LENGTH= .0106-M CASE I.D. #3 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1102-82 2.00-M 2.00-M 1.000 .636 .169 .053 DRHO -.005 -.046 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT \*\* DIAMETER= DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= 26.07 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= DILUTION= \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.000 .590 .140 .341 DUCL #3 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1102-82 PROGRAM UDKHDEN PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 67567.57, SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 .01 .05 .12 .47 HIDIM (M/S) VELOCITY (M/S) 1.58 METERS BELOW SURFACE, VELOCITY .000 2 .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.06 90.00 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT .01060 90. DENSITY (G/CM3) 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 1000. DENSITY (G/CM3) THI 0 1.00698 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 1.03247 .99374 1.04698 0.0 .00 .07 .31 .43 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= N 3.82, 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99374 90. Ч TRAPPING LEVEL= 10.0 1.046978 0.000 0.00 FROUDE NO= 0100000 DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 . 0 0.0000260 0.00 0.00 0.00 00.00 × 0 0 + Ч ----0

0 U U U

СПЛОНТИСТЕРИСТИ

94339.62,

FROUDE NO= 13.80, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA=

0 1

1.00 2.94 9.36 7.62

27.03 35.93

<ul> <li>0 0 0 0</li> <li>1 01480 90. 2.</li> <li>2 1.0000 0.</li> <li>0 0.</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>PEROGRAM UDKHDEN</li> <li>PEROGRAM UDKHDEN</li> <li>SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLE</li> <li>AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU</li> <li>RESAL DATA FILE: STRATVERL.DAT</li> <li>I.D. #4 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1103-</li> <li>SINGLE FORT DISCHARGE CASE</li> <li>AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ADO 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.000</li> <li>AND 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 1.000</li> <li>AMDIENT PROFILE</li> <li>AMDIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIAN PROFILE<!--</th--><th><ul> <li>0 0 0 0</li> <li>90. 101480 90. 2.</li> <li>90. 1000.</li> <li>90. 1000.</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>PROGRAM UDKHDEN</li> <li>SOLUTION TO MULTPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLE</li> <li>AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU</li> <li>RESAL DATA FILE: STRATVERLIDAT</li> <li>I.D. #4 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1103-</li> <li>SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE</li> <li>RARGE 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01-</li> <li>MBER OF PORTS= 1 ** SPACING=1000.00-M ** DEPTH= 2.00-M</li> <li>AMBIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>AMBIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDI</li></ul></th><th>0 0 0 0 1.003177 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 1.0039492 0.0 1.0039492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 PERCIPTIAN VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU SINGLE PORT DISCHARCE IND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU 2.00 VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU 2.00 0.00 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M AMBLENT STRATTFICTORION PROFILE 2.00-M AMBLENT STRATTFICTORICON PROFILE 1.0 000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 AMBLENT STRATTFICTORICON PROFILE 1.0 000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .00 2.000 CU-M/S DIAMETERS- TIME IN SEC. FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CON 2.000 CU-M/S DUULIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 2. HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT</th></li></ul>	<ul> <li>0 0 0 0</li> <li>90. 101480 90. 2.</li> <li>90. 1000.</li> <li>90. 1000.</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>1.033777 0.0</li> <li>PROGRAM UDKHDEN</li> <li>SOLUTION TO MULTPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLE</li> <li>AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU</li> <li>RESAL DATA FILE: STRATVERLIDAT</li> <li>I.D. #4 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1103-</li> <li>SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE</li> <li>RARGE 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01-</li> <li>MBER OF PORTS= 1 ** SPACING=1000.00-M ** DEPTH= 2.00-M</li> <li>AMBIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>AMBIENT STRATTFICATION PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDIA PROFILE</li> <li>ANDI</li></ul>	0 0 0 0 1.003177 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 2. 1.0000 0.0 1.0039492 0.0 1.0039492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 0.999492 0.0 2.00 PERCIPTIAN VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU SINGLE PORT DISCHARCE IND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU 2.00 VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AU 2.00 0.00 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M AMBLENT STRATTFICTORION PROFILE 2.00-M AMBLENT STRATTFICTORICON PROFILE 1.0 000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 AMBLENT STRATTFICTORICON PROFILE 1.0 000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .01 2.00-M 2.000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.0000 G/CM3 ** DIAMETER= .00 2.000 CU-M/S DIAMETERS- TIME IN SEC. FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CON 2.000 CU-M/S DUULIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 2. HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT
00.000000000000000000000000000000000000	.00 .00 .00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 PLUMES HAVE REACHED	.00 .00 .00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 PLUMES HAVE REACHED
	ES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIR	ES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT – STRATIFIED ENVIR

DILUTION 1.00 2.21 7.28 13.09 18.68 .00 .33 1.18 2.63 5.20 TIME .077 1.000 .854 .259 .144 DCCL FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. .101 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0148-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #5 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1111-82 1.000 .814 .170 .001 DRHO -.103 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.68 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 13.12 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 DUCL .416 .103 #5 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 1111-82 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000419 1 014R0 Δ #6 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1105-82 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0.0000161 1 .01480 90. 2. PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO= 7.16, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 67567.57, HIDIM 212 .39 VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) .99952 1000. 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.06 1000. THT . 0 。 0.0 1.04184 0.0 0.0 .44 0 N 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99952 0.0 1.041836 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99788 0.0 1.031943 90. 00.00 ≻ DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 . 0 10.0 00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 10.0 × Ч 0 0+

Ч

PROGRAM UDKHDEN «ΟΓΙΤΨΤΟΝΙ ΦΟ ΜΙΠ ΦΤΟΓΕ ΒΙΓΟΥΝΝΗ ΝΤΟΛΕΙΡΟΕ ΒΟΛΒΙΡΜ ΜΤΗΨ

ч

Ч

CASE I.D. #6 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1105-82 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT

DILUTION 1.00 3.02 9.44 16.67 .00 .77 2.56 5.76 TIME .059 1.000 .620 .198 .112 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0148-M DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0148-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #7 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0404-82 2.00-M 1.000 .577 .078 -.086 DRHO PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.76 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 12.47 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT BER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 1.000 .553 .242 DUCL #7 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0404-82 PROGRAM UDKHDEN .00 .99788 .000 10.00 1.03194 .000 FROUDE NO= 3.59, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 67567.57, .05 .24 WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) VELOCITY (M/S) 2 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATVER1.DAT SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE .01480 90. 1000. 00.06 00.06 90.00 DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.00201 DENSITY (G/CM3) THT 0 .99788 1.03194 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 0.0 .00 .07 .07 .31 N ---90. 1.0000 00.00 1.00201 00.00 1.00201 0.00 0.00 0100000 DEPTH (M) DEPTH (M) 0.0000278 0.00 0.00 8. × 0 0+ Ч ч 0

א העדים שווא שטוואישם השווהדיארייארי דאודם אווס א

.000 .000

.00000

.00 10.00

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver2.dat

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver2.dat CASE I.D. #8 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0527-82 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. #8 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0527-82 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000256 1 00511 90. 2. PROGRAM UDKHDEN .00511 90. 1000. . 0 0.0 2 1.0000 00.00 1.00934 90. 10.0 1.060540 Ч

AUG 1985

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0051-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE

DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .000 DEPTH (M) .00

0

.000 1.00934 10.00

FROUDE NO= 39.86, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72,

0+

.029 STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION	1.00	1.94	6.34	10.81	15.37	20.04	24.79		29.60	34.41	39.11	43.52	47.16
TIME	00.	.02	60.	.23	.44	.70	1.02		1.41	1.86	2.40	3.10	4.26
DITIONS. DCCL	1.000	.992	.303	.178	.125	.096	.077		.065	.056	.049	.044	.041
IITIAL CON DRHO	1.000	.984	.287	.152	.089	.050	.022	CONMENT	0.000	019	036	052	068
NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000	1.000	.311	.189	.139	.111	.093	IED ENVIF	.079	.067	.054	.040	.016
FIRST LI WIDTH	.01	.01	.05	.08	.11	.14	.16	- STRATIF	.20	.23	.27	.33	.54
IN SEC. TH2	00.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	1 HEIGHT	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
ERS-TIME TH1	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	UILIBRIUN	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00
IN MET Z	00.	.03	.07	.11	.15	.19	.23	THED EQ	.27	.32	.36	.40	.44
NGTHS ARE Y	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	HAVE REAC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ALL LE. X	00.	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

29.52 TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.73 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver2.dat

----

					LON	0000		0 H 0					
					DILU	онно 9 нно		15.4					
				20	TIME	.00 .11 .43		1.08 2.09 3.88					
	T. IO			.0	NS.	00 57 95		222			<b>.</b>		
	EM WITH JG 1985	-82	М-90-	IGTH=	DITION	1.00 .96		1.120.			M WITH G 1985	-82	M_A0
	L PROBLE	m 1020-	R= .01 2.00-M	ING TEN	TAL CON	1.000 .914 .186	MENT	004 124 228	_	.81	PROBLE S. AU	un 1028	-0
	V SCHARGE RAD I EN T	ata, Ru	) I AMETE PTH=	STARI	E INIT JCL	000 000 117	INVI RON	.91 .25 .51	ONMENT	M= 10 1-82	CHARGE ADIENT	ata, R	ד א אונדיייניי
	DKHDEI NT DI CAL GI	'low dâ	** DEI	9.62,	INE AF	нн 1000	FIED E		ENVIF	1LUTIC n 1028	DKHDEN NT DIS CAL GR	Flow d	۲ * *
	DGRAM U E BUOYA D VERTI	ified F	0 G/CM3 .00-M (M/S)	= 9433	FIRST I WIDTH	.01 .03 .09	STRATI	.16 .23 .41	ATIFIED	ACE, D Ita, Ru	GRAM U BUOYA VERTI	ified	2MJ/ J
N	PR( ULTIPL) NTS AN	Strat	1.0000 G=1000 TLE LOCITY .000	.000 RT DIA	SEC. I H2	000	IGHT –	0000	- STRI	N SURFI Flow da 2.	PRC JLTIPLE NTS AND	s Strat	
	I TO M CURRE	:2.dat Iong's	ASE SITY= PROF VE	NG/PO	E IN NI E	06	UM HE.	06 06 06	EIGHT	BELOU fied 1	TO M CURREN	3.dat Wong's	ASE стти1
)60 90 1000. 0.	JLUTION BIENT	cratver on of W	HARGE C 'S DEN ** S CCATION (G/CM3)	SPACI	IRS-TIM TH1	00.06 00.06	ILIBRI	00.06 00.06	H MUMI	METERS Strati 60 90 1000. 0.	LUTION	ratver on of l	ARGE C.
0.010 0.00 0.00	AN SC	LLE: st mlatic	r DISCH DU-M/ CU-M/ CS= 1 CS= 1 CRATIF1 CRATIF1 CRATIF1 CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE	1.0365 PORT	IN METE Z	.00 .06 .15	IED EÕU	23 32 40	ed max	1.77 fong's . 010	SO	LE: st mulati	DISCH
1.000( 99659 3659		DATA FJ #9 Sin	LE PORJ 0.000C DF PORJ IENT SJ DEN	24.96,	S ARE I	0000	I REACH	0000	I REACH	EVEL= pn of W 1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000		ATA FI #10 Si	E PORT n nnnn
00 00 1.00		RSAL I I.D.	SING ARGE= MBER ( AMB: AMB: H (M) .00	0.00 E NO=	ENGTH	00	S HAVE	000	5 HAVE	ENG LE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0. 2 2 1.07		SAL D	SINGI
.000048 00.		UNIVE	DI SCH ** NUI DEPTI	1 FROUDI	ALL LI X	.00 00.0	PLUME.	0.00	PLUME!	TRAPP: 10 Simi 1 0 0 000039! 000039!		UNIVEF CASE J	משרים דת
0			0	0+						* 0 * 0			

.

0	0+						т			0	0+				
DEPTH	FROUDE	ALL LE X	00.00 0.00	PLUMES	0.00	PLUMES	TRAPPII	UNIVERSAJ	CASE I.	DISCHAI ** NUM DEPTH	FROUDE	ALL LEN X	00.00	PLUMES	
AMBIENT (M) D	.00 NO= 18.6	NGTHS ARE Y	00.00	HAVE REA(	0.00	HAVE REAC	NG LEVEL=	L DATA FII	.D. #11 9	SINGLE POE RGE= 0.000 BER OF POE AMBIENT S (M) DE .00	NO=172.71	VGTHS ARE Y	.00 00.00	HAVE REAC	
STRATIF ENSITY .987	7, POR	IN MET Z	.00 .06	CHED EQ	.23	CHED MA	1.83	LE: str	Simulat	RT DISCI NO CU-M STRATIF STRATIF 1 086	L, POR	IN METI Z	.00 .03	THED EQU	.11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
(G/CM3)	ICATION PROFILE (G/CM3) VELOCITY 00 000 57 .000 F SPACING/PORT DIA	ERS-TIME TH1	0 90.00 6 90.00 5 90.00 EQUILIBRIUM	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 2UILIBRIUM HEIGHT	90.09 90.09	XIMUM HE:	METERS BELOW SUF	atver3.d	ion of W	HARGE CASE /S DENSITY=1.000 1 ** SPACING=100 ICATION PROFILE (G/CM3) VELOCIT 22 .00 62 .00	62 UT SPACIN	ERS-TIME TH1	90.00 90.00	UILIBRIUM	00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06
PROFILE VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 G/PORT DIA= 94339.		IN SEC. TH2	00.06 00.06		90.00 90.00	IGHT – STF		at	ong's Stra		S/PORT DIA	IN SEC. TH2	90.00 90.00 90.00	1 HEIGHT -	000000000000000000000000000000000000
	A= 94339	FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .03 .09	- STRATIF	.16 .38	ATIFIED	ACE, DI		tified F	00 G/CM3 ).00-M ★: ? (M/S)	i= 195694	FIRST LII WIDTH	.01 .01 .05	STRATIF1	
	. 62, ST	NE ARE II DUCL	1.000 1.000 .320	IED ENVII	.176 .043	ENVIRONME	LUTION=		low data,	** DIAME * DEPTH=	.72, STP	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .306	IED ENVIR	.180 .098 .077 .062 .048 .033
	ARTING LEN	NITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .839 .078	SONMENT	172 344	TNE	7.64		Run 0929-	TER= .00. 2.00-M	RTING LEN	ITTAL CON DRHO	1.000 .891 .136	ONMENT	086 239 371 493 493 730 853
	GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .937 .286		.168 .129				82	51-M	3TH=	DCCL	1.000 .968 .296		.174 .124 .096 .079 .058 .058
	.060	TIME	.00 .14 .52		1.33 2.88						.029	TIME	.00 .01 .05		
		DILUTION	1.00 1.97 6.45		10.96 14.32							DILUTION	1.00 1.93 6.31		10.69 15.06 19.42 23.74 23.74 23.74 35.71 35.71

שואמאמארטרקדארק רוקדקדשר אוואדערא א רוקשראנים שוואד אינאדטראשר מאוודם מוווים ביידע אינאידערא אינידע שווידער אינידער אינ

8.71 1.91 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat TRAPPING LEVEL=

#12 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1001-82 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000585 1 0.0511 90. 2. 1000. 0. 0.0 0 2 1.0000 2 1.0000 00.00 0.9917 0.0 10.0

1

## SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

CASE I.D. #12 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1001-82 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0051-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M

VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DENSITY (G/CM3) .99170 1.05970 DEPTH (M) 10.00 00. 0 0+

FROUDE NO=175.09, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72,

.029 STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.31	10.69		15.07	19.45	23.82	28.15	32.41	36.56	40.52	44.15	47.06
TIME	.00	.01	.04	.10		.20	.33	.49	.69	.92	1.21	1.56	2.02	2.84
IDITIONS. DCCL	1.000	.985	.301	.178		.126	.098	.080	.067	.059	.052	.047	.043	.040
VITIAL CON DRHO	1.000	.947	.223	.051	SONMENT	050	128	197	260	320	379	438	499	566
NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000	1.000	.306	.181	IED ENVII	.128	.099	.080	.066	.055	.046	.036	.026	.000
FIRST LI WIDTH	.01	.01	.05	.08	- STRATIF	.11	.14	.17	.21	.24	.29	.34	.42	.71
IN SEC. TH2	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	I HEIGHT	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
IRS-TIME TH1	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	JILIBRIUN	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.06
IN METE Z	00.	.03	.07	.11	CHED EQU	.15	.19	.23	.27	.32	.36	.40	.44	.48
GTHS ARE Y	.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	HAVE REAC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ALL LEN X	.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

1.87 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 12.73 TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat

Ч

#13 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1006-82

DILUTION TIME .02 08 08 .19 .37 .62 .94 1.35 .029 1.000 .975 .298 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL .176 .097 .079 .068 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0051-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M STARTING LENGTH= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat CASE I.D. #13 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1006-82 -.031 -.163 -.273 -.375 -.473 1.000 .913 .171 DRHO -.573 - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 9.86 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT #14 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1014-82 1.000 1.000 .306 .181 .127 .097 .075 .036 DUCL DILUTION= PROGRAM UDKHDEN 10.00 1.06586 .000 FROUDE NO=111.40, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72, .01 HIDIW DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) 1.90 METERS BELOW SURFACE, .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z Z TH1 TH2 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 90.00 90.00 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat 90.06 90.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 1000. 0 .98816 1.06586 0.0 .03 .03 .11 .15 .15 .23 .23 .32 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 0.98816 0. TRAPPING LEVEL= 0.00 0100000 DEPTH (M) 00. 0.00 00. 10.0

1

0

0+

1.00 1.93 6.31

10.70 15.08 19.43 23.70 27.79 31.49

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 PROGRAM UDKHDEN

~

.00511 90.

---90. 1.0000

<u>.</u>

0.0000211

Ч

1000.

。

0.0

00.00 0.99238 1.05798

10.0

Ч

CASE I.D. #14 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1014-82

DILUTION

1.00 1.93 6.32 10.73

15.15 19.55 23.84 27.84 30.95

.55 .90 1.37 2.01 3.38 28112 TIME .029 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL 1.000 .984 .301 .177 .080 .068 .061 .125 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 .0051-M STARTING LENGTH= .0051-M UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat CASE I.D. #15 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1019-82 2.00-M 2.00-M .229 .060 -.038 -.113 DRHO 1.000 -.178 -.240 -.305 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT \*\* DIAMETER= 1.87 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 13.27 DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000 00-M \*\* NFUMER >\*\* AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAME \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= #15 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 1019-82
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 DUCL 1.000 .308.183 .130 .098 .074 .050 PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO= 61.99, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72, WIDTH .01 .05 .08 .11 14 .18 .24 .63 VELOCITY (M/S) .000 2 000 I ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 00.06 TH2 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver3.dat SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE .00511 90. 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.09 00.09 00.09 DENSITY (G/CM3) 1000. THI 0 .99238 0.0 15 19 19 23 23 23 00.03 N 90. ----1.0000 0.97503 0.97503 TRAPPING LEVEL= 0.000 DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 00.00  $\sim$ <u>.</u> PLUMES 0.0000634 00.00 0.00 0.00 00. 0.00 00.00 00.00 0.00 × 0 O +ч Ч

VELOCITY (M/S)

AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE

DENSITY (G/CM3)

DEPTH (M) .00 10 00

0

.97503 ^^^^

000.

