Obesity-Related Hormones in Low-Income Preschool-Age Children: Implications for School Readiness
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ABSTRACT—Mechanisms underlying socioeconomic disparities in school readiness and health outcomes, particularly obesity, among preschool-aged children are complex and poorly understood. Obesity can induce changes in proteins in the circulation that contribute to the negative impact of obesity on health; such changes may relate to cognitive and emotion regulation skills important for school readiness. We investigated obesity-related hormones, body mass index (BMI), and school readiness in a pilot study of low-income preschoolers attending Head Start (participating in a larger parent study). We found that the adipokine leptin was related to preschoolers’ BMI z-score, the appetite-regulating hormones ghrelin and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), and pro-inflammatory cytokines typically associated with early life stress; and that some of these obesity-related biomarkers were in turn related to emotion regulation. Future work should evaluate how obesity may affect multiple domains of development, and consider modeling common physiological pathways related to stress, health, and school readiness.
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Cognitive and emotion regulation skills are critical for school readiness (Blair, 2002; Liew, 2012). Obesity and obesity-related biology have been associated with impaired cognition, particularly executive functioning (Smith, Hay, Campbell, & Trollor, 2011), and also emotion regulation difficulties—(e.g., depression; Taylor & MacQueen, 2010). Such associations are the focus of a burgeoning literature in animals and older adults (e.g., Carlini, Gherzi, Schioth, & de Barioglio, 2010; Perry & Greig, 2004; Yamada et al., 2011). Childhood obesity is associated with poor school performance (Datar & Sturm, 2006; Gable, Krull, & Chang, 2012); yet developmentalists have not considered possible biological mechanisms linking obesity and school readiness. We explore the obesity–school readiness pathway in a small sample of low-income preschoolers, examining whether obesity-related hormonal changes are present in children this young; associated with other biomarkers relevant for cognition and mood regulation; or associated with school readiness skills, specifically emotion regulation, and effortful control. We present results of a pilot study with the goal of building a conceptual framework to examine the complex ways in which biology and health contribute to school readiness. Understanding such processes in low-income preschool-age children is important, given this population is at risk both for obesity (Feese et al., 2003) and poor school readiness (Blair & Diamond, 2008; Evans & Rosenbaum, 2008; Kaiser, Hancock, & Cai, 2000; Najman et al., 2009). Low-income children also experience high stress (Evans & English, 2002), which may be a common pathway adversely affecting school readiness, obesity, and health (Dallman et al., 2003; Gundersen, Mahatmya, Garasky, & Lohman, 2011).
Two recent developments are germane to our discussion. First, it is increasingly well-recognized that the hormones regulating satiety and food intake (and thus altered in obesity) also affect cognition, learning, and memory (Chowen & Argente, 2011; Diano et al., 2006; Harvey & Ashford, 2003; Harvey, Shanley, O’Malley, & Irving, 2005). Much work is in animal models, but human studies also suggest that obesity is associated with cognitive deficits (Elias, Sullivan, Wolf, & D’Agostino, 2003; Ferenbaum et al., 2009; Y. Li, Dai, Jackson, & Zhang, 2008; Sellbom & Gunstad, 2012; Smith et al., 2011). Hormones controlling metabolism may also activate receptors in brain areas relevant for learning and memory, such as the hypothalamus and hippocampus (Diano et al., 2006; Harvey & Ashford, 2003; Harvey et al., 2005; McNay, 2007; Squire, 1992). Some hypothesize that obesity-related hormonal changes affect cognitive function, in turn affecting response to cognitive-behavioral obesity treatments (Hoeman, 2007; Lokken, Boeka, Austin, Gunstad, & Harmon, 2009; Parisi et al., 2010; Riggs, Spruijt-Metz, Sakuma, Chou, & Pentz, 2010). A gap in the literature relevant for educators is whether such associations exist in young children. Second, adipose tissue (i.e., fat) is recognized to be more than just an inert tissue storing excess energy. Rather, it is very metabolically active, producing multiple substances (e.g., adipokines such as leptin; pro-inflammatory cytokines) that affect many bodily functions, including cognition (Farr, Banks, & Morley, 2006; Oomura et al., 2006; Paz-Filho, Wong, & Licinio, 2010) and mood (Kim, Jung, Myint, Kim, & Park, 2007; Maletic et al., 2007; Soczynska et al., 2010; Yamada et al., 2011). Indeed, obesity and mood disorders often co-occur (for many reasons, but possibly biologically mediated; Luppino et al., 2010; Soczynska et al., 2010). Taking a broad perspective, we use the word “hormone” to encompass various proteins that circulate in the blood and communicate between cells, including cytokines (which modulate inflammatory responses), adipokines (fat-derived proteins), and factors produced by endocrine organs such as the gastrointestinal system.

