


## PURCHASE AND CUTTING PLANS

 FORTWO SECTIONS OF SPRUCE In CANADA

## PREFACE

This report is submitted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Forestry. The field of the problem is forest management. The purpose of the problem is to place before the student facts and data such as he might encounter in actual practice and from these have him answer certain questions which might be asked by his employer. The conclusions are made using techniques presented in courses of forest management, forest valuation and cost control in logging as presented by Professor D. M. Matthews, School of Forestry and Conservation of the University of Michigan. The data offered in the statement of the problem were assembled by Professor Matthews from actual operating information of a Canadian company.

Hubert Harris May 28, 1947
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## Porestry 282

## Problem Number 2

The attached stand table represents the average stand par acro in a mixed spruce and balsam forest on two sections. A pulp and paper company is interostod in purchas. ing the cutting rights ifor this timber or in purchasing the area outright. As forester for the company you have assembled the following data with regard to the cost of logging the area:

## Transportation Inveatment and Costs

```
Rail haul to mill - wowos
$5.00 per cord
Accesz road from rail siding to m-10 miles
    at $2,000 per mile.
Average speed on this road is }15\textrm{m}.\textrm{poh.
Interior rond construction s $10 per station
Average speed on interior roads - - % m.p.h.
Truck machins rate:
Fixed cost - $2.85 per hour
Operating cost
Hauling cost
    1.65 "
Average load - 3 cords
Operating Coste:
Folling and Limbing:
                                    Cost per
minute
Fourman crer and hornet chain saw: \infty
Skidding tree length loga:
Tractor, sulky, driver, and one
choker-man
```

79

Cross cutting
Portable slasher for cross cutting to bolt lengthe:
Poremea $\$ 8.00$ per day
Crem of 6 men Slasher depreciation, etc. $\overline{57.60} \mathrm{n}$

```
Cost per minute \(=\)
Cost of moving slasher - \(\$ 50\) per setting
```

Standard efficiency for the foregoing operations have been determined, by stope watch timing, in minutes per cord for various d.b.h. classes. A schedule indicating these "normal" or "standerd" times is attached hereto.

Your estimate of the efficiency which can be maintained on the operation is that it will be $60 \%$ of the standard witi regard to all operations except variable skidding
time per cord. As this is a function of size of load and round trip tractor speed "standard" or 100\% efficiency can bo assumed.

Truck Loading:
This will be done by the slasher crews and truck driver and loading will be directly to trucks from slasher platform. Theoretically loading time should vary at the same rate as slashing time, but in order to make sure that the slasher is not held up for lack of trucks the operation will be planned to allow for loading time at 45 minutes per load of 3 cords.

Unloading at Rail Siding
Time per load
Jammer cost
Loading on cars
Jammer cost

Estimated production rate 2000 cords per month
Supervision and clerical coat
\$600 ger month

## Part I

A. Appraise the stumpage value of this stand for cutting to various d.b.h. limits - i.e., - $6^{\prime \prime}$ and $u p, 7 "^{\prime \prime}$ and up, etc. on the basis of a delivered value of $\$ 20$ per cord.
B. Prepare a report for the manager of your company indicating:

1. The maximum price you would bid per cord for cutting rights on the area if the sale contract calls for the production or payment for all merchantable wood on the tract.
2. The dimeter limit to which you would recommend cutting providing the owner will sell cutting rights on scale at the above bid prices
3. The price you would offer the owner for the entire property for outright purchase, based on the total stumpage recovery value he would obtain by selling on scale, plus $\$ 3$ per acre for the land.

## Part II

It will be assumed that your company acquires; title to this tract by outright purchase. Prepare a management or cutting; plan for the area which will result in the highest capital value for the property as calculated at $4 \%$ when:
A. Growth is on the residual stand is estimated at 0.2 inches dib. $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{o}}$ per year.
B. The main road and interior roans can be reconditioned for use at a cost of $\$ 200$ per mile at the end of any cutting cycle which may be selected.
C. Taxes ans estimated to average $\$ 200$ per year for the area.

Schedule of Standard Production Times



This report is submitted as an answer to the following questions asked by a prospective buyer. What is the maximum price one woula bid per cord for cutting rights on two sections of spruce forest if the sale contract calls for the production or payment for all merchantable wood on the trace ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ and up)? The second question is, the diameter limit to which to cut if the present owner will sell cutting rights on scale at the above bid price. Third, the price one would offer the present owner for the entire property for outright purchase, based on the total stumpage recovery value he would obtain by selling on scale ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ and up), plus $\$ 3$ per acre for the land. Finally, on the assumption the area is purchased, prepare a management, or cutting plan for the area which will result in the highest capital value for the property as calculated at $4 \%$.

Maximum bid per cord for cutting rights:
If the sale contract calls for the production of or payment for all merchantable wood on the tract, i.e all trees 6" and up, the most that could feasibly be bid for the cutting rights is $\$ 2.95$ per cord.

## Method of determining bid price:

This figure is determined by subtracting costs per cord from the selling price per cord. First, Class "B" costs, or costs which are constant, per cord regardless of tree size, such as loading, hauling and unloading, and Class "A" costs, or costs which vary with the size of the tree such as felling and limbing, fixed skidding and cross-cutting, are calculated and subtracted from the selling price leaving the net surplus per cord for Class "C" costs and stumpage.

## Class "B" costs

The Class "B" costs, being constant per-cord, will be the same for all diameter clasees from $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $18^{\prime \prime}$. Since the actual loading is from the slasher platform and is done by the slasher crews, loading time and cost is included in slasher time and cost allowances and will be figured as a whole and included in Class "A" costs.

The standby time for the truck while it is being loaded is 15 minutes per cord. The hourly fixed cost of the truck, (total machine cost minus the operating cost) divided by 60 min. to give the cost per minute and multiplied by the number of minutes to load a cord, is the standby cost for trucks to
load: $\frac{185 \phi}{60^{\prime}} \times 15^{\prime}=46.3 \phi$ per cord.
Truck standby time to unload is $10^{\prime}$ per cord and the cost is figured in the same manner as above:
$\frac{185}{60^{\prime}} \times 10^{\prime}=30.4^{4}$ per cord.
Jammer cost per cord to unload the trucks and to load the rallroad cars is $45 \phi$ for each operation or a total of $90 \phi$.

Road haul costs on the branch roads and main roads are calculated from the same formula:

Cost $=\frac{2 \times \text { truck machine rate }}{\text { mph road } x \text { truck load }} \times$ average hauling distance.
 Hauling on the main road is $\frac{2 \times 350 \alpha}{15 \mathrm{mph} \times 3 \text { cord }} \times 10 \mathrm{miles}=1566 / \mathrm{cord}$

Supervision can be calculated on a per acre basis and included as a "B" cost $\frac{\$ 600 / \mathrm{mo} \text {. }}{1000 \text { cords } / \mathrm{mo}}=60 \not / \mathrm{cord}$.

