Indolicidin (IR13), a 13-residue antimicrobial peptide from the cathelicidin family, is known to exhibit a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity against various microorganisms. This peptide inhibits bacterial DNA synthesis resulting in cell filamentation. However, the precise mechanism remains unclear and requires further investigation. The central PWWP motif of IR13 provides a unique structural element that can wrap around, and thus stabilize, duplex B-type DNA structures. Replacements of the central Trp-Trp pair with Ala-Ala, His-His, or Phe-Phe residues in the PxxP motif significantly affects the ability of the peptide to stabilize duplex DNA. Results of microscopy studies in conjunction with spectroscopic data confirm that the DNA duplex is stabilized by IR13, thereby inhibiting DNA replication and transcription. In this study we provide high-resolution structural information on the interaction between indolicidin and DNA, which will be beneficial for the design of novel therapeutic antibiotics based on peptide scaffolds.

Bacterial resistance toward antibiotics poses a significant challenge in the treatment of many deadly infectious diseases. To counteract the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial strains, an intensive search for a new generation of antibiotics that closely resemble the host defense cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs, a key component in innate immunity), has been initiated. Various types of natural CAMPs or synthetic analogues of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) have shown broad-spectrum antibiotic activity, meeting the current demand for a novel class of effective antibiotics. In this context, indolicidin, a 13-residue AMP rich in tryptophan (ILPWKWPWWPWR-NH₂; hereafter referred to as IR13; Figure 1 a) is a promising precursor molecule for drug design due to its activity against a wide variety of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and protozoa. Structurally, IR13 is one of the smallest AMPs. It is natively disordered in aqueous solution, but in lipid micelle environments such as those of DPC and SDS, it adopts a wedge-shaped conformation. A recent study by Shaw et al. demonstrated that IR13 must interact with the cell membrane prior to membrane permeabilization or subsequent inhibition of DNA synthesis. It is well known that the mode of action of IR13 is through inhibition of bacterial DNA replication and transcription. However, it remains to be determined just how IR13 binds DNA and disrupts the transcription process. To address this key question, we combined the results from several low-resolution techniques such as micropatterned surface chemistry microscopy, spectroscopic techniques such as fluorescence and CD, in conjunction with high-resolution NMR spectroscopy. In so doing, we were able to determine the structural details behind the interaction between IR13 at DNA at atomic resolution.

For this study we chose an oligonucleotide sequence in which both G:C and A:T base pairs are present (GG28; Figure 1 b), so as to compare the preferential interaction of such base pairs with IR13. Complementarily, the propensity of IR13 to recognize different base pairs in duplex DNA was also stud-
ied by varying the sequence motif PWWP in IR13 (Figure 1a), as the PWWP motif is known to recognize DNA and form a helical turn structure.[20,21]

As DNA nucleobases and Trp residues in the peptide fluoresce in the same spectral region, FITC labeling of the peptide helps to identify and differentiate the fluorescence emission that comes exclusively from the peptide for studying the DNA–peptide interaction. Titration of GG28 into a solution containing FITC-labeled IR13 peptide showed an enhancement in the fluorescence emission intensity for FITC. This enhancement is due to aggregation of IR13 in the aqueous medium. The characteristic behavior of IR13 in the aggregated form was previously demonstrated by dynamic light scattering (DLS) in the aqueous medium.[22] The 1D 1H NMR spectrum of IR13 also confirms aggregation of the peptide in aqueous solution through line broadening of up to 15–18 Hz (Supporting Information, Figure S1). The occurrence of seven peaks for five indole NH protons is due to cis–trans isomerism of the xP unit (where x = any amino acid).

