

# Poverty area residence and changes in depression and perceived health status: evidence from the Alameda County Study

Irene H Yen<sup>a</sup> and George A Kaplan<sup>b</sup>

<b>Background</b>	Previous evidence from the Alameda County Study indicated that poverty area residence has an independent effect on risk for mortality, adjusting for important individual characteristics. The current research examined the effect of poverty area residence on risk for developing depressive symptoms and changes in perceived health status in a sample of 1737.
<b>Methods</b>	Data were from a longitudinal population-based cohort. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used.
<b>Results</b>	Age- and sex-adjusted risk for incident high levels of depressive symptoms in 1974 was higher for poverty area residents (odds ratio [OR] 2.1; 95% confidence interval [CI] : 1.49–3.06). Those reporting excellent/good health in 1973 had a higher risk for having fair/poor health in 1974 if they lived in a poverty area (age- and sex-adjusted OR 3.30; CI : 2.32–4.71). Independent of individual characteristics, poverty area residence remained associated with change in outcome variables.
<b>Conclusion</b>	These results further support the hypothesis that characteristics of residential environments influence health conditions and health status.
<b>Keywords</b>	Poverty, health status, depression
<b>Accepted</b>	13 July 1998

Recently there has been more attention directed toward distal risk factors for morbidity and mortality such as characteristics of areas as contrasted with proximal risk factors which include smoking and other individual health behaviours.<sup>1–4</sup> Characteristics of areas provide social and physical context. To date, the literature suggests that characteristics of areas are associated with mortality risk over and above individual risk factors. If further research can uncover the mechanisms through which characteristics of areas act to influence mortality risk, perhaps interventions which target areas can be developed. To that end, this paper examines the association between poverty area residence and risk for developing depressive symptoms and declining health status.

Early ecologic studies reported associations between areas with high social disorganization or low community socioeconomic status (measured by a combination of census variables) and cause-specific,<sup>5,6</sup> or all-cause mortality.<sup>7</sup> Later, Haan, Kaplan, and Camacho published one of the first longitudinal studies

of mortality risk.<sup>8</sup> They reported that residence in a poverty area was associated with an approximately 50% increase in all-cause mortality over 9 years, even after adjusting for individual level confounders. Since then other researchers have reported associations between residential environment and cause-specific mortality.<sup>9–12</sup> Taken together, these studies demonstrate a strong association between characteristics of residential environments and risk of death.

If residence in an area is associated with risk for mortality, is it also associated with risk behaviours and precursors to mortality? Two studies have found associations between residential environment and risk for physical activity.<sup>13,14</sup> Some studies have reported associations between residential environment and poor health outcomes such as low birthweight,<sup>15</sup> long term illness,<sup>16</sup> and poor perceived health.<sup>8</sup> These latter studies were cross-sectional, therefore no causal inferences could be made from them. In the present paper, we present findings from a longitudinal study of the Alameda County Study.