

Preparing the Engineer of 2020 Program Chair Survey

Welcome [School Name] Program Chairs

Thanks for checking us out! We need your help. The National Academy of Engineering has identified the knowledge and skills that engineers will need to succeed in the workplace of the future. This National Science Foundation-funded study is designed to benchmark the current state of undergraduate engineering education and find out if we're making progress toward those goals. To do that, we're surveying program chairs, faculty, students, and alumni at 35 colleges and universities around the country. (You can find out who else is participating at http://www.ed.psu.edu/educ/e2020/p2p-participating-institutions.)

We know you're busy, so we will really appreciate your help. We also think you may find completing this survey a good opportunity to reflect on your engineering program and your students' experiences.

The next page outlines your rights as a research participant and provides more details on the study. Once you review these, click on "I consent" to begin the survey, which should take about 20 minutes. And thanks for your time!



This study is funded by the National Science Foundation and endorsed by the following associations and professional engineering societies:













Preparing the Engineer of 2020 Program Chair Survey

Personal Information

1. Wha	•	r gender? Man		
	0	Woman		
2. Are	you (ch	eck all that apply):		
_ _ _	Asian Ar Hispanio	American merican c or Latino/a American American		Caucasian/White Foreign National Naturalized U.S. Citizen Other (please specify)
3. Wha	ıt is you	r faculty rank?		
	0	Assistant professor		
	0	Associate professor Full professor		
4. Year	s in you	r current rank:		
	ye	ears		
5. Year	s at this	s institution: ears		
6. Year		ing at the college level (exclud	ling gra	duate teaching assistantships)

7. In what field is your:

	PhD	Your Primary Department
Bio-medical or Bio-engineering	0	•
Chemical Engineering	0	•
Civil Engineering	0	•
Electrical Engineering	0	•
General Engineering/Engineering Science	0	•
Industrial Engineering	0	•
Mechanical Engineering	0	•
Other (please specify)	•	•



8.	How many	y years	have y	ou wor	ked as	an e	engineer	outside	of l	nigher	education	(e.g.,	industry	y,
go	vernment	, self-en	nploye	ed)?										

While employed full-time as a faculty member _____ years

Before working full-time as a faculty member _____ years

Program Responsibilities

9. Years as a program chair/department head in this or another institution: _____ years

10. How many years have you served at any institution as:

	None	1-3 years	4-6 years	7-9 years	12 or more years
Curriculum or program coordinator	0	0	0	O	0
Curriculum committee member or chair	•	•	•	•	0
Undergraduate advising coordinator	0	0	O	0	O
ABET self-study team member	O	0	O	O	O
Dean or associate dean	0	0	O	0	O

11.	In the <u>p</u>	<u>ast five</u>	years	(at any	institution	i), have y	you (cl	heck all	that apply)):
	_	_				_				

- Served as PI or Co-PI on a grant supporting undergraduate curriculum development or revision
- ☐ Led a major curriculum reform project in your department or college
- ☐ Facilitated development of a new course



Undergraduate Program Curriculum and Instruction

12. In a typical term, approximately what percent of your time is spent in (total must equal 100%):

Administration	%
Teaching	%
Research	%
Fundraising	%
Service	%

13. Tell us about the courses in your program that focus <u>primarily</u> on design.

	Not offered	Optional course	Required course
First-year design	O	O	O
Second-year design	0	0	O
Third-year design	O	O	O
Capstone design	0	0	O

14. Do the design teams in your course(s) have students from (check all that apply):

First-year design	Second-year design	Third-year design	Capstone design
Only within the	Only within the	Only within the	Only within the
program	program	program	program
ODifferent engineering fields	ODifferent engineering fields	ODifferent engineering fields	ODifferent engineering fields
ONon-engineering fields	ONon-engineering fields	ONon-engineering fields	ONon-engineering fields
ONot sure	ONot sure	ONot sure	ONot sure
ONot offered	ONot offered	ONot offered	ONot offered



Topics in Engineering

15. How much does your <u>program curriculum</u> emphasize:

	Little/No				Very	Not
	emplasis	Slight	Moderate	Strong	strong	applicable
Ethical issues in engineering practice	0	O	0	0	O	0
The importance of life-long learning	0	O	0	0	O	0
Current workforce and economic trends (globalization, outsourcing, etc.)	0	0	•	0	•	0
The value of gender, racial/ethnic, or cultural diversity in engineering	0	0	•	0	•	0
Creativity and innovation	0	O	0	0	O	0
Emerging engineering technologies	•	0	O	O	0	0
Examining beliefs and values and how they affect ethical decisions	0	0	•	0	•	0
How theories are used in engineering practice	•	O	•	O	•	O
Making explicit connections to knowledge and skills from fields other than engineering	0	•	•	•	•	•

