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Abstract—An approach for the analytical solution to systems of delay differential equations (DDEs) has been developed using the matrix Lambert function. To generalize the Lambert function method for scalar DDEs, we introduce a new matrix, \( Q \) when the coefficient matrices in a system of DDEs do not commute. The solution has the form of an infinite series of modes written in terms of the matrix Lambert functions. The essential advantage of this approach is the similarity with the concept of the state transition matrix in linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs), enabling its use for general classes of linear delay differential equations. Examples are presented to illustrate by comparison to numerical methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Time-delay systems are those systems in which a significant time delay exists between the applications of input to the system and their resulting effect. Such systems arise from an inherent time delay in the components of the system or a deliberate introduction of time delay into the system for control purposes.

Delay differential equations, also known as difference-differential equations, were initially introduced in the 18th century by Laplace and Condorcet [1]. The basic theory concerning stability of systems described by equations of this type was developed by Pontryagin in 1942. Important works include those by Bellman and Cooke in 1963 [2], Smith in 1957, Pinney in 1958, Halanay in 1966, El’sgol’ts and Norkin in 1971, Myshkis in 1972, Yanushkevich in 1978, Marshal in 1979, and Hale in 1977. The reader is referred to the detailed review in [1].

The principal difficulty in studying delay differential equations lies in their special transcendental character. Delay differential equations are often solved using numerical methods, asymptotic solutions, and graphical tools. One of the approximation methods is the well-known Padé approximation, which results in a shortened repeating fraction for the approximation of the characteristic equation of the delay [3].

Several attempts have been made to find an analytical solution for delay differential equations by solving its characteristic equation under different conditions. A recent related study on analytic solution of linear DDEs can be found in [5]. A Fourier-like analysis of the existence of the solution and its properties for the nonlinear DDEs is studied by Wright [6]. The uniqueness of the solution and its properties for linear DDEs are also studied by Wright [7]. Similar approaches to linear and nonlinear DDEs are also reported by Bellman [2].

An analytic approach to obtain the complete solution of linear systems of DDEs, based on the concept of the Lambert function, was developed by Asl and Ulsoy in 2003 [8]. However, their method is only correct when certain matrices (\( t, A \), and \( A_d \) in Eq. (3)) commute. In this paper, the analytical approach of [8] is extended to non-homogeneous DDEs and to general systems of DDEs. The results are compared with responses obtained by numerical integration. The advantage of this approach lies in the fact that the form of the solution obtained is analogous to the general solution form of ODEs, and the concept of the state transition matrix in ODEs can be generalized to DDEs using the concept of the matrix Lambert function. (See Table I)

II. HOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

A. Scalar Case

For the first-order scalar homogenous DDE

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) + ax(t) + a_d (x(t-T)) = 0 & \quad t > 0 \\
x(t) = \varphi(t) & \quad t \in [-T, 0] 
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

The solution can be written in terms of the Lambert function, \( W_k \) [8]:

\[
x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{\frac{1}{h} W_k (-a_d Te^{a_T} t)}
\]

(2)

where the \( C_k \) is determined from the preshape function, \( \varphi(t) \), as described in [8]. Every function \( W(h) \), such that \( W(h) e^{W(h)} = h \), is called a Lambert function. The Lambert function, \( W(h) \), is complex valued, with a complex argument \( h \), and has an infinite number of branches \( W_k(h) \), where \( k = -\infty, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, \infty \)

B. Generalization to System of DDE’s

The Lambert function approach can be applied to the solution of systems of DDEs in matrix-vector form,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) + Ax(t) + A_d (x(t-T)) = 0 & \quad t > 0 \\
x(t) = \varphi(t) & \quad t \in [-T, 0]
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \( A \) and \( A_d \) are \( n \times n \) matrices, \( x \) is an \( n \times 1 \) vector. For this system of linear DDEs Bellman has proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution in [2].