Children growing up poor are at risk for early obesity (Feese et al., 2003) and thereby also for long-term negative and systemic physiological changes due to obesity-related biology (Kyrou, Chrousos, & Tsigos, 2006). We know little about how these mechanisms operate in young children. Our conceptual model (Figure 1) illustrates hypothesized links among stress, obesity, and school readiness. We examine pathways from obesity and gut-derived hormones (ghrelin; glucagon-like peptide 1 [GLP-1]) to pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNFα, IL-6) and adipokines (leptin), and between these biomarkers and school readiness skills. We review below literature establishing associations among obesity, obesity-related physiological changes, and learning, memory, and emotion regulation skills that have implications for school readiness, noting where more research is needed.

**Hormones That Drive Eating Behavior Also Affect Cognition and Possibly Mood**

Eating behavior refers to both how much and what type of food someone eats. Hunger and satiety cues affect the amount of food eaten, whereas taste perception and the hedonic impact of substances such as sugar and fat drive the types of foods eaten. This oversimplifies a very complex and as yet poorly understood process, however. A detailed network of signals communicates information between the central nervous system, gastrointestinal tract (extending from mouth to rectum), and fat tissue. The gastrointestinal system produces “gut” hormones that send signals to the brain, affecting satiety and metabolism. We examine two of these, ghrelin and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1). Ghrelin is a hormone made in the stomach and induces hunger by signaling to the hypothalamus. Obesity is associated with lower ghrelin levels and abnormal changes in ghrelin after a meal. Ghrelin also activates and stimulates brain regions relevant for learning: ghrelin administration to rodents increased long-term memory and learning of spatial tasks (Atcha et al., 2009; Carlini et al., 2008; Carlini et al., 2010; Steiger, Dresler, Schussler, & Kluge, 2011). Ghrelin may also relate to mood regulation and the dopaminergic reward system (Andrews, 2011); administering ghrelin to mice protected them from depressive and anxious responses when put under stress (Lutter et al., 2008). The few studies of humans are more mixed, with ghrelin levels found to increase under acute stress (Raspopow, Abizaid, Matheson, & Anisman, 2010), or to be unrelated to depression and anxiety symptoms (Lawson et al., 2012).

GLP-1 is a hormone produced by cells in the intestine and regulates satiety. Treatment with GLP-1 promotes satiety and decreases energy intake (Flint, Raben, Astrup, & Holst, 1998; Nauck, Vilsboll, Gallwitz, Garber, & Madsbad, 2009).

---

Fig. 1. Conceptual model.
Like ghrelin, the receptors sensing GLP-1 in the brain may mediate more than just appetite. Mice deficient in the GLP-1 receptor have learning and memory deficits (Abbas, Faivre, & Holscher, 2009; During et al., 2003; Porter, Kerr, Flatt, Holscher, & Gault, 2010). Further, their stress response is blunted (MacLusky et al., 2000).

Thus, there is overlap between hormones that regulate food intake, cognitive processes, stress regulation, and mood. One could imagine that these pathways co-evolved to confer evolutionary advantages: for an animal with low fat mass, hormonal cues driving hunger would be beneficial if they also increased cognitive abilities improving food-seeking behavior, and enabled the animal to cope with stress. Conversely, it is possible that an animal with fat stores (as with obesity) might have a “dulling” of learning and cognition, as its need to acquire food is less urgent. We next consider how fat tissue itself may contribute.

