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**Abstract**

**Background:** Recent work has demonstrated the co-development of personality traits and alcohol use characteristics from early adolescence to young adulthood. Few studies, however, have tested whether alcohol use initiation impacts trajectories of personality over this time period. We examined the effect of alcohol use initiation on personality development from early adolescence to young adulthood.

**Methods:** Participants were male ($n_{men} = 2,350$) and female ($n_{women} = 2,618$) twins and adoptees from three community-based longitudinal studies conducted at the Minnesota Center for Twin and Family Research. Data on personality traits of Positive Emotionality (PEM; Well-being), Negative Emotionality (NEM; Stress Reaction, Alienation, and Aggression), and Constraint (CON; Control and Harm Avoidance) – assessed via the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire (MPQ) – and age of first drink were collected for up to four waves spanning ages 10 to 32.

**Results:** Alcohol use initiation was associated with significant decreases in levels of Well-being and CON traits, most notably Control; and significant increases in levels of all NEM traits, particularly Aggression. In general, the effects of alcohol use initiation on personality traits were moderated by gender and enhanced among those with earlier age of first drink.

**Conclusions:** From early adolescence to young adulthood, alcohol use initiation predicts deviations from normative patterns of personality maturation. Such findings offer a potential mechanism underlying the co-development of personality traits and alcohol use characteristics during this formative period of development.
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**Introduction**

Links between personality traits and alcohol use are well established (Littlefield and Sher, 2010). Individuals with drinking problems (versus those without) have higher scores on traits of negative emotionality (NEM—the tendency to break down under stress; become easily agitated and hostile towards others; Jackson and Sher, 2003); lower scores on traits of constraint (CON—the ability to inhibit impulses, plan, and avoid risk; Dom et al., 2006), and higher scores on some traits of positive emotionality (PEM—e.g., Well-being; Elkins et al., 2004).
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Recent studies highlight the co-development of personality traits and alcohol use characteristics from adolescence to young adulthood (e.g., Littlefield et al., 2009, 2010; Quinn & Harden, 2013) and suggest that changes in one should be evaluated in the context of changes in the other. Two developmental models are relevant to this issue. A precursor model posits that traits increase risk for alcohol use. In support of this, prospective studies have found that higher scores on NEM traits and lower scores on CON and PEM traits predict subsequent increases in drinking during adolescence and young adulthood (MacPherson et al. 2010; Sher et al., 2000) and are linked to an earlier age of first drink (Malmberg et al., 2012; McGue et al., 2001).

An alternative model, which has received less attention in the literature, posits that alcohol use may affect the normative maturation of personality from adolescence to adulthood (Chassin et al., 2010; White et al., 2011). Specifically, most individuals decrease on NEM traits and increase on CON and PEM traits as they enter young adulthood (Donnellan et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2006). However, there is significant individual-level variability in trait trajectories across this period (Vaidya et al., 2008), particularly during adolescence (Soto et al., 2011).

Alcohol use initiation, which typically occurs during adolescence, is a common life event that may partly account for these patterns. There are relatively few tests of whether the timing of alcohol use initiation accounts for variation in the development of personality traits; however, some studies have found earlier age of first drink to predict decreases in traits related to CON (White et al., 2011; Zernicke et al., 2010).

The present study

Using a large, mixed-gender sample spanning pre-adolescence (age 10) through young adulthood (age 32), we examined the extent to which alcohol use initiation had a discontinuous effect on the trajectories of personality traits of PEM (i.e., Well-being), NEM (i.e., Stress Reaction, Alienation, and Aggression), and CON (i.e., Control and Harm Avoidance). Specifically, we tested whether there was a change in the levels of these traits following alcohol initiation—over and above that predicted by aging alone. Further, we also explored whether effects of alcohol use initiation on trait levels were moderated by gender or timing of drinking onset. The later provides a test of the sensitive-period hypothesis, which posits that the effect of
drinking onset on risk for later alcohol problems may be magnified during early adolescence
(DeWit et al., 2000).

