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## 1. LEMMAS L.1-L. 5 AND THEIR PROOFS

Lemmas L.1-L. 5 are used to prove Theorem 2, which addresses the consistency and rate of convergence of all the estimators for the nonparametric functions. We follow the route of Huang (1999) in the partly linear additive Cox model with right censored data. We first establish a sub-optimal convergence rate by taking advantage of concavity of the likelihood function. Then we focus our attention on a sufficiently small neighborhood of the parameters to establish Theorem 2.

For any probability measure $Q$ and any function $f$, define $L_{2}(Q)=\left\{f: \int f^{2} d Q<\right.$ $\infty\}$ and $\|f\|_{2}=\left(\int f^{2} d Q\right)^{1 / 2}$. For any subclass $\mathcal{F}$ of $L_{2}(Q)$, define the bracketing number $N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_{2}(Q)\right)=\min \left\{m\right.$ : there exist $f_{1}^{L}, f_{1}^{U}, \cdots, f_{m}^{L}, f_{m}^{U}$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}, f_{i}^{L} \leq$ $f \leq f_{i}^{U}$ for some $i$, and $\left.\left\|f_{i}^{U}-f_{i}^{L}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon\right\}$. For any $\delta>0$, denote

$$
J_{\square}\left(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L_{2}(Q)\right)=\int_{0}^{\delta} \sqrt{1+\log N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_{2}(Q)\right)} d \varepsilon
$$

For $\mathbf{V}_{i}=\left(C_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{i}\left(C_{i}\right), \mathbf{W}_{i}\right)$, let $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ be the empirical measure of $\left(\Delta_{i}, \mathbf{V}_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $P$ be the probability measure of $(\Delta, \mathbf{V})$. Using linear functional notation, for any measurable function $f$, we can write $\mathbb{P}_{n} f=\int f d \mathbb{P}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\Delta_{i}, \mathbf{V}_{i}\right)$.

Lemma L.1. Without loss of generality, assume $r_{n}=q_{n}$. For any $\eta>0$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{n}=\left\{\Lambda(c)+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+c \phi(\mathbf{w}):\left\|\beta-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\| \leq \eta,\left\|\Lambda-\Lambda_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta,\left\|\phi-\phi_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta,\right. \\
& \left.\Lambda \in \mathbb{L}_{n}, \phi(\mathbf{w}) \in \Phi_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for any $0<\varepsilon<\eta$, there exists a constant $m>0$, such that,

$$
\log N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \Theta_{n}, L_{2}(P)\right) \leq m\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Hereafter, we use $m$ or $m_{i}$ or $m_{z}$ for generic positive constants, wherever applicable. Following the calculation of Shen and Wong (1994, page 597), we have $\log N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \mathbb{L}_{n}, L_{2}(P)\right) \leq$ $m_{1}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$ and $\log N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \Phi_{n}, L_{2}(P)\right) \leq m_{2}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$. Therefore, the logarithm of the bracketing number of the class

$$
\Psi_{n}=\left\{\Lambda(c)+c \phi(\mathbf{w}):\left\|\Lambda-\Lambda_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta,\left\|\phi-\phi_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta, \Lambda(c) \in \mathbb{L}_{n}, \phi(\mathbf{w}) \in \Phi_{n}\right\}
$$

is bounded by $m_{3}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$. Since the neighborhood $B(\eta)=\left\{\boldsymbol{\beta}:\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\| \leq \eta\right\}$ can be covered in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ by $m_{4}(\eta / \varepsilon)^{d}$ balls with radius $\varepsilon$, and $\left|\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)\right| \leq m_{z} \eta$ on $B(\eta)$ because of condition (B3), $B_{z}(\eta)=\left\{\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c):\left\|\beta-\beta_{0}\right\| \leq \eta\right\}$ can be covered by $m_{5}(\eta / \varepsilon)^{d}$ balls with radius $\varepsilon$. Therefore, the logarithm of the bracketing number of $\Theta_{n}$ is bounded by $m_{3}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}+m_{5} d \log (\eta / \varepsilon) \leq m\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$ for $m=m_{3}+m_{5} d, q_{n} \geq 4$.