Fat Tissue Produces Substances That Affect Brain Function

Fat tissue functions to store nutrients in times of plenty and release them during fasting and when extra energy is required (e.g., illness, exercise). In obesity, adipose tissue is massively expanded as nutrient uptake is in excess of energy expenditure. In both lean and obese states, adipose tissue produces substances that communicate with multiple tissues and organ systems, including the brain, to regulate metabolism. These fat-derived hormones are referred to as adipokines. Adipokines circulate throughout the bloodstream where they can affect the function of tissues important in metabolic control. For example, the adipokine leptin is made exclusively by fat cells (adipocytes) and provides signals to the brain that serve to gauge the amount of fat stored in the body. Adipokine secretion is regulated by stress, hunger, and circadian signals. Adipokines have received much attention since they are altered in obese children and adults (e.g., Zhang et al., 2011) and contribute to the maintenance of an obese state and development of associated adverse health effects (e.g., cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes). Leptin levels relate to obesity in older children (Clayton et al., 1997), but few have examined leptin–weight status associations in preschoolers (Salbe, Nicolson, & Ravussin, 1997).

Leptin was one of the first adipokines identified from obese mice that have a genetic loss of leptin and display profound overeating (Halaas et al., 1995). Its levels in the blood increase with increasing fat (Farooqi & O'Rahilly, 2009). Leptin affects brain signals that control satiety and nutrient metabolism; the inability of receptors in the hypothalamus to sense leptin is associated with dramatic increases in food intake and obesity. Most obesity research focuses on leptin in the hypothalamus and appetite regulation, but receptors for leptin are found throughout the brain. The role of leptin in other brain areas is less clear, but may operate to influence other brain functions. For example, leptin regulates neurons (Morrison, 2009) in brain regions involved with memory and reward. Leptin has thus been hypothesized to play a role in motivation (Davis, 2010) and mood regulation (Lutter & Elmquist, 2009), particularly depression (Lawson et al., 2012; Taylor & MacQueen, 2010). Leptin has also been proposed as influencing executive functioning skills (e.g., working memory, Chowen & Argente, 2011; Paz-Filho et al., 2010). In older adults, leptin has shown both positive and negative associations with cognitive function (Gunstad et al., 2008; Labad et al., 2012; Morrison, 2009). In mice, short-term leptin infusion may improve memory and learning (Farr et al., 2006; Oomura et al., 2006) and leptin is important for brain development (Ahima, Bjorbaek, Osei, & Flier, 1999). The role of leptin in obesity is complex, considering obesity is associated with chronically elevated leptin but also with limited cognitive function. For example, mice lacking leptin receptors are obese and also demonstrate learning deficits (X. L. Li et al., 2002). Given that executive function deficits have been identified in obese compared to normal-weight children (Lokken et al., 2009; Parisi et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2011), leptin may be an important mechanism to investigate in this regard.

In addition to adipokines, fat tissue releases proteins into the circulation involved in inflammation, known as cytokines. It is not well understood why fat tissue makes these factors; however, in obesity the release of cytokines that promote inflammation (pro-inflammatory cytokines) is increased. The release of these cytokines from fat in obese individuals contributes to a state of low-grade inflammation observed with chronic obesity. The influence of chronic inflammation in obesity on mood regulation is unclear; however, low-grade inflammation is also observed in some mood disorders (Currier & Nemeroff, 2010; Maes, 1999; Soczynska et al., 2010). The ability of these cytokines to induce inflammatory responses is thought to be partially responsible for associations between obesity and poor health outcomes (Gregor & Hotamisligil, 2011). The obesity-inflammation link may also be relevant for cognition, given that systemic inflammation may negatively affect memory and learning (Moore, Wu, Shaftel, Graham, & O’Banion, 2009; Shaw, Commins, & O’Mara, 2001, 2005). This observation becomes particularly important because obese children as young as age 3 years can have elevations of inflammatory markers in their bloodstream, suggesting that life-long changes in inflammation could promote adverse health consequences of obesity, as well as potentially impair learning, memory, and mood regulation (Skinner, Steiner, Henderson, & Perrin, 2010).

Current Gaps in Knowledge

Clearly much remains to be understood regarding potential physiologic links between obesity-associated hormonal
changes and the learning, cognition, and emotion regulation 
skills critical for school readiness. Documenting how these 
hormones relate to obesity in early childhood is a first step, as 
most work in this area has been conducted in adults or animals. 
Associations between ghrelin and GLP-1 levels and obesity in 
pre-pubertal children are inconsistent, and weight loss can 
alter hormone levels (Gallistl, Sudi, Aigner, & Borkenstein, 
2001; Martos-Moreno et al., 2011; Reinehr, de Sousa, & Roth, 
2007; Roth & Reinehr, 2010; Shin et al., 2008). Elevated leptin 
is associated with obesity in pre-pubertal children (Araki 
et al., 2008; Hosking et al., 2010; Jeffery et al., 2008; Martos- 
Moreno et al., 2011; Metcalf et al., 2009; Reinehr, Kratzsch, 
Kiess, & Andler, 2005), though most studies focus on children 
aged 7 years or older. Almost no studies have examined pro-
inflammatory cytokines in young children, yet recent findings 
of other inflammatory changes in obese preschoolers (Skinner 
et al., 2010) suggests we should examine such phenomena 
early, particularly given high rates of obesity even in early 
childhood (Feese et al., 2003).