We predicted alcohol use initiation would be associated with decreases in levels of Well-
being and CON traits and increases in levels of NEM traits. We also predicted that traits
previously shown to exhibit gender x time interactions during the transition from adolescence
and young adulthood would also exhibit significant gender moderation in terms of the effect of
alcohol initiation on trait levels. For example, prior research has observed larger increases in
neuroticism and CON traits among girls than boys (Blonigen et al., 2008; Soto et al., 2011); thus,
we predicted that the effects of alcohol use initiation on levels of Stress Reaction and CON traits
to be larger among girls than boys. Finally, given that prior work has found an early versus later
onset of drinking is associated with elevated lifetime rates of alcohol use disorders (Agrawal et
al., 2009; Dawson et al., 2008); and lower levels of PEM and CON traits, and higher levels of
NEM traits (Hill et al., 2000; Malmberg et al., 2012), we predicted that the effects alcohol
initiation on trait levels would be greater among those with earlier age of first drink.

Materials & Methods

Sample

Participants were members of three ongoing, longitudinal-epidemiological studies
conducted at the Minnesota Center for Twin and Family Research (MCTFR; Iacono et al., 2006):
Minnesota Twin Family Study (MTFS; Iacono et al., 1999); Enrichment Study (MTFS-ES;
Keyes et al., 2009); and Sibling Interaction and Behavior Study (SIBS; McGue et al., 2007)–an
adoption study. For all studies, families were eligible if they met the familial relationship
conditions of the relevant study (see below), lived within a day’s drive of the MCTFR
laboratories, and the targeted children did not have cognitive or physical disabilities that would
preclude participation in the day-long assessment.

For the MTFS and MTFS-ES, same-sex twins born in Minnesota from 1972-1984 and
1988-1994, respectively, were identified and located via public birth records. For the MTFS,
families were recruited to participate the year the twins turned either 11 (n=1512) or 17 years old
(n=1252). For the MTFS-ES, families were recruited the year the twins turned 11 years old
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(n=998), and additional screening procedures were employed to ensure that one-half of participating families had at least one twin with conduct problems. For any given birth year, over 90% of twin families were located and over 80% of those who were eligible agreed to participate. Participating families were representative of the demographic profile of Minnesotans during the target birth years (Iacono et al., 1999; Keyes et al., 2009). Participants across the two studies were predominantly of European American ancestry (96%), and slightly more women (52%) than men.

The SIBS study consists of 409 and 208 adoptive and non-adoptive families, respectively (n=1232), each with two siblings within 5 years of age of one another and between ages of 11 and 21 years old at intake. Adoptive families were identified from private adoption agencies in Minnesota and included two unrelated siblings (63.2% participation rate). Approximately two-thirds of adoptions were international (primarily East Asian ancestry) with a mean age of placement of 4.7 months (SD=3.4). Non-adoptive families were ascertained via public birth records and selected to include a pair of biological siblings comparable in age and gender to adoptive sibling pairs (57.3% participation rate). Across all sibling pairs, small minorities were same-sex (60.8%), female (54.9%), and of European American ancestry (55.8%; the other major racial/ethnic groups was composed of Korean adoptees).

Participants from each study were invited to return for follow-up assessments every 3-5 years. Despite ongoing and/or unequal number of assessments across participants, aggregation of the data across all samples and follow-up assessments allowed for modeling of the data across ages 10 to 32. The age distribution and number of participants across each wave of assessment are shown in Table 1. Wave 1 denotes the intake assessment and thus includes the total number of participants across all studies. The first follow-up assessment (Wave 2) has been completed for all studies (92.1% retention rate). Across the remaining waves, the decreasing N is a function of ongoing assessments and study design. Ongoing assessments included the second follow-up for the MTFS-ES and SIBS (Wave 3), Wave 4 for the MTFS-ES. Participants from the MTFS age-17 cohort had completed all scheduled assessments (Waves 1-4). MTFS assessments are scheduled to terminate when participants reach about age 30. SIBS participants are only scheduled to complete three waves of assessment. The mean true retention rate was
approximately 90% across all completed follow-up assessments for each of the three studies, and across different age and gender cohorts in the MTFS and MTFS-ES.


