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Abstract

Aim. To report an analysis of the concept of ‘meaning in work’.

Background. Associated with initiatives to improve the quality of working life and the emerging movement of positive organizations, ‘meaning in work’ has been studied as a positive individual-level state. ‘Meaning in work’ has potential benefits that will improve the nursing workforce if this concept is embraced in nursing. However, the concept is not clearly defined because it has been approached from diverse theoretical perspectives and used interchangeably with analogous terms.

Design. A concept analysis.

Data sources. Three key terms (using ‘work’, ‘meaning’ or ‘meaningful’, ‘meaning of work’, ‘logotherapy’) were searched in the CINAHL, PsycINFO, Business Source Complete and ABI/INFORM Global online databases from January 1940–March 2015. Among 346 articles retrieved, 28 studies were included for this concept analysis.

Methods. The procedure of concept analysis developed by Walker and Avant (2011) was used.

Results. Four critical attributes are identified: (1) experienced positive emotion at work; (2) meaning from work itself; (3) meaningful purpose and goals of work; and (4) work as a part of life that contributes towards meaningful existence. The identified antecedent of ‘meaning in work’ was a cognitive shift and the identified consequences were positive personal experience and positive impact on peers and organizations.

Conclusion. This article provides a clear definition of ‘meaning in work’. The resulting coherent definition will facilitate the use of ‘meaning in work’ in nursing research.
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Introduction

Knowing the reason why employees work not only endows their work with importance but also allows them to enjoy a better work experience (Pattakos 2004, 2009, Dutton et al. 2006). This significant reason to work is called ‘meaning in work’, which employees consider an important aspect of their jobs (Harpaz 2002, Chakofsky 2010). The concept of ‘meaning in work’ has received much attention in management and organizational science, associated with initiatives to improve the quality of working life (McLean 1974, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (1973)). In addition, the emerging movement of Positive Organizations, which focuses on research to positively energize and transform organizations to improve organizational effectiveness and work life, is reviving this concept as a positive individual-level state that strengthens and extends an individual’s potential in organizations (Dutton et al. 2006).

In the healthcare arena, the concept of ‘meaning in work’ has been suggested as one approach to increasing employee commitment and engagement (Morrison et al. 2007, Leape et al. 2009). Morrison et al. (2007) introduce the identification of meaning and purpose in work as an intrinsic form of motivation to employees. They discuss the limitation of extrinsic forms of motivation, such as incentives, to produce long-term effects in improving morale among healthcare employees. Finally, they link meaningfulness to work life, highlighting the need for efforts to improve intrinsic motivation. Leape et al. (2009) also identify ‘meaning in work’ as a fundamental factor to achieving better engagement in a healthcare system. They discuss why progress in improving patient safety has been slow despite significant effort. They also address the problems in healthcare culture, where a hierarchical structure discourages teamwork and work engagement. Finally, they suggest the restoration of joy and meaning in work as one component of five visions of healthcare safety improvement.

Despite these suggestions, few nursing systems studies have examined the role of ‘meaning in work’ in nursing. Most studies have focused on nursing structures (e.g. the nursing work environment) and have explored how nursing structures improve nursing workforce problems including job dissatisfaction, burnout and turnover. Although the enhanced nursing structures positively affect nurse/patient outcomes, merely changing structures is not enough to internally motivate nurses. This article introduces ‘meaning in work’ as an intrinsic motivational factor to solve nursing workforce issues.

Background

‘Meaning in work’ has been approached from diverse perspectives. For example, ‘meaning in work’ is understood as a sense of purpose (Arnold et al. 2007); an expression of personal value (Brief & Nord 1990); the type of work (Hackman & Oldham 1976); a sense of spirituality (Ashmos & Duchon 2000); a continuation to the psychosocial realm (Kristensen et al. 2002); and a sense of psychological empowerment (Spreitzer 2006). Aligning with diverse approaches, the concept has several definitions across
studies. For instance, Hackman and Oldham (1976) define the concept as the degree of the experienced meaningfulness and worth value of the job; while Brief and Nord (1990) define the concept as personal beliefs, attitudes and values attached to purposeful activities. In nursing, ‘meaning in work’ has been understood as a sub-construct of another concept, such as workplace spirituality (Kazemipour & Amin 2012), psychosocial work environment (Li et al. 2010) and psychological empowerment (Manojlovich & Laschinger 2002), rather being emphasized as one main construct. In addition, most existing studies do not clearly define the concept. These different approaches to ‘meaning in work’ do not define the concept comprehensively and undermine a full understanding of the concept, leading to both theoretical and conceptual ambiguity.