The total of these Class "B" costs is $\$ 9.06 /$ cord. This cost is constant per cord fo all diameters from 6" - 18" These calculations and a table of costs appear on page 1 of the Appendix.

## Class "A" costs

Class "A" costs, or costs which vary with the size of the trees, are given for the 6" diameter class, which is the limit to which the costs must be calculated if the contract calls class for the production of, or payment for all merchantable wood on the tract.

It is estimated that all operations on the tract can be maintained at an efficiency $60 \%$ of standard, which is quoted
in times and costs on pages $1-4$, except for variable skidding which depends on tractor speed and can be assumed to be $100 \%$ efficient.

The felling and limbing cost per cord is the time required per cord multiplied by the cost per minute and an allowance of $60 \%$ of standard efficiency: $\frac{43 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{x} 6 d}{.6}=\$ 4.2 * 0 / \mathrm{cord}$.

Fixed skidding cost per cord and cross-cut cost per cord are each, the time required per cord multiplied by the cost per minute and an allowance for an efficiency $60 \%$ of standard and are $\$ 14.82 /$ cord and $\$ 3.72$ per cord respectively.

The total Class "A" cost for the 6" class is \$22.84.
The Class "A" and "B" costs will remain the same for the respective diameter classes regardless of the cutting or management plan to be adopted.

## Net surplus before Class "C" costs:

The net surplus per cord available for Class "Cl costs and stumpage is the selling price of $\$ 20.00$ minus the sum of the Class "B" and "A" costs, \$31.90*\$ $\$ 20.00-\$ 31.90=\$-11.90 /$ cord. As the diameter increases the Class "A" costs per cord decrease and at $8^{\prime \prime}$ a positive surplus is obtained. This is a point which will be discussed later.

This net surplus per unit of volume multiplied by the volume in a given diameter class gives the surplus for that class. For the 6H: *-\$11.90/cord $x 0.47$ cords $=\$-5.50$ surplus. A summation $f$ these values for any dameter, $\underset{\sim}{f}$ ), and up (calculated as indicated above for all diameter classes) is the total surplus
to be realized per acre cutting to that diameter limit. Cutting to a 6" limit the surplusf( ) and up (summation of line 10 of Table I) is $+\$ 85.44$, The surplus per cord $\mathcal{P}$ and up is the total surplus (1) and up divided by the volume $(\mathbb{D}$ ) and up and is the surplus available for Class "C" costs and stumpage. For the 6" class: $\$ 85.44 \div 19.49$ cords $=\$ 4.39$ surplus per cord.

## Class "C" costs:

Class "C" costs for a plan cutting everytining 6" and up are next to be calculated.

The volume to be taken cutting $6 \prime \prime$ and up is 19.49 cords. With this volume $61 "$ the slasher can be spaced along the roads most economically at $80 \%$ of road spacing. The roads then may be spaced as indicated by the formula:

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times \text { road cost/ sta. }}{\frac{13.00 \times 1000}{19.49 \times 7.5}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { cord/ sta. }
\end{array}}=9.4 \mathrm{sta}
$$

At
So
this road spacing the cost per cord of building the road and the cost per cord of skidding to the slasher at the road equal. At this point also the total of the road $c$ onstruction and skidding costs per cord plus the slasher cost per cordis at a minimu $\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ a total of $63.2 ¢$

The other Class "C" cost in this instance is the cost of the main road. This cost is the total cost of building 10 miles of road divided by the number of cords to be removed from the area. In sutting all trees 61 and up.

$$
\frac{\$ 20000}{1280 \mathrm{~A} \times 19.49 \text { cords } / \mathrm{A}}=80.1 k / \mathrm{cord}
$$

Total Class "C" costs are now $63.2 k+80.1 k$ or $\$ 1.433 /$ cord .
D
Stumpage value per cord +1 and up:
The surplus per cord ${ }^{\prime 2}$ and up minus the Class "C" cost, or $\$ 4.39-\$ 1.43=\$ 2.95$, the amount available for stumpate and hence the most that could be bidfor the cutting rights if the sale contract calls for the production of, or payment for all merchantable wood on the tract, i.e. all trees $6^{\prime \prime}$ and up. Calculations for all these costs appear on pages 1 to 4 of the Appendix. A tabulation of values appears on Table I of the report.

## Recommended diameter limit of cut:

If the owner of the area will sell cutting rights at the bid price of $\$ 2.95$ per cord it is redommended that the cut be taken from $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and up as this is the point at which the total surplus and up (amount available for Class "C" costs and stumpage) is greatest and the point at which the total stumpage value $\xrightarrow{P}$ and up is at its highest.

The values for the 8 " class and all other classes are determined in the same manner as described for the 61 class. The tabulation of these values for all diameter classes is shown Table I.

The net surplus per cord after Class "A" and "B" costs are deducted (line 5 of Table I) is negative for the6" and 7" diameter classes, i.e. it costs more to get trees of those diameters out of the woods than is realized fromtheir sale. The first positive surplus is produced in the $8 \prime$ diameter class and it is at this point, cutting all trees $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ and $u$, that the greatest

## D

total surplus and $u p$ is obtained (line $\delta$ of Table I) due to the fact that the largest valume proaucins any surplus is removed at this point.

## Bid price for entire property

If the owner would sell the property at a price based on the total stumpage recovery value he would obtain by selling on scale, i.e. $6^{\prime \prime}$ and up, plus $\$ 3.00$ per acre for the land, the price to ofier is $\$ 77,500$.

The figure of $\$ 77,500$ is obtained by multiplying the stumpage recovery value per cord at 61 and up, $\$ 2.957$, by the volume per acre 6" and up, 19.49 cords, and adding $\$ 3$ per acre for the land: (费2.957/cord $x 19.49$ cords $/ A)+\$ 3 / A=\$ 60.50$, the value per acre. The value per acre multiplied by the number of acres equals the total value of the property, or the price to offer for the area: $\$ 60.50 / \mathrm{A} \times 1280 \mathrm{~A}=\$ 77,500$.

Part II
Nanagement Plan for the Area:
Since the area is a spruce area and no data ire given as to any tree below $6^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter it will be assumed that this is a typical spruce stand, i.e. generally even aged and hence it will be managed for some form of liquidation cut.

A liquidation cut taking all the trees 61 and up would not be practical as it has been shown that it costs more money to remove trees $6^{\prime \prime}$ and $7^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter than is realized from their sale or manufacture and hence such a plan would operate a loss in these diameter classes, this loss having to be taken from the total profit obtained.

