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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Dogon languages

The Dogon languages are spoken in an essentially contiguous block in eastern Mali, though this "Dogon country" also includes smaller Fulfulde-speaking communities and overlaps with or abuts a few ethnically cosmopolitan cities (Douentza, Bandiagara, Mopti-Sevare). The family as a whole has traditionally been included in the Niger-Congo phylum, but the relationship has not been demonstrated and not all Africanists are convinced. There are probably around 80 locally named varieties, including some associated with a single village or village cluster. Linguists have roughly grouped them into about 20 "languages," but the language/dialect boundary is especially difficult in the Dogon case.

An approximate genetic subdivision of Dogon is in (1).

a. eastern Dogon<br>Toro Tegu<br>Jamsay including Gourou and montane dialects like Perge Tegu<br>Ben Tey, Bankan Tey, and Nanga<br>Tommo So and Donno So<br>Toro So (several varieties)<br>southeastern Dogon<br>Togo Kan, Tene Kan, Tengou Kan, Wolu Kan, Guimri Kan<br>Tomo Kan

b. western Dogon

Najamba-Kindigué (Bondu So)
Dogul Dom
Tiranige (Duleri)
Yanda Dom
Tebul Ure
southwestern Dogon
Bunoge, Penange, Ampari, Mombo (Kolu So)

### 1.2 Nanga language

The Nanga language (with "ng" pronounced as a velar nasal) is spoken in a relatively small area about halfway between Douentza and Bandiagara. The speakers of the language refer to themselves, and to their language, as nánî.

Genetically, Nanga forms a subgroup of Dogon along with Ben Tey (spoken in Beni village and to a lesser extent Gamni village, see Heath 2015a,b) and Bankan Tey (Walo village, also spelled Oualo). An immediate giveaway to their genetic connection is their paradigm, unique among Dogon languages, of independent pronouns and of pronominalsubject suffixes on verbs. Nanga people, especially from Anda and Wakara, have some contact with Beni village, though in some recent years there have been serious land disputes
between Beni and Wakara including occasional violence. There is virtually no direct contact between the Nanga-speaking zone well south of Douentza and Walo village north of Douentza.

The major contact languages are the Dogon languages Jamsay and Tommo So, and the Atlantic language Fulfulde. Most adult Nanga-L1 speakers also speak these three as second languages. Jamsay is spoken in most Dogon villages around Douentza, and seriously divergent dialects of Jamsay are spoken in Pergué and some nearby montane villages (Amba, Teminde, Aouguiné, Nende). There are close social relations including extensive intermarriage linking these montane Jamsay villages with the Nanga villages Anda and Wakara. There are some Jamsay-speaking dye-ers in Namakoro, originally from the villages of Sogou and Dianwely Maoudé.

Tommo So is the dominant language on the nearby high plateau, including the market towns of Mori and Kassa. There are also groups Tommo So-speaking dye-ers and leatherworkers resident in Namakoro, Kono, and Irani.

Fulfulde, the language of the Fulbe people, is also fairly well-known among Nanga speakers, particularly those who have had dealings with Fulbe herders or who frequent the markets. Fulfulde is the primary market language in Douentza and is also important in Bandiagara and Mopti-Sevare.

### 1.3 Environment

The Nanga-speaking villages are those in (1). The coordinates are in degrees, minutes, and decimal fractions (. 000 to .999 ) of minutes.

| (1) | village | Nanga name | N latitude | W longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anda | á:ndé | 1449.163 | 0300.626 |
|  | Boromi | bòròmí | 1449.128 | 0301.316 |
|  | Irani | ìràní | 1449.747 | 0301.540 |
|  | Kono | kó:r ${ }^{n}$ ó~ kó:r ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ | 1447.538 | 0302.005 |
|  | Namakoro | námákòrò ~ námbákòré | 1443.434 | 0301.482 |
|  | Ousse | ú:Sદ́ | (unknown) |  |
|  | Pergessa | pègèsá | 1448.233 | 0301.780 |
|  | Soroni | sórònì ~ Sóròní | 1449.169 | 0300.626 |
|  | Wakara | wàkárà ~ wàgárì (Anda dialect) | 1449.304 | 0304.732 |

There are two clusters of villages, separated by hills. One consists of Anda, Namakoro, Kono, and Pergessa; the other is Wakara, Boromi, Irani, Ousse, and Soroni.

Heading south from Douentza, one quickly bends around the cliffs at Fombori, then one goes south across the sandy plains including the village of Dianwely Kessel (Jamsayspeaking). To the left (east) one sees the long north-south inselberg with the sister village Dianwely Maoudé (Jamsay) at its northern base and a long, lower rocky shelf extending south on which are found the villages of Beni (Ben Tey) and Pergué (Pergué dialect of Jamsay). To the right (west) are more cliffs representing the edge of the main Dogon plateau, on which are the two villages that make up Panga (Tommo So).

At the end of the open sandy plain going south from Douentza, one continues southwest in a passage with some rocky sections, hugging a low inselberg to the right (north). The first Nanga village encountered is Anda, followed by nearby Namakoro and Kono. Pergessa is
south of Namakoro at the base of another inselberg. There is an old piste from Pergessa to Bandiagara.

The second group of Nanga-speaking villages is separated from the above by hills. Going south from Douentza, one branches off to the right (west) instead of proceeding through the Anda passage. One first reaches Wakara (the biggest village). The three villages Wakara, Boromi, and Irani are at the bases or on the lower slopes of inselbergs, while Ousse and Soroni are on the summits or upper slopes. There is reportedly a new piste from Wakara to Bandiagara.

The administrative geography has undergone many changes and more are on the way. As of 2013, the Nanga-speaking zone were still carved up between three districts (cercles), as the shift to the more up-to-date system of communes has been delayed by land disputes between the Wakara cluster and the Anda-Namakoro-Kono cluster. The Douentza cercle administered Soroni and Ouse, Koro cercle administered Kono, and Bandiagara cercle administered the rest (Anda, Wakara, Boromi, Irani, Namakoro, and Pergesa). As of 2015 these three cercles all belong to the région (province) of Mopti, but there were plans to separate Bandiagara into its own région.

There are markets in Wakara and Kono that participate in a regional five-day market-day cycle also involving the Tommo So speaking villages of Mori and Kasa, and the mostly Jamsay-speaking village of Pergué (not to be confused with Nanga-speaking Pergessa).

The Nanga-speaking zone is punctuated by inselbergs, mostly rather low in comparison to the imposing cliffs elsewhere in the zone. Sandy lowlands intervene between the inselbergs and provide farmable land. Historically, all of the villages were located on the slopes of the hills, for protection against Fulbe cavalry. During roughly the period 1970-2000, as security improved, several of the villages (Anda, Namakoro, Pergessa, Boromi, Irani) moved to lower ground to make it easier to reach fields in the plains. As of 2013, the villages of Soroni and Ouse remained mostly in their original elevated position. Wakara is now partly at the base (mostly Muslims) and partly on the inselberg slope (mostly traditional animists). Kono is mostly at the base, but there is a small rump village in the older location up above.

There is a small river fueled by springs that begins in Anda and (in season) empties into a pond at Beni. The other Nanga-speaking villages have wells, seasonal ponds, or mountain springs as water sources. The main productive activity is farming, chiefly pearl millet (Cenchrus spicatus) and sorghum as grain staples. Fonio (Digitaria exilis) was once widely grown but is now a minor crop. Maize and rice are grown in selected locations. Cow-pea (Vigna unguiculata) and roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) are planted in the same fields as the millet and sorghum. Other crops grown separately during the main farming season are sesame, peanut, groundnut (Vigna subterranea), and okra.

Off-season (contre-saison) gardening, where water is available, includes maize, onions, tomatoes, lettuce, okra, cassava, chile pepper, potato, and sweet potato. Sugar cane and watermelon are also grown in both seasons in favorable locations.

Fruit trees in the area include mango, papaya, banana, orange, lemon, grapefruit (elsewhere very rare in Dogon country), guava, tamarind, date, and native fruits such as zaban (Saba senegalensis), wild grape (Lannea microcarpa), and detarium (Detarium microcarpum).

### 1.4 Previous and contemporary study of Nanga

There is no previous published work on this language. It is mentioned briefly, without linguistic data, in the various overall surveys of Dogon languages.

### 1.4.1 Fieldwork

My data are from the village of Anda, which was relatively accessible from my former base in Douentza. The primary fieldwork was carried out around 2006-2010. Corrections and additions have been made from then until 2016.

### 1.4.2 Acknowledgements

The larger work on Dogon languages began with grant PA-50643-04 from the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) for solo fieldwork on Jamsay (2004-2006). Brief survey work of Douentza-area Dogon languages during that grant period led to the idea of a comparative Dogon linguistic project, eventually also including the genetic isolate Bangime. The Dogon-Bangime project has been supported by the National Science Foundation in three phases, grants BCS-0537435 (2006-09), BCS-0853364 (2009-13), and BCS-1263150 (201317).

The University of Michigan also provided important supplemental and bridging support.
I am indebted to the people of Anda village, including Aperou Moro (chef de village), Dogoyeri Moro, Mani Moro, Mandio Moro, and especially Seydou Moro. Many other villagers helped out the lexicographic work by bringing specimens of flora and fauna.

## 2 Sketch

### 2.1 Prosody and tonosyntax

Nanga has a typical Dogon stem-level tonal system, with at least one (lexical) high tone element per stem. At the level of syllables, tones are H[igh], L[ow], <HL> (falling), <LH> (rising), and bell-shaped $<$ LHL $>$. There are no $<\mathrm{HLH}>$ syllables. The notation <...> is used for single-syllable contour tones like $<\mathrm{HL}>$. Lexical tone melodies are represented in slashes /.../, e.g. /LH/, /HL/, /H/, and /LHL/. Stem-wide tone overlays conditioned by grammatical environment are represented in curly brackets $\{\ldots\}$, e.g. /L/, /HL/.

Verb stems are lexically $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ or $/ \mathrm{H} /$. If the stem begins with an obstruent, its voicing determined the "lexical" melody. The melody is $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ if the obstruent is voiced, and $/ \mathrm{H} /$ if the obstruent is voiceless. For example, the lexical melodies of pégé- '(to) nail' and dàgá- '(to) lock' are predictable from their initial consonant. Infrequent exceptions like jállí- 'grab suddenly’ are mostly recent loanwords from Fulfulde. With other onsets (sonorants, vowels), the melody of the stem is a lexical choice. When a derivational suffix is added, the melody of the input stem is respected, as in reversives pégí-rí- 'remove (nail)' and dàgí-rí- 'unlock'. In the verbal inflectional system, tones play an important role along with inflectional suffixes. Several suffixes impose specific tone overlays on the preceding stem, overriding lexical tones. For example, there are two distinct -so-suffixes, perfective-2 -só- and progressive -sò-, distinguished only by tone patterns on the stem and suffix and by the length of the stem-final vowel: súyó-só- ‘(has) hit' (perfective-2) versus súyò:-sò- 'is hitting'.

Nouns, adjectives, and numerals have little suffixal morphology, and what they do have is generally straightforward phonologically. As in other Dogon languages, though, these NP-internal words are subject to tonosyntactic processes, by which one word (or phrase) controls a tone overlay on one or more other words. Controllers are reference-restricting words (adjectives, determiners, relative clauses, possessors), but not numerals. In Nanga, definites pattern with demonstratives as controllers. For example, nèr ${ }^{n \hat{\imath}}$ 'dog' is lexically $/ \mathrm{LHL} /$, and remains so before a numeral: nèr ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ nìmǐ: 'five dogs.' An $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay on the noun (and any intervening modifiers) is controlled by a following reference-restricting modifier:
 noun has $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay depending on the final tone of the possessor: sě:dù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{̀} r^{n} \grave{\imath}$ 'Seydou's dog', yǎ- $\eta{ }^{\text {HL }}$ nモ́r ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ 'a woman's dog'. The superscripts indicate the tone overlay, $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$, and are positioned at the edge of the target domain that "points" left or right in the direction of the controller. They are not phonetic diacritics.

### 2.2 Inflectable verbs

The verb stem may be underived or suffixally derived (e.g. reversive, causative). In indicative inflections, the verb stem is followed by an aspect-negation (AN) suffix, then a pronominalsubject suffix, as in súyó-só-y 'I hit' (perfective-2), with verb súyó-, perfective-2 -só-, and 1 Sg subject $-y$. There is no audible AN suffix in the $\{L\}$-toned simple perfective, so here the stem is followed by the usual pronominal-subject suffixes: sùyò- y 'I hit'.

Negation is expressed by (mostly portmanteau) suffixes within the AN suffix system, not by external negative particles: sùyj̀-rí-y 'I did not hit'. In the indicative, there are two basic negative AN forms, perfective negative and imperfective negative, compared to a somewhat richer set of distinctions in the positive AN suffixes.

There is a full set of imperative and hortative verb forms, positive and negative: súy $\hat{\jmath}$ ‘hit!', súy(î)-rá ‘don’t hit!'

In relative clauses (see below), the regular inflected verb is replaced by a participle that agrees with the head NP in nominal features (but not person). A perfective positive example is $n \grave{u}^{\mathrm{L}}$ sùỳ̀-sغे ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ né 'the man who hit'.

### 2.3 Noun phrase (NP)

Nouns and adjectives have no suffixal morphology. Unlike the more northerly Dogon languages, Nanga has no singular/plural distinction, nor a human/nonhuman or animate/inanimate distinction, within nominal or (modifying) adjectival morphology.

The core NP consists of a noun, which may be simple or compounded, plus any following modifying adjectives. The core NP may be preceded by a nonpronominal NP possessor or by definite demonstrative kú (originally a nonhuman or inanimate possessor). The core NP may be followed by a numeral, another quantifier ('all', 'each'), and/or a determiner (definite morpheme or demonstrative pronoun). Unlike nouns, adjectives, and numerals, Nanga determiners distinguish animate singular, animate plural, inanimate singular, and inanimate plural, and are therefore the primary vehicle for expressing these categories.

For all nouns, a nonpronominal (i.e. noun-headed) possessor NP appears to the left of the possessed noun. For nouns other than kin terms, a pronominal possessor is expressed by postposing a pronominally possessed form of a semantically generic noun ('thing', 'living being') functioning here as a possessive classifier. Kin terms, unlike other nouns, allow pronominal possessors to precede the possessed noun directly, with no classifier.

Examples of multi-word unpossessed and possessed NPs are in (2a-b). The superscript ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ indicates that the $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay has applied to the bracketed three-word target domain, and is controlled by the adjacent restrictive modifier (determiner or possessor).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { a. } & \begin{array}{lllll}
{\left[n e ̀ r^{n}\right.} & \text { dùgù } & \text { tà:ndì] }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { wê:: } \\
& {[\text { dog }} & \text { big } & \text { three] }
\end{array} & \text { Dem.AnPl }  \tag{2}\\
& \text { 'these three big dogs' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.4 Postposition phrase (PP)

Dative bay (realized as báy or bày), instrumental yànà, locative $g a$ (with various realizations), and other postpositions directly follow an NP. The NP is phonologically independent of the postposition, but the form of certain postpositions is affected, tonally and/or segmentally, by (the end of) the NP.

### 2.5 Main clauses and constituent order

Main (and subordinated) clauses are verb-final, and more specifically SOV when nonpronominal subject and nonpronominal object are both overt. This is typical of Dogon languages (except Toro Tegu). A simple transitive example is (3).

| $[b a ̌:$ | $y \varepsilon ̂:]$ | pèrgé | sémé-só- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $[$ father | 1SgPoss.AnSg] | sheep | slaughter-Pfv2-3SgSbj |

### 2.6 Relative clauses

As in all Dogon languages, relative clauses are a distinctive and important syntactic construction. For example, some types of spatiotemporal and manner adverbial clauses are relative clauses in form ('the time/place that ...'), with or without an overt head. The syntax of relatives is similar to that of some other eastern Dogon languages (e.g. Jamsay, Ben Tey).

I posit an underlying structure of NP of the type Poss-N-Adj-Num-RelCl-Det-..., with the relative clause ( RelCl ) positioned between the numeral and the determiner as part of the larger NP. The Poss-N-Adj-Num sequence is thereby split from the late-NP coda including determiners, 'all', and discourse-function particles. The Poss-N-Adj-Num sequence surfaces inside the relative clause, constituting the internal head of the relative, but it is subject to a relative-controlled tone overlay as though it were still to the left of the relative clause. This suggests a movement analysis where Poss-N-Adj-Num takes its tonosyntactic form outside the relative clause, but then moves into the coindexed relativization site.

The verb of the relative clause is marked for aspect and negation. but has no agreement morphology except for 3 Pl subject in negative nonsubject relatives. It does not agree with the head NP in nominal features, but it is usually followed by a determiner that does show such agreement.

In nonsubject relatives, if the subject is pronominal, it appears as a preverbal proclitic identical in form to the corresponding independent pronoun. For example, (540a) in §14.3, 'the man who(m) I saw in the market', can be marked up as [market Loc] man ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}$ seeParticiple.Pfv Def.AnSg. Here 'man' is the internal head NP and is tone-dropped; the 1 Sg pronominal subject is proclitic to the verb (i.e. does not occur in the normal clause-initial subject position), the verb is participial (agreeing in animacy and number with the head NP), and the definite determiner is post-participial. Prior to movement this would be, schematically, $\left[\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{RelCl}\right.$ Def], i.e. an NP containing a relative clause (which tone-drops the noun 'man') and a determiner. The relative clause would be of the type [... H ... verbPpl ], where the relativization site H is coindexed to entire upstairs NP. Later, ' $\mathrm{man}^{\mathrm{L}}$, (and more generally the portion of the higher NP that originates to the left of the relative clause) moves into the relativization site H , becoming an "internal" head.

## 3 Phonology

### 3.1 General

Syllables and metrical structure are briefly covered in $\S 3.2$. Phonemic segments and some basic facts about their distribution and combinations are presented in $\S 3.3$ (consonants) and $\S 3.4$ (vowels). Segmental (i.e. nontonal) phonological rules are described in §3.5. Cliticization is briefly discussed in $\S 3.6$. Tonal and intonation matters are the subject of $\S 3.7$.

### 3.2 Internal phonological structure of stems and words

### 3.2.1 Syllables

Word-initial syllables may omit the consonantal onset. Therefore in the formulae given below, if the syllable in question is word-initial the initial $C$ is optional.

Using $v$ for a short vowel and $v$ : for a long vowel, the normal shape of a monosyllabic stem is $C v$ : with long (oral or nasal) vowel (4a). True $C v C$, ending in a sonorant, is attested in a few cases (4b). True $C v C$ also occurs in various expressive and emphatic elements, and in the more interjection-like cases the final $C$ may even be an obstruent (4c). Many occurrences of phonetic $C v C$ and $C v: C$ actually reflect apocope of a final high vowel, most clearly in the case of inflectable verb stems (4d). For Cuy and Ciy verbs, see discussion in §10.1.3.3.
form gloss
comments
a. yî: 'child'
pé: 'get old'
tǎ:n 'taboo'
ǒ: $\quad$ 'the bush, outback'
غ̌: 'well(n)'
b. wǒy 'two'
nว̌y ${ }^{n} \quad$ 'four'
dễW 'trap(n)'
c. Sóy 'all'
dón-dóy 'seething (mad)'
kék 'completely, every inch'
d. ěw 'purchase (n)' variant èwí, cf. Éwé ‘buy’
sěn 'rope' variant sèmí
děW 'cover’ /dèwí/
bă:r 'add' /bǎ:rí/
núy ${ }^{n}$ - 'enter’ /núynî/
túy- 'put down (in pile)' /túyí/

The final syllable of a noncomposite multisyllabic word is $C_{V}$ with short vowel (this is the only pattern allowed for verb stems), or $C v C$ with a final sonorant.

### 3.2.2 Metrical structure

Segmental phonological rules generally point to a trochaic bias, i.e. bisyllabic [sw] with a strong followed by a weak. In most $C v C v C v$ trisyllabic verbs (§10.1.3.6), the medial syllable of the bare stem and of phonologically related inflected forms has a short high vowel $i$ or $u$, which depending on consonantal environment may be subject to Post-Sonorant Syncope (§3.5.3.3). The phonology is clearest in $\mathrm{CvCv}-\mathrm{Cv}$ suffixal derivatives of CvCv verb stems,


Prosodic weight is a factor in some tonal and segmental (vocalic) processes. Overall prosodic weight is a factor in presuffixal vocalism as well as tones of verbs that have final $i$ in the bare stem; see the imperfectives in (303) in §10.2.2.1. Prosodically light stems are Cv:, $C v C v$, and $C v N C v$ (with homorganic nasal and voiced stop). Heavy stems are Cv:Cv, $C v: N C v$, other $C v C C v$ (especially if syncopated), and trisyllabic and longer shapes. The division is therefore between stems with two vocalic moras and those with more than two.

In the quoted imperative positive for verb stems not ending in a high vowel, a final L-tone appears at the right edge only if the H -toned part of the stem otherwise occupies at least two vocalic moras (§10.6.4).

### 3.3 Consonants

The inventory of consonantal phonemes is (5). Single parentheses enclose marginal phonemes. Double parentheses enclose highly marginal phonemes.
(5) Consonants

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| labial | $p$ | $b$ | $m$ | (f) |  | W | $\left(w^{n}\right)$ |  |  |
| alveolar | $t$ | d | $n$ | $s$ | 1 | $r$ | $r^{n}$ |  |  |
| alveopalatal | c | j | $n$ | ((s)) |  | y | $y^{n}$ |  |  |
| velar | $k$ | $g$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| laryngeal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (h) | ((P)) |

$c$ is IPA affricate [t $\dagger], j$ is affricate [dz], $s$ is [ $[\mathrm{J}], y$ is [j].
key to columns: 1. aspirated voiceless stops (c is affricated); 2. voiced stops; 3.nasals, 4. voiceless fricatives (including sibilants); 5. laterals; 6-7. respectively unnasalized and nasalized sonorants; 8-9. laryngeals

### 3.3.1 Alveopalatals $(c, j, j)$

As in the other languages of the region (Dogon, montane Songhay, etc.), before front vowels $\{i \operatorname{e} \varepsilon\}$ there is occasional fluctuation between $k$ and $c$, and between $g$ and $j$. Thus $g \check{\varepsilon}: r^{n} i ́ \sim$
$j \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} i ́ ~ ' t a k e ~ a w a y, ~ c o n v e y ' . ~ H o w e v e r, ~ I ~ g e n e r a l l y ~ h e a r ~ u n p a l a t a l i z e d ~(t h o u g h ~ s l i g h t l y ~$ affricated) velars $k$ and $g$ before front vowels in this language.

### 3.3.2 Lenition of stops

### 3.3.2.1 Voicing of voiceless stops

Nanga has a tendency to voice original voiceless stops * $\{\mathrm{ptck} k$ to $\{b d j g\}$ intervocalically, specifically at the onset of the second syllable from the left. There is some variation in the pronunciation, especially in regionally widespread words whose counterparts in neighboring languages are unlenited. Most examples involve old loanwords, since intervocalic voiceless stops are uncommon in Dogon languages in native vocabulary. Examples: já:dì 'exactly', cf. Fulfulde jaati and widespread Dogon já:tì ; póndì ‘spike, nail’ (French pointe), cf. widespread Dogon póntì ; sóndé- ‘be unsold’ (widespread sónté-)

We also observe voicing in cases where Fulfulde or other foreign $f$ is nativized first as widespread Dogon $p$, then voiced (in Nanga) to $b$. Examples are bú $\rightarrow$ 'all' from Fulfulde fú $\rightarrow$ 'all' via $p u ́ \rightarrow$ (both $p u ́ \rightarrow$ and $f u ́ \rightarrow$ are attested as variants of $b u ́ \rightarrow$ in Nanga); màrpâ~ màrbâ 'rifle' (Arabic root $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{df} \Upsilon$ ), cf. Bambara marifa.

### 3.3.2.2 $g$ not spirantized to $\delta$

Spirantization of $g$ to [ $\gamma$ ] in the frames $a_{-} a$ and $\rho_{-} \rho$, i.e., between low back vowels, is not systematic in Nanga, and I transcribe $g$ rather than $\gamma$.

### 3.3.3 Back nasals ( $n n$ )

Velar $\eta$ occurs intervocalically in such words as nà $\eta a ́ ~ ' c o w ', ~ b a ̀ \eta a ́ ~ ‘ o w n e r ', ~ d o ̀ \eta o ́ n d i ́ ~ ' c o n s o l e ', ~$

in the clusters $\eta g$ and $\eta k, \eta$ is an allophone of an underspecified nasal. For $\eta k$ I can cite only rónké ‘fail' (< Fulfulde) and jàjànkó: ‘double grain spike’ (perhaps a frozen compound). $\eta g$ is common intervocalically, and there are some alternations (within Nanga or between it and nearby Dogon languages) between $\eta$ and $\eta g$. Examples are yóngì 'soul', bòngó 'navel', and dáygárá 'thighbone’.

Palatoalveolar $n$ is permitted word-initially (where $y^{n}$ is unattested), as in nǎ: 'meal', nàmá 'malfunction (v)', ně: $r^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'evil dwarf', nùnír ${ }^{n} 1$ 'be stationery', nó: $r^{n i}$ 'summons (n)', nă: $r^{n} 1$ ' 'call, summon', and nùmó 'shake (e.g. branch)'. Some other word-initial cases are némírní 'reins', „ह́né 'toilet' (<Bambara), and nùnúrní 'quiver (v)'. $n$ also occurs intervocalically, but the examples are either composite (at least historically), as in ògò-nòyó 'camel', or they are likely borrowings: ó:ní 'sag under a load', tó:nè 'teasing (n)'. One caste of
 $C_{v}$ reduplication (§4.1.5). Interrogative 'what?' is based on $n \varepsilon$, the actual form being either ǹn (plural) or kò-nદ́(§13.2.3).

### 3.3.4 Voiceless labials $(p, f)$

$f$ is not a full-fledged phoneme in Nanga. It does occur in a small number of loanwords, but even here it is often replaced by $p$. Examples are fú $\rightarrow$ varying with $p u ́ \rightarrow$ 'all' (< Fulfulde), ná:fígì or ná:pígì 'trouble-maker' (< Arabic via Fulfulde), and sátsáns $\hat{\varepsilon} f$ 'sergeant' (< French sergent-chef). For *f $>{ }^{*} \mathrm{p}>b$ in some cases of this type, see §3.3.2.1.

### 3.3.5 Laryngeals ( $h, ?$ )

$h$ occurs stem-initially in numerous nouns and verbs, nearly all of them Fulfulde loanwords: héyyèndé 'index finger', há:díyí 'stop (at border)', hámbé 'chew (tobacco)', húkûm 'tent', híbbé 'be complete', hógô 'herd'. The ubiquitous regional particle meaning 'until' or 'all the way to' appears as hálî.
$h$ is attested medially in the loanword jàhánámà 'hell'. It does not occur word- or syllable-finally.

### 3.3.6 Sibilants $(s, \check{s})$

There is no phonemic distinction between $s$ and $\check{s}$ (=IPA $\Omega$ ). Words like gùsí 'skin' have (unpalatalized) alveolar $s$ in spite of the high front vowel. Loanwords like sátsán $n \stackrel{s}{\varepsilon} f$ 'sargeant' (French sergent-chef) and ìnšá:lâw 'maybe' (<Arabic 'if God wills') are poorly-assimilated and usually have pronunciation variants.

### 3.3.7 Nasalized sonorants $\left(r^{n}, w^{n}, y^{n}\right)$

$r^{n}$ (nasalized tap) is a true phoneme, though it is limited to word-internal intervocalic position. It (therefore) does not occur initially, finally, or in consonant clusters. When a short vowel following $r^{n}$ is syncopated, the $r^{n}$ is converted to $n$, thus súfúriǹ 'ear', súfúr $r^{n}$ ì nò or syncopated súpûn nò ‘his/her ear'.
$r^{n}$ occurs independently of other nasals in unclustered intervocalic position, as in bárnín 'red'. If there is a preceding nasal in the stem or uncompounded word, $r^{n}$ but not oral $r$ may occur, so 'ear' is súmúrnì and 'give birth' is nàr"á. Sequences like \#...júrì and \#nàrá with oral $r$ are disallowed within uncompounded stems, and this constraint extends to any inflectional suffixes, so perfective negative suffix -rí becomes -r ${ }^{n}$ í in nasalized environments: nàr ${ }^{n}$ à- $r^{n} 1 ́$ 'she did not give birth'.

No conspicuous phonetic nasalization occurs in initial semivowels $\{y w\}$ anticipating a following nasal with a separating vowel, e.g. in wàmí 'change direction', yóngì ‘soul'.
$y^{n}$ occurs independently of other nasals in intervocalic or word-final position: $\delta y^{n} \delta$ 'spin (cotton)', wày ná 'boil (v)', sây ${ }^{n}$ 'nauseating', mǔy ${ }^{n}$ '(joint) dislocation', dúy ${ }^{n}$-dùy ${ }^{n}$ 'red (intensifier)'. It also occurs in nasalizing environments, where $y$ and $y^{n}$ fall together as $y^{n}$, as in núynáyn 'this year'. Since $\mu$ (§3.3.3) occurs chiefly in initial position (excluding composites and borrowings), there is a partial complementarity between $n$ and $y^{n}$ suggestive of an original phoneme split. However, I can cite no synchronic alternations between $y^{n}$ and $n$.

Unlike $y^{n}$ and $r^{n}, w^{n}$ has a very limited distribution. Within stems, I can cite only àrnáw ${ }^{n}$ variant of àrná 'year', t仑́ $w^{n} n i ́ 1$ 'rejoin', and the borrowing $n \hat{i}: W^{n}$ 'a cattle disease'. In these examples, there is either a preceding nasal, or an immediately following (clustered) nasal. I
can find no examples of intervocalic $w^{n}$, nor for that matter of oral $w$ in a nasalizing environment.

Nanga has $m$ (along with Bankan Tey and Najamba) corresponding to autonomous $W^{n}$ in some neighboring languages (Jamsay, Ben Tey, Toro Tegu), e.g. némé 'taste (v)' (Jamsay and Ben Tey néw $n$ ह́ ), nàmâ 'meat' (Jamsay nòwn', Ben Tey nàwnâ:, Toro Tegu nà ${ }^{n}$ á, but Bankan Tey nàmâ: and Najamba nàmá). Toro Tegu and Ben Tey have some synchronic alternations of $w^{n}$ (intervocalic) with $m$ (other positions). In all such alternations, $* \mathrm{~m}$ is the likely ancestral form.

### 3.3.8 Consonant clusters

### 3.3.8.1 Initial $n d, n n$, and other initial $N C$ clusters

Nanga and to a lesser extent Bankan Tey have $N C$ clusters corresponding to unclustered $l$ or $r$ in some other languages. An example involving medial position is (6).
(6) gloss Nanga comparative data
 クír ${ }^{n} e^{\prime}{ }^{n}$

More interestingly, Nanga has a number of stems that begin with a $N C$ cluster ( $n n, n d, n j, n j$ ) where most Dogon languages have an initial short high vowel followed either by an unclustered coronal $C$ or occasionally by a $N C$ cluster. Some comparative data are in (7).

| gloss | Nanga | comparative data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. 'go up' | ǹdé | $r$ : Jamsay \& Ben Tey ùró, Bankan Tey ùrá <br> $n$ : Toro Tegu ùnú <br> 1 : Pergue ùló, Najamba ìlé, Yanda Dom Rólé, Tommo So úló |
| b. 'house' | ńdô ~ índô | ```r: Ben Tey & Bankan Tey úrò, Jamsay úró, Pergue iré 1: Toro Tegu íló, Yanda Dom Ráló, Najamba ólé``` |
| c. 3 Sg pronoun | ก̆ทย์ | $r^{n}$ : Ben Tey $\varepsilon r^{n}{ }^{n} \varepsilon$ <br> $n$ : Jamsay èné (anaphoric), cf. also Jamsay íné-n 'person' |
| d. 'field' | ńnâ | $r^{n}$ : Ben Tey ír ${ }^{n}$ à, Bankan Tey fír ${ }^{n}$ â: |
| e. 'tooth' | ǹné ~ ìné | nn : Mombo ínnì <br> $r^{n}$ : Jamsay ìr ${ }^{n}$ é, Ben Tey ìr ${ }^{n} u$, Jamsay ìr ${ }^{n}$ é <br> $n$ : Yanda Dom ìn, Najamba ìnǒ:, Tomo Kan ìnì, Tommo So ìnú, Yorno So ěn |


| f. 'go' | ńné | nd: Bankan Tey ǹdó <br> 1: Ben Tey ló <br> $n$ : Najamba ín II ìnè- <br> $r^{n}$ : Yanda Dom ún- $\\|$ úr ${ }^{n}$ é |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g. 'seed' | ǹjâ | $s$ : Ben Tey \& Bankan Tey ìsâ:, Jamsay $s \varepsilon y^{n}$, Toro Tegu ìsčy, Dogulu sây, Najamba sê:, Tommo So ì-sǎy, Mombo sí: <br> $n j$ : Dogul Dom énjè |
| h. 'give' | ńdí | $n d:$ Najamba ìdé, Yanda Dom ńdé, Mombo ńdé $n$ : Ben Tey \& Bankan Tey ní |
| i. 'name' | ǹnèr ${ }^{n} 1$ İ | $n$ : Ben Tey ìnìr ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ :, Najamba ínèn, Mombo íní (nn ?): Bankan Tey nìnnî., likely from syncopated *(y)ìnrî:) |
| j. 'what?' | ǹné | $n j$ : Ben Tey \& Bankan Tey ǹjé, Tommo So ńj $\dot{\varepsilon}$, <br> Pergue ìnjé, Mombo ínjè <br> ng: Najamba yèngé <br> $n$ : Jamsay ìné ‘what?’, Yanda Dom جònè <br> ( $y$ ?): Toro Tegu yé) |

An initial short $i$ is occasionally heard in the Nanga forms (e.g. índô for ńdô ). In these items, it may be that the cluster-initial nasal crept into and eventually occupied the moraic position of the original initial short vowel. Reduplications treat the verbs as vowel-initial, with $i$ - as the reduplicative segment for the $n n$ and $n d$ verbs, as in imperfective ì-Ríndí-m̀- 'give'.

Closer phonetic study is needed of what I write as $n d$ and $n n$. It may be that " $n d$ " is really $\left[\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]$, i.e., a single complex segment consisting of an $n$ with a brief oral release. This type of complex segment is more apparent with labial $\left[\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]$, see below.

The stems with initial $n n$ are often pronounced with [?n], i.e. a preglottalized $n$, after a vowel or semivowel (but not phrase-initially). For example, (8) is heard in a text as [íjé?né?nímò].
(8) íyê ńné ńní-mò
again 3 SgSbj go-while
'Again she was going, ...' (2004.02.03)

### 3.3.8.2 Initial $m b$

The verb m̀bó- occurs with the noun meaning 'nose' (or 'snot') in the phrase kìr $n$ é m̀bó 'blow one's nose'. Cognates show that the $m b$ was originally intervocalic. Examples are Najamba ímbí, Tomo Kan húmbí ~ hùmbí, and Tommo So úmbó (and variants). In Nanga itself, reduplication treats the verb as beginning in $u$, as in reduplicated imperfective ù-?úmbó-m̀-.
m̀bùrá- (or m̀bù-rá-), likely containing a (stative) negative suffix -rv́- but now probably frozen), means 'not want, dislike'. Variant pronunciations are mùrá-, m̀bìrá-, and mìrá-. The known cognates are Ben Tey mì-rá-, Bankan Tey m̀mì-rá-, and Tebul Ure m̀mí-là:-, so in this case the ancestral form probably had initial rather than intervocalic *mb.

I have no other cases of initial $m b$ (or $\eta g$, other than grammatical formatives such as negative ŋ̀gó- 'not be (somewhere)' (§11.2.2.2) and demonstrative $\grave{\eta} g u ́ ~ ' t h i s / t h a t ~(i n a n i a m t e) ' ~$ (§4.4.1.2).

Based on impressionistic transcriptions, initial (and for that matter medial) " $m b$ " has a range of articulations including $\left[\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]$, i.e. an $m$ with a faint oral release.

### 3.3.8.3 Medial geminated $C C$ clusters

Geminated clusters are rare in Nanga. Based on a search of the lexicon (July 2008 version), only $l l$ is well-attested medially within a stem. It occurs in jállí- 'grab suddenly' (probable loanword), a few other loanwords, and a few irregular reversives (§9.1) like illí-rí- 'remember' < ìré- 'forget'.

In loanwords I can also cite one example each of $b b$ and $y y: h i ́ b b \varepsilon ́-~ ' b e ~ c o m p l e t e ', ~$ héyyèndé 'index finger'. I have no cases of \{cc dd gg hh jj kk mm yŋ pp rr ss tt wW\} within a stem.
$n n$ occurs medially in Fulfulde loans like hínnê 'quantity'. In native Dogon stems, it occurs initially (as in ńné- 'go') where it probably reflects coalescence of an initial short high vowel with the following nasal (see $\S 3.3 .8 .1$ above), but not medially within a stem.

Some additional geminate clusters may arise at compound boundaries or due to PostSonorant Syncope. I can cite dèn-nî: 'semen' (<dèr ${ }^{n} 1$ ' 'penis' and nî: 'water').

Nanga tends to simplify even the few geminated clusters that do occur. I heard hógô 'animal pen' (in some other Dogon languages hóggò, from Fulfulde). For síddì 'sulphur' (*síttì), híjjì 'pilgrimage to Mecca', and gállò 'house with walled courtyard', the medial geminate was often simplified (sídì, híjì, gálò), though the H -tone did not spread into the second syllable, as it typically does with true $C_{V} C_{V}$ nouns and in hógô. This degemination is consistent with the parallel tendency to lenite intervocalic voiceless stops to voiced stops ( $* \mathrm{t}>d$, etc.).

### 3.3.8.4 Medial non-geminate $C C$ clusters

Homorganic nasal plus voiced stop clusters $\{m b n d \eta g\}$ are common within stems, e.g. dùmbó- '(blade) be blunt', dóndóró 'ball-shaped', mèngíré- 'shape into balls'. nd also occurs suffix-initially in inchoative derivatives, like gàrá-ndíyé- 'become pungent', and mb occurs in gìrè-mbí 'blindness'.

Other medial clusters are mostly much less common. Excluding obvious borrowings and compound boundaries, we have the following.

Homorganic nasal plus voiceless stop. nt: àntòngó 'residue after first winnowing of pounded grain' (cognate verb tóngó), àntô: 'stick with hook', and àntá:rí (variant àtá:rí) 'hunt (n)' may contain an original formative *àn-. $\eta k$ in jà-jà $\eta k o ́: ~ ‘ d o u b l e ~ m i l l e t ~ g r a i n ~ s p i k e ' ~$ may also involve an original boundary (cf. Najamba jànjàn-kàbá).
$r$ plus consonant is found in a few items: rg in pèrgé 'sheep', (kòỳ̀rn $\grave{y} y$-)dàrgá 'breakfast'; rŋ in búrŋó 'group of travelers' (etymology unknown); rs in kársì 'gizzard' (etymology unknown).
wr is well-attested: kéwrí- 'cut wood’ (cf. Jamsay céréwé-) and káwrí- 'split (peanut)' (Jamsay káráwá-), séwrú- 'trim surface (of wood) with knife', pówrí- 'rub or scrape off' (Jamsay pórówó-), kówró- '(handle) be broken', káwrà 'shard’, jòwrì-nî: 'sauce'. wy in
éw-yé- 'sit' is perhaps still segmentable (an archaic causative éw-ré- is the only synchronic evidence).
yr occurs in bóyrè 'porridge', from Fulfulde.
Other non-geminate $C C$ clusters are found in borrowings (chiefly from Fulfulde). Examples of such clusters include nasal plus sibilant $m s, n s$; rhotic plus various consonants $r b, r d, r t, r k r m, r n$; lateral plus various consonants $l b, l p, l g, l k, l m, l s$; semivowel plus various consonants $w d, w t, w s, y b, y g, y k, y n$.

### 3.3.8.5 Medial triple $C C C$ clusters

Examples are few, and involve tap $r$ or semivowel $y$ followed by a homorganic nasal-stop sequence.
kàrmbí 'horse's mouth bit' and kòrmbí 'rope around donkey's tail' are heard with no clear vowel after the tap. However, representations of the type kàrìmbí with a medial short high vowel would not be far from the phonetic output.
háyndí 'be amazing' and related words and póyŋgôl 'light on the horizon', both from Fulfulde, are my examples beginning with $y$.

### 3.3.8.6 Final $C C$ clusters

None.

### 3.4 Vowels

Excluding tonal markings, the vowel segments are those in (9).
(9) short oral long oral nasalized

| $u$ | $u:$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $o$ | $o:$ | - |
| $o$ | $o:$ | $o:^{n}$ |
| $a$ | $a:$ | $a:^{n}$ |
| $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon:$ | $\varepsilon:^{n}$ |
| $e$ | $e:$ | - |
| $i$ | $i:$ | $i:^{n}$ |

The vowel qualities $\{\mathrm{e} o\}$ are + ATR (advanced tongue root), while $\{\varepsilon \rho\}$ are -ATR. The two sets do not normally co-occur within a stem. Some verbal derivational suffixes harmonize to stem vowels (§3.4.5).

### 3.4.1 Short and (oral) long vowels

Except in loanwords like bòmb $\hat{\jmath}^{n}$ 'candy' (French bonbon) and some contractions involving affixes or clitics, all short vowels are oral. Long vowels in monosyllabic stems may be nasal (following section) or oral. There are also some long oral vowels in other syllables.

Vowel-final monosyllabic stems take the form $(C) v$ : with long vowel: yǐ: 'see', yî: 'child’, pě: ‘old’, pé: 'get old’, yǎ: 'women', dǒ: 'haunch', tǔ: 'each other', dǒ: ‘arrive’, ô: 'place', dě: 'mother', pó: 'pick (fruit)'.

In nonmonosyllabic stems, short vowels predominate. In verb stems (excluding borrowings), a long vowel is allowed only in the initial syllable, except insofar as a final /...iyi/ contracts to ...í:, as in ógí-yí ~óg-í: 'become hot' (compare imperative ógí-yà). Initial long vowels are illustrated by pú:rí 'caress', kó:só 'brush away', bǒ:sí 'mix (crushed millet) with water'. The long vowel is usually followed by a single consonant, but nasal-stop clusters are also allowed: ké:ndé 'make (well)'. There are many trisyllabic verb stems with all-short vowels like jènírní ‘look' and jìgíré 'spin’.

Examples of long vowels in noun and other non-verb stems: té:ndí 'wooden bed', bá:sì 'misfortune', kà:sá 'wool' Monomorphemic stems like dóró:sì 'strap for slapping horse', músó:rò 'head shawl' (French mouchoir), and gùrá:nâ 'Coran (tome)', with a long vowel in a medial syllable, are borrowings, usually from Fulfulde (or from Arabic via Fulfulde). In expressive adverbials such as pót $\hat{\rightarrow} \rightarrow$ 'flat and small', the lengthening of the final vowel is variable intonation-like prolongation rather than vowel length.

A final < HL>-tone does not require lengthening of a short vowel: kòrô 'ax', tà:rî 'egg', sàwâ 'grass'. In neighboring languages, either the vowel is long as in Ben Tey tàrî: 'egg' and sàwâ: 'grass', or the tone is simple an in Jamsay tárú 'egg'. However, there are no final short rising-toned syllables in Nanga.

### 3.4.2 Nasalized vowels

Vowel nasalization is limited in Nanga. Leaving aside loanwords, affixal contractions, and an occasional expressive reduplication like $s \hat{\jmath}^{n}-s \hat{\jmath}^{n}$ 'newborn', nasalization is confined to longvowel monosyllables, plus a few expressive adverbials (transcribed with $\rightarrow$ to indicate prolongation). The known examples are in (10a-b). The correlation with open vowels $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ \rho\end{array} \varepsilon\right\}$ is typologically normal, but there are also a couple of cases with $i$.

| a. tá: ${ }^{n}$ | '(goat) stand on hind legs to browse' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p{ }^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'wide open' |
| pá: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'find a mate or double for' (and other meanings) |
| jâ:" | 'normal, right' |
| tă: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'shed (n)' |
| $g \hat{a}{ }^{n}$ | 'onion' |
| b. $t \stackrel{\varepsilon}{:^{\prime}}{ }^{n}$ | 'honeycomb' |
| $s \varepsilon^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'looking straight at' |
| $k \hat{\varepsilon} .^{n}$ | 'inheritance' |
| c. pr: ${ }^{n}$ | 'fonio' (grain) |
| d. $g \check{1}:^{n}$ | 'odor' |
| $b i ̌: n$ | 'cover up' |

The ubiquitous yes/no and similar "grunted" utterances in (11) also show nasalization.

| $\grave{j}^{n} h \widehat{s}^{n}$ | 'yes' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $㇒^{n} \grave{j}^{n}$ | 'no' |
| hă: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'huh?' |

tá: 'shoot and dě: 'be tired' are unnasalized, as in some other Dogon languages (but not Jamsay, which has tá: ${ }^{n}$ and $d \check{\varepsilon}::^{n}$ ).

### 3.4.3 Initial vowels

The word-initial $C v(C v:, C v C)$ syllable may have its initial $C$ position vacant, so there are many words beginning with a vowel. In an alphabetical printout of the lexicon (July 2008) I count 7.5 pages of entries beginning with a/a:, 7 beginning with $e / e$ : and $\varepsilon / \varepsilon$ : combined, 2.5 with $i / i$ :, 9 with $o / o$ : and $\varsigma / \rho$ : combined, and 2.5 with $u / u$ : A few examples follow.
a/a: àmá ‘half-ripe’, àgí-yí ‘hold’, á:njí ‘yawn (v)’, á:rní ‘shine’.
e/e: èmbî 'trap (n)', èré 'competition', éw-yé 'sit', émbéré-pè:sì 'hedgehog', è:njî 'roselle'.

i/i: ìré 'be better', ísì 'fish', í:-rí- 'cause to stop', í:ndá 'iron'.
o/o: ómbó 'take off (garment)', òmìrn'í 'parent-in-law' ò:kî and variant ò:gî 'tree sp. (Diospyros)', ó:ró 'hyrax, dassie (mammal)'

っ/o: óĝ̂̀ 'hot', óndò 'ax', ó:rí '(herder) leave in morning with herd', ó:sô 'tree sp. (Grewia).
$u / u:$ ùsí 'day (unit); sun', úró ‘skin and butcher', ú:-yí ‘be afraid of'.

### 3.4.4 Stem-final vowels ( $u$ is rare, but ...)

Any vowel quality may end a verb, noun, or other stem. However, stem-final $u$ is effectively absent except in monosyllabic non-verb stems like kû: 'head', and in predicative forms of nonmonosyllabic adjectives whose modifying form ends in $i$. Nouns whose cognates in Jamsay etc. end in $u$ have final $i$ in Nanga: búrî 'bread', ósî 'road', gùsí ‘skin', etc. Likewise with adjectives in their basic modifying forms, e.g. dúsî 'heavy', dùgí 'big, fat'. Adjectives are unusual in having grammatically conditioned $i / u$ alternations (modifying versus predicative), see $\S 4.5 .1 .1$.

However, final short $i$ is often realized as $[u]$ in the presence of rounded vowels or $w$. For example, ùsí 'sun; day' has final $i$ in isolation, and in combinations like ùsí síyé- 'day break(s)', but it is heard with final $u$ when phrased with a following word with rounded segments, as in ùsù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ógî 'hot sun (=mid-day)'. Nouns like tóndí 'basket' with a rounded vowel and final $i$ are treated as having rounded vowels for purposes of vowel-copying into a following locative postposition: tóndú gó 'in a basket'. Verbs like gə̀yír ${ }^{n} 1$ '- 'go around, go in a circle' show similar phonetic fluctuation between $i$ and $u$, depending on the vocalism of inflectional suffixes and especially on the opposition between final $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$ and $2 \mathrm{Sg}-w$ (and
 short $i$ is also highly subject to syncope or apocope after an unclustered sonorant.

### 3.4.5 ATR vowel harmony

+ ATR $\{\mathrm{e} o\}$ and -ATR $\{\varepsilon \rho\}$ constitute two opposed harmonic sets. Vowels of either set may co-occur with $a$, and with high vowels $\{i u\}$, which are therefore nonharmonic. In relics of the ablauted A/O-stem, namely the imperative and derived stative stems, stem-final -ATR $\varepsilon$ may shift to $a$, see especially (356a) for imperatives.

This type of vocalic harmony has little practical significance in Nanga. Within verb stems, the most important "harmonic" pattern is that exactly the same vowel quality is repeated, as in kémé 'build' and bògóró 'bellow'. Verbal inflectional suffixes do not harmonize with their stems. For example, the imperfective negative suffix - $\eta \dot{\jmath}$ - does not
 suffix complex -ndíyé-mí likewise fails to harmonize with the preceding stem in e.g. $k \varepsilon ́ w \varepsilon ́-n d i ́ y e ́-m i ́ ~ ' m a k e ~ e q u a l ' . ~ C a u s a t i v e ~-m i ́ ~ h a s ~ i m p e r a t i v e ~-m o ̀ ~ t h a t ~ d i s r e g a r d s ~ a n y ~ p r e c e d i n g ~$ harmonic vowels: gǒ:-mí 'cause to go in', imperative gǒ:-mò.

However, some other verbal derivational suffixes do harmonize with stem vowels, especially with +ATR vowels. Reversive and transitive -rí-, and mediopassive -yí-, have variants -ré- (sometimes -ró- if stem-vowels are back rounded) and -yé- (never -yó-) if the stem contains +ATR vowels. Examples: nóngí-yé- 'be caught in tree’ and its reversive nóngú-ró- 'be un-caught' (i.e. be extricated from being caught in the tree), yòrí-yé 'extend credit' (Ben Tey and Bankan Tey yèrì-yé, Jamsay yènè-ŋé ), ónjí-yé 'be ashamed’ (Ben Tey énjí-yé, Bankan Tey ézí-yé, Jamsay é:-né ). See $\S 9.1$ and $\S 9.3 .1$ for more data.

Many loanwords, especially from Fulfulde, respect $\{e o\}$ versus $\{\varepsilon 0\}$ vowel harmony. For example, in òsìpòrê 'forestry official' ( $<$ French Eaux et Forêts), we see two o's and an e (along with a nonharmonic high vowel), while in tórótè or tóródè 'pestering' two $s$ 's co-occur with an $\varepsilon$.

In compounds the initial and the final are harmonically independent.
For a few cases where a verb and a cognate nominal differ in ATR value, see (390a,c) below.

### 3.4.6 Rounding harmony

There is no productive process by which a vowel in one syllable must share backness and rounding features with a vowel in an adjacent syllable. However, in $C v C v C v$ sequences with metrically weak medial syllable, the vowel of this syllable is a short high vowel, and the choice between $i$ and $u$ can be affected by the backness and rounding value of either adjoining syllable. See for example, the discussion of adjectives followed by clitics in (63c) in §4.5.1.1.

### 3.4.7 Vocalic sound symbolism

Like the other nearby Dogon languages, Nanga has some word-families involving stems that are semantically related and have the same consonantal shell but differ in vowel quality. Generally $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{e} \\ \varepsilon\end{array}\right\}$ suggests diminution and $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ suggests augmentation or intensification of some kind, but each relevant word-family deploys the variant forms for its own semantic purposes.

Expressive adverbials often foreground their sound, and are receptive to vocalic sound symbolism. An example is lèré-lèré 'cleaned up' with lòró-lòró 'clean-shaven head'
(§8.4.7.2). Another set meaning 'flat and wide' with stems of the shapes $p \dot{v} t \hat{v} \rightarrow$ and iterated $p \bar{v} t \grave{v}-p \dot{v} t \hat{v} \rightarrow$ is given in (66) in §4.5.2.

Similar cases can also be found among bisyllabic verb stems. One set is póló 'cut off, sever (e.g. head)', pélé 'pull off (small twig)', and péllí ‘break off, cut off a piece of’.

Sound-symbolic variants involve stem-wide vowel mutations. Sound symbolism is quite different from horizontal (syntagmatic) alternations in iterated stems with a-vowels replacing other vowels in the second iteration. These are rhythmical rather than size- or intensityrelated. See (37) in §4.1.7 for nouns and (232b) in §8.4.7.2 for EAs.

### 3.4.8 Vocalic stem-ablaut in verbs

Nanga verbs have a limited degree of stem-ablaut. Only the stem-final vowel is modified.
In the analysis I use, the bare stem ends in $i$ for one class of verbs. For other verbs, it ends in a non-high vowel $\{e \varepsilon$ a $\rho o\}$. If the stem has any preceding vowels, the final non-high vowel is constrained by harmonic principles. The bare stem occurs in nonfinal position in verb chains, in most inflections in the perfective positive system, and for prosodically light stems in part in various imperfective categories.

Ablaut modifications of the bare stem are as follows.
E/I-stem: the 3 Sg subject form of the simple perfective positive, which has no further suffix (§10.2.1.1). It matches the bare stem for the $i$-final verbs, but for other verbs it fronts the final vowel to $\{e \varepsilon\}$, the choice depending on the ATR-harmonic class of the verb.

I-stem: in the quoted imperative, $\S 10.6 .1$, and for most nonmonosyllabics before the prohibitive suffix. The stem ends in i for nonmonosyllabics, and in the quoted imperative monosyllabics are $C v-y$.

A/O-stem: in the imperative stem (§10.6.1.1, unsuffixed for singular addressee), the derived stative stem (§10.4.1, no further AN suffix), and the 3Pl subject form of the simple perfective positive ( $\S 10.2 .1 .1$ ). The stem ends in $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & \rho & o\} \text {, the choice depending on nonfinal }\end{array}\right.$ vowels and the ATR-harmonic class of the stem.

Non-high stem: for all verbs before the perfective negative suffix -rí- (§10.2.3.1) and before hortative suffixes ( $\S 10.6 .2$ ). Also, for prosodically heavy verbs, in the imperfective positive ( $\S 10.2 .2 .1$ ) and imperfective negative ( $\S 10.2 .3 .4$ ), for which light verbs have the bare stem. Also for nonmonosyllabic $i$-final verbs in imperfective participles with following determiner, see (512). The effect is that heavy $i$-final stems lower the $i$ to a harmonically acceptable non-high vowel.

A more detailed general treatment, with examples, is in $\S 10.1 .3$. Details about specific derivations and inflections occur throughout chapters 9 and 10.

### 3.4.9 Diphthongs

Combinations of vowel plus $y$ or $w$ are common and have no special unitary character. More interesting diphthongs are à̀̀, $\grave{\iota}$, and $\grave{o}$ è in the 3 Sg , and $\grave{\varepsilon} a ̀ ~ i n ~ t h e ~ 3 P l o f ~ t h e ~ s i m p l e ~ p e r f e c t i v e ~$ positive of monosyllabic verb stems, see (282a-b) in §10.2.1.1. $\begin{gathered}\text { è } \\ \text { also occurs in the } 3 \mathrm{Pl} \text { form }\end{gathered}$ of the perfective negative, see (322a) in §10.2.3.1. These diphthongs occur when vowel-final ablaut, controlled by the inflectional category, collides with lexical vocalism. The diphthongal outputs make both ablaut and lexical vowel accessible to the listener.

### 3.5 Segmental phonological rules

### 3.5.1 Trans-syllabic consonantal processes

### 3.5.1.1 Nasalization-Spreading

With exceptions discussed below, a nasal $\left\{m n n \eta\right.$ ) or nasalized sonorant $\left\{r^{n} y^{n} W^{m}\right\}$ at the onset of a syllable, or a nasalized vowel within that syllable, induces nasalization on an intervocalic oral sonorant $\{r y w\}$ at the beginning of the following syllable. Phonetically, of course, the nasalization extends to adjoining vowels, so that in nàr ${ }^{n}$ á 'give birth' all four segments are phonetically nasalized. Spreading is recursive, as we see most clearly when a suffix combination like $-y \grave{\varepsilon}-r_{1}^{\prime}-\left(\right.$ mediopassive plus perfective negative) surfaces as $-y^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}-r^{n} 1$ í after a nasal stem.

Syllable-final semivowels $\{y w\}$ are also (phonetically) nasalized in $N V y$ and $N V W$ syllables. This is true both stem-internally and in combinations of verb stems ending in $N_{V}$ plus $1 \mathrm{st} / 2$ nd person subject suffixes (e.g. $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ ), as in tùmò- $y^{n}$ 'I measured' (simple perfective). I know of no exceptions to nasalization of semivowels in this context. $r$ does not occur syllable-finally in normal speech. I indicate syllable-final nasalization in transcriptions, but one could argue that it is a low-level phonetic rather than phonological fact.

Semivowels (but not $r$ ) are inconsistently nasalized by an adjacent following nasal. My assistant nasalized the semivowels in póyn$\eta g$ ôl 'light on horizon', háyn$n d \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'amazement' and in téwnní- 'rejoin', but not noticeably in cases involving simple yn, viz. láyní- 'chant invocations', dúmbó-kèmběy-nì 'skinny-buttocks', ày-nó 'fatigue', and séyní- 'give good news'. These comments are based on the assistant's artificially pronouncing póy ${ }^{n}$, etc., as separate syllables, at the linguist's direction.

Inside an unsegmentable stem, Nasalization-Spreading is a passive constraint, since the nasalized sonorants in question do not appear elsewhere in unnasalized form. A sequence like $n v r^{n} V\left(V=\right.$ any vowel) as in nàr ${ }^{n}$ á 'give birth' is acceptable, but a sequence \#nvrv with oral $r$ is not. Examples respecting the constraint are núy ${ }^{n}$ áy ${ }^{n}$ 'this year', mùr $n$ á 'sick person', nípêy ${ }^{n}$ 'now', and $n \hat{i ̂:} W^{n}$ 'a cattle disease'.

The exceptions that I have observed involve the stem-internal sequence mvrv with unnasalized $r$. Alongside the "correct" $m v r^{n} V$ in e.g. dómór"ó 'criticism' and mə̀rn $\hat{\jmath}$ 'wild date', there are several cases with "incorrect" mvrv. Those known to me are mùrá- 'not want', dòmúró 'shave around the edges', jòmòró 'foreskin', òmúrô 'tamarind', támórò 'dates', pómúró 'eke out', kómórò '(finger-)nail', màrá 'be lost', mùmúró 'dip (food) deeply (in sauce)', mèrè-gìré 'abdomen', and mèr $\varepsilon$ g $\hat{\varepsilon}$ 'evil dwarf'. The $m$ in several of these words is optionally (or dialectally) pronounced with a brief oral release, e.g. kóm ${ }^{b}$ órò, and there is some comparative support for a reconstruction $* \mathrm{mb}$ (or ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{b}}$, especially from Bankan Tey. pá:mírâl 'understanding' is an unassimilated Fulfulde loanword and shows oral $r$, but note the parallel borrowing pá:mír"غ̀ 'understanding' (cf. verb pá:mí ‘understand').

I know of no case where a verb ending in $N_{V}$ (including $m v$, if consistently pronounced as such) fails to nasalize a suffixal sonorant. If there were formerly cases of this type, they have been leveled out. A possible example of this leveling is dùní- $r^{n} 1$ ' 'dress, put clothes on’ (in the phrase dúpí dùní-rníl- with cognate nominal). Bankan Tey noun dùngóy 'outfit, clothing (that one is wearing)’ suggests that the Nanga verb was originally *dùngí-rí-. After the ${ }^{*} \mathrm{ng}$ cluster simplified to $\eta$, it was treated like original $*_{\eta}$ in terms of conditioning Nasalization-Spreading to the suffix. A similar case is túpí- $y^{n} 11-\times k n e e l ’$ (paired with transitive túní-r $r^{n} 1$ - ‘cause to kneel’), compare Ben Tey túngú-yú- and Bankan Tey túng-í:--

Nasalization-Spreading does not apply to $r$ at the beginning of a cluster, i.e. in a sequence $N v r C v$. This is not surprising since $r^{n}$ is disallowed as first member of a cluster. The examples in my data are màrbâ (variant màrpâ) 'rifle' and múrsí 'revolt', both of which are regional words of non-Dogon origin.

Most syllabic derivational and inflectional verbal suffixes beginning with $r v$ or $y v$ (there are none beginning with $w v$ ) are subject to Nasalization-Spreading: perfective negative -rí-, reversive -rí-, transitive -rí-, and mediopassive or inchoative -yí-. However, suffix -yé, whether 3Pl (with statives and adjectives) or passive, does not nasalize. Perfective-1a - $̀ r \grave{\varepsilon}-$, the only suffix of $-v C v$-shape, also fails to nasalize. The suffixes that do not nasalize also do not harmonize vocalically with the preceding stem, so they simply do not interact with the stem phonologically. This suggests that they were originally phonologically autonomous: a chained perfective-1a auxiliary (-غ̀rè-) or a loosely cliticized pronominal (-yદ́).

Perfective negative -rí- is illustrated by dàmá- 'speak', dàmà-rní- 'did not speak', and by tá: ${ }^{n-}$ 'spread out fingers', tà: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} 1$ í- 'did not spread out fingers'. In nù $y^{n} \grave{j}-r^{n} 1$ í, which can mean either 'did not enter' $<$ stem núy ${ }^{n}(1)$ or 'did not hear' $<$ stem nǔy ${ }^{n}(1)$, the $y^{n}$ is clearly nasalized.

Reversive derivational suffix -rí- is illustrated by kámá 'crumple' and kámí-rní 'uncrumple', whose perfective negative kàmì-r nà- $r^{n} 1$ ' 'did not uncrumple' shows recursive application of the rule.

Transitive -rí- is often paired with mediopassive -yí-. Pairs showing suffixal nasalization
 sání- $y^{n} 1$ í- 'become embellished'. Deadjectival inchoatives with the same -yí- suffix also nasalize: támí- yníl- 'become cold'.

Although I transcribe e.g. sáyí- $y^{n} 1 ́-$, the actual pronunciation reflects Monophthongization (§3.5.7.2): [sá 1 í:-]. The long [i:] is no more nasalized than in underlyingly monosyllabic stems like nî: 'water'. Transcriptions like sání- $y^{n} 1$ í- are therefore somewhat abstract, and are motivated by forms like perfective negative $\operatorname{sà} \eta \grave{l}-y^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-r^{n} 1$ - where a vocalic shift precludes Monophthongization, and where nasalization of $y^{n}$ is phonetically unmistakable (in this example it has transmitted Nasalization-Spreading into the final suffix). However, in the perfective-1a, where we would expect e.g. \#sápí- $y^{n}$ - $̀ r$ r̀̀- with nasalized $y^{n}$, we actually hear sání- $\varnothing$-èrè- 'was embellished', parallel to e.g. tágí- $\varnothing$-èrè- 'put on (one's) shoes' from nonnasal tágí-yí-, see $y$-Deletion §3.5.7.3.

Perfective-1a -غ̀r $\grave{\varepsilon}$ is not subject to Nasalization-Spreading even in núy-غ̀rغ̀ 'entered' (stem núy ${ }^{n}(\hat{1})$, contrast perfective negative $n u ̀ y^{n} \grave{\jmath}-r^{n} 1$ mentioned above), much less in e.g. mă:- $\varepsilon$ rè 'became dry' where the source nasal is farther away. The perfective-1 a suffix has an unusual bisyllabic shape suggesting that it is still treated phonologically as a separate word (compare Toro Tegu -wòrè).

### 3.5.1.2 Backward Nasalization

Backward (leftward) spreading of nasalization is not a regular process in Nanga. The only example, rather opaque, is nù-ŋ́ó, the highly irregular imperfective negative of yǐ:- 'see' (§10.2.3.4), where the initial $n$ probably reflects ${ }^{*} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Backward Nasalization is mildly productive in similar contexts in Toro Tegu, and a handful of frozen cases are known in Jamsay.

### 3.5.2 Vocalism of suffixally derived verbs

Most suffixally derived verbs have vocalism determined in part by spreading of vowel quality from stem to suffix. In effect, the vocalism of the suffixed verbs is subject to the same constraints that apply to underived stems of similar (usually trisyllabic) shape. The affected derivations are reversives, mediopassives and their paired transitives, verbs with some unproductive causative suffixes like -gí-, and some deadjectival inchoatives. Specifically, most of these suffixally derived verbs belong to a verb class with stem-final $i$ or (by assimilation) final $\{e \quad o\}$ in the bare stem and related forms, and with non-high vowels in the various AN inflections. See, for example, the paradigms of reversives 'untie' and 'unhook' in (246) in §9.1.

Causative and passive -mí- and passive -yé are exceptions. They do not allow stem vowel quality to spread into suffixed forms.

### 3.5.3 Vocalic rules sensitive to syllabic or metrical structure

### 3.5.3.1 Vowel-lengthening

Nanga (and it alone) has small number of stems that appear to show historically secondary lengthening of a vowel before a nasal-stop cluster in the first syllable of a bisyllabic stem.

| a. $n d$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kó:ndó | 'curved (stick)' | Yanda Dom kóndà, Tommo So kónnó |
| kè:ndê | 'cheek' | Ben Tey cèlế, Bankan Tey kèndêy |
| $d \grave{\varepsilon}: n d \hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'night' | Tebul Ire dèndé, Yanda Dom dèndà: |
| kă:ndâ | 'melon' | Ben Tey kànárnày, Bankan Tey kàndìrá |
| b. mb |  |  |
| j̀:mbś | 'chin' | Ben Tey òmbôy, Bankan Tey òmboy |
| sò:mbó | 'earth' | Ben Tey sùmǒy ${ }^{n}$, Bankan Tey sùmbăy |
| c. $n j$ |  |  |
| $m \grave{\varepsilon}: n j \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 'thin' | Ben Tey mènjê- $W$, Bankan Tey mèzêy ${ }^{n}$ |

No cognate is known for either tì:njî̀ 'grub, larva' or pà:ngǒ: 'elephant', for example, but they fit the same profile.

However, there is no synchronic rule of lengthening vowels before such clusters, and some native Dogon items retain short vowels in comparable syllabic positions: néndè 'tongue', yùr-pémbí 'woman's wrap'.

### 3.5.3.2 Epenthesis absent

No epenthesis processes have been observed.

### 3.5.3.3 Post-Sonorant Syncope (verbs)

Many verb stems of more than one syllable end in short $i$ (sometimes varying with $u$ ). When followed by a C-initial inflectional suffix like perfective-2 -só- or perfective-1b -ti-, the short high vowel optionally deletes in allegro speech after an unclustered sonorant, e.g. dàgírí-só-y ~ dàgír-só-y 'I got ready'. This optional syncope has no wider phonological consequences; in particular, rhotics do not assimilate to following coronals (§3.5.5.2).

### 3.5.4 Final-High-Vowel Apocope

Apocope of final short high vowels is not completely productive, but it can occur when the final vowel is preceded by an unclustered sonorant, under some conditions.

That apocope is not fully productive is seen by the fact that final $i / u$ alternations are grammatically important in adjectives, many of which are of the shape $C v C_{2} i / u$ where $C_{2}$ is a sonorant. For example, 'cold' is támî as a modifying adjective within a NP, but támû as predicate. See $\S 4.5 .1 .1$ for a list of such examples, where $C_{2}$ can be $\left\{r r^{n} \eta m\right\}$ as well as $m$.

In predicates, the distinction between final short $i$ and $u$ is also grammatically important. This is notably the case with imperfective positive $-m$, whose pronominal-subject paradigm includes $1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{i}$ versus $2 \mathrm{Sg}-m-\grave{u}$. Actually, in this paradigm apocope may erode the final vowels, which speakers cope with by retaining the rounding of the 2 Sg form: 1 Sg variant -m̀- $\varnothing$ versus $2 S g$ variant $-\grave{m}{ }^{-w}$.

Apocope (and Syncope, before a C-initial suffix) of final short high vowels is most common in bisyllabic and longer stems of the shapes $C v(:)_{W v}$ and $C v(:) y v$. As a synchronic process it is only observed when both the full and apocopated variants occur overtly. An example involving medial $w$ is the noun $d \check{\varepsilon} W \sim d \varepsilon े w i ̀ ~ ' r o o f ' ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ r e l a t e d ~ v e r b ~ d \check{\varepsilon} w-\sim d \bar{\varepsilon} w i ́-$ 'cover; put a roof over'. Medial $y$ is very common in mediopassive and other verbs with shapes like /CvCi-yi-/, which is heard as [CvCii]. One possible analysis is that /iyi/ apocopates to $/ \mathrm{iy} /$, then monophthongizes to $i$ : .

### 3.5.5 Local consonant cluster rules

### 3.5.5.1 Derhoticization ( $/ \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} /$ to $n$ ) in allegro speech style

In forms like kán ${ }^{n}$ ítì- 'did' (perfective-1b), Syncope of the medial vowel is not phonologically systematic; the tap $r^{n}$ is released prior to the onset of the $t$ in normal pronunciation. So there is no regular derhotization process. However, in allegro speech I have observed variation between e.g. súpúrì̀ nò and syncopated súpûn nò 'his/her ear', the latter indeed showing $/ \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} /$ to $n$.

### 3.5.5.2 Rhotic Assimilation generally absent

In forms like tárítiti- 'glued on' (perfective-1b), Syncope of the medial vowel is not phonologically systematic (§3.5.3.3), and the tap $r$ is released prior to the onset of the $t$ in normal pronunciation. This is parallel to what was just observed concerning kární-tì- 'did' (preceding subsection). As a result, there is no general process assimilating $r$ to following
coronal consonants after Syncope, as there is in some Dogon languages. See, however, the discussion of Rhotic-Cluster Lateralization, just below.

### 3.5.5.3 Rhotic-Cluster Lateralization $(/ \mathrm{rr} / \rightarrow 11)$

Although there is no regular phonological rule to this effect, there are vestiges of a phonological development of the type $\ldots r v-r \ldots>\ldots r-r \ldots$ (Syncope) $>\ldots 1-1 \ldots$, and of the type $\ldots r^{n} v-r^{n} \ldots>\ldots r^{n}-r^{n} \ldots$ (Syncope) $>\ldots l-1 \ldots$, if my interpretation of reversive derivatives is correct. For discussion of reversive mǎl-lí- 'unseal' from màrn'́- 'seal up', and of reversives like kólli-rí- ‘unhook’ from kórí- 'hook, hang up’, see (245) in §9.1, below. Since the regular reversive suffix -rí- has been re-added to e.g. kólli-rí-, native speakers may well interpret the phonological process here as one where medial $r$ is converted to $l l$ before the suffix (a kind of double dissimilation, in segmental quality and length, to the $r$ of the suffix).

### 3.5.6 Vowel-vowel and vowel-semivowel sequences

### 3.5.6.1 Vowel sequences in reduplications and 3 Sg perfectives

Hiatus is not typical of Nanga phonology, but when a vowel-initial verb stem is reduplicated, the two occurrences of the same vowel are phonetically separated by a glottal stop. Example: éw-yé- 'sit', reduplicated imperfective è-?éw-yé-ŋ̀ 'he/she will sit'.

In unreduplicated perfectives ( $\S 10.1 .2 .2$ ) of monosyllabic stems, the 3 Sg form may end in $\ldots o-e, \ldots a-\varepsilon$, or $\ldots \rho-\varepsilon$, reflecting a once more productive ablauted "E-stem" of verbs. The vowel is composite but has no hiatus. Examples: nò-̀̀ 'he/she drank', sà- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ 'he/she replied', wò-è 'he/she caught'. Similar combinations occurs with perfective-1a - $\begin{gathered}\text { rè- (§10.1.2.3), as in }\end{gathered}$ gǒ-દ̀rè- 'went out'.

### 3.5.6.2 $V v$-Contraction

There are few situations in Nanga where two vowels come together at a word-internal boundary. As indicated in the immediately preceding section, some vowel sequences are tolerated, and others (involving reduplicative syllables) are protected by adding a glottal stop.

However, perfective-1a -غ̀rè- (§10.2.1.2) follows verb stems, including motion verbs and mediopassives. Most, arguably all, verb stems end in a vowel. For some stems, a stem-final non-high vowel is dropped before the suffix-initial vowel of -èrè-.

The data are summarized in (13). The duration of vowel sequences is not particularly longer than that of contracted $\grave{\varepsilon}$. A listener detects vowel sequences primarily by tones in cases like ír $\varepsilon$ - $\varepsilon$ rè̀- where the stem already ends in $\varepsilon$, and by tones plus vowel qualities in cases like gǒ-غ̀rè- and sígé-غ̀rè-.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { stem } \quad \text { perfective-1a } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

> gloss
a. contraction occurs

| áyá- | áy-èrè- | 'get tired' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| láwá- | láw-èrè- | 'go past' |
| kóyó- | kóy-غ̀rè- | '(wood) decay' |


| $\{H\}$-toned bisyllabic with initial high vowel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| túwé- | túw-èrè- |  |
| kúmó- | kúm-غ̀re- | 'die' |
| níy ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-$ | níy | '(bone) break' |

b. no contraction
monosyllabic
gǒ:- gǒ-غ̀r $\grave{\text { - }} \quad$ 'go out'
$t \varepsilon ́:-\quad$ té-èrè- 'sprout'
$\{H\}$-toned bisyllabic with double \{e o\}
séré- séré-èrè- 'be diluted' kóró- kóró-èř̀- 'become empty'
\{H\}-toned bisyllabic with initial high vowel sígé- sígé-èrè- 'go down'
bisyllabic with medial cluster (after syncope), all $+A T R$ éw-yé- éw-yé-èrè- 'sit' kówró- kówró-èrè- '(rain) stop' kómjó- kómjó-èrè- 'be crumpled' bìndé- bìndé-èrè- 'go back'
$\{L H\}$-toned bisyllabic with double $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ \rho \\ \varepsilon\end{array}\right\}$ nàmá- jàmá-èrè- 'be ruined' bàr ${ }^{n a ́-} \quad$ bàr ${ }^{n}$ á-èrè- 'redden' yògó- yògó- $̀$ rè- 'run' jèmé- jèmé-èrè- 'blacken' yègé- yègé-èrè- 'fall'
\{LH\}-toned bisyllabic with initial high vowel or nasal dìmé- dìmé-èrè- 'be finished'
other $\{L H\}$-toned bisyllabics bìyé- biyé-èrè- 'lie down'
other $\{H\}$-toned bisyllabics îré- íré-èrè- 'ripen'
ámá- ámá-èrè- 'become half-ripe' ńné- ńné-èrè- 'go' (§10.1.3.4)

Contraction is usual with $\{\mathrm{H}\}$-toned CáCá-, CéCé-, and CóCó- stems. It occurs less systematically in $\{\mathrm{H}\}$-toned stems with initial $\{i u\}$ followed by -ATR $\{\varepsilon \rho\}$. For example, I recorded íré-èrè- 'ripened' but túw-દ̀rè- 'died', and there is some variation in the pronunciation of the latter. An issue with these stems is that loss of the stem-final vowel would delete any surface manifestation of its underlying vocalism.

Contraction does not occur with any $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$-toned bisyllabic, even $C a ̀ C a ́-, C \varepsilon ̀ C \varepsilon ́-$, and CòCó-. It is also blocked in $C v C C v$ - stems with medial cluster, but this stem shape does not allow final $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varepsilon \\ a\end{array} \rho\right\}$, so all examples end either in $i$ or in + ATR $\{e o s\}$.

Trisyllabic stems have either H.H.H or L.H.H tone sequences, so the penult as well as the final syllable is H-toned (unless a tone overlay has applied to the stem). However, trisyllabics (other than causatives, which do not take the perfective-1a) cannot end in $\{\varepsilon$ a 0$\}$, so we get pairs like $p \varepsilon ́ g \varepsilon ́-$ 'nail, drive in (nail)', reversive pégí-r'́ 'remove (nail)'. They can end in \{e oi\}, but we have seen that these final vowels do not contract. The upshot is that no $v v$-Contraction occurs in trisyllabics before perfective-1a -èrè-. Examples: jìgíré-èrè- from jìgíré- 'spin (turn)',

### 3.5.6.3 Desyllabification

Optional desyllabification of stem-final $i$ to $y$ before perfective-1a - $\begin{gathered}\text { rè } \\ \text { - can } \\ \text { occur with stems }\end{gathered}$ whose preceding syllable is H-toned, as in táyy-èrè- (tání- 'become'). To some extent o can be desyllabified in the same context. For example, tómbó-દ̀rغ̀ 'jumped’ can be pronounced more or less as transcribed, or it can shade into [tómbor $\varepsilon$ rè-] or [tómb ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \dot{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ ].

### 3.5.7 Local vowel-consonant interactions

### 3.5.7.1 Backness/rounding assimilations affecting $i$ and $u$

Stem- or suffix-final short high vowels $\left\{\begin{array}{l}i u\end{array}\right\}$ in verbal morphology usually assimilate in backness/rounding to a following suffixal non-homorganic semivowel. This happens, for example, when perfective-1b -tì- is followed by $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ (or $2 \mathrm{Pl}-W . \therefore$ ), and when bù- 'be' is followed by $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$ (or 1Pl $-y . \therefore$ ). Thus $-t u ̀-\grave{W}, ~ b i ̀-y, ~ s e e ~ § 10.3 .3 . ~$

These assimilations feed into Monophthongization, see just below.

### 3.5.7.2 Monophthongization (/iy/ to $i$ :, /uw/ to $u$ :)

In syllable-final position, /iy/ and /iyi/ are heard as $i$ :, and/uw/ is heard as $u$ : . In my normal transcription I use the full spellings with vowel and semivowel.

For discussion of the phonological representation of verbs like tíy(î)- (or tí:-) 'send', see §10.1.3.3.

Subject-pronominal suffixes like $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$ and $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ are often involved in Monophthongization. Examples are 1 Sg perfective negative $-r^{\prime}-\hat{y}$ [rí:] and 2 Sg 'be' bù-Ẁ [bù:] 'you are (somewhere)'. The number of forms that are subject to Monophthongization is swollen by the effects of vowel-semivowel assimilations, see the immediately preceding section. Thus gò:-rú-w [gò:rú:] 'you-Sg did not go out' from /-rí-w/.
/iyi/ occurs (arguably) in a few unsegmentable verb stems like tíy(î)- (or tí:-) 'send', see §10.1.1, and (clearly) in a large number of derived verbs with mediopassive or inchoative -yí-, e.g. pémbí-yí- [pémbí:] 'gird oneself'. The trisyllabic nature of this stem is brought out in such forms as perfective negative pèmbì-yغ̀-rí-, where the vocalic shift to $\varepsilon$ preempts Monophthongization. I can hear no difference between [i:] from /iy/ and [i:] from /iyi/. One interpretation of this is that/iyi/ first reduces to/iy/, then monophthongizes.

### 3.5.7.3 $y$-Deletion (before perfective-1a -غ̀rè-)

The perfective-1a suffix -èrè- is phonologically unusual in several ways. It has only limited phonological interaction with the stem, resisting Nasalization-Spreading (§3.5.1.1) and ATR harmony. However, since the preceding stem usually (arguably always) ends in a vowel, some sandhi-like adjustments occur at the boundary. A stem-final short vowel is deleted in some cases (§3.5.6.2), or a final vowel (especially $i$ ) may desyllabify (§3.5.6.3).

A slightly different treatment occurs when -غ̀rè- follows a trisyllabic (or longer) stem ending in ... Cíyí (or nasalized ... $C^{\prime} y^{n}{ }^{n} 1$ ). The output is ...Cí-èrè. One can model this as the deletion of the stem-final vowel (independently attested in some other stems before this
suffix), resulting in /...Cíy-èrè-/, followed by a special rule deleting the /y/. The resulting $\ldots$... 1 -́-̨̀rغ̀- may be pronounced as such, or it may additionally be desyllabified to ...Cy-غ̀rè-.

The stems subject to $y$-Deletion are mostly mediopassives (§9.3.1), whether transparently so or otherwise. Examples are in (14a). Not subject to $y$-Deletion are bisyllabic stems, including syncopated trisyllabics with $C y$ clusters like 'sit' (14b).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { stem gloss } \quad \text { perfective-1a } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. $y$-Deletion occurs after $i$ trisyllabics
 tágí-yí- 'put on (one’s) shoes' tágí- $\varnothing$-èrè-~ tágy- $\varnothing$-èrè-
b. $y$-Deletion fails to apply
bisyllabics
bì-yé- 'lie down' bìyé-èrè-
í:-yí- 'stand' í:-y-èrè-
núy ${ }^{n}(1 ̂)$ 'go in' núy-غ̀rè-
ú:-yí- 'fear' ú:-y-غ̀r̀̀̀-
y is clustered (syncopated trisyllabic) éw-yé- 'sit' éw-yé-èrè-

### 3.6 Cliticization

There is no rigorous phonological difference between clitics, suffixes, and postposed particles such as postpositions. Stress and accent patterns, which in other languages of the world often bring out cliticization, are not relevant to Nanga. I use the term clitic (boundary symbol $=$ ) in cases where a morpheme (or morpheme cluster) that would on syntactic grounds be thought of as independent is attached to an independently occurring stem (noun, verb stem or inflected verb, etc.), with some evidence of phonological interaction.

I transcribe as enclitics the following: the conjugatable 'it is' morpheme $=m$ (and variants), along with its negative counterpart = ndǒ(:)- 'it is not' (see just below, and cf. §11.2.1.1-2); stative negative = ǹdó- (§10.4.2); locative = yè with place names (§8.2.4); and the conjugatable past morpheme $=b \varepsilon$ - that is added to (partially) inflected verb forms. Based on phonological interactions, one could argue that other simple postpositions are also really clitics.

Candidates for status as preverbal proclitics are existential yá (§11.2.2.1) and the subject pronominals found in nonsubject relative clauses (§14.1.6). They directly precede the verb and interact with them tonally, but there are no segmental phonological interactions. I do not use $=$ in transcriptions of these forms.

### 3.6.1 Phonology of 'it is' clitic ( $=m$ - and variants)

For the paradigm of this clitic, which allows pronominal inflections, see $\S 11.2 .1$, below. The first and second person forms are based on $=m-$. The normal third person forms are $3 \mathrm{Sg}=\eta$ $\sim:={ }^{n} \sim:=\varnothing, 3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \grave{\varepsilon} \sim=y \varepsilon$, and inanimate $=w$. These third person forms merge as $=y \grave{\varepsilon}$
$\sim=y \varepsilon$ in postconsonantal position (this position iss rare for this clitic since there are few truly C-final NPs).

Of phonological interest is the fact that, after a vowel, $1 \mathrm{Sg}=m-i ̀ i ~ f r e q u e n t l y ~ c o n t r a c t s ~ t o ~$ $=\grave{m}$, while $2 \mathrm{Sg}=m-\grave{u}$ frequently contracts to $=\grave{m}^{-}{ }^{w}$. This results in a unique (for Nanga, and perhaps for Dogon generally) opposition of final plain versus labialized consonant. The labialization in the 2 Sg form is difficult for the unpracticed ear to hear, but my assistant immediately corrects poorly pronounced versions.

Some imperfective inflected forms of verbs also end in an inflected form of $=m$, so the opposition of plain and labialized is quite important.

### 3.7 Tones

The tone of a Nanga syllable may be H, L, <HL>, <LH $>$, or $<$ LHL $>$. Taking ma: as the segmental base, these would be transcribed má:, mà:, mâ:, mă:, and mă:; respectively. (Not all of these are actual Nanga words.)

Contour-toned diphthongal syllables can be transcribed interchangeably as e.g. máy and mây. I often use the former method when the final semivowel is a suffix, especially one that comes with its own L-tone.

### 3.7.1 Lexical tone patterns

### 3.7.1.1 One H -tone in each stem

Regular stems (nouns, verbs, adjectives, numerals) have one H -tone component in their basic lexical forms. For example, a monosyllabic stem may be H -, $\langle\mathrm{HL}\rangle-$, or $<\mathrm{LH}\rangle$-toned, but not entirely L-toned. As a result, when syntactically controlled tone-dropping applies to them, it is always audible. This is especially important in the context of NP-internal tonosyntax.

I use slashes $/ \ldots /$ to enclose stem-wide melody representations, $\{\ldots\}$ for overlays that replace the melody, and < ...> for single-syllable contour tones.

Typical lexical meodies are / $\mathrm{H} /$, /HL/, /LH/, and /LHL/, with a single H element. This H element may be spread over the entire stem (/H/ melody), or occupy just a part of it (/HL/ melody, etc.). Apparent /HLH/, /LHLH/, and /HLHL/ melodies, with two separate H elements, are very rare and involve borrowed nouns or multisyllabic nouns that are arguably treated phonologically as compounds.

Expressive adverbials (EA) do not fit into phrases (NP, PP) and are neither controllers nor targets of tonosyntactic processes. Some EAs have /L/ melody.

### 3.7.1.2 Lexical tone melodies of verbs

The lexical melody of a verb stem verbs may be /H/ (15a) or /LH/ (15b). As will soon be seen, the "lexical" melody in the case of verbs is partially predictable from the initial consonant.
 where the L to H break comes in the last two of these. While some other Dogon languages delay the tone break until the final syllable or mora of the stem (i.e. close to the right edge), in

Nanga the break occurs as close as possible to the left edge, i.e. midway through the long vowel of $C v ̌: C v$, and in the second syllable of $C \dot{v} C v ́ C v ́$.

I hear no noticeable rise on the second consonant of lexical $C \grave{v} C C \hat{v}$, though it is normally a sonorant, e.g. nàmbí- 'stomp on'. In native Dogon vocabulary, a medial $C C$ is usually a homorganic nasal-stop cluster. The tonal pattern suggests that the syllabification here is $C v-C C v$, with an initial monomoraic syllable that is not capable of bearing an $<\mathrm{LH}>$ tone. Lexical $C \grave{v} C C \hat{v}$ is distinguishable from a surface $<\mathrm{LH}>$. H sequence in $C \check{v} C C \hat{v}$ that is syncopated from $C \grave{v} C v ́ C v ́, ~ a s ~ i n ~ g a ̌ l-l i ́-~ ' t a k e ~ o u t ', ~ r e v e r s i v e ~ o f ~ g a ̀ r n i ́-~ ' p u t ~ i n ’, ~ f r o m ~ / g a ̀ r n i ́ 1-r n i ́ / ~$ (§9.1).

Examples of the various melodies for verbs are in (15).

```
stem
gloss
```

a. /H/ melody
tó:
núy ${ }^{n}(1)$
súyó
kímé
késé
$n_{1} y^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$
kúwó-mí
ह́Wré-ndíyé
'sow, plant (seeds)'
go in'
'hit'
'remble'
cut'
sleep'
burn' (also 'give meat to')
'become small'
b. rising-toned
gǒ
nǒ: 'drink'
wǒ: 'catch'
jàrná 'tap'
yègé 'fall'
yògó 'run'
bă:rí 'add' (or 'help')
yă:sí 'scrub (one's body)'
dă:-ndí 'instruct'
bă:rá-mí- 'have (sb) help (sb)'
nàmbí 'stomp on (hide)'
nàmbí-rí 'remove foot from'
wìnjé-mí 'swing (a whip)'
wègísí 'poke with fingers'
dùgú-ndíyé 'become big’

In native vocabulary, if a verb stem begins with an obstruent, the voicing feature of the obstruent determines the "lexical" melody of the verb. An initial voiceless obstruent requires /H/. An initial voiced obstruent requires /LH/. Occasional exceptions involve loanwords like já:jíyí- 'come back home from the pasture' (< Fulfulde) and gápé- 'win (match or contest)' ( $<$ French). If the stem begins with a sonorant or with no consonant (vowel-initial), there is a true lexical choice between $/ \mathrm{H} /$ and $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ melodies. For example, má:- 'become dry' is $/ \mathrm{H} /$ while mǒ:- 'tie knot' is /LH/.

Minimal pairs differing only in lexical melody are almost nonexistent in Nanga verbs, which is to be expected given the frequent overriding of lexical melodies by tone overlays in
various inflectional categories. However, there is one notable pair, núy ${ }^{n}(1$ ) - 'go in' versus $n u ̌ y^{n}(i)-$ 'hear'. However, there are many minimal pairs involving different inflected forms of the same verb stem. In particular, the bare stem (used in verb chains) and the imperative differ only in tones for some verbs: típí 'speak', imperative tínî, see (357a-c) in §10.6.1.1.

Voiced obstruents are familiar "depressor consonants" in African tone systems. However, the effect of obstruent voicing on lexical melody does not operate anywhere near the phonetic level. Nouns, including cognate nominals that are phonologically related to verbs, completely disregard initial obstruent voicing in their own lexical melodies. Even for verbs, the melodies are constantly chipped away at or completely erased in inflected forms, except in the perfective positive system and the bare stem. The correlation between initial-obstruent voicing and tone applies only at the abstract (lexical) level of underlying melodies, and plays no role at the surface.

For vocalic sequences in verb stems, see $\S 10.1$.

### 3.7.1.3 Lexical tone melodies of unsegmentable noun stems

"Nouns" is interpreted broadly here, including some noun-like adverbs. Combining data from all syllable counts, the attested lexical melodies are $/ \mathrm{HL} /$, /LH/, /H/, /LHL/, and very rarely /HLH/, /HLHL/, and /LHLH/.

Monosyllabic nouns have at least two moras. Most have / $\mathrm{HL} /$ or /LH/ melody. There are a few $/ \mathrm{LHL} /$ stems. $/ \mathrm{H} /$ is attested but rare.
(16) Monosyllabic nouns
stem gloss
a. /H/ (rare)
gáy ${ }^{n} \quad$ 'courage'
b. /HL/
pî: 'wealth'
jâ:" 'just deserts'
$g \hat{0}: \quad$ 'large awl'
c. /LH/
tǎ:" 'shed'
sǒn 'horse'
jǎ: 'fence'
dǒ: 'hip'
nă: 'hand'
d. /LHL/ (all known examples)
gô: 'fire'
$t \check{\varepsilon}:^{n} \quad$ 'honeycomb'
mẽ: 'soft mud'
sô̆: 'awareness'
gŏy ${ }^{n} \quad$ 'last year'
dêW 'trap'

See also the $C V-C \hat{v}$ : reduplicated nouns in (33) in §4.1.5.
For bisyllabic nouns, the lexical melody may be monotonal /H/, bitonal /HL/ or /LH/, or tritonal /LHL/. There is one possible case of /HLHL/ ('ostrich'), which may have originated as a compound. For the contoured tones, the location of the tone break may vary, see §3.7.1.6-7 below.
(17) Bisyllabic nouns
stem gloss
a. /H/
gírná 'harvest'
ségí 'dues’
má:ndí 'belief'
wé:rí 'tendon'
tó:sí 'testicles’
b. $/ \mathrm{HL} /$ realized as $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$

CvCV
pír ${ }^{n}$ â $\quad$ 'flour'
mír $n$ â 'voice'
tígâ 'griot's calling out of lineage'
súgî 'francolin'
kíyâ 'squirrel'
final heavy syllable
sápôl 'row'
kúmpâm 'curiosity’
sínwâ: 'Chinese (person)' (French chinois)
báykâl 'modern rifle (type)'
c. /HL/ realized as H.L
$C v: C v$
bú:dì 'money'
CvCCv
témbì 'customary rite'
CvCCCv
háy ${ }^{n} n d \grave{\varepsilon} \quad$ 'amazement'
d. /LH/ realized as L.H
final $C V$
kùW ${ }^{n}$ á 'crowned crane’ (bird)
lì:gí 'bird'
pàndí 'mourning'
nò:mbó 'rainbow'
$n \varepsilon ̀ r r^{n \hat{1}} \quad$ 'dog'
e. /LH/ realized as L. $<\mathrm{LH}>$
final $C v C$
lèrěW 'entirely' (adverb)

```
f. /LHL/ realized as L. <HL}
CvCv
jàmâ 'crowd'
    dùrn}\hat{\jmath}\quad\mathrm{ 'eagle-owl'
    dùwâ 'act of forging'
CvCCv
    màrpâ 'rifle'
    tòndô 'leech'
    \varepsiloǹnj\hat{\varepsilon}\quad 'chicken'
    Cv:Cv
        tà:rî 'egg'
        kò:rô 'kneading stick'
    Cv:CCv
        tì:njî̀ 'worm, grub'
    CvCv:
        ò\etaî: 'frog'
        sàgô: 'blue-eared starling'
g. /LHL/ realized as <LH}>.<\textrm{HL}
    CvCCv
        d\varepsiloňnj\hat{\varepsilon}~ ~ j\varepsiloňnj\hat{\varepsilon}}\quad`God
    Cv:CV
        k\varepsiloň:r\hat{\varepsilon}
        sǎ:râ 'steam-cooked millet meal'
        sǒ:rô 'young (person)'
```

h. /HLHL/ (?) realized as $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{LHL}>$
CvCv:
sákô: $\quad$ 'ostrich' (variant ságoo::)

Trisyllabics are in (18). For the location of the tone $\operatorname{break}(s)$ in (18d,f), see §3.7.1.6-7 below.
(18) Trisyllabic nouns
stem gloss
a. /H/ realized as H.H.H
tá-tágá 'arrogance'
pógírí 'belly strap'
ádúr"óo 'life’
dángárá 'thigh’
b. /HL/ realized as H.H.L
final $C \grave{V}$

| úsúr ${ }^{n}$ ó | 'wind' |
| :--- | :--- |
| sámár"ì | 'day laborer' |
| dúgúrì | 'remorse' |
| dóró:sì | 'strap' |

c. /HL/ realized as H.H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$
final $C \hat{v} C$
tógíyêm 'pot used to heat metal underneath'
kórósôl 'first rains'
pá:mírâl 'understanding'
final $C \hat{v}$ from ${ }^{*} C \hat{v} C$ or ${ }^{*} C \hat{v}$ :
súgúlâ 'remorse' (variant súgúlâm)
bálámbâ 'champion’ (variant bálámbây, cf. Ben Tey bálámbâ:)
káráwâ 'wooden milk bucket' (variant ká:râ, Fulfulde karawal)
d. /LH/ realized as L.L.H
gàsègé 'domestic animal'
nàr $r^{n} y^{n} \dot{\varepsilon} \quad$ 'orphan'
àr ${ }^{n}$ à $W^{n}$ ’́ 'marabou stork'
e. /LHL/ realized as L.H. $<$ HL $>$
dòndíyê 'cat'
gòmbórô 'chest'
sàríy $\hat{\varepsilon} \quad$ 'Islamic law'
wòtúmbâ 'mound'
f. /LHL/ realized as L.L. $<\mathrm{HL}>$
màrpà-jìgìrî 'rifle-cock tightener' (màrpâ 'rifle')
pì-pìrî 'craziness'
sj̀-sòrî 'branch whip'
dògòrô 'cave cemetery'
làsìrî 'finger'
bògòrô 'hubbub'
pìtòlô 'pistol'
nèmbìrê 'act of pleading'
g. /LHL/ realized as L.H.L
làsá:sì 'modern rifle'
h. /HLH/ realized as H.L.H (only example)
héyyèndé 'index finger'
i. /LHLH/ as <LH>.L.H (only example)
măngòró 'mango' (variant măngórò)

Quadrisyllabics are typically treated like compounds, though some are borrowed (usually from Fulfulde). They can show tone sequences like L.L.H.H that seemingly violate the rule that tone breaks occur near the right edge. This is because rules that apply to unsegmentable stems do not apply across compound boundaries.

### 3.7.1.4 Lexical tone patterns for adjectives and numerals

Adjectives and numerals have basically the same tone types as nouns, allowing for gaps due to a limited inventory and due to a predominance of mono- and bisyllabic rather than longer stems.

Examples, beginning with monosyllabics, are in (19).
(19) Adjectives and Numerals

| stem | gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| monosyllabic, /LH/ |  |
| pě: | 'old' |
| yă: | 'female' |
| wǒy | 'two' |
| bisyllabic, /H/ |  |
| sóró | 'straight' |
| úmá | 'alive' |
| pírí | 'white' |
| pé:ré | 'innocent' |
| nánáy ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'respectable' |
| bisyllabic, /HL/ realized as $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$ |  |
| ár ${ }^{n}$ â | 'male' |
| órı̂ | 'smooth, sleek' |
| kúrê | 'six' |
| bisyllabic, /HL/ realized as H.L |  |
| pé:rù | 'ten' |
| bisyllabic /LH/, realized as L.H |  |
| nà: $r^{n}$ á | 'easy' |
| kùnjú | 'middle-aged' |
| dùgí | 'big' |
| mùsú | 'thousand' |
| bisyllabic /LH/, realized as L. $<\mathrm{LH}>$ |  |
| nìmǐ: | 'five' |
| tè:sǐ: | 'nine' |
| bisyllabic /LHL/, realized as L. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ |  |
| $t \grave{g} \mathrm{~g} \hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'young' |
| nòmî | 'difficult' |
| gòmî | 'bad' |

### 3.7.1.5 Default H-tone, or autosegmental mapping?

Particularly for verbs, the effective restriction of lexical tone melodies to $/ \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{LH} /$ gives us the option of taking the H -tone element as a default that need not be specified lexically. In this view, the /LH/ verbs are those that also have an initial L-tone element.

It would be much more difficult to make such an analysis work for non-verb stems, which have a greater range of lexical tone melodies.

### 3.7.1.6 Tone-break location for bitonal non-verb stems

For monosyllabic stems, a contoured melody / $\mathrm{HL} /$ or $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ is obviously realized on the single syllable: tǎ:" 'shed', pî: 'wealth'.

Bisyllabic and longer non-verb stems (nouns, adjectives, numerals) with /LH/ melody have the tone break as close as possible to the right edge: $C \grave{v} C \hat{v}, C \grave{v} C C \bar{v}, C \grave{v}: C \hat{v}, C \grave{v}: C \check{v}$, $C \grave{v} C \hat{v} C \bar{v}$. Examples are kùwná 'crowned crane', pàndí 'mourning', nò:mbó 'rainbow', gàsègé 'animal'.

Bisyllabic and longer non-verb stems with /HL/ melody likewise have the break near the right edge. For prosodically light $C v C v$ and $n C v$ stems (with short vowels), the usual pronunciations are $C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$ and $\tilde{n} C \hat{v}$, with the H-tone component spreading into the onset of the second syllable. Bisyllabics with a final heavy syllable likewise have the tone break just before the final mora. Bisyllabics with a heavy first syllable and light second syllable have the break at the syllable boundary. Examples: súgî 'francolin', bú:dì 'money', sínwâ: 'Chinese person'. Trisyllabics are usually H.H.L-toned if they have a final light syllable, and H.H. $<$ HL>-toned if they have a final heavy syllable, but some loanwords (mostly from Fulfulde) that have lost a final sonorant are still pronounced with a final falling tone; see (18c) in §3.7.1.3.

The tendency of tone breaks in the basic lexical forms of noun, adjective, and numeral stems to occur as close as possible to the right edge is also observed with most $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ tonosyntactic or tonomorphological overlays (§3.7.2.2-3).

