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1.0 ABSTRACT

BENJAMIN L. MILLER: Terrestrial-aquatic Transfers of Carbon Dioxide, Methane, and Organic Carbon From Riparian Wetlands to an Arctic Headwater Stream
(Under the direction of George W. Kling)

Transfers of dissolved gases from land contribute to gas evasion from surface waters. Although headwater streams may contribute strongly to overall gas evasion in a river network, the dynamics of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ transfers between riparian wetlands and headwater streams are poorly understood. Imnavait Creek, a peat-bottom, beaded arctic headwater stream, was studied to determine the relative importance of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes from surface inflow, in-stream processing such as photo-mineralization, and subsurface lateral inflows draining riparian wetland soils at different depths. Although concentrations of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were 1-2 orders of magnitude higher in subsurface lateral inflows than in surface waters, subsurface discharge was >0.1% of surface discharge along the studied reach of Imnavait. This means that 91-97% of the C entering and leaving this reach was introduced by surface inflows. Integrating the subsurface inflows per meter of stream reach above the study site provided the distances required to account for surface water concentrations at the upstream entry to the study reach. Assuming that subsurface inflows were similar along the entire stream, these distances were 120-363 m for CO$_2$, 41-47 m for CH$_4$, and 36 m for DOC. All of these distances were much less than the distance to Imnavait’s headwater source (~430 m), implying a loss of gases through evasion to the atmosphere and a loss of DOC through photochemical or biological conversion to CO$_2$ from upstream to downstream. Furthermore, concentrations of CO$_2$ were significantly higher upstream (p=0.02), while CH$_4$ concentrations were significantly higher downstream (p<0.001). CO$_2$ evasion from the surface of Imnavait pools was 14-30% of the CO$_2$ imported within subsurface lateral inflows, while CH$_4$ evasion was only 4-6%. Both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ evasion increased significantly during periods of thermal stratification in the pools (p<0.001 and p=0.02, respectively), when concentrations of these
gases increased in bottom waters influenced primarily by C-rich subsurface lateral inflows. Photo-
mineralization of aromatic DOC from subsurface lateral inflows further increased CO₂ in Imnait.
Deeper subsurface lateral inflows (10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary) tended to have significantly
higher CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations than shallow subsurface lateral inflows (0 to 10 cm depth). Deeper
subsurface lateral inflows of C-rich soil water to Imnait increased over the summer proportionately
with thaw depth. Current scenarios of global change for Alaska’s North Slope indicate that while
terrestrial-aquatic C transfers via subsurface lateral inflows of soil water may increase in the future, in-
stream stratification and thus greater gas evasion may decrease.
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3.0 INTRODUCTION

Headwater streams comprise half the length (Knighton, 1998) and a large proportion of surface area in any river network (Butman and Raymond, 2011). A recent global synthesis by Raymond et al. (2013) showed that headwater streams have higher carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentrations and greater evasion to the atmosphere than lakes and larger rivers. These findings are consistent with other studies (Jones and Mulholland, 1998; Hope et al., 2001; Neu and Krusche, 2011; Butman and Raymond, 2011), which found that CO$_2$ concentrations increase as stream order decreases. Higher sediment surface area to water volume ratios, steeper elevation gradients resulting in stream velocities that increase gas exchange through eddy diffusion (Raymond et al., 2012), and higher CO$_2$ concentrations upstream than downstream (Crawford et al., 2013) indicate that headwater streams may serve as a “conduit” for CO$_2$ from soil water to the atmosphere. Measurements focused on lakes and larger rivers could therefore miss terrestrial-aquatic carbon (C) transfers and underestimate CO$_2$ evasion from inland waters. Higher CO$_2$ concentrations upstream than downstream have also been documented within boreal headwater streams (Jonsson et al., 2007; Teodoru et al., 2009; Humborg et al., 2010; Crawford et al., 2013). However, no studies have directly measured the magnitude of CO$_2$ flowing into arctic headwater streams within soil water, or the resulting impact on in-stream CO$_2$ concentrations and evasion to the atmosphere.

Terrestrial-aquatic C transfers to headwater streams in the Arctic also include dissolved organic C (DOC) (Peterson et al., 1986; Judd and Kling, 2002) and the products of its anaerobic oxidation by the microbial community, CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. Continuous permafrost in the Arctic confines the infiltration of soil water to lateral flow paths across the permafrost boundary, through shallow peat soils (Hinzman et
al., 1991). Organic C dissolving from saturated peat into soil water may be oxidized to CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ by microbes (Martineau et al., 2014). Historically, primary production in the arctic has been greater than respiration, leaving peat deposits that contain large amounts of incompletely oxidized organic C (McGuire et al., 2009). Today, permafrost soils contain 1,600 Pg or 50% of the subsurface terrestrial C pool (Tarnocai et al., 2009). As permafrost thaws, more of this stored C will become active in the global C cycle. Conduits that will link the subsurface terrestrial C pool to aquatic C pools (e.g., lakes and oceans; Kling et al., 1991) may include subsurface lateral inflows of soil water to headwater streams and larger rivers.

The extent to which DOC, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ dissolve into soil water is governed by the amount of time this water resides in the subsurface. Longer water residence times within the subsurface typically result in higher solute concentrations (Mulholland, 1993). Water residence times within the hyporheic zone (Zarnetske et al., 2007) and riparian wetlands (Merck et al., 2012) have been shown to be important to in-stream concentrations of DOC and other solutes throughout the ice-free season within arctic headwater streams. An important characteristic of peat soils in the arctic that affects water residence times is its tendency to compact at greater subsurface depths (Clymo, 1984). As peat compacts, its porosity is reduced (Clymo, 1984), decreasing hydraulic conductivity (Hinzman et al., 1991) and forcing subsurface lateral inflows of soil water along a more tortuous path to headwater streams or larger rivers. This more tortuous path results in longer water residence times and—potentially—higher concentrations of DOC, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ within deeper subsurface lateral inflows.

At the interface between land and water, the low topographic position of riparian wetlands makes them perennially saturated collection areas for terrestrial runoff. In lower latitude wetlands, DOC is
commonly exported from wetlands to surface waters (Schiff et al., 1998; Freeman et al., 2004). Though studies have also demonstrated the evasion CH$_4$ from wetlands to the atmosphere (Christensen, 1993; Altor and Mitsch, 2006), none have directly measured the magnitude of CH$_4$ flowing into arctic headwater streams from wetlands, or the resulting impact on in-stream CH$_4$ concentrations and evasion.

Once in surface waters, DOC and CH$_4$ may undergo further oxidation—or mineralization—by microbes (Crump et al., 2003), sunlight (i.e., photo-mineralization; Cory et al., 2013), and hydroxyl radical (•OH; Page et al., 2013). These fates of terrestrially derived DOC and CH$_4$ are influenced by in-stream water residence times, which are influenced by stratification. The pools of Imnavait Creek, an arctic headwater stream, are known to thermally stratify (Irons and Oswood, 1992; Merck et al., 2012). Merck et al. (2012) showed that the chemical character of DOC compounds in the bottom waters of Imnavait pools closely resembled DOC from subsurface soil waters. Thus, the division of these pools into surface waters influenced by surface inflow and bottom waters influenced by subsurface lateral inflows, may allow for separate water residence times and rates of DOC and CH$_4$ alteration by in-stream oxidation.

For this study, we determined the magnitude of terrestrial-aquatic C transfers from riparian wetlands to an arctic headwater stream, and the resulting impacts to stream C chemistry. We determined the magnitude of terrestrial-aquatic C transfers by measuring subsurface lateral inflows of soil water and their C content draining riparian wetlands at different depths. Contributions of surface water inflow, in-stream processing of DOC, and wetland processing of DOC to the C observed leaving a defined reach of Imnavait Creek were also measured. We then determined the relative importance of terrestrial-aquatic C transfers, surface inflows, and in-stream processing to CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ saturation in Imnavait Creek using a
mass balance approach. Here, we were primarily concerned with the gaseous products of DOC oxidation, the greenhouse gases CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. In order to explain gas concentrations in water, residence times in subsurface soils and the physical process affecting in-stream water residence times, thermal stratification, were also measured. Additionally, we measured the chemical character of DOM compounds, and thus their susceptibility to different forms of oxidation. We show that terrestrial-aquatic transfers of relatively small amounts of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ per m of Innawait contribute to their saturation of surface waters and evasion to the atmosphere. We also show that such terrestrial-aquatic transfers increase with temperature over the summer in the Arctic, which could have implications for scenarios of global change.

4.0 METHODS

4.1 Study Site

Innavait Creek is a first-order tributary of the Kuparuk River located near Toolik Lake in Alaska (68.616° N latitude, 149.318° E longitude, ~ 910 m elevation; Figure 1a). Innawait is surrounded by lowland tundra characterized by peat soils with underlying continuous permafrost (Walker et al., 1987). It is one of many beaded streams on the North Slope of the Brooks Mountain Range (Appendix A, Figure A1) (Merck et al., 2012). Beaded streams result from the thermal erosion of subsurface ice masses by surface streams, and subsequent collapse of surrounding channel morphology into a series of pools, or “beads” (McNamara et al., 1998). The upper Innawait Creek basin (2.2 km$^2$) has a mean of 13 pools per 100 m, which range from 1 to 323 m$^2$ in surface area and from 0.1 to 2.7 m in depth (Merck et al., 2012). Surface pools are connected by chutes (Figure 1b). The riparian zone is characterized by wet
sedge tundra (Figure 1c; Appendix A, Figure A2). This study was conducted from June 20 to August 6, 2013, focused on a 14.5 m stream reach of encompassing two main surface pools, and included a downstream chute, Imnait Weir (Figure 1).
Figure 1. (a) Innnavait Creek was accessed from Toolik Field Station on the North Slope of Alaska. Thermal imaging (b) displays the inflows, outflows, and general hydraulic connectivity of Innnavait
pools in white. The Innavaït study reach (c) encompasses two pools in a valley with riparian wet sedge tundra, ~0.7 km upstream from Innavaït Weir.
4.2 Water Balance

The water balance of a defined reach of Imnavait Creek during its ice-free season was represented as:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = (I_{\text{Surface}} + I_{\text{Subsurface}} + P) - (O_{\text{Surface}} + O_{\text{Subsurface}}) - E
\]

where \( \frac{dV}{dt} \) is the change in volume of water observed over time (1 h), \( I \) is the sum of surface water inflow and subsurface lateral inflows, \( P \) is precipitation, \( O \) is the sum of surface water outflow and subsurface outflow, and \( E \) is evaporative loss. All water balance units are in \( \text{L h}^{-1} \). Water balances were calculated for Pools 2 and 3 individually and combined as a reach on six dates, during July 4, July 5, July 16, July 26, July 31, and August 3, 2013.