Titration of GG28 into solution containing peptide yielded a biphasic curve with a classical hyperbolic profile at lower concentrations and a sigmoidal profile at the higher concentration. The estimated dissociation constant ($K_d$) for the initial binding profile was $3.3 \pm 0.2 \mu M$, which signifies an initial non-cooperative form of binding between the DNA and the peptide (Figure 2a). However, further addition of GG28 led to cooperative binding of DNA to FITC-labeled IR13 (showing a sigmoidal fit), with an estimated $K_d$ value of $11.2 \pm 0.1 \mu M$ (Figure 2a). A previous surface plasmon resonance (SPR) study of the DNA–IR13 interaction showed a $K_d$ value for complex formation at $40 \mu M$[23] which is closer to the product of both $K_d$ values estimated in this study (i.e., $3.3 \times 11.2 = 36.9 \mu M$), indicating a combination of both non-cooperative and cooperative binding of DNA to IR13. The CD spectra for GG28 and the GG28–IR13 complex consist of positive maxima at $275 \text{ nm}$ and negative minima at $~255 \text{ nm}$ (Figure 2b). The secondary structure of DNA does not change significantly even after binding to the peptide, thereby retaining its native B-form. To study further how IR13 interacts with GG28 we decided to use our recently developed micropatterned surface technique using fluorescence microscopy.[24,25] For this, we attached two fluorophores, biotin and rhodamine, at the 5’-end of each of the two single-stranded GG28 oligonucleotides (Supporting Information, Scheme S1A). The tagged duplex GG28 was immobilized onto the neutravidin-loaded biotin-micropatterned surface through the biotin–neutravidin–biotin interaction (Figure 2c and Figure S2) for 10 min. Unbound excess FITC–IR13 was removed by repeated washing with buffer. Microscopy images at $5.61$ and $488 \text{ nm}$ reveal red and green micropatterned surfaces for GG28 and IR13, respectively (Figure 2c,d); the co-localization of both is identified by the yellow merged pattern (Figure 2e), indicating the interaction of IR13 with immobilized GG28 present on the square blocked micropatterned surface. To determine whether IR13 binds the micropatterned surface directly or through DNA, we performed a control experiment in which avidin–rhodamine dye was incubated with the micropatterned surface and subsequently loaded with FITC–IR13 for observation by inverted microscopy. The resulting images show the binding of the avidin–rhodamine dye to the micropattern, but not the FITC–IR13 peptide (Supporting Information, Figure S3). The above results clearly indicate that the FITC–IR13 peptide specifically binds to biotin–rhodamine labeled DNA immobilized on a biotin-micropatterned surface. Scale bars: $10 \mu M$.

We also tested the cellular uptake of IR13 by A549 lung cancer cells. Surprisingly, we observed that FITC–IR13 can localize not only in the cytoplasm (Figure 3a) but in the nuclei of A549 cells as well (Figure 3b). The combined images of FITC-tagged IR13 (green) and DAPI-stained nuclei (blue) clearly indi-
cate that IR13 diffuses into the nuclei of cancer cells and directly interacts with the DNA (Figure 3c).

Additional evidence for binding of IR13 to GG28 was obtained from thermal denaturation studies carried out with CD and NMR spectroscopy. It is well known that the double-helical structure of DNA is quite stable due to hydrogen bonding and base stacking interactions. Thus, the thermal denaturation behavior of GG28 in the presence of IR13 or its analogues (Figure 1a) can provide useful information about the configuration and kinship of the DNA–peptide interactions. The melting temperature \( T_m \) of the control DNA GG28 was found to be 52.2 ± 0.7 °C (Figure 4a), whereas, the \( T_m \) of the GG28–IR13 complex was increased to 63.2 ± 1.0 °C (Figure 4a). This clearly shows that the DNA–peptide complex is thermodynamically more stable than free DNA. In another case, GC28 [d(GCGCATATGCGCATAT)] (Supporting Information, Scheme S1B) was also investigated to determine whether the degree to which IR13 stabilizes DNA duplexes is a function of their sequence. The \( T_m \) determined by CD for GC28 in complex with IR13 was found to be 50.6 °C, whereas \( T_m \) for native GC28 was 40.1 °C (Figure S4). These data reflect that the sequence of GC28 does not significantly affect its binding to IR13. Notably, the PWWP motif is responsible for DNA recognition,[20] and so to investigate the sequence dependence of the PWWP motif on DNA binding, we replaced the extended aromatic system of the Trp-Trp dipeptide with Phe-Phe, His-His, or Ala-Ala, consequently decreasing the surface area and hydrophobicity. We presumed that increasing the hydrophobicity and surface area of the side chains of “xx” in the PxxP motif would increase stabilization of the DNA structure (Figure 4a and inset). Results from the profile of micropatterned surface chemistry validated this assumption in that the FITC–IR13 analogue containing the nonaromatic dipeptide Ala-Ala instead of Trp-Trp (FITC–IR13AA), did not show any green micropatterned surface (Figure 4b–d). This clearly demonstrates that the bulkier and hydrophobic Trp-Trp pair in IR13 plays a significant role in binding GG28.