A single liquidation cut taken from $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ and up, the point at which the greatest surplus per acre and highest stumpage value per acre phest stumpage valueper acre are dained hardy seedis practical either when it is considered that the trees 6" and $7^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter represent part of the investment made in the purchase of the area and are likely to be lost by windthrow if such a large percentage of the volume, as represented by the volume $8 \prime$ and $u p$, is removed.

## The preferred plan:

It would seem as if a plan calling for a two cut liquidation, but with the first cut taken to a higher diameter limit than $8 \prime \prime$ would be the plan on which to operate. The fact to be determined is what combination of diameter limit for the first cut and length of cutting cycle before the second cut will yield the greatest present money value for the property.

## Method of calculation:

Surplus and Up

## $D$

Combined surplus ( $N$ and up (before Class "C" costs) for the two cuts of any plan is used as the basis for the comparison of the various plans because the highest value at this point also produces the highest total stumpage value $\overbrace{}^{\infty}$ and up (after Class "C" costs) at the same diameter limit and it also obviates the necessity of making calculations of Class "C" costs for dach plan to be considered. The plans considered were, cutting to each one inch diameter limit from $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ with the second cut coming in $15,20,25$ and 30 years.

The surplus ${ }^{(1)}$ and up available in the stand 30 years from now is calculated as shown in the table below.

## PREDICTION OF VALUES OF TWO CUT LIQUIDATION <br> Cut now and again in 30 years <br> Growth rate of $0.12 / \mathrm{yr}$.



The surplus pef tree for each diameter class, column 3 of the table above, is calculated by dividing the surplus for the given class (line 5 of Table I) by the number of trees in the class (from page 4). This surplus, after deducting Class "A" and "B" costs, will be the same in 30 years as it is now and does not $c$ hange with the plan of management.

By cutting l2" and up now and waiting 30 years for the second cut the 10 trees in the 6" class will have grown 6" (O". $2 / \frac{y^{\circ}}{}$ for 30 years) to the $12^{\prime \prime}$ class and will have the surplus per tree as indicated for that class: 10 trees $\mathrm{x} \$ 1.655 /$ tree $=$ $\$ 16.55$, the surplus for the $12 "$ class in 30 years.

Each diameter class from 6"-1f" (residual stand after first cut) will have added 6" and advanced to the $12 \prime$ " to $17^{\prime \prime}$ classes respedtively. The surplus for the new $122^{\prime \prime}$ class is calculated in the preceeding paragraph and that for all the other classes is found in the same manner anc shown in Table II. The surplus per tree for $19 \prime \prime$ and $20^{\prime \prime}$ used in calculating other plans was obtained by graphing the surplus per tree for the classes from $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $18 \prime$ and extending the curge to the $19 \prime$ and 20" diameter classes. (p.20-APPENR1X)

The total of the l2" column of Table II (i.e. the first cut was made to a l2" limit causing the surpluses per class to be realized in 30 years) is $\$ 275.05$. This figure discounted 30 years, calculating the investment at $4 \%$, to give the present value of that surplus as: $\frac{275.05}{1.0430 \text { years }}=\frac{275.05}{3.2 .434}=\$ 84.70$ This value plus the present surplus $D$ and up toke obtained in
cutting l2" and up (line $\delta$ of Table $I$ and column 4 of Table II) gives the present value of the combined surplus of the two ats: $\$ 84.70+\$ 56.66=\$ 141.36$.

The \$141. 36 compares favorably with the maximum value of \$141.90 cutting $13^{\prime \prime}$ and $u p$, which is the present value of the surplus $D$ and up 30 years from now:
$\frac{310.05}{1.04}=\frac{310.05}{3.2434}=\$ 95.50$, plus the present surplus $D$ and up cutting to the 13" limit, $\$ 46.40$, equals $\$ 141.90$.

The calculations for all other plans are made in a like manner and appear on pages 15 to 26 of the Appendix. Class "C" Costs of the second cut:

Road construction, skidding and slasher moving cost:
The Class "C" costs, road construction and maintenance variable skidding cost and slasher moving cost, for the second cut of the 12" - 30 year plan may now be calculated.

Since the number of trees in the higher diameter classes is greater in the second cut the volume per acre will be larger and may call for construction of additional roads.

Volume of second cut:

| DBH | No. trees | vol.cords/tree | tot.vol./class in cords |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 10.0 | . 270 | 2.70 |
| 13 | 12.5 | . 331 | 4.14 |
| 14 | 20.0 | . 405 | 8.10 |
| 15 | 14.5 | . 481 | 6.97 |
| 16 | 17.0 | . 562 | 9.56 |
| 17 | 12.0 | . 657 | $\frac{7.89}{39.36} \text { cords }$ |

With a volume of 39.36 cords per acre the most economical distance for spacing of slasher locations is at $80 \%$ of the road spacing. The new road spacing desired is:

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{39.36 \times 4.1}}=9 \text { stations. This distance is the }
$$

one at which the cost per cord for road construction and cost per cord of skidding to the landings are equal and is the distance at which the total of these two costs plus the slasher moving cost per cord is at a minimum:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { road cost } & =12.4 \ell \\
\text { skidding cost to landings } & =12.4 & 24.8 \notin \\
\text { slasher moving cost } & & \\
& & \frac{7.2}{32.0 k / \operatorname{cord}}
\end{array}
$$

The costs per cord of reconditioning the old roads, skidding the economic distance to the landings on these roads and of slasher moving is as follows:
reconditioning of roads $10.3 k$
skidding to landings at roads 12.1
slasher moving cost
$\frac{8.6}{31.0} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{cord}$
The economic road spacing for the second cut is 9 stations which is slightly less than half the spacing of the roads that were put in at the time of the first cut. One additional branch road cen be located midway between the two roads already in existence.

Three-fourths of the volume will be moved to the old roads at a cost of $31.0<$ per cord and one-fourth of the volume will be
moved to the new roads at a cost of 32 . $0 \ell$ per cord for a weghted average cost of 31.3 d

The actual spacing of the roads at 13.2 stations as represented in the figure below and the fact that one-fourth of the volume lies beyond the economical skidding distance, as indicated by the red shading, changes the actual cost per cord to $39.8 \notin$ (calculations appear on page 29 of the Appendix.)

## 2 Sections

Mein road reconditioning cost:
The cost of reconditioning the main road is $\$ 200$ a mile for 10 miles, or $\$ 2000$. This cost is spread against the 50,400 cords to be taken from the area:
39.36 cords/A $\times 1280 \mathrm{~A}=50,400$ coras. The cost per cord is:
$\frac{2000}{50,400}$ cords $=4 \phi /$ cord.
Total Class "C" costs:
The sum of the Class "C" costs per cord for the second cut is $43.8 \not \subset$ i $39.8 \phi+4 \phi=43.8 \phi /$ cord .