Lemma L.2. Let $l_{0}(\Delta, c, \mathbf{z}(c), \mathbf{w} ; \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi, \Lambda)=\Delta \log \left[\exp \left\{-\Lambda(c)-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)-c \phi(\mathbf{w})\right\}\right]+(1-$ $\Delta) \log \left[1-\exp \left\{-\Lambda(c)-\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)-c \phi(\mathbf{w})\right\}\right]$. Define a class of functions

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0}(\eta)=\left\{l_{0}:\left\|\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\| \leq \eta,\left\|\Lambda-\Lambda_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta,\left\|\phi-\phi_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta, \Lambda \in \mathbb{L}_{n}, \phi(\mathbf{w}) \in \Phi_{n}\right\} .
$$

Then for any $0<\varepsilon<\eta$ and some positive constant $m_{0}$,

$$
\log N_{\square}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}_{0}(\eta), L_{2}(P)\right) \leq m_{0}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\} .
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3.4.2 of Van der Vaart and Wellner (1996),

$$
J_{\square}\left(\eta, \mathcal{L}_{0}(\eta), L_{2}(P)\right) \leq m_{0} q_{n}^{1 / 2} \eta
$$

Proof. Since the exponential function $\exp (\cdot)$ is monotone, by Lemma L.1, the entropy of the class consisting of functions $\exp \left\{-\Lambda(c)-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)-c \phi(\mathbf{w})\right\}$ for $\Lambda(c)+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+c \phi(\mathbf{w}) \in \Theta_{n}$ is bounded by $m_{0}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$. Therefore, the bracketing entropy of the class $\mathcal{L}_{0}(\eta)$ is bounded by $m_{0}\left\{q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)\right\}$ as well.

Lemma L.3. Suppose that $g=\Lambda(C)+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}(C)+C \phi(\mathbf{W}), \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}, \phi \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, there exists a function $g_{n}=\Lambda_{n}(C)+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}(C)+C \phi_{n}(\mathbf{W}), \Lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{L}_{n}, \phi_{n} \in \Phi_{n}$ with $\mathbb{P}_{n} \phi_{n}=0$ such that

$$
\left\|g_{n}-g\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-\nu p}+n^{-(1-\nu) / 2}\right) .
$$

Proof. According to $\mathrm{Lu}(2007)$, there exists $\Lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{L}_{n}$ such that $\left\|\Lambda_{n}-\Lambda\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-\nu p}\right)$. By Corollary 6.21 of Schumaker (1981, page 227), for $\phi$, there exists a $\phi_{n}^{*} \in \Phi_{n}$ such that $\left\|\phi_{n}^{*}-\phi\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-\nu p}\right)$. Let $\phi_{n}=\phi_{n}^{*}-n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{n}^{*}\left(W_{i}\right)=\phi_{n}^{*}-\mathbb{P}_{n} \phi_{n}^{*}$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{n} \phi_{n}=0$. Noticing $\left|\phi_{n}-\phi\right| \leq\left|\phi_{n}^{*}-\phi\right|+\left|\mathbb{P}_{n} \phi_{n}^{*}\right|$, we consider

$$
\mathbb{P}_{n} \phi_{n}^{*}=\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right) \phi_{n}^{*}+P\left(\phi_{n}^{*}-\phi\right)
$$

By Lemma L. 2 and Lemma 3.4.2 of Van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right) \phi_{n}^{*}=$ $O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2} n^{\nu / 2}\right)$, and $\left|P\left(\phi_{n}^{*}-\phi\right)\right| \leq\left\|\phi_{n}^{*}-\phi\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-\nu p}\right)$. Therefore, $\left\|\phi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{\infty} \leq O_{p}\left(n^{-\nu p}+\right.$ $\left.n^{-(1-\nu) / 2}\right)$ and $\left\|\phi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-\nu p}+n^{-(1-\nu) / 2}\right)$. Finally, let $g_{n}=\Lambda_{n}(C)+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}(C)+C \phi_{n}(\mathbf{W})$, the lemma follows from the triangle inequality.