These results motivated us to look further into the atomic-level interaction of IR13 with GG28 by using NMR spectroscopy. To evaluate the contribution to base-pairing stability by imino protons in duplex DNA, a melting study with control DNA and a DNA–peptide complex was performed. The chemical-shift-based melting profile showed that the thymine N3 imino protons are more disordered than the guanine N1 imino protons of free GG28. Interestingly, there is a significant decrease in the melting profiles of thymine and guanine imino protons of GG28–IR13. The thymine imino protons are more affected than those of guanine in the melting profile (Figure 5a). A slow increase in temperature from 15 to 55 °C for the free DNA showed that the imino protons of thymines T6, T9, T19, and T24 were readily denatured relative to those of all the guanines, except G16 and G27, which were present in the terminal part of the DNA duplex (Figure 5a). However, the same thymine imino protons in the complex form showed a greater stabilization over the course of the melting experiment, indicating a general stabilization of the core region of DNA in the presence of IR13. Significant perturbation in the chemical shifts of the cross-peaks such as T9–A20, T19–A10, T24–A5, and T6–A23 were detected in the 2D 1H–1H NOESY spectrum of GG28 upon addition of IR13 (Figure 5b). In contrast, no such chemical shift perturbation was observed for the imino protons of guanines in GG28 complexed with IR13, suggesting IR13 preferentially interacts with the A:T region of the DNA (Figure 5b). This result clearly suggests that base-pair breathing in A:T regions is more pronounced than in G:C regions.

We reached the same conclusion from an independent Amber-f99SB force-field-based molecular dynamics (MD) simu-
lation when trajectories were analyzed (Supporting Information, Figure S5). We also performed a series of $T_1$, $T_2$, and $T_1$ experiments for the imino protons of free GG28 and its complex with IR13, to understand the dynamics of GG28 at the atomic level. We found a remarkable decrease in the $R_1$ profile of T9 and T19 relative to that of the guanine bases, except for the terminal bases G27 and G16, which are highly dynamic due to their location at the termini (Supporting Information, Figure S6). Because all the A:T base pairs (T19, T9, T6, and T24) are located in the central region of GG28, we were unable to compare the $R_1$ profile of T9 and T19 with a reference “T” which is located away from the central region. The decreased dynamics of T9 and T19 in the complex form is a consequence of the strong hydrophobic interactions between the aromatic rings of Pro3–T9 or Trp8–T9 and Trp11–T19 (Supporting Information, Figure S6). Due to the signal overlap of imino protons of T6 and T24 of GG28, we did not consider the $R_1$ values of these residues to explain the actual dynamics (Supporting Information, Figure S6). Overall, the average $R_1$ value of the imino protons had decreased by a factor of 1.35 relative to that of free DNA, suggesting that complex formation attenuates high-frequency motions. In contrast, the $R_2$ value of the complex is increased by a factor of 1.4 relative to that of free DNA (Supporting Information, Figure S6), indicating that the peptide in its bound state has an increased overall correlation time. Furthermore, from the on-resonance $T_1$ measurements at spin-lock field strengths of 3 and 6 kHz for bound GG28, we could estimate a $R_{ex}$ value of 8 Hz. The presence of residual $R_{ex}$ indicates that GG28 and IR13 dynamically interact with each other on the fast-to-intermediate (μs–ms) NMR time scale.

To obtain further structural insight into the mechanism behind bacterial cell killing through the shutdown of genomic DNA by IR13, we first determined the 3D structure of free GG28 by NMR spectroscopy and its docking structure bound to the IR13 peptide. Sequential assignment of the non-exchangeable base protons (purine H8 and pyrimidine H6) were performed by using through-space connectivities in combination with the H1'-anomeric ribose protons in the 2D $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY spectra (Figure 26–28). The presence of residual $R_{ex}$ indicates that GG28 and IR13 dynamically interact with each other on the fast-to-intermediate (μs–ms) NMR time scale.

To obtain further structural insight into the mechanism behind bacterial cell killing through the shutdown of genomic DNA by IR13, we first determined the 3D structure of free GG28 by NMR spectroscopy and its docking structure bound to the IR13 peptide. Sequential assignment of the non-exchangeable base protons (purine H8 and pyrimidine H6) were performed by using through-space connectivities in combination with the H1'-anomeric ribose protons in the 2D $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY spectra (Figure 6 and Table S1). Strikingly, all the intra-residue and sequential NOEs observed between cytosine H5 and H6 and aromatic–aromatic protons (H8–H5) were similar for both GG28 (Figure 6 a) and its complex spectra (Figure 6 b), providing evidence for normal base stacking in both duplexes. In addition, the intensities and chemical shifts of most of the resonances did not vary much between the control and complex DNA. This observation was further corroborated by the 2D $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY spectrum of the aromatic–aliphatic NOEs of GG28 in the free and complexed forms. In other words, sugar moieties do not influence structure stabilization. The NOE contacts for H1'-H2'/H2'' in both DNA structures (free and peptide-bound) were found to be isochronous (Supporting Information, Figure S7), suggesting that the sugar backbone conformation remains almost the same for both B-type DNAs. The 3D structure of duplex DNA GG28 (PDB ID: 2M2C) was calculated using NMR-derived MD simulations with distance, dihedral, sugar, and base-pairing restraints (Figure 7). A total of 355 NOEs such as 90 strong, 113 medium, and 152 weak, 62...
Watson–Crick hydrogen bond, and a total of 192 torsional angles including α, β, γ, δ, ε, and ζ were used for the structure calculation (Supporting Information Tables S2 and S3). The stereospecific assignment of sugar protons of a DNA duplex of this size is practically impossible due to severe signal overlap in getting the 1H–1H coupling constants. However, we obtained the correlation between 3'-5' phosphorus with H5'/H5'' and H3', which led us to conclude that the γ and ζ dihedral angles exist in + and trans conformations, respectively (Figure S8). Simulated annealing in vacuum with the generalized Born model of DNA duplex with NMR-derived restraints were used to obtain an ensemble of eight stable conformations of B-type DNA structures with an RMSD of 0.7 Å (Figure 7 a). In general, the NMR-derived ensemble structure represents the average of the structure of a large number of molecules accumulated over an observed period of experimental time.

