substituted benzenes. This also confirms the results of our calculations. The details will be published elsewhere.

The writer wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor S. Mizushima and Dr. T. Shimanouchi at Tokyo University for their kind guidance.

Young, Duvall, and Wright, Anal. Chem. 23, 709 (1951).
 Y. Kakiuti and T. Shimanouchi, J. Chem. Phys. (to be published).
 Shimanouchi, Kakiuti, and Gamo, J. Chem. Phys. (to be published).
 American Petroleum Institute, Research Project 44, catalog of infra-

red and Raman spectra.

⁵ D. H. Whiffen, Spectrochim. Acta 7, 253 (1955).

Assignment of the OH In-Plane Deformation Frequency

S. KRIMM, C. Y. LIANG,* AND G. B. B. M. SUTHERLAND† Harrison M. Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received August 3, 1956)

HE assignment of the OH in-plane deformation frequency in alcohols, $\delta(OH)$, in which the hydrogen atom moves in the COH plane and essentially perpendicular to the OH bond, has been controversial for some time. On the basis of a study of the spectrum of methyl alcohol, some authors2,3 have favored a value near 1110 cm⁻¹ for this vibration, whereas others^{4,5} have assigned it to a band at 1340 cm⁻¹. It has also been suggested that this vibration occurs in the 1050 to 1080 cm⁻¹ region,^{6,7} and near 1020 cm⁻¹.8

In a recent detailed study of a series of alcohols, Stuart and Sutherland9 found that on dilution a broad doublet with peaks at 1330 and 1410 cm⁻¹ was replaced by a single band near 1250 cm⁻¹, and that a band near 1110 cm⁻¹ shifted to about 1080 cm⁻¹. On deuteration, a band appeared near 950 cm⁻¹ which shifted to 900 cm⁻¹ on dilution. They concluded from these and other results that the $\delta(OH)$ vibration in the associated alcohols should be assigned to the 1410 to 1330 cm⁻¹ doublet, although no satisfactory explanation could be given for the doublet structure of this band. We wish to report some results of a study of the spectrum of polyvinyl alcohol¹⁰ which bear on the assignment of the $\delta(OH)$ frequency.

We have obtained the infrared spectra of normal and of deuterated polyvinyl alcohol.10,11 The significant changes on deuteration are the disappearance of bands at 1446 cm⁻¹, 1326 cm⁻¹, and 1096 cm⁻¹, and the appearance of bands at 1384 cm⁻¹, 1052 cm⁻¹, and 985 cm⁻¹, all of these bands being of comparable intensity. It seems fairly certain¹⁰ that the 1096-cm⁻¹ band, and its counterpart at 1052 cm⁻¹, is related to a v(CO) vibration, and that the 985 cm $^{-1}$ band is a $\delta(OD)$ vibration. There is also good evidence^{10,12} that the 1384-cm⁻¹ band is to be assigned to a CH bending mode, $\delta(CH)$. We therefore suggest that the $\delta(OH)$ mode of the associated molecule interacts with the δ(CH) mode, giving rise to two mixed vibrations δ(OH+CH), whose frequencies are close to 1330 cm⁻¹ and 1410 cm⁻¹ (or 1446 cm⁻¹ in polyvinyl alcohol). In the monomeric state of the alcohols this interaction disappears, leaving a single δ (OH) frequency at about 1250 cm⁻¹. The same happens on deuteration, giving a single $\delta(OD)$ frequency at about 950 cm⁻¹.

If the foregoing explanation is correct, we would expect that upon dilution of a secondary alcohol a band near 1380 cm⁻¹ should become more intense, representing the uncoupled $\delta(CH)$ mode. This has indeed been found¹³ in dilution studies on pentanol-3. Similarly, we would predict that the $\delta(OH)$ frequency of associated tertiary alcohols should be single, and this again is in accord with the observations.9 The experimental evidence is less clear in the case of primary alcohols, where we would expect the doubling to arise from coupling between the $\delta(OH)$ and $\delta(CH_2)$ vibrations. However, there do seem to be some apparently anomalous frequency and intensity changes in this region on dilution.9 The situation in polyvinyl alcohol is more clear-cut because of the absence of CH3 group absorption, and because of simplifications introduced by the repeating chain. In order to clarify the problem

in the case of the primary alcohols, more observations are needed on alcohols in which the CH2 and OH groups have been deuterated, both individually and simultaneously.

* Present address: American Viscose Corporation, Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania.
† Present address: National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middle-

† Present address: National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England.

¹ G. B. B. M. Sutherland, Discussions Faraday Soc. 9, 274 (1950).

² A. Borden and E. F. Barker, J. Chem. Phys. 6, 553 (1938).

³ M. Davies, J. Chem. Phys. 16, 267 (1948).

⁴ H. D. Noether, J. Chem. Phys. 10, 693 (1942).

⁵ G. Herzberg, Infraved and Raman Spectra (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, 1945), p. 334.

⁵ Barnes, Gore, Stafford, and Williams, Anal. Chem. 20, 402 (1948).

⁵ H. W. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc. 328 (1948).