Assessment

Personality. Personality was assessed with the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire (MPQ; Tellegen and Waller 2008). This self-report questionnaire measures individuals’ typical affective and behavioral styles using a higher-order three-factor structure of PEM, NEM, and CON. For the primary scales that were included in this study, the range of Cronbach’s alpha across the most common assessment ages (14, 17, 20, 24, and 29) is presented below. PEM captures a propensity to experience positive emotions and was measured in the current study by the primary scale of Well-Being (α=.88-.92). NEM captures a propensity to experience negative emotions and comprises scales of Stress Reaction (α=.87-.90), Alienation (α=.87-.92), and Aggression (α=.87-.91). CON taps a tendency to be planful and cautious and averse to risk, and was measured in the current study by the primary scales of Control (α=.84-.88) and Harm Avoidance (α=.84-.87).

These six primary scales were selected for analysis because they (a) have each been linked to drinking problems during adolescence and/or young adulthood (Dom et al., 2006; Elkins et al., 2004; Jackson and Sher, 2003), and (b) were administered at each assessment wave in MCTFR studies. Specifically, the 198-item version of the MPQ was administered at the ages 17, 24, and 29 assessments of the MTFS and MTFS-ES. An abbreviated version of the MPQ that included only scales of Well-being, Stress Reaction, Alienation, Aggression, Control, and Harm Avoidance was administered to twins at the age-14 assessment and to female twins from the age-17 cohort at their age 20 assessment. SIBS participants 16 years of age and older completed the 198-item version of the MPQ, while those younger than 16-years old completed the abbreviated MPQ. All MPQ data prior to age 13 was collected from SIBS participants. For any given assessment, 83% to 93% of participants had MPQ data. Across all studies, 1,340 and 632 participants had personality data at three and four waves of assessment, respectively. Per Little’s MCAR test, the data for the MPQ scales were missing completely at random; \( \chi^2 (82) = 101.94, p = .067 \).
**Alcohol use initiation and other drinking characteristics.** At each assessment, participants were asked information on their history and pattern of drinking, which included the following question—“How old were you the first time you drank alcohol (on your own; more than your parents allowed you to)?”—as part of the Substance Abuse Module of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (Robins et al., 1988) (M=15.9 years; SD=2.2; range=5.0–24.5). Among those who had ever consumed alcohol, the average frequency of drinking in the past 12 months was less than once per month at age 14, once per month at age 17, 2-3 times per month, and 2-3 times per month at ages 20 and 24. The average number of drinks on a typical drinking day in the past 12 months was 2.1 (SD=2.6) at age 14, 3.3 (SD=3.5) at age 17, 4.3 (SD=3.5) at age 20, and 3.5 (SD=2.7) at age 24.

**Statistical analyses**

For each trait, multi-level models (MLM) were fit in HLM 7.0 to test (1) for effects of alcohol use initiation on the levels of MPQ traits; and (2) if effects of initiation were moderated by gender or timing of drinking onset. Each model included three-levels to account for nesting of participants within families and assessments within participants: Level-3 (nesting of participants within families); Level-2 (individual participants); Level-1 (repeated measures across time within participants).

The following choices were made when modeling MPQ trait trajectories. First, as indicated by Durbin and colleagues’ (2015) analysis of this dataset, models that include linear and non-linear (i.e., quadratic and cubic) effects of age provide the best fit to the MPQ trait trajectories from early adolescence to young adulthood (see also Harden & Tucker-Drob, 2011). Thus, both linear and non-linear effects of age were included in the models for this study. Data were included for up to four waves for each participant, and because participants varied in chronological age at the time of each assessment, change was modeled as a function of participants’ actual chronological ages at each assessment. We centered age at the first assessment wave (age 11). Although the number of participants was smaller for the earliest ages (11-14) than for ages 17 and older, we wanted to generate the most robust estimates for trait levels across the full developmental span covered in the sample so as to more accurately estimate the effect of alcohol initiation on traits, beyond the effects of age-related change. Second, we
modeled age-related change in traits as fixed effects estimated for the sample as a whole, while
the effect of alcohol initiation was allowed to vary across participants. Per Durbin et al. (2015),
models in which linear and non-linear effects are freely estimated did not provide a better fit than
models in which they were fixed (i.e., models without random effects for the change parameters
did not fit worse than models with the additional random effects). Third, effects of alcohol
initiation on trait levels were modeled as within-subjects effects (time-varying coefficients at
Level-1); thus, only participants who had MPQ data for at least one assessment prior and one
following their age of drinking onset contributed to the estimation of parameters for the effects
of alcohol initiation on trait levels.