The 3D structure of IR13 (PDB ID: 1G8C) was then docked onto the solution structure of GG28 using the program Hex 6.3, followed by MD simulation for a time period of 50 ns (Figure 7b). Representation of IR13 orientation over GG28 in the simulation time course is shown in Figure S9 (Supporting Information), where the B-form helicity of the duplex DNA is found to be well conserved in the complex system (Figure S10). The average RMSD of all the DNA residues from 50 ns MD suggests a stable GG28–IR13 complex (Figure S11). Alignment of free and IR13-bound structures yields 3.0 Å RMSD because of the shift in the backbone dihedral in IR13-complexed DNA relative to that of the control. Amber-ff99SB force-field-based MD simulation predicted the RMSD for all the residues of the free state to be similar to or higher than that of the nucleobases in the complex state except for A5 in free duplex DNA. Structural perturbations of G14, C15, G16, C17, and G18 are much more dramatic in GG28, indicating that IR13 binds to the major groove of the DNA duplex (Figure S11 and Table S4). To correlate this observation, we performed UV spectroscopy of free GG28 and in complex with IR13 in the presence of methyl green, which preferentially binds to the major groove of duplex DNA. We also performed fluorescence assays with a minor-groove-binding fluorescent dye, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). We observed a decrease in the UV absorbance peak for methyl green at ~632 nm upon binding to duplex DNA. With successive additions of IR13 to the sample
containing GG28 and methyl green, the UV intensity of methyl green increased significantly. This demonstrates that IR13 displaces the methyl green dye from the major-groove-binding site of duplex DNA (Figure 7c). In contrast, a higher concentration of IR13 is required to compete with DAPI, which interacts at the minor groove of duplex DNA (Figure 7d). Collectively, UV and fluorescence spectroscopy confirm that IR13 binds to the major groove of duplex DNA.

DNA is stabilized by binding to IR13, and residues Trp8 and Trp9 of IR13 play a particularly key role in stabilization of the duplex by desolvating the core of the DNA (Figure S12). This explanation is in good agreement with our thermal denaturation profile of GG28–IR13 and its analogues using CD spectroscopy (Figure 4a). In binding, the peptide eventually wraps the DNA such that it cannot readily unwind (Figure 7b). The analysis of van’t Hoff’s isotherm (using CD melting data) of free DNA and its complexes with IR13 or analogues shows that complexation with IR13 increases the ΔΔG value of DNA from −9.1 to −10.8 kcal mol⁻¹. Additionally, the magnitude of the enthalpy and entropy of the GG28–IR13 complex increases from −22.0 to −31.4 kcal mol⁻¹ (for enthalpic change) and from −43.4 to −59.2 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ (for entropy change), respectively, favoring the complex formation thermodynamically (Supporting Information, Table S5). A similar scenario was observed for the GC28 duplex bound to IR13. Taken together, the of the thermodynamic stability of these complexes was found to increase following the rank order: GG28–IR13 > GG28–IR13HH > GG28–IR13FF > GG28–IR13AA > GG28. In fact, both CD and NMR studies revealed that the DNA is stabilized by interaction with IR13.

In conclusion, we have shown that IR13 binds and wraps duplex DNA so that it cannot unwind easily. This leads to the subsequent inhibition of DNA replication and transcription. Through various spectroscopic and microscopy techniques we also found that the PWWP motif is crucial for binding of IR13 to GG28. We are currently in the process of using isotopically (¹⁵N and ¹³C) labeled peptides to refine structure in complex with duplex DNA. We hope that the high-resolution structure of the indolicidin–DNA complex presented herein will open up new possibilities to modify IR13 to enhance its antibacterial potency while diminishing its cytotoxic effects.

**Experimental Section**

Detailed experimental methods are provided in the Supporting Information.
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