### 3.7.1.7 Tone-break location for tritonal non-verb stems

/HLH/ is very rare. I can cite héyyèndé 'index finger', which occurs with similar tones in some other languages. It is probably a loanword.
/LHL/ is more variable in tone-break location than the other melodies considered above. One consistent pattern is $C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$ for bimoraic $C v C v$ stems, where the final vowel has $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone. Likewise $C \dot{v} C \hat{v}$ : when the first syllable is light and the second is heavy. Examples: dùr ${ }^{n} \hat{\jmath}$ 'eagle-owl', sàgô: 'starling sp.' However, $C v C C v$ can appear, depending on the lexical item, as $C \hat{v} C C \hat{v}$ or $C \check{v} C C \hat{v}$, compare tòndô 'leech' and màrpâ 'rifle' with dと̌njê (variant $j \varepsilon ̌ n j \hat{\varepsilon})$ 'God'. Likewise with $C v: C(C)_{v}$ stems: tà:rî 'egg' and kò:rô 'kneading stick', but sǒ:rô 'young (person)' and $k \varepsilon ̌: r \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'money'.

There is a similar lexical choice of tone-break location in /LHL/ trisyllabics, namely between L.H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ with the tone break near the left edge and L.L. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ with the tone break as close as possible to the right edge. Examples are dòndíyê 'cat' and nèmbìrê 'act of pleading'. The /LHL/ melody realized as L.H.L when the medial syllable has a long vowel, as in làsá:sí '(modern) rifle', is a variant on L.H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$.

### 3.7.2 Grammatical tone patterns

Tonosyntactic overlays, usually $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ in Nanga, are (optionally) indicated in transcriptions and interlinears by a superscripted ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ or ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ which is placed at the edge of the target domain "pointing" left or right toward the controller. These superscripts are not phonetic diacritics, and can be omitted without changing the pronunciation; they merely index the prior operation of a tonal overlay. In practice, I use these superscripts mainly for NPinternal tonosyntactic overlays with an overt controller ( $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ Adj, Poss ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{N}$, etc.). Tonomorphological overlays occur in verb-suffix combinations in the morphology, in compounds, and in certain types of stem-iteration, but to avoid clutter I often omit the superscripts in these cases.

### 3.7.2.1 Grammatical tones for verb stems

Verbs are lexically $/ \mathrm{H} /$ or $/ \mathrm{LH} /$. The tone break in $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ stems, as seen in the bare stem (e.g. in verb chains) and in positive perfective forms, is near the left edge: $C \check{v}: C \grave{v} C$ v́, $C$ v̌: $C$ v́, $C \grave{v} C C v ́, C \hat{v} C v ́ C v ́$, etc. For examples see $\S 10.1 .3$.

The lexical melody is overridden in some morphological combinations, though I do not usually indicate the overlay in superscripts. The details are idiosyncratic and are best presented in the sections on specific AN categories in chapter 10.

### 3.7.2.2 Grammatical tones for noun stems

When preceded by a possessor NP, the possessed noun is subject to an overlaid possessorcontrolled tone overlay. This overlay is $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ if a preposed possessor ends in an H-tone, and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ (i.e. tone-dropping) if the possessor ends in an L-tone. This distinction applies to all types of preposed possessor (pronominal, simple core NP, determined or quantified-over NP).

The overlay erases the lexical melody. For example, nèr ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ 'dog' becomes $X^{\mathrm{HL}} n \varepsilon r^{n}$ ̂ or $X$ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n}$ i, depending on what tone the possessor X ends in. The same is true with a preposed pronominal possessor in inalienable possession (kin terms): lèsí 'maternal uncle', ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lésî 'your-Sg maternal uncle'.

However, alienable pronominal possessors follow the possessed NP. In this case there is no possessor-controlled overlay on the possessum, which has its regular tones: nèr $r^{n \hat{1}}$ yê: 'my dog'. This construction was originally appositional: 'dog [my critter]'.

Trisyllabic possessed nouns are illustrated in (20). The distinction between $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlays (20b-c) is amplified by the rightward extension of the H-tone element in \{HL\} to the medial syllable.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. dòndíyê } & \text { 'cat' }  \tag{20}\\
\text { dòndíyê yê: } & \text { 'my cat' } \\
\text { b. yǎ- } \eta{ }^{\text {HL }} \text { dóndíyè } & \text { 'a woman's cat' } \\
\text { c. árnâa }{ }^{\text {L dòndìyè̀ }} & \text { 'a man's cat' }
\end{array}
$$

A fuller set of nouns is given in (21) to show the phonological realization of the basic $\{H L\}$ possessed-noun overlay. (21a) shows that a monosyllabic lexically / $\mathrm{HL} /$-toned noun has no
audible change when the possessed-noun $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay is superimposed. In (21b), the overlay is subtly audible, from unpossessed H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ to possessed H.L. In (21c), the noun has unpossessed and possessed H.H.L, so as in (21a) there is no audible difference. In (21d), the tonal contrast between unpossessed and possessed forms is also audible.

|  | gloss | lexical form | possessed (after yǎ- $\eta$ 'a woman') |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. 'wealth' | pî: | yǎ- $\eta{ }^{\text {HL }}$ pî: |  |

As indicated above, all of the possessed forms drop to $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ when the preceding possessor NP ends in an L-tone component: ár $r^{\hat{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gòndùgò ‘a man's blood', etc.

An unpossessed noun stem drops its tones to $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ when followed by a modifying adjective or by a determiner (definite or demonstrative). Thus nèr ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ ' dog' becomes L-toned in
 §6.5 below.

An unpossessed noun (or noun-adjective sequence) does not interact tonally with a following cardinal numeral or other quantifier: nèr ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ kúrê 'six dogs', nèr ${ }^{n} \hat{\imath}$ kéréw 'all the dogs'.

A noun that has escaped tone-dropping within the core NP or from a possessor is tonedropped as head of a relative clause: nèr $r^{n}{ }^{\text {L }} \hat{i}$ : sùỳ̀-s $\varepsilon^{L} n \varepsilon$ 'the dog that we hit-Past'. See §14.1.2.

There are some complexities involving multi-word NPs and relative clauses with competing tonosyntactic controllers, e.g. Poss-N-Adj-Num-Det ('these three white dogs of Seydou's') or N-Adj-Num-Poss-Det ('these three white dogs of yours'). How these conflicts are worked out is discussed in $\S 6.2$.

### 3.7.2.3 Grammatical tones for adjectives and numerals

An adjective drops its tones when followed within the core NP by another modifying adjective. Thus nèr $r^{n} i{ }^{L}$ bár $^{n} 1$ ' ‘a red (= brown) dog’ has an H-toned adjective bár ${ }^{n} 1$, but it is part of the target domain of $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay controlled by a second adjective: [nèr ${ }^{n} \grave{y}$ bàr $\left.{ }^{n} \grave{1}\right]{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí 'a good red (= brown) dog'.

A modifying adjective and/or a cardinal numeral following a noun is within the domain of the $\{H L\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ possessor-controlled overlay. The possessed noun appears with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ depending on the final tone of the possessor NP. An adjective or numeral that follows the
 yǎ- $\eta{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\left[n \varepsilon r^{n} \text { ì kùrè] 'a woman's six dogs' (kúrê 'six'), yă- }\right]^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [nérnì bàrnì kùrè] 'a woman's
six red (= brown) dogs'. Since a possessed NP always ends in an L-tone, it follows that recursive possessives of the type [[X's Y]'s Z] always have an $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned Z , as in $\left.[y a ̆-\eta]^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{1}:\right]$ ' ǹdò 'a woman's child's house'.

An adjective or cardinal numeral within an unpossessed NP functioning as head NP of a relative drops its tones. [nèr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}$ bàr $\left.^{n} \grave{i}\right]^{\mathrm{L}} \hat{1}:$ sùỳ̀-s ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon$ 'the red (= brown) dog that we hit-Past' (nèrrìi ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bárníl 'red dog'), [nèr ${ }^{n}$ ì kùrè ] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \hat{1}$ : sùỳ̀-sè né 'the six dogs that we hit-Past' (nèr ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ kúrê 'six dogs').

### 3.7.2.4 Grammatical tones for postnominal determiners

As mentioned in §3.7.2.2 above, determiners (definite and demonstrative) are tonosyntactic controllers, inducing tone-dropping on preceding words in unpossessed NPs. However, when a possessor is added to the mix, the tables are turned and the determiner itself is tone-dropped. This is referred to as Determiner Tone-Dropping (§6.5.4).

Consider the formulae in (22), paying attention to the ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ superscripts indicating overlays. X is a nonpronominal NP possessor ending in an H-tone. Det is any postnominal definite or demonstrative morpheme. In (22a), the determiner controls tone-dropping on the noun. In ( $22 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{e}$ ), the determiner loses this control, and is tone-dropped by the possessor, whether the possessor is preposed or postposed to the possessed noun. The possessed noun is túngúrí( ~ túngúrú by assimilation) 'stool'.
a. tùngùrù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú / ìg gú
stool $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.InanSg / Dem.InanSg
'the/that stool'
b. [nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Wǒ-ŋ] $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ túngúrì [person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ stool 'this person's stool'
c. túngúrú [ú $\left.{ }^{H L} g o ̂\right]$
stool [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg]
'your-Sg stool'
d. [nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Wǒ-ŋ] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ túngúrù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù / ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gù [person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ stool ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg / ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanSg 'the/that stool of this person's'
e. túngúrú [ú $\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{o}\right] \quad$ L gù / ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ク̀gù stool [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg / ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanSg 'the/that stool of yours-Sg'

The situation is more complex than this quick summary indicates. For more examples and discussion of fine points, see $\S 6.5 .2-3$ below.

### 3.7.3 Tonal morphophonology

### 3.7.3.1 Autosegmental tone association (verbs)

Verbs have either all-high $/ \mathrm{H} /$ or rising $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ lexical melody. $/ \mathrm{H} /$ spreads over the entire verb stem, unless overridden by a grammatical overlay. /LH/ is realized as $<\mathrm{LH}>$ on monosyllabic stems, as L.H on bimoraic bisyllabics ( $C v C v$ ) and on $C v C C v$ bisyllabics, as L.H.(H...) on trisyllabic or longer stems with initial short-voweled $C_{V}$ or $C v C$ syllable, and as $<\mathrm{LH}>$.H.(H...) on bisyllabic or longer stems with initial-syllable long vowel. The generalization is that the break from L to H in $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ is before the second vocalic mora, i.e. near the left edge.

### 3.7.3.2 Break point in $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlays

This section considers the tonal break point between H and L when an $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlay is applied to a stem or word. The most revealing cases are trisyllabics, e.g. $C v C v C v$, since these stems allow us to determine whether the break point occurs near the left edge or near the right edge. The patterns are summarized in (23); see the indicated sections for the data. Except for one stylistically marked narrative verb-stem iteration, which limits the initial H of $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ to one syllable, all overlaid $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlays have break points after the second syllable in trisyllabic stems. In other words, the location of tone break is defined with respect to the right, not left, edge.
\{HL\} overlay tonal break point
grammatical context $\quad C v C v C v$ tone

When the relevant stem has only one or two syllables, the break point is positioned in such a way that the final tone element is not obliterated. For the narrative verb-stem iterations, this is an issue only for monosyllabic verbs, which have an $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone on the first iteration, e.g. $C \hat{v}:-C \bar{v}:$.

For the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ patterns that have trisyllabic H.H.L realizations, we again get $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone on monosyllabics: $C \hat{v}$ :,$C \hat{v} C$. Bisyllabics with heavy first syllable and monomoraic final syllable, i.e. $C v: C v, C v C C v$, and $C v: C C v$, position the tone break at the internal syllabic boundary ( $C v v^{\prime} C \hat{v}$, etc.). Bisyllabics with final bimoraic syllable, e.g. $C v C v C, C v C v$ :, and
$C v C C v C$, have the tone break inside the second syllable ( $C \hat{v} C \hat{v} C$, etc.). Light bisyllabics with two monomoraic syllables, i.e. $C v C v$ and $n C v$ (with syllabic nasal), are usually pronounced (prepausally) with the H-tone spilling into the second syllable, but not obliterating the terminal L-tone, resulting in a final $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone: $C \hat{v} C \hat{v}, n ́ C \hat{v}$. However, if such a light bisyllabic is a compound initial, or is followed by a modifying adjective, it is normally heard as $C v ́ C \grave{v}, ~ n ́ C \bar{v}$.

For the $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlay (deverbal agentives), monosyllabics have rising tone ( $C \check{v}$ :), and all bisyllabics have the break at the internal syllable boundary ( $C \dot{v} C \dot{v}$ ). Since the inputs here are verb stems, they are either $C v$ : monosyllabics, or longer stems ending in a short $C V$ syllable, so they do not present the same full range of stem shapes as do nouns.

There are few non-verb stems that have four or more syllables and that are not treated phonologically as compounds. However, there are a few, and they too show the tone break near the right edge: yă- $\eta{ }^{\text {HL }}$ bísíyémì 'a woman's acacia' (< bísíyémì), see $\S 6.2 .1 .1$. Because derivational suffixes can be stacked up in verbs, it is easier to find quadrisyllabic and even longer verbs, and their imperative stems show the same right-edge tone break: $\varepsilon$ שré-ndíyé-mò 'make (it) small(er)!'.

Examples showing the primary tone-break patterns are in (24). $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ is illustrated with bahuvrihis. The $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ examples are agentives. The second stem in each compound is the relevant one.
a. monosyllabic
\{HL\} kû:-wôy 'two-headed' (wǒy 'two')
\{LH\} tòndì-ť̌: 'basket-weaver'
b. bisyllabic

```
{HL}
    kû:-dúgî 'big-headed' (dùgí 'big')
        nă:-nímî: 'five-armed' (nìmǐ: 'five')
        s\varepsiloǹg\varepsiloń-m\varepsiloń:nj\grave{\varepsilon} 'thin-skeletoned'(m\grave{:nj\varepsilon' 'thin')}
        {LH}
        nàmà-tùrí 'meat-seller, butcher'
            c. trisyllabic
        {HL}
        kû:-púrúgì 'with an off-white head' (púrúgí 'off-white')
        {LH}
        bìdìgà-bìdìgí 'magician'
```


### 3.7.3.3 Tone-Polarization (decimal numerals)

pé:rù 'ten' forms compounds with following single-digit numerals to produce ' 20 ', ' 30 ', ... '90' (§4.7.1.3). The 'ten' word appears segmentally in these compounds as peri- (' 20 ', before a y), per- ('60' through ' 90 ', before velar or coronal $\{k g s t\}$ ), and $p \varepsilon$ - ('30' through ' 50 ', before coronal $\{n t\}$ ). There is a close, but not perfect, inverse correlation of the final tone of 'ten' with the initial tone of the following numeral. The correlation is seen in pèrí-yěy ' 20 ' (cf. wǒy '2'), pé-tà:ndǐ: ‘ 30 ', pěn-nǒy ${ }^{n}$ ' 40 ', pěn-nìm̌̌:. ' 50 ', pèr-kúrê ‘ 60 ', pèr-súy $\hat{\varepsilon}^{‘} 70$ ', and
pèr-gá:rè ' 80 ', but the final decimal numeral in the series is an exception: pèr-tè:š̌: ' 90 '. Because numeral sequences like this are often recited in an incantational fashion, adjacent numerals often share phonological properties, and ' 90 ' may simply be following the shape pèr- of the three preceding members of the series.

### 3.7.3.4 Atonal-Morpheme Tone-Spreading

Atonal morphemes (suffixes, clitics, particles, postpositions) acquire their surface tone by spreading from the final tone of the morpheme on the left. The morphemes in question are mostly monosyllabic, but yaya 'also, too' is bisyllabic, and both of its syllables get their tones by spreading from the left. I distinguish spreading into atonal morphemes from the word-level tone overlays controlled by a word or phrase to the left. However, in Nanga the distinction is less sharp than in other Dogon languages, since possessor-controlled overlays (the only case of left-to-right control) are affected by the final tone of the possessor.

Atonal suffixes in verbal morphology are $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-w$, and some allomorphs of the 3 Pl suffix. ( 3 Sg suffix is zero, and 1 Pl and 2 Pl suffixes have their own unusual pitch contour.)

For nouns and pronouns, accusative suffix (or enclitic) $-\eta$ is atonal and therefore gets its tone by spreading.

Atonal enclitics are nonsyllabic forms of the 'it is' enclitic ( $=m-$, $=w$-, etc.). For example, with nă: 'hand' we get nă: $=\hat{W}^{n}$ 'it is a hand', and with nàmâ 'meat' we get nàmâ $=\grave{W}^{n}$ 'it is meat' (§11.2.1.1).

Particles that get their tones by spreading include interrogative ma, quotative wa, samesubject subordinator $\eta$, and nde 'if'. Interrogative ma in particular is highly subject to intonational modification, so (phonological) tone-spreading is not always clearly discernible on this morpheme.

When tones spread from a stem or word that ends in a vowel with a contour tone, and when the targeted suffix or clitic consists of just a consonant, the resulting $C v C$ or $C v: C$ syllable may require a phonetic adjustment regarding the location of the tone break; see Contour-Tone Stretching (§3.7.4.2, below).

Certain H-toned morphemes systematically fail to spread their tone. Perfective-2 -só(including conjugated forms like $1 \mathrm{Sg}-s o ́-y$ ) is followed by L-toned particles mà $\rightarrow$ (interrogative), wà (quotative), and ndè ('if') (§10.2.1.3).

In other cases, failure of tone-spreading occurs in specific combinations only, and is not systematic. Pronouns, determiners, and WH-interrogatives ending in H -tone are followed by L-toned 'it is' clitic $=\grave{\eta} \sim=\grave{w}$, which is elsewhere atonal (§11.2.1.1).

To a limited extent, the process is recursive insofar as an atonal suffix that gets H-tone by spreading can pass this tone to a particle. The only examples known to me involve a nonsyllabic suffix and a following particle. In yè:-rú-w' 'you-Sg did not come', $2 \mathrm{Sg}-w$ gets its H-tone from the perfective negative suffix /-rí-/, and this tone spreads to a following particle: yè:-rú-ẃ má $\rightarrow$ 'did you-Sg not come?', yè:-rú-ẃ wá 'it is said that you-Sg did not come', yè:-rú-Ẃ ndé 'if you did not come'.

In other cases, potential recursion fails. When accusative $-\eta$ becomes H -toned -1 by spreading, it does not pass the H-tone on to yana 'also', so we get e.g. ǹjii-1́y yàmà 'me too' (§19.1.3).

One way to model the tonal behavior of perfective-2 -só- and accusative - $\eta$ would be to attribute to them an underlying L-tone (perhaps a following floating L) which is realized (if at all) on a following morpheme. However, a purely phonological solution like this is ad hoc and (to me) unconvincing.

Although interrogative ma and quotative wa individually acquire their tones by spreading when clause-final (prepausal), when they co-occur they appear with H-tones as má $\rightarrow$ wá or less often $m \hat{a} \rightarrow$ wà, disregarding the tone of the preceding word ( $(13.2 .1$ ). In effect, when ma is not clause-final, a latent lexical H - or HL-tone appears, and it then spreads to the quotative morpheme.

Indeed, I know of no case where two separate syllabic atonal morphemes both acquire an H - or L-tone by spreading from the left. However, the significance of this is unclear since, except for interrogative-quotative, it is difficult to construct a context where two syllabic atonal morphemes are juxtaposed.

### 3.7.4 Low-level tone rules

### 3.7.4.1 Rising-Tone Mora-Addition

A final $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone may occur on a short $C v$ syllable; there is no lengthening (mora-addition) of this vowel. In addition to $C \hat{V} C \hat{v}$ and $C \check{v}: C \hat{v}$ stems (lexical nouns, some imperative verb forms), where the final $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone represents the spread of the preceding H -tone into the onset of the final syllable, there are nouns like ùsùrî 'broom' that clearly have an $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone expressed entirely on the final short vowel.

However, a rising tone cannot be expressed on a single mora, i.e. in a $C v$ syllable with short vowel. A few nouns, notably nŭ: 'person' and yă: 'woman' ( Sg yă-ŋ) are always pronounced with a long vowel in their bare form with <LH> tone, but shorten to $C v$ in some other contexts. nŭ: idiosyncratically becomes nú before numerals, as in nú tà:ndǐ: 'three people', and with tone-dropping as nù in nù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one person' ('one' is treated as a modifying adjective) and in nù ${ }^{L}$ kámâ 'everybody'. In other combinations it retains its vowel length, even when tone-dropped, as before adjectives (nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ mう̀sí 'a nasty person'). So it is not quite corrcct that vowel length correlates with tone for this noun. yǎ: 'woman' is likewise always long-voweled when unsuffixed and <LH>-toned, for example before a numeral, but when tone-dropped it appears variously as yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ and yà- (the latter probably archaic, as in yà-gùrś 'young woman').

So 'person' and 'woman' do not convincingly point to underlying /Č̌/ that lengthens to $C \check{v}$ : when unsuffixed and when the tones are not altered. However, there are some nonmonosyllabic numerals ending in $<\mathrm{LH}\rangle$-toned long vowels that do seem to systematically shorten when the final tones shift, as in finals of bahuvrihi compounds with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay (25), and as relative-clause heads (26).

| stem | gloss | with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$-overlay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nìmǐ: | 'five' | nă:-nímî | 'five-armed' (bahuvrihi) |  |
| tà:ndǐ: | 'three' | kû:-tá:ndì | 'three-headed' (bahuvrihi) |  |
| [nàmà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | tà:ndi ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | ú | $\grave{\varepsilon} W \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{s e c}^{\text {L }}$ | bû:] |
| [ $\mathrm{cow}^{\text {L }}$ | three ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | 2 SgSbj | buy-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def.AnPl] |
| àr"àná | $b-\bar{\varepsilon}$ |  |  |  |
| where? | be-3PISbj |  |  |  |

One could therefore argue for underlying /nìmǐ/ ' 5 ' and /tà:ndǐ/ ' 3 ', leave the final vowels short when they surface with any tone other than $<\mathrm{LH}>$, and lengthen them when $<\mathrm{LH}>-$ toned, by rule (27).

## Rising-Tone Mora Addition

In a final monomoraic $C v$ syllable, a rising tone forces addition of one mora (i.e. the vowel is lengthened).

Verb stems never meet the phonological conditions for the shortening.
There are no parallel cases of length alternations involving $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tone, so (27) is specifically formulated for rising tone.

### 3.7.4.2 Contour-Tone Stretching

The final syllable of a word may contain a $C v$ or $C v$ : with a contour tone plus a suffixal or clitic sonorant consonant (atonal or tonal). A contour $\langle\mathrm{HL}\rangle$ or $\langle\mathrm{LH}\rangle$ tone in such a syllable is pronounced with the tone break near the end of the syllable.

For example, in combinations with the 'it is' clitic, the final semivowel bears the second tone component in combinations like nǎ: $=\hat{W}^{n}$ 'it is a hand' and nàmâ= $\grave{W}^{n}$ ' it is meat'. I transcribe the noun stem in such cases with its regular (word-final) tones, but the actual pronunciations are closer to [nà: $\tilde{w}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ] and [nàmáw ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ ]. So a minor rule stretching the tone break to just before the final mora is needed, strictly speaking.

### 3.7.4.3 Final-Tone Resyllabification

There are no combinations of a $C \hat{V} C$ or $C \check{V} C$ stem with a vowel-initial clitic or suffix that would trigger a resyllabification and consequent tonal jump.

### 3.7.4.4 Rightward H-Spreading

Whether special tone-spreading rules are needed for Nanga depends on a number of analytical decisions. If we take lexical melodies to be ontologically separate from syllables and segments, we will need some rules or constraints to account for the surface tones. If the tones are already associated with segments or syllables in the lexicon, those rules are not needed. Similarly, how we analyse the imposition of possessed-noun tone overlays, and of tonedropping and other tonosyntactic processes, determines the need for spreading rules and their precise formulation.

Here I will merely comment on one relatively tangible matter. Historically, *Cv́Cv̀ stems have shifted in Nanga to $C \dot{v} C \hat{v}$, and * Cy̌:Cv̀ to $C \check{v}: C \hat{v}$, as the H-tone spills over partially from the final (or sole) mora of the first syllable into the onset of the second, resulting in a short $<\mathrm{HL}>$-toned short vowel that is a distinctive characteristic of Nanga. We also get $C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$ when a $C v C v$ stem has an $\{H L\}$ overlay controlled by a preceding possessor. However, in this case, the output is $C \bar{V} C \bar{v}$ when the stem is a compound initial or when it is modified by a following adjective (in either case, the following stem is tone-dropped). The alternation between $C$ v́C and $C \dot{v} C \hat{v}$, and the absence of a lexical contrast between the two, raises the possibility that
$C \hat{C} C \hat{v}$ is derived by a synchronic phonological rule from $/ \mathrm{C} \dot{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C} /$, and (by extension) that $C \check{v}: C \hat{v}$ is derived from /Cy̌:Cv̀/, by a very limited Rightward H-Spreading process.

When atonal quotative wa follows a $C \hat{v}$ syllable, the result is $C \hat{v}$ wà, perhaps via $/ C \hat{v}$ wà/. Here too the H -tone pushes an L-tone rightward, though only up to the word boundary.

### 3.7.4.5 Floating-Tone Linking

There are no systematic vowel-deletion rules (syncope, apocope), so there are no contexts where a tone stranded (de-linked) by such a deletion must relink to the left or right.

However, the 1 Sg possessor morpheme is a floating L-tone preceding the possessive classifiers ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\imath} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{\jmath}$ (inanimate) and ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ (animate). The resulting forms are $k \hat{y}$ : and $y \tilde{\varepsilon}$;, respectively, i.e. with <LHL> tones (§6.2.1.3, §6.2.2.1). They can be represented with superscripts as ${ }^{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{g}$, etc., where the + sign indicates that the peripheral tone element is confined to the edge.

### 3.7.4.6 Final-Cv<LH>-to-H Reduction

I know of no clear cases where a final rising tone is reduced (e.g. in a monomoraic syllable) to an H-tone. This occurs in some other Dogon languages in nominal morphology, where a noun stem (arguably /Cv̀Č̌/ lexically) is realized as $C \hat{V} C \hat{v}-$ without suffix and as $C \hat{v} C \grave{v}-\bar{x}$ with a suffix consisting of a (nasal) consonant $x$. The only noun in Nanga that has such a suffix is yă 'woman', with its archaic singular yă- $\eta$ and its unsuffixed plural yǎ:, but here (perhaps since the stem is monosyllabic) the unsuffixed form is lengthened, allowing full expression of the rising tone. See Rising-Tone Mora-Addition (§3.7.4.1, above).

### 3.8 Intonation contours

3.8.1 Phrase and clause-final nonterminal contours $\left({ }^{\dagger}, \rightarrow, \rightarrow^{\dagger}, \rightarrow^{\dagger}\right)$

In texts, and to a lesser extent in elicited sentential examples, arrows can be used to indicate intonationally significant high $\left(^{( }\right)$or low $\left({ }^{( }\right)$terminal pitch, prolongation of the final syllable $(\rightarrow)$, or the combination of prolongation with either high $\left(\rightarrow^{\dagger}\right)$ or low $\left(\rightarrow^{+}\right)$terminal pitch. Prolongation and/or high terminal pitch are typical of nonfinal phrases or clauses in parallel with a final phrase or clause, which may have ordinary phrasal intonation or may have unusually low pitch. Intonational prolongation is also common with interrogative particle ma and 'or' disjunctive particle ma, which are not clearly separable.

### 3.8.2 Adverbials and particles with lexically specified prolongation $(\rightarrow)$

Prolongation of the coda of the final syllable is also lexically baked into certain expressive adverbials (EAs). Examples of monosyllabic sonorant-final EAs are dém $\rightarrow$ 'straight (direction)' and déy ${ }^{n} \rightarrow$ 'apart, separate', where the sonorant itself undergoes prolongation: [démmm], etc.

Prolongation occurs in numerous nonmonosyllabic expressive adverbials and adjectival intensifiers, e.g. késékéréy $\rightarrow$ 'very dry (intensifier)', pót̂ $\rightarrow$ 'flat and small', and bèndè-bénd $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ 'brick-shaped’. See §8.4.7.1-5 for more examples.

### 3.8.3 Dying-quail intonational effect .: (1Pl, 2Pl)

A dying-quail intonational effect, by which the final syllable of a word is prolonged and undergoes a slow pitch decline, has been found in Jamsay (most systematically at the end of each coordinand in a pronominal or NP conjunction). A variation on this occurs in Ben Tey, where it converts singular to plural pronominal categories for first, second, and 3Logophoric persons. The symbol for this is $\therefore$, placed at the end of the word.

In Jamsay, only the coda of the final syllable of the word is affected, so if the word ends in a $C v C$ syllable with final sonorant, as in $n \check{\varepsilon}-m$ 'women' as a coordinand, the prolongation and final pitch decline in the conjoined form $n \check{\varepsilon}-m . \therefore$ are realized on the $m$, not on the syllabic nucleus $\varepsilon$. In Jamsay, the initial pitch of the relevant syllable respects the phonological tone, which may be $H$ or $L$. If $H$, the pitch decline is conspicuous; if $L$, the main audible effect is prolongation.

In Nanga, I have occasionally observed a clause-final dying-quail effect in 'whether' clauses, as in (641) and (660e). However, the most systematic dying-quail pattern is in 1 Pl and 2 Pl subject forms of verbs and other predicates. Ben Tey has a similar 1P1/2Pl pattern, but Nanga and Ben Tey differ in phonetic details.

Nanga independent pronouns, 1Pl î: (cf. 1Sg $\check{1}:{ }^{n}$ ), 2Pl û: (cf. 2Sg ú), and 3Logophoric plural â: (cf. singular á) are (in part) tonal modifications of the singulars. However, in Nanga I did not observe exaggerated prolongation and corresponding slow pitch decline in these independent pronouns, as in the cases I consider to have the dying-quail feature.

In verbal inflections, by contrast, we do get terminal pitch patterns close to the dyingquail examples in the other languages. Consider the data in (28), where the transcriptions in square brackets simulate the phonetic pitch effects, and $\therefore$ in the phonological transcription indexes dying-quail intonation.

| 1 Sg |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 2 Sg |  | 2 Pl |

a. sùyè- 'hit' (simple perfective)
b. súyó-jè- 'have already hit'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { súyó-jèz-y } \\
& \text { súyójòz- }
\end{aligned}
$$

súyó-jè-ỳ:: [sújóḑzécè̀j]
súyó-jè-ẁ .: [sújj́ḑ̧̇éèw]
c. súyó-só- 'hit’' (perfective-2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { súyó-só-ý } \\
& \text { súyó-só-ẃ }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
súyó-só-ý.: [sújósòóòj]
```

súyó-só-ẃ.: [sújósoóóòw]
In these transcriptions, $\therefore$ overrides the tone patterns shown in the final syllable (and earlier syllables under some conditions). Therefore we get phonetic LHL pitch not only in (28a-b),

> sùyò-ỳ
> sùyò-Ẁ
> sùyò-ỳ.: [sùjòóòj]
> sùyò-Ẁ.: [sùj̀jóòw]
where the singulars show L-toned final syllable, but also in (28c), where the singulars have H-toned final syllable.

Consider now (29). In these paradigms, observe in particular that the 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms show an H-tone on the penultimate syllable, including the nasal onset in (29a), in contrast to an L-tone in the 1 Sg and 2 Sg (and the third person forms, not shown). In addition, the stemfinal vowel is lengthened in the perfective negative. The final syllable is realized with LHL or L pitch. I hear LHL in the final syllable of 1 Pl and 2 Pl 'not be' (29a) in careful pronunciation, but I have also heard L. I hear L in the final syllable of 1 Pl and 2 Pl 'dislike' and the perfective negative (29b-c).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { form } \quad 1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad 2 \mathrm{Sg} \quad 1 \mathrm{Pl} \quad 2 \mathrm{Pl} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. ŋ̀gó- 'not be (somewhere)'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ŋ̀gó-ý } \\
& \text { ŋ̀gó-y: : [ŋ́gòóòj] } \\
& \text { ŋ̀gó-W } \therefore \text { [ }\{\text { gòóòow] }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. m̀bùrǎ- 'dislike' (§11.2.6.3)

```
m̀bùrà-ý \grave{mbùrà-ý.\therefore [ḿbúráàj]}]
            m̀bùrà-Ẃ m̀bùrà-Ẃ: [ḿbúráàw]
```

c. sùyò-rí- ‘did not hit'

```
sùyò-rí-ý [sùjòrí:] sùyò-rí-y.: [sùjó:rì:]
sùyò-rú-Ẃ [sùjòrú:] sùyò-rú-W.: [sùjó:rù:]
```

These forms create a transcriptional conundrum. One choice is to transcribe more or less phonetically, e.g. 1 Pl sùyó-rì-y for 'we did not hit'. The other is to transcribe the 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms as intonational modifications of the corresponding singulars, e.g. 1 Pl sùyò-rí-y. $\therefore$, bringing out the morpho-phonological structure but disguising the phonetics. I choose the latter course.

In (29a), the dying-quail intonation actually is quadripartite [H-LHL], with the initial high pitch realized on the penultimate syllable. In (29b-c), dying quail is [H-L] if analysed as being realized on the final two syllables, or [L-H-L] if analysed as being realized on the last three syllables.

In (29c), I can hear no lengthening of $1 \mathrm{Pl}-r i ̀-y ̀ ~[r i ̀:] ~ v i s-a ̀-v i s ~ 1 S g ~-r i ́-y ~[r i ́ i:], ~ t h e ~ l a t t e r ~$ already being pronounced like a long vowel (as the /iy/ sequence monophthongizes). Likewise for 2 Pl versus 2 Sg .

The various realizations of dying-quail intonation are summarized in (30). The 2 Pl forms, not shown, are parallel prosodically to the 1 Pl forms shown. Verb stems used to illustrate the regular inflectional suffixes are súyó- ‘hit', supplemented by túwé- ‘die' (perfective-1a), nǔy ${ }^{n}$ 'hear' as an irregular imperfective negative, and a stative form of bàmbí-yí 'carry on back'. The 1 Pl (and 2 Pl ) imperfective positive do not have the usual bell-shaped tone on the final syllable, but the final vowel is effectively lengthened vis-à-vis the singulars.
（30）Nanga dying－quail intonation with $1 \mathrm{Pl}-y . \therefore$
a．［LHL］pitch，erasing input tone，on final syllable only on otherwise L－toned final syllable

| simple perfective | sùyò－ỳ： | ［sùjòóòj］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| perfective－1a | túwé－èrè－y．： | ［túwéżrè̇̇と̀j］ |
| perfective－1b | súyó－tì－y．： | ［sújótiîi］ |
| recent perfect | súyó－jè－ỳ： | ［sújódろıčè̇̀j］ |
| reduplicated perfective | sú－sùyò－y ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ［súsùjòój̀j］ |
| progressive | （sù－）súyò（：）－sò－y ． | ［（sù）sújò（ò）sòóòj］ |
| stative | bá－bàmbà－ỳ．： | ［bábàmbàáàj］ |
| imperfective negative | súyò－ŋゝ̀－y ${ }^{n}$. | ［sújò ${ }^{\text {jósój}}{ }^{\text {n }}$ ］ |
| past | $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y . \therefore$ ，bè－$y . \therefore$ | ［bèzèj］ |
| ＇have＇ | yá sò－y．： | ［sòóòj］ |
| ＇be present＇ | yá bì－y．： | ［yábìî］ |
| ＇we are Fulbe＇ | pírâ $=m i-y^{n} . \therefore$ | ［mìî］ |

［cf．pírâ＝m－ì＇I am a Fulbe＇］
on otherwise $H$－toned final syllable
perfective－2 só－súyó－só－ý．：［sújósòóòj］
＇we are women＇yǎ：$=m i ́-y^{n} . \therefore \quad$［mììi］
［cf．yǎ－$\eta=m-1$＇ I am a woman＇］
on otherwise $<L H>$－toned final syllable
＇it is not＇$=$ ndǒ－y．$\quad$［ndòóòj］
b．［．．．H－L］with［H］realized on the penult，both vowels lengthened on otherwise L－toned penult and final progressive negative súyò：－sò＝ndò－ỳ．：［súyò：sòóńdòòj］ on otherwise L－toned penult and H－toned final stative negative bàmbà＝ndó－ý：$\quad$［bàmbàándòòj］ ＇not have＇
sò $=n d o ́-y ́: \quad$［sòóńdòòj］
c．［H－L］with［H］realized on the penult，only the final lengthened on otherwise L－toned penult and L－toned final imperfective（sù－）súyò－mì－y．： ［（sù）sújómì̀］ on otherwise L－toned penult and H－toned final irregular imperfective negative ＇hear＇nù－ŋó－$y^{n} . \therefore \quad\left[\right.$ núyı̀̀̀j ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ］ on otherwise $H$－toned penult and final ＇love＇$\grave{m} b a ́=m-1 ́ y . \therefore \quad$［m̀bámìi］

d．［H－L］with H on penult（for monosyllables only at the end of the syllable），penult and（perhaps）ultimate lengthened
on otherwise $H$－toned final following $\{L\}$－toned stem perfective negative sùyò－rí－y．：［sùjó：rì：］
＂
tà：－rí－y．：
［tǎ：rì：］
e. [H-L] with H through onset of ultimate, ultimate lengthened on otherwise L-toned then $\langle L H\rangle$-toned final
'dislike' (irregular) m̀bùrà-ý.: [ḿbúráàj]
f. [HLHL] pitch, with H on initial and LHL on ultimate 'not be'

ற̀gó-ý.:
[ǵgòój]

## 4 Nominal, pronominal, and adjectival morphology

### 4.1 Nouns

### 4.1.1 Simple nouns

With the exception of 'woman', discussed below (§4.1.2), nouns in Nanga have a single form that is used with both singular and plural reference. Number is therefore expressed not in the noun (or adjective), but in postnominal determiners (definite or demonstrative), which distinguish animate singular, animate plural, inanimate singular, and inanimate plural. 'Dog' and 'tree' illustrate animate and inanimate nouns, with and without definite determiners (31). As usual, superscripted ${ }^{\text {L }}$ at the right edge of a word (or of a bracketed word string) indicates that tone-dropping has occurred, controlled by a word to the right.

| a. $n \varepsilon$ r $r^{n} \hat{1}$ | (a) dog; dogs' |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'the dog' (singular) |
| $n \varepsilon r^{n}{ }^{\text {l }}$ L bû: | 'the dogs' |
| b. tùmá | 'tree; trees' |
| tùmà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'the tree' |
| tùmà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ý | 'the trees' |

In absolute form (free of modifiers), $C v$ : is an allowable nominal stem shape (32a). Shortvoweled $C V$ is found as a free form only in the variant $k$ ' 'thing' and its suppletive plural yé (32b). The singular is more often kón, or an expanded form $k \grave{j}{ }^{L}$ kámâ 'thing, anything'. A handful of nouns have $C$ v̀ form certain combinations, likely archaic. nǔ:' 'person' reduces to a short-voweled form nú before a quantifier, but does have a long vowel before a determiner (32c). Kin terms bǎ: 'father' and dě: 'mother' have short forms in uncle/aunt terms with following 'big' or 'small', as in bà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ díyâ 'big father', i.e. 'father's elder brother' (§6.2.2). yǎ: 'woman' has archaic singular variant yă- $\eta$ (32d), and reduces to yà- in two compounds (§5.1.7). ná 'time(s)' occurs only before a numeral or other quantifier (32e).
free definite gloss

| a. $k$ û: | kù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'head' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jǎ: | $j a ̀:{ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'fence' |
| nǒ: | nò: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'mouth' |
| dǒ: | dò: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'rag cushion' |
| nǎ: | nà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'hand' |
| nǎ: | nà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'meal' |
| tă: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | tà: ${ }^{n \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~g}$ gú | 'taboo' |
| dû: | $d u:^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{g}$ ú | 'load' |
| ó: | ò: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'medication' |
| ง: | j: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'place' |
| $\varepsilon$ : | ¢̀: ${ }^{\text {L }} g u$ ú | 'well' |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { bǎ: } \\ & \text { dě: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bà: }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon ́ \\ & \text { dè: }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ | 'father' <br> 'mother' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. kó- $\sim$ ~ kó | $k \dot{j}^{\text {L }}$ gú | 'thing' (see §4.1.3) |
| $y \varepsilon ์$ | $y غ^{\mathrm{L}} g u ́$ | 'things' (see §4.1.3) |
| c. nǔ: | $n u ̀:{ }^{\text {L }}$ né | 'person' (see §4.1.3) |
| d. yǎ:, yǎ- 1 | yà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ né | 'woman' (see §4.1.2) |
| e. nà | - | 'time(s)', followed by a quantifier |

Some nouns have $C v$ : free forms but appear in at least some compounds as $C v$-. For shortvoweled yà- 'woman' in certain compounds, see §5.1.7. bǎ: 'father' and dě: 'mother' occur with short vowels in parallel uncle/aunt terms (the finals are the adjectives 'big' and 'small'): $b a ̀{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ díyâ 'father's elder brother', bà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} t \varepsilon ̀ g \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'father's younger brother', dè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ díyâ 'mother's elder sister', dè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} t \grave{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'mother's younger sister'. The terms for two types of hide shoulderbag, nà-pé:ré (variant nà-pégíré ) and nà-kòmbó are suggestive of a similar relationship to nǎ: 'hand', but both are etymologically obscure and the connection with 'hand' is doubtful.

### 4.1.2 Animate singular - $\eta$ (yǎ- $\eta$ 'woman'), animate plural -yè

For 'woman' (and 'wife' when possessed), the basic form is yǎ:, which by itself functions as the plural 'women'. yǎ: is also the basis for combinations (even with singular reference) with a following word or particle within the NP or with a preceding or following possessor: yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one woman', yà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ kámâ 'each woman', yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí '(a) good woman', yà: ${ }^{\text {L }} n \varepsilon$ 'the woman', yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wǒ- $\eta$ 'this woman', yǎ: nò 'his wife', yǎ: [ú $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$ 'your-Sg wife'. See also the compounds in $\S 5.1 .7$, below. However, as an unmodified simple noun (e.g. indefinite), the singular is yǎ- $\eta$, as in yă- $\eta$ gǒ- $\varepsilon$ rè- $\varnothing$ 'a woman went out'. This is the only regular noun stem that preserves animate singular -1 ).

The suffix also occurs in the demonstrative-like wǎ- $\eta$ 'the counterparty' versus plural wâ: or wǎ:-yè (§4.4.1.5), in ǎ- $\rceil$ 'who?' versus plural ǎ:-yè (§13.2.2), and in somewhat frozen form in demonstrative wǒ- $\eta$ 'this, that (animate)' versus plural wě: or wǒ:-yè (§4.4.1.2). In these cases, except 'woman', animate singular $-\eta$ is paired with plural (usually but not always animate) suffix -yè. This plural suffix also appears in gàmbí-yê 'some (ones), certain ones' (§6.3.2) and in t̀gú-yè 'these/those (inanimate)'.

Nanga $-\eta$ and Ben Tey/Bankan Tey $-m$ likely reflect *-n (from earlier *-nu), related to an old Dogon word for 'person', cf. e.g. Jamsay -n, Toro Tegu $-r^{n} u$.

The shift of animate singular *-n to ${ }^{*}$-m had already taken place in the proto-language for Nanga, Ben Tey, and Bankan Tey. The further lenition of $*-m$ to $-\eta$ in Nanga (often weakening further to vocalic nasalization, or disappearing entirely) has parallels in verbal morphology. Imperfective *-m- appears in Nanga as -m- before vocalic suffixes (e.g. $3 \mathrm{Pl}-m$ $\grave{\varepsilon}$ ) but as $-\grave{\eta}$ in the otherwise unsuffixed 3 Sg form. Hortatives -má or -mày ${ }^{n}$ in main clauses correspond to shortened $-\underline{\eta}$ (presumably from *-m) in quoted hortatives. In the case of nouns and demonstratives, a further catalyst may have been cluster assimilation followed by morphemic resegmentation in combinations including the 'it is' clitic, which in Nanga has primary allomorphs $=m$ - and $=\eta$-(§11.2.1.1).

Curiously, while $-\eta$ occurs chiefly in animate singular forms, it seems to be optionally present in $k \delta-\eta \sim k \sigma$ 'thing', see $\S 4.1 .3$ just below. It is possible that in this case $-\eta$ was added to a subminimal $C_{V}$ noun stem to make it prosodically more respectable.

### 4.1.3 Other basic nouns ('child', 'person', 'thing')

'Child' is yî: (for its compounds, see §5.1.6). Examples with following elements include yì: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ ~ '(a) ~ g o o d ~ c h i l d ', ~ y i ̀: ~ L e ́ ~ ' t h e ~ c h i l d ', ~ a n d ~ y i ̀: ~ t u ̀ m a ̂ ~ ' o n e ~ c h i l d ' . ~ T h e ~ p l u r a l ~ ' c h i l d r e n ' ~ i s ~$ yìt tèg $\hat{\varepsilon}$, a somewhat frozen and semantically specialized combination with tèg $\hat{\varepsilon}$ 'small' (whose more common synonym is $\grave{\varepsilon} w r \dot{\varepsilon}$ ), cf. also noun $t \hat{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'childhood'.
nŭ: 'person' may have singular or plural reference. It shortens to nù or nú in combinations involving quantifiers internal to the N -Num sequence (this does not include 'all'). I hear tone-dropped $n \grave{u}^{\mathrm{L}}$ in $n \grave{u}^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ 'everyone', and H-toned nú before nonsingular cardinal numerals, as in nú tà:ndǐ: 'three people'. Elsewhere the vowel is long, as in nŭ: kéréw 'all the people', and even when tone-dropped as in nù: ${ }^{\text {L }} W o \check{-} \eta$ 'this person', nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ né 'the person', and nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ mòsí 'nasty person'.

For 'thing', the singular form without a modifier is $k \delta-\eta$ or variant $k$ ś, with optional plural yé. The singular is $k \bar{o}$ (or tone-dropped $k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}$ ) before a modifier: $k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú 'the thing', $k \dot{j}^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí 'a good thing', and kó tà:ndǐ: 'three things'. The combination kò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one thing' can mean 'anything, each thing' and, under negation, '(not) anything'. The suppletive plural yé 'things' optionally replaces kó before nonsingular quantifiers, i.e. as an inanimate classifier: yé tà:ndǏ: 'three (things)'. The combination yè̀ $k$ kámâ 'any things' is also possible.
$k \delta-\eta \sim k \sigma^{\prime}$ 'thing' is undoubtedly related historically to the inanimate singular pronoun kú, and to inanimate singular definite marker $g u ́ \sim \sim k u ́ \sim w^{\prime}$. Its plural yé is similarly related to
 classifiers used with postposed pronominal possessors (§6.2.1.3).

### 4.1.4 'So-and-so' (à-má:nì and variants)

The 'So-and-so' word, used in generic contexts as a variable representing any personal name, is à-má:nì~ à-mâ:n~ or má:nì~ mâ:n. For à- see §4.1.7.

### 4.1.5 Initial $C v$ - and $C v C$-reduplications in nouns

Corresponding to Ci - reduplications in Jamsay and Ben Tey, Nanga (like some other languages of the zone) has $C v$ - with a copy of both the source consonant and vowel.

In (33), we see the different tonal patterns for a basic type with $C v$ : or $C v C$ base and an initial $C v$-reduplicant. All known examples are given. The reduplicant is L-toned except in the flagrantly onomatopoeic 'crow' (33b). The base is $<$ HL> or $<$ LHL $>$. In (33c), the ethnic term Bobo is perhaps an accidental member of this reduplicated class. (The so-called "Bobo" of Mali speak Bwamu, Gur language family, rather than one of the Bobo languages, Mande family, of Burkina Faso.) 'Agama lizard' seems to have mutated to fit the pattern of the other fauna terms. Its cognates are unreduplicated bisyllabics pointing to *kèngú or the like.
form gloss
a. $C_{1} \grave{v}_{l}-C_{1} \grave{v}_{1}$
body parts
$b \grave{\text { èbê: }}$
kò-kô:
fauna
kà-kă: 'grasshopper' (all species)
$k \grave{\varepsilon}-k \check{\varepsilon}: \quad$ 'beetle, bug' (all species)
kè-kê: 'agama lizard' (multiple species)
tà-tă: 'hyena' (extends to leopard)
b. $C_{1} \bar{v}_{1}-C_{1} \tilde{V}_{1}$
fauna
gá-gă:" 'crow'
c. $C_{1} \grave{V}_{1}-C_{1} \hat{v}:_{l}$
humans
bò-bô: 'Bobo' (ethnicity, Bwamu-speaking)
gò- $g \hat{0}: \quad$ 'griot (with war tomtoms)'
d. $C_{1} \grave{V}_{1}-C_{1} \hat{V}_{1} W$
fauna
tè-têW 'large raptor' (multiple species)
e. $C_{1} \grave{v}_{1}-C_{1} \check{V}_{1} W$ topography jà-jǎw
'beard'
'back of skull above nape'
'hyena' (extends to leopard)
'

Numerous trisyllabic and longer nouns begin with an L-toned $C \bar{v}$ - reduplicant. In most cases no unreduplicated form is attested. Examples are kò-kósô 'dried leaves on ground', kò-kòsí 'viper (Echis)', pà-pàgìrí 'rocky hill', kí-kínjí ‘broken pieces of seed spike', jà-jànkó: ‘double grain spike', gù-gùsí 'giant pouched rat', mò-mゝ̀r'n 'scorpion', nà-nà: $r^{n}$ á 'spider', kó-kòsô 'cough (n)' (cf. verb kósó-), gò-góndúgò 'ants', and a few others.

H-toned reduplicants occur in tú-tùgúrô 'bush sp. (Tephrosia mossiensis; cf. verb túgúró 'retract a curse'), gó-gómí 'cliff', sá-sà yár'â 'tall herb sp. (Aeschynomene)', jú-júngí 'protrusion (in tree)', and the final of sàmìrnà-[bú-bùrî] 'grass sp. (Cenchrus pedicellatus)', cf. búrî 'soft' (initial < sàmír ${ }^{n \hat{1}}$ 'soap').
$C v C$ - reduplication is sparsely attested (34). The one in (34a) could also be analysed as full-stem iteration. The examples in (34b) have exact matches or close parallels in Jamsay and other nearby Dogon languages.

CvC -reduplications
form gloss
a. $C_{1} \grave{V}_{1} C_{2}-C_{1} \check{V}_{1} C_{2}$
gòn-gว̆ク 'giant millipede'
b. $k \grave{v}_{1} W^{n}-k \grave{v}_{l} r^{n} \hat{v}_{l} W^{n}$ (onomatopoeic, for two noisy bird spp.)
$k \varepsilon w^{n}-k \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \hat{\varepsilon} W^{n} \quad$ 'lapwing (Vanellus tectus)'
kà $W^{n}$-kàr $r^{n} \hat{a} W^{n} \quad$ 'white-bellied bustard (Eupodotis)'

### 4.1.6 Final partial reduplications in nouns

The known examples of nouns with a partial final reduplication, all of them flora-fauna terms, are given in (35). In most cases the reduplicant is a single heavy syllable, but there is one bisyllabic case (35d).
(35) Final reduplication (nouns)
form gloss
a. $\ldots-C_{1} \hat{v}_{:}$
initial $\{L H\}$-toned bègírí-bĕ: 'stone partridge'
initial $\{L\}$-toned sùgì bègèrè-bê:. 'sandgrouse'
gògòrò-gô: 'sickle'
kว̀ròn-kô: 'louse'
b. $\ldots C_{1} \hat{V}_{\cdot}$ initial $\{L\}$-toned bègìrè-bê: 'hiccup (n)', see (391e) in §11.1.5.1
c. $\ldots C_{I} \hat{v}_{I} y$
initial $\{H\}$-toned pété-pêy $\quad$ 'grasshopper sp. (Oedaleus)'
sénér ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-s \hat{\varepsilon} y^{n} \quad$ 'grasshopper sp. (Kraussella)'
pór ${ }^{n}$ ó-pôy ${ }^{n} \quad$ 'trailing vine sp. (Rhynchosia)'
kógóró-kôy 'hooded vulture (Necrosyrtes)'
d. $\ldots C_{1} \bar{V}_{1} C_{2} \hat{v}$
yàgàrà-yáĝ̂ 'bristly bush sp. (Hibiscus longisepalus)'
cf. verb yàgí-rí- 'itch’
Alternatively, $-k y$ : in the term for 'louse' (35a) could be taken as derived from kó:- 'eat (meal)'. The term for 'stone partridge' is onomatopoeic, so any semantic connection to verbs bègírí'- 'shake (grain)' and bě:- 'cut off the end of' is incidental.
kà:mbà-kê. 'scrambling shrub sp. (Strophanthus)' might be added to (35a), but it has no carryover of vowel quality. This term has a cognate in the Pergué variety of Jamsay, where however it denotes another species (Loeseneriella, a woody liana).

### 4.1.7 Nouns with full-stem iteration

Several patterns are at least vaguely onomatopoeic. There is usually no unreduplicated counterpart with related meaning.

In (36), the vocalism is identical in the two iterations. The tone sequence L.L-H.L occurs in several body-part terms (36a). The remaining examples are onomatopoeic or otherwise expressive and have various tone patterns.
(36) Full-stem iteration (nouns)

| form | gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. L.L-H.L or L.L-H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ tones |  |
| L.L-H.L with -Cv́CCv̀ final |  |
| kùnjò-kúnjò | 'knee' |
| L.L-H. $<H L>$ with -Cv́Cर̂final |  |
| kòrò-kórô | 'bell' |
| kìsì-kísî | 'edible winged termite; bat (mammal)' |
| nà:-[tònò-tónô] | 'elbow' (nă: 'hand, arm') |
| dùmò-dúmô | 'heel' |
| wàrà-wárâ | 'vine sp. (Cissus quadrangularis)' |
| wèrè-wérê | 'swift (bird)' |
| lì:gì kù:-[gòrò-górô] | 'grey-headed sparrow' ('bird head-...") |
| b. all-H |  |
| sógóy-sógóy | 'rattling' |
| [tógó-tógó]-bàríyè | 'praying mantis' |
| c. $\langle\mathrm{HL}\rangle-<\mathrm{HL}>$ |  |
| wôW-wôW | 'bow-wow!' |
| SîW ${ }^{n}$-Sîl $W^{n}$ | 'cheep!' (birds chirping) |
| kòyô wô:y-wô:y | 'disconsolately weeping' (kòyô 'weeping') |
| d. other |  |
| jùngùdú-júngúdú | 'call of wild pigeon' |
| bìrìrí-bìrìrí | 'hawk-moth' (wings make humming sound) |
| kòyo ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bà:gà-bà:gà | 'sobbing' (kòyô 'weeping' as possessor) |
| nàmà-nàmá | 'junk, bric-à-brac' |

The examples in (37) below involve vocalic changes. In the single-iteration cases (37a-c), i.e. of type $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$, one or both non-low vowels in the first occurrence are replaced by a-vowels in the second. pú:sù-pâ:s can be interpreted as apocopated < pú:sù-pá:sù. When both vowels, not just the first, are replaced by a-vowels (37c), there is also a tonal change. In the double
iteration type (37d), i.e. of type $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}-\mathrm{X}$, the first and third occurrences are identical and each has at least one H-tone, while the medial occurrence has a-vowels and is all-L-toned.
a. only vowel replaced by a
$t \grave{\varepsilon}: n j \grave{\varepsilon}-\left[s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\prime}{ }^{n}\right.$-sá: ${ }^{n}$ ] 'five-lined skink' (tè:nĵ̂ 'skink')
b. one of two vowels replaced by a
kèndè-[pú:sù-pâ:s] 'lungs’ (kéndè 'liver, heart')
sèrì-[búndì-bándì] 'cream of millet with tamarind'
c. both vowels replaced by a
kóró-kàrá 'in clusters'
sùpùr ${ }^{n}$ ù-[gèrè-gárá] ‘earring' (súpúrnī 'ear')
d. triplets (double iterations) with medial a-vocalism

| L.H-L.L-L.H tone sequence |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| kòró-kàrà-kòró | 'hubbub' |
| H-L-H tone sequence |  |
| cí: ${ }^{n}$-cà: ${ }^{n}$-cí: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'creaking sound' |
| hó:-hà:-hó: | 'loud chatter' |
| ó:-à:-ó: | '(sound of bullfrog croaking)' |
| $L-L-<L H>$ tone sequence |  |
| dì: ${ }^{n}$-dà: ${ }^{n}-d \check{1}:^{n}$ | 'shrub sp. (Euphorbia balsam |

See also the expressive adverbial pèré-pàrá 'suddenly encountering', (231a) in §8.4.7.1.
Bimoraic stems like bà-bá 'parrot' and jà-já 'longhorn beetle sp. (Crossotus)' are less transparently iterative (or reduplicative) since neither segment has the minimal shape of a stem. $t \hat{\varepsilon}$ :-t $\hat{\varepsilon}$ : 'chestnut-bellied starling', for example, is more transparently iterative (and onomatopoeic).

### 4.1.8 Frozen initial a- or an- in nouns

A considerable number of noun stems begin with à- or àn-, which might originally have been a segmentable affix. For example, the term for 'stick with curved end' is either bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kó:ndó (with béré 'stick' followed by an adjective) or àkó:ndó (à-kó:ndô). A fuller list of candidates for this segmentation is in (38). Though historically unrelated, the number of such cases is increased accidentally by loanwords of Arabic origin, a few of which are listed in (38c).
form gloss
a. with à-
forms with and without a- are attested

| à-kó:ndó | 'stick with curved end' | kó:ndó 'curved' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| à-tá:ndì | 'constellation with 3 stars' |  |
| à:.ndǐ: 'three' |  |  |
| à-pétû | '(a) jump' | pété- 'jump' |

no form without a- is attested
àbélkj̀ 'game played with knife'
àdǎy 'somewhat deaf person'
comment
pete- 'jump’

| àbà:gìr $n$ é | 'grass sp.' (Rottboellia) |
| :--- | :--- |
| àmíy ${ }^{n} \varepsilon$ | 'inflection'' |
| àpûm | 'mumps' |
| àsìmá | 'dandy' |

b. with àn-

| àndìrí | 'rival' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| àndèngè kèsí | 'baggy pants' |  |
| ànjèrí | 'wrestling' |  |
| àntá:rí | 'hunt (n)' |  |
| àntô: | 'stick with hooked end' |  |
| àntòngó | 'grains left after first winnowing' |  |

c. Arabic loans (vowel-initial or with definite *al-), selected examples
àmá:nà 'promise, vow'
ànsá:rá 'white person'
à- is also segmentable in (à-)má:nì 'So-and-so' (§4.1.3), where it is optional. It is also possibly recognizable in several WH interrogative forms (§13.2) such as àmây 'how?', compare may ${ }^{n}$ 'like' (§8.4.1). However, it may be that the initial à- in these cases was originally an interrogative morpheme (e.g. 'like what?') that was etymologically unrelated to the other à- cases.

### 4.1.9 Collective -à:ndì

The noun pírâ 'Fulbe person (Pullo)' has a special collective form pír-à:ndì 'Fulbe (people)', which can take plural agreement. It can also be used as a generic 'a Fulbe (in general)', in which case it takes singular agreement (39). No other such form is known.
pírà:ndì dógô m̀ mù-rá- $\varnothing$
Fulbe Dogon want-StatNeg-3SgSbj
'A Fulbe person does not like (a) Dogon.' (2004.01.10)
(i.e. 'There is no love lost between Fulbe and Dogon.')

### 4.1.10 Animacy

Although animacy is rarely marked on nouns themselves, it is important in concord, especially for determiners. "Animates" include humans, domestic animals, and most wild fauna. "Inanimates" include some lower animals, plants, inanimate objects such as rocks, and abstractions.
té:njè 'story, tale' (extended to 'riddle' especially by young speakers) and nùná 'song' are sometimes treated as animate: nùmà ${ }^{L} n \varepsilon$ 'the song', see (372) in §10.6.2.

Animacy is marked systematically in determiners. In the conjugated 'it is' clitic, inanimate $=W$ and animate $=\eta$ are not as consistently distinguished, probably because both can be reduced phonetically to vowel length. (The distinction is not made in corresponding negative 'it is not' forms.) For example, 'viper' regularly takes animate determiners, as in
$k \grave{-k} \grave{s i}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wǒ- $\eta$ 'this viper'. However, 'it is a viper' can fluctuate between inanimate (?) kò-kòsú $=\dot{W}$, see (728a) in §19.5.1, and animate kò-kòsí = $\ddagger$.

### 4.1.11 àr ${ }^{n}$ á ‘year' $\left(a ̀ r^{n} \grave{a}-\hat{W}^{n}\right)$

àr ${ }^{n}$ á 'year' is tonally distinct from ár ${ }^{n} \hat{a}$ 'man', but the two are in danger of confusion when tone-dropped by a modifier. The 'year' noun has a variant àr $r^{n} \mathbf{a}-W^{n}$ that avoids this potential homophony. I have observed àr ${ }^{n}$ à- $\hat{W}^{n}$ in singular contexts ('a year') and with universal quantifiers (see end of §8.4.6.1). Simple àr ${ }^{n}$ á is usual with numerals and modifying adjectives: àr ${ }^{n a ́ ~ t a ̀: n d i ̌: ~ ' t h r e e ~ y e a r s ', ~ a ̀ r ~}{ }^{n a ̀ a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ mòsú 'a bad year'.

### 4.2 Derived nominals

### 4.2.1 Characteristic derivative (-gí)

A noun-to-noun derivation, denoting a person characterized by whatever the core noun denotes (such as a physical or mental handicap or a personality trait), is expressed by characteristic suffix -gí. The core noun drops its tones before the suffix. The characteristic derivative can also function as an adjective (or compound final), for example with the noun 'person', as in nù̀ ${ }^{\text {L }}$ jò:dè-gí 'miserly person'.

| (40) | noun | gloss | characteristic | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | àmà-sògó | 'pity' | àmà-sògò-gí | 'pitiable person' |
|  | bò:mbó | 'stupidity' | bò:mbò-gí | 'idiot' |
|  | dây | 'wealth' | dày-gí | 'rich person' |
|  | غ̀:SÉ | 'slyness' | غ̀:sè-gí | 'sly person' |
|  | gìrè-mbí | 'blindness' | gìrè-mbì-gí | 'blind person' |
|  | gúmjé | 'hump' | gùmjè-gí | 'hunchback' |
|  | jò:dé | 'stinginess' | jò:dè-gí | 'miser' |
|  | lá:lì | 'joking (n)' | là:lì-gí | 'jokester' |
|  | múnár ${ }^{\text {nì }}$ | 'tolerance' | mùnùr ${ }^{\text {ìj}}$-gí | 'tolerant person' |
|  | mùr ${ }^{\text {ná }}$ | 'disease' | mùr ${ }_{\text {nà-gí }}$ | 'sick person' |
|  | tó:rì | 'fetish' | tò:rù-gí | 'fetishist (sorceror)' |
|  | ùwá | 'fear (n)' | ùwà-gí | 'coward; fearful one' |
|  | wà:rá | 'laziness' | wà:rà-gí | 'lazy person' |

### 4.2.2 Verbal nouns

### 4.2.2.1 Regular verbal noun (-ndé )

The basic verbal noun formation has a suffix -ndé. The suffixal vowel is invariant and does not harmonize with stem vowels (41). The stem before -ndé gets $\{H\}$ overlay, audible when the stem otherwise has a rising melody (41b).

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\text { verb } & \text { gloss } & \text { verbal noun }  \tag{41}\\
\text { a. verb with /H/ melody } & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { késé- } \\
\text { súyó- }
\end{array} & \text { 'cut' } & \text { 'hit' }
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { késé-ndé } \\
& \text { súyó-ndé }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. verb with /LH/ melody

| nǒ:- | 'drink' | nó:-ndé |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wǒ:- | 'catch' | wó:-ndé |
| gǒ:- | 'go out' | gó:-ndé |
| jàr'á- | 'tap' | jár'áá-ndé |

### 4.2.2.2 Other abstractive deverbal nominals

I can cite tò-tórî 'beginning' from verb tóró- 'begin', its antonym dùmá 'end (n), limit (n)', from verb dìmé- 'end, finish', and kàr ${ }^{n a ́ ~ ‘ a c t, ~ a c t i o n ' ~ f r o m ~ k a ́ r ~}{ }^{n \prime} 1$ - 'do’. There are many other pairs of nouns and verbs under the rubric of cognate nominals, and some of the nouns could be considered abstractive (§11.1.5.1).

### 4.2.3 Instrument nominals (final $\hat{\imath}$ )

Some uncompounded instrument nominals related to verbs are in (42). The basic form, also seen in the compounds, is with L-toned stem ending in $\hat{i}$. (42b) may be a case of apocope from *bùwî, with the tones shifted to the surviving syllable. An apparent alternation of $\rho$ with $o$ occurs in (42c), but the nominal is historically related to kó:só- 'brush away (trash)', whose meaning has shifted (cf. Ben Tey kó:sí- 'scrape'). Nanga and other Dogon languages have numerous sound-symbolic alternations like $っ / o$, especially in bisyllabic action verbs.

|  | verb | gloss | nominal | gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. dǐ:'sé- | 'file' | dì:sî | 'file' (tool) |  |
|  | jǔw-ró- | 'turn (sth)' | jù:rî | 'blacksmith's bellows' |
| b. bùwó- | 'scrub' | bû̀W | 'scrubber' |  |
| c. kó:sí- | 'scrape' | kò:sî | 'scraper'(tool) |  |

There are several other nominals of similar form, denoting instruments that have no synchronic relationship to a verb, e.g. gùsìrî 'pointed instrument for undoing braids'. There are also several other (non-instrument) nominals of similar shape, functioning as cognate nominals; see ( 389 e ) and (390b-c) in §11.1.5.1.

This morphological construction is likely cognate to the productive Ben Tey verbal noun construction with final $-\hat{1}$ : after $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned stem.

### 4.2.4 Uncompounded agentives

Agentive nominals are normally compounds, with incorporated object or other nominal as compound initial; see §5.1.5.
'Hunter' is àtá:rí (variant àntá:rî), the same form used as a cognate nominal '(the) hunt' in the phrase àtá:rí tá:rí- 'go on (= engage in) a hunt'.

### 4.2.5 Deadjectival nominals

The only known affixally marked deadjectival noun is (43a). Some adjectives are used without derivational modification as abstractive nouns denoting scalar dimensions (43b). These abstractives occur chiefly in comparatives, where it is sometimes difficult to distinguish deadjectival nouns from 3 Sg adjectival predicates; compare (434b) with (442a) in chapter 12 .

> adjective gloss noun gloss comment
a. ordinal
suffix $-W^{\prime}$
kìyá 'first' kìyǎ-W '(in) the past, previously' often adverbial
b. measurable dimension
adjective $=$ noun
sópî 'deep' sóך̂̂ 'depth'
gùró 'long' gùró 'length'
gâw 'wide' gâw 'width'
dùgú 'big, fat' dùgú 'size'
dùsú 'heavy' dùsú 'weight'
wàgá 'distant' wàgá 'distance'
suffix -nó
gàwá 'tall' gàw-nó 'height' syn. ígí-rí
gàw-nó may co-occur with 3 Sg possessor nò, as in gàw-nó nò 'its/his/her height'.

### 4.3 Pronouns

### 4.3.1 Basic personal pronouns

The morphological series are those in (44).
(44) a. independent, preverbal subject, and (optionally) object
b. accusative (optional)
c. pronominal-subject suffix on verbs
d. possessor, complement of postposition

The independent, pronominal-subject, and accusative forms are given in (45). 3 AnSg and 3 AnPl are animate categories (including humans and animals), while Inan[imate] applies to
plants and non-living things. Logo[phoric] pronouns are coindexed to the attributed author of quoted speech or thought (§18.2.1).

The preverbal proclitic subject forms ("_-Vb" below). which occur in relative clauses, are identical to the independent forms. A slightly distinct set, all H-toned, is used in a construction with suffix $-\grave{W}$ on the verb ("_-Vb- $\grave{W} "$ below), see $\S 15.2 .8 .5$, and also in reciprocals (§18.3.1-2). In regular main clauses the pronominal subject category is expressed by a suffix on the verb ("Vb__", rightmost column below). The accusative suffix (or enclitic) $-\eta$ is usually reduced to nasalization of the preceding vowel. It may be entirely inaudible, due either to phonetic erosion or to structural omission. In this case, there is no overt difference between accusative and independent (or preverbal subject) forms, except in the 1 Sg which has a dedicated accusative form.

Personal pronouns

|  | indep. | accus. | _Vb] | subje <br> _ Vb | [ $\mathrm{Vb}-{ }_{\text {- }}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | $\check{1}:^{n}$ | ǹjí- $\eta$ | Ǐ: ${ }^{n}$ | í: ${ }^{n}$ | - ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |
| 1 Pl | $\hat{1}$ : | ̂̂:-ŋ | $\hat{1}$ : | í: | - $\grave{y}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 Sg | ú | ú-ŋ | ú | ú | - $\grave{W}$ |
| 2 Pl | û: | û:-ŋ | û: | ú: | -Ẁ $\therefore$ |
| 3 AnSg | йทย์ | ńné-ๆ | ท́nย์ | - | - $\varnothing$ |
| 3 AnPl | bû: | bû:-ŋ | bû: | - | [see below] |
| InanSg | kú | kú-ŋ | kú | - | - $\varnothing$ |
| InanPl | kû: | $k \hat{u}:-\eta$ | kû: | - | $=3 \mathrm{AnPl}$ |

(for $k u ́$ as strong discourse-definite pseudo-possessor, see §4.4.1.3)

| 3Logo/ReflSg | á | á- $\eta$ | á | á | $-\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3Logo/ReflPl | â: | $\hat{a}:-\eta$ | â: | á: | $=3 \mathrm{AnPl}$ |

Inanimate plural kû: is homophonous with kû: 'head', which also has grammatical functions (§8.2.5, §18.1.4.3). My texts have numerous occurrences of 'head' but none of the pronoun $k \hat{u}$. . Inanimate singular kú frequently functions as a strong discourse-definite 'that', resuming a situation or other abstraction already described. For discourse uses of kú mày ' 'like that', see $\S 4.4 .1 .7$. It can also be used as a (pseudo-)possessor of a NP in a similar strong discoursedefinite function, see $\S 4.4 .1 .3$.

For analysis of the subject-pronominal suffixes, including the various 3 Pl allomorphs (-à, -yà, -દ̀, etc.), see §10.3.

Forms used as possessors and as datives, are in (46). The possessor forms except 3 AnSg include a classifier whose basic form is ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{o} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ for inanimate singular and ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ for animate singular and all plural categories; see $\S 6.2 .1$. The infrequently used inanimate true possessor forms are merged with the much more common 3 AnSg and 3 AnPl forms, but the animate/inanimate distinction is maintained in datives. There is a special 3 Sg possessor form nò that does not allow a possessive classifier. In (46), the possessor forms are shown with N representing the preceding possessed noun, which retains its regular tones.


The third person anaphoric (logophoric-reflexive) pronouns have a slightly different morphosyntax as possessors. With kin terms and a few other human relationship terms ('friend'), the anaphoric possessor precedes the possessed noun ( N ), which therefore takes the relevant possessum overlay, namely $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ after singular á and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ after plural $\hat{a}$ : . With other animate possessed nouns (e.g. 'slave', 'sheep'), and with inanimates, the morphosyntax is the same as for the other pronouns (other than 3 AnSg ).

|  | kin | AnSg/AnPl/InanPl | InanSg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3LogoSg | áN | N á ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ | N á ${ }^{\text {HL }} g \hat{o}$ |
| 3LogoPl | â: N | N â: ${ }^{\text {L }} \mathrm{y}$ ¢ | N â: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gò |

Examples: á ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dérè 'his/her-Logo elder sibling(s)', â: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dèrè̀ 'their-Logo elder sibling(s)', $p \grave{r} r g \varepsilon ́{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ ] 'his/her-Logo sheep', pèrgé [â: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$ ] 'their-Logo sheep', ńdô [á ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ gô] 'his/her-Logo house(s)', ńdô [â: ' ${ }^{\text {gò }] \text { 'their-Logo house(s)'. }}$

### 4.4 Determiners

### 4.4.1 Definite morphemes and demonstrative pronouns

### 4.4.1.1 Definite morphemes

The forms in (48) behave syntactically and tonosyntactically like demonstratives, but are best glossed with definite 'the' in English. They are weak (nonemphatic) discourse-definite markers. In the forms given, they require a preceding noun (or NP). In absolute function (i.e. without a noun), a third-person independent personal pronoun (§4.3.1) must be used. Except for inanimate plural, definite markers and third person pronouns are closely related in form.

| category | regular | tone-dropped (see below) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| animate singular | né | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{\text {nè }}$ |
| animate plural | bû: | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bù (:) |
| inanimate singular inanimate plural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gú } \sim k u ́ \sim W^{\prime} \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{L}} g \grave{y} \dot{y} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k u ̀ \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{W} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |

These definite morphemes also occur at the end of (restrictive) relative clauses, agreeing with the head NP (§14.1.9).

Definite morphemes, like demonstratives, control tone-dropping on the preceding core NP (except when the determiner is tone-dropped, see below). Therefore N-Def is realized tonosyntactically as $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def (49a-d), and N-Adj-Def as [N Adj] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def with both noun and adjective tone-dropped (49f). In the latter case, it technically cannot be determined whether the noun is tone-dropped by the adjective at a lower tonosyntactic cycle, as in $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Adj}$, or whether the noun is just part of the domain targeted by the higher controller (the determiner). The analysis could be hedged by parenthesizing the superscript on the inner noun, as in (49f), though I generally transcribe with just the outer superscript at the right braket. Nouns in (49) are ár"â 'man' and béré 'stick'.
a. àr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon ́ \quad$ 'the man'
b. àr $r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: 'the men'
c. bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g$ ú~ bèrè̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} k u ́ \sim b e ̀ r e{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{W}$ 'the stick'
d. bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{y}$ 'the sticks'
e. bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gùró
'(a) long stick'
f. $\quad\left[b e ̀ r e ̀{ }^{(\mathrm{L})} g u ̀ r \grave{~}\right]^{\mathrm{L}} g u ́\left(\sim k u ́ \sim W^{\prime}\right.$
'the long stick'
The fact that definite morphemes control tone-dropping distinguishes Nanga from Jamsay, Ben Tey, Najamba, and other Dogon languages where demonstratives but not definite morphemes control tone-dropping.

In recordings, this tone-dropping may be the most easily audible cue that $\dot{W}^{\prime}$ or $\dot{y}$ is present, especially after more-or-less homorganic stem-final vowels.

Definite morphemes also behave like demonstratives in that they themselves can be tonedropped. The tone-dropped variants occur, for example, in NPs with a pronominal possessor, whether this possessor preceeds or follows the possessed noun (or core NP), as in ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ lés $\hat{1}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon}$ 'your-Sg (maternal) uncle'. Nonpronominal (i.e. noun-headed) possessors do not induce this tone-dropping: [sùmǎylâ 'ìdò kú] 'Soumaila's house'. See §6.2.1.2 for details.

The inanimate definite forms, singular $k u ́ \sim g u ́ \sim w^{\prime}$ and plural $\dot{y}$, interact phonologically with a following locative postposition. The latter has surface segmental variants \{ga go go ya $\eta 0 \eta o\}$ after ordinary core NPs (e.g. nouns), and gets its tone by spreading from the final preceding tone. The combination of definite inanimate singular kú with the locative is the invariant portmanteau gá, after a tone-dropped core NP. This gá has the segments of the locative postposition but the tone (and tone-dropping control) of the definite. Historically it is presumably contracted from *kú gá via syncopated *k gá. The combination of definite inanimate plural $y^{\prime}$ with the locative is $\dot{y}$ gò. See $\S 8.2 .3 .2$ for examples and discussion. The locative postposition is not ordinarily used after animate NPs.

My working hypothesis is that Nanga definite markers have developed out of former Near-Distant demonstratives. This demonstrative category merge into discourse-definiteness in Dogon and other languages of the zone.

### 4.4.1.2 'This/that' (deictic demonstrative pronouns)

There is no distinction between proximate and distant demonstratives. Instead, there is a single deictic demonstrative category ('this' or 'that'). Demonstratives may be accompanied by a pointing gesture to make spatial distinctions. The forms are in (50).

| animate singular animate plural | $\begin{align*} & \text { wǒ-ŋ }  \tag{50}\\ & \text { wě: ~ wǒ:-yè } \end{align*}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| inanimate singular | ற̀gú |
| inanimate plural | yěy ~ ̣̀gú-yè |

$w o \check{-\eta}$ contains a somewhat frozen animate singular nominal suffix $-\eta$, preserved elsewhere only in yă-ŋ 'woman', ă- $\quad$ 'who?', and wă-ŋ 'the counterparty' ( $\$ 4.1 .2$ and references there). The equally unproductive variant plural ending -yè in wǒ:-yè and g̀gú-yè in (50) is likewise limited to a handful of forms: ă:-yè 'who?-P1', wă:-yè 'the counterparties', and gàmbí-yê 'some, certain ones’ (§6.3.2).

A preceding modified noun (or core NP) drops its tones. Examples with árnâ 'man' and béré 'stick'.
a. àr ${ }^{n a ̆}{ }^{L}$ wǒ- $\eta \quad$ 'this/that man'
b. àr ${ }^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wê::~wŏ:-yè 'these/those men'
c. bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú 'this/that stick'
d. bèrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yěy ~ ̣̀gú-yè 'these/those sticks'

Unlike definite morphemes, these deictics may also be used absolutely (i.e. with no overt preceding noun), see §6.1.2.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & w \check{o}-\eta & j o ́ r \grave{r}-\eta \grave{-}-y^{n}  \tag{52}\\
& \text { Dem-AnSg like-IfvNeg-1SgSbj } \\
& \text { 'I don't like that one (animate).' }
\end{array}
$$

b. ìgú $\quad \grave{n} j i ́-\eta \quad$ g̀̀ $\grave{c}-\varnothing$

Dem.InanSg $1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{Acc} \quad$ jab.Pfv- 3 SgSbj
'That (object) jabbed (=pricked) me.'
For L-toned variants like ìgù, see §6.1.4.

### 4.4.1.3 Discourse-definite pseudo-possessor kú 'that (same)'

$k u$ is the regular inanimate singular independent pronoun, see (45) above. It is related to inanimate singular postnominal definite marker $g u ́ \sim k u ́ \sim \notin$, see (48) above.

As independent pronoun or object, kú may denote a specific inanimate entity, like English $i t$, as in ( 569 c ) in §15.2.6.1 below. Alternatively, it may be used abstractly to resume a previously described state of affairs, like English that in that's why I want to marry you. For the very common combination kú mày "like that', see §4.4.1.7.

The strong discourse-definite function is also usual when pronoun kú functions syntactically as a preposed pseudo-possessor, like the preposed pronominal true possessors of kin terms ( $\S 6.2 .2 .3$ ). As a "possessor" ending in an H-tone, it controls $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on the immediately following noun. The referent may be human, animate, or inanimate. A definite morpheme or other determiner with the appropriate animacy and number features follows the noun. The determiner usually takes L-toned form after other pronominally possessed nouns, at least when the tone overlay on the possessed noun is $\{H L\}$ rather than $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, by Determiner Tone-Dropping (§6.5.4). In (53a-f), pseudo-possessor kú is glossed DiscDef, since it functions essentially as a nonpossessive strong discourse-definite marker.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Ku } & \text { ta:" } & { }^{\text {L }} \text { Def.InanSg }  \tag{53}\\
\text { DiscDef } & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { shed } & \\
\text { 'that (same) shed' (tă:") } &
\end{array}
$$

b. $k$

| DiscDef | ${ }^{\text {HL}}$ house |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'that (same) house' (ńdô) |  |$\quad{ }^{{ }^{\text {L }} \text { Def.InanSg }}$

c. kú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ ńdôo $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y}$
DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl
'those (same) houses' (ńdô)
d. kú ${ }^{H L} n u ̂: \quad{ }_{n}{ }_{n \grave{e}}$
DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg
'that (same) person' (nŭ:)
e. kú ${ }^{H L} y a ̂: \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{n \grave{\varepsilon}}$
DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ woman ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnSg
'that (same) woman' (yă:)
f. kú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ yâ: $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} b u ̀$
DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ woman $\quad{ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl
'those (same) women' (yă:)

See also the textual example $k u{ }^{\text {H }}{ }_{\text {námà }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù 'that meat' in (752) below.
Any such expression may be simplified by omitting the relevant common noun stem, using (possessed) ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{\sigma}$ 'thing' (inanimate) or ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'critter' (animate) as a substitute; these generic morphemes are also used in pronominal-possessor constructions (§6.2.1.3).
a. kú
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{k} \hat{o}$
${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù
DiscDef ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ thing $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg
'that (same) one [inanimate]'
b. kú

DiscDef
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon}$
'that (same) one [animate]'
$k u ́$, in spite of its probable origin as a "possessor" of sorts ('its ...'), may co-occur with a true possessor NP (nonpronominal or pronominal, and preposed or postposed), as in (55a-c). For analysis see discussion of (156a-d) in §6.5.1.
a. $k u$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ ńdô [ú
$[$ ú
$[2 S g$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$
${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀$
DiscDef $\quad{ }^{\text {HL }}$ house $\quad[2 \mathrm{Sg}$
'that (same) house of yours-Sg'
b. kú ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lésî ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon \grave{c}$

DiscDef 2 SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ uncle ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg
'that (same) uncle of yours'
c. kú á:mádù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹđò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù

DiscDef Amadou ${ }^{\text {L house }}{ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg
'that (same) house of Amadou's'

### 4.4.1.4 Anaphoric/logophoric demonstrative pronoun.

For the all-purpose anaphoric pronouns for third-person antecedents, singular á and plural â:, see chapter 18. There are no additional Jamsay-style logophoric demonstrative forms.

### 4.4.1.5 $\quad$ ǎ- $\eta$ 'the counterparty'

Singular wǎ- $\eta$ or its plural wă: or wǎ:-yè is used in narratives where two comparable animate entities (such as two brothers X and Y ) are alternately referred to. If X is the topic in a particular passage, Y may be reintroduced (or otherwise referred to) as wă- $\eta$.

The form wă- $\eta$ is interesting since it preserves an archaic animate singular suffix $-\eta$ (§4.1.2) also found in yǎ- $\eta$ 'woman' and in ǎ- $\eta$ 'who?-Sg', and in somewhat fused form in animate singular demonstrative wǒ- $\eta$.

There is an occurrence of wǎ- $\eta$ in text 2004.02.02. An orphaned goat has been separated from the herd of ewes: "... they were inside. The rain struck wă- $\eta$ (the counterparty, here the orphaned goat) over that way, he was shivering miserably." There is an occurrence of plural wâ: in text 2004.02.03, at a similar shift from one referent (orphan and camels) to another (giraffes), both of whom were already established as protagonists in the narrative. (These texts are not yet ready for publication.)

### 4.4.1.6 éndè (proximate) and sár ${ }^{n a ́}$ (obviative/distal)

These two words can be used to denote persons (other than the speech-event participants) in one's physical or social environment without using their names or other explicit descriptions. The listener is expected to guess or infer the identities. $\varepsilon$ éndè functions as the proximate form
('the fellow'), and sár ${ }^{n}$ á as the obviative or distal form ('that other fellow'). Close friends and other regular interlocutors can adapt the use of the terms to their own situations.

My assistant claimed that both terms also occur in neighboring Tommo So. McPherson's sentence examples include $\varepsilon$ ह́ndé (2013: 205) and sáná (2013: 209-210) as personal names.

### 4.4.1.7 'Like this/that' (kú màyn, ìmǎyn, ìgù mǎy")

kú mày 'like that, thus (discourse-definite)' is common in texts, resuming a situation just described, before introducing a new eventuality. It consists of discourse-definite kú (§4.4.1.3) and may 'like' (§8.4.1). See (738), (746), (747), (751), (753), (755), and (756) in the sample text.

In deictic (as opposed to discourse-definite) contexts, there is a form m̀mǎy 'like this’ or spatial 'on this side, this way', which occurs several times in the texts. Elicitation brought out an opposition between m̀mǎy ${ }^{n}$ and j̀gù mǎy ${ }^{n}$ 'like that, in that way, thus' or spatial 'on the other side'. ŋ̀gù mǎy ${ }^{n}$ is audibly distinct from the tonally regular PP j̀gú máy ${ }^{n}$ 'like this (thing)'.

A good textual example of the spatial use of m̀mǎy ${ }^{n}$ as '(on) this side/way' is (56). In the tale, the people need to get past some angry giraffes who are blocking the road. The people magically create a tall boulder betwen themselves and the giraffes. The giraffes come around one side of the boulder to try to catch the people, who go around on the other side and get through. m̀mǎy ${ }^{n}$ functions like an adjective or compound final in this example, to judge by tone-dropped tàyà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$.


```
[[[side }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ like.this] Loc] 3ReflP1Sbj go.around-Ppl.Pfv
gù-ndè] láw-èr-à
and.then.Past] pass-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj
```

'They went around this (other) side and got through (safely).' (2004.02.03)

### 4.4.2 Demonstrative adverbs

### 4.4.2.1 Locative adverbs

The simple spatial deictic adverbs, with stationery locative function (unless given ablative or allative function by a motion verb) are in (57). gá in j̀gà-gá is recognizable as the locative postposition. ̀̀gá 'here' is identical in form to the fusion of $\grave{\eta} g u ́$ 'this' (inanimate) and the same postposition. ká is probably likewise the fusion of kú (inanimate pronoun, often discourse-definite) plus the same postposition. See $\S 8.2 .3 .2$ for the fusions.