In the special case where the coefficient matrices, \( A \) and \( A_d \), commute the solution is given as [8]:

\[
x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{1}{h} W_k (-A_d Te^{a_T} t)-A_T C_k}
\]

(4)
However, this solution (which is of the same form as (2)) is only valid when the matrices $A$ and $A_d$ commute, that is $AA_d = A_dA$. Therefore, the solution in (4) is not general. We provide here, for the first time, the solution to (3) for the general case. First we assume a solution form for (3) as,

$$x(t) = e^{St}x_0$$

where $S$ is $n \times n$ matrix, and substitution into (3) yields,

$$(S + A + A_d e^{-ST})e^{ST}x_0 = 0$$

Consequently, we have,

$$S + A + A_d e^{-ST} = 0$$

Multiply through by $Te^{AT}$ and rearrange to obtain,

$$T(S + A)e^{ST}e^{AT} = -A_dTe^{AT}$$

When $S$ and $A$ commute, we can write the solution in terms of the Lambert function, as given in (4). However, in general, $S$ and $A$ do not commute. Although the derivation omitted due to space limitation, it can be shown that when $A$ and $A_d$ commute, then $S$ and $A_d$ also commute. Thus, in general

$$T(S + A)e^{ST}e^{AT} \neq T(S + A)e^{(S+A)/T}$$

Consequently, to write the solution in terms of the matrix Lambert function

$$W(H)e^{W(H)} = H$$

we introduce an unknown matrix $Q$ that satisfies,

$$T(S + A)e^{(S+A)/T} = -A_dTQ$$

Comparing (10) and (11) we note that,

$$(S + A)T = W(-A_dTQ)$$

Then solving (12) for $S$ yields,

$$S = \frac{1}{T} W(-A_dTQ) - A$$

Substituting (13) into (7) yields the following condition which can be used to solve for the unknown matrix $Q$

$$W(-A_dTQ) - A e^{W(-A_dTQ) - AT} = -A_dT$$

Finally, the $Q$ obtained from (14) can be substituted into (13) to obtain $S$, and then into (5) to obtain the homogeneous solution to (3),

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{Sk}C_k$$

Thus, the solution to the system of homogeneous DDEs in (3) is given by (15), where the $C_k$ are computed from a given preshape function $\hat{\phi}(t)$. Corresponding to each branch, $k$, of the Lambert function, there is a solution $Q_k$ from (14) and then for $H_k = -A_dTQ_k$, we compute, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the eigenvalues $\hat{\lambda}_k$ of $H_k$ and the corresponding eigenvector matrix $V_k$. We can then compute the matrix Lambert function,

$$W_k(H_k) = \begin{bmatrix} W_k(\hat{\lambda}_{k1}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & W_k(\hat{\lambda}_{k2}) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & W_k(\hat{\lambda}_{kn}) \end{bmatrix} V_k^{-1}$$

and then the $S_k$ corresponding to $W_k$ from (13). In the many examples we have studied, (14) always has a unique solution $Q$ for each branch, $k$. The solution is obtained numerically, for a variety of initial conditions, using the 'fsolve' function in Matlab. Conditions for convergence of a solution of the form of (15) to a system of linear DDEs as in (3) are presented by Bellman in [2]. For example, if all the eigenvalues of $S_k$ have negative real values and there exists a lower bound of distances between pairs of the eigenvalues, the infinite series converge.

The following example, from [10], illustrates the approach.
and compares the results to those obtained using numerical integration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1.66 \\ 0.93 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t-T) \\ x_2(t-T) \end{bmatrix}$$

(17)

Table II shows the values, for $k = -1, 0, 1$, for $Q_k$, $S_k$, and the eigenvalues, $\lambda_{k1}$ and $\lambda_{k2}$, of $S_k$. One of the eigenvalues due to the principal branch ($k = 0$) is closest to the imaginary axis which means that it determines the stability of the system. For the scalar case, (1), it is proved that the root obtained using principal branch always determines the stability of the system [11]. Although such a proof is not available in the matrix-vector case, we observe the same behavior in all the examples we have considered. In this case, the value of the real part of the dominant eigenvalue is in the left half plane, and therefore the system is stable. Using the values of $S_k$ from Table II, we obtain the solution,

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3499 - 4.9801i & -0.6253 + 0.1459i \\ 2.4174 + 0.1308i & -5.1048 - 4.5592i \end{bmatrix} C_{-1} + \cdots + e^{\begin{bmatrix} 0.3055 & -1.4150 \\ 2.1317i & -3.3015 \end{bmatrix} t} C_0 + e^{\begin{bmatrix} -0.3499 + 4.9801i & -0.6253 - 0.1459i \\ 2.4174 - 0.1308i & -5.1048 + 4.5592i \end{bmatrix} C_1 + \cdots$$

(18)

The coefficients $C_k$ in (18) are determined from specified preshape functions, e.g., let

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \phi_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(19)