To examine initiation effects, we entered a time-varying predictor at Level-1 (ALC
NO/YES) to account for participant-specific timing of this event and its effect on trait elevation
across the sample. A value of 0 was entered for this variable at Level-1 for each assessment
prior to participants’ age of first drink, and a value of 1 was entered for each assessment wave
after age of first drink. This allowed for tests of within-subject differences in trait levels before
versus after drinking onset—i.e., the extent to which alcohol use initiation was associated with
subsequent differences in trait levels above and beyond the effects of age-related change, with
age centered at 11:

\[
Stress\ Reaction_{tij} = \gamma_{000} + \gamma_{100}*(\text{AGE} - 11_{tij}) + \gamma_{200}*(\text{AGE} - 11_{tij})^2 + \gamma_{300}*(\text{AGE} - 11_{tij})^3 + \gamma_{400}*(\text{ALC NO/YES}_{tij}) + r_{0ij} + u_{00j} + e_{tij}.
\]

Due to the number of data points before and after the typical age of initiation, we were unable to
contrast slopes and examine effects of alcohol use initiation on rate of linear or non-linear
change in traits over time. Consequently, the initiation effects (and the graphs to be presented)
only demonstrate, in the context of the average trait trajectory, the magnitude of the change in
trait levels immediately after the average age of alcohol initiation, not the impact of initiation
effects in the rate of change in traits over time. Next, for traits in which alcohol use initiation
was associated with subsequent differences in trait levels before versus after drinking onset, we
tested separate models in which gender and age of first drink moderated the magnitude of the
initiation effects on trait levels. These moderators were entered at Level-2 as predictors of
variation in the Level-1 effect of alcohol use initiation:
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Stress Reaction_{ij} = \gamma_{000} + \gamma_{100}(AGE - 11)_{ij} + \gamma_{200}(AGE - 11)^2_{ij} + \gamma_{300}(AGE - 11)^3_{ij} + \\
\gamma_{400}(ALC\ NO/YES_{ij}) + \gamma_{410}(ALC\ NO/YES_{ij})*(GENDER_{ij}) + r_{0i} + u_{00j} + e_{ij}.

For the final moderation models, we accounted for the main effects of gender and age of first drink on the basic change parameters (i.e., intercept, age, age^2, and age^3) of each trait. That is, the moderator analyses included either gender or age of first drink as a significant Level-2 predictor of the Level-1 effects of these change parameters.

Results

Model fitting results for the effects of alcohol use initiation.

The fit of models that included only age-related (linear and non-linear) change vs. models that also included effects of alcohol initiation were compared using Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC; lower values indicate a better fit to the data) – see Table 2. For each trait, a model that included the effects of initiation provided a better fit than a model that included only age-related change.

Effects of alcohol use initiation on the level of personality traits.

Table 3 shows the results of the best-fitting models, which tested whether there were significant differences in levels of MPQ traits before versus after drinking onset (accounting for effects of age-related change on traits–i.e., initial intercept; and age, age^2, and age^3 slopes). Effects of alcohol use initiation on trait level were significant for all traits. For Well-being and both CON traits, there were significant decreases in the level of these traits after drinking onset. Conversely, for NEM scales, there were significant increases in the level of all traits after drinking onset. A graphical representation of the age-related effects and initiation effects for each trait at the mean age of drinking onset (i.e., age 16) is provided in Figure 1. To facilitate interpretation of initiation effects, we used the grand SD for each trait (Well-being = 7.98; Stress Reaction = 9.37; Alienation = 9.01; Aggression = 9.66; Control = 8.17; Harm Avoidance = 10.86) to express the initiation effects in terms of their size relative to the scale SD. For example, after the onset of drinking, the level of Well-being decreased by 0.16 SDs (i.e., 1.24/7.98), and levels of Control and Harm Avoidance decreased by 0.33 and 0.15 SDs,
respectively; whereas levels of Stress Reaction, Alienation, and Aggression increased by 0.13,
0.18, and 0.32 SDs, respectively.

Moderation of effects of alcohol use initiation on the level of personality traits by gender and age of first drink.

