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Background: Sharing good news with others has shown to enhance well-being, above and beyond the impact of the
good news itself (Gable et al., 2004). Previous studies have indicated four types of responses to good news
disclosure: Active-constructive (“enthusiastic praising”), passive-constructive (“quiet supporting”), active-
destructive (“criticizing”) and passive-destructive (“ignoring”) responses. Studies on Western dating couples found
that only active-constructive (but not the other three types) responses were related to positive relationship well-being
(Gable et al., 2004). On the other hand, cross-cultural research suggested that non-Western/interdependence cultures
prefer indirect communication (Kapoor et al., 2003), and benefited from implicit social support (Taylor et al., 2007),
suggesting that there may exist cross-cultural differences in the preference of good news disclosure and how that is
related to relationship well-being. However, this hypothesis hasn’t been explored. To address this gap, this study
examined Western (Caucasian), and non-Western (Indian) cultures’ responses to good news sharing, and its relation
to relationship well-being. Method: Participants were asked to share their good news and how their partners reacted
and responded to their good news disclosure in an anonymous survey. They also completed questionnaires assessing
their relationship intimacy and satisfaction. Survey responses were coded based on four types of responses. Results:
In both Western and non-Western cultures, active-constructive responses were associated with higher relationship
intimacy and satisfaction, whereas passive-destructive responses were negatively associated with these outcomes.
Conclusion: Results are discussed in terms of the importance to look at the universal and cross-cultural differences
in interpersonal communication styles to understand psychological well-being.
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Background

- Sharing good news with others has shown to enhance well-being, above and beyond the impact of the good news itself (Gable et al., 2004).
- Previous studies have indicated four types of responses to good news disclosure:
  1. Active-constructive ("enthusiastic praising")
  2. Passive-constructive ("quiet supporting")
  3. Active-destructive ("criticizing")
  4. Passive-destructive ("ignoring")
- There may exist cross-cultural differences in the preference of good news disclosure and how that is related to relationship well-being.
- However, this hypothesis hasn’t been explored. To address this gap, this study examined Western (Caucasian), and non-Western (South Asian) cultures’ responses to good news sharing, and its relation to relationship well-being.

Methods

In this study 319 participants, 121 Caucasians (44=male, 77= female; Mean age = 34.5, SD = 11.5) and 198 South Asians (128=male, 70=female; Mean age = 30.0, SD = 7.3) who are currently in romantic relationships were recruited through Amazon’s MTurk.

Survey responses were coded on a 1-6 scale based on the four types of responses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRAISER (α = .82)</th>
<th>SUPPORTER (α = .80)</th>
<th>CRITICIZER (α = .71)</th>
<th>IGNORER (α = .78)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;she was jumped and screamed, she hugged me and she said she is so happy for this news&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;He was happy and smile.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Good Job, but you should work harder!&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Congrats, Does that mean our Starbucks budget just increased?&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results shows that most couples preferred to use active constructive and passive constructive responses, compared to active destructive and passive destructive responses, F(3, 358) = 14.82, p < .001, regardless of cultures.

Caucasians reported higher level of relationship intimacy when their partner used Active-Constructive responses. However, no effect was found for participants in South Asian cultures.

Caucasians reported lower level of relationship intimacy when their partner used Passive-Destructive responses. However, no effect was found for participants in South Asian cultures.

Discussion

- Our findings indicate that couples tend to use active-constructive responses to positive event disclosure universally across cultures. Consistent with previous studies, active-constructive responses have a positive impact on relationship intimacy in Caucasians, while passive-destructive responses have a negative effect on relationship intimacy. However, both of these response types have no significant effect on South Asians.

- Prior studies have suggested that people from non-Western cultures tend to be more interdependent (Markus & Kitayama, 1991), prefer indirect communication (Kapoor et al., 2003) and implicit social support (Taylor et al., 2007). These suggest that active and enthusiastic responses may not be the “optimal” communication style for their relationship well-beings. This may in fact explain the non-significant relationship between active-constructive responses and intimacy. Further research should look at potential mediators to explain our results. Nevertheless, our findings highlight the importance to look at the universal and cross-cultural differences in interpersonal communication styles to understand psychological well-being.
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