```
a. \grave{gá}
b \grave{gà-gá}
c. ká
'there'(discourse-definite)
    yá
    "
```

Another set of forms is used in the sense '(over) this/that way' or 'around here/there', indicating direction of motion or approximate location. The suffix $-r^{n}$ ì is added to the forms in (57). This is followed by gà, an allomorph of the locative postposition. The prolongation in the $\rightarrow$ variants perhaps reflects compensatory lengthening after the loss of this morpheme.
a. クární ฤà 'this way, around here’ ŋár ${ }^{n} \mathrm{i} \rightarrow$
b. Ìgà-gá-r"í yà '(over) that way, around there’ (pointing) ŋ̀gà-gá-rìi $\rightarrow$
c. kárí í ŋà 'around there, in that direction (definite)'
kár ${ }^{n} \grave{\rightarrow}$
yár ${ }^{n} 1 ́$ yà
yár ${ }^{n i} i \rightarrow$

### 4.4.2.2 Emphatic and approximinative modifiers of adverbs

An emphatic particle té $\rightarrow$ with prolonged vowel is used to insist on a specific location. A particle yàyà is attested in approximative sense.

| a. ŋ̀gá $\quad$ té $\rightarrow$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | here $\quad$ Emph |
|  | 'right here' |

b. ìgá yànà
here around
'around here'

### 4.4.3 Presentatives ('here's ...!') (wùnér ${ }^{n}$ é, wùnદ́, wèré )

There are two basic presentative forms (60).
a. Sg or Pl
wùnér $n$ é 'here it is, here they are'
wùnย์
b. Pl only wèré 'there they are'
wùnér $r^{n e ́}$ and variant wùné are used, for example, when handing something to the addressee, while wèré is most often used to indicate that something lost or absent has just come into view. However, the distinction does not seem to be sharp.

When they have scope over an NP ('here's X!'), they may precede or follow the NP. For wùnér ${ }^{n}$ é and wèré, pronominal conjugation may be added using bù- 'be' (61b-c). The presentative particles may also be used preclausally, with scope over the whole clause (61d-e); here the English free translation is awkward, but cf. French (e.g. les voilà qui dansent!').
a. á:mádù wùnér ${ }^{n}$ é

Amadou here.is!
'Here's Amadou!'
b. yì-tèĝ $\quad$ bèré $\quad b-\grave{\varepsilon}$
child-Pl there.is! be-3PlSbj
'There are the children!'
e. wùnér ${ }^{n}$ é bù-ỳ.:
here.is be-1PlSbj
'Here we are!'
d. Wèré gìyé gíyè-m-
there.are! dance(n) dance-Ipfv-3PlSbj
'There they are, dancing!'
e. wùnér ${ }^{n e ́}$ gìyé gíyé-ı̀
here.is! dance(n) dance-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'Here he/she is, dancing!'

### 4.5 Adjectives

Within an NP a modifying adjective, like a noun (except 'woman'), lacks morphological marking of intrinsic categories (e.g. human, animate, plural). The adjective follows the head noun. An adjective and a numeral may occur in either order (§6.4.2). Both precede further modifiers such as determiners and 'all'.

For adjectival intensifiers, see $\S 8.4 .7 .2$. For stative adjectival predicates, see $\S 11.4$. For inflectable adjectival verbs, see $\S 9.5$.

### 4.5.1 Types of adjectives

The word-class adjective can be defined operationally as a noun-like word that can follow a noun in modifying function, controlling tone-dropping on it, and that can also occur in predicates, specifically including negative predicates with stative negative = ǹdó- (§11.4.2). In modifying function, adjectives are indistinguishable from compound finals, specifically the finals in the compound type ( $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ ) where the initial is tone-dropped (§5.1.2).

There are two subtypes of adjectives, based on the form of the positive predicate for 3 Sg (including inanimate) subject. In the first main type, there is a special unsuffixed 3 Sg predicative form. In the second main type, the 'it is' clitic is added to predicative adjectives in 3Sg as well as other forms. This second type effectively treats predicative adjectives like predicative nouns. It also has a wider range of prosodic stem shapes. Basic color adjectives are intermediate between the two types.

In the case of ìré 'ripe' (postnominal in e.g. yù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ìré 'ripe millet'), in predicative function I could elicit only forms of the verb íré-, e.g. íré-èrè- $\varnothing$ 'it has ripened (=is ripe)'.

Adjectives are clearly distinguishable from expressive adverbials (EAs), even though some EAs have adjective-like senses. EAs do not control tone-dropping on any other word. Unlike nouns and adjectives, they form predicates with bù- 'be (somewhere)' or its negation
ŋ̀gó- 'not be' (§8.4.7). However, many adjectives can be converted into EAs by adding -í $\rightarrow$, in which case they take the regular EA predicative forms, §8.4.7.

### 4.5.1.1 Adjectives with simple 3 Sg predicative form

The first type of adjective is illustrated in (62). In addition to a postnominal modifying form, these stems have a simple predicative form for 3 Sg subjects (' X is wide', etc.). In practice, several of these adjectives are restricted to either inanimate or animate subjects ('spacious' to inanimates, 'plump' to animates), but those that can apply to both inanimate and animate entities have a single 3 Sg predicative form.

If the modifying form of the adjective ends in a non-high vowel (62a) or in a semivowel (62b), the predicative form is identical to the modifying form. The same is true of the one Ci: adjective (62c), which is arguably also semivowel-final (/sîy/). If the adjective ends in a high vowel, we get final $i$ in postnominal modifying function, and final $u$ in predicative function (62d-f).

Of interest is the absence of H.H-toned bisyllabic adjectives (except for color adjectives, discussed below), and the absence of H-toned monosyllabic adjectives. The observed tone melodies are rising / $\mathrm{LH} /$ realized as bisyllabic L.H, falling /HL/ realized as bisyllabic $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$ or monosyllabic $<\mathrm{HL}>$, and one case of /LHL/ realized as L. $<\mathrm{HL}>$. The switch between final modifying $i$ and predicative $u$ does not affect the lexical tone in the bitonal patterns. However, in the one case of tritonal $\mathrm{L} .<\mathrm{HL}>$, the final $<\mathrm{HL}>$-toned $\hat{\imath}$ in modifying function corresponds to H -toned $u ́$ in the predicative form (62f).
(62) Adjectives
gloss modifying predicative 3 Sg
a. final non-high vowel, no change from modifying to predicative
$C v C V$
'distant' wàgá wàgá
'tall' gàwá gàwá
'long, tall' gùró gùró
'big, grand; many' ̀̀wó j̀wó
$C_{v C C v}$
'small, young' غ̀Wré $\grave{\varepsilon} W r \varepsilon ́$
$C v: C v$
'easy, cheap' nà: $r^{n a ́ ~ n a ̀: ~} r^{n a ́}$
b. final semivowel, no change from modifying to predicative 'spacious' gâW gâW
c. Ci: (arguably /Ciy/), no change from modifying to predicative 'pointed' sî: sî:
[cf. verb síyé-ndíyé- ‘become pointed’]
d. final high vowel, $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$, final modifying $i$ versus predicative $u$
$C v C v$

| 'narrow' | pénî | pépû |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'short' | dénî | dénû |
| 'lightweight' | $\varepsilon^{\prime} r^{n} \hat{1}$ | $\varepsilon^{\prime} r^{n} \hat{u}$ |
| 'cold; slow' | támî | támû |
| 'sweet; sharp' | ¢́rî | と́rû |
| 'bitter' | gárî | gárû |
| 'slightly coarse' | yágî | yágû |
| 'hard' | már ${ }^{n}$ î | már ${ }^{n} \hat{u}$ |
| 'slightly bitter' | ásî | ásû |
| 'salty, sour' | párî | párû |
| 'heavy' | dúsî | dúsû |
| 'soft (skin)' | búrî | búrû |
| 'hot, fast' | ógî | ógû |
| 'smooth, sleek' | órı̂̀ | órû |
| 'deep' | sónı̂ | sónû |
| CvCCv |  |  |
| 'coarse' | kúnjî | kúnjû |

e. final high vowel, L.H, final modifying $i$ versus predicative $u$ CvCV

| 'narrow' | èmbí | èmbú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'good' | غ̀sí | غ̀sú |
| 'good-sized' | àmí | àmú |
| 'thick (liquid)' | kùrí | kùrú |
| CVCCV |  |  |
| 'narrow' | غ̀mbí | èmbú |

f. final high vowel, tritonal L. $\langle\mathrm{HL}>$, final modifying $i$ versus predicative $u$ 'difficult, costly' nòmî nòmú

With other than 3 Sg subject, the positive predicative form ('I am $\qquad$ ', 'they are $\qquad$ , etc.) consists of the form used in modifying function plus the relevant conjugated form of the 'it is' clitic $=m-(\S 11.2 .1 .1)$. The 3 Pl is a suppletive form $=y \dot{\varepsilon}$. All of the negative predicative forms ('I am not __, 'they are not __, 'it/he/she is not __') are formed by adding a conjugated stative negative $=$ ǹdó- to the form of the stem used in modifying function (§11.4.2). This suggests that the modifying form is lexically basic, and I treat it accordingly in the lexicon.
(63) illustrates the treatment of final vowels for two adjectives ending in high vowels ('heavy', 'lightweight') and for one adjective ending in a stable non-high vowel ('long, tall'). 'Heavy' furthermore illustrates Rounding Harmony before a nonzero clitic (63c), where the first-syllable $u$ seems to have been the catalyst.

> construction 'heavy' 'lightweight' 'long, tall'
a. postnominal modifying
'a __ person' nù: dúsî nù: $\varepsilon r^{n i ̂} 1$ nù: gàwá
b. special 3 Sg-subject positive predicative form
'he is __' dúsûu ǵr ${ }^{n} \hat{u} \quad$ gàwá
c. cliticized forms based on (a), but subject to assimilatory rounding
'they are __' dúsû $=y \grave{\varepsilon} \quad$ ह́r $r^{n \hat{\imath}}=y \grave{\varepsilon} \quad$ gàwá $=y \varepsilon ́$
'I am_, dúsû=m-ì $\quad$ ŕrî̀=m-ì gàwá $=m-i ́$
'he is not __ dùsù=ndó- $\varnothing \quad$ èr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}=n d o ́-\varnothing \quad$ gàwà $=n d o ́-\varnothing$

### 4.5.1.2 Basic color adjectives

The three core color stems in (64) can pattern like the adjectives described in the predecing subsection. In particular, they have a special suffixless 3 Sg predicative form. However, this 3 Sg form was recorded only for inanimate subject (64a), and even for inanimates it is less common (with color adjectives) than a morphological construction with the relevant form of the 'it is' clitic, viz., inanimate $=w$, animate singular $=\eta$, or animate plural $=y \varepsilon(64 \mathrm{~b})$. Of interest is the fact that the modifying forms like bár ${ }^{n} 1$ ' 'red' and the predicative forms with clitics like bár ${ }^{n} \dot{u}=\hat{W}^{n}$ 'it is red' are H.H-toned, while the simple 3 Sg predicative forms like bár ${ }^{n} \hat{u}$ in (64a) are H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$-toned following the pattern of (63b) above.
(64) Basic color adjectives

| gloss |  | modifying | predicative $3 \mathrm{Sg} / 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | inanimate | AnSg | AnPl |
| a. | 'red' |  | bár ${ }^{n}$ í | bár ${ }^{n} \hat{u}$ | - | - |
|  | 'black' | jémí | jémû | - | - |
|  | 'white' | pírí | pírû | - | - |
| b. | 'red' | bár ${ }^{n}$ í | bár ${ }^{n} u ́=W^{n}$ | bár ${ }^{n} 1$ = ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | bár ${ }^{n} 1{ }^{1}=y \varepsilon ́$ |
|  | 'black' | jémí | $j \varepsilon$ ¢́mú $=W^{\text {b }}$ |  | jémí $=$ y ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 'white' | pírí | pírí $=$ Ẃ | pírí= ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | pírí $=$ yé |

These color adjectives are transitional between the two major types of adjectives, since they alternate between the two defining 3 Sg predicative patterns.

### 4.5.1.3 Adjectives with 'it is' clitic in 3 Sg predicative form

The stems in (65), below are common in postnominal modifying function. In predicative function I was only able to elicit the 'it is' clitic, even for 3 Sg subject. These stems are therefore more "noun-y" than those in §4.5.1.1, above. The type with 'it is' clitic includes a wider range of stem-shapes than the first type; note the long vowels and the wide range of syllable counts in (65).

There is one consonant-final bisyllabic, nánáy 'respectable' (also 'useful', etc.); it is phonologically possible to add the 3 Sg clitics to this stem, as shown (65f), but these combinations sound somewhat awkward, and one can alternatively use the auxiliary bú- 'be'.

Adjectives with obligatory 'it is' clitic in 3Sg predicative form

gloss modifying | predicative 3 Sg |
| :---: |
| inanimate |
| animate |

a. $C V$ :
$H$-toned
'full'
bá:

| bá: $=W^{\prime}$ | - |
| :--- | :--- |
| mǎ: $=\hat{W}^{n}$ | - |
| $p \check{\varepsilon}:=\tilde{W}^{\prime}$ | pと̌: $=\eta$ |

b. $C v C V$
H.H-toned 'deserted, empty’ kóró 'living, alive' úmá

| kóró $=$ Ẃ | kóró $=$ ý |
| :--- | :--- |
| úmá $=$ ý |  |

L.H-toned 'runty' kèt
'half-ripe' àm
'weak, diluted' sèr
'ripe (fruit)' bòr
'big; fat' dùg
dùgí
mòsí

| - | $k \varepsilon \grave{t c}$ = $\quad$ ¢́ |
| :---: | :---: |
| àmá $=\hat{W}^{n}$ | - |
| sèré $={ }^{W}$ | - |
| bòró $=W$ | - |
| dùgú $=W^{\prime}$ | dùgí $=\eta$ |
| mòsú $={ }_{W}$ | $m \grave{s i ́}=\eta$ |
| $g \grave{m} \hat{u}^{\text {u }}=\grave{W}^{n}$ | $g$ g̀mî $=\grave{\jmath}$ |

c. $C v C C v$
H.L-toned 'new'
L.H-toned 'coarse'
kándà
kándà = ஸ̀
kándà = ŋ̀
ty, ugly' 'no good' gòmî
gə̀m $\hat{u}=\grave{W}^{n} \quad$ gə̀m $\hat{\imath}=\grave{\emptyset}$
. $<H L>$-toned
kùnjú $=$ Ẃ -
d. $C v: C V$
H.H-toned

| 'innocent' | pé:ré | - | pé:ré = ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L.H-toned |  |  |  |
| 'unripe, raw' | kè:sí | $k \dot{E}: s u ́=W^{\prime}$ | - |
| $<L H>$. $<H L>$-toned |  |  |  |
| 'young' | sǒ:rô | - | Sǒ:rô = ì |

e. $C v: C C v$
H.H-toned
'lean, emaciated’ kó:mbó - kó:mbó= ŋ́
'slender' ké:mbé ké:mbé=Ẃ ké:mbé= ŋ

```
f. CvCvC
    H.H-toned
        `respectable` nánáy }\mp@subsup{}{}{n}\mathrm{ nánáy }\mp@subsup{}{}{n}=W=W\quad nánáy " =y
    f. CvCvCv
```


### 4.5.2 Expressive adverbials with adjective-like sense ('flat')

Some apparent "adjectives" are really expressive adverbials (§8.4.7) morphosyntactically, like dém $\rightarrow$ 'straight' (§8.4.7.3).

The forms in (66) are also expressive adverbials (EAs) syntactically (they take bú- in predicative function), but translate as adjectives. Like other EAs they can occur in predicative
 modify nouns in the fashion of true adjectives, a relative clause based on bú- can translate the relevant sense, as in kù:. ${ }^{L} p \varepsilon ́ t \hat{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ bú-mì 'flat-topped head' (lit. "head that is flat").
(66) form gloss

| p $\varepsilon t \hat{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ | 'flat and wide' |
| :--- | :--- |
| pótô $\rightarrow$ | 'flat and small, but longer than wide (e.g. nose)' |
| pòt̀̀-pótô $\rightarrow$ | 'flat, wide, and moderately thick (e.g. tortoise, nose)' |
| pàtà-pátâ $\rightarrow$ | 'flat and wide (e.g. face, nose)' |

The vocalic alternation reflects a minor sound-symbolic system also seen here and there among verbs and other EAs (§3.4.7). The final syllable is prolonged intonationally, as with several other EAs.

### 4.5.3 Iterative adverbials

A small set of iterative adverbials denoting unusual shapes is presented in (67). The tone sequence is L.L-H.H. The final syllable is prolonged. A single vowel is repeated in all four syllables.

| form | gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| pèmbè-pémbé $\rightarrow$ | 'shaped like a flattened calabash' |
| bèndè-béndé $\rightarrow$ | 'brick-shaped' |
| sòrò-sóró $\rightarrow$ | 'elongated, ovoid, barrel-shaped' |
| $k$ èbè-kébé $\rightarrow$ | 'bar-shaped with flat sides' |

A different pattern, with tone sequence L.L-H.L, is observed in (68). This sequence also occurs in several iterative noun stems denoting body parts (§4.1.7).
form gloss
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { kù:-[gòrò-górò] } & \text { 'having an oversized head' (kû: 'head') } \\ \text { gòmbò-gómbò } & \text { 'projecting (rock)' }\end{array}$

A form like jìnjà-jìnjí 'noisy' (noun or adjective) is to be taken not as a simple iteration, rather as an agentive with incorporated cognate object, cf. jìnjâ jìnjí- 'make noise' (cognate nominal followed by verb).

### 4.6 Participles

In principle, the term participle should denote a noun- or adjective-like form of a verb. Several Dogon languages have clearcut participles replacing ordinary verbs in relative clauses. By "clearcut" is meant that the participles have suffixes agreeing in intrinsic categories (number, human/animate) with the head NP, and take little or no verbal inflection.

Nanga is not a clearcut case, but since nouns do not mark intrinsic categories anyway (except for singular in the noun 'woman'), the fact that relative-clause verbs generally do not agree overtly with head NPs is not surprising. Actually, verbs in negative relative clauses do agree with an animate plural head NP (§14.1.7.3-4). I will refer to relative-clause verbs as verb-participles (or participles for short), but readers should understand that the noun-y quality of these relative-clause verbs is very limited.

See $\S 14.1 .7$ for full coverage of participial forms.

### 4.7 Numerals

### 4.7.1 Cardinal numerals

### 4.7.1.1 'One', 'same (one)', and 'other'

' 1 ' is expressed as an adjective tùmâ. The preceding noun drops tones, as before normal modifying adjectives: pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one sheep' (pèrgé), yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one woman' (yǎ- $\eta$ 'woman', unmarked plural yă:). tùmâ is also used in counting sequences (' $1,2,3, \ldots$ ').

Adverbial phrase 'one day', introducing a specific but non-discourse-definite day, as in 'one (fine) day I went hunting', is ùsú tùmâ:, with (surprising) lexical tone on ùsú 'sun, day' and with final long vowel on the numeral. As a measure of duration, 'one day' has the regular noun-adjective form ùsù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ, with tone-dropped noun and with 'one' in its normal shape. j̀: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ tùmâ 'a certain place' in (549b) below also introduces a new, but specific discourse referent, and here tùmâ does function as an adjective. Similarly àr ${ }^{n a ̀ a}{ }^{L}$ tùmâ ' $a$ /one man' as a new discourse reference in the extended passage (584) in §15.2.8.4.
tùmâ may be followed by either of two intensifiers. One, déndè, behaves like an adjective; it controls tone-dropping on tùmâ, as in [nù tùmà] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ déndè 'one single person'. The other is expressive adverbial lék (§19.4.2, §8.4.3.2), which does not interact tonally with preceding words: tùmâ lék 'a single one’.

For adverbial 'alone, by oneself' expressed by tùmâ or variant tùmáyn', see §18.1.4.2. See also tùmá(-yદ́) 'nothing but, exclusively' in §19.4.3.

The adjective for 'other' is either bìndé or bèndí. In practice they are used in postnominal modifying function, but not as adjectival predicates: pغ̀rg $\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}$ bèndí $\sim p \grave{\varepsilon} r g \grave{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bìndé ' $\mathrm{a} /$ the other sheep.'

### 4.7.1.2 ' 2 ' to ' 10 '

The numerals from ' 2 ' to ' 10 ' are in (69).

| gloss | form |
| :--- | :--- |
| ' 2 ' | wǒy~ bú-wǒy |
| ' 3 ' | tà:ndǐ: |
| '4' | nǒy |
| '5' | nìmǐ: |
| '6' | kúrê |
| '7' | súy $\hat{\varepsilon}$ |
| ' 8 ' | gá:rè |
| '9' | tè:sǐ: |
| ' 10 ' | pé:rù |


| melody | tone sequence |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| /LH/ | $<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
| $"$ | $\mathrm{~L} .<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
| $"$ | $<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
| $"$ | $\mathrm{~L} .<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
| $/ \mathrm{HL} /$ | $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$ |
| $\prime \prime$ | $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$ |
| $\prime \prime$ | $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{L}$ |
| /LH/ | $\mathrm{L} .<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
| /HL/ | $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{L}$ |

For bú-wǒy versus simple wǒy, see §6.4.3.
Numerals can be added to pronouns as well as to nouns. An example is $\hat{i}:$ wǒy 'we two', see (449a) in §12.2.2. Numerals may precede or follow modifying adjectives (§6.4.2).

### 4.7.1.3 Decimal multiples (' 20 ', $\ldots$ ) and combinations ( ${ }^{\prime} 29^{\prime}, \ldots$ )

The multiples of ' 10 ' are in (70). The initial element is one variant or another of ' 10 ', showing tonal and segmental modifications. The final element in ' 20 ' through ' 90 ' is the relevant single-digit numeral in its usual form.

| (70) | gloss | form | tones | tone sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\prime} 10$ ' | pé:rù | /HL/ | H.L |
|  | '20' | pèrí-yěy | \{LH\}-/LH/ | L.H. $<$ LH $>$ |
|  | '30' | pé-tà:ndǐ: | \{H\}-/LH/ | H-L. $<$ LH $>$ |
|  | '40' | pěn-nǒy ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | \{LH\}-/LH/ | $<\mathrm{LH}>$. $<$ LH $>$ |
|  | '50' | pěn-nìmǐ: ${ }^{n}$ | " | $<\mathrm{LH}>$.L. $<\mathrm{LH}>$ |
|  | '60' | pèr-kúrê | $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-/HL/ | L.H. $<$ HL $>$ |
|  | ${ }^{\prime} 70$ ' | $p e ̀ r-s u ́ y e ̂ ~$ | " | " |
|  | '80' | pèr-gá:rè | " | L.H.L |
|  | '90' | pèr-tè:Sǐ: | \{L\}-/LH/ | L.L. $<$ LH> |

For the tonal patterns, see Tone-Polarization §3.7.3.3. The forms with final H - or $<\mathrm{LH}>$-tone ('20-50', '90') are sometimes heard with final low pitch when phrase-final. However, the terminal H-tone is always audible in careful pronunciation, and when followed by sǎy 'only' or by an 'it is' clitic.

Combinations with a single-digit (' $1-9$ ') numeral, like ' 11 ' and ' 59 ', are expressed as shown in (71). The morpheme ságâ, used only with numerals, follows the single-digit numeral; I gloss it as 'plus'. Obviously 'one' in (71a) does not modify 'ten', so there is no tonosyntactic interaction.
(71)
a. pe:r
ten
[on ságâ]
'eleven'
b. pé-nìmǐ: ${ }^{n}$ [tè:sǐ: ságâ]
ten-five [nine plus]
'fifty-nine'
c. ùsú [pé-tà:ndǐ: tà:ndǐ: ságâ]
day [ten-three three plus]
'thirty-three days'
4.7.1.4 Large numerals (' 100 ', ' 1000 ', $\ldots$ ) and their composites

The stems in (72) are nouns.
gloss form

| a. 'hundred' | té:mdér $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | (< Fulfulde) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. 'thousand' | mùsú |  |
| c. 'million' | mìlyô:. | (< French) |

With a following numeral: té:mdérè wǒy 'two hundred', mùsú tà:ndǐ: 'three thousand'.
Numerals involving more than one level (' $1-99$ ', hundreds, thousands) did not require repetition of the modified noun in elicited examples, which occurred just once, at the beginning, like 'sheep' in (73). Instead, the nonfinal segments showed prosodic prolongation $(\rightarrow)$.
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (73) } & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[p e ̀ r g e ́ ~} & \text { mùsú } & \text { wǒy } \rightarrow]\end{array}\right.} & \begin{array}{ll}\text { [té:mdérè } & \text { nìmǐ: } \rightarrow \text { ] } \\ {[\text { sheep }} & \text { thousand } \\ \text { two }]\end{array} & \text { [hundred } & \text { five }]\end{array}\right]$

For sèní ' 80 ' or ' 100 ' in currency terms, see just below.

### 4.7.1.5 Currency

The currency unit used in markets is bú:dù, equivalent to five CFA francs.
sè $\bar{\prime}$ í is an archaic term meaning ' 100 ' still used by older people in connection with currency, hence '500 CFA francs' (synonym: bú:dì té:mdérè ).
dògj̀-sèní ‘Dogon hundred' means '80 (riyals)', i.e. '400 CFA francs'.

### 4.7.1.6 Distributive numerals

'One at a time', 'one apiece', and similar distributive phrases are expressed as tùmá-tùmá. Other adverbial distributives are likewise formed by iterating the numeral. See (169a-b) for additional examples.

### 4.7.2 Ordinal adjectives

In addition to the regular ordinals based on numerals (see below), that for 'how many?' (§13.2.7) is à:クgày-nǒ: .

### 4.7.2.1 'First' and 'last'

The basic forms are in (74).
(74) kìyá 'first' dùmá 'last'

Examples: ì̀ $\grave{o l}^{\mathrm{L}}$ kìyá '(the) first house', ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùmá '(the) last house' (cf. ńdô 'house').
kìyá is irregularly related to the adverb kìyǎ-W 'previously, in the old days, long ago' (43a).

### 4.7.2.2 Other ordinals (suffix -nǒ:)

Other ordinals are formed by adding -ny̌: to the numeral, whose tones are dropped. There are irregular forms for 'second', 'third', and 'fourth'.
form
gloss
a. single-digit numeral
irregular
wònjò-nǒ: (or: wònjǒw) 'second'
tàndà-ny̌: 'third'
nı̀r ${ }^{n} \grave{\jmath}-n \grave{:} \quad$ 'fourth
regular
nìmì:-nǒ: 'fifth'
kùrè-nš: 'sixth'
sùyè-ň̌: 'seventh'
gà:rè-ň̌: 'eighth'
tè:sì:-nǒ: 'ninth'
pè:r-nǒ: 'tenth'
b. decimal
pèrì-yèy-nǒ: 'twentieth'
c. decimal plus single-digit numeral
pê:r tùmà sàgà-nǒ: 'eleventh'
d. hundred
tè:mdèrè-nǒ: 'hundredth'
e. hundred plus '1-99' numeral (two levels)
té:mdérè pèrì-yèy-ň̌: 'hundred and twentieth'

In (75c,e), I did not observe tone-dropping on the first part of the complex numeral, indicating that they are outside of the tonomorphologically targeted domain. In (75b), the two components of the numeral are tightly fused and are treated as a frozen unit, so the whole sequence drops tones.

### 4.7.3 Fractions and portions

péjérè commonly means '(one) half'. Other (equal) fractions may be described generically as gósô 'portion, division'.

## 5 Nominal and adjectival compounds

### 5.1 Nominal compounds

The notation used here indicates tone changes. x is a stem-class variable including n [oun], adj[ective], and num[eral]. $\bar{x}$ means no change in lexical tone, $x$ means shift to $\{H\}$ overlay, $\grave{x}$ means shift to $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, $\check{x}$ means shift to $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$, and $\hat{x}$ means shift to $\{H L\}$.

### 5.1.1 Compounds of type ( $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{n}})$

Compounds of two nouns (one or both of which may be internally composite) where both the initial and the final have their regular tones are rare. I can, however, cite káŷ̂ mútúkâl, literally "gold[metal] bushel," which denotes an ornament, shaped like a grain measuring container, worn on the top of the ear as a pendant to an earring.

### 5.1.2 Compounds of type (ì $\bar{n}$ )

One of the two most common noun-noun compound types has $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned nominal initial (in some cases itself compounded) and a nominal final with its regular tones. This pattern competes most directly with the possessor-type compounds (§5.1.4, below). In general, the more established and lexicalized a noun-noun compound is, the more likely it is to take the tonal form (ǹ $\bar{n}$ ) rather than the possessor-type compound pattern.

Examples with initial from $\grave{\varepsilon} n j \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'chicken' are in (76).

| ènjè-tóndí | 'chicken basket' (i.e. wicker chicken coop) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ènjè-ńdô | 'chicken coop (constructed with bricks or stones)' |
| غ̀njè-bìyê | 'chicken's roosting place' |
| غ̀njè-tèn $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'chicken's drinking pan' |
| ènjè-gùwá | 'chicken's foot' (by extension 'cock of musket') |
| ènjè-káwsá | 'chicken lice' (name of a disease with skin sores) |
| غ̀njè-kòmórô | 'chicken finger' (name of a tree [Piliostigma]) |
| ènjè-kéwré | 'chicken eggplant' (name of a bush sp. [Solanum]) |

### 5.1.3 Compounds with final verbal noun, type (ì n̂)

Verbal nouns take direct-object complements in the form of regular NPs (77). I do not consider them to be compounds, but if they are classified as compounds they would belong to the ( $\overline{\mathrm{n}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ ) type (§5.1.1).

| $[y \hat{1}:$ | gín"é-ndé] | nòmú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[$ millet | harvest-VblN] |  |
| 'Harvesting millet is difficult.' |  |  |
| be.difficult |  |  |

An alternative construction is a compound type with $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned initial representing an incorporated object, and $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ toned verb stem in nominal function (78). The compound initial in this case (unlike the verbal-noun construction) cannot be expanded with a determiner or quantifier.
a. yù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}-{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} b a ́ r a ̂}$
millet ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ gather.Nom
'act of gathering millet after the harvest (and taking it to the village)
(cf. verb bàrá- 'gather')
b. yù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [dú:-yè]
millet ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [carry.on.head-MP.Nom]
'act of carrying millet on the head (from the field to the village)'
c. ǹjàa ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{-} \mathrm{HL}$ bégírì̀
grain ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ winnow.Nom
'winnowing grain'.
 nà à $^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{HL}} p a ́ g a \hat{a}$ 'tying up cow(s)'. Such compounds also occur as locative postpositional complements for the verb bǎ:rí- 'help', see (670) in §17.5.1.

### 5.1.4 Possessive-type compounds $(\bar{n} \hat{n}) \sim(\bar{n}$ ǹ)

In this compound type, the initial is treated as a possessor and the final as a possessum. That is, the initial has its regular tones, while the final has either $\{H L\}$ or $\{L\}$ overlay, depending on whether the initial ends in H or L tone. When eliciting new compounds, I found that my assistant often fluctuated between this pattern and the (ì $\hat{n}$ ) type ( $\S 5.1 .2$, above). The more lexicalized compounds in common use are generally (ì n̂).

Because there is no sharp distinction between possessor-possessed sequences and possessor-type compounds, I do not hyphenate the latter. Compare the compound (79a) to the clearly possessive construction (79b). The $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay produces ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ dúgá-njà. The fact that the final dùgà-njâ: is already a (somewhat frozen) compound of (ì ñ) type is not relevant here.
a. nǎ: ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ dúgá-njà
hand ${ }^{H L}$ necklace
'hand-necklace' (term for 'thin bead bracelets')
b. yǎ- $\eta \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dúgá-njà
woman-Sg ${ }^{H L}$ necklace
'a woman's necklace'

More examples are in (80). In (80a), the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on the already /HL/-toned músó:rò produces no audible change.
a. [yùgùsì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jém] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ músó:rò [velvet ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ black] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ shawl
'(woman's) head shawl of black velvet' (< yúgúsí, músó:rò )
b. gồ: $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ òmìr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}$
fire $\quad$ Lparent.in.law
'fire's in-law' (a plant, Waltheria) (< òmìr ${ }^{n}$ í $)$
c. bòndí
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lí:gì
rain ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ bird
'rain-bird’ (i.e., 'cuckoo') (< lí:gí)

### 5.1.5 Agentive compounds of type ( $\bar{x}$ v̌)

Most agentive nominals are compounds including an incorporated compound initial, usually a noun denoting a typical direct object of the action, or a cognate nominal of the verb. The initial is L-toned. The agentive form of the verb has $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlay realized as $<\mathrm{LH}\rangle$, L.H, or L.L.H depending on syllable count. The agentive form ends in $i$ (always H -toned), except for monosyllabic stems, which have their regular lexical vowel. In (81), the form of the inflectable verb with its typical object is given in parentheses after the free gloss. The monosyllabic finals are ( $81 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$ ).
a. kù:-kùwí
sorcery-practice.sorcery.Agent
'sorceror' (< kǔ: kúwó-)
b. bìdìgà-bìdìgí
magic.tricks-do.magic.Agent
'magician' (< bìdìgâ bìdígí-)
c. dàwrì-bìrí
magical.solution-work.Agent
'magician (generally maleficent)' (<dǎwrî bìré-)
d. nàmà-tùrí
meat-sell.Agent
'butcher' (meat-seller) (< nàmâ túró-)
e. ǹdò-kèmí
house-build.Agent
'construction worker, mason' (< ńdô kémé-)
f. tòndì-ť̌:
basket-weave.Agent
'basket-weaver' (< tóndí té:-)
g. kònjò-nǒ:
millet.beer-drink.Agent
'drinker of millet beer’ (< kònjó nǒ:-)
h. ùrì-àrí
breast-suckle.Agent
'baby who still suckles (not yet weaned)' (French nourrisson) (< úrî árá)

The initial may itself be compound, as long as it has no determiner.
a. [nà:-bìrà]-bìrí
[hand-work(n)]-work(v).Agent
'manual worker' (< nà:-bírá bìré-)
b. [sàgì-nì:]-ny̌:
[dried.wild.grapes-water]-drink.Agent
'drinker of wild-grape juice' (< sàgì-nî: nǒ:-)
A different tonal patter is found in jòrìyè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$-jórìyè 'fighter', alongside the regular agentive compound jòrìyè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$-jòrì̀yí 'fighter', both based on jóríyè jòríyé- 'fight a fight'.

### 5.1.6 Compounds with yî: 'child' and ǹjâ or nàr $n$ á 'fruit'

The noun yî: 'child' (irregular plural yì-tèg $\hat{\varepsilon}$ 'children') can be possessed, generally in the sense 'son or daughter (of someone)'. In cases like ámbérì Lyì: 'chief's child', if the possessor is understood to be generic ('a/any chief's child') the construction fits the form and meaning of possessive-type compounds (§5.1.4).

An important compound of the (ǹ $\bar{n}$ ) tone pattern (§5.1.2) is bà:-yî: 'child of the same agnatic family (e.g. offspring of one's father's brother)'. This compound is itself usually possessed, as in bà:-yî: yê: 'my ...'. Also in common use are cousin terms like tùsà-ŷ̂: 'father's sister's child' (< tùsá 'father's sister').

In other compounds with this ( $\bar{n} \bar{n}$ ) tone pattern, the initial is not a possessor, rather a noun that defines the category that the child belongs to: tà:lìbù-yî: 'child beggar (koranic-
 public school)'. In these cases the plural is with -yì-tègê. Similar compounds are used to denote the young of animals, e.g. bèr-yî: 'goat kid', غ̀njè-yî: 'chick'.

For 'girl' and 'boy', see §5.1.7, just below.
With flora terms as initials, -yî: as final is a diminutive, denoting young plants (e.g. saplings) rather than fruits or other products. Thus tùmà-yî: 'sapling (of tree)', tò:-yî: 'sprout' (tǒ: 'sown seeds'). My assistant accepted plurals with -yì-tègê.

For other inanimate referents, I can cite the pairs a) sغ̀rí 'mound of excavated earth (at a burial)' and sèrì-yî: 'small pit dug for corpse inside larger burial pit'; b) kó-kóró 'bobbin (in the shuttle of a weaver's loom)' and [kò-kj̀r̀̀]-yî: 'thin wooden rod on which the bobbin rotates'; and c) béré 'stick, wood' and bèrè-yî: 'small stick, twig'. Again, my assistant accepted plurals with $-y i ̀ t t \varepsilon g \hat{\varepsilon}$.
yî: 'child' is not used as a compound final in the sense 'fruit (of a specific plant)'. For this sense, the compound final is either ǹjâ 'seed' (e.g. for nut-like fruits) or more often nàr ${ }^{n}$ á 'fruit' (cf. verb nàr $n a ́-$ ' give birth, bear').
a. mò: $r^{n} \grave{j}-n ̀ j \hat{a ̂}$
wild.date-seed
'fruit (date-like) of wild-date tree'
b. kùrò-nàr ${ }^{n a ́}$
wild.grape-fruit
'fruit of wild-grape tree'

### 5.1.7 'Man/male' (àr ${ }^{n}$ á, ár ${ }^{n}$ â $)$, 'woman/female' (yǎ:, yà-)

The adjectives that are used after terms for animal species, generally denoting actual biological sex, are àr"á 'male' and yǎ: 'female'. These gender terms are also used to differentiate closely related or similar plant species. For example, both local trees of the family Bignoniaceae can be called pò-bògórô, but they can be distinguished as pò-bògòrò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ àr ${ }^{n a ́}$ (Kigelia africana) versus pò-bògòrò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yǎ: (Stereospermum kunthianum). Likewise, the two local Cleome spp. can be called jǎ:"-mìsírî (lit. "leatherworker-shea.butter"), or
 cases one species is more common or more conspicuous than the other and is the most common referent in the absence of modifiers. The criteria for gender assignment is some combination of size $(b i g=m a l e)$ and shape $($ long $\&$ thin $=$ male $)$.
yǎ- $\eta$ 'woman' (plural yă:) is phonologically regular before numerals and adjectives. It appears as yǎ: before numerals (from ' 2 ' up) as in yǎ: wǒy 'two women', and as tone-dropped yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ before modifying adjectives: yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ 'one woman' (' 1 ' is an adjective), yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí 'pretty woman', yà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ kó: 'unmarried woman'. yà- with short vowel is the initial in certain compounds: yà-gùrô 'young adult woman', yà-wô: 'woman who has just given birth', and yà-nòr ${ }^{n \prime}$ ' ‘co-wife'.
ár $r^{n a}$ ' man ' $(\mathrm{Sg}=\mathrm{Pl})$ is phonologically regular when denoting a human, but note the tone difference versus adjective àr ${ }^{n}$ á 'male'. With modifiers: ár ${ }^{n} \hat{a}$ wǒy 'two men', àr $r^{n}$ à ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùgí 'fat man'. Compounds: àr ${ }^{n}$ à-gùró 'adolescent boy', àrnà-mǒ: 'man's knot', àr ${ }^{n}$ à-òsìr ${ }^{n}$ é '(a) swagger'.

Compounds for 'girl' and 'boy' are slightly irregular. 'Girl' is yǎ- $\grave{y}^{n}$, while 'boy' is $a^{n} r^{n} \hat{a}-y^{n}$. The final in both cases is a reduced variant of yî: 'child', see §5.1.6. Plurals: àr ${ }^{n} \mathrm{a}-\left(y^{n}-\right) t \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'boys' and yà- $\left(\grave{y}^{n}-\right) t \varepsilon$ g $g \hat{\varepsilon}$, with the medial morpheme often omitted.

### 5.1.8 Compounds with bàyá 'owner'

The regular 'owner of X ' construction is a possessive-type compound with final ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ bápà or ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bàyà 'owner(s)', the tones depending on whether the initial ends in H or L tone. Simple examples are ńdô ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bàyà 'house owner' and nàyá ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ báyà 'cow owner'. The unpossessed form is bàyá 'owner, master'.

The initial is an NP in form and may be expanded (e.g. with a determiner), as in [nàyà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ wǒ-ŋŋ] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ bágà 'the owner of this cow'.

The construction with bàyá as final may be used to define a person or object on the basis of a conspicuous attribute. An example is gúmjé ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ bá $\eta a ̀ ~ ' p e r s o n ~ w i t h ~ c u r v e d ~(h u n c h e d) ~ b a c k ', ~$ based on the noun gúmjé 'curved back'. Other examples include kìyá ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ báyà 'hair-owner' (= 'hairy person'), yǎr ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ báyà 'sky-owner' (= 'lightning jolt'), lèwé ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ báyà 'owner of
sacrificial altar' (= member of a founding family of the village), ìsê ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bàpà 'village-owner' (= aboriginal, old-stock), and tùrá:bì ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bàyà ‘divination owner' (= 'Muslim fortune teller').

The 'owner' construction competes with the characteristic suffix -gí (§4.2.1).

### 5.1.9 Loose and tight compounds with dé: ('authentic', 'entire')

Adjective dé: 'authentic, prototypical' is distinct tonally from dě: 'mother', but H-toned adjective dé: occurs with animal terms in e.g. nàmà ${ }^{L}$ dé: 'cow that has calved at least once' (nàyá 'cow'). The senses 'mother' and 'authentic'/'entire' are associated in other Dogon languages. The similarity to dè-dé: 'papa!', a resepectful address form, may be accidental; dè-dé: is considered by my assistant to be derived from dèré 'elder sibling'.
dé: was recorded as an adjective specifying the prototypical member of a multi-member category in connection with the taxon 0 :́sò, which denotes a group of shrubs with edible fruits of the genus Grewia (excluding G. bicolor). Each included species can be individually denoted by adding an adjective or compound final (ò:sì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kóró-kàrá G. flavescens, ̀̀:sì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jánmá $G$. tenax, ò:sj̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ̀̀g̀̀-béré $G$. villosa). I recorded $\grave{j}: s{ }_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dé: 'prototypical Grewia' for $G$. lasiodiscus. There is, however, some disagreement among informants as to the speciesspecific epithets.
$k u ̀ m b i ̀ ~{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dé: 'prototypical (broad-leaved) fig tree' is a synonym for kùmbì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí "white fig tree," denoting the mountain fig Ficus abutilifolia. The other species called kúmbî is $F$. platyphylla, which can be specified as kùmbì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bár $^{n} 1$ ' 'red fig tree'.

A non-prototypical variant of a species may alternatively be named after the prototypical species, by adding adjective sǎ:ndâ, glossable as 'false' (though 'second-string' as used in baseball is closer to the Nanga sense). My only examples are these: kùrô 'wild-grape tree' (Lannea microcarpa) and kùrò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ sǎ:ndà (L. acida); wèrè-wérê 'swift or swallow' (focally a large swift) and wèrè-wèrè̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ sǎ:ndà 'small swift or swallow'.
dé: is also used productively in the sense 'entire (plant)', distinguishing this from a fruit or other part that is also denoted by the same name. Thus màngòrò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dé: 'mango tree' (măngórò).

### 5.1.10 Natural-species iterative compounds with medial linking element

There is a possible case of $X$-dó $\eta$ - $X$ in one bird name, viz., pón-dón-pòríyê 'bulbul'.
bàrá-dínjí-bàrá 'mud-dauber wasp (Delta)' is an apparent X-Y-X compound, but -dínjí- is too heavy to be considered a simple linking element. bàrá- means 'gather' (among other things) and here refers to the mud-dauber's incessant collecting of bits of mud to construct its nest on walls. -dínjí- is somewhat obscure but is probably related to the medial element in sògò-dínjí-bárá ‘stump of cut-down millet stem’ (with sógô ‘stem'), cf. (with different vowel) tùmà-[dú-dúnjú] 'tree stump'.

I did not observe any instances of flora-fauna (or other) nouns of the type $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{X}$ with an iterated segment X flanking a simple linking element related to Jamsay -na:-, Tommo So -ma:-, Yanda Dom -mà- ~ -nà-, and cognates. However, kómbómá ~ kómbómé ~ kómbómó, denoting certain annual vines of the genus Ipomoea (at least I. coscinosperma and I. dichroa, and probably others), is recognizably related to the verb kómbí- 'climb'. The final syllable of the noun is possibly related to the Tommo So linking morpheme. Alternatively, it could be related to causative -mí-.

The hoopoe bird (Uрирa) is called góró-mà-sógóró or góró-mà-sógóró. A connection of this -mà- with the linker in the widespread $X$-mà(:)- $X$ or $X$-nà(:)- $X$ type of iterative compound is possible but uncertain. The first element is understood by native speakers to mean 'nape' (more accurately, 'back of skull just above nape'), which is expressed by gòró in Jamsay, but as kò-kô': in Nanga. The final is understood to mean 'peck, eat by pecking', the idea being that the hoopoe's extravagant nape crest can be used to peck at food. 'Peck' is só:in Nanga, and sógó pronounced [sóүб́] in Jamsay. Overall it looks like the Nanga form is an adaptation of some variant of the Jamsay term for hoopoe, [[ká:-lè]-sózóy]-[[gòró-lè]-sózóy], which is slightly irregular for 'peck with mouth, peck with nape'. The medial -mà- in the Nanga term may therefore be the Jamsay possessive morpheme mà.

### 5.1.11 Instrumental relative compounds ('oil for rubbing')

In this construction, the noun is head of a relative clause (with imperfective participle) describing the function, e.g. 'water that one drinks'. A nonspecific human subject ('they' or 'one') is understood.
a. nì: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ nô:-mì
water drink-Ppl.Ipfv
'water for drinking'
b. nì: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dìyé-mì
water ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bathe-Ppl.Ipfv
'water for bathing'
Stems of the scrambling liana Cynanchum viminale are used as a treatment for sore necks. The plant is called kòrò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ mámý́-mì, which contains kòró 'neck' and an imperfective participle (not otherwise in use) related to màmí $\rightarrow$ 'tilted'.

This instrumental construction is tonally distinct from a construction with the agentive form of a causative verb and an incorporated object noun. The latter is of ( $\grave{x} \check{v}$ ) type, like other agentive compounds. For example, a harmful wood-boring larva is denoted by the compound tì:njì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ [ǹdò-[kòyò-mí]], literally "grub ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ [house-[decay-Caus.Agent]]." Here the agentive compound functions as a modifier for 'grub'.

### 5.1.12 Other phrasal compounds

Clause-like phrasal compounds occur here and there.
A "false" indigo bush, Indigofera omissa, is called gàrá gǎl-lâ ("indigo put.inReversive.Imperative," i.e. "take (the) indigo out (after putting it in)!" It is based on verb gàr ${ }^{n} 1$ I- 'put (liquid, grain) in (container)'.

The scolopender (Scolopendra sp.), a centipede whose bite is widely believed to be lethal to young women, is called [yà-gùró]-kùwò-jî:, "[young woman]-bite-kill."

A tall erect herb with sharply pointed spines on its fruits, Rogeria adenophylla, is called [gว̌:-tì ìdè] [wú děy $\rightarrow$ kíyé-ŋ-غ̀:], slightly irregular (or dialectal) Nanga for "if it has pricked, they don't say 'oh mother!' "
kà-kă: dě:rê-w ("bigger than a grasshopper") is the name for the smallest bird in the zone, the cricket warbler.

Other phrasal compounds are borrowed, in full or in part, from other languages.
tàgà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ [mó:tì yâ:tà] denotes a plastic man's shoe with raised top. tàgá 'shoe' has as compound-like modifier a Fulfulde phrase mooti yaata '(it) doesn't go to Mopti'. Other nearby Dogon languages (Bankan Tey, Najamba, Tommo So) also have terms based on this Fulfulde phrase.

A lightweight woman's plastic shoe is called jènèbà-[yéré-yéré], which is said to mean "Djénéba [woman's name] is shaking" in Bambara.

A grass whose whitish seeds are difficult to pick off, Elionurus elegans, is called by the Jamsay phrase [pó: bèré] [nàクá yówó], "(if you) can pick (it), receive (i.e. you win) a cow." Similar names occur in some other Dogon languages.

A tenacious weedy grass of cultivation, Eragrostis tremula, is called by the Jamsay phrase àsìmá lè:-gó, "Asima (name) does not fear."

A trunkfish (Marcusenius) whose flesh contains bones that are dangerous for children is called by the Tommo So phrase [î: mò] dǎy óbó, literally 'kill my child for me!'

### 5.2 Adjectival compounds

### 5.2.1 Bahuvrihi ("Blackbeard") compounds (̄̄ â)

Here the initial is a noun that denotes an attribute of the referent. The final is an adjective or cardinal numeral that describes or quantifies the attribute. The compound as a whole may be used as a modifying adjective, or absolutely as a stand-alone noun.

The initial noun keeps its regular tones. The final has $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay, expressed as $<\mathrm{HL}>$, $\mathrm{H} .<\mathrm{HL}>$, H.L, or H.H.L depending on syllable count. If the initial ends in an H-tone, the tonal pattern of the bahuvrihi is the same as for possessive-type compounds. However, in the bahuvrihi, the final has $\{H L\}$ overlay even if the initial ends in L-tone.

I transcribe bahuvrihis as hyphenated compounds.

### 5.2.1.1 With adjectival compound final

Examples are in (85). The initial, which often denotes a body part, has its regular tones. The adjectival final has $\{H L\}$ overlay. For the location of the tone break cf. §3.7.3.2. The regular form of the adjective showing its lexical melody is given in parentheses.

| bèndé-dúgî | 'big-bellied' | (<dùgí) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kû:-dúgî | 'big-headed' | (<dùgí) |
| kû:-mé:njè | 'small-headed' | (< mè:njé ) |
| sègé-mé:njè | 'skin-and-bones' (lit. "skeleton-thin") | (< mè:njé ) |
| gèsí-búrî | 'soft-bodied' (= 'soft-skinned') | (< búrî) |
| kír ${ }^{n} \hat{\text { el-sî: }}$ | 'pointy-nosed' | (<sî:) |
| kû:-púrúgì | 'with an off-white head' | (< púrúgí) |
| lì:gì dúrâ-gúrô | 'long-tailed bird (e.g. whydah, starling)' | (< gùró) |

One of two terms for (edible) grasshoppers of the genus Acorypha is the double bahuvrihi [téw-dúgù]-[nàwná-érù], literally "hind.leg-fat, meat-delicious," probably borrowed with little or no change from dialectal Jamsay, cf. mainstream Jamsay [tém-dúgù]-[nòwnó-érù].

### 5.2.1.2 With numeral compound final

Examples are in (86), with the regular form of the numeral in parentheses. In the compound, the numeral has the same $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay as in the adjectival examples given above.

| kû:-wôy | 'two-headed' | (< wǒy) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kû:-tá:ndì | 'three-headed' | (< tà:ndǐ:) |
| nă:-nímî | 'five-armed' | (< nìmǐ:) |
| nă:-kúrê | 'six-armed' | $(<$ kúrê) |

### 5.2.1.3 Alternative bahuvrihi with -nì suffix

I also recorded a minor compound construction with bahuvrihi-like sense but with a different form, including a terminal suffix -nì following the adjective. The only two examples I have are in (87). Each shows a vowel-length and tonal change vis-à-vis the normal form of the adjective. As the glosses suggest, these belong to a slangy, insult-prone register.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { dúmbó-kèmběy-nì } & \text { 'having skinny buttocks' } & \text { (< ké:mbé 'slender [person]') }  \tag{87}\\
\text { dúmbó-tasìrây-nì } & \text { 'having flat buttocks' } & \text { (< tàsirirí 'flat and small') }
\end{array}
$$

### 5.2.2 Compounds of 乞̌:- ‘very' plus adjective

The initial element $\check{\text { ̌i:- may }}$ occur with a following adjective in L-toned form, as one way of emphasizing the extent of the quality. It is used in predicates with the 'it is' clitic (my assistant balked at suggested examples as part of a nonpredicative NP). Examples: $\check{o}:-$-dùgù $=\varnothing$ 'he/she is very fat', ǒ:-dùgù $=m$-ù 'you-Sg are very fat'; $\check{\text { on'-bàr }}{ }^{n} \grave{u}=\varnothing$ 'it is very red'.

See also the adjectival intensifiers in §8.4.7.2.

## 6 Noun Phrase structure

### 6.1 Organization of NP constituents

### 6.1.1 Linear order

The basic ordering of elements within NPs is (88).
(88) Order within NP (first approximation)
a. strong discourse-definite demonstrative $k$ ú 'that (same) ...' (§6.5.1)
b. preposed possessor
$b_{1}$. possessor NP
$\mathrm{b}_{2}$. pronominal possessor (inalienable, except 1 Sg and 3 Sg )
c. noun
d. pronominal possessor (inalienable, 1 Sg or 3 Sg , optionally)
e. modifying adjective(s)
f. cardinal numeral or distributive
(may switch position to precede e or to follow g)
g. pronominal possessor (all categories, especially alienable)
h. determiners
$h_{1}$. deictic demonstrative pronoun 'this/that'
$h_{2}$. definite morpheme
i. non-numeral quantifiers
universal quantifier 'all' (kéréw)
distributive quantifier 'each' (kámâ, $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ )
Examples are in (89). After the free translation of each example, an indication of which positions in (88) above are filled is given in curly brackets.
(89)
a. kú á:mádù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹdò

DiscDef Amadou ${ }^{\text {L }}$ house
'that (same) house of Amadou's'
\{abc $\qquad$ \}
b. kú ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lésî ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ nè

DiscDef 2 SgPoss ${ }^{H L}$ uncle ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg
'that (same) uncle of yours-Sg'
\{abc $\qquad$ h_\}
c. $\left[n e ̀ r^{n} \grave{i} \text { tà:ndì }\right]^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû:
[dog three] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.AnPl
'the three dogs'

$$
\left\{\text { __c_f_h_ }^{\prime}\right.
$$

d. [nèr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}$ tà:ndì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wề:
[dog three] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Dem.AnPl
'these three dogs'
e. [pèrgg̀̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: kéréw] ńné-èr-à
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl all] go-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj
'All the sheep went.' $\qquad$
f. ńdô tà:ndǐ: [ú $\left.{ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$
house three [2Sg ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ Poss.InanPl] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl
'all three of your-Sg houses'
kéréw
all
\{_c _fghi $\}$
g. ì̀ò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ òwó $\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{u} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{o}\right] & { }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀\end{array}\right.$
house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg
'your-Sg big house' \{__cdef__\}
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { h. } & \begin{array}{lll}\text { ǹ } \grave{o l}^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { òwó } & \text { gá:rè }\end{array} & {[\text { ú }} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right] & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y} \\ \text { house }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { big } & \text { eight } & {[2 \mathrm{Sg}} & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { Poss.InanPl] }\end{array} \begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Def.InanPl }\end{aligned}$
'your-Sg eight big houses'
i. lèsí nò mòsí kúrê
uncle 3 SgPoss bad six
'his/her six bad (maternal) uncles' $\qquad$
kámâ 'each' is somewhat problematic in terms of ordering. It is usually combined with a simple noun: 'each house' (ìdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ ), 'each person' (nù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ ). It does not co-occur with the universal quantifier 'all' for logical reasons.

However, kámâ may follow a cardinal numeral that has summative (rather than distributive) sense. Therefore (90a) means 'each of the three has two women' rather than 'each (group of) three has two women'. My assistant had difficulty with elicitation of distributive examples, but in (90b) he eventually settled on a construction with $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$, a less common 'each/every' expression that is syntactically adverbial and whose core sense is 'equally' (cf. Jamsay ĉ̂W).
a. [[àr $r^{n a ̀}$
tà:ndì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ] [yǎ:
[yǎ: wǒy] sò- $\varnothing$
[[man three] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each] [woman two] have-3SgSbj
'Each of the three men has two women.'
b. [ár ${ }^{n} \hat{a}$ tà:ndǐ:] k $\hat{\varepsilon} W$ [kìlò. ${ }^{\text {L }}$ tùmâ] bérè-m- $\grave{\varepsilon}$
[man three] equally [kilo ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ one] get-Ipfv-3PlSbj
'Each/Every (group of) three men gets one kilo.'
kámâ may co-occur with a possessor, though the combination is somewhat awkward. The sense is generally partitive (91). $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ is more freely used in such contexts, see $\S 6.6 .2$.
(91) [[yì $\left[\begin{array}{ll}u \\ y & \text { è }]]^{\mathrm{L}}\end{array}\right.$ kámâ bày]
[[child $\quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}{[2 S g} & \text { Poss.AnSg] }]\end{array}{ }^{\text {L }}\right.$ each Dat]
[bonbon wǒy-wǒy] ńdí-m̀- $\varnothing$
[candy two-two] give-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I will give two candies to each child of yours-Sg' (= 'to each of your children')
It may also follow a determiner (92).
(92) [yìttègè wè: $]^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ
[child-Pl DemAnPI] ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ each
'each of these children'

Numerals often precede postnominal possessors (93a). However, care should be taken to distinguish this NP construction from a clause with an unquantified possessed NP as subject and with a numeral as predicate (93c).
a. pèrgé kúrê [ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ yê] sheep six [2Sg $\quad{ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.AnSg] 'your six sheep'
b. pèrgé [ú

| ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ yê] | Kúrê |
| :--- | :--- |
| HL Poss.AnSg | six | sheep $\quad\left[2 \mathrm{Sg} \quad{ }^{\text {HL }}\right.$ Poss.AnSg $] \quad$ six [=(a)]


[sheep [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.AnPI]] $\quad$ six $=$ it.is. 3 PISbj 'Your sheep are six (in number)'.

### 6.1.2 Headless NPs (absolute function of demonstratives, etc.)

Deictic demonstrative pronouns (§4.4.1.2), universal quantifiers ('all', §6.8.1), and bipartite pronominal possessors can be used absolutely (i.e. without a noun).

'I won't eat that.'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. Kéréw } & \text { kó: } & j \grave{c}-\varnothing \\ \text { all } & \text { eat.meal } & \text { RecPrf-3SgSbj }\end{array}$
' $\mathrm{He} /$ She ate everything.'
c. [á
$\left.{ }^{H L} g \hat{\jmath}\right]$
[3ReflSg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg
'his (own) thing', as direct object, from (744) in the sample text

### 6.1.3 Apparent bifurcation (in relatives)

Possessors (preceding or following the head noun), modifying adjectives, and cardinal numerals remain with the noun to form the internal head NP of a relative. Determiners (demonstrative pronouns, definite morphemes), non-numeral quantifiers ('each', 'all'), and discourse particles like the topic particle follow the verb-participle, and may therefore be separated from the internal head NP by various other constituents. This apparent bifurcation is best analysed as a consequence of an underlying NP structure wherein a relative clause is positioned between numerals and determiners, and where the elements to its left move into the relativization site, see chapter 14.

### 6.1.4 Internal bracketing and tone-dropping in unpossessed NPs

Within an NP that does not contain a preposed possessor, an $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay (tone-dropping) is imposed on the X , which is either a simple noun or a word-string beginning with a noun, in the constructions shown in (95). NPs that do contain a possessor are covered in $\S 6.2$ below.

Tone-Dropping within NP
a. $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}+\right.$ adjective $] \quad$ ("adjectives" includes ordinals)
b. $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}+\right.$ káma 'each, any']
c. $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}+\right.$ postnominal determiner $]$

The tonosyntactic controllers (adjectives, 'each', determiners) are the reference-restricting elements that may follow a noun within the NP. Possessors and relative clauses are also reference-restricting and they too control tones on NPs.

Tone-dropping is indexed by superscript ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ on the side (left or right) of the targeted word or bracketed word string that "points" toward the controller. Examples: ńdô 'house' drops tones to ìdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ before a modifying adjective in (96a), a demonstrative pronoun in (96b), a definite morpheme in (96c), and distributive quantifier kámâ 'each' in (96d).
a. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí] yá sò-y [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ good] Exist have-1 SgSbj 'I have a good house.'
b. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú
house $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Dem.InanSg
'this house'
c. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ gú
house $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.InanSg
'the house'
d. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ
house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each
'each house'

Recursive tone-dropping has arguably applied in (97), which has two adjectives.

| $\left[\left[\right.\right.$ ǹdò $^{(\mathrm{L})}$ | bàr $\left.^{n} \mathrm{i}\right]^{\mathrm{L}}$ | غ̀sí] | yá | sò- $y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\left[\right.\right.$ house $^{(\mathrm{L})}$ | red $]^{\mathrm{L}}$ | good $]$ | Exist | have-1SgSbj |

'I have a good red house.' (ńdô, bár ${ }^{n} 1$ )

In a cyclical model, 'red' controls tone-dropping on 'house', and is then itself tone-dropped by the following adjective following 'good'.

Recursion is also a possibility in (98), where kámâ 'each' controls tone-dropping on the demonstrative pronoun wề: 'these', which has already induced tone-dropping on 'children'. Again, the alternative analysis is that kámâ controls one-step tone-dropping on the two preceding words.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[y i ̀-t \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}} & (\mathrm{L}) & \text { wè: }]^{\mathrm{L}}  \tag{98}\\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { (L) }
\end{array} & \text { kámâ } \\
{[\text { child-Pl }} & \text { DemAnPI }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { each } \\
\text { 'each of these children' }(y i ̀ t \grave{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon}, & \text { wê: })
\end{array}
$$

But it is more likely that the final adjective 'good' in (97), and 'each' in (98), simultaneously tone-drop both preceding words in a single step. There is clear evidence for multi-word tonosyntactic targets of a single controller in examplies like (101a-c) and (102) below. I will therefore generally not include the parenthesized ${ }^{(L)}$ superscript in transcriptions.

Tone-dropping does not apply to $X$ in the combinations in (99) within an NP. Numerals and quantifiers are not reference-restricting; they may maximize reference ('all') and in any event they do not divide a set into subsets of excluded and potentially included specific individuals. Postnominal pronominal possessors may restrict reference, but most of them originated as appositions ('house my-thing' $=$ ' my house'), and in Nanga they still have an apposition-like feel. Discourse-functional morphemes do not alter reference.
(99) No Tone-Dropping
a. $\quad$ X + cardinal numeral $]$
b. [X + universal quantifier kéréw 'all']
c. $[\mathrm{X}+$ adverbial quantifier $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ 'each, all']
d. $[\mathrm{X}+$ postnominal pronominal possessor $]$
e. $[X+$ discourse-function morpheme (topic, 'also', 'even', 'only', etc.)]

Examples are in (100). In (100b-c) 'house' is tone-dropped by the demonstrative, and the following quantifiers have no tonal effect.


```
d. ńdô
    house
    'my house'
```

This raises the question of what happens when a sequence of tonally independent words like those in (100) is itself followed by a tone-dropping controller, such as a determiner. kéréw 'all' cannot be followed by anything within the NP, but numerals and possessors can be.

In the absence of a possessor, when N-Num is followed by a determiner, both the noun and the numeral are tone-dropped. This is shown by bracketing the two words and placing the ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ superscript on the right bracket. The determiner has its normal pronunciation including an H-tone (101a-c).
a. [ǹdò tà:ndì] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$
yěy
[house three] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanPl
'these three houses' (ńdô)
b. [yà: $\quad$ oòy $]^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b \hat{\text { a }}$ :
[woman two] ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ Def.AnPl
'the two women' (yǎ:, wǒy)
c. [yà: wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ eê:
[woman two] ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ Dem.AnPl
'these two women'
Distributive quantifier kámâ 'each' can also control simultaneous tone-dropping on a noun and a numeral (102).

| $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { nù } & \text { tà:ndì }]^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { kámâ] } \\ {[\text { [person }} & \text { three }]^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { each }]\end{array}\right.$ | [kìlò: $^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> $\left[\right.$ kilo $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | tùmâ-tùmâ $]$ <br> one-one $]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'one kilo for each three persons' |  |  |

Given the clear evidence that a controller can target a multi-word domain, I reject the cyclical model suggested above for (97) and (98).

We will see below that things change when a possessor is added to the mix in (101a-c); in this case, the determiner is itself tone-dropped (with some exceptions) and then has no tonal effect on the preceding words; see $\S 6.5 .2$.

### 6.2 Possessives

Nonpronominal possessors always precede the possessed noun (and the latter's modifiers). Pronominal possessors also precede the possessed noun in the case of inalienable possession ('your uncle'), except for 1 Sg and 3 Sg , which always follow. With alienables (everything except kinship and similar relationships), all pronominal possessors follow the noun and its inner modifiers.

Preposed (but not postposed) possessors control a tone overlay on the noun and (usually) the modifiers. The overlay is $\{H L\}$ if the possessor ends in an H-tone, $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ if it ends in an L-tone. Superscripts ${ }^{H L}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ indexing the prior application of these overlays are placed on the left edge of the targeted word or word-string, "pointing" to the preposed possessor.

Inalienable possessives are quirky when modifiers are included and the possessor is pronominal ('your nasty uncle', 'your six nasty uncles'). The domain of the possessorcontrolled overlay can be narrowed to just the noun, and the postposed 1 Sg and 3 Sg possessors may shift to immediate postnominal position.

When there is both a preposed possessor and a postnominal reference restrictor, a tonosyntactic conflict occurs. This is the case with Poss-N-Adj and Poss-N-Det combinations. In many cases, the preposed possessor trumps the right-to-left controller. This happens not only with Poss-N-Adj, but also (usually) with Poss-N-...-Det. This priority is most easily observable when the possessor-controlled overlay is $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$, since the only overlay controlled by right-to-left controllers is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, i.e. tone-dropping. The unsuccessful right-to-left controller drops its own tones, with some exceptions. This happens to determiners even when the possessor is postposed to the noun; see §6.5.4.

However, a preposed or postposed pronominal possessor in inalienables is sometimes itself absorbed into the domain of a right-to-left controller. In this case, the right-to-left controller keeps its tones, and the possessor (along with the noun and its modifiers) is tonedropped. The prosodic lightness of such Poss-N combinations (often two or three total syllables) may be a factor in this respect.

A Poss-N-Adj sequence appears as [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}[\mathrm{~N}$ Adj]]. The choice between $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay depends on the final tone of the possessor. The adjective is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned in either case, confirming that the possessor is the dominant tonosyntactic controller in this combination.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & \text { ú } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}}[\text { llésî } \\ & \text { 2SgPoss } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { [uncle }\end{array} \quad$ bàsì] $]$
'your-Sg bad uncle' (mòsî)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & \hat{u}: & { }^{\text {L }} \text { [lèsì } \\ \text { 2PlPoss } & \text { Leàndà] } \\ & \text { [uncle } & \text { newr-Pl new uncle' (kándà) }\end{array}$
When a pronominal possessor follows the possessed noun, it comes at the end of the core NP (following any adjectives), and there is no tonal interaction between the possessor (with its possessive classifier) and the core NP. In (104a-b), the N-Adj combination has the same form it would have without the possessor.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { lèsì }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { mòsí } & \text { yễ: } \\ & \text { uncle }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { bad } & \text { 1SgPoss.AnSg } \\ & \text { 'my bad uncle' } & & \end{array}$


### 6.2.1 Alienable possession

For special features of kin terms (with pronominal possessor), see $\S 6.2 .2$ (inalienable possession). In this section I cover the productive possessed NP construction applicable to the great majority of possessed nouns.

### 6.2.1.1 Nonpronominal NP as preposed possessor

As shown in $\S 6.2 .2 .1$ below, there is no difference between alienable and inalienable possessives when the possessor is a nonpronominal NP and the possessum is a simple noun. Nonpronominal possessors always precede the possessed NP.

The possessed noun has either of two possessor-controlled overlays. If the possessor ends in an L-tone (including falling $<\mathrm{HL}>$ and bell-shaped $<\mathrm{LHL}>$ ), the possessor-controlled contour is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$. This word-level tone-dropping is indicated by ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ superscript at the left edge of the target domain (105).

There is no overt genitive linker between the possessor and the possessed noun. See (684) in $\S 17.6 .3$ for a textual occurrence of an apparent linker mò that may be cognate to genitive linkers in a few other Dogon languages.
a. sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹdò

Soumaila ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ house
'Soumaila's house' (< ńdô )
b. sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} p$ èrgè

Soumaila ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ sheep
'Soumaila's sheep-Sg' (< pèrgé )
c. [bǎ: yê:] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Sòm
[father 1 SgPoss.AnSg] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ horse
'my father's horse' (< sǒm)
If the possessor ends in an H-tone, the possessor-controlled overlay is $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$. The tone break on the possessed noun occurs near the right edge of the stem but does not obliterate the L-tone: $C \hat{v}$ :,$C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$ (with final falling tone), $C \hat{v} C \hat{v} C, C \hat{v}: C \grave{v}, C \hat{v} C C \grave{v}, C \hat{v} C \hat{v} C \grave{v}, C \hat{v} C \hat{v} C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$, etc. This overlay is indicated by an ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ superscript at the left edge of the target domain. Examples are in (106), with the lexical form of the noun shown in parentheses after the gloss.
(106) \{HL\}-toned possessed noun after final-H-toned possessor
a. possessor is yǎ- $\eta$ 'a woman'

| yă- $\eta$ | HL sôm | 'a woman's horse' | $(<$ sǒm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yă- $\eta$ | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ kósî | 'a woman's calabash' | $(<$ kòsî $)$ |
| yă- $\eta$ | HL gúlá:rì | 'a woman's ax' | $(<$ gúlá:rì $)$ |
| yă- $\eta$ | HL túngúrì | 'a woman's stool' | $(<$ túngúrí $)$ |
| yă- $\eta$ | HL gúsírì | 'a woman's de-braiding tool' | $(<$ gŭsìrî $)$ |
| yă- $\eta$ | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ bísíyémì | 'a woman's acacia' | $(<$ bísíyémì $)$ |

b. possessor is yǎ: wǒy 'two women'
[yǎ: wǒy] ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ sôm 'a horse of two women'
c. possessor is yà: ${ }^{L} n \varepsilon$ 'the woman'
[yà: $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon ́\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ Sôm 'the woman's horse'
d. possessor is yà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ wǒ- $\eta$ 'this woman'
[yà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ wǒ-ŋ] ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ sôm 'this woman's horse'
e. possessor is yǎ- $\eta$ kéréw 'every woman'
[yă- $\eta$ kéréw] ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Sôm 'every woman's horse'

As shown especially by the longer possessed nouns like 'acacia' at the bottom of (106a), the tone break in $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ occurs close to the right edge of the stem. However, most quadrisyllabic noun stems can be treated phonologically as compounds, unlike 'acacia' in (106a), whether or not they actually originated as compounds. If so, the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay is completed on the compound initial, with the compound final then continuing the L-tone (107). CvCV - initials are realized as $C \hat{v} C \grave{v}$ - instead of as $C \hat{v} C \hat{v}$-, since a falling tone cannot occur in a word-medial syllable. (107) also shows alternative pronunciations not involving a compound break, i.e. treating 'perfume' and 'Albizia tree' as unsegmentable quadrisyllabics.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { a. } & \text { yǎ- } \eta & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { lásì-kòrò }  \tag{107}\\
\text { or: } & \text { yă- } \eta & { }^{\text {HL lásíkórò }} & \\
& & & \\
\text { b. } & \text { yǎ- } \eta & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { Súgò-pàpà: } & \text { 'a woman's Albizia tree' (< sùgó-pàpâ:) } \\
\text { or: } & \text { yă- } \eta & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { Súgópápà: }
\end{array}
$$

### 6.2.1.2 Tones of modifiers following an alienably possessed noun

If the possessor is nonpronominal, there is no difference between alienable and inalienable possession in the tonal treatment of modifiers. The comments in this section on alienables will therefore apply to both. See $\S 6.2 .2 .2$ for specific material on inalienables.

If the noun that heads the possessum is followed by a modifying adjective, tone-dropping controlled by the preposed possessor extends to that modifier as well. In (108a-b), both 'big' and 'house' undergo tone-dropping. Phonologically, the tone overlay is first applied to the noun, so that the L-tone portion of the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ contour is audible on the final syllable or mora of the noun). This is also the case with the compounds described in the preceding section. A light bisyllabic ( $C v C v, n C v$ ) with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay is realized as $C \hat{V} C \hat{v}$ or $n C \hat{V}$ before an adjective, though this can be pronounced $C \hat{V} C \grave{V}$ or $n \subset C \grave{v}$ to avoid a contour tone in a medial syllable in the noun-adjective sequence. Then the L-tone of the overall $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ extends to the end of the adjective. If the noun and adjective were treated as an undifferentiated syllable string, the tone break in the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay would occur at the final syllable break in the adjective, giving e.g. the incorrect \#yă- $\eta{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [ńdó óẁ̀] in (108b). In other words, wordboundaries within the tonosyntactically targeted string remain "visible."
a. [sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\text {L }}$ [ǹdò òwò $\left.]\right]$ àr ${ }^{n}$ áná bù- $\varnothing$
[Soumaila ${ }^{\text {L }}$ [house big]] where? be- 3 SgSbj
'Where is Soumaila's big house?' (< ńdô, òwó )
b. [yǎ- $\eta \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}[n ́ d \hat{}$ òwò $\left.]\right]$ àrná ${ }^{n}$ á bù- $\varnothing$
[woman ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ [house big]] where? be- 3 SgSbj
'Where is a woman's big house?' (<ńdô, ̀̀wó )

In the absence of the possessor, the adjective would have controlled tone-dropping on the noun in such examples. As in similar previous cases, one could argue for a cyclical tonosyntactic derivation, whereby first N -Adj becomes [ $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ Adj], then the possessorcontrolled overlay produces [Poss $\left.{ }^{(H) L}\left[N^{ \pm} A d j\right]\right]$ with the first-cycle $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ obliterated by the
final overlay (obliteration shown by double strike-through). Or one can posit a simpler, onestep tonosyntax with just the possessor-controlled overlay: [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}[\mathrm{~N}$ Adj]]. There is no obvious way to resolve this question empirically, but I prefer the one-step model for reasons given above.

Tone-dropping also targets a cardinal numeral following a possessed noun (or noun plus adjective). For example, kúrê 'six' drops to kùrè in (109a-b), as part of the bracketed domain targeted by the tonosyntactic controller 'Soumaila'.
a. [sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\text {L }}$ [ǹdò kùrè ${ }^{\text {àr }}$ náná bù- $\varnothing$
[Soumaila ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ [house six]] where? be- 3 SgSbj 'Where are Soumaila's six houses?' (ńdô, kúrê )
b. [sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}[$ ǹdò òwò kùrè $\left.]\right]$ àrnáná bù- $\varnothing$ [Soumaila ${ }^{\text {L }}$ [house big six] $]$ where? be- 3 SgSbj 'Where are Soumaila’s six big houses?' (ńdô, òwó, kúrê )

Determiners may be added at the end. They are subject to Determiner Tone-Dropping (§6.5.4) in the presence of a possessor, and they do not normally affect the tones of the preceding words.
kéréw 'all' may follow and is tonally free (110).
[sùmǎylà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ìdò $\quad$ kéréw] $\quad$ èsì $=n d o ́-\varnothing$
[Soumaila ${ }^{\text {L house all] good=it.is.not-3SgSbj }}$
'Every house of Soumaila's is no good.'

### 6.2.1.3 Pronoun plus possessive classifier as postposed possessor

A pronominal possessor is expressed by juxtaposing an alienably possessed noun (essentially anything but a kin term) in its regular form with a following pronominal possessor. The normal linear ordering is N -Adj-Num-Poss-Det or inverted N-Num-Adj-Poss-Det (§6.4.2), with the possessor following adjectives and numerals (111).
a. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ òwó
house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ big
'your-Sg big house'
b. ńdô
kúrê
house
six
[ú
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$
'your six houses'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } \begin{array}{lll}\text { ǹ } \text { ò }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { òwó } & \text { kúrê }\end{array} & {[\text { ú }} \\ \text { house }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { big } & \text { six } & {[2 \mathrm{~S}}\end{array}$
[ú $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ ] [2Sg
${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg]
Sg big
house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big six
'your-Sg six big houses'

For 3Sg (including inanimate singular) possessor, there is a special invariant possessor morpheme nò. A [+ATR] variant nò has been heard after +ATR stems, as in ńdô nò ~ ńdô ǹ̀ 'his/her house', but the difference is phonetically subtle and transcription is difficult.

For all pronominal categories other than 3 Sg , the postnominal possessor is bipartite, consisting of a pronominal morpheme plus a possessive classifier that originated as a generic noun meaning 'thing' (inanimate) or 'critter' (animate). To some extent the appositional character of this construction is still apparent. For all pronominal possessors, the pronoun plus classifier combination is used in predicates ('belongs to $X$ '). This applies even to 3 Sg , which replaces $n \grave{\jmath}$ with a bipartite classifying form as predicate ( $(11.5 .2$ ).

There are two such classifiers, one used for inanimate singular (occasionally directly pluralized), and the other for everything else: animate singular, animate plural, inanimate plural. Arguably the second classifier is really a set of three homophones.

For inanimate singulars, the generic noun is ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g} \hat{o}$ after H -tone or ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gò after final L-tone (including <HL>-tone). These tones could be attributed to the preceding pronoun as possessor; I show this here with superscripts ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$, but elsewhere I often omit them. A variant with $k$ instead of $g$ is uncommon within NPs, though it was occasionally elicited. It is common, however, in predicates (§11.5.2).

The 1 Sg form fuses the pronominal possessor with the <HL>-toned classifier. The resulting portmanteau is invariant $k$ ğ: with bell-shaped tone. Unlike other NP-internal pronominal possessives, $k$ :̆: is always pronounced with $k$ rather than $g$.

The inanimate singular possessive classifier is related to the noun ky' 'thing', whose regular post-possessor form is ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{k}$, see (411b). Except for the irregular 3Sg form nò, all of the pronominal possessives reflect an appositional construction *[noun [Pron thing]]. The pronoun functioned directly as the possessor of 'thing', which therefore appeared with possessor-controlled tone as *k̂̂~*kò. The 1 Sg form would have originally been $* \mathrm{x}$ kô: with some L-toned 1 Sg possessor morpheme *̀̀ that later disappeared segmentally, but left its L-tone behind, resulting in the attested kô: with <LHL> tone. Nanga kô: is almost exactly matched morphologically by Ben Tey kî:n. In Ben Tey this is a predicative form ('it is mine'), and the nasalization reflects the 'it is' clitic.

The paradigm for inanimate singular possessed nouns is (112).
(112) Pronominal possessor (following inanimate singular noun)

| category | possessor form |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1Sg | kô: |
| 1P1 | $\hat{i}:{ }^{\text {L }}$ g̀ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2 Sg | $u^{\text {HL }}{ }^{\text {g }}$ of |
| 2Pl | $\hat{u}:{ }^{\text {L }}$ g ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3 Sg | nò (but predicative ńné ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g} \hat{\mathrm{s}}=\hat{\eta}$ ' 's his/hers') |
| Inan | nò (but predicative $k{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g} \hat{\imath}=\eta$ 'is its') |
| 3P1 | bû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gò |
| InanP1 | kû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gò |
| 3Refl/3LogoSg | á $^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{g} \hat{o}$ |
| 3Refl/3LogoPl | â: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ g ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

'House', for example, occurs in combinations like those in (113a-c). The invariant 3 Sg possessor nò is regular in NPs (113c), but in predicates it is replaced by 3 Sg pronoun ńné plus
${ }^{H L}$ kô (113d). The noun kó 'thing' may also be possessed, like any other inanimate noun (113e).
(113)
a. ńdô kô:
house 1SgPoss.InanSg
'my house'
b. ńdô
[ú
house $\quad[2 \mathrm{Sg}$
$\left.{ }^{\text {HL }} g \hat{\jmath}\right]$
${ }^{H L}$ Poss.InanSg]
'your-Sg house’
c ńdô nò
house 3SgPoss
'his/her house'
d. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú] [ńné $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{o}\right]=$ j̀
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg] [3Sg ${ }^{\text {HL Poss.Inan] }}=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'The house is his/hers.'
e. kó
thing $\quad[2 \mathrm{Sg}$
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}\right]$
'your-Sg thing'
Inanimate plural is not always overtly distinguished from inanimate singular in possessives. For example, in (573) in §15.2.6.2 (giraffes), dúrâ [bû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gò] 'their (i.e. giraffes') tail(s)' has plural possessor (bû: 'their') but inanimate singular classifier, though the reference is obviously to multiple tails.

However, inanimate plural is often marked. One way to mark it is to add definite inanimate plural $\dot{y}$ (tone-dropped to ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y}$ ) to the relevant form of inanimate singular ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g \hat{\jmath}$. This is exemplified in (114).
a. ńdô
kô:
house 1 SgPoss.InanSg
${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{D}$ Def.InanP1
'my houses' (definite)
b. ńdô [î: $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ g̀̀ $]$
house [1Pl ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Def.InanPl}$
'our houses' (definite)
However, the regular process is to replace ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ by inanimate plural possessive classifier ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$. The same (or a homophonous) classifier ${ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ is used for animate possessed noun (regardless of number), see below. The surface form for inanimate plural and for animate classifiers is ${ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ after H-tone and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$ after L-tone, with $1 \mathrm{Sg} y \tilde{\varepsilon}:$. The tones are parallel to those for the inanimate singular classifier described above. The invariant (nonclassifying) 3Sg possessor ǹ̀ occurs in NP-internal possessives, here as elsewhere.
(115) Pronominal possessor (after inanimate plural or animate singular or plural)

| category | possessor form |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | yê: |
| 1 Pl | ̂̂: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$ |
| 2 Sg | $u^{\text {HL }} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ |
| 2 Pl | 人̂: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{y}$ 文 |
| 3Sg | nò (but predicative ńnย์ ${ }^{\text {HL }} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{j}$ ) |
| InanSg | nò (but predicative kú ${ }^{\text {HL }} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{\eta}$ ) |
| 3 Pl | bû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ yè |
| InanPl | kû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ yè |
| 3Refl/3LogoSg | á ${ }^{\text {HL }} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ |
| 3Refl/3LogoPl | â: ${ }^{L} y$ è |

Inanimate plural possessed nouns with ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$ classifier are exemplified in (116).
a. ńdô yê:
house 1 SgPoss.InanPl
'my houses'
b. ńdô [ú $\left.\quad{ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$
house [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanPl]
'your-Sg houses'
c. ńdô $\quad\left[\hat{i}: \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{y}\right.$ ỳ̀ $]$
house [1Pl ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanPl] }}$
'our houses'
Animate singular possessed nouns are in (117). The possessive classifier is ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$, which in this case derives from an old Dogon noun for 'critter, nonhuman animate being'. This stem is also preserved in yèbúmbâ '(any) snake', which combines cognates of Najamba yê.' 'critter' and the Najamba suppletive plural bómbò 'critters'. The singular/plural distinction is frequently made by a following determiner (not shown here).
a. pèrgé yê:
sheep $\quad 1$ SgPoss.AnSg
'my sheep-Sg'
b. kórójì [ú
family $\quad[2 \mathrm{Sg}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HL } y \hat{\varepsilon}] \\
& { }^{\text {HL }} \text { Poss.AnSg] }
\end{aligned}
$$

'your-Sg family'

```
c. gùndá [î: 
    slave
    [1Pl
    LPoss.AnSg]
    'our slave'
```

The situation for 3 Sg (and inanimate singular) possessor is more complex. With an animate singular possessed noun, the same nò seen above (with inanimate singular possessed noun) is used postnominally. The expected, fully transparent predicative forms are also used. For inanimate plural possessed nouns, 3 Sg possessor can again be expressed by nd, meaning that there is no distinction (so far) between singular and plural possessed noun. The distinction can be expressed by a following determiner, such as a definite morpheme (inanimate singular $g u ́$, inanimate plural $\dot{y}$, but here in L-toned form ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y}$ ): ńdô nò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù 'the house of his/hers', ńdô nذ ${ }^{\text {L }} \dot{y}$ 'the houses of his/hers'.

While nò is the usual 3 Sg /inanimate possessor form, it is also possible to revert to the more generally productive pattern for pronominal possessors, using 3 Sg pronoun ńné as the possessor, with a following classifier. Thus ńné ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g} \hat{\partial}$ 'his/her' for inanimate singular possessed noun, and $n$ ńह́ ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ for inanimate plural (as well as all animates). This construction is, however, mainly used in predicates, and within an NP it is limited to animate (usually human) possessors. My assistant rejected comparable forms with inanimate singular pronoun kú.
a. usual invariant 3 Sg /Inanimate possessor within NP

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \mathrm{Sg} \quad n \grave{o} \text { (but predicative ńn' }{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{\jmath} \text { ) }  \tag{118}\\
& \text { InanSg nò (but predicative kú }{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{y} \text { ) }
\end{align*}
$$

b. alternative 3 Sg possessor form with classifier

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3Sg with inanimate singular } & \text { ńń́ }
\end{array}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\gamma}
$$

Examples of third person possessors of inanimate plural nouns are in (119). (119a) is the common 3 Sg possessor form, with invariant $n \grave{\text { o }}$. The optional bipartite form in (119b), with a possessive classifier, is less common but possible within an NP for animate possessors. The bipartite form is required in predicates (119c).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & \text { ńdô } \quad \text { ǹ̀ }  \tag{119}\\
& \text { house } \quad \text { 3SgPoss } \\
& \text { 'his/her/its houses' }
\end{array}
$$

b. ńdô [ńné $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$
house $\quad\left[3 \mathrm{Sg} \quad{ }^{\text {HL }}\right.$ Poss.InanPl]
'his/her houses'
c. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú-yè] [ńné
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanPl] [3Sg ${ }^{\text {HL Poss.InanPl] }] \text { it.is }}$
'These houses are his/hers.'
The forms for third person possessor of animate singular possessed noun in (120) are identical to the inanimate plural type just described. That no, like other postnominal pronominal
possessors (those with classifiers), can follow a modifying adjective is shown by (120b). Predicative counterparts, distinguishing animate 3 Sg possessor (common) from inanimate singular possessor (uncommon) are in ( $120 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
a. pèrgé nò
sheep 3SgPoss
'his/her sheep-Sg' = 'its sheep'
b. pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùgí nò
sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big 3SgPoss
'his/her big sheep-Sg' = 'its big sheep-Sg'
c. $\left[p \grave{̀ r g}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ wǒ- $\left.\eta\right]$ [ńné
[sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg] [3Sg
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]=\grave{\jmath}$
${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.AnSg] $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'This sheep is his/hers.'
d. $\left[p \bar{r} r g{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\right.$ wǒ-ŋ $] \quad\left[k u ́ \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]=\grave{\eta}$
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Dem-AnSg] [InanSg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.AnSg] $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'This sheep is its (= belongs to it).'
If the possessed noun is animate plural, the same forms as for animate singular are used.

### 6.2.2 Inalienable possession

The main syntactic difference between inalienables (kin terma) and alienables (all other nouns) is that inalienables have preposed pronominal possessors without classifiers (except for 1 Sg and 3 Sg , which are postnominal). This contrasts with the postposed pronominal possessors for alienables, most of which are composite (pronominal plus classifier).

In addition, when modifiers are added to a pronominally possessed noun, the linear order and tonosyntax are different for alienables and inalienables. For inalienables, the pronominal possessor can appear adjacent to the kin term.

I have observed no difference between alienable and inalienable possession when the possessor is a nonpronominal NP, which is always preposed.

Kin terms treated as inalienable are (in unpossessed form, as in 'I do not have a __'): bă: 'father', dě: 'mother', lèsí 'maternal uncle', tùsá 'paternal aunt', tìyé 'cross-cousin (MoBrCh)', pàwá 'grandfather', tèsí 'grandmother', jéņ̂̂ 'great-grandparent', kúmbî 'great-great-grandparent', táyî 'great-great-great-grandparent', dèré 'elder same-sex sibling', ̀̀jó 'younger same-sex sibling', àmìrnàsă: 'opposite-sex sibling', lòĝ̂ 'husband', òmìr ní 'parentor child-in-law', and the generic tògí 'kin (collective)' or 'relative, kinsman'. Composite kin terms ending yî: ‘child' are lèsí-yê ‘sister's child' ('maternal uncle' plus a harmonized variant of yî: 'child') and tùsà-yí: 'paternal aunt's child'. Adjectives 'big' and 'small' can be used to distinguish senior from junior if not lexically distinct: bà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ díyâ 'father's elder brother', bà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ $t \grave{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon} \sim b a ̀{ }^{\mathrm{L}} t \hat{\varepsilon}$ : 'father's younger brother', likewise for mother's siblings (dè $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} d i ́ y \hat{a}, d \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{L}} t \grave{\varepsilon} g \hat{\varepsilon}\right)$. In these combinations, 'father' and 'mother' irregularly shorten from $C \check{v}$ : to $C \grave{v}^{\mathrm{L}}$.

Some non-kin relationship nouns are treated grammatically as inalienables: tìyá 'friend', tògìrá 'namesake' (anyone with the same personal name), tǔ: 'agemate' (related to reciprocal tû:, §18.3), kàdàgá ‘agemate group’, tá:má ‘colleague, and àndìrı 'rival, competitor'.
'Woman' even in the sense 'wife', and 'child' even in the kinship sense, are regular alienable nouns, so pronominal possessors follow the noun: yă: [ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ ] 'your-Sg wife', yî: [ú $\left.{ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right]$ 'your-Sg child'. My assistant also rejected preposed pronominal possessors for sá:rà 'parent' and yà-nı̀r ${ }^{n 1}$ 'co-wife'.

Body parts are not treated as inalienable: nǎ: [ú $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\imath}\right]$ 'your hand', k̂̂: [ú $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\imath}\right]$ 'your head'.

### 6.2.2.1 Inalienables with nonpronominal possessor

Inalienables have the same Poss-N construction as alienables when the possessor is a nonpronominal NP. In both cases, the possessor precedes the possessed noun, with no intervening genitive marker, and the possessor controls a tone overlay on the noun, $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ if the possessor ends in an H-tone and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ if the possessor ends in an L-tone. Inalienable examples are in (121).
(121)
a. sùmǎylà $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bà:

Soumaila ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ father
'Soumaila's father' (bă:)
b. ámbérì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dè:
chief ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ mother
'(a/the) chief's mother' (dě:)
c. [àmbèrì $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dê:
[chief ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg] ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ mother
'the chief's mother'

### 6.2.2.2 Tones of modifiers after nonpronominal inalienable possessors

Elicitation with my assistant produced no systematic difference between alienable and inalienable possession with regard to tonosyntactic treatment of postnominal modifiers when the possessor is a nonpronominal NP (as opposed to a pronoun). We get the same choice between $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlays, based on the final tone of the possessor. A postnominal adjective or numeral is again $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned in either case. As with alienable counterparts, the schemas are [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}\left[\mathrm{~N}\right.$ Adj]] (122a-b), [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}\left[\mathrm{~N}\right.$ Num]] (122c-d), [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}[\mathrm{~N}$ Adj Num]] (122e), and inverted [Poss ${ }^{(H) L}[\mathrm{~N}$ Num Adj]] (122f), in all cases with wide-domain tone overlay.
a. sùmǎylâ
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { L}[l e ̀ s i ̀ ~ & \text { mòsì] } \\ \text { [uncle } & \text { bad] }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. } & {[\text { yà- } \eta} & \text { wǒ- } \eta \text { ] } & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { [lésì }\end{array}$ mòsì]
'this woman's nasty uncle' (lèsí, mòsí)


Determiners can be added at the end, often in tone-dropped form without affecting the tones of the preceding words; see §6.5.4, below.

### 6.2.2.3 Inalienables with pronominal possessor

As we have seen, with alienable nouns like 'house' and 'dog', pronominal possessors are expressed in a distinctive construction involving a postnominal possessive classifier: ńdô [ú ${ }^{\text {HL }} g \hat{\jmath}$ ] "house [you(r) thing]" = 'your house'. With kin terms as the possessed nouns, however, pronominal possessors (other than 1 Sg and $3 \mathrm{Sg} /$ inanimate, on which see below) have the same prenominal position as do nonpronominal possessors: lèsí '(maternal) uncle', ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lésî 'your- Sg uncle'. The possessed noun, as usual, has $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay if the pronoun ends in an H-tone ( 2 Sg ú, 3Logophoric singular á), and $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay if the pronoun ends in an L-tone (1Pl ̂̂:, 2Pl û:, 3Pl bû:, 3Logophoric plural â:).

For 3 Sg (including inanimate) and 1 Sg possessor, there is no difference between alienable and inalienable possessor. 3 Sg possessor is expressed by the invariant postnominal morpheme ǹ̀, and 1 Sg possessor is expressed by the relevant 1 Sg form of the postnominal possessive classifier, which for kin terms (always animate) is yê: in both singular and plural.

The paradigm of bǎ: 'father', organized by phonological form and linear order, is (123).
category 'X's father'
a. preposed possessor ending in H-tone, $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay

| 2Sg | ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ bâ: |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3LogoSg | á ${ }^{H L}$ bâ: |

b. preposed possessor ending in L-tone, $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay

| 1 Pl | ̂̂: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bà: |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 Pl | ĥ: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bà: |
| 3 Pl | bû: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bà: |
| 3 LogoPl | â: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bà: |

c. postnominal possessor with possessive classifier, lexical tone

1 Sg bǎ: yě:
d. special 3 Sg postnominal morpheme, lexical tone

3Sg bǎ: nò
Further examples of the full $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay with kin terms: ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dê: 'mother' ( $<$ dě:), ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dérê


### 6.2.2.4 Modifiers after pronominally possessed inalienable noun

In Poss-N-Adj and Poss-N-Num sequences with pronominal possessor, the modifier can be tonosyntactically external to the domain of possessor control. However, variant tonal pronunciations turned up in elicitation with my assistant. There is probably significant interspeaker and subdialectal variation.

Consider Poss-N-Adj combinations with inalienable pronominal possessor. (124a) follows the tonal pattern observed with nonpronominal possessors (alienable and inalienable), i.e. $\left[\right.$ Poss ${ }^{(H) L}[\mathrm{~N}$ Adj]]. However, there is an alternative (124b-c) where the adjective preserves its lexical tones, showing that the possessor here has narrow-domain control limited to the noun. This can be schematized as [Poss ${ }^{\text {H)L }} \mathrm{N}$ Adj]]. The narrow domain can be further brought out by using tonosyntactic island notation, as in $\left[\subset \operatorname{Poss}{ }^{H) L} \mathrm{~N} \supset\right.$ Adj]], which emphasizes that the adjective cannot affect the tones of Poss-N. I have no meaningful statistics but my impression is that the type (124b-c) predominates over the type (124a) at least in fairly careful speech.
a. ú
${ }^{\text {HL }}$ [lésì mòsì]
2SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ [uncle bad]
'your-Sg nasty uncle'

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } & \subset \hat{u}: & { }^{\text {L }} \text { lèsì } \supset & \text { mòsí } \\ & \subset 2 \text { PlPoss } & { }^{\text {L }} \text { uncle } \supset & \text { bad } \\ & \text { 'your-Pl nasty uncle' } & \end{array}$

There is also another tonosyntactic option, where the (prosodically light) possessor is itself included in the adjective-controlled overlay (125), the formula then being [[Poss N$]^{\mathrm{L}}$ Adj]. For a similar tonosyntactic pattern before kámâ 'each', see $\S 6.6 .2$ below.
a. [ù
lèsì ${ }^{\text {L }}$
mòsí
[2SgPoss uncle] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bad 'your-Sg nasty uncle’
b. [ù: lèsì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ mòsí
[2PlPoss uncle] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bad 'your-Pl nasty uncle'

For comparison, the corresponding adjectival predicate construction is (126). Here the adjective does not belong to the same syntactic or tonosyntactic phrase as the possessed noun.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\text { ú }} & \left.{ }^{\text {HL }} \text { lésî }\right] & \text { mòsí= }  \tag{126}\\
{[2 \text { SgPoss }} & \left.{ }^{\text {HL }} \text { uncle }\right] & \text { bad=it.is } \\
\text { 'Your-Sg uncle is bad/nasty.' }
\end{array}
$$

If we substitute a numeral for the adjective in (124a-c) above, we get the same range of outputs (127a-c). The most unusual variant is (127c), where the numeral appears to control $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ on the preceding N -Adj string, though in general numerals do not interact with preceding words tonosyntactically. In effect, the distinction between adjective and numeral is partially neutralized in this context (i.e. with pronominal inalienable possessor), so (127c) has the same tonal structure as (125a) above.
a. ú
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ú } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { [lés } \\ \text { 2SgPoss } & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { [unct }\end{array}$ kùrè]
2SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL [uncle six] }}$
'your-Sg six uncles', compare (124a)
b. [ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ lésî] kúrê
[2SgPoss ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ uncle] six
[ $=(\mathrm{a})$ ], compare ( $124 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ )
c. $[\text { lèsì }]^{\mathrm{L}}$ kúrê
[2SgPoss uncle] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ six
[=(a)], compare (125a)
The combination Poss-N-Adj-Num is illustrated in (128a-c), which are tonosyntactically parallel to (127a-c) above, respectively, except that in (128c) the final numeral does not control $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ on the preceding adjective. The numeral is bolded in the interlinears in (128) and later examples to emphasize its changing position.
a. ú ú
2SgPoss
'your-Sg si

| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [lésì | mòsì |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [uncle | bad |

kùrè]
'your-Sg six nasty uncles'
b. Cú HL lésîつ mòsí kúrê
$\subset 2$ SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL}}$ uncle $\supset$ bad six
[=(a)]
c. [[ù lèsì $]^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ mòsí $]$ kúrê
[[2SgPoss uncle $^{\mathrm{L}}$ bad] six

The combination Poss-N-Adj-Num is optionally inverted to Poss-N-Num-Adj, since possessors are licensors of Adjective-Numeral Inversion (§6.4.2). Inverted examples are in (129a-c). The adjective controls $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ on the immediately preceding numeral in ( $129 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ), whose tones therefore differ from those in (128b-c) above, respectively.

| a. $\begin{aligned} & \text { ú } \\ & \text { 2SgPoss } \\ & {[=(\mathrm{a})]} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{\text {[lésî }}$ <br> ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ [uncle | kùrè <br> six | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mòsì] } \\ & \text { bad] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. $\begin{aligned} & \subset \text { с́ } \\ & \subset 2 \text { SgPoss } \\ & {[=(a)]} \\ & \text { [alternative } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ lésî̀ <br> Huncle ${ }^{\text {H }}$ <br> ly marked | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\text { kù̀rè }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} \\ & {\left[\mathbf{s i x}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} \\ & \text { cú }[\text { lés } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mòsí] } \\ & \text { bad] } \end{aligned}$ $\text { ìè̀ } m$ |


| c. | lù̀ <br> $[2 S g P o s s$ <br> $[=(\mathrm{a})]$ | lèsì | uncle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The most salient take-away from this section is that preposed pronominal possessors, which are only possible with inalienables, have only weak tonosyntactic control powers. Often a possessor-controlled overlay extends only to the immediately following noun. In other cases, the possessor has no effective control power at all, and is itself caught up in a modifiercontrolled overlay. These factors suggest that preposed pronominal possessors tend to function within word-level tonomorphology rather than extended phrasal tonosyntax.

Determiners added at the end are often tone-dropped, and in this case they do not affect the tones of preceding words. For details, see §6.5.4.

### 6.2.3 Modifiers after nouns with following pronominal possessors

1 Sg and 3 Sg possessors are postnominal even for inalienable possession. When an adjective and/or a number are added, there is considerable variation in linear order. I will illustrate with a kin term, but the same patterns apply to alienables like 'sheep' and 'house'.

### 6.2.3.1 Modifiers after nouns with following 1 Sg possessors

We exemplify first with 1 Sg possessors. In the absence of a modifier, the simple N -Poss combination has the same form for inalienable possession (130) as for alienable possession, cf. (117a) above.

| lèsí | $y$ ŷ: |
| :--- | :--- |
| uncle | 1SgPoss.AnSg |

'my (maternal) uncle'
When (130) is complicated by adding an adjective and/or a numeral, the possessor may occur either immediately after the noun or after all modifiers. (131a-b) illustrate the alternatives N -Poss-Adj and N -Adj-Poss. The adjective controls tones to its left only.
a. [lès
$y \grave{\varepsilon}:]^{L}$
1 SgPo
mòsí
[uncle $\quad 1$ SgPoss.AnSg] ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ bad
'my nasty uncle'


Examples (132a-b) illustrate the alternatives N-Poss-Num and N-Num-Poss. Numerals and postposed possessors are non-controllers of tone overlays. The possessor is bolded in the interlinears.
a. lèsí yê: kúrê
[uncle 1SgPoss.AnSg] six
'my six uncles'
b. lèsí kúrê yê:
uncle six 1SgPoss.AnSg
$[=(\mathrm{a})]$

Examples (133a-c) illustrate N-Poss-Adj-Num, varying with N-Adj-Num-Poss and N -Adj-Poss-Num. The adjective controls tones only to its left. In one elicitation session my assistant dispreferred (133c), where the possessor is sandwiched between the two other modifier. On another occasion he accepted it along with the others.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & {[[1 e ̀ s i ̀ ~} & y \grave{:}:]^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { mòsí] } & \text { kúrê } \\ & {[[\text { uncle }} & \mathbf{1 S g P o s s} . A n S g^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { bad] } & \mathbf{s i x}\end{array}$

'my six bad uncles'

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } & {[\text { lèsì }} & \text { mòsí] } & \text { yě: } \\ \begin{array}{lll}\text { uncle }^{\text {L }} & \text { bad }] & \mathbf{1 S g P o s s} . A n S g\end{array} & \text { kúrê } \\ {[=(\mathrm{a})]} & & & \text { six }\end{array}$

Examples (134a-c) contain the same lexical material as (133a-c) above, but they show the effects of Adjective-Numeral Inversion, which is licensed (but not required) by the presence of a possessor (§6.4.2). The sequences are now N-Poss-Num-Adj, N-Num-Poss-Adj, and N -Num-Adj-Poss. The adjective controls tones to its left.
a. [lèsì yè:
kùrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ mòsí
[uncle 1SgPoss.AnSg
six] $]^{L} \quad$ bad
'my six bad uncles'
b. [lèsì
[uncle
kùrè ${ }^{\text {L }}$
mòsí
yẽ:
[= (a)]
six $]^{\text {L }} \quad$ bad 1SgPoss.AnSg
c. [lèsì
[uncle
kùrè $\quad y$ è: $]^{\mathrm{L}}$
mòsí
[=(a)]

### 6.2.3.2 Modifiers after inalienable noun with following 3Sg possessor

Examples like those just given for 1 Sg , but now with 3 Sg possessor, are presented in this section. Since 3 Sg possessor ǹ̀ is already L-toned, listeners cannot distinguish its regular and tone-dropped realizations. I assume (inaudible) tone-dropping on this morpheme when it is followed by an adjective, by analogy to the 1 Sg possessor examples, where tone-dropped $y \dot{\varepsilon}$ : ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ is audibly distinct from regular $y$ ě: .
lèsí $\quad$ ǹ̀
uncle $\quad$ 3SgPoss
'his/her (maternal) uncle'

N -Poss-Adj and N -Adj-Poss, with the possessor bolded in interlinears.
a. [lèsì
$n \grave{ }]^{\mathrm{L}}$
mòsí
[uncle 3SgPoss] ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ bad
'his/her nasty uncle'
b. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { lèsì }{ }^{\text {L }} & \text { mòsí } & \text { nò } \\ \text { uncle }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { bad } & \text { 3SgPoss } \\ {[=(a)]} & & \end{array} r$

N-Poss-Num and N-Num-Poss::
(137)
a. $\begin{array}{ll}{[l e ̀ s i ́ l} & n \grave{]} \\ & {[\text { uncle }}\end{array}$
[uncle 3SgPoss] six kúrê
'his/her six uncles'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & {[\text { lèsí }} & \text { kúrê }]\end{array} \quad$ nò $\left.\quad \begin{array}{ll}{[\text { uncle }} & \text { six }]\end{array}\right]$ 3SgPoss

$$
[=(\mathrm{a})]
$$

Uninverted N-Poss-Adj-Num, varying with N-Adj-Num-Poss and N-Adj-Poss-Num:


After optional Adjective-Numeral Inversion, these become N-Poss-Num-Adj varying with N-Num-Adj-Poss and N-Num-Poss-Adj:


### 6.3 Noun plus adjective

### 6.3.1 Noun plus regular adjective

Modifying adjectives (including ordinals) follow the noun. In the absence of a possessor, an adjective controls tone-dropping on the noun. Thus ńdô 'house' drops its tones to ǹdò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ in (140b-d)
a. ńdô
'(a) house'
b. ì̀dò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ èsí
'(a) good house'
c. ìdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí
'(a) white house'
d. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kìyá
'(the) first house'

For the combinations Poss-N-Adj, N-Poss-Adj (inalienable), and N-Adj-Poss (alienable), see $\S 6.2$ above. For Adjective-Numeral Inversion, see §6.4.2.

Adjectives are themselves subject to tone-dropping as part of a target domain controlled by a following determiner or 'each' quantifier, or in the internal head NP of the relative. See (158b) for N -Adj-Definite, (159b) for N -Adj-Demonstrative, and (494b) for relative heads.

### 6.3.2 Adjective gàmbí 'certain (ones)'

The partitioning quantifier gàmbí is most often used in the plural form gàmbí-yê, meaning 'certain (ones)', i.e. a subset (with at least two members) of a larger collectivity. Singular gàmbí '(a) certain (one)' or 'some (of a mass)' is also possible. gàmbí functions tonosyntactically as an adjective.
a. nǔ:
'(a) person'; 'people'
b. nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gàmbí
'a certain person'
c. nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gàmbí-yê
'certain people'
(142)
a. $k u ́ r n{ }^{n} \hat{o}$
b. kù̀r ${ }_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gàmbí
'(a) stone'
'a certain stone'
c. kùr ${ }^{n} \grave{o}^{\mathrm{L}}$ gàmbí-yê
'certain stones'

A typical discourse context for gàmbí is a parallel construction contrasting an eventuality involving one subgroup with a contrary eventuality involving the complementary subgroup (143). The noun may or may not be repeated with the second occurrence of gàmbí.
(143)

| [nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | gàmbí-yê] | wárà: ${ }^{n}-S-\varepsilon$ er |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | certain] | do.farming-Prog-3PlSbj, |
| [(nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ) | gàmbí-yê] | wórî dôgó-tì-yà |
| [(person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ) | certain] | farming leave-Pfv1b-3PlSbj |
| - | 'Some peor (till) faming (while) some (others) have |  |

With mass nouns like 'sugar', gàmbí means 'some of (X)', denoting a portion of a larger quantity (144).