Tables 4 and 5 provide the results of exploratory models that tested (separately) whether the initiation effects were moderated by gender and age of first drink, respectively. Specifically, either gender or age of first drink was entered at Level-2 in the model as a predictor of variation in the Level-1 effect of alcohol use initiation. These effects are denoted as “gender on initiation effects” and “age of first drink on initiation effects” in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. For the sake of parsimony, the parameter estimates of the main effects of gender and age of first drink on the Level-1 basic change parameters are not presented in these tables, but are available upon request from the first author.

Per Table 4, gender was a significant moderator of the initiation effects for Aggression, Control, and Harm Avoidance—i.e., the magnitudes of the effect of alcohol use initiation on these trait levels were significantly different between men and women. For Aggression, there were increases for both men and women; however, the effect was larger in men. For Control, there were decreases for both men and women after drinking onset, though the effect was larger in men. For Harm avoidance, there was a significant decrease for men, but minimal change for women.

Per Table 5, age of first drink was a significant moderator of the initiation effects for most traits, with the exception of Aggression and Harm Avoidance—i.e., the magnitude of the effect of alcohol use initiation on a trait level varied significantly as a function of the timing of alcohol use initiation. Based on the age-specific initiation effects (1 SD below [≤13.7 years] and 1 SD above [≥18.1 years] the mean age of initiation), the initiation effect for each trait was enhanced among those with an earlier age of first drink. Specifically, for Well-being and Control, decreases in the levels of these traits as a function of drinking onset were larger for those with an earlier (vs. later) age of onset; whereas for Stress Reaction and Alienation, increases in the levels of these traits as a function of drinking onset were larger for those with an earlier (vs. later) age of onset.
Discussion

This study tested whether alcohol use initiation impacted trajectories of personality development from early adolescence to young adulthood. Alcohol use initiation was associated with changes in levels of all trait trajectories examined, that is, levels of Well-being and CON traits (Control and Harm Avoidance) decreased after the onset of drinking, whereas levels of NEM traits (Stress Reaction, Alienation, and Aggression) increased after drinking onset. Notably, the magnitudes of these effects were greatest for Control and Aggression – two of the strongest trait-based predictors of problematic drinking during the transition to adulthood (Elkins et al., 2006; Krueger, 1999).

The current findings help to refine models regarding the association between personality and alcohol use characteristics during adolescence and young adulthood (Littlefield et al., 2012; Quinn & Harden, 2013). Specifically, prior prospective studies have tended to focus on a precursor model, observing that higher NEM traits and lower CON and PEM traits are linked to an earlier age of first drink (Malmberg et al., 2012; McGue et al., 2001) and predict subsequent increases in drinking during adolescence and young adulthood (MacPherson et al., 2010; Sher et al., 2000). The current findings support an alternative model in which alcohol use affects the developmental trajectories of personality traits during this developmental timeframe. Indeed, these two models are not mutually-exclusive as the effects between personality and alcohol use may operate in a reciprocal fashion. For example, Malmberg et al. (2013) reported reciprocal effects between onset of substance use and traits related to CON (i.e., sensation-seeking and impulsivity).

The present study did not test for reciprocal effects per se; however, the findings complement prior tests of the precursor model by suggesting that alcohol use initiation is not simply predicted by deviations from normative patterns of personality maturation, but may also predict such patterns of development. Collectively, support for the two models aligns with the corresponsive principle of personality development, which posits that life experiences enhance the traits that lead people into those experiences in the first place (Roberts et al., 2003). This principle has been shown to be applicable to the association between personality development and work experiences and marital quality—e.g., Le et al. (2013)—but to our knowledge has not previously been applied to alcohol use characteristics. The present findings suggest that the...
corresponsive principle may serve as a useful theoretical framework to describe the co-
development of personality and drinking during adolescence and young adulthood.

Another notable finding from our analyses was that the strength and directions of the
initiation effects were moderated by gender and timing of drinking onset. Regarding gender,
changes in the levels of most traits following initiation were in the same directions for men and
women, but served to magnify gender differences in these traits during adolescence and young
adulthood; that is, prior research has found Stress Reaction, Control, and Harm Avoidance to be
higher among women than men during these periods, and traits of Alienation and Aggression to
be higher among men than women (Blonigen et al., 2008; Soto et al., 2011). The effects of
alcohol use initiation enhanced these gender differences. Notably, changes in levels of Harm
Avoidance diverged somewhat for men and women such that the onset of drinking was followed
by increases among men in risk-taking tendencies, but no change in such tendencies for women.
These findings suggest that transactions between personality development and alcohol use may
follow distinct pathways to problematic drinking for men and women (Hicks et al., 2007).