# STARTING LENGTH=

.029

ONDITIONS. DCCL TIME DILUTION	1.000 .00 1.00 .986 .01 1.94 .301 .04 6.37 .176 .09 10.91 .123 .17 15.59 .094 .27 20.38	.076 .40 25.23 .064 .56 29.98 .056 .79 34.31
NITIAL C DRHC	1.000 .973 .274 .132 .063	RONMENT 017 047 074 FNT
INE ARE I DUCL	1.000 1.000 .316 .195 .145	FIED ENVI .093 .045 ENVIRONM
FIRST LJ WIDTH	.01 .05 .05 .08 .13	- STRATIF .17 .21 .28 RATFIFID
IN SEC. TH2	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00	4 НЕІСНТ 90.00 90.00 90.00
ERS-TIME TH1	00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06	JILIBRIUN 90.00 90.00 90.00
IN METI Z	.03 .03 .11 .15 .15	СНЕВ ЕQU .23 .27 .32 СНЕВ МАУ
IGTHS ARE Y	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	НАVE REA 0.00 0.00 0.00 HAVF RFA
ALL LEN X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES 0.00 0.00 0.00

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.79 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 22.68

TIME 0.00 .013 1.000 .972 .297 DCCL .175 .096 .079 .079 .079 .079 .079 .079 .079 .033 .033 .033 .033 .029 .029 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0022-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #16 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0124-84 2.00-M 1.000 .902 -.204 -.950 -1.051 -1.153-1.256 -1.363 -1.476 -1.601 DRHO -.543 -.647 -.748 -.849 -.060 -.325 -.437 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= #16 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0124-84 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000148 1 .00221 90. 2. 1.000 1.000 .305 DUCL PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO=522.04, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 452488.69, 0.00 .01 .02 WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) .000 I 000. HEIGHT IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 90.06 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver5.dat SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver5.dat HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME X Y Z TH1 1000. 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 DENSITY (G/CM3) 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 . 0.0 .97458 1.11429 ч 0.0 010.00 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 90. 1.0000 00.00 0.97458 1.11429 0.00 DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 N 0 PLUMES 00. 0.00 10.0 × 1 0 Ч 0+

DILUTION

1.00 1.93 6.30

10.68 15.06 19.44 23.81 28.18

32.53 36.85 41.14

49.54 53.60 45.38

57.51 61.21 64.58 67.34

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

1 מג אהיידים אירידים אירידים אירידים 1

- העזמעמע

с с

o

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver5.dat

DILUTION TIME 0.00 0.01 .013 1.000 .973 .297 DCCL .175 .096 .079 .079 .079 .079 .058 .079 .032 .033 .032 .032 .032 .026 .027 .026 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0022-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M STARTING LENGTH= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: stratver5.dat CASE I.D. #17 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0202-84 1.000 .907 -.891 -.985 -1.080 DRHO -.046 -.508 -.606 -.702 -.797 -.185 -.407 -1.370-1.471-1.577-.301 -1.271 -1.175STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. #17 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0202-84
0 1 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0
0.0000193 1 .00221 90. 2. DUCL 1.000 .305  $\begin{array}{c} .180\\ .128\\ .099\\ .0099\\ .0099\\ .0099\\ .0091\\ .0059\\ .0036\\ .0032\\ .0036\\ .0038\\ .0018\\ .0118\\ .0118\\ .0128$ PROGRAM UDKHDEN FROUDE NO=649.35, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 452488.69, 0.00 .01 .02 WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) I .000 .000 HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 90.06 90.06 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 90.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.06 90.00 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH2 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE 1000. 90.09 90.09 90.09 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 DENSITY (G/CM3) 90.00 TH1 0 1.11862 .97381 0.0 010.00 N 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 0.97381 1.11862 0.00 ¥ DEPTH (M) .00 10.00 PLUMES 10.0 00. 00.00 00.00 × ----0 0+

 $1.00 \\ 1.93 \\ 6.30 \\ 1.00 \\$ 

10.68 15.06 19.44 23.82 28.19

32.55 36.89 41.22

45.51 49.77 53.96

58.07

62.08 65.93 69.59 72.94 75.77

-1.693

.008

90.06

90.00

00.00

HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES

	3-84	N SCHARGE PROBLEM WITH RADIENTS. AUG 1985	data, Run 0203-84	DIAMETER= .0022-M PTH= 2.00-M	STARTING LENGTH= .013	RE INITIAL CONDITIONS. JCL DRHO DCCL TIME DILUTION	000         1.000         1.000         1.000         1.00	SIVT RONMENT	180      078       .175       .07       10.68         128      229       .124       .14       15.06         099      357       .096       .23       19.44         080      476       .078       .35       23.81	067590 .066 .48 28.16 057701 .057 .65 32.49 050810 .051 .84 36.77	043919 .046 1.06 41.00 037 -1.029 .041 1.31 45.12 031 -1.140 .038 1.61 49.11	)25 -1.254 .035 1.97 52.89 )18 -1.374 .033 2.44 56.33 )08 -1.508 .032 3.22 59.12	
	data, Run 02( 2.	PROGRAM UDKHDE PLE BUOYANT DI AND VERTICAL (	ratified Flow	000 G/CM3 ** 00.00-M ** DE	TY (M/S) 00 10 13= 452488.69,	FIRST LINE A WIDTH D	0.00 1. .01 1. .02 .	- STRATIFIED	. 03 . 05 . 08 . 08	. 00 01. 120 	.13 .15 .17	. 24	
at	ied Flow	I TO MULTII JRRENTS 2	.dat ong's Stı	SE TY=1.000 ACING=100 PROFILE	VELOCIT .00 .00 .00 .00	IN SEC. TH2	90.00 90.00 90.00	I HEIGHT	90.06 90.00 90.00	00.06 00.06	00.09 90.00 90.00	90.00 90.00	
atver5.d	Stratif. 221 90. 1000. 0.	ALUTION 1 MBIENT CU	tratver5. ion of Wc	HARGE CAS /S DENSI   ** SP? [CATION F	(G/CM3) )7 31 r SPACING	IRS-TIME TH1	90.06 90.06	JILIBRIUM	00.06 00.06 00.06	00.06 00.06	00.09 00.09	00.06 00.06	
LLE: str	E Wong's 1 .00 00. 000 0.0	A V	FILE: si Simulat:	RT DISCI 00 CU-M RTS= STRATIF:	ENSITY .991( 1.0398 7, POR	IN METH Z	.00 01 03	CHED EQU	.05 .07 .10	.14	.19	.22 .24 .26	
L DATA FI	lation of 0 0 0 0 . 5 2 1.00 0 0.99107 1.03981		SAL DATA .D. #18	SINGLE PO RGE= 0.00 BER OF PO AMBIENT	(M) D .00 .00 .00 NO=414.9	NGTHS ARE Y	00.00	HAVE REA	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.000	0.00	0.00	
UNIVERSA	#18 Simu 0 1 0 0 0.000067 0 0 10.0		UNIVER CASE I	DISCHAI	DEPTH 10. FROUDE	ALL LEI X	0.00	PLUMES	00.000	0.000	0.00	0.00 0.00	
	- -	4		0	0+								

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.96 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION=

8.85

Ч
### **APPENDIX 1**

# LISTING OF UDKHDEN COMPUTER OUTPUTS

#### C. HORIZONTAL JETS IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

1 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT #1 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0519-83
0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0.0000277 1 .01480 0. 2.
0. 90. 1000.
2 1.0000 0.
0 0.00 0.99032 0.0
10.0 1.07812 0.

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

1

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT CASE I.D. #1 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0519-83 SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0148-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .00 .99032 .000 10.00 1.07812 .000 FROUDE NO= 4.76, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 67567.57,

0

0+

.082

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION 12.96 16.08 1.00 2.04 7.03 .00 .52 1.77  $3.84 \\ 6.61$ TIME 1.000 .938 .272 .147 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. WIDTH DUCL DRHO DCCT. 1.000 .924 .164 -.075 -.104 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .452 .257 .01 .21 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z Z TH1 TH2 .00 19.13 52.81 90.00 54.73 90.00 -40.07 90.00 90.00 00 01 08 .18 .00 .08 .18 .24 0.00 0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.86 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 10.68

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT

Ч

#2 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0916-83
0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0.0000272 1 .01060 0. 2.
0 90. 10000.
2 1.0000 0.
0 00.00 1.00758 0.0
10.00 1.06758 0.0

PROGRAM UDKHDEN «ΟΓΙΤΗΤΙΟΝ ΤΟ ΜΠΤΗΤΟΙΦ ΒΙΤΟΥΝΗΠ ΠΙΚΟΠΑΡΟΕ ΒΟΛΒΙΈΜ ΜΙΤΗΠ UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHORI.DAT CASE I.D. #2 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0916–83

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE

.0106-M 2.00-M DISCHARGEE 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE

DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .00 1.00048 .000 10.00 1.06758 .000

0

10.00 1.06758 .000 0 FROUDE NO= 8.11, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 94339.62, +

STARTING LENGTH= .061

DILUTION

TIME

FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL DRHO DUCL WIDTH ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. TH2 TH1 N  $\times$ 

00.	00.	00.	00.00	.00	.01	1.000	1.000	1.000	.00	1.00
0.00	.06	00.00	00.06 (	6.93	.03	1.000	.990	.992	.20	1.94
0.00	.14	.03	3 90.00 S	30.48	60.	.346	.281	.299	.75	6.44
0.00	.20	60.	00.06 (	51.41	.14	.266	.115	.167	1.67	11.55
0.00	.25	.16	5 90 <b>.</b> 00	59.59	.19	.217	.022	.110	2.81	17.43
PLUMES	HAVE REA	ACHED E	QUILIBRIUM	HEIGHT -	- STRATIF	IED ENVIR	ONMENT			

53 94

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.82 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 19.32

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT

Ч

#3 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0920-83
0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0.0000200 1 .01060 0. 2.
0 0.0 0 90. 1000.
2 1.0000 0 0.
1 10.0 1.08349 0.0
1 10.0 1.08349 0.0

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT CASE I.D. #3 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0920-83

.0106-M 2.00-M DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= VELOCITY (M/S) 000. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DENSITY (G/CM3) .99579 1 08210 <del>, - 1</del> \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= DEPTH (M) . 00. 00

DILUTION	1.00 1.97 6.67 12.39		18.82 23.63										DILUTION	1.00 1.95 6.49 11.80 18.12 25.13
TIME	.00 .27 .96 2.02		3.43 5.90									.061	TIME	.00 .16 .59 2.09 3.05
NDITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .976 .288 .155		.102						IM WITH NG 1985	-83	М-90	=НТӘ	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .989 .297 .163 .106
NITIAL CO DRHO	1.000 .972 .252 .069	RONMENT	033 081	TNE	16.43				KGE PROBLE INTS. AU	Run 1003-	TER= .01 2.00-M	RTING LEN	TTIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .988 .285 .132 .054
INE ARE II DUCL	1.000 1.000 .400 .315	TED ENVIR	.216 .081	ENVIRONME	"LUTION=		1003-83		KHDEN T DISCHAF AL GRADIE	ow data,	** DIAME * DEPTH=	.62, Sta	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .360 .252 .206
FIRST L. WIDTH	.01 .03 .09 .13	- STRATIE	.20	RATIFIED	<b>FACE, DI</b>		lata, Run	2.	ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	tified Fl	00 G/CM3 0.00-M * Y (M/S)	0 0 A= 94339	FIRST LI WIDTH	.03 .03 .13 .13 .23
IN SEC. TH2	.00 12.13 43.86 60.58	M HEIGHT	61.90 -18.70	IGHT – ST	BELOW SUF	АТ	ed Flow d		P TO MULTIP URRENTS A	.DAT ng's Stra	SE ITY=1.000 ACING=100 PELOCIT VELOCIT	.00 .00 3/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	.00 8.16 34.58 55.96 65.28 68.35
ERS-TIME TH1	90.00 90.00 90.00	UILIBRIU	00.0e	XIMUM HE	METERS	ATHOR1.D	Stratifi	060 0. 1000. 0.	OLUTION MBIENT CI	TRATHOR1 on of Woi	HARGE CA /S DENS: 1 ** SPJ ICATION 1 (G/CM3)	77 73 F SPACINO	ERS-TIME TH1	00.06 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09
IN MET	.00 .01 40.11	CHED EQ	.19	CHED MA	1.84	LE: STR	Wong' s	1 .01 00.0 0.0	N A	FILE: S imulati	RT DISC 00 CU-M RTS= STRATIF ENSITY	1.011 1.081 7, POR	IN MET) Z	00.00 0303 0303 0303 0303 0303 03003 03003 03003 03000 03000 03000 03000 03000 03000 030000 03000000
NGTHS ARE Y	.00 .06 .13 .18	HAVE REP	.22	HAVE REA	NG LEVEL=	L DATA FI	ation of 0 0 0	2 1.00 1.01177 1.08173		AL DATA D. #4 S	NGLE PO (GE= 0.00 (ER OF PO AMBIENT (M) D	00 00 NO= 7.4	GTHS ARE Y	.00 .06 .24 .27
ALL LE X	00.00 0.00 0.00	PLUMES	0.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAI	#4 Simul; 0 1 0 0 (	).0000341 0.0 00.00 10.0		UNIVERS CASE I.	5 DISCHAF ** NUME DEPTH	10. FROUDE	ALL LEN X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
					۲	-1		0			0	0 +		

+

DILUTION 32.16 37.04 1.00 1.93 6.33 10.91 15.82 20.80 25.32 4.326.66 TIME .00 .14 .54 2.39 3.82 6.01 .061 1.000 .998 .304 .176 .060 .093 DCCL FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 .0106-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #5 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0919-83 2.00-M -.034 -.052 DRHO 1.000 .997 .290 .126 .018 -.060 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00 25.78 DILUTION= 16.85 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .314 .207 .161 .137 DILUTION= DUCL .081 #5 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data,Run 0919-83
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 94339.62, .32 HIDIM .29 VELOCITY (M/S) 1.75 METERS BELOW SURFACE, 1.89 METERS BELOW SURFACE, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 3 .000 .000 IN SEC. 64.37 -35.15 3.01 14.77 31.61 40.90 33.63 -20.89 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 00. TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHORL.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR1.DAT SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHORL.DAT 90.00 90.00 DENSITY (G/CM3) .99239 1.09080 .01060 0. ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME 1000. 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.06 THT . 0 0.0 .32 0.00 0.01 0.05 .15 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99239 ~ 7.0 1.090~ N ---FROUDE NO= 12.35, TRAPPING LEVEL= TRAPPING LEVEL= .35 00 115 22 29 29 .36 ¥ DEPTH (M) 00. 10.00 0.0000386 0.00 10.0 0.00 × Ч Ē 0 0+ Ч

דג כישוים- 1011 אוום בידה און הישויעים כדייםריקיטיע הישויט 1011 אוום די מגוע שו

					DILUTION	1.00 2.46 8.63 16.94		25.32							
				.053	TIME	.00 .44 2.48		4.53							
	M WITH G 1985	83B	М-90	GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .780 .222 .113		.076						M WITH G 1985	
	GE PROBLE NTS. AU	Run 1011–	TER= .01 2.00-M	RTING LEN	ITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .770 .167 .015	ONMENT	068	ΤN	18.35				GE PROBLEI NTS. AU	
	KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIE	ow data,	** DIAME * DEPTH=	.62, STA	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .641 .471	IED ENVIR	.154	ENVI RONME	LUTION=		0922-83		KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIEI	
	ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	tified Fl	00 G/CM3 0.00-M * Y (M/S) 0	0 A= 94339	FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .03 .08 .13	- STRATIF	.27	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		ata, Run	2.	ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	
	P TO MULTIP URRENTS A	.DAT ng's Stra	SE ITY=1.000 ACING=100 PROFILE VELOCIT .00	.00 G/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	.00 38.45 69.86 76.27	М НЕІСНТ	60.64	IGHT – ST	BELOW SUR	АТ	ed Flow d		P TO MULTIP URRENTS A	
1060 0. 1000. 0.	SOLUTION MBIENT C	STRATHOR1 Lon of Wo	CHARGE CA 1/S DENS 1 ** SP TICATION (G/CM3)	781 RT SPACIN	PERS-TIME TH1	90.00 90.00 90.00	UILIBRIU	90.00	XIMUM HE	METERS	ATHOR1.D	Stratifi	1511 0. 1000. 0.	MBIENT CI	1 a\um k am.
	57 44	LE: 9 nulati	DISC CU-M CU-M SS= RATIF ISITY S1996	1.097 POF	N MET Z	.00 .02 .09	ED EC	.25	ED MA	1.82	STR	ng's	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54 CV	0 11 1
90 1.000( 0.99675 1.09781		AL DATA FJ D. #6 Sin	INGLE PORJ GE= 0.0000 ER OF PORJ AMBIENT ST (M) DEN 20	00 NO= 3.10,	GTHS ARE I Y	.00 .05 .12	HAVE REACE	.15	HAVE REACE	G LEVEL=	DATA FILE	tion of Wc	1 90. 1.0000 0.99766 0.99766		דם אידאר די
0.0000115 0.0 00.00 10.0	·	UNIVERS CASE I	DISCHAR ** NUMB DEPTH	10. FROUDE	ALL LEN X	000.00	PLUMES	00.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAL	#7 Simula	0.0000222 0.0000222 0.000222		LINITY JED C
U 1	-		0	0+							4		0 -	-	

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHORL.DAT CASE I.D. #7 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0922-83

.0051-M 2.00-M DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00 VELOCITY (M/S) AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI .00 .99766 .0 DEPTH (M)

.000 00.

10.00 .00000 .0000 .000 .000 FROUDE NO= 10.77, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72,

STARTING LENGTH=

.030

ENGTHS	ARE	IN MET.	ERS-TIME TH1	IN SEC.	FIRST LIN WIDTH	TE ARE IN DICT.	ITTIAL CON	DITIONS.	T TMF.	NUTTULION
н		٦	TUT	7117	UTATM	TOCE	OENI			
•	00	00.	90.06	00.	.01	1.000	1.000	1.000	00.	1.00
•	03	00.00	90.06	3.96	.01	1.000	.997	.997	.03	1.93
•	07	.01	90.00	19.20	.04	.321	.298	.303	.10	6.35
•	10	.03	90.00	39.92	.07	.226	.155	.174	.25	11.07
•	13	.06	90.00	53.48	60.	.196	.081	.117	.43	16.40
•	15	60.	90.00	60.11	.11	.172	.031	.086	.64	22.34
<b>WE</b>	REAC	HED EQ	UILIBRIUM	HEIGHT -	- STRATIFI	ED ENVIR	ONMENT			
•	17	.13	90.00	61.70	.14	.141	006	.067	.88	28.64
•	19	.16	90.00	54.93	.19	.096	036	.055	1.19	34.78
•	23	.18	- 00.06	-24.37	.27	.054	046	.048	1.78	39.75

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

1.88 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 27.48 TRAPPING LEVEL=

Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR2.DAT

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBLENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR2.DAT CASE I.D. #8 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0509-83 #8 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0509-83 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000074 1 .00511 0. 2. PROGRAM UDKHDEN 1000. 0 0.0 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99354 10.0 1.02984 90. Ч

AUG 1985

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE

.0051-M 2.00-M DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE

DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .99354 .000 1.02984 .000 DEPTH (M)

0

0 1

10.00 1.02984 .000 EROUDE NO= 57.01, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72, 00.