```
[sùkòrò }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ gàmbí] kò:-y.: ndè, gàmbí àgí-yè-mì-y.:
[sugar }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ some] eat.Pfv-1PlSbj if, some hold-MP-Ipfv-1PlSbj
    'We'll consume some of the sugar, and we'll keep some (= the rest).'
```


### 6.3.3 Expansions of adjective

### 6.3.3.1 Adjective sequences

An adjective may follow a sequence of a noun and one or more other adjectives. In this case, the final adjective retains its lexical tones, but all preceding words in the core NP are tonedropped.
a. $\left[n \grave{r} r^{n \grave{i}} \quad\right.$ dùgì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad m \grave{s i ́}$
[dog big] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ nasty
'a big vicious dog' (nèr ${ }^{n} 1 \hat{1}$, dùgí $)$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } \begin{array}{ll}{[y \varepsilon ̀ b u ̀ m b a ̀ ~} & \left.\text { bàr }^{n} \grave{i}\right]^{\mathrm{L}}\end{array} & \text { gùró } \\ {[\text { snake }} & \text { red }]^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { long }\end{array}$
'a long red (= brown) snake' (yèbúmbâ, bární $)$

### 6.3.3.2 'Good to eat'

In the most transparent construction, the NP in question is the subject of an adjectival predicate ('be good' etc.), and the activity type is expressed by the locative form of a verbal noun. The adjectival predicate agrees with the subject (146a-b).
a. [tàgá ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ nî:]
[nó:-ndé
gá] èsù = ndó- $\varnothing$
[pond ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ water] [drink-VbIN Loc] be.good=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'The water of the pond isn't good to drink.'
b. yì-tèĝ̂ [kúwó-ndé gá] érí-yè
children [eat.meat-VbIN Loc] be.sweet-Pl
'Children are good to eat.'

A variation on this is with a form of the verb equivalent to the imperfective (but with no further pronominal suffixation) instead of the verbal noun.

| kìsì-kísî | [kúwó-m̀̀ | gò] | èsú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| winged.termite | [eat.meat-Ipfv | Loc] | be.good |

'Winged termites are good to eat.'
These constructions are distinct from one where the verbal noun is the subject of the adjectival predicate (148). Here there is no agreement in the predicate with the subject of the verbal-noun complement ('children').

| [yì-tèg | nír ${ }^{n}$ é-ndé] | Érû |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [children | look-VblN] | be.good |
| 'It's good to look at children.' |  |  |

### 6.4 Noun plus cardinal numeral

### 6.4.1 Noun (and adjective) plus cardinal numeral

Cardinal numerals follow the core NP, consisting of a noun plus any modifying adjectives. In the absence of a possessor, the numeral has its lexical tones, and it has no tonal interaction with the words in the core NP.
a. ńdô 'house'
ńdô wǒy 'two houses'
b. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ j̀wó 'a large house'
[ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ j̀wó] wǒy 'two large houses'

The numeral remains with the noun and other inner modifiers (adjective, possessor) when it functions as head NP of a relative, as in (497b-c) in $\S 14.1 .2$. In this position the numeral is subject to tone-dropping. A numeral is also tone-dropped by a following determiner.

For combinations of the type Poss-N-(Adj-)Num, N-Poss-(Adj-)Num (inalienable), and N -(Adj-)Num-Poss, see $\S 6.2$ above. In the specific combination Poss-N-Num with pronominal possessor and inalienable noun, a numeral behaves like an adjective in (optionally) controlling tone-dropping, see (125b) in §6.2.2.4.

### 6.4.2 Adjective-Numeral Inversion

The sequence [N-Adj-Num], as in ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùgí kúrê 'six big houses' with mark-up [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big six], has fixed order when not further expanded (tone-dropping on the noun is controlled by the adjective). However, the adjective and numeral are optionally (but often) inverted when one of the following "inversion licensors" is also present in the NP: possessor (preposed or postposed), demonstrative, or relative clause (i.e. when the undetermined NP is head of a relative). A definite morpheme also seems to license inversion at least occasionally.

The motivation for inversion (in Nanga and other Dogon languages) is obscure, and formal modeling within an arboreal syntactic representation would be difficult even for the most ingenious syntactician.

In the following examples, the numeral is bolded in interlinears. The licensing element is a demonstrative in (150), a postposed pronominal possessor in (151), a preposed possessor in (152), and a relative clause in (153). When the numeral precedes the adjective, it is subject to adjective-controlled tone-dropping even in the absence of another tone-dropping controller. This shows that adjectives can only control tone-dropping on elements to their left. This can be seen clearly in (151b), since the postposed pronominal possessor licenses inversion but does not control tone-dropping on elements to its left. In (150b), (152b), and (153b), we cannot determine whether the adjective is responsible for tone-dropping the numeral, since a higher (wider-scope) tonosyntactic constroller is also present.
(150) licensor is a demonstrative
a. [ǹdò dùgì kùrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yěy [house big six] Dem.InanPl 'these six big houses'

b. | $[$ ǹdò | kùrè | dùgì $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | yěy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[$ house | six | big $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | Dem.InanP1 |
| $[=(\mathrm{a})]$ |  |  |  |

(151) licensor is a postposed pronominal possessor
 'your-Sg six big houses' (for L-toned ỳ see §6.2.1.3)
 [=(a)]
(152)
licensor is a preposed nonpronominal possessor
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { a. } & \text { sě:dù } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { [ǹdò } & \text { dùgì } & \text { kùrè] } & \text { ý } \\ & \text { Seydou } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { [house } & \text { big } & \text { six] } & \text { Def.InanP1 }\end{array}$
'Seydou's six big houses'
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b. } & {[\text { sě:dù }} & { }^{\text {L }} \text { [ǹdò } & \text { kùrè } & \text { dùgì }] \\ {[\mathrm{S}} & { }^{\mathrm{L}}[\text { house } & \text { six } & \text { big }] & \text { Def.InanPl }\end{array}$ [=(a)]
(153) licensor is a relative clause
a. [ǹdò dùgì kùrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad y \grave{g} g \grave{\text { chesc̀ }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ ý [house big-Inan $\mathbf{s i x}^{\mathrm{L}}$ fall-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl 'the six big houses that fell'

| . [ǹdò | kùrè | dùgì ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | $y \grave{g}$ gè-s ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [house | six | big-Inan ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | fall-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def.InanP1 |
| [=(a)] |  |  |  |  |

### 6.4.3 bú-wǒy versus wǒy 'two'

The basic numeral ' 2 ' is wǒy. When it follows a noun, it is often extended as bú-wǒy. Morphologically, bú- (cf. pronoun bû: 'they') resembles animate plural numeral-classifier prefixes of certain Dogon languages. For example, nàpá bú-wǒy 'two cows' can be compared to Yanda Dom nà:-mù bó-nó: (same gloss), with bó- (interchangeable with á-) as the animate numeral classifier (-mù is animate plural). However, Nanga bú-wǒy can occur after inanimate as well as animate nouns, as in ńdô (bú-)wǒy 'two houses', there being no Nanga counterpart to Yanda Dom inanimate numeral classifier yè-. Both bú-wǒy and simple wǒy are possible in all postnominal positions, regardless of Adjective-Numeral Inversion (preceding section).

An informant rejected bú- with other numerals such as ' 3 '. In Yanda Dom, the classifiers are used systematically for ' 2 ' through ' 10 ' with nearly all nouns.

### 6.5 Noun plus determiner

For invariant preposed determiner kú ('that same ...'), see the following section. Preposed kú is normally paired with a postnominal determiner.

Postnominal determiners (definite or demonstrative) distinguish number and animacy, which are usually not expressed by preceding words in the NP. The simple combination N -Det takes the form $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$ Det, with tone-dropped noun; see (49a-d) and (51a-d) in §4.4.1.1-2. Likewise, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Adj}$-Det is realized as [ N Adj$]^{\mathrm{L}}$ Det, see (49f) in §4.4.1.1.

When a determiner follows a possessed NP, Determiner Tone-Dropping is applied, see §6.5.4 below. Definite morphemes appear in this context as ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon},{ }^{\mathrm{L}} b u ̀(:),{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y}$, while demonstratives surface as ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} W o ̀-\eta,{ }^{\mathrm{L}} w e ̀:$, ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{\eta} g u ̀$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} y e ̀ y$. In these combinations, the determiner provides clearer information about animacy and number than does even a pronominal possessor (which contains a numeral classifier), since numeral classifier ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ can be singular (animate) or plural (animate or inanimate).


Postposed determiners normally follow the N -Adj-Num-Poss sequence. They control tonedropping on preceding words if there is no possessor, as in N -Adj-Num-Det. However, when a possessor is present, Determiner Tone-Dropping is often triggered (§6.5.4).

In relative clauses, the head NP is seemingly bifurcated into a clause-internal portion (maximally Poss-N-Adj-Num) and a tail (coda) that follows the verbal participle. Postposed determiners are included in the tail. They then control tone-dropping on the participle; see §14.1.9.

### 6.5.1 Preposed strong discourse-definite kú 'that (same)'

There is also an invariant preposed determiner kú, etymologically an inanimate pronominal possessor. It functions as a strong discourse-definite determiner ('that same X '), and requires a postnominal determiner, usually definite but occasionally demonstrative. See §4.4.1.3 for discussion and examples.

When it directly precedes the possessed noun, kú controls the same tone overlays as do (other) preposed possessors. Since it is H-toned, the overlay on the possessum is \{HL\}, in accordance with the usual rules for possessor-controlled overlays. As in true Poss-N-Det combinations, in the presence of a possessor the final determiner is subject to Determiner Tone-Dropping, which is favored by the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on the possessed noun (§6.5.4). The tone-dropping is systematic for definite markers (155a-d), less so for demonstratives (155e).
a. $k u ́ r n{ }^{n} \hat{o}$
'stone'
kùr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú 'the stone'

b. kùr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{y} \quad$ 'the stones'
kú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ kúr $^{n} \hat{o}$ ( ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{y}$ )
'those (same) stones'
c. nǔ:
'person'
$n u ̀:{ }^{\text {L }}$ nє́ 'the person'
$k u ́{ }^{H L}{ }_{n u ̂}$ : ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon ̀$
'that (same) person'
d. nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: 'the people'
kú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ nû: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bù: 'those (same) people'
e. kùr ${ }^{n}{ }_{o}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ घgú $\quad$ 'this stone'
kú ${ }^{H L} k u r^{n}$ ò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Øgù 'this (same) stone' (variant kú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ kúr${ }^{n}$ ò Đgú $)$

Although kú behaves much like a possessor syntactically, it can co-occur with a true possessor morpheme. In (156a), the possessor is a pronoun, and therefore it (along with its possessive classifier) follows the noun. The L-tone of the final definite morpheme is attributable to pronominal possessor ú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ gô, as the bracketing suggests. In (156b), kú precedes (and has broad semantic scope over) a sequence beginning in an indefinite possessor NP ('woman'). kú here has no effect on this possessor NP (or on any other word). This construction is distinct from that in (156c), where kú has local scope over the immediately following possessor (again 'woman'), and therefore controls the $\{H L\}$ possessum overlay on
this noun. My assistant accepted (156d) as grammatical, though awkward; it combines two occurrences of definite kú, with broad and narrow semantic scope, respectively.
a. [kú
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ ńdô]
[[ú
[DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ house] [[2Sg
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\mathrm{o}}\right]$
${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù]
${ }^{H L}$ Poss.InanSg]
${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg]
'that (same) house of yours-Sg'
b. kú
$\begin{array}{llll}k u ́ & {[y a ̌-\eta} & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { ńdô } & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { gù }\right] \\ \text { DiscDef } & \text { [woman-Sg } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { house } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Def.InanSg] }\end{array}$
'that (same) house of (a/the) woman'
c. [kú $\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{a}-\eta\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{n}$ nò $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù
[DiscDef $\quad{ }^{\text {HL }}$ woman-Sg] $\quad{ }^{\text {L }}$ house $\quad{ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg
'(a/the) house of that (same) woman'
d. kú [[kú $\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{l}-\eta\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹ $d o ̀ \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù $]$

DiscDef [[DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ woman-Sg] ${ }^{\text {L house }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg]
'that (same) house of that (same) woman'

### 6.5.2 Postnominal definite morphemes

For NPs containing a possessor and a definite marker, see the preceding section. In the absence of a possessor, definite morphemes (§4.4.1) induce tone-dropping on the preceding noun and any intervening modifiers. In each pair of forms in (157), the second form is definite, versus the unmarked (often indefinite) first form. These examples are inanimate, with inanimate singular $g u ́ \sim k u ́ \sim w^{\prime}$ and inanimate plural $y$.
(157) Unpossessed inanimate definite NPs
a. noun

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ńdô } & \text { 'house' } \\
\text { ǹdò }{ }^{\text {L }} g u ́ & \text { 'the house' }
\end{array}
$$

b. noun plus adjective
ǹ ì $^{\mathrm{L}}$ òwó '(a) big house'
[ǹdò ${ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ ̀̀ẁ̀ $]^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú $\quad$ 'the big house'
c. noun plus numeral
ńdô wǒy 'two houses'
[ǹdò wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{y} \quad$ 'the two houses'
d. [noun adjective] numeral
[ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ òwó] wǒy 'two big houses'
$\left[\right.$ ǹdò ${ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ òwò wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{y} \quad$ 'the two big houses'
In the second items in (157b) and (157d), we again have the issue whether to recognize cyclical tone-dropping, or one-step tone-dropping where the highest controller imposes an overlay on the noun and all intervening words. Hence the parenthesized ${ }^{(L)}$ superscripts. I
prefer the one-step analysis, since definite morphemes can clearly control $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ on strings of two or more words, for example on the adjective and the numeral in 'the two big houses' (157d).

Animate definite morphemes work the same way. (158) illustrates with animate singular $n \varepsilon ́$ and animate plural bû: .
(158) Unpossessed animate definite NPs
a. noun

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \hat{1} \quad \text { 'dog' } \\
& n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n_{i}^{1}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { né 'the dog' }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. noun plus adjective
$n \grave{r^{n}}{ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí
[nèr $^{n}{ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ pìrì̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ né
'(a) white dog' 'the white dog'
c. noun plus numeral
nèr ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ wǒy 'two dogs'
[nèr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}$ wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: 'the two dogs'
d. [noun adjective] numeral
[nèr ${ }^{n i}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí] wǒy 'two white dogs'
[nغ̀r ${ }^{n i}{ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ pìrì wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: 'the two white dogs'

### 6.5.3 Postnominal demonstratives

A postposed demonstrative (undifferentiated 'this/that', generally deictic rather than discourse-definite $\S 4.4 .1 .1$ ), has the same syntax as a definite morpheme. It follows the noun and any modifiers (adjective, numeral, possessor). For NPs that include both a possessor (preposed or postposed) and a postposed demonstrative, see $\S 6.5 .1$ above. In the absence of a possessor, the demonstrative controls tone-dropping on preceding words, viz., the final word in the $\mathrm{N}(-\mathrm{Adj})$ sequence and any numeral. Examples in (159) are inanimate, singular $\grave{\eta} g u ́$ and plural yěy.
(159) Unpossessed inanimate NPs with demonstratives
a. noun

| ńdô | 'house' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú | 'this house |

b. noun plus adjective
ǹ ò $^{\mathrm{L}}$ ̀̀wó
$\left[\text { ǹ } \grave{o}^{(\mathrm{L})}{ }^{\text {òw }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú
c. noun plus numeral
ńdô wǒy 'two houses'
[ǹdò wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yěy 'these two houses'
d. [noun adjective] numeral
[ǹdò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ òwó] wǒy 'two big houses'
[ǹdò ${ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ òẁ̀ wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yěy 'these two big houses'

Animate counterparts are in (160).
(160) Unpossessed animate NPs with demonstratives
a. noun
$n \varepsilon ̀ r^{n i}$
'dog'
$n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Wǒ- $\eta$
'this/that dog'
b. noun plus adjective
$n \varepsilon ̀ r^{n}{ }_{i}^{L}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí $^{\prime}$
'(a) white dog'

'this/that white dog'
c. noun plus numeral
nèr ${ }^{n i}$ î wǒy
'two dogs'
[nèr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}$ wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wê:
'these/those two dogs'
d. [noun adjective] numeral
[nèr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pírí] wǒy 'two white dogs'
[nèr ${ }^{n}{ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ pìrì wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ wê: 'these/those two white dogs'

### 6.5.4 Determiner Tone-Dropping

When a postposed demonstrative or determiner co-occurs with a possessor in an NP, the demonstrative loses its tonosyntactic control over the preceding possessed noun. On the contrary, in many cases the demonstrative itself is tone-dropped. If the possessor is preposed to the noun, the possessor-controlled $\{H L\}$ or $\{L\}$ overlay applies to the noun (161a). If the only possessor-controlled overlay were $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, we would be unable to determine whether the possessor (on the left) or the demonstrative (on the right) is the effective controller. However, the occurrence in Nanga of an $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ possessor-controlled overlay (when the possessor ends in an H-tone) decides the question at least for the noun, since $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ rather than $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ appears on it. The examples in (161a-d) have demonstratives, those in (161a-d) have definite markers, and all involve alienable possession of a otherwise simple (unmodified) noun.
(161) Poss-N-Demonstrative

'this stool of the man('s)'
b. [à $\left.r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\right]$
[man ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg]
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ túngúrì
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ stool
${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yèy
'these/those stools of the man'


2SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ uncle ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg
'this/that uncle of yours-Sg' (wǒ- $\eta$ )
d.

| ú | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ lésî | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Wè: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SgPoss | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ uncle | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.AnPl |

'these/those uncles of yours-Sg'

Poss-N-Definite
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & {[y a ̀-\eta]^{\mathrm{L}}} & \text { Wǒ- } \eta] & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { ńdô } \\ & {[\text { woman-Sg }} & \text { Dem-AnSg] } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { house }\end{array}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg
'the house of this woman'
b. $\begin{array}{ll}{\left[\text { yà- } \eta^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} & \text { Wǒ- } \eta] \\ {[\text { woman-Sg }} & \text { Dem-AnSg }]\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{H L} \text { ńdô } & { }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{y} \\ { }^{\text {HL }} \text { house } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Def.InanPl }\end{array}$
'the houses of this woman'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { c. } & {[y a ̀-\eta}\end{array}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ wǒ- $\left.\eta\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\text { woman-Sg }} & \text { Dem-AnSg }]\end{array}\right.$

| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} n \dot{n} r^{n \hat{\imath}}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{dog}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg |

'the dog of this woman'

'the dogs of this woman'

In examples like those in (161-2), we could argue that the L-tone of the determiner is simply an extension of the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay that the possessor controls on 'stool' and its modifiers (adjective, numeral). If this were all there were to it, we would simply define the domain of the possessor-controlled overlay to include the determiner, and no special rule would be needed for determiners. I return to this question below.

My assistant normally drops the tones of the determiners in the examples in (161-2). In these examples, the possessor-controlled overlay is $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ because the possessor ends in an H-tone. By contrast, when the possessor-controlled overlay is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, he frequently fails to drop the tones of the determiner. An example is sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ø̀gú varying with sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹdò ŋ̀gù 'this house of Soumaila'. In the first variant, the $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay of ǹdò 'house' could be attributed to either the possessor-controlled $\{\mathrm{L}\}$, as in sùmǎylâ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ǹdò 'Soumaila's house', or to the demonstrative-controlled $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ in ìdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{\eta} g$ ú 'this house'. So the variation in the data may reflect a tug-of-war between two would-be controllers.

When the simple Poss-N-Det examples in (161-2) are expanded by adding postnominal modifiers (adjective and/or numeral), the lexical tones of the determiner may reappear. When this happens, as in Poss-N-Adj-Num-Det, the possessor and the determiner on the two flanks are tonal peaks, while everything in between is L-toned except for a possible initial H on the possessed noun. Examples of this pattern are in (163).
a. $\quad[y a ̀-\eta]^{\mathrm{L}}$
né]
${ }^{\text {HL }}$ [ńdô
ว̀wゝ̀]
ற̀gú
[woman-Sg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [house big] Dem.InanSg
'this/that big house of the woman'
b. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}y a ̀-\eta\end{array}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon\right]$ [woman-Sg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [uncle
mòsì] Wǒ- $\eta$
bad] Dem-AnSg
'this/that nasty uncle of the woman'
 'the nasty uncles of the woman'
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { d. á:mádù } & { }^{\mathrm{L}}\left[n \grave{r^{n}}{ }^{n} \mathrm{y}\right. & \text { kùrè } & \text { mòsì }] & \text { bû: } \\ \mathrm{A} & { }^{\mathrm{L}}[\operatorname{dog} & \text { six } & \text { bad }] & \text { Def.AnPl }\end{array}$ 'the six nasty dogs of Amadou'
e. $\left[y a ̀-\eta{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\right.$ Wǒ-n] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}[n ́ d o ̂$ pìrì kùrè ý [woman-Sg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [house white six] Def.InanPl 'the six white houses of this woman'
f. $\left[y a ̀-\eta{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ Wǒ- $\left.\eta\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\left[n \varepsilon r^{n} \hat{1}\right.$ pìrì kùrè $]$ bû: [woman-Sg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem-AnSg] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [dog white six] Def.AnPl 'the six white dogs of this woman'

There are, however, other examples where the final determiner in such strings is L-toned. My impression is that this is more common for the singular definite morphemes (inanimate singular gú and variants, animate singular $n \varepsilon ́)$, as in (164a-b), than for the demonstratives and for the plural definites. However, there is likely to be considerable interspeaker variation.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & {[y a ̀-\eta} & \text { }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \\ & \text { Wǒ- } \eta] \\ & \text { [woman-Sg }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { Dem-AnSg] } \\ & \text { 'this woman's white house' }\end{array}$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [ńdô pìrì] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù

Consider now the inalienables with preposed pronominal possessors in (165). In my assistant's usual pronunciation, the N -Poss sequence is realized as though in isolation, the determiner similarly shows its lexical melody, and the intervening adjective and/or numeral are tone-dropped. Two analyses are possible. In one, N-Poss is a tonosyntactic island, and is followed by a second grouping in which the final determiner controls tone-dropping on the postnominal modifiers. Alternatively, we can attribute the tone-dropping on the postnominal modifiers to the possessor-controlled $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay. In such lengthy examples, my assistant usually did not drop tones on the Poss-N sequence, i.e. to ù lèsì, in the same way as he did in comparable examples without the determiner (§6.2.2.4). Apparently the more lengthy NPs in (165) favor chunky phrasings with multiple tonal peaks.
(165)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { a. } & \subset \text { ú } & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { lésîゝ } & {[m \grave{s i ̀ i}} & n \varepsilon ́] \\
& \subset 2 \text { SgPoss } & { }^{\mathrm{HL}} \text { uncle } \supset & {\left[\operatorname{bad}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} & \text { Def.AnSg }]
\end{array}
$$

'the nasty uncles of yours-Sg'
[alternatively parsable as $\subset$ ú $^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [lésì mòsì]د né]]

```
b. Cú \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) lésî̀ [mòsì kùrè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû(:)] \(\subset 2\) SgPoss \({ }^{H L}\) uncle \(\supset\) [bad six] Def.AnPl]
'the six nasty uncles of yours-Sg'
[alternatively parsable as \(\subset u^{\mathrm{HL}}\) [lésì mòsì kùrè]〕 bû:]]
```

(166a-d) exemplify the combination of a determiner with a postposed pronominal possessor, with or without other postnominal modifiers. The determiner is regularly tone-dropped in this combination. The fact that these possessors end in an L-tone may have been a factor historically.
a. túngúrí $\begin{array}{lll}{[u ́} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{o}\right]\end{array} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ض̀gù stool [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg] ${ }^{\text {L Dem.InanSg }}$ 'this stool of yours-Sg'
b. ńdô [î: $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gò $] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ìgù
house [1Pl ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }} \quad{ }^{\text {L Dem.InanSg }}$
'this house of ours'
c. túngúrí $\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { ú } & \left.{ }^{\text {HL }} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right] & { }^{\text {L }} \text { yèy }\end{array}\right.$
stool [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanPl] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Dem.InanSg
'these stools of yours-Sg'
d. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ pírí] kúrê $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{u} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}\right] & { }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{y}\end{array}\right.$
[house white] six [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL Poss.InanPl] Def.InanPl }}$ 'your-Sg six white houses (definite)'
 'your-Sg white house (definite)'

To summarize: Determiner Tone-Dropping applies frequently in simple Poss-N-Det sequences, especially with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on the possessed noun, and reliably in N -...-PossDet combinations. In the more complex sequences also involving postnominal modifiers, determiners tend not to drop, and they arguably control tone-dropping on the modifiers.

Determiner Tone-Dropping might be compared with vaguely similar tone-dropping that occurs on the locative postposition, see (196) in §8.2.3.2.

### 6.6 Universal and distributive quantifiers

### 6.6.1 'All’ (kéréw, sóy, pú $\rightarrow$ )

Universal quantifier kéréw 'all' is added to the end of the NP. With inanimates, agreement is 3 Sg rather than 3 Pl (167a). With animates, agreement is plural. kéréw may be combined directly with an independent pronoun (167c), with appropriate person agreement. kéréw can also be used absolutely, in the sense 'everything' or 'everyone'. There is no tonosyntactic interaction between kéréw and preceding words in the NP.
(167)
a. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ý kéréw] yègé-èrè̀- $\varnothing$ [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl] all] fall-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'All of the houses fell (=collapsed).'
b. [yì-tègè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: kéréw] yá $b-\grave{\varepsilon}$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\text { child- } \mathrm{Pl}^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { Def.AnPl all] Exist be-3PlSbj }\end{array}\right.$
'All of the children are present.'
c. [î: kéréw] yá bù-y:
[1Pl all] Exist be-1PlSbj
'We are all present.'
d. kéréw ńné-èr-à
all go-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj
'Everyone went.'
e. kéréw kó:-tì-yà
all eat-Pfv1b-3P1Sbj
'They ate everything.'

It is also possible to use the more emphatic sóy in the same NP-final position (168a), and absolutely (168b). However, sóy can also be used phrase-initially, and in this case it may cooccur with kéréw (168c).
a. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad y$
ý Sóy]
sóy] nàmé-èr $\check{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl all] be.ruined-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'All of the houses were ruined.'
b. Sóy ńné-èr-à
all go-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj
'Everyone went.'
c. [sóy yìt tègè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû: kéréw] yá $b-\bar{\varepsilon}$
[all child-P1 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl all] Exist be-3P1Sbj
'All of the children are present.'
A regionally ubiquitous 'all' expression $p u ́ \rightarrow$ (variants $f u ́ \rightarrow, b u ́ \rightarrow$ ), pronounced emphatically and often prolonged intonationally, is common in texts at the end of an NP. It also occurs in 'as soon as’ clauses (§15.4.2).

For kéréw and sóy with negation, see $\S 6.6 .3$, below. For emphatic pés '(not) at all', see §19.2.4.

### 6.6.2 'Each' (kámâ, kêw)

Distributive 'each' is kámâ. It forces tone-dropping on the preceding noun: ńdô 'house' but ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ 'each house', likewise yà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ 'each woman'. Agreement is 3 Sg . kámâ cannot be directly attached to a personal pronoun, but an independent pronoun with nonsingular
reference may precede it appositionally. In this case, agreement is still 3 Sg regardless of the category of the pronoun (169c).
a. [nù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ] [pèrgé wǒy-wǒy] ńdí-mì-y: [person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each] [sheep two-two] give-Ipfv-1PISbj 'We will give two sheep (apiece) to each person.'
b. [nù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ]
[person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ each]
[pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ-tùmâ] ǹjí-ŋ ń ńí-ŋ̀
[sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ one-one] 1 Sg -Acc give-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'Each person will give me one sheep.'
c. $\hat{1}: \quad\left[n u{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\right.$ kámâ]

1 Pl [person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each]
[á $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\imath}\right] \quad$ ह́w $\bar{\varepsilon}-\dot{\eta}$
[3ReflSg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg] pay-Ipfv. $\mathbf{3 S g S b j}$
'Each of us will pay for his/her own (portion).'
The linear position of kámâ is discussed in §6.1.1, above.
kámâ forces double tone-dropping on a preceding noun-numeral sequence, though the construction is construed as summative; see 'each of the three men' in (90a) in §6.1.1. kámâ also controls tone-dropping on pronominally possessed nouns, targeting the pronoun as well as the noun. This applies to inalienables with preposed pronominal possessor (170a) and to any noun with postposed pronominal possessor (170b). Similar cases involving determiners as controllers have been presented above ( $\$ 6.5$ ).
a. [ù lèsì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ
[2SgPoss uncle] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ each
'each uncle of yours-Sg' (= 'each of your uncles') (< ú lésî)

The expression kj̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ 'each thing' is commonly used under negation to mean '(not) anything', i.e. 'nothing'. It is a high-frequency expression and is often heard as [kòkómâ] with partial forward vocalic assimilation.

For àrnå̀ ${ }^{\text {L }}$ kámâ ‘next year' (not 'each year'), see (226c) in §8.4.6.1 below.
The basic sense of $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ is 'equal(ly)', as seen clearly in its use in explicit comparatives. It is an adverb, and does not induce tone-dropping on a preceding NP. However, in many contexts 'equally' and 'each' overlap, as in 'I gave them each/equally two cows.' As an adverb, $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ can be used in some contexts where the NP-internal kámâ is awkward, as with preposed possessors (171b). See also (90b) in §6.1.1.
a. $\quad[y a ̆-1]$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ lésî]
$k \hat{\varepsilon}{ }_{W}$
[woman-Sg ${ }^{\text {HL uncle] equally }}$
'each uncle of a woman'


### 6.6.3 Universal and distributive quantifiers with negation

Of the two 'all' parrticles (universal quantifiers) described above (§6.6.1), the more emphatic sóy is most likely to have wide scope containing a negation. It therefore functions to emphasize the negation in (172).

| Sóy | $k \hat{0}:-\eta \grave{o}-W$ | $n d e ̀$, | tù-túwè-ı̀̀- ${ }^{w}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all | eat-PfvNeg-2SgSbj | if, | Rdp-die-Ipfv-2SgSbj |

'If you-Sg don't eat at all, you will die.'
The more basic and unmarked universal quantifier kéréw is more usual in narrow-scope contexts, i.e. where the negation has wide scope (173).

| yû: | [î: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{g}$ g̀̀], | kà-kă: | gàmbí kúwó |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| millet | [1P1 ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg]], }}$ | Rdp-grasshopper | certain eat | and.SS |
| gàmbí | dòg-ò, | [kéréw gày] | kùwò-ndú |  |
| some | leave.Pfv-3PlSbj, | [all Topic] | eat-PfvNeg.3Pl |  |
| The lo | ts ate some of our | t and left some | y did not eat al | it).' |

### 6.7 Accusative - $\boldsymbol{\eta}$

The accusative suffix (or enclitic) $-\eta$ is added to NPs including pronouns in direct object function. It is common with animate referents. It is uncommon but possible with inanimates, especially in emphatic pronunciations; see e.g. 'gear' in line 2 of (584) below. When it occurs at the end of an NP it could be taken as a postposition, but there are some cases where it is NP-medial,
$-\eta$ usually reduces phonetically to nasalization and perhaps very slight lengthening of a preceding vowel, though I normalize transcription as $-\eta$. When followed by a vowel-initial word, we might expect a loud and clear [ $\eta$ ]. However, the $-\eta$ in in $p \dot{\varepsilon} r g \varepsilon$ ' $-\eta$ 'sheep-Acc' has the same phonetic reductions in e.g. pèrgé- $\mathfrak{y}$ ǎy-só-ý 'I picked up a sheep' as it does before a consonant or prepausally. The suffix is often entirely inaudible in contexts where I suspect it is "present" grammatically. Inaudibility is common when the final syllable of the form is already nasal (kúr $n$ ô 'stone', ár ${ }^{n} \hat{a}$ 'man', nàmâ 'meat'), but inaudibility is also fairly common even in more benign phonological environments. When there is no audible cue, it is difficult to determine whether the suffix is structurally absent (i.e. because it is optional), or has merely been reduced to zero phonetically. My practice is to omit it in transcriptions unless it has at least some audible trace (such as nasalization). However, (animate) pronouns seem to have (underlyingly) obligatory $-\eta$ in the relevant syntactic environments, and in the case of 1 Sg ǹjí- $\check{\eta}$ even the phonetic disappearance of $-\bar{\eta}$ is recoverable from the change in pronominal allomorph (compare regular 1 Sg pronoun $\check{1}:^{n}$ ).

The suffix has no intrinsic tone, so the final tone of the noun or pronoun is extended to the suffix. (174a) show -1 g after a simple animate noun stem. The pronominal version in
(174b) also shows - $斤$. A prenominal possessor is compatible with - $-\mathfrak{y}$ on the possessed noun (174c).
(174)
a. pèrgé- $\quad$ sémé-só-ý
sheep-Acc slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered a sheep.'
b. ńné- $ŋ$ sémé-Só-ý

AnSg-Acc slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered it (animate).'
c. [á ${ }^{\text {HL } b a ̂:-\grave{̀}] ~ y \check{:-S o ́-~} \varnothing}$
[3ReflSgPoss ${ }^{H L}$ father-Acc] see-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'She saw her (own) father.'
d. béré-1́ késé-só-ý
stick-Acc cut-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I cut a stick.'

A following yana 'also, even' has L-tones, even when the accusative morpheme is H-toned,
 accusative morpheme; see §3.7.3.4, §19.1.3.

If a noun is followed by a modifying adjective, accusative $-\eta$ (if present at all) is attached to the adjective (175a-b). The same NP-final accusative is found when the noun precedes a pronominal possessor (which includes a possessive classifier) as in (175c). Accusative $-\eta$ is not doubled on the noun in these combinations.
a. $\left[p \grave{r g} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ èWré-ŋ́] $]$ sémé-Só-ý
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }}$ small-Acc] slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered a small sheep.'
b. [àr ${ }^{n a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gàwá- $\left.̄\right]$ súyó-só-ý
[man ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tall-Acc] hit-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I hit a tall man.'

'He slaughtered his (own) sheep-Sg.'
When a numeral greater than 'one', the universal quantifier kéréw 'all', distributive quantifier $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ 'each', or sǎy 'only' follows an animate noun, accusative $-\eta$ is suffixed to the noun (not to the quantifier) (176).

```
a. [pèrg\varepsiloń-1́ pê:r] s\varepsilońmé-Só-ý
    [sheep-Acc ten] slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
    'I slaughtered ten sheep.' (p\varepsiloń:rù)
```

b. [pèrgé- $\eta$ kéréw] Sémé-Só-ý [sheep-Acc all]
slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered all the sheep.'
c. [pèrgé- $\eta$ kêw] [ná wǒy-Wǒy] sùyò-ỳ [sheep-Acc each] [time two-two] hit.Pfv-1SgSbj 'I hit each sheep twice.'

The distributive quantifer kámâ is treated like a modifying adjective (in this as in other respects), so $-\eta$ in this case is added to the quantifier (177b). The numeral 'one' is also an adjective syntactically and takes $-\eta$ directly (177c).
a. [pèrgé-1́ sǎy] sèmè-ỳ
[sheep-Acc only] slaughter.Pfv- 1 SgSbj
'I slaughtered only a sheep.'
b. [pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ-ŋ] [ná Wǒy-wǒy] sùyò-ỳ
[sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each-Acc] [time two-two] hit-Pfv-1SgSbj
'I hit each sheep twice.'
c. [pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ-ท̀ ] sǎy sèmè- $y^{n}$
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }}$ one-Acc] only slaughter.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered only one sheep.'
Accusative $-\eta$ can also attach to a postnominal determiner (178).
(178)
a. $\left[p \grave{\varepsilon} r g \varepsilon^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ né-1́] $]$ sध́mé-Só-ý
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }} \quad$ Def.AnSg-Acc]
slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered the sheep-Sg.'
b. $\left.\left[[p \grave{r} r g \grave{\varepsilon} \text { ह̀Wrè }]^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́-\eta ́\right]\right]$ sémé-Só-ý
[[sheep small] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnSg-Acc] slaughter-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I slaughtered the small sheep-Sg.'
c. [kùr ${ }^{n}{ }_{o}^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú-и́] gùyó-só-ý
[stone ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg-Acc] break.up-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I broke up the rock.'
d. [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gú-ŋ́] $]$ ह́Wé-Só-ý
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanSg-Acc] buy-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I bought this house.'

However, the determiners that end in a consonant, namely wǒ- $\eta$ 'this (animate)', yěy 'these' (inanimate), and definite plural $\dot{y}$, do not occur with accusative $-\eta$ (or at least do not allow it to be audibly expressed).

Accusative $-\eta$ does not occur in my data with pro forma cognate-nominal objects (§11.1.5), like kò-kósô ‘coughing’ in kò-kósô kósó-só-ý ‘I coughed (a cough)’.

A difficulty in parsing texts is that accusative $-\eta$ is phonologically indistinguishable from $=\eta$, the 3 Sg animate form of the 'it is' clitic, which is commonly added to nouns and NPs (§11.2.1.1).

## 7 Coordination

### 7.1 Conjunction

### 7.1.1 NP conjunction (' X and Y ') with yò ~yè

The basic 'and' conjunction is yò, following both coordinands (179). It is L-toned even after an H-tone. A variant yè is also recorded. L-toned conjunction yò is distinct from an apparent minor contrastive topic particle yó, with H -tone and not paired with a second conjunct, attested once in a text $(179 \mathrm{c})$, see $\S 18.1 .4 .4$.

| a. | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}u ́ & y o ̀\end{array}\right]$ | $[\check{i}: n$ | yò $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $[2 \mathrm{Sg}$ | and $]$ |  |$\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \mathrm{Sg} & \text { and }]\end{array}\right.$

b. [bérî yò] [pèrgé yò]
[goat and] [sheep and]
'a goat and a sheep'
c. [mòrò gú $] \quad\left[\check{:}{ }^{n}\right.$ yó $]$
[Moro ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg] [1Sg Topic]
[[tìgà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú] tígí-rí] bérè-m̀- $\varnothing$,
[[surname ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg] recite.surnames-Tr] can.Ipfv-1SgSbj]
[tiogà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú] tígí-rì
[surname ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg] recite.surnames-Tr.QuotImprt
kìyè-Ẁ ndé gày
said Topic
'That Moro (surname), as for me, I can speak about the name, if you have said (=asked me) to speak about the name.' (2004.01.06)

### 7.1.1.1 Ordering of coordinands

My assistant indicated that the coordinands can be ordered either way. He did, however, express a preference for ordering pronouns before names or other NPs. In combinations of two pronouns, he preferred ordering third person before second person ('he/she and you') and second before first ('you and I').

### 7.1.1.2 Conjunction with final quantifier

kéréw 'all' may appear at the end of a conjoined NP as a kind of right-edge marker.

| $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { ú } & \text { yò }]\end{array}\right.$ | $[\check{i l n}$ | yò $]$ | kéréw | ńné-mì-y.: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[2 \mathrm{Sg}$ | and $]$ | $[1 \mathrm{Sg}$ | and $]$ | all | go-Ipfv-1PlSbj |

'You-Sg and I are both going.'

### 7.1.2 Conjunction with yaya 'also'

Atonal yana 'also’ (§19.1.3) can be added to each NP in a list, including the first item. This is not the same morpheme as \{L\}-toned instrumental yàyà ~ ỳnà. The list may be brought to a clear end by a final kéréw ‘all'.

'She gathered up and brought out twelve camels, gold, diamonds, millet, fonio (a grain, Digitaria exilis), and wild fonio (Panicum laetum).' (2004.02.03)

### 7.1.3 Conjunction with animate plural definite $b \hat{u}$ :

After a noun, bû: is elsewhere the animate plural definite morpheme (§6.2.2.3). It also occasionally functions as an alternative to yò and yaya in NP conjunctions.

In (182), two NPs that had been conjoined by yana in the immediately preceding discourse are repeated, this time with just high nonterminal final pitch. Animate plural bû: is technically incorrect for the inanimate 'the carts', but its repetition here fits the usual pattern where an invariant 'and' or 'also' morpheme is repeated after each conjunct. kéréw 'all' concludes the phrase as a right-edge marker, as often in other types of NP conjunction.

| [ว̀gònı̀no ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | bû:^], | [Wògòtòrò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | bû:^\}, | kéréw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [camel ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def.AnPl], | [cart ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def.AnPl], | all |
| 'the camel | and the cart | 04.02.03) |  |  |

Another possible textual example is (183), though parsing it is difficult. bû: occurs only after what appears to be the right conjunct. It is tone-dropped to ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bù: after the pronominal possessor (§6.5.4), and it is combined with the 'also' particle.
yì-tèĝ̂ [yî: yě: $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bù: $] \quad$ yà $y a ̀, ~$
child-Pl [child 1Sg.Poss.AnPl ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnPI] also,
[ǹnè- $\grave{W}^{n} . \therefore$ ndè] [kj̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kámâ] bàrá jô:-ndì
[go.Pfv-2PlSbj] if] [thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ any] gather bring.Imprt-PlAddr
'(You) children (of the village) along with my children, (you-Pl) go, gather the various things, and bring (them)!’ (2004.02.03)

### 7.1.4 "Conjunction" of verbs or VP's

The conjoined NP type [X yò] [Y yò] is not used with verbs, VP's, or clauses (except when clauses are quoted and treated as NPs, as in 'X said ["Y" and "Z"]').

For various ways to chain verbs, VPs, and clauses, see chapter 15.

### 7.2 Disjunction

There is no sharp distinction between disjunctive ' X or Y ' in indicative contexts, and polar (mainly yes/no) interrogatives (§13.2.1).

### 7.2.1 'Or' (mà)

The simplest disjunctive phrase is of the type [ $X$ mà] [ $Y$ mà], as in (184a). This is directly comparable to the conjunction type [ $X$ yò] [ $Y$ yò] described above. However, there is an asymmetry in the disjunctive construction, in that the first but not the second mà is subject to intonational prolongation, the effect of which is to make it difficult to bracket this mà with the first coordinand. Furthermore, the second mà is often omitted, as in (184b).
 always [sheep or] [goat or] slaughter-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'We slaughter (either) a sheep or a goat always (= every day).'
b. [nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bû: [[nàyá tà:ndǐ: mà $\rightarrow$ ] nǒy $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ [person ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnPl] [[cow three or] four] màrà- $g$-à be.lost-Caus.Pfv-3PlSbj
'The people lost three or four cows.'

### 7.2.2 Clause-level disjunction

It is particularly difficult to keep clausal disjunction (which by definition allows two or more possibilities) distinct from polar interrogation. The examples in (185) were elicited as disjunctions. They show a single occurrence of mà $\rightarrow$ 'or' between the two clauses, which is also possible with interrogatives ('will we go to the market, or will we die?'). In the indicative disjunctive sense, my assistant showed a distinct preference for the simple perfective as opposed to the imperfective, for future as well as past time frames. Stative verbs are also possible (185c).

```
a. \varepsiloń:\etaí [\varepsilońW\varepsiloń gá] ìn\varepsiloǹ-y.: mà-> tùwè-y.:
    tomorrow [market Loc] go.Pfv-1PlSbj or die.Pfv-1PlSbj
    'Tomorrow, (either) we'll go to the market, or we'll die.'
    (lit. "... we'll have gone ... or we'll have died")
```

b. bàmàḱ́ ìnè-Ẁ mà $\rightarrow$ ク̀gá bè:-W B go.Pfv-2SgSbj or here stay.Pfv-2SgSbj 'You-Sg will go to Bamako or you will stay here.' (but e.g. you won't go to Mopti)
c. [ò: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gó] ìnè- $\varnothing \quad$ mà $\rightarrow$ ní-nìyà- $\varnothing$ [field ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Loc] go.Pfv-3SgSbj or Rdp-sleep.Stat-3SgSbj
'Either he has gone to the field, or he's sleeping.' (one infers, since he doesn't answer our knock at the door)

My assistant rejected disjunctions including morphological imperatives (either two imperatives, or a combination of some other verb form with a final imperative). (185b) can be used as a rough pragmatic equivalent to a disjunction of two imperatives ('Go to Bamako, or stay here!').

## 8 Postpositions and adverbials

### 8.1 Dative and instrumental

### 8.1.1 Dative bay ('for', 'at the place of')

This atonal postposition appears in two tonal variants, báy and bày, carrying over the final tone from the preceding morpheme.
a. yùrí [[nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ pě:] báy] ké:r-só-ý garment [[person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ old] Dat] show-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I showed the garment to the old man.'
b. kě:rê [á:mádù bày] ńdí-Só-ý
money [Amadou Dat] give-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I gave the money to Amadou.'
c. [[ú $\left.{ }^{\text {HL }} b \hat{a}:\right]$ bày] dámâ
[[2SgPoss ${ }^{H L}$ father] Dat] speak.Imprt 'Speak-2Sg to your father!'
d. nî: [lò:sí báy] ǹdì-y
water [visitor Dat] give.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I gave some water to a visitor.'
With 'give' and 'show', datives are optionally replaced by accusatives, but 'say' requires that the addressee (if specified overtly) be in dative form.

This postposition can also be used like French chez $X$ 'at the place/house of X'.
(187) á:mádù [ú báy] bù- $\varnothing$

A [2Sg chez] be- 3 SgSbj
'Amadou is at your-Sg place.'
Pronominal datives are repeated in (188) from §4.3.1. Except for the first singular portmanteau, the forms are transparent. 1 Sg bàr ${ }^{n}$ í arguably begins with a variant of bày followed by a 1 Sg element (reversing the usual order), but the morphology here is opaque.

Pronominal datives
category dative
a. $1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ bàr ${ }^{n} i ́ \quad$ [irregular]

1 Pl î: bày

| b. 2 Sg 2 Pl | ú báy |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | û: bày |
| c. 3 Sg | ńné báy |
| 3 Pl | bû: bày |
| d. InanSg | kú báy |
| InanPl | kû: bày |
| e. 3Logo/3ReflSg | á báy |
| 3Logo/3ReflPl | â: bày |

Further examples of pronominal datives are in (189).
a. bàr ${ }^{n} 1$ ǹj̀̀̀nà̀: $r^{n} 1$ dàmà- $r^{n} \grave{i}-\varnothing$

1SgDat nothing speak-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She said nothing to me.'
b. tégî [ú báy] dámá-m̀- $\varnothing$
truth $\quad[2 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ Dat $] \quad$ speak-Ipfv- 1 SgSbj
'I will tell you-Sg the truth.'

### 8.1.2 Instrumental or comitative yàyà (ỳjà)

The basic instrumental postposition is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned yàyà, optionally elided after a vowel to ỳnà. It is distinct from yaja (atonal, hence either yápá or yàmà) 'also, even' (§19.1.3).
a. nàmâ [pòrí yànà] késé-só- $\varnothing$
meat [knife Inst] cut-Pfv2-3SgSbj
' $\mathrm{He} /$ She cut the meat with a knife.'
b. [nè:mí yànà] nèní néngírè-m-
[salt Inst] baobab.sauce cook.sauce-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'They will cook the sauce with salt.'
c. [kúr ${ }^{n} \hat{O}$ yànà à ńné-ý sùyò-y
[stone Inst] 3Sg-Acc hit.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I hit him/her with a stone.'

With pronouns: kú yàクà, ńné yàクà, etc. With demonstratives: ỳgú yà $\mathfrak{y}$, etc.
A fixed combination nínèy ỳnà 'now' occurs in the texts, where the postposition adds no discernible incremental meaning to nípèy 'now'. An example is at the end of (746) in the sample text.

If the complement denotes a human, the postposition can be comitative ('with' in the sense 'together with' or 'in the company of'). Examples are 'Who did they come with?' (466e) in §13.2.2 and 'they fought with each other' in (706b) in §18.3.1.

### 8.2 Locational postpositions

### 8.2.1 Locative, allative, and ablative functions

Simple locative adverbials, including locative PPs, can be translated as (stationary) locatives, as allatives, or as ablatives ('in/at X', 'to X', 'from X'). Directional senses (allative and ablative) are expressed by other words, primarily motion verbs. Ablative is expressed by gǒ: 'go out, exit', which can be chained to other verbs. Any other verb of motion or locomotion ('go', 'run') can be combined with a locative adverbial or place name to express the allative. For examples see $\S 8.2 .11, \S 15.1 .6$, and $\S 15.1 .9$.

### 8.2.2 Simple and complex PPs

The complex PPs are parallel to English 'in front of X' or the like. In Nanga they involve a possessed noun expressing the spatial relation ('over', 'under', 'front', etc.). This possessed spatial noun is then followed by the simple locative postposition $g a(\S 8.3 .3$ ). Since the spatial noun in question is possessed, it has its lexical melody when it precedes a pronominal possessor, and a possessor-controlled overlay $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ when it follows a possessor (usually nonpronominal). The spatial noun may therefore have three distinct tone patterns: lexical melody, $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay, or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay. In practice, the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay has generalized to become the lexical (as well as possessed) tone.

### 8.2.3 Locative postposition 'in, on'

### 8.2.3.1 Forms of the postposition (ga, ŋa, go, $\eta \supset, g o, \eta o$ )

The basic locative postposition has allomorphs $\{g a$ ga go yo go yo\}. Distributional asymmetries described below suggest that the oldest form is $g \rho$ or $g o$, i.e. with oral stop and back rounded vowel, compare Ben Tey wo.

The postposition is used chiefly with inanimate NP complements, but not with toponyms, which instead have a clitic $=$ yè that may reduce to just a tone element (§8.2.4, below). The opposition between a general inanimate category that is associated with a morpheme $K O$ ( $K$ a velar, $O$ a back or low vowel), and a toponym category associated with a morpheme $Y E$, can be connected with Najamba nominal morphology, where a final $E$ vocalism $\{e \varepsilon\}$ is associated with nouns denoting topographic features and liquids, while the more common final O vocalism $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & \circ & \mathrm{a}\end{array}\right\}$ is found with most other inanimate nouns.

The NP preceding locative $\{g a$ па $g \circ$ øо go $\eta о\}$ has its regular tones (with exceptions noted below), and its final tone spreads to the postposition. There is a partial phonological basis for the allomorphs, but some nouns have unpredictable allomorph choices and there is some variation in my data. The following is a summary of what I think are the basic patterns.

Segmentally, one common allomorph of the postposition is ga. It is realized tonally as either gà or gá depending on the final tone of the noun. The stems with this allomorph generally have vowels from the set $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \\ \varepsilon\end{array}\right\}$ and do not have a final nasal syllable (191).

| gloss | noun | 'in a(n) X' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'shop' | bìtíkî | bìtîk gà | (syncopated) |
| 'pond; shoe' | tàgá | tàgá gá |  |
| 'cousinhood' | màgí | màgí gá |  |
| 'courtyard' | dámbí | dámbí gá |  |
| 'market' | $\varepsilon ́ W \varepsilon ́$ | ÉWé gá |  |
| 'afternoon' | dèndè-sî | dèndè-sî gà |  |
| 'leaves' | úwâ | úwâ gà |  |
| 'goat(s)' | bérî | bêr gà | (syncopated) |

The $g$ of the postposition is nasalized to $\eta$ after a nasalized vowel or after a syllable of the shape $N V$ with a nasal (or nasalized sonorant) followed by a phonemically oral vowel. A nasal earlier in the word does not have this effect; see cousinhood' in (191), above. Examples with


| gloss | noun | 'in a(n) X' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'shed' | tǎ: ${ }^{n}$ | tǎ: ${ }^{n}$ yá |
| 'man(-hood)' | ár ${ }^{n}$ â | ár ${ }^{n}$ â yà |
| 'word' | dàmá | dàmá pá |
| 'tree' | tùmá | tùmá $ך$ na |
| 'beam' | gâ: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | gâ: ${ }^{n}$ nà |
| 'cow' | nàyá | nàyá yá |
| 'meal' | nă: | nă: $\ddagger$ á |

The vowel of the postposition is often rounded to $\rho$ or $o$. In many cases this is by assimilation to the final vowel of the preceding NP. If the final vowel is $\rho$, the postposition has $\rho$ (193a). If the final vowel is $o$ or $u$ (the latter is rare at the end of nonmonosylalbic noun stems), the postposition has $o(193 b)$. Stems ending in a sequence like $\ldots o C i$ and ...oCi may appear as $\ldots o C u$ and $\ldots o C u$, respectively, before the postposition, and the $o$ or $o$ vocalism may carry over into the postposition; it was noted in §3.4.4 that lexical final short $u$ is rare, but that final short $i$ often alternates with $u$ in the presence of rounded vowels or $w$. My assistant inconsistently distinguished the $\eta o$ and $\eta 0$ variants, tending to pronounce $\eta \rho$ even in vocalic environments where $\eta o$ is expected. Some but not all nouns containing a nasal require $s$ in the postposition even without a rounded vowel in the noun itself (193e). Stems with e also favor $o$ in the postposition (193f). I flag idiosyncratic tonal shifts of the stem with ! to the right of the PP (explanations below).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss } \quad \text { noun } \quad \text { in } a(n) X ' \tag{193}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. $C o$ after $\Omega$

| 'immature pod’ | gǒ:njò | gǒ:njô gò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'wide pond' | tàgà òwó | tàgà òwó gó |
| 'mountain' | tórô | tórô gò |
| 'chaff' | ò nó | ò $y^{n}$ ó yó |

b. Co after $o$ or $u$

| 'criticism' | dómór ${ }^{\text {nóó }}$ | dómór ${ }^{\text {º }}$ Øó |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'the bush, outback' | ò:-sóró | ò:-sóró gó |
| 'fields, outback' | ó: | ò: gó |
| 'complex' | gálô | gálô gò |
| 'head' | kû: | kú: gó |


'toilet area' sùgj̀-gòmí sùgò-gòmú yó
d. Co after $o \ldots i$ or $u \ldots i$ becoming o/u...u
'road’ ósî ósú gó !
'mountain’ tòró-kúndí tòrò-kúndú gó
'rear' tùndí tùndú gó
‘skin’ gùsí gùsú gó
'forest' úndì úndù gò
e. $C o$ after unrounded vowels
'meat' nàmâ nàmâ yò
'speech’ dàmá dàmá yó
'milk' ह́mê $\quad$ ह́mê $\eta \grave{\jmath}$
'water' nî: ní: nó
f. nouns with $e$

| 'speech’ | tégî | tégî gò (or syncopated [têg:ò]) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'belly’ | bèndé | bèndé gó |
| 'eye' | gìré | gìré gó |
| ‘sauce' | nègí | nègí gó (syncopated [něy:ó]) |

Combined with Nasalization-Spreading (see above), the rounding of the postposition vowel accounts for the allomorphs $g 0, \eta 0, g o$, and $\eta o$.

In several high-frequency combinations, a final L- or <HL>-tone on the noun shifts to H -tone before the postposition (which then itself acquires the H -tone by spreading). This is the case with 'head', 'road', and 'water', which are flagged with ! in (193) above and are repeated in (194). In the case of gŏ: 'fire', the same process is at work, but since the input stem is a $<$ LHL $>$ monosyllabic the output is $<\mathrm{LH}>$ (i.e. rising tone).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss } \quad \text { noun } \quad \text { 'in a(n) } X^{\prime} \tag{194}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. final $<\mathrm{HL}>$ to H

| 'farming' | wórî | wór gó |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'grass', | sàwâ | sàwá gá |
| 'house' | ńdô | ńdó gó |
| 'road' | ósî̀ | ósú gó |
| 'head' | kû: | kú: gó |
| 'water' | nî: | ní: nó |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b. final }<\mathrm{LHL}>\text { to }<\mathrm{LH}> \\
& \text { 'fire' } \quad \text { gô: } \quad \text { gǒ: gó }
\end{aligned}
$$

The raising of the final L-tone element in (194) is not a general phonological rule; several examples given above preserve a final L - or $<\mathrm{HL}>$-tone before the postposition, which then itself has L-tone.

The common expression 'in/to the field(s)' is ò: gó, with an L-toned version of ǒ: 'field(s)'. There is no general phonological rule converting an $<\mathrm{LH}\rangle$-toned monosyllabic to an L-toned one, compare $\check{\varepsilon}$ : gá 'in/to the well'.

### 8.2.3.2 Fusion of locative postposition with determiners (gá, ŋ̀gá)

The locative postposition ( $g a$ and variants) fuses with a preceding inanimate singular definite $k u ́ \sim g u ́ \sim$ b $^{\text {to form invariant portmanteau gá, presumably contracted from *gù-gá either via }}$ syncope ( ${ }^{*}$ g-gá) or, less plausibly, via spirantization and deletion of intervocalic ${ }^{*}$ g (*gùyá $>$ *gùá). As with definite $k u ́ \sim g u ́ \sim w^{\prime}$ by itself, the fused form $g a ́$ induces tone-dropping on the preceding noun. For nouns that take simple (indefinite) locative allomorph gá, the tonedropping on the noun distinguishes the simple locative from the definite locative (195a). No confusion is possible with nouns that take any simple locative allomorph other than gá. (195) summarizes the audible cues that distinguish 'in $X$ ' from 'in the $X$ ' for different nouns, and shows how 'in the $X$ ' is based on 'the $X$ '.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss noun 'in } X \text { ' 'the } X \text { ' 'in the } X \text { ' } \tag{195}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. distinction made only by tone-dropping of noun

| 'market' | Éwé | Éwé gá | غ̀w ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú | ह̀W ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'courtyard' dámbí | dámbí gá | dàmbì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú | dàmbì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá |  |

b. distinction made only by form of postposition (only known example)
'fields' ǒ: ò: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gó ò: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g$ ú ò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
c. distinction made by tone-dropping and by form of postposition

L-toned gà
'shop' bitíkî bìtîk gà bìtìk ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú bìtik ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
'calabash' kòsî kòsîgà kòsù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú kòsì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
rounded vowel
'hide bag' nàkòmbó nàkòmbó gó nàkòmbo ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú nàkòmbò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
'house' ńdô ńdó gó ǹ ${ }^{\text {ó }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú ǹ ${ }^{\text {á }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
'forest' úndì úndù gò ùndù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g$ ú ùndì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
'river' nì:-bá: nì:-bá: gó nì:-bà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú nì:-bà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá
'seeds' tǒ: tǒ: gó tò: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú tò: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
'village' ìsê ìsé gó ìsè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ́ \quad i ̀ s e ̀{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá
nfor $g$
'shed' tǎ: ${ }^{n}$ tǎ: ${ }^{n}$ ŋá tà: ${ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}$ gú tà: ${ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}$ gá
rounded vowel plus $\eta$ for $g$ 'water' nî: ní: nó nì: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gú nì: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá

A similar fusion occurs with the inanimate singular demonstrative pronoun $\grave{\text { g̀ }}$ gu, which has locative form ìgá. As with the fusion of definite gú and the locative into gá, the fused form ìgá requires tone-dropping on the preceding noun: ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gá 'in this house'. The plural is ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ yěy gò 'in these houses'.

Without a preceding noun, g̀gá functions as the regular 'here' demonstrative adverb. It is likely that ká 'there (discourse-definite)' is similarly reconstructible as the fusion of pronoun $k u$ ' $i t$ ' (in context often discourse-definite 'that') with the locative postposition. See §4.4.2.1 for these locative adverbs.

The inanimate plural definite is $\dot{y}$ (with tone-dropping on the noun), see §4.4.1.1. The locative postposition takes L-toned form gò after this, regardless of the vocalism of the noun. This tone-dropping is reminiscent of Determiner Tone-Dropping (§6.5.4).

| gloss | noun | 'the Xs' | 'in the Xs' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'stick' | béré | bèrè ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ý | bèrè ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ýgò |
| 'house' | ńdô | ǹdò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ 爯 | ǹdò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ýgò |
| 'field' | ŏ: | $o{ }_{\text {o }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $o)^{\text {: }}$ ¢ y gò |
| 'market' | ย́WÉ | $\grave{\varepsilon} W \hat{\varepsilon}^{\text {L }}$ ¢́ |  |
| 'writing' | tònó | tòn ${ }^{\text {L }}$ 自 | tòjo ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ýgò |
| 'damage' | námâ | nàmà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ý | nàmà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ý gò |

After inanimate plural demonstrative yěy we get gà, as in ǹdoò Lěy gà 'in those houses'.
Animate nouns are not commonly followed by the locative postposition, but the combination is attested. Most often it involves a plural noun, in partitive or spatial sense. The combinations are phonologically regular with no fusion. My assistant pronounced them as follows: definite animate singular né $\eta$,́, definite animate plural bû: gò (197), animate singular demonstrative wǒ- $\eta \eta$, animate plural demonstrative wê: gò.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [[àr }{ }^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { bû:] gò̀, [nù }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { tùmâ sǎy] Ésû }  \tag{197}\\
& \text { [[man }{ }^{\text {L }} \text { Def.AnPl] Loc], [person }{ }^{\text {L }} \text { one only] good.Pred } \\
& \text { 'In (= among) those men, only one is any good.' }
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.2.3.3 Semantics of locative postposition

In the examples given in the subsections above, the locative postposition can usually be glossed with 'in', since the reference entity is (potentially) a container or a bounded zone with an interior. The postposition can also mean 'on X ' where X is an entity with an upper or lateral surface ('rock', 'wall'). Examples (with the definite form of the postposition) are in (198).

| gloss | noun | 'on the X ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'rocky area' | pàpàgìrí | pàpàgìri ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá |
| 'rock' | kứr ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | kùr ${ }^{\text {º }}{ }^{\text {L }}$ gá |
| 'wall' | ǹdò-tùndí | ǹdò-tùndí ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá |

The 'on X' use of the postposition competes with fuller expressions of the type 'on the head of X ' described below (§8.2.5).

The locative, or its fused definite form gá, may also be used with NPs denoting periods of time, such as seasons (199).

| (199) | gloss | noun | 'in X ' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 'in the X '

However, time-of-day expressions such as 'at night' are normally expressed simply as nouns ('night'). These nouns are interpreted adverbially unless the syntax of a given clause points in another direction.
(200) dè:ndê bírè-mì-y.:
night work-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'We work at night.'
The precise gloss of the locative in context depends not only on the 'in/on' distinction (container versus surface), but also on the verb. As in the other languages of the zone, verbs rather than postpositions distinguish (static) locative (201a), allative (201b), and ablative (201c) relations.
a. $\quad\left[\hat{n} d \grave{o}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$
gá]
$n^{\prime} y^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{m}-\varnothing$
[house ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg.Loc] sleep-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I will sleep in the house.' (ńdô)
b. [éwé gá] ńní-m̀- $\varnothing$
[market Loc] go-Ipfv-1Sg
'I am going to (the) market.'
c. [ósú gó] gô:
[road Loc] go.out.Imprt
'Get-2Sg out of the road!'
tùyà-gá 'bunch (unit of items for sale)' is an apparent case where an original locative PP has become frozen into a noun. It can be followed by a numeral or other modifier: tùyà-gá wǒy 'two bunches', tùyà-gà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ j̀wó 'large bunch'. The composite origin of tùyà-gá is suggested by comparison with verb túy 'put down'.

The locative postposition is readily used with nouns denoting or implying actions: [nǎ: クá] éw-yé- ‘sit down at (=for) a meal', [dàmá ๆá] éw-yé- ‘sit down at (=for) a talk’.

Subordinated clauses that contain the locative or a similar form include complements of ‘help’ (§17.4.1), and "pseudo-locative" purposive complements §7.6.3.

### 8.2.4 Locative clitic =yè (or final tone change) with place names

There are no Jamsay-style tonal locatives (locative forms of nouns marked only by a tonal change). However, there is a locative form of place names that is expressed by a final clitic, which in some cases reduces to a tonal change. The forms of the clitic are in (202).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =y e ̀ ~ \\
& =y^{n} \grave{\varepsilon} \\
& \left(:^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing(\text { final L-tone })
\end{aligned}
$$

default
after nasalized syllable
after some vowels
The default allomorph, and the one that I take to be phonologically basic, is =yè. It is required after $i$ in a non-nasal syllable, and it occurs after other vowels in some place names (203a). If the preceding syllable is nasalized, the variant $=y^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}$ is obligatory (203b). After heavy (i.e. quadrisyllabic) place names, =yè tends to contract with a stem-final vowel, resulting in either a long or a short vowel with falling tone, although the full pronunciation is also possible (203c).
(203) location Nanga term locational form

| a. | Mopti | mó:tì | mó:tí = yè |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wakara | wàgárî | wàgárí = yè |
|  | Perge | pèrgé | $p$ èrgé $=$ yè |
|  | Pergesa | pègèsá | pègèsá $=$ yè |
| b. | Douentza | dúwánsár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | dúwánsár ${ }^{n} 1{ }^{\prime}=y^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}$ |
|  | Boni | bó:ní | bó:ní $=y^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ |
|  | Ben Tey | bě: $r^{n} \hat{1}$ | bě: $r^{n \hat{1}}=y^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}$ |
|  | Soro-ni | sóròní | Sóròní $=y^{n}$ ¢ |
| c. | Namakoro | námbákòré | námbákòr $=$ ê: $\sim$ námbákòré = yè |
|  | Bandiagara | bànàgàrá | bànàgàr $=\hat{\varepsilon}: \sim$ bànàgàré $=$ yè |
|  | Bamako | bàmàkó | bàmàkô: = $\varnothing$ ~ bàmàkó = yè |
|  | Dianwely | jáwn ${ }^{\text {l }}$ lé | jáw ${ }^{n} l \hat{e}=\varnothing \sim$ jáw ${ }^{n} l \hat{e}:=\varnothing$ |
|  | Kono | kó: $r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ | kó: $r^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing$ |
|  | Anda | á:ndé | á: $n d \hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing$ |

This clitic is used with place names as locations, destinations (e.g. with a motion verb like 'go' or 'go in'), or points of departure (with a motion verb like 'go out, leave').
a. bàmàkó=yè ǹnè-tà:-rí-y
Bamako=Loc go-ExpPrf-PfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I have never gone to Bamako.'
b. bàmàkó = yè tùwè- $\varnothing$

Bamako=Loc die.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He/She died in Bamako.'
c. bàmàkó = yè gò-y

Bamako=Loc go.out.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I left Bamako.'
8.2.5 'On (the head of) X ' ([ $\left.X^{(H) \mathrm{L}} k \hat{u}:\right]$ gò $)$

The concept 'on X ' is expressed as [[ $\left.X^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{u}:\right]$ gà] or [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{L}} k u ̀:\right]$ gà], depending on whether the $\mathrm{NP}(\mathrm{X})$ ends in an H - or L-tone. It is based on possessed forms of kû: 'head' followed by the basic locative postposition ga. In some cases, when X is a human or animal, the literal sense 'on the head of X' may be pertinent.

| gloss | noun | 'on X' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 'stool', | túngúrí | [túngúrí ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ kû:] gò |
| 'box | góngó | [góngó ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ kû:] gò |
| 'cart' | Wògòtórô | [Wògòtórô ${ }^{\text {L }}$ kù:] gò |

The usual postnominal pronominal possessors are used, following the noun which has its regular tonal form (206).
(206)
a. kû: kô:
head 1SgPoss.InanSg
'my head'
b. [kû: kŏ:] gò
[head 1SgPoss.InanSg] Loc 'on me' (or: 'on my head')
8.2.6 'Next to, beside $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ([ $X^{(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{L}}$ kérî] $]$ gà $)$

This concept is expressed using a possessed form of kérí 'side' followed by locative ga. When $X$ is a nonpronominal NP , the combination is heard as [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ kérîl] gà] or [[ $X^{\mathrm{L}}$ kèrì $]$ gà $]$, depending on whether X ends in H or L tone. The locative postposition is optional after a pronominal possessor (207b).
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & {[[\text { ǹdò }} & \\ & \text { L } & \text { gú }] \\ & {[\text { house }} & \text { Def.InanSg }] \\ \text { 'beside the house' }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{\text {HL }} \text { kérî] } & \text { gà } \\ { }^{\text {HL }} \text { side] } & \text { Loc }\end{array}$
b. [kérí kô:] (gò)
[side 1 SgPoss.InanSg] (Loc) 'beside me'

This complex postposition specifies that the topical entity (trajector) is next to the landmark, as with two persons sitting next to each other. For a looser spatial connection, as when the two persons are in the same setting but not directly next to each other, [ $\left[X^{(H) \mathrm{L}}\right.$ dósû] gà] 'under X' (§8.2.9) can be used.

### 8.2.7 'In front of' $\left(\left[X^{(H) L}\right.\right.$ gírê $]$ gà $)$

With nonpronominal complement, 'in front of X ' is expressed by a possessed form of gírê 'front' (cf. gìré 'eye'), plus locative postposition ga. When $X$ is a nonpronominal NP, the result is [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ gírê] $]$ gà] or, if X ends in an L-tone, [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ gìrè $]$ gà]. The final gà is optional after a pronominal possessor, which is preceded by gírê in its lexical form (208b).
a. [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon}: \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ gírê] gà
[well ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ front] Loc
'in front of the well'
b. [gírê kô:] (gà)
[front 1 SgPoss.InanSg] (Loc)
'in front of me'
c. [ńdô ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gìrè gà
[house ${ }^{\text {L front] }}$ Loc
'in front of (a/the) house'
The corresponding adverb, with no landmark, is gírê gò 'ahead, in front'.

### 8.2.8 'Behind/after $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ([ $X^{(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{L}}$ túndù $]$ gò), 'about'

'About (=concerning) $X$ ' is expressed with $X$ as possessor of a verbal noun (or similar nominal), when the verb is 'speak'. With other verbs, we get [ $X^{\mathrm{HL}}$ túndù] gò or (if X ends in an L-tone) [ $X^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùndù] gò 'after X ', cf. noun tùndí 'back, rear' and adverbial PP [tùndú gó] 'afterwards'.
a. [émê ${ }^{\text {L }}$ dàmà $]$ dámà-mì-y.:
[milk ${ }^{\text {L talk] }}$ speak-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'We will speak about milk.' (lit. "... speak some milk's talk")
b. [[ह́mê ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùndù $]$ gò $] \quad$ mă:ndí $=b \varepsilon ́-y$
[[milk ${ }^{\text {L rear }] ~ L o c] ~ t h i n k . P f v=P a s t-~} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}$
'I thought about milk.'
c. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ĺm } & \text { tùndù }] ~ g o ̀ ~\end{array}\right] \quad$ nùnú $=b$-á
[[milk ${ }^{\text {L }}$ rear] Loc] $\quad$ sing.Pfv=Past-3P1Sbj
'They sang about milk.'

### 8.2.9 'Over $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\left(\left[X^{(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{L}} t \varepsilon ́ m b \grave{\varepsilon}\right]\right.$ gà $)$, 'under $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\left(\left[X^{(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{L}}\right.\right.$ dósû $]$ gò $)$

There are complex postpositions with nouns témbè 'top' and dósí 'bottom, underneath', in possessed form with following locative postposition (gà, gò). The forms with preposed X are [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ témbè] gà] or [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ tèmbè] gà], and [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ dósû] gò] or [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ dòsù] gò], with the tone depending on whether X ends in H or L . As in other such complex postpositions, the locative postposition is optionally omitted after a pronominal possessor (210b,e).
a. [[ńdô
${ }^{\text {L }}$ tèmbè $]$
gà $] \quad$ bù- $\varnothing$
[[house ${ }^{\text {L over] }}$ Loc] be-3SgSbj
'It is over the house.'
b. [témbè [ú
[over [2Sg
$\stackrel{{ }^{\text {HL }} \text { g } \text { gol] }}{\text { Poss.InanSg] }]}$
(in)
'over you-Sg'
c. $\left[\left[\check{n} d \grave{o}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{gu}\right]\right.$

$\begin{array}{cc}{\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\text { house }} \\ \text { 'L } & \text { Def] }\end{array} \quad{ }^{\text {L under] }]}\right.} & \text { Loc }\end{array}$
'under the house'
d. [[tùmá ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ dósû] gò $]$ bìyò- $\varnothing$
[[tree ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ under] Loc] lie.down.Stat-3SgSbj
'He/She is lying down under a tree.'
e. mò: $r^{n} \hat{\jmath}$ [[dósú kŏ:] (gò)] bèr-à
wild.date [[under 1SgPoss.InanSg] (in)] find.Pfv-3PISbj 'They found some wild dates under me.'

Without an explicit landmark NP, we get pure adverbials témbè gà 'up above, overhead' and đósú gó 'down below, at the bottom, underneath'.
'Under X' can also be stretched to mean 'beside, in the vicinity of (someone)'.
See also [dúmbó nò] gà 'at its base' in (551) in §15.1.7.

### 8.2.10 'Between' ([X $\left.{ }^{(H) L} b \varepsilon ́ r \grave{c}-k \grave{n} n d \grave{\varepsilon}\right]$ gá $)$

'Between' or 'among/amidst' is expressed by a complex postposition containing the noun bèrè-kéndè 'middle'. The postposition, with noun X , appears as [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ bérè-kèndè] gà] or [ $[X$ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bèrè -kèndè] gà] depending on whether X ends in H or L . The first of these (i.e. after a final H-tone) can also be pronounced [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ bérékéndè gà], where the break in the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ tone overlay is delayed until the beginning of the final syllable of berckende. The difference between [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ bérè̀-kèndè] gà] and [ $\left[X^{\mathrm{HL}}\right.$ bér $\varepsilon$ kénd $\left.\bar{\jmath}\right]$ gà] is attributable to the ambiguous status of bérékéndè as either a compound béré-kéndè or as an unsegmentable quadrisyllabic noun, since the application of $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlays is sensitive to this distinction.

This complex postposition may take either a simple NP (with plural reference) or a conjoined NP as its complement.
(211)

gà
Loc
'between/among us'


| c. | wògòtórô | [[L[á:ndé | yò] | [dúwánsár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | yòf] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | donkey.cart | [[[[]Anda | and] | [Douentza | and]] |
|  | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bèrè̀-kèndè] | gà] | jàm |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {L middle] }}$ | Loc] | be.r | Pfv-3SgSbj |  |

d. [ìsè [[village six] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.InanPl]

| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ bérè-kèndè] | gà |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ middle] | Loc | 'between/among the six villages'

### 8.2.11 'From X to Y '

A complete locational 'from X to Y ' expression requires two clauses, the first including gǒ: 'go out, leave' to convey an ablative sense. bǎ $\rightarrow$ 'since, all the way from' may be added (212).
(212) [[dúwánsár $\left.n^{n} 1=y^{n} \hat{\varepsilon} \quad b a ̌ \rightarrow\right]$ gǒ: ý]
$[[\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Loc}$ all.the.way.from $]$ go.out and.SS $]$
[lòsó gó] mó:tí= yè ǹn-ò
[foot Loc] M=Loc go.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They walked on foot from Douentza to Mopti.'
If the distance to the endpoint is emphasized, hálî'all the way to' can be used; cf. §19.2.1.

| [hálî | mó:tí = yè] | [yògó | $j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ ] | ǹṅ̀- $\varnothing$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [all.the.way.to | $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Loc}]$ | [run | while.SS] | go.Pfv-3SgSbj |
| 'He/She ran all the way to Mopti (city).' |  |  |  |  |

### 8.3 Purposive-causal dèr ${ }^{n}$ í 'for' or 'because of'

This postposition has invariant segmental and tonal form. It does not behave tonally as a possessed noun or have any phonological interaction with the preceding complement NP. Examples with pronouns: kú dèr ${ }^{n} 1$, ú dèr ${ }^{n} 1$, ńné dèr ${ }^{n} 1$.

The postposition occurs in a range of purposive and causal senses. In (214a-b), the purposive sense is prospective, while the causal sense in (214c) and arguably that in the highfrequency phrase 'for God' in ( 214 d ) are retrospective.
a. [[ò:ndò $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ́\right] \quad d e ̀ r{ }^{n} 1{ }^{n}\right] \quad y$-ò:
[[honey ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg] Purp] come.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They have come for the honey.'
b. [kě:rê dèr $\left.{ }^{n} 1\right] \quad$ núní-ŋ̀
[money Purp] sing-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'He/She sings for money.'

 [person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl] [God Purp] 1Sg-Acc help.Pfv-3PlSbj 'The people helped me on account of (=for the sake of) God.'

The final H-tone of $d \varepsilon r^{n}{ }^{n} 1$ is sometimes not realized, see e.g. (447b). There is a regrettable homophone dèr ${ }^{n} 1$ ' 'penis'.

### 8.4 Other adverbials (or equivalents)

### 8.4.1 Similarity (may ' ${ }^{\text {'like’ }}$ )

The atonal particle may 'like' follows the argument that it has scope over (215). The final tone of the preceding word spreads into the particle.
a. [ńné máy ${ }^{n}$ ] bù-y
[3Sg like] be-1SgSbj
'I am like him/her.'
b. [yǎ- $\eta$ máy $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ dámà-m̀̀- ${ }^{w}$
[woman-Sg like] speak-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'You-Sg talk like a woman.'
c. $\left[a ́ r r^{n} \hat{a} \quad\right.$ mày $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ bírè-m̀- $\varnothing$
[man like] work-Ipfv-1 Sg
'I work like a man.'

Compare interrogative àmây ${ }^{n}$ 'how?' (§13.2.6).
The very common expression kú mày ${ }^{n}$ 'like that, thus (discourse-definite)' from discourse-definite $k u ́$ is an exception to the tone-spreading pattern. From inanimate demonstrative $\grave{g} g u ́$ 'this/that' we get both regular $\grave{g} g u ́ ~ m a ́ y ~ ' l i k e ~ t h i s / t h a t ~(t h i n g) ' ~ a n d ~ t o n a l l y ~$ irregular ìgù mǎy 'like that (deictic)' or 'on the other side' (§4.4.1.7). Other pronouns are regular: ú máy ' 'like you-Sg', ńné máy 'like him/her/it'.

### 8.4.2 Extent ('a lot', 'a little')

The adverb $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow ~ ' a ~ l o t ' ~ c a n ~ b e ~ u s e d ~ i n ~ a ~ w i d e ~ r a n g e ~ o f ~ s e n s e s, ~ r a n g i n g ~ f r o m ~ q u a n t i t y ~(' a ~ l o t ' ~$ in the sense 'a large amount') to frequency or intensity of an event type (adverbial 'a lot' as synonym of 'greatly' or 'frequently'). It is normally preverbal, but its position with respect to e.g. object nouns is variable, and it does not behave like an adjective (for example, it does not force tone-dropping on a preceding noun). $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$ is related to adjective $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́$ 'good', and specifically to the latter’s EA predicative variant $\grave{\varepsilon} s-i ́ \rightarrow ~(b u ̀-\varnothing) ~ '(i t ~ i s) ~ g o o d ’ ~(§ 8.4 .7) . ~$.
a. bírá
$\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$
bíré-ŋ̀
work(n) a.lot
work-Ipfv.3Sg
'He/She works a lot.'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & \text { غ̀sí } & \text { bírá } \\ & {[=(a), \text { reordered }]} & \text { bír } \varepsilon \text { - } 1 \text { g }\end{array}$
c. $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow \quad$ ìsê- $\eta \quad$ ǹd-à
a.lot village-Acc give.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They gave a lot (=a large amount) to the village.'
In the sense of 'large amount' ('many', 'much'), other devices are also available. As predicate, there are several options (217).
a. ò:ndó $\quad$ èsí $\rightarrow \quad$ bù- $\varnothing$
honey a.lot be-3Sg
'There is a lot of honey.'
b. ò:ndó jǒ-èrè- $\varnothing$
honey be.much-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'There is a lot of honey.' (lit. "Honey has become abundant.")
c. ò:ndó sámá-èrè- $\varnothing$
honey be.common-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'There is a lot of honey.' (lit. "Honey has become common.")
d. ò:ndó lógó-દ̀rè- $\varnothing$
honey be.excessive-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'There is a whole lot of honey.' (or: 'There is too much honey')
e. ò:ndó dǎy ${ }^{n}$ sò-ndó- $\varnothing$
honey $\quad \operatorname{limit}(n) \quad$ have-Neg-3SgSbj
'There is a whole lot of honey.' (lit. "The honey has no end.")
Example (217a) is semantically unremarkable, merely stating a current abundance. (217b-c) imply that the element in question was previously less common. jǒ: 'become much/many' is otherwise semantically neutral, while sámá- 'become common' implies a loss of value due to abundance. ( $217 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{e}$ ) are more emphatic in nature; ( 217 d ) can be pejorative.
(218) illustrates modifying function. In (218a), $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$ is still an adverb syntactically, and has no tonal effect on 'sheep'. In (218b), jó: is a regular modifying adjective, rather than an expressive adverbial, and it therefore controls tone-dropping on 'sheep'. It is, however, often prolonged for emphasis. There is no significant difference in meaning between $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$ and jó: in such contexts.
a. pèrgé
èsí $\rightarrow \quad$ tùw-à
sheep a.lot die.Pfv-3P1Sbj
'A lot of sheep died.'
b. [pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jó: $\rightarrow$ ] tùw-à
[sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ a.lot] die.Pfv-3PlSbj
'Many sheep died.'

Antonyms ('a little' or 'slightly'), translatable either as adverbs or as argument NPs, are expressed by dágáy ~ dákáy and démì $\rightarrow$, which are basically adverbial. NP function can alternatively be expressed by the adjective $\grave{\varepsilon} w r \varepsilon$ 'small' used as a noun. démì $\rightarrow$ is best glossed 'somewhat', and suggests that the amount is adequate though not especially big, while dágáy is more emphatic, may be glossed 'slightly' when adverbial, and is more likely than démì $\rightarrow$ to occur with sǎy 'only'.
a. á:mádù dágáy (sǎy) ìd-à
A a.little (only) give.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They gave (only) a little to Amadou.'
b. á:mádù démì $\rightarrow \quad$ ǹd-à

A somewhat give.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They gave a little to Amadou.' (suggests adequacy)
c. á:mádù èWré ǹd-à

A small give.Pfv-3PlSbj
[=(a)]
d. dágáy ìró- $\varnothing$
a.little be.better-3SgSbj
'It (e.g. illness) is a little (= slightly) better.'
(i.e. 'I'm feeling better')
e. démì $\rightarrow$ ìró- $\varnothing$
somewhat be.better- 3 SgSbj
'It (e.g. illness) is a somewhat better.'

### 8.4.3 Specificity

### 8.4.3.1 'Approximately'

$b a ̌ \rightarrow$, which in other contexts can mean 'all the way from (a starting point)', can indicate approximateness of a numerical value.
(220) [pèrgé p -nìmì: $\left.{ }^{n} \quad b a ̌ \rightarrow\right] \quad m a ̀ r a ́-s-\varepsilon ́ ~$
[sheep ten-five about] be.lost-Pfv2-3P1Sbj
'Around fifty sheep were lost (=died).'

An alternative is to use may 'like', as in 'I will buy like (= somewhere around) fifty sheep'.

### 8.4.3.2 'Exactly' (lék, cók, té $\rightarrow$ )

lék is an interjection-like intensifier that can be used with numerals; see §19.4.2.

```
(221) [p\varepsiloǹrg\varepsiloń p\varepsiloń-nìmì:n lék] màrá-S-\varepsiloń
[sheep ten-five exactly] be.lost-Pfv2-3PlSbj
'Exactly fifty sheep were lost (=died).'
```

cók is another interjection-like particle used to indicate 'exactly identical' (on some measure, usually height). It can also be used to indicate that all members of a group are present.

Adverbial té $\rightarrow$ can be used with time expressions: mìdî té $\rightarrow$ 'at noon sharp'. Its reduplication té:-té: is also in use in similar contexts. See also the following subsection.

To confirm the truth or correctness of what an interlocutor has just said, the particle já:dì 'exactly' (i.e. 'you're exactly right') is used; see §19.5.1.

### 8.4.3.3 'Specifically' $(t e ́ \rightarrow)$

Adverbial particle $t e ́ \rightarrow$ is also used in the sense 'specifically'.

| (222) | ú-ŋ̀ | té $\rightarrow$ | ǹdì- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2Sg-Acc | specifically | give.Pfv-3SgSbj |
|  | 'He/She gave (it) specifically to you-Sg.' |  |  |

### 8.4.4 Evaluation

### 8.4.4.1 'Well' and 'badly'

The preferred construction equivalent to English evaluative manner adverbials is one with a complement NP (often containing a cognate nominal or similar noun) plus an evaluative adjective like 'good' or 'bad'.
a. [bìrà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$
èsí]
bíré-ì̀ [work(n) ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ good] work-Ipfv-1SgSbj 'I do good work.' (= 'I work well.')


### 8.4.4.2 'Proper, right'

The adverbial $j \hat{a}:^{n}$, generally predicative with following 'it is' clitic, characterizes an action or behavior pattern as normal or proper (respecting social norms), or as contextually appropriate. $j \hat{a}:{ }^{n}$ also occurs in Jamsay.
a. $\quad j \hat{a}::^{n}=\varnothing$
proper $=$ it.is
'It's proper (right, normal, appropriate).'
b. [kàr $r^{n a ̀}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ú kàr ${ }^{n}$ à-mù ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú] jà: ${ }^{n}=n d o ́-\varnothing$ [doing(n) ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad$ do-Ppl. $\left.\mathrm{Ipfv}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Def}\right] \quad$ proper=it.is.not-3SgSbj 'What you are doing is not right.'

### 8.4.5 Manner

The equivalents of simple English manner adverbials (-ly) can be constructed using NP complements (with an adjective) as in (225a). Or an adverbial PP may be used if there is a suitable noun available, like pàyá ‘strength, force’ in (225b).
a. [bìrà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ógù] bírè-m̀ $\varnothing$
[work(n) ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ fast] work-Ipfv-1 SgSbj
'I do fast work.' (= 'I work fast.')
b. [pàyá ŋá] ǹjí- $\varnothing$ dàmbì- $\varnothing$
[strength Loc] 1 Sg-Acc push.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He/She pushed me hard (with force).'
The noun 'manner, way' is dǎy'. It also means 'limit, outer bound'. For manner adverbial clauses, see $\S 15.5 .2$.

### 8.4.6 Spatiotemporal adverbs

### 8.4.6.1 Temporal adverbs

Some of the major temporal adverbs are in (226). Note the tonal distinction between íyé 'today' and íyê 'again' (226a). nípèy 'now' and éndèy 'day after tomorrow' were heard with H.L tone sequence. 'Today', 'yesterday', and 'tomorrow' are often extended to mean 'nowadays', 'in the old days', and 'in the future'.The traditional Dogon week, still used for small local markets, is five days.
a. íy
'today; nowadays'
íyê
'again'
yéfìr ${ }^{n}$ ì:
íyé tà:ndì:
nínèy ${ }^{n}$
'yesterday; formerly, in the old days'
'day before yesterday'
'now'
b. ع́:ŋí
'tomorrow; in the future'
モ́ndèy
'day after tomorrow'
èndè $y^{\mathrm{L}}$ túndèy
'second day after tomorrow' (third from today)
lég tàrà
'third day after tomorrow' (fourth from today)
'fourth day after tomorrow' (fifth from today)
'fifth day after tomorrow' (sixth from tomorrow)

```
c. gôyn
àrnà L kámâ 'next year'
àr năa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ bìndé
àrrà }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ WònjǒW
núynáyn
    " (cf. bìndé 'change; go back')
    " (with wònjǒw 'second' [ordinal])
'this year'
```

In (226c), one would expect àr $r^{n}{ }^{L}{ }^{L}$ kámâ to mean 'each/every year', cf. §6.6.2 above, but this sense is expressed instead by àr ${ }^{n}$ à- $W^{n}$ kéréw or àr $r^{n}$ à- $W^{n} p u ́ \rightarrow$.

### 8.4.6.2 'First' (kìyá)

Adverbial 'first' ('firstly', 'at first') is expressed by kìyá, which (as in English) is identical to the ordinal adjective 'first'. That kìyá is syntactically an adverb in (227), rather than a modifying adjective, is shown by the fact that it does not control tone-dropping on the noun bírá 'work (n)'.
(227) bírá kìyá bìrè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gáy, jǎ: kó:-mì-y.: work(n) first work(v) ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ and.then.SS, meal eat.meal-Ipfv-1PlSbj 'We'll work first, then we'll eat.'

### 8.4.6.3 Spatial adverbs

Nondemonstrative spatial adverbs, generally nouns and PPs, are in (228). Some of those in (228a) and (228c) are also part of complex postpositions. For spatial demonstrative adverbs, see §4.4.2.1.
a. témbè 'above, (on) top, (at the) summit'
dósí 'below, (on the) bottom, down'
b. ùsì-[túm-nó], dû: gìrè 'east'
ùsì-[yégí-nó] 'west'
tè̀ì-dágá 'south'
dù:-dágá 'north'
c. tùn-túndì $\rightarrow \quad$ 'going backward, in reverse'
tùndú gó 'in the rear'
gíré gó 'forward; in front', cf. gìré 'eye'
ùsì-[túm-nó] 'east’ and ùsì-[yégí-nó] ‘west' are compounds containing ùsì 'sun' plus nominals based on the verbs túmbó '(sun) rise' and yègé 'fall; (sun) set', respectively. The term for 'south' is based on the location of the Tengou (southern Dogon sub-ethnicity around Bankass).
'Left hand' is nà: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bàrìyé, while 'right hand' is nà: ${ }^{L}$ nàr ${ }^{n} \grave{y} y^{n} \varepsilon$ (with nǎ: 'hand' plus a modifying adjective).

### 8.4.7 Expressive adverbials

Like other Dogon languages, Nanga has many expressive adverbials (EAs), defined as words that cannot be directly inflected but that can be made predicative by adding an auxiliary. The phonological form of EAs is usually distinctive, with full-stem iteration or final intonational prolongation (but usually not both). Many are also semantically colorful, evoking a visual image or other sensation, and they are similar to what are often referred to (in other languages) as mimetics or ideophones. I do not use these terms in the Dogon context, since they convey nothing about the grammatical properties of the forms, and since some EAs have prosaic senses like 'straight' (see below).

Some EAs have adjective-like senses, but they differ morphosyntactically from adjectives. EAs are not parts of NPs. They (therefore) have no tonal interactions with nouns or other NP words; they do not control tone-dropping and cannot themselves be tonosyntactically controlled. Like adjectives and nouns, EAs can also function in (stative or inchoative) predicates, but the forms of the predicates are different for the three word classes.

In a positive predicate denoting a state, the EA is followed by quasi-verb bù- 'be (somewhere)' for stative and durative senses. The negative counterpart of bù- is ìgó- 'not be (somewhere), be absent'. Thus jèn $1 \rightarrow$ bù- $\varnothing$ 'it is tilting', jèn $1 \rightarrow$ ग̀gó- $\varnothing$ 'it is not tilting'. In other words, these EAs pattern syntactically like spatial adverbials. EAs with more active senses are made predicative by adding regular transitive verb kárníl 'do', which has a fuller range of aspect-negation forms. EAs like yàl-yàl 'flapping' can take either: yàl-yàl kárníl-só- $\varnothing$ 'it flapped in the wind (perhaps briefly)', yàl-yàl bù- $\varnothing$ 'it is flapping in the wind'.

In predicates denoting state changes ('become'), the auxiliary verb used is the morphologically regular verb bě:-, which in other contexts means 'remain'. Its causative bě:-m- can also combine with EAs, as a kind of factitive ('cause to become').
(229) illustrates nonpredicative adverbial function (229a), stative positive and negative predicates (229b-c), and dynamic (inchoative) predicates (229d).
a. dém $\rightarrow$ ló-غ̀rè- $\varnothing$
straight go-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'He/She went straight (to somewhere).'
b. dém $\rightarrow$ bù- $\varnothing$
straight be-3SgSbj
'It (e.g. stick) is straight.'
c. dém $\rightarrow$ ìgoó- $\varnothing$
straight not.be-3SgSbj
'It is not straight.'
d. dém $\rightarrow$ bě-èrè- $\varnothing$
straight become-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'It became straight.'
Many adjectives can be made into EAs, with little difference in sense, by adding a final $-i \rightarrow$, which could be analysed as a suffix or as a stem-final mutation plus "intonational" prolongation. In most cases these function as predicates, with the auxiliary verbs or quasiverbs mentioned above. Thus $\grave{\varepsilon s i ́}$ 'good', adjectival predicate $\grave{s} s u ́$ 'it is good', derived EA
$\grave{\varepsilon} s-1 ́ \rightarrow$, predicate of EA $\grave{\varepsilon} s-1 ́ \rightarrow$ bù- $\varnothing$ 'it is good'. Compare adverbial $\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$ 'a lot, very much' (§8.4.2).

### 8.4.7.1 Forms of expressive adverbials

Examples of expressive adverbials without stem iteration are in (230). Only a handful, like 'tilting' (230a) and the two cases in (230c), are parts of larger word families. It is noteworthy that EAs, unlike nouns and adjectives, may be lexically/L/-toned.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { form } \quad \text { gloss } \quad \text { related form } \tag{230}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. H-toned, final prolongation

| /H/-toned |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p o ́ \rightarrow$ | 'gaping (hole)' |
| $p{ }^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ | 'wide open (doorway)' |
| kárás $\rightarrow$ | 'face to face' |
| /LH/-toned |  |
| kǎy ${ }^{\text {n }}$, | 'wide open (eyes)' |
| jèní $\rightarrow$ | 'tilting' jèní 'tilt' |
| màmí $\rightarrow$ | 'with head tilting' |
| èndìrí $\rightarrow$ | 'ajar (door)' |
| gògìrí $\rightarrow$ | 'rickety, shaky, poorly encased' |
| /L/-toned |  |
| lòm $\rightarrow$ | 'froth forming' |
| dìm $\rightarrow$ | 'towering, lofty' |
| sèm $\rightarrow$ | 'straight-nosed' |
| yòw $\rightarrow$ | 'slightly open (mouth)' |
| $y غ ̀ W \rightarrow$ | 'slightly open (eyes)' |
| tàrù $\rightarrow$ | 'fat (woman, cow)' |
| gènjèy $\rightarrow$ | 'motionless' |

b. no final prolongation

| /H/-toned sómógó | '(head) long and bending forward' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /L/-toned |  |  |
| nàm | 'brief shower (rain)' |  |
| jùnày ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'fat and clumsy' |  |
| c. $\mathrm{CuCuCôy}$ |  |  |
| lùgùsôy | 'chubby, puffy' | lùgùsí( $\mathrm{adjective)}$ |
| yùgùsôy | 'woolly, disheveled' | yùgùsí 'velvet' |

The intonational prolongation is realized on the final segment. Therefore in e.g. sèm $\rightarrow$ 'straight-nosed', the $m$ but not the vowel is prolonged.

Examples of iterated adverbials involving "nonsense" stems (i.e. those whose uniterated form do not occur) are in (231).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { form } \quad \text { gloss } \quad \text { related form } \tag{231}
\end{equation*}
$$



### 8.4.7.2 Adjectival intensifiers

Like the other Dogon languages, Nanga has an abundance of uninflectable expressive adverbials used as intensifiers, primarily for adjectival but also for a few verb-like senses. For the discourse function, compare English brand new, blind as a bat, X stopped still (in his tracks), and the like. In most cases the intensifer has no phonological relationship to the semantically associated word(s), or to any other lexical stem. There is no sharp difference between intensifiers and (other) expressive adverbials.

Intensifiers (mostly adjectival in sense) are in (232). For the glosses, supply 'very' or the like for the intensifier. If there is no associated word that commonly co-occurs with the intensifier, the semantically closest word is given in parentheses. In $\grave{\varepsilon} s \grave{\varepsilon}-[t \varepsilon ́ w-t \varepsilon ́ w]$ and bùdè-[téw-t $\varepsilon$ W] (232a), there is (unusually) a \{L\}-toned compound initial corresponding to the associated word.

Vocalic sound symbolism (§3.4.7) is apparent in the alternation of lèré-lèré 'cleaned up' with lòró-lòró 'clean-shaven head'.

Because of the frequency of collocations (associated word followed by intensifier), it is possible for a single form to serve as intensifier for unrelated senses, see kát-kát in (232a). It is also possible for a basic sense to have multiple intensifiers, normally used by different speakers, see kát-kát, kúsú-kúsú, and kúrúŋ-kúrúp for 'black' in (232a).

The data in (232) are organized by the phonological form of the intensifier.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { intensifier } \quad \text { gloss } \quad \text { associated word(s) } \tag{232}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. iteration (no tonal or vocalic change)
one iteration

| s $\hat{o}^{n}$-s $\hat{o}^{n}$ | 'newborn' | bà-bàr ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ | 'newborn baby' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| púl-púl | '(brand) new' | kándà | 'new' |
| bóm-bóm | 'stout' | dùgí | 'big' |
| dím-dím | 'straight' | dém $\rightarrow$ | 'straight' |


| dón-dón | 'furious' | kèndè bární | 'anger' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sél-sél | 'long; tall' | gùró | 'long' |
| gén-gép | 'tight-fitting' | pòró- | 'be tight-fitting' |
| kúy-kúy | 'stocky (person)' | démbíré | 'stout' |
| kéy-kéy | 'hard' | mǎ: | 'hard, dry' |
| káy-káy | 'hard, dry' | mǎ: | 'hard, dry' |
| péy-péy | 'unripe' | kè:sí | 'unripe' |
| kóy ${ }^{n}$-kóy ${ }^{n}$ | 'emaciated' | kó:mbí-yé- | 'be lean' |
| séy ${ }^{n}$-sé $y^{n}$ | 'slender (person)' | ké:mbé | 'slender' |
| gá ${ }^{n}$-gáy ${ }^{n}$ | 'tight; crowded' | $\varepsilon$ ¢: | 'tight' |
| jáy ${ }^{n}$-jáy ${ }^{n}$ | 'uncooked (red)' | bár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | 'red' |
| tá ${ }^{n}$-táy ${ }^{n}$ | 'sweet' | ćrî | 'sweet' |
| " | 'salty' | párî | 'salty' |
| dúy ${ }^{n}$-dù ${ }^{n}$ | 'red' | bár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | 'red' |
| táw-táw | 'hot (weather)' | sòy | 'hot weather' |
| jáw-jáw | 'hot (object) | ógî | 'hot; fast' |
| lâw-lâw | 'fast' | óg 1 | 'hot; fast' |
| jéw-jéW | 'lightweight' | $\varepsilon^{\prime} r^{n i}$ | 'lightweight' |
| غ̀sè-[tÉW-téW] | 'unfertilized (field)' | ع́sé- | 'be unfertilized' |
| " | 'bland' | ây | 'bland' |
| bùdè-[téW-téw] | 'fine (powdery)' | bùté | 'fine, powdery' |
| " | 'supple' | bùté | 'supple' |
| р $¢$ р-p $¢$ | 'full' | bá: | 'full' |
| ték-ték | 'standing straight' | í:-yí- | 'stand, stop' |
| kát-kát | 'rotten' | j̀mbí | 'rotten' |
| " | 'bitter' | gárí | 'bitter' |
| " | 'black' | jémí | 'black' |
| pár-párú | 'shiny new' | kándà | 'new' |
| písí-písí | 'lost' | màrá- | 'be lost' |
| sérí-sérí | 'dusty' | kóngò | 'dust' |
| kúsú-kúsú | 'black' | jémí | 'black' |
| " | 'glaring (at)' | bèmbí- | 'glare (at)' |
| yógó-yógó | 'soft' | búrî | 'soft' |
| búdé-búdé | 'fine (powdery)' | bùté | 'fine, powdery' |
| " | 'supple' | bùté | 'supple' |
| púlá-púlá | 'hot (object) | 万́gî | 'hot; fast' |
| péré-péré | 'cold (weather)' | gذ̀yó | '(the) cold' |
| pàsá-pàsá | 'white' | pírí | 'white' |
| tègé-tègé | 'moon shining' | wà: pírí | 'moonlight' |
| lèré-lèré | 'cleaned up' | ع́sé- | 'be clean' |
| lòró-lòró | 'clean-shaven head' | ká:- | 'shave' |
| kúrúy-kúrúy | 'black' | jémí | 'black' |
| gérén-gérén | 'inflated' | píríyé- | 'be inflated' |
| kórógó-kórógó | 'loose-fitting' | kórógó | 'loose-fitting' |
| gùsùró-gùsùró | 'fraying' | gùsúró- | 'fray' |
| more than one iteration |  |  |  |
| dón dón dón | 'pouting' | nǒ: sómó- | 'pout' |

b. iteration (with vocalic and/or tonal change)
tonal change only

| táy-tày | 'used up' | dìmé- |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| tonal and vocalic change (high vowel to a) | 'be used up' |  |
| yùgùsì-yágísím | 'very woolly' | yúgúsí |
| bìrgì-bárgí | 'junk (in disorder)' | nàmà-fàmá |

c. intonational prolongation
simple

| dám $\rightarrow$ | 'blind' | gìrè-mbí | 'blind' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dím $\rightarrow$ | 'stout' | dùgí | 'thick' |
| póm $\rightarrow$ | 'enormous' | dùgí | 'big' |
| sĚW ${ }^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'tiny (eye) | غ̀Wré | 'small' |
| táy ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'full (eating)' | sír $^{n}{ }^{\text {é- }}$ | 'be full, satisfied' |
| kǎy ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 'oversized (eye)' | (dùgí | 'big') |
| $k \varepsilon ̌ y^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'tiny (moon, eye)' | ( ¢̀Wré | 'small') |
| $k \varepsilon ̌ W^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'tiny (moon)' |  | 'small') |
| pútúm $\rightarrow$ | 'flowery' | pùr ${ }^{n}$ Ó | 'flower' |
| " | 'foggy, hazy' | súdî | 'haze' |
| lèrěW $\rightarrow$ | 'everything' | kéréw | 'everything' |
| $d u ̀-d u ̌ y^{n} \rightarrow$ | 'red' | bár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | 'red' |
| pparently compound |  |  |  |
| késé-kéréy $\rightarrow$ | 'dry' | mǎ: | 'hard, dry' |
| lèrè-gèrěW $\rightarrow$ | 'everything' | kéréw | 'everything' |

d. final reduplication

| ísásâ: | 'well-branched' | jǎnmí- | 'ramify ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wúsúsú | 'long' | gùró | 'long' |
| غ̀rélélé | 'sweet (abstract)' | Érî | 'sweet' |
| dìmámámá | 'stout' | dùgí | 'thick', |
| pàrálálá | 'sour' | párî | 'sour' |
| màr ${ }^{\text {nánáná }}$ | 'solid (no holes)' | (dén | 'hard, sti |
| pèsésésé | 'cold (object)' | támî | 'cold' |
| pòsósósó | 'point of light' | ( $\varepsilon$ s $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'light') |
| pàsásásá | 'point of light' | ( $\varepsilon$ s $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | 'light') |
| dùsúsúsú | 'heavy' | dúsî | 'heavy' |
| bùrúndúndú | 'red' | bár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | 'red' |
| gòmómómó | 'rotten smelling' | ̀̀mbí | 'rotten' |

e. other

| mâ: | 'pouring out' | tí:rí- | 'pour' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tép | 'full' | bá: | 'full' |
| lék | 'sole, only (one)' | tùmâ | 'one' |
| kék | 'completely' | (kéréw | 'everything') |
| kèmìr${ }^{n} \hat{\varepsilon} y^{n}$ | 'tiny (eye) | (èwré | 'small') |
| kédégéy | 'short' | dénî | 'short' |

### 8.4.7.3 'Straight' (dém $\rightarrow$ )

dém $\rightarrow$ is the basic adverb for 'straight' in the sense of a direct trajectory (not the absence of crookedness in e.g. a stick). The $m$ is prolonged intonationally.

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\text { mó:tì } & \text { dém } \rightarrow & \text { ńné-mì-y.: }  \tag{233}\\
\text { Mopti } & \text { straight } & \text { go-Ipfv-1PlSbj } \\
\text { 'We'll go straight }(=\text { directly }) \text { to Mopti.' }
\end{array}
$$

Iterated dém-dém can be used in the sense 'straight ahead' without an NP complement (French tout droit). As usual in iterations, there is no intonational prolongation.

```
dém-dém ńnô
straight go.Imprt
`Go-2Sg straight (ahead)!'
```


### 8.4.7.4 'Apart, separate' $\left(\right.$ dé $^{n} \rightarrow$ )

The adverbial déy ${ }^{n} \rightarrow$ is used in parallelistic constructions of the type ' X is apart, Y is apart' (meaning ' X and Y are separated or distinct'). The $y^{n}$ is intonationally prolonged.

| [pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ | bû:] | déy $^{n} \rightarrow$ | $b-\grave{\varepsilon}$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [sheep $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | Def.AnPl] | apart | be-3Pl, |
| $\left[b \grave{r} r^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ | bû:] | déy $^{n} \rightarrow$ | $b-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |
| [goat $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | Def.AnPl] | apart | be-3Pl |

'The sheep- Pl and the goats are apart (= separated or distinct).'
The iterated form déy ${ }^{n}$-déy ${ }^{n}$ occurs in examples where the parallelistic phrasing is absent (236). There is no intonational prolongation.
[[pèrgé yò] [bérî yò]] déy ${ }^{n}$-déy ${ }^{n}$ kúr$n$ nù-mì-y: [[sheep and] [goat and]] separated put-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'We'll put sheep and goats in separate spots.'

### 8.4.7.5 'Always' (àsú $\rightarrow$ ), 'never' (à:bádá)

The adverbial 'always' is àsú $\rightarrow$ (also found in Ben Tey and Najamba) (237a). It has an obscure resemblance to ùsú 'day; sun'. The usual 'never' or 'not on your life!' particle is the regionally widespread à:bádá (ultimately < Arabic), which occurs in combination with a negated predicate (237b).
a. àsú $\rightarrow$ sígórò [ńné báy] ह́wè-m̀- $\varnothing$
always sugar [3Sg Dat] buy-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I always buy sugar from him (= at his store).'