In this pilot investigation of low-income preschoolers, 
we sought to examine: (1) whether higher body mass 
index (BMI) was associated with lower levels of gut-
derived hormones (ghrelin; GLP-1), higher leptin, and higher 
pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNFα; IL-6); (2) whether 
pro-inflammatory cytokines were related to leptin and gut-derived 
hormones relevant for appetite regulation (ghrelin and GLP-
1), in an effort to identify early obesity-related inflammation; 
and (3) whether obesity-related hormones were related to 
school readiness (emotion regulation, effortful control) or 
home environment stress. Demonstrating such associations 
would provide support for further investigating biological 
mechanisms by which obesity could affect school readiness.

METHOD

Participants and Recruitment

Participants were 34 Head Start preschoolers enrolled in a 
larger study of stress, eating behavior, and obesity (Lumeng, 
2009). Children and primary caregiver/legal guardian (usually 
mother) were compensated $90 for participating in the 
parent study. Inclusion criteria were: Head Start enrollment; 
parent/child speak English; parent <4-year college degree; 
child born at ≥35 weeks gestation with no significant 
perinatal/neonatal complications, food allergies, or serious 
medical problems affecting appetite/eating; not in foster care; 
and having no medical problems or using any medications that 
affect cortisol.

Participants were recruited for the parent study through 
Head Start classroom open houses. Families for whom parent 
study data collection was complete were contacted by phone 
and invited to participate in the current pilot study (requiring 
a serum sample by finger stick from the child). Compensation 
for pilot study participation was $50. Agreement to participate 
exceeded 90%. Studies were approved by the University of 
Michigan Institutional Review Board and written informed 
consent was obtained.

Our pilot sample was relatively equally divided among obese 
(n = 10), overweight (n = 10), and nonoverweight (n = 14) 
groups. Using Centers for Disease Control growth charts, 
BMI z-scores (BMIz) for age and sex were calculated and 
child weight status was categorized as obese (BMI ≥ 95th 
percentile), overweight (BMI ≥ 85th, but < 95th percentile), 
and nonoverweight (BMI ≥ 50th, but < 85th percentile). 
Nonoverweight children were limited to those with BMI 
z-score ≥ 0 because few parent study children had BMI 
z-score < 0, and many of those were overweight, which 
may suggest other medical problems. Families of obese and 
overweight children were contacted in order of parent study 
enrollment to participate in the pilot. Nonoverweight children 
were identified as matched controls based on sex, race, and 
age, and also recruited in parent study order.

The pilot sample was demographically comparable to the 
parent study: 56% male (n = 19); 73.5% Caucasian (n = 25; 
others were biracial). Child age ranged from 3.17 to 4.94 years 
(M = 4.03, SD = 0.57). There was no association of BMIz with 
child sex, race, or age. Income-to-needs ratio ranged from 0.60 
to 0.81 (poverty = 1.0), confirming this was a poverty sample.

Procedure and Measures

The parent study involved sampling child saliva to measure 
diurnal cortisol; measuring weight and height; gathering 
questionnaires about demographics, home environment, 
temperament, emotion regulation, eating, and diet; and 
observing child behavior. For the pilot, trained research 
assistants conducted finger sticks on children to obtain 
hormone levels from serum. We report here on serum markers, 
questionnaire data, and anthropometrics (BMI). Cortisol data 
are not reported.

Biomarkers

A fasting serum sample was obtained in the morning; about 
0.5 ml of blood was drawn. The sample was assayed for 
ghrelin, GLP-1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), 
leptin, and pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα and IL-6 using 
multiplex analysis from serum (Luminex, Austin, TX, USA). 
All biomarkers were quantitated from a single sample of 
200–300 μl of serum. Samples were analyzed in triplicate and 
quantitated based on standard curves.