C C C C

כהאסתידאור דמאורישנש

0+

DILUTION	1.00 1.93 6.30 10.68 15.07 15.07 23.84		28.23 32.62 37.00										DILUTION	1.00 1.98 6.74 12.75 20.06
TIME	.00 .08 .32 .32 .53 .53 .558 .58		5.37 7.15 9.20									.029	TIME	.00 .05 .36 .36
DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 .305 .128 .099		.068 .059 .052						M WITH G 1985	83	51 <i>-</i> M	GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .972 .285 .151
ITTAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 .304 .173 .173 .059	ONMENT	026 051 051	, TN	25.28				GE PROBLE NTS. AU	Run 0511–	TER= .00 2.00-M	RTING LEN	ITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .971 .272 .119
NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .305 .128 .099	IED ENVIR	.069 .059 .052	ENVIRONME	LUTION=		0511-83		KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIE	ow data,	** DIANE * DEPTH= .72,	STA	VE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .418 .355
FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .01 .05 .05 .11 .14	- STRATIF	.20 .24	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		ata, Run		ROGRAM UD: LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	tified Fl	00 G/CM3 0.00-M ★. Y (M/S) 0 195694		FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .01 .04 .06
IN SEC. TH2	.00 .14 .73 .56 .33 6.51	M HEIGHT	6.12 3.38 -1.39	IGHT – STI	BELOW SUR	ЪТ	ed Flow di		PI CO MULTIPI JRRENTS AI	.DAT 1g's Strat	SE LTY=1.0000 ACING=1000 ACING=1000 PROFILE VELOCIT VELOCIT 0000 .0000		IN SEC. TH2	.00 13.37 47.00 65.02
ERS-TIME TH1	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00	UILIBRIU	90.06 00.06	XIMUM HE.	METERS I	ATHOR2.D1	Stratifi	511 0. 1000. 0.	OLUTION J	TRATHOR2. on of Wor	HARGE CAS /S DENSJ 1 ** SPZ ICATION F (G/CM3) 22 20 70 70 70 70 70 70		3RS-TIME TH1	90.00 90.00 90.00
IN MET Z	00.00	CHED EQ	.02	CHED MA	1.99	LE: STR	Vong' s	1.00 0.0 0.0	A V	TLE: S unlati	RT DISC 00 CU-M RTS= STRATIF STRATIF .995 .995 .000 .000		IN MET Z	0.00 .02 .05
GTHS ARE Y	.00 .111 .195 .239 .239	HAVE REA(	.27 .31 .36	HAVE REAC	G LEVEL=	DATA FII	tion of V	0 0 1.000 0.99522 0.99522		AL DATA F D. #9 Si	INGLE POF 3E= 0.000 3R OF POF AMBIENT 9 (M) DE 00 00 5.75		GTHS ARE Y	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
ALL LEN X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	· PLUMES	0.00 0.00 0.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAL	#9 Simula	0 1 0 0 0 .0000120 0. 00.00		UNIVERS. CASE I.1	S DISCHARR ** NUME DEPTH 10.0		ALL LEN X	
					,	-1		0	-1		0 0	+		

PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

									· .	
36.27 40.54									DILUTIO	1.00 1.00 6.30 6.30 10.68 115.06 115.06 23.82 23.82 23.82 23.82 23.23 245.71 23.23 258 258 21.93 23.23 23.23 258 21.93 23.23.23 23.2
1.17 1.69								.013	TIME	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
.053					IM WITH JG 1985	1-83	122-M	IGTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.009 0.099 0.099 0.099 0.030 0.0350 0.0350 0.0350 0.0350 0.0350 0.0350 0.0350000000000
031 047	TNE	28.55			KGE PROBLE INTS. AU	Run 1010	TER= .00 2.00-M	RTING LEN	IITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 .1305 .128 .081 .081 .068 .059 .034
.157	ENVIRONM	[LUTION=		1010-83	KHDEN IT DISCHAF ZAL GRADIF	'low data,	** DIAME :* DEPTH=	1.69, STP	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 1.305 1.128 1.128 1.009 1.009 1.005 1.005 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.003 1.000
.15	<b>FRATIFIED</b>	REACE, DI		data, Rur 2.	PROGRAM UI PLE BUOYAN AND VERTIC	ratified F	000 G/CM3 00.00-M * FY (M/S)	)0 LA= 452488	FIRST LI WIDTH	0.00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
70.42 -12.04	IGHT - S	BELOW SUI	)AT	ied Flow	I TO MULTIE URRENTS /	.DAT Iong's Str	SE ITTY=1.000 ACING=100 PROFILE VELOCIT	.00 G/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
90.00 90.00	XIMUM HE	METERS	ATHOR4. I	Stratif 221 0. 1000. 0.	OLUTION MBIENT C	TRATHOR4 ion of W	HARGE CA /S DENS 1 ** SP ICATION (G/CM3) 78	71 T SPACIN	ERS-TIME TH1	00.00 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 00.000 000000
.17 .19	ACHED MA	= 1.86	ILE: STR	f Wong's 1 .00 90. 8 0.0 8 0.0	A S	FILE: S Simulat	DRT DISC 000 CU-M DRTS= STRATIF DENSITY 1.002	1:081 41, POR	E IN MET	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.12	HAVE RE	NG LEVEL	L DATA F	lation 0 0 0 0 2 1.0 1.0027 1.08171		SAL DATA D. #10	SINGLE P KGE= 0.00 BER OF P( AMBIENT (M) 1 00	00 NO=335.4	IGTHS ARI Y	000 000 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAI	#10 Simul 0 1 0 0 ( 0.0000258 0. 2 10.0	_	UNIVERS CASE I	2 DISCHAF ** NUME ** NUME DEPTH	10. FROUDE	ALL LEN X	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
		<u>ب</u>	1	<del>ر</del>	7		0	0+		

98.28 107.05 115.83 124.60 133.38		141.06 145.45 149.83 154.22 158.61 162.99 167.38 171.76 176.14 180.52 184.90 189.28 193.66									DILUTION
1.50 1.78 2.09 2.76 2.76										.013	TIME
.020 .018 .017 .015		.014 .013 .013 .012 .012 .012 .012 .012 .010 .010					EM WITH UG 1985	-83	022-M	NGTH≕	NDITIONS. DCCL
.014 .010 .007 .004 .001	RONMENT	001 003 003 005 005 000 000 010	ENT	137.08			RGE PROBL	, Run 1005	ETER= .0 2.00-M	ARTING LEI	NITIAL COU
.020 .018 .017 .017 .016	LTED ENVI	.014 .013 .013 .013 .013 .012 .012 .012 .012 .012 .012 .010	ENVIRONM	=NOLTULI		1005-83	DKHDEN NT DISCHA CAL GRADI	Flow data	** DIAM ** DEPTH= 8.69.	ST.	INE ARE I DUCL
.31 .34 .36 .36 .36 .36 .36 .42	r - strati	44 44 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	TRATIFIED	JRFACE, D		r data, Run 2.	PROGRAM U PLE BUOYA AND VERTI	ratified	000 G/CM3 00.00-M TY (M/S) 00 00		FIRST L WIDTH
4.05 4.58 5.03 5.38 5.56	UM HEIGH	000044460001 00004440000 0000000000 0000000000	EIGHT - S	BELOW SU	DAT	fied Flow	TO MULTI CURRENTS	4.DAT Wong's St	ASE SITY=1.00 PACING=10 PROFILE VELOCI VELOCI 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	-	E IN SEC. TH2
0.06 00.06 00.06 00.06	UILIBRI	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	XIMUM H	METERS	ATHOR4.	Strati 221 0 1000.	OLUTION	TRATHOR	HARGE C /S DEN 1 ** S ICATION (G/CM3) (G/CM3) 06	         	ERS-TIM TH1
.01 .02 .02 .02	CHED EQ	0	CHED MA	1.98	LE: STR	Wong's 1 .00 0. 0.0 0.0	A S	FILE: S' Simulat:	RT DISCI 20 CU-M RTS= STRATIF 3NSITY 1.013( 7. POR'		IN METI Z
.40 .44 .51 .54	HAVE REA	.57 .59 .61 .63 .66 .66 .66 .71 .71 .71 .73	HAVE REA	NG LEVEL=	L DATA FI	lation of 0 0 0 2 1.01306 0 1.01306		SAL DATA I .D. #11	SINGLE POI RGE= 0.000 BER OF POI AMBIENT 2 (M) DI (M) DI .00 .00	) 	NGTHS ARE Y
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	TRAPPI	L UNIVERSA	#11 Simu 0 1 0 0 0.000258 0 0 0 00.0	_	UNIVER CASE I	DISCHA ** NUM DEPTH DEPTH 10	 	ALL LEI X
					•	۳	•		0 0	/ <del>+</del>	

1.93 6.30 10.68 15.06	19.44 23.82 28.20 32.59 36.98	41.37 45.78 54.61 63.49 72.40	77.98 82.43 86.87 91.29 95.70 100.09
0.00 .01 .02	.06 .09 .12 .12 .21	.27 .33 .62 .80	228 11111 11111 11111 11111 11111 11111 1111
1.000 .305 .180 .128	.099 .081 .058 .059	.047 .042 .035 .030 .027	.025 .023 .021 .021 .020
1.000 .305 .180 .128	.098 .080 .066 .056	.041 .034 .022 .011	NIMENT 005 013 018 018
1.000 .305 .180 .128	.099 .081 .058 .059	.047 .043 .036 .031 .028	CED ENVIR 026 024 023 023 023
.01 .02 .03	.06 .07 .10 .12	.13 .14 .20	- STRATIFJ - 24 - 25 - 25 - 27 - 28 - 30 - 31
.04 .21 .57 1.11	1.83 2.73 3.79 5.01 6.35	7.79 9.28 12.18 14.49 15.55	1 HEIGHT - 15.27 14.36 12.75 12.75 12.75 12.75 3.47 3.47
90.00 90.00 90.00	00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09	00.00 90.00 90.00 90.00	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00
0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	01 01 01 01 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	CHED EQU .04 .05 .05 .05 .05
.01 .03 .05	.12 .14 .15	.17 .19 .22 .26	HAVE REA .31 .33 .33 .35 .33 .37 .38 .38
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	PLUMES 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

DILUTION= 73.30 1.96 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. #12 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0927-83 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT .00221 0. 1000. . 0 0.0 90. Ч 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99562 1.04569 0100000 0 0.0000122 10.0 Ч

CASE I.D. #12 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0927-83

AUG 1985

.0022-M 2.00-M DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00 VELOCITY (M/S) .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DENSITY (G/CM3) .99562 DEPTH (M) 00.

1.04569 .000 2, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 452488.69,

FROUDE NO=287.92,

10.00

0

.013

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.30	10.68	15.06	19.44	23.82	28.20	32.58	36.96	41.33	45.71	54.47	63.23	72.00	80.76	89.53	98.30		107.07	111.45	115.84	120.22	124.60	128.99	133.37	137.75	142.13	146.51	150.89
TIME	00.	0.00	.02	.04	.08	.13	.19	.26	.35	.45	.57	.69	.98	1.32	1.71	2.15	2.64	3.18		3.77	4.09	4.41	4.75	5.10	5.47	5.85	6.24	6.64	7.06	7.49
DCCL	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	.099	.081	.068	.059	.052	.047	.042	.035	.030	.027	.024	.022	.020		.018	.017	.017	.016	.015	.015	.014	.014	.014	.013	.013
DRHO	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	.099	.081	.068	.058	.051	.045	.040	.032	.026	.020	.014	.000	.004	T.NIHWNO)	001	003	005	007	009	010	012	012	013	013	013
DUCL	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	.099	.081	.068	.059	.052	.047	.042	.035	.030	.027	.024	.022	.020	ITANA CAT.	.018	.017	.017	.016	.015	.015	.014	.014	.014	.013	.013
WIDTH	0.00	.01	.02	.03	.05	.06	.07	60.	.10	.12	.13	.14	.17	.20	.23	.25	.28	.31	- S'T'RA'L'	.34	. 35	.36	.38	.39	.40	.42	.43	.45	.46	.47
THZ	00.	.01	.03	.08	.15	.24	.36	.51	.68	.87	1.08	1.32	1.84	2.42	3.01	3.59	4.08	4.41	 M HEIGHT	4.50	4.43	4.27	4.02	3.67	3.22	2.67	2.03	1.31	.52	31
TH1	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	ULLIBRIU	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00
2	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	.01	.01	.01	.01	ACHED EQ	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02
Х	.00	.01	.03	.05	.07	.08	.10	.12	.14	.15	.17	.19	.22	.26	.30	.33	.37	.40	HAVE RE	.44	.45	.47	.49	.51	.52	.54	.56	.58	.60	.61
×	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	SAMOLA	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	00.0

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.99 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 105.55

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT

Ч

#13 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0505-83
0 1 0 0 0 0
0.0000199 1 .00511 0. 2.
0. 90. 1000.

2 1.0000 0. 00.00 0.99450 0.0 10.0 1.024790 0.0

Ч

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT CASE I.D. #13 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0505-83

.0051-M 2.00-M \*\* DIAMETER= \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 '

VELOCITY (M/S) .000 DENSITY (G/CM3) DEPTH (M) .00

0

FROUDE NO=183.56, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72, .000 .99450 1.02479 10.00

STARTING LENGTH=

.030

DILUTION

TIME

DCCL FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DRHO DUCL WIDTH ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y TH2

	04.H
1.000 1.000 .305 .128 .0099 .058 .058	150.
1.000 1.000 .305 .179 .179 .024 .037 .037	
1.000 1.000 .305 .180 .128 .099 .068 .068	· ₽0 •
	<u>,</u>
	T.00
00.00 00.000 00.000000	~~~~~~
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	+ > •
.00 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03	> •
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	>>

1.00 1.93 6.30 6.30 6.30 15.06 19.44 19.44 23.82 28.20 28.20 32.58 36.96 41.34

PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

5.24 45.72	6.28 50.10	7.43 54.48	8.67 58.85
.042	.038	.035	.033
- 00.7	019	028	032
.042	.038	.035	.033
.33	.36	.40	.43
1.85	1.63	1.17	.47
90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
.01	.01	.01	.01
.44	.48	.52	.56
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

43.38 DILUTION= 1.99 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT

Ч

#14 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0510-83

0. .00511 Ч 0100000 0.0000292

2 1000. . 0 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99266 10.0 1.032842 90. 0

0.0 0.0

Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT CASE I.D. #14 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0510-83

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH

PROGRAM UDKHDEN

AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS.

AUG 1985

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE

c

0 +

.000	.000
.99266	1.03284
00.	10.00

FROUDE NO=241.10, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 195694.72,

0+

STARTING LENGTH=

.030

	DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.30	10.68	15.06	19.44	23.82	28.20	32.58	36.96	41.33	45.71		52.28	56.66	61.04	65.42	69.80
	TIME	00.	.02	.08	.21	.40	.66	.98	1.37	1.82	2.34	2.92	3.57		4.66	5.48	6.35	7.29	8.30
0	DITIONS.	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	660.	.081	.068	.059	.052	.047	.042		.037	.034	.032	.029	.028
	ITTIAL CON DRHO	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.126	.096	.075	.059	.045	.033	.020	.008	ONMENT	008	017	024	028	028
	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	.099	.081	.068	.059	.052	.047	.042	IED ENVIR	.037	.034	.032	.029	.028
	FIRST LI WIDTH	.01	.01	.05	.08	.11	.14	.17	.20	.24	.27	.30	.33	- STRATIF	.38	.41	.44	.48	.51
	IN SEC. TH2	00.	.01	.04	.11	.21	.34	.51	.69	.89	1.09	1.25	1.36	I HEIGHT	1.36	1.20	06.	.47	06
	3RS-TIME TH1	90.06	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	JILIBRIUN	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00
	IN MET	00.	00.0	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	CHED EQI	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01
	VGTHS ARE	00.	.03	.07	.11	.15	.19	.23	.27	.32	.36	.40	.44	HAVE REA(	.50	.54	.58	.62	.66
:	ALL LEI X	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PLUMES	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

2.00 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 48.99 TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT

Ч

#15 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0513-83
0 1 0 0 0 0

2. .00221 0. 1000. .0 0.0 ч 0.00 2 1.0000 00.00 0.99212 00.00 0.99212 0.0000113

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBLENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 PROGRAM UDKHDEN

CASE I.D. #15 Simulation of Wong's Stratified Flow data, Run 0513-83 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: STRATHOR3.DAT

SINGLE PORT DISCHARGE CASE DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0022-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 1 \*\* SPACING=1000.00-M \*\* DEPTH= 2.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) ΛΛΛΛΛΛΛ

0

Ч

LENGTH=	
STARTING	

.013

DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.30	10.69	15.07	19.47	23.90	28.38	32.93	37.55	42.26	47.04		55.49	60.28	64.97	69.50	73.91
TIME	00.	00.00	.02	.04	.08	.14	.20	.28	.37	.47	.57	.69		.92	1.07	1.24	1.44	1.66
DITIONS. DCCL	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.128	.099	.081	.068	.058	.051	.046	.041		.035	.032	.030	.028	.026
ITTIAL CON DRHO	1.000	1.000	.305	.180	.126	.096	.075	.058	.045	.032	.021	.010	ONMENT	006	015	021	026	026
NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000	1.000	.306	.181	.129	.100	.083	.072	.064	.058	.054	.049	IED ENVIR	.042	.038	.033	.029	.026
FIRST LI WIDTH	0.00	.01	.02	.03	.05	.06	.07	60.	.10	.11	.12	.13	- STRATIF	.16	.17	.19	.21	.23
IN SEC. TH2	00.	.24	1.22	3.23	6.25	10.15	14.71	19.57	24.31	28.53	31.88	34.12	1 HEIGHT	34.53	31.93	26.05	15.26	83
ERS-TIME TH1	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.06	JILIBRIUN	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.00
IN METH Z	00.	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	.01	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	CHED EQU	.06	.07	.08	60.	60.
IGTHS ARE Y	.00	.01	.03	.05	.07	.08	.10	.12	.13	.15	.16	.18	HAVE REAC	.21	.22	.24	.25	.27
ALL LEN X	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.94 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 52.10

# **APPENDIX 1**

### LISTING OF UDKHDEN COMPUTER OUTPUTS

D. DIFFUSER DISCHARGES IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

1.161.932.753.744.91 6.29 8.48 TIME .43 .047 DCCL 1.000. .230 .143 .098 .069 .061 .055 .052 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS AUG 1985 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH CASE I.D. #1 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-7-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= -.008 1.000. .210 .107 .050 .015 -.048 DRHO PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .64 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 22.34 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 .810 .794 .695 .557 DUCL .497 .391 .167 #1 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-7-B 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 5.35, #2 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-8-A .01 .06 .08 .09 .15 .20 HTUIW VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 2.77, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 ч. .000 .-i ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 73.61 79.68 81.97 82.91 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 83.01 81.92 71.89 .00 47.43 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) ਂ 90.00 90.00 . 0 90.09 90.09 90.09 00.0e THI 0 0.051 .00953 .00953 1.01681 1.02120 0.0 .09 .24 .31 .39 .546 . 00.00 N 10 90. 1.0000 10 00.00 1.01681 TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.02120 PLUMES MERGING .00 .10 .13 0100000 DEPTH (M) .00 1.00 2 0.0000878 . 0 0.0001020 00.00 0.00 00.00 00.00 0.00 0.00 00. 1.00 × Ч 0 0 + Ч Ч

DILUTION

1.002.84

8.36 13.02 17.15 20.54 23.33 25.69 27.30

0.305

90. 1 0000

· • ·

1.00 1.02030 0.0

COMPUTATIONS CEASE FOR CASE I.D. #2 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data,Run 5-8-A CORRECT THE FOLLOWING AND REENTER DATA. EFFLUENT DENSITY MUST BE .LE. AMBIENT DENSITY AT THE DISCHARGE DEPTH

GOING TO NEXT DATA SET IF THERE IS ONE.