**Surface inflow**—Inflow into Pool 2 was measured using a v-notch flume at the outlet of Pool 1 (Figure 1). The flume was calibrated using measured discharge and a pressure transducer (Level Troll 500, In-Situ Inc.; Appendix B). Surface inflow measured using this method was accurate to ± 9%. Surface inflow into Pool 3 was estimated by adding surface inflow into Pool 2, precipitation into Pool 2, and subsurface lateral inflows into Pool 2, and then subtracting evaporative loss from Pool 2. Thus, Pool 2 outflow was equal to Pool 3 inflow. Surface flow was also measured downstream of the study reach, at Imnavait Weir, using a Marsh Mc Birney Flo-Mate 2000 velocity meter.
Subsurface lateral inflows—Subsurface lateral inflows perpendicular to Pools 2 and 3 from the east and west riparian wetlands were estimated using differences between water elevations within piezometers installed in the wetlands and surface water elevations of Pools 2 and 3, according to Darcy’s Law (Figure 2; Appendix B). Stage height was measured both manually and by using Aqua Troll 200 (In-Situ Inc.) pressure transducers. Water elevation in each piezometer was then determined by GPS (Trimble S3 Servo-Autolock-Robotic Total Station). Pool stage heights were measured continuously (600LS pressure transducer, YSI) from June 26 and pool water elevations were determined by GPS in Pools 2 and 3 on August 3. This relationship between continuous pressure measurements and surface water elevation was used to extrapolate pool water elevation from stage height on other dates.
Figure 2. Imnawait study reach. Positions of piezometers and transect points in riparian wetlands relative to each pool are marked.
Hydraulic conductivities measured using falling head tests in riparian wetlands decreased from 0.004 cm s\(^{-1}\) at 10 cm depth to 0.002 cm s\(^{-1}\) at 25 cm depth. Therefore, subsurface lateral inflows to Imnavait were estimated separately for (1) 0 to 10 cm and for (2) 10 cm to the base of the active layer. The latter expanded with thaw depth over the study period. It is important to note that the heterogeneity of hydraulic conductivities, the presence or absence of preferential flow paths, and the actual area of soil through which subsurface water flows each contributed unquantifiable but sizeable uncertainty to the method used to estimate subsurface lateral inflows. Subsurface lateral inflows were estimated for 0 to 10 cm depth and for 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary adjacent to the Pool 2 and Pool 3 piezometers in the east and west riparian wetlands (Figure 2), for a total of eight subsurface lateral inflows \((n=8)\) on each of the six dates of the water balances.

The permafrost boundary and thus the volume of the subsurface participating in the water and C mass balances became deeper throughout the summer. This expansion of thaw depth was measured with a steel probe along transects through riparian wetlands during the study period. To determine the approximate time subsurface water interacted with peat on the dates of the water balances, residence time, \(\tau\) (d), was estimated as:

\[
\tau = \frac{V}{Q}
\]

(2)

where \(V\) is the volume of subsurface water underlying riparian wetlands (L), obtained by multiplying surface area (m\(^2\)) by mean local thaw depth (m; m\(^3\) = 10\(^3\) L) by the porosity of the subsurface (dimensionless ratio), assuming this subsurface pore space is flooded. Separate
subsurface water volumes for 0 to 10 cm depth and for 10 cm depth to the base of the active layer were calculated and divided by subsurface discharge ($Q$) at these layers to obtain approximate $\tau$. Residence times were thus calculated for 0 to 10 cm depth and for 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary adjacent to the Pool 2 and Pool 3 piezometers in the east and west riparian wetlands (Figure 2), for a total of eight residence times ($n=8$) on each of the six dates of the water balances.

**Surface and subsurface outflows**—Surface outflow at the outlet of Pool 3 was obtained by adding surface inflow, precipitation (obtained from NRCS, 2013), and subsurface lateral inflows, and then subtracting evaporative loss. Subsurface outflows, or leakage, out of the pools and back into the riparian zone were assumed to be negligible because piezometer water elevations were consistently greater than pool surface water elevations.

**Evaporation**—Evaporation, $E$, was estimated using the aerodynamic method:

$$
E = M (v_s - v_{0.5}) u_{0.5}
$$

(3)

$$
M = 0.622 \left( \frac{\rho_a C_E}{\rho_w P} \right)
$$

(4)

where $M$ is the mass transfer coefficient (kPa$^{-1}$), $v_s$ is the saturation vapor pressure at mean water temperature (kPa), $v_{0.5}$ is vapor pressure 0.5 m above the pool surface (kPa), assuming a relative humidity of 25%, $u_{0.5}$ is wind speed 0.5 m above the pool surface (m s$^{-1}$), $\rho_a$ and $\rho_w$ are the temperature-dependent densities of air and water (kg m$^{-3}$), $P$ is atmospheric pressure at 910 m
(kPa), and $C_E$ is a dimensionless bulk evaporation coefficient, assumed here to be 0.0014 (Gupta, 2001). Wind speed at pool surface was estimated as described in section 4.2.

### 4.3 C Mass Balances

Concentrations of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and DOC were measured within each surface and subsurface inflow and outflow estimated on July 5, July 26, and August 3, 2013. Concentrations of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in surface water were sampled with syringes and determined via thermal conductivity and inductively coupled plasma gas chromatography (Shimadzu TCD-FID GC-14A; detailed methods in Kling et al., 2000). DOC and Total Dissolved Nitrogen (TDN) samples were acidified to pH<4 and analyzed on a Shimadzu TOC-V CPH analyzer with a TNM-1 unit. Further characterization of DOC is described in section 4.4. Three, replicate subsurface water samples were collected with syringes attached to stainless steel needles at 0 to 10 cm depth (10 cm) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary (25 cm) near piezometers installed in the east and west riparian wetlands, for a total of 12 on each date of three dates of the C mass balances ($n$=12) (Figure 2). All inflow and outflow terms in the water balance were multiplied by corresponding concentrations of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and DOC (mg C L$^{-1}$) to obtain terms for C mass balances, in mg h$^{-1}$ (Appendix B). Each C mass balance was represented as:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = m_O - m_{\text{Surface}} - m_{\text{Subsurface}} - m_{F_h} + m_{F_e} - m_B - m_W - m_P - m_{OH} \quad (5)$$

where $dm/dt$ is the change in mass observed over time (1 h), $m_O$ is the mass of C leaving the study reach from Pool 3, $m_{\text{Surface}}$ is the mass of C entering in surface inflow, $m_{\text{Subsurface}}$ is the
mass of C entering within subsurface lateral inflows, \( m_{Fh} \) is the mass of C entering from the hyporheic zone (pool bottoms), and \( m_{Fe} \) is the mass of C leaving from the pool surface (gas evasion).

In-stream mineralization of DOC by microbes attached to benthic sediments (on the pool bottoms; \( m_{H} \)) and by microbes suspended in the water column (\( m_{B} \)) also added CO\(_2\) to the study reach. In addition, estimates for abiotic photomineralization within the water column (\( m_{P} \); 110 mg CO\(_2\)-C m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\); R. Cory, unpublished) and for abiotic mineralization of DOC by \( \cdot \text{OH} \) within the water column (\( m_{\text{OH}} \); 1.5x10\(^{-5}\) mg CO\(_2\)-C L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\); Page et al., 2013) were included in the CO\(_2\)-C mass balances. Photo-mineralization estimates were scaled to the surface area of each pool, because high concentrations of DOC in Imnavaite Creek have been shown to rapidly attenuate sunlight (R. Cory, unpublished). Mineralization by \( \cdot \text{OH} \) was scaled to the volumes of Pools 2 and 3.

**Hyporheic flux**—Three replicate fluxes of dissolved CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) (mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) from the hyporheic zone were estimated near the inflow (\( n=3 \)), middle (\( n=3 \)), and outflow (\( n=3 \)) of Pool 6. Pool 6 was measured to avoid increases in dissolved gases and DOC in surface waters along the study reach that may have resulted from disturbing benthic sediments. Concentration gradients of CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) between the hyporheic zone and overlying surface water were measured by syringes attached to stainless steel needles. Hyporheic fluxes of CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\), \( F_{h} \), were then estimated using Fick’s Law, porosity (\( \phi \); 0.86 for 5 to 10 cm depth) (Hinzman et al., 1991), and tortuosity (\( \theta \)) (Sweerts, 1991):
where $D_0$ is a temperature-dependent diffusion coefficient for CO$_2$ ($1.08 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) or CH$_4$ ($1.14 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) at 5 °C (Broecker and Peng, 1974), $dC/dz$ is the concentration gradient (mg L$^{-1}$) measured between the hyporheic zone at depth $z$ and surface water (10 cm) (Berner, 1980; Huttunen et al., 2006). These hyporheic fluxes were estimated during July 3, July 18, and July 29, and assumed to be representative of those in other pools on the dates of the mass balances. Hyporheic fluxes were scaled to the sediment surface areas (m$^2$), divided into thirds, of Pools 2 and 3 on the dates of the mass balances.