Thus, for a delay $T$, we can write the $N$ term approximation [8],

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(T,N) \\ \Phi(-T) \\ \Phi(-\frac{T}{N}) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi(-\frac{N\cdot T}{N}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(T,N) \\ \Phi(-T) \\ \Phi(-\frac{T}{N}) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi(-\frac{N\cdot T}{N}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{-N} \\ C_{-N-1} \\ C_{-N-2} \\ \vdots \\ C_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_k = \lim_{N \to \infty} [\Omega^{-1}(T,N) \cdot \Phi(T,N)]_k$$

$$\Psi(t, \xi) = e^{-a(t-\xi)}$$

(25)
TABLE II
INTERMEDIATE RESULTS FOR COMPUTING THE SOLUTION IN (18) FOR THE EXAMPLE IN (17) VIA THE MATRIX LAMBERT FUNCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>(Q_k)</th>
<th>(S_k)</th>
<th>(\lambda_{k_i})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \(-1\) | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
18.8024 + 10.2243i \\
-61.1342 + 23.6812i \\
0.3499 - 4.9801i \\
1.3990 - 5.0935i
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
6.0782 + 2.2661i \\
1.0161 + 0.2653i \\
-1.6253 + 0.1459i \\
-4.0558 + 4.4458i
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
9.9183 \\
-32.7746 \\
0.3055 \\
1.0119
\end{pmatrix}
\] |
| \(-1\) | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
6.0782 + 2.2661i \\
1.0161 + 0.2653i \\
-1.6253 + 0.1459i \\
-4.0558 + 4.4458i
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
14.2985 \\
6.5735 \\
-0.4150 \\
-3.3015
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
9.9183 \\
-32.7746 \\
0.3055 \\
1.0119
\end{pmatrix}
\] |
| \(-1\) | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
14.2985 \\
6.5735 \\
-0.4150 \\
-3.3015
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
6.0782 + 2.2661i \\
1.0161 + 0.2653i \\
-1.6253 + 0.1459i \\
-4.0558 + 4.4458i
\end{pmatrix}
\] | \[
\begin{pmatrix}
14.2985 \\
6.5735 \\
-0.4150 \\
-3.3015
\end{pmatrix}
\] |

A \(\Psi(t, \xi)\) satisfying the second condition in (24) can be obtained using the Lambert function and confirmed by substitution as,

\[
\Psi(t, \xi) = e^{\frac{1}{T}W_k(-a_2Te^{aT})(t-\xi)}, \quad k = -\infty, \ldots, \infty \quad (26)
\]

There are an infinite number of solutions for the infinite branches of the Lambert function. Therefore the complete solution can be written in terms of the summation

\[
\Psi(t, \xi) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{\frac{1}{T}W_k(-a_2Te^{aT})(t-\xi)} \quad (27)
\]

Thus, the fundamental function is

\[a)\ \Psi(t, \xi) = e^{-a(t-\xi)}, \quad t - T \leq \xi < t = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{\frac{1}{T}W_k(-a_2Te^{aT})(t-\xi)}, \quad \xi < t - T
\]

\[b)\ \Psi(t, \xi) = 0, \quad \xi > t \quad (28)
\]

Consequently, the forced solution is obtained as,

**Case I** \(0 \leq t \leq T\)

\[x(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-\xi)}bu(\xi)d\xi \quad (29)
\]

**Case II** \(t \geq T\)

\[x(t) = \int_{0}^{t-T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{aT}S_k(t-\xi)bu(\xi)d\xi + \int_{t-T}^{t} e^{-a(t-\xi)}bu(\xi)d\xi \quad (30)
\]

where, \(S_k = \frac{1}{T}W_k(-a_2Te^{aT})\)

Though the calculation is dependent on \(u(t)\), the \(C_k\) can be computed using the function in (29) and (30):

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\sigma(T) \\
\sigma(T - \frac{T}{2N}) \\
\vdots \\
\sigma(0)
\end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\eta_{-N}(T) \\
\eta_{-N}(T - \frac{T}{2N}) \\
\vdots \\
\eta_{-N}(0)
\end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
C_{-N} \\
C_{-(N-1)} \\
\vdots \\
C_{N}
\end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\delta(T) \\
\delta(T - \frac{T}{2N}) \\
\vdots \\
\delta(0)
\end{array} \right\} \quad (31)
\]

where

\[
\sigma(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-\xi)}bu(\xi)d\xi
\]

\[
\eta(t) = \int_{0}^{t-T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{aT}S_k(t-\xi)bu(\xi)d\xi \quad (32)
\]

\[
\delta(t) = \int_{t-T}^{t} e^{-a(t-\xi)}bu(\xi)d\xi
\]