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT

Ч

#3 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-8-B
0 1 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 10 10 00953 0. 1.
2 1.0000 0.
2 1.0000 0.
1.00 1.013297 0.0
1

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

.048 DCCL 1.000.765 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS CASE I.D. #3 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-8-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .15-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= DRHO 1.000 DUCL 15.95, HTDIW VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 000. IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01330 THT 1.02120 Ŋ 3.06, FROUDE NO= DI SCHARGE= ⊁ DEPTH (M) .00 1.00 0.00 00. 00.00 ×

0

0+

DILUTION 32.26 32.94 1.00 2.51 8.62 16.94 26.41 .00 .38 1.11 2.03 TIME 3.20 **4.82** 6.89 .073 .056 .113 1.000 .759 .191 .058 -.046 -.042 -.007 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .405 .673 .189 .03 .03 .10 .15 .24 I PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 72.93 -60.63 .00 39.92 70.85 78.26 79.81 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 .00 02 15 .23 .29 PLUMES MERGING 00.05 .12 .13 00.00 0.00 0.00

TRAPPING LEVEL= .78 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION=

ŗ

25.16

DILUTION 1.00 2.01 6.83 12.99 20.30 28.07 33.01 TIME .00 .23 .76 1.51 2.38 3.47 5.33 .054 1.000 .956 .282 .148 .069 .052 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMRTENT CHRRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #4 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-8-C DISCHARGE= .0002 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M STARTING LENGTH= 1.00-M .954 .263 .107 .032 -.015 DRHO 1.000 -.048 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 25.30 PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .20-M \*\* DEPTH= .76 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 1.000 .246 DUCL .459 .391 .329 .086 #4 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-8-C 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 21.30, #5 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-A .01 .03 .03 .11 .20 WIDTH .37 VELOCITY (M/S) 5.14, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= . Н .000 .000 ... PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT -ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 16.87 52.26 67.92 73.40 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 00. 73.96 53.03 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) . . 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 . 0 90.00 TH1 0 0 .00953 0.102. .00318 0.203 1.01622 1.02380 0.0 0.0 .00 .05 .12 .12 .26 .33 N 10 10 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01622 90. 1.0000 00.00 1.02076 TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.02380 PLUMES MERGING 1.02420 .05 .12 .18 .20 .22 90. FROUDE NO= ≻ 0100000 .00 DEPTH (M) °. 2 0.0001730 0.0000560 0.00 0.00 00.00 0.00 。 8. 1.00 1.00 × 0 Ч 0+ Ч Ч

אישרושארוד אוגטרוסס

AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS.

019 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT CASE I.D. #5 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-A DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE STARTING LENGTH= 32.08, VELOCITY (M/S) DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY ( .00 1.02076 .000 1.00 1.02420 .000 FROUDE NO= 25.67, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= Ζ

0

0+

DILUTION	1.00 1.93	10.51 10.51	19.05 23.46		27.77	31.36	34.72	37.95	41.06	46.88		51.39	53.66	55.62	57.26	58.60	59.66	60.49
TIME	00.00	. 26			1.49	1.88	2.27	2.67	3.07	3.91		4.72	5.24	5.83	6.54	7.41	8.48	9.85
DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 308	.183	101.		.069	.061	.055	.051	.047	.040		.035	.032	.029	.027	.024	.024	.024
ITTIAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 308	129	.097		.060	.048	.038	.030	.022	.008	ONMENT	003	008	012	016	019	022	024
NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000	.137	.113		.094	.093	.092	.091	.089	.082	IED ENVIR	.073	.065	.056	.048	.038	.030	.024
FIRST LI WIDTH	0.00	.05	08		.11	.12	.12	.13	.13	.15	- STRATIF	.17	.18	.20	.21	.27	.35	.44
IN SEC. TH2	. 00 . 70 . 70	9.32 9.32	26.56 35.38		42.86	48.65	52.97	56.15	58.45	61.04	м нетент	61.41	60.72	59.10	56.02	50.11	37.38	7.12
ERS-TIME TH1	00.06 00.06	00.06	00.06		90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	JILIBRIU	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.06	90.00	90.06
IN MET Z	0.00	00.0	05		.05	.07	60.	.11	.13	.17	CHED EQ	.21	.23	.26	.28	.30	.32	.33
GTHS ARE Y	.020	£0.	12	MERGING	.16	.18	.19	.21	.22	.25	HAVE REAC	.27	.28	.29	.31	.32	.34	.36
ALL LEN X	0.00	00.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	PLUMES	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

.80 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 50.14 TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT

Ч

.

#6 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-B Ч. 

. 0 .00318 0.051 10

0 0. 0 c 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01879

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

.19 TIME .019 .311 DCCL .999 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS ..000 CASE I.D. #6 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-B DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= 1.000 .999 .310 .181 DRHO DUCL 1.000 .317 16.04, 0.00 .01 .03 WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 14.01, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 2.37 2.37 11.71 27.70 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01879 1.02380 00.06 00.09 00.09 THI 0.00 N .00 .02 .04 CASE I.D. DEPTH (M) 1.00 00. 00. 0.00 0.00 0.00 ×

0

0+

DILUTION

1.00 1.93 6.20 10.47 14.57 17.85 20.97 24.00 26.91 29.65 32.19 34.48 37.44 39.09 40.56 41.80 42.72 132 108 091 079 069 069 053 046 .125 .095 .072 .054 .039 .039 .014 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .180 .179 .171 .171 .162 .133 .07 .08 .08 .09 .06 42.87 53.08 59.50 63.67 66.46 69.48 70.07 68.31 90.00 90.00 00.06 90.06 .03 .05 .07 .09 .11 .16 PLUMES MERGING .09 11 1111111 00.00

4.42	5.05	5.76	6.61	7.77	
.038	.036	.035	.034	.033	
007	013	020	026	032	
.110	.101	.088	.070	.045	
.12	.14	.16	.21	.32	
69.88	68.82	66.42	60.92	42.59	
90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	
.22	.24	.27	.29	.31	
.18	.19	.20	.21	.23	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

35.64 DILUTION= .80 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT

Ч

#7 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-C 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 . Н . 0 0.203 .00318 10 .06 0.0001190 . 0

0 0.0 。 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01883 1.02310 1.00

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBLENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

.018 CASE I.D. #7 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-9-C DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .20-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DEPTH (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) .00 1.01883 .000 1.001803 .000 STARTING LENGTH= 63.84, 
 DEPTH
 (M)
 DENSITY
 (G/CM3)
 VELOCITY
 (

 .00
 1.01883
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 .000
 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER1.DAT

0

0+

ALL LE X	NGTHS ARI Y	E IN MET. Z	ERS-TIME TH1	IN SEC. TH2	FIRST LI WIDTH	NE ARE IN DUCL	IITIAL CON DRHO	DITIONS. DCCL	TIME	DILUTION
00.	00.	00.	90.00	.00	0.00	1.000	1.000	1.000	00.	1.00
0.00	.02	0.00	90.00	.15	.01	1.000	1.000	1.000	.01	1.93
0.00	.04	00.00	90.00	.76	.03	.307	.307	.307	.05	6.27
0.00	.07	00.00	90.00	2.01	.05	.182	.182	.182	.12	10.59
0.00	60.	00.00	90.06	3.88	.07	.130	.129	.129	.23	14.88
0.00	.12	00.00	90.00	6.36	60.	.101	.100	.101	.38	19.13
00.00	.15	.01	90.00	9.36	.10	.084	.080	.082	.57	23.37
00.00	.17	.01	90.00	12.80	.12	.072	.066	.070	.79	27.59
00.00	.19	.02	90.00	16.53	.14	.063	.055	.061	1.04	31.82
00.0	.22	.03	90.00	20.36	.16	.057	.046	.053	1.33	36.05
0.00	.24	.04	90.06	24.10	.17	.052	.038	.048	1.64	40.30
0.00	.27	.05	90.06	27.57	.19	.049	.031	.043	1.97	44.59
PLUMES	MERGING									
0.00	.31	.07	90.06	33.11	.22	.044	.017	.037	2.71	52.56
0.00	.35	.10	90.06	36.13	.24	.040	.006	.033	3.52	58.82
PLUMES	HAVE REP	CHED EQ	JILIBRIUN	1 HEIGHT	- STRATIF	IED ENVIR	ONMENT			

58.82		63.63	66.13	68.44	70.56	72.47	74.17	75.69
3.52		4.28	4.75	5.25	5.78	6.37	7.02	7.74
.033		.030	.029	.028	.026	.025	.024	.022
.006	ONMENT	004	009	013	017	020	022	022
.040	ID ENVIR	.037	.035	.033	.030	.028	.025	.023
.24	- STRATIFIE	.26	.27	.28	.30	.32	.34	.36
36.13	HEIGHT -	36.36	35.28	33.08	29.46	23.99	16.27	6.29
90.00	TLIBRIUM	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
.10	HED EQU	.13	.14	.16	.17	.18	.19	.20
.35	HAVE REAC	.39	.41	.43	.45	.47	.50	.52
00.00	PLUMES	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

.88 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 61.78 TRAPPING LEVEL=

.018 1.000 1.000 1.306 1.129 .129 .082 .082 .060 .053 .047 031 029 027 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #8 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-12-A DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .30-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= .306 .181 .128 .098 -.002 -.009 -.014 DRHO 1.000 .064 .052 .042 .033 .025 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 1.307 1.129 .129 .084 .072 .058 .050 .050 DUCL .039 .035 .032 #8 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-12-A PROGRAM UDKHDEN 95.91, .25 WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= ч. .000 I .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 37.30 36.12 33.16 31.81 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01802 1.02310 . 0 90.06 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.00 THT 0 0.305 .00318 0.0 .13 .13 ы 10 90. 1.0000 FROUDE NO= 49.74, 00.00 1.01802 1.02310 .00 .02 .03 .03 .15 .03 .34 .36 .38 17 19 28 30 30 0100000 DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00 2 0.0001060 . 0 0.00 0.00 00. 1.00 × ----0 0+ -

DILUTION

TIME

 $\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.93\\ 6.28\\ 6.28\\ 1.94\\ 94\\ 114.94\\ 119.25\\ 23.55\\ 23.55\\ 23.55\\ 23.55\\ 23.56\\ 57\\ 36.57\\ 36.57\\ 36.57\\ 41.00\\ 54.60\\ 61.01\\ 1.00\\$ 

5.54 6.24 7.01 .025 .024 .024 -.019 -.022 -.023 .029 .026 .024 .32.35.37 27.63 18.48 5.22 90.06 90.06 .17 PLUMES MERGING .40 .43 00.00 00.00 00.00

75.70 78.81 81.48

62.64 67.20 71.67

3.83 4.34 4.91

סווואה שאמאהרטרמה שאידשראה שאידשה שאוודטראה השוידטרא סמאודט

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.26 6.26 10.57 19.14 19.14 19.14 23.48 23.48 23.25 37.26 37.26 53.09 56.11 58.81 61.13 63.00 64.36 42.13 46.17 .00 .02 .02 .09 .09 .11 .32 .11 .32 .11 2.53 2.98 TIME 3.94 4.46 5.03 5.69 6.49 7.57 .018 1.000 1.000 1.307 130 130 .082 .069 .059 .046 DCCL FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS 036 034 032 032 030 027 027 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBLENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #9 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data,Run 5-12-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .15-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= .024 1.000 1.000 .307 .182 .182 .128 .076 .059 .046 .034 -.001 -.008 -.014 -.023 -.023 DRHO STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 60.92 1.000 1.000 1.308 133 107 .092 .073 DILUTION= .060 .056 .053 .043 .033 DUCL .069 #9 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data,Run 5-12-B
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 47.80, .16 .01 .03 .05 .05 .07 .07 .03 .03 .12 .12 0.00 HTDIW VELOCITY (M/S) .89 METERS BELOW SURFACE, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 с-İ .000 ١ ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT .00 2.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 112.26 119.35 233.94 40.00 44.85 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 48.52 51.06 53.01 52.32 50.08 45.33 35.63 15.62 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT 0 DENSITY (G/CM3) 90.06 90.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 THT 0.152 0 .00318 1.01917 0.0 .09 11 .15 .17 .21 .22 .23 N 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01917 10 FROUDE NO= 31.01, TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.02360 PLUMES MERGING 002 004 009 12 .22 .14 .16 .18 .20 DEPTH (M) .00 1.00 0.0000668 00. 00.00 00.00 1.00 × ----Ч 0+

שווישאחארטרזואיש רשדשדשהעסשי ם דווואר שהזרט אוואדע השטרשם שוואם שניהשים ש

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.18 10.32 13.68 15.94 17.80 19.37 20.71 21.90 22.98 24.00 25.95 27.83 29.64 34.05 34.83 35.58 31.38 33.05 5.05 5.39 71 TIME .01 .05 .05 .019 1.000 1.000 .311 .187 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS.  $\begin{array}{c} .141 \\ .119 \\ .119 \\ .087 \\ .087 \\ .075 \\ .075 \\ .055 \\ .051 \\ .045 \\ .048 \\ .043 \\ .043 \end{array}$ .042 .041 DCCL SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #10 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-12-C DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= 1.000 .311 -.005 -.009 -.013 DRHO STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 52.87 DILUTION= 1.000 1.000 .312 .187 #10 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-12-C 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0001160 10 .00318 0. 1. DUCL 141120120103078078078078078078078066065066066066058058058058066058066078066.052 .050 053 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 16.04, 0.00 .01 .03 .05 HTDIW .23 .24 25 VELOCITY (M/S) .85 METERS BELOW SURFACE, FROUDE NO= 53.07, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 ł .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 36.07 35.56 31.70 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 4.16 6.53 9.16 9.16 11.94 11.79 17.61 17.61 17.61 17.61 17.61 17.61 33 22.92 27.50 33 33.89 33.89 35.60 .00 .17 .83 2.19 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 90.09 90.09 90.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 90.00 90.00 00.06 90.00 90.00 THT 0.051 0 1.02430 1.01925 0.0 0.00 21 N 2 1.0000 00.00 1.01925 TRAPPING LEVEL= .06 1.02430 PLUMES MERGING .00 .02 .04 .09 .115 .117 .117 .22 .22 .22 .22 .31 .31 .36 .44 .48 .51 .53 00. 1.00 DEPTH (M) 0.00 . 0 00. 0.00 1.00 × Ч Ч 0 0+

DILUTION 1.001.946.2910.78 14.19 16.9118.48. 55 90 90 1.96 3.16 4.60 7.26 TIME .056 1.000 .990 .306 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL .178 .105 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #12 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-B DISCHARGE .0002 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER .0095-M STARTING LENGTH= .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 1.000 .987 .285 DRHO .118 -.043 -.075 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .74 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 13.21 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. .83 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 15.27 PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .364 DUCL .295 .198 #13 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-C #12 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-B 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 10.70, WIDTH .01 03 08 .11 .17 VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 7.66, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= ч. PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT -.000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 .00 7.91 32.85 58.19 20.44 52.88 59.99 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.00389 1.00930 0 90.06 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 .00953 0.102 0 0.0 00.00 0.03 .15 .21 10 2 1.000 00.00 1.003895 1.00 1.00930 TRAPPING LEVEL= TRAPPING LEVEL= .06 PLUMES MERGING .31 .00 .06 .18 0100000 1.00 00. DEPTH (M) 0.0001610 .0 0.00 0.00 0.00 × Ч 0 Ч 0+ ---

...

0

.00953 0.051

10

0.0000763

1 0000

ç

90.

.

Ч

0

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

\*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M #13 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-C .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-1.00-M .05-M \*\* DEPTH= VELOCITY (M/S) .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \* 1.00575 1.00950 DEPTH (M) CASE I.D. 00. 1.00

.052

STARTING LENGTH=

5.35,

3.59, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA=

FROUDE NO=

O +

0

DILUTION 1.00 6.95 10.75 13.98 16.16 17.85 18.90 5.13 6.86 10.17 TIME .52 1.49 2.57 3.78 1.000.843 .276 .173 .116 DCCL .088 .080 .075 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS -.012 -.045 DRHO 1.000. .243 .108 .030 -.076 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT – STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 .407 DUCL .667 .641 .525 076 .01 .06 .08 WIDTH .13 ۱ ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 77.56 75.12 14.16 65.57 74.39 77.24 .00 32.36 TH2 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 TH1 0.01 .15 .35 N PLUMES MERGING .00 .12 .17 И 0.00 00.00 0.00 00. 0.00 0.00 00.00 ×

1 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT

TRAPPING LEVEL=

15.53

DILUTION=

.74 METERS BELOW SURFACE,

#14 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-D
0 1 0 0 0 0 0
0 0.0002540 10 .00953 0. 1.
0 0.00 0.152
2 1.0000 0.
2 1.0000 0.
1.00 1.00487 0.0
1.00 1.00950 0.