## Present Worth of the Property:

The present worth of the property is the value of the
first cut, surplus D and up before "C" costs minus the Class "C" or fixed per acre costs, or $\$ 56.66-\$ 20.80$, plus the value of the second cut, the surplus $D$ and up before class "C" costs minus the Class "CN costs, the net surplus being discounted 30 years to give its present value, $\frac{\$ 275.05-\$ 17.20}{1.0430}$,
plus the value of $\$ 3$ per acre for the land discounted 30 years to give its present value, $\frac{.23}{.0430}$; minus taxes of $15.6 \notin$ per acre every year for 30 years, $15.6 \notin\left(\frac{1.04^{30}-1}{.04 \times 1.0430}\right)$

Actual road and skidding costs for second cut:
with effective road spacing at 26.4 station:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cost of road }=10.3 \\
& \text { skicding }-0.289 \mathrm{SC}=0.289 \times 26.4 \times 4.1=31.2 \\
& \text { slasher moving }=\frac{5000}{0.138 \times 100.4 \times 39.36}=7.2 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
48.7 \times 1 / 4 \text { of } \mathrm{vol}= \\
12.26
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

with effective road spacing at 13.2 stations new road:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 P C S=2 \times 0.336 \times 4.1 \times 13.2=36.4 \\
& \frac{L}{0.183} \mathrm{~s}^{2} v \frac{5000}{0.183 \times 100.4 \times 39.36}=\frac{7.2}{43.6} \times 1 / 4 \text { of volume }=10.3 \mathrm{c} \\
& \text { old road: } \\
& \text { skidaing: } 0.289 \mathrm{SC}=0.289 \times 13.2 \times 4.1=15.6 \\
& \text { road maintenance }=\frac{R / 12.1}{Y C}=\frac{20000}{\frac{12.1}{39.36 \times 4.1}} \div 10.3 \\
& \text { slasher moving } \\
& \frac{8.6}{34.5 \times 1 / 2} \text { of } \frac{\text { vol. }=17.3}{39.8 / \text { cord }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Total Class "C" cost:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 39.8 \phi \\
& +4.0 \\
& \hline 43.8 \phi / \mathrm{cord}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the present worth of the property for the best plan of management.

## Flexibility of plan:

However the plan is sufficiently flexiole so that it may be modified to meet economic conditions current 20 to 30 years from now.

If at any time from 20 to 30 years after the first cut a general lowering of the selling price per cord seems imminent, with no indication of a rising market price in the near future, it would be practical to make the second cut at once in order to make the most money on the original investment, as the surplus D and up, our basis of comparison, differs only a few dollars an acre at the end of the 20,25 and 30 year periods. The present value of the total sur lus $D$ anc up for the 20 year plan is *135.96/A, \$139.66/A for the 25 year plan and \$141.36 for the 30 year plan.

If the stena had been carried to 30 year through a gradual price decline, with a definite increase expected shortly, the stand could be carried to 35 years with only a small decrease in value from \$141.36 to \$141.06 (calculation on pages 25 and 26 of the Appendix.) However this should only be done as a last resort and should not be considered the preferred policy.

APPENDIX

## CLASS "B" COSTS

## Load

truck standby:
$\frac{180}{60}, \times 15 \mathrm{~min}=\underbrace{46.3^{4}}$
$\frac{185}{601} \times 10^{1}=\frac{30.4 \phi}{45 .}$
loading cars jamma. 45.
$\frac{\text { Class "B" cost }}{\text { truck stances lead } \div 46.3}$
" " unload $=30.4$
jammer
90
$=179.3$
road haul
R.R. haul supervision
$=500.0$
Total $906.0^{\circ}$
Class "B" costs
wood haul:
branch roads

$$
H C=\frac{2 M R}{\operatorname{mph} \cdot x L}=\frac{2 \times 350}{10 \times 3}=\frac{700}{30}=23.3 / \operatorname{cord} / \mathrm{mi} \times 1 \mathrm{mile}=23.3^{\phi}
$$

main road:
$\mathrm{HC}=\frac{2 M R}{\mathrm{mph} \cdot \mathrm{xL}}=\frac{2 \times 350}{15 \times 3}=\frac{700}{45}=15.6 /$ cord $/ \mathrm{mi} \times 10 \mathrm{mi} .=156^{\circ}$.
supervision

$$
\frac{60000}{1000}=60 \nless / \text { cord }
$$



Tot Class A costs per cord

| 6" | 7 ' | $8 \prime$ | 91 | 10" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430 | 290 | 220 | 191 | 166 |
| 1482 | 923 | 606 | 433.5 | 326.5 |
| $\frac{372}{2284.0}$ | $\frac{258}{1471.0}$ | $\frac{210}{1036.0}$ | $\frac{182}{806.5}$ | $\frac{160}{652.5}$ |
| 11" | 12" | 13' | 14" | 15" |
| 153 | 141 | 135 | 126 | 121 |
| 256.4 | 198.3 | 175 | 140.0 | 128.2 |
| $\frac{154.0}{563.4}$ | $\frac{144}{483 \cdot 3}$ | $4 \frac{144}{54.0}$ | $\frac{144 .}{410.0}$ | $\frac{144}{393.2}$ |
| 16" | 171 | 18" |  |  |
| 120 | 120 | 120 |  |  |
| 105 | 93.4 | 81.6 |  |  |
| $\frac{144}{369.0}$ | $\frac{144}{357.4}$ | $\frac{144.0}{345.6}$ |  |  |

Cut and Up
Variable skid. cost: $1.08 \mathrm{~min} /$ cord-avg.skid time $x 7 \phi / \mathrm{min}=7.54$ or 7.5 /cord/sta.
VoL. $6^{\prime \prime}$ and up. $=19.49$ cords

trial calculation with the slacker $@ 121 / 2 \%$ of road spacing
2 PCS $=2 \times 0.2525 \times 7.5 \times 10.9=41.3$
$\frac{\mathrm{L}}{0.02875 \mathrm{v}}=\frac{5000}{0.0287119 \times 19.49}=75.2$
$\frac{41.3}{75.2}=0.55 \quad \therefore z=80 \%$

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{19.49 \times 7.5}}=\sqrt{\frac{13,000}{146}}=\sqrt{89}=9.4 \mathrm{sta} .
$$