Parent Reports

Parents completed the Emotion Regulation Checklist (Shields 
& Cicchetti, 1998) to assess Emotion Regulation (8 items; 
α = .65) and Negative Lability (16 items; parent study α = .82)
Table 1
Descriptive Statistics for Gut-Derived Hormones, Adipokines, and Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines (n = 32; all measures in pg/ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>26.83</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1</td>
<td>104.29</td>
<td>509.49</td>
<td>331.91</td>
<td>93.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin</td>
<td>206.08</td>
<td>824.81</td>
<td>438.73</td>
<td>145.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the Effortful Control subscale of the Child Behavior Questionnaire-Short Form (12 items; α = .76; Putnam & Rothbart, 2006). Parents completed the 15-item CHAOS scale (Matheny, Wachs, Ludwig, & Phillips, 1995) to assess home environment stress (e.g., disorganization; α = .80).

Analysis Plan
We calculated descriptive statistics for serum markers (Table 1; 2 statistical outliers removed). We used Pearson correlations and partial correlations (covarying age as needed) to examine associations among gut-derived hormones, adipokines, pro-inflammatory cytokines, BMIz, parent-reported variables, and demographics (child age, sex, race, income-to-needs ratio).

RESULTS
Older children were rated as having less home chaos (r = −.33), less lability (r = −.44), better emotion regulation (r = .62) and better effortful control (r = .47, ps < .05). Thus, we covaried age in analyses involving these variables. There were no race/ethnicity or income differences. Leptin was higher in girls (girls’ M = 637.10 pg/ml; boys’ M = 401.10 pg/ml), consistent with other studies (Hassink et al., 1996; Nagy et al., 1997). There were no associations between biomarkers and other demographic variables.

Associations of BMIz and serum markers are shown in Table 2. BMIz was not associated with ghrelin, GLP-1, TNFα, or IL-6. Leptin was positively associated with BMIz.

Positive associations were seen among pro-inflammatory cytokines, leptin, and gut hormones (Table 2). TNFα and IL-6 were strongly correlated, as were IL-6 and GLP-1. Leptin was associated with GLP-1, TNFα, and IL-6; pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα and IL-6 were associated with gut hormones, most strongly with GLP-1.

Table 3 presents biomarker, school readiness, and chaos correlations (covarying age). We found evidence for the pathway from obesity-related biomarkers to emotion regulation, but not for effortful control or home chaos. Leptin and TNFα were associated with better emotion regulation and less negative lability (IL-6, GLP-1 marginally associated in this direction). Home chaos was unrelated to emotion regulation or effortful control. There were no sex differences.

DISCUSSION
We examined hormones relevant for regulating nutrient intake and metabolism, as well as learning and memory, and pro-inflammatory cytokines, in a pilot study of low-income preschoolers. Replicating findings in older children (Antunes, Santos, & Carvalho, 2009; Falorni et al., 1997; Salbe et al., 1997), we found leptin was positively associated with BMIz, and greater in females than males. We also detected associations of pro-inflammatory cytokines with leptin and the gut-derived hormones ghrelin and GLP-1. The co-regulation of metabolic and inflammatory factors suggests a common biological mechanism may drive production of gut hormones and cytokines, consistent with our hypothesis. That we detected this convergence in early childhood is notable; studying these processes longitudinally may identify possible long-term effects of these co-regulated hormones on learning. We also found that leptin, TNFα, and to a lesser degree IL-6 and GLP-1, were associated with better emotion regulation skills, but not with effortful control or home environment stress. We discuss below future research directions and implications for obesity prevention and school readiness.

We found some support for predictions in this pilot study—lower leptin levels were associated with negative
lability, whereas higher leptin was associated with effective emotion regulation (e.g., using words to communicate emotions). One reason we found no associations with effortful control may be that effortful control is a broader construct than executive functioning, and leptin has been most consistently associated with specific executive function skills such as working memory (Labad et al., 2012; Lokken et al., 2009; Sellbom & Gunstad, 2012), which we did not directly measure. Future work assessing discrete executive functioning skills would allow us to better understand these connections.