Lemma L.4. Denote $l_{0}(\Delta, g)=\Delta\{-g\}+(1-\Delta) \log \{1-\exp (-g)\}$. For any $g$ with $\| g-$ $g_{n} \|_{\infty} \leq \eta$, constant $\eta>0$, there exist constants $0<m_{1}, m_{2}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -m_{1}\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+O_{p}\left(n^{-2 \nu p}+n^{-(1-\nu)}\right) \\
& \quad \leq P l_{0}(\Delta, g)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leq-m_{2}\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+O_{p}\left(n^{-2 \nu p}+n^{-(1-\nu)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $h=g-g_{0}$, where $g_{0}$ is the true value of $g$. Let

$$
L_{1}(s)=P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{0}+s h\right)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{0}\right)
$$

The first and the second derivatives of $L_{1}(s)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{L}_{1}(s)=P\left[(1+C) h(1-\Delta) \frac{\exp \left(-\left(g_{0}+s h\right)\right)-\exp \left(-g_{0}\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-\left(g_{0}+s h\right)\right)\right\}\left\{1-\exp \left(-g_{0}\right)\right\}}\right] \\
& \ddot{L}_{1}(s)=-P\left[\frac{(1+C)(1-\Delta) \exp \left(-\left(g_{0}+s h\right)\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-\left(g_{0}+s h\right)\right)\right\}^{2}} h^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L_{1}(0)=\dot{L}_{1}(0)=0$, by Taylor expansion, we have

$$
P l_{0}(\Delta, g)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{0}\right)=L_{1}(1)=\ddot{L}_{1}(\xi) / 2
$$

where $\xi$ is a value between 0 and 1 . By the same arguments as those made in the proof of Lemma L.5, there exit constants $m_{1}>m_{2}>0$ such that

$$
-\left(m_{1} / 2\right)\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq P l_{0}(\Delta, g)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{0}\right) \leq-\left(2 m_{2}\right)\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Likewise, it can be shown that

$$
\left|P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{n}\right)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{0}\right)\right|=O_{p}\left(\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Finally, using the following inequality,

$$
(1 / 2)\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-m_{1}\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+O_{p}(1)\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq P l_{0}(\Delta, g)-P l_{0}\left(\Delta, g_{n}\right) \\
& \leq-m_{2}\left\|g-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+O_{p}(1)\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this inequality and Lemma L.3, we complete the proof.
Lemma L.5. For $\mathbf{v}=(c, \mathbf{z}(c), \mathbf{w})$, let $g_{n}(\mathbf{v})=\Lambda_{n}(c)+\beta^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+c \phi_{n}(\mathbf{w})$. Denote the estimator of $g_{0}(\mathbf{v})$ by $\hat{g}_{n}(\mathbf{v})=\hat{\Lambda}_{n}(c)+\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+c \hat{\phi}_{n}(\mathbf{w})$. Let $q_{n}=K_{n}+\rho$ be the number of polynomial splines basis functions defined in Section 2, we have