Cost of roads, skid and slater

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \operatorname{PCS}=2 \times 0.336 \times 7.5 \times 9.4=47.4 \phi \\
& \frac{L}{0.183 \mathrm{~V}}=\frac{5000}{0.183 \times 89 \times 19.49}=\frac{15.8 \phi}{63.26} \mathrm{cost} / \mathrm{cord}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cut 7" and up
Variable skid cost.

$$
0.1925 \text { avg. skid time/80et } \times 7 \phi / \mathrm{min} .=6.48 \text { or } 6.5 k / \mathrm{sta} . / \text { cord. }
$$

vol. $7^{\prime \prime}$ and $u p=19.02$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{19.02 \times 6.5}}=\sqrt{\frac{17.400}{123.7}}=\sqrt{140.8} \quad$ 11.9 sta.
trial calculation slasher sa at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of spacing 2 PCS $=2 \times 0.2525 \times 6.5 \times 11.4=39.1$
$\frac{\mathrm{L}}{0.02878 \mathrm{~V}} \quad \frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 140.8 \times 19.02}=65.2$
$\frac{39.1}{65.2}=0.574 \quad \therefore z=80 \%$
$s=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{19.02 \times 6.5}}=\sqrt{\frac{13000}{123.7}}=\sqrt{105}=10.2 \mathrm{sta}$.
Cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{PCS} & =2 \times 0.336 \times 6.5 \times 10.2=44.5 \\
0 \frac{L}{0.183}= & \frac{5000}{0.1 .83 \times 105 \times 19.02}=\frac{13.7}{58.26} \mathrm{cost} / \mathrm{cord}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Table of Costs and Values <br> For one Acre se Spruce in <br> Canada

## 8" and up

Variable skid cut:
0.818 avg. skid time/cord $\mathrm{x} 76 / \mathrm{min}=5.73=5.7 k$ cord $/ \mathrm{str}$. Vol. 811 ana up. 18.12

$$
\begin{aligned}
S= & \sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{18.12 \times 5.7}}=\sqrt{\frac{17.400}{103.2}}=\sqrt{168.5}=13.0 \text { sta. } \\
& \text { calculation with slasher spacing at } 121 / 2 \% \text { of road spacing } \\
& \quad \text { PPS }=2 \times 0.2525 \times 5.7 \times 13=37.4
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{L}{0.0287 \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{~V}} \quad \frac{5000}{0.0287 \mathrm{xl68} .5 \times 18.12}=57.0$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{37.4}{57.0}=0.655 & Z=80 \% \\
S & =\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{18.12 \times 5.7}}=\sqrt{\frac{13,000}{103.2}}=\sqrt{126.0}=11.2 \text { sta }
\end{array}
$$

Cost

$$
2 P C S=2 \times 0.336 \times 5.7 \times 11.2=42.9
$$

$\frac{L}{0.183 S^{2} V} \quad \frac{5000}{0.183 \times 126 \times 18.12}=\frac{12.0}{54.9^{2} \cos t / \operatorname{cord}}$

Cut 9" and up
Variable skid cut:

$$
01.74 \text { avg. skid time/sta./cord } \times 7 k / \mathrm{min}=51.8 \phi=5.2 k / \mathrm{cord} / \mathrm{sta}
$$

vol. 9" and up.
16.12 cords
$S=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{16.12 \times 5.2}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{84}}=\sqrt{207.5}=14.2$ sta.
trial calculation with slasher spaced at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing $2 P C S=2 \times 0.2525 \times 5.2 \times 14.2=37.3$
$\frac{L}{0.0287 \mathbf{S}^{2} V}=\frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 207.5 \times 16.12}=52.1$
$\frac{37.3}{52.1}=0.715 \quad \therefore Z=80 \%$
$S=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{16.12 \times 5.2}}=\sqrt{\frac{13000}{84}}=\sqrt{154.9}=12.4$ sta.
cost

$$
2 P C S=2 \times 0.336 \times 5.2 \times 1 ? .4=43.4
$$

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{0.18352}}{0.18000} \quad \frac{11.0}{0.183}=\frac{54.9 \times 16.12}{54.4}
$$

10" and up
variable skid cost:
$0.078 \mathrm{avg} . \operatorname{skid} \mathrm{time} /$ cord $\mathrm{x} 7 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{min} .=4.74$ or $4.7 \mathrm{k} /$ cord $/ \mathrm{sta}$.
vol. 10 " anon up $=14.13$ cords

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{14.13 \times 4.7}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{66.5}}=\sqrt{262}=16.1 \text { sta. }
$$

trial! calculation with slasher spacing at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 P C S=2 \times 0.2=25 \times 4.7 \times 16.1=38.2 \\
& \frac{L}{0.0287 S^{2} V} \frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 262 \times 14.13}=47 \\
& \frac{38.2}{47}=0.813 \therefore \mathrm{Z}=70 \% \\
& S \quad=\sqrt{\frac{13.6 \times 1000}{14.13 \times 4.7}}=\sqrt{\frac{13,600}{66.5}}=\sqrt{204.3}=14.2 \text { sta. }
\end{aligned}
$$

cost

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
2 P C S=2 \times 0.319 \times 4.7 \times 14.2 & =42.6 \\
\frac{L}{0.161 S^{2} V}=\frac{5000}{0.161 \times 204.3 \times 14.13}=\frac{10.7}{53.36 / \mathrm{cord}}
\end{array}
$$

Cut 11" and up

Variable skid cost:

Volume 11" and up $=11.12$ cords
$\mathrm{S}=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{11.12 \times 4.4}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{49}}=\sqrt{355}=18.9 \mathrm{sta}$.
trial calculation with slasher spacing at $12-2 / 2 \%$ of road spacing $2 P C S=2 \times 0.2525 \times 4.4 \times 18.9=42$
$\frac{L}{0.028752 \mathrm{~V}} \quad \frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 355 \times 11.12}=44$
$\frac{42}{44}=0.955 \quad \therefore z=70 \%$

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{13.6 \times 1000}{11.12 \times 4.4}}=\sqrt{\frac{13,600}{49}}=\sqrt{277}=16.5 \mathrm{sta}
$$

cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 P C S=2 \times 0.319 \times 4.4 \times 16.5=46.2 \\
& \frac{L}{0.161 S V}=\frac{5000}{0.161 \times 277 \times 11.12}=\frac{10.1}{56.36 / \operatorname{cord}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cut 12" and up

Variable skid cost:
$01.586 \mathrm{~min} . a v g . s k i d$ time $/$ cord $\times 7 k / \mathrm{min}=4.1 k / \operatorname{cor} \bar{d} / \mathrm{sta}$.
Vol 12" and up $=846$ cords