⁵ J. R. Quinan and S. E. Wiberley, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1896 (1953); Anal. Chem. 26, 1762 (1954).

§ A. V. Stuart and G. B. B. M. Sutherland, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 559 (1956).

10 Krimm, Liang, and Sutherland, Symposium on Molecular Structure and Spectroscopy, Columbus, Ohio, June, 1956; J. Polymer Sci. (to be published).

ublished).

11 Tadokoro, Seki, and Nitta, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1351 (1955).

12 S. Krimm and C. Y. Liang, J. Polymer Sci. (to be published).

13 F. A. Smith and E. C. Creitz, J. Research Natl. Bur. Standards 46, 145 (1951).

Millimeter-Wave Lines of Heavy Water*

GUNNAR ERLANDSSON AND JOHN COX Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Received August 13, 1956)

ROM the rotational and centrifugal distortion constants of HDO given by Posener^{1,2} the $1_{1,1} \rightarrow 1_{1,0}$ line of HDO is predicted to 80 606 Mc. The constants given by Weisbaum et al.^{3,4} give 80 545 Mc for the same line. The corresponding predictions for the $2_{1,2}\rightarrow 2_{1,1}$ line are 241 659 Mc and 241 436 Mc, respectively. These lines have been observed here at frequencies, which are about intermediate between the two predictions, namely 80 578.15 Mc and 241 561.3 Mc. The first line has been identified by means of its Stark effect whereas the identification of the latter is still a matter of probability.

Since the rigid rotor frequency of the 21 line is exactly three times that of the 11 line, this pair gives directly some information about the centrifugal distortion. Neglecting the $(K, K\pm 1)$ off diagonal elements in the energy matrix one gets

$$\begin{cases} \nu(1_1) = \nu_0(1_1) - 8\delta_J + 8R_5 \\ \nu(2_1) = 3\nu_0(1_1) - 72\delta_J + 24R_5, \end{cases}$$

where ν_0 is the rigid rotor frequency. (The same relations may be derived from the Kivelson-Wilson⁵ approximation formula for Q-branch lines.) Eliminating $\nu_0 + 8R_5$ one obtains $\delta_J = 3.61 \pm 0.04$ Mc. which is somewhat closer to Posener's theoretical value 3.333 Mc than to Weisbaum's empirical 4.168 Mc.

In addition to the above lines a number of other lines of HDO and D₂O have been observed in the millimeter region. The identification of these has been greatly facilitated by the infrared results of Benedict et al.6 In two cases (HDO and D₂O, 5_{2,3} → 6_{1,6}) the lines were found as a result of searching at the frequencies predicted by them (138.5 and 90.5 kMc). The observed lines and their assignments are given in Table I. The somewhat tedious task

TABLE I.

Molecule	Transition	Frequency Mc
HDO	11,1-11,0	80 578.15
	$2_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,1}$	241 561.3
	$3_{2,2} \rightarrow 3_{2,1}$	50 236.30ª
	$4_{2,3} \rightarrow 4_{2,2}$	143 727.2
	$42.2 \rightarrow 51.5$	120 778.2
	$5_{2,8} \rightarrow 6_{1,6}$	138 530.4
D_2O	33,0→42,3	43 414.57
	5a,a→44.0	55 482.32
	52,3-61,6	90 916.8

^{*} Reported at 50 236.90 by Strandberg, J. Chem. Phys. 17, 901 (1949).

of refining the constants for HDO to fit the new data has hitherto only been started. It is hoped that it can be put up to an electronic computer later on.

We are grateful to Professor Walter Gordy for suggesting this work and for helpful discussions.

*This research was supported by the U. S. Air Force through the Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command under Contract No. AF18(600)-497.

1 D. W. Posener, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Technical Report No. 255 (1052)

port No. 255 (1953).

² D. W. Posener and M. W. P. Strandberg, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1401

D. W. FOSCHEI and W. H. T. C. T. C.

Dipole Moment of NF₃

PAUL KISLIUK

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received July 6, 1956)

N a recent letter, Mashima presented calculations intended to N a recent letter, maximum presented show that the dipole moment of NF₃ can be satisfactorily explained assuming directed single bonds with the amount of s-phybridization of the N orbitals required by the observed bond angle. The present author has contended2 that the quadrupole coupling of the N nucleus in NF3 can be reasonably explained only by abandoning the directed single bond model and adopting a multiple bonded structure in which the s-p hybridization is not simply related to the bond angle.

In his calculation Mashima has ignored the possible ionic character of the N-F bond. Using a recently published table,3 the electronegativity difference $X_{\rm F} - X_{\rm N}$ is 0.95. This would correspond, according to estimates by various authors, 4 to between 42% and 51% ionic character for a corresponding diatomic molecule, so that one might estimate each N-F bond in NF3 to be roughly 16% ionic. This is sufficient to severely influence a calculation of the dipole moment.

Evidence of partial multiple bonding arises from the observation that the N-F bond distance in NF₃ (1.37 A)⁵ is significantly less than that in N₂F₂ (1.46 A).6 Inclusion of partial multiple bonding would presumably affect the computed bond angle and dipole moment.