$$
\left\|\hat{g}_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=O_{p}\left(q_{n}^{-1}\right)
$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 7 of Stone (1986), $\left\|\hat{g}_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p}(1)$.
Proof. Choose $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \psi_{n} \in \Phi_{n}$ and $\tau_{n} \in \mathbb{L}_{n}$ such that $\left\|\tau_{n}(C)+\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}(C)+C \psi_{n}(\mathbf{W})\right\|_{2}^{2}=$ $O\left(q_{n}^{-1}\right)$. This is possible because both $c$ and $\mathbf{z}(c)$ are bounded. Denote $h_{n}=\tau_{n}(c)+\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+$ $c \psi_{n}(\mathbf{w})$. Let $b_{n}(\mathbf{v}, s)=g_{n}(\mathbf{v})+s h_{n}=\Lambda_{n}(c)+s \tau_{n}(c)+(\boldsymbol{\beta}+s \mathbf{b})^{\prime} \mathbf{z}(c)+c\left(\phi_{n}+s \psi_{n}(\mathbf{w})\right)$. Let $H_{n}(s)=\mathbb{P}_{n}\left(b_{n}(\cdot, s)\right)=\mathbb{P}_{n}\left(g_{n}+s h_{n}\right)$. It is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i}\left\{-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right\}+\left(1-\Delta_{i}\right) \log \left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \dot{H}_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+C_{i}\right) h_{n}\left\{-\Delta_{i}+\left(1-\Delta_{i}\right) \frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right)}{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right)}\right\} \\
& \ddot{H}_{n}(s)=-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\Delta_{i}\right)\left(1+C_{i}\right)^{2} h_{n}^{2} \frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i}, s\right)\right)\right\}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\ddot{H}_{n}(s) \leq 0, H_{n}(s)$ is a concave function of $s$ and $\dot{H}_{n}(s)$ is a non-increasing function. Therefore, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that for any $s=s_{0}>0, \dot{H}_{n}\left(s_{0}\right)<0$ and $\dot{H}_{n}\left(-s_{0}\right)>0$ except on events with probability tending to zero. Note if this property holds,
then $\hat{g}_{n}$ must be between $g_{n}-s_{0} h_{n}$ and $g_{n}+s_{0} h_{n}$, so $\left\|\hat{g}_{n}-g_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq s_{0}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{2}$. Without loss of generality, assume $s_{0}=1$. Using the identity

$$
P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-g_{0}(\mathbf{V})\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-g_{0}(\mathbf{V})\right)}\right\}\right]=0
$$

by some algebraic operations we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{H}_{n}(1)= & (\mathbb{P}-P)\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)}\right\}\right] \\
& +P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)}\right\}\right]-P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)}\right\}\right] \\
& +P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)}\right\}\right]-P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-g_{0}(\mathbf{V})\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-g_{0}(\mathbf{V})\right)}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \quad I_{1 n}+I_{2 n}+I_{3 n}
$$

Since $\inf _{\mathbf{V}}\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)\right\}>1 / m_{1}$ for some constant $m_{1}>0$, the first term is of order $n^{-1 / 2}$. In fact, by Lemma L. 1 and Lemma L. 2 on the bracket number for $\mathcal{L}_{0}(\eta)$, taking $\eta=q_{n}^{-1 / 2}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{1 n}\right| & \leq m_{1} \sup _{(\Delta, \mathbf{V})}\left|(\mathbb{P}-P)\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, 1)\right)-\Delta\right\}\right]\right| \\
& \leq O_{p}(1) n^{-1 / 2} q_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(q_{n}^{-1 / 2}+\log ^{1 / 2} q_{n}\right) \\
& =O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, we can show

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3 n}\right| & \leq O(1)\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{2}\left\|g_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2} \\
& =O(1) q_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(n^{-(1-\nu) / 2}+n^{-\nu p}\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 /(1+2 p)<\nu<1 / 2$.
Now, we evaluate $I_{2 n}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(s) & =P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)}\right\}\right]-P\left[(1+C) h_{n}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)-\Delta}{1-\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)}\right\}\right] \\
& =P\left[(1+C) h_{n}(1-\Delta) \frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)-\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)\right\}\left\{1-\exp \left(-g_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)\right\}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By Taylor expansion, $I_{2 n}=L(1)=L(0)+\dot{L}(\xi), \xi \in(0,1)$, where $L(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{L}(s) & =-P\left[\frac{(1+C)(1-\Delta) \exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)\right\}^{2}} h_{n}^{2}\right] \\
& =-P\left[\frac{(1+C) \exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)\left\{1-\exp \left(-g_{0}(\mathbf{V})\right)\right\}}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)\right\}^{2}} h_{n}^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 7 of Stone (1986), $\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq m q_{n}^{1 / 2}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{2}=O(1)$ for some constant $m>0$. Therefore, $m_{0}<b_{n}(\mathbf{v}, s)=g_{0}(\mathbf{v})+g_{n}(\mathbf{v})-g_{0}(\mathbf{v})+s h_{n} \leq g_{0}(\mathbf{v})+m_{2} \leq m_{1}+m_{2}$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and some constants $m_{j}>0, j=0,1,2$. Given that our function $k(x)=$ $\exp (-x) /(1-\exp (-x))^{2}$ is a non-increasing function on $(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\frac{\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-b_{n}(\mathbf{V}, s)\right)\right\}^{2}} \geq \frac{\exp \left(-m_{1}-m_{2}\right)}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\right\}^{2}}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{L}(s) & \leq-\left[\frac{\left(1+l_{c}\right) \exp \left(-m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\left\{1-\exp \left(-m_{1}\right)\right\}}{\left\{1-\exp \left(-m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\right\}^{2}} P\left(h_{n}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=}-m_{3}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{2 n} \leq-m_{3}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=-m_{3} q_{n}^{-1} .
$$