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{8.64 \times 4.1}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{34.7}}=\sqrt{501}=22.5 \mathrm{sta} .
$$

trial calculations with slashed spacing at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing $2 P C S=2 \times 0.2525 \times 4.1 \times 22.5=46.6$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{L}{0.0287 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{~V}} \\
& \frac{46.6}{41.1}=1.135: 1 \quad * \cdot \mathrm{Z}=60 \% \\
& \mathrm{~K}=41.1 \\
& S=\sqrt{\frac{14.3 \times 1000}{8.46 \times 4.1}}=\sqrt{\frac{14,300}{34.7}}=\sqrt{412} \quad 20.4 \mathrm{sta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cost

$$
2 P C S=2 \times 0.304 \times 4.1 \times 20.4=50.9
$$



$$
\frac{5000}{0.138 \times 412 \times 8.46}=\frac{10.4}{61.36 / \text { cord }}
$$

13" and up
Variable Skid cost

$$
0^{\prime} .55 \mathrm{~min} .- \text { avg. skid time/sta/cord } x 7 \phi / \mathrm{min} .=3.85=3.9 \phi \mathrm{sta} /
$$

Volume 13" and up: $=6.78$ cords

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{6.78 \times 3.9}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{26.4}}=\sqrt{660} \quad=25.8 \text { sta }
$$

trial calculation with slasher spacing at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing $2 P C S=2 \times 0.2525 \times 3.9 \times 25.8=50.9$

$$
\frac{L}{0.0287520}=\frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 660 \times 6.78}=39.0
$$

$$
\frac{50.9}{39.0}=1.3: 1 \quad \therefore z=60 \%
$$

$$
S=\sqrt{\frac{14.3 \times 1000}{6.78 \times 3.9}}=\sqrt{\frac{14,300}{26.4}}=\sqrt{541}=23.3 \mathrm{sta}
$$

$\cos t$

$$
2 P C S=2 \times 0.304 \times 3.9 \times 23.3=52.2
$$

$$
\frac{L}{0.138 S^{2} V} \quad \frac{5000}{0.138 \times 541 \times 6.78}=\frac{9.9}{65.16 / \mathrm{cord}}
$$

1411 and up
Variable skid.cost.
0.52 min . avg. skid . time $/ \mathrm{sta} / \operatorname{cord} \times 7 k / \mathrm{min}=3.64$ or $3.6 \phi / \mathrm{com} d / \mathrm{sta}$

Vol. 141 and $u p=4.17$ cords

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{4.17 \times 3.6}}=\sqrt{\frac{17,400}{15.01}}=\sqrt{1159}=34 \mathrm{sta} .
$$

Trial call. without slasher spacing at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing

$$
2 \mathrm{PCS}=2 \times 0.2525 \times 3.6 \times 34=61.9
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{L}}{0.02875^{2} \mathrm{~V}} \quad \frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 1159 \times 4.17}=36.1
$$

$$
\frac{61.9}{36.1}=1.76+1 \therefore z=60 \%
$$

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{14.3 \times 1000}{4.17 \times 3.6}}=\sqrt{\frac{14,300}{15.01}}=\sqrt{951}=31 \mathrm{sta} .
$$

Cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 P C S=2 \times 0.304 \times 3.6 \times 31=67.9 \\
& \frac{L}{0.138 S^{2} \mathrm{~V}}=\frac{5000}{0.138 \times 951 \times 4.17}=9.1
\end{aligned}
$$

77.0k / cord

,

| 12m* | 1 | Val./cord | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 8000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 2000 \end{array}$ | $\frac{18}{3,000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | 2 | class B cost/cora | 906 |  | 906 | 906 | 906 | 906 | 96 | 906 | 906 | 906 | 906 | 906 | 906 | 906 |
|  | 3 | bilance | 1094 |  | 1094 | 10940 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1094 | 1054 | 10940 |
|  | 4 | Clase A costs/oorc | 2284 | 0 | 14710 | 10360 | 8065 | 6525 | 5634 | 4833 | 4540 | 4100 | 3932 | 3690 | 3574 | 345 |
|  | 5 | Net surplus/eord | 1190 | 0 | 377 | 4540 | 2875 | 4415 | 5306 | 6207 | 6400 | 6840 | 7008 | 7250 | 7366 | 7484 |
|  | 6 | vo1./clags | 0.47 |  | 0.90 | 2.00 | 1.99 | 3.01 | 3.01 | 1.68 | 2.61 | 1.09 | 1.30 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.68 |
|  | 7 | surplus for clase |  |  | -330 | $+116$ | 572 | 1330 | 1420 | 1026 | 1670 | 746 | 911 | 370 | 424 | 509 |
|  | 8 | aupr.0 un | 3544 |  | \$755 | 0094 | 8998 | 8406 | 7076 | 5666 | 4640 | 2970 | 224 | 1313 | 943 | 509 |
|  | 9 | VOL.D 促 | 19.49 |  | 1802 | 28.12 | 16.2? | 14.13 | 11.12 | 8.46 | 6.78 | 4.17 | 3.08 | 1.78 | 1.87 | 0.68 |
|  | 10 | auprius/cora ${ }^{\text {a }}$ up | 439 |  | 460 | 501 | 556 | 595 | 6355 | 669 | 6845 | 711 | 723 | 759 | 74.5 | 748 |
|  | 11 | Clase 0 costs/oord | 143 | 3 | 1402 | 1411 | 152 | 1638 | 1968 | 246 | 2955 | 471 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | Stump, velueleoza D \& up | 295 | 7 | 319 | 3.99 | 4046 | 4312 | 4387 | 423 | 3890 | 260 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | vol.D \% up | 19.49 |  | 1902 | 1612 | 161.2 | 1413 | 1118 | 846 | 678 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | stumpage valuo D | 57.50 |  | 6080 | 6500 | 6520 | 6100 | 4880 | 3560 | 2640 |  |  |  |  |  |

price will offer for entire property - buying on scale plus $\$ 3 / \mathrm{A}$ for land.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$2.957 - stumpage value / cord 6" and up } \\
& \text { x } 19.49 \text { - volume/A 6" and up } \\
& 57.50=\text { stumpage value } / A 6^{\prime \prime} \text { and up. } \\
& \begin{aligned}
+3.00 & =\text { land value } / \mathrm{A} \\
60.50 & =\text { value } / \mathrm{A}
\end{aligned} \\
& \$ 60.50 / \mathrm{A} \times 1280 \mathrm{~A}=\$ 71,500=\text { price to offer owner for } \\
& \text { entire property in outright } \\
& \text { purchase when buying on scale } \\
& \text { plus \$3/A for land }
\end{aligned}
$$