The diverse approaches to ‘meaning in work’ imply that there is no strong theory of ‘meaning in work’. This theoretical ambiguity fails to identify core essentials of the concept. This study introduces logotherapy as the theory that guided this analysis because logotherapy describes the parent concept of ‘meaning in work’, ‘meaning in life’. Frankl (1968) developed a concept of ‘meaning in life’ within a logotherapeutic perspective, addressing the concept based on an existential view: finding meaning in life endows people with a unique reason to live, makes them aware of their existence in this world and drives the significance of their lives. So, the search for such meaning in one’s life becomes a primary motivational force for living. Logotherapy assumes three premises: (1) life has meaning under all circumstances (meaning in life); (2) people have a motivational force to find meaning (will to meaning); and (3) people have free will to activate the will to meaning and to find meaning (freedom of will) (Frankl 1968). These premises allow individuals to actively react to or even create their environments. This existential view, along with these premises, can also become the core essential of ‘meaning in work’. Therefore, ‘meaning in work’ includes self-initiated and future-oriented meaning and gives the reason for one’s existence at work.

In addition to the theoretical ambiguity, the concept ‘meaning in work’ has conceptual ambiguity in that the concept has been used interchangeably with analogous terms, such as meaningful work, meaningfulness of work, meaning of work and work meanings/values. Although Rosso et al. (2010) review studies on ‘meaning in work’ and provide its theoretical integration, the review paper only emphasizes how and from where meaningful work may have been created, not how the concept may be defined. The conceptual ambiguity has led to differences in how the concept is measured. For example, ‘meaning in work’ has been measured using various instruments such as the Psychological Empowerment Scale (Spreitzer 1995), the spirituality questionnaire developed by Ashmos and Duchon (2000), the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire (Pejtersen et al. 2010) and the Work and Meaning Inventory (Steiger et al. 2012).

In summary, theoretical and conceptual ambiguities of ‘meaning in work’ have resulted in a failure to reach the genuine goal of finding meaning that reveals existential significance at the workplace. Without a clear and comprehensive definition of the concept, the use of the concept in theory cannot be fully explained, nor can validated measures for the concept be developed (Walker & Avant 2011). Therefore, this paper analyses the concept of ‘meaning in work’ to reflect the wholeness of meaning at the workplace.

Data sources

The procedure for concept analysis as described by Walker and Avant (2011) was adapted to clarify the conceptualization and operationalization of ‘meaning in work’. The following five steps of Walker and Avant’s method were used: (1) ‘meaning in work’ is selected; (2) the aim of this analysis is to build a theoretical base of ‘meaning in work’; (3) all uses of the concept are identified; (4) the critical attributes are determined and defined; and (5) antecedents and consequences are identified. The rationale for selecting ‘meaning in work’ and the aim of this analysis have been provided above.

All uses of ‘meaning in work’

To examine the basic elements of ‘meaning in work’, it is important to identify all uses of the concept, which show how the concept is used. The key search terms used in this analysis included: (1) the combined keywords (‘meaning’ or ‘meaningful’) and ‘work’ (subject heading: SH); and (2) the combined keywords ‘meaning of work’ and ‘work’ (SH); and (3) the keyword ‘logotherapy.’ Logotherapy was included to explore any related uses of ‘meaning in work’ in terms of an existential view. After consultation with a librarian, the CINAHL, PsycINFO, Business Source Complete and ABI/INFORM Global online databases were searched. Additional articles were discovered by a search of references. Articles were selected that met the following inclusion criteria: (1) a theoretical paper; and (2) an article written in English. Although many empirical papers have studied the concept ‘meaning in work’, they did not provide its core understanding, but explored its role in certain contexts with other related concepts. To better understand the
concept itself, in particular, which has an existential significance, only theoretical papers were used exclusively. The search was not limited by publication date and resulted in articles published from January 1940–March 2015.

Relevant studies related to ‘meaning in work’ included 38 records identified in CINAHL, 21 records in PsycINFO, 65 records in ABI/INFORM Global and 174 records in Business Source Complete. After removing duplicate records, 290 studies were retrieved. Of these, 236 studies were excluded because they failed to meet the inclusion criteria, leaving 54 studies. Additional four studies were included from 56 studies updated on March 2015. After review of the full text, 31 studies were excluded because some articles were irrelevant with the concept ‘meaning in work’ or they merely introduced the concept; the other articles provided summaries or focused on implications of the concept. After adding one article from the references, 26 articles and two books were finally included in this review. Figure 1 shows the flow chart resulting from the search of articles related to ‘meaning in work’ used in this review.