**Benthic mineralization**—Benthic mineralization was estimated using three 1.5 L opaque chambers with a surface area of 91 cm$^2$ deployed on the bottom of Pool 4 ($n=3$ replicates). Pool 4 was measured to avoid increases in gases and DOC in surface waters along the study reach that may have resulted from disturbing benthic sediments. Oxygen consumption in the chamber was measured (ProODO, YSI) and related on an equi-molar basis to CO$_2$ production. The slope of CO$_2$ production over time per unit area was multiplied by the chamber volume, and the resulting flux (mg CO$_2$-C m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) scaled to the sediment surface areas (m$^2$) of Pools 2 and 3 on the dates of the mass balances. Similar to hyporheic flux estimates, benthic mineralization estimates in Pool 4 during July 31 to August 3 were assumed to be representative of those in other pools on the dates of the mass balances.
**Water column mineralization**—Mineralization within the water column was also estimated via oxygen consumption measured in 60 mL BOD vials (YSI, ProODO). During the stratification that developed in Pools 2 and 3 on July 15 and August 4, water from the epilimnion \((n=3)\) replicates) and hypolimnion \((n=3)\) replicates) of each pool was sampled and allowed to equilibrate with the atmosphere for 24 h at 7 °C. During each experiment, 15 µL HgCl\(_2\) were added to three BOD bottles to serve as killed controls. Following a minimum four-day incubation period at 5 °C, rates of oxygen consumption and CO\(_2\) production for each BOD bottle were calculated. Mineralization rates \((\text{g CO}_2\cdot\text{C L}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1})\) were assumed to be representative of those on the dates of the mass balances, and scaled to the volumes and water residence times of Pools 2 and 3 on those dates.

### 4.4 Chemical Characterization of DOM

The susceptibility of DOM to microbial oxidation within surface and subsurface lateral inflows was first assessed by calculating DOC:TDN molar ratios. DOM samples from Imnavait Creek \((n=8)\) and from riparian wetlands at 0 to 10 cm depth \((n=8)\) and 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary \((n=8)\) were characterized throughout the study period, for a total of 24 samples. Because our sampled DOM originates in oligotrophic and highly reduced wetland environments, we assume that concentrations of NH\(_4^+\) and NO\(_3^-\) are low and most TDN was an organic component of DOM. The UV-Visible absorbance spectrum of chromophoric DOM (CDOM) \((a_{300}; n=24)\) was characterized via an Aqualog silicon photodiode detector (Aqualog, Horiba) following Page et al. (2014). The spectral slope ratio \((S_R; n=24)\), or a proxy for the average molecular weight of CDOM, was calculated from the absorbance spectrum of each
sample following Helms et al. (2008). Decadic CDOM absorption coefficients, \( dec_{CDOM} \), were calculated by dividing the measured absorbance by the cuvette pathlength (0.01 m) and multiplying by 2.303. \( SUVA_{254} \) was estimated by dividing the decadic absorption coefficient at 254 nm by the concentration of DOC (mg C L\(^{-1}\)) \( n=24; \) Weishaar et al., 2003).

Fluorescence excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) were measured via an Aqualog (Horiba). EEMs of each sample were collected following Ward et al. (2014). Matlab (version 7.7) was used to correct EEMs for inner-filter effect and instrument-specific excitation and emission corrections following Cory et al. (2010). Fluorescence index \( FI; n=24 \) is the ratio of corrected fluorescence emission intensities at 470 and 520 nm at excitation wavelength 370 nm; this is a proxy for the aromaticity of the fulvic acid fraction of CDOM (McKnight et al., 2001), and was calculated following Cory et al. (2010).

4.5 Wetland Processing

Concentrations of dissolved CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) were measured at transect points in riparian wetlands adjacent to Pools 2 and 3 of Innavail Creek during June 26, July 9, and August 1. Adjacent to each pool, two transect points were spaced ~2.5 m apart in areas with (wet) and without (dry) standing water located 1 m from the pool edge, while two others were spaced ~2.5 m apart in wet and dry areas located 6 m from the pool edge (Figure 2). Soil water was sampled at 0 to 10 cm depth (10 cm; \( n=3 \) replicates) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary (25 cm; \( n=3 \) replicates) as described in section 4.3. Using the data from these transects, each
environment’s relative contribution to CO₂ and CH₄ saturation within subsurface lateral inflows was assessed during the study period.

Microbial mineralization and CO₂ production within riparian soils was also measured and compared to CO₂ production within pool sediments, surface waters, and hill slope soils. At each dry riparian transect point, three replicate 1.77 cm³ soil cores were sampled at 0 to 10 cm depth (10 cm; n=3) and at 10 cm to the permafrost boundary (25 cm; n=3) 1 m and 6 m from the pool edge using a hollow stainless steel tube, for a total of 12 soil cores on three dates, during June 26, July 16, and August 4. Soils at wet riparian transect points were generally unconsolidated and could not be sampled using this method. Three replicate cores of the same dimensions were taken outside of the study reach at two hill slope sites on two dates, during July 17 and August 4, both inside (n=3 replicates) and outside of a water track. Hill slope soil cores taken outside of the water track were sampled from both the upper organic (n=3 replicates) and lower mineral soil layers (n=3 replicates). In addition, three, replicate 10 cm deep sediment cores (volume=3.53 cm³) were taken near the inlet (n=3), middle (n=3), and outlet (n=3) of Pool 6 on two dates, during July 4 and July 23. Cores and subsurface water collected from each site were allowed to equilibrate with ambient oxygen levels for 24 h at 7 °C. Following this, the upper 2 cm of each core was subsampled, wrapped in acid-washed nylon, and placed in a 60 mL BOD bottle along with subsurface water from its corresponding location. CO₂ production rates were measured in μmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹ as described in section 4.3 following a minimum four-day incubation period at 7 °C. CO₂ production rates were then normalized to dry weight of incubated cores (μmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹).
4.6  *In-stream Gas Concentrations and Evasion*

Three replicate dissolved gas samples from the surface of Pools 2 \( (n=3) \) and 3 \( (n=3) \) of the study reach were collected with syringes and partial pressures of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) (µatm) were determined as described in section 4.2. The same sampling was carried out at Imnavait Weir, 0.7 km downstream of Pool 3 \( (n=2 \text{ replicates}) \). Evasion, \( F_e \), of dissolved \( \text{CO}_2 \) \( (n=3 \text{ replicates}) \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) \( (n=3 \text{ replicates}; \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}) \) from the surface of Pools 2 and 3 to the atmosphere was estimated using in-stream gas partial pressures and the thin boundary-layer equation:

\[
F_e = k \times K_H (p_{\text{Gas}_w} - p_{\text{Gas}_a})
\]  

(8)

where \( k \) is the gas transfer velocity (cm h\(^{-1}\)), \( p_{\text{Gas}_w} \) is the partial pressure of a gas in water (µatm), \( p_{\text{Gas}_a} \) is the partial pressure of a gas in water at equilibrium with ambient air (µatm), and \( K_H \) is a water temperature-dependent Henry’s constant (mmol kg\(^{-1}\) atm\(^{-1}\); Wilhelm et al., 1977; Vachon et al., 2010). Water temperatures in Pools 2 and 3 were measured continuously throughout the June 20 to August 6 study period to capture thermal stratification using thermistors (HOBO Water Temp Pro V2) suspended from buoys on chains at 10 cm intervals. Thermistor chains extended from the surface to the bottom of each pool. The gas transfer velocity, \( k \) (cm h\(^{-1}\)), at wind speeds \(<3.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) was determined using the following relationships:

\[
k = k_{600} \left( \frac{Sc}{600} \right)^{-0.66}
\]  

(9)

\[
Sc = a - bT + cT^2 - dT^3
\]  

(10)
where $Sc$ is the Schmidt number describing the ratio of viscosity ($\text{m s}^{-1}$) to molecular diffusion ($\text{m s}^{-1}$) at $T$, $T$ is the water temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$), and $a$, $b$, $c$, and $d$ are the dimensionless constants for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ (Wanninkhof, 1992; Crusius and Wanninkhof, 2003). $k_{600}$ (cm h$^{-1}$) is the gas transfer velocity given at a Schmidt number of 600, which normalizes $k$ for comparison of any gas at any temperature (Bastviken et al., 2004). Although Crusius and Wanninkhof (2003) assume a $k_{600}$ of 1.0 cm h$^{-1}$ at wind speeds $<3.7$ m s$^{-1}$, $k_{600}$ was also calculated using wind speed following Cole and Caraco (1998):

\[
k_{600} = 2.07 + 0.215 (U_{10})^{1.7}
\]

where $U_{10}$ is the wind speed at 10 m above the water’s surface. Because this relationship does not account for the role of convection, $k_{600}$ was also calculated following MacIntyre et al. (2010) and Schubert et al. (2012). For water cooler than the ambient air temperature:

\[
k_{600} = 1.74 (U_{10}) - 0.15
\]

For water warmer than the ambient air temperature:

\[
k_{600} = 2.04 (U_{10}) + 2.0
\]

$U_{10}$ was determined using:
where $U_{0.5}$ is the wind speed measured 0.5 m above the pool surface (m s$^{-1}$), $C_{d10}$ is a mean drag coefficient 10 m above the water’s surface (dimensionless), and $\kappa$ is the von Karman constant (0.41; Crusius and Wanninkhof, 2003; Vachon et al., 2010). Wind speed 0.5 m above the pool surface was estimated from a linear regression between anemometer data taken near Pools 2 and 3 during 2013 (NRCS, 2010-2013) and wind speed measured 0.5 m above the surface of Imnavaite during 2010 (Campbell Scientific, Model 207). Compared to lakes, the Pools 2 and 3 have a short fetch and are bordered by wet sedge tundra; thus, $U_{10}$ was calculated using two $C_{d10}$ values determined for “frictionless” lakes ($1.3 \times 10^{-3}$; Crusius and Wanninkhof, 2003) and for tundra with standing water ($4.4 \times 10^{-3}$; Harper and Wiseman, 1977), which exerts greater drag on wind. Gas concentrations were measured and evasion was estimated on nine dates, during June 20, June 26, July 4, July 9, July 15, July 17, July 26, July 30, and August 3, 2013. Gas concentrations measured at intervals of 0.1 to 0.2 km upstream from Imnavaite Weir by the Arctic LTER during June 28 and August 7, 2004 were also analyzed for trends at greater spatial scales.