## PREDICTION OF VALUES OF TWO CUT LIQUIDATION <br> Cut now and again in 15 years Growth rate of 0.2"/year



PRESミNT VALUE OF TOTAL SURPLUS D AND UP
First cut today, second cut in 15 years

$$
C o=\frac{C n}{1 . O p} *_{n}
$$

Value $8 "$ and up

$$
\frac{13.75}{1.8009}=\begin{array}{r}
7.49 \\
\frac{90.94}{98.43}
\end{array}
$$

Value ll" and up

$$
\begin{array}{r}
97.20 \\
1.8009
\end{array}=\begin{array}{r}
54.00 \\
\frac{70.76}{124.76}
\end{array}
$$

Value lo" and up

$$
\frac{61.25}{1.8009}=\begin{array}{r}
34.00 \\
1 \frac{84.06}{18.06}
\end{array}
$$

Value l2" and up
$\begin{aligned} & 130.30 \\ & 1.8009=\begin{array}{r}72.50 \\ 129.66 \\ \hline 29.16\end{array}\end{aligned}$

Value 14" and up
183.78
1.8009 $\begin{array}{r}101.90 \\ \frac{29.70}{131.60}\end{array}$

Value 15" and up

$$
\frac{196.78}{1.8009}=\frac{109.00}{\frac{22.24}{131.24}}
$$

# PREDICTION OF VALUES OF TwO CUT LIQUIDATICN $/$. <br> Cut now and again in 20 years <br> Growth rate of $0.42 / \mathrm{yr}$. 



## PRESENT VALUE OF TOTAL SURPLUS D AND UP

 First cut today, second cut in 20 years$C O=\frac{C u}{1.0 p} \sin$

Value 10"

$$
\frac{173.78}{2.1911}=\$ 79.30
$$

$$
\frac{56.66}{135.96}
$$

Value 13"

$$
\frac{199.26}{2.1911}=\frac{\$ 91.10}{\frac{46.40}{137.50}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Value } 14 \prime \prime \\
\frac{237.36}{2.1911}=\frac{108.20}{137.70}
\end{gathered}
$$

Value 15"

$$
\frac{252.61}{2.1911}=\frac{115.50}{\frac{22.24}{137.74}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{86.23}{2.1911}=\$ 39.40 \\
& \frac{84.06}{23.46} \\
& 123.46
\end{aligned}
$$



## PREDICTION OF VALUES OF TWO CUT LIQUIDATICN Cut now and again in 25 years <br> Growth rate of $0!2 /$ year



PRESENT VALUE OF TOTAL SURPLUS D AND UP First cut today, second cut in 25 years $C o=\frac{C n}{1.0 p^{x x n}}$

Value 10"

$$
\frac{114.65}{2.6658}=\frac{\$ 42.90}{84.06}
$$

Value 13"

$$
\frac{251.45}{2.6658}=\begin{array}{r}
\$ 94.20 \\
146.40 \\
140.60
\end{array}
$$

Value 15"

TWO OUT LIQUIDATION - CUT NOW AND AGAIN IN $\geqslant 0$ YEARS Crowth rate of 082/year

| DBH | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { No. trees } \\ \text { in sur } \\ \text { plus } \\ \text { Class tree } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\qquad$ prose $\mathrm{D}:$ up | 11mit | $9 \pi$ | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 11 n | $12 \pi$ | 13 | $14 \pi$ | $15^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 10.9 | 85.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12.5 | 87.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 20.0 5.7\% | 90.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14.539 .5 | 89.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| 10 | 17.078 .3 | 84.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 12.0417.5 | 70.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 6.2165 .5 | 56.66 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 16.55 |
| 13 | 7. 2421.5 | 46.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 |
| 14 | $2.7216 / 3$ | 29.70 |  | 55.40 | 55.40 | 55.40 | 55.40 | 55.40 | 55.40 | 55.40 |
| 15 | 2.7338 .0 | 22.24 |  |  | 49.00 | 49.00 | 49.00 | 49.00 | 49.00 | 49.00 |
| 16 | 0.9411 .0 | 13.13 |  |  |  | 69.90 | 69.90 | 69.90 | 69.90 | 69.90 |
| 17 | 0.9482 .0 | 9.43 |  |  |  |  | 57.80 | 57.80 | 57.80 | 57.80 |
| 18 | 0.9565 .0 | 5.09 |  |  |  |  |  | 35.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
| 19 | 1663.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.40 | 52.40 |
| 20 | 771.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.80 |

$42.95 \quad 98.35147 .35 \quad 217.25 \quad 275.05 \quad 310.05 \quad 362.45 \quad 383.25$

PRESENT VALUE OF TOTAL SURPLUS D AND UP
First cut today, second cut in 30 years

$$
C o=\frac{C u}{1.0} p^{\text {tan }}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { Value 1011 }}{\frac{147.35}{3.2434}}=\begin{array}{r}
\$ 45.40 \\
\$ 129.06
\end{array} \frac{\text { Value 1211 }}{\$ 275.05}
\end{aligned}=\begin{array}{r}
\$ 84.70 \\
\$ 1 \frac{27.2434}{3.66}
\end{array}
$$

Value 13"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 310.05 \\
& 3.2434
\end{aligned}=\begin{array}{r}
95.50 \\
\$ 146.40 \\
\hline 12.90
\end{array}
$$

Value 1411

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{362.45}{3.2434}= & \$ 111.90 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
29.70 \\
\\
\hline 141.60
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Value $15^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\frac{383.25}{3.2434}=\begin{aligned}
& 118.00 \\
& \frac{22.24}{140.24}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { trees } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | supr. trees | pres. surp. D \& up |  | Tota <br> 9" | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { surpl } \\ \text { 10" } \end{gathered}$ | us $D$ an 11" | $\begin{gathered} \text { up } \\ \text { 12" } \end{gathered}$ | firsta 13" | $\begin{array}{r} \text { to a } \\ 1411 \end{array}$ | 15" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.0 |  | 85.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12.5 |  | 87.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 20.0 | 5.76 | 90.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14.5 | 39.5 | 89.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 17.0 | 78.3 | 84.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 12.0 | 117.5 | 70.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 6.2 | 165.5 | 56.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 7.9 | 211.5 | 46.40 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 | 21.15 |
| 14 | 2.7 | 276.3 | 29.70 | 34.60 | 34.00 | 34.60 | 34.60 | 34.60 | 34.60 | 34.60 | 34.60 |
| 15 | 2.7 | 338.0 | 22.24 |  | 67.50 | 67.50 | 67.50 | 67.50 | 67.50 | 67.50 | 67.50 |
| 16 | 0.9 | 411.0 | 13.13 |  |  | 59.60 | 59.60 | 59.60 | 59.60 | 59.60 | 59.60 |
| 17 | 0.9 | 482.0 | 9.43 |  |  |  | 82.00 | 82.00 | 82.00 | 82.00 | 82.00 |
| 18 | 0.9 | 565.0 | 5.09 |  |  |  |  | 67.80 | 67.80 | 67.80 | 67.80 |
| 19 |  | 663.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.10 | 41.10 | 41.10 |
| 20 |  | 771.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.90 | 60.90 |
| 21 |  | 894.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.15 |