Professional Skills

16. How much does your <u>program curriculum</u> emphasize:

	Little/No	au I		0.	Very	Not
	emplasis	Slight	Moderate	Strong	strong	applicable
Professional skills (knowing codes and standards, being on time, meeting deadlines, etc.)	0	•	O	•	0	O
Written and oral communication skills	O	O	O	O	O	0
Leadership skills	0	O	O	O	O	O
Working effectively in teams	O	O	O	O	O	0
Project management skills (budgeting, monitoring progress, managing people, etc.)	0	•	0	•	•	0



Problem Solving

17. How much does your <u>program curriculum</u> emphasize:

	Little/No				Very	Not
	emplasis	Slight	Moderate	Strong	strong	applicable
Application of math and science to engineering	0	O	O	O	O	O
problems						
Designing, conducting, and analyzing data from experiments	0	•	0	0	0	O
Understanding how an engineering solution can shape and be shaped by environmental, social, cultural, political, legal, economic, and other considerations	0	•	0	0	0	•
Understanding how non-engineering fields can help solve engineering problems	0	0	0	0	0	0
Integrating knowledge from engineering and other fields to solve engineering problems	•	•	O	0	•	O
Systems thinking (i.e., looking at entire systems rather than individual components)	•	•	O	0	•	O
Applying knowledge from other fields to solve an engineering problem	0	•	0	O	•	O
Defining a design problem	O	O	O	O	O	0
Generating and evaluating a variety of ideas about how to solve a problem	•	•	•	0	•	O
Solving problems from real clients (industry, government, etc.)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Producing a product (prototype, program, simulation, etc.)	0	•	0	0	0	•

_				
\sim	No: professional staff	advice unde	raraduatas a	علمينما المط
	NO DIOLESSIONALSTAIL	anvise unne	יו פותטוומופל מ	i ali leveis

- Yes, but only lower-division (first- and second-year students)
- Yes, but only upper-division (third- and fourth-year students)
- Yes, both lower- and upper-division students



19. Does your program have an Industry Advisory Board or Council?

Yes [Go to question 20]

No [Go to question 21]

Program Information

O

			Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Highly	Very highl
Curriculum rev	iew and/	or reform	•	0	0	•	0
Presentations	-		0	0	0	O	0
Student intern	ship plac	ement	0	0	0	O	0
Providing finar	ncial or ot	ther forms of	•	O	0	O	0
support for the	e progran	n					
Aid in ABET re	views		O	0	0	O	0
☐ ☐ They	They	meet with the ir	ny formal training structor for the control teachin	ourse	of:		
		½ - 2 hours		8 01.01.00			
	ā	2 ½ - 4 hours	•				
		4 ½ - 6 hours					
		6 ½ - 8 hours	•				
		More than o	ne day				
They	attend	some other t	eaching orient	ation of:			
		Less than 2 h	ours				
		3-4 hours					
		5-6 hours					
		One day					
		More than o	ne day				
22. What kir Check all tha	at apply TAs ar Under TAs ar	re formally supe rgraduate stude re formally evalu	rvised	tions at the end	e teaching assist of term that include urse instructor)		



23. How much do the following limit your program's ability to improve its undergraduate curriculum?

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
Outdated lab equipment	O	O	C	•	O
Space or facilities constraints	•	O	O	•	O
Faculty stretched too thin	O	•	O	•	•
Faculty apathy	O	•	O	•	•
Emphasis on research in reward system	O	•	O	•	•
Lack of support staff (e.g., clerical,	O	•	O	•	•
technical, laboratory)					
Lack of teaching assistantships	O	0	0	•	•
High student-faculty ratios	O	•	•	•	•
ABET requirements	O	0	0	•	•
Institution-wide curriculum	O	•	0	•	•
requirements					

24. How much do you use data on student learning in:

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
Course redesign	0	•	•	•	O
Course development	O	0	0	•	O
Curriculum review and development	0	•	•	•	O
Continuous improvement processes	O	0	0	•	O
Faculty performance reviews	O	•	•	•	O
Resource distribution	O	O	•	0	O

25. In your opinion, what is the relative weight your department gives to teaching versus research in:

	Teaching	Teaching Research						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Not applicable
Hiring decisions	0	O	O	0	O	O	O	0
Merit salary decisions	0	O	O	0	0	O	O	0
Promotion and tenure decisions	O	O	O	O	0	O	0	O



26. In general, how much do the following "count" in annual merit salary decisions and promotion and tenure reviews in your department?