The findings for moderation by age of first drink highlight the salience of this variable
within the addiction literature. Earlier age of first drink may have detrimental consequences on
brain development (Clark et al., 2008) and has been consistently linked to increased risk for
alcohol use disorders (AUD) (Agrawal et al., 2009; Dawson et al., 2008). Given that high NEM
and low CON trait levels are robust predictors of AUD, the current findings in which earlier age
of initiation was associated with greater increases in NEM and decreases in CON may account
for why an early onset of drinking increases risk for developing AUD. Further, this finding
suggests that the relevance of the corresponsive principle may not be uniform across
development, with personality development more sensitive to effects of life events at some ages
than others (DeWit et al., 2000). Specifically, effects of alcohol use initiation on levels of trait
trajectories may be stronger when the onset of drinking occurs early in adolescence.

Although this study had several strengths including a large community-based, mixed-
gender sample and prospective design over four time-points, several limitations should be
acknowledged. First, personality was assessed only via self-report questionnaires. Use of
informant reports and behavioral tasks in future work will help corroborate the present findings
and identify which effects are robust across assessment methods. Second, although the racial

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved
和个人-族裔特征一致，参与者的样本特征与特定地理区域和群体的特性一致。因此，找到这种类型的人员可能会有局限性。第三，为了简化起见，我们对酒精使用的特征分析仅限于第一次饮酒的年龄，而不限于常规或危险的饮酒模式——例如，过度饮酒。然而，第一次饮酒的年龄是酒精使用障碍的强预测因子，并且在更广泛的依赖症文献中是极其重要的变量。

最后，虽然我们的模型对个体变化的测试提供了对酒精使用开始对个性特质发展影响的强烈测试，但真正的因果影响不能被假设。在总结中，酒精使用开始预测了早期青少年到成年期个性成熟过程中的偏离。未来的研究应该在分析中纳入了测试的“前导模型”（cf. Malmberg et al., 2013），以及如果个性特征与酒精使用特征的相互影响对其他饮酒里程碑（例如，酒精使用障碍症状的出现）是否有关联。这样的研究将极大地扩展我们对青春期和成年期期间个性和酒精使用特征之间相互作用的理解。

References


This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved


Iacono WG, Carlson SR, Taylor J, Elkins IJ, McGue M (1999) Behavioral disinhibition...