```
b. à:bádá sígórò [ńné báy] ع́wè-ŋò-y never sugar [3Sg Dat] buy-IpfvNeg-1 SgSbj
```

'I never buy sugar from him (= at his store).'

### 8.4.7.6 'Exclusively, together' (só: ${ }^{\text {n- }- \text { só: }}$ ')

The adverb só: ${ }^{n}$-so: ${ }^{n}$ functions, for example, to indicate that a group is seated together (in a bus or concert). The context suggests both togetherness of the group and the exclusion of others from the zone occupied by the group.

| [kàdàgá | $y \varepsilon$ :] | só: ${ }^{\text {n-só: }}{ }^{\text {n }}$ | ńné-mì-y : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [agemate | $1 \mathrm{SgPoss} . \mathrm{AnPl}]$ | together | go-Ipfv-1P1Sbj |
| 'Only I and my agemates will go.' |  |  |  |

In many cases, 'together' is translated indirectly by a verb-chain ('assemble and work', $\S 15.1 .8$ ), by a PP ('beside each other', §8.2.6), or by a numeral in the subject NP ('[we two] work'). See also the construction with bèndèy (§18.3.2).

### 8.4.7.7 'All, entirely' (kéréw, sóy)

The usual 'all, entirely' adverb is kéréw (239a-c). This is also the most common universal quantifier ('all X'). A less common form with similar sense is sóy (239d). An intensifier for 'all, entirely' is tǎy (239e) or its iteration tǎy-tǎy.
a. kéréw ńné-èr-à
all go-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj
'They all went (away).'
b. sígórò kéréw dìmé-èrè̀- $\varnothing$
sugar all be.finished-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'The sugar is all used up.'
c. [[àrnà-y tà:ndì:] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû:] kéréw ńné-èr-à
[[man-child three] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.Pl] all go-Pfv1a-3PlSbj
'The three boys all went (away).'
d. sígórò sóy dìmé-èrè̀- $\varnothing$
sugar all be.finished-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'The sugar is all used up.'
e. sígórò tǎy dìmé-èrè- $\varnothing$
sugar all.Intens be.finished-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'The sugar is used up (to the last grain).'

The accusative suffix can be added to a noun or NP preceding an 'all' quantifier. This is also the case with numerals; see (176a-c) in §6.7.

Another adverb, lèrěw, is not commonly used with human referents. Its characteristic context is exemplified by e.g. 'they swept the courtyard completely (= thoroughly)'. However, it was accepted by my assistant as an alternative to the 'all, entirely' adverbials in the 'sugar' examples (239b,d-e).

### 8.4.8 Derived iterated adverbials

### 8.4.8.1 Distributive adverbial iteration

Any numeral, or the quantificational interrogative à:ngǎy 'how many?', can be iterated to form a distributive adverb with meanings like 'six at a time', 'six apiece', or 'six by six'. Such phrases can be used, among other things, to specify the price per unit of a commodity for sale.

| a. tùyà-gá | à:ngǎy-à:ngǎy | má |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bunch | how.many?-how.many? | Q |
|  | 'How much per bunch (unit of sale)?' |  |

b. pèrí-yěy pèrí-yěy
ten-two ten-two
'Twenty (riyals, $=100$ francs CFA) each'

### 8.4.8.2 'Scattered, here and there' (kân-kân)

This adverb, also found in Jamsay (and with phonological variations in other nearby Dogon languages) indicates irregular and sparse distribution.

| tǒ: | kân-kân | té:-só- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| seeds | here.and.there | sprout-Pfv2-3SgSbj |
| 'The (planted) seeds have sprouted here and there.' |  |  |

## 9 Verbal derivation

The productive suffixal derivations (stem to stem) for verbs are the reversive ('un-...', 'dis-...') and the causative. There are a fair number of verbs with contrasting mediopassive and transitive (argument-adding) endings. There are two other passive constructions, one of which is morphologically identical to the causative but is attested with only two verbs. Adjectives have corresponding intransitive inchoative and transitive factitive verb forms, but these are not formed by adding suffixes directly to the adjective.

### 9.1 Reversive verbs (-rí-)

The reversive suffix is -rí- (or ATR-harmonized -ré-). It is common in verb pairs like 'cover/uncover' that denote complementary actions, one of which reverses or undoes the other. 'Open' is the reversive of 'shut', and 'remember' is the reversive of 'forget'. The reversive usually keeps the valency of the input verb.

The reversive is sometimes chained with a following intransitive gǒ:- 'exit' or transitive gò-ndó- 'take out, remove', which also helps to clarify the valency. Examples are níndí-rí gǒ-èrè- $\varnothing$ as an alternative to níndí-rí-èrè- $\varnothing$ 'it became untangled', and níndí-rí gò-ndó-tì- $\varnothing$ as an alternative to níndí-rí-tì- $\varnothing$ 'he/she untangled (it)'. The chain construction also makes it unambiguous that a reversive sense is intended (some reversives are homophonous with nonreversive transitives, which have a homophonous suffix -rí-, §9.3.1). For verbs that have no morphological reversive, the chain construction can be used as a periphrastic reversive.

A full inventory of attested reversives is in (242-5). The input must be mono- or bisyllabic, so the reversive is bi- or trisyllabic. Unlike the causative, the reversive usually respects tonal patterns, and restrictions on vowel sequences, that apply to underived trisyllabic verbs. This can be seen most clearly in (242a-b), where merely adding -rí- to the input stem would produce an incorrect vowel sequence like a...a...i. So the outputs shift to acceptable trisyllabic vowel sequences like $a \ldots i \ldots i$. In (242c), the input is already an $i$-final stem, so no observable change is needed in the vocalism when -rí- is added. In (242d), the initial-syllable stem vowel is shortened. In (242e), a reversive of the underlying shape /Cv̀Cv́-rí-/ has undergone Post-Sonorant Syncope, resulting in a $C \check{v} C$-rí- output with <LH>-toned initial syllable. (242f) illustrates monosyllabic inputs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { input gloss } \quad \text { reversive gloss } \tag{242}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. non-high vocalism adjusted to acceptable trisyllabic pattern
dàgá- 'lock (sth)' dàgí-rí- 'unlock'
pégé- 'drive in (nail)' pégí-rí- 'remove (nail)'
kóndó- 'fold (mat)' kóndú-ró- 'unfold (mat)
púndó- 'clump up (rope)' púndú-ró- 'un-clump'
b. like (a) but medial $i$ in reversive is syncopated

c. the underived stem already ends in $i$
gòmí- 'surround' gòní-r $r^{n} 1$ - 'un-surround'
bèsí- 'bury’ bèsí-rí- 'disinter, dig up'
gìsí- 'immobilize’ gìsí-rí- 'allow to move again'
págí- 'tie (up)’ págí-rí- 'untie’
légí- 'insert’ légí-rí- 'remove (inserted item)'
téní- 'hobble (donkey)' téní-rní- 'unhobble (donkey)'
tímbí- 'put lid on’ tímbí-rí- 'take lid off’
nàmbí- 'step on' nàmbí-rí- 'remove foot from'
yદ̀mbí- 'cover (person)' yèmbí-rí- 'uncover (person)' (yèmbí-rí- is also used as synonym of yèmbí-)
pémbí- 'press to wall' pémbí-rí- 'release (sth pressed to wall)'
kéndí- 'roll up (pants)' kéndí-rí- 'unroll (pants)'
mèndí- 'roll up' mèndí-rí- 'unroll'
nómbí- 'sag’ nómbí-rí- 'bounce back'
d. vowel shortened
kó:ndí- 'bend’ kóndí-rí- 'unbend'
e. reversive with $\langle\mathrm{LH}\rangle$. H tone after Post-Sonorant Syncope
dèwí- [děW] 'cover (object)' děw-rí- 'uncover (object)'
f. monosyllabic stems

| pi: ${ }^{n}-$ | 'shut' | pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} 1$ í- | 'open' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jă:- | 'fence in' | jă:- $r i ́-$ | 'un-fence' |
| mǒ:- | 'tie (knot)' | mǒ:-rní- | 'untie (knot)' |
| lá:- | 'braid (rope)' | lá:-rí- | 'unbraid (rope)' |
| tó:- | 'roll turban' | tó:-rí- | 'unroll turban' |

(243) shows suffix allomorph -ré- or -ró- with + ATR mid-height vowel instead of -rí-. These are regular adjustments to the vowel-sequence constraints on trisyllabics (§10.1.3.6). In (243a), the initial syllable has $o$, which induces a matching $o$ rather than $i$ in the third syllable. In (243b), the initial syllable has $i$, which is compatible with either i...i...i or $i \ldots i \ldots e$ trisyllabic sequences, and the latter is required by the input bisyllabic sequence $i \ldots e$.
a. nóngí-yé- 'be caught in tree' nóngú-ró- 'be un-stuck, get free'
b. wìré- 'go into coma' willí-ré- 'come to (= recover)'
disé- 'prop up' disí-ré- 'remove a prop from'
(243a) also illustrates the pattern whereby a mediopassive suffix -yv- is dropped when reversive -rí- is added (there are no quadrisyllabic or longer reversives). More examples of this are in (244).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { níndí-yí- } & \text { 'become tangled' } & \text { níndí-rí- } & \text { 'untangle (sth)' }  \tag{244}\\
\text { pémbí-yí- } & \text { 'put on a wrap' } & \text { pémbí-rí- } & \text { 'take off wrap' }
\end{array}
$$ [pémbí-rí- also transitive 'put a wrap on (sb)']

The phonologically most difficult reversives are those in (245). When -rí- is added to a stem of the shape $(C)_{v r v}$ or $(C)_{v r}{ }^{n} v$-, the expected "regular" (C) $v r v-r v$ - or $(C)_{v r} r^{n} v-r r^{n} v$ - with two rhotics does not occur. Rather, at least one of the rhotics becomes I. In (245a), after syncope, the expected rhotic cluster shifts to 11 . In (245b), there is some ambiguity as to the morphemic composition. In one analysis, reversive -ri- is added to a variant form of the stem with 11 instead of $r$ (a kind of dissimilation to the suffixal rhotic). In the other analysis, the variant stem with $l l$ already contains the reversive morpheme (after Post-Sonorant Syncope), and the final suffix is transitive $-r^{v}-$, which is elsewhere often paired with mediopassive $-y v^{v}-$. In ( 245 c ), because of the initial nasal, there are optional pronunciations with $n$ or $n d$ (via *nn or *nd) instead of 11 . The example in (245d) shows no syncope and shifts the stem's rhotic to 1 while keeping the suffixal rhotic. My assistant struggled with several of these forms in elicitation.


Some synchronically unsegmentable trisyllabic stems ending in -rí may have originated as reversives. Since $-r$ v́- is also a minor transitive (valency-increasing) suffix, we should be careful about identifying such trisyllabics as frozen reversives. One clear case is nángírí- 'remember' (synonym of illí-rí-), which is synchronically isolated but is an exact cognate of Jamsay náná-r"á- 'remember', reversive of Jamsay náyá- 'forget'.

Representative AN paradigms of two trisyllabic reversive verb stems (i.e. from bisyllabic inputs) are in (246). AN paradigms of two bisyllabic reversives, one from a monosyllabic input ('open') and the other a trisyllabic reversive that has undergone Post-Sonorant Syncope ('uncover'), are in (247).

| 'untie' | 'unhook' |
| :--- | :--- |
| págí-rí | kóllí-rí |
| págí-rà | kólló-rò |
| págí-rí-ndà: | kóllí-rí-ndà: |
| págí-rí-tì- | kóllí-rí-tì- |
| pàgì-rà-rí- | kòllò-rò-rí- |
| pà-págí-rá-̀̀ | kò-kólló-ró-ı̀ |
| págí-rà-lò:- | kólló-rò-nò:- |


|  | 'open' | 'uncover (object)' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bare stem | pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n}{ }^{\prime}$ | $d \varepsilon ̌ W-r i ́ ~$ |
| imperative | pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $d \check{E ̌ W-r a ̂ ~}$ |
| prohibitive | pí: ${ }^{\text {rílíndà: }}$ | děW-rí-ndà: |
| perfective-1b | pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} 1{ }^{1}-t i ̀$ | $d \check{\varepsilon} W-r i ́ t i ̀ ̀ ~$ |
| perfective negative |  | $d e ̀ w-r e ̀-r i ́-~$ |
| imperfective | pì-pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} \varepsilon$ - 1 ¢ | $d \varepsilon$-déw-ré-ŋ̀ |
| imperfective negative | pí: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\eta \mathfrak{o}:-$ | déw-rè-クう̀:- |

For the suffix sequence reversive-mediopassive, see the end of §9.3.1.

### 9.2 Deverbal causative verbs

### 9.2.1 Productive causative with suffix -mí-

The productive causative suffix added to verb inputs is -mí-. It preserves the /H/ or /LH/ melody of the input (248), and more generally it has little phonological interaction with the stem.
(248) Causatives with -mí- (input verb ends in non-high vowel)
input gloss causative gloss
a. $\{\mathrm{H}\}$-toned
kóyó 'weep' kóyó-mí- 'make weep'
kúwó- 'eat (meat)' kúwó-mí- 'give meat to'
ńné- 'go’ ńné-mí- 'allow to go' (with $\varepsilon$ )
tómbó- 'jump' tómbó-mí- 'make jump'
kó:- 'eat (meal) kó:-mí- 'feed, nourish'
$s_{i ́ r}{ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\quad$ 'be full (sated)' sír$^{n} \mathcal{\varepsilon}$-mí- 'make full (sated)'
éw-yé- 'sit down' éw-yé-mí- 'make sit'
péré- 'jump off’ péré-mí- 'make jump off’
píríyé- 'be inflated' píríyé-mí- 'inflate'
b. $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$-toned
jùgó- 'know' jùgó-mí- 'in form'
c. monosyllabic $C$ v̌:- verbs

| dǒ:- | 'arrive' | dǒ:-mí- | 'cause to arrive' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nŏ:- | 'drink' | nǒ:-mí- | 'give drink to' |

As the examples in (248) show, -mí- does not force changes in the vowel qualities of the preceding stem, provided that the stem ends in a non-high vowel (i.e. in anything but $i$ ). In other words, although most causatives are trisyllabic or longer, they are not subject to the constraints on vowel sequences that apply to underived trisyllabics and longer stems.

However, if the input stem ends in $i$, this vowel must be changed before -mí-, as shown in (249). If there is a preceding non-high vowel, which in practice is almost always from the set
$\{a \varepsilon \supset\}$, this vowel is copied on the final vowel of the input stem before -mí- (249a). If the stem has no non-high vowel, the default for the stem-final vowel is $\rho$ after $u$, and $\varepsilon$ after $i$ (249b). I have also recorded e (as an optional variant of $\varepsilon$ ) after initial-syllable $i$ under the influence of a preceding nasal (249c).

Causatives with -mí- (input verb ends in $i$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { input gloss } \quad \text { causative } \quad \text { gloss } \tag{249}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. input has preceding non-high vowel $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \varepsilon \\ \rho\end{array}\right\}$
bă:rí- 'help' bǎ:rá-mí-
dèwí- 'cover' dèwé-mí-
só:rí- 'creak' só:ró-mí-

> 'make help'
> 'make cover'
> 'make creak'
b. input has preceding $\left\{\begin{array}{l}u \\ i\end{array}\right\}$ only
núy ${ }^{n} 1$ í- 'enter’ núy ${ }^{n}$ ó-mí-
pú:rí- 'frisk' pú:ró-mí-
tímbí- 'cover’ tímbé-mí-
píy ${ }^{n} 1 ́-\quad$ 'shut' píy ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-m i ́-$
jìnjí- 'make noise' jìnje-mí-
'make enter'
'make frisk'
'make cover'
'make shut'
'cause to make noise'
c. input has preceding $i$ only, nasal allows variant $e$
tíní- 'speak' tíné-mí- ~ tíךé-mí- 'make speak'
jì̀í- 'ride double' jìné-mí- ~ jì̀é-mí- 'have (them) ride double'
d. after mediopassive -yí-
tágí-yí- 'put on shoes’ tágí-yé-mí- 'put shoes on (sb)'
The causative stem has a distinctive conjugation (250). The vocalism of the suffix combinations is independent of that of the preceding input stem, with no ATR harmony. For example, kóyó-mí- 'cause to weep' from a +ATR stem has the same suffixal forms as núyñ́-mí- ‘cause to go in’ from a -ATR stem (250), e.g. imperative kóyó-mò. However, no other element (such as a preverbal subject pronoun in a nonsubject relative) may intervene between the stem and the causative suffix, so we cannot analyse the latter as being a chained auxiliary verb.

> Paradigm of causative ('cause to go in')
a. nonfinite

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { núy}{ }^{n} \text { ó-mí } & \text { bare stem } \\
\text { núy}{ }^{n} \text { כ́-mí-ndé } & \text { verbal noun }
\end{array}
$$

b. perfective system
nùy ${ }^{n} \grave{j}-m i ̀-$
simple perfective
núy ${ }^{n}$ 万́-m-tì- perfective-1b
núynó-m-só- perfective-2
núy ${ }^{n}$ 乞́-m-jè- recent perfect
núy ${ }^{n}$ ó-mí tá:-só- experiential perfect
nù $y^{n} \grave{j}-m \grave{\varepsilon}-r^{n} 1$ 1́- $\quad$ perfective negative
c. imperfective system nù-núy ${ }^{n}$ כ́-mé-ŋ̀ nù-núy ${ }^{n}$ ó-mê:-sònúy ${ }^{n}$ ó-m-ŋว̀:-
reduplicated imperfective
reduplicated progressive
imperfective negative
d. deontic

| núy ${ }^{n}$ 勺́-mò | imperative |
| :---: | :---: |
| núy ${ }^{\text {nó-m-ndà: }}$ | prohibitive |
| núy ${ }^{n}$ 亿-mé-mà ${ }^{n}$ | hortative |

The causative suffix can be followed by passive -yદ́, as in sàmbà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ nó:-mí-yé 'poisoned spear', which is based on nǒ:-mí- 'cause to drink'. It cannot be followed by mediopassive -yí-, transitive -rí-, or reversive -rí-.

Conversely, the causative suffix may follow mediopassive or inchoative -yí, transitive -rí-, or reversive -rí-. The only combination of any frequency is with inchoative -yí-, as in $\wp g i ́-y \varepsilon ́-m i ́-~ ' h e a t ~(s t h) ' ~ f r o m ~ o ́ g i ́-y i ́-~ ' b e c o m e ~ h o t ' . ~ C a u s a t i v e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ o t h e r ~ s u f f i x a l ~$ derivations are elicitable but uncommon, probably for semantic reasons. Transitive -rí- is a productive causative-like counterpart to mediopassive -yí-, making a -mí- causative generally superfluous.

Most -mí- causatives are formed from intransitive inputs, but some have transitive inputs, as already suggested by several examples in (248-9) above. In the textual example (251), the input is transitive dùrńn-' 'look for, seek'.

| [dógô | o. $^{\text {L }}$ | yû: | wárà-mì | sǎy] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [Dogon | place $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | millet | do.farming-Ppl.Ipfv | only] |

dùr ${ }^{n}$ ó-mé-ŋ̀
look.for-Caus-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'(That) would make (them) look just for (fields) where Dogon were farming millet.' (2004.01.10)

In causatives of transitive inputs, both the lower subject and the lower object are treated as direct objects of the causativized transitive verb, see $\S 11.1 .2$.

### 9.2.2 Minor causative suffixes (-gí~-ŋí-, -ndé-)

For transitive -rí-, which functions as a causative in some but not all cases, see §9.2.3, below.
A suffix -gí $\sim-\eta i-$ occurs with a few stems, mainly in causative function. All clear examples known to me are in (252). The variant - $\eta$ í- occurs after nasal syllables. As with causative -mí-, adding -gí~ - $\eta i-$ does not alter the vocalism of the preceding stem (there is no conversion to canonical trisyllabic vowel-sequence patterns).
(252) -gí~ - $\eta i-$ in causative and other functions
a. causative

\[

\]

```
    wùró- '(sb) wake up' wùró-gí- 'wake (sb) up'
    párá- '(sth) snap' párá-gí- 'snap (sth)'
-\etaí- after nasal syllable
    kúmó- '(bone) break)' kúmó-\etaí- 'break (sth long)'
    jàmá- 'malfunction' nàmá-\etaí- 'damage, waste'
    b. transitivizing (causative-like)
    \varepsilońmbí- 'be narrow, tight' émbí-gí- 'hold (sth) in armpit (by squeezing)'
c. intensive
    kárá- 'incise' kárá-gí- 'rip, tear'
```

A suffix -nde- is attested in the archaic and irregular sí:-ndé- 'take/bring down', from intransitive sígé- 'go down'. It resembles a minor factitive suffix -ndí- that is attested with two adjectival verbs (bă:-ndí- ‘fill, make full' and $\varepsilon$ e:-ndí- ‘tighten'), see §9.5, below.

### 9.3 Passive and transitive

### 9.3.1 Mediopassive -yí- and transitive -rí-

Alternations of mediopassive (MP) -yí- and transitive ( Tr ) -rí- occur chiefly with verbs of stance (253a), clothing (253b), and holding (253c). The examples given are representative rather than exhaustive. The $y$ or $r$ is subject to Nasalization-Spreading, and the $i$ vowel may shift to e, under conditions described below.

For the stance verbs, the mediopassive denotes taking a position (change of state), while the form with transitive $-r^{\prime}-$ is causative. For the verbs of clothing and holding, the mediopassive is syntactically transitive but denotes an action that results in the agent being in a state (of wearing or holding something). In this case, the form with transitive -rí- denotes the act of placing an object in such a way that another person wears or holds it.

MP gloss stem gloss
a. stance

| bìyé- | 'lie down' | bǐ:-ré- | 'have (sb) lie down, put to sleep' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| éw-yé- | 'sit down' | éw-ré- | 'have (sb) sit, seat' |
| í:-yíl | 'stand up, stop' | í:-rí- | 'stop (sth)' |
| túpíly ${ }^{n} 1$ í | 'kneel' | túpí-r ${ }^{\text {nj}}$ | 'cause (sb) to kneel' |

b. wearing clothes
tágí-yí- 'put one's shoes on’ tágí-rí- 'put shoes on (sb)'
dòmbí-yé- 'roll on one's turban' dòmbó-ró- 'put turban on (sb)'
ków-yé- 'put one's hat on' ków-ró- 'put hat on (sb)'
págí-yí- 'tie one's belt on’ págí-rí- 'tie belt on (sb)'
(cf. págí- 'tie')

| c. carrying/holding |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bàmbí-yí- | 'carry on back' | bàmbí-rí- | 'load (sth) on (sb's) back' |
| dŭ:-yí- | 'carry on head' | dǔ:-rí- <br> [see also | 'load (sth) on (sb's) head' §9.6] |
| kómbí-yí- | 'cling to (sth)' | kómbí-rí- | 'cause (sb) to cling to (sth)' |
| d. other |  |  |  |
| nî: dìyé- | '(sb) bathe' | nî: dǐ:-ré- | 'bathe (sb)' |
| (with nî: 'water') |  |  |  |
| ńdé- | 'go up' | ńdírílí | 'take (sth) up' |
| káwá- | 'be separated' | káw-rí- | 'separate (them)' |
| témbí-yí- | 'become wet' | témbí-rí- | 'make (sth) wet' |
| ságí-yí- | '(sth) grow, expand' | ságír-rí- | 'expand (sth)' |
| dàwí-yí- | '(sb) hide' | dǎw-rí- | 'hide (sth)' |
| (</dàwí-rí-/) |  |  |  |
| tíyé- | '(sth) be spilled' | tí:-ríl | 'spill (sth)' |

Several mediopassives in the left column of (253) are transcribed with final í-yí- or 1 í-yní. These sequences monophthongize to [i:] (§3.7.5.2). Monophthongization is pre-empted by final vowel ablaut before most inflectional suffixes, as in imperfective àgí-yè-mì-y. $\therefore$ 'we'll keep' in (144) in §6.3.2.

The $e$ in the variants -yé- and -ré- seen in some of these pairs is due to the +ATR vocalism of the stems, which contain $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}o & e\end{array}\right\}$ and therefore trigger adjustments that reflect constraints on vowel sequences in +ATR trisyllabic stems. Likewise, the suffix-initial sonorant is subject to regular Nasalization-Spreading (§3.5.1.1), as in púnílyníl' 'be bruised’ and túyí- $r^{n} 1$-' 'cause to kneel'.

Segmentability of mediopassive -yí- is difficult in the case of bìyé- 'lie down' (253a), and in those of dìyé- 'bathe' and tíyé- 'be spilled' (253d), because of their initial short-voweled syllables. The corresponding transitives have a phonetic long [i:] that could easily be (re-)interpreted by native speakers as reflecting /iy/ syncopated from /iyi/, i.e. bǐy-rí- and dǐy-rí-. In this interpretation, -rí- is still segmentable as a transitive suffix allomorph, but the stems can be taken as unsegmentable bìyé- and dìyé-. I therefore transcribe these stems without hyphens. A similarly problematic case is dǔ:-yí- 'carry on head' (253c), but here the transitive is dǔ:-rí-.

In some cases, the transitive form shown competes with a causative with suffix -mí- added to the mediopassive form, e.g. éw-yé-mí- 'cause to sit'. This shows that the -yí/-rí- alternation is not quite as productive in Nanga as in Najamba, for example.

Of the two verbs with the general sense 'put on clothes, get dressed', one has the expected alternation: dúní dùní-yní- 'get dressed' versus dúyí dùní-rníl 'dress (someone)' (shown with cognate nominal dúpí). The alternative for 'get dressed' is yùrí kúrníl-yníl (with yùrí 'fabric, garment'), but the expected transitive \#kúr ${ }^{n} 1$ írníl does not occur. Instead, the actual form is just yùrí kúr ${ }^{n} 1 ́-\quad$ 'dress (someone)'. This suggests that transitive -ríl is not readily added to stems with a rhotic in the final syllable. Compare (245) above, which shows how reversive -rí- deals with rhotic-final input stems.

Mediopassive -yí- is readily added to a reversive suffix, resulting in double derivation (254). The simple reversive in these cases is usually transitive ('tie', 'hook'), so the mediopassive is useful as a nonagentive intransitive ('become untied', 'become unhooked'). The sequences transcribed -rí-yí- and rí́-yí- are phonetically monophthongized (§3.7.5.2) to [rii] and [ $\left.\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} i\right]$.

> reversive gloss mediopassive
a. $C v:-r\left({ }^{n}\right) i-$ reversive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pí:-r } r^{n} 1-\quad \text { 'open (sth) } \quad \text { pí:-rn } r^{n} 1-y^{n} 1- \\
& \text { mǒ:-r } r^{n} 1 \text { - 'undo (knot) } \quad \text { mǒ:-r } r^{n} 1-y^{n i ́-}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. trisyllabic reversive
kóndú-ró- 'unfold’ kóndi-rí-yí-
dàgí-rí- 'unlock’ dàgí-rí-yí-kól-lí-rí- 'unhook’ kól-lí-rí-yí-
-yí- cannot follow causative -mí- (which instead allows passive -yé). Conversely, the causative suffix readily follows and has scope over the mediopassive, as in 1 í-y $\varepsilon$-mí- 'cause to stand' from í:-yí- 'stand', among other examples. The combination -yź-mí- is especially common in deadjectival factitives (§9.5).

### 9.3.2 Passive suffix -y $\varepsilon$

A construction with passive -y $\varepsilon$ is an unusual feature, perhaps unique to Nanga. It allows, but does not require, an implicit but unexpressed agent. The passive was readily elicited from a range of transitive inputs (255).

The preceding stem shifts to $\{\mathrm{H}\}$-tone. The change is audible with inputs that have $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ melody (255b). The suffix itself is not affected by phonological features of the stem. There is no Nasalization-Spreading, and no harmonizing of vowels.
input verb gloss passive gloss
a. input /H/-toned

| kár ${ }^{\text {njó }}$ | 'do' | kár ${ }^{\text {níl-yé }}$ | 'be done' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tíní- | 'speak' | típ-yé | 'be said' |
| tóní- | 'write' | tón-yé | 'be written' |
| káwrá- | 'disperse' | káwrí-yé | 'be dispersed' |
| kémé- | 'build' | kémé-yé | 'be build' |

b. input /LH/-toned
yǐ:- 'see' yí:-yé 'be seen'
gùró- 'rob' gúró-yé 'be robbed/stolen'
gòndó- 'take out' góndó-yé 'be taken out'
nǒ:- 'drink’ nó:-yé '(liquid) be drunk’

The passive is adjectival in form; more specifically, it has developed out of a participle (as shown below). It can still function as a modifying adjective, either with a semantically light stem filling the noun slot (256a), or with a more typical common noun (256b). In (256c), the passive adjective is tone-dropped under the control of the following demonstrative.
a. $\left[k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ gúró-yย́ $]$ ह́Wย́- $\eta o ̀-y^{n}$ [thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ steal-Pass] buy-IpfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I don't/won't buy anything stolen.'
b. [mòbìlì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gúró-y $\varepsilon$ ] ह́w $\varepsilon$ - $ŋ o ̀-y^{n}$
[vehicle ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ steal-Pass] buy-IpfvNeg-1 SgSbj
'I don't/won't buy a stolen vehicle.'
c. $g \grave{r} r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ̀ y o ̀-y \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad y^{\prime}$
gear $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ break-Pass $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.InanPl
'the broken housewares' (2004.02.03)
The passive adjective is, however, often predicative, in which case it is followed by the appropriate conjugated form of the 'it is' enclitic (§11.2.1). For example, ìgú gúró-ý́= ${ }_{W}$ 'this is/was stolen' can be said while pointing to an object of dubious provenance found in a thieves' den. It can be negated with the conjugated 'it is not' clitic =ndǒ:, as in ŋ̀gú gúró-yé-ẃ = ndǒ: 'this is/was not stolen'.

The third plural forms end in $-y \varepsilon ́=\varnothing$ instead of expected $\#-y \varepsilon ́=y \varepsilon ́$.
Some further examples are in (257); see also §17.2.2.2.
a. kár ${ }^{n i}-y \varepsilon ́={ }_{W}$
do-Pass=it.is.InanSgSbj
'It is (= has already been) done.'
b. tón-yé= $\varnothing$
write-Pass=it.is.InanPlS
'They (e.g. books) are (= have already) been written.'
c. gùrí gúró-yé= mí-y
robbery rob-Pass $=$ it.is- 1 SgSbj
'I have been robbed.'

That we are dealing with a participle, and therefore with a kind of relative construction, is suggested by the fact that the subject, when overtly expressed as a nonpronominal NP, undergoes tone-dropping. This is consistent with the tone-dropping on relative head NPs. Examples are in (258).
a. $p \varepsilon ̀ r g \grave{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ śm $\dot{\varepsilon}-y \varepsilon ́=\eta ́$
sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ slaughter-Pass $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'A/the sheep-Sg is (= has already been) slaughtered.' (pèrgé)
b. àr $r^{n a ̀ ~ g u ̀ r i ́ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gúró-yé=ŋ́
$\boldsymbol{m a n}^{\mathrm{L}}$ robbery rob-Pass=be. 3 SgSbj
'A/the man has been robbed.' (árnâ )
c. tò $\grave{\grave{c}^{\mathrm{L}}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tón-yé $=W^{W}$
writing $^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ write-Pass $=$ be.InanSbj
'A/the book is (= has already been) written.' (tòy)

However, the passive-predicate construction differs from the true relative construction in that the subject NP may end in a determiner (259a-b). In relatives, determiners follow the participle. No tone-dropping of the subject NP (other than regular NP-internal tone-dropping of a noun before a definite or demonstrative determiner) occurs in this combination. Even an N -Num combination may escape tone-dropping (259c).
a. [pèrgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû:] sémé-y $\varepsilon=\varnothing$
[sheep ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl] slaughter-Pass=it.is.3PlSbj
'The sheep- Pl are (= have already been) slaughtered.'
b. [àr $n^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Wǒ-ŋ] gùrí gúró-yé= ́́
[man ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Dem-AnSg] robbery rob-Pass=it.is.3SgSbj
'This man has (already) been robbed.'
c. [ár $n$ â gá:rè] gùrí gúrró-yé= $\varnothing$
[man eight] robbery rob-Pass=it.is. 3 PlSbj
'Eight men have been robbed.'

Negative predicative forms of the passive can be formed by adding an inflected form of the negative 'it is not' clitic =ndǒ: (§11.2.1.2) to the passive suffix and the 'it is' clitic (260).

```
tónó- \(-\frac{1}{}=\) ẃ \(=\) ndǒ:- \(\varnothing\)
write-Pass=it.is.InanSgSbj=it.is.not- 3 SgSbj
    'It has not been written.'
```

Alternatively, $-y \varepsilon \in=$ plus the inflected form of the (positive) 'it is' clitic can be added to the perfective negative verb form with suffix -rí- (261). In the case of 3 Pl subject, both inanimate and animate, the 3 Pl perfective negative -ndú- is the basis for the form, which is therefore doubly conjugated. -ndú- is pronounced -ndí- before $-y \varepsilon ́=$. This construction could be parsed as addition of the 'it is' enclitic to a perfective negative participle (§14.1.7.3).
a. tò $\grave{\partial}-r^{n} 1$ í-y $\varepsilon=W^{\prime}$
write-PfvNeg-Pass=it.is.InanSbj
'It has not been written.'
b. gùrò-rí-y $\varepsilon=m i ́-y$
rob-PfvNeg-Pass=it.is- 1 SgSbj
'I have not been robbed.'
c. gùrò-ndí-yé= $\varnothing$
rob-PfvNeg.3P1Sbj-Pass=it.is.3PlSbj
'They have not been robbed.'
d. tòŋว̀-ndí-yé= $\varnothing$
write-PfvNeg.3P1Sbj-Pass=it.is.3P1Sbj
'They have not been written.'

Both positive and negative predicative forms of the passive may combine with past clitic $=b \varepsilon$-. For examples, see $\S 10.5 .1 .4$.

Participle-like passive $-y \varepsilon ́$ is distinct from inflectable verbal suffix -yé- 'be possible' (§17.5.2.1), but a historical connection cannot be ruled out.

### 9.3.3 Minor passive suffix -mí-

One unproductive passive construction is a morphological mimic of the causative, using the same suffix -mí-. The two known examples are in (262). The best gloss is of the type 'be Vb able', referring to the presence or distribution of the referent rather than to its inherent qualities.
(262) a. témbé- 'encounter' témbé-mí- 'be found (often), findable'
b. bèré- 'obtain' bèré-mí- 'be gotten (often), available'

Examples: reduplicated imperfective tè-témbé-mé- $\eta$ ' 'it is found (= possible to find, available)', imperfective negative bèré-m- $ŋ \grave{\jmath}:-\varnothing$ 'it isn't available, it can't be gotten'.

No such form was elicitable for 'be seen' or 'be heard'.

### 9.4 Ambi-valent verbs without suffixal derivation

Ambi-valent verbs, i.e. those used both transitively and intransitively, are not typical of Nanga. Intransitive/transitive pairs are normally expressed morphologically, by such suffixes as causative, transitive, and mediopassive. Many verbs (e.g. 'eat', 'sing') that are typologically low on transitivity (i.e. the object is obvious from the meaning of the verb, or is otherwise uninformative), have high-frequency cognate-nominal or other default nominal objects in Dogon languages ('eat a meal', 'sing a song'), see §11.1.5.1.

### 9.5 Deadjectival inchoative and factitive verbs

In the tables in this section, the adjective is first given in its usual modifying form. It should be recalled, though, that many nonmonosyllabic adjectives with final $i$ in this form also have a predicative form with final $u$. The adjective is followed by the inchoative verb ('[sth] become ADJ') and the factitive verb ('make [sth] ADJ'). The factitive is the causative of the inchoative, and usually ends in causative suffix -mí- (there are also a few cases with -ndí-). The inchoative is therefore the lexically basic verb.

In the first set of examples, the inchoative verb has no segmentable derivational suffix. The inchoative verb and the adjective are independent members of the same word-family, rather than one being directly derived from the other. The phonological form of the verb respects the usual constraints on verb-stem shapes regarding tone and vocalism. Therefore the verb has $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ melody if it begins with a voiced obstruent, $/ \mathrm{H} /$ melody if it begins with a voiceless obstruent, and otherwise there is a lexical choice (§3.7.1.2). These constraints do not apply to the adjective.
gloss adj inchoative factitive
a. factitive -ndí-

| 'full' | bá: | bǎ:- | bǎ:-ndí- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'tight (screw)' | と̌: | ह́:- | $\varepsilon ́:-n d i ́-~$ |

b. factitive with causative -mí-, inchoative ends in non-high vowel

| 'tight (rope)' | ह̌: | é:- | ह́:-mí- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'old' | pě: | pé:- | pé:-mí- |
| 'dry, hardened' | mǎ: | mǎ:- | mǎ:-mí- |
| 'ripe (crop); fresh' | íré | íré- | íré-mí- |
| 'half-ripe' | àmá | ámá- | ámá-mí- |
| 'plump' | àmí | ámá- | ámá-mí- |
| 'red' | bární | bàrná- | bàrná-mí- |
| 'empty, bare' | kóró | kóró- | kóró-mí- |
| 'weak, diluted' | sèré | séré- | séré-mí- |
| 'ripe (fruit)' | bòró | bòró- | bòró-mí- |
| 'black' | jémí | jèmé- | jèmé-mí- |

c. factitive with causative -mí-, inchoative ends in $i$

| 'curved' | gòndí | gòndí- | gòndó-mí |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'narrow' | èmbí | émbí- | ย́mbé-mí- |
| 'tilted' | jèní | jèní- | jèné-mí- |

In (263c), the final $i$ of the inchoative is replaced, before the causative suffix, by a non-high vowel copied from the initial syllable.

In the remaining forms, the inchoative verb has a derivational suffix. One could therefore argue that the inchoative is formed by adding an inchoative suffix directly to the adjective. To make this work, the adjectival stem would have to be reshaped to fit the constraints on the phonolological form of verbs, over and above simple addition of the derivational suffix.

In (264), the inchoative suffix is -yí-, with harmonized variant -yé- after verbs with + ATR $\{e o\}$ vowel. This suffix requires the preceding bisyllabic stem to end in $i$. The -yí- variant shifts to -ý́- before the causative suffix, while +ATR -yé- does not shift. The $y$ is regularly nasalized to $y^{n}$ after a nasal syllable, audibly in factitives like ná: $r^{n} i ́-y^{n} \varepsilon$ emí-, but the sequence $/ 1 ́-y\left({ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{i} /$ in inchoatives is heard as [i:] due to Monophthongization (§3.5.7.2).
(264) Inchoative -yí-/-yé-

| gloss | adj | inchoative | factitive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. $C v: C(C) v-$ |  |  |  |
| 'thin' | ké:mbé | ké:mbí-yí- | ké:mbí-yé-mí- |
| 'skinny' | kó:mbó | kó:mbí-yé- | kó:mbí-yé-mí- |
| 'young, adolescent' | sǒ:rô | só:rí-yé- | só:rí-yé-mí- |
| 'easy, cheap' | nà: $\mathrm{r}^{n} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | ná: $r^{n} 1$ í- ${ }^{n} 1$ í- | ná: $\mathrm{T}^{n} 1$ Í- ${ }^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{mí}-$ |
| 'unripe, raw' | kè:sí | ké:sí- yé- | ké:sí-yé-mí- |

b. temperature adjectives

| 'cold’ | támî | támí-yní- | támí-yñ́-mí- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'hot' | ógî | ógí-yí- | ógí-yé-mí- |

The most common and productive inchoative suffix, however, is -ndíyé-. The e vowel is stable, occurring with any stem vocalism. The comments at the beginning of each group of examples in (265) suggest how one might derive the vocalism of the inchoative verb from that of the modifying adjective. This is unnecessary (and unwarranted) if one decides that the adjective and the inchoative are independent members of their word-families.

The tone pattern of the inchoative can again be predicted if the stem begins with an obstruent. Inchoatives beginning in a voiceless obstruent (stop or fricative) have $/ \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{melody}$, while those beginning in a voiced obstruent have /LH/. The eight or so vowel-initial inchoatives also have /H/ melody. General constraints on verb-stem melodies do allow /LH/ for V-initial stems (cf. ìré 'forget'), but /H/ is considerably more common.

This leaves sonorant-initial stems, which in principle allow either tone melody in verbs, and might therefore clarify whether the adjective and the inchoative share a lexical melody. Here I find two cases of / $\mathrm{LH} /$ in both the adjective and the inchoative (wàgá 'distant', mòsí 'bad/ugly'), one case with $/ \mathrm{HL} /$ in the adjective and $/ \mathrm{H} /$ in the verb (már ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ 'hard'), one case with / $\mathrm{HL} /$ in the adjective and /LH/ in the verb (yágî̀ 'coarse'); and one with /LHL/ adjective and /H/ verb (nòmî 'difficult/costly; this adjective has a predicative form nòmú with /LH/ melody). No clear pattern emerges.
(265) Inchoative -ndíyé-
a. stem ends in $\{\varepsilon \rho a\}$, vocalism stable
'distant' wàgá wàgá-ndíyé- wàgá-ndíyé-mí-
'fat; numerous' òwó ówó-ndíyé- ówó-ndíyé-mí-
'small, young' غ̀Wré ÉWré-ndíyé- Éwré-ndíyé-mí-
'long, tall' gùró gùró-ndíyé- gùró-ndíyé-mí-
b. $C v C$ stem with non-high vowel extended to $C v C v$ - by copying vowel 'spacious' gâW gàwá-ndíyé- gàwá-ndíyé-mí'short' dên dèクé-ndíyé- dèךé-ndíyé-mí-
c. stem has $u \ldots i$ (but predicative $u \ldots u$ ) becoming $u \ldots u$, stem $C v C v$ 'big, fat' dùgí dùgú-ndíyé- dùgú-ndíyé-mí'heavy' dúsî dùsú-ndíyé- dùsú-ndíyé-mí'soft (skin)' búrî bùrú-ndíyé- bùrú-ndíyé-mí-
d. stem has $u \ldots i$ becoming $u \ldots e$, stem $C v C C v$
'coarse' kùnjí kúnjé-ndíyé- kúnjé-ndíyé-mí-
e. stem has i...i, vocalism stable
'white’ pírí pírí-ndíyé- pírí-ndíyé-mí-
f. Ci: stem extended to Ciye-
'pointed’ sî: síyé-ndíyé- síyé-ndíyé-mí-


There are also two cases known to me of inchoative -rí-. The adjective yágî 'coarse' has been given above with its inchoative yàgá-ndíyé- 'become coarse', but there is also a semantically specialized verb yàgí-rí- '(skin) be itchy'. The adjective ógî is also given above, in the sense 'hot', with inchoative ógí-yí-, and in the senses 'hot/fast', with inchoative ógó-ndíyé-. However, for 'become fast(er)' (i.e. 'speed up'), there is another verb, ógí-rí-.

Examples of adjectives (or adjective-like compound finals) that do not have an associated inchoative verb are in (266). For 'other' the problem is logical ('become other' makes little sense except in a postmodern context). 'Become new' is not much better, in the absence of cosmetic surgery and revitalizing skincare products. The other terms in (266) are noun-like.

| gloss | adjective | inchoative/factitive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'other' | bèndí | - |
| 'new' | kándà | - |
| 'young adult' | sátárá | - |
| 'living' | úmá | - |
| 'runty' | kèdé | - |

### 9.6 Denominal verbs

There is no productive denominal verbalization. Some cases of verb-noun relationships where the noun is arguably lexically basic are listed with subheading comments in (267). As usual the verb is subject to phonological constraints on its tone melody while the noun is not.
noun gloss verb gloss
a. verb has transitive -rí-

| dû: | 'load' | dǔ:-rí- | 'load (e.g. cart)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tìgâ | 'family name' tígí-rí- | '(griot) chant the ancestry of (sb), |  |
| nè $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | 'sauce' | néngí-ré- | 'cook (sauce)' |

b. verb has -gíyé-
úndì 'forest' úndú-gíyé- '(zone) become dense (e.g.after rains)'
c. verb has mediopassive -yí-
kùrí '(a) share' kérí-yé- 'share, divide up'
lìsìgìr ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon} \quad$ 'filth’ lísígír ${ }^{n} 1$ í- $y^{n} 1$ í- $\quad$ 'become dirty, soiled'
noun resembles stative ùwá 'fear' ú:-yí- 'be afraid' (stative ú-१ùwà-)
ìyâ 'position' í:-yí- 'stand, be in a position' (stative í-Pìyà-)
d. verb has causative -mí-
pǒ: (greeting) pó:-mí- 'greet'
lìsìgìr ${ }^{n}$ '́ 'filth’ lísígír $n=$ ह́-mí- 'soil, make dirty'
e. verb has no suffix (Fulfulde borrowings)
bármà 'injury’ bármí- 'injure, wound (someone)'
f. noun is reduplicated
kà-kàrí 'lie, untruth’ kárá- 'lie, tell a lie'

The noun and verb co-occur in collocations in some cases, notably 'chant the ancestry', 'cook the sauce', and 'tell a lie'. Syntactically, the nouns in these cases function as cognate nominals (§11.1.5).
dǔ:-rí- 'load (cart)' in (267a) is alternatively derivable from mediopassive dǔ:-yí- 'carry (on head)', see $\S 9.3 .1$. This is probably correct historically, but the synchronic situation is more ambiguous.

### 9.7 Obscure verb-verb relationships

ná:-mí- in (268) looks like a causative but has a noncausative sense. For time-of-day greetings, see §19.7.1.

```
verb gloss related verb gloss
    ná:- 'spend night' ná:-mí- 'greet in the morning'
```


## 10 Verbal inflection

### 10.1 Inflection of regular indicative verbs

Inflected indicative verbs are normally followed by an aspect-negation (AN) suffix, then an optional past clitic (or suffix), then a pronominal-subject suffix (including 3Sg zero). Verbs can be lexically $i$-final or non- $i$-final. In either case, they undergo final-vowel ablaut in some inflections.

Tense as such is not marked in the basic AN system, but a conjugatable past clitic may be added to several AN suffixes.

The modal categories (imperative, etc.) have distinctive morphology but are also suffixal, except that the singular imperative is marked only by tonal and vocalic changes.

### 10.1.1 Suffixes versus chained auxiliary verbs

As in other Dogon languages, in those categories where a nonzero AN morpheme follows the regular bare stem of the verb (with its regular tones), there is an issue as to whether the AN morpheme is a suffix or a chained auxiliary verb. Experiential perfect tá:- is unmistakably an auxiliary verb, since it has its own perfective-2 suffix -só- in main clauses. Recent perfect $j \grave{\varepsilon}$ - lacks such an inflectional suffix in main clauses, but both tá:- and $j \grave{\varepsilon}$ - are followed in relative clauses by perfective participial $-s \grave{\varepsilon}$, and both can be followed by perfective negative -rí-.

An important test for suffix (Vb-Y) versus auxiliary-verb (Vb Y) status is whether, in nonsubject relatives, a preverbal pronominal-subject proclitic (§14.1.6) precedes the main verb or intervenes between it and Y. Such pronominals do intervene between directly chained verbs (§14.1.8). The fact that they can likewise intervene between the main verb stem and the experiential perfect participle ( $t a ́:-s \grave{\varepsilon}$ ) and the recent perfect participle ( $j \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}$ ), see $\S 14.1 .7 .1$, supports the view that these latter are auxiliary verbs that combine with the main verb in direct chains.

Tone-dropping effects are also relevant. Determiners ('the', 'this', 'that') control tonedropping on preceding words within the NP (including relative clause). In the relative clause constructions, if a determiner is added the outputs are $V b$ [tà:-sغ̀ $]^{\mathrm{L}} D E T$ (experiential perfect) and $V b[j \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}]^{\mathrm{L}} D E T$ (recent perfect). Here determiner-controlled tone-dropping affects only the auxiliary verbs (audibly in $[t a ̀:-s \grave{\varepsilon}]^{\mathrm{L}}$, inaudibly in $[j \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}]^{\mathrm{L}}$ ), and does not affect the preceding verb; see §14.1.7.1.

Based on these considerations, I transcribe (and analyse) tá:-só- and jì- as separate words. However, there is one piece of countervailing evidence suggesting that tá:- is suffixal, or at least that it and the preceding verb constitute a word-like entity (a compound?). This is the fact that tone-dropping controlled by perfective negative -rí- extends to the main verb preceding the experiential perfect morpheme, resulting in $V b^{\mathrm{L}}$ tà:-rí-, or more perspicuously [Vb tà:] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$-rí-; see $\S 10.2 .3 .2-3$ for examples. Since this does not apply to the recent perfect, whose negation is $V b j \grave{\varepsilon}-r i ́ l-w i t h ~ n o ~ t o n e-d r o p p i n g ~ o f ~ t h e ~ m a i n ~ v e r b, ~ i . e . ~ V b ~[j \grave{\varepsilon}]^{\mathrm{L}}-r i ́-$, I regard it as a quirky, isolated feature of the experiential perfect negative.

Perfective-1b -tì- and perfective-2 -só- might also be analysed as constituting separate words. Verbs have their bare stem before these suffixes, as we would expect in verb-chains. I have no other evidence in favor of taking perfective-2 -só- as a separate word. If -só- is equated with perfective participial $-s \grave{\varepsilon}$, there is evidence against separate-word status, since a preverbal subject pronominal cannot intervene between the verb stem and -sغ̀, and since the verb stem is included along with $-s \grave{\varepsilon}$ in the domain of determiner-controlled tone-dropping (§14.1.7.1).

With perfective-1b -tì- the situation is tricky. In relative clauses, the perfective- 1 b and other perfective positive forms are normally merged into a single participial category. It was possible to elicit one type of relative with $-t i ̀-s \varepsilon ̀ ~ g a ̀, ~ s e e ~(509) ~ i n ~ § 14.1 .7 .1 ~ b e l o w . ~ H o w e v e r, ~$ the only textual example I have where the perfective-1b morpheme is separated from the main verb is a combination with different-subject anterior subordinator nà, see §15.2.6. So whether -tì- is a suffix or a chained auxiliary verb is a borderline call. H-toned tí- does, however, occur as a true chained verb. It has unmistakably perfective flavor, but it occurs in this construction before imperfective -m-(§15.1.10).

Perfective-1a -غ̀ř̀- behaves somewhat similarly to perfective-1b -tì- in these respects. Like -tì-, it can be separated from the main verb by a preverbal subject pronoun when it is followed by different-subject anterior nà, see $\S 15.2 .6$. In this case it takes the autonomous form yèré. Also like -tì-, -દ̀rè- has a $\{\mathrm{H}\}$-toned form - $\varepsilon$ ré- that occurs between the main verb and imperfective inflections, see $\S 15.1 .10$. However, - $\varepsilon$ ré- contracts with stem-final vowels in the same way as does perfective-1a - $\grave{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon}$-, making a verb-chain analysis more problematic.

Etymologically, all of these elements were probably chained auxiliaries. No clear etymology is available for experiential perfect tá:-, but it has verb-like morphology, and since it occurs in most Dogon languages its origin is likely ancient. Perfective-1a - $\grave{\varepsilon} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \grave{\varepsilon}$ - and proble cognates like Toro Tegu -wòrè ~ -wòrè have the bisyllabic shape typical of verbs. Recent perfect $j \grave{\varepsilon}$ - is probably from a 'take' verb: Bankan Tey $z \varepsilon$, Najamba $j \varepsilon$, and other cognates, within Nanga $j \varepsilon ̌:-$ '(man) marry (woman)' and other related forms. Perfective-1b -tì- is from 'send': Nanga tíyí and numerous cognates. The transition from 'send' to perfective is in progress in Donno So. Perfective-2 -só- is from 'have': Nanga sò- and cognates.

### 10.1.2 Overview of categories

For regular (active or dynamic) verbs in the basic indicative mood, there is a fundamental aspectual split between perfective and imperfective aspectual systems, though each system consists of more than one AN category. The aspectual split crosses with a polarity split (positive/negative), so there are four divisions.

The aspectual split is neutralized in statives, which include stative paradigms derived from otherwise active verbs (§10.4) and various defective quasi-verbs like 'be (somewhere)' and 'have' (§11.2). It is also neutralized in the progressive (§10.2.2.3). Statives also have special negative forms distinct from those of active verbs.

Modal categories marked in the morphology are imperative, hortative ('let's ...!'), and the quoted imperative (QuotImprt) form used in wishes and imprecations. The imperative and hortative have special negative forms.

### 10.1.3 Verb stem shapes

There are two morphological verb classes, one $i$-final and the other non-i-final. They are distinct in the bare stem (see below) and some other forms, but merged in others. Prosodically heavy verbs are $i$-final, except that the few heavy stems that are + ATR have final \{e o. Light bisyllabics $(C v C V, C v N C V)$ are arbitrarily $i$-final or non-i-final (lexical choice). Monosyllabics ( $C v$ :) are non- $i$-final, except for yǐ:- 'see'.

In addition to this lexical division, verb stems are subject to ablaut affecting the stemfinal vowel in some inflections, whether or not an aspect-negation (AN) suffix follows. The following amplifies the brief overview in §3.4.8. I take as lexically basic the bare stem of each verb, which occurs in nonfinal position in verb chains, in most perfective positive inflections, and (for light stems) in the imperfective positive and negative. This leads to the question, in some inflections, whether the "suffixes" might really be chained auxiliary verbs ( $\S 10.1 .1$ just below). Other inflected forms use the segmental form (in particular, the vocalism) of the bare stem but apply tonal modifications.

What I call the E/I-stem occurs in the 3 Sg subject form of the simple perfective positive. It consists of the E-stem of non- $i$-final verbs, and the I-stem (identical to the bare stem) of $i$-final verbs. The E-stem ends in $\{\mathrm{e} \varepsilon\}$, depending on ATR-harmonic class of the verb: dòg̀े$\varnothing$ 'he/she left (sth)', gùrè- $\varnothing$ 'he/she stole'. An example of the I-stem is tì̀ì- $\varnothing$ 'he/she spoke' (§10.2.1.1). The E/I-stem also occurs, with lengthened final vowel, in a durative complement used with 'be tired' as main verb (§15.2.5.1).

The overall I-stem, for both $i$-final and non- $i$-final verbs, including monosyllabic $C v-y$, occurs in the quoted imperative (§10.6.4). For most nonmonosyllabics it also occurs before the prohibitive suffix (§10.6.1.2).

The A/O-stem is used without further AN suffix as the imperative (singular), the derived stative stem, and the 3 Pl subject form of the simple perfective positive (though in the latter case I treat the final vowel as a suffix). This stem ends in a $\rho o\}$, depending on the nonfinal vocalism and ATR-harmonic value of the stem. Imperative examples are yógô 'run!', pérô 'jump off!', and $\varepsilon$ ש́wâ 'buy!'.

The non-high stem can end in any short vowel except $i$ and $u$. The non-i-final verbs already satisfy this, so their non-high and bare stems are identical. The effect is that $i$-final verbs must convert the $i$ to a non-high vowel that squares with the stem's nonfinal vocalism (if any) and its ATR-harmonic class. All verbs must occur in the non-high stem before perfective negative suffix -rí- (§10.2.3.1) and hortative suffixes (§10.6.2). For example, yògó 'run' and $i$-final págí 'tie' have perfective negatives yògò-rí- and pàgà-rí-. Only prosodically heavy verbs also require the non-high stem before imperfective (positive and negative) suffixes, while prosodically light verbs show up in their bare stem. Examples are regular stems yògó 'run' and mònjúró- 'dream', and i-final stems kár ${ }^{n} 1$ - 'tie' and bègírí- 'sift', imperfective negatives yógò-ŋ̀̀:-, mònjúrò-ŋò:-, and kár ${ }^{n} \grave{-}-\eta \grave{o}:-~ w i t h ~ n o ~ s t e m ~ c h a n g e, ~ b u t ~$ bègírè-クŋ̀:- with audible shift to non-high stem (§10.2.3.4).

A possible revision of this analysis would be to take the non-high stem as lexically basic, and argue that the final $i$ of the bare stem for some verbs (including the I-stem portion of the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$-stem) is due to ablaut. The challenge for this revision would be explaining why some verbs shift to $i$ while others do not. The good news is that there is a correlation of final $i$ with prosodic heaviness, observable in suffixal derivation: pégé- 'drive in (nail)', reversive pégí-rí- 'remove (nail)'. The bad news is that bisyllabics with similar nonfinal vocalism can are assigned by the lexicon to either regular or $i$-final classes, e.g. bàyá- 'be cured' versus gàr ${ }^{n} 11-\quad$ 'put', téré- 'chop' versus dè $11^{-}$'tamp', dògó- 'leave' versus bògí- 'perpetrate (crime)',
and gùró- ‘steal’ versus dùní- 'put down’ (§10.1.3.5). If we take the bare stem as lexically basic, the final-vowel alternations are predictable.

The comments above are strictly about vocalism, i.e. segmental form. The various inflectional categories also require their own tonal patterns. Tones and vocalism (ablaut) are partially orthogonal, but there are some interactions of tone with vocalism (i-final versus other stems) in the imperative.

### 10.1.3.1 Cv:- verb stems

The $C v$ :- verbs known to me are in (269), which is organized by tone melody and vowel quality. The subsections are organized by vowel quality. The difference between H - and LH-toned $C v$ : onsets is subtle, since in /LH/-toned $C \check{v}$ : speakers waste little time in reaching a high pitch.

I have no example of a Cu:- verb. The verbs 'go in' and 'hear' are heard segmentally as [nuj ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ ] but their paradigms point to $/$ nuy $^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} /(\S 10.1 .3 .3$ ), in spite of cognates like Jamsay nú:- and Ben Tey nú. 'See' is the only example of Ci:- (§10.1.3.2).

> /H/
/LH/
gloss
with a
á:-
ká:-
má:-
ná:-
pá:-
sá:-
sá:-
sá:-
sá:-
tá:-
tá:-
bǎ:-
dǎ:- 'learn'
dǎ:- 'endure’
dǎ:- 'patch up'
gă:- 'harvest (rice) with sickle'
gă:- 'be unaware'
jǎ:- 'fence in (with thorn branches)'
with $a^{n}$
tá: ${ }^{n}$ -
tá: ${ }^{n}$ -
pá: ${ }^{n-}$
'avoid (taboo)'
'build shed (thatched shelter)'
'take (step)'
with $o$

| kó:- | 'cover (e.g. box) with animal hide' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kó:- | 'spit (wood)' |
| kó:- | 'sew' |


| pó:- |  | 'whistle' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pó:- |  | 'replaster (mud wall)' |
| só:- |  | 'dip' |
| tó:- |  | 'hit hard (with stone)' |
|  | bǒ:- | 'sip' |
|  | dǒ:- | 'wash (hands)' |
|  | gǒ:- | 'go out' |
| wǒ:- | 'catch, hold' |  |

with 0
kó:- 'eat (meal)'
pó:- 'pick (fruits)'
pó:- 'leach, let ferment'
só:- 'peck at'
tó:- 'sow, plant (seeds)'
tó:- 'roll (turban)'
tó:- 'take out (daily rations)'
tó:- '(milk) fill up (in udder)'

## bǒ:

dǒ:
dǒ:- 'burn (on fire)'
ǧ̌:- 'jab'
ǰ̌:- 'pick out delicately'
mǒ:- 'tie (knot)'
nǒ:- 'drink'
wǒ:- '(rain) fall’
with $e$

| ké:- |  | '(grasshopper) bite off' <br> té:- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | '(muddy water) become clear': |
|  | 'remain' |  |
| jě:- | 'bring' |  |
| yě:- | 'come' |  |

with $\varepsilon$

'become tight'
'(woman) marry (man)'
'lay out (mat)'
'break off'
'get old'
'shine'
'cut out (leather sections)'
'trim (hair)'
$b \varepsilon ̌:-\quad$ 'cut off end'
dě:- 'be tired'
$j \varepsilon ̌:-\quad$ '(man) marry (woman)'
jě:- 'scoop up (hot coals, in a pottery shard)'
$j \varepsilon ̌:-\quad$ (in ńné $j \varepsilon ̌:-$ 'go away, get out')
with $i$ (see also Ciy-stems, §10.1.3.3 below)

$$
\text { y̌̌:- (yǐ-) 'see' (§10.1.3.2, below })
$$

with $u$
[none]

For the verbs in (269) other than 'see', the long vowel is consistent across all AN suffixal categories, with the following exceptions. Those that take perfective-1a -غ̀rè- instead of perfective-1b -ti- shorten the vowel, and may contract it with the initial $\varepsilon$ of the suffix into one syllable: gǒ:- 'go out', perfective gǒ-èrè 'went out' (§10.2.1.2). In the imperative, Ce: verbs shift to $C o$ :, and $C \varepsilon$ : verbs appear as $C \varepsilon a$ with a diphthong (§10.6.1.1).

### 10.1.3.2 yǐ:- ‘see’

The only Ci:- verb is yǐ:- 'see', and it is somewhat irregular. Specifically, the perfective negative yغ̀-rí- suggests a short-voweled variant /yǐ-/, and also suggests that the $i$ has affinities to the final short $i$ of nonmonosyllabic verbs like kár ${ }^{n} 1$ '- 'do', which also drops to a non-high vowel before the perfective negative suffix (kàr ${ }^{n}$ à- $r^{n} 1$ 1- 'did not do'). The other cases where the vowel of 'see' shifts from $/ \mathrm{i} /$ to $\varepsilon$ are certain hortative forms. Imperfective negative jù- $\eta \mathfrak{o}^{-}$(more common than the morphophonologically regular variant yǐ:- $\left.\eta \grave{\partial}:-\right)$ not only shows a similar short stem vowel, but presents other irregularities (L-toned stem followed by H-toned suffix, short suffixal vowel) that are shared only with nǔy 'hear' (on which see the following section). The other inflected forms are regular and are compatible with /yǐ:-/. The paradigm is in (270). The perfective-1b is elicitable but uncommon, as the perfective- 2 is the regular marked perfective of perception verbs.

Paradigm of 'see'
a. yǐ:
yí:-ndé
b. yì:-
yǐ:-tì-
yǐ:-só
yǐ:-jè-
yǐ: tá:-só-
yè-rí-
c. yì-yî:-ì
yì-yî:-sò-
пѝ-ŋव́- ~ yІ̌:-ŋว̀:-
d. yî:
yí-rá
yě:-ndà:
$y$ y̌:-mày ${ }^{n}$
bare stem
verbal noun
simple perfective (3P1 y-à:)
perfective-1b (uncommon)
perfective-2
recent perfect
experiential perfect
perfective negative
reduplicated imperfective
reduplicated progressive
imperfective negative
imperative
prohibitive
quoted prohibitive
hortative

### 10.1.3.3 Cuy(i)- and Ciy(i)- verbs

There is a difficulty in deciding whether the verbs in (271) are best represented as $C v y$ - (where " $v$ " $=$ a short high vowel $i$ or $u$ ) or as bisyllabic $C v y i$-. In the cases where " $v$ " is $i$ (271c and in part 271b), the third possible representation is Ci : .

| stem | gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. núy ${ }^{n}(1)$ - | 'go in' |
| nǔy ${ }^{n}(1)-$ | 'hear' |
| b. túy(î)- ~ tíy(í)- | 'put down (in pile)' |
| c. tíy(î)- | 'send' |
| $b$ b̌y $^{n}(1)-$ | 'put (earth in hole)' |

The analytical problem is due to the fact that a final $i$ is deleted in noninitial syllables after a semivowel, so there is no surface contrast between Cuyi and Cuy, and no surface contrast between Ciyi, Ciy, and Ci: .

The best evidence for a bisyllabic representation is the form of the perfective negative and that of the hortative. A final $i$ in a nonmonosyllabic stem shifts to a non-high vowel before perfective negative -rí- and hortative -mày. The perfective negative forms of the verbs in question suggest lexical /Cvyi-/. (272) shows the revised representations for the stems as well as the perfective negative forms.

|  | stem | perfective negative | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | núy ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | nù ${ }^{n} \grave{\partial}$-r ${ }^{n} 1$ Í- | 'go in' |
|  | nùy ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | nù ${ }^{n} \grave{\partial}-r^{n} 1$ Í- | 'hear' |
| b. | túyí- ~ tíyí- | tùyò-rí- ~ tìyè-rí- | 'put down (in pile)' |
| c. | tíyí- | tì̀ èríl | 'send' |
|  | bìy ${ }^{\prime}$ - | bì ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ - $r^{n}{ }^{1}-$ | 'put (earth in hole)' |

However, other forms of these verbs are compatible with monosyllabic $C v y$ - representations. It is possible that native speakers have a phonological analysis of the perfective negative and the hortative (which are rather marked categories) that does not require positing a lexical representation with final $i$.

### 10.1.3.4 $n C v$ - and $m C v$-verbs

The four verbs in (273) are bisyllabic (and treated as such morphophonologically), but their first syllable consists of just a nasal consonant, followed by a homorganic stop or nasal. The initial nasal may be H-toned (273a) or L-toned (273b-c).

| stem | gloss | reduplicated imperfective 3 Sg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. ńné- | 'go' | ì-Píní-1̀ ~ ì-Pńní-ŋ̀ |
| ńdí- | 'give' | ì-Ríndí-ŋ̀ $\sim$ ì-Rńdí-ŋ̀ |
| b. ǹdé- | 'go up' | ì-Píndé-ŋ̀ ~ ì-Pńdé-ŋ̀ |
| c. (kìr ${ }^{\text {ée }}$ ) m̀ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 'blow (nose)' | ù-Púmbó-ı̀ ~ ù-Pńbó-r̀ |

For background on initial $N C$ clusters, see §3.3.8.1-2.
In the reduplicated forms on the right in (273), a synchronic constraint requiring a vocalic nucleus in the reduplicant forces the stems in question to "grow" an initial $i$, which is then optionally copied in the reduplicant. There are also variants with the glottal-stop separator followed directly by the initial syllabic nasal.

The 'go' verb has somewhat irregular stem-final vowel-quality alternations, in addition to the regular shift of final $e$ to $o$ in the imperative and to $i$ in the prohibitive. $\varepsilon$ occurs instead of $e$ in several forms, including those with a suffix containing the vowel $\varepsilon$ or a, but also in the simple perfective and in the perfective negative (suffix -rí). $i$ occurs for expected $e$ in the positive and negative imperfective forms.
(274) Paradigm of ' go '
form
category
a. with $e$
ńné bare stem (contrast ńné 3 Sg pronoun)
ńné-só-
ńné tá:-só-
ńné-mày ${ }^{n}$
perfective-2
experiential perfect hortative
b. with $\varepsilon$
ńnย์-nd
ǹnè-
ńné- $̀ r$ と̀-
ńn $\varepsilon$ - $j \grave{\text { - }}$
ǹnè- $r^{n} 1$-́
verbal noun
simple perfective (but 3Pl ìn-ò)
perfective-1a
recent perfect
perfective negative
c. with $i$
ì-Píní-ì ~ ì-Rńní-ì
ì-Rnnî:-Sò-
ńní-ŋว̀:-
ńní-r ${ }^{n a ́}$
reduplicated imperfective reduplicated progressive
imperfective negative
prohibitive
d. with $o$
ńnô
imperative

### 10.1.3.5 Regular bisyllabic stems

All nonmonosyllabic stems end in a short vowel. Bisyllabics are $C v C v, C v: C v, C v C C v, ~ a n d ~$ rarely $C v: C C v$. The initial $C$ position may be empty. Except in recent French or Fulfulde loanwords, there are tight restrictions on vowel sequences. For bisyllabics, there are two primary patterns, $i$-final and non- $i$-final.

In non- $i$-final stems, either the two vowels are identical non-high vowels, hence \{e...e, $\varepsilon \ldots \varepsilon, a \ldots a, 0 \ldots \rho, o \ldots o\}$ (275a), or there is an initial high vowel followed by a mid-height vowel with the same back/rounding value, hence $\{i \ldots e, i \ldots \varepsilon, u \ldots o, u \ldots o\}$ (275b). This is common but not required for light bisyllabics $C v C v$ including $C v N C v$, the latter with homorganic nasal-voiced stop cluster. For $C v: C v$ and $C v: N C v$, which count as heavy, the non- $i$-final type is limited to + ATR stems ending in $e$ or $o$.

Curly brackets here simply enclose members of a set. There are therefore nine possible combinations of the seven vowel qualities, so we cannot quite reduce the vocalisms to single autosegments. However, all of the vowel-pairings are harmonic.

```
stem gloss
```

a. identical non-high vowels
bàyá- 'be cured'
téré- 'chop'
ké:ndé- 'do well'
kóyó- 'weep'
dògó- 'leave'
b. high vowel followed by non-high vowel
gùró- 'steal'
dùyó- 'insult'
bìndé- 'go back'
wìsé- 'swing (arms)'

Verb loans from Fulfulde normally end in $\varepsilon$ regardless of other vowels in the stem, and unless further assimilated they often have vowel sequences that violate the tight patterns illustrated in (276a). The final $\varepsilon$ is also typical of French loans (276b), reflecting both an extension of the Fulfulde pattern to a wider range of loans, and the convenient fact that French verbs have several high-visibility forms ending in phonetic [e] or [ $\varepsilon$ ] (written -er, -ez, -ait, etc.). A further source of noncanonical vowel sequences is the tendency for ${ }^{\text {iwn }}$ to shift to $u w v$, notably in the word for 'die', tíwé- or túw'́- (276c), where the usual reduplicated imperfective tù-túwé-m̀- shows that the representation with $u$ now has the upper hand.

```
    stem gloss
a. súré- 'pacify'
pálé- 'box in'
b. gán\varepsiloń- 'win (match, election)' < gagner
c. túw\varepsiloń- ~ tíwé- 'die'
```

The second major pattern for bisyllabic stem vowelism is with final $i$ (in some environments varying with $u$ ). The preceding vowel quality is normally $\{i u \varepsilon \rho a\}$, i.e. anything but +ATR, since initial-syllable + ATR $\{\mathrm{e} o\}$ require a harmonizing stem-final vowel instead of $i$. The final $i$ is subject to syncope/apocope after an unclustered semivowel $w$ (dèwí- 'cover') or $y$ (í:-yí- ‘stop').

| stem | gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. $\mathrm{CvCi}-$ |  |
| gàr ${ }^{n} 1$ - | 'put' |
| jòní- | 'cure' |
| bògí- | 'perpetrate (crime)' |
| děW (</dèwí/) | 'cover' |
| dèní- | 'tamp' |
| dùní- | 'put down' |
| tílí- | 'speak' |
| b. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{Ci}-$ |  |
| bǎ:ríl | 'help' |
| gě: $r^{n} 1$ - | 'take away, convey' |
| í:-yí- | 'stop' |
| c. CvCCli |  |
| témbí- | 'find' |
| gòmbí- | 'open wide' |
| tímbí- | 'put lid on' |
| d. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{CCi}-$ mǒ:ndí- | 'gather' |

gàr ${ }^{n} 1 ́-$
jòní- 'cure’
bj̀gí- 'perpetrate (crime)'
dew (</dêwí) 'cover'
dèī 1 'tamp
dùní- 'put down'
‘speak'
b. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{Ci}^{-}$
bă:rí 'help'
gèr 1 - 'take away, convey'
:-yí- 'stop'
c. $\mathrm{CvCCi}-$
témbí-
gə̀mbí- 'open wide'
tímbí- 'put lid on'
d. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{CCi}-$
mǒ:ndí- 'gather'

The contrast of tímbí- 'put lid on' with tímbé- 'lean on (cane)' shows how one cannot always predict the final vowel from an initial high vowel

### 10.1.3.6 Trisyllabic stems

Trisyllabic stems are often etymologically composite, but in individual cases it is difficult to demonstrate this in the absence of semantically and phonologically linked underived stems..

As with $C v: C v$ and $C v: N C v$, the other heavy stem types, trisyllabics are non- $i$-final if they contain a + ATR vowel, of which the final vowel is usually a copy (278). The medial vowel is in a metrically weak position, and is usually raised to a high vowel (usually of the same backness/rounding value as the first value), and in some consonantal environments it can then be syncopated. A few +ATR stems keep medial e or $o(278 \mathrm{e})$.
stem gloss
a. repeated + ATR $\{\mathrm{e} o\}$ vowels flanking medial high vowel
néngíyé- 'carry on head (without hands)'
bègíré- 'hiccup'
yègísé- 'cut up (into pieces)'
mònjúró- 'dream’
b. (o...i...e)
dògíyé- 'look up at'
c. (o...o after syncope)
kómró- ~ kómúró- 'shell (peanuts)' (and other senses)
dǒmró- ~ dòmúró- 'shave around edges’
d. (initial and medial high vowels, final mid-height vowel)
pígíré- 'screw in'
wìgíré- 'be dizzy'
lúgúró- 'ransack’
dùsúró- 'poke’
e. medial non-high vowel (usually), +ATR
péndéré- ~ péndíré- 'squeeze out', cf. péndíré 'paint (sth)'
túmóró- ~ túmbóró- 'tamp down'
Cognate nominals sometimes show three identical vowels with no weakening of the medial, e.g. mónjórò 'dream (n)' versus verb mònjúró-. Infrequently, such a triple sequence occurs in $\mathrm{a}+$ ATR verb at least as a variant (278e).

In (278b), the pair of initial and final vowels is o...e rather than o...o or e...e, but it respects ATR harmony. This uncommon pattern is probably a reflection of frozen suffixal derivations, in the case of dògíyé- 'look up at' perhaps with mediopassive -yv́-.

The majority of trisyllabics are -ATR, and therefore belong to the $i$-final class. The medial vowel is raised ( $279 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ) and may syncopate (279c). The trisyllabic source is clear in the derived transitive verb dǎw-rí- 'hide (sth)' from /dàwí-rí-/, cf. dàwí-yí- 'hide (oneself)'. When the final syllable begins with $y$, the resulting /...iyí/ is heard as [i:] (279b), but the underlying trisyllabic form is revealed by inflected forms such as the imperative (íríyà 'get up!').
stem gloss
a. initial vowel other than $\{$ e $o\}$, final $i$
súmúr ${ }^{n} 1$ i- $\sim$ súmír ${ }^{n} 1$ - 'rest'
págúsí- 'scrub’
íngírí- 'accompany’
kémír ${ }^{n} 1$ - 'have fun'
gว̀クír ${ }^{n}$ í- 'go around'
bógísí- 'punch’ (< French boxer)
dàgírí- 'get ready’
b. final ...íyí heard as [i:]

> írí-yí- [íri:]
> níndí-yí- [níndí:]
c. syncope to $\mathrm{CvCCi}-$
téwsí-
'get up'
'be tangled'

> 'tamp down'

If we combine the trisyllabic data in (278) and (279), we can say that initial-syllable $\{u i\}$ allow either a final $i$ or a final $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}o & e\end{array}\right.$ (agreeing in rounding), while non-high initial-syllable vowels allow us to predict the final-syllable vowel. The allowable sequences (disregarding occasional assimilatory rounding of the medial vowel) are therefore $u \ldots i \ldots i, u \ldots u \ldots o$, $i \ldots i \ldots i, i \ldots i \ldots e$, e.......e, o...u...o, $\varepsilon \ldots i \ldots i, \circ \ldots i \ldots i$, and $a \ldots i \ldots i$. Factoring out $i$ as the basic medial vowel, these sequences have a partial correspondence to those allowed in bisyllabic verbs. However, one notes the absence of $\# \varepsilon \ldots i \ldots \varepsilon$ and $\# 0 \ldots u \ldots$ corresponding to bisyllabic $\varepsilon \ldots \varepsilon$ and $\rho \ldots \nu$, of \#e...i...i and \#o......i corresponding to the (not very common) bisyllabic e...i and $o \ldots i$, and of \#a...i...a corresponding to bisyllabic a...a.

The preceding discussion of trisyllabic vowel sequences is somewhat idealized. However, the generalizations are valid for trisyllabic stems that are under no whiff of suspicion of including a derivational suffix. When we include stems that do seem to have such a suffix (even if frozen and no longer clearly segmentable), additional vowel sequences emerge. Consider the data in (280).

| stem | gloss |
| :--- | :--- |
| a. jòríyé- | 'fight' |
| b. làrágí- | ámbígí- |
| c. scrub lightly' |  |
| c. bèndé-mí- on chest' |  |
| pínjé-mí- | 'hit hard' <br> 'wring' |

In (280a), an initial $o$ or $u$ co-occurs with a final e (instead of $o$ ). Since the cognate nominal is jòríyè '(a) fight', one suspects that the verb is simply following the vocalism of the noun. An additional factor is that -yé- can be a derivational suffix (mediopassive), and there are some examples of this suffix after a stem containing $o$ or $u$, e.g. nóngí-yé- 'be caught (stuck)', tónjí-yé- 'be curved', and púndí-yé- 'be clumped'.

In (280b), the first two syllables of the stem have the correct vocalism for CvCv - stems. The following -gí- syllable resembles a minor causative allomorph -gí- which likewise does not alter the vocalism of a preceding $C v C v$ - stem (§9.2.2). However, I know of no unsuffixed counterpart to làrágí-, and ámbí- is recorded only in the sense 'apply (a compress)'.

Similarly, the productive causative suffix -mí- does not alter the vocalism of a preceding $C v C v$-. The forms in (280c) are phonologically compatible with causative morphophonology, though they do not function as causatives semantically and are only dubiously connected to pínjé- '(water) splash' and bèndí- 'swim'.

### 10.2 Positive indicative AN categories

### 10.2.1 Perfective positive system (including perfect)

### 10.2.1.1 Simple perfective with $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned stem

The simple perfective consists morphologically of the stem without audible AN suffix, except in the 3 Sg and perhaps 3 Pl , and with tones dropped. 1 st $/ 2$ nd persons add the relevant pronominal-subject suffix to what is segmentally the bare stem. In the 3 Sg , the stem has final $\left\{\varepsilon e_{i} i\right\}$, as in the more systematic E/I-stem of several other Dogon languages (§10.1.3). Non- $i$-final stems end in $\varepsilon$ or e depending on ATR-harmonic category of the stem. $i$-final stems end in $i$, identical segmentally to the bare stem. The 3Pl ends in $\left\{\begin{array}{l}o \rho a\}\end{array}\right.$, which derives from the A/O-stem of many of the same languages, though (given that other inflections have a nonzero 3 Pl suffix) this final vowel may be interpreted by native speakers as a suffix.

The simple perfective is typical of clauses that have a focalized constituent (other than the verb itself). "Focalized" can be construed loosely here, and the simple perfective is very common when any preverbal constituent is present.

Sample paradigms of non- $i$-final stems are in (281). The verbs are dògó- 'leave', túwé- 'die', gùró- 'steal, rob', and yě:- 'come'. The latter shows that a Cv:- stem shortens its vowel before the consonant of a 1 st/2nd person suffix.
(281) Unsuffixed perfective paradigm

| category | 'leave' | 'die' | 'rob' | 'come' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | dògò-ỳ | tùwè-y | gùrò-y | yè-y |
| 1 Pl | dògò- ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | tùwè- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : | gùrò-ỳ.: | yè-ỳ.: |
| 2 Sg | dògò-Ẁ | tùwè-W | gùrò-W | yè-W |
| 2 Pl | dı̀gò-Ẁ: | tùwè-Ẁ.: | gùrò-Ẁ. | yè-w. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3Sg/InanSg | dògè- $\varnothing$ | $t u ̀ W \grave{c}-\varnothing$ | gùrè- $\varnothing$ | yè:-Ø |
| 3Pl/InanPl | d̀jg-ò | tùw-à | gùr-ò | $y$-ò: |

Before nde 'if', the 3 Sg form reverts to bare-stem vocalism. In the case of 'leave', but not the three other verbs in (281), this accidentally results in homophony of 3 Sg and 3 Pl before the 'if' morpheme, though I put the hyphen in different places to distinguish them transcriptionally: dògò- $\varnothing$ ndé 'if/when he/she leaves', dòg-̀̀ ndé 'if/when they leave'.

There is no AN suffix as such in this paradigm, hence the term "simple" (or "unsuffixed") perfective. In the first and second person forms, the pronominal-subject suffix is added directly to the L-toned form of the stem, with the same vocalism (in particular, the same final vowel) as in the bare form.

The 1 Pl and 2 Pl subject forms are pronounced with a version of dying-quail intonational effect, see (28a) in §3.8.3. The stem-final vowel is prolonged. Having started out with L-tone, this vowel then raises its pitch slightly and then lowers it, resulting in a bell-shaped [LHL] pitch on this syllable. Therefore dı̀g̀̀-ỳ. $\therefore$ 'we left' is realized phonetically as [dògòóòj] or
 e.g. dògò-ỳ. $\therefore$ and tùw $-\grave{W} . \therefore$, instead of e.g. dògǒ:-ỳ and tùw $\check{\text { : }}$ - $\grave{\text {, }}$, I am suggesting a morphophonological analysis where the dying-quail intonation of the plural-subject forms is superimposed onto the already formed 1 Sg and 2 Sg forms.

The 3 Sg and 3 Pl forms of monosyllabic $C V$ :- stems are illustrated in (282). Of particular interest are diphthongal à , $\grave{\grave{\varepsilon}}$, and òè in the 3 Sg , and $\grave{\varepsilon}$ à in the 3 Pl . Unlike some other Dogon languages, Nanga does not desyllabify either vowel in such sequences. For example, in the case of òè I hear [òè] with two clear (though clipped) vowels, not [ò̀], [wè], [òe ], or [òj].
(282) 3 Sg versus 3 Pl subject forms of simple perfective
gloss bare stem 3Sg Pfv 3Pl Pfv
a. non-i-final $C v$ :- verbs

| 'reply' | sá:- | sà̀̀- $\varnothing$ | $s$-à: (=sà-à) etc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'go out' | gǒ:- | gòè- $\varnothing$ | $g$-ò: |
| 'eat' | kó:- | kj̀̀े- $\varnothing$ | $k$-ò: |
| 'come' | yě:- | yè:- $\varnothing$ | $y$-ò: |
| 'lay out (mat)' | té:- | $t \stackrel{\text { te }}{ }-\varnothing$ | $t$ tèà |
| 'avoid taboo' | tá: ${ }^{\text {n- }}$ | $\operatorname{tà}^{n}{ }_{\bar{\varepsilon}}{ }^{-}$- $\varnothing$ | $t$-à: ${ }^{n}$ |
| final 'see' |  |  |  |
| 'see' | yǐ:- | yì:- $\varnothing$ | y-à: |

The verbs of type $C v y(i)$-, which are arguably $C v y i$ bisyllabics (§10.1.3.3, above), have the simple perfectives in (283). túyí-~ tíyí- 'put down' can be treated as in (283a) or as in (283b). In all of the forms shown, a final $i$ syncopates or apocopates after $y$, in the 3 Sg forms (heard as nù $y^{n}-\varnothing$ etc.) as well as in the bare stem.
(283) Simple perfective of Cvyi stems
gloss bare stem 3Sg Pfv 3Pl Pfv
a. Cuyi
'hear' nùyníl- nùy ${ }^{n}$ ì- $\varnothing \quad n u ̀ y^{n}$-ò
'go in' núyníl- nùy ${ }^{n}$ ì- $\varnothing \quad$ nù ${ }^{n}$-ò
b. Ciyi
'send' tíyí- tìyì- $\varnothing \quad$ tìy-à
'put earth in' bìyníl bìy ${ }^{n}$ ì- $\varnothing \quad$ bì ${ }^{n}$-à
3 Sg and 3 Pl simple perfective forms of $n C v$ - and other bisyllabics are in (284). There are no final diphthongs. The 3 Sg ends in $\{e \varepsilon\}$ for non- $i$-final stems (284a-c), but in $i$ for $i$-final stems (284d). The 3 Pl ends in $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\circ & a\end{array}\right\}$ for both verb classes, with a replacing $\varepsilon$. The three $n C v$ - stems in (284e) have messy perfective forms. 'Go' behaves as though -ATR in the 3 Sg but as +ATR in the 3Pl (and bare stem). 'Give' and 'go up' have homophonous 3Pl but not 3 Sg forms.
(284) Simple perfective of bisyllabic stems
gloss bare stem 3Sg Pfv 3Pl Pfv
a. bisyllabics with repeated non-high vowel

| 'touch' | táwá- | tàwè- $\varnothing$ | tàw-à |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'get' | bèré- | bèrè- $\varnothing$ | bèr-à |
| 'do well' | ké:ndé- | kè̀:ndè- $\varnothing$ | kè:nd-ò |
| 'weep' | kóyó- | kòyè- $\varnothing$ | kòy-ò |
| 'leave' | dògó- | dògò- $\varnothing$ | dòg-̀ |

b. high vowel followed by non-high vowel

| 'steal' | gùró- | gùrè̀- $\varnothing$ | gùr-ò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'insult' | dùyó- | dùyè- $\varnothing$ | dùy-ò |
| 'return', | bìndé- | bìndè- $\varnothing$ | bìnd-ò |
| 'swing' | wìsé- | wìs̀̀- $\varnothing$ | wìs-à |
| 'spray' | písé- | pìsè- $\varnothing$ | pìs-ò |

c. final $\varepsilon$ after a different vowel (loanwords)
'win' gáné- gànè̀- $\varnothing$ gàn-à
d. $i$-final bisyllabics with initial $\{i \varepsilon$ a $\supset u\}$

| 'put' | gàr ${ }^{n} 1{ }_{1}-$ | gàr ${ }^{n} \grave{i}-\varnothing$ | gàr ${ }^{n}$-à |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'cover' | dèWí- [děw] | dèwì- $\varnothing$ | dèw-à |
| 'tamp' | dèní- | dè̀ì- $\varnothing$ | dèn-à |
| 'put down' | dùní- | dùnì- $\varnothing$ | dùn-ò |
| 'speak' | tíní- | tì̀ì- $\varnothing$ | tìn-à |
| 'perpetrate' | bògí- | bògì- $\varnothing$ | bòg-ò |
| 'treat' | jòní- | jònì- $\varnothing$ | jòn-ò |

e. $n C v$-verbs

| non-i-final <br> 'go' | ńné- | ǹnè- $\varnothing$ | ǹnn-ò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'go up' | ǹdé- | ǹdè- $\varnothing$ | ǹd-à |
| i-final <br> 'give' | ńdí- | ǹdì- $\varnothing$ | ǹd-à |

Trisyllabic examples are in (285). In the + ATR stems (285a), 3 Sg ends in $e$ and 3 Pl ends in $o$, regardless of which of these two vowels the bare stem ends in. In the -ATR stems (285b-c), the 3 Sg ends in $i$ and the 3 Pl ends in $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}o & a & a\end{array}\right\}$.
(285) Simple perfective of trisyllabic stems
gloss bare stem 3Sg Pfv 3Pl Pfv
a. final $\{\mathrm{e} o\},+\mathrm{ATR}$

| 'cut up' | yègísé- | yègìsè- $\varnothing$ | yègìs-ò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'dream' | mònjúró- | mònjùrè- $\varnothing$ | mònjùr-ò |
| 'look up at' | dògíyé- | dògìyè- $\varnothing$ | dògìy-ò |
| 'ransack' | lúgúró- | lùgùrè- $\varnothing$ | lùgùr-ò |

b. $i$-final, -ATR, no derivational suffix
'accompany' íngírí- ìngìrì- $\varnothing$ ìngìr-à

'get ready' dàgírí- dàgìrì- $\varnothing$ dàgìr-à
'punch' bógísí- bògìsì- $\varnothing$ bògìs-ò (< French boxer)
'scrub' púgúsí- pùgùsì- $\varnothing$ pùgùs-ò
c. trisyllabic derived verbs
'demolish' wòró-gí- wòrò-gì- $\varnothing$ wòrò-g-ò

'make jump' péré-mí- pèrè̀-mì- $\varnothing$ pèrè-m-ò
'recover' màllí-rí- màllì-rì- $\varnothing$ màllì-rì-à

Sentence examples containing simple perfectives are in (286). Interlinears use ".Pfv" with no preceding hyphen.
a. yéŋì̀rìì: yè-y
yesterday come.Pfv- 1 SgSbj
'It was yesterday [focus] that I came.'
b. ǎ- $\quad[y i ̀: \quad n \varepsilon ́-\eta]$ sùyè- $\varnothing$ mà
who?-AnSg [child DefAnSg-Acc] hit.Pfv-3SgSbj Q
'Who hit the child?'
c. àrnáná nà:- $W^{n}$ mà
where? spend.night.Pfv-2SgSbj Q
'Where did you-Sg sleep?'

### 10.2.1.2 Perfective-1a -غ̀rè-, perfective-1b -tì-

The simple perfected is complements by three other marked perfective positive forms that have nonzero inflectional suffixes. Two of the suffixes are -èrè-, which I label perfective-1a, and -ti-, which I label perfective-Ib. These two are in complementary distribution, the choice between them depending on the semantic class and valency of the verb. The suffixes follow the bare stem of the verb, without tonal change, though the stem-final vowel quality is masked by $v v$-Contraction before - $\varepsilon$ rèे-

The two perfective-1 suffixes compete most directly with the perfective-2, which has suffix -só-.

Perfective-1a -èrè- combines with motion and stance verbs ('go', 'sit down'), with deadjectival inchoatives and other non-active intransitives, with a few low-impact transitives like 'forget', and optionally with transitive verbs of holding and clothing (which often contain mediopassive -yí-~-yé-)
gloss stem perfective-1a
a. $C v:-$

$$
\text { 'go out' gǒ:- gǒ- } \begin{aligned}
& \text { rè }-~
\end{aligned}
$$

'arrive'
dŏ:- dǒ-દ̀rè-
'spend night'
ná:-
ná-èrè-
'come'
yě:- yě-غ̀rغ̀-
b. $n C^{-}-$
'go' ńné- ńné-èr $\grave{\varepsilon}-$
'go up' ǹdé- ǹdé- $\begin{aligned} & \text { rè- }\end{aligned}$
c. bisyllabic with final non-high vowel

| final $\{\varepsilon$ a 0$]$, no medial cluster, $\{H\}$-toned |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'die' | túwé- | túW-Èrè- |
| 'go past' | láwá- | láW-Èrè- |
| '(wood) decay' | kóyó- | kóy-Èrè- |
| others |  |  |
| 'jump' | tómbó- | tómbó-èrè- |
| 'sit down' | éw-yé- | éw-yé-èrè- |
| 'lie down' | bìyé- | bìyé-èrè- |
| 'be finished' | dìmé- | dìmé-èrè- |
| 'run' | yògó- | yògó-èrc̀- |

d. Cvyí- and ...iyí-
'enter' núyn(î)- núy-èrè-
'stand' $\quad$ í:-yíl $\quad$ í:-y-દ̀rè-
e. bisyllabic with final $i$
‘become' tání- tání-èrè- ~ táyy-èrè-

- $\grave{\text { r }} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ - is not subject to Nasalization-Spreading even when the preceding stem ends in a nasalized syllable. In núy-èrè- 'went in', from núy ${ }^{n}(1)$-, there is no nasality even in the first $\varepsilon$, so I write $y$ without the nasal diacritic.

The main phonological problem is how $v v$ sequences are treated. The issue is possibly moot for $(287 \mathrm{~d})$, if we argue that the stems are $C$-final. With $C v:-$, the stem vowel is shortened and we get a surface bimoraic $v \varepsilon$ sequence (short vowel plus $\varepsilon$ ) with both vowels clearly articulated, and without ATR harmony (gǒ-غ̀rè, etc.). With bisyllabic and longer stems, a stem-final short $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\varepsilon & a & 0\end{array}\right\}$ disappears before $-\grave{\varepsilon} r \grave{\varepsilon}$ - unless it is H -toned and not preceded by another H-toned syllable. A stem-final short $i$ with such stems optionally desyllabifies to $y$, and $o$ sometimes desyllabifies at least partially (§3.5.6.3).

A variant $\grave{\varepsilon} r \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon$ with rising tone pattern is attested as a semi-equivalent of the perfective-1a suffix preceding the clause-final different-subject subordinator nà 'then'. See ...gǒ: ńné èré nà '(When hare) had gone out (completely), ...' in (747) in the sample text. In this example, $\grave{\varepsilon} r \varepsilon ́$ is separated from gǒ: 'go out' by an intervening 3 Sg pronominal-subject proclitic. An H-toned variant (-)éré- is also attested in a construction similar to a verb chain, with a following imperfective suffix, see (555) in §15.1.10.

Perfective-1b -tì- is used with most transitives, and with active intransitives denoting speech or thought. It is probably cognate to tíyí- 'send', but a direct synchronic connection is questionable. See the discussion of (509) in $\S 14.1 .7 .1$ below. An H-toned variant tí- occurs in verb-chains (§15.1.10) and in the uncommon combination with past clitic $=b \varepsilon$-(§10.5.1.3).

Perfective-1b -tì-
gloss stem perfective-1b
a. monosyllabic Cv:-

| 'shave' | ká:- | ká:-tì- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'catch' | wǒ:- | wǒ:-tì- |
| 'see' | yǐ:- | yǐ:-tì- |

b. $n C v-$
'give' ńdí- ńdí-tì-
c. bisyllabic with final non-high vowel

| 'hit' | súyó- | súyó-tì- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'shout' | kóyó- | kóyó-tì- |
| 'bathe' | díyé- | díyé-tì- |
| 'cut (slice)' | késé- | késé-tì- |

d. bisyllabic with final $i$

| 'send' | tíyíl [tí:] | tíy-tì- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'cover' | dèwí- [děw] | $d \varepsilon ̌ W-t i ̀$ |
| 'speak' | tílí- | tín-tì- ~ tíní-tì |
| 'think' | mǎ:ndí- | mǎ:ndí-tì |
| 'laugh' | màndí- | màndí-tì- |

Many nonmonosyllabic verbs that take -tì- (but not those that take -ère-) end in a lexical $i$, and this vowel is usually syncopated before -tì- where syllabically possible, i.e. after a single $C$ : lă:r-tì- 'chased' from lǎ:rì-, pág-tì- 'tied' from págí-. Pronunciations with the $i$ are also possible, especially in careful speech.

Pronominal paradigms are in (289). The second person forms of -ti- show optional assimilation of the $i$ to the following $w$ (§3.5.7.1). Homorganic sequences of vowel and semivowel (i.e. /iy/, /uw/) are monophthongized to long vowels [i:], [u:] (§3.5.7.2). The 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms have their usual prolongation and [LHL] pitch of the final syllable, reflecting the dying-quail effect, see (30a) in §3.8.3.
(289) Paradigms for perfective-1b and perfective-1a

| category | form of 1 b -tì- | form of 1a -غ̀rè- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Sg | -tì-y |  |
| 1 Pl | -tì-y. [tiôì] | -غ̀ṙ̇-y.: [èrèźċj] |
| 2 Sg | -tì-W $\sim-t$ ù- | -غ̀rè-W |
| 2 Pl | -tì-W. $\sim^{\sim}-t$ cù- $W . \therefore$ [tùúù] | -غ̇ṙ̇-W.: [غ̀rèźcેW] |


| $3 \mathrm{Sg} /$ Inan | $-t i ̀-\varnothing$ | $-\varepsilon ̀ r \grave{\text { - }}$ - $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 Pl | $-t i ̀-y a ̀ ~$ | $-\varepsilon ̀ r-a ̀$ |

The distinction between perfective-1a -èrè- and perfective-1b -tì- is neutralized under negation, where both (and other perfective positives) are replaced by a perfective negative portmanteau ( $\S 10.2 .1 .3$, below). The distinction is also generally neutralized in relative clauses, where perfective participial -sè replaces them (and perfective-2 -só-). With some effort it was possible to elicit relatives with -tì-, see $\S 14.1 .7 .1$, below, but these did not occur spontaneously.

### 10.2.1.3 Perfective-2 (-só-)

Another positive perfective-system form is expressed by H-toned suffix -só-. This is the regular marked perfective of perception verbs ('see', 'hear'), but can be used with any verb and competes with the perfective- 1 a and $-1 b$ just described. It is the most common perfective form obtained in elicitation for verbs in general, using French past-tense cue sentences.
a. ìgí- $\varnothing$ yǐ:-só- $\varnothing$

1 Sg -Acc see-Pfv2-3 SgSbj
'He/She saw me.'
b. bògòr̂̂ nǔy ${ }^{n}$-só-y
noise hear-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I heard a noise.'
The suffix is added to the bare stem, with no tonal or segmental changes. Examples are in (291).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { gloss } & \text { stem } & \text { perfective-2 } \tag{291}
\end{array}
$$

a. $C V$ :- monosyllabics

| 'catch' | wǒ:- | Wǒ:-só- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'go out' | gǒ:- | gǒ:-só- |
| 'spend night' | ná:- | ná:-só- |
| 'see' | yǐ:- | yǐ:-só- (as in 290a) |

b. $n C v-$
'go’ ńné- ńné-só-
'go up' ǹdé- ǹdé-Só-
'give’ ńdí- ńdí-só-
c. bisyllabics ending in non-high vowel
'hit' súyó- súyó-só-
'bathe' dìyé- dìyé-só-
'run' yògó- yògó-só-
'sit down’ éw-yé- éw-yé-só-
d. bisyllabics ending in $i$

| 'do' | kár ${ }^{n} 1$ í- | kár ${ }^{n} 1$ í-só- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'enter' | núyníl- $\left[\right.$ núj $\left.{ }^{\text {n }}\right]$ | núy ${ }^{n}$-Só- |
| 'think' | mă:ndí- | mă:ndí-só- |

The pronominal paradigm is (292). The 1 Pl and 2 Pl have their usual bell-shaped [LHL] pitch contour in the final syllable.
(292) category perfective-2

| 1 Sg | - -Só-ý |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Pl | - Só-ý $:$ | [sòóòj] |
| 2 Sg | $-S o ́-W$ |  |
| 2 Pl | $-S o ́-W . \therefore$ | [sòóòw] |

$\begin{array}{ll}3 S g / \text { Inan } & -S o ́-\varnothing \\ 3 \mathrm{Pl} & -S-\varepsilon ́ \varepsilon\end{array}$
$3 \mathrm{Pl}-S-\varepsilon \in$ is distinguishable tonally from perfective participial $-s \grave{\varepsilon}$, which occurs in relative clauses (§14.1.7.1).

Representative stem combinations are in (293), with the imperative and progressive for comparison.

| (293) | gloss | imperative | perfective-2 | progressive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'see' | yǐ: | yǐ:-Só- | yì-yî:-sò- |
|  | 'understand' | pá:mò | pá:mí-só- | pà-pá:mè(:)-sò- |
|  | 'hit' | súyô | súyó-só- | sù-súyò(:)-sò- |
|  | 'hear' | nùy ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ [ $n u ̌ j^{\text {n }}$ ] | nǔy ${ }^{n}$-só- | nù-núy ${ }^{\text {n }}$-sò- |
|  | 'tie' | págî | págí-só- | pà-págì(:)-sò- |
|  | 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {nà }}$ | kémír ${ }^{\text {nil-só- }}$ | kè-kémìr ${ }^{n}$ ¢̇(:)-sò |

For progressive -sò- see $\S 10.2 .4 .4$. The perfective-2 and the progressive both have -so-suffix but differ phonologically as indicated in (294). The 1Pl and 2 Pl forms are disregarded in (294).
(294) feature
suffixal tone
stem tone sequence
stem-final vowel length
form of verb stem
reduplication
perfective-2 progressive

## H

lexical
lexical
bare stem
none
L
H, H.L, or H.H.L non-high stem
lengthened (in some cases optionally) yes, if clause-initial (focused)

The opposition is illustrated in (295a) versus (295b), and (295c) versus (295d-e).
(295)