Consequently the \(C_k\) can be represented as:

\[
C_k = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \eta^{-1}(T, N) \cdot (\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\delta}) \right]_k \quad (33)
\]

Although, due to space limitation, we omit the derivation, using (31)-(33) can be express the forced solution in (29)-(30) as a single equation

\[
x(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{aT}S_k(t-\xi)bu(\xi)d\xi \quad (34)
\]

Hence, the solution becomes

\[
x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{aT}t + \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} C_k e^{aT}S_k(t-\xi)bu(\xi)d\xi \quad (35)
\]
Responses

As seen in (35), the total solution of DDEs using the Lambert function has a similar form to that of ODEs. (Refer to Table I). The coefficients $C_k$ depend on the initial conditions and the preshape function, but the $C_k'$ do not. Note that the $C_k'$ are determined only by $a,b,a_d$ and the delay time $T$ in (22).

Example Consider (22), with $a = a_d = T = 1$ and the forcing input

$$u(t) = \cos(t) \quad t > 0$$

$$= 0 \quad t \in [-T,0]$$

(36)

The total response is shown in Fig. 2 for the preshape function $\phi(t) = 1$ and compared to the result obtained by numerical integration.

B. Generalization to System of DDEs

The non-homogeneous matrix form of the delay differential equation in (3) can be written as

$$\dot{x}(t) + Ax(t) + A_d x(t - T) = Bu(t) \quad t > 0$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad t \in [-T,0]$$

(37)

where $B$ is an $n \times r$ matrix, and $u(t)$ is a $r \times 1$ vector. The particular solution can be derived from (29)-(30) as,

Case I $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{-A(t-\xi)}Bu(\xi)d\xi$$

(38)

Case II $t \geq T$

$$x(t) = \int_0^{t-T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S_k(t-\xi)}C_k'Bu(\xi)d\xi$$

$$+ \int_{t-T}^t e^{-A(t-\xi)}Bu(\xi)d\xi$$

(39)

where, $S_k = \frac{1}{T}W_k(-A_te^{AQ}) - A$

In (39), $C_k'$ is a coefficient matrix of dimension $n \times n$ and can be calculated in the same way as (31). Like the scalar case in the previous section, (38)-(39) are combined as

$$x(t) = \int_0^t \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S_k(t-\xi)}C_k'Bu(\xi)d\xi$$

(40)

And the total solution is

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S_k}C_k + \int_0^t \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{S_k(t-\xi)}C_k'Bu(\xi)d\xi$$

(41)

Example

Consider the systems of DDEs with a constant external excitation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1.66 & -0.697 \\ 0.93 & -0.330 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t-T) \\ x_2(t-T) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(42)

Then the solution to (42) with the preshape function in (19) is obtained from (41) and shown in Fig. 3. The differences between our new method with seven terms and the numerical integration are essentially indistinguishable.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the Lambert function approach for analysis of linear delay differential equations in [8] is extended to systems of DDEs and to non-homogeneous systems. The solution obtained using the matrix Lambert function is in a form analogous to the state transition matrix in systems of linear ordinary differential equations (see Table I). Free response and forced response for several cases of DDEs are presented in the paper based on this new solution approach and compared with those obtained by numerical integration. To provide a closed form solution to systems of linear DDEs in a form similar to systems of ordinary differential equations is the essential advantage of the presented analytical approach. The solution is in the form of an infinite series of modes which are expressed in terms of the matrix Lambert function. The concept of the state transition matrix in ODEs can be generalized to DDEs using the matrix Lambert function. This suggests that some...
analyses used in systems of ODEs, based on the concept of the state transition matrix, can potentially be extended to systems of DDEs. For example, the approach presented based on the matrix Lambert function, may be useful in controller design via eigenvalue assignment for systems of DDEs. Similarly, concepts of observability, controllability, state estimator design and modal decomposition of systems of DDEs may be tractable. The analytical approach using the matrix Lambert function for ‘time-varying’ DDEs based on Floquet theory is already being investigated. These, and others, are all potential topics for future research, which can build upon the foundation presented in this paper.
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