Sher KJ, Bartholow BD, Wood MD (2000) Personality and substance use disorders: A


---

**Table 1. Number of participants of each age at each assessment wave.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Assessment Wave 1</th>
<th>Assessment Wave 2</th>
<th>Assessment Wave 3</th>
<th>Assessment Wave 4</th>
<th>Total N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>1221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. AIC values for the best-fitting models of age-related change in MPQ personality traits versus models that include an effect of alcohol use initiation on level of the MPQ traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Linear + non-linear age-related change</th>
<th>Age-related change + effects of initiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well-being</td>
<td>77340.57</td>
<td>67211.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Reaction</td>
<td>80307.65</td>
<td>69811.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alienation</td>
<td>79092.42</td>
<td>68598.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>79598.00</td>
<td>68934.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>77182.75</td>
<td>66875.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm Avoidance</td>
<td>81904.56</td>
<td>77104.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. N = 3,211. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion. MPQ = Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire. Non-linear change = Models that include effects for linear, quadratic, and cubic age-related change in traits over time. Non-linear change + effects of initiation = Models that include effects for linear, quadratic, and cubic age-related change in traits over time and an effect of alcohol use initiation on level of the MPQ traits. Lower AIC values denote better fit.
Table 3. Effects of alcohol use initiation on the level of MPQ personality traits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Intercept (SE)</th>
<th>Age (SE)</th>
<th>Age$^2$ (SE)</th>
<th>Age$^3$ (SE)</th>
<th>Effect of initiation on trait level (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well-being</td>
<td>56.92***</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.001*</td>
<td>-1.24***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Reaction</td>
<td>40.27***</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
<td>-0.12***</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td>1.26***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.72)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alienation</td>
<td>37.61***</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.06**</td>
<td>0.002***</td>
<td>1.66***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.73)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>41.78***</td>
<td>-0.63*</td>
<td>-0.06**</td>
<td>0.003***</td>
<td>3.08**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.75)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>44.46***</td>
<td>0.55*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.002**</td>
<td>-2.69***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.65)</td>
<td>(0.21)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm Avoidance</td>
<td>49.50***</td>
<td>-1.02***</td>
<td>0.13***</td>
<td>-0.003***</td>
<td>-1.60***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.86)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.0007)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. $N = 3,211$. MPQ = Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire. * $p<.05$, ** $p<.01$, *** $p<.001$, with no adjustment for multiple testing. “Intercept” values reflect model-derived scores at age 11. Values for “age,” “age$^2$,” and “age$^3$” reflect the linear, quadratic, and cubic change, respectively, per year in that scale after the age of the intercept. The values for “effect of initiation on trait level” reflect the extent to which the level of a trait changed after the onset of drinking.
Table 4. Moderation of effects of alcohol use initiation on the level of MPQ personality traits by gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Level-1 predictors</th>
<th>Effects of initiation on trait level</th>
<th>Level-2 predictors</th>
<th>Sex-specific initiation effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age^2</td>
<td>Age^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-being</td>
<td>56.92***</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Reaction</td>
<td>39.88***</td>
<td>1.21**</td>
<td>-0.15**</td>
<td>0.005***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.94)</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.0007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alienation</td>
<td>37.66***</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.06**</td>
<td>0.002***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.73)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>43.54***</td>
<td>-1.33**</td>
<td>-0.003*</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.98)</td>
<td>(0.30)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.0007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>43.32***</td>
<td>0.99**</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.87)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm Avoidance</td>
<td>48.59***</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.12)</td>
<td>(0.34)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.0008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. N = 3,211. * p<.05, ** p<.01, *** p<.001, with no adjustment for multiple testing. Gender (1=male). “Intercept” values reflect model-derived scores at age 11. Values for “age,” “age^2,” and “age^3” reflect the linear, quadratic, and cubic change, respectively, per year in that scale after the age of the intercept. “Gender on initiation effects” reflects the effect of including gender at Level 2 as a predictor of individual differences in the initiation effects at Level 1. This coefficient denotes whether the magnitude of the effects of alcohol use initiation on a trait level was significantly different between men and women. Table 5. Moderation of effects of alcohol use initiation on the level of MPQ personality traits by age of first drink.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Intercept (SE)</th>
<th>Age (SE)</th>
<th>Age² (SE)</th>
<th>Age³ (SE)</th>
<th>Age of first drink on initiation effects (SE)</th>
<th>Age-specific initiation effects -1 SD</th>
<th>Age-specific initiation effects +1 SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well-being</td>
<td>56.51** (2.08)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress React</td>
<td>50.05*** (3.60)</td>
<td>-1.53*</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.0004***</td>
<td>-0.47***</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alienation</td>
<td>59.20*** (7.77)</td>
<td>-6.83**</td>
<td>-0.51*</td>
<td>-0.01*</td>
<td>-0.54***</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>55.54** (2.19)</td>
<td>-0.89*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15.19** (5.76)</td>
<td>6.11**</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-7.63***</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>-2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm Avoidance</td>
<td>36.03*** (1.11)</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.08*</td>
<td>-0.002*</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. N = 3,211. * p<.05, ** p<.01, *** p<.001, with no adjustment for multiple testing. “Intercept” values reflect model-derived scores at age 11. Values for “age,” “age²,” and “age³” reflect the linear, quadratic, and cubic change, respectively, per year in that scale after the age of the intercept. “Age of first drink on initiation effects” reflects the effect of including age of first drink (centered) at Level 2 as a predictor of individual differences in the initiation effects at Level 1. This coefficient denotes whether the magnitude of the effect of alcohol use initiation on a trait level varied significantly as a function of the timing of alcohol use initiation. The “Age-specific initiation effects” gives the respective effects of alcohol use initiation on trait levels at 1 SD below and 1 SD above the mean age of first drink.
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