4.7 Statistics and Error Propagation

Standard measurement errors were propagated following Taylor (1997; Appendix B). All statistical comparisons were made using Single Factor ANOVA and Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference multiple comparisons ($\alpha = 0.05$). Linear regressions ($\alpha = 0.05$) were used to determine whether CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ saturation and evasion varied over spatial and temporal scales.
4.0 RESULTS

5.1 Water Balances

Surface inflow to the study reach decreased from 120,000 L h\(^{-1}\) in early July to 24,000 L h\(^{-1}\) in early August, but was consistently 3-4 orders of magnitude greater than subsurface lateral inflows (70 L h\(^{-1}\) to 148 L h\(^{-1}\)) throughout the study period (Table 1; Appendix C, Table C1). Evaporation and precipitation were comparatively negligible to water balances. Subsurface lateral inflows typically contributed to less than 0.6% of total inflow to the study reach. The contribution of subsurface lateral inflows as a percentage of total inflow increased throughout July and early August (Table 1). While this increasing contribution from the subsurface was in part due to decreasing surface inflows, it also resulted from seasonal increases in thaw depth (Figure 3) and thus the expansion of the deeper layer (10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary). For more direct comparisons of subsurface lateral inflows from the shallow (0 to 10 cm depth) and deeper layers, inflows were normalized to per cm of soil depth. Subsurface lateral inflows from the shallow layer (1.1 L h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) ± a standard error, SE, of 0.3 L h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) were significantly greater than subsurface lateral inflows from the deeper layer (Table 2; Appendix C, Table C2) (0.33±SE 0.04 L h\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\); p<0.001) due to lower hydraulic conductivity from 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary. Because the deeper layer had a greater volume and lower hydraulic conductivity, water at greater depths also had a significantly longer subsurface residence than did water at shallow depths (p<0.001; Appendix C, Table C3). These residence times ranged from
hours at 0 to 10 cm depth (140±10 min) to days at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary (2800±300 min).
Table 1. Summary of water balances by pool and combined as a reach during July 5, July 26, and August 3. Reach-scale terms in the water balances were determined by adding terms for Pools 2 and 3. Subsurface lateral inflows from riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek at 0 to 10 cm depth and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary are included, in addition to surface inflows and processes (i.e., precipitation and evaporation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5-Jul</th>
<th>26-Jul</th>
<th>3-Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface (L/h)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>120032.5</td>
<td>38000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Surface Inflow</td>
<td>120000.6</td>
<td>120033.2</td>
<td>120071.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subsurface (L/h)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm East</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost East</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Subsurface Inflow</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Total Inflow</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Outflow</strong></td>
<td>120032.5</td>
<td>120071.2</td>
<td>120071.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Changing thaw depths near riparian piezometers adjacent to Pools 2 and 3 on the dates of the water balances ($n=24$). Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n=2$).
Table 2. Subsurface lateral inflows through each cm of the shallow and deeper layers of riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavaït Creek during July 5, July 26, and August 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsurface (L/h/cm)</th>
<th>5-Jul</th>
<th>26-Jul</th>
<th>3-Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm East</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost East</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 *C Mass Balances*

Overall, gas concentrations within subsurface lateral inflows were significantly higher (1-2 orders of magnitude) than within surface inflows \( (p<0.001) \). Concentrations of \( \text{CO}_2 \) within deeper subsurface lateral inflows (10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary) were significantly higher \( (18\pm1 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}) \) than concentrations within shallow subsurface lateral inflows (0 to 10 cm depth) \( (11\pm1 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}; \ p<0.001) \). Concentrations of \( \text{CH}_4 \) from the deeper layer \( (1.2\pm0.1 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}) \) were also significantly higher than those from the shallow layer \( (0.6\pm0.1 \text{ mg C L}^{-1}; \ p<0.001) \). These concentrations did not increase significantly during the study period. There was no significant difference between DOC concentrations within deeper \( (2.2\pm0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}) \) and shallow \( (2.4\pm0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}) \) subsurface lateral inflows.

Despite concentrations of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) that were much higher within subsurface lateral inflows than within surface inflows, the relatively minor contribution of subsurface water from riparian wetlands to surface waters at Innavaity limited the amount C imported from these wetlands. Of the total \( \text{CO}_2 \) flowing into Pools 2 and 3, only 0.4-3.7% was from riparian wetlands (Table 3). On average, 97% of the total \( \text{CO}_2 \) flowing into the study reach was from surface inflows. During July 4 and 5, for example, the study reach received 96,000 mg \( \text{CO}_2 \)-C h\(^{-1}\) within surface inflow, compared with 990 mg \( \text{CO}_2 \)-C h\(^{-1}\) within subsurface lateral inflows (Table 3). These proportions were higher for \( \text{CH}_4 \); between 2.3% and 16.3% of \( \text{CH}_4 \)-C h\(^{-1}\) entering this reach of Innavaity was from riparian wetlands (Table 4). On average, 91% of the total \( \text{CH}_4 \) flowing into the study reach was from surface inflows. There was no significant difference in the \( \text{CO}_2 \) or \( \text{CH}_4 \) imported via subsurface lateral inflows per cm of soil depth from
the shallow or deeper layer, and these per cm imports did not increase significantly during the study period (Appendix D, Tables D1 and D2). As surface inflows decreased and thaw depth increased, the proportions of CO₂-C h⁻¹ and CH₄-C h⁻¹ imported by subsurface lateral inflows overall increased (Tables 3 and 4). Like surface water inputs (Table 1), CO₂ within surface inflows decreased from 96,000 during July 4-5 to 42,000 g CO₂-C h⁻¹ by July 31-August 3 (Table 3). However, CH₄ within surface inflows remained comparatively constant over this period.
Table 3. CO₂ mass balances by pool and combined as a reach during July 4-5, July 26, and July 31-August 3, with standard errors associated with each mean. Reach-scale terms in the C mass balances were determined by adding terms for Pools 2 and 3. CO₂ within subsurface lateral inflows draining riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek is included (subsurface), both at the shallow and deeper layer. Using the change in mg CO₂-C h⁻¹ per m of stream length, expected mass at Imnavait Weir—0.7 km downstream from Pool 3—was calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface (mg CO₂-C/h)</th>
<th>4-Jun to 5-Jun</th>
<th>26-Jul</th>
<th>31-Jul-2013 to 3-Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>96000</td>
<td>96349±9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Evasion</td>
<td>-138±8</td>
<td>-103±8</td>
<td>-237±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporheic Flux</td>
<td>0.32±0.07</td>
<td>0.33±0.07</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Column Mineralization</td>
<td>1E-04±8E-09</td>
<td>8E-05±6E-09</td>
<td>2E-04±1E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthic Mineralization</td>
<td>64.10±0.04</td>
<td>64.40±0.04</td>
<td>128.70±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photomineralization</td>
<td>87.47</td>
<td>62.07</td>
<td>149.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralization by Hydroxyl Radical</td>
<td>7E-05</td>
<td>1E-04</td>
<td>2E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Surface Inflow</td>
<td>96018±8</td>
<td>96370±10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface (mg CO₂-C/h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm East</td>
<td>18.2±0.1</td>
<td>52±1</td>
<td>71±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost East</td>
<td>79.1±0.6</td>
<td>33.77±0.04</td>
<td>113±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>90±1</td>
<td>316±6</td>
<td>406±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>144±3</td>
<td>254±8</td>
<td>398±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Subsurface Inflow</td>
<td>331±4</td>
<td>660±10</td>
<td>990±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Total Inflow</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Net Outflow</td>
<td>96349.41</td>
<td>97028.88</td>
<td>97028.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured Net Outflow</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>66000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Mass per h</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expected Weir Inflow | 285306 | 436612 | 278632 |
| Observed Weir Inflow  | 158800±400 | 870000±1000 | 178000±300 |
Table 4. CH$_4$ mass balances by pool and combined as a reach during July 4-5, July 26, and July 31-August 3, with standard errors associated with each mean. Reach-scale terms in the C mass balances were determined by adding terms for Pools 2 and 3. CH$_4$ within subsurface lateral inflows draining riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek is included (subsurface), both at the shallow and deeper layer. Using the change in mg CH$_4$C h$^{-1}$ per m of stream length, expected mass at Imnavait Weir—0.7 km downstream from Pool 3—was calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface (mg CH$_4$/C/h)</th>
<th>4-Jul to 5-Jul</th>
<th>26-Jul</th>
<th>31-Jul to 3-Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>919.3±0.4</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Evasion</td>
<td>-1.4±0.1</td>
<td>-1.0±0.1</td>
<td>-2.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporheic Flux</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.03±0.008</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Surface Inflow</td>
<td>898.6±0.1</td>
<td>897.67±0.09</td>
<td>777.8±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsurface (mg CH$_4$/C/h)</th>
<th>0-10 cm East</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost East</th>
<th>0-10 cm West</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>6.1±0.1</td>
<td>20.3±0.7</td>
<td>13.0±0.3</td>
<td>4.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Evasion</td>
<td>6.1±0.1</td>
<td>20.3±0.7</td>
<td>13.0±0.3</td>
<td>4.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporheic Flux</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
<td>20.3±0.7</td>
<td>13.0±0.3</td>
<td>4.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Subsurface Inflow</td>
<td>20.7±0.4</td>
<td>41±1</td>
<td>62±2</td>
<td>75±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Total Inflow</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Net Outflow</td>
<td>919.31</td>
<td>959.54</td>
<td>959.54</td>
<td>852.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured Net Outflow</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Mass per h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Mass per h per m Stream Length</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imported:Exported</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>20657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expected Weir Inflow       | 900          | 20657                 | 19831        |
| Observed Weir Inflow       | 2310±40      | 1950±50               | 420±30       |

Measures: Surface Inflow = pool inflow, Surface Evasion = surface methane export, Hyporheic Flux = hyporheic exchange, Net Subsurface Inflow = difference between surface and hyporheic exchanges, Percent Total Inflow = Net Subsurface Inflow / Surface Inflow, Expected Net Outflow = surface methane export + hyporheic exchange, Measured Net Outflow = measured surface methane export + hyporheic exchange, Change in Mass per h = surface methane export, Change in Mass per h per m Stream Length = surface methane export / length, and Imported:Exported = ratio of import to export.
Import and export of DOC varied between July 26 and July 31-August 3. Like CO₂ and CH₄, most DOC was imported by surface inflow during July 26. Unlike CO₂ and CH₄, >1000 mg DOC h⁻¹ was consumed along the study reach on this date, most of it from surface inflow (Appendix D, Table D3). DOC measured within subsurface lateral inflows accounted for a negligible fraction of total DOC inflow during July 26, but this fraction increased to nearly 25% during July 31-August 3 (Appendix D, Table D3). However, fewer samples were collected on fewer dates for DOC, making these estimates more subject to error.