In summary, we yield

$$
\dot{H}_{n}(1) \leq-m_{3} q_{n}^{-1}+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)<0,
$$

except on events with probability tending to zero. Using similar arguments, we can show that $\dot{H}_{n}(-1)>0$ with high probability. This completes the proof of the Lemma L.5.

## 2. LEMMA L. 6 AND ITS PROOF

To prove the asymptotic normality of the estimator of parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}$, we apply a general theorem for semiparametric maximum likelihood estimation given in Huang (1996). The following lemma paves the path to Theorem 3.

Lemma L.6. Under the given conditions in Theorem 3, for $l_{0}$ defined in Lemma L.4, let $s(\cdot, g)=\partial l_{0}(\cdot, g) / \partial g=-\Delta+(1-\Delta) \exp (-g) /\{1-\exp (-g)\}$. For real-valued vector functions
$\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{a}_{1}(c)+c \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})$ of $(c, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{J}$, let $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{a}_{1}(C)+C \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{W})$ and $\mathbf{U}^{*}=\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*}(C)+C \mathbf{h}^{*}(\mathbf{W})$, denote

$$
s(\cdot, g)[\mathbf{Z}]=\frac{\partial s(\cdot, g)}{\partial g} \mathbf{Z}
$$

and

$$
s(\cdot, g)[\mathbf{U}]=\frac{\partial s(\cdot, g)}{\partial g} \mathbf{U}
$$

Then, we have the following results.
$(\mathbf{C 1}) \dot{i}_{n \Lambda}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*}\right]+\dot{l}_{n \phi}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{n}\right)\left[C \mathbf{h}^{*}\right]=\mathbb{P}_{n} s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}\right]=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.
(C2) $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right)\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)[\mathbf{Z}]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)[\mathbf{Z}]\right\}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right)\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}\right]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}\right]\right\}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.
(C3) $P\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}(C)-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}(C)-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)\right\}=I\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)+o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.
Proof of (C1). By condition (B6) and equations (A.2) and (A.3) in the information bound calculation, we can show that the elements of $\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{*}$ are $q$ th differentiable and their $q$ th derivatives are bounded. Thus, by similar arguments as those in the proof of Lemma L.3, there exist an $\mathbf{a}_{1 n}^{*}$ and a $\mathbf{h}_{n}^{*}$, their elements belong to $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ and $\Phi_{n}$, respectively, such that

$$
\left\|\mathbf{a}_{1 n}^{*}-\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*}\right\|_{2}=O\left(q_{n}^{-q}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\mathbf{h}_{n}^{*}-\mathbf{h}^{*}\right\|_{2}=O\left(q_{n}^{-q}\right) .
$$

By the definition of $\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{n}\right)$, for any $\mathbf{U}_{n}=\mathbf{a}_{1 n}+C \mathbf{h}_{n}, \mathbf{a}_{1 n} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}, \mathbf{h}_{n} \in \Phi_{n}$,

$$
\dot{l}_{n \Lambda}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{a}_{1 n}\right]+\dot{l}_{n \phi}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}, \hat{\Lambda}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{n}\right)\left[C \mathbf{h}_{n}\right]=\mathbb{P}_{n} s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[U_{n}\right]=0
$$

