

TEI workshop session 9

CHOICES

You can encode (mark up) almost anything.

But what should you encode?

And to what depth?

Your choices will be dictated by ...

- the nature of the material
- the character of your incoming data
- · the amount of your funding
- the patience of your funders
- (how much time left till your retirement)
- the scale of the project (how many items)
- the scope and variety of the project
- the purpose of the project
 - desired functionality
 - o expected (or guessed-at) audience
 - o potential for repurposing
 - o potential for sharing/reuse

- your own knowledge or ignorance
- the existence of standards
 - why to avoid them. They are:
 - complex, hard to use
 - not tailored to material
 - not supported by local expertise or compatible with local systems
 - not as good as what you can come up with yourself
 - etc.?
 - · why to use them. You can
 - leverage community expertise
 - share data
 - share tools
 - entertain at least a faint hope of "sustainability"
 - library practice summarized in <u>GUIDELINES</u>. (http://www.tei-c.org/SIG/Libraries/teiinlibraries/)
 - a good starting-point
 - provide good suggestions rooted in actual practice
 - do not merely define but apply tags, with examples
 - offer five 'levels' of commitment:
 - 1. raw OCR marked off into pages, linked to page images
 - 2. = LEVEL 1 + chapter divisions and headings
 - 3. = LEVEL 2 + refinements. Text may (?) stand on its own.
 - 4. Better text (keyed or corrected), tagged enough to stand alone
 - 5. = LEVEL 4 + considerable manual intervention based on subject knowledge.

Our own projects as examples:

• (LEVEL I) Mass-digitization projects Making of America (http://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/moagrp/) and the Google-scanned books going into HathiTrust

(http://www.nathitrust.org)

- (LEVEL IV) The <u>Text Creation Partnership</u>. (http://www.lib.umich.edu/tcp/docs/) See <u>sample TCP file</u>. (/files/departments/dpp/20101113-pfs/20101113-09b.xml)
- (LEVEL V) <u>Middle English Dictionary (http://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/med)</u> (and <u>Compendium. (http://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/mec)</u> See <u>sample MED</u> file. (/files/departments/dpp/20101113-pfs/20101113-09a.xml)
- (LEVEL IV) Knight's American Mechanical Dictionary (http://www-personal.umich.edu/~pfs/knight/index.html)
- (LEVEL V) The Faculty CV project (http://www-personal.umich.edu/~pfs/cvs/)

These differ in

- their adherence to standards
- their labor-intensity
- their longevity (the price of success?)
- their scale and scope

But share a common rationale:

- intelligible display
- intelligent navigation
- contextually useful search restrictions
- o constraint by method and cost
- o susceptability to incremental improvement

Page maintained by Paul Schaffner (/users/pfs)

Last modified: 11/22/2010

Contact

Copyright

University of Michigan Library

818 Hatcher Graduate Library South



Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1190 (734) 764-0400 contact-mlibrary@umich.edu









Give Feedback

Except where otherwise noted, this work is subject to a <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>4.0 license</u>. For details and exceptions, see the <u>Library Copyright Statement</u>.

©2014, Regents of the University of Michigan

Go To Mobile Site