		ı	Merit Sala	ry				P&T		
	Not at all	Slightly	Modera tely	A good deal	A great deal	Not at all	Slightly	Moder ately	A good deal	A great deal
Engineering education research grants	•	0	•	•	O	0	•	•	0	0
Engineering education research publications	•	0	0	O	O	O	0	•	0	O
Engineering education conference presentations	0	O	•	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Engineering-specific research grants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Engineering-specific research publications	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Engineering-specific conference presentations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
End-of-course evaluation results	•	0	•	O	O	0	•	O	•	O
Curriculum or course development	•	0	•	O	O	0	•	•	O	O
Writing textbooks	O	•	0	O	O	O	•	O	0	O
Writing article/chapter/book on teaching, curriculum, or assessment	0	0	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	O
Helping recruit women and underrepresented students	•	0	0	•	O	0	0	•	0	0
Helping recruit women and underrepresented faculty	•	0	•	•	O	0	•	•	0	O
Advising out-of-class student design competition teams	•	0	•	O	O	0	•	O	•	O
Advising a student organization	O	O	•	•	O	•	O	O	O	O
Serving as ABET coordinator	O	•	0	•	O	O	O	O	O	0
Serving on an ABET self-study team	O	0	•	O	O	O	O	O	O	O



27. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your program?

	Strongly		Neither agree		Strongly
	disagree	Disagree	nor disagree	Agree	agree
We periodically review our program mission and objectives.	0	0	O	0	O
Faculty in my program generally resist new curricular ideas or experimentation.	0	•	0	O	O
Faculty often collaborate on curriculum development and revision.	0	•	•	0	O
Our curriculum is a frequent agenda item at program meetings.	0	•	•	0	O
Curriculum revisions in our program are typically made in response to some problem rather than through a periodic planning process.	0	O	0	•	O
Curriculum planning in my program is systematic.	O	•	O	O	O
Curriculum decisions in my program are usually based on opinions rather than data.	0	•	•	O	O
Most faculty in this program are knowledgeable about our curriculum beyond their own courses.	0	O	0	O	O

28. Do your non-tenure track, multi-year, f	full-time instructional	staff have a	vote in program
curriculum decisions?			

\mathbf{C}	We don't have any non-tenure track, multi-year, full-time instructional staff
\mathbf{c}	Yes
\circ	No



Views of Engineering and Engineering Education

29. Several recent reports discuss the changing knowledge and skills engineers will need in the future and how engineering education should change. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about <u>undergraduate engineering education</u>?

	Strongly		Neither agree		Strongly
	disagree	Disagree	nor disagree	Agree	agree
Programs must periodically revise curricula so	O	0	O	\mathbf{O}	•
students are aware of new technologies.					
Emphasizing professional skills takes time away	O	•	O	0	•
from teaching technical content.					
Humanities and social science courses are	O	•	O	0	•
important in preparing engineers.					
Students' leadership skills are best developed in	0	O	O	O	•
extra-curricular activities.					
Interdisciplinary learning—inside and outside	0	O	O	O	•
engineering—should be part of the engineering					
curriculum.					
The engineering workplace requires systems	•	•	O	O	C
thinking.					
Concepts of sustainability should be a major focus	0	•	O	O	•
of the undergraduate curriculum.					
It's very difficult to increase student diversity	O	O	C	O	•
without sacrificing some academic quality.					
It's very difficult to increase faculty diversity	O	O	O	O	•
without sacrificing some academic quality.					



30. Do you agree or disagree that the engineering curriculum should:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
Teach students about intercultural communication.	0	•	•	0	0
Start hands-on design in the first year and continue it throughout the program.	•	•	•	0	O
Teach students to consider all relevant factors (e.g., social, cultural, environmental) in designing solutions	O	0	•	•	O
Cultivate student creativity.	O	•	O	O	•
Prepare students to assume community leadership roles.	0	0	•	0	O
Teach students learning strategies.	O	•	O	0	•
Prepare students to work effectively across national and cultural boundaries.	•	•	•	0	•
Address ethical issues in multiple courses.	O	•	O	0	•
Develop students who can think like entrepreneurs.	•	•	•	O	O
Provide opportunities for students to prepare for occupations other than engineering (e.g., business, medicine, law).	•	0	O	•	O

31. Do you agree or disagree that engineering programs should:

	Strongly		Neither agree nor		Strongly
	disagree	Disagree	disagree	Agree	agree
Reward excellence in teaching commensurately	•	•	•	•	•
with excellence in research.					
Reward faculty who do peer-reviewed	0	•	0	0	•
engineering education research.					
Take responsibility for working with community	0	•	•	O	0
colleges to facilitate student transfer.					

32. How familiar are you with the following National Academy of Engineering reports?

	Unaware of		Read/heard		Read most
	it	Heard of it	summaries	Read parts	or all
The Engineer of 2020: Visions of Engineering in	O	O	0	O	0
the New Century					
Educating the Engineer of 2020: Adapting	O	•	0	O	•
Engineering Education to the New Century					
Rising above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and	•	•	•	O	•
Employing America for a Brighter Economic					
Future					