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Abstract

**Objectives:** The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education requires training in patient safety and medical errors but does not provide specification for content or methods. Pediatric emergency medicine (EM) fellowship directors were surveyed to characterize current training of pediatric EM fellows in patient safety and to determine the need for additional training.

**Methods:** From June 2013 to August 2013, pediatric EM fellowship directors were surveyed via e-mail.

**Results:** Of the 71 eligible survey respondents, 57 (80.3%) completed surveys. A formal curriculum was present in 24.6% of programs, with a median of 6 hours (range = 1 to 18 hours) dedicated to the curriculum. One program evaluated the efficacy of the curriculum. Nearly 91% of respondents without formal programs identified lack of local faculty expertise or interest as the primary barrier to implementing patient safety curricula. Of programs without formal curricula, 93.6% included at least one component of patient safety training in their fellowship programs. The majority of respondents would implement a standardized patient safety curriculum for pediatric EM if one was available.

**Conclusions:** Despite the importance of patient safety training and requirements to train pediatric EM fellows in patient safety and medical errors, there is a lack of formal curriculum and local faculty expertise. The majority of programs have introduced components of patient safety training and desire a standardized curriculum.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Population**

Pediatric EM fellowship program directors in the United States were surveyed via e-mail between June 2013 and August 2013 using Qualtrics survey software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). E-mail addresses of program directors were provided by the American Academy of Pediatrics.
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the survey instrument with the aid of an expert in sur-
vey methodology. We sought to ensure content validity
with the above process and process response validity
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two associate pediatric EM fellowship program direc-
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The 15-item instrument contained questions on pro-
gram demographics as well as questions focused on
three domains: current patient safety training, barriers
to curriculum implementation, and perceived need for
training in particular areas of patient safety. Survey
content is available in Data Supplement S1 (available as
supporting information in the online version of this
paper). Program directors were queried regarding spe-
cific patient safety training activities that their fellows
currently perform. These included the existence of a for-
mal patient safety curriculum or other educational activ-
ities directed at learning skills relevant to patient safety
(e.g., patient handoffs, participation in root-cause analy-
is, simulation). A formal curriculum was defined for
respondents as preplanned learning experiences
designed to meet educational goals. Respondents who
indicated that they had formal patient safety curricula
were queried regarding details of their educational pro-
grams, including hours dedicated to patient safety, top-
ics covered, and methods used to teach and evaluate
the program. Respondents who indicated that they
lacked formal patient safety curricula were asked about
perceived barriers to implementing patient safety cur-
ricula, aspects of patient safety training that are cur-
rently included in their education, and topics and skills
that they believe would be important to include in a
patient safety curriculum.

Data Analysis
Survey responses were described by using percentages
for categorical variables, means and standard deviations
( SDs) for normally distributed continuous data, and
medians and ranges for nonnormally distributed contin-
uous and discrete variables. Chi-square tests and Fish-
er’s exact tests (for small cell counts) were used to
examine which fellowship characteristics were associ-
ated with having a formal patient safety curriculum. All
analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 for Windows.
P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically sig-
ificant. The family-wise type I error rate was not
adjusted.

RESULTS
Of the 71 accredited pediatric EM fellowship programs
invited to participate in the survey, 57 (80.3%) programs
responded. Of the programs for which responses were
received, 40 (70.2%) programs were based in pediatric
emergency departments, whereas 17 (29.8%) were
based in mixed-age EDs. The median number of fellows
in each program was 7 (range = one to 18).

Patient Safety Curriculum
Fourteen (24.6%) programs reported having formal
patient safety curricula, compared to 43 (75.4%) pro-
grams reporting that they did not have formal patient
safety curricula. Fellowship programs in general EDs
were more likely than pediatrics-based programs to
have formal patient safety curricula (47.1% vs. 15.4%,
P = 0.019; odds ratio = 5.0, 95% CI = 1.4 to 18.3). The
presence of a formal curriculum was not influenced by
program size (size ≥ 8 fellows, P = 0.73).

Of programs with formal curriculum, program directors
reported that fellows spend a median of 6 hours during
their fellowship on the curriculum (range = one to 18).
Didactic sessions were the most commonly used teach-
ing format, and case-based learning was the most com-
monly used methodology. Human factors, systems
pressures, identification and reporting of errors, and
team communication were the topics most frequently
included. Only one program (7.1%) with a formal curric-
ulum had assessed its efficacy.

