Transcriptional activation of the human S100A2 promoter by wild-type p53
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1. Introduction

p53 is a transcription factor and a tumor suppressor [1]. As a transcription factor, p53 binds to its consensus binding sequence (two copies of the 10 bp motif 5'-PuPuPuPuC(A/T)(T/A)GPpPyPyPy-P-3', separated by 0–13 bp)\textsuperscript{2} and transactivates a set of target genes. As a tumor suppressor, p53 induces either growth arrest or apoptosis [1]. These biological functions of p53 are mainly mediated through the activation of downstream target genes. For example, p53-induced growth arrest is mainly achieved through activation of p21/Waf1 [3], whereas p53-induced apoptosis is mediated by or associated with activation of Bax [4], Fas/APO1 [5], KILLER/DR5 [6] and genes involved in generation of reactive oxygen species [7]. Also, potential effects of p53 in tumor metastasis are mediated through regulation of metastasis-related genes [8,9]. Thus, identification of genes whose expression is subjected to p53 regulation would lead to a better understanding of p53 functions and its mechanism of action.

In an attempt to identify novel p53 target genes, we treated p53 positive cells with etoposide, a topoisomerase II inhibitor and a p53 activator [8]. Global changes of gene expression were monitored by DNA chip hybridization [10]. Through this approach, we have identified that S100A2, a calcium binding protein [11,12], is inducible by etoposide [10]. We report here the characterization of p53 regulation of the S100A2 promoter and suggest that the S100A2 is a novel p53 target gene.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture and drug treatment

Two human osteogenic sarcoma cell lines, U2-OS and Saos-2 (ATCC), were grown in McCoy or DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, respectively. U2-OS cells harbor a wild-type p53 [13], whereas Saos-2 cells have the p53 gene deleted [14]. For drug treatment, U2-OS and Saos-2 cells were exposed to etoposide (25 μM, Sigma) for various periods of time up to 48 h.

2.2. Gel shift assay

The assay was performed as described previously [15], using as the probe a 20 bp synthetic oligonucleotide, S100A2.01 (5'-GGGGCATGT-GTGCGCACGTTC-3'), consisting of the putative p53 binding site identified in the S100A2 promoter and its complementary strand.

2.3. Luciferase reporter constructions

The luciferase reporter constructs driven by the S100A2 promoter were made as follows. (a) S100A2 W/p53BS: a 2269 bp DNA fragment of the S100A2 promoter containing the p53 binding site and (b) S100A2 W/O p53BS: a 2060 bp DNA fragment of the S100A2 promoter without p53 binding site, were generated by PCR amplification from a cloned promoter fragment [16]. The primers used were: PA23-Hind (downstream primer): 5'-CAGCTGCTGGAAGTTTTGATCAGGACACAGACCCGCCAGGAG-3'; PA25Xho (with p53 site, upstream primer): 5'-CTGCTGAGGTGTTCAGAGTGATACAGGACACAGACCCGCCAGGAG-3' and KA25Xho (without p53 binding site, upstream primer): 5'-AGACTCTGGAGACGACGAGGCAGGACC GGCC-3'. The PCR fragments were subcloned into pGL-Basic-3 luciferase reporter plasmid (Promega) at the restriction sites of XhoI (5') and HindIII (3').

2.4. DNA transfection and luciferase assay

Dispersed cells were seeded into 24 well plates at a concentration of 10\textsuperscript{4} cells (Saos-2) or 2×10\textsuperscript{5} cells (U2-OS) per well 16–24 h prior to transfection. The calcium phosphate method was used to transiently transfect Saos-2 cells [15], whereas the lipofectamine method (BRL) was used for U2-OS transfection according to the manufacturer's instructions. The luciferase reporter constructs described above, along with the empty vector plasmid, were co-transfected with a β-galactosidase construct in the presence or absence of constructs expressing wild-type or mutant p53 proteins. 38 h post transfection, cells were lysed and assayed for luciferase/β-galactosidase activities [17]. The results are presented as the fold activation over empty reporter after normalizing the β-galactosidase activity.

2.5. Northern analysis

Total RNA was isolated from etoposide-treated cells using RNAzol solution (Tel-Test). 15 μg of total RNA was subjected to Northern analysis [18].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Specific binding of wild-type p53 to the p53 binding site identified in the S100A2 promoter

Through DNA chip hybridization, we have recently shown that S100A2 is an etoposide-inducible gene [10]. A putative p53 binding site, 5'-GGGCATGTGTGGCGCACGTTC-3' (underlined are two mismatches to the consensus sequence), located at −3811 bp upstream from the translation initiation
site was identified. To examine whether p53 is able to bind to this putative binding site, we used both purified p53 protein [17] and nuclear extract from p53 positive U2-OS cells treated with etoposide (25 \( \mu \)M) for 0, 6 or 24 h. As shown in Fig. 1, a 20 bp oligonucleotide, consisting of the S100A2 p53 binding site, binds to purified p53 only in the presence of p53 antibody, pAb421, that is known to enhance and stabilize p53 DNA binding [19] (compare lanes 1 and 2). Two binding bands are revealed (as indicated by arrows). The band shown on the bottom of the gel is free labelled probe.