Terms that contributed to the CO₂ mass balance on orders of magnitude similar to subsurface lateral inflows included benthic respiration, photo-mineralization, and evasion (Table 3). Between 14% and 30% of CO₂-C h⁻¹ entering the study reach within subsurface lateral inflows was immediately lost to the atmosphere via surface evasion (Table 3). This proportion decreased from July 4 (25%) and July 26 (14%), but increased again on August 3 (30%) with the development of thermal stratification (section 5.5, Figure 6). A much smaller proportion of CH₄ imported to the study reach within subsurface lateral inflows was lost to the atmosphere (between 4% and 6%), despite its lower solubility in water than CO₂. These proportions also increased from July 4 (4%) to August 3 (6%).

Hyporheic flux (Appendix D, Figures D1 and D2), in-stream respiration (Appendix E), and abiotic oxidation of OM by •OH were comparatively negligible terms in the C mass balances (Tables 3 and 4). The effect of stratification on mg CO₂-C h⁻¹ in surface outflow was also tested by dividing the pools into an upper volume of water influenced only by surface inflow and a lower volume of water influenced only by subsurface lateral inflows (section 5.5). Although this
test increased the export of mg CO$_2$-C h$^{-1}$ produced by water column respiration from 0.0003±0.0001 mg to 0.0034±0.0005 mg CO$_2$-C h$^{-1}$ and the mineralization by •OH from 0.0007±0.0003 to 0.10±0.02 mg CO$_2$-C h$^{-1}$ at the reach scale, these terms remained negligible to the CO$_2$ mass balances.

5.3  **Chemical Structure of DOM**

Although DOC and TDN concentrations were significantly higher within shallow and deeper subsurface lateral inflows than within surface inflows (p=0.04), molar DOC:TDN was fairly uniform within both surface and subsurface lateral inflows (34±3; Table 5). This was also true for $S_R$ values, which consistently indicated the presence of more high than low molecular weight CDOM within both surface and subsurface lateral inflows (Table 5; Helms et al., 2008). These $S_R$ values did not vary significantly from the surface to the subsurface, though $a_{300}$ values indicated significantly more CDOM at 0 to 10 cm depth (p=0.05) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary (p=0.04) than in surface inflows (Table 5; Cory et al., 2013). There were significant differences in another measure of DOM quality: the aromaticity or amount of humus present within CDOM. Soil humus, subdivided into humin acids, fulvic acids, and humin, is aromatic (Weishaar et al., 2003). Aromatic compounds are characterized by stable, conjugated rings of unsaturated bonds (Schwarzenbach et al., 2003). The stability of these structures, which are usually of high molecular weight (e.g., high $S_R$), makes them relatively recalcitrant to microbial mineralization. Fulvic acid aromaticity estimated by $FI$ was significantly greater at deeper subsurface depths than at shallow depths (p=0.03). Fulvic acid aromaticity at shallow subsurface depths was significantly greater than in surface waters (Table 5; p=0.002). Despite
C:N ratios that imply uniform lability of DOM imported to the study reach by surface and subsurface waters, the aromaticity of CDOM was greater within subsurface lateral inflows, and greatest within subsurface lateral inflows from the deeper layer. This suggests that DOM lability decreases with soil depth.
Table 5. Indices of DOM quality measured within Imnavait Creek \((n=8\) samples\) and subsurface lateral inflows at 0 to 10 cm depth \((n=8)\) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary \((n=8)\). The C:N molar ratio, Total Dissolved N and DOC concentrations, slope ratio \((S_R)\), CDOM content of DOM \(\left(a_{300}\right)\), total humic content \((SUVA_{254})\), and fulvic acid aromaticity \((FI)\) are included, with standard errors associated with each mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In stream</th>
<th>0 to 10 cm Depth</th>
<th>10 cm Depth to the Permafrost Boundary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C:N (molar)</td>
<td>34±2</td>
<td>34±3</td>
<td>34±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDN (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.48±0.02</td>
<td>0.70±0.07</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC (mg/L)</td>
<td>14.2±0.2</td>
<td>21±2</td>
<td>21±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_R</td>
<td>0.77±0.01</td>
<td>0.74±0.07</td>
<td>0.77±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_{300}) (m(^{-1}))</td>
<td>64±7</td>
<td>140±80</td>
<td>140±60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUVA(_{254})</td>
<td>3.7±0.3</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>5±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>1.56±0.02</td>
<td>1.61±0.02</td>
<td>1.66±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Wetland Processing

Similar to subsurface sampling carried out near piezometers for the C mass balances, subsurface sampling carried out at riparian transect points showed one to two orders of magnitude more dissolved CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in soil waters than in adjacent surface waters (Appendix E, Figures E1 and E2). Concentrations of dissolved CO$_2$ were significantly higher at riparian transect points without standing water at the surface (“dry”) than at those with standing water at the surface (“wet”) in late June (p=0.001), early July (p=0.003), and early August (p=0.02). Concentrations of dissolved CH$_4$ were significantly higher at dry riparian transect points than at wet transect points in late June (p=0.05), only. Furthermore, transect point concentrations of both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were significantly higher at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary than at 0 to 10 cm depth in early July (p<0.001 for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$) and early August (p=0.05 for CO$_2$, p<0.001 for CH$_4$), though concentrations in the deeper layer were not measured in late June (Appendix E, Tables E3 and E4). There was no significant difference between concentrations of these dissolved gases sampled 1 m and 6 m from the pool edge (Appendix E, Tables E1-E4). For CO$_2$, transect point concentrations were significantly higher in July (18±4 mg C L$^{-1}$) and August (18±2 mg C L$^{-1}$) than in June (9.8±0.9 mg C L$^{-1}$; p<0.001). A similar trend was observed for CH$_4$; transect point concentrations of CH$_4$ were significantly higher during August 3 (0.95±0.09 mg C L$^{-1}$) than during June 26 (0.46±0.07 mg C L$^{-1}$; p<0.001). Thus, the deeper layers of Innnavait’s riparian wetlands without standing water contributed most CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ to subsurface lateral inflows, and this contribution increased throughout the summer study period.
Based on incubations of riparian wetland soil cores, CO₂ was produced at rates ranging from 0.7 to 4.9 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹ (Appendix E, Table E5). CO₂ production was significantly greater at 6 m from the pool edge (3.3±0.4 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹) than at 1 m (2.0±0.1 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹, p=0.004; Appendix E, Table E5). While CO₂ production tended to be higher in the shallow layer (4.8±0.7 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹) than in the deeper layer (3±1 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹), these differences were not statistically significant (Appendix E, Table E5). CO₂ production was consistently 1-2 orders of magnitude higher in soil and sediment cores than in surface and soil waters from the pools of Innnavait Creek (Figure 4; p<0.001). However, soil cores collected from the hill slope produced significantly less CO₂ than cores collected from riparian wetlands (p=0.006) and pool sediments (p=0.005), emphasizing the importance of riparian wetlands and the pool bottom to local biogeochemical cycling (Figure 4). Among the different hill slope soils sampled, CO₂ production was significantly greater within a water track (1.7±0.4 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹) and the shallow organic layer of hill slope soils outside of this water track (1.1±0.2 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹) than in the deeper mineral layer (0.33±0.04 µmol CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹, p=0.006; Appendix F, Table F5). All soils and sediments can be classified as peat, with the exception of this deeper mineral layer. These results represent aerobic microbial mineralization over a shallow volume of soils and sediments. It is probable that microbial mineralization occurs via anaerobic pathways at greater subsurface depths than cores were collected. Therefore, results represent a minimum estimate for CO₂ production in these environments, but show that the greatest consumption of O₂ and production of CO₂ at Innnavait is in riparian and pool bottom peat.
Figure 4. CO$_2$ produced in wetland soil cores ($n=39$), wetland soil water ($n=9$), hill slope soil cores ($n=18$), pool sediment cores ($n=17$), and pool water ($n=24$), in µmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ of incubation time. Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n=3$). All soils and sediments can be classified as peat; no mineral soils from the deeper layer are included. CO$_2$ production was normalized to the dry weight (g) of soil and sediment cores where applicable.
### 5.5 *In-stream Gas Concentrations and Evasion*

The mean partial pressure of CO$_2$ was 3000±300 µatm in both Pools 2 and 3 during the June 20 to August 6 study period (n=51). Mean CH$_4$ was 1400±200 in Pool 2 (n=26) and 1300±SE 200 µatm in Pool 3 (n=25) during this period. Calculated partial pressures in equilibrium with the atmosphere were 348±4 µatm CO$_2$ and 2.1±0.1 µatm CH$_4$. Thus, surface waters in Pools 2 and 3 of Imnavait Creek were supersaturated with respect to atmospheric CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, leading to the evasion of these gases to the atmosphere.