Results

Across the 28 articles, a total of 197 uses of ‘meaning in work’ were found and were categorized into four critical attributes which are the characteristics that seemed to be most obvious. In addition, antecedents (a preceding occurrence or cause of the concept) and consequences (a result of the occurrence of the concept) were identified.

Critical attributes

The four critical attributes of ‘meaning in work’ determined through the concept analysis were: (1) experienced positive emotion at work; (2) meaning from work itself; (3) meaningful purpose and goals of work; and (4) work as a part of life towards meaningful existence. Table 1 provides exemplar and sub-attributes of the four critical attributes of ‘meaning in work’.

Experienced positive emotion at work

This aspect reflects subjective positive experience including meaningfulness, a sense of worth and self-fulfilment, when employees have ‘meaning in work’. First of all, employees experience meaningfulness by placing significance on their work (Hackman & Oldham 1976, Clark 1995, Morin 2004, Rosso et al. 2010). For example, they can be influenced by viewing their work as a calling (Rosso et al. 2010), so their work experience becomes more meaningful. Second, employees with ‘meaning in work’ gain a sense of

Figure 1 A flow chart detailing the articles related to ‘meaning in work’ used in this review.
worth (Hackman & Oldham 1976, Clark 1995, Morrison et al. 2007). They feel more valued and their work experience becomes valuable and worthwhile. Finally, employees experience self-fulfilment (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Pattakos 2004, Rosso et al. 2010). This feeling is more than being satisfied with their work. When employees discover ‘meaning in work’, they can actualize meaningful values and feel fulfilled (Pattakos 2004). Therefore, experienced meaningfulness, a sense of worth and self-fulfilment are important aspects of ‘meaning in work’.

**Meaning from work itself**
This indicates work attributes that affect the formation of meaning, such as work significance, work values and work purpose meaning and work orientation.
meaning is endowed not only through work but also in one’s personal life. Second, ‘meaning in work’ allows employees to realize their meaningful existence (Sargent 1973, Baxter & Bowers 1985, Schechter 1995, Pattakos 2009, Harpaz & Meshoulam 2010, Rosso et al. 2010). This is a fundamental goal of ‘meaning in work’. Meaning provides an answer for why employees work, so that they can feel like they are important at work. Finally, employees who experience ‘meaning in work’ can achieve their authentic selves and experience self-development (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Schechter 1995, Morrison et al. 2007, Rosso et al. 2010). This is what they want to be (Baxter & Bowers 1985) and ‘meaning in work’ helps them identify who they are. When employees find their authentic selves at work, they can have greater existential meaning. Thus, ‘meaning in work’ cannot be considered only in the context of work, but extends to one’s life and further the growth of the self.

As a result of the concept analysis, the following definition of ‘meaning in work’ was developed: the discovery of existential meaning from work experience, work itself and work purpose/goals.’

Antecedents and consequences
The next step in a concept analysis is identifying antecedents and consequences. Antecedents are incidents that happen prior to the occurrence of the concept (Walker & Avant 2011). An antecedent of ‘meaning in work’ was identified as a cognitive shift. Before the occurrence of ‘meaning in work’, one should shift the focus of one’s attention from negative to positive, finding a new insight (Pattakos 2004). Since people have limited perspectives, it is hard to find ‘meaning in work’ without a broader view (Pattakos 2009). For example, although an employee may experience unhappiness, alienation and even misfortune with work problems, she or he can reshape her or his thinking and accept the problems as advantage or opportunity, when she or he has found meaning (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Pattakos 2009).

Therefore, a cognitive shift may be necessary before the occurrence of ‘meaning in work’.