Also notice that

$$
P\left\{s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}-\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}\right]\right\}=0
$$

for $\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}=\mathbf{a}_{1 n}^{*}+C \mathbf{h}_{n}^{*}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{n} s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}\right] & =\mathbb{P}_{n} s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}-\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}\right] \\
& =\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right) s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}-\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}\right]+P\left\{\left(s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\right)\left[\mathbf{U}^{*}-\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}\right]\right\} \\
& =I_{1 n}+I_{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the maximal inequality in Lemma 3.4.2 of Van der Vaart and Wellner (1996) and some entropy calculations similar to those in Lemma L.2, it can be shown that $I_{1 n}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. By Taylor expansion and the given boundary conditions, there exists a constant $m>0$ such that

$$
\left|I_{2 n}\right| \leq m\left\|\mathbf{U}^{*}-\mathbf{U}_{n}^{*}\right\|_{2}\left\|\hat{g}_{n}-g_{0}\right\|_{2} .
$$

Therefore, $I_{2 n}=n^{-q \nu} O_{p}\left(n^{-\nu p}+n^{-(1-\nu) / 2}\right)=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ under the conditions in Theorem 3.
Proof of $(\mathbf{C} 2)$. For $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{U}^{*}$, we have $P\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)[\mathbf{U}]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)[\mathbf{U}]\right\}^{2} \leq O\left(\| \hat{g}_{n}-\right.$ $\left.g_{0} \|_{2}^{2}\right)$, and the $\varepsilon$-bracketing number of the class functions $S(\eta)=\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)[\mathbf{U}]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)[\mathbf{U}]\right.$ : $\left.\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|_{2} \leq \eta\right\}$ is $q_{n} \log (\eta / \varepsilon)$. The corresponding entropy integral $J_{\square}\left(\eta, S(\eta), L_{2}(P)\right)$ is $\eta q_{n}^{1 / 2}+q_{n} n^{-1 / 2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4.2 of Van der Vaart and Wellner (1996) and Theorem 2, for $\eta=r_{n}=n^{(1-\nu) / 2}+n^{\nu p}$, we have

$$
E\left|\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}-P\right)\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)[\mathbf{U}]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)[\mathbf{U}]\right\}\right| \leq O(1) n^{-1 / 2}\left(r_{n}^{-1} q_{n}^{1 / 2}+q_{n} n^{-1 / 2}\right)=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of (C2).
Proof of (C3). By Taylor expansion, for some $\xi$ between $g_{0}$ and $\hat{g}_{n}$, we have

$$
s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)=s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{\partial s(\cdot, g)}{\partial g}\right|_{g=g_{0}}\left(\hat{g}_{n}-g_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} s(\cdot, g)}{\partial g}\right|_{g=\xi}\left(\hat{g}_{n}-g_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

Noticing that, for any function $k(\mathbf{v})=k(c, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathbf{V}=(C, \mathbf{Z}(C), \mathbf{W})$,

$$
-P\left\{\left.\frac{\partial s(\cdot, g)}{\partial g}\right|_{g=g_{0}} k(\mathbf{V})\right\}=P\left\{s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right) k(\mathbf{V})\right\}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{s\left(\cdot, \hat{g}_{n}\right)\left[\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right]-s\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left[\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right]\right\} \\
& =- \\
& \quad-P s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}^{\prime}\right)\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)-P s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)\left\{\hat{\Lambda}_{n}+C \hat{\phi}_{n}-\left(\Lambda_{0}+C \phi_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+O\left(\left\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\hat{\Lambda}_{n}-\Lambda_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\hat{\phi}_{n}-\phi_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (A.1) and Theorem 1, we see that

$$
P s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)\left\{\hat{\Lambda}_{n}+C \hat{\phi}_{n}-\left(\Lambda_{0}+C \phi_{0}\right)\right\}=0
$$

and

$$
P s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}^{\prime}\right)=P\left\{s^{2}\left(\cdot, g_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{U}^{*}\right)^{\otimes 2}\right\}=I\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right)
$$

By Theorem 2, $\left\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\|^{2}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right),\left\|\hat{\Lambda}_{n}-\Lambda_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ and $\left\|\hat{\phi}_{n}-\phi_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$, therefore, (C3) is approved.
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