CO$_2$ evasion rates estimated using MacIntyre et al. (2010)’s $k_{600}$ relationships were significantly greater than evasion rates estimated following Cole and Caracao (1998) (p=0.01), which were significantly greater than evasion rates estimated following Crusius and Wanninkhof (2003; Figure 5a) (p=0.02). CH$_4$ evasion rates estimated using MacIntyre et al. (2010)’s $k_{600}$ relationships were significantly greater than evasion rates estimated following Crusius and Wanninkhof (2003; Figure 5b) (p=0.004). Water along the study reach was warmer than the atmosphere (except during June 20). Therefore, these results imply that convective cooling at the water’s surface may increase gas evasion. Because the thin boundary-layer equation generally provides a minimum estimate of gas evasion when compared to other methods (Schubert et al., 2012), and because evasion rates estimated following Cole and Caracao (1998) represent a middle range, we report evasion rates estimated following Cole and Caracao (1998) hereafter. We used a drag coefficient estimated for tundra with standing water, although there was no significant difference between evasion rates estimated using a frictionless drag coefficient.
Figure 5. Evasion rates for CO$_2$ (a) and CH$_4$ (b) estimated using $k_{600}$ relationships published by Cole and Caraco (1998), MacIntyre et al. (2010), and Crusius and Wanninkhof (2003). Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n=2$).
Overall, in-stream gas concentrations \((n=51)\) and evasion rates \((n=51)\) of CO\(_2\) \((22\pm2\ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) and CH\(_4\) \((0.53\pm0.07\ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) did not vary significantly from Pool 2 to Pool 3. However, thermal stratification developed in the pools during June 20\(^1\), June 26, July 15, and August 3 (Figure 6). During stratification, CO\(_2\) was significantly greater in surface waters along the study reach \((4400\pm200 \ \text{µatm})\) than when the pools were well mixed \((2080\pm90 \ \text{µatm}; \ p<0.001)\). Surface water CO\(_2\) also became more variable during stratification between Pool 2 \((4200\pm400 \ \text{µatm})\) and Pool 3 \((4600\pm300 \ \text{µatm})\). Stratification had the same effect on CH\(_4\) in surface waters \((2200\pm300 \ \text{µatm})\) relative to mixed conditions \((650\pm70 \ \text{µatm}; \ p=0.02)\), and CH\(_4\) was also more variable from Pool 2 \((2400\pm400 \ \text{µatm})\) compared to Pool 3 \((2100\pm400 \ \text{µatm})\) during stratification. Higher gas concentrations in surface waters during stratification led to higher evasion rates. Evasion of CO\(_2\) was significantly higher along the study reach during stratification \((28\pm4 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) than when waters were well mixed \((16.8\pm0.8 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}; \ p<0.001)\), and was also more variable between Pool 2 \((25\pm5 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) and Pool 3 \((30\pm2 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\). The same was true for CH\(_4\) evasion rates \((0.9\pm0.1 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) relative to mixed conditions \((0.23\pm0.02 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}; \ p<0.001)\), which were also more variable between Pool 2 \((0.9\pm0.2 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\) and Pool 3 (Figure 7) \((0.89\pm0.05 \ \text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1})\).

\(^1\) Stratification is assumed to have developed in Imnavait pools on June 20 based on increases in CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) in bottom waters, although thermistor chains were not deployed until June 25.
Figure 6. Stratification that developed in Pool 2 (a) and Pool 3 (b) of Innnavait Creek recorded by thermistors 10 cm above the pool bottom and at the pool surface.
Figure 7. CH₄ evasion rates (mg C m⁻² h⁻¹) from Pools 2 and 3 during the study period (a) (n=51). Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean (n=3). CH₄ is significantly greater during stratification in Pools 2 and 3 (b) (p<0.001). The same trends were observed for CO₂ evasion and for CO₂ and CH₄ partial pressures.
CO$_2$ (1900±100 µatm) was significantly lower 0.7 km downstream, at Imnavait Weir, than in Pools 2 and 3 (p=0.02). This is consistent with measurements of CO$_2$ showing a significant positive correlation between CO$_2$ (Figure 8a; Arctic LTER) ($\Delta^2$=0.36, p=0.01, df=14) and distance upstream from the reference site at Imnavait Weir during June 2004. This spatial trend weakened by August 2004 (Figure 8b) ($\Delta^2$=0.18, p=0.04, df=8). Similarly, CH$_4$ in this data set increased significantly with distance upstream in June 2004 ($\Delta^2$=0.49, p=0.002, df=14), though not in August (Appendix F, Figure F1). In contrast, CH$_4$ was significantly higher at Imnavait Weir (780±10 µatm, p<0.001) than in Pools 2 and 3 during the 2013 study period. Furthermore, CH$_4$ at this downstream site increased significantly from June 19 (600±200 µatm) to August 6, 2013 (Figure 9) (1600±100 µatm; $r^2$=0.35, p=0.04, df=11). CO$_2$ partial pressures remained comparatively constant at Imnavait Weir from June 19 to August 6, 2013 (Appendix F, Figure F2) (1900±100 µatm). Evasion was not estimated at Imnavait Weir and compared to the study reach because Imnavait Weir lacks pools, and therefore a comparable surface area and diffusion conditions.
Figure 8. CO$_2$ (µatm) from Innavait Weir (0 km) to 1.7 km upstream measured by the Arctic LTER on June 28 (a) and August 8 (b), 2004. Similar trends were observed for CH$_4$ on June 28, 2004.
Figure 9. CH$_4$ (µatm) at Innavait Weir from June 20 to August 6, 2013 ($n=14$). Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n=2$).
5.0 DISCUSSION

Terrestrial-aquatic C transfers within subsurface lateral inflows from riparian wetlands were a small fraction of the total CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ moving through our study reach in surface inflows (Tables 2 and 3). Despite concentrations of these constituents that were 1-2 orders of magnitude higher in subsurface soil waters than in stream waters, terrestrial-aquatic transfers along a short stream reach (meters) were limited because subsurface lateral inflows generally accounted for >0.1% of inflow into the reach (Table 1). However, subsurface C inputs along a reach are integrated moving up or downstream, and over a sufficient distance these subsurface lateral inflows can account for the mass of C carried in surface waters. Using measured values and the length of the study reach (14.5 m), subsurface lateral inflows contributed 276 to 552 mg CO$_2$-C h$^{-1}$, 0 to 29 mg CH$_4$-C h$^{-1}$, and up to 69 mg DOC h$^{-1}$ to each m of Imnavait Creek. Multiplying these values by the distance from our study reach to the upstream source of Imnavait, subsurface lateral inputs are more than enough to explain the mass flux of C observed within surface water. If similar subsurface lateral inflows are assumed from the study reach to the headwater stream source, then between 120 and 363 m of stream length are required to produce the C flux of CO$_2$ observed entering the study reach in surface water. These lengths are between 41 and 47 m for CH$_4$ and 36 m for DOC. Because the distance from the upstream source of Imnavait Creek to the outflow of Pool 3 is approximately 428 m, there must be a loss of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and DOC along the stream to account for the lower fluxes than predicted of materials entering the study reach. This loss was likely due to photochemical and biological mineralization of DOC, and to gas evasion because other loss terms in the C mass balances were comparatively negligible.
Surface water concentrations of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were generally higher in this arctic headwater stream than in adjacent lakes and rivers, as well as in boreal and temperate headwater streams (Table 5). CH$_4$ concentrations were significantly higher downstream, at Imnavait Weir, than upstream, at the study reach. This may result from little of the CH$_4$ within subsurface lateral inflows (4-6%) evading from surface waters to the atmosphere along the study reach (Table 3). However, CO$_2$ concentrations decreased significantly from the study reach to Imnavait Weir, which is consistent with prior measurements in June and July, 2004 (Figure 8). Furthermore, the evasion of C from CO$_2$ along the study reach was greater than from CH$_4$ (Tables 2 and 3). The same decrease in CO$_2$ partial pressures documented by this study from upstream to downstream in a single arctic headwater stream has been documented by boreal and temperate studies at the river network scale, from headwater streams to rivers (Jonsson et al., 2007; Teodoru et al., 2009; Humborg et al., 2010; Crawford et al., 2013). As streams become narrower and shallower upstream, the surface area of water in contact with land increases. Thus, the influence of terrestrial-aquatic C transfers on surface water chemistry increases further upstream from Imnavait Weir, or the further upstream from a river to a headwater stream. At Imnavait, we consistently observed gas concentrations in subsurface soil waters that were much higher than gas concentrations in stream waters, and present the first direct measurements of terrestrial-aquatic transfers of C within subsurface lateral inflows of soil water from riparian wetlands to an arctic headwater stream.
Table 5. Partial pressures (µatm) of CO₂ and CH₄ observed at Innnavait and elsewhere on the North Slope. Though not a comprehensive list, other studies of headwater streams at lower latitudes are included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$P_{CO_2}$ (µatm)</th>
<th>$P_{CH_4}$ (µatm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arctic, Alaska North Slope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater stream, stratified pool</td>
<td><em>This study</em></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4400±200</td>
<td>2200±300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater stream, mixed pool</td>
<td><em>This study</em></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2080±90</td>
<td>650±70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater stream, downstream ref.</td>
<td><em>This study</em></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1900±99</td>
<td>780±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toolik Lake inlet</td>
<td>Arctic LTER</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toolik Lake outlet</td>
<td>Arctic LTER</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake NE14</td>
<td>Arctic LTER</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuparuk River</td>
<td>Arctic LTER</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4000±2000</td>
<td>24±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small streams</td>
<td><em>Aufdenkampe et al.</em></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small streams, Alaska</td>
<td><em>Crawford et al.</em></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>570-2600</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater streams, Sweden</td>
<td><em>Humborg et al.</em></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small streams, Canada</td>
<td><em>Teodoru et al.</em></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater streams, U.S.</td>
<td><em>Butman and Raymond et al.</em></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater streams, U.S.</td>
<td><em>Hope et al.</em></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>424-2674</td>
<td>142-879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwater streams, U.S.</td>
<td><em>Jones and Mulholland</em></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>3340</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gas concentrations and evasion increased significantly during periods of thermal stratification compared to periods when the pools were well mixed (Figure 7b). During stratification, the chemistry of the bottom waters is more likely influenced by inputs from subsurface soil waters than by surface waters (Merck et al., 2012), receiving significantly higher concentrations of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ within subsurface lateral inflows (Tables 2 and 3). This CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ likely accumulates in bottom waters due to longer water residence times (Merck et al., 2012). As higher velocity surface inflows (Table 1) move across the thermocline and the more stagnant waters of the bottom, resulting advection may cause eddies of CO$_2$- and CH$_4$-rich water from the bottom to rise to the surface. Here, higher partial pressures of CO$_2$—some of which may be oxidized CH$_4$—and CH$_4$ from bottom waters contribute to higher evasion rates. During stratification, evasion of both CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were significantly elevated above mixed conditions. Assuming a mean pool surface area of 6 m$^2$, we estimate that stratification led to the evasion of an additional 4000±600 mg CO$_2$-C and 130±14 mg CH$_4$-C along the study reach from June 20 to August 6, 2013. It is generally thought that lake mixing increases CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in surface waters by bringing nutrients, DOM in senesced phyto- and zooplankton (Kirillin et al., 2012), etc., CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ from the bottom to the surface, where more efficient oxidation and evasion may occur. Mixing has been shown to increase CO$_2$ evasion nearby, in Toolik Lake (Eugster et al., 2003). Contrary to the sequestration of DOM and dissolved gases in bottom waters during stratification, these findings at Imnavait could therefore have implications for other stratified systems with high surface water velocity and strong terrestrial-aquatic linkages through the subsurface.