Consequences are effects that result from the occurrence of the concept (Walker & Avant 2011). The concept analysis revealed several consequences from ‘meaning in work’: positive personal experience and positive impact on peers and organizations. First of all, employees can gain positive personal experience through ‘meaning in work’. For example, employees can feel happy, supported and satisfied with work and life (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Clark 1995, Wrzesniewski et al. 1997, Morrison et al. 2007, Barsh et al. 2010). The significance of work, life and the self helps

Meaning in work

Meaningful purpose and goals of work
This indicates that ‘meaning in work’ can be derived from knowing what employees want to be and do in the workplace – meaningful purpose and goals of work. Work purpose explains why employees engage in their work or what they seek at work (Sargent 1973, Deci et al. 1989, Persson et al. 2001, Lair et al. 2008, Harpaz & Meshoulam 2010, Rosso et al. 2010). Although meaning and purpose are not identical, ‘meaning in work’ makes employees pursue their purpose of work. Goals of work are more specific desires from work (Quintanilla 1991). In particular, goals of work that have importance or value provide employees meaningful work (Deci et al. 1989, Harpaz 2002, Pattakos 2004, Harpaz & Meshoulam 2010). Therefore, to exhibit the purpose and goals of work is also an important aspect of ‘meaning in work’.

Work as a part of life towards meaningful existence
This attribute reflects the impact of ‘meaning in work’ on one’s personal life, a personal reason for existence and an authentic self. First of all, ‘meaning in work’ affects one’s life (Baxter & Bowers 1985, MOW International Research Team 1987, Nord et al. 1990, Quintanilla 1991, Morin 2004, Lair et al. 2008, Pattakos 2009, Rosso et al. 2010). It suggests a role for work that spills over into the context of personal life (Lair et al. 2008) and also that employees perceive the importance of work in relation to other domains in their lives (Rosso et al. 2010). In this sense,
employees understand how these are precious for them. Employees also have a sense of control in both work and life and behave autonomously, taking responsibility for their decisions and actions (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Clark 1995). They can feel confident (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Clark 1995) and put their efforts towards actualizing their potential (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Schechter 1995, Morrison et al. 2007). Actualization of goals helps them not only develop their own work capabilities and careers, but also builds work identity (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Quintanilla 1991). A developed career and work identity empower employees and help them engage in and commit to work with increased work productivity (Hackman & Oldham 1976, Baxter & Bowers 1985, Scherer & Shook 1993, Clark 1995, Wrzesniewski et al. 1997, Ugboro 2006, Morrison et al. 2007). Finally, all these positive experiences enhance their quality of life (Rosso et al. 2010).

In addition to these positive effects of ‘meaning in work’ on the self, ‘meaning in work’ empowers colleagues and organizations and contributes to a better work environment. ‘Meaning in work’ conveys passion to peers and inspires them (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Clark 1995, Pattakos 2009, Barsh et al. 2010). It also reinforces relationships between peers at work. Employees who find ‘meaning in work’ can be resources for organizations to achieve performance improvement (Clark 1995, Michaelson 2008). Their thoughts and actions can be used to convey humanity, trust, honesty and integrity in their work environment, making a better work environment (Baxter & Bowers 1985, Barsh et al. 2010, Hendricks & Hendricks 2003). Therefore, ‘meaning in work’ results in positive personal experience and positive impact on peers and organizations.

Discussion

This article fills a gap in the understanding of the concept of ‘meaning in work’ by reducing its theoretical and conceptual ambiguities. ‘Meaning in work’ has been used with several different approaches and has inconsistent definitions. These ambiguities diminish the clarity of the concept and impede understanding of the concept in its entirety. This concept analysis of ‘meaning in work’ identified four critical attributes that included major uses of ‘meaning in work’ in the literature and added core essentials of ‘meaning in work’. A consistent usage of terms and their empirical adequacy are important criteria for the development of a middle range of theory (Fitzpatrick & Whall 2005). These results will contribute to the construct’s internal consistency and empirical adequacy for the development of a middle-range theory of ‘meaning in work’.

By highlighting existential meaning as core essentials of ‘meaning in work’, this study illustrates how ‘meaning in work’ plays the role of intrinsic motivator. To illustrate, existential meaning gives employees a reason to work or to be in the workplace and this reason can produce self-initiated energy. Employees develop a more proactive attitude so that they can control their work. This indicates that nurses who find ‘meaning in work’ may be more likely to be proactive and confident in nursing and establish professional nursing identities.

Given the identified antecedent of ‘meaning in work’, cognitive shift provides a rationale for what kind of efforts nurses need to find ‘meaning in work’. It is necessary for nursing administrators to understand the importance of ‘meaning in work’ and to encourage nurses to find ‘meaning in work’. Understanding the concept of ‘meaning in work’ can activate a nurse’s will to find ‘meaning in work’ in nursing and provide nurses with more chances to find meaning. Since meaning does not come automatically, nurses need to put their efforts towards achieving ‘meaning in work’, and once this is achieved, nursing is no longer merely a means of earning money.