```
a. nàyá
y̌̌:-só-ý
see-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I saw the cow.'
```

b. nà yá yî:-sò-ỳ
cow see-Prog-1SgSbj
'I see (=am seeing) the cow.'
c. sémbí-só-ý
sweep-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I swept.'
d. $s \varepsilon ̀$-sémbì(:)-sò-ỳ

Rdp-sweep-Prog-1SgSbj
'I am sweeping.' (verb focalized)
e. dámbí sémbì(:)-sò-ỳ
courtyard sweep-Prog-1SgSbj
'I am sweeping the courtyard.' (verb not focalized)

Although perfective-2 -só- is H-toned, and (as just shown) distinctively so, it does not spread its H-tone to a following atonal particle. Therefore quotative wa and yes/no interrogative ma have L-toned form after all inflected forms of -só-. In other words, in these particular combinations, -só- behaves as though it ended in an otherwise covert L-tone element (296). Compare the Ben Tey cognate -sô- with $<\mathrm{HL}>$-tone.
a. nàr ${ }^{n}$ á-só- $\varnothing$ wà
give.birth-Pfv2-3SgSbj Quot
'It is said that she has given birth.'
b. [ìněn nò gày] á-ý kíý́-S-É wà
[name 3SgPoss Topic] 3LogoSg-Acc say-Pfv2-3P1Sbj Quot
'(She said), "they told me her name." ' (2004.02.03)
c. ú-í kíyé-só-ý mà $\rightarrow$

2Sg-Acc say-Pfv2-1SgSbj Q
'Did I tell you-Sg?'

### 10.2.1.4 Experiential perfect 'have ever' (tá:-só-)

The suffix tá:- occurs before perfective-2 -só- in the experiential perfect sense 'have (once, ever) done'. This is most common in questions ('have you ever ...?') like (297), but it can also be used in statements ('I once ...' or 'I have ...'). The preceding main verb is often 'see' or 'go (to somewhere)', but any verb denoting an event that has an enduring effect (e.g. in memory or social status) can be used.

The negative counterpart means 'have never VPed', see §10.2.3.2.
I consider tá:- to be an auxiliary verb rather than a suffix, for reasons given in §10.1.1 above, not the least of which is that it is followed by perfective-2 -só-. However, no strong candidates for etymologically related independent verbs are apparent to me.
'Have you-Sg (ever) seen an elephant?'

### 10.2.1.5 Recent perfect ( $j \grave{\varepsilon}$-)

The inflectable stem $j \dot{\varepsilon}$ - indicates recency of the (positive) event denoted. It can often be translated with 'already' or 'just'. However, it can also mean 'have (just) finished VP-ing' as in 'we have (just) finished the work', or 'have (just) achieved VP-ing' as in 'I have (just) caught (=have achieved catching) it'. In other words, the emphasis can vary between recency as such, and completion as such.

It combines with the bare-stem form of the primary verb, with no change in tone or vocalism. It is transcribed as a separate (chained) verb rather than as a suffix, because a preverbal subject pronoun (e.g. in nonsubject relatives) intervenes between the primary verb and $j \varepsilon$ (298d). It also can be followed by modal suffixes, like the quoted imperative form in (298e).
a. nàmá kúwó jè-y
meat eat.meat RecPrf-1SgSbj
'I have already eaten (or: I have finished eating) the meat.'
b. nàr $n a ́ \quad j e ̀-\varnothing$
give.birth RecPrf-3SgSbj
'She has (just) given birth.'
c. $g^{2} r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon} \quad j \dot{\varepsilon}-y .:$
harvest RecPrf-1P1Sbj
'We have (already) harvested.'
d. jǎ: wàgàdì kó: ǐ:n jè-s̀̀ gà meal time ${ }^{\text {L }}$ eat 1 SgSbj RecPrf-Ppl.Pfv Loc 'at the time when I finished eating'
e. [dósí [â: $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g \grave{\mathrm{a}}\right]\right]$ gǒ: jغ́-ý wá [bottom [3LogoPl ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] go.out RecPrf-QuotImprt Quot }}$ '(They) said: so completely leave (=go far away from) our presence!' (2004.02.02)

The pronominal paradigm is (299). The dying-quail effect in the 1 Pl and 2 Pl results is expressed as [LHL] pitch on the final syllable.
(299) category recent perfect

3Sg/Inan $\quad j \varepsilon ̀-\varnothing$
3Pl j-à

### 10.2.1.6 Reduplicated perfective ( $C \hat{v}-$ )

An initial reduplication, with no further affixation, expresses another perfective category. It is not very common in my texts, but it is used in conversation in somewhat emphatic contexts. My assistant gave as one example 'So-and-so has already eaten (plenty)' (and therefore doesn't need any more food).

The reduplicant has H-tone, while the following stem (the base) is $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned. The stem has the same vocalism as in the simple perfective; it therefore ends in $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \\ \varepsilon\end{array} i\right\}$ depending on the stem, and has the usual perfective diphthongs with $C V$ :- stems. The pronominal-subject suffixes are the same as for the simple perfective.


In each of (301a-b), two propositions differing only in verbs are contrasted, one being asserted and the other denied. (301) is a willy-nilly conditional antecedent. This suggests verb focalization as the semantic basis for reduplication, at least in these examples.
a. $g \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$
ké-kè:ndè- $\varnothing$
rainy.season Rdp-be.good.Pfv-3SgSbj
лá-nàmè- $\varnothing$
Rdp-be.ruined.Pfv-3SgSbj ć́w
'whether the rainy season has been good or has been bad'
b. nú-nù $y^{\grave{n}}-\varnothing \quad y \varepsilon ̀$-rí- $\varnothing$

Rdp-hear-3SgSbj see-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He has (definitely) heard of (it), (but) he hasn't seen (it).'
A phonologically similar reduplicated stem, also without a final AN suffix but with telltale stem-final $\{a o\}$ rather than $\{e \varepsilon i\}$, is the reduplicated stative; see $\S 10.3$. There is also a reduplicated imperfective, which has an L-toned reduplicative syllable and an H-toned stem, see $\S 10.2 .2 .3$, below.

### 10.2.2 Imperfective positive system

In addition to the constructions described below, see the combination of imperfective subordinator -ì̀ with bù- 'be (somewhere)' in §15.2.2.2.

### 10.2.2.1 Imperfective (positive) (-m̀-~-ì $\left.\sim:-{ }^{n}\right)$

A suffix -m̀- occurs before a nonzero pronominal subject suffix in all forms except 3 Sg subject. The 3 Sg imperfective is a synchronic portmanteau $-\eta \boldsymbol{j}$, which likely reflects original word-final *-m̀. The only segmental irregularity is íní- for ńné- 'go', see §10.1.3.4. The stem to which the suffixes are added has the segmental (but often not the tonal) form of the bare stem for prosodically light verbs, and of the non-high stem for heavy verbs.

A tonal feature of this inflectional category is that /LH/-toned Cv̀ŕr- (including $C \hat{v} N C \overline{\text { b }}$ - with homorganic nasal/voiced-stop cluster) is merged tonally with / $\mathrm{H} /-$ toned $C \hat{v} C \bar{v}$ $C \check{v}:-$, the other bimoraic type, is likewise merged with $C \tilde{v}:-$, but with a slight twist (see below).

For all verbs, the 3 Sg form requires a final H -tone on the stem, followed by the L-toned nasal of the suffix - $\mathfrak{y}$, as in dógó-ì ( $\sim$ dógô: $-{ }^{n}$ ) 'he/she leaves'. The non-3Sg subject forms have (or are treated as having) L-toned syllabic suffixes, and the stem-final syllable preceding the suffix is often heard with an L-tone, or perhaps a mid pitch representing a stepwise progression from the H -tone of the stem to the L-tone of the final suffix. For example, what I write as 1 Sg dógò-m-ì ( $\sim$ dóg $\grave{-m} \grave{m}-\varnothing$ ) with somewhat normalized tone marking is sometimes heard as [dóḡōmì, which could arguably be phonemicized as /dógó-m-i/.

For / $\mathrm{H} /$-melody $C$ ह́:- stems, the falling stem-tone melody is clearly audible on the syllabically suffixed forms, as in kâ:-m- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ 'they shave'. However, for $C \check{v}$ : stems I do not hear the falling pitch except in deliberate speech style, and usually transcribe e.g. gó:-m- $\grave{\varepsilon}$ 'they go out' (rather than $g \hat{0}:-m-\dot{\varepsilon}$ ). However, my ears may deceive me on this point.

Similarly, 'they go' is ńní-m-£̀ with $\{H\}$-toned stem, perhaps because the first $n$ (as part of a geminate) is not a full-fledged syllable, contrast ńd $\grave{\varepsilon}-m-\grave{\varepsilon}$ [ńd $\bar{\varepsilon} m \grave{\varepsilon}]$ 'they go up' where the initial $n$ is clearly its own syllable.

Examples showing the imperfective of non- $i$-final stems are in (302). For these verbs, the imperfective vocalism is that of the bare stem, except for the irregular shift of ńné- 'go' to ńní- (302b). /H/ and /LH/ melodies merge as $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ in prosodically light stems (302b-d). The melodic distinction is maintained in heavy stems (302e-f).
(302) Imperfective (stem ends in non-high vowel)

$$
\text { bare stem imperfective } 3 \mathrm{Sg} \text { gloss }
$$

a. monosyllabic $C \mathrm{~V}$ :-

Cv́:

| ká:- | ká:-ı̀ | 'shave' (3P1 kâ:-m- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| té:- | té:-ıो |  |
| $C$ v̌: |  |  |
| gǒ:- | gó:-ı̀ | 'go out' (3Pl gó:-m- ¢ |
| bě:- | bé:-ì | 'remain' (3Pl bé:-m-غे) |
| yě:- | yé:-ı̀ | 'come' (3Pl yé:-m- ) |


| b. $n C v-$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ńné- | ńní-ŋ̀ | 'go' (3Pl ńní-m-غ̀) |
| ǹdé- | ńdé- | 'go up' (3P1 ńdè-m-غ̀) |
| c. $C v C v$ - (bimoraic) |  |  |
| dògó- | dógó-ŋ̀ | 'leave' |
| gùró- | gúró-ŋ̀ | 'steal' |
| níy ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ¢- | níy ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{j}$ | 'sleep' |
| písé- | písé-ì | 'spray' |
| gáné- | gán ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-1$ ¢ | 'win' |
| túwé- | túwéri | 'die' |
| irregular tone (old mediopassive) |  |  |
| biyé- | bìyé-ì | 'lie down' |
| diyé- | dìyé-ì | 'bathe' |
| d. $C v N C v-$ |  |  |
| dòngó- | dóngó-ท̀ | ‘rub on' |
| tímbé- | tímbé-ì | 'lean on' |
| e. $C v:(N) C v-$ |  |  |
| ké:ndé- | ké:ndé-ì | 'do well' |
| yǒ:ró- | yǒ:ró-ŋ̀ | 'stalk' |
| f. trisyllabic |  |  |
| yègísé- | yègísé-ì | 'cut up' |
| mònjúró- | mònjúró-ì | 'dream' |
| kóndíyé- | kóndíyé-ì | 'droop, sag' |

The imperfective of $i$-final stems has the same tone patterns as above, except that the lexical distinction between $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ and $/ \mathrm{H} /$ is respected not only in stems that have three or more moras, but also in monosyllabic and $C_{v} C_{v}$ stems. Therefore 'see' in (303a), and 'hear', 'cover', and 'put down' in (303c), begin with an L-tone in the imperfective, contrast 'leave' and 'steal' in (302c) above.

Segmentally, the final $i$ is preserved in stems of two vocalic moras (303c-d). However, in stems with three or more vocalic moras, including $C v: C v$-, the non-high stem is required ( $303 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{f}$ ). This means that the stem must produce a mid-height or low vowel, taking its cue from nonfinal vowels. If the only vowels in the stem are high, the non-high stem ends in 0 after $u$, and $\varepsilon$ after $i$ in the available examples, suggesting that -ATR is predominant for these verbs. The imperfective of causative -mí- is -mé-m regardless of the vocalism or prosodic weight of the input stem $(303 \mathrm{~g})$.
(303) Imperfective (stem ends in high vowel)

| bare stem | imperfective 3 Sg | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. monosyllabic Ci:- |  |  |
| b. $n C i-$ ńdí- | ńdí-1̀ | 'give' (3P1 ńdì-m-غ̇) |
| c. $\mathrm{CvCi}-$ |  |  |
| nùy ${ }^{n} 1$ - [nǔj $\left.{ }^{\text {n }}\right]$ | nǔy ${ }^{n}-1$ ì | 'hear' |
| núy ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ [ $\left.\mathrm{núj}^{\text {n }}\right]$ | núy ${ }^{n}-1$ ¢̀ | 'go in' |
| dèWí- [děw] | dèWí-ŋ̀ | 'cover' |
| dùní- | dùní-ı̀ | 'put down' |
| kárníl | kár ${ }^{n} 1$ ílo | 'do' |
| d. CvCCli |  |  |
| tímbí- | tímbí-ì | 'cover, close' |
| témbí- | témbí- 1 ) | 'find' |
| dàmbí- | dǎmbí-ì | 'push' |
| e. $C v: C(C) i-$ |  |  |
| bă:rí- | bǎ:rá-ŋ̀ | 'help' |
| tí:rí- | tí:ré- | 'pour over' |
| pé:ndí- | pé:ndé- ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 'stimulate' |
| f. trisyllabic with final $i$ |  |  |
| kémír ${ }^{\text {n }}$ í- | kémír ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-1{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 'have fun' |
| púgúsí- | púgúsó-ì | 'scrub' |
| bègírí- | bègíré-1̀ | 'winnow' |
| g. Causative -mí- |  |  |
| kó:-mí- | kó:-mé-ì | 'feed' |
| péré-mí- | péré-mé-1̀ | 'make jump' |
| núy ${ }^{\text {nó-mí- }}$ | núy ${ }^{n}$ ó-mé-ŋ̀ | 'make go in' |

The pronominal paradigm is given in (304), with sample paradigms for ká: 'shave', wàrá 'do farming' and (i-final) dùní 'put down'.

Imperfective paradigms

| category | suffix | 'shave' | 'do farming' | 'put down' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | $-m-i ̀ ~ \sim-m ̀-\varnothing$ | kâ:-m-ì | wárà-m-ì | dùní-m-ì |
| 1 Pl | -mì-y. $:$ <br> (could be writ | kâ:-mì-y: <br> -m-ìy; phon | wárà-mì-y: <br> ic [ká:mì:], et | dùní-mì-y.: |
| 2 Sg | $-m-\grave{u} \sim-\grave{m}^{-w}$ | kâ:-m-ù | wárà-m-ù | dùyí-m-ù |
| 2 Pl | -mù-̀̀ .: <br> (could be writ | $\text { kâ:-mù-Ẁ }:$ <br> -m-ùw ; pho | wárà-mù-Ẁ <br> tic [ká:mù:], | dùní-mù-Ẁ.: <br> c.) |
| 3Sg/Inan | $-\grave{\eta} \sim \quad \vdots-{ }^{n}$ <br> (often just a n | ká:-1̀ <br> ized long vo | wárá-1̀̀ <br> el; falling ton | dùní-ŋ̀ |
| 3 Pl | $-m-\dot{\varepsilon}$ | kâ:-m-غ̀ | wárà-m-غ̀ | dùní-m-غ̀ |

In the 1 Sg and 2 Sg forms, my assistant wavered between syllabic variants ( $1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{mì}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-m u ̀)$ and apocopated variants ( $1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{m}^{w}$ ). In the shortened forms, $2 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{m}^{W}$ was heard with slight rounding at the transition from the stem-final vowel to the nasal; in effect, the nasal is "prelabialized." The corresponding plurals have long vowels, but the pitch of the final syllable is level low. Tonally, when a 1 Sg or 2 Sg form, e.g. kâ:-m-ì 'I shave', loses its final vowel, the resulting monosyllabic form is pronounced [ká:m̀] with the pitch fall at the end of the syllable, following standard phonetic patterns; see §3.7.4.2.

The 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms are based on $-m i ̀-y$ and $-m u ̀-w$, which are arguably the idealized full forms of the corresponding singulars. Alternatively, we could posit underlying singulars $-m-i ̀$ and $-m-u ̀$ and attribute the long vowel in the plural counterparts to prolongation as part of the dying-quail effect. However, these sound like ordinary long vowels rather than intonational prolongations of highly variable duration. The other manifestation of the dyingquail effect in these forms is that the stem ends in a clear H -tone before the 1 Pl and 2 Pl suffixes, versus lexically variable pitch in the singulars. The dying-quail effect here is therefore best represented as an $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay with the H appearing on the penult (i.e. the stem-final) and the $L$ on the suffixes, plus preservation of the full form of the suffixal syllables. See (30c) in §3.8.3.

Examples of the imperfective are in (305). As a reminder, 3 Sg imperfective portmanteau -ì is optionally weakened to nasalization and falling tone on the preceding vowel ( $305 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).

```
a. àsú \(\rightarrow\) wórî wárà-m̀- \(\varnothing\) every.day farming farm-Ipfv-1SgSbj 'I farm (=work in the field) every day.'
```

b. tê: dógò-m̀ $\varnothing$
tea leave-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I will leave (the) tea.'
c. $\grave{\varepsilon} S i ́$ bíré- $\grave{\text { l }} \quad$ (or: bír $\hat{\varepsilon}:-{ }^{n}$ )
good work-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'He/She works well.'
d. [nà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ mòsí] dàní-ì (or: dàyî:- ${ }^{n}$ ) [meal ${ }^{\text {L }}$ bad] cook-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'He/She cooks badly.'
e. [ìdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gá] sígè-m̀̀ $\varnothing$
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanSg.Loc] go.down-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I go down (= lodge) in this house.'
Further examples of imperfective suffixes are given in the following section on the reduplicated imperfective, which differs from the simple imperfective only in adding an initial reduplication.

### 10.2.2.2 Unsuffixed imperfective (absent)

There is no imperfective form of the Jamsay type that lacks a (segmental) imperfective suffix, i.e. with just a pronominal-subject suffix added directly to the verb (with perhaps a tone change on the verb).

However, in disjunctions of clauses with future-time reference (as well as past-time reference), the simple perfective (or a homophonous form) is in use. See $\S 7.2 .2$, above.

### 10.2.2.3 Reduplicated imperfective ( $C \grave{v}-$ )

The reduplicated imperfective has an initial L-toned $(C) \grave{v}$ - reduplicant. Its segmental form matches that of the reduplicated perfective and that of the reduplicated stative. The vowel of the reduplicant copies the quality features of the first stem vowel. If the stem is vowel-initial, so is the reduplicant, and a glottal stop separates the two vowels. Stems elsewhere beginning with $N C$ clusters are sometimes treated as though beginning with $i N C$, see (273) in §10.1.3.4. The base (stem minus reduplicant) and suffixes are identical, segmentally and tonally, to those of the simple imperfective described above.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss } \quad \text { stem } \quad \text { reduplicated imperfective } 3 \mathrm{Sg} \tag{306}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. Cv:- monosyllabic

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 'eat (meal)' } & \text { kó:- } & \text { kò-kó:-1̀ } \\
\text { 'drink' } & \text { nŏ:- } & \text { nò-nó:-ŋ̀ }
\end{array}
$$

b. /H/-melody bisyllabic
'jump' tómbó- tò-tómbó-ŋ̀
'hit' súyó- sù-súyó-ŋ̀
'sit down' éw-yé- è-२éw-yé-ŋ̀
c. /LH/-melody bisyllabic shifting to all-high in imperfective
'leave' dògó- dò-dógó-ì
d. longer /LH/-melody stem preserving initial L-tone
'laugh' màndí- mà-mǎndí-ì
'dream' mònjúró- mò-mònjúró-ŋ̀

A sample paradigm ('sit down') is (307).
(307) 'sit down' (reduplicated imperfective)

| 1 Sg | è-Réw-yè-ı̀̀ | $\sim$ è-Réw-yè-mì |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Pl | è-Réw-yè-mì-y.: |  | [èréwjémì:] |
| 2 Sg | è-Réw-yè-mì ${ }^{W}$ | $\sim$ è-?éw-yè̀-mù |  |
| 2 Pl | è-Réw-yè-mù-W. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | [èréwjémù:] |
| 3 Sg | è-Réw-yé-ì | $\sim$ è-?éw-yê:- ${ }^{n}$ |  |
| 3 Pl | è-?éw-yè-m-غ̀ |  |  |

The reduplicated imperfective can be distinguished from the reduplicated perfective by the presence of H -tones on the stem, and by the L-tone d reduplicant.

See also (637), and yì-yí:-1̀̀ in (755).

### 10.2.2.4 Progressive (-sò-)

A verb form used in progressive contexts involves L-toned AN suffix -sò-, following a stemending in an $\mathrm{L}-$ or $<\mathrm{HL}>$-toned vowel. A short stem-final vowel is also lengthened. The stem vocalism follows the pattern in the imperfective. Light $i$-final stems keep final $i$, while heavy $i$-final stems switch to the non-high stem.

This is likely a historical reflex of an original imperfective morpheme (*-m- or *- $\boldsymbol{\eta}$-) added to the stem before ${ }^{\text {s sò 'have'. I have heard variant pronunciations that still include }}$ overt $-\eta$ - in the progressive: gô:- $\eta$-sò- 'be going out', variant of gô:-sò-. The fuller form suggests a two-word construction parallel to that with imperfective $-\grave{\eta}(-\grave{m})$ plus bù- 'be', see $\S 15.2 .2 .2$. However, the fuller form is no longer in common use, at least in my assistant's speech. See also the discussion of $-\grave{m}-$ s̀̀ in $\S 15.2 .3$, below.

When the verb is clause-initial (i.e. in the absence of an object or other preverbal constituent), so that the verb is the only candidate for focalized status, reduplication ( $C \grave{v}_{-}$) is present.

Progressive forms of non- $i$-final stems are in (308). In monosyllabics and short bisyllabics, the lexical distinction between $/ \mathrm{H} /$ and $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ tones is neutralized, and we get an \{HL\} overlay on the (simple) progressive stem. In $C v: C v$ - bisyllabics and in all trisyllabics, the onset of the (simple) progressive stem respects the lexical melody, followed by $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$. 'Go' shifts from e to $i$, as it does in the imperfective, reflecting ithe hybrid status (final nonhigh or high vowel) of this stem (308b). I hear lengthening of a short stem-final vowel consistently in the $n C v$-stems (308b), inconsistently in other stems (308c-d).

Progressive of non- $i$-final verb

$$
\text { gloss bare stem } \quad \text { simple } \quad \text { progressive } \quad \text { reduplicated }
$$

a. monosyllabic Cv:-

| 'eat' | kó:- | kô:-sò- | kò-kô:-sò- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'lay out' | té:- | $t \hat{\text { ef:-Sò- }}$ | tè-tê:-Sò- |
| 'drink' | nǒ:- | nô:-sò- | ǹ̀-nô:-sò- |

b. $n C V-$

| 'go up' | ǹné | ńdè:-sò- | ì-ńdè:-sò- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| irregular <br> 'go' | ńné | ńnì:-sò- | ì-ńnì:-sò- |

c. bisyllabic

| 'hit' | súyó- | súyò(:)-sò- | sù-súyò(:)-sò- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'leave' | dògó- | dógò(:)-sò- | dò-dógò(:)-sò- |
| 'jump' | tómbó- | tómbò(:)-sò- | tò-tómbò(:)-sò- |
| 'rub on' | dòngó- | dóngò(:)-sò- | dò-dóngò(:)-sò- |
| 'stalk' | yǒ:ró- | yŏ:rò(:)-sò- | yò-yŏ:rò(:)-sò- |

d. trisyllabic 'cut up' yègísé- yègísè(:)-sò- yè-yègísè(:)-sò'dream' mònjúró- mònjúrò(:)-sò- mò-mònjúrò(:)-sò'droop, sag' kóndíyé- kóndíyè(:)-sò- kò-kóndíyè(:)-sò-
$i$-final stems are illustrated in (309). I hear consistent lengthening of the final vowel in the one $n C i-$ verb (309b), and also in the lexically /LH/-toned $C v C C i$ - verbs 'laugh' and 'push' in ( 309 d ), which express the final $<\mathrm{HL}>$ characteristic of the progressive on the stem-final syllable (the first syllable carrying the lexical initial L-tone). In the other verbs, I heard sporadic but inconsistent lengthening of the stem-final vowel, except that the /Cvyi/ (Cvy) verbs 'go in' and 'hear' were always heard as just Cvy (309c). Segmentally, the stem-final $i$ is retained on light stems ( $309 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ), but heavy stems including $C v: C v$ - switch to the non-high stem. If a heavy stem has an initial non-high vowel, its vowel quality is copied on the stemfinal, and if it has only high vowels the stem-final vowel is usually -ATR $\rho$ after $u$, or $\varepsilon$ after $i$.

Progressive of $i$-final verb

gloss stem $\quad$ simple | progressive |
| :--- |
| reduplicated |

a. monosyllabic Ci:-
'see' yǐ:- yî:-sò- yì-yî:-sò-
b. $n C i-$
'give’ ńdí ńdî:-Sò- ì-ńdî:-Sò-
c. $\mathrm{CvCi}-$ 'do' kár ${ }^{n} 1$ - kár${ }^{n} i(:)$-sò- kà-kár ${ }^{n i}(:)$-sò'cover
dèwí- [děw] déwì(:)-sò-dè-déwì(:)-sò'go in'
núy ${ }^{n} 1$ - $\left[n u ́ j{ }^{n}\right]$ nûy ${ }^{n}$-sò-nù-nûy ${ }^{n}$-sò'hear'
nùy ${ }^{n} 1$ í $\left[n u ̌ j^{n}\right]$ nûy ${ }^{n}$-sò-nù-nûy ${ }^{n}$-sò-
d. $\mathrm{CvCCi}-$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 'grill' } & \text { Símbí- } & \text { símbì(:)-sò- } & \text { sì-símbì(:)-sò- } \\ \text { 'laugh' } & \text { màndí- } & \text { màndî:-sò- } & \text { mà-màndî:-sò- }\end{array}$ 'push' dàmbí- dàmbî:-sò- dà-dàmbî:-sò-

| e. $C v: C(C) i-$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'pour over' | tí:rí- | tí:rè(:)-sò- | tìtí:rè(:)-sò- |
| 'stimulate' | pé:ndí- | ṕe:ndè(:)-sò- | pè-pé:ndè(:)-sò- |
| 'help' | bă:rí- | bǎ:rà(:)-sò- | bà-bă:rà(:)-sò- |
| f. trisyllabic |  |  |  |
| 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | kémír ${ }^{n}$ è(:)-sò- | kè-kémír ${ }^{n}$ è(:)-sò- |
| 'winnow' | bègírí- | bègírè(:)-sò- | bè-bègírè(:)-sò- |
| 'scrub' | púgúsí- | púgúṡ̀(:)-sò- | pù-púgúsò(:)-sò- |

The pronominal paradigm is (310). The 1 Pl and 2 Pl suffixes have LHL tone (or pitch) due to the dying-quail effect, see (30a) in §3.8.3, while all other forms have L-tone.
(310) category progressive

| 1 Sg | $-s o ̀-\grave{y}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Pl | $-s o ̀-\grave{y} . \therefore$ | [sòóòj] |
| 2 Sg | $-s o ̀-\grave{W}$ |  |
| 2 Pl | $-s o ̀-\grave{W} .:$ | [sòóòw] |
|  |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{Sg} /$ Inan | $-s \grave{-}-\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | $-s-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |  |

Examples are in (311).

| a. bírá | bírè(:)-Sò-ỳ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | work(n) $\quad$ work-Prog- 1 SgSbj |
|  | 'I am working.' |

b. móndì màndî:-sò-ỳ
laughter laugh-Prog-1SgSbj
'I am laughing.'
c. kèmìr ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon} \quad k \varepsilon ́ m i ́ r n \grave{\varepsilon}(:)-s-\grave{\varepsilon}$
fun have.fun-Prog-3P1Sbj
'They are having fun.'
d. tómbì tómbò(:)-s-̀̀
jump(n) jump-Prog-3P1Sbj
'They are jumping.'

A distinct construction with progressive-like sense is that with imperfective subordinator -ì (becoming -m̀) plus auxiliary bù- 'be' (§15.2.2.2).

### 10.2.3 Negation of indicative verbs

Conjugated stative negative = ǹdó- (§10.4.2) can be added to the progressive suffix -sò (§10.2.3.5). Other than this, the regular negative counterparts of the perfective positive
system and of the imperfective positive system are portmanteaus that replace any positive inflectional suffixes.

Reduplication is not allowed in negative verb forms.

### 10.2.3.1 Perfective negative -rí- (3Pl -ndú-)

For practical purposes, there is a single negative perfective-system form, that with suffix -rí- added directly to the non-high stem of the verb (regardless of its prosodic weight). This can negate any of the positive perfective-system forms: simple perfective, reduplicated perfective, perfective-1a - $\varepsilon$ r̀̀̀-, perfective-1b -tì-, perfective- 2 -só-, and recent perfect $j \grave{\varepsilon}$-.

```
a. nă: k\grave{:-rí-\varnothing}
    meal eat-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
    'He/She hasn't eaten (yet).'
```

b. $\check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad g o ̀:-r i ́-y$
$1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad$ go.out-PfvNeg- 1 SgSbj
'I didn't go (= haven't gone) out.'
c. $\left[k{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ kámâ] yè-rí-y
[thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ each/any] see-PfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I didn't see anything.'
The plural-subject ( $1 \mathrm{Pl}, 2 \mathrm{Pl}, 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ ) forms are structurally distinct from those for singular subjects. For 1 Pl and 2 Pl , the differences are tonal. For 3Pl, the differences are more substantial. The plural forms are covered at the end of this section, after the description of the singular forms.

In the singular-subject forms, the stem drops tones to $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ before the H -toned -ri -.
If the verb already ends in a non-high vowel $\{e \varepsilon$ aっo $\}$, the only change to the stem is tone-dropping (313). The only exceptional stem is ' go ', which shifts from +ATR $e$ to -ATR $\varepsilon$ (313b).
stem perfective negative gloss
a. monosyllabic $C v$ :

| bě:- | bè:-rí- | 'remain' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gǒ:- | gò:-rí- | 'go out' |
| jě:- | jè:-rí- | 'bring' |
| ká:- | kà:-rí- | 'shave' |
| yě:- | yè:-ríl | 'come' |

b. $n C v$
ǹdé- ǹdè-rí- 'go up'
irregular
ńné- ǹnè-r $n_{1 i ́ l}^{\text {ne }} \quad$ 'go'
c. bisyllabic

| yògó- | yògò-rí- | 'run' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tómbó- | tòmbò-rí- | 'jump' |
| ké:ndé- | kè:ndè-rí- | 'make well' |

d. longer stems
mònjúró- mònjùrò-rí- 'dream'
pígíré- pìgìrè-rí- 'screw in'
$i$-final verbs, whether light or heavy, must shift to the non-high stem. Verbs with only high vowels generally show final -ATR $\varepsilon$ or $\rho$ in available examples ( $314 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}$ ). The Cuy- and Ciy- (Ci:) verbs likewise appear to add $\varepsilon$ or $\rho$ before -rí- (314c). This could be considered evidence in favor an otherwise doubtful bisyllabic representation for these stems. 'See' additionally shortens its vowel before -rí- (314e).

```
stem perfective negative gloss
```

a. final $i$, bisyllabic, lexical non-high vowel present

| ónjí- | ònjò-rí- | 'urinate' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| págí- | pàgà-rí- | 'tie' |
| dèwí ([děw]) | dèwè-rí- | 'cover' |
| dèní- | dènè- $r^{n} 1$ - | 'tamp' |
| jòní- | jònò-rní- | 'cure' |

b. final $i$, bisyllabic, no lexical non-high vowel present
nùní- nù̀ò-r $r^{n} 1 ́-\quad$ 'sing'
dùní- dùyò-rní- 'put down'
tíyí- tìmè-rníl 'speak'
tímbí- tìmbè-rí- 'put lid on'
c. $\operatorname{Cuy}(i)-, C i y(i)-$
nǔy ${ }^{n}(1)$ - nùy ${ }^{n} \mathfrak{j}-r^{n} 1 ́-\quad$ 'hear'
núy ${ }^{n}(1)-\quad$ nù̀ ${ }^{n} \grave{j}-r^{n} 1$ í- 'go in'
túy(í)- tùyò-rí- 'put down'
tíy(î)- tìyè-rí- 'send'
d. $n C i-$
ńdí- ǹdè-rí- 'give'
e. irregular
y̌̌:- yè-rí- 'see'

Trisyllabics, all of them i-final, are in (315). Again, stems that have only high vowels mostly have final -ATR $\rho$ and $\varepsilon$ (315b).
stem perfective negative gloss
a. final $i$, trisyllabic, lexical non-high vowel

| táyá-ndí- | tàyàndà-rí- | 'ignite (fire)' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bègírí- | bègìrè-rí- | 'winnow' |
| dèní-r ${ }^{n} 1$ - | dènì-r ${ }^{n} \grave{\Sigma}-r^{n} 1$ - | 'dislodge (stuck flour)' |
| wògírí- | wògùrò-rí- | 'take grain out of mortar' |
| kónúr ${ }^{\text {ní- }}$ | kònù ${ }^{n} \grave{\grave{\prime}}$-r ${ }^{n} 1$ - | 'gnaw (bones)' |

b. final $i$, no lexical non-high vowel present

| kúgírí- | kùgùr̀̀-rí- | 'skim' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| írí-yí- [írí:] | ìrì-yè-rí- | 'get up' |

c. Fulfulde loanwords

| yúrúmí- yùrùmè-rní- | 'pity' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sógíní- | sògìnè-r $r^{n} 1$ - | 'take to pasture at night' |

Causative -mí- becomes -mè- before -rí- (hence -mè-rní-), regardless of the vocalism of the preceding stem (316).

| stem | perfective negative | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bàr ${ }^{\text {ná-ndíyé-mí- }}$ | bàr ${ }^{n}$ à-ndìyè -mè-r ${ }^{n} 1$ Í- | 'make red' |
| jèmé-mí- |  | 'make black' |
| tómbó-mí- | tòmbò-mè-r ${ }^{n} 1$ - | 'cause to jump' |
| éw-yé-mí- | è W-yè-mèr-r ${ }^{n}$ Í- | 'seat, have sit' |

Stems ending in minor causative allomorphs, the reversive suffix, or the mediopassive suffix, are treated for inflectional purposes just like underived trisyllabics.

The suffixal $r$ of the perfective negative suffix is subject to Nasalization-Spreading (§3.5.1.1), hence $-r^{n} 1$ - after a nasal syllable (one containing a nasalized vowel, or beginning with a prevocalic nasal consonant or nasalized sonorant) (317a). The Cuy-verbs are expanded to Cuyv- before -rí- (see above), and since two of them begin with $n$ they expand as nùy ${ }^{n} \dot{\jmath}$ - in this inflection and therefore induce Nasalization-Spreading on the suffix (317b). 'Go in' and 'hear', which are elsewhere distinguished tonally, merge in the perfective negative.

| stem | gloss | perfective negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. nàr ${ }^{n}$ á- | 'bear (child)' | nàr ${ }^{n}$ à-r ${ }^{n}$ í- |
| tá: ${ }^{n}$ - | 'spread fingers' | tà: ${ }^{n}-r^{n} i_{1}-$ |
| $n i ́ y n{ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-$ | 'sleep' | $n \grave{y}{ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-r^{n} 1$ |
| nǒ:- | 'drink' | nò:-rní- |
| b. núy ${ }^{n}$ - | 'go in' | $n u ̀ y^{n} \grave{j}-r^{n} 1$ Í- |
| nǔy ${ }^{n}$ - | 'hear' | nù ${ }^{n}$ ò- $r^{n} 1$ Í- |

We now consider the singular pronominal-subject paradigm of -rí- (318) In forms with $3 \mathrm{Sg}-\varnothing, 1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$, and $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$, the stem is tone-dropped as illustrated above, and the suffix
is -rí-. In the 1 Sg and 2 Sg , the suffixal semivowel extends the H-tone of the AN suffix. 2 Sg /-rí-ẃ/ assimilates to -rú-ẃ (phonetic [rú:]).

| category | perfective negative |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 1 Sg | $-r i ́-y ́$ |
| 2 Sg | $-r u ́-W$ |
| 3 Sg | $-r i ́-\varnothing$ |

To complete the exposition of the singular-subject forms, sample paradigms are given in (319). 'Go up' (ǹdé-) and irregular 'give' (ńdí-) have homophonous perfective negatives.
(319) Partial sample paradigms (perfective negative)

| 1 Sg | 2 Sg | 3 Sg | stem | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ èrí-ý | yè-rú-w | $y \grave{\varepsilon}-r i ́-\varnothing$ | yǐ:- | 'see' |
| yè:-rí-ý | yè:-rú-w | yè:-rí- $\varnothing$ | gǒ:- | 'come' |
| gò:-rí-ý | gò:-rú-w | gò:-rí- $\varnothing$ | yě:- | 'go out' |
| ǹnè- ${ }^{n} 11-y^{n}$ | ǹnè- $r^{n} u ́-W^{n}$ | ǹnè- ${ }^{n} 1$ íl $\varnothing$ | ńné- | 'go' |
| ǹdè-ríly | ǹdè-rú-Ẃ | ǹdè-rí- $\varnothing$ | ǹdé- | 'go up' |
| ǹdè-rí-ý | ǹdè-rú-Ẃ | ǹdè-rí- $\varnothing$ | ńdí- | 'give' |
| bàyà-rí-ý | bàyà-rú-w | bàyà-rí- $\varnothing$ | bàyá- | 'be cured' |
| gò-ndò-rí-ý | gò-ndò-rú-W | gò-ndò-rí- $\varnothing$ | gò-ndó- | 'take out' |
| kùwò-mè-r ${ }^{n} 1$ - $y^{n}$ | kùwò-mè-rnú- $\hat{W}^{n}$ | kùwò-mè-r ${ }^{n} 1$ - $\varnothing$ | kúwó-mí- | 'burn' |
| tàyà-ndà-rí-ý | tàクà-ndà-rú-ẃ | tànà-ndà-rí- $\varnothing$ | táyá-ndí- | 'ignite' |
| gìsè-rí-ý | gìsè-rú-ẃ | gìsè-rí- $\varnothing$ | gìsé- | 'throw' |

1 Pl and 2 Pl show vowel-length and tonal changes, but are otherwise segmentally identical to the corresponding singulars (with $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$ and $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ ). If not already long, the stem-final vowel is lengthened before the perfective negative suffix. The stem has $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ overlay, which is realized as $<\mathrm{LH}>$ (rising) on a monosyllabic stem, as L.H on a bisyllabic, as L.L.H on a trisyllabic, etc. This $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ can arguably be decomposed into a remnant of the $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ perfective negative overlay also seen in singular-subject forms, plus an additional stem-final H -tone. In this analysis, the $1 \mathrm{Pl} / 2 \mathrm{Pl}$ version takes the $1 \mathrm{Sg} / 2 \mathrm{Sg}$ input, applies an $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on the portion of the word beginning with the final syllable (or monosyllabic mora) of the stem, and lengthens the stem-final vowel. For example, 1 Sg yè-rí-ý 'I did not see' corresponds to 1 Pl [jě:rì:] 'we did not see'. The latter is transcribed yè-rí-ý. to bring out the fact that it is an "intonational" modification of the 1 Sg form. I do not hear 1 Pl -rì-y or 2 Pl -rù-w suffixes themselves as longer than their singular counterparts. See (30d) in §3.8.3.

Examples below of the 1 Pl (320) and 2 Pl (321) show the phonetic realizations in brackets.
1Pl (1Sg plus $\therefore$ 1Pl phonetic gloss
a. monosyllabic

| yè-rí-y.: | [jě:rì:] | 'see' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yè:-rí-y.: | [jĕ:rì:] | 'come' |
| gò:-ríly.: | [gŏ:rì:] | 'go out' |
| $t a ̀:-r i ́-y .: ~$ | $[$ tă:rì:] | 'shoot' |

b. bisyllabic

| ı̀nè- ${ }^{n} 1$ í-y. | [ǹné:rnì] | 'go' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ǹdé:rì:] | 'go up' |
| ǹd $\grave{\varepsilon}-r i ́-y .: ~$ | [ǹdé:rì:] | 'give' |
| bàyà-rí-y: | [bàjá:ìi:] | 'be cured |
| gisè-ríly.: | [gisé:rì:] | 'throw' |
| gò-ndò-rí-y: | [gòndó:rì:] | 'take out' |

c. trisyllabic

| kùwò-mè- $r^{n} 1$ - - : | [kùwòmé: $\mathrm{n}^{\text {nìi }}$ ] | 'burn' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tàyà-ndà-rí-y.: | [tànàndá:ìì] | 'ignite |
| 2Pl (2Sg plus . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 2Pl phonetic | gloss |

a. monosyllabic

| yè-rú-w.: | [jě:cù:] | 'see' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yè:-rú-w.: | [jě:cù:] | 'come' |
| gò:-rú-W:: | [gŏ:cù:] | 'go out' |
| tà:-rú-w $: \therefore$ | $[$ tă:cù:] | 'shoot' |

b. bisyllabic

| ǹnè- $r^{n} u$ ú- $W^{n}$ : | [ǹné: ${ }^{\text {nu}}$ : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'go' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ǹdè-rú-w.: | [ǹdé:cù:] | 'go up' |
| ǹdè-rú-w.: | [ǹdé:rù:] | 'give' |
| bà̀à-rú-w.: | [bàjá:rù:] | 'be cured' |
| gisè-rú-w.: | [gisé:rù:] | 'throw' |
| gò-ndò-rú-w. | [gòndó:cù:] | 'take out' |
| risyllabic |  |  |
| kùwò-mè-rnú-W | [kùwòmé:rnù:] | 'burn' |
| tàyà-ndà-rú-w. | [tàyàndá:iù:] | 'ignite' |

The 3 Pl form diverges sharply from the rest of the paradigm, since the perfective negative portmanteau (elsewhere -rí-) combines with 3 Pl to produce a higher-level portmanteau -ndú. The preceding stem is L-toned as in the singular-subject forms.

Additional segmental changes occur in the stem-final vowel before the 3 Pl portmanteau. They point to underlying 3 Pl suffix /-àndú/, with initial /a/ that is manifested in certain combinations. Cross-cutting this is a process by which final $\{e \varepsilon\}$ are rounded to $\{00\}$. One might attribute the rounding to the influence of suffixal $u$, but there is no productive rule of this type, and data from other Dogon languages point to stem-final ablaut in the 3 Pl perfective negative. Moreover, in some cases it appears that -(à)ndú is added to a form of the stem
whose vocalism is consistent with that of the singular-subject perfective negative forms (i.e. before -rí-) rather than that of the bare stem, to the extent the two can be distinguished.

Regarding the possible allomorph /-àndú/, note $3 \mathrm{Pl} C \varepsilon ̀ a ̀-n d u ́-f o r ~ t h e ~ C e ́:-~ s t e m s ~ ' s h i n e ' ~$ and 'sprout' in (322a), and the shift of final $/ \varepsilon /$ to $a$ in most bisyllabic and longer stems, e.g. 'obtain' and 'take handful'. The $/ \varepsilon /$ that shifts to a is specifically the $/ \varepsilon /$ of the perfective negative stem, not that of the bare stem form, for 'give' (322b), irregular 'see' (322c), and 'fear' and 'take handful' in (322e), all of which have final $i$ in the bare stem. The alternative rounding and backing of $\{/ \mathrm{e} \varepsilon /\}$ to $\{o \rho\}$ is relatively systematic in the case of $/ \mathrm{e} />o$, being obligatory in monosyllabics, see 'come' and 'bring' in (322a), and common in spite of considerable phonetic variation (from my assistant) in the stem-final e of longer stems like those at the end of (322d). 'Go' (322b) shows the shift of $/ \varepsilon /$ to $\rho$ in 3 Pl ǹǹ̀-ndú, based on perfective negative ìnè-r ${ }^{n}$ í-, already shifted from lexical e to $\varepsilon$.

> stem
perfective negative
gloss
singular 3 Pl
a. monosyllabic $C v$ :-

| gǒ:- | gò:-rí- | gò:-ndú | 'go out' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jě:- | jè:-rí- | jò:-ndú | 'bring' |
| yě:- | yè:-rí- | yò:-ndú | 'come' |
| ká:- | kà:-rí- | kà:-ndú | 'shave' |
| tદ́:- | tè:-rí- | tèà-ndú- | 'sprout' |
| ké:- | kè:-rí- | kèà-ndú | 'shine' |
| kó:- | $k \grave{:-r i ́-~}$ | kj̀:-ndú | 'eat (meal)' |

b. $n C V-$
ńné- ǹnè-rníl ìnう̀-ndú 'go'
ǹdé- ǹdè-rí- ǹdà-ndú 'go up'
ńdí- ǹdè-rí- ǹdà-ndú 'give’
c. 'see' (irregular)
yǐ:- yè-rí- yà:-ndú 'see'
d. bisyllabic or longer, ending in non-high vowel

| bàyá- | bàyà-rí- | bàyà-ndú | 'be cured' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yògó- | yògò-rí- | yògò-ndú | 'tun' |
| gò̀-ndó- | gò-ndò-rí- | gò-ndò-ndú | 'take out' |
| bèré- | bèrè-rí- | bèrà̀-ndú | 'obtain' |
| gìsé- | gìsè-rí- | gìsè-ndú~ gìsò-ndú 'throw' |  |
| sígé- | sigè̀-rí- | sìgò-ndú~ sùgò-ndú | 'go down' |
| péré- | pèrè-rí- | pèrè-ndú~pèrò-ndú | 'jump (off)' |
| mèngìré- | mèngìrè-rí- | mèngìrò-ndú | 'make into balls' |

e. bisyllabic or longer, ending in high vowel

| ú:-yí- | ù:-yè-rí- | ù:-yà-ndú | 'fear' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| пйу ${ }^{\text {n- }}$ |  | nùy ${ }^{n}$ j̀-ndú | 'hear' |
| pénjí- | pènjè-rí- | pènjà-ndú | 'take handful' |
| kúwó-mí- | kùwò-mè-r ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | kùwò-mò-ndú | 'burn' |
| tápá-ndí- | tànà-ndà-rí- | tàyà-ndà-ndú | 'ignite' |

### 10.2.3.2 Experiential perfect negative $V b^{\mathrm{L}}$ tà:-rí-

For the sense 'have never Vb-ed', perfective negative -rí- is added to the auxiliary verb tá:-, which is chained to the preceding main verb (§10.1.2.3.2). A peculiarity of this combination, in Nanga and some other Dogon languages, is that the preceding main verb is also tonedropped. A tonosyntactically revealing notation would be e.g. [ìnè tà:] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$-rí-y in (323a), but I generally use the more informal notation in (323a-c) to avoid word-internal superscripts.
a. bàmàkó ìnè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tà:-rí-y
Bamako go ${ }^{\mathbf{L}} \quad$ ExpPerf-PfvNeg-1 SgSbj
'I have never gone to Bamako.' (< п́né-)
b. yî: sù̀̀̀ $^{\mathrm{L}}$ tà:-rí-y.:
child $\quad$ hit $^{\text {L }} \quad$ ExpPrf-PfvNeg-1PlSbj
'We have never struck a child.' (< súyó-)
c. pír-à:ndì [pàyá nò],

Fulbe [power 3SgPoss],
dógô- $\eta \quad$ gə̀r $n^{n} \grave{\jmath}^{\mathrm{L}}$ tà:-rí- $\varnothing$
Dogon-Acc be.stronger ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ExpPrf-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'Fulbe, their might was never stronger than Dogon.' (2004.01.10) (<gòr ${ }^{n}$ ó-)

### 10.2.3.3 Recent perfect negative ( $j \grave{\varepsilon}-r_{1}^{\prime}-$ )

Recent perfect $j \grave{\varepsilon}$ - (§10.2.1.5), which can also mean 'have finished VP-ing', is often negated by the simple perfective negative -rí- without $j \grave{\varepsilon}$-. In other words, in the negative it is not usually distinguished from other subtypes of the perfective. Therefore the usual negative answer to the question nǎ: kó: j $\grave{\varepsilon}$-ฟ̀ mà 'have you-Sg already eaten a meal?' is simply kò:-rí-y 'I have not eaten'.

However, it is possible to elicit examples with recent perfect $j \dot{\varepsilon}$ - followed by the perfective negative suffix to produce $j \grave{\varepsilon}-r i ́$-. The perfective negative form $j \grave{\varepsilon}$-rí- is usually understood to mean 'have not finished (VP-ing)', as in nǎ: kó: jè-rí-y 'I have not finished eating the meal'. The 3 Pl subject form is nǎ: kó: jà:-ndú 'they have not finished eating'.

These examples show that the main verb is not tone-dropped in the negative. We therefore hear H-toned kó: 'eat' in the negative examples just given, as in the corresponding positive (kó: $j \grave{\varepsilon}-y$ 'I have eaten'). In this respect, the recent perfect differs from the experiential perfect, which includes the main verb in the domain of tone-dropping (preceding section).

### 10.2.3.4 Imperfective negative -ŋว̀(:)-

The all-purpose negation of imperfectives ('doesn't VP', 'isn't VP-ing', 'will not VP') is formed by adding the imperfective negative suffix to the bare stem of prosodically light verbs, and to the non-high stem of heavy verbs. This is the same stem vocalism as in the imperfective positive. The suffix has a long-voweled form -ŋ̀̀:- for third person categories
（3Sg－ŋŋ̀：－$\varnothing, 3 \mathrm{Pl}-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}:$ ），but the $1 \mathrm{st} / 2$ nd person forms are based on short－voweled $-\eta \grave{\jmath}-$ ，which is followed by the suffixal semivowel（ $1 \mathrm{Sg}-\eta \grave{\jmath}-y^{n}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-\eta \grave{\jmath}-W^{n \prime}$ ）．

The tones are the same as for the（positive）imperfective．Since the imperfective negative suffix is always syllabic，the comparison is with the suffixally syllabic imperfective forms （i．e．not with 3 Sg ）．The mergers of $/ \mathrm{H} /$ and $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ light stems in imperfectives of the non－$i$－final class also apply in the imperfective negative．

The pronominal－subject paradigm of－ŋ̀̀：－is given in（324），with＇run＇as the example in the right－hand column．The $\therefore$ symbol indicates dying－quail intonation in the 1 Pl and 2 Pl ．In the imperfective negative，$\therefore$ is expressed as prolongation and［LHL］pitch on the suffixal syllable，see（30a）in §3．8．3．
category imperfective negative＇run＇

| 1Sg | －$\quad$ ¢̀－$y^{n}$ |  | yógò－ŋŋ̀－$y^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Pl | $-\eta \grave{o}-y^{n} . \therefore$ | ［\òóòj］ | yógò－ทゝ̀－$y^{n}$ ． |
| 2 Sg | $-\eta \grave{-} W^{n}$ |  | yógò－クゝे－W ${ }^{n}$ |
| 2 Pl | $-\eta \grave{0}-W^{n} . \therefore$ | ［yòóòw］ | yógò－クゝ̀－W ${ }^{n}$ ． |
| 3 Sg | －ŋ̀：－$\varnothing$ |  | yógò－ŋò：－$\varnothing$ |
| 3 Pl | $-\eta-\varepsilon$ ： |  | yógò－ŋ－غ̀： |

（325）shows that the imperfective negative，like the imperfective positive，merges／LH／and ／H／melodies for light verbs．
（325）
Imperfective negative（light non－$i$－final stem）
stem imperfective negative gloss
a．monosyllabic
／LH／melody

| gǒ：－ | gô：－ŋŋ̀：－ | ＇go out＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nǒ：－ | nô：－п̀：－ | ＇drink＇ |
| bě：－ | bê：－ŋ̀：－ | ＇remain＇ |
| jě：－ | jê：－ŋゝ：－ | ＇bring＇ |
| wǒ：－ | wô：－ŋゝ̀：－ | ＇catch＇ |
| yě：－ | yê：－ŋ̀：－ | ＇come＇ |
| ／H／melody |  |  |
| tá：－ | tâ：－nò：－ | ＇shoot＇ |
| tó：－ | tô：－ŋ̀：－ | ＇sow＇ |

b．$C v C V$
／LH／melody

| yògó－ | yógò－クò：－ | ＇run＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dògó－ | dógò－ŋゝ：－ | ＇leave＇ |
| bàrá－ | bárà－ŋว̀：－ | ＇gather＇ |
| ／H／melody |  |  |
| péré－ | pérè－クò：－ | ＇jump（off）＇ |
| tóró－ | tórò－ŋò：－ | ＇pound＇ |

c．$n C V$
／LH／melody ǹdé－ńdè－クò：－＇go up＇
d． CvCCv
／LH／melody gòmbó－gómbò－クò：－＇pull in（stomach）＇ gùnjó－gúnjò－ŋว̀：－＇harvest（peanuts）＇
／H／melody tónjó－tónjò－ŋ̀̀：－＇bend，flex’ éw－yé－éw－yè－ŋゝ̀：－＇sit down’

Heave non－i－final verbs maintain the lexical distinction of $/ \mathrm{H} /$ and $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ melodies（326）．
（326）Imperfective negative（heavy stem ending in non－high vowel）
stem imperfective negative gloss
a．$C v: C v$
／LH／melody yǒ：ró－
／H／melody sé：ré－sé：rè－nò：－＇encounter＇
b．trisyllabic
／LH／melody mònjúró－mònjúrò－ŋว̀：－＇dream’ ／H／melody pígíré－pígírè－－ŋ̀̀：－＇screw in＇
$i$－final verbs respect the lexical／H／versus／LH／distinction，even for light stems．As in the imperfective positive，light stems of this class keep the final $i$（327）．
（327）Imperfective negative（ $i$－final light stem）
stem imperfective negative gloss
a．Cvy
／H／melody
núy ${ }^{n}$－núy ${ }^{n}$－ŋว̀：－＇go in＇
túy－túy－クò：－＇put down＇
／LH／melody ［for nǔy＂－＇hear＇see（328a）below］
b．$n C i$
／H／melody
ńdí－ńdì－クò：－＇give＇
／H／melody，irregular，NCé－shifting to NCí－
ńné－ńní－ŋò：－＇go’

```
c. CvCi
/LH/ melody
        gàr"íl- gàrní-\etaò:- 'put (in)'
        dèwí- dèwí-\etaò:- 'cover'
/H/ melody
        kární- kárnì-\etaò:- 'do, make'
    d. CvCCi
    /LH/ melody
        màndí-
        gànjí-
        /H/ melody
        sándí-
        tú\etagí- tú\etagì-\etaò:- 'rest (one's head)'
```

Quite irregular are two perception verbs (y̌̌:- 'see' and nǔyn- 'hear'). Each of these has an L-toned stem and an H-toned imperfective negative suffix. In addition, the stem is shortened to $C v$ - and the suffix has a short vowel even in the third person (328). For 'see', the initial $y$ is nasalized to $n$ by a unique (for Nanga) case of Backward Nasalization (§3.5.1.2), and the vowel is irregularly backed and rounded to $u$, perhaps by analogy to the corresponding form of 'hear'.
a. Cvy
/LH/ melody nǔyn- nù-ŋó- 'hear'
(alongside regular variant nǔy ${ }^{n}$ - $\mathfrak{y}$ ò:-)
b. $C v$ :
/LH/ melody

yǐ:- | nù-nó- |
| :---: |
| (alongside regular variant yǐ:-nò:-) |

In heavy $i$-final stems, the $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ versus $/ \mathrm{H} /$ melodic distinction reappears. These heavy stems switch to the non-high stem before -ŋ̀̀:-, as in other imperfective inflections (329).
(329) Imperfective negative (i-final heavy stem)
stem imperfective negative gloss
a. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{Ci}$
/LH/ melody dǎ:rí- dǎ:rà-ŋ̀̀:- 'dare’ $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1 ́-\quad g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\eta \grave{j}:-\quad$ 'take away, convey'
/H/ melody
káríl-
ká:rà-ŋò:-
'rip'
tó:rí- tó:rò-ŋò:- 'authorize’
b. trisyllabic, final $i$, non-high vowel in stem

| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bègírí- | bègírè-クò:- | 'sift' |
| jèmbírí' | jèmbírè-ŋò:- | 'hit off-center' |
| wùró-gí- | wùró-gò-ŋò:- | 'awaken' |
| gàgírí- | gàgírà-ŋò:- | 'rub into the ground' |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| tígí-rí- | tígírè-nò:- | '(griot) call out names' |
| kégírí- | kégírè-クò:- | 'trim off' |

As in other imperfectives, an $i$-final stem with only high vowels usually has final -ATR $\varepsilon$ or $\rho$ before the suffix (330).
(330) Imperfective negative (heavy stem with high vowels and final $i$ )
stem imperfective negative gloss
a. with $\varepsilon$

| í:-rí- | í:-rè-ŋò:- | 'cause to stop' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yúrúmí- | yúrúmè-ŋ̀:- | 'pity' (cf. noun yúrúmè) |

b. with $\rho$
pú:rí- pú:rò-ŋว̀:- 'frisk'
súmúr ${ }^{n} 1$ I- $\quad$ súmúr${ }^{n} \grave{\jmath}-\eta \grave{\jmath}:-\quad$ 'rest (v)'
10.2.3.5 Progressive negative - sò $=n d o ̀-$

Progressive -sò- does have a special negative form -sò=ndò-, ending with an L-toned version of the conjugatable stative negative clitic $=$ ǹdó- (§10.4.2).

| $\left[k \grave{j}^{\text {L }}\right.$ | kámâ] | yî:-sò = ndò-ỳ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [thing ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | each/any] | see-Progr $=$ StatNeg-1 SgSbj |
| 'I don't | anything.' |  |

The stem has the same form as in the positive progressive. The -sò- suffix is invariant in this construction, except that the 3 Pl subject is doubly marked, both with -sò- and with the following clitic, resulting in $-s-\bar{\varepsilon}=n d-\grave{\varepsilon}$. In the 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms, $-s \grave{o}=n d \grave{o}-$ plus dying-quail intonation is realized as [-sòóńdòò-], as both the penult and the final are lengthened, and as the [...HL] pitch of the dying-quail effect is expressed as [...H] on the penult and L on the final. See (30b) in §3.8.3. The full paradigm is (332).

| category | form | with 'see' | with 'hit' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | $-s o ̀=n d \grave{o}-\grave{y}$ | $y \hat{1} \cdot-s o ̀=n d o ̀-\grave{y}$ | súyò:-sò = ndò-ỳ |
| 1Pl | -sò = ndò-ỳ . $\quad$ [-sòóńdòòj] | yî:-sò = ndò-ỳ $\therefore$ | súyò:-sò = ndò-ỳ $:$ : |
| 2 Sg | $-s o ̀=n d o ̀-\bar{W}$ | yî:-sò = ndò- $-\grave{L}$ | súyò:-sò = ndò- $-\grave{W}$ |
| 2 Pl | - sò $=$ ndò- W.$\therefore$ [-sòóńdòòw] | yî:-sò $=n d \grave{o}-\grave{W} . \therefore$ | súyò:-sò = ndò-Ẁ . |


| 3 Sg | $-s \grave{o}=n d o ̀-\varnothing$ | $y \hat{1}:-s o ̀=n d o ̀-\varnothing$ | súyò：－sò $=n d o ̀-\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3Pl | $-s-\grave{\varepsilon}=n d-\grave{\varepsilon}$ | $y \hat{1}:-s-\grave{\varepsilon}=n d-\grave{\varepsilon}$ | súy $:-s-\grave{\varepsilon}=n d-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |

## 10．3 Pronominal paradigms for indicative verbs

## 10．3．1 Subject pronominal suffixes

The forms used to index subject pronominal category on verbs and some other predicators are in（333）．The third person forms are used for inanimate as well as animate referents．The symbol $\therefore$ refers to the dying－quail intonational effect，which converts 1 Sg to 1 Pl and 2 Sg to 2 Pl ，by applying duration and pitch modifications to the singulars，with some details specific to particular inflectional categories（§3．8．3）．
category suffix

| 1 Sg | $-y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Pl | $-y \therefore$ |
| 2 Sg | $-W$ |
| 2 Pl | $-W .:$ |

$3 \mathrm{Sg} \quad-\varnothing$ ，except 3Sg imperfective portmanteau $-\grave{\eta}$
3Pl［see below］
The third person forms are more difficult to analyse．The 3 Sg can generally be taken as $-\varnothing$ ， but in the positive imperfective we get a kind of portmanteau $-\eta$（or just $-^{n}$ ，i．e．vowel nasalization）rather than the expected \＃－m－$\varnothing$ ．I have analysed the 3 Sg perfective positive as having $-\varnothing$ added to the E－stem for some verbs，but one might argue instead for a suffix－e $\sim-\varepsilon$ ．

The 3 Pl category is expressed in a variety of ways that resist unified treatment．Using 1 Sg and 1 Pl for comparison（ $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ and $2 \mathrm{Pl}-W \therefore$ are exactly parallel），note the 3 Sg versus 3 Pl alternations across various AN categories in（334）．The－̀̀ $\sim \grave{o} \sim$ à 3 Pl ending in the simple perfective has an interesting resemblance to the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{O}$－stem in the imperative（§10．6．1．1）． However， 3 Pl －à or even－yà elsewhere follows suffixes（perfective－1a／－1b，recent perfect， past），eliminating stem－ablaut as a general explanation for 3 Pl forms．

| category | 1 Sg | 1P1 | 3 Sg | 3 Pl | suffix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unsuffixed Pfv | －y | －ỳ $:$ | （è $\|\grave{\varepsilon}\| \grave{i})-\varnothing$ | －òlòlà |  |
| perfective－1a | －èrè̀－ỳ | －غ̀rè－ ¢ $^{\text {．}}$ | －غ̀ṙ̀－$\varnothing$ | －èr－à | －èrrè－ |
| perfective－1b | －tì－y | －tì－ỳ． | －tì－$\varnothing$ | －tì－yà | －tì－ |
| perfective－2 | －só－ý | －só－ý．： | －só－$\varnothing$ | －S－غ́ | －só－ |
| recent perfect | －jè－${ }^{\text {c }}$ | －jè－ỳ． | －jè－$\varnothing$ | －j－à | －jè－ |
| PfvNeg | －rílý | －rí－ý： | －rí－$\varnothing$ | －ndú | －rí－ |
| imperfective | －m̀－（i） | －m－i ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | －r） | $-m-\grave{\varepsilon}$ | －m－ |
| progressive | －sò－ỳ | －sò－${ }^{\text {y }}$ ． | －sò－$\varnothing$ | $-S-\varepsilon$ ¢ | －sò－ |
| IpfvNeg | －ŋゝ̀－у | －ŋゝे－$y^{n} . \therefore$ | －ŋ̀̀：－$\varnothing$ | $-\eta-\varepsilon$ ： | －ŋゝ̀：－ |
| past | －bè－y | －bı̀－y．： | －bè－$\varnothing$ | －b－à | －bè－ |

3 Pl subjects also allow verb agreement in some constructions that do not allow it for any other subject category. This is the case in factive complements of verbs like 'know', see (634c) in §17.2.1. Similarly, some types of relative clauses allow participial animate plural agreement with animate plural head NPs, see (519b) in §14.1.7.3.

### 10.3.2 Nonhuman/inanimate versus 3 Sg subject

There is no distinction between animate 3 Sg and nonhuman or inanimate third person subjects in ordinary verbal inflection.

However, the 'it is’ clitic has an inanimate subject form $=w$ (§11.2.1.1). 'It is' clitics are also part of the passive construction, so inanimate $=y \varepsilon ̀-w$ is part of its paradigm (§10.5.1.4).

### 10.3.3 Vowel-semivowel interactions of AN and pronominal suffixes

What I write as $u w$ and iy are, in syllable-final position, pronounced [u:] and [i:] by Monophthongization (§3.5.7.2). Assimilations of a short high vowel to a nonhomorganic following semivowel (§3.5.7.1) can feed into Monophthongization.

Perfective- 1 b -tì- combines with $2 \mathrm{Sg}-W$ as $-t u ̀-\bar{W}$ more often than as $-t i ̀-W$. Likewise, perfective negative $-r^{\prime}-$ has a 2 Sg form -rú-ẃ. The 2 Pl forms have the same vowel quality.

Quasi-verb bù- 'be' combines with $1 \mathrm{Sg}-y$ as $b i ̀-\grave{y} \sim b u ̀-y$. . The 1 Pl form has the same vowel qualities (§11.2.2.2).

### 10.3.4 Tones of pronominal-subject suffixes

Pronominal-subject suffixes that occur in indicative categories lack intrinsic tones. The nonzero suffixes except 3 Pl each consist of a sonorant $-y$ or $-w$, whose tone is acquired by spreading from the preceding vowel. 3 Pl variants, including the only syllabic allomorph (-yà in perfective-1b -tì-yà), can also be analysed as atonal, getting their surface L-tone by spreading from the left. The same is true of imperative plural-addressee -ndì.

### 10.4 Stative form of verbs (reduplicated and unreduplicated)

### 10.4.1 Stative positive

Verbs of stance (sitting, standing, etc.), holding and carrying, and certain others like 'be closed', are used in both active ('sit down') and stative ('be sitting, be seated') contexts. The regular indicative conjugations (perfective and imperfective) are used in the active sense. In stative function, denoting a stable position, the (reduplicated or unreduplicated) stative inflection is used. Statives make no aspectual distinctions, falling outside of the perfective and imperfective systems that apply to active verbs.

The reduplicated stative has an initial $C \bar{v}$ - reduplication (H-toned), followed by an L-toned form of the A/O-stem. The final vowel is $o$ for +ATR stems. It is a or $\rho$ for -ATR stems, with $\rho$ only used following another $\rho$ in the stem, so $a$ is the default.

For those stems that contain a transparent $-y v$ - suffix in the active forms, the suffix is omitted in the reduplicated stative. The criterion for transparency is syllabic in nature; the
stem minus the $-y v$ - formative must be $C v C v$ - or $C v C$ - (335b-c). Original *Cv-yv-derivatives with just a monomoraic ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cv}$ - stem have arguably become unsegmentable Cvyv-, and in any event maintain the second syllable in the stative form to satisfy the bisyllabic shape requirement (335d). This is also arguably the case with some of the $* \mathrm{Cv}:-\mathrm{yv}$ - stems in (335e), but 'fear' in particular suggests that in these verbs the initial Ci:- or Cu:- splits into Ciya- and Cuwa-, in which case there is no need to assume the presence of the $*$-yv- formative in the stative.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss } \quad \text { imperative } \quad \text { perfective-1a } \quad \text { reduplicated stative } \tag{335}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. bisyllabic stem (unsegmentable)
'lean (on)' tísô tísé-èrè- tí-tìsò-
b. bisyllabic stem plus mediopassive $-y v$ -

| 'lean back' | disíliyô | dissí( $-y$ )-èrè- | dí-dìsò- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'kneel' | túpí-y ${ }^{\text {nà }}$ | túpí(-y)-èrè- | tú-tùnò- |
| 'hold' | ágí-yà | ágí(-y)-èrè- | á-Pàgà- |
| 'be hooked' | kórí-yò | kórí(-y)-غ̀rè- | kó-kòrò- |
| 'grip' | kúmbí-yò | kúmbí(-y)-èrè- | kú-kùmbò |
| 'carry on back' | bàmbí-yâ | bàmbí(-y)-غ̀rè- | bá-bàmbà |

c. $C v C$-stem plus mediopassive $-y \bar{v}-$

| 'sit' | éw-yò | éw-yé-Èrè- | é-Rèwò- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'squat' | sów-yò | Sów-yé-èrè- | só-sòWÒ |
| 'perch' | téw-yò | téw-yé-èrè- | té-tèwò- |

d. Cvyv-stem (with frozen mediopassive *-yv́-)

| 'sleep' | níy $^{n} \hat{a}$ | níy$n$ n-èrè- | ní-nìy $n a ̀-~$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'lie down' | bíyô | bìyé- $\varepsilon$ rè- | bí-bìyò- |

e. Cv:yv-stem (with frozen mediopassive *-yv́-)

| e closed' | pí:-y ${ }^{n}$ à | pí:-y ${ }^{n}$-غ̀rèे- | pí-pìy ${ }^{\text {nà }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'stand' | í:-yà | í:-y-દ̀rè- | í-Pìyà- |
| 'fear' | ú:-yà | ú:-y-દ̀rغ̀- | ú-Yùwà- |

The pronominal-suffix paradigm is (336), with 'be sitting' as the example.

| category | stative | 'be sitting' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | -y | èwò-ỳ |
| 1Pl | -y.: | èwò-y. |
| 2 Sg | -W | èwò-Ẁ |
| 2 Pl | $-W \therefore$ | èwò-W.: |
| 3Sg/Inan | - $\varnothing$ | èwò- $\varnothing$ |
| 3 Pl | -yè | èwè-yè (arguably èw-è-yè ) |

In 3Pl èwè-yè, what appears in the rest of the stative paradigm as stem-final $o$ has shifted back to e. A similar example is stative bí-bìyò 'is lying down', 3Pl bí-bìyè-yè. However, there
is no back-shift in tú-tùyò- 'be kneeling', 3Pl tú-tùyò-yè, or in ú-?ùwà- 'fear', 3Pl uwà-yè 'they fear'. It seems that the vocalism of the preceding stem syllable, as well as that of the suffix -yz̀, are influencing the stem-final vowel. But there may also be a tendency here to mark 3 Pl doubly, as in some negative paradigms.
tú-tùyò-yغ̀ 'they are kneeling' also shows that $3 \mathrm{Pl}-y \varepsilon ̀ ~ i s ~ n o t ~ s u b j e c t ~ t o ~ N a s a l i z a t i o n-~$ Spreading. Another example of this ní-nìy"à-yè 'they are asleep'.

The full reduplicated form of the stative stem is used when no location is overtly specified, so that the verb itself is arguably focal. When the verb is preceded by a locational adverb, the reduplicant is optionally (but usually) omitted (337a-c).
a. j̀gà-gá èwò- $\varnothing$
there sit.Stat-3SgSbj
'He/She is sitting over there.'
b. ŋ̀gààgá ìyà-yè
there stand.Stat-3PlSbj
'They are standing there.'
c. [ńdó gó] bìyò- $\varnothing$
[house Loc] lie.down.Stat-3SgSbj
'He/She is lying down over there.'
Existential particle yá may precede a stative positive verb, as an alternative to reduplication. The combination with yá implies a specific location, though the latter is not otherwise overtly specified. The reduplication is used in a broader range of contexts.
a. yá èwò- $\varnothing$

Exist sit.Stat-3SgSbj
'He/She is sitting (e.g. over there).'
b. é-Rèwò

Rdp-sit.Stat-3SgSbj
'He/She is sitting.'

### 10.4.2 Stative negative (= ǹdó-)

The negative forms are based on stative negative clitic $=$ ndó-. The paradigm, and the forms for 'not be sitting', are in (339). The 3Pl form $=n d-\varepsilon$ has a -ATR vowel, unlike the +ATR of $=n d o \delta-$. With 'sit', the stem-final /o/ shifts to e before the 3Pl form, as in the positive paradigm. Reduplication is absent.
(339) category stative negative 'not be sitting'

| 1Sg | $=n d o-\overline{-}$ y | èwò $=$ ndó-ý |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Pl | = ndo-y. $:$ | èwó $=$ ndo-y. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | [èwòóndòóòj] |
| 2 Sg | $=n d o ̄-\bar{W}$ | èwò $=$ ndó- Ẃ |  |
| 2 Pl | $=$ ndo-w : | èwó $=$ ndó-w. . | [èwóndòóòw] |


| $3 \mathrm{Sg} /$ Inan | $=n d o ́-\varnothing$ |  | èwò $=n d o ́-\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 Pl | $=n d-\varepsilon$ |  | èwè $=n d-\dot{\varepsilon}$ |

### 10.5 Past and present

10.5.1 Conjugated past clitic $(=b \varepsilon-)$

The conjugatable past clitic (or suffix) $=b \varepsilon$ - carries over the preceding tone (subject to further tonal processes involving a following pronominal suffix). We therefore get e.g. $-\grave{m}=b \varepsilon$ - with L-tone but e.g. $-r_{1}^{\prime}=b \varepsilon ́-$ with H-tone. Perfective-1b -tì- takes H-toned form -tí- in this combination (as it does in some verb-chains, §15.1.10), resulting in -tí=bé-. The past clitic combines with certain AN forms of the verb, and is itself conjugated for pronominal subject. The combinations with preceding AN suffixes are given in (340).

| AN category | AN suffix | AN + past |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| positive |  |  |
| imperfective | -m- | $-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-$ |
| progressive | -Sò- | $-s \grave{O}=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - |
| simple perfective | (zero) | $=b \varepsilon$ - (past perfect) |
| perfective-1b | -tì- | $-t i ́=b \varepsilon$ - |
| perfective-1a | -غ̀rè- | $-\varepsilon ̇ r \varepsilon$ ¢ $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - |
| perfective-2 | -Só- | -só = bé- |
| recent perfect | -jè- | $-j \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-$ |
| negative |  |  |
| perfective | -rí- | $-r i ́=b \varepsilon$ - |
| imperfective | -ŋゝ:- | $-\eta \grave{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{b}$ ¢ - | imperfective $-\eta \grave{:-} \quad-\eta \grave{̀}:=b \grave{\varepsilon}-$

The past clitic is also used with statives, both those derived from regular verbs ('be sitting', 'be afraid') and defective quasi-verbs like 'be (somewhere)' (§11.2.2.2), 'have' (§11.5.1), and 'love' (§17.2.5). The past morpheme is especially useful for statives since they do not make aspectual distinctions.
$=b \varepsilon$ - is followed by the usual $1 \mathrm{st} / 2$ nd person subject pronominals, and has the usual zero 3 Sg form. The 3 Pl form is $=b-a$. The paradigm is given in (341) in two tonal variants, correlated with the preceding tone. The tonal variants are not audibly distinguished in the 1 Pl and 2 Pl , which impose their bell-shaped [LHL] pitch, see (30a) in §3.8.3.

| category | form after H-tone | $\begin{equation*} =b \varepsilon \text { - } \tag{341} \end{equation*}$ <br> after L-tone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | $=b \varepsilon$ - $y$ | $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y$ |
| 1 Pl | $=b \varepsilon$ - -A : | =bè-y.: both [bèźc̀j] |
| 2 Sg | $=b \varepsilon$ - $W$ | $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-W$ |
| 2 Pl | $=b \varepsilon$ - $W . \therefore$ | $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-W . \therefore$ both [bè ${ }^{\text {cesw }}$ ] |
| 3 Sg | $=b \varepsilon$ - $\varnothing$ | $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$ |
| 3 Pl | $=b$-á | $=b$-à |

The past clitic itself is not directly negated. Instead, negation is marked in the preceding verb or other predicate (perfective negative, imperfective negative, stative negative, 'it is not'), and this combination is followed by the conjugated forms of $=b \varepsilon$ - in (341).

Double pronominal conjugation, whereby the subject is marked both on the past clitic and on the preceding verb, is regular for 3Pl in negative inflections, see (343d) and (347c). Double conjugation is is attested as a variant for $1 \mathrm{st} / 2 \mathrm{nd}$ person subject categories in these negative inflections, see (343a,c). The issue is moot for the unmarked 3 Sg .

### 10.5.1.1 Past imperfective (positive and negative)

A past imperfective (positive) is formed with the complex $-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-. Here $-\grave{m}$ - is equatable with the imperfective suffix $-m$ - seen above. This leaves $=b \dot{\varepsilon}$ - as the specifically past morpheme. The 3 Sg form is $-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$ (compare 3 Sg nonpast imperfective $-\grave{\eta}$ ). The 3 Pl form is $-\grave{m}=b-a ̀$.
a. àmây ${ }^{n}$-àmây ${ }^{n} \quad$ ká $\quad k a ́ r r^{n} 1$ - $\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{W} .:$
how?-how? there do-Ipfv=Past-2PISbj
'What did you-Pl use to do there (= about it)?'
b . kìyǎ- $W$ [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ŋ̀gá] sígè-m̀ $=b$ è- $y$
previously [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Dem.InanSg.Loc] go.down-Ipfv=Past-1SgSbj
'I used to go down (= lodge) in this house.'
c. bàmàkó ńní-m̀ $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$

Bamako go-Ipfv=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She used to go to Bamako.'
d. bàmàkó ńní-m̀=b-à

Bamako go-Ipfv=Past-3P1Sbj
'They used to go to Bamako.'

The past imperfective negative combines the imperfective negative suffix - $\eta \grave{0}:-$ with the inflected form of $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-. In the 3 Pl , both $-\eta \grave{\partial}:-$ and $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - are conjugated, so the 3 Pl form is doubly marked (343d). For other nonzero categories, i.e. first and second persons, $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - is conjugated, and my assistant occasionally also conjugated -ŋò:-, but it seems that the singlyconjugated variants in $(343 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})$ are preferred. The issue is undecidable for the zero 3 Sg subject (343b).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a . kìyǎ-W [ǹdò }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ìgá] }  \tag{343}\\
& \text { previously [house }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Dem.InanSg.Loc] } \\
& \text { sígè-ŋ̀̀: = bè-y } \\
& \text { or: } \quad \text { Sígè- } \eta \grave{\jmath}-y=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y \\
& \text { go.down-IpfvNeg(-1SgSbj)=Past-1SgSbj } \\
& \text { 'I did not use to go down (= lodge) in this house.' }
\end{align*}
$$

b. sígè- $\eta \grave{\jmath}:-\varnothing=b$ è $\varnothing$
go.down-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She did not use to go down.'
c. Sígè- $\eta \grave{\partial}:=b \grave{\varepsilon}-W . \therefore$
or: $\quad$ sígè-クò- $W=b \varepsilon \grave{c}-W . \therefore$
go.down-IpfvNeg(-2PISbj)=Past-2 PISbj
'You-Pl did not use to go down.'
d. sígé- $\eta-\varepsilon ̀:-\varnothing=b-a ̀$
go.down-IpfvNeg-3PISbj=Past-3PISbj
'They did not use to go down.' (double conjugation required)

### 10.5.1.2 Past forms of stative quasi-verbs and derived statives

The stative quasi-verbs bù- 'be' (existential-locational) and sò- 'have', which do not make the perfective/imperfective aspectual distinction, take $-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - for past time reference ( $b u ̀ \mathrm{u}-m=b \grave{\varepsilon}-$, $s \grave{o}-m=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-). The $-m$ - is unusual for these quasi-verbs, but suggests a cryptoconnection (made overt in the past only) between these statives and the imperfective category of active verbs. However, I will gloss it as Stat[ive] to avoid confusion. Derived statives omit the $-m$ - in this construction.

The special negative forms of 'be' and 'have', as well as derived statives, directly take $=b \varepsilon ́-$, without $-m$ -

| gloss | regular form | past |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| positive |  |  |
| quasi-verbs (defective, stative only) |  |  |
| 'be' | bù- | $b u ̀-m=b \grave{-}$ |
| 'have' | sò- | $s o ̀-m=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\sim s o l=b \grave{\varepsilon}-$ |
| derived statives (reduplicated version) |  |  |
| 'be sitting/seated' | é-?èwò- | é-Rèwò $=$ bè- |
| 'want' | ná-nàmà- | ná-nàmà $=$ bè- |
| negative |  |  |
| 'not be' | ŋ̀gó- | $\grave{\eta} \mathrm{g}$ ó $=$ bé- |
| 'not have' | sò-ndó- | sò-ndó = bé- |
| 'not be sitting/seated' | èwò-ndó- | èwò-ndó = bé- |
| 'not want' | nàmà-ndó- | nàmà-ndó = bé- |

For 3 Pl , 'they were not' is usually the doubly conjugated $\grave{\eta} g-\varepsilon=b$-á. 'They did not have' is usually the doubly (actually, triply) conjugated $s-\varepsilon$-nd- $-\dot{\varepsilon}=b-$ á. However, singly conjugated 3 Pl sò- $m=b$-à is also attested. Positive 3 Pl forms are $s-\grave{\varepsilon}-m=b$-à $\sim s o ̀-m=b$-à 'they had' and $b u ̀-m=b$-à 'they were'.

For (positive) 'have', the variant without $-m$ - is used in 3 Sg variant $s o ̀=b \dot{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$. For (positive) 'be', the $-m$ - is required in all forms, including 3 Sg bù $-m=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$.

### 10.5.1.3 Past perfect (positive and negative)

The past clitic $=b \dot{\varepsilon}$ - can directly follow the bare stem (with lexical tone melody and vocalism), or a suffixed perfective form, in past perfect (positive) sense (' X had Vb -ed'). This
requires establishment of a separate temporal reference point, before which the eventuality in question occurred.

In the version without an overt perfective suffix, the bare stem functions as a substitute for the simple perfective, which does not itself combine with $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-. The bare stem likewise replaces the simple perfective before the 'if' particle, see discussion following (281) in $\S 10.2 .1 .1$. The bare stem and the simple perfective are partially identical anyway, except in the 3 Sg form where the simple perfective is the original $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$-stem.

Examples follow with the bare stem (345a), perfective-1a -غ̀rè- (345b), perfective-1b -tì- (345c), perfective-2 -só- (345d), and recent perfect jè- (345e). Perfective-1b -tì- occurs here in H-toned form, as in some verb-chains (§15.1.10).
a. bàyá=bé-y
be.cured= $=$ Past-1SgSbj
'I had been cured.'
b. $\quad g \check{o}-\varepsilon ̀ r \grave{\varepsilon}=b$-à
go.out-Pfv1a=Past-3PlSbj
'They had gone out.'
c. ǹjí- $\eta \quad$ súyó-tí $=b \varepsilon$ - $\varnothing$

1 Sg -Acc hit-Pfv1b=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She had hit me.'
d. $\grave{j} j i ́-\eta \quad$ y̌̌:-só $=b \varepsilon$ - $\varnothing$

1 Sg -Acc see-Pfv2=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She had seen me.'
e. wàgàtì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ńné yé:-m̀-s̀̀ gà,
time ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 3 \mathrm{SgSbj}$ come-Ipfv-while.Past in,
nǎ: $\quad$ kó: $\grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y .:$
meal eat $\operatorname{RecPrf}=$ Past-1P1Sbj
'When he was coming, we had already eaten.'

The past perfect negative is formed by adding conjugated $=b \varepsilon$ - to perfective negative suffix -rí-. The latter takes its usual 3 Pl form -ǹdú-, but is otherwise invariant before $=b \varepsilon \in$ The paradigm is therefore (346).
(346) category past perfect negative
$1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad-r i ́=b \varepsilon ́-y$
$1 \mathrm{Pl} \quad-r i ́=b e ̀-y .:$
$2 \mathrm{Sg} \quad-r^{\prime}=b \varepsilon$ - $W$
$2 \mathrm{Pl} \quad-r i ́=b \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon}-W .:$
$3 \mathrm{Sg} \quad-\mathrm{r}_{1}=b \varepsilon \in-\varnothing$
$3 \mathrm{Pl} \quad-n ̀ d u ́=b$-á

Examples are in (347).
(347)
a. wàgàtì ńné yé:-m̀-s̀̀ gà,
time ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 3 \mathrm{SgSbj}$ come-Ipfv-while.Past Loc
nǎ: $\quad k \grave{:-r i ́=b e ̀-y .: ~}$
meal eat-PfvNeg=Past-1PlSbj
'When he came, we had not (yet) eaten.'
b. nǎ: $k \grave{:-r i ́=b \varepsilon ́-\varnothing ~}$
meal eat-PfvNeg=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She had not (yet) eaten.'
c. jǎ: kò:-ndú=b-á
meal eat-PfvNeg.3PlSbj=Past-3PlSbj
'They had not (yet) eaten.'
Past perfect verb forms with $=b \varepsilon$ - are also used in both the antecedent and consequent clauses of counterfactual conditionals (§16.4), as in (348).
(348) ó: ǎy=bé-y ndè, bàyá-èr $\begin{gathered}=b \grave{c}-y\end{gathered}$ medication take $=$ Past- $1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad$ if, be.cured-Pfv1a $=$ Past- 1 SgSbj
'If I had taken the medicine, I would have been cured.'

### 10.5.1.4 Past passive (positive and negative)

The past passive consists morphologically of H-toned passive -y $=$ (§9.3.2), imperfective $-\dot{m}-(</-\mathrm{m}-/)$ except in the 3 Pl , and the conjugatable past clitic $=b \varepsilon-$. The past clitic is L-toned here, reflecting the underlying L-tone of /-m $-/$. The pronominal paradigm is (349).
(349) category past passive

| 1 Sg | $-y \varepsilon ́=n ́ m=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Pl | $-y \varepsilon ́=~ ¢ ́ m=b \dot{\varepsilon}-y .:$ |
| 2 Sg | $-y \varepsilon ́=n ́ m=b \dot{\varepsilon}-W$ |
| 2 Pl |  |
| 3 Sg | $-y \varepsilon ̇=n=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing \quad(</=\mathfrak{y}=\mathrm{b}$ ¢ $-/)$ |
| 3 Pl | $-y \varepsilon ́=\varnothing=b-a ̀ ~$ |
| InanSg | $-y \varepsilon ́=W^{\prime}=b-\bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| InanPl | $-y \varepsilon=W^{\prime}=b-a ̀$ (or same as 3 Pl ) |

Pronominal-subject inflection occurs on past $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-, and for inanimates and for 3 Pl also on passive $-y \dot{\varepsilon}=$. The expected $\#=\eta=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - sequence $(3 \mathrm{SgSbj}$ plus Past) is pronounced $=m=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - with Nasal-Assimilation, and therefore falls together with $=m=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - in the first and second person forms.

Examples of the past passive are in (350).
a. ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad k \varepsilon ́ m \varepsilon ́-y \varepsilon ́=\dot{w}^{\prime}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ build-Pass $=$ it.is.InanSgSbj $=$ Past- 3 SgSbj
' $\mathrm{A} /$ The house had been built.'
b. tón-yદ́= $\varnothing=b$-à
write-Pass=it.is.3P1Sbj=Past-3P1Sbj
'They (= books) had been written.'
c. $p \grave{\varepsilon} r g \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad S \varepsilon ́ m \dot{\varepsilon}-y \hat{\varepsilon}=\dot{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ slaughter-Pass $=$ it.is. $3 \mathrm{SgSbj}=$ Past- 3 SgSbj
'A/The sheep-Sg had been slaughtered.' (from / $\ldots=\eta=b \varepsilon ̀-/$ )
d. [pèrgè $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b \hat{u}:\right] \quad s \varepsilon ́ m \varepsilon ́-y \varepsilon ́=\varnothing=b$-à
[sheep ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnPl] slaughter-Pass=it.is.3P1Sbj=Past-3P1Sbj
'The sheep-Pl had been slaughtered.'

The past passive negative is based on combining either of the two passive negative constructions, see (260-1) in (§9.3.2) with past $=b \dot{\varepsilon}$ - (351a-b).
a. tónó-yé= ${ }^{\prime}=n d o ̌:-\varnothing=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
write-Pass $=$ it.is.InanSgSbj$=$ it.is.not- $3 \operatorname{SgSbj}=$ Past- 3 SgSbj
'It had not been written.'
b. tò ŋ̀̀- $r^{n} 1$ í-y $\varepsilon=W^{\prime}=b \dot{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
write-PfvNeg-Pass=it.is.InanSgSbj=Past-3SgSbj
'It has not been written.'
10.5.2 'Still', 'up to now', (not) yet'
'Still' and 'up to now, for the present, so far' can be expressed by [nínèy ${ }^{n}$ ynà], instrumental PP (§8.1.2) from nípèy ${ }^{n}$ 'now'. If the predicate is negative, the idiomatic translation is 'not (yet)'.
a. [nínèy ${ }^{n}$
ynà $]$
[ò: ${ }^{\text {L }}$
gó] bù- $\varnothing$
[now Inst] [field ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Loc] be-3SgSbj
' $\mathrm{He} /$ She is still in the fields.'
b. [nínèy ${ }^{n}$ ynà] yè:-rí- $\varnothing$
[now Inst] come-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'Up to now he/she hasn't come.'
( $=$ 'He/She hasn't come yet.')

### 10.6 Imperatives and hortatives

### 10.6.1 Imperatives and prohibitives

10.6.1.1 Positive imperatives (imperative stem, plural -ndì )

Positive imperatives have distinctive forms for 2 Sg and 2 Pl subject. Comparison with the hortative suggests that the proper category is addressee number (singular versus plural) rather than subject.

The singular-addressee imperative is segmentally the A/O-stem, with no suffix, except for light $i$-final verbs, which keep the final $i$ (as in the bare stem). Tonally, the imperative ends in an HL-pattern. For heavy non-i-final verbs, and for substantially all $i$-final verbs, the onset respects the $/ \mathrm{H} /$ versus $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ melodic distinction, resulting in $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ versus $\{\mathrm{LHL}\}$ imperatives. Light non- $i$-final verbs, on the other hand, merge $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ into $/ \mathrm{H} /$ and have $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ imperatives.

The plural-addressee imperative adds suffix allomorph -ndì to the singular imperative; it has also been heard as -nì after longer stems (353). I gloss it as "PlAddr" in interlinears.

> | number | imperative |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | singular addressee $\quad$ plural addressee |  |



Examples with objects, showing that transitive verbs remain transitive in imperative clauses, are in (354). In the free translation, -2 Sg or -2 Pl is added to the verb to indicate subject number.
a. ǹjí- $\quad$ nír ${ }^{n}$ â
1Sg-Acc look.at.Imprt
'Look-2Sg at me!'
b. ńné-ŋ́ súyô-ndì

3Sg-Acc hit.Imprt-PlAddr
'Hit-2Pl him/her/it!'

Both light and heavy non- $i$-final stems with +ATR vocalism have imperatives ending in o (355). These examples are compatible with the A/O-stem and with the tonal patterns described above, though not all input types are represented here.

| gloss | bare stem | imperative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. 'bring', | jě: | yě: | | jô: |
| :--- |
| yô: |

Non- $i$-final verbs of two or more syllables whose base stem ends in $\varepsilon$ shift it to $a$ in the imperative, as usual in the A/O-stem. ǹdé 'go up' is counted as bisyllabic for this purpose (356a). Monosyllabic $C \varepsilon$ : verbs have diphthongal imperatives of the form $C \varepsilon a$. One seemingly monosyllabic verb, té: 'lay (mat)', also has a bisyllabic imperative variant Ceya (356b). Cognates of $t \varepsilon$ : in some closely related languages have a medial semivowel and count as bisyllabic, e.g. Ben Tey téy(í). Light verbs with /LH/ melody ('go up', 'fall', 'look') merge with $/ \mathrm{H} /$ melody tonally.

| gloss | bare stem | imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. 'go up' | ǹdé | ńdâ |
| 'build' | kémé | kémâ |
| 'fall' | yègé | yégâ |
| 'look' | $\eta$ ir ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ | Пír ${ }^{n}$ â |
| b. 'lay (mat)' | $t \varepsilon ́:$ |  |
| 'shine' | $k \varepsilon$ : | kéâ |
| 'knock off' | $p \varepsilon$ : | péâ |
| 'get old' | $p \varepsilon$ : | péâ |

$i$-final verbs are featured in (357). Light stems keep the final $i$ in the imperative, while heavy stems shift to the A/O-stem. The difference in onsets between $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ and $/ \mathrm{H} /$ melodies is respected in imperatives of both light and heavy verbs, resulting in $\{\mathrm{LHL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ imperatives. 'See' (357a) has $<$ LHL $>$ in a single syllable.

Imperatives of $i$-final verbs
gloss bare stem imperative
a. Ci: and Ciyi
/LH/ melody 'see'
/ melody 'send' tíyí [tí:] tîy [tî:]

| b. CvCi and CvCCi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'cure' | jòní | jذ̀ท̂̂ |
| 'sing' | nùní | nùnı̂ |
| 'cover' | děw (</dèwí/) | dèwî |
| 'laugh' | màndí | màndî |
| 'step in' | nàmbí | nàmbî |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| 'speak' | tíní | tín 1 |
| 'put' | kúr ${ }^{n}$ í | kúr ${ }^{n} \hat{1}$ |
| 'tie' | págí | págî |
| 'do' | kár ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | kár ${ }^{n}$ î |
| 'put up' | náyí | nápî |
| 'find' | témbí | témbì |
| 'put lid' | tímbí | tímbì |
| 'urinate' | ónjí | ónjì |
| c. nCi |  |  |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| 'give' | ńdí | ńdî |
| d. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{Ci}$ |  |  |
| underived, /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'call' | nă: ${ }^{n}{ }^{\text {n }}$ | nă: $r^{n}$ â |
| 'help' | bă:rí | bă:râ |
| 'take away, convey' | gě: $r^{n}$ í | $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} \hat{\jmath}$ |
| 'gather' | mǒ:ndí | mǒ:ndo |
| 'think' | mǎ:ndí | mǎ:ndà |
| mediopassive, /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'carry on head' | dǔ:-yí | dǔ:-yâ |
| e. trisyllabic |  |  |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'go around' | gònírín | gə̀yór ${ }^{\text {no }}$ |
| 'winnow' | bègírí | bègírâ ~ bègírô |
| 'get ready' | dàgírí | dàgírâ |
| 'get rid of' | màrá-gí | màrá-gâ |
| 'remember' | ìllí-rí | ìllí-râ |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| 'rest' | súmír ${ }^{\text {nír }}$ | súmór ${ }^{n}$ ò |
| 'demolish' | wòró-gí | wòró-gô |
| 'get up' | írí-yí [írí:] | írí-yà |
| 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ |  |

If the bare stem of an $i$-final verb contains only high vowels in the bare stem, the imperative ends in a vowel from the A/O-stem trio $\{a \rho o\}$ (358).
(358) Imperatives of stems with only high vowels
gloss bare stem imperative
a. imperative ends in a
'remember' illí-rí illí-râ
'get up' ír-í: (</írí-yí/) írí-yà
'accompany’ íngírí íngírà
b. imperative ends in $o$
'rest' súmírní súmór"ò
c. imperative ends in 0
'skim' kúgírí kúgórò

Verbs that already end in $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ are segmentally stable as we go from the bare stem to the imperative. This remark applies to monosyllabics (359a) as well as to heavier stems (359b).

|  | gloss | bare stem | impera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. 'drink' | nǒ: | nô: |  |
| 'reply', | sá: | sâ: |  |
|  | 'go out' | gǒ: | gô: |
| b. 'run' | yògó | yýgô |  |
|  | 'bite' | kúwó | kúwô <br> táwâ |

More examples of light non-i-final stems, showing the $\{H L\}$ overlay overriding the lexical melody, are in (360).
(360) Imperatives of light non- $i$-final verbs showing tones
gloss bare stem imperative
a. $C v$ :
/H/ melody

| 'reply' | sá: | sâ: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'go out' | gǒ: | gô: (contrast gô: 'fire') |
| 'drink' | nǒ: | $n o ̂: ~$ |

b. $C v C v$ (including $n C v$ )
/H/ melody 'go’ ńné ńnô 'get bogged’ pídé pídô

| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'go up' | ǹdé | ńdâ |
| 'steal' | gùró | gúrô |
| 'run' | yŏgó | yógô |

c. $C v C C v$ with simple $C C$ cluster (see discussion below) /H/ melody
'tamp down' túmbó túmbò
/LH/ melody

| 'dig' | gùnjó | gúnjò |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'churn' | jùmbó | júmbò |

The two verbs in (361a) are, or at least were, $C \grave{v}-(N) C \bar{v}$ stems including a submiminal $C \grave{v}-$ stem and a derivational suffix. They are irregular in preserving the initial L-tone in the imperative. Other Dogon cognates of 'take out' have similar tonal peculiarities.

The two verbs in (371b) are $C \hat{v} C C \tilde{v}$ stems with nonhomorganic medial $C C$ cluster, usually a sign of syncope from a trisyllabic input. Some cognates remain trisyllabic: for 'sit down', Najamba óbíyllòbìyè, Yanda Dom óbí-yó-; for 'crumple', Jamsay kómónó-, Togo Kan kúmúnù-, Toro Tegu kúmíy ${ }^{n}$ (imperative kúmíynó ). In Nanga they seem to be treated like $C$ v́NCv́stems with homorganic nasal-voiced stop clusters, hence prosodically light.
(361) Tonally irregular imperatives of $C v C v$ and $C v C C v$ stems

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { gloss } & \text { bare stem } & \text { imperative }
\end{array}
$$

a. initial L-tone of lexical $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ verb preserved mediopassive $C \grave{V}$-yv́
'lie down' bì-yé bì-yê $C \grave{v}-n d \bar{v}$ (frozen causative)
'take out' gò-ndó gò-ndô
b. H. $<\mathrm{HL}>$ instead of H.L sequence for $C v C C v$ mediopassive $C$ v́C-yv́

| 'sit' | éw-yé | éw-yô |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cv́mjv́ <br> 'crumple’ | kúmjó | kúmjô |

+ATR heavy stems are in (362). As usual, these stems reject final i in the bare stem and imperative, and have imperatives ending in $o$.
(362) Imperatives of heavy non- $i$-final verbs (+ATR)
gloss bare stem imperative
a. $C v: C v$ and $C v: C C v$
/H/ melody
'weigh' pé:sé pé:sò

| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'stalk' | yǒ:ró | yǒ:rô |
| 'file' | dǐ:sé | dǐ:sô |

b. CvCvCv
/H/ melody
'screw in' pígíré pígírò
'rub' lígísé lígísò
'LH/melody
'fight' jòríyé jòríyô 'lean’ disíyé dìsíyô

More $i$-final verbs are in (363). Those with /LH/ meolody keep the initial L-tone in the imperative. Light verbs have imperatives segmentally identical to the bare stem; heavy verbs switch to the A/O-stem. Causative -mí is discussed separately below.
(363) Imperative of $i$-final verbs showing tones
gloss
bare stem
imperative
a. $\operatorname{Cvy}(i)$, tonally irregular imperatives
/H/ melody 'go in'

| núy $^{n}$ | núy $^{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| nǔy $^{n}$ | nǔy |

b. CvCi
/H/ melody 'cross'

| táyí | táyî |
| :---: | :---: |
| tárí | tárî |
| nání | nágî |
| jònı́ | jòクı̂ |
| děW (</dèwí/) | dèWî |

c. CvCCi
/H/ melody 'pinch'
/LH/ melody 'laugh'
kémbí
kémbì
'affix' tárí tárî
'put up on' nání nánî
/LH/ melody 'cure' děW (</dèwí/) dèwî
d. $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{Ci}$ and $\mathrm{Cv}: \mathrm{CCi}$
/H/ melody

| 'scratch' | kó:sí | kó:sò |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'chase' | lă:rí | la:rà |
| 'mix' | gă: $r^{n} 1$ | gă: $r^{n}$ â |
| 'take away, convey' | $g \varepsilon ̇: r^{n} 1$ | $g \varepsilon ̌$ ¢ $r^{n} \hat{\jmath}$ |


| 'call' <br> 'gather' | jă: $r^{n i ́}$ <br> mǒ:ndí | jă: $r^{n a ̂}$ <br> mǒ:ndô |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e. trisyllabic |  |  |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {ní }}$ | kémír ${ }^{n}$ à |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'winnow' | bègírí | bègírâ |

Causative suffix -mí is treated tonally like a chained verb stem in the imperative. For example, in imperative kóyó-mô 'make-2Sg weep!' and its suffixed plural-addressee form kóyó-mô-ndì, the causative suffix has its own $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ tone overlay, while the preceding stem has the same form it would have as a bare stem. If kóyó-mí 'make weep' were treated as an ordinary trisyllabic, the H-tone would extend from the left edge only to the second syllable, giving the incorrect \#kóyó-mゝे, \#kóyó-mə̀-ndì.

### 10.6.1.2 Prohibitives (-rá, -ndá, -ndà:)

The prohibitive is the negative imperative.