Ч

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER2.DAT CASE I.D. #14 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-13-D nterunder- nong rn\_m/e newetwy-1 nonn r/rwg \*\* ntnwered- nog\_m

STARTING LENGTH= 15.95, VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 11.95, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI 00 1.00487 .C 00 1.00950 .C DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00

0+

.056

DILUTION 20.72 22.39 1.00 1.93 6.21 10.57 14.90 18.13 TIME .00 .16 .59 1.43 2.50 3.71 5.14 7.40 1.000 .998 .310 .182 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. WIDTH DUCL DRHO DCCL .129 .089 -.044 -.062 1.000 .997 .302 .153 .006 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .322 .219 .187 .167 .130 .03 .03 .03 .13 .17 .35 46.37 50.93 47.00 17.81 .00 3.25 15.69 33.83 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.09 00.09 00.09 0.00 0.01 04 .09 .21 PLUMES MERGING .00 .13 .20 .31 .42 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

TRAPPING LEVEL= .84 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 18.48

\_

DILUTION TIME .85 1.89 3.23 4.70 6.33 8.29 10.82 .00 .057 DCCL 1.000. .359 .238 .168 .148 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS .134 .124 .117 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 .0095-M STARTING LENGTH= CASE I.D. #15 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-14-A DISCHARGE= .0002 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-\*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE .996 .343 .187 .080 .010 -.052 -.102 -.116 DRHO 1.000 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 9.81 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT #15 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-14-A 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1.000 DUCL .375 .280 .223 .208 .181 .142 .118 .85 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= #16 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data,Run 5-14-C
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 5.35, .03 .03 .18 HTUIM VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= . , .000 I г. .000 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 42.49 29.50 -6.95 .00 3.79 16.57 31.71 41.16 44.75 TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.00074 1.00490 . . . . 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.06 00.06 TH1 . . .00953 0.051 0.152 .00318 0.0 . 0.00 .01 .05 .09 .20 .24 ы FROUDE NO= 11.21, 10 90. 1.0000 10 90. 1 nnnn 00.00 1.000739 TRAPPING LEVEL= 1.00490 PLUMES MERGING .00 06 .13 .20 .32 .43 .43 × DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00 2 0.0001710 .0 . 0 0.0000509 00. 00.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 × 0 Ч 0+ Ч Ч

1.93

8.48 9.64

5.307.11

10.66 11.51 12.16

ç

Ч

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M STARTING LENGTH= #16 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-14-C .15-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 47.80, VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DISCHARGE .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.C \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.00129 1.00490 51.86, DISCHARGE= FROUDE NO= DEPTH (M) 1.00 CASE I.D. 00. 0

0+

.018

DILUTION  $\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.93\\ 6.26\\ 6.26\\ 10.56\\ 14.81\\ 19.03\\ 23.22\\ 23.22\\ 31.55\end{array}$ 41.71 44.23 46.52 48.59 50.48 35.65 38.90 3.07 4.57 5.39 6.28 7.25 8.29 TIME 1.000 1.000 1.307 1.307 1.182 1.182 1.182 1.182 1.300 1.083 1.070 .046 .043 .041 .039 .054 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL 1.000 1.000 .307 .181 .181 .128 .096 .074 .055 -.004 -.016 .009 DRHO .024 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .057 1.000 .050 .046 .043 .040 .037 DUCL .183 .130 .130 .130 .084 .072 .16 .19 .22 .23 .23 12 10 12 12 WIDTH 0.00 I HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. .00 .17 .88 .88 .2.32 4.46 7.23 7.23 110.45 113.86 17.10 19.77 21.44 21.72 20.32 16.88 11.18 3.42 TH2 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 THT .03 .05 .06 .07 .07 N PLUMES MERGING 00 04 04 112 117 117 .22 .27 .31 .34 .36 ≻ PLUMES 0.00 0.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00. 00.00 0.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 ×

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

-.027 -.034 -.036

00.00 00.00 0.00

40.87 DILUTION= .96 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT

#17 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-14-D 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000295 10 .00318 0. 1.

0.051 0 .06 . 0

0.0 2 1.0000 00.00 1.001516 C C F

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

0

0+

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.18 10.32 13.78 16.10 18.06 19.80 21.35 22.73 27.10 27.96 28.75 24.00 25.11 26.15 5.03 6.00 7.03 8.15 9.37 10.70 TIME .00 .05 .48 .019 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. 1.000 1.000 .311 .187 .140 .118 .102 .088 .077 .077 .059 .057 .055 .053 .051 DCCL .0032-M STARTING LENGTH= #17 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-14-D
0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-1.00-M 1.000 0.000 -.014 -.027 -.038 -.046 -.049 .311 .079 .055 .034 .016 .133 DRHO STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.000 .312 111 100 091 082 .073 .069 .064 .059 .053 DUCL 143 16.04, 0.00 .01 .03 .05 01001 112 116 116 116 116 WIDTH .07 VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 30.37, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 I HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI 00 1.00152 .C 24.13 28.87 32.36 34.52 35.25 34.40 31.61 26.23 17.35 4.70 .00 .50 2.54 6.61 12.29 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S 1.00480 0.00 .01 .02 .03 .05 080.009 112 113 113 PLUMES MERGING .00 .04 .09 .12 14 .19 DEPTH (M) CASE I.D. 00. 1.00 PLUMES 00. 00.00 00.00 0.00 0.00 00.0 0.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 0.00 00.00 0.00 0.00 00.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

23.98 DILUTION= .92 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT

-

#18 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-A
0 1 0 0 0 0 0 ч.

0 0 .00318 0.102 0.0 10 1.0000 00.00 1.005559 90. 2 0.0000715 .

שעמטעש אושטטמס

Ч

1.00920

1.00

AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS.

.019 DCCL FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS CASE I.D. #18 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-A DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= DRHO DUCL 32.08, WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) THT 1.00556 N FROUDE NO= 53.17, DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00 ×

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.24 10.50 14.69 18.83 22.91 26.13 28.75 31.09 33.25 35.25 38.86 TIME .93 1.29 2.09 2.09 3.01 4.04 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .131 084 074 067 067 052 053 045 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .130 .079 .066 .055 .044 .034 .006 1.000 1.000 .308 .184 .131 .085 .076 .070 .066 .062 .058 .03 .05 .07 0.00 112 113 115 115 .00 .16 .83 .83 2.20 4.24 6.89 10.06 13.51 16.95 20.16 22.96 22.96 25.22 27.78 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.06 90.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 01 02 03 03 05 07 PLUMES MERGING 19 22 24 31 007 004 009 009 .15 00.00 0.00 00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 00.00 00. 0.00

STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT I PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT

.34	60.	90.00	27.68	.19	.046	006	.040	4.92
.37	.10	90.06	26.46	.20	.041	013	.037	5.57
.39	.11	90.00	24.16	.21	.038	019	.035	6.28
.41	.12	90.06	20.60	.24	.034	022	.032	7.06
.44	.13	90.06	15.65	.25	.032	026	.031	7.92
.46	.13	90.06	9.29	.27	.031	029	.030	8.82
.49	.14	90.06	1.85	.28	.030	030	.030	9.75

41.21 42.60 43.86 45.02 45.02 46.05 47.03

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

39.96 DILUTION= .92 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT

Ē

#19 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-B ÷ <u>.</u> 0 .00318 0.203 0.0 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 1.003938 10 01000000 0.0000889

c c

ç

-

0 +

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

ETDOM TIME ADD INTUTAL CONDITIONS UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT CASE I.D. #19 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M STARTING LENGTH= .20-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 63.84, VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 67.98, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI עדי דרארייטער אור אריידער באו אריידער און כדי DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.C \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= 1.00394 DEPTH (M) .00 1.00

0+

0

.018

DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.27	10.59	14.87	19.13	23.35	27.55	31.74	35.90	40.06	44.21	
TIME	00.	.02	.06	.16	.31	.51	.76	1.06	1.40	1.79	2.23	2.70	
DCCL	1.000	1.000	.307	.182	.129	.101	.082	.070	.061	.054	.048	.044	
DRHO	1.000	1.000	.307	.181	.128	.098	.078	.063	.051	.039	.029	.019	
DUCL	1.000	1.000	.307	.182	.130	.101	.083	.071	.062	.055	.050	.046	
HLQIM	0.00	.01	.03	.05	.07	60.	.11	.12	.14	.16	.18	.20	
TH2	00.	.10	.51	1.35	2.62	4.29	6.31	8.61	11.08	13.56	15.85	17.75	
TH1	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	
	00.	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	.01	.01	.01	.02	.02	.03	
Y Y	00.	.02	.04	.07	60.	.12	.15	.17	.20	.22	.25	.27	
ALL L	00.	00.00	00.0	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	

PLUMES MERGING

PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

3.78	4.36	4.99	5.65	6.35	7.09
.038	.036	.034	.032	.031	.030
0.000	009	017	023	027	029
.040	.038	.035	.033	.032	.030
.23	.24	.25	.26	.28	.29
19.50	18.93	17.17	14.07	9.57	3.86
90.00	90.00	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00
.05	.06	.06	.07	.08	.08
.32	.34	.37	.39	.42	.44
0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00

51.33 54.17 56.77 56.77 59.16 61.37 63.43

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= .95 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 51.25

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT

Ч

#20 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-C 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0000320 10 .00318 0. 1. 0. 90. 0.051

0. 90. 0.051 2 1.0000 0.051 00.00 1.004211 0.0

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

0

0+

DILUTION 13.85 16.27 18.39 20.33 220.33 22.11 22.11 TIME .00 .05 .44 .83 1.29 1.79 2.33 2.33 3.54 .019 1.000 1.000 .311 .186 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. .139 .117 .101 .088 .077 .067 DCCL CASE I.D. #20 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-C DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M STARTING LENGTH= 1.00-M 1.000 1.000 .311 .183 DRHO .131 .101 .076 .052 .032 .032 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .05-M \*\* DEPTH= .312 DUCL 1.000 .131 .122 .113 .105 .147 16.04, 0.00 .01 .03 .05 .07 .08 .08 .09 HIDIW VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 24.33, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= 1. .000 .000 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. 18.55 26.85 33.83 39.08 42.67 44.73 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE .00 .79 3.95 10.20 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.09 00.09 00.09 DENSITY (G/CM3) THI 1.00880 1.00421 0.00 01 03 05 06 05 06 N PLUMES MERGING 09 112 116 112 120 120 .00 .02 .04 ≻ 00. DEPTH (M) 1.00 0.00 0.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 ×

1.00 1.93 6.18 10.33

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

25.16 26.44 27.56 28.56 29.43 30.19

4.24 5.03 6.89 8.02 9.31

.061 .054 .052 .050 .048

-.045

-.002

45.30 44.22

-.027 -.037

.087 .075 .068 .060 .052 .047

112 112 114 117 220

40.92 34.09 21.10

00.06

110 115 115 115

22 23 23 25 23 29 29 29

00.00 00.00 00.00 0.00

00.00

24.98 DILUTION= .90 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT

#21 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-D 0 1 0 0 0 0 Ч. 0 .00318 10

0.102 0 0.0 。 1.0000 00.00 1.006032 90. 1.00900 2 0.0000401 . 0 1.00 NUCURAN INVERTOR

Ч

AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

.019 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL CASE I.D. #21 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-15-D DISCHARGE= 0.0000 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= DRHO DUCL 32.08, WIDTH VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. BER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE TH2 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER3.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) THT 1.00603 N FROUDE NO= 30.15,  $\succ$ DEPTH (M) 1.00 ×

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.24 6.24 10.51 14.73 18.94 23.20 27.01 30.14 33.01 35.69 38.19 40.98 42.90 44.48 45.71 46.70 .00 .04 .14 .36 .36 .111 .111 5.68 6.54 7.59 8.92 10.52 TIME 2.18 2.78 3.40 4.74 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .183 .131 .102 .071 .064 .058 .054 .045 .040 .035 .031 1.000 1.000 .308 .182 .128 .095 .054 .039 .025 .013 -.013 -.026 -.029 -.031 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .309 .185 .134 .138 .086 .082 .079 .076 .063 .054 .043 .033 0.00 .01 .03 .05 .05 .07 .16 .20 .24 I HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT .00 .51 2.59 6.79 6.79 12.83 20.04 27.43 34.01 39.14 42.77 44.97 45.79 44.63 41.28 34.37 21.20 -.08 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 00.09 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 .04 .05 .07 .09 .11 .13 .15 .16 .17 PLUMES MERGING 00 40 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 .16 .20 .22 .22 PLUMES 00. 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= .89 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 38.35

0+
UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT

-

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 .0095-M CASE I.D. #22 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-16-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095 \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. #22 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 5-16-B 0 1 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN \* THIS RUN DISCONTINUED, BECAUSE THE INITIAL \* \* FROUDE NUMBER (2.41) IS LESS THAN 2.44, AND \* \* THE MODEL WILL NOT RUN CORRECTLY. \* VELOCITY (M/S) . , .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01408 1.02350 0 .00953 0.051 0.0 10 90. 1.0000 00.00 1.014077 1.02350 DEPTH (M) 1.00 2 0.0000806 . 0 1.00 0 Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. #23 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-4-A PROGRAM UDKHDEN ц. \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT 0 0.102 .00953 0.0 0.0 10 90. 1.0000 00.00 1.009793 1.01580 . 0 1.00 0 Ч

CASE I.D. #23 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-4-A DISCHARGE= .0003 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 10.70, VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.00979 1.01580 FROUDE NO= 12.01, DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00 0+

.056

STARTING LENGTH=

<pre>79 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= IFFUSER4.DAT ht, et al diffuser data, Run 6-4-B 00953 0. 1. 0.152 0. 1. 0.0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN PROGRAM UDKHDEN PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADI DIFFUSER4.DAT AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADI AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADI AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADI I 0. * SPACING= .15-M ** DEPTH= IFICATION PROFILE M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 ** DIAM 10 ** SPACING= .15-M ** DEPTH= IFICATION PROFILE Y (G/CM3) VELOCITY (M/S) 1146 .000 1580 .000 1146 .000 1580 .000 DRT SPACING/PORT DIA= 15.95, ST FTHI TH2 WIDTH DUCL THI TH2 WIDTH DUCL</pre>
15.95, ST ST IRST LINE ARE 1 WIDTH DUCL .01 1.000 .03 1.000
<pre>:: DIFFUSER4.DAT llation of Wright, et al U-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 = 10 ** SPACING= .1 TTFICATION PROFILE TY (G/CM3) VELOCITY (000 01146 .000 01580 .000 01580 .000 PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= METERS-TIME IN SEC. FI METERS-TIME IN SEC. FI TH1 TH2 W 00 90.00 1.06 00 90.00 1.06</pre>
580 ATA FILI #24 Simu .0006 ( . PORTS= ENT STR2 ENT STR2 DENS] DENS] ARE IN ARE IN ARE IN ARE IN ARE O

.

13.91 16.43 18.66 20.67		22.45 23.94 25.15 26.12 26.96										DILUTION	1.00 1.93 6.13		9.59 11.71 13.39
1.23 1.89 2.60 3.37		4.24 5.26 6.52 8.03 9.77									.056	TIME	.00 .07 .27		
.138 .116 .100 .087		.075 .064 .057 .055						M WITH G 1985	-в 95-м		GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 .314		.157
.122 .085 .052	ONMENT	004 025 040 051	ЛТ	22.15				GE PROBLE NTS. AU	Run 6–10 TER= .00 1.00–M		RTING LEN	ITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 .999 .311		.188 .125 .071
.151 .138 .128 .128	TED ENVIF	.102 .085 .068 .057	ENVIRONME	LUTION=		6-10-B		KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIE	ser data, ** DIAME * DEPTH=	C	STA	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .315		.204 .166 .139
.18 .20 .23	- STRATIF	.28 .31 .53 .53	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		data, Run 1.		ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	al diffu 00 G/CM3 .10-M *	(s/M) 1 0 0 1 0		FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .03 .08		.13 .16 .18
23.60 32.41 38.60 42.10	M HEIGHT	42.97 40.75 33.74 17.83 -8.46	IGHT – ST	BELOW SUR	АТ	diffuser		P TO MULTIP JRRENTS A	.DAT right, et ITY=1.000 ACING= PACING= PACFILE		TA TAN I A	IN SEC. TH2	.00 .92 4.55		11.35 18.87 25.05 28.77
90.00 90.00 90.00	UILIBRIU	00.06 00.06 00.06	XIMUM HE	METERS	FUSER4.D	, et al . 953 0. 02		DLUTION '	IFFUSER4 ion of W /S DENS: 0 ** SPI ICATION 1	(כבייט / כבייט br>30 מייז אוריר מייס יייס יייס אוריר מייס יייס אוריר מייס יייס יייס יייס יייס יייס יייס י		ERS-TIME TH1	90.09 00.09		00.06
.04 .08 .12	ACHED EQ	.22 .32 .35 .36	CHED MAY	79	LE: DIF	Wright, 10.009	0.0	AN AN	FILE: D Simulat: 06 CU-M, RTS= 1( STRATIF]	1.0045 1.0128 1.0128	01 F (10	IN METH Z	.00 00.00		.01 .03 .06
.28 .35 .41	HAVE REI	.52 .58 .64 .71	HAVE REA	16 LEVEL-	DATA FI	lation of 0 0 0 90.	2 1.00 1.00457 1.01280		AL DATA D. #25 GGE= .00 BER OF PC AMBLENT		0.92 LON	IGTHS ARE Y	.00 .06 .13	MERGING	.21 .35 .35
0.00	PLUMES	00.000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSA	#25 Simu. 0 1 0 0 ( 0.0005570 0.	1.00		UNIVER: CASE I. DISCHAF ** NUME			ALL LEN X	00.00 0.00	PLUMES	00.00
					-		۲	-	0	C	) +				

PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

15.94 16.97 17.89 18.71							NOLTULION	1.00 1.93 6.18 10.32		13.67 15.97 17.89 19.54		20.95 22.18 22.34
3.50 4.49 5.60 6.82						.056	TIME	.00 .05 .19		.88 1.38 1.96 2.61		3.36 4.22 5.21
.089 .084 .080 .076					M WITH G 1985	с 95-м GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 .311 .187		.141 .119 .102 .087		.075 .069 
011 042 066 076	TNI	15.60			KGE PROBLE INTS. AU	Run 6-10- TER= .00 1.00-M RTING LEN	ITTIAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 .310 .182		.128 .092 .058	ONMENT	001 024 024
.103 .094 .084 .076	ENVIRONME	LUTION=		6-10-C	KHDEN T DISCHAF AL GRADIE	ser data, ** DIAME * DEPTH= * DEPTH= * 95, STP	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .312 .187		.143 .123 .108 .094	IED ENVIR	.081 .074 .074
.25 .29 .34	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		data, Run 1.	ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	al diffu 00 G/CM3 15-M * 15-M * 7 (M/S) 0 A= 15	FIRST LI WIDTH	.01 .03 .08 .14		.18 .21 .24 .27	- STRATIF	.30 .32
29.35 26.15 17.78 3.40	IGHT - ST	BELOW SUR	АТ	diffuser di	PI FO MULTIP: JRRENTS AI	.DAT right, et TTY=1.0000 ACING= PROFILE VELOCIT VELOCIT 000.000 S/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	.00 .42 5.52		10.17 15.03 19.20 22.02	A HEIGHT -	22.99 21.70 17 £0
00.06 00.06 00.06	XIMUM HE.	METERS 1	FUSER4.Di	, et al ( 953 0. 152 0.	OLUTION :	IFFUSER4 ion of W1 /S DENS 0 ** SP2 ICATION I (G/CM3) 06 90 T SPACINO	ERS-TIME TH1	90.06 90.06 90.06		00.06 00.06 00.06	UILIBRIUN	90.00 90.00
.13 .20 .21	CHED MA	. 88	LE: DIF	Wright 10 .00 0.0 0.0	A V	FILE: D Simulat D8 CU-M RTS= 1 STRATIF STRATIF 1.006 1.006 1.012 0, POR	IN MET	.00 0.00 0.00		.02 .03 .08	CHED EQ	.11
.49 .55 .62 .70	HAVE REA	G LEVEL=	DATA FI	ation of 0 0 90. 1.00606 1.01290		AL DATA 1 D. #26 ( GE= .000 ER OF POI AMBIENT ( (M) DI (M) DI 00 00 33.2( NO= 33.2(	GTHS ARE Y	.00 .06 .13	MERGING	.28 .36 .50	HAVE REA(	.57 .64
00.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAL	#26 Simul 0 1 0 0 0008220 0. 2 1.00		UNIVERS CASE I DISCHARR ** NUMB NEPTH 1. FROUDE 1	ALL LEN X	0.00	PLUMES 1	0.00 0.00 0.00	PLUMES ]	0.00
		7		0	-	0 0+						