Finally, the identified consequences of ‘meaning in work’, which are consistent with outcomes of ‘meaning in work’ in organizational studies, explain why ‘meaning in work’ in nursing is significant. In particular, ‘meaning in work’ will play an important role in enhancing job satisfaction (Hackman & Oldham 1975, Manojlovich & Laschinger 2002), which is an important issue in the nursing workforce. In addition, although ‘meaning in work’ is a personal intrinsic motivator, it can be affected by organizational characteristics. For example, ‘meaning in work’ can be diminished by working conditions that demotivate individuals. Nursing workforce studies need to balance between structural and personal factors, combining both in the same study to understand the joint and unique contributions of each to nursing attributes. This finding takes on additional importance because ‘meaning in work’ can help prevent burnout and help nurses better perceive their work environment. This will assure better engagement of nurses and improve quality of care and patient safety.

Limitations

There are three limitations of the analysis of ‘meaning in work’. One limitation is that the usage of the concept was examined only in theoretical papers, not empirical papers. This limited the amount of literature that was reviewed and thus this study may have missed some uses of the concept in empirical papers which, if included, may have changed findings. However, theoretical articles were used exclusively to
guide the process of re-conceptualization of ‘meaning in work’ to understand the core essential of the concept that reflects existential significance. In particular, ‘meaning in work’ has been derived from several different theoretical approaches, yet many existing studies did not explain this core attribute of ‘meaning in work’, suggesting that to fully understand all facets of the concept, the empirical literature would not suffice. Another limitation is the quality of included papers. Some papers were not research or peer-review publications. However, this study did not consider manuscript quality because the author wanted to include all papers that explain why meaning is necessary in the workplace. The other limitation is the process for selection of the uses of the concept. Walker and Avant (2011) do not provide guidance for how the attributes are to be justified, so this process could be subjective and reflective of a single researcher's view. To achieve more reliable results, two experts, one on ‘meaning in work’ in organizational studies and another on concept analysis in nursing, thoroughly review the process and results of the concept analysis.

**Conclusion**

This concept analysis of ‘meaning in work’ integrated different views of ‘meaning in work’ on the basis of its theoretical construction. The results not only provide a clear definition of ‘meaning in work’ – the discovery of existential meaning from work experience, work itself and work purpose/goals – but also suggest a basis to build a middle range of theory and develop an instrument to measure ‘meaning in work’. Moreover, the examined attributes, antecedents and consequences of ‘meaning in work’ suggest that meaning would provide an existential reason for nurses to work and provide significance to the context of nurses’ lives, intrinsically motivate nurses and strengthen nurses by providing strong internal resources. Further research will examine possible relationships between ‘meaning in work’ and nurse/patient outcomes in nursing, so that ‘meaning in work’ may contribute to solving current problems in the nursing workforce including job dissatisfaction and burnout.

**Acknowledgements**

I thank Milisa Manojlovich, Ph.D. and Jane Dutton, Ph.D., for their dedication to the review and results of the concept analysis.

**Funding**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflict of interest**

No conflict of interest has been declared by the author.

**Author contributions**

All authors have agreed on the final version and meet at least one of the following criteria [recommended by the ICMJE (http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/)]:

- substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
- drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

**References**


The *Journal of Advanced Nursing (JAN)* is an international, peer-reviewed, scientific journal. *JAN* contributes to the advancement of evidence-based nursing, midwifery and health care by disseminating high quality research and scholarship of contemporary relevance and with potential to advance knowledge for practice, education, management or policy. *JAN* publishes research reviews, original research reports and methodological and theoretical papers.

For further information, please visit *JAN* on the Wiley Online Library website: www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jan

**Reasons to publish your work in JAN:**

- **High-impact forum**: the world’s most cited nursing journal, with an Impact Factor of 1.527 – ranked 14/101 in the 2012 ISI Journal Citation Reports © (Nursing (Social Science)).
- **Most read nursing journal in the world**: over 3 million articles downloaded online per year and accessible in over 10,000 libraries worldwide (including over 3,500 in developing countries with free or low cost access).
- **Fast and easy online submission**: online submission at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jan.
- **Positive publishing experience**: rapid double-blind peer review with constructive feedback.
- **Rapid online publication in five weeks**: average time from final manuscript arriving in production to online publication.
- **Online Open**: the option to pay to make your article freely and openly accessible to non-subscribers upon publication on Wiley Online Library, as well as the option to deposit the article in your own or your funding agency’s preferred archive (e.g. PubMed).