Even if one accepts a model without partial ionic or multiple character, agreement with the observed dipole moment is obtained in Mashima's calculation only for an unusually large s hybridization of the F orbitals, i.e., $\sim 53\%$. This is more than is required for maximum overlap, i.e., about 25%, and much more than is generally attributed to halogen bonding orbitals.7-9

Unfortunately evidence relating to the type of bonding from the nuclear quadrupole coupling of nitrogen presents considerable difficulty in interpretation, 2,10 so that speculation on this basis as to the bonding orbitals in NF3 may be fruitless.

Calculations on the basis of such a simple model as that used by Mashima, showing that the observed bond angle and dipole moment can be obtained by a suitable choice of two adjustable parameters, would be of enhanced interest if further understanding of the bond energy, bond length, or nuclear quadrupole coupling followed from the orbitals so obtained.

M. Mashima, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 489 (1956).
 P. Kisliuk, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 91 (1954).
 W. Gordy and W. J. O. Thomas, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 439 (1956).
 P. Dailey and C. H. Townes, Discussions Faraday Soc., No. 19, 275

(1955).

6 Gilliam, Edwards, and Gordy, Phys. Rev. 75, 1014 (1949).

6 S. H. Bauer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69, 3104 (1948).

7 C. H. Townes and A. L. Schawlow, Microwave Spectroscopy (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1955), p. 229.

8 Reference 7, p. 237.

8 W. Gordy, Discussions Faraday Soc., No. 19, 14 (1955), p. 28.

10 C. H. Townes and B. P. Dailey, J. Chem. Phys. 17, 782 (1949), pp. 704-705. 794-795.

Dipole Moment of NF₃

M. MASHIMA

Liberal Arts Faculty, Saga University, Saga-City, Japan (Received July 26, 1956)

T is pertinent to add to the Letter of P. Kisliuk. under the above title, the following remarks. In a previous Letter,2 the author has indicated that the observed dipole moment of NF3 can be satisfactorily explained assuming s-p hybridization of the N and F parts of the bonding wave function.

Kisliuk¹ points out that the s hybridization (53%) of the F bond orbital is unusually large. According to the author's calculation, 53.4% s character is required for maximum overlap, and agreement with the observed dipole moment is obtained for 51.5% or 55.8% s character. Thus, it may be said that the principle of maximum overlapping is nearly satisfied.

For another example, PCl₃, we can see that maximum overlap is attained at 45.2% s character of the CI bond orbital, and agreement with the observed dipole moment is obtained at 40.5% s character. It is clear that similar character of the bond orbitals in these molecules is reasonable, since the electronegativity³ differences in NF₃ and PCl₃ are 0.95 and 0.9, respectively.

For PF₃ (with the electronegativity difference $X_F - X_P = 1.85$), there is a striking difference in that the observed dipole moment is larger than the maximum value calculated by the same type of assumption as NF3. Thus, the observed dipole moment cannot be explained merely in terms of hybridization, but the ionic terms must be added to the bonding wave function.

It is of interest to see that with regard to HCl (with $X_{Cl}-X_{H}$ =0.9) and HF $(X_F - X_H = 1.4)$, similar circumstances have been indicated by Warhurst and Whittle.4 Of course, further checks of the simple model with experiment are needed before it may be used with confidence.

P. Kisliuk, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 779(L) (1956).
 M. Mashima, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 489 (1956).
 W. Gordy and W. J. O. Thomas, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 439 (1956).
 E. Warhurst and E. Whittle, Nature 167, 767 (1951).

Electrostatic Interpretation of Directed Valence

B. F. GRAY AND H. O. PRITCHARD Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester 13, England (Received July 19, 1956)

SINCE the early 1930's, it has been common to discuss bond angles in terms of directional angles in terms of directional properties of atomic orbitals. It is clear, however, that there is no causal relationship between the mathematical convenience of the orbital approximation and the occurrence of directed valences. We will take the two molecules BeH2 and H2O as typifying the problem of directed valence and show that their difference in shape can be understood qualitatively in terms of an electrostatic model.

First, consider the symmetrical approach of two protons P to a hydrogen-like atom M: the energy of repulsion between the three nuclei will be a minimum when they are in a straight line P-M-P, but the energy of attraction between the electron and the nuclei is a maximum when the two P nuclei are superimposed, i.e., [2P]-M (compare the H_3^{++} molecule-ion, where approximate electronic attraction energies for $4a_0$ separation are ^{1,2}: $\theta = 180^{\circ}$, $E \approx -2.6I_H$; $\theta = 60^\circ$, $E \approx -3.3I_H$; $\theta = 0$, $E \approx -4.5I_H$). For any given M-P distance, the expected bond angle will depend on the absolute magnitudes of these two energy terms and the way in which they vary with the P-M-P angle. The equilibrium molecular configuration arises from a compromise between these considerations and the way in which the two energy terms vary with internuclear separation.

The compounds MH_n may be divided into two classes, (1) where the nuclear repulsion term dominates and (2) where the net electronic attraction term dominates. Consider the approach of