DILUTION 25.10 5.36 7.35 9.01 10.45 1.001.9411.7112.7713.557.40 .57 1.19 1.93 2.77 .00 TIME 3.67 4.73 6.21 .057 .057 DCCL 1.000. .356 .240 .171 .136 .122 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #27 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-11-A DISCHARGE= .0003 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= -.057 1.000. .340 .192 .093 .028 -.022 -.067 DRHO -.101 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 20.90 .78 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 11.15 PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DILUTION= .057 #27 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-11-A DUCL 1.000 .394 .329 .284 .250 .179 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 5.35, .47 .03 .00 .09 .13 .16 HTUIM VELOCITY (M/S) .89 METERS BELOW SURFACE, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= I .000 000. ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 25.19 43.37 52.91 56.98 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI .00 1.00783 .0 57.10 51.52 24.09 .91 .00 6.06 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT . 0 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.09 90.09 90.00 90.00 90.00 0 .00953 0.051 1.01720 0.0 .19 0 0.00 122 .25 .31 .36 2 1.0000 00.00 1.007828 .00 1.01720 10 8.85, TRAPPING LEVEL= TRAPPING LEVEL= PLUMES MERGING .86 90. .00 .13 .24 .29 .33 .37 0100000 FROUDE NO= 00. DEPTH (M) 1.00 0.0002530 0.00 00. 00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 . . 1.00 Ч 0 0+ Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT

----

.00 .06 .53 .96 1.47 2.08 2.76 3.51 TIME 4.29 5.14 6.06 7.08 8.19 .056 DCCL 1.000 1.000 .314 201 157 126 105 090 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. .079 .075 .072 .069 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 CASE I.D. #28 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-11-B DISCHARGE= .0007 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M STARTING LENGTH= -.012 -.033 -.051 -.063 -.067 1.000 1.000 .313 DRHO .141 .098 .063 .034 .011 .194 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DILUTION= 17.46 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT DUCL 1.000 1.000 .315 .203 .164 .136 .119 .105 .095 .088 .080 .072 PROGRAM UDKHDEN 10.70, .03 .16 .21 .24 .27 HTDIW .34 .34 .39 .50 VELOCITY (M/S) .81 METERS BELOW SURFACE, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= ч. .000 .000 I ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 HEIGHT AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE .00 .76 3.76 33.57 31.12 25.50 15.53 1.10 9.51 16.36 22.88 22.88 31.82 33.67 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01054 0 90.06 90.06 90.09 90.09 90.09 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 0 0.102 .00953 0.0 1.01690 0. 0.00 .01 .03 .05 .05 .13 0. 90. 2 1.0000 00.00 1.010537 00 1.01690 10 FROUDE NO= 24.88, TRAPPING LEVEL= PLUMES MERGING .00 .21 .28 .35 .49 .55 .62 .68 .75 .82 .82 0100000 DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00 0.0007050 PLUMES 0.00 1.00 -0 0+ Ч

DILUTION

1.00 1.93 6.12 9.58 11.68 13.34 14.70 15.90 16.98 18.00 18.94 19.81 20.59 21.29

Ŋ

#29 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-13-A 0 1 0 0 0 0

0.0008560 10 .00953 0. 1.

0.0 . 0 00.00 1.013590 00 1.01840 1.00

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

CASE I.D. #29 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-13-A DISCHARGE= .0009 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .20-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 21.30, VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 28.95, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER4.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01359 1.01840 DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00

0

0 +

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.21 14.55 17.94 20.53 22.82 24.90 26.76 28.38 29.71 30.81 31.77 00 05 45 85 1.37 1.95 2.58 3.26 4.01 4.87 5.88 7.12 8.49 TIME .056 1.000 1.000 .310 .185 .132 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. .066 .058 .052 .046 DCCL .107 .093 .083 .074 STARTING LENGTH= 1.000 1.000 .309 .182 .124 .090 .064 .039 .016 -.005 -.022 -.035 -.042 DRHO STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .310 .136 DUCL .115 .105 .097 .090 .080 .069 .058 .048 .01 .03 .03 .14 .24 .29 .31 .34 .38 .38 .51 .51 HIDIW ł PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. .00 .55 2.80 7.29 13.59 20.59 26.81 31.42 34.12 34.67 32.64 27.11 16.59 .74 TH2 00.06 00.06 00.06 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 00.06 00.06 00.06 THI 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 .04 .08 .11 .15 20 28 31 32 32 32 ы MERGING 00 13 21 28 28 . 43 . 49 . 56 .62 .68 .75 .82 .82 ≻ PLUMES 00. 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 ×

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

0.00

DILUTION= 26.30 .81 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

Ч

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT Ч

#30 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-16-A 0 1 0 0 0 0 PROGRAM UDKHDEN ч. 0 0.203 0 .00953 0.0 . 10 90. 1.0000 00.00 1.018010 1.02550 2 0.0005890 0 1.00

1

SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AUG 1985 AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS.

×× متساب ×× DIAMETER= .0095–M .20–M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00–M CASE I.D. #30 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-16-A DISCHARGE= .0006 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-\*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= .20-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE 21.30, VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01801 1.02550 FROUDE NO= 16.92, DEPTH (M) .00 1.00 0 0+

.056

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.22 10.48 14.83 19.01 22.26 25.06 27.36 28.99 .00 .07 .26 1.18 3.29 4.19 5.47 1.82 2.52 TIME 1.000 .999 .310 .184 .101.086 .076 .066 .053 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS DCCL 1.000 .999 .307 .174 .106 -.011 .059 -.049 DRHO STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .80 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 24.14 PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT 1.000 1.000 .313 .195 .154 .113 .090 .058 .137 DUCL .01 .03 .03 .14 .14 .22 .28 .33 WIDTH I PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. X Y Z TH1 TH2 .00 1.62 8.11 20.00 32.86 42.38 47.36 48.02 42.85 23.36 THZ 90.00 90.00 90.09 90.09 00.06 00.06 00.06 THI 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.02 .11 .22.31 N TRAPPING LEVEL= PLUMES MERGING .00 .06 .13 .21 .33 39 .44 .55 0.00 0.00 0.00 8.00 ×

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT

Ч

#31 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-16-B 0 1 0 0 0 0 0

н Н 0 500 U .00953 10 C 0 0.0011300 C

0.0 00.00 1.01953 1.00 1.02500

1

AUG 1985 SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. PROGRAM UDKHDEN

#31 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data,Run 6-16-B .0011 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0095-M 1.00-M .20-M \*\* DEPTH= 21.30, (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= VELOCITY .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01953 1.02500 FROUDE NO= 32.78, DI SCHARGE= CASE I.D. DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00

0

0+

.056

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.21 14.53 TIME .00 .04 .34 .34 1.000 1.000 .310 .185 .133 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. DCCL 1.000 .309 .183 .127 1.000 DRHO 1.000 1.000 .310 .135 DUCL WIDTH .01 .03 .03 .03 .03 ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. .00 .43 2.18 5.71 10.78 TH2 90.06 90.06 90.06 THI 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 ы MERGING .00 .06 .13 .21 .28 ₽ PLUMES 0.00 8.0 0.00 ×

17.86 20.38 22.60 24.61 26.44 1.051.502.523.10.108 .094 .084 .075 .096 .074 .053 .033 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .113 .102 .094 .087 .24 .27 .31 .34 ١ HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT 16.73 22.50 27.38 31.00 33.18 00.06 00.06 00.06 .04 .06 .13 .13 .36 .50 .56 .63 PLUMES 0.00

.060 .053 .046 .045 .043 -.002 -.016 -.026 -.035 -.041 .072 .062 .053 .048 .048 .37 .52 .53 .63 33.77 32.54 28.99 22.36 11.84 -1.90 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 .69 .76 .82 .89 .96 1.04 0.00 0.00

28.08 29.52 30.77 31.83 32.79 33.67

3.73 5.27 6.22 8.37

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

27.85 DILUTION= .79 METERS BELOW SURFACE, TRAPPING LEVEL=

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT

1

#32 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-18-B 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 **.** .00318 10 0.0000656

0 0.051 2 1.0000 90. . 0

c

c

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

.0032-M UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT CASE I.D. #32 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-18-B DISCHARGE= .0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-10 \*\* SPACING= .05-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 16.04, VELOCITY (M/S) FROUDE NO= 41.85, PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE (M) DENSITY (G/CM3) VELOCI 1.005111.01250DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00

0

0+

FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. WIDTH DIGT. DRHO DCCT. ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC.

.019

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION	1.00	1.93	6.18	10.32		13.70	15.97	17.85	19.46	20.86	22.10	23.24	24.29
TIME	.00	.02	60.	.22		.41	.65	.92	1.24	1.59	1.99	2.42	2.88
DCCL	1.000	1.000	.311	.187		.140	.119	.102	.087	.076	.069	.061	.059
DRHO	1.000	1.000	.311	.185		.137	.112	060.	.071	.054	.041	.027	.016
DUCL	1.000	1.000	.312	.187		.141	.121	.105	.092	.081	.076	.068	.066
WIDTH	0.00	.01	.03	.05		.06	.07	.08	60.	.10	.11	.12	.13
TH2	00.	.27	1.34	3.51		6.63	10.26	14.07	17.78	21.16	24.05	26.32	27.91
TH1	90.00	90.06	90.06	90.00		90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
62	00.	00.0	00.00	0.00		0.00	.01	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06
Я	00.	.02	.04	.07	MERGING	60.	.12	.14	.17	.19	.22	.24	.26
×	00.	0.00	0.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00

PLUMES HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

0.00	.31	.08	90.06	28.79	.15	.062	005	.054	а <b>.</b> е
0.00	.33	.10	90.06	27.92	.16	.059	015	.052	4.3
0.00	.35	.11	90.06	26.01	.17	.056	024	.051	4.8
0.00	.38	.12	90.06	22.87	.19	.053	032	.049	5.4
0.00	.40	.13	90.00	18.30	.21	.050	038	.048	6.0
0.00	.42	.13	90.00	12.19	.22	.048	043	.047	6.6
0.00	.45	.14	90.00	4.70	.24	.046	045	.046	7.3

26.28 27.22 28.11 28.95 29.75 30.50 31.21

4404000

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= .92 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 25.84

UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT

Ч

#33 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-19-A 0 1 0 0 0 0 0.0001280 10 .00318 0. 1.

0. 90. 0.102 , 1 0000 0

Ч

1

PROGRAM UDKHDEN SOLUTION TO MULTIPLE BUOYANT DISCHARGE PROBLEM WITH AMBIENT CURRENTS AND VERTICAL GRADIENTS. AUG 1985

.0032-M #33 Simulation of Wright, et al diffuser data, Run 6-19-A 0001 CU-M/S DENSITY=1.00000 G/CM3 \*\* DIAMETER= .0032-.10-M \*\* DEPTH= 1.00-M 32.08, VELOCITY (M/S) PORT SPACING/PORT DIA= .000 .000 AMBIENT STRATIFICATION PROFILE \*\* NUMBER OF PORTS= 10 \*\* SPACING= UNIVERSAL DATA FILE: DIFFUSER5.DAT DENSITY (G/CM3) 1.01230 1.00662 FROUDE NO= 82.32, DISCHARGE= CASE I.D. DEPTH (M) 00. 1.00

0

0+

.019

STARTING LENGTH=

DILUTION 1.00 1.93 6.24 10.50 14.69 18.81 TIME DCCL 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .131 .131 FIRST LINE ARE INITIAL CONDITIONS. 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .131 DRHO DUCL 1.000 1.000 .308 .183 .131 0.00 01 03 05 05 07 MIDTH ALL LENGTHS ARE IN METERS-TIME IN SEC. .00 .07 .35 .35 .92 2.91 TH2 00.06 00.06 00.06 00.06 THT N 007 004 009 009 MERGING PLUMES 0.00 .00 ×

222.88 26.02 30.857 30.82 32.86 332.86 334.73 38.04 40.87 .52.72.951.191.732.352.353.07.084 .074 .067 .067 .057 .053 .045 082 070 053 053 045 038 038 023 009 STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT .084 .074 .068 .068 .058 .054 .047 .10 .12 .13 .14 .15 .16 .16 .18 ı HEIGHT 4.29 5.87 7.56 9.28 9.28 10.98 12.60 115.35 17.12 HAVE REACHED EQUILIBRIUM 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 90.09 0.00 .15 .17 .20 .25 .25 .32 .37 .37 PLUMES 

8.530 9.50 9.50 9.50 9.50 9.50 9.50 9.50 9.5
.035 .031 .031 .028 .029 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028
-002 -007 -016 -016 -023 -023 -023 -023 -023 -023
0334 0334 0334 0334 0334 0332 0336 0336 0336 0336 0336 0336 0336
17.58 17.58 16.47 15.25 113.57 8.82 2.52 2.52 96
$ \begin{array}{c}             80.00\\             90.00\\      $
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
4444555566 660974556 66097455
000000000000000000000000000000000000000

443.32 444.45 45.49 46.49 47.46 47.46 48.40 48.40 50.21 51.07 51.92

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

.94 METERS BELOW SURFACE,

DILUTION= 42.89

Ч

TRAPPING LEVEL=

					DILUTION	1.00 1.00 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.4.81 19.02 23.18 23.18 23.18	JL.JQ	35.26 38.30 40.95 49.64 53.25 56.48		59.36 60.69 61.94 63.13 64.29 65.39 66.41
				.018	TIME					4.30 5.55 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 5
		EM WITH JG 1985	-B 032-M	IGTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 1.182 1.132 1.130 0.833	TOO.	.055 .050 .047 .042 .038 .038		.028 .026 .025 .024 .024 .021
		RGE PROBLE	Run 6-19- STER= .0( 1.00-M	RTING LEN	IITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 	n	.052 .046 .041 .033 .033 .015	ONMENT	0.000 003 006 009 012 013
6-19-B		NKHDEN NT DISCHAH MAL GRADIE	iser data, ** DIAME :* DEPTH=	.80, STP	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 1.307 1.132 1.130 .083	. 002	.055 .051 .047 .042 .038 .038	IED ENVIR	.029 .027 .025 .025 .024 .022
data, Run	1.	PROGRAM UI PLE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	al diffu 00 G/CM3 .15-M * (M/S)	0 A= 47	FIRST LI WIDTH	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1	.11 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	- STRATIF	
diffuser		E TO MULTIE URRENTS ?	.DAT right, et ITTY=1.000 ACING= PROFILE VELOCIT	.00 G/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	0.0	4.94 6.06 9.52 9.52 11.63 13.36 14.50	M HEIGHT	14.91 14.80 14.45 13.86 13.01 11.90 10.54 ° ° 3
t, et al	0318 0. .152 0. 0. 0	SOLUTION AMBIENT C	DIFFUSER5 tion of W M/S DENS 10 ** SP FICATION (G/CM3)	250 RT SPACIN	rers-time Th1		00.00	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	UILIBRIU	90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00 90.00
f Wrigh	10 .00 0000 0.0		FILE: 1 Simulat 002 CU-1 ORTS= STRATII DENSITY	1.01 02, POB	E IN ME <sup>1</sup> Z	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		.01 .01 .02 .03 .03	ACHED EQ	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
lation c	2 1.0085 1.01250		SAL DATA .D. #34 .C. #34 RGE= .0 3ER OF P AMBIENT (M) 00	.00 NO=118.	VGTHS AR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	MERGING	.22 .27 .32 .42 .42	HAVE REI	.552 .557 .62 .64
#34 Simu	1.0001850 0. 1.00		UNIVER CASE I DISCHAI ** NUM DEPTH	1 FROUDE	ALL LEY X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	
	0	-	0	0+						

# .

69.36 70.30 71.23								DILUTION	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	64.57
8.49 9.02 9.56							.018	TIME		2.92
.021 .020 .020					M WITH G 1985	-А 32-М	GTH=	DITIONS. DCCL	1.000 .307 .307 .182 .182 .129 .033 .061 .061 .038 .038 .038	.029
019 020 020	TN	59.34			GE PROBLE NTS. AU	Run 6-23 TER= .00 1.00-M	RTING LEN	ITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 .307 .182 .129 .129 .0082 .0082 .039 .039 .039	.007
021 020	ENVIRONME	LUTION=		6-23-A	KHDEN T DISCHAR AL GRADIEI	ser data, ** DIAME <sup>:</sup> * DEPTH=	.84, STA	NE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .307 .130 .131 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0083 .0035 .0035 .0035	.030
.40 .41	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		data, Run 1.	ROGRAM UD LE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	al diffu D0 G/CM3 .20-M * K (M/S)	) ∆= 63	FIRST LII WIDTH	0.00 0.03 0.114 0.114 0.114 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.0	.29
5.05 2.84 .53	IGHT – ST	BELOW SUR	АТ	diffuser of	PI FO MULTIPI JRRENTS AI	DAT Light, et LTY=1.0000 ACING= PROFILE VELOCIT	.000 5/PORT DII	IN SEC. TH2	2017 3335088477664700 3017 3350088777664700 3017 33350088777667700 3017 33350088777667700 3017 3017000 3017 3017000 3017 3017000 3017 301700 3017 0 301700 30000000000	۰.۵۷ 8.56
90.00 90.00	XIMUM HE	METERS	FUSER5.Di	, et al ( 1318 0. 203 0. 0 0.	OLUTION : MBIENT CU	IFFUSER5. Lon of W1 /S DENS1 0 ** SP1 ICATION F (G/CM3)	50 T SPACINC	ERS-TIME TH1	000000000000000000000000000000000000	00.02
 	CHED MR	.94	LE: DIF	Wright L0 .00 00 .00 7 0.	Υ Δ	TILE: D Simulat N2 CU-M RTS= 1 STRATIF STRATIF INSITY 1.005	1.011 2, POR	IN MET Z	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	.03 03
.74 .77 .80	HAVE REA(	IG LEVEL=	DATA FI	ation of 0 0 5 90. 1.005677		AL DATA F D. #35 5 GE= .000 GE= .000 ER OF POF AMBLENT 5 (M) DE	00 NO=161.62	GTHS ARE Y		.47
0.00	PLUMES	TRAPPIN	UNIVERSAI	#35 Simul 0 1 0 0 ( 0.0002430 0. 2 2 2 1.00		UNIVERS CASE I. DISCHAR ** NUME DEPTH	1. FROUDE	ALL LEN X		00.0
		-	4	U r	-	0	0+			