Of programs without formal curricula, 93.6% had at
least one component of patient safety training in their
fellowship programs. For most programs, this was
teamwork and communication training (92.9%). Fre-
cently omitted topics were epidemiology of medical
errors, contributing human factors, and effect of errors
on physicians. Table 1 lists the areas of patient safety
that program directors believe should be included in a
patient safety curriculum.

Barriers to Patient Safety Implementation and
Future Directions
Program directors cited lack of faculty expertise or
interest as the primary barrier to having a formal cur-
riculum (90.5%). Competing curricular demands (52.4%)
and a lack of resources (42.9%) were also identified as
important barriers. If a standardized patient safety cur-
riculum was available, 80.7% of program directors sta-
ted that they would definitely or very likely implement
this curriculum.

DISCUSSION
Our findings demonstrate that although few pediatric
EM fellowship programs have formal patient safety
curricula, the majority of programs have begun to incorporate activities designed to teach aspects of patient safety. We had an 80% response rate, reflecting the vast majority of pediatric EM fellowship programs, likely making the findings generalizable to all pediatric EM programs. The failure to formalize these components into a curriculum that includes underlying patient safety principles is largely due to the lack of local faculty expertise and interest. As a result, most program directors desire a standardized pediatric EM patient safety curriculum.

Our findings are supported by other studies demonstrating that medical training programs are slow to integrate patient safety education. While it is encouraging that the majority of pediatric EM programs have incorporated aspects of patient safety training, this indolent and fragmented approach typically focuses on skill acquisition, without teaching underlying principles. This approach can make it difficult for learners to apply this knowledge to real-life scenarios.

This national needs assessment identified lack of local expertise as the major barrier that led to this fragmented approach to teaching patient safety. Lack of faculty patient safety expertise has also been identified across the continuum of medical training, leading to a variety of efforts to counteract this deficiency, such as the creation of national faculty development programs. One example is the recently described Quality and Safety Educators Academy, an intensive 3-day experience for medical educators. These larger efforts are designed to resolve local barriers by educating patient safety champions who can then develop educational programs for their own institutions. This excellent strategy unfortunately still requires faculty to be interested, motivated, and supported. Another option is to use comprehensive, standardized curricula developed by groups such as the National Patient Safety Foundation. Unfortunately, the applicability to pediatric EM providers is limited given the failure to address the unique pediatric EM patient safety issues.

Due to the lack of patient safety curricula designed for pediatric emergency physicians, there are considerable patient safety efforts occurring nationally in pediatric EM such as identification of factors important in creating a culture of safety and the creation of an infrastructure for national safety event reporting. Lessons can be learned from these efforts and existing patient safety curricula in the fields of pediatrics and EM to create a comprehensive curriculum. It is worth noting that almost half of the fellowships based in EM departments had formal curricula, which may reflect more widespread expertise in patient safety within EM departments.

Given that the majority of programs have components of patient safety education, the ideal curriculum would offer modules that programs can use to supplement their existing instruction. Instead of the fragmented teaching of skills, this would allow existing experiences to be woven together in a coherent curriculum by adding supplementary learning activities that provide the general principles of patient safety. A standardized curriculum that incorporated asynchronous learning would also minimize the time effect of incorporating patient safety education into pediatric EM fellowships, addressing one of the major barriers cited. Further study is needed to determine the efficacy of patient safety training programs and to determine the best methods to teach these concepts.

LIMITATIONS

The primary limitation of the study was that we only included pediatric EM fellowship program directors in this needs assessment. Because the majority of physicians caring for children in EDs nationally are not pediatric EM fellowship trained, these physicians would ideally be included in pediatric EM patient safety efforts. In addition, the survey instrument was not validated.

CONCLUSIONS

This national needs assessment highlights the need for the creation of a standardized patient safety curriculum for pediatric emergency medicine fellows. The data obtained from pediatric emergency medicine fellowship program directors provide insight into the current state of patient safety training in fellowship programs and will be used as a foundation to build a formal curriculum.
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Supporting Information
The following supporting information is available in the online version of this paper:

Data Supplement S1. Safety curriculum needs assessment.