Photo-mineralization of DOC to CO$_2$ was another important term in the C mass balances (Table 2). On July 26, for example, 1,000 mg of DOC h$^{-1}$ was lost from Pool 2 to Pool 3 along the study reach (Appendix D, Table D1). Water column mineralization by microbes was comparatively low, perhaps
due to the high aromatic content of DOM entering the length of Imnavait within subsurface lateral inflows ($a_{300}$; Table 5). The most labile DOM within subsurface soil waters was likely consumed before it reached Imnavait in riparian wetlands (Judd and Kling, 2002), indicated by the high microbial mineralization rates in these soils (Figure 4). While highly aromatic DOM compounds are more resistant to microbial oxidation than other, aliphatic DOM compounds, these aromatics are also more susceptible to photo-degradation (Ward et al., 2014). Differences in aromaticity between surface and subsurface flow paths suggest either that subsurface lateral inflows contain inherently more aromatic DOM than surface inflows, or that aromatic DOM is transformed by abiotic in-stream processes, such as photo-degradation (Cory et al., 2013) or incomplete oxidation by •OH (Page et al., 2013). In this study, mineralization by •OH was comparatively unimportant to the C mass balances (Table 2). Therefore, sunlight is responsible for most mineralization of DOC to CO$_2$.

In the riparian wetlands last encountered by subsurface lateral inflows before Imnavait Creek, concentrations of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ were significantly higher at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary than at 0 to 10 cm depth. At this deeper layer, residence time was also greater due to lower hydraulic conductivity and greater subsurface volume, indicating that water interacted with peat over a greater surface area for longer periods ($2800 \pm \text{SE} 300 \text{ min}$ at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary, compared to $140 \pm \text{SE} 10 \text{ min}$ at 0 to 10 cm; Appendix C, Table C3), which allowed for more CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ to dissolve (Mulholland, 1993). A seasonal increase in thaw depth (Figure 3) is one factor that led to an increase in terrestrial-aquatic transfers from the deeper layer during the summer study period (Tables 2 and 3). These findings have implications for scenarios of climate change. Temperature changes have been most acute thus far in high latitudes, increasing 0.6 °C y$^{-1}$ above 62$^\circ$ N, compared to 0.35 °C y$^{-1}$ between 50$^\circ$ and 70$^\circ$ N, since 1985 (Serreze and Francis, 2006; Euskirchen et al., 2007). In the southern
reaches of the Kuparuk River Basin, where Innvait Creek is located, the magnitude of annual temperature increases as predicted by five Global Circulation Models is much lower (~0.04 °C y^{-1} through 2099), though with warmer winters and strong interannual variability (Cherry et al., 2014). Rising temperatures may cause thermal erosion of permafrost (Polyakov et al., 2002). This will increase not only the amount of OM available to microbial oxidation, highest in wetland soils and pool sediments (Figure 4), but also the volume of the deeper layer. In other words, the expansion of the active layer from 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary, and associated increases in terrestrial-aquatic CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ transfers that we observed over July, may demonstrate that greater C exports from wet sedge tundra are likely during some years under scenarios of climate change. Another prediction of these models is a two-fold increase in summer precipitation (Cherry et al., 2014). Greater precipitation in the Innvait catchment will likely lead to a decrease in insolation and an increase in discharge, potentially making thermal stratification in Innvait pools and its effects on gas evasion rarer.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Our results indicate that terrestrial-aquatic C transfers from riparian wetlands along the length of Innvait Creek lead to the supersaturation of this arctic headwater stream with CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ relative to atmospheric levels. This supersaturation increases during periods of thermal stratification in the stream pools, and results in the evasion of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. Evasion of up to 1/3 of the CO$_2$ introduced to Innvait within subsurface lateral inflows and decreasing concentrations of CO$_2$ from upstream to downstream are consistent with studies of boreal and temperate headwater streams. Collectively, these studies show that headwater streams not only connect a large terrestrial organic C
pool to other conduits for CO₂ to the atmosphere, such as larger rivers, but themselves serve as an important conduit. Prior to this study, little data had been collected on terrestrial-aquatic transfers of CH₄ and its subsequent transport or evasion from headwater streams. We show that much less C from CH₄ evades as water moves from upstream to downstream than does C from CO₂ at Imnavait. Perhaps because of these lower evasion rates, CH₄ increases in stream waters from upstream to downstream. In addition to evasion, photo-mineralization is an important term in the C mass balances for this arctic headwater stream during its ice-free season, when insolation incidentally occurs for the majority of each day. While global change may increase the volume of the deeper subsurface, residence times, and concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ in subsurface lateral inflows (as we documented over a single summer), it will also likely lead to fewer in-stream stratification events.
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Figure A1. False color image of the Innavait Creek Region relative to the Toolik Lake Region and the Kuparuk River. Innavait Creek flows into the Kuparuk River, which flows into the Arctic Ocean near Prudhoe Bay. The large land surface area covered by headwater streams in this area of the North Slope can be seen in this image, from Walker and Maier (2008).
Figure A2. Surficial Geomorphology of Imnavait Creek. The spatial extent of riparian wetlands at Imnavait is highlighted next to beaded pools. Hill slope water tracks are also highlighted in this image, from (Walker et al., 1987).
10.0 APPENDIX B

Surface inflow—The flume was calibrated using measured discharge and a pressure transducer. Stage height in an attached stilling well, which was determined using and a non-vented pressure transducer (Level Troll 500, In-Situ Inc.), was related to discharge, or inflow into Pool 2. Stage height, \( h \) (m), was obtained from pressure, \( P \) (Pa), in the following relationship:

\[
h = \frac{P}{\rho g}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density of water (kg \( m^{-3} \)) and \( g \) is standard gravity (m \( s^{-2} \)). Atmospheric pressure (Baro Troll, In-Situ Inc.) was subtracted from total pressure to obtain \( P \).

Subsurface lateral inflows—Subsurface discharge, \( Q \) (L d\(^{-1}\)), perpendicular to Pools 2 and 3 from the east and west riparian wetlands were estimated using differences between water elevations within piezometers installed in the wetlands and water elevations within Pools 2 and 3, according to Darcy’s Law:

\[
Q = A k \frac{dH}{dx}
\]

where \( A \) is the vertical cross-sectional area between piezometers (m\(^2\)) perpendicular to \( Q \), \( k \) is the hydraulic conductivity of the soil at a given depth (cm \( s^{-1} \)), \( dH \) is difference between piezometer and pool water elevation (hydraulic head; m), and \( dx \) (m) is the distance between the piezometer and the pool. Water elevation in each piezometer was determined by GPS (surveying elevation (m above sea level, Alaska GEOID09; Trimble S3 Servo-Autolock-Robotic Total Station) at the top of each well casing, subtracting the length of that well casing (162.5 cm), and adding water depth to pressure transducer diaphragm (stage height). Pressure transducer diaphragms were positioned 2.5 cm from the bottom of the well, and piezometer water elevations were further corrected for this. Stage height was measured both manually and by using Aqua Troll 200 (In-Situ Inc.) non-vented pressure transducers. Pool stage heights were measured (vented 600LS sonde, YSI) and pool water elevations were surveyed in Pools 2 and 3 on August 3. This relationship between continuous pressure measurements and surface water elevation from GPS was used to extrapolate pool water elevation from stage height on other dates.

Error propagation—Following Taylor (1997), if \( x + y = q \), then the error or uncertainty (\( \delta \)) associated with \( x \) (\( \delta x \)) and \( y \) (\( \delta y \)) propagated to \( q \) is the sum of these uncertainties, represented as:

\[
\delta q = \sqrt{(\delta x)^2 + (\delta y)^2}
\]

If \( x \ast y \) or \( x / y = q \), then the error or uncertainty propagated to \( q \) is the sum of the fractional uncertainties associated with \( x \) (\( \delta x / x \)) and \( y \) (\( \delta y / y \)), represented as:

\[
\frac{\delta q}{|q|} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta x}{|x|}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta y}{|y|}\right)^2}
\]
**Figure B1.** Diagram of carbon mass balances for the study reach. CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ within subsurface lateral inflows from riparian wetlands to Imnavait were measured at 0 to 10 cm depth and 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary on the east and west banks of each pool.
## 11.0 APPENDIX C

**Table C1.** Summary of water balances by pool and combined as a reach on July 4, July 16, and July 31. Reach-scale terms in the water balances were determined by adding terms for Pools 2 and 3. In addition to surface inflows and processes (i.e., precipitation and evaporation), subsurface lateral inflows are included for riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Inninait Creek at 0 to 10 cm depth and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface (L/h)</th>
<th>4-Jul</th>
<th>16-Jul</th>
<th>31-Jul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>120000.0</td>
<td>120047.7</td>
<td>6900.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Surface Inflow</td>
<td>119999.9</td>
<td>120047.5</td>
<td>120068.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subsurface (L/h)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-10 cm East</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost East</th>
<th>0-10 cm West</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost West</th>
<th>Net Subsurface Inflow</th>
<th>Percent Total Inflow</th>
<th>Net Outflow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net Outflow | 120047.7 | 120068.3 | 120068.3 | 6997.8 | 7047.2 | 7047.2 | 72009.2 | 72088.9 | 72088.9 |
Table C2. Subsurface lateral inflows, per cm of soil depth, for riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek at 0 to 10 cm depth and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary on July 4, July 16, and July 31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsurface (L/h/cm)</th>
<th>4-Jul</th>
<th>16-Jul</th>
<th>31-Jul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm East</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost East</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C3. Residence times (min) calculated for subsurface lateral inflows at 0 to 10 cm depth and at 10 cm depth to permafrost boundary beneath riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Times (min)</th>
<th>4-Jul-2013</th>
<th>5-Jul-2013</th>
<th>16-Jul-2013</th>
<th>26-Jul-2013</th>
<th>31-Jul-2013</th>
<th>3-Aug-2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool 2 East</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 2 East 0 -10 cm</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 2 East 10 cm-Permafrost</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>1237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool 2 West</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 2 West 0 -10 cm</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 2 West 10 cm-Permafrost</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>1453</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>2594</td>
<td>1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool 3 East</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 3 East 0 -10 cm</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 3 East 10 cm-Permafrost</td>
<td>5137</td>
<td>5137</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>3155</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool 3 West</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 3 West 0 -10 cm</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool 3 West 10 cm-Permafrost</td>
<td>4741</td>
<td>4741</td>
<td>3765</td>
<td>4758</td>
<td>4659</td>
<td>3852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12.0 APPENDIX D