68.32 70.07 71.74 73.34 74.86 76.31 77.73 79.03 80.30 81.51 82.69								DILUTION	1.00 1.93 6.18 10.32		13.73 16.02 17.94
7.26 2.26 2.27 2.27 2.27 2.27 2.27 2.26 2.27 2.26 2.26							.019	TIME	.00 .03 .30 .30		.57 .89 1.27 1 ƙR
.022 .0256 .0223 .0221 .0220 .019					LM WITH JG 1985	1-В )32-М	JGTH=	IDITIONS. DCCL	1.000 1.000 .311 .187		.140 .118 .102
001 004 008 010 015 015 015 019 019	ENT	67.89			RGE PROBLE ENTS. AU	, Run 6-24 3TER= .00 1.00-M	ARTING LEN	NITIAL CON DRHO	1.000 1.000 .311 .185		.137 .112 .090
.027 .026 .025 .023 .021 .021 .021 .020	ENVIRONM	TUTION=		1 6-24-B	NKHDEN IT DISCHAI L'AL GRADIH	iser data, ** DIAME :* DEPTH=	5.04, STF	INE ARE IN DUCL	1.000 1.000 .312 .187		.142 .122 .108
	RATIFIED	FACE, DI		data, Rur 1.	ROGRAM UI PLE BUOYAN ND VERTIC	: al diffu 00 G/CM3 .05-M ≯ ?? (M/S) 00	A= 16	FIRST LI WIDTH	0.00 .01 .03 .05		.06 .07 .08
88.78 8.78 8.66 8.66 7.292 1.38 1.38 1.3366 1.336 1.33666 1.33666 1.336666 1.336666666666	IGHT – ST	BELOW SUR	TH	di ffuser	P TO MULTIP URRENTS A	.DAT right, et ITT'=1.000 ACING= PROFILE VELOCIT VELOCIT	G/PORT DI	IN SEC. TH2	.00 .38 1.94 5.06		9.52 14.60 19.74
000000000000000000000000000000000000	XIMUM HE	METERS	ע. נאפטט ז	, et al 318 0. .051 0.	OLUTION MBIENT C	IFFUSER5 ion of W V/S DENS 0 ** SP ICATION (G/CM3) 40	T SPACIN	'ERS-TIME TH1	00.06 00.06 00.06		00.06 00.06
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	CHED MA		יייי	Wright 10.00 00 40.0	U A	FILE: D Simulat 00 CU-M RTS= 1 STRATIF ENSITY 1.005	0, POR	IN MET	00.00		.01 02 02
.53 .55 .55 .55 .55 .55 .55 .73 .73 .73	HAVE REA	VG LEVEL=	TJ ATAU L	Lation of 0 0 0 90. 2 1.005 1.00940		SAL DATA D. #36. KGE= 0.00 BER OF PC AMBIENT (M) D 00	NO= 34.8	VGTHS ARE Y	.00 .02 .04	MERGING	0. 122 41-
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PLUMES	TRAPPII	TYCYTATIO	#36 Simu. 0 1 0 0 ( 0.0000473 0. 1.00		UNIVER: CASE I. DISCHAI ** NUM DEPTH	FROUDE	ALL LEÌ X	.00 00.00 0.00	PLUMES	0.00
		Ч		U r	-1	0	0+				

.

22.45	23.71	24.87		27.02	28.03	28.99	29.88	30.71	31.47	32.18	32.85	
2.66	3.19	3.78		5.01	5.67	6.36	7.09	7.89	8.74	9.67	10.64	
.069	.065	.057		.053	.051	.049	.048	.046	.045	.044	.043	
.040	.029	.017	ONMENT	003	012	021	029	036	041	044	043	
.081	.079	.071	ED ENVIR	.067	.064	.060	.056	.052	.048	.045	.043	
.10	.11	.12	- STRATIFI	.14	.15	.17	.19	.21	.23	.25	.26	
32.16	34.78	36.60	HEIGHT -	37.77	36.99	35.09	31.74	26.49	18.76	8.33	-3.84	
90.00	90.06	90.00	ILLBRIUM	90.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.06	
.05	.07	.08	HED EQU	.11	.13	.14	.16	.17	.18	.18	.19	
.21	.23	.25	HAVE REAC	.29	.31	.34	.36	.38	.40	.43	.45	
0.00	00.00	0.00	PLUMES	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

PLUMES HAVE REACHED MAXIMUM HEIGHT - STRATIFIED ENVIRONMENT

TRAPPING LEVEL= .89 METERS BELOW SURFACE, DILUTION= 26.69

### **APPENDIX 2**

### **RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS**

CONTROL OF BUOYANT JET MIXING BY FAR FIELD SPREADING

By Steven J. Wright, A.M. ASCE and Johannes Buhler, M. ASCE

#### Summary

The estimate of near-field dilution for a submerged buoyant jet discharge is considered. The mixing processes include the submerged buoyant jet entrainment and the mixing after impingement upon the free surface as the flow spreads as a surface layer. This latter mixing process is confined to a near source region and is limited by the far field spreading. The case of unsteady density current propagation is considered as the specific far field control. Theoretical models for density current propagation are found to be inconsistent and a new formulation is proposed. The results of the model prediction are compared against various experimental data.

#### Introduction

Since many pollution control regulations for discharges from submerged outfall diffusers are written in terms of permissible near field dilutions, an analysis must include not only an estimate of the submerged jet mixing, but also any influence due to the impingement of the discharge upon the surface and the subsequent gravitational spread. The worst case for dilution is generally with zero ambient current and the problem of a two-dimensional diffuser in stagnant surroundings as depicted in Fig. 1 is considered in this analysis. The surface layer thicknesses are found to be at least 30 percent of the total depth according to various studies (e.g. Buhler (1973), Roberts (1977), etc). Therefore, the description of the layer influence may have a large effect on the estimated near field dilution.

A previous method by Koh (1983) assumes that the far field layer thickness is controlled by density current spreading. Although this unsteady flow problem may not be directly applicable to prototype problems, it is a typical configuration in laboratory studies. Koh predicts the density current thickness as a function of the jet volume and buoyancy fluxes and assumes that this layer serves to block the jet mixing process, i.e. no further dilution occurs above the level of the bottom of the spreading layer. By coupling the two submodels together, the near field dilution is taken as that predicted by the submerged jet model at the bottom of the spreading layer. This method underpredicts the dilutions observed in a variety of experiments, as discussed by Wright (1985a).

A related method by Jirka and Harleman (1979) assumes that the layer thickness where the jet impinges upon the surface is determined by local energy considerations and is not directly coupled to the far field. The surface layer thickness then increases by an internal hydraulic jump in

\*Assoc. Prof. of Civil Engrg., Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. \*\*Research Assoc., Inst. of Hydromechanics and Water Resources, Federal Inst. of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland. is analysis that assumes no mixing. In the analysis, there is no far field control unless the jump is unstable and locally mixes over the ratire depth. Jirka and Harleman's model predicts larger dilutions for taflooded internal jumps than Koh's method because of the smaller blocking layer thickness in the vicinity of the source.

The present analysis is an extension of the previous discussion by fright (1985a). In that work, the surface flow was assumed to undergo farther dilution through a density jump as described by Wilkinson and Food (1971). In order to apply their analysis, a downstream control is required. Wright used Koh's far field spreading model directly to lemonstrate the differences in the two near field analyses. This study sittempts to include a more correct and complete handling of the far field spreading from the analyses of Benjamin (1969) and others. It will be shown that certain inconsistencies result in the direct explication of this theory. An explanation for the resolution of the contradictions is put forth and the resulting model is developed. Finally, the model formulation is compared against a variety of experimental data to show that reasonable predictions for the near field dilution are achieved.

#### Submodels

The complete problem is handled by application of successive submodels. The approach is similar to that of Koh or Jirka and Harleman except for the specifics of the various submodels. The methodology may be regarded as approximate due to the inability to precisely describe the interactions of the various flow phenomena, but is sufficiently accurate for the intended purpose of predicting near-field dilutions.

#### Submerged Jet Model

The work by Buhler (1973) shows that submerged manifold diffusers can often be analyzed as a line plume, for which an analytical solution is available. For other cases, various numerical integral models can be invoked that differ little in their conceptual description of the interactions between adjacent jets. The model described by Wright, et al (1982) is used herein. The model predicts the variation of flures of volume  $q = \int u \, dA$ , momentum  $m = \int u^2 \, dA$ , and buoyancy  $\beta = \int ug' \, dA$  along the jet trajectory, where u is velocity and g' is the reduced gravitational acceleration associated with local density differences between the jet and ambient fluids. For this study, the rows of jets on



Figure 1. Definition Sketch for a.) Near-Field Flow, b.) Density Jump

either side of the diffuser are assumed to form a single vertical flow after the individual jets on one side are computed to be merged (transition from round to two-dimensional jets). In the original model. the volume flux was made discontinuous at merging to force the model to predict continuous minimum dilutions since all characteristic variables cannot be kept continuous with the approximate description of the merging process. In this study, it is preferred to accept discontinuitics in the minimum dilution because the the volume flux enters directly into subsequent computations. For this reason, it should be expected that predictions of minimum jet dilution will be somewhat inaccurate when the jet merging is close to the surface. The subsequent development thus concentrates on the prediction of average dilution  $S = q/q_0$ , where  $q_0$  is the source discharge. In order to compare the predictions against experimental data in which only the concentration of a tracer is known, the approach by Buhler (1983) is followed in which the average concentration c is computed from the first two moments of the concentration distribution,  $ch = \int c dA$  and  $ch^2/2 =$  $\int cy \, dA$  where y is distance from the centerline for a free jet or boundary for a wall jet.

#### Surface Impingement

The methodology of Jirka and Harleman (1979) is adopted for the description of the jet impingement on the surface (Fig. 1). Their analysis assumes continuity of flow and energy conservation including a loss term but indicate the results are not too sensitive to estimates of loss coefficients. In the present analysis, the predicted near field dilution will be more dependent upon the selected loss coefficient and other assumptions regarding velocity and density profiles. The loss coefficient  $k_L = 0.2$  and uniform velocity and density profiles in the surface flow suggested by Jirka and Harleman were used in the present formulation. This surface impingement is formulated in terms of the jet fluxes and surface layer thickness h as:

$$\left[\frac{q}{4h}\right]^3 - \frac{(1-k)}{2\sqrt{3}}L^2\left[\frac{m}{q}\right]^2\left[\frac{q}{4h}\right] - \frac{\beta}{16} = 0$$
(1)

Eq. 1 is used directly with the submerged jet model to determine when the remaining distance to the free surface and h are equal. This then defines the input conditions for the density jump model.



Figure 2. a.) Definition Sketch for Density Current, b.) Photo, Run 25A

738

#### Density Jump

The methodology of Wilkinson and Wood (1971) is employed in this submodel. The problem is indicated schematically in Fig. 1b and follows directly from Wright (1985a). Uniform profiles of density and velocity are assumed, although nonuniform profiles can be included by a series of integral constants, as discussed by Wright (1985b). The equations are

$$\frac{q_1^2}{h_1} + \frac{(q_1 - q_0/2)^2}{U - h_1} - \frac{q_1^2}{h_2} - \frac{(q_2 - q_0/2)^2}{U - h_2} + \frac{\beta h_1^2}{4q_1} - \frac{\beta h_2^2}{4q_2} = 0$$
(2)

Wajor differences from the model by Jirka and Harleman are that mixing is assumed to occur in the surface flow and that in this formulation, a downstream control is required.

Downstream Control.

Wilkinson and Wood's (1971) original hypothesis was that the layor Froude numbers  $F^2 + F_1^2 = q^2/g'h^3 + q^2/g'h^3$  (where the subscripts a and b refer to the upper and bottom layers, respectively) in the absence of other downstream control would decrease from an initially supercritical state until the internal interfacial waves are blocked from upstream propagation, i.e., the flow is internally critical. This has been verified by Wright (1985b). The downstream control to be considered herein is the unsteady density current indicated in Fig. 2. Although Koh (1983) considers the same problem, he used the solution for infinite dopth and adjusted it to account for the relative velocity in the return layer. The analysis by Benjamin (1969) yields a propagation velocity that is dependent upon the fractional depth  $\eta = h_{1/2}/H$  of the spreading layer. His analysis is independent of the relative velocity between layers and is applied to the present problem by assuming that an induced flow of  $q_o/2H$  is generated ahead of the density current to satisfy the overall continuity of the system. Expressed in this framework, Benjamin's result is

$$c^{2}/(g'h_{1}) = [q_{1}/h_{2} - q_{0}/(2H)]^{2}/(g'h_{1}) = (1-\eta)(2-\eta)/(1+\eta)$$
 (3)

Benjamin shows that  $\eta \leq 0.5$  to satisfy the requirement of no energy gain across the jump. Kranenburg (1978) extended this to show that a necessary condition for the density current to propagate as a shock is that  $\eta \leq 0.347$ . This is based upon the condition that the return flow in the lower can be at most critical with respect to the head. However, this can be shown to lead to a logical contradiction. Consider a situation where the layer depth might otherwise be in excess of the stated criterion (either greater than 0.5H for Benjamin or 0.347H for Kranenburg). Then, according to the argument by Kranenburg, the layer depth is restricted to the value imposed by the appropriate limit. If so, the layer depth and propagation velocity are fixed, which in turn uniquely determines the volume flux in the layer. The following relations can be derived for the two cases:

Benjamin
 
$$S(S-1/2)^2 = \beta \Pi^2 / (4q_0^3)$$
 (4)

 Kranenburg
  $S(S-0.347)^2 = 0.134\beta \Pi^2 / q_0^3$ 
 (5)

Although Buhler (1977) interpreted his data to imply Eq. 4 to be a valid description, it will be shown below that his results can also be

described by the present formulation which is more consistent with other observations. The solution to Eq. 3 is presented in Fig. 3 in the form of  $F_2^2 + F_2^2$  in a stationary frame of reference. It is presumed that when the density current is subcritical, it serves as the downstream control for the density jump. However, it can be seen that the density current flow would be supercritical for many source conditions. This implies that the density current should not act as a downstream control because the density jump would mix to an internally critical state otherwise. However, Eqs. 4 or 5 imply that the near field dilution is uniquely fixed by the density current regardless of the nature of the submerged jet mixing or the density jump. The apparent contradiction can be resolved by suggesting that the arguments by Benjamin or Kranenburg only provide a limit to the applicability of their theory. For the purposes of the present analysis, it is simply specified that critical flow in the return layer at the head occurs for layer depths in excess of 0.347 II. For the present problem, this may be used to derive the sum of the layer Froude numbers:

$$F_{u}^{2} = (1-\eta)^{3}/\eta + 2(1-\eta)^{3/2}F_{A}/\eta + F_{A}^{2}/2$$
(6)  
and  

$$F_{u}^{2} + F_{b}^{2} = 1 - 2F_{u}[\eta/(1-\eta)]^{1/2} + F_{u}^{2}/(1-\eta)$$

where  $F_A^2 = (q_0/2H)^2/(g'H)$ . The predictions of Eq. 6 are also plotted in Figure 3 with the intersection at  $\eta = 0.347$ . All predictions generated below utilize this description to prescribe the downstream control, namely if the sum of the layer Froude numbers gives a



Figure. 3. Variation of Layer Froude Numbers with Fractional Depth.

740

subcritical state, Eq. 3 is applied for  $\eta < 0.347$  and Eq. 6 for  $\eta > 0.347$ . If the density current Froude numbers are supercritical (small  $\eta$  or large  $F_A$ ), the density jump is assumed to mix to an internally critical state. It should be noted that none of the experimental data presented below is in a range where the latter criterion is applied.

It should also be noted that interfacial friction has been ignored. If its effect is included in the analysis, there is an inability to maintain a steady state far-field flow. However, it appears that this is not too important for most laboratory investigations. In a prototype situation, however, it is not clear that there will be a far field density current except for starting flows that only rarely occur in practice. Therefore the role of friction, far field currents, and three-dimensional spreading effects may be dominant in those cases.

#### Experimental Investigations

At present, the detailed investigation needed to verify all the aspects of the above analysis has not been conducted. However, there is a fair amount of data available to validate the basic components. In addition to the results discussed below, other data investigated appear to be in general agreement with the concepts developed herein.

Wallace and Sheff (1984) conducted a few experiments for slot discharges in a channel where the spreading is into a larger width at an expansion about 2H away from the source. This type of free outflow is presumed to give an internally critical section at the expansion and therefore corresponds to the solution in the absence of other downstream control. The numerical solution with the integral jet model discussed above predicts  $\eta = 0.29$  and  $Sq_0/(\beta^{1/3}H) = 0.46$ , whereas the measured concentration profiles near the expansion soction for four experiments yield average values of  $\eta = 0.27$  and  $Sq_0/(\beta^{1/3}H) = 0.50$  with minimal deviation between the measured x by the deviation between the measured and predicted results is within the variation that would occur with different assumed profile shapes in the surface layer.

Wright (1986) collected data on horizontal wall jets to examine specific questions associated with far field control on jet mixing. Data on the density current propagation problem was collected in addition to other results. Kranenburg's (1978) critical flow criterion may used to derive a head Froude number  $F_h$  (for spreading in one direction) independent of direct measurements of the layer thickness:

$$F_1^2 = V[V-q_0/H]^2/\beta = (1-\eta)^3/\eta = 0.80$$
 if  $\eta = 0.347$  (7)

where V is the density current speed. The density currents were photographed and their propagation velocities determined. One extreme density current is indicated in Fig. 2b. Although more detailed information on temperature profiles is also available, the visual thicknesses were often in excess of the proposed limits for  $\eta$  of either 0.5 or 0.347. Representative data presonted in Table 1 indicate that

lable	1.	Propagation	Characteristics	of	Density	Currents.
-------	----	-------------	-----------------	----	---------	-----------

		•			
9B	2.5A	5A	3A	1A	Run #
0.306	0.069	0.073	0.244	0.325	$V(V-q_0/H)^2/\beta$
0.47 0.47	0.70	0.64	0.50	0.47 0.55	η from Eq. 7 Visual η
(	0.77	0.63	0.57	0.55	Visual η

the density currents follow the critical flow relation but not the depth limitation as the predicted depths from Eq. 7 agree with those observed.

Buhler (1973) performed experiments with discharges from a manifold diffuser and the data consist of surface concentrations at the source, nine water depths away, and vertical concentration profiles at two intermediate locations which are presumed to be within the density jump. Also measured was the propagation velocity of the density current. Analysis indicated that the density current speed was the most sensitive parameter to details of the model formulation. A comparison of predicted and observed V and S (assuming the average dilution is 1.1 times the surface dilution, this was estimated from the concentration profiles) at 9H are presented in Table 2 for nine different experiments where the individual jets were computed to have merged before the surface impingement. Although there are some systematic deviations, it appears that these are due to inaccuracies in the submerged jet model.

	Table 2.	Comparison of	Model with Data fro	om Buhler (1973).	
	Run #	Vmeas./q2/h2	S meas.	S <sub>pred</sub> ./1.1 *	
	29	0.88	206	190	
	32	0.96	133	133	
	35	1.18	83.4	78.6	
ł	20	0 02	224	206	

22	1.10		03	• 4	/0.0		
39	0.93		33	4	306		
42	1.10		21	2	208		
45	1.17		13	1	139		
48	1.05		53	0	550		
50	1.26		32	8	324		
52	1.48		19	7	220		
Factor	of 1.1 is	observed	ratio of	average	to minimum	dilution.	
Factor	of 1.1 is	observed	ratio of	average	to minimum	dilution.	