55.75 .123 .25 .182 .85 .264 .00 .332 .65 .373 .75 .434 .65 .458 .80

## PRESENT VALUE OF TOTAL SURPLUS D ANDUP

First cut today, second cut in 35 yrs
$C_{0}=\frac{C u}{1.0 p^{2}} n$

Value 10"

$$
\frac{182.85}{3.946}=\$ 46.30 \text { present value of surp. } \begin{array}{r}
\text { D \& up.coming } \\
35 \text { yrs.from now }
\end{array}
$$

84.06 present surplus D \& up
$\$ 130.36$ present value of total surplus D \& up

Value 12"

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{332.65}{3.946}=\$ 84.40 \\
\not \not \neq \frac{56.06}{141.06}
\end{array}
$$

Value 13"

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{373.75}{3.946} & \begin{array}{r}
\$ 94.60 \\
\frac{46.40}{}
\end{array} \quad \frac{\text { Value 1411 }}{\$ 141.00}
\end{array} \frac{434.65}{3.946}=\begin{array}{r}
\$ 110.00 \\
29.70 \\
\$ 139.70
\end{array}
$$

Costs of second cut on 30 Yr . cc. First cut to a l2" dbh.
Volume of second cut:
DBH No.trees Vol. cords/tree total vol./class in cords

| 12 | 10.0 | .270 | 2.70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 12.5 | .331 | 4.14 |
| 14 | 20.0 | .405 | 8.10 |
| 15 | 14.5 | .481 | 6.97 |
| 16 | 17.0 | .562 | 9.56 |
| 17 | 12.0 | .657 | $\frac{7.89}{39.36}$ cords |

Variable skia cost $=4.1 \not / /$ cord $/$ sta
$S+\sqrt{\frac{17.4 \times 1000}{39.36 \times 4.1}}=\sqrt{\frac{17.400}{161.2}}=\sqrt{107.9}=10.4 \mathrm{sta}$.
Trial calc. with slacker spacing at $12-1 / 2 \%$ of road spacing $2 P C S=2 \times 0.2525 \times 4.1 \times 10.4=21.6$
$\frac{L}{0.02875 \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{~V}} \quad \frac{5000}{0.0287 \times 107.9 \times 39.36}=32.9$
$\frac{21.6}{32.9}=0.656: 1 \quad \therefore z=80 \%$.
$S=\sqrt{\frac{13.0 \times 1000}{39.36 \times 4.1}}=\sqrt{\frac{13,000}{161.2}}=\sqrt{80.6}=9 \mathrm{sta}$. Cost

Hence put 1 extra road in area, cutting present spacing in helf or 10.2 stin .
$2 \mathrm{PCS}=2 \times 0.336 \times 4.1 \times 10.2=24.8$
$\frac{\mathrm{L}}{0.1835 S 2 \mathrm{~V}} \frac{5000}{0.183 \times 100.4 \times 39.36}=\frac{7.2}{32.0 \neq} / \mathrm{cord}$ moved to new roads.

Costs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { skidding }=0.289 \mathrm{SC}=0.289 \times 10.2 \times 4.1=12.1 \\
& \text { road maintenance }=\frac{\mathrm{R} / 121}{\mathrm{VC}}=\frac{20000}{\frac{12.1}{39.36 \times 4.1}}=\frac{1652.0}{161.2}=10.3 \\
& \text { slasher moving }=\frac{8.6}{31.06 / \text { cord }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $31.0 \neq /$ cord

$320 /$ cord $2 \longdiv { 6 3 0 }$
$31.56 /$ cord=avg. variable skidding cost
cost of maintenance on main road

$$
\$ 200 / \mathrm{mi} \times 10 \mathrm{mi}=\frac{200,000 k}{50,400 \text { cords }}=3.97 \text { or } 4 \phi / \operatorname{cord}
$$

31.5
4.0
$35.5 \not \subset /$ cord $=$ total class "C" costs

Actual road and skidding costs for second cut:
With effective road spacing at 26.4 stations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { cost of road } & =10.3 \\
\text { skidding }-0.289 \mathrm{SC}=0.289 \times 26.4 \times 4.1 & =31.2 \\
\text { slasher moving }=\frac{5000}{0.138 \times 100.4 \times 39.36} & =7.2 \\
\text { With effective road spacing at } 13.2 \text { stations }
\end{array}
$$

new road:
2 PCS $=2 \times 0.336 \times 4.1 \times 13.2=36.4$
$\frac{L x}{0.1835 \mathrm{~S}} \neq \frac{5000}{0.183 \times 100.4 \times 39.36=\frac{7.2}{43.6} \times 1 / 4 \text { of volume }=10.3}$
old road:
skidaing: $0.28950=0.289 \times 13.2 \times 4.1=15.6$
road maintenance $=\frac{R / 12.1}{V C}=\frac{\frac{20000}{12.1}}{39.36 \times 4.1}=10.3$
Slasher moving

$$
\frac{8.5}{34.5 \times 1 / 2 \text { of volume }=\frac{17.3}{39.8 / \text { cord }}}
$$

Total Class "C" cost:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 39.86 \\
& +\quad 4.0 \\
& \hline 43.86 / \text { cord }
\end{aligned}
$$

Present Worth of Property as $12^{\prime \prime}-30$ year plan.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P W=(S-F P A)+\left(\frac{S_{2}-F P A_{2}}{1 . O P C C}\right)-T\left(\frac{1 . O P^{Q C}-1}{. O P \times 1 . O P}\right)+\frac{S s}{1.0 P^{c c}} \\
& =(\$ 56.66-\$ 20 ; 80)+\left(\frac{275.05-\$ 17.20}{3.2434}\right)-\$ 0.156\left(\frac{3.2434-1)}{.04 \times 3.2434}\right)+\frac{\$ 3.00}{3.2434} \\
& =\$ 35.86+\frac{\$ 257.85}{3.2434}-\$ 0.156(17.2920)+\$ 0.925 \\
& =35.86+79.40-2.695+0.925 \\
& =\$ 113.48 / A=\text { present value of property on a two cut } \\
& \text { liquidating plan, first cut now to a 12" diameter } \\
& \text { limit and second cut in } 30 \text { years. } \\
& =\$ 113.48 / \mathrm{A} \times 1280 \mathrm{~A}=\$ 145,000=\text { present value of entire property }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{(x, y)
$$
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