Table D1. DOC mass balances by pool and combined as a reach during July 26 July 31-August 3. Reach-scale terms in the C mass balances were determined by adding terms for Pools 2 and 3. DOC within subsurface lateral inflows draining riparian wetlands on the west bank of Imnawat Creek is included (subsurface), both at the shallow and deeper layer. Using the change in mg DOC h⁻¹ per m of stream length, expected mass at Imnawat Weir—0.7 km downstream from Pool 3—was calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface (mg DOC/h)</th>
<th>26-Jul-2013</th>
<th>31-Jul-2013 to 3-Aug-2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Inflow</td>
<td>54000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface (mg/h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Subsurface Inflow</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Total Inflow</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected Net Outflow | 54081      | 35082   |
Observed Net Outflow  | 53000      | 36000   |
Change in C per h     | -1000      | 1000    |
Change in C per h per m Stream Length | -69 | 69 |
Imported:Exported     | 1.02       | 0.97    |

Expected Weir Inflow  | -46326     | 46326   |
Observed Weir Inflow  | 1067398    | 183096  |
**Table D2.** CO$_2$ within subsurface lateral inflows through each cm of the shallow and deeper layers of riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Innavait Creek during July 4-5, July 26, and July 31-August 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsurface (mg CO$_2$C/h/cm)</th>
<th>0-10 cm East</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost East</th>
<th>0-10 cm West</th>
<th>10 cm-Permafrost West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-Jun to 5-Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>1.82±0.01</td>
<td>1.96±0.01</td>
<td>9.0±0.1</td>
<td>3.21±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>5.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.85±0.001</td>
<td>31.6±0.6</td>
<td>6.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>13.1±0.3</td>
<td>18.1±0.4</td>
<td>7.7±0.1</td>
<td>3.72±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>13.1±0.3</td>
<td>18.1±0.4</td>
<td>7.7±0.1</td>
<td>3.72±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>18.1±0.4</td>
<td>4.5±0.1</td>
<td>14.2±0.4</td>
<td>4.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>13.1±0.3</td>
<td>4.5±0.1</td>
<td>14.2±0.4</td>
<td>4.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-Jul to 3-Aug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>4.18±0.02</td>
<td>7.8±0.2</td>
<td>9.4±0.6</td>
<td>3.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>8.0±0.9</td>
<td>3.00±0.04</td>
<td>8±1</td>
<td>3.47±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>4.18±0.02</td>
<td>7.8±0.2</td>
<td>9.4±0.6</td>
<td>3.6±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table D3.** CH$_4$ within subsurface lateral inflows through each cm of the shallow and deeper layers of riparian wetlands on the east and west bank of Imnavait Creek during July 4-5, July 26, and July 31-August 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsurface (mg CH$_4$C/h/cm)</th>
<th>4-Jun to 5-Jun</th>
<th>26-Jul</th>
<th>31-Jul to 3-Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POOL 2</td>
<td>POOL 3</td>
<td>REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm East</td>
<td>0.09±0.01</td>
<td>0.52±0.03</td>
<td>0.48±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost East</td>
<td>0.152±0.002</td>
<td>0.096±0.002</td>
<td>1.10±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm West</td>
<td>0.070±0.002</td>
<td>2.03±0.07</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Permafrost West</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>0.33±0.03</td>
<td>0.180±0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure D1.** Hyporheic flux of CO$_2$ near the inflow ($n=3$ replicate samples), middle ($n=3$), and outflow ($n=3$) of Pool 6 on July 3, July 18, and July 29. Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n=3$). Fluxes near the outflow are negative (influxes), perhaps indicating that dilute surface water with lower concentrations of CO$_2$ is being forced into the hyporheic zone by stream velocities accelerating across sediments as water enters the chute to Pool 7. CO$_2$ fluxes are always greatest in the middle of Pool 6, suggesting deposition and respiration of larger amounts of OM here. When converted to g and scaled to sediment surface area in Pools 2 and 3, hyporheic flux of CO$_2$ is a negligible term in C mass balances.
Figure D2. Hyporheic flux of CH$_4$ near the inflow ($n$=3 replicate samples), middle ($n$=3), and outflow ($n$=3) of Pool 6 on July 3, July 18, and July 29. Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean ($n$=3). Fluxes near the outflow are negative (influxes), perhaps indicating that dilute surface water with lower concentrations of CH$_4$ is being forced into the hyporheic zone by stream velocities accelerating across sediments as water enters the chute to Pool 7. CH$_4$ fluxes are always greatest in the middle of Pool 6, suggesting deposition and respiration of larger amounts of OM here. When converted to g and scaled to sediment surface area in Pools 2 and 3, hyporheic flux of CH$_4$ is a negligible term in C mass balances.
Table E1. Concentrations of CO₂ (mg C L⁻¹) measured in the epilimnion (n=6) and within riparian soil waters in dry (n=6) and inundated (n=6) areas of the wetlands located 1 m (n=12) and 6 m (n=12) from the stream edge, during June 26 (Figure 2). Standard errors associated with each mean are included. Concentrations of CO₂ are significantly greater in dry areas (p=0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 m Wet</th>
<th>1 m Dry</th>
<th>6 m Wet</th>
<th>6 m Dry</th>
<th>Epilimnion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>June 26</strong></td>
<td>5.3±0.2</td>
<td>14.4±6</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>11±1</td>
<td>1.77±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E2. Concentrations of CH₄ (mg C L⁻¹) measured in the epilimnion (n=6) and within riparian soil waters in dry (n=6) and inundated (n=6) areas of the wetlands located 1 m (n=12) and 6 m (n=12) from the stream edge, during June 26. Standard errors associated with each mean are included. Concentrations of CH₄ are significantly greater in dry areas (p=0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 m Wet</th>
<th>1 m Dry</th>
<th>6 m Wet</th>
<th>6 m Dry</th>
<th>Epilimnion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>June 26</strong></td>
<td>0.21±0.01</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.031±0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concentrations of CO$_2$ (mg C L$^{-1}$) measured in the epilimnion ($n=6$) and within riparian soil waters at 0 to 10 cm depth ($n=6$) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary ($n=6$), in dry ($n=12$) and inundated ($n=12$) areas of the wetlands located 1 m ($n=24$) and 6 m ($n=24$) from the stream edge. Standard errors associated with each mean are included. Concentrations of CO$_2$ were significantly greater in dry areas than in wet areas during July 9 ($p=0.003$) and August 1 ($p=0.02$). Concentrations of CO$_2$ were also significantly greater at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary during July 9 ($p<0.001$) and August 1 ($p=0.05$).

Table E3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Track</th>
<th>Epilimnion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>25 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8±1</td>
<td>16±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5±0.5</td>
<td>17.5±3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E4. Concentrations of CH$_4$ (mg C L$^{-1}$) measured in the epilimnion ($n=6$) and within riparian soil waters at 0 to 10 cm depth ($n=6$) and at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary ($n=6$), in dry ($n=12$) and inundated ($n=12$) areas of the wetlands located 1 m ($n=24$) and 6 m ($n=24$) from the stream edge. Standard errors associated with each mean are included. Concentrations of CH$_4$ were not significantly greater in dry areas than in wet areas during July 9 and August 1. Concentrations of CO$_2$ were significantly greater at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary during July 9 ($p<0.001$) and August 1 ($p<0.001$).

Table E4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Track</th>
<th>Epilimnion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>25 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure E1. CO₂ concentrations (mg C L⁻¹) in the epilimnion (n=6; light grey) and within riparian soil waters at 0 to 10 cm depth in wet (n=6; black) and dry (n=6; dark grey) areas located 1m (n=6) and 6 m (n=6) from the pool edge on June 26, July 9, and August 1. Standard errors associated with each mean are included (n=2).

Figure E2. CO₂ concentrations (mg C L⁻¹) in the epilimnion (n=6; light grey) and within riparian soil waters at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary in wet (n=6; black) and dry (n=6; dark grey) areas located 1m (n=6) and 6 m (n=6) from the edge of the stream on June 26, July 9, and August 1. Standard errors associated with each mean are included (n=2).
Figure E3. CH₄ concentrations (mg C L⁻¹) in the epilimnion (n=6; light grey) and within riparian soil waters at 0 to 10 cm depth in wet (n=6; black) and dry (n=6; dark grey) areas located 1m (n=6) and 6 m (n=6) from the edge of the stream on June 26, July 9, and August 1. Standard errors associated with each mean are included (n=2).

Figure E4. CH₄ concentrations (mg C L⁻¹) in the epilimnion (n=6; light grey) and within riparian soil waters at 10 cm depth to the permafrost boundary in wet (n=6; black) and dry (n=6; dark grey) areas located 1m (n=6) and 6 m (n=6) from the edge of the stream on June 26, July 9, and August 1. Standard errors associated with each mean are included (n=2).
**Table E5.** CO₂ production within BOD bottles (µmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹) during incubations of soil and sediment and soil and surface water sampled in and near Innavaıt Creek, with standard errors associated with each mean included. CO₂ production was normalized to the dry weight (g) of soil and sediment cores when they were included in BOD bottles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(µm CO₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wetland Soil Cores</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 m</td>
<td>3.3±0.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10 cm</td>
<td>4.8±0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm-Ice</td>
<td>3±1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wetland Soil Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hill Slope Soil Cores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Track</td>
<td>1.7±0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Layer</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Layer</td>
<td>0.33±0.04</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool Sediment Cores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Inflow</td>
<td>2.7±0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Outflow</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilimnion</td>
<td>0.09±0.01</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypolimnion</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure F1. CH$_4$ (µatm) from Innawait Weir at 0 km to 1.7 km upstream, measured by the Arctic LTER on June 28 (a) and August 8 (b), 2004.
Figure F2. CO₂ (µatm) at Innavait Weir from June 20 to August 6, 2013 (n=14). Error bars represent the standard error associated with each mean (n=2).