Finally, Roberts (1977) performed some experiments on a slot plume and reports surface dilutions to be given by  $Sq_0/(\beta^{1/3}H) = 0.27$ , which is much lower than the other data investigated. However, the source Reynolds numbers are only on the order of 100-300 and it appears that these results are significantly affected by viscous effects.

#### Conclusions

Although the data do not cover a broad range of source conditions, the methodology outlined is fairly well supported by the available data. One result is that the blocking correction proposed by Koh is too conservative, i.e. it underpredicts the actual dilution. Another issue is that an unsteady laboratory study may have no direct relationship to a prototype flow. It is suspected that many prototype problems may not have a downstream control other than the internally critical one and therefore that a reasonable approach to an analysis may be to use that as the downstream control rather than something else that may be highly speculative in nature. The role of internal friction warrants further investigation.

#### Acknowledgment

Most of the research was conducted at the Swiss Federal Institute of Tochnology in Zurich, Switzerland. The project was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Grant No. 87.229.0.84 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. INT-8404553.

742

References

Benjamin, T.B., "Gravity Currents and Related Phenomena," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 31, 1968, pp.209-248.

Buhler, J., "Model Studies of Multiport Diffusers in Unstratified, Stagnant or Flowing Roceiving Water," Dissertation presented to the University of California, at Borkeley, California, in 1973, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Buhler, J., "On Buoyant Surface Layers Generated by Wastewater Discharged from Submerged Diffusers," <u>Proceedings of the 17th</u> <u>International Congress of the IANR</u>, Baden-Baden, Germany, 1977, Vol. 1, pp. 325-332.

Buhler, J., "On Integral Scales for Jetlike Flows," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>8th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference</u>, University of New Castle, New South Wales, Australia, 1983, pp. 8C9-8C12.

Jirka, G.H. and Harleman, D.R.F., "Stability and Mixing of a Vertical Plane Buoyant Jet in Confined Depth," <u>Journal of Fluid Mechanics</u>, Vol. 94, No. 2, 1979, pp. 275-304.

Koh, R.C.Y., "Wastewater Field Thickness and Initial Dilution," <u>Journal</u> of Hydraulic Engineering, Vol. 109, No. 9, 1983, pp. 1232-1240.

Kranenburg, C., "Internal Fronts in Two Layer Flow," Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, Vol. 104, HY10, 1978, pp. 1449-1453.

Roberts, P.J.W., "Dispersion of Buoyant Wastewater Discharged from Outfall Diffusers of Finite Length," W.M. Keck Laboratory of Hydraulics and Water Resources, Report No. KH-R-35, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 1977.

Wallace, R.B. and Sheff, B.B., "Measurements to Quantify Wastewater Fields Produced by Outfall Diffusers," Project Report to the Office of Water Research and Technology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, 1984.

Wright, S.J., Wong, D.R., Wallace, R.B., and Zimmerman, K.E., "Outfall Diffuser Behavior in Stratified Ambient Fluid," <u>Journal of the</u> <u>Hydraulics Division, ASCE</u>, Vol. 108, HY4, 1982, pp. 483-501.

Wright, S.J., Discussion to "Wastewater Field Thickness and Initial Dilution," by R.C.Y. Koh, <u>Journal of Hydraulic Engineering</u>, Vol. 111, No. 5, 1985, pp. 891-896.

Wright, S.J., "Global Constraints on Buoyant Jet Mixing in Confined Environments," <u>Proceedings of the International Symposium on Refined</u> <u>Flow Modeling and Turbulence Measurements</u>, Iowa City, Iowa, 1985, Chap. A13, pp. 1-10.

Wright, S.J., "Aspects of Far Field Control on Buoyant Jet Mixing," To be published as a report by The Institut fur Hydromechanik und Wasserwirtschaft, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 1986.

### ADDENDUM TO

### ASSESSMENT OF EPA PLUME MODEL <u>UDKHDEN</u> PREDICTIONS IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT FLUIDS

**Report Prepared for** 

### WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS Walnut Creek, California

and

### ALYESKA PIPELINE SERVICE COMPANY Anchorage, Alaska

By

Steven J. Wright

The University of Michigan Department of Civil Engineering Ann Arbor, Michigan

February 21, 1987

### INTRODUCTION

This addendum to the report Assessment of EPA Plume Model UDKHDEN Predictions in Stratified Ambient Fluids is to address issues associated with the interpretation of the field data obtained at the site of the Port Valdez ballast water diffuser. The original report concentrated solely on the interpretation of the predictions of the EPA plume model UDKHDEN in relation to available laboratory data on buoyant jets in stratified fluids. Various conclusions regarding the interpretation of the model predictions were obtained. However, these were not directly applied to the field data obtained in October, 1985 and March, 1986. One important aspect to the field data was that in the March, 1986 survey, the plume was observed to rise to the surface much of the sampling period. This addendum addresses the direct application of the previous modelling results to the October, 1985 data and describes an interpretation of the UDKHDEN output to handle the problem of surfacing plumes. The comparison of the model interpretation against the field data indicates that the predictions are within the probable uncertainty in the field data.

### BACKGROUND

The original report presented a comparison of the UDKHDEN predictions versus the available experimental data from laboratory experiments in stratified fluids. The data set that was regarded as most applicable to the field application was the data on vertical round buoyant jets in linearly stratified fluids. The dilution predicted at the maximum height of rise was found to be a superior indicator of the observations than the present model implementation which uses the dilution at the neutrally buoyancy level. The ratio of predicted average dilution versus observed minimum dilution was presented as a function of the length scale ratio  $l_m/l_b$  in Figure 6 of the report. This indicates that the ratio of predicted average dilution to observed minimum dilution in the spreading layer ranges from about 1.7 down to about 1.3 over the range of the length scale ratio covered by the experimental data. On the basis of the conclusions presented in the report, the field data was interpreted on the same basis and the results were transmitted in a report by Peter Mangarella dated February 6, 1987 which showed a similar trend in the comparison between model predictions and the field data collected in October, 1985. This has led to the attempt below to extend the laboratory predictions to the field data to develop a basic model correction. This is shown to work very successfully below.

The comparison of the field data collected in March, 1986 and the model predictions are complicated by the fact that the plume rose to the surface during much of the sampling period. As discussed in the previous report, the UDKHDEN model apparently uses too large of an entrainment coefficient and will under-predict the maximum height of rise due partially to that reason. This has little influence on the predicted minimum dilution since the reduction in rise height is accompanied by an increase in dilution per unit distance. This leads to the observed dilemma that the plume was predicted to be submerged in many circumstances where the actual plume was observed to be surfacing. However, both the model and the observations indicated plume surfacing during much of the sampling period. The previously mentioned report by Peter Mangarella apparently reports the predicted minimum dilution at the water surface as the predicted dilution and this over-predicts the dilution in most cases. Since the plume must spread along the surface after impingement upon it, it is not obvious that the prediction taken all the way to the free surface is a reasonable approach. Previous approaches have stopped the dilution at the bottom of the layer thickness that is predicted by a far field spreading model and has been reported to be approximately one-third of the entire depth. However, Wright, 1985 has shown such an approach to be not entirely accurate. An alternative procedure was developed that has been extended by Wright, 1986 ("Aspects of Far Field Control on Buoyant Jet Mixing," Report R24-86, Institut für Hydromechanik und Wasserwirtschaft, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland, March 1986) and Wright and Bühler, 1986. The flow is indicated schematically in Figure 2 of the original report (reproduced from Wright, 1986) and indicates a smaller near field layer followed by a surface mixing region after which the flow collapses to a non-entraining layer in the far field. The near field layer thickness for a two dimensional plume was theoretically predicted to be 16.5 percent of the entire depth. The minimum dilution directly above the diffuser is thus taken as that at 0.835 of the total depth rather than that at the total depth. Farther away, the surface jet results in an additional dilution of about 13 percent for a plume. These predictions were shown in the above references to adequately describe a variety of experimental laboratory data on both line plumes and diffuser discharges. These corrections are applied to the UDKHDEN predictions and are shown to account for much of the reported discrepancies between predicted and observed dilutions.

The two sets of field data are interpreted separately due to the fundamentally different nature of the flow behavior in the two situations.

### OCTOBER, 1985 DATA

The model predicts the plume to be submerged during the entire test period and the field observations also indicate the waste field to be submerged as well. Therefore, the interpretation of the field data is taken entirely in the context of the previous report. Basically, the correction is applied from the comparison of the predicted average dilution versus the observed minimum dilution in the spreading layer as indicated in Fig. 6 of the report. In the report by Peter Mangarella, the field data have been reported as minimum dilutions, and all subsequent interpretation is made on this basis. As reported, the laboratory data indicate the ratio between average and minimum dilution within the spreading layer to be about 1.28 for two dimensional flows. Therefore the ratios of the predicted to observed dilutions are reduced by a factor of 1.28 to convert the dilutions from average to minimum. Since the laboratory data are for round buoyant jets, it is not obvious that the scaling between these and the diffuser results is direct. However, in the absence of better information, this approach is taken herein. A linear regression to fit (in a least squares sense) a cubic polynomial to the ratio of observed to predicted minimum dilution was performed on all the data presented in Fig. 6. The result of this regression analysis is

### CORRECTION = $0.8913 - 0.01996 \eta + 0.005518 \eta^2 - 0.0001927 \eta^3$

where  $\eta = l_m/l_b$  as defined in the previous report. The variation of the correction function is fairly small with the standard error of estimate only slightly less than the standard deviation of the uncorrelated data (0.142 versus 0.162) The report by Mangarella (hereafter referred to as the Woodward Clyde report) provides estimates for the same parameter from the various field data cases with a fairly minor range of variation between 1.49 and 4.63. A correction following the above regression equation was applied to the predictions provided in the Woodward Clyde report. There is one cast that grossly deviates from the predictions. That is Cast 42 and the observed minimum dilution was measured directly above the diffuser. Since the observed and predicted minimum dilution are off by a factor of 3 and inconsistent with the previous Cast 41 which has nearly the same conditions, this particular data set is excluded from further consideration. The average of the remaining corrected predicted to observed minimum dilutions is 1.019 with a standard deviation of 0.255. The exact results are presented in Table 4. A closer inspection appears to indicate that the ratio of predicted to observed dilution is still a decreasing function of  $l_m/l_b$ , but this could be due to other influences since that ratio increases with time through the field study period. It is unlikely that a more satisfactory correction can be attained because the remaining deviation is probably attributable to uncertainties in the field data acquisition effort.

### MARCH 1986 DATA

The interpretation of this data is complicated by several factors. One of the most important difficulties is that the plume is predicted to be submerged during the early phases of the field study while the observations indicate a surfacing plume. This is consistent with the previous observation that UDKHDEN uses too large of an entrainment coefficient and thus considerably under-predicts the maximum rise height. It is extremely difficult to develop a simple correction for this portion of the data since the correction mentioned above takes no account of the problems with the incorrectly predicted maximum rise height and doesn't matter since the plume in October was always submerged. If one takes the average of the ratios of predicted and observed dilutions for the case where the plume is predicted to be submerged but is observed to have surfaced, the average is 0.997 with a standard deviation of 0.387. Therefore, it is unlikely that a much more satisfactory correction procedure can be developed and such an effort was not attempted.

Towards the end of the field study, both model predictions and field study indicate the plume to be submerged. However, there are only two measurements during this period. The exact values of the ratio  $l_m/l_b$  are not presented in the Woodward Clyde report but an estimate was developed. In both of these cases, the minimum observed dilution was directly above the diffuser and was between 55-60 percent of the predicted dilution. In both of the October casts where the minimum dilution was measured directly above the source, similar discrepancies are found. Since the laboratory data records minimum dilution at a horizontal location approximately one maximum rise height away from the discharge, it is not obvious that these types of measurements should correspond to the recommended corrections. Therefore these two observations are not considered further because of an insufficient sample size and because of basic questions as to whether they should be interpreted as equivalent to the other data.

This leaves the data which were observed to surface and which were also predicted to do so. Actually, one data set which was predicted to stop rising only 2 m below the water surface (Cast 125) is included in this set. For the interpretation of this data, a differentiation was made between data where the minimum dilution was found at Station 33 (directly above the diffuser) or elsewhere. For the former case, the predicted dilution was adjusted downward by a factor of 0.835 (since the dilution in a two dimensional plume is linear with distance) to account for the surface blocking layer as discussed in Wright, 1985, i.e.

### $S_{min}$ (corrected) = 0.835 $S_{min}$ (predicted)

For all other stations, the effect of the near field density jump is included in the analysis by increasing the corrected dilution by an additional 13 percent, i.e.

### $S_{min}$ (corrected) = 0.835 x 1.13 $S_{min}$ (predicted) = 0.94 $S_{min}$ (predicted)

For all the data in this set, there are several observations that are quite far off the predicted dilutions. The results are tabulated in Table 5. Discarding the four of the twelve that are off by more than 60 percent, the average ratio of corrected predicted to observed minimum dilution is 1.014 with a standard deviation of 0.232. All twelve give an average ratio of 1.20 with a standard deviation of 0.546. There is no obvious explanation for the three or four observations that are off by nearly a factor of 2, but it is not clear that they should be considered in the interpretation of the results as one prediction is too low and the others too high. Of these 4, three are at station 33 and two have much higher predicted than observed dilution. If the remaining eight observations are analyzed, those at station 33 yield an average of predicted to observed dilution of 1.04 (2 observations) and those at all other stations 1.013 (6 observations). Therefore, the correction procedure appears to be rational and has a reasonable theoretical basis.

The one problem that is not addressed in this correction effort is the question of the entrainment coefficient in the UDKHDEN model. Since the entrainment coefficient has been apparently optimized for predicting two dimensional plumes, it is reasonable to expect that it should be more or less satisfactory for the present analysis, since the model predictions show the diffuser jets to be merged by the time they reach the surface and the  $l_m/H$  ratios show the flow to be buoyancy dominated. A computation of the predicted minimum dilution by using the two dimensional plume formula with an appropriate estimate of the entrainment coefficient seems to reproduce the predicted dilutions (before correction) satisfactorily, so it is presumed that this is not a major problem for the surfacing plumes. However, for the plumes that are trapped by the stratification at lower elevations, this may not be the case. Also in other applications where the jets are not fully merged, the same conclusion would be appropriate.

### SUMMARY

A series of corrections have been applied to the UDKHDEN plume model based upon observations in laboratory experiments and/or theoretical arguments. The corrections for the case of submerged jets were based upon the observed deviations between vertical round buoyant jets with a correction to transfer from average to minimum dilution. This is subject to several questionable assumptions, but seems to be quite satisfactory in its application. The results for surfacing jets is based upon a theoretical model that is supported by a considerable amount of other data. Within the scatter in the field data, the corrected model predictions quite satisfactorily reproduce the field observations. Unless specific causes for the deviations between the predictions and observations can be determined, it is unlikely that more accurate corrections can be developed.

Cast	Station	l <sub>m</sub> /l <sub>b</sub>	S <sub>observed</sub>	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{predicted}}$	S <sub>pred.</sub> (corrected)	Ratio (corr./obs.)
6	33	2.12	46	42	37	0.80
7	34	2.06	37	56	49	1.32
8	45	1.90	45	56	49	1.09
9	42	1.90	42	56	49	1.17
12	43	2.03	50	65	57	1.14
15	43	1.78	97	90	78	0.80
26	42	1.66	49	58	51	1.04
27	32	1.49	53	73	64	1.21
41	43	1.76	92	105	92	1.0
42	33	1.68	33	105		X
53	43	2.90	34	60	53	1.56
54	42	2.75	69	60	52	0.75
55	42	3.21	72	75	66	0.92
82	42	3.45	59	41	55	0.76
93	44	4.63	94	59	53	0.56
113	42	3.77	64	91	80	1.25
114	42	4.00	81	86	76	0.94
					Mean	1.019

TABLE 4. Summary of Results from October, 1985 Field Survey.

.

		Observed	Pre	d.	~predict	ed Spred. (Corrected)	(corr./obs.)
			····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	33	N	Y	200	191		х
5	32	Ν	Y	220	176		X
6	42	Ν	Y	260	224		X
11	42	Ν	Y	211	269		X
12	42	Ν	Y	295	262		X
15	33	Ν	Y	148	252		Х
33	33	N	N	421	205	172	0.41X
42	42	Ν	Ν	148	300	282	1.91X
43	32	Ν	Ν	197	236	222	1.13
44	32	Ν	Ν	219	236	222	1.01
45	33	Ν	Ν	184	236	197	1.07
46	33	Ν	Ν	140	162	135	0.96
66	33	Ν	Ν	91	257	214	2.35X
67	43	Ν	Ν	246	239	225	0.91
93	33	Ν	Ν	85	360	300	1.62X
125	44	Ν	N*	236	234	220	0.93
126	42	Ν	Ν	197	135	127	0.64
127	43	Ν	Ν	118	183	172	1.46
144	33	Y	Y	140	272	240?	х
169	33	Y	Y	84	183	161?	Х
					Mean	(excluding X)	1.014
						all	1.20

## TABLE 5. Summary of Results from March, 1986 Field Survey.

\_\_\_\_\_\_



# 

56 0123456	56	65432							
	AIIM SCANNER TEST CHART # 2	A4 Page 9543210							
<sup>4 рт</sup> 6 РТ 8 РТ 10 РТ	Spectra ABCDEFGHUKLMNOPORSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",/?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789								
<sup>4 pt</sup> 6 pt 8 PT 10 PT	Times Roman ABCDEFGHIJKLMN0PQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",./?50123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMN0PQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMN0PQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMN0PQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",./?\$0123456789								
<sup>4 PT</sup> 6 PT 8 PT 10 PT	Century Schoolbook Bold ABCDEFGHLJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",/?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHLJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",/?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHLJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",/?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHLJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",/?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHLJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;",/?\$0123456789								
6 рт 8 рт 10 рт	News Gothic Bold Reversed ABCDEFGHIJKLIMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklimnopqrstuvwxyz;:",./?\$0123456789								
6 PT 8 PT 10 PT	BOGONI IIAIIC ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;: "/?&0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;: "/?&0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;: "/?&0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;: "/?&0123456789 ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;: "/?&0123456789								
6 рт 8 РТ <b>10 РТ</b>	Greek and Math Symbols ABTAEE0HIKAMNOII@PZTYDXYZaβyðef@hukAuvom&portvaxU{ $\geq$ +",/ $\leq$ ±= $\neq$ "><><><<=ABTAEE0HIKAMNOII@PZTYDXYZaβyðef@hukAuvom&portvaxU{ $\geq$ ="",/ $\leq$ ±= $\neq$ "><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><								
	White Black Isolated Characters								
1123456	4 5 6 7 0 ° 8 9 0 h i B	65432 A4 Page 6543210							
MESH	HALFTONE WEDGES	<b></b> '							
65									
85									
100									
110									
133									
150									



RIT ALPHANUMERIC RESOLUTION TEST OBJECT, RT-1-71