3.2. Transactivation of the S100A2 promoter by p53 is both p53 dose- and p53 binding site-dependent

We first examined whether p53 transactivates the S100A2 promoter and whether activation is p53 dose-dependent. We generated a luciferase reporter construct driven by a 2269 bp promoter fragment containing the p53 binding sites (S100A2 W/p53BS) and used it in a transactivation/luciferase assay. The result shows that p53 does transactivate the S100A2 promoter and transactivation is p53 dose-dependent (data not shown). We next examined whether p53-induced transactivation of the S100A2 promoter is p53 binding site-dependent. We compared luciferase activity in the constructs driven by the S100A2 promoter sequence with (S100A2 W/p53BS) or without (S100A2 W/O p53BS) p53 binding site in Saos-2 cells after transiently co-transfected with a p53 expressing plasmid or an empty vector as the control. As shown in Fig. 2, in the absence of p53 (vector control), both constructs produce a comparable promoter activity, giving rise to a 8–10-fold higher luciferase activity than that of the empty vector. Most likely, this activity reflects the level of endogenous S100A2 expression generated by the enhancer element identified earlier [16]. In the presence of p53, the construct without p53 binding site gives rise to a similar level of luciferase activity. However,
the p53 binding site-containing construct induces a 35-fold activation of luciferase activity. Hence, p53 can stimulate promoter activity 3.5-fold over background levels. These results indicate that transactivation of the S100A2 promoter by p53 is p53 binding site-dependent.

3.3. Lack of transactivation of the S100A2 promoter by p53 mutants

We further examined whether the S100A2 promoter activity could also be stimulated by p53 mutants. Plasmid DNAs expressing p53 mutant proteins were individually co-transfected with luciferase reporters into Saos-2 cells. Mutants used are p53-143A, p53-175H, p53-248W, p53-273H, p53-281G, five of the most common p53 mutants in human cancers [20] and p53-280T, a dominant negative p53 mutant [21–23] into U2-OS cells, followed by etoposide treatment. As a control, the empty vector was used. As shown in Fig. 4 (bottom panel), etoposide-induced activation is abolished by p53-280T (S100A2 W/p53BS+p53-280T) to a level comparable with that of S100A2 W/p53BS (Fig. 4, top panel), but it is not inhibited by the vector control (S100A2 W/p53BS+Vector). These results demonstrate that transactivation of the S100A2 promoter by etoposide is largely p53-dependent.

3.4. Induction of p53-dependent transactivation by etoposide

Etoposide has been previously shown to activate p53 in U2-OS cells [8]. We therefore examined whether etoposide would induce p53-dependent transactivation of the S100A2 promoter. As shown in Fig. 4 (top panel), transactivation of the luciferase reporter driven by the p53 site-containing promoter is induced by etoposide with a 3-fold induction achieved at 48 h. A slight induction of the luciferase activity was also seen in the p53 site-deleted promoter that appears to be p53-independent. To confirm that etoposide-induced transactivation is largely p53-dependent, we transfected p53-280T, a known dominant negative p53 mutant [21–23] into U2-OS cells, followed by etoposide treatment. As a control, empty vector was used. As shown in Fig. 4 (bottom panel), etoposide-induced activation is abolished by p53-280T (S100A2 W/p53BS+p53-280T) to a level comparable with that of S100A2 W/p53BS (Fig. 4, top panel), but it is not inhibited by the vector control (S100A2 W/p53BS + Vector). These results demonstrate that transactivation of the S100A2 promoter by etoposide is largely p53-dependent.

3.5. Induction of S100A2 mRNA expression by etoposide in p53 positive, but not in p53 negative cells

To examine whether endogenous S100A2 is subjected to p53 regulation, we treated p53 positive U2-OS cells and p53 negative Saos-2 cells with etoposide. As shown in Fig. 5, there is a basal level of S100A2 mRNA in U2-OS cells, which is induced by a 3-fold after exposure to etoposide for 24 h. In Saos-2 cells, S100A2 mRNA is not detectable at the basal level and no induction is observed by etoposide. The result indicates a p53 status-dependent regulation of S100A2 expression.

S100A2 is an EF-hand calcium binding protein that has been associated with the development of malignancies through its down-regulation during tumor progression [12,24]. This down-regulation has previously been suggested to depend upon the DNA methylation status in the promoter region [16,25]. On the other hand, as shown in this report, the S100A2 promoter activity can also be influenced by the p53 status of tumor cells. Hence, the loss of S100A2 expression in tumor cells is mediated by at least two independent mechanisms. The finding that the S100A2 promoter is subjected to positive regulation by wild-type p53, but not by any p53 mutants appears to be significant since it links the p53 signalling...
pathway to the calcium signalling pathway, both of which are involved in apoptosis [26,27]. It could imply that S100 family members mediate p53 apoptosis signals. Indeed, S100B, a second S100 family member was recently found to functionally interact with p53 in the regulation of p53-dependent cell growth arrest and apoptosis [28]. Interestingly, the expression of S100B was also increased in cells expressing wild-type p53 in response to UV irradiation [28,29]. Since S100A2 is a nuclear protein [11], it might also be possible that it can functionally interact with p53 as shown for S100B [28,29]. Thus, our finding that S100A2 is a novel p53 target gene suggests possible concerted functions of S100A2 and p53 in mediating the tumor suppressor role of p53.
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