We also found some associations that were not predicted. Specifically, pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNFα; IL-6 marginally), which have been associated with stress and depression in adults (Dowlati et al., 2010; Raison, Capuron, & Miller, 2006), were related to better emotion regulation in our young sample. This finding is unexpected given that cytokines have been suggested as a mechanism through which early life stress can negatively affect biology and later stress regulation (Chen et al., 2006; Danese & McEwen, 2012). Little is known about pro-inflammatory cytokines and emotion regulation in young children, however. That we found cytokines were associated with obesity-related biomarkers, which were similarly related to better emotion regulation, is intriguing and deserves further research attention. Illuminating connections among these different biological and behavioral systems in childhood is likely important for understanding how best to prevent obesity early in the lifespan, and perhaps also how to foster school readiness.

Notably, BMI was related only to leptin. One possible reason is that we measured all hormones at a single timepoint, and many of them change dynamically throughout the day (Froy, 2007). Also, BMI may not be a sensitive enough measure to detect subtle changes in fat tissue or metabolism associated with the hormones we examined (Daniels, 2009). Such associations may develop over time; overweight preschool-age children simply may not have been overweight/obese for a long enough period for links to become firmly established. Given the strong likelihood that children who are overweight by their preschool years will remain overweight or become obese (Nader et al., 2006), however, we might expect this association to emerge over time. The positive association between gut hormones and inflammatory cytokines has also not been observed previously. Overall, GLP-1 and ghrelin are believed to have anti-inflammatory properties that counteract the effects of inflammatory cytokines (Baatar, Patel, & Taub, 2011; Liu, Dear, Knudsen, & Simpson, 2009; Liu, Hu, Simpson, & Dear, 2008). Previous studies have been conducted primarily in animals or in adults; mechanisms in children may operate differently. Longitudinal research designs with larger, more diverse samples would be greatly helpful in addressing these questions.

It is also important to emphasize that the gut hormones, adipokines, and pro-inflammatory cytokines discussed here in relation to obesity, learning, and mood are each governed by complex, transactional systems. The pathways we examined thus represent but a glimpse of the overall picture; we present this pilot investigation as a suggestive research direction. It will be important in future work to consider additional variables related to these processes. There is evidence, for example, that the physiological systems we examined are affected by stress exposure. Children may internalize effects of stress differently, with implications for health and development across domains. For example, leptin production can be stimulated by glucocorticoids, decreased in response to sympathetic activation, and decreased under conditions of chronic stress (Heiman et al., 1997; Sandová & Davis, 2003). Leptin can also inhibit cortisol production in response to acute stress (Bornstein, Uhlmann, Haidan, Ehrhart-Bornstein, & Scherbaum, 1997), and activate pro-inflammatory cytokines, contributing to low-grade inflammation (Otero et al., 2006). We did not find associations of these variables with our home environment stress measure, perhaps in part due to sample size, but also sample characteristics—although they were poor, children were not selected based on risks such as abuse or trauma, which should be considered when interpreting these pilot findings in light of the early life stress and health outcomes literature.

Finally, early childhood is a uniquely important period for developing school readiness skills (Blair & Diamond, 2008), and also for preventing obesity (Daniels et al., 2005). Our findings suggest connections between physiological pathways relevant for obesity and potentially for learning. Understanding the physiological complexity that children bring to school settings is important for educators and others working with young children. Physiology, while not deterministic, can play a critical role in a child’s preparedness to focus and engage in complex tasks. That obesity, cognition, and emotion regulation may have some common physiological pathways, and that biomarkers of these pathways can be initially identified in a young, low-income cohort, illustrates the complex interplay of biology, environment, and development, and provides additional impetus to act to prevent childhood obesity. By doing so, we could reduce risk not only due to obesity-related psychosocial problems that may hamper school functioning (e.g., teasing, bullying), but also the biological sequelae of obesity that affect not only later health outcomes, but also potentially school readiness skills.

**CONCLUSION**

This unique data set afforded an investigation of obesity-related biomarkers in a young, low-income sample, and an opportunity to consider associations between such biomarkers and school readiness skills. We hope this
exploratory study might ignite discussion among researchers interested in stress, obesity and health, and development. We also cannot overstate the need to conduct this type of mechanistic work with an interdisciplinary research team. Each construct under investigation is governed by its own complex regulatory system and disciplinary-specific definition(s). Assembling teams of researchers interested in complementary overarching translational questions, who can transmit specialized knowledge to fellow investigators, is a vital preliminary step in moving from basic scientific discoveries to ultimately developing and testing biologically informed interventions to enhance developmental outcomes.
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