```
tê: nǒ:-rná-ndì
tea drink-Proh-Pl.Addr
'Don't-2Pl drink the tea!'
```

The prohibitive is formed from mono- and bisyllabic stems with a suffix -rá that has a variant -ndá. The form -rá is usual in Anda, but a Wakara informant generally used -ndá. This suffix is distinct in form from other negative suffixes on verbs (perfective negative -rí-, imperfective negative - $\eta \grave{:-}$-). The suffix -rá undergoes Nasalization-Spreading to -r ${ }^{n}$ á under the influence of a preceding nasal or nasalized segment, as does perfective negative $-r^{\prime}$-. For allomorph -ndà: with longer stems, see below.

The plural prohibitive adds plural-addressee -ndì, sporadically reduced to -nì, to the singular prohibitive.

Examples with monosyllabic stems are in (365). The lexical tone melody, /H/ versus $/ \mathrm{LH} /$, is respected in the prohibitive.

| gloss | bare stem | imperative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | singular | plural addressee |
| 'go out' | gǒ: | gǒ:-rá | gǒ:-rá-ndì |
| 'drink' | nั̌: | nǒ:-r ${ }^{\text {náa }}$ | nǒ:-r ${ }^{\text {náá-ndì }}$ |
| 'bring' | jě: | jě:-rá | jě:-rá-ndì |
| 'see' | yǐ: | yǐ:-rá | yǐ:-rá-ndì |
| 'reply' | sá: | sá:-rá | sá:-rá-ndì |
| 'lay (mat)' | té: | té:-rá | té:-rá-ndì |

Since the plural-addressee form is always easily predictable from the singular, I will omit the plurals in the remaining tables.

For bisyllabics with just two vocalic moras (no long vowel), the stem-final vowel is replaced by $i$ (366a-b). The $i$ is usually syncopated when the syllabic and segmental conditions permit, i.e., after certain unclustered sonorants, especially semivowels and $r$ (366c). The suffix is usually heard as -ndá after a rhotic (366c). A syllable-final /iy/ resulting from syncope contracts phonetically to a long [i:] (366d) by Monophthongization. Likewise, a syllable-final /uw/ resulting from syncope contracts to a long [u:], though in one case ('bite') my assistant preferred a variant with [uj] ('bite', 366e). Throughout (366), the lexical tone is respected in the prohibitive.

Prohibitive of light non- $i$-final verb

|  | gloss | bare stem | prohibitive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | 'tie' | págí- | págí-rá |
|  | 'cut' | késé- | késí-rá |
|  | 'build' | kémé- | kémí-rná |
|  | 'throw' | gìsé- | gìsí-rá |
|  | 'run' | yògó- | yògí-rá |
|  | 'fall' | yègé- | yègí-rá |
|  | 'go back' | bìndé- | bìndí-rá |
|  | 'nibble' | jòmbó- | jòmbí-rá |
|  | 'dig' | gùnjó | gùnjí-rá |
|  | 'go' | ńné- | ńní-r ${ }^{\text {ná }}$ |
|  | 'go up' | ǹdé- | ǹdí-rá |
| c. | 'work' | bìré- | bǐr-ndá |
|  | 'begin' | tóró- | tór-ndá |
|  | 'sell' | túró- | túr-ndá |
|  | 'skin' | úró- | úr-ndá |
|  | 'hit' | súyó- | súy-rá |
|  | 'buy' | ย́wé- | $\varepsilon$ ÉW-rá |
|  | 'look' | ¢ìr ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-$ | ¢ìr ${ }^{n} 1$ í-ndá |
|  | 'give birth' | nàr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\text {á- }}$ | nàr ${ }^{\text {nílindá }}$ ~ nǎn-dâ) |
| d. | 'kill' | gìyé- | gǐy-rá [gǐ:rá] |
|  | 'lie down' | bìyé- | bǐy-rá [bǐ:rá] |
|  | 'fan' | jùwó- | jǔW-rá [dzǔ:rá] |
|  | 'brush' | bùwó- | bǔw-rá [bǔ:rá] |
|  | 'bite' | kúwó- | kúy-rá |
|  |  |  | (kúw-rá OK but dispreferred) |

Heavy stems, those with three or more vocalic moras ( $\left.C_{v}: C v-, C v C v C v-\right)$, that end in a non-high vowel are in (367). All have + ATR vowels. The prohibitive suffix in this case is -ndà:; which is heard in L-toned form word-finally. It combines with the plural suffix as -ndǎ:-ndì, bringing out a latent rising tone that is also heard when a clause-final particle is added. The final vowel of the stem is not shifted to $i$.
(367)

Prohibitive of heavy non-i-final verb

|  | gloss | bare stem | prohibitive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. 'do well', | ké:ndé- | ké:ndé-ndà: |  |
| b. 'dream | mònjúró- <br> bègíré- | mònjúró-ndà: <br> bègíré-ndà: |  |
| c. 'hiccup' | 'screw in' | pígíré- <br> dùsúró- | pígíré-ndà: <br> dùsúró-ndà: |

$i$-final stems are in (368). The tonology and suffixal allomorphy are consistent with those seen above for non- $i$-final verbs. The suffix is -rá- (nasalized to -r $r^{n}$ á- after a nasal syllable) occurs with light stems, including $C v C C v$-, but -ndà: after heavy stems, including $C v: C v-$. Causative -mí- tends to be reduced to segmental zero before -ndà:, though a fuller pronunciation as -m-dà: is also possible ( 368 g ).
(368) Prohibitive of $i$-final verbs

|  | gloss | bare stem | prohibitive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | 'give' | ńdí- | ńdí-rá |
| b. | 'speak' | tílí- | tílí-rná |
|  | 'perpetrate' | bògí- | bògí-rá |
| c. | 'cover' | dèWí- [děw] | děW-rá |
|  | 'go in' | núy ${ }^{n} 1$ í- [ $\left.\mathrm{nuxj}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ | núy ${ }^{n}-r^{n}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 'hear' | nù ${ }^{n} 1$ í- [nǔj $\left.{ }^{\text {n }}\right]$ | $n u ̌ y^{n}-r^{n} a^{\prime}$ |
| d. | 'open wide' | gòmbí- | gòmbír-rá |
|  | 'find' | témbí- | témbí-rá |
| e. | 'help' | bă:rí- | bǎ:r-ndà: |
|  | 'stop' | í:-yí- [i:] | í:-y-ndà: |
|  | 'gather' | mǒ:ndí- | mǒ:ndí-ndà: |
|  | 'open' | pí:-rní | pí: ${ }^{n}$-r ${ }^{n} 1$ índà: |
| f. | 'uncover' | tímbí-rí- | tímbír-r-ndà: |
|  | 'accompany' | íngírí- | íngír-ndà: |
|  | 'scrub' | púgúsí- | púgúsí-ndà: |
|  | 'get ready' | dàgírí- | dàgír-ndà: |
| g . | 'make go out' | gǒ:-mí- | gǒ:-m-dà: ~ gǒ:- $\varnothing$-ndà: |

### 10.6.2 Positive hortatives (-má, plural -mày ${ }^{n}$ )

For singular addressee (speaker and one addressee), the hortative ('Let's ...!') is expressed by suffix -má added to the non-high stem. For larger numbers (speaker and two or more addressees), the form is -mà ${ }^{n}$. Since this marks addressee (rather than subject) number, subject (usually but not always 1 Pl ) and addressee must be distinguished. Both imperatives and hortatives have morphologically marked addressees. Hortatives, but not imperatives, may also have an overt subject.

| gloss | hortative |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | singular addressee | plural addressee |
| 'run' | yògó-má | yògó-mày |
| 'go out' | gǒ:-má | gǒ:-mày |
| 'buy' | Éwé-má | Éwé-mày |
| 'go' | ńné-má | ńné-mày |

Further examples showing the hortative of verbs with final non-high vowel are in (370). As the singular-addressee form is predictable from the more common plural-addressee hortative, only the latter is shown. The hortative requires the non-high stem, which for non-i-final verbs is identical to the bare stem (370).
(370) Hortative of non-i-final verb

|  | gloss | stem | hortative (plural addressee) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | 'shave' | ká:- | ká:-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'drink' | nǒ:- | nǒ:-mày ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
|  | 'come' | yě:- | yě:-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'go' | ńné- | ńné-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'go up' | ǹdé- | ǹd - $-m a ̀ y^{n}$ |
|  | 'steal' | gùró- | gùró-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'run' | yògó- | yògó-mà ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
|  | 'spray' | písé- | písé-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'hiccup' | bègíré- | bègíré-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'dream' | mònjúró- | mònjúró-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'screw in' | pígíré- | pígíré-mà ${ }^{n}$ |

$i$-final verbs, whether light or heavy, shift to the non-high stem. Stems with only $u$ have final $\rho$, and those with only $i$ have final $\varepsilon(371)$. The lexical tone melody is respected.

Hortative of $i$-final verb

| gloss | stem | hortative (plural addressee) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. 'see' | yǐ:- | $y \varepsilon ̌:-m a ̀ y ~$ |


| b. | 'give' | ńdí- | ńdé-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. | 'go in' | núy ${ }^{n}(11)-\quad\left[n^{\prime} j^{n}\right]$ | núy ${ }^{n}$ 万́-mà ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
|  | 'hear' | nùy ${ }^{n}(11)-\quad\left[\mathrm{nǔj}^{n}\right]$ | nùy ${ }^{\text {njó-mà }}{ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'send' | tíy(î)- [tí:] | tíyé-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'cover' | dèwí- | dèwé-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'perpetrate' | bògí- | bògó-mày ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
|  | 'put down' | dùní- | dùnó-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| d. | 'find' | témbí- | témbé-mà ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
|  | 'open wide' | gòmbí- | gòmbó-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'put lid on' | tímbí- | tímbé-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| e. | 'help' | bǎ:ríl | bǎ:rá-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'take away, convey' | $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1$ - | $g \varepsilon$ : $r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-m a ̀ y^{n}$ |
|  | 'stop' | í:-yí- | í:-yé-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'gather' | mǒ:ndí- | mǒ:ndí-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| f. | 'scrub' | púgúsí- | púgúsó-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {ní- }}$ | kémír ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-$-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
|  | 'get ready' | dàgírí- | dàgírá-mà ${ }^{n}$ |

The implied subject is normally the speaker and one or more listeners. However, a subject of another category (expressed overtly by a preverbal subject pronoun) is allowed, as long as the authorization for the event is controlled by the joint will of speaker and listener(s). For example, in K's turn in (372) we have a 3 Sg subject pronoun referring to a song that the interlocutor C has offered to sing. Background note to (372): telling a story or a riddle, or singing a song, is preceded by a request for authorization by the audience.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}:\left[n u ̀ n a ̀{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\right] \quad n u ̀ n i ́-m ̀-\varnothing  \tag{372}\\
& \text { [song }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Def.AnSg] sing-Ipfv-1SgSbj } \\
& \text { 'I'll sing the song.' } \\
& \mathrm{K} \text { : â: [nùnà }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { né] ńné ńné-mà } \\
& \text { ah! [song }{ }^{\text {L }} \text { Def.AnSg] 3SgSbj go-Hort } \\
& \text { 'Ah, let's (let) that song go (ahead)!' (2004.02.02) } \\
& \text { ['song' can be animate, see beginning of §4.4] }
\end{align*}
$$

This is not the same as the quoted imperative verb form (§10.6.4), which is used in wishes that involve a distinct agent not directly under the control of the current speech-event participants, and in quoted imperatives.

For quoted hortatives with -1 replacing hortative -má or -mà ${ }^{n}$, see §10.6.5.
10.6.3 Hortative negative (-rá-má and variants, plural -rá-mày ${ }^{\text {tI }}$ )

The prohibitive (i.e. negative imperative) form of the verb, with suffix -rá-, -ndá-, or -ndǎ:- (word-final ndà:), is followed by the (positive) hortative suffix -má (singular addressee) or - mà $^{n}$ (plural addressee) to form the hortative negative. Some examples showing the morphological connection to the (singular-addressee) prohibitive are in (373).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gloss } \quad \text { bare stem } \quad \text { prohibitive } \quad \text { hortative negative } \tag{373}
\end{equation*}
$$

(plural addressee)

| a. 'go out' | gǒ: | gǒ:-rá | gǒ:-rá-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'drink' | nǒ: | nǒ:-r ${ }^{n a ́}$ | nǒ:-r $r^{n}$ á-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| 'tie' | págí- | págí-rá | págí-rá-mày ${ }^{n}$ |
| 'go' | ńné- | ńní-rná | ńní-r ${ }^{\text {áá-mày }}{ }^{n}$ |
| b. 'begin' | tóró- | tór-ndá | tór-ndá-mà ${ }^{n}$ |
| c. 'screw in' | pígíré- | pígíré-ndà: | pígíré-ndă:-mà ${ }^{n}$ |

Examples are (374).
a. ńní-rná-má
go-HortNeg-Hort.SgAddr
'Let's-2Sg (= the two of us) not go!'
b. gǒ:-rá-mày ${ }^{n}$
go.out-HortNeg-Hort.PlAddr
'Let's-2Pl (= all of us) not go out!'
For quoted negative hortatives with -ndà: replacing hortative negative -ndǎ:-má or (-ndǎ:-mày ${ }^{n}$, see §10.6.5.

### 10.6.4 Quoted imperative (I-stem)

The quoted imperative (QuotImprt) verb form occurs in imprecations, i.e. wishes, blessings, and curses involving third-person agents, e.g. the type 'may God (Verb) you!' It is also the regular form of the verb in jussive complements (quoted imperatives), as in 'He tells (commands) you/me/him to come'. Imprecations may also be modeled as covert quoted imperatives, with some peculiarities. The quoted imperative (unlike the regular imperative) is often followed by quotative particle wa. The tone of wa is useful in determining the underlying tone of the final vowel of the verb, as Cîbecomes Cí wà, while Cíbecomes Cí wá, cf. Atonal-Morpheme Tone-Spreading (§3.7.4.4). Actually, the $i$ often assimilates and appears as ú before wà or wá.

The subject of a quoted imperative verb may be of any pronominal person, and no distinction is made in the verb between singular or plural (subject or addressee). For example, the quoted imperative can be used to request confirmation or clarification of commands addressed by someone else to the current speaker. If someone signals to X at a distance or makes an unclear verbal command to X , X can inquire: ( I: $^{n}$ ) mǎygórò jě-y má '(do you command/request/want) me to bring mangoes?'

The positive quoted imperative consists of the I-stem, but ends in e for most nonmonosyllabic + ATR stems. The monosyllabic version is $C v-y$. For many verbs, the quoted imperative differs segmentally from the regular imperative. The quoted imperative respects the distinction between $/ \mathrm{LH} /$ and $/ \mathrm{H} /$ melodies. For non- $i$-final verbs, the tonal outputs are $\{\mathrm{H}\},\{\mathrm{HL}\},\{\mathrm{LH}\}$, and $\{\mathrm{LHL}\} .\{\mathrm{H}\}$ is limited to $/ \mathrm{H} /$-class monosyllabics of the form $C$ v́y. $\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ is limited to light $C \check{v}-y$ and $C \grave{v} C i ́ f$ forms, i.e. those where the H-tone
occupied only one mora. Longer stems are $\{H L\}$ or $\{L H L\}$ depending on their lexical melody. For these stems, the final syllable is $\langle\mathrm{HL}\rangle$ if preceded by just one H-toned mora, and L if preceded by more than one. All $i$-final verbs have a final L-tone element, so their only possibilities are $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{LHL}\}$, depending on lexical melody. The irregular stem 'bring' has <LHL>-toned imperative jê::-y (375b).
(375) Quoted imperative positive for non-i-final verb
gloss bare stem QuotImprt
a. $C$ v́:-

| 'shave' | ká:- | ká-y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'spend night' | ná:- | ná- $y^{n}$ |
| 'reply' | sá:- | sá-y |
| 'eat' | kó:- | kó-y |

b. $C$ V̌:-
'arrive' dǒ:- dǒ-y
'go out' gǒ:- gǒ-y
'come' yě:- yě-y
irregular 'bring' jě:- jĕ̌:-y (with enclitic: jě: wà )
c. $n C v-$

| 'go' | ńné- | ńnî |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'go up | ǹdé- | ǹdí |

d. $\mathrm{CVCV}^{-}$

| /H/ melody |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'spray' | písé- | písî |
| 'pound' | tóró- | tórî |
| 'jump off' | péré- | pérî |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'steal' | gùró- | gùrí |
| 'run' | yògó- | ỳ̀gí |

e. $C v C C v-$
/H/ melody
'lift up' índé- índî
/LH/ melody
'go back' bìndé- bìndí
/LH/ melody, syncopated 'turn over' jǔw-ró- jǔw-rî
/LH/ melody, irregular (old causative) 'take out' gò-ndó- gò-ndê
f. $C v:(C) C v-$
/H/ melody
'do well' ké:ndé- ké:ndè

| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 'stalk' |  |  |
| 'bathe [tr]' | yǒ:ró- <br> dǐ:-ré- | yǒ:rî <br> dǐ:-rê |
| g. trisyllabic <br> /H/ melody <br> 'screw in' | pígíré- | pígírè |
| /LH/ melody | bègíré- | bègírê |
| 'hiccup' | mònjúró- | mònjúríê ~ mònjírî |

All $i$-final verbs show a final L-tone element (376).
(376) Quoted imperative positive of $i$-final verb
gloss
bare stem
QuotImprt
a. Ci:-
'see'
yǐ:-
yî: (with enclitic: yǐ: wà )
b. $n C i-$
'give' ńdí- ńdî
c. $\mathrm{CvCi}-$
/H/ melody 'go in'
'send'
núy ${ }^{n} 1$ í- $\left[\right.$ núj $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right] \quad$ nûy ${ }^{n}$
tíyí- [tí:] tíyî [tí:]
'tie'
págí- págî
'do'
kární- kárnî
/LH/ melody
'hear'
nùy ${ }^{n} 1$ Í- [nǔj $\left.{ }^{n}\right] \quad$ nû̀ ${ }^{n}$ (with enclitic: nǔy ${ }^{n}$ wà )
'cover'
dèWí- dèwî
'perpetrate'
bògí- bògî
'put down'
dùní- dùn̂̂
d. $\mathrm{CvCCi}-$
/H/ melody

| 'find' | témbí- | témbî |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'put lid on', | tímbí- | tímbî |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'open wide' | gòmbí- | gòmbí |
| 'go back' | bìndí- | bìndí |

e. $C v:(C) C i-$
/H/ melody 'stop' í:-yí- í:-yì

| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'help' | bǎ:rí- | bǎ:rî |
| 'take away, convey' | $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1$ - | $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n \hat{1}}$ |
| 'gather' | mǒ:ndí- | mǒ:ndî |
| f. trisyllabic |  |  |
| /H/ melody |  |  |
| 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {ní- }}$ | kémír ${ }^{n}$ |
| 'scrub' | púgúsí- | púgúsì |
| /LH/ melody |  |  |
| 'get ready' | dàgírí- | dàgírî |

Causatives are fairly common in the quoted imperative construction, since wishes like 'let him jump off!' can be expressed as 'may God make him jump off!’ (děnjê ńné-1́ péré-m-ì ).

The elicited negative counterparts (quoted prohibitive) end in -rà, -ndà, or -ndà: for original singular addressee. The corresponding plural-addressee forms are -rá-ndì, -ndá-ndì, and -ndă:-ndì. These forms are related to those of the prohibitive (=imperative negative), but are L-toned in the singular-addressee form, and the stem vocalism differs significantly in the two morphological categories. There is probably dialectal and even idiolect-internal variation in the allomorphy, here as with the prohibitive. For my assistant, quoted prohibitive -ndà: occurs with far more types of verbs than does the similar prohibitive allomorph -ndà: . Many short stems have quoted imperative -ndà: but prohibitive -rá. A generous set of forms is given in (377). Note -ndà: after all non- $i$-final verbs (377a), as well as with many $i$-final stems (377b). -rà was recorded with CvCi - and nCi-stems (377c), and -ndà (note the short vowel) after CvCvCi -stems (377d).
(377) Quoted prohibitive (verb ending in non-high vowel)

gloss $\quad$ bare stem $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
quoted prohibitive <br>
<br>

$\quad$

Sg addressee Pl addressee
\end{tabular}

a. final non-high vowel

| 'shave' | ká:- | ká:-ndà: | ká:-ndă:-ndì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'eat' | kó:- | kó:-ndà: | kó:-ndă:-ndì |
| 'arrive' | dǒ:- | dǒ:-ndà: | dǒ:-ndă:-ndì |
| 'bring' | jě:- | jě:-ndà: | dŏ:-ndă:-ndì |
| 'go' | ńné- | ńné-ndà: | ńné-ndă:-ndì |
| 'go up' | ǹdé- | ǹdé-ndà: | ńdé-ndă:-ndì |
| 'steal' | gùró- | gùró-ndà: | gùró-ndă:-ndì |
| 'pound' | tóró- | tóró-ndà: | tóró-ndǎ:-ndì |
| 'dream' | mònjúró- | mònjúró-ndà: | mònjúró-ndă:-ndì |

b. final high vowel

| 'see' | yǐ:- | yě:-ndà: | $y \varepsilon ̌:-n d a ̆:-n d i ̀ ~$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'go in' | núy ${ }^{n} 1$ í- [núj $\left.{ }^{\text {n }}\right]$ | núy ${ }^{n}$ ó-ndà: | núy ${ }^{\text {ºb-ndă:-ndì }}$ |
| 'find' | témbí- | témbé-ndà: | témbé-ndă:-ndì |
| 'open wide' | gòmbí- | gòmbó-ndà: | gòmbó-ndă:-ndì |
| 'gather' | mǒ:ndí- | mǒ:ndí-ndà: | mǒ:ndí-ndǎ:-ndì |


| 'take away, convey' 'help' | $\begin{aligned} & g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n i} 1- \\ & \text { bă:rí- } \end{aligned}$ | gě: $r^{n} 1$ índà: bǎ:rí-ndà: | $g \check{\varepsilon}: r^{n} 1$ í-ndǎ:-ndì bă:rí-ndă:-ndì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. $\mathrm{CvCi}-$ and $n \mathrm{Ci}-$ |  |  |  |
| 'give' | ńdí- | ńdí-rà | ńdí-rá-ndì |
| 'cover' | dèWí- | $d \varepsilon ̌ W-r a ̀ ~$ | děw-rá-ndì |
| d. trisyllabic |  |  |  |
| 'scrub' | púgúsí- | púgúsí-ndà | púgúsí-ndá-ndì |
| 'have fun' | kémír ${ }^{\text {n }}$ - | kémír ${ }^{\text {níl}}$-ndà | kémírní-ndá-ndì |

The variant -ndà: is homophonous with quoted hortative negative -ndà:, see the following section.

### 10.6.5 Quoted hortative (- - , negative -ndà:)

When a hortative (as opposed to an imperative) is subsequently quoted, it is expressed by -ŋ́ replacing the original hortative suffix -má or $-m a ̀ y^{n}(\S 10.6 .2)$. The form of the verb stem before $-\eta$ ’ is the same as that found before the hortative suffixes. Thus ńné-ŋ́ kíýé-só- $\varnothing$ 'he/she said, let's go!' (likewise quoted dògó- $\eta$ 'let's abandon', nǒ:- $\eta$ 'let's drink!').

A following quotative particle wa takes L-toned form, hence -1́ wà (§17.1.3).
It is a good bet that this -1 reflects an older $*-m$, which would have made the connections more transparent. Compare the alternation of $m$ and $\eta$ in the imperfective conjugation, with $3 \mathrm{Sg}-\grave{\eta}$ versus suffixed forms based on $-\grave{m}-$ (e.g. $3 \mathrm{Pl}-m-\grave{\varepsilon}-$ ). In both cases, word-final $* \mathrm{~m}$ appears to have lenited to $-\eta$ (which is then often realized as vocalic nasalization).

Care should be taken to distinguish the occasional quoted hortative -1 from the very common same-subject anterior subordinator $\emptyset$. I distinguish them orthographically (hyphen versus space), but in transcribing texts they are phonetically identical. Quoted hortative $-1 \bar{j}$ is distinct tonally from $3 S g$ imperfective $-\grave{\eta}$.

In quoted negative hortatives, -ndǎ:-má or plural-addressee -ndǎ:-mày ${ }^{n}$ is likewise reduced to invariant -ndà: .

The abbreviation in interlinears is QuotHort. A textual example is (378). Further examples (positive and negative) are in §17.1.4.2.

```
ńné-1́ ńn\varepsiloń kíy\varepsiloń nà,
go-QuotHort 3SgSbj say and.DS,
ńní-\eta\grave{:-\varnothing kìyè-\varnothing ndè, gò-gǒ: jíy\varepsiloń-\età}
go-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj say.Pfv-3SgSbj if, Rdp-stab kill-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'When he (=Fulbe) says, "let's go!," if he (=Dogon) says that he won't go, he (Fulbe)
will stab (him) to death.' (2004.01.10)
```


## 11 VP and predicate structure

### 11.1 Regular verbs and VP structure

### 11.1.1 Verb types (valency)

Since Nanga clearly distinguishes subjects (clause-initial NPs, pronominal-subject agreement on verbs) from direct objects (accusative case suffix on animate nouns and pronouns), the prototypical transitive verbs are clearly identifiable from their morphosyntactic behavior. These include the usual impact verbs ('hit', 'cut'), but also perception verbs ('see', 'hear'), as in (379).
a. ńné-1́ súyó-só-ý

3Sg-Acc hit-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I hit him/her.'
c. ńné- $̄ \quad$ yǐ:-Só- $夭 ́$

3 Sg -Acc see-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I saw him/her.'

Basic motion verbs ('go', 'come') are intransitive. Sentences like [ìsé gó] ńné-èrè̀-ỳ 'I went [to the village]' have adverbial (e.g. PP) rather than simple NP complements, usually with locative ga or allomorph (go, etc.). Toponyms omit the locative postposition, so sentences like mó:tì ńné-èrè-ỳ 'I went [to Mopti]' mimic transitives, but the adverbial nature of these place names is suggested by the fact that they correspond to 'where?' (àr ${ }^{n}$ ápá ) rather than to 'what?' in questions, and by the fact that the place name cannot be replaced by an accusative pronominal.
ńdí- 'give' takes two direct objects, either or both of which may show accusative - $\eta$. In the usual case where the recipient is animate and the theme is inanimate, accusative marking is much more common on the recipient than on the noun denoting the theme (380a), following the usual pattern with the accusative morpheme. When both NPs are animate, double accusative marking is common (380b).

| a | [bă: | $y \varepsilon ̌:-\grave{j}]$ | kě:rê | ńdí-Só-ý |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [father | 1 SgPoss.AnSg-Acc] | money | give-Pfv2-1SgSbj |

'I gave some money to my father.'
b. pèrgé- $\quad$ ú-ŋ́ ńdí-só-ý
sheep.Sg-Acc 2 Sg -Acc give-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I gave you-Sg a sheep.'
c. [ńdó gó] á yè:-sغ̀ gù-ndè
[house Loc] 3ReflSgSbj come-Ppl.Pfv and.then.Past,
jă: ńn $=$ =́ ńdí ńケ,
meal 3Sg-Acc give and.SS,
nî: ńné= ́ ńdí ńभ,
water 3 Sg-Acc give and.SS,
ńdí á jè-sè gù-ndè, ...
give 3 ReflSgSbj RecPrf-Ppl.Pfv and.then.Past, ...
'When she (=girl) had come to the house, she (=woman) gave her a meal, she gave her water. When she (=woman) had finished giving (them to her), ...' (2004.02.03)
ké:rí- 'show X to Y ' has similar syntax.
kíyé- 'say (sth, to sb)' can take a direct object referring to a quotation ('I didn't say that'). The person addressed can appear with the dative postposition bay (381a), but in texts it is usually accusative.
a. [ [bă: $y$ ê:]
bày]
[[father 1SgPoss.AnSg] Dat]
j̀gú- $\quad$ kìỳ-rí-y
Dem.InanSg-Acc say-PfvNeg-1 Sg Sbj
'I didn't say that to my father.'
b. [ǹněn nò gày] á-ท́ kíý́-s-દ́ wà
[name 3SgPoss Topic] 3LogoSg-Acc say-Pfv2-3P1Sbj Quot
'(She) said: uh-huh, they told me her name.' (2004.02.03)
Verbs of putting like kúr ${ }^{n}$ ú- 'put (object) in (a container)', gàr ${ }^{n} 1$ '- 'put (e.g. liquid, grain) in (container)', and nání- 'put (object) up on (something)' normally take a direct object and a locational expression, though the latter is sometimes obvious and can be omitted ('I put the tea kettle on [i.e. up on the burner]').

Except for basic motion verbs and deadjectival inchoatives, which are simple intransitives, the boundary between intransitive and transitive is blurred by the existence of many activity-denoting verbs that take a conventional or pro-forma complement, usually a cognate nominal. Syntactically, the cognate nominal can function as a direct object; see $\S 11.1 .6$. . However, it does not normally allow accusative $-\eta$ and in some cases it does not allow determiners or quantifiers.

There are also some fixed subject-verb combinations whose subject NP does not to have full subject properties; see $\S 11.1 .4$, below.

### 11.1.2 Valency of causatives and mediopassives

The subject (agent) of an intransitive clause becomes a direct object when the clause is causativized, and may therefore take accusative $-\grave{j}$.
[dèré $y \check{\text { é:-1̀] }] ~}$
[elder.sibling $1 \mathrm{SgPoss} . A n S g-A c c]$
'I made/had my older sibling come.'
yě:-m-só-ý
come-Caus-Pfv2-1 SgSbj

When an already transitive clause is causativized, the result is two direct objects, either or both of which may take accusative $-\eta$ (383).

```
[dèré yह̌:-\età] p\varepsiloǹrg\varepsiloń-\etá
[elder.sibling 1SgPoss.AnSg-Acc]
sémé-m-só-ý
slaughter-Caus-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I had my older brother slaughter a sheep.'
```

The mediopassive (MP) with suffix -yí- and variants cuts across transitivity lines, as the relevant verbs are not only classic middles like 'be hung up', but also syntactic transitives denoting actions that create a state for the agent (verbs of carrying, clothing, etc.). A mediopassive verb, even if syntactically transitive, (384a) is often paired with a counterpart with "transitive" suffix -rí- that adds an external agent (384b). See $\S 9.3 .1$ for more on these derivational suffixes.
a. yî:-ı̀ bàmbí-ý-só-ý
child-Acc carry.on.back-MP-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I carried/held a child on my back.'
b. yî:-ı̀ ńn $\varepsilon$ - 1 bàmbí-rí-só-ý
child-Acc 3 Sg -Acc put.on.back-Tr-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I put a child on him/her up on his/her back.'

### 11.1.3 Verb Phrase

The notion of VP is useful in connection with VP-chains, which are essentially chains of clauses with a shared subject; see §15.1. Verbal-noun complements (similar to English infinitival complements), however, are rather main-clause-like in Nanga. They are usually subjectless, so they constitute VPs, but objects are not forced into compound-initial form, and it is possible to add an overt subject (§17.3.1).

Quoted sentences are optionally divided into the subject (sometimes addressee) versus the remainder of the clause (VP including AN inflections), with quotative particle wa after both (§17.1.3).

### 11.1.4 Fixed combinations of verb and (pseudo-)subject

Fixed combinations of a subject NP and a verb are mainly found with meteorological and seasonal expressions (385a-b) and some emotional and medical expressons (385c).
(385) a. involving ùsí ‘sun' or variant (cf. ùsì-dérní ‘daytime’)
ùsí síyé- 'day break'
ùsí $\quad$ dèr ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ - $\quad$ 'night fall' (cf. dèr ${ }^{n} \mathcal{E}$ - 'spend mid-day')
ùsíyé bàrná- 'be summer' (bàrná- 'become red')
b. rain and rainy season

```
yàrí dǒ:- 'rainy season be about to start' ("sky arrive")
yàrí gǒ:- 'be just after the harvest' ("sky go.out")
bòndí wǒ:- 'rain fall'
c. emotions and medical
    kéndè bàrná- 'get angry’(kéndè 'heart/liver', bàrnáa- 'redden; blaze')
    kìr \({ }^{n} \grave{\text { è- }}\) dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) í gǒ:- 'have a nosebleed' (kírnêe 'nose', gǒ:- 'go out')
    kìr \({ }^{n}\) è- \(d \varepsilon r^{n} 1\) í \(\quad\) dèr \({ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\quad\) 'have a nosebleed' (cognate verb)
```

The nouns in these constructions tend not to have full subject properties, and may be referred to as pseudo-subjects. The noun usually occurs close to the verb, following spatiotemporal adverbs, whereas fully referential subject NPs often precede such adverbs. However, these are tendencies rather than strict rules.

The seasonal and meteorological expressions can occur in same-subject constructions containing two clauses denoting sequenced events ( $\S 15.2 .7$ ). This is possible since some cyclical sequences can be expressed by pairing combinations sharing a conventionalized subject (386).

| [ | dǒ: | 亿́] | bìndé-Èrè- $\varnothing$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [sky | arrive | and.SS] | go.back-Pfv1a-3SgSbj |
| 'The sky (=cloudy weather of rainy season) arrived and left.' |  |  |  |

With the emotional and medical expressions in (385c), the pseudo-subject (a bodily term) cooccurs with a human true subject. The construction suggests "possessor raising," as the choice of verb makes most sense if selected by the bodily term (pseudo-subject). kéndè bàrná- by itself would mean 'heart/liver (seat of emotions) blaze (=be burning)', and kìr $n$ è̀-dèr ${ }^{n} 1$ gǒ:would mean 'nosebleed (nose blood) go out'. These would make good sense with if the subject were phrased as a possessed NP: 'my heart became red', 'my nose-blood came out'. However, the actual constructions have human true subjects, not possessors. The verb agrees with this true subject (the human). The bodily term appears with its lexical tone melody, not with the overlay typical of possessums, and functions as a pseudo-subject, here a kind of adjunct (not a direct object).

a. kéndé

bàr ${ }^{n}$ á-só-y
heart/liver
blaze-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I got angry.'

| b. á:mádù | kéndè | bàr ${ }^{n a ́-S o ́-~} \varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | heart/liver | get.red-Pfv2-3SgSbj |

'Amadou got angry.'
c. kìr ${ }^{n} \mathrm{è}-d \grave{r^{n}}{ }^{n}$ ín $\quad$ ǧ:-Só-y
nose-bleed go.out-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I had a nosebleed.'

### 11.1.5 Idiomatic and cognate objects

Many verbs are regularly combined with a default nominal, usually functioning as a default direct object, but omitted if a more concrete object NP is overt. In (388), the default object and the verb are non-cognate.

| noun | verb | gloss of combination |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nă: | kó:- | 'eat (a meal)' |
| mî: ('water') | dìyé- | 'bathe' |
| nî: | nŏ:- | 'drink (water)' |

### 11.1.5.1 Formal relationships between cognate nominal and verb

Many verbs have a lexicalized cognate nominal from the same word family. The present focus is on the relationship among the nominal and verbal forms. For the grammatical functions of the cognate object, see §11.1.5.2, below.

Any verb that does not have a lexicalized cognate nominal can simply use its regular verbal noun in -ndé. Example: játí-ndé jàtít ‘do a calculation'. Such cases are not at issue in the present section since verbal nouns are predictable in form.

A generous set of examples of cognate noun-verb pairs is in (389). Since the tone melody is closely associated with its initial consonant for verbs, but not nouns, it seems most useful to organize the data around the tone melody (and syllable count) of the noun. Of interest is the distinction between dùrî dùró- 'let out a groan' (389e) and dúrî dùró- '(lion etc.) roar' (389d), distinguished by the tone of the noun.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { noun } \quad \text { verb } \quad \text { gloss of combination } \tag{389}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. monosyllabic

| /LH/ noun |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| tă: | tá: ${ }^{n}$ |  |
| tă: | 'build a shed (shelter)' |  |
| mš: | tá: ${ }^{n}-$ | 'avoid, respect (a taboo)' |
| /HL/noun | mó:- | 'tie a knot' |
| pô: | pó:- | 'give out a whistle' |

b. bisyllabic, /H/ noun
biŕá bìré-
gírná gìr ${ }^{n}$ ह́- $\quad$ 'harvest millet, do the millet harvest'
$d \dot{\varepsilon} r^{n} \hat{1} \quad d \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\quad$ 'spend the mid-day'
dómbó dòmbí-yé- 'roll turban (on head)'
má:ndí mă:ndí- 'think a thought'
c. bisyllabic, /LH/ noun
tùwá túwé-
òró óró-
èré éré-
gìyé gìyé-

| gìy ${ }^{n}$ É | gìy ${ }^{n} \underbrace{-}$ | 'fart, let out a fart' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sùgó | súgó- | 'defecate, take a shit' |
| dàmá | dàmá- | 'speak' |
| $p \varepsilon ̌ W$ | péwé- | 'give a reprimand' |
| tirn' | tír ${ }^{\text {ní- }}$ | 'go search for firewood' |
| tònó | tóní- | 'write, do some writing' |
| nùgá | nùní- | 'sing, perform a song' |
| pòmbó | pómbí- | 'compete, be in a race' |
| sàmbá | sámbí- | 'do the second round of weeding' |
| jìmbí | jìmbí- | 'double up, have two' |

d. bisyllabic, /HL/ noun
síbâ síbé-
bígâ bìgé-
bógî bògó-
dúrî dùró
jínâ jị̀í-
gór ${ }^{n} \hat{\jmath} \quad$ gə̀r $r^{n}$
gósô gòsó
ísê ìsé-
tínâ tíní-
jígâ jìgí-
úrô úró-
mánî màní-
bérर̂ bèré-
pútô pútó-
púdê púdé
jáyrè jǎyré
bémbè bèmbé-
jángè jàngí
sándì sándí-
tómbì
tí:nà tì:ní-
wá:jè wá:jí
wé:tè wé:tí- 'spend a half-day (morning)'
wá:tè wá:té- 'swear an oath' (< Fulfulde)
té:njè té:njí- 'tell a story'
e. bisyllabic, /LHL/ noun

| tòso | tósí- |
| :--- | :--- |
| kòyô | kóyó- |
| lùgô | lúgó- |
| bògô | bògí- |
| dùyâ | dùyó- |
| dùwâ | dùwó- |
| ìyâ | í:-yí- |
| kàgâ | kágá- |
| ùsâ | úsí- |

'make a payment'
'weep'
'count (recite numbers)'
'be deceptive, trick'
'make an insult'
'forge (tools)'
'stand/ stop in a position'
'clear one's throat'
'ask a question'

| pàrâ | párá- | 'cook pàrâ (dish with cow-peas, or millet mixed with roselle leaves)' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| à:njâ | á:njí- | 'yawn, make a yawn' |
| dùrî | dùró- | 'let out a groan' |
| ònjî | ónjí- | 'urinate' |
| tònjî | tónjí- | 'spit, emit a spit' |
| jìnjâ | jìnjí- | 'make noise' |
| tà:rî | tá:rí- | 'lay egg' |
| $s \stackrel{̀}{\text { : }} r^{n \hat{1}}$ | $s \varepsilon$ é: $r^{n} 1$ - | '(woman) emit cry of joy' |
| f. trisyllabic, /HL/ noun |  |  |
| kèmìr ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ¢ | kémír ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | 'have fun, stage festivities' |
| غ̇mìr ${ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$ | ع́mír ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | 'converse, chat' |
| sàlàmí | sálmí- | 'utter a formal greeting' |
| bèrèmbí | bèrémí- | 'take animals to pasture' |
| (often syncopated to bèrěm bèrémí-) |  |  |
| g. trisyllabic, /HL/ noun |  |  |
| sámár ${ }^{\text {ni }}$ | sámár ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | 'do wage labor (by the day)' |
| sógínè | sógíní- | 'take cows out at night' |
| yímbérè | yìmbírí- | '(beggar) sing koranic verses' |
| jóríyè | jòríyé- | 'fight, engage in a fight' |
| mónjórò | mònjúró- | 'dream a dream' |
| h. trisyllabic, /LHL/ noun |  |  |
| gòròndô | gòróndí- | 'snore; (lion) roar' |
| tòsòrô | tósírí- | 'have a discussion' |
| nèmbirrê | némbíré- | 'request, beg' |
| bògòrô | bògírí- | 'make loud noises' |
| bògòrô | bògóró- | '(animal) bellow' |
| tìngìrî | tíngírí- | 'formally counsel (a young person)' |
| ùgìrî | ùgúró- | 'perfume with incense' |
| sùmùr ${ }^{n} 1$ ̂r | súmúr ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ | 'have a rest' |

Some of the trisyllabic examples above show distinctions between the noun and the verb in the treatment of the medial stem syllable (raised $i$ versus a repeated non-high vowel); see '(beggar) sing koranic verses' and 'dream a dream' in ( 389 g ), and 'have a discussion', 'make loud noises', and '(animal) bellow' in (389h).

In a few cases, there is an irregular vocalic change affecting the initial vowel. Some examples involve switches between + ATR $\{\mathrm{e} o\}$ in the noun, likely influenced by a following high vowel or semivowel, and $-\operatorname{ATR}\{\varepsilon \rho\}$ in the verb (390a). There are also several cases of $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ in the noun versus $a$ in the verb (390b). The example in (390c) is similar but likely involves syncope of $* \mathrm{~g}$ in addition to the vocalic change.
(390) Vocalic changes in cognate verb/noun pairs

```
noun verb gloss
```

a. ATR alternations $o \sim \rho, \mathrm{e} \sim \varepsilon$ noun ends in $i$

| jòní | jòní- | 'treat (medically), provide care to' |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| yégî | yègé- | 'fall down, take a fall' |
| ségí | ségí- | 'pay dues, make a contribution' |
| yógî | ỳ̀gó- | 'run' |
| yémbí | yèmbí-yí- | 'cover oneself with blanket' |
| noun formerly ended in a high | vowel or *y |  |
| jéw | jèwé- | 'curse, utter a curse' |
|  |  | cf. Donno So jébù, etc. |
| tǒ: | tó:- | 'sow (seeds); sow the seedstock' |
|  |  | cf. Jamsay tǒy, etc. |

b. móndì màndí- 'laugh, let out a laugh'
bó:rì bă:rí- 'make an addition (top-off)'
yórî yàrí-yí- 'take a walk'
ò:rî á:rí-yí- 'crawl, drag oneself'
bómbí bàmbí-yí- 'hold or carry on one's back'
Wórî Wàrá- 'do (manual) farming (in field)'
c. sò:rî sógírí- '(sth unseen) make a noise'

In (391), there is a partial cognate relationship. The default object nominal is a compound, whose initial or final is related to the verb.
noun verb gloss
a. verb related formally to the final of a nominal compound

```
y\varepsiloǹ-kǔ: kúwó- 'perform black magic'
gìrè-níy }\mp@subsup{}{}{n}\hat{\varepsilon}\quad níy n'\varepsiloń- 'sleep' (< gìré 'eye')
àrnà-bó:rì bǎ:rí- 'provide assistance to'
àr'à-tǒ: tó:- 'scold'
àrnà-pǎ:" pá:'n- 'take a step'
nò:-já:rà jă:rí- 'emit some slobber, drool' (< nǒ: 'mouth')
gò:-kòndùgó kóndúgó- 'build a (Jamsay-style) conical granary roof` (cf.
    Jamsay gǒ: 'granary')
nà:-p\varepsilońr\hat{\varepsilon}
nà:-tìnjí tínjé- 'draw a line (with the hand)'
```

b. [noun adjective] combination, verb based on adjective
nà: pírí píré- 'cook nà: pírí (lit. "white meal," a dish)
c. noun arguably with frozen * an- not included in verb (§4.1.8)
àntá:rí~ àtá:rí tá:rí- 'hunt, go on a hunt'
d. verb based on final ... $C v C v$ of trisyllabic noun ná:pílà pílé- 'perform an individual prayer' (<Arabic root $\sqrt{ }$ nfl)
e. verb related formally to the initial of a nominal compound bègìrè-bê: bègé- 'hiccup', see (35) in §4.1.6 kòyò-kè:sí kóyó- 'give out a shout'

Some of the pairs of related noun and (arguably denominal) verb listed in $\S 9.6$ are also relevant, to the extent that the noun and verb occur in collocations. This is especially true for 'chant the ancestry', 'cook the sauce', and 'tell a lie'.

### 11.1.5.2 Grammatical status of cognate nominal

Often the cognate nominal is rather pro forma, as in 'dance (a dance)' or 'stutter (a stuttering)'. However, the cognate nominal may be quantified over or modified adjectivally where this makes sense semantically. If the activity is divisible into bounded units, these can be quantified over (392a). Evaluative or other adverbial modification normally takes the form of adding a modifying adjective to the nominal (392b).
a. $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { [nùmá } & \begin{array}{l}\text { tà:ndǐ:] } \\ \text { [song } \\ \text { three] }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { nùyí-Só- } \varnothing \\ \text { sing-Pfv2-3SgSbj }\end{array}\right]$
'He/She sang three songs.'
b. [nùnà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ घ̀sí] nù̀í-só- $\varnothing$
[song ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ good] sing-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She sang well ("sang a good song").'

## 11.2 'Be', 'become', 'have', and other statives

### 11.2.1 'It is' clitics

11.2.1.1 Positive 'it is' enclitic ( $=m-,=\eta-,=y \grave{\varepsilon},=w)$

The enclitic $=m$ - 'it is' is added to NPs. It can be conjugated, and has the paradigm (393). The distinct postconsonantal forms are rare, see below, so for practical purposes the postvocalic paradigm is what one will find in texts. Except for $3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon$ and the special inanimate form $=w$, both of which suggest adjectival morphology, the paradigm resembles that of the imperfective suffix -mi- (and 3Sg portmanteau -ì) with regular verbs (§10.2.2.1). However, the pronominal endings with the imperfective suffix are shortened, e.g. 1 Sg
 are atonal, acquiring surface tones from the left as explained below.

| category | postvocalic | postconsonantal (unco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | $=m i-y \quad[\mathrm{mi}$ ] | [same as postvocalic] |
| 1 Pl | $=m i-y . \quad[\mathrm{minic}$ ] | [same as postvocalic] |
| 2 Sg | $=m u-W \quad[\mathrm{mu}$ : $]$ | [same as postvocalic] |
| 2 Pl | $=m u-W . \therefore$ [mùúù] | [same as postvocalic] |
| 3 Sg | $=\eta \sim:={ }^{n} \sim:=\varnothing$ | $=y \varepsilon ̀ \sim=y \varepsilon$ |
| 3 Pl | $=y \varepsilon)^{\sim}=y \varepsilon$ | $=y \grave{\sim} \sim=y \varepsilon$ |
| Inan | $={ }_{W}$ | $=y \varepsilon ̀ \sim=y \varepsilon$ |

I normalize the transcription of the 3 Sg form to $=\eta$. However, it may be realized phonetically as nasalization (and brief lengthening) of the final vowel, and (especially in an already nasal context) it may reduce segmentally to zero.
$3 \mathrm{Sg}=\eta$ and inanimate $=w$ each consist only of one consonant, and these forms do not occur in postconsonantal position. However, postconsonantal position is rare for the 'it is' clitic since noun and adjective stems are essentially all vowel-final. Even nouns (borrowed from Fulfulde) like álâl 'Sunday' that are usually heard with final sonorant can be treated as vowel-final, with a final high vowel that is apocopated in word-final position but that reappears before an enclitic. The result is 'it is' forms like álâlù $=\grave{W}$ 'it's Sunday'. 'Woman' (Sg yă- $\eta$, unmarked plural yă:), the only common noun with singular $-\eta$, drops this suffix before the clitic, as in yǎ = mí-ý ‘I am a woman'.

True postconsonantal position is therefore effectively restricted to NPs ending in a numeral wǒy 'two', in a consonant-final determiner (wǒ- $\eta$ 'this-Animate', yěy 'theseInanimate', definite plural $y^{\prime}$ ), or in particle sǎy 'only'. After these true consonant-final elements, $=\eta$ and inanimate $=W$ are replaced by the syllabic morpheme $=y \grave{\varepsilon} \sim=y \varepsilon$, which is otherwise (i.e. postvocalically) a 3 Pl form.

The fact that nonmonosyllabic nouns and adjectives do not end in $u$ (§3.4.4) is very helpful in recognizing the presence of the 'it is' enclitic, since $=W$ is easily audible after other vowels. Stem-final $i$, which is quite common in nouns, combines with $=w$ as [u:], as in bòndú $=W^{\prime}$ 'it is rain' (< bòndí).

The 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms have their usual dying-quail intonation with [LHL] pitch on the enclitic syllable, at least in careful pronunciation. This is most easily heard when the 'it is' form is followed by a particle, such as interrogative ma.

The $1 \mathrm{Sg}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}, 3 \mathrm{Sg}$, and inanimate 'it is' forms are atonal. They acquire a surface tone by spreading from the preceding host word. Thus ànsá:rá = mí-ý'I am a white person' with final H-tone, but dógô=mì-y 'I am a Dogon' with final L-tone; likewise ànsá:rá= $y^{\prime}$ 'he/she is a white person', dóg $\hat{\imath}=\grave{\eta}$ 'he/she is a Dogon', nǎ: $=\hat{W}^{n}$ 'it is a meal', and tà: $r \hat{u}=\hat{W}$ 'it is an egg' (< tà:rî).
$3 \mathrm{Sg}=\eta$ and inanimate $=w$ are atonal in most combinations as just stated. However, when added to third person pronouns, demonstrative pronouns, and interrogative pronouns, they are L-toned even when the preceding form ends in an H-tone: kj̀n $\varepsilon=\grave{W}^{n}$ 'what is it?'
 interpreted in two ways: the relevant hosts end in an otherwise covert L-tone that is revealed by the 'it is' enclitic, or the enclitic itself has a tonally specified allomorph required by these hosts.

The tonal behavior of $3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon$ is equivocal in my data. After a final-H-toned noun, I have recorded both $=y \varepsilon ́$ and $=y \varepsilon ̀$ (the latter includes surface $=y \hat{\varepsilon}$ after a rising-toned syllable, whose final H-tone element spills over), with $=y \grave{\varepsilon}$ predominating. For 'it's women'
(stem yǎ:), yǎ: =ŷ̂ is more common than yǎ: =ý́, though my assistant accepts both. For 'it's white people’ (stem ànsá:rá), only ànsá:rá = yè was accepted. For 'it’s sheep-Pl’ (pèrgé), both $p \varepsilon ̀ r g \varepsilon ́=y \varepsilon ́$ and $p \grave{r g} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}=y \varepsilon ̀ ~ w e r e ~ r e c o r d e d . ~$
$3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon$ (like inanimate $=w$ ) also occurs in the inflection of stative verbs (§10.4.1). In that paradigm, $=y \varepsilon($ like $=w)$ is atonal, and therefore appears with H-tone when following a final-H-toned stem, as in m̀ má=yé 'they love' (§11.2.5.3). Incidentally, in these stative paradigms, the 3 Sg form is zero ( not $=\eta$ ).
$3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon$ is not subject to Nasalization-Spreading: nǔ: =y 'they are people'. It is also not subject to ATR harmony with the preceding stem.

For occasional extensions of $=y \grave{\varepsilon}$ to 1 Pl and 2 Pl subjects $(=y \varepsilon ́=m \grave{\imath}-y \therefore$, $=y \varepsilon ́=m u ̀-W \therefore$ ), see §11.2.1.4, below.

Examples with animate subjects are in (394). An initial independent pronoun (topicalized) is possible but not required.
a. (I: $\left.:^{n}\right) \quad d o ́ g \hat{\jmath}=m i ̀-y$
(1Sg) Dogon=it.is-1SgSbj
'I am (a) Dogon.'
b. ( $\hat{i}:$ : dóg $\hat{o}=m i ̀-y$.
[...mîíi i]
(1Pl) Dogon=it.is-1PlSbj
'We are Dogon.'
c. (ńné) $\quad d o ́ g \hat{\jmath}=\grave{\emptyset}$
(3Sg) Dogon=it.is.3SgSbj
'He/She is Dogon.'
d. (bû:) dóg $\hat{\jmath}=y \grave{\varepsilon}$
(3Pl) Dogon=it.is.3PlSbj
'They are Dogon.'
e. $p e ̀ r g \varepsilon ́=$ ŋ́
sheep $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'It is a sheep'
f. $\quad \check{a}-\eta=\varnothing$
who?. $\mathrm{AnSg}=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'Who is it?' (from /ă $=$ y $\mathfrak{y} /$ )
g. [á:mádù sǎy] = mí-y
[Amadou only]=it.is- 1 SgSbj
'I am (= it's) just Amadou.'
h. ท́nย́
[fàtùmátâ
$s a ̌ y]=y \hat{\varepsilon}$
3Sg [Fatoumata only]=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'She is (= it's just) Fatoumata.'
i. bû:
[yù:-wàrí
sǎy] $=y \hat{\varepsilon}$
3Pl [millet-farm.Agent
only]=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'They are only millet farmers.'

In identificational predicates with an animate pronoun (even a first or second person pronoun) as predicate, as in 'it's me' (in answer to e.g. 'who eats meat?' or 'who is that knocking at the door?'), the relevant pronominal category appears as the subject (expressed by pronominalsubject suffix) as well as the predicate (expressed as independent pronoun), hence literally 'I am me', etc. Recall the comment above that third person pronouns and demonstratives have a final L-tone in the 'it is' form; this accounts for 3 Sg ńné= $\grave{\eta}$ ( 395 d ). The 3 Pl is $b \hat{u}$ : $=\varnothing$ with no overt clitic (395e).
a. $\quad \check{I}^{n}=m i ́-y ́$
$1 \mathrm{Sg}=$ it.is- 1 SgSbj
'It is me.'
b. ú=mú- $-\dot{w}$
$2 \mathrm{Sg}=$ it.is- 2 SgSbj
'It is you-Sg.'
c. $\hat{1}:=m i ̀-y ̀ .:$
$1 \mathrm{Pl}=$ it.is- 1 PlSbj
'It is us.' (phonetic [î̀mìîì])
d. ńné= ̀̀
$3 \mathrm{Sg}=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'It is he/she.'
e. $b \hat{u}:=\varnothing$
$3 \mathrm{Pl}=$ it.is. 3 PlSbj
'It's them.'

Examples with inanimate subjects are in (396). $=W$ is nasalized to $=w^{n}$ by regular Nasalization-Spreading when preceded by a nasal syllable.
a. nàmâ= $\grave{W}^{n}$
meat=it.is.InanSbj
'It's meat.' (nàmâ )
b. pòrú $={ }^{W}$
knife=it.is.InanSbj
'It's a knife.' (pòrí)
c. $k u ́ r^{n} \hat{O}=\grave{W}^{n}$
stone=it.is.InanSbj
'It's a stone.' (kúr ${ }^{n} \hat{o}$ )
d. tùmá $=W^{n}$
tree=it.is.InanSbj
'It's a tree.' (tùmá )
e. kò-ń́ $=\grave{W}^{n}$ mà what?=it.is.InanSbj Q
'What is it?
f. ŋ̀gú $\quad[n \varepsilon ̌: m \quad s a ̌ y]=y \hat{\varepsilon}$

Dem.InanSg [salt only]=it.is. 3 SgSbj 'That is just salt.' (nè:mí)

Identificational predicates with inanimate pronoun or demonstrative as predicate have $=\grave{\eta}$, i.e. 3 Sg as subject, rather than the specifically inanimate $=w$. The same is true of interrogative pronouns. As noted above, some of these combinations are also irregular in having L-toned $=\grave{j}$ after an H-tone. Perhaps the substitution was originally a device to avoid adding $=w$ to any of the high-frequency grammatical morphemes already ending in $u$.
a. $k u ́=i ̀ ̀$

InanSg=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'It is (= that is) it.'
b. $k \hat{u}:=y \grave{\varepsilon}$

InanPl=it.is. 3 PlSbj
'It is (=that is) them-Inan.'
c. àr ${ }^{n}$ áná $=$ ì
where?=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'It is where?' (compare àrnápá bù- $\varnothing$ ‘Where is he/she/it?')
d. $\grave{j} g u ́=i ̀$

Dem.InanSg=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'it's this'
11.2.1.2 'It is not' (=ndǒ:; =ndǒ-)

The negative counterpart of $=m$ - 'it is' is $=n d \check{o}(:)$ - 'it is not'. It has the same form after consonants and after vowels. It does not co-occur with plural $-y \varepsilon$ (cf. the following section). It is slightly distinct in tone and vowel length from stative negative $=$ ǹdó- (§10.4.2, §11.5.1). It does not control tone-dropping on the preceding noun.
$=n d \check{o}(:)$ - 'it is not' can be conjugated; the paradigm is (398). 3 Sg (including inanimate) $=n d \check{o}:-\varnothing$, with long vowel, is the unmarked category. 3 Pl form $=n d-\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}$ : is arguably from suffixed $/=$ ndǒ- $\varepsilon /$, but in effect $=n d-\varepsilon \check{\varepsilon}$ : represents an ablaut-like vocalic mutation from the 3 Sg form (compare $3 \mathrm{Pl}=$ ǹd- $-\varepsilon$ from stative negative $=$ ǹdó-). The $1 \mathrm{st} / 2 \mathrm{nd}$ person forms are based on $=n d \check{\circ}-$ with short vowel. The nasal in =ndǒ:- gets its tone (not marked in the transcription) from the preceding stem, which is not tone-dropped.
(398) category form

| 1 Sg | $=n d o ̌-y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Pl | $=n d o ̌-y .:$ | [ndòóòj] |
| 2 Sg | $=n d o ̌-W$ |  |
| 2 Pl | $=n d o ̌-W . \therefore$ | [ndòóòw] |
| 3 Sg , Inan | $=n d o ̌:-\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | $=n d-\varepsilon$ : |  |

Examples are in (399).
a. $\quad d \grave{g} \hat{\jmath}=n d o ̌-y$

Dogon-it.is.not-1SgSbj
'I am not a Dogon.' (dógô)
phonetic [dógóǹdòj́]
b. Wǒ- $\eta$
nà $\eta a ́=n d o ̌:-\varnothing$
Dem-AnSg cow=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'That is not a cow.'
phonetic [nàyáńdòó]
c. ŋ̀gú $\quad[n \varepsilon ̌: m \quad s a ̌ y]=n d o ̌:-\varnothing$

Dem.InanSg [salt only]=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'That is not just salt.' (nè:mí)
phonetic [sàj́ńdòó]
d. [ànsá:rá
sǎy $]=n d-\varepsilon ̌$ :
[white.person only]=it.is.not-3P1Sbj
'They are not only white people.'
phonetic [sàj́ńdè $\varepsilon$ ]
In prepausal position, the final rise of the tone is usually not heard. One could therefore follow the phonetic pitch and transcribe $3 \mathrm{Sg}=n d \grave{o} \cdot ; 1 \mathrm{Sg}=n d o ̀-y$, and so forth, in this position. The rising tone is clearly audible in nonprepausal position, for example before the question particle ma. I therefore normalize the transcription as $=n d o \check{:}$, $=n d o \check{-y}$, etc.

### 11.2.1.3 Historical background to postconsonantal $=y \varepsilon$ to 1 Pl and 2 Pl subject

(393) above shows $=y \varepsilon$ as an allomorph of the 'it is' clitic, postconsonantally (a rare position) for all third person subjects, and in all positions for 3 Pl subject (§11.2.1.1). Another example is (400).
(400) [bû: gày] [ànsá:rá sǎy]=yé
[3Pl Topic] [white.person only]=it.is.3SgSbj
'Them, they're only white people.'

Since $=y \varepsilon$ is strictly 3 Pl in the much more common postvocalic position, one might infer that it has spread from 3 Pl to 3 Sg and inanimate as a repair mechanism, in a phonological environment (postconsonantal) that did not permit the usual 3 Sg and inanimate 'it is' forms.

However, there is comparative evidence that $* y \varepsilon$ originally doubled as plural (either general, or just inanimate) and as animate singular. The Nanga possessive classifier ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon} \sim$ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}$ still has this broad distribution, covering all plurals and animate singular, i.e. everything except inanimate singular, see (115) in §6.2.1.3. The Najamba cognates are animate singular or inanimate plural (but not animate plural). Therefore the use in Nanga of $=y \varepsilon$ as general third person form of the 'it is' enclitic in postconsonantal position may be an archaism.

### 11.2.1.4 Extensions of $=y \varepsilon ́=m$ - to 1 Pl and 2 Pl subject

There are occasional attestations of $=y \varepsilon$ - with a following $=m$ - 'it is' and either a 1 Pl or 2 Pl pronominal-subject suffix. The combinations are $1 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon ́=m i ̀-y \therefore$ and $2 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon ́=m u ̀-w . \therefore$. (401b) is therefore an optional variant of (401a). My assistant rejected $=y \varepsilon$ - with 1 Sg and 2 Sg suffixes.
a. [î: ànsá:rá sǎy]=mí-y:
[1P1 white.person only]=it.is-1P1Sbj
'We are only white people.'
b. $\hat{1}$ : ànsá: $r a ́ \quad$ ǎa $y=y \hat{\varepsilon}=m i ̀-y:$

1 Pl white.person only=it.is=it.is-1P1Sbj
[=(a)]
11.2.2 Existential and locative 'be' quasi-verbs and related particles

Quasi-verbs are defective stative-only predicates that have minimal paradigms, without aspectual distinctions, though they can be negated. They include 'be (somewhere)' and 'have', which are unusual in being L-toned in indicative main clauses. Loosely, the term can also be applied to the 'it is' clitic described above. 'Want' is expressed by either of two active (i.e. aspect-marking) verbs, though one (nàmà-) is usually in stative form, but a stronger sense 'love, be very fond of (someone)' is expressed by a quasi-verb m̀bá and variants (§11.2.5). 'Know' ( $\S 17.2 .1$ ) is an active verb in Nanga.

### 11.2.2.1 Existential (yá)

This proclitic particle occurs with statives, immediately preceding the predicate. It is required (except as specified below) before bù- 'be (somewhere)' (§11.2.2.2) and with locational stative 'be (put) in/on' verbs ( $\S 11.2 .3$ ), unless another locational expression is overt. It is also required before sò- 'have’ ( $\S 11.5 .1$ ), regardless of whether a locational is co-present. With other statives, either yá or a reduplicant is required, but the two do not co-occur.

In all these cases, yá is strictly limited to positive non-relative clauses that have no focalized non-verb constituent. It is absent from negative clauses, from positive relative clauses, and from positive main clauses with a focalized constituent.

The distribution of yá suggests that it functions as a mix of default locational, notably with 'be' and other locational predicates, and verb/predicate focalizer. The two functions are closely related, assuming that any other overt locational is understood as focalized in the 'be (somewhere)' construction.

Cognates (yá, yé, yó ) are found in many other Dogon languages with similar syntax. It is likely that the particle originated long ago as a discourse-definite 'there' adverb, cf. Togo Kan $y \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'there'.

### 11.2.2.2 Locational quasi-verb (bù-, negative ìgó-)

The 'be' quasi-verb used in positive contexts with an overt or implied locational expression ('be in the village', 'be here', 'be present', etc.), or in general existential sense ('exist, be somewhere'), is bù-.
category form

| 1Sg | $b u ̀-y$ ~ bì-y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Pl | $b u ̀-\grave{.}$ : $\sim$ bì-ỳ.: | [bùúùj] ~ [biîì $(\mathrm{j})$ ] |
| 2 Sg | bù-W |  |
| 2 Pl | bù-W.: | [bùưù] |
| 3 Sg | $b u ̀-\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | $b-\bar{\varepsilon}$ |  |

Examples with specific locationals are in (403).
a. [bă: y ̌̌:] [ìsè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gó] bù- $\varnothing$
[father 1 SgPoss.AnSg] [village ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Loc] be-3SgSbj
'My father is in (the) village.'
b. ŋ̀gà-gá bù-Ẁ mà
there be-2SgSbj Q
'Are you-Sg over there?'

When there is no other locational complement, existential yá obligatorily precedes bù-. In effect, yá here functions as a default locational.

| a. yá | $b u ̀-\grave{W}$ | mà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Exist | be- 2 SgSbj | Q |

'Are you-Sg present (here/there)?'
b. nàmâ yá bù- $\varnothing$
meat Exist be-3SgSbj
'There is some meat.'

With the past clitic the form is bù- $m=b \grave{\varepsilon}$ - 'was (somewhere)' including imperfective -m̀- (in cases like these extended to stative function), see $\S 10.5 .1 .2$.

The participle in relative clauses is bú-mì and variants, see $\S 14.1 .7 .5$. The closely related 'while' form, in backgrounded imperfective clauses, is bú-m̀े, see the end of §15.2.1. Note the H-toned bú- in these forms. The other occurrences of H-toned bú- in my data are in the pseudo-conditional phrase bú ndè, which belongs to the $V b^{\mathrm{H}}$ ndè subtype of the uninflected pseudo-conditional (§15.2.8.3).

The negative counterpart of bù- is ìgó- 'not be (somewhere)'. Its paradigm is (405). The initial nasal is normally L-toned, but in the 1 Pl and 2 Pl it is high-pitched as part of a particularly complex realization of the dying-quail effect. The final syllable of the 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms is LHL-pitched in careful pronunciation, but can also be heard as more or less flat L-pitch; see (29) in §3.8.3.
category form

| 1 Sg | ŋ̀gó-ý |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Pl | ض̀gó-ý. | [ y gòóòj] |
| 2 Sg | ŋ̀gó-w |  |
| 2 Pl | ŋ̀gó-ẃ. | ['́gòóòw] |
| 3 Sg | ŋ̀gó- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | $\grave{\grave{j}} \mathrm{~g}$-દ́: $\sim$ |  |

Existential yá is disallowed in negative clauses, including those with ŋ̀gó-.
Examples of ŋ̀gó- with and without an overt locational complement are in (406).
(406)

```
a. nàmâ \grave{ j̀gó-\varnothing}
    meat not.be-3SgSbj
    'There is no meat.'
```

b. [ńdó gó] ŋ̀ ŋóó-ý
[house Loc] not.be-1 SgSbj
'I am not in the house.'

### 11.2.3 'Be put in/on' (kùr ${ }^{n}{ }_{0}-$-, gàr ${ }^{n}$ à-, nàyà- $)$

To say that a person, animal, or a large or whole object (e.g. a grain spike) is 'in' a container or, metaphorically, 'in' a situation (such as being in post-partum quarantine), but not e.g. being in a village or in a house, the stative verb kùr ${ }^{n}$ ò- 'be in' (also 'be put in') is used instead of bù-. It is the stative form of active transitive verb kúrn'́l 'put (something) inside (a container)'. It occurs with an explicit locational in (407a), in which case existential yá is only optional. As default locational, yá is required in the absence of another marked locational (407b), except in syntactic environments that exclude yá (preceding section).

[^0]b. nàmâ yá kùrnòo- $\varnothing$
meat Exist be.put.in.Stat-3SgSbj
'The meat is within (it).'
To say that a liquid or granular substance (water, millet grain, flour, granulated sugar or salt), i.e. something that could be poured, is 'in' a container (waterjar, grain sack), a different stative verb gàr ${ }^{n} \grave{a}-$ is put to use. The corresponding transitive is gà $r^{n} 1$ - ' 'put, place', which puts less emphasis on the 'inside' element. The syntax is the same as for kùr ${ }^{n} \mathbf{o}$-.
a. nî: [jìnjà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá] (yá) gàr ${ }^{n a ̀-~} \varnothing$
sugar [waterjar ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg.Loc] (Exist) be.put.Stat-3SgSbj
'The water is in the waterjar.' (< jínjá)
b. yû: [sà: $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá $] \quad$ (yá) gàrnà- $\varnothing$
millet [sack ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.InanSg.Loc] (Exist) be.put.Stat-3SgSbj
'The millet (grain) is in the (grain) sack.' (< sá:gì)
c. sígórò yá gàrnà- $\varnothing$
sugar Exist be.put.Stat-3SgSbj
'The sugar is in (it).'
To say that an object is '(up) on' another object or raised surface, the stative verb used is nàyà- 'be (put) up on'. Contexts include putting a tea-kettle on a burner, putting a cooking pot on a raised (three-stone) hearth with fire underneath, and putting anything (mattress, peanuts) on a roof. The syntax is as before.
a. màtilâ: [dè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gá] (yá) nàỳ̀- $\varnothing$
sugar [roof ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg.Loc] (Exist) be.put.up.on.Stat-3SgSbj
'The mattress is up on the roof.' (<dèwí)
b. tê: yá nàyà- $\varnothing$
tea Exist be.put.up.on.Stat-3SgSbj
'The tea (kettle) is up (on the burner).'

The three stative locational verbs described here are the most important alternatives to bù- 'be'. However, the derived stative is fairly productive and many other such verbs occur to describe more specific positions ('be hanging up', 'be lying down', etc.)

### 11.2.4 Morphologically regular verbs

These verbs have some semantic connection to the 'be' quasi-verbs covered in this chapter. However, they are active (aspect-marking) verbs rather than defective stative quasi-verbs.

### 11.2.4.1 'Remain, happen' (bě:-)

This active verb has a full set of AN stems including perfective-1a bě-èrè-, perfective negative bè:-rí-, and imperfective bè-bé:-m̀. For present time (semantically stative), the perfective is used (410a).
a. ké-kéw bě-èr-à
Rdp-same remain-Pfv1a-3PlSbj
'They remain (= are) the same.'
b. ké-kéw bè-bé:-m-

Rdp-same Rdp-remain-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'They will remain (= be) the same.'
In addition to the sense 'stay, remain', bě:- is also used as an auxiliary verb to make inchoative predicates ('become X') out of expressive adverbials, see §8.4.7. In the same vein, bě:- can mean 'be done' or 'happen, take place'. 'It can happen' (hence 'it is possible') is bě: béré-ŋ̀ (§17.5.1).

### 11.2.4.2 'Become, happen' (táhí-)

This active verb has perfective-1a tání-èrè- (or táyy-غ̀rè-), perfective negative tànà- $r^{n} 1$-, and imperfective tà-tápí-m̀-. In the sense 'become X ', the X is most often a noun or NP, but it can also be an adjective or a descriptive adverbial.
a. yă- $\eta$
tání- $\mathrm{e} r$ è- $\varnothing$
woman-Sg become-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'He/She has become a woman.'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. } & {[\text { [kìyǎ- } W} & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { ko } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { gù } \\ & \text { [previously } & { }^{\text {HL }} \text { thing } & { }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Def.InanSg] }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { mà }{ }^{n} \text { ] }{ }^{\text {Dike] }}\end{aligned}$
táyí-èrè-W
become-Pfv1a-2SgSbj
'You-Sg have become like before.' (lit. "like before's thing")
For 'become A' with adjective A, the inchoative derived verb is common; see $\S 9.5$.

### 11.2.4.3 'Want, like' (jòró-, nàmà-)

' X want Y ' denoting a momentary wish may be expressed by the morphologically regular verb jòró- . This verb may also (in other contexts) be translated 'like, love', denoting an enduring attitude. An alternative is a verb that usually occurs in stative form as nàmà-, though imperfective stem námá-m̀- is also attested.
a. kò-né
nàmà-W ${ }^{n}$ mà
what? want.Stat- 2 SgSbj
Q
'What do you-Sg want?'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. kj̀-nє́ } & \text { jórò-æ̀- }{ }^{w} & \text { mà } \\ \text { what? } & \text { want-Ipfv-2SgSbj } & \mathrm{Q}\end{array}$
'What do you-Sg want?' (or: ‘What do you-Sg like/love?')

In positive utterances, stative nàmà- may be preceded by existential yá, or it may be reduplicated (ná-nàmà-), but not both. The combination with yá can only be used when the desire is specific in time in place, while ná-nàmà- can be used gnomically (generalizing across times and situations). In the negative, nàmà- takes stative negative = ǹdó-, without yá or the reduplication.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. nî: } \quad \text { yá } & \text { nàmà- } \grave{y}^{n} \\ & \text { water } \quad \text { Exist } & \text { want.Stat- } 1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \\ & \text { 'I want (some) water.' } & \end{array}$
b. bú:dì ná-nàmà-y ${ }^{n}$
money Rdp-want.Stat- 1 SgSbj
'I (perhaps generally) want money.'
c. nî: nàmà = ndó-ý
water want $=$ StatNeg- 1 SgSbj
'I don't want water.'
For negative 'not want' blending into 'dislike', see ìmbùrǎ in $\S 11.2 .5$ below.

### 11.2.4.4 'Fear' (ú:-yí, ùwà-)

' X fear Y ', ' X be afraid of Y ' may be expressed by the morphologically regular verb ú:-yí-. It is syntactically transitive but it contains mediopassive -yí-.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { a. ńné-1́ ù:-yè-rí-ý } \\ & \text { 3Sg-Acc fear-MP-PfvNeg-1SgSbj } \\ & \text { 'I was not afraid of him/her.' }\end{array}$
b. ǹjí- $\varnothing$ ú:-y-غ̀rè- $\varnothing$

1Sg-Acc fear-MP-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'He/She was afraid of me.'

The stative form is ùwà-. It may be reduplicated as ú-Pùwà- (§10.4), or it may be preceded by existential yá, but not both. The combination with yá suggests an immediate source of danger, while ú-?ùwà can be used to denote a generalized or chronic fearfulness. The negative has the regular stative negative suffix, and does not allow the existential particle or the reduplication. These stative forms are used for time intervals that encompass the present.
a. ǹjí- $\varnothing$ yá ùwà-yè
1 Sg-Acc Exist fear.Stat-3PlSbj
'They are afraid of me.'
b. $\grave{n} j i-\varnothing \quad$ ùwà $=n d-\varepsilon ́$

1Sg-Acc fear=StatNeg-3PlSbj
'They are not afraid of me.'
The related noun ùwá 'fear' resembles (in vocalism) the stative verb form. For 'fear' with a complement clause, see §17.3.9.

### 11.2.5 'Love, be very fond of (someone) ( $\grave{m} b$ á, negative $m^{b}$ ùrá-)

For ordinary 'want' see §11.2.4.3 above. In the stronger sense 'love, be very fond of (someone)', a stative quasi-verb m̀bá ~ ̀̀mbá ~ ùmbá is also recorded: $u$ úly m̀ má $=m-1$ 'I love you-Sg', ̀̀jí mìbá- $\varnothing$ 'he/she loves me', ǹjí m̀̀bá =yé 'they love me'. The paradigm, which has some unusual tonal features, is (416).

| category | form |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Sg |  | [m̀̀bámí:] |
| 1P1 |  | [m̀bámì:] |
| 2Sg | m̀̀̀á $=$ mú- $W^{n}$ | [m̀̀bámú:] |
| 2 Pl | ı̀̀ ${ }^{\text {a }}=$ mú- $W^{n} .:$ | [m̀mámù:] |
| 3Sg/Inan | m̀ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ á- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | $\grave{m} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{=}=\mathrm{y}$ ¢́ |  |

The regular stative negative of this is m̀bà =ndó- 'not love'. A distinct, irregular negative stem $m^{b} u$ ùá- ~ ìbùră- is more common, often being used as the negation of jòró-, but tending toward a lexically separate sense 'dislike, hate' rather than the mere absence of liking. A segmentation as m̀bù-ră- is possible but not transparent. The final syllable is H-toned rá as its own syllable, becoming rising-toned with a syllabic coda semivowel $(1 \mathrm{Sg}, 2 \mathrm{Sg})$. The initial H-tone on the nasal in the 1 Pl and 2Pl (dying-quail) forms is shared with 'not be', see (30e-f) in §3.8.3.

| category | form |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Sg | m̀bùrà-ý |  |
| 1Pl | m̀̀bùrà-ý: | [ńbúráàj] |
| 2 Sg | m̀bùrà-Ẃ |  |
| 2 Pl | m̀̀bùrà-ẃ.: | [ńbúráàw] |
| 3Sg/Inan | m̀bùrá- $\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | m̀bùrá $=y$ ǵ |  |

### 11.3 Quotative verb

### 11.3.1 'Say' (kíyé-)

The fully inflectable active verb 'say' is kíyé-.
a.

| [ẏ̀bùmbà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Wǒ-ŋ], | kj̀-kj̀sí | Kíyè-m- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [snake ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Dem-AnSg], | viper | say-Ipfv-3P1Sbj |

'This snake, they call it "viper".'
b. $\left[k j^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ kámâ] kìyè-rí-y
[thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ any] , say-PfvNeg-1 Sg Sbj
'I didn't say anything.'
c. $\left[n ́ n \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon ~ k i ̀ y \grave{\left.\varepsilon ̀-s \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ́{ }^{\downarrow} \quad p u ́ \rightarrow\right]}\right.$
[3SgSbj say-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Def.InanSg all]
kà-kàrú = Ẃ
Rdp-lie(n)=it.is.Inan
'Everything he/she said, it is false.'
In normal perfective positive use ('X said that ...'), a common form of the verb is kiỳ̀-sغ̀ $\grave{W}$-ndé or variant kìyè-sè gù-ndè. It is treated syntactically as a relative-clause participle, and therefore takes preverbal subject pronouns. For the morphology and syntax of this construction, see §15.2.8.3.

For quotative complements, see §17.1. For jussives (embedded imperatives), see §17.1.4.
For unconjugatable quotative particle wa, a high-frequency alternative to an inflectable 'say' verb, see §17.1.3.

### 11.4 Adjectival predicates

The predicates discussed here are aspectually stative in nature ' X is heavy', etc. Inchoatives ('become heavy') and factitives ('make it heavy') are expressed by fully inflectable derived verbs; see §9.5.

Negative predicates are the clearest way to distinguish adjectives (stative negative clitic = ̀̀dó-, §10.4.2) from nouns ('it is not' clitic = ǹ dŏ(:), §11.2.1.2) and from expressive adverbials ( $\grave{\text { góo }}$ ' 'not be', §8.4.7). In positive predicates, adjectives and nouns overlap partially in form, but are distinct from expressive adverbials.

### 11.4.1 Positive adjectival predicates

### 11.4.1.1 Simple adjectives as 3 Sg subject predicates

Some adjectives have a simple form, either identical to the modifying adjectival form or differing only by switching final $i$ to $u$, that is used as a predicate with 3 Sg subject. See §4.5.1.1 for a list. Even these adjectives switch to an alternative construction with a conjugated form of the 'it is' clitic when the subject is other than 3 Sg .

### 11.4.1.2 With 'it is' clitic

When the pronominal-subject category is other than 3 Sg , and for some stems even in the 3 Sg , an adjective is made into a positive predicate by adding the appropriate conjugated form of the (positive) 'it is’ clitic (§11.2.1). Positive adjectival predicates are therefore like nominal predicates.

3 Sg subject predicates (for animate or inanimate subject) are of two types, depending on the adjective. In one, there is no suffix or clitic, but if the adjective ends in $i$ it shifts to $u$. In the other type, the 3 Sg 'it is' clitic, animate $=\eta$ or inanimate $=w$, is added to the stem. For color adjectives, both patterns are attested for inanimate 3 Sg , while the 'it is' clitic is required for animate 3Sg. Fuller details and stem lists for the different types are given in §4.5.1-3. The basic predicative patterns are illustrated in (419).

|  | stem | gloss | 1 Sg | 3 AnSg | InanSg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 3Pl 1

The shift of final $i$ to $u$ in stems like 'heavy' (419a) suggests that the 3 Sg predicative form (here dúsû ) historically reflects one or more forms with suffixed or enclitic $*\{\mathrm{w} m$, which have disappeared segmentally but left behind a souvenir in the form of backing and rounding of the stem-final vowel. The logical candidates are the inanimate 'it is' clitic $=W$ still seen with the adjectives of type (419b), and the animate 'it is clitic' $=\eta$, which may itself reflect earlier $*=m$.

3 Pl 'it is' clitic $-y \varepsilon$ tends to contract with stem-final $\varepsilon$, resulting in a final $\hat{\varepsilon}$ : (420b).

```
a. (bû:) dúsû-yè
(3Pl) heavy-it.is.3P1Sbj
'They are heavy.'
```

b. (bû:) $\grave{\text { èwré-y }}$
(3Pl) small-it.is.3PlSbj
'They are small.' [variant $\grave{\varepsilon} w r \hat{\varepsilon}:-\varnothing$ ]
Some adjectives can take complements in predicative function. érî 'sweet, sharp' can mean 'pleasing (to X)' as predicate, in which case it takes an accusative complement.

| [kàr ${ }^{\text {ná }}$ | [ú | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g}$ ô]] | ǹjí- $\eta$ | と́rû |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [action | $[2 \mathrm{Sg}$ | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg]] | 1Sg-Acc | sweet.Pred |
| 'Your act(ion) pleases me.' |  |  |  |  |

### 11.4.1.3 Deadjectival expressive adverbial plus bù-

In this construction, the adjective is converted into an expressive adverbial (§8.4.7) by modifying the final vowel. Then bù- 'be' can be added, as it can be to any predicative EA. The modification from adjective to EA involves intonational prolongation $(\rightarrow)$ of variable duration, and in some cases a shift in vowel quality to $i$ or addition of $-y$. (422a) shows adjectives whose corresponding EAs do not require stem-iteration. Variants with the stem iterated, not shown in (422a), are also possible. (422b) shows adjectives for which my assistant accepted only iterative EAs. A slightly irregular EA occurs in (422c). For some adjectives my assistant rejected any predicative construction with bù- (422d).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { adjective } \quad \text { EA predicate } \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. uniterated stem possible

| Wàgá | Wàgá- $y \rightarrow$ bù- | 'be distant' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| غ̀wré | غ̀wré-y $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be small' |
| nòmî | nòmí $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be difficult' |
| dénî | dén-í $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be short' |
| dúsî | dús-í $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be heavy' |
| pírí | pírí $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be white' |
| mòsí | mòsí bù- | 'be nasty, ugly' |

b. stem must be iterated

| ̀̀Wó | う̀wゝ̀-?j̀wóy $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be big' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gâW | gàw-gàwí $\rightarrow$ bù- | 'be spacious' |
| nà: $r^{n a ́}$ | nà: $r^{n}$ à-nà: $r^{n} a^{n} \rightarrow$ bù- | 'be easy, cheap' |

c. form irregular
kó:mbó kòmbǒy $\rightarrow$ bù 'be lean'
d. not acceptable

| kè:sí | - | 'be raw' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mǎ: | - | 'be dry' |
| kándà | - | 'be new' |

This construction was not the first form offered for adjectival predicates in elicitation. After it was stumbled upon, it was possible to elicit it for quite a few adjectives. It is presumably more expressive than the usual predicates, but its discourse functions and frequency are not well understood.

### 11.4.2 Negative adjectival and stative predicates ( = ndó-)

Negative counterparts of the positive adjectival predicates with bù- 'be', illustrated above, are formed by adding a conjugated form of stative negative clitic = ǹdó- (§10.4.2) to the adjective stem, which drops its tones.
a. (ńné) dùsù =ndó- $\varnothing$
(3Sg) heavy=StatNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She/It is not heavy.'
b. (bû:) $\grave{\varepsilon} W r \grave{\varepsilon}=n d-\varepsilon ́$
(3Pl) small=StatNeg-3P1Sbj
'They are not small.'
c. $\left(\underset{i}{ }:^{n}\right) \quad$ dùsù $=n d o ́-y ́$
(1Sg) heavy=StatNeg-1SgSbj
'I am not heavy.'
d. [kàr $\left.{ }^{n a ́} \quad\left[u ́ \quad{ }^{H L} g \hat{\jmath}\right]\right]$ ǹjí- $\eta \quad$ èr $=n d o ́-\varnothing$
[action [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg]] 1Sg-Acc sweet=StatNeg-3SgSbj
'Your act(ion) doesn't please me.'

### 11.5 Possessive predicates

### 11.5.1 'Have' (sò-, negative sò-ndó-)

Positive ' $(\mathrm{X})$ have Y ' is expressed as $Y$ yá sò-, with existential particle yá and defective quasi-verb sò-. The latter takes pronominal subject suffixes but allows no overt marking of aspectual categories. Like other statives, it does combine with past $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-, which in this combination requires "imperfective" -m- (424b) except in the 3 Sg , see $\S 10.5 .1 .2$, above.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & \text { lègèsô: } & \text { yá } \\ \text { bicycle } & \text { Exist } \\ \text { 'I have a bicycle.' }\end{array}$
b. lègèsô: yá ò̀- $m=b \dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}$
bicycle Exist have-Ipfv=Past-1SgSbj
'I had (= used to have) a bicycle.'
c. yǎ: [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùgí] yá $S-\varepsilon$ è
woman.Pl [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big] Exist have-3P1Sbj
'The women have a big house.'
sò- can be relativized on. The form of the participle is só-mì. This is the only instance where it appears in H-toned form (só-). Existential yá is omitted. For examples see §14.1.7.5. For só-m-sè gà ‘when/while (X) had', see (560c) in §15.2.3.

The negative of sò- is sò-ndó-, cf. stative negative clitic = ǹdó-. Existential yá is not allowed in negatives (425). (425b) is one of the most common utterances in Nanga speech.

```
a. lègèsô: sò-ndó-y
bicycle have-StatNeg-1SgSbj
'I don't have a bicycle.'
```

```
b. dǎy n
    limit have-StatNeg-3SgSbj
    'It has no limit (= is abundant).'
```

The positive and negative paradigms are in (426).

| category | 'have' | 'do not have' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sg | (yá) sò-ỳ | sò-ndó-ý |
| 1 Pl | (yá) sò-ỳ.: [sòóòj] | sò-ndó-ý. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [sòńdòòj] |
| 2 Sg | (yá) sò-ẁ | sò-ndó-ẃ |
| 2 Pl | (yá) sò-Ẁ. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ [sòóòw] | sò-ndó-w. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [sòńdòòw] |
| 3Sg/Inan | (yá) sò- $\varnothing$ | sò-ndó- $\varnothing$ |
| 3 Pl | (yá) $s-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |  |

The conjugated past clitic is regularly used with 'have', like other statives, to specify a past time frame: sò-ndó=bé-y 'I didn't have'.

### 11.5.2 'Belong to' predicates $\left({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{\imath}=\grave{\eta} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}=\grave{\eta},{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{\jmath}\right)$

' X belongs to Y ' is expressed as ' X , it is Y 's thing (possession)'. The X NP is optional, and normally preposed (like a topic) when overt. Y appears in possessor form. If the possessed NP is inanimate singular, the inanimate singular possessive classifier ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{o} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{o}$ is used. Whereas the ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ variant is predominant in NP-internal pronominal possessives (except for 1 Sg ), in predicates the ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{s}$ variant is common. For animates, and usually for inanimate plurals, the classifier ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ is used. For these possessive classifiers see $\S 6.2 .1 .3$.

In predicates, the 3 Sg 'it is' clitic $=\grave{\eta}$, often reduced to a faint nasalization of the vowel, follows ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{\rho} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ and (in animate singular reference) ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$. For plural reference, we would expect $\#^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}=y \grave{\varepsilon}$ ending with the 3 Pl subject 'it is' clitic, or rather elided $\#^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$ :- $\varnothing$, cf. the optional contraction in (420b) in §11.4.1.2. The form I actually hear is just ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}(427 \mathrm{f})$.
a. ŋ̀gú
[ǎ- 1 ]
$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{\mathrm{o}}\right]=\grave{\jmath}$
Dem.InanSg
[who?-AnSg
${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg]=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'That is whose (= belongs to whom)?'
b. [nàkòmbò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú] [á:mádù $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \grave{j}\right]=$ ì
[shoulder.bag ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def] [Amadou ${ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }}$ =it.is.3SgSbj
'The shoulder bag is Amadou's.'

[dog ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg] [who?-AnSg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.AnSg] $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj
'The dog belongs to who(m)?'
d. ந̀gú
$k o ̌:=\eta$
Dem.InanSg 1 SgPoss.InanSg=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'That is mine.'

```
e. [ǹdò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) yěy] \(y \varepsilon ̌:\)
[house \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Dem.InanPl] 1SgPoss.InanPl
'Those houses are mine.'
```



### 11.6 Verb iteration

This section covers iterated sequences of bare verb stems. See §15.2.1 for iterated -mゝ̀ clauses.

### 11.6.1 Verb iteration with lexical tones

Verb stems may be iterated as though directly chained to each other, to indicate temporal prolongation or repetition of an event type. In such iterations the stem may retain its usual bare-stem tones. Normally the sequence is backgrounded, and the whole iterated sequence is nonfinal in the chain.
a. [dòríyé-dòríyé bû: láwá-mò]
[go.through-go.through 3 PlSbj pass-while]
[ńné দ́] tèmbì- $\varnothing$ wà
[go and.SS] find.Pfv-3SgSbj Quot
'She went and found two young men going back and forth through the hole (=eye) of a needle, it is said.' (2004.02.03)
b. [bòró ńné-ŋ́ gùnjó-gùnjó-gùnjó-gùnjó] ...
[pit 3Sg-Acc dig-dig-dig-dig] ...
'(We) kept digging pits (and ...)' (2004.01.01), cf. (567) in §15.2.5.2

In (429), the first two iterations of gǎ:- are unmodified, while the third is heard with L-tone. This may just be a phonetic variant of unmodified iteration.
[[gògòrò-gô: gò] ńné ý] gǎ:-gǎ:-gà:
[[sickle Loc] go and.SS] slash-slash-slash
[[dá: gá] ńné tùyì-ỳ.: ndè]
[[flat.stone Loc] go put.in.pile.Pfv-1P1Sbj if
'We go with the sickle, we keep cutting (fonio stems, by slashing), and we put (the stems) on the flat rock, (then ...).' (2004.01.04)
11.6.2 Verb iteration of tonal type $\left[\hat{\mathrm{V}}_{1}-\grave{\mathrm{v}}_{1}\left(-\grave{\mathrm{v}}_{1} \ldots\right)\right]$

In this construction (which also occurs in Jamsay), the first occurrence of the verb stem has $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ tone overlay. It is optionally reduced to $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ on monosyllabic stems, including $C v y$
syncopated from Cvyi. Noninitial iterations are $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned. There is no suffixal inflection for aspect or pronominal-subject.

Examples are $k \varepsilon ́ m \grave{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}-{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \varepsilon ̀ ~ a n d ~ k \varepsilon ́ m \grave{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}} k \grave{\varepsilon} m \grave{\varepsilon}-{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \grave{{ }_{-}^{-}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \grave{\varepsilon}$ '(they) kept building and building' from kémé-, jórò ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jòrò- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jòrò- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ jòrò '(he) was looking and looking' from jòró-, and yê: ${ }^{H L}$ - ${ }^{\text {L }}$ yè: '(as they) were coming' from yě:-. The latter is optionally pronounced yé:-yè: . Facing superscripts ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ at the first internal boundary show that there is no controller external to the word. In ordinary transcription in texts I often omit superscripts in such combinations.

With a trisyllabic or longer verb, the H-tone of $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ is limited to the first syllable, resulting in an H.L.L syllable sequence: bégìrì ${ }^{\text {HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bègìrì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bègìrì '(they) kept winnowing' from verb bègírí-. Another trisyllabic example is in (430). Elsewhere the usual realization of $/ \mathrm{HL} /$ melody or $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay on trisyllabics is H.H.L (§3.7.3.2).

| [úwâ | késś-késé] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [leaf | cut-cut | go.around ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ - ${ }^{\text {L go.around }}$ |
| $\hat{1}$ : | lǎ:rá-mò-y ${ }^{n}$ ] | ǹnı̀-Ø |
| 1PlSbj | chase.away-while] | go.Pfv-3SgSbj |

'We were cutting off leaves (=leafy branches) and going around chasing them (=locusts), and they went (away).' (2004.01.01)

Monosyllabics are in (431). The tone of the first iteration can flatten from $<\mathrm{HL}>$ to H , and transcription of rapidly spoken textual examples is difficult.

hold ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ hold [house Loc] bring ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bring
[dámbí gá] sógó-sógó
[courtyard Loc] dump-dump
'(We) keep picking up (stems) and bringing them to the house(s), bringing them and dumping (from head baskets) in the courtyard.' (2004.01.03) (< àyí-, jě:-)
b. [ńné yó] úwâ pó: ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}} p{ }_{\mathrm{L}}$ : kúwò ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kùwò [3Sg and] leaf pick ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ pick eat ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ eat [kû: nı̀] ká làwè- $\varnothing$ [head 3SgPoss] there pass.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He would keep picking tree leaves and eating them, he would get by with it (in a famine).' (2004.01.07) (< pó:, kúwó )

For chains of such iterations with following verbs, and for discourse functions and further examples, see §15.1.7.

## 12 Comparatives

### 12.1 Asymmetrical comparatives

12.1.1 Simple adjective with dě:rê= $\grave{W}$ 'more than' and comparandum

In the first comparative construction to be considered, the predicate is adjectival. The comparandum precedes the predicate, followed by dě:rê= ${ }^{\prime}$ 'more than'. This is an irregular form related to a conjugated predicate dě:rê- 'be better, be more' (§12.1.4). the final semivowel in dě:rê $=\grave{W}$ resembles the inanimate form $=W$ of the 'it is' clitic (§11.2.1.1), but the formation is nontransparent.

For first and second person subject, the predicative adjective is followed by the appropriate conjugated form of $=m$ - 'it is' clitic.
a. [ńné dě:rê=̀̀] gàwá=mí-y
[3Sg more.than] long=it.is -1 SgSbj
'I am taller than he/she (is).'
b. $\check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad[u ́ \quad d e ̌: r e \hat{e}=\grave{w}] \quad d u ́ s \hat{u}=m i ̀-y$
$1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad$ [2Sg more.than] heavy=it.is -1 SgSbj
'I am heavier than you-Sg.'
c. [î: dě:rê= ̀̀ $] \quad$ dùgú $=m u ́-W .:$
[1Pl more.than] fat=it.is-2P1Sbj
'You- Pl are fatter than we (are).'
For 3 Pl subject, the verb lacks $=m$ - but is inflected with clitic $=y \varepsilon$ (433). This is the regular (non-comparative) 3 Pl predicative form (as in 'they are fat/heavy').
a. $\left[\check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad d e \check{: n} r \hat{e}=\grave{W}\right] \quad d u ̀ g u ́=y \varepsilon ́$
[1Sg more.than] fat $=3 \mathrm{PlSbj}$
'They are fatter than I (am).'
b. $\left[\check{:}:^{n} \quad d e ̌: r \hat{e}=\grave{W}\right] \quad d u ́ s \hat{u}=y \grave{\varepsilon}$
[1Sg more.than] heavy $=3 \mathrm{PlSbj}$
'They are heavier than I (am).'

For 3 Sg subject, the bare adjective form is used. This is again the regular (non-comparative) predicative form. Arguably the adjective is predicative, with zero 3 Sg subject. It could also be taken as an abstractive nominal that is zero-derived from the modifying adjective, see (43b) in §4.2.5.

[^1]```
b. [I::" dě:rê=Ẁ] gùró
    [1Sg more.than] long
```

    'He/She is taller than I (am).'
    For past time, the conjugated past clitic $=b \varepsilon$ - is added. For first or second person subject, the result is either $=b \varepsilon$ - or $=m=b \varepsilon$ - plus the correct pronominal-subject inflection. $=b \varepsilon$ - (as well as the optional $=m-$ ) acquires its tone from the final tone of the preceding morpheme. For 3 Sg , the form is $=b \varepsilon-\varnothing$ without $=m$-. For 3 Pl , the form is $(=y \varepsilon)=b$-à, where $=y \varepsilon$ - gets its tone from the left but where $=b$-à is always L-toned. A variant $=y \varepsilon=m=b a ̀$ was recorded at one point but was later rejected by the same speaker. Excluding this doubtful variant, the paradigm is (435).
(435)


Examples of the past-time positive comparative are in (436).
a. kìyǎ-W [ńné dě:rê= Ẁ] dùgú( $=$ ń $)=b \varepsilon ́-y$
previously $[3 \mathrm{Sg}$ more.than $]$ fat $(=$ it.is $)=$ Past -1 SgSbj
'I used to be fatter than he/she (was).'
b. $\left[\check{1}:{ }^{n}\right.$ dě:rê= ̀̀ $] \quad$ gùró $=b \varepsilon ́-\varnothing$
[1Sg more.than] long=Past- 3 SgSbj
'He/She was taller than I (was).'
c. [î:: dě:rê= ̀े $] \quad j \varepsilon ́ m i ́(=y \varepsilon ́)=b-a ̀ ~$
[1Pl more.than] black(=it.is. 3 Pl$)=$ Past-3PlSbj
'They were blacker (=darker) than we (were).'
d. [ńné dě:rê= ̀̀ ] dúsû( $=\grave{m}$ ) $=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}$
[3Sg more.than] heavy(=it.is) $=$ Past- 1 SgSbj
'I used to be heavier than he/she (was).'
e. $\left[\check{1}:^{n} \quad d e \check{: n} r \hat{e}=\grave{W}\right] \quad d u ́ s \hat{u}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$
[1Sg more.than] heavy=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She used to be heavier than I (was).'

```
f. \(\quad\left[\check{:}:^{n} \quad d e ̌: r e \hat{e}=\grave{W}\right] \quad d u ́ s u \hat{u}(=y \grave{\varepsilon}(=\grave{m}))=b-a ̀\)
    [1Sg more.than] heavy(=it.is. \(3 \mathrm{Pl}(=i t . i s))=\) Past- 3 PlSbj
    'They used to be heavier than I (was).'
```

The adjective may be directly negated with conjugatable stative negative = ǹdó-, which requires an L-toned stem. For the paradigm of = ̀̀dó-, see $\S 10.4 .2$. The stem-final H -tone in the 1 Pl and 2 Pl forms before $=$ ǹdó- is part of the dying-quail effect (437c), see $\S 3.8 .3$.
a. [ǐ: ${ }^{n}$ dě:rê= $\left.\overline{\mathrm{e}}\right] \quad$ gàwà $=n d o ́-\varnothing$
[1Sg more.than] tall=StatNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She is not taller than I (am).'
b. [ú dě:rê= ̀̀ ] dùgù = ndó-ý
[2Sg more.than] fat=StatNeg-1 SgSbj
'I am not fatter than you-Sg (are).'
c. [û: dě:rê=Ẁ] dùgù = ndó-ý: $:$ pronounced [dùgúndòóòj]
[2Pl more.than] fat=StatNeg-1 PlSbj
'We are not fatter than you- Pl (are).'
d. [I:.n dě:rê = $\left.{ }^{n}\right] \quad$ dùsù $=n d-\varepsilon ́$
[1Sg more.than] heavy=StatNeg-3P1Sbj
'They are not heavier than I (am).'

The past negative is $=n d o=b \varepsilon$ - with the usual morphology of past $=b \varepsilon$-. The paradigm is (438).
(438) Past negative of comparative adjectival predicate
category suffix-clitic complex (after adjective stem)

| 1 Sg | $=n d o ́=b \varepsilon ́-\dot{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Pl | $=n d o ̀=b \varepsilon ́-y . \therefore$ |
| 2 Sg | $=n d o ́=b \varepsilon-W$ |
| 2 Pl | $=n d o ̀=b \dot{\varepsilon}-W \therefore$ |
|  |  |
| 3 Sg | $=n d o ́=b \varepsilon ́-\varnothing$ |
| 3 Pl |  |
|  | $=n d-\varepsilon=b-a ́$ |

Examples of the past negative are (439).
(439)
a. $\left[\check{i}:{ }^{n}\right.$
dě:rê $=\grave{W}] \quad$ gàwà $=n d o ́=b \varepsilon ́-\varnothing$
[1Sg more.than] tall=StatNeg=Past-3SgSbj
'He/She was not taller than I (was).'
b. [î: dě:rê $=\grave{W}] \quad d u ̀ s u ̀ ~=n d-\varepsilon ́ \varepsilon=b-a ́ ~$
[1Pl more.than] heavy=StatNeg-3PlSbj=Past-3PlSbj
'They were not heavier than we (were).'

### 12.1.2 Verbal predicate plus dě:rê = ì 'more than'

In (440), the predicate is a verb, imperfective (440a) or perfective (440b). dě:rê $=\hat{W}$ 'more than' follows the comparandum. The unmarked interpretation is 'X VP's more (than) Y'.
a. [u
dě:rê= ゆ̀ $] \quad$ kó:-ŋ̀
[2Sg more.than] eat-Ipfv. 3 Sg gbj
'He/She eats more than you (eat).'

The comparandum may take the form of a PP, such as the dative in (441a), which is followed by dě:rê$=\grave{W}$. Accusative $-\grave{\eta}$ is optional before dě:r $\hat{e}=\grave{W}$ when the form functions as direct object (441b). This theoretically allows the speaker to distinguish (441b) from (441c), but accusative $-\eta$ is optional in (441b) as elsewhere, so this cue is far from reliable.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & {\left[\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { ú } & \text { báy }\end{array}\right]\right.} & \text { dě:rê }=\grave{W}] & \text { bàrní } & \text { kíyé-Só- } \varnothing \\ & {[[2 S g} & \text { Dat }] & \text { more.than }] & \text { 1SgDat } \\ \text { say-Pfv2-3SgSbj } \\ & \text { 'He/She said more to me than to you.' }\end{array}$

[2Sg-Acc more.than] 1 Sg -Acc hit-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She hit me more than (he/she hit) you-Sg.'
c. [ú dě:rê = ̀̀ ] ǹjí- $\quad$ súyó-só- $\varnothing$
[2Sg more.than] $1 \mathrm{Sg}-A c c$ hit-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She hit me more than you-Sg (hit me).'

### 12.1.3 'Surpass' (láwá-)

láwá- 'pass (by)' can be used in the sense 'surpass, exceed'. It specifically denotes the transition from equality or inferiority to superiority in the relevant dimension. The latter is specified by a nonfinal adjective (442a) or by a nonfinal chained verb or VP (442b).
a. ǹjí-
gàwá
láwá-èrè̀- $\varnothing$
1 Sg -Acc be.tall pass-Pfvla-3SgSbj
'He/She has surpassed me in tallness (= has become taller than me).'
b. lì:gí ǹjí- $\eta$ gìyé láwá-só- $\varnothing$
bird 1 Sg -Acc kill pass-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She has surpassed me in killing birds.'

### 12.1.4 'Be better, more' (dě:rê-)

In this construction, dě:rê- itself is conjugated by adding the 'it is' clitic $=m$ - with first or second person inflection, or $3 \mathrm{Sg}=w$ or $3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \varepsilon$. If the other comparandum is pronominal, it takes independent (not accusative) form, see especially $1 \mathrm{Sg} \check{1}:{ }^{n}$ in (43c).
a. ú
dě:rê = mì- $y$
2Sg better=it.is- 1 SgSbj
'I am better than you-Sg (are).'
b. mǎygórò kùró dě:rê= Ẁ
mango wild.grape better=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'Mangoes are better than wild grapes (are).'
c. $\check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad d e \check{n}: r \hat{e}=y e ̀$
$1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ better=it.is.PlSbj
'They are better than I (am).'
For past time reference, the forms take past clitic $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-, but there is some variation in the morphological construction in my data. My assistant preferred the paradigm in (444). However, for the first and second person forms, variants with $=\grave{m}=$ instead of $=\grave{m}=$ were also recorded.
'Was better than'

| 1Sg | $d e ̌: r \hat{e}=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Pl | $d e ̌: r \hat{e}=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y} . \therefore$ | [...bèzèj] |
| 2 Sg | $d e ̌: r e \hat{e}=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{W}$ |  |
| 2 Pl | dě:rê $=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{W} .:$ | [...bèżદ̀w] |
| 3 Sg | $d e ̌: r e \hat{e}=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\varnothing$ |  |
| 3 Pl | dě:rê $=\grave{W}=b$-à |  |

An example is (445).
ú $\quad d e \check{l}: r \hat{e}=\grave{W}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}$
$2 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ better $=$ it.is $=$ Past -1 SgSbj
'I used to be better than you-Sg.'

### 12.1.5 'Best’ (kǎy)

The noun kǎy indicates that the referent in question is the best (of a set). The conjugation is the same as for dě:rê- except that $3 \mathrm{Sg}=w$ is not pronounced after the stem-final semivowel. The paradigm therefore consists of conjugated forms of $=m$ - 'it is' for first or second person, zero for 3 Sg , and $=y \varepsilon$ for 3 Pl . Thus kǎy=m-íy 'I am the best', kǎy = $\varnothing$ 'he/she is the best', $k a ̌ y=y \varepsilon ́$ 'they are the best'.

Past forms: kǎy=ń=bé-ý 'I was the best', kǎy=bé- $\varnothing$ 'he/she was the best', $k a \check{y}=y \varepsilon ́=b$-à 'they were the best'.

When the reference set is specified, it functions as possessor of kǎy, which therefore takes possessed-noun tone overlay, $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ depending on whether the possessor ends in an H or L-tone.

| Ǐ:n | [[wòrì-wàrí | $p u ́ \rightarrow]$ | $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{k} y\right]=$ mì-y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 SgSbj | $[[$ farming-do.farming.Agent | all $]$ | ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ best $]=$ it.is- 1 SgSbj |

'I am the best of all the farmers.'

### 12.1.6 gárá 'more'

The adverb gárá 'more' (in context also 'bigger' or 'better'), preceding the predicate, is optionally present when the domain of comparison is nonadjectival. It is unattested in the presence of dě:rê $=\grave{W}$ or any explicitly comparative predicate. (447b) shows that it may co-occur with a distinct 'than Y' expression with postposition dèr ${ }^{\text {n }}$ (elsewhere purposivecausal).
 'those seeds will sprout, the millet will turn out better (because of the manure).' (2004.01.03)
b. [àlî: dèrnìi] mú:sà gárá yû: wárá-ŋ̀
[A than] More millet cultivate-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'Moussa grows more millet than Ali (does).'

### 12.2 Symmetrical comparatives

### 12.2.1 'Equal; be as good as' (bă:-)

The verb bǎ:- 'equal, be as much as' most often occurs in negative sentences ('not be as much as' = 'be less than') (448a). When the domain of comparison is specified in a following expression, negation is expressed on the latter rather than on bǎ:- itself, which then morphs into the adverbial bǎ $\rightarrow$ (448b)
a. [á $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} b \hat{a}:\right]$ bâ:-ŋj̀:- $\varnothing$
[ReflP ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ father] equal-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She isn't as good as his father.'
b. [á ${ }^{\text {HL }} b$ â: $] \quad b a ̌ \rightarrow \quad$ gáwà- $\rightarrow \grave{:}:-\varnothing$
[ReflP ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ father] from be.tall-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She is not as tall as his/her father.'

### 12.2.2 'Same (equal)' (k $\hat{\varepsilon} W)$

Another way to indicate equality of two entities along a scalar dimension is to use $k \hat{\varepsilon} W$ 'equal(ly)' (§6.1.1, §6.6.2) as a predicate. It is related to the adverb $k \varepsilon$-kéw 'same, equal(ly)'. The predicative form is always $k \hat{\varepsilon} W=y \grave{\varepsilon}$. I am inclined to take the ending as the postconsonantal third-person (including 3 Pl ) form of the 'it is' clitic. This seems more reasonable that identifying the final morpheme as adjectival plural $-y \grave{\varepsilon}$.
a. [î: Wǒy] ígírí $\quad k \hat{\varepsilon} W=y \varepsilon ̀$
[1Pl two] height equally=it.is. 3 Pl 1 Sbj
'We two are of the same height.'
b. [sènì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ý] [â: Wǒy] gùró $k \hat{\varepsilon} W=y \grave{\varepsilon}$
[rope ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl] [3ReflPl two] long equally=it.is.3PlSbj
'The two ropes are of the same length.'

### 12.2.3 'Arrive, come up to; equal' (dǒ:-)

dǒ:- 'reach, arrive at, come up to (a place)' can be used in the abstract sense 'attain the level of (someone, in some respect).' It denotes the transition from inferiority to equality. The domain of comparison may be specified by a chained VP or verb.

| ǹjí- 1 _ | dùsú-ndíyé | dǒ-èrè- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg-Acc | heavy-Inch | reach-Pfv1a-3SgSbj |

'He/She has become equally heavy as me.'
The 3Sg imperfective form dó:-ŋ̀̀, literally 'it arrives/reaches', can be combined with a phrase denoting an extended time span. A free translation like 'up to' or 'as long as', emphasizing the considerable duration, is appropriate.

| [àmây ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | gà:rdè | gáy] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [how? | keep |  | then.SS] |  |
| [àr ${ }^{\text {náa }}$ | wǒy | má $\rightarrow$ | tà:ndǐ:] | dó:-ı̀ |
| [year | two | or | three] | reach-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj |

'How does it (=stored fonio grain) keep for up to two or three years?' (2004.01.04)

## 12.3 'A fortiori' (sákô, yê:)

The 'a fortiori' expression (i.e. 'much less ...', 'not to mention ...', or 'never mind ...' as part of a comparison) is either sákô (regional, likely from Fulfulde) at the end of the second phrase, or yê: (shared with Jamsay) at the beginning of the second phrase. This phrase may also contain the possessed noun dàmá 'talk (of ...)' or some similar expression (cf. local French ne parlons pas de ... as an 'a fortiori' expression). With yê: the second phrase specifies a far greater or more difficult task (452a). With sákô the second phrase may be of this type, or it may simply describe a less likely or less appropriate task (452b).
(452) a [[kè:rè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bérî $\varepsilon$ ह́Wè-mì] sò-ndó-ý] [[money ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ goat buy-Ppl.Ipfv] have-Neg-1SgSbj] [yê: [nàyá $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dámâ] $=\grave{W}^{n}$ ] [a.fortiori [cow $\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \operatorname{talk}(\mathbf{n})\right]=$ it.is.Inan]
'I don't have the money to buy a goat, much less (talk of) a cow.'
b. [kon:
[1SgPoss.InanSg
[[и́ $\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g} \hat{\mathrm{o}}\right]$
ع́wé bèrè-rí-ý]
[[2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss.InanSg] a.fortiori]
'I couldn't (even) buy one for myself, never mind (me buying) one for you.'

## 13 Focalization and interrogation

### 13.1 Focalization

When a focalized constituent is present, it occurs in its normal position in the clause. The verb also retains its usual subject-pronominal inflection even under subject focalization. Therefore focalization is not marked by sharply etched morphosyntax.

WH interrogatives ('who?', 'what?', etc.) are intrinsically focal. So are independent subject pronouns (other than topics) which would not be needed in corresponding unfocalized clauses (since subject category is marked by suffixes on verbs). PPs and objects other than WH interrogatives are not obviously focalized or unfocalized. However, the fact that some overt preverbal constituent is at least weakly focalized is implied by verb defocalization.

Verb defocalization is indicated by the features in (453).
(453) Verb defocalization
a. the simple perfective (with all-L tones) replaces the suffixally marked perfective $-1 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ and perfective- 2 ;
b. verbs with perfective negative -rí- and imperfective negative -nò:- often drop all tones to $\{\mathrm{L}\}$;
c. existential particle yá and initial reduplication of the verb are omitted (§13.1.5)

Verb defocalization is easily detected in positive perfective clauses that have the simple perfective rather than a suffixally marked perfective. It is also easily recognized in positive stative clauses (including those with 'be [somewhere]', 'have', and other quasi-verbs) by the absence of yá and of reduplication. With other inflectional categories, including all negative clauses and positive imperfective clauses, the distinction between defocalized and regular verbs is difficult to make. Tone-dropping in negative verbs (453b) is the only relevant clue, and it may be gradient (i.e. a semi-grammaticalized downdrift). This is not a big problem since focalization is pragmatically awkward under negation ('It was you [focus] who I didn't see'). In positive imperfective examples like $\varepsilon$ e:pí yê:-m̀̀- $\varnothing$ 'I will come tomorrow', we cannot determine whether $\varepsilon$ e:pí 'tomorrow' is focalized ('It is tomorrow [focus] that I will come') or unfocalized ('I will come tomorrow').

The simple perfective does not require that there be a strong focus of the sort expressed by heavy emphatic stress in English. In texts, the simple perfective is common in clauses that would be translated with unfocalized clauses, as long as there is at least one overt constituent preceding the verb.

Verb defocalization implies that there is another overt constituent that is at least somewhat focal, but does not tell us which one. If there is only one preverbal constituent, it is the only candidate for focus. If there are two or more, not including a subject pronoun or an interrogative, the listener must use context and native wit to infer which is focal.

### 13.1.1 Subject focalization

The verb has its usual pronominal subject suffix. Subject pronouns are easiest to identify as focalized, since bare independent pronouns (without topic marker) do not ordinarily occur in subject function in unfocalized main clauses (454a-b), except as part of a topic phrase with a particle (§19.1.1-3). In positive perfective clauses, the simple perfective is a reinforcing indicator that the verb is defocalized (454c-d).
a. $\check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad$ ś́mbì-m̀̀ $\varnothing$

1Sg sweep-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'It's I [focus] who will sweep.'
b. î: sémbì-mì-y.

1 Pl sweep-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'It's we [focus] who will sweep.'
c. ú $\quad y \varepsilon ̀ g \grave{\text { è }} W$
$2 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad$ fall.Pfv-2SgSbj
'It's you-Sg [focus] who fell.'
d. Ǐ:n ú-ŋ́ sùyò-ỳ
$1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad 2 \mathrm{Sg}$-Acc hit.Pfv-1SgSbj
'It was $\underline{I}$ [focus] who hit you-Sg.'
A nonpronominal subject NP does has no overt mark of focalization, so in the absence of overt verb defocalization there is no indication that the subject is focalized. One way to insure expression of focalization, especially useful in positive imperfective clauses, is to topicalize the subject NP and resume it with a subject pronoun (455).


### 13.1.2 Object focalization

The object NP or pronoun remains in its regular linear position. Object pronouns occur in unfocalized as well as focalized clauses. Therefore object focalization (other than in content interrogatives) is difficult to recognize, unless the verb is overtly defocalized and there is no other candidate for focus.

In (456a-b), only context and perhaps articulatory emphasis indicate object focalization. In ( $456 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ), the verb defocalization (simple perfective) implies that the object is focal.

```
a. !̀gú jórò-sò-ỳ
    Dem.InanSg look.for-Prog-1SgSbj
    'That [focus] is what I'm looking for.'
```

b. $u$ ú- $\quad$ nír ${ }^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}-m i ̀-y$ :

2Sg-Acc look.at-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'It's you-Sg [focus] that we will look at.'
c. ńné-ŋ sùyò-ỳ

3Sg-Acc hit.Pfv-1SgSbj
'It is him/her [focus] that I hit.'
d. ǹjí-í sùyè $\varnothing \varnothing$
$1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{Acc}$ hit.Pfv-3SgSbj
'It was me [focus] that he/she hit.'
In elicitation, my assistant did suggest that the form of the object could be used to indicate focalized status at least probabilistically, in that "optional" accusative suffix $-\eta$ is typical of focalized objects. He therefore distinguished focalized (457a) with $-\eta$ from unfocalized (457b) without it. However, the simple perfective occurred in both, and I doubt that overt versus covert accusative marking really correlates well with focalization. In any event, $-\eta$ is not always audible due to phonetic attrition.
a. á:mádù
ǹjí-n
sùyè- $\varnothing$
A 1Sg-Acc hit.Pfv-3SgSbj
'I was me [focus] that Amadou hit.'
b. á:mádù
ǹjí
sùyè- $\varnothing$
A 1SgAcc
hit.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Amadou hit me.'

### 13.1.3 Focalization of PP or other adverbial

Adverbs including PPs can be focalized. (458a-b) show spatial and temporal adverbs, which in their respective discourse contexts were clearly focal but which have no overt marker of focalization since the verb is imperfective. Because linear order is not systematically correlated with focalization, (458b) could also be used with 'to the field(s)' rather than 'tomorrow' as focus.
a. $\left[\grave{o}:{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ gó] ńní-m̀- $\varnothing$
[field ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Loc] go-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'It's to the fields [focus] that I am going.'
b. é:yí [ò: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ gó] ńní-m̀- $\varnothing$
tomorrow [field ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Loc] go-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'It's tomorrow [focus] that I will go to the field(s).'
A dative example is (459a), and an instrumental example is (459b). The simple perfective is consistent with focalization of the PPs.

| a. | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { ú } & \text { báy }\end{array}\right.$ | kìyè-ỳ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[2 \mathrm{Sg}$ | Dat $]$ | say.Pfv- 1 SgSbj |

'It's to you-Sg [focus] that I said (it).'
b. [ìgú yàyà] bìrè-ỳ
[Dem.InanSg Inst] work.Pfv-1SgSbj
'It was with this [focus] that I worked.'

### 13.1.4 Focalization of postpositional complement

A PP is focalized as an intact unit. There is no way to overtly distinguish focalization of a PP from focalization of just its NP complement. In the examples of focalized PPs in the preceding section, the context usually involves NP complement focalization.

### 13.1.5 Reduplication and existential yá omitted

Two fairly common extensions of unfocalized positive main clause verbs are initial CV reduplication ( $\S 10.2 .1 .6, \S 10.2 .2 .2, \S 10.4 .1$ ) and the existential particle yá. One or the other occurs with unfocalized positive stative verbs in main clauses. Elsewhere, yá is required in 'have' predicates and (in the absence of a locational) with 'be (somewhere)' predicates.

Reduplication and yá disappear when another constituent is focalized. This suggests that reduplication and yá are associated with verb (or clause) focalization, and that when another constituent (a NP or adverb) is focalized, the predicate is ipso facto defocalized (and trimmed). In 'be (somewhere)' constructions, any overt locational can function as focalized for this purpose.

The stative form for 'sit (down)' is usually reduplicated é-Rèwò- 'be sitting, be seated', though yá $\grave{\varepsilon} W o ̀-~ i s ~ a l s o ~ p o s s i b l e . ~ I f ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ a ~ f o c a l i z e d ~ c o n s t i t u e n t, ~ t h e ~ f o r m ~ i s ~ j u s t ~ e ̀ w o ̀-~$ (460a). Likewise, stative 'fear' is usually reduplicated ú-?ùwà-, but it reduces to ùwà- if a constituent is focalized (460b).
a. $\check{:}:^{n} \quad$ èwò-y
$1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ sit.Stat-1SgSbj
'It's I [focus] who am sitting.'
b. yàrá= ŋ́ ùwà-y
lion-Acc fear.Stat- 1 SgSbj
'It's lions [focus] that I am afraid of.'
Existential yá is absent before 'be (somewhere)' and 'have' because of the focalized constituents in (461a-c).
(461)
a. $\check{1}:^{n} \quad b u ̀-y$
$1 \mathrm{Sg} \quad$ be-1SgSbj
'It's I [focus] who am here.'

```
b. ǎ-\eta ńdô sò-\varnothing
    who?-AnSg house have-3SgSbj
    'Who [focus] has a house?'
c. gúrî sò-y
    hut have-1SgSbj
    'It's a hut [focus] (not a house) that I have.'
```


### 13.2 Interrogatives

Several of the content (WH) interrogative stems begin with à(:), which may have originally been an interrogative morpheme. The relationship, if any, to a classifier-like initial $a(n)$ - in a few nouns (§4.1.8) is unclear.

### 13.2.1 Polar (yes/no) interrogatives (ma)

Interrogative particle $m a$ is added at the end of an otherwise indicate sentence. It is optionally prolonged (symbol $\rightarrow$ ). It is of variable pitch because of intonational effects (see below on parallelistic constructions).

When it is clause-final, its phonological tone (before intonational embellishment) is copied from the final tone of the preceding word. It is heard as mà with L-tone after verbs, adjectives, and anything else that ends in an L-tone. Interestingly, it also has L-tone after verb forms containing perfective-2 -só- in spite of their H-tones, like 2 Sg -só-ẃ in (462c). The perfective- 2 is the only positive indicative inflection with a final H-tone on the suffix, so one can generalize that all positive indicative verbs are followed by L-toned mà. The perfective- 2 suffix has a similar tonal effect on quotative particle wa, see ( 614 c ) in §17.1.3.
a. $\varepsilon: \eta i ́ ~ y e ̂:-\grave{m}-{ }^{w} \quad$ mà
tomorrow come-Ipfv-2SgSbj Q
'Are you-Sg coming tomorrow?'
b. ह́:クí yê:-mù-W. $\therefore \quad$ mà
tomorrow come-Ipfv-2P1Sbj Q
'Are you-Pl coming tomorrow?'
c. yě:-Só-Ẃ mà
come-Pfv2-2SgSbj Q
'Did you come?' (likewise 1Sg yě:-só-ý mà, 3 Sg yě:-só- $\varnothing$ mà)
d. dúsû mà
be.heavy Q
'Is he/she heavy?'
e. yê:-ŋj̀- $\grave{W}^{n} \quad$ mà
come-IpfvNeg-2SgSbj Q
'You-Sg will not come?'

H-toned má occurs clause-finally after all other words ending in an H-tone. For example, it occurs after final-H-toned words containing (or ending in) any of the H -toned negative morphemes: perfective negative -rí-, ìgó- 'not be', stative negative = ǹdó- (with adjectives or stative verbs), and = ndǒ:- 'it is not' (with nouns).
a. yè:-rí- $\varnothing$
má
come-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
Q
'Did he/she not come?'
b. nǎ: kò:-rú-ẃ má
meal eat-PfvNeg-2Sg Q
'Have you-Sg not eaten?'
c ந̀gá ŋ̀g-É: má
here not.be-3PlSbj Q
'Are they not here?'
d . dùsù $=n$ dó- $\varnothing$ má
heavy=StatNeg-3SgSbj $\quad \mathrm{Q}$
'Is he/she not heavy?'
e. dógò = ndǒ:- $:$ m má

Dogon=it.is.not-2SgSbj $\quad \mathrm{Q}$
'You-Sg are not a Dogon?'
H-toned má also occurs after H-final predicative adjectives: dùgú má 'is he/she big?'
The split into mà and má based on the final tone of the preceding word is limited to clause-final (prepausal) position. This is the normal position, but when a polar interrogative is quoted, $m a$ is followed by quotative clitic wa. Both clitics are elsewhere atonal, but in this combination they are H -toned, even after an L-tone. A reasonable interpretation is that when protected by a following morpheme, the latent underlying H-tone of ma is expressed.
(464) [ńné wá] ... [ǹněn nò] júgó-ท̀ má $\rightarrow$ wá
[3Sg Quot] ... [name 3SgPoss] know-Ipfv.3SgSbj Q Quot
'(She was asked): hey you, do you know her name?' (2004.02.03)

In (464) original addressee is expressed as third person in a quotation (§17.1.1). Another example of má $\rightarrow$ wá is in (684) in §17.6.3 below.

When both polar alternatives are overt, ma appears after the first segment with pitch raised (symbol ${ }^{\uparrow}$ ), a common nonterminal clause-final intonation effect, and often with its vowel prolonged $(\rightarrow)$. The second segment may be complete in form, structurally parallel to the first, but ending in ma without much intonational modification (465a). Alternatively, the second segment may omit ma (this is rather common), and it may also show other simplifications (465b).

```
a. ńní-m̀-}\mp@subsup{}{}{W}\quadmà->, bê:-m̀-W mà
go-Ipfv-2SgSbj Q, stay-Ipfv-2SgSbj Q
    'Will you-Sg go, (or) will you-Sg stay?'
```

| b. nìmî | jórò-m̀-w | mà $\rightarrow^{\uparrow}$, | nàmâ | jórò-m-w |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cow.peas | want-Ipfv-2SgSbj | $\mathbf{Q}$, | meat | want-Ipfv-2SgSbj | 'Do you like cow-peas, (or) meat?'

In embedded 'whether' clauses, the L- or H-tone of $m a$ is overridden by a variant of the dying-quail effect (§3.8.3). In such cases I transcribe má. or mà. $\therefore$, showing the "correct" phonological tone which depends on the preceding tone. As usual, addition of $\therefore$ signals that the dying-quail effect modifies the phonological tone, in this case resulting in [mààa], combining lengthening with pitch movement. Examples are in (641) in §17.2.1 and (660e) in §17.3.7.

I did not observe any tag question constructions, except for a rhetorical tag-question with là (§13.2.9).

As also noted in (§7.2), there is no clear distinction between interrogative mà and the 'or' disjunctive particle mà in such parallel polar interrogatives.

### 13.2.2 'Who?' (ǎ-ŋ, ǎ:-yè)

In WH-interrogatives, the interrogative particle mà occurs optionally (but redundantly) at the end of the clause. The WH-word is pragmatically focal, with implications for the form of a following perfective positive or stative positive verb (§13.1).

The 'who?' word is ă- $\eta$, ending in one of the few vestiges of an original animate singular suffix $-\eta$ (cf. yă- $\eta$ 'woman'), likely from earlier *-m. It is (less often) expanded as nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ ǎ- $\eta$, with the preadjectival form of nǔ: 'person'. The $\eta$ is subject to assimilation to the position of a following consonant, hence [ǎm], [ǎn], and [ǎj ${ }^{n}$ ] before various following consonants. In isolation it can be pronounced [ăy] or [ă: ${ }^{\text {n }}$ ] with vowel nasalization. I normalize transcription as ǎ- $\eta$.


Predicative function ('who is ...?') is expressed by ǎ- $\eta=\emptyset$ (pronounced [ằ̀]). This presumably includes a 3 Sg form of the 'it is' clitic (§11.2.1.1). One cannot reliably hear the second velar nasal segment. The only reliably audible indicator of predicative status is the $<$ LHL $>$ tone of the syllable, and there is evidence (see below) that a final L-tone element is the real morphological feature here.
a. $\check{a}-\eta=\grave{\eta}$
who?-AnSg=it.is. 3 Sg Sbj
'Who is he/she?'
b. $\quad$ ǎ- $\eta=\grave{\eta} \quad$ mà
who?-AnSg=it.is.3SgSbj $\quad$ Q
'Who is it?' (pronounced [àńmà])
If an overt "subject" NP is present, $\check{a}-\eta$ is topicalized.

| Wǒ- $\eta$ | $[a ̌-\eta=\grave{\eta}$ | mà $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dem-AnSg | $[$ who?-AnSg $=$ it.is. 3 SgSbj | $\mathrm{Q}]$ |
| 'This/that (person), who is it?' $(=$ 'Who is that?' $)$ |  |  |

There is a plural 'who- Pl is/are ...?' form ǎ:-yè. Again, note the final L-tone. In predicative function with 3 P 1 subject, expected ǎ:-yè $=y \varepsilon ̀ ~ i s ~ s i m p l i f i e d ~(b y ~ h a p l o l o g y) ~ t o ~ a ̌:-y e ̀ ~=~ \varnothing ~ . ~$

```
ǎ:-yè = \(\varnothing\)
    who?.Pl- \(\mathrm{Pl}=\mathrm{it}\).is
    'Who- Pl is it?
```

With first or second person "subject," we get forms like those in (470), where conjugated clitic $=m$ - 'it is' is added to $a \check{-}-\eta$. The nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ in (470a) is optional. Note especially the final falling tone in the 2 Sg (470a) and 1 Sg forms (470c), which are therefore followed by L-toned mà. So there are clear indications that a final L-tone is characteristic of predicative forms of 'who?'. For similar cases of unexpected L-tones before a clitic, see §11.2.1.1.
a. ú [nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$
ǎ $-\eta]=m \hat{u}-W$
mà
2Sg [person ${ }^{\text {L }}$
who?-AnSg]=it.is-2SgSbj Q
'Who are you-Sg?'
b. û: ǎ:-yè = mù- $W .: \quad$ mà
2Pl who?.Pl-Pl=it.is-2P1Sbj Q
'Who are you-Pl?'
c. $\check{i}: n \quad$ ǎ- $\eta=m \hat{1}-y \quad m a ̀$
1Sg who?-AnSg=it.is-1SgSbj $\quad Q$
'Who am I?'
d. î: ǎ:-yè = mì-y. $\quad$ mà
1 Pl who?.Pl-Pl=it.is-1PlSbj Q
'Who are we?'

### 13.2.3 'What?’ (kò-nદ́, ǹnć), ‘with what?', ‘why?'

'What?' is kò-né (471). The first syllable is presumably related to kó ~ kón 'thing', leaving -né as the real interrogative element (diachronically). The combination is rather frozen synchronically.
a. kj̀-nદ́ bírè:-sò-ฟ̀
what? work-Prog-2SgSbj
'What are you-Sg doing?'
b. kj̀-nє́ kô:-mì-y.:
what? eat-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'What are we going to eat?'
'With what?' (instrumental) is kò-né ỳnà, contracted from *kj̀-né yàyà.
 [what? Inst] farm-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'With what do you-Sg grow (cultivate)?'
'For what?' = 'why?' is kò-nє́ dèr $n$ ń, with purposive postposition.

| $\left[\begin{array}{ll}k \grave{j}-n \varepsilon ́ & \left.d \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} 1 ́\right]\end{array}\right.$ | yè- $W$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[$ what? | Purp] | come.Pfv-2SgSbj |
| 'Why did you-Sg come?' |  |  |

ǹn $\varepsilon$ by itself can be used as the plural 'what (things)?', but it is fairly uncommon (474). The initial $n n$ cluster is likely from *ìn; see §3.3.8.1.

| $[$ ǹné | ỳnà] | wárà-m̀- ${ }^{W}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| [what?.Pl | Inst] | farm-Ipfv-2SgSbj |
| 'With what (tools-plural) do you-Sg farm?' |  |  |

The predicative form is illustrated in (475).
$k \grave{-n \varepsilon ́}=\grave{W}^{n}$
(mà)
what? $=$ it.is.Inan
'What is it?'

The ending in $k \grave{j}-n \varepsilon=\grave{W}^{n}$ is the inanimate conjugated form of the 'it is' clitic, namely $=W$, which elsewhere gets its tone from the preceding morpheme (§11.2.1.1). The fact that it appears as L-toned $=\grave{W}^{n}$ in $k \grave{j}-n \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon=\grave{W}^{n}$ is more evidence for a final L-tone feature in predicative interrogatives; compare the predicative forms of 'who?' discussed in the preceding section.

### 13.2.4 'Where?’ (àr ${ }^{n}$ áná)

'Where?’ is àr ${ }^{n}$ ápá. It is arguably unsegmentable synchronically, but the final pá may have originated as the locative postposition (§8.2.3), and native speakers might still discern this segmentation. Adverbial examples are in (476).
a. àr ${ }^{n}$ áná ńní-m̀- ${ }^{w}$
where? go-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'Where are you-Sg going?'
b. [yì-tègè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû:] àrnápá yèg-à
[child- $\mathrm{Pl}^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnPl] where? fall.Pfv-3PlSbj
'The children [topic], where did they fall?'
Predicative '(someone/something) be where?' is the same àr $n$ ápá plus the regular form of locational 'be' (§11.2.2.2), as in (477a-b). 'Where is it?' with unspecified topic is àr ${ }^{n}$ ápá $=\grave{\eta}$, with the by-now familiar final L-tone element (477c).
a. bòndí àrnáyá bù- $\varnothing$
$\operatorname{rain}(\mathrm{n}) \quad$ where? be- 3 SgSbj
'The rain [topic], where is it?' (='Where is the rain?)
b. àr ${ }^{n}$ á ${ }^{\prime}$ á bù- $W$
where? be- 2 SgSbj
'Where are you-Sg?'
c. à $r^{n}$ á $\eta a ́=\grave{j}$
wheré=it.is. 3 SgSbj
'Where is it?'

### 13.2.5 'When?' ([àngú ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ tû:] gò, wàgàtì àr ${ }^{n}$ ájá)

'When?' is expressed as [àngú $\left.{ }^{H L} t \hat{u}:\right] ~ g o ̀, ~ w h i c h ~ e n d s ~ i n ~ l o c a t i v e ~ g o ̀ . ~ I t ~ b e g i n s ~ w i t h ~ a ̀ n g u ́ ~$ 'which?', which however is elsewhere an adjective that follows its modified noun. This analysis suggests that tû: (perhaps a possessed noun?) should mean 'time', but no such noun with this meaning occurs elsewhere in Nanga. (Compare, however, Toro Tegu tùwó 'time'.)
a. á:mádù
[ [àngú
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ tû:]
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ time $]$
gò] yé:-1̀
A [[which? ${ }^{\text {HL time] }}$ Loc] come-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'When is Amadou coming?'
b. [[à̀ggú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ tû:] gò] tò-ò
[[which? ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ time] Loc] sow.Pfv-3PlSbj
'When did they sow (= plant the seeds)?'
An alternative 'when?' interrogative is wàgàtì ${ }^{L}$ àrnáyá, which consists of an L-toned form of wágátí 'time, moment', an Arabic loan that is widespread in languages of the zone (Fulfulde, Songhay, etc.), plus àr ${ }^{n}$ áyá ‘where?'.
a. [Wàgàtì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ àrnáná] bòndí wòè- $\varnothing$
[time ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ where?] rain(n) rain.fall.Pfv-3SgSbj
'When did the rain fall?'
b. [wàgàtì àr ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ nápá] á:ndé ńní-m- ${ }^{w}$
[time ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ where?] Anda go-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'When are you- Sg going to Anda (village)?'
13.2.6 'How?' (àmây ${ }^{n}$, àmây ${ }^{n}$-àmây ${ }^{n} \sim$ àmá-mây ${ }^{n}$ )
'How?' can be expressed by the interrogative adverb àmây ${ }^{n}$, or its iteration àmây ${ }^{n}$-àmây ${ }^{n}$. The iterated form allows for multiple answers ('in what ways?'). These forms are subject to phonetic attrition in sentential context; the $y^{n}$ is often elided, and the iteration may be reduced to àmây ${ }^{n}-m a ̂ y^{n}$ or àmá-mà $y^{n}$.
a. àmây ${ }^{n}$ ńní-m̀- ${ }^{W}$
how? go-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'How will you-Sg go?'
b. tóndí àmây ${ }^{n}$-àmây ${ }^{n}$ ké:ndè-m̀- ${ }^{w}$
basket how?-how? fix-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'How are you-Sg going to fix the basket?'
àmây ${ }^{n}$ is often combined with kár $n$ na 'do' in the sense 'do what?' This phrase as a whole can be used in 'how?' interrogatives, in the same-subject subordinated forms kární $\eta$ (for past time) or kàr ${ }^{n}$ ì gáy (for imperfective contexts).
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & {[\text { àmây }} & \text { kàr }{ }^{n} \text { ì } & \text { gáy }\end{array}\right] \quad$ úmî lǎ:rà-mì-y.:
b. [àmây ${ }^{n}$ kárnín 1́] [yě: и́] èw-yè- $\varnothing$
[how? do and.SS] [come and.SS] sit-MP.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Hw did it (=our village) come and settle?' (2004.01.05)
àmây ${ }^{n}$ is obscurely related to may ${ }^{n}$ 'like' (§8.4.1).

### 13.2.7 'How much/many?’ (à:クgǎy)

'How much?' or 'how many?' is à:ygǎy. It function like a numeral, following a noun (without plural marking) that keeps its normal tones (482a). It can also be used absolutely (482b).
a. [pèrgé
à:ngǎy]
sò-W
[sheep how.many?] have-2SgSbj
'How many sheep do you-Sg have?'

```
b. à:\etagǎy jórò-m̀- w
    how.much? want-Ipfv-2SgSbj
    'How much (or: how many) do you-Sg want?'
```

A complement may also be expressed by a topicalized NP (483).

| nàyá | yě:, | à:クggǎy | màrà- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cow | 1SgPoss.AnPl, | how.many? | be.lost.Pfv- 3 SgSbj |
| 'My cows, how many (of them) | were lost?' |  |  |

The distributive iteration, used in markets to indicate price per unit of sale, is à:ngǎy-à:ngǎy.

| [ÉWé | gá] | mǎygórò | à:ngǎy-à:ngǎy | túrò-m-غ̀ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [market | Loc] | mango | how.much?-how | sell-Ipfv-3P1Sbj |
| 'They sell | mang | es for how | much apiece in |  |

### 13.2.8 'Which?' (à ${ }^{\prime} g u ́$ )

As interrogative modifying adjective, 'which?' is expressed as àngú (485a). It may also be used absolutely (485b). Before a $C v$ onset it optionally syncopates to ǎy, and the velar nasal may then assimilate ( 485 c ).
a. [màngòrò̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ àngú] jórò-m̀-w
[mango ${ }^{\text {L }}$ which?] want-Ipfv- 2 SgSbj
'Which mango do you-Sg want?'
b. àngú jórò-m̀- ${ }^{W}$
which? want-Ipfv-2SgSbj
'Which (one) do you-Sg want?'
c. [nàná [ú $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{y}\right]\right]\right]$ ăn túrò-m̀- ${ }^{W}$
[cow [2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL Poss.AnPl] }] ~ w h i c h ? ~ s e l l-I p f v-2 S g S b j ~}$
'Which of your cows are you-Sg selling?'
(can also mean 'You will sell your cows to whom?')
àngú gets some competition from other interrogatives. In (486a), àr ${ }^{n}$ ápá ‘where?’ is used as a modifier (or perhaps compound final), with preceding L-toned noun, since a fixed location is involved. In (486b), a possessive construction is used with kj̀-n $\varepsilon$ 'what?' as the possessor, since the question concerns the substance (i.e. fruit) from which the possessed noun is constituted.
a. [ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ tíyâ] [ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ àr ${ }^{n a ́ \eta a ́] ~ s i ́ g e ́-r ̀ ~}$ [2SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ friend] [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ where?] go.down-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'In which house does your-Sg friend go down (=lodge)?'
b. [kò-né $\left.{ }^{H L} n \hat{\imath}:\right] \quad$ kár ${ }^{n} \grave{i}-m i ̀-y .: ~$
[what? ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ water] make-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'Which juice (=juice of what) will we make?'

### 13.2.9 Rhetorical tag question (là)

Clause-final là, probably related at least historically to negative morphemes (cf. = ndǒ: 'it is not', $\S 11.2 .1 .2$ ), is a rhetorical tag question marker. Usually no response from the listener is expected. là could be considered an enclitic, but I know of no phonological interactions with the preceding word.
(487)
pír-à:ndì [kú mày $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ gذ̀nórnò-m̀ $=b$ è- $\varnothing \quad$ là Fulbe [DiscDef like] go.around-Ipfv=Past-3SgSbj tagQ 'Fulbe used to go around (acting) like that, did they not?' (2004.01.10)

### 13.2.10 Embedded interrogatives

Embedded WH-interrogatives can take the same form as unembedded ones, with the original WH-interrogative word and with the interrogative particle, which is typically pronounced with some prolongation and with low or falling pitch in this construction.
a. $[a ̆-\eta]$
yè:- $\varnothing$
$m a ̀ \rightarrow]$
$y$ ỳ-rí-y
[who?-AnSg
come.Pfv
Q]
see-PfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I didn't see who came.'
b. [à:ŋgǎy غ̀Wغ̀- $\varnothing \quad m a ̀ \rightarrow] ~ j u ́ g \grave{-\eta \grave{o}-y ~}$
[how.much? pay.Pfv-3SgSbj Q] know-IpfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I don't know how much he/she paid.'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } \begin{array}{lll}{\left[\text { àr }{ }^{n} \text { áná }\right.} & \text { ǹnغ̀- } \varnothing & \text { mà } \rightarrow] \\ \text { [where? } & \text { go.Pfv-3SgSbj } & \mathrm{Q}]\end{array} & \text { júgò- } \eta \grave{̀} \text {-y } \\ \text { 'I }\end{array}$
'I don't know where he/she went.'
The construction gets some competition from relative clauses, such as the object relative clause in (489). For -ŋ̀-sغ̀, see the temporal adverbial clause type with -m̀sè gà (§15.2.3, below), which may be historically related to the progressive inflection (§10.2.2.4).

| $\left[k{ }^{\text {L }}\right.$ | Ǐ: ${ }^{n}$ | $j$ jórò-m̀-sı̀ | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [thing ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | 1 SgSbj | look.for-Ipfv-Ppl.Pfv | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def] |
| ìré | bèsì-y |  |  |
| forget | bury.Pfv- | 1 SgSbj |  |
| 'I have | forgotten the | he thing which (=forgo | what) |

## 14 Relativization

### 14.1 Basics of relative clauses

Relative clauses have the features in (490).
(490) a. the core of the head NP, maximally Poss-N-Adj-Num, occurs in its clause-internal position (internal head)
b. the internal head, except a preposed possessor, is tone-dropped;
c. the verb (functioning as a participle) is marked for a reduced set of AN categories, and may differ in form from a main-clause verb; participles usually do not agree morphologically with either the subject or the head NP; however, there is optional 3 Pl subject agreement in imperfective positive participles, and optional but frequent animate plural head-NP agreement on negative participles;
d. the head NP is (seemingly) bifurcated, i.e. determiners and non-numeral quantifiers follow the participle;
e. if the overall NP (DP) is semantically definite, as it usually is, the verb-participle is followed by a determiner, agreeing in animacy and number with the head noun, and tone-dropping the verb-participle;
f. pronominal subjects in nonsubject relatives are expressed by proclitics identical in form to independent pronouns but immediately preverbal;
g. there is no relative marker as such, whether in the clause-internal head NP or at either edge of the clause.

The apparent bifurcation of the head is (I maintain) the result of movement of the pre-relative part of the overall NP into the relativization site within the relative clause. This assumes that the overall NP has the maximal form is Poss-N-Adj-Num-RelCl-Det-DiscFunct, where "RelCl" is the relative clause containing the coindexed copy. After tone-dropping, the Poss-N-Adj-Num string slides into the position of the coindexed copy. This analysis works for most Dogon languages; the case for it is stronger in Ben Tey where there is supporting morphological evidence.

### 14.1.1 Coordinated relatives with a shared head

In (491), the head noun (nǔ: 'person') appears once, but is understood to be the unexpressed head of the second relative clause ('who go') as well. The first relative clause is structurally complete, including a final definite morpheme. Plurality is indicated only by the definite morpheme. The second clause contains a resumptive 3 Pl subject pronoun (bû:) .
(491)

```
[nù: }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ \̀gá nà:-mì }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}
[person }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ here spend.night-Ppl.Ipfv }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ Def.AnPl]
[kòr"óyòy"
    bû:
[morning AnPl
jórò-m̀-\varnothing
want-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I like people who spend the night here and who go (away) in the morning.'
```

In (492), even the main-clause verb ('I dislike') is adjacent to the first relative clause, suggesting a kind of extraposition of the second relative clause (or else gapping of a second 'I dislike').

| [kìr ${ }^{\text {ná }}$ | nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  | gísè-mì] | m̀bùrà-ý |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [bone |  |  | throw-Ppl.Ipfv] | dislike-1SgSbj |
| [[tùndú | gó] | kìr ${ }^{\text {náa }}$ | nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | sémì-クò:] |
| [[rear | Loc] | bone | person ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | sweep-Ppl.IpfvNeg] |
| I disli | ople | thro | bones and (w | don't sweep up aft |

A simpler construction occurs when the two co-events are merged into a chain, since here only the final verb is participialized.

```
nù: }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ L }}\mathrm{ tómbó sígè-mì
person }\mp@subsup{}{}{L}\mathrm{ jump go.down-Ppl.Ipfv
```

'a person who jumps down'

For relatives with conjoined NPs as head, see §14.1.4, below.

### 14.1.2 Tone-dropping on final word(s) of internal head NP

The regular NPs in the left column in (494) are converted into the relative-clause head NPs in the right column.

| regular NP | relative head NP | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. nǔ: <br> ńdô | $\begin{align*} & \text { nù: }{ }^{\mathrm{L}}  \tag{494}\\ & \text { ǹdò } \end{align*}$ | 'person' 'house' |
| b. nù: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ mòsí ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ モ̀sí | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [nù: mòsì] } \\ & \text { [ǹdò } ̀ \text { L }_{\text {L }}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \end{aligned}$ | 'bad person' 'good house' |
| c. nú wǒy ńdô kúrê | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\text { nù wòy] }{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} \\ & {\left[\text { ǹdò kùrè̀ }{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} \end{aligned}$ | 'two people' (§4.1.3) 'six houses' |
| d. [nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ mòsí] wǒy [ǹdò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ غ̀sí] kúrê | [nù: mòsì wòy] ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ [ǹdò èsì kùrè ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | 'two bad people' 'six good houses' |

In (494a-b), whether the NP is a simple noun or a noun-adjective pair, the final word has its regular tones when the NP is independent. In (494c-d), the last two words of the NP have
their regular tones．When the NP functions as relative head，everything from the noun to the final modifier is tone－dropped．In（494b，d）the noun is also tone－dropped by the adjective，so in the relative－clause versions one might argue for cyclical tone－dropping．My bracketing and superscripts are based on an alternative one－step model where the relative clause（as outermost or highest controller）imposes $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ in one fell swoop on the noun and its following modifiers．These tonosyntactic facts are parallel to those seen in Jamsay，Ben Tey，and several other Dogon languages．

It is also necessary to consider how possessed NPs behave when they function as head NPs．When the possessor is postnominal（i．e．a pronominal possessor plus possessive classifier，§6．2．1．3），the possessum is not tone－dropped，either as independent NP or as relative head．Rather，the possessive classifier（originally a noun meaning＇thing＇or＇animate being＇）is tone－dropped in relative heads，if not already L－toned．The tone－dropping is easiest to hear in the 1 Sg forms，where the 1 Sg possessor fuses with the classifier（adding only an initial L－tone to the basic $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ possessum overlay of the classifier）．The actual 1 Sg possessor forms are $k \grave{0}$ ：and $y \check{\varepsilon}$ ：，dropping to $k \grave{j}:{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $y \grave{\varepsilon}:{ }^{\mathrm{L}}(495 \mathrm{~d})$ at the end of relative heads．However， even possessors like 2 Sg ú $g \hat{\imath}$ in（495a）undergo tone－dropping as relative heads，in this case to $u$ $g \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}$（495b）．Since niether the possessum nor the 2 Sg pronoun $u$ are tone－dropped，I indicate tonosyntactic islandhood（preventing tone－dropping）by $\subset \ldots \supset$ in these examples， though I usually omit these brackets in text transcriptions．
a．ńdô $\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}u ́ & \left.{ }^{H L} g \hat{\jmath}\right]\end{array}\right.$
house［2Sg ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ Poss．InanSg］
＇your－Sg house＇
b．［Cńdô［úつ $\quad$ g̀ $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\right]$ yèg̀̀－sغ̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gú ［chouse［2Sgゝ Poss．InanSg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ］］fall－Ppl．Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def．InanSg ＇your house that fell＇
c．pèrgé yẽ： sheep $\quad 1$ SgPoss．AnSg
＇my sheep－Sg＇
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { d．} & {[\subset p \varepsilon ̀ r g \varepsilon ́ \supset} & \left.y \grave{\varepsilon}:{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right] & y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-\text {－} \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \\ & {[\subset \text { sheep } \supset} & \left.1 \text { SgPoss．AnSg }{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right] & \text { fall－Ppl．Pfv }\end{array}$ ＇my sheep－Sg that fell＇
e．［［Cbbùró［û：จ $\left.\left.\quad y \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\right] \quad s \check{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \quad$ bù－mì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ ý］ ［［＾pants［2Plゝ Poss．InanP1 $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\right]$ dangling be－Ppl．Ipfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def．InanPl ＇Those pants（＝loincloths）of yours－Pl that are dangling down＇（2004．01．09）

The island consists in each case of the possessum and the pronoun preceding the possessive animacy－number classifier（495b，e）．There is no separate pronoun in the 1 Sg possessor case （495d），but again the possessum is tonosyntactically free．The classifier，on the other hand，is exposed and is tone－dropped by the relative clause．

A prenominal possessor may be any nonpronominal NP，and it may be a pronoun in the case of inalienable possession（kin terms）．The data in（496）show that the entire possessor－ possessed NP is a tonosyntactic island with respect to relativization．Neither the possessor NP nor the possessum drops its tones．In（496a），＇house＇is already tone－dropped within the possessed NP，since the possessor ends in an L－tone（§6．2）．Therefore its L－tone is not
attributable to the relative construction. In the other examples in (496), the possessum has $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ overlay since the possessor ends in an H-tone, and there is no change when the entire NP functions as relative-clause head.
a. [á:dámà
Lìdò $]$
${ }^{\text {L house] }}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y \grave{\varepsilon} g \grave{\varepsilon}-\text { - } \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} & g u ́  \tag{496}\\
\text { fall-Ppl.Pfv } & \\
& \text { Def.InanSg }
\end{array}
$$

[A ${ }^{\text {Lhouse] }}$
'Adama's house that fell.'
b. $\subset[y a ̌-\eta]$
$\subset[$ woman-Sg
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ pérgè $] \supset$
${ }_{\text {sheep }} \supset \supset$
$y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-S \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}$
fall-Ppl.Pfv
né
'a/the woman's sheep-Sg that fell'
 $\subset\left[\left[\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\right.$ Dem-AnSg $]{ }^{H L}$ sheep $] \supset$ fall-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg 'this man's sheep-Sg that fell'
d. $\subset\left[\right.$ ú $\quad{ }^{H L}$ dérè $] \supset \quad$ bàmàkô: $=\varnothing \quad$ bù-mì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ né
$\subset\left[2\right.$ SgPoss ${ }^{H L}$ elder.sib $] \supset \quad \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Loc}$ be-Ppl.Stat ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg
'your-Sg elder sibling who is in Bamako'
We now consider what happens when modifying adjectives and/or numerals are added. If a postnominal pronominal possessor is present, a modifying adjective is adjacent to the noun, so e.g. 'your big house' is expressed by the linear sequence [[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big] [2Sg Classifier]]. Since 'big' is tightly bound to the noun, it is included in the tonosyntactic island and is not tone-dropped in relatives (497a). A numeral may precede or follow a postnominal possessor. If the numeral precedes the possessor, it is internal to the tonosyntactic island and surfaces with lexical tones, like 'six' in (497b). If it follows the possessor, it is not protected and it is tone-dropped as part of the relative head (497c).

b. [Сńdô kúrê [úつ yè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ]]
$y \varepsilon ̀ g \varepsilon ̀-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \dot{y}$ $\left[\subset\right.$ house six $\left[2 \mathrm{Sg} \supset\right.$ Poss.InanP1 $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\right]$ fall-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl 'your-Sg six houses that fell'
c. [Сńdô [úつ y $\left.\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\right] \quad$ kùrè $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right] \quad y \varepsilon ̀ g \grave{\varepsilon}-S \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \dot{y}$ [Chouse [2Sg Poss.InanPl $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\right]$ six ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ] fall-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanPl

When an adjective is part of a possessed NP with a prenominal possessor (whether nonpronominal or pronominal), i.e. in Poss ${ }^{(H) L}$ [ N Adj], the adjective is already tone-dropped as an extension of the $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ or $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ possessum overlay controlled by the possessor. Therefor (498a,c) already end in an $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned adjective, and no further tone-dropping is possible when these NPs function as relative heads (498b,d). I somewhat arbitrarily indicate the right edge of the island after the adjective in $(498 b, d)$, though it is quite possible that the relative clause would have tone-dropped at least the adjective if the latter were not already $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned.

```
a．wǒ－\(\eta \quad{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}[n ́ d \hat{o}\) òẁ̀］ Dem－AnSg \({ }^{\text {HL }}\)［house big］ ＇a big house of this（person）＇（う̀wó）
```

b．$\subset[W o ̌-\eta \quad$ HL $[n ́ d o ̂ \quad \grave{\omega} w \grave{l}]] \supset$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-S \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} & g u ́ \\
\text { fall-Ppl.Pfv }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { Def.InanSg }
\end{array}
$$

$\subset\left[\right.$ Dem－AnSg ${ }^{\text {HL }}[$ house big $\left.]\right] \supset$
＇the big house of this（person）that fell＇
c．ú
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$［dérè mòsì］

2SgPoss ${ }^{\text {HL }}$［elder．sibling bad］ ＇your nasty（older）brother＇
 ＇your nasty（older）brother who fell＇

When a numeral follows a noun that has a prenominal possessor，whether the numeral must be tone－dropped under the influence of the possessor depends on whether the possessor is nonpronominal（obligatory tone－dropping，§6．2．2．2）or pronominal（optional tone－dropping， $\S 6.2 .2 .4)$ ．In the former case，whether any further tone－dropping would occur when the NP is a relative head is moot．In the latter case，tone－dropping on the numeral is obligatory，not merely optional．An example is（499），where＇six＇might have been tone－dropped by virtue of being part of the possessum（top mark－up），but would definitely be tone－dropped in a relative head（bottom mark－up，also followed in the interlinear）．The notation follows the second interpretation（relative clause tone－drops numeral）．

| $\subset[u ́$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$［dérè | kùrè］Jゝ | $y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | bû： |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［Сú | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ dérès | kùrè ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | $y \grave{g} \grave{\Sigma}$－st ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | bû： |
| ［ $\subset 2$ SgPoss | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ elder．sibling 〕］ | $\mathbf{s i x}]^{\text {L }}$ | fall－Ppl．Pfv ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def．AnPl |
| ＇Your－Sg si | der brothers w | fell＇（ $k$ |  |  |

Determiners and non－numeral quantifiers are not relevant to this section，since they follow the verbal participle；see §14．1．9－10，below．

## 14．1．3 Restrictions on the head noun in a relative clause

A pronoun may not directly head a relative clause．Instead，nǔ：＇person＇（for humans）occurs as head NP（L－toned nù：${ }^{L}$ ），and the pronoun is preposed，in apposition．

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllll}
\hat{1}: & {[\text { [̀̀gá }} & \text { nù: }^{\mathrm{L}} & b-\grave{\varepsilon}-m^{\mathrm{L}} & b \hat{u}:]  \tag{500}\\
\text { 1Pl } & {[\text { here }} & \text { person }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { be-3Pl-Ppl.Stat }
\end{array} \text { Def.AnPl] }\right]
$$

＇we who are here＇（lit．＂we［the people who are here］＂）

14．1．4 Relative clause with conjoined NP as head

A conjoined NP（§7．1．1）is a tonosyntactic island with respect to relative－clause tone－ dropping，so there is no audible effect in cases like（501）．However，the conjunction yò is
already L-toned, so I cannot exclude the possibility that it is (covertly) tone-dropped as relative head.
[[[yǎ: yò] [ár ${ }^{n a ̀}$ yò $\left.]\right]$ jòrìyè-s̊̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ bû:]
[[[woman and] [man and]] fight-Ppl.Pfv ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnPl]
àrnáクá $b-\varepsilon$ è
where be-3P1Sbj
'Where are the men and the women who squabbled?'

```

\subsection*{14.1.5 Headless relative clause}

In idiomatic Nanga, a relative head NP that is understood to refer to an animate or inanimate object is normally expressed by at least a semantically light noun, such as nǔ: 'person', kó 'thing', or \(y \varepsilon\) ' 'things; critter' (in L-toned form as nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}, k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}, y \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\) ). However, relative clauses with no overt head are acceptable. In a case like jòrìyè-sè bû: 'the ones who squabbled', the definite animate plural determiner at the end gives clues to the identity of the omitted head.

Headless relatives may also function as adverbial clauses, with a (sometimes vaguely) understood head like 'time', 'place', 'manner', or 'situation'; see §15.5.3.

\subsection*{14.1.6 Preverbal proclitic subject pronominal in nonsubject relative}

In nonsubject relative clauses, if the subject is a pronoun, it is expressed by an independent pronoun proclitic to the verb-participle. There are no phonological interactions between the clitic and the verb. In (502c), the subject pronoun follows a direct-object pronoun, confirming that the subject pronoun is proclitic to the verb. In (502d) the proclitic intervenes between two directly chained verbs, 'fall' and 'go down'. The proclitics include 1 Sg Ǐ:" and 3 Sg ńné, which are unmistakably independent pronouns in form.
a. \(k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad u ́\)
júgò-ŋ̀̀:
thing \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathbf{2 S g S b j}\) know-Ppl.IpfvNeg
'something that you-Sg do not know'
b. ùsì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) i.: \(^{n} \quad\) yè:-ss̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathbf{1 S g S b j}\) come-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the day (when) I came'
c. ùsì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí- \(\eta\) ńné sùyò-s̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gú day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) 1Sg-Acc \(\mathbf{3 S g S b j}\) hit-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the day (when) he/she hit me'
d. ùsì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) yègé ú sìgè-s \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú day \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) fall 2SgSbj go.down-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the day you-Sg fell down'

The ability of a preverbal subject pronominal to intervene between two words is a useful syntactic test in some contexts. See \(\S 14.1 .8\), below for more examples and discussion. In
\(\S 10.1 .1\) ，this is brought to bear on the issue of whether certain AN morphemes in the （positive）perfective system are suffixes or chained auxiliary verbs．

\section*{14．1．7 Verb－participle}

As explained in \(\S 4.6\) ，the term＂participle＂is less compelling for Nanga than for some other Dogon languages such as Jamsay，where relative－clause verb－participles show explicit suffixal agreement with head NPs in intrinsic nominal features（animacy／humanness and number）．In Nanga，by contrast，nouns and adjectives have virtually no marking of such features even in isolation．Instead，the burden of expressing intrinsic features within NPs is borne by determiners．So to the extent that participles are nominal or adjectival syntactically， we would not expect them to be directly marked for intrinsic features of the head NP．

In relative clauses，determiners（definite，demonstrative）follow the participle．In Nanga these determiners are tonosyntactic controllers，so the participle is subject to tone－dropping．

Nanga participles make more or less the same AN distinctions that we find in main clause verbs，specifying aspect（perfective／imperfective）and polarity（positive／negative）．There is some morphological reduction in relative clauses，and reduplication is disallowed．For the most part，participles cannot agree with subjects．The exception is that negative participles do agree with animate plural head NPs．With the possible exception of perfective participial suffix－sغ̀，whose relationship to perfective－2－só－is synchronically unclear，the participial endings and stem－tones are identical or similar to those for the corresponding main－clause AN suffixes．

Participles（four basic suffixes）
```

category suffix related AN suffix
perfective
positive
imperfective
positive -mì (~-m̀m) imperfective -\grave{m- (~ -mì-)}
[for -\grave{m}-s\grave{\varepsilon},\mathrm{ see discussion of (510) below]}
negative -\eta\grave{: imperfective negative -\eta\grave{(:)-}}⿻土一=\mp@code{}

```

Perfective participial \(-s \varepsilon\) corresponds to the amalgamation the simple perfective（unsuffixed）， perfective－1a－\(̇ r \varepsilon ̀\)－，perfective－1b－tì－，and perfective－2－só－．It may also be added to recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)－to produce the sequence \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)－s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\) ，and to experiential perfect tá：－to produce tá：－sغ̀．
（Positive）imperfective participial suffix－mì（ \(\sim-\grave{m}\) ）likewise has a broader range than its inflectable counterpart．It is used with stative verbs and quasi－verbs，as well as with active verbs in imperfective aspect．It may also be added to a verb already containing progressive suffix－sò－to produce the suffix complex－só－mì（note the change in tone）．

Including these combinations，and some similar ones with negative participial suffixes， （503）can be expanded as（504）．

Participles (all categories of active verbs)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline category & suffix(es) & similar AN morpheme \\
\hline perfective & -SÈ & perfective-2 -só- (?) \\
\hline recent perfect & \(j \grave{\text { ch-sè }}\) & recent perfect \(j \varepsilon\) - \\
\hline experiential perfect & tá:-sè & experiential perfect tá:- \\
\hline perfective negative & -rí & perfective negative -rí- \\
\hline recent perfect negative & jè-rí & recent perfect negative \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)-rí- \\
\hline experiential perfect Neg & tà:-rí & experiential perfect negative tà:-rí- \\
\hline imperfective & \(-m i ̀ \sim-m ̀\) & imperfective -m- \\
\hline progressive & -só-mì & progressive -sò- \\
\hline imperfective negative & -ŋò: & imperfective negative -ŋゝ̀(:)- \\
\hline progressive negative & -sò-ndó & progressive negative -sò-ndó \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{14.1.7.1 Participles of positive perfective-system verbs (-s£̀ )}

Before getting into examples, it is necessary to point out that the participle is very frequently tone-dropped by a following determiner. Therefore the tones described here as basic are often overridden.

Examples of verbs with the basic perfective participial suffix -s̀े are in (505). The suffix is added to the bare stem (showing lexical vocalism and tone melody). When followed by a definite morpheme or other determiner, all tones in the participle including the verb stem drop, as in nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) yè:-s文 \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \varepsilon\) 'the person who came' (<yě:-s̀̀ ).

Perfective participial \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) is the relative-clause counterpart of the simple perfective, perfective-1a, perfective-1b, and perfective-2 forms in main clauses. In practice it is also the usual counterpart of recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\) - as well.

In texts, it is distinguished by tone from main-clause 3Pl perfective-2 \(-s-\varepsilon\) ( \(\S 10.2 .1 .3\) ). On the other hand, the participial suffix is homophonous to main-clause 3 Pl progressive \(-S-\grave{\varepsilon}\) (§10.2.2.4). At word-level, the progressive can be recognized by the \(\mathrm{L}-\) or \(<\mathrm{HL}>\)-tone of the stem-final vowel, often accompanied by final-vowel lengthening and/or initial reduplication. The perfective participial has a stem ending in an H-toned vowel, does not lengthen final short vowels, and does not allow reduplication.

\section*{Perfective participles}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline bare stem & Pfv participle & gloss \\
\hline yě:- & yě:-sè & 'come' \\
\hline gǒ:- & gǒ:-Sغ & 'go out' \\
\hline yǐ:- & yǐ:-sè & 'see' \\
\hline núy \({ }^{\text {n }}\) & núy \({ }^{n}\)-sı̀ & 'go in' \\
\hline ńné- & ńné-sغ̀ & 'go', for the vowels cf. (274) \\
\hline yègé- & yègé-s¢̀ & 'fall' \\
\hline kár \({ }^{\text {ní- }}\) & kár \({ }^{n}\)-s-s̀ & 'do' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
bǎ:rí- & bǎ:rí-s̀̀ & 'help' \\
bègírí- & bègírí-sè & 'winnow'
\end{tabular}

Examples are in (506). (506b) illustrates tone-dropping on the participle before a determiner.
a. nàyà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû: ह́mé-sè
cow \(^{L} \quad 3 \mathrm{PlSbj} \quad \operatorname{milk}(v)-P p l . P f v\)
'a cow (or: cows) that they milked'

'the cow that I milked'
Recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\) - has participial \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\). It is used sparingly, since perfective participial -s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\) is often used as a relative-clause counterpart of \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\) - in main clauses. Like inflected \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\)-, the participial complex \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\) follows the bare stem with its lexical tones. When a determiner is added, there is no overt change to the tones. In other words, the tone-dropping effect of the determiner does not reach beyond the \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)-, which is already L-toned. This is evidence that \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\) - is treateed as a chained auxiliary verb, rather than a simple suffix. This view is reinforced by the fact that a subject pronominal intervenes between \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\) - and the preceding verb (507c).
a. àr \({ }^{n a ̀}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) nǎ: \(\quad k o ́: \quad j \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) meal eat RecPrf-Ppl.Pfv
'a man who has already eaten (or: who has finished eating)'
b. àr \(n^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) nǎ: \(\quad k j ́: \quad j \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) meal eat RecPrf-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the man who has already eaten (or: who has finished eating)'

\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) hit \(\mathbf{1 S g S b j}\) RecPrf-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the man who(m) I have already hit (or: whom I have finished hitting).'
Experiential perfect tá:- with its long vowel is prosodically even more clearly a chained auxiliary verb. A simple example of a participle is (508a). If a determiner is added, tá:- is tone-dropped but the preceding verb is not (508b). A pronominal subject intervenes between the two verbs (508c).
a. \(y^{2}:^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\text {L }}\)
[ìsè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gó] ńné
tá:-sè
woman \({ }^{\text {L }}\) [village \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Loc] go ExpPrf-Ppl.Pfv
'a woman who has (once/ever) gone to the village'
b. yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) [ìsè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gó] ńné tà:-s̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) né woman \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) [village \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Loc] go ExpPrf-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg 'the woman who has (once/ever) gone to the village'
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { c. } \text { yà: }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { yǐ: } & \text { Ǐ: }^{n} & \text { tà:-s } \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} & n \dot{\varepsilon} \\ \text { woman }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { see } & \mathbf{1 S g S b j} & \text { ExpPrf-Ppl.Pfv } & \text { Def.AnSg }\end{array}\) 'the woman whom I have (once/ever) seen'

Although perfective-1b -tì- was not observed in spontaneously produced relative clauses, it was possible with some effort to elicit -tì- in relatives. In nonsubject relatives, my assistant tended to allow a preverbal subject pronominal to intervene between the main verb stem and \(t i\)-, suggesting that the latter is a separate word (i.e. a chained auxiliary verb) rather than a suffix (509). However, he sometimes repeated such elicited sentences with the subject pronominal preceding the main verb. The construction does not seem to be productive and it is not surprising that its syntax is inconsistent.
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
{\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { Wàgàdì }\end{array}\right.\)} & ǹjí-ý & súyó & ńné & tì-sè] & gà \\
{\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { time }\end{array}\right.\)} & 1Sg-Acc & hit & 3SgSbj & Pfv1b-Ppl.Pfv] & Loc \\
'at the time when he/she hit me' & & &
\end{tabular}

Perfective participial \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) can be added to an imperfective form with -m- to form a perfect version of the imperfective (510). This participial form appears to have no exact counterpart among main-clause verb forms, which do not distinguish the perfect of the imperfective from a simple past imperfective ( \(-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline pírâ & غ̀sí \(\rightarrow\) & \(n u ̀:{ }^{\text {L }}\) & jíyè-m̀-sè & \({ }^{\text {L }}\) bù: \\
\hline Fulbe & a.lot & person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & kill-Ipfv-Ppl.Pfv & \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'people who had been killing lots of Fulbe' (2004.01.10)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{14.1.7.2 Participles of positive imperfective-system and stative verbs (-mì)}

Here the basic participial suffix is -mì with variants -mù and \(-m\). The syllabic allomorphs are usual in simple participles not followed by a determiner. The nonsyllabic allomorph is usual before a determiner. \(-m-\varepsilon\) is an optional marked form for 3 Pl subject (whether or not it is also the head NP).

Examples showing the stem-shapes of imperfective participles derived from verbs that end in a non-high vowel are in (511). The stem is segmentally identical in main-clause and participial forms. However, the participle is never reduplicated. The participles in (511) are also tonally identical to the regular imperfective stem, except when tone-dropped by a following determiner. In this combination, -mì also reduces to \(-m\).

Imperfective participles for non- \(i\)-final verb
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
bare stem & imperfective participle & gloss \\
& & \\
yě:- & yê:-mì & 'come' \\
gǒ:- & gó:-mì & 'go out' \\
yègé- & yégè-mì & 'fall'
\end{tabular}

For bisyllabic verb stems that end in \(i\) in the imperfective participle, the \(i\) shifts to a non-high vowel when a determiner is added, in addition to the tone-dropping (512a). This applies to \(i\)-final stems like like 'go in' and 'do', as well as to 'go' which is partially \(i\)-final. The shifted variant is the non-high stem described in §3.4.8. I therefore add a second participial column in (512). Heavier verbs (those of more than two vocalic moras) whose stem ends in \(i\) have already shifted the \(i\) to a non-high vowel in the simple form of the imperfective participle, so for these verbs the stems have identical vocalism in the two participial columns (512b).
(512) Imperfective participles (stem ends in \(i\) )
bare stem \(\underset{\text { imperfective participle }}{\substack{\text { imple } \\ \text { simpore determiner }}}\) gloss
a. two vocalic moras
monosyllabic
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline yǐ:- & yî:-mì & yì:-m \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & 'see' \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{bisyllabic} \\
\hline núy \({ }^{\text {n }}\) - & nûy \({ }^{n}\)-mì & \(n u ̀ y^{n} \grave{j}-m^{\text {L }}\) & 'go in' \\
\hline ńné- & ńní-mì & ǹnè- \(m^{\text {L }}\) & 'go' \\
\hline kár \({ }^{n} 1\) - & kár \({ }^{n}\) í-mì & \(k a ̀ r r^{n a}-m^{\text {L }}\) & 'do' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b. heavy stems (more than two vocalic moras)
bă:rí- bǎ:rà-mì bà:rà-m \({ }^{\text {L }}\) 'help'
bègírí- bègírè-mì bègìrè- \(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) 'winnow'
Examples are in (513). Two of them (513b,d) end in determiners.
a. nàŋà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǐ:n \({ }^{\text {n }} \quad\) ém̀̀mì
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad\) milk(v)-Ppl.Ipfv
'a cow (or: cows) that I will milk'
b. nànà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû: \(\quad\) èmè -m \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:
\(\operatorname{cow}^{\mathrm{L}}\) 3P1Sbj milk(v)-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl
'the cows that they will milk'
c. à̀ \({ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \quad\) nú \(y^{n}-m i ̀\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) go.in-Ppl.Ipfv
'a man who goes in'
d. à̀ \(r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n u ̀ y^{n} \grave{\jmath}-m^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) go.in-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnSg
'the man who goes in'

The same imperfective participial suffix is used with stative verbs, which are (in other respects) aspect-neutral but generally include present-time reference (in the absence of the past clitic). Representative forms are in (514). Variant éwè-mì 'who is sitting' has medial e from \(/ \mathrm{o} /\) by assimilation to the flanking front vowels.
(514) Stative participles
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
bare stem & stative & stative participle & gloss \\
& & & \\
éw-yé- & é-Rèwò- & éwè-mì ~ éwò-mì & 'be sitting' \\
1́:-yí- & Í-Rìyà- & Íyà-mì & 'be standing' \\
sów-yé- & Só-sòwò- & sówò-mì & 'be squatting' \\
ú:-yí- & ú-?ùwà- & úwà-mì & 'be afraid'
\end{tabular}

Examples are in (515). A determiner controls tone-dropping on the participle including the verb stem (515b).
a. à \(r^{n a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) úwà-mì
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) fear.Stat-Ppl.Ipfv
'a man who is afraid'

'the man who is afraid.'

Representative progressive participle forms are in (516). The stem has the same form as in the inflected progressive. Reduplication, which is normal in main clauses if the progressive verb is clause-initial, is disallowed in participles. The suffix -sò- shifts to H-toned -só- in the participle.
(516) Progressive participles
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline bare stem & progressive & progressive participle & gloss \\
\hline yě:- & yè-yê:-sò- & yê:-só-mì & 'come' \\
\hline gǒ:- & gò-gô:-sò- & gô:-só-mì & 'go out' \\
\hline yǐ:- & yì-yî:-sò- & yî:-só-mì & 'see' \\
\hline núy \({ }^{n}\) - & nù-nûy \({ }^{\text {n }}\)-sò- & nûy \({ }^{\text {n-só-mì }}\) & 'go in' \\
\hline ńné- & ì-ńnî:-sò- & ńnî:-Só-mì & 'go' \\
\hline yègé- & yغ̀-yégè(:)-sò- & yégè(:)-só-mì & 'fall' \\
\hline kár \({ }^{\text {ní- }}\) & kà-kár \({ }^{\text {İ( }}\) (:)-sò- & kár \({ }^{\text {nìi(:)}}\) ()-só-mì & 'do' \\
\hline bǎ:rí- & bà-bă:rà(:)-sò- & bă:rà(:)-só-mì & 'help' \\
\hline bègírí- & bè-bègírè(:)-sò- & bègírè(:)-só-mì & 'winnow' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples are in (517). Tone-dropping forced by a determiner applies to -só- (which reverts to \(-s \grave{o d}^{\mathrm{L}}\) ) but not to the preceding verb stem ( 517 b ). A subject proclitic in a nonsubject relative does not intervene between the stem and -só-mì, rather it precedes both ( 517 c ).
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. yà: }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { gô:-só-mì } \\ \text { woman }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { go.out-Progr-Ppl.Ipfv }\end{array}\)
'a woman who is going out'
b. yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g o ̂:-[s o ̀-m]^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\) woman \(^{\text {L }}\) go.out-[Progr-Ppl.Ipfv] \({ }^{\text {L }} \quad\) Def.AnSg 'the woman who is going out.'
c. \(\grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \check{:^{n}}{ }^{n} \quad g o ̂:-[s o ̀-m]^{\mathrm{L}} \quad k u ́\) place \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) go.out-[Progr-Ppl.Ipfv] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.InanSg 'the place where I am going out'

\subsection*{14.1.7.3 Participles of negative perfective-system verbs}

The basic perfective negative suffix -rí- occurs in participles, but without a pronominalsubject suffix. As with the inflectable suffix (in the \(1 \mathrm{Sg}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}\), and 3 Sg ), the corresponding participles have an L-toned stem, and shift a stem-final \(i\) to a non-high vowel. As usual, the \(r\) is nasalized to \(r^{n}\) after a nasal syllable. When a determiner follows, the suffix -rí drops its tones (the stem is already L-toned so we cannot tell whether the determiner would have also dropped any H-tones on the stem). Some examples are in (518).
a. nànà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \check{:}:^{n} \quad\) èmè- \(r^{n i ́}\)
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) milk-Ppl.PfvNeg
'a cow that I didn't milk'
b. nàyà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \hat{1}: \quad\) èmè \(-r_{i}^{n_{1}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b \hat{u}:\)
\(\operatorname{cow}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{Pl} \quad\) milk-Ppl.PfvNeg \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl
'the cows that we didn't milk'
c. yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) nù \(y^{n} \grave{\partial}-r^{n} 11\)
woman \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) go.in-Ppl.PfvNeg
'a woman who didn't go in'
d. àr \(r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Sóy ǹ nè-rí
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) all give-Ppl.PfvNeg
'a man who didn't give anything' (ńdí- 'give')
In the main-clause perfective negative, the 3 Pl subject form is rather irregular, replacing -rí' by a 3 Pl perfective negative portmanteau -(à)ndú, as in \(\begin{gathered}\text { en-à:ndú 'they didn't }\end{gathered}\) milk (cow)', cf. غ̀mè-rí- \(\varnothing\) 'he/she didn't milk (cow)'. This peculiarity extends to the corresponding participles, but here the unusual form is associated with an animate plural head NP (whether subject or not). Compare the participial forms in (519a), where the participle does not agree with a 3 Pl subject, to (519b), where it does agree with an animate plural nonsubject head NP.
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { nàyà }{ }^{\text {L }} & \text { bû: } & \text { ह̀mè-r } r^{n} \text { í } \\ \text { cow }^{\mathrm{L}} & 3 \mathrm{PlSbj} & \text { milk-Ppl.PfvNeg } \\ & \text { 'a cow that they didn't milk' }\end{array}\)
b. nànà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû: èm-à:ndú
cow \(^{L}\) 3PlSbj milk-Ppl.PfvNeg.AnPl
'cows that they didn't milk'

These are object relatives, but specifically animate plural forms of the perfective negative participle also occur in subject relatives; see 'the people who didn't hit me', (539b) in §14.2 below.

There is no similar suffixal switch with inanimate head NPs. Therefore (520a) is ambiguous as to number, in the absence of a final determiner. (520b) adds an inanimate plural determiner and tone-drops the participle.
a. kùr \({ }^{n}{ }_{o}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) I: \({ }^{n} \quad\) gìsè̀- \(r^{n} 1 ́\)
stone \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) throw-Ppl.PfvNeg
'a stone (or: stones) that I didn't throw'
b. kùr \({ }^{n} \grave{o}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \check{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{n} \quad\) gìsè- \(r^{n}{ }_{i}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ý
stone \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) throw-Ppl.PfvNeg \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.InanPl
'the stones that I didn't throw'

The recent perfect negative \(j \varepsilon\)-ríl-, which often means 'has not finished VP-ing' (§10.2.3.3), can be participialized. The form of the stem is the same as in the main-clause paradigm; in particular, -rí- (which forces tone-dropping on a preceding stem) does not affect the tones of the main verb preceding \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\) - (521a). (521b) has a final determiner, which tone-drops the animate plural form (elsewhere jà:-ndú ) of the participle.
a. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) nă: kó: jè-rí
person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) meal eat RecPrf-Ppl.PfvNeg
'a person who has not finished eating'
b. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) nǎ: kó: jà:-ndù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:
person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) meal eat RecPrf-Ppl.PfvNeg.AnP1 \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl
'the people who have not finished eating'
The experiential perfect negative including the chained auxiliary verb tá:- (§10.2.3.2) has a similar pattern. The negative inflectable form is tà:-rí-, including perfective negative -rí-, and this is copied in participial tà:-rí. The participle also follows the unusual tone-dropping pattern seen in main clauses, by which -rí- induces tone-dropping not only on tá:- but also on the preceding verb stem (522a). I express this in (522a) by bracketing the preceding verb with the experiential perfect morpheme, though elsewhere I avoid word-internal superscrips. In (522b) the determiner accounts for (at least) the tone-dropping from -rí to -rì.
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. yà: }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & {[\text { ìsè }} & \text { gó }] & {[\text { ǹnè }} & \text { tà: }]^{\mathrm{L}}-r i ́ \\ \text { woman }^{\mathrm{L}} & {\left[\text { village }{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.} & \text { Loc }] & {[\text { go }} & \text { ExpPrf]-Ppl.PfvNeg }\end{array}\) 'a woman who has never gone to the village'
b. yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) [ìsè̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gó] [ǹnè tà:-rì] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) né woman \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) [village \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Loc] [go ExpNeg-Ppl.PfvNeg] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def,AnSg 'the woman who has never gone to the village'

\subsection*{14.1.7.4 Participles of negative imperfective-system and stative verbs}

The imperfective negative suffix - \(\eta \mathbf{y}\) : is used in participles, with the same stem-shapes as with the inflected stems. A few examples are in (523). The participles show the same irregularities with 'hear', 'see', and 'go' that occur in the inflected forms, see (328) in §10.2.3.4. Agreement with an animate plural head NP is discussed below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline bare stem & imperfective main clause & gative participle & gloss \\
\hline gǒ：－ & gô：－ŋゝ̀：－ & gô：－ŋう̀： & ＇go out＇ \\
\hline ńné－ & ńné－ŋò：－ & ńné－ŋ̀： & ＇go＇ \\
\hline \(g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n \prime} 1_{-}\) & \(g \varepsilon\) ¢́：\(r^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}-\eta \grave{0}:-\) & \(g \check{\varepsilon}: r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\eta \grave{0}\) ： & ＇take away，convey＇ \\
\hline nǔy \({ }^{n}\)－ & nù－ŋó－ & nù－ŋó & ＇hear＇ \\
\hline yǐ：－ & nù－ŋó－ & nù－ŋó & ＇see＇ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

An example is（524a）．When a determiner is added，the verb stem is tone－dropped（524b）．
a．nànà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ú émè－クò：
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) milk－Ppl．IpfvNeg
＇a cow that you－Sg do／will not milk＇
b．nàクà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) û：\(\quad\) èmè－ŋ̀̀：\({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû：
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) 2PlSbj milk－Ppl．IpfvNeg \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def．AnPl
＇the cows that you－Pl do／will not milk＇

As with the perfective negative participle，there is a special form used for animate plural head－NP agreement with the imperfective negative participial．In the inflected paradigm，the 3 Pl form of \(-\eta \grave{0}:-\) is \(-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}:-\) ，as in yé：－\(\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}\) ：＇they don＇t／won＇t come＇．The form \(-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}\) ：is optionally used in the participles for animate plural head NPs．

cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{SgSbj} \operatorname{milk}(\mathrm{v})\)－Ppl．IpfvNeg－AnPI
＇cows that you－Sg will not milk＇
b．nàŋà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ú \(\grave{\varepsilon} m \grave{\varepsilon}-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû：
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) 2SgSbj milk（v）－Ppl．IpfvNeg－AnPl \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def．AnPl
＇the cows that you－Sg will not milk＇

Likewise，in a subject relative，＇the people who don＇t hit me＇，（539d）in \(\S 14.2\) below．
Stative negative＝ǹdó－also has a participial counterpart with the identical ending， though without pronominal－subject agreement（＝ǹdó）．This allows participles to be created from negative forms of stative verbs（526a）and of predicate adjectives（526b）．Similarly，a participle can be directly constructed from＇not be（NP）＇clitic＝ndǒ：－（526c）．
a．\(a^{n} r^{n}{ }^{L}\)
è \(w o ̀=n d o ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) sit．Stat＝Ppl．StatNeg
＇a man who is not sitting＇
b．à \(r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gàwà＝ndó
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) tall＝Ppl．StatNeg
＇a man who is not tall＇
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { c. } & \begin{array}{ll}
\text { àr } r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { dóg } \hat{\jmath}=n d o ̌: ~ \\
\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { Dogon=Ppl.it.is.not } \\
\text { 'a man who is not a Dogon (person) }
\end{array},
\end{array}
\]

The counterparts of (526a-c) with animate plural head NP are in (527). They show the usual imitation of the 3 Pl subject form of the main-clause constructions.
a. à \(r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) èwè \(=n d-\varepsilon ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) sit.Stat \(=\) Ppl.StatNeg-AnPl
'men who are not sitting'
b. \(a_{n} r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\)
gàwà \(=n d-\varepsilon \in\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) tall \(=\mathrm{Ppl}\).StatNeg-AnPl
'men who are not tall'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { c. } & \begin{array}{ll}a ̀ r^{n}{ }_{a}^{L} & d o ́ g \hat{\imath}=n d-\varepsilon ̌: ~ \\ & \operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\end{array} & \text { Dogon=Ppl.not.be-AnPl } \\ \text { 'men who are not Dogon (people)' }\end{array}\)
The progressive negative main-clause form ends in stative negative =ǹdó and is participialized accordingly (528). sò-ndó- 'not have' and other negative forms of stative verbs and quasi-verbs are also participialized in the same way.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { àr }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { sémí-ndé } \quad \text { sémbì-sò = ndó }  \tag{528}\\
& \operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \text { sweep-VblN } \quad \text { sweep-Progr }=\text { Ppl.StatNeg } \\
& \text { 'a man who is not sweeping' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{14.1.7.5 Participles of quasi-verbs ('be', 'have')}

Like statives derived from regular verbs, quasi-verbs 'have' and 'be' have participles with "imperfective" -mì (here extended to stative function). The suffix is often shortened to -m̀ before a clitic or determiner. The variant with optional agreement to a 3 Pl subject is again \(-m-\grave{\varepsilon}\), as in nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Só-m-̀̀ 'people who have' versus nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) só-mì 'a person who has' or 'people who have'.

Participles of sò- 'have' and bù- 'be' are H-toned before -mì sè unless tone-dropped by a following determiner, in which case -mì usually shortens to -m. The ending -mì combines with past clitic \(=b \varepsilon\) - to form \(-m \grave{\imath}=b \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\), which is variably reduced to \(-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s , \(-\grave{m}=\varnothing\)-sغ̀, or \(-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s\). A determiner following the past clitic drops its own tones and does not control tone-dropping on the participle, as with definite inanimate singular \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gù in (530c) below.

Existential yá, which in unfocalized main clauses is obligatory for 'have', and is obligatory with 'be' unless there is another explicit locational, does not occur in relative clauses.

For bù- 'be (somewhere)' (§11.2.2.2) the participles are bú-mì and, for past time, \(b u ́-m ̀=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) or variant thereof. (529a) is a subject relative, (529b) is a nonsubject relative. \((529 \mathrm{c})\) is another subject relative, and also shows that bú-mì is realized as bù-m \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) before a determiner. ( 529 d ) is a textual example including the past clitic.
a. àr \({ }^{n a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b u ́-m i ̂\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) be-Ppl.Ipfv
'a man who is present (here/here)'
b. ò \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad\) bú-mî
place \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) be-Ppl.Ipfv
'(the) place where I am'
c. \(a_{a} r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b u ̀-m^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) be-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the man who is here'
d. [dày \(\left.{ }^{n \mathrm{~L}} \quad \hat{u}: \quad b u ́-m ̀=b \grave{̀}-\mathrm{s} \grave{\varepsilon}\right]\)
[manner \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{PlSbj} \quad\) be-Ipfv=Past-Ppl.Pfv]
yá \(\quad b u ̀-\grave{m}=b \grave{̀}-\varnothing\)
Exist be-Ipfv=Past-3SgSbj
'There was a way for you-Pl to be (=to live).' (2004.01.07)
For sò- 'have' (§11.5.1) the participle is só-mì, becoming sò- \(\grave{m}^{\mathrm{L}}\) before a determiner. With past clitic we get \(s o ́-m i ̀=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}-\), which usually contracts to \(s o ́-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\), \(s o ́-\grave{m}=\varnothing\)-s \({ }^{2}\)-, or Só-ŋ̀ \(=b \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s. It does not drop its tones before a determiner, rather the determiner drops its own tones (530c), cf. §6.5.4.
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & {\left[\text { àr }^{n}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.}\end{array}\)
'A man who has two houses is a rich person.'
b. ò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ńdô \(\check{1}:^{n}\) só-mî
place \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) house 1 SgSbj have-Ppl.Ipfv
'(the) place where I have a house'
c. à \(r^{n a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathbf{L}} \quad\) ńdô \(\quad \check{i}:{ }^{n} \quad\) só-m̀ \(=\varnothing\)-s̀̀ \(\quad \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀\) year \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) house 1 SgSbj have- \(\mathrm{Ppl}=\mathrm{Past}-\mathrm{Ppl} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the year when I had a house'

\subsection*{14.1.7.6 Participle of past \(=b \varepsilon\) -}

Since everything else can be participialized, it is no surprise that verbs and other predicates with past clitic \(=b \varepsilon\) - can be participialized.

For positive categories, the relationship between the main-clause stem and the participle is summarized in (531). These are rather uncommon in actual use.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { AN category } \quad \text { main clause participle } \tag{531}
\end{equation*}
\]
positive
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { past imperfective } & -\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}- & -\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon} \sim-\grave{m}=\varnothing-s \grave{\varepsilon} \sim-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-S \\
\text { past progressive } & -s \grave{o}=b \grave{\varepsilon}- & -s o ́-m=b \grave{\varepsilon} \\
\text { past perfect } & =b \varepsilon ́- & -y \varepsilon ́-\varnothing=b \varepsilon \\
\text { past perfective-1b } & -t \grave{\varepsilon}=b \varepsilon \text { - } & -t \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon} \\
\text { past perfective-1a } & -\grave{\varepsilon} r \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}- & -\dot{\varepsilon} r \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon} \\
\text { past perfective-2 } & -s o ́=b \varepsilon \text { - } & -s o ́=b \grave{\varepsilon} \\
\text { past recent perfect } & j \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}- & j \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}
\end{array}
\]

For negative forms of \(=b \varepsilon\)-, the participial forms in (532) were recorded. The special animate plural head NP forms are in the far right column.
\begin{tabular}{clll} 
AN category & inflected & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ participle } \\
unmarked & animate plural \\
negative & & & \\
past perfect & \(-r i ́=b \varepsilon ́-\) & \(-r i ́=b \varepsilon ́\) & - àndú=b-á \\
past imperfective & \(-\eta \grave{̀}:=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\) & \(-\eta \grave{\grave{y}}=b \varepsilon\) & \(-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}:=b-a ̆\)
\end{tabular}
14.1.8 Relative clause involving verb- or VP-chain

When verbs (and VPs) are chained, only the final verb is participialized. In direct chains (those without a subordinating morpheme), the nonfinal verbs have their usual bare-stem form with lexical vocalism and tones. In a nonsubject relative, if a pronominal-subject proclitic is present, it intervenes between the penultimate verb in the chain and the final participle. In (533), \(\varepsilon\) ש́w 'buy' is the nonfinal verb, chained to 'bring', and the 1 Sg subject proclitic intervenes.
```

nà\etaà }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}
cow buy 1SgSbj bring-Ppl.Ipfv }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ Def.AnSg
'the cow that I will buy and bring.'

```

A nonpronominal NP does not intervene between the verbs of a direct chain. However, in nonsubject relatives involving directly chained verbs (the final verb, therefore, being a participle), if the subject is a nonpronominal NP , it is optionally (but often) resumed by a 3 Sg or 3 Pl subject pronoun that directly precedes the final verb. In (534a-c) the optional pronouns shown in parentheses are coindexed with the subject NPs of the relative clause ('the man', 'the women', 'the man' again).
a. nàクà \({ }^{L}\) [àr \({ }^{n a}{ }^{L}\)
né] ह́wé (ńné)
\(\operatorname{cow}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\left[\mathrm{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\) Def.AnSg] buy (3SgSbj)
\(j e ̀:-s \dot{\varepsilon}^{L}\)
nદ
bring-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnSg
'the cow that the man purchased and brought'
b. nàyà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) [yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] ह́Wé \(^{\text {(bû:) }}\)
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\left[\right.\) woman \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] buy (3PlSbj)
\(j e ̀:-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
bring-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnSg
'the cow that the women purchased and brought'

[man \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg] day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) cow buy ( \(\mathbf{3 S g S b j}\) )
\(j\) jè:-s㐫 \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ́\)
bring-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg
'the day the man purchased and brought a cow'

\subsection*{14.1.9 Determiners following the participle}

If the head NP (and therefore the entire NP including the relative) is definite, the relevant definite morpheme is added after the participle. Definite morphemes control tone-dropping on preceding words within simple NPs. This is also usually the case in relative clauses, where definite morphemes control tone-dropping on the immediately preceding participle. Examples of relative clauses with and without definite morphemes are given in the subsections of §14.1.7 above.

Less often, the definite marker (elsewhere H or HL-toned) surfaces in L-toned form, and the participle itself is not tone-dropped; cf. §6.5.4. An example of this is (530c) above.

Definite determiners are of course very common with relative clauses, which in Nanga are restrictive rather than parenthetical. Demonstratives ('this', 'that') are less common with relatives, but do occur. A demonstrative has the same tonosyntactic effects as a definite morpheme. In (535), the demonstrative has tone-dropped the participle.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { àr }^{n} \grave{a} & \text { Ĺ } & y \grave{\varepsilon}:-m^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { wǒ- } \eta  \tag{535}\\
\text { man }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { 2SgSbj } & \text { see-Ppl.Ipfv } & \text { Dem-AnSg } \\
\text { 'this man whom you-Sg see' } &
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{14.1.10 Non-numeral quantifiers following the participle}

Universal and distributive quantifiers ('all', 'each') also occur in postparticipial position. kéréw 'all' may be separated from the participle by a determiner (536a). kéréw is not a tonosyntactic controller. Distributive quantifier kámâ 'each' directly follows the participle, and does control tone-dropping on it (536b-c).

b. [ǹdò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) yè̀è̀-sì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) kámâ],
[house \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) fall-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) each]
[mílyó wòy] jórò-mì-y.:
[million two] look.for-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'(For) each house that fell, we are seeking two million (francs).'
c. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) jǎ: kó: jè-rì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) kámâ
person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) meal eat RecPrf-Ppl.PfvNeg \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) each 'any/each person who has not finished eating'

\subsection*{14.1.11 Indefinite relatives}

These relatives have no determiner and therefore normally end with the participle.
a. [nàクà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ú èmè-r \(r^{n} 1\) ] jórò(:)-sò-y
[cow \(\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{SgSbj} \operatorname{milk}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{Ppl} . P f v N e g\right]\) look.for-Prog-1SgSbj
'I'm looking for a cow that you-Sg haven't milked.'
b. [[nàyà wǒy \(]^{\mathrm{L}}\) ú \(\quad\) èmè-r \(\left.r^{n} 1\right] \quad\) jórò(:)-sò-y
[[cow two] \({ }^{\text {L }} 2 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) milk(v)-Ppl.PfvNeg] look.for-Prog-1SgSbj
'I'm looking for two cows that you-Sg haven't milked.'
14.1.12 Doubling of head noun after relative clause

The noun dǎy \({ }^{n}\) has two meanings, 'manner' and 'boundary' (e.g. of a field). In the first sense, it can occur as the head (overt or covert) of a relative clause, followed by postposition may \({ }^{n}\) 'like'. See (596b) and (597b) in §15.5.2-3 for the headed and headless versions, respectively.

In the main clausal 'since ...' construction (§15.3.1), a headless nonsubject perfective relative clause is followed by \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \(^{n}\). A 'since...' clause may be followed by a complementary 'until ...' clause, which has exactly the same structure except that the participle is imperfective rather than perfective. The combination specifies beginning and ending points that bookend some eventuality, matching the semantics of dǎy \({ }^{n}\) 'boundary', applied here to time rather than to space. See (589) in \(\S 15.3 .1\) for a simple 'since ...' adverbial clause, and (598) in \(\S 15.5 .4\) for the two-clause construction.

In the 'since ...' and 'until ...' temporal adverbial relatives, the post-relative \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \({ }^{n}\) functions almost like a postposition, as suggested by the English glosses with 'since' and 'until'. In other words, \({ }^{\text {L }}\) dày \({ }^{n}\) functions in temporal adverbials the same way may \({ }^{n}\) functions in manner adverbials, and the same way the locative postposition functions in spatial adverbials headed by 'place'. The only difference is that \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dày \({ }^{n}\) is recognizably an L-toned form of a noun, while may \({ }^{n}\) and other simple postpositions have no nominal counterparts.

The tone-dropping of \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \(^{n}{ }^{n}\) in post-relative position suggests that it is syntactically a possessum, "possessed" by the relative construction (which is really an NP). Therefore 'since they came', (589) in \(\S 15.3 .1\), is phrased in Nanga as something like [(the temporal boundary at which) they came]'s boundary'. Insofar as the overt \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dày \({ }^{n}\) can be construed as being coindexed with the covert head of the relative, the construction can be analysed as an instance of head-doubling.

Similar doubling phenomena are more productive in some other Dogon languages such as Togo Kan. Doubling occurs there not only in adverbial relative clauses (time, space, manner) but also others with, for example, 'person' (in Togo Kan replaced by 'owner') as doubled head.

\subsection*{14.2 Subject relative clause}

To summarize the comments scattered across several preceding sections, a subject relative is characterized by the following: a) the head NP is clause-internal but is marked by tonedropping; b) no preparticipial subject pronominal is present; c) the verb is participialized and shows no subject or head-NP agreement, with some exceptions (animate plural ageement with head NP in negative participles); d) determiners and non-numeral quantifiers that have scope over the internal head NP follow the participle.
(538a-h) have positive participles. The internal head is bolded in the interlinears.
 [market Loc] man \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 1Sg-Acc see-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg 'the man who saw me in the market'

[market Loc] man \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{Acc}\) see-Ppl.Pfv
'a man/some men who saw me in the market'
c. \(\left[k u ̀ r^{n} \grave{o}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \grave{n} j i ́-\eta ́ \quad b a ̀ r^{n i ̀ m i ̀-S \varepsilon^{2}} \quad g u ́\right]\)
[stone \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 1Sg-Acc injure-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg]
àr \({ }^{n}\) ápá \(\quad b u ̀-\varnothing\)
where? be-3SgSbj
'Where is the stone that injured me?'

[stone \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 1Sg-Acc injure-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanPl]
àr \({ }^{n}\) á \({ }^{\text {pá }} \quad b-\varepsilon े\)
where? be-3P1Sbj
'Where are the stones that injured me?'
e. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí- \(̄\) súyó-sè
person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.Pfv
'a person/people who hit me'
f. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí-ý sùyò-s̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:
person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{Acc}\) hit-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnPl
'the people who hit-Past me'
g. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ǹjí-ர́ sùyò-m \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the person who hits me'
h. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí-1́ súyò-mì
person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.Ipfv
'a person (or: people) who hits me'

Negative participles have a distinctive form agreeing with an animate plural head NP, as in perfective (539b) and imeprfective (539d). Factoring out tone-dropping controlled by a
following determiner, this animate plural form is identical to the 3 Pl subject form of a mainclause perfective negative.
a. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \grave{n j} j i ́-1 ́ \quad\) sùyò-rì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.PfvNeg \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnSg
'the person who didn't hit me'
b. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí-ŋ́ sùyò-ndù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:
person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{Sg}-\mathrm{Acc}\) hit-Ppl.PfvNeg.AnPl \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnPl
'the people who didn't hit me'

person \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.IpfvNeg \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnSg
'the person who doesn't hit me'
d. nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹjí-ŋ́ sùỳ̀-ŋ- : \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) bû:
person \(^{\text {L }}\) 1Sg-Acc hit-Ppl.IpfvNeg-AnPl \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl 'the people who don't hit me'

\subsection*{14.3 Object relative clause}

Again the verb is participialized. The head NP is tone-dropped, and any determiners or nonnumeral quantifiers that have scope over the internal head NP follow the participle. There is no accusative marking on the head NP. If the subject is pronominal, it is expressed by an independent pronoun procliticized to the participle. This subject proclitic is optional after an overt nonpronominal subject (clause-initially). Positive examples are in (540). The internal head NP is bolded. \((540 \mathrm{~g})\) has a covert head.
a. [ह́wé
gá] àr \({ }^{n a}{ }^{\text {L }}\)
Ǐ: \({ }^{n}\)
\(y i ̀:-S \dot{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\) [market Loc] \(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) see-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg 'the man who(m) I saw in the market'
b. [ह́wé gá] àr \({ }^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ǐ: \({ }^{n}\) yì:-s̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad b \hat{u}\) : [market Loc] \(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) see-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg 'the men who(m) I saw in the market'
c. [ह́wé gá] màngòrò̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǐ: \(^{n}\) yì:-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gú [market Loc] mango \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) see-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the mango that I saw in the market'
d. [ह́wé gá] màngòrò̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Ǐ: \(^{n}\) yì:-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ý [market Loc] mango \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) see-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanPl 'the mangoes that I saw in the market'
e. [lèggè-sò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ú \(\left.\grave{\varepsilon} W \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ́\right]\)
[bicycle \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) buy-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg]
nàmá-èrè- \(\varnothing\)
be.ruined-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'The bike that you-Sg bought has malfunctioned.'
f. [lègè-Sò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Ǐ: \(\left.^{n} \quad \grave{\varepsilon} w \grave{\varepsilon}-m^{\mathrm{L}} \quad k u ́\right] \quad \grave{j} g u ́ \quad k u ́=i ̀ ~\)
[bicycle \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) buy-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def] Dem.InanSg DiscDef=it.is
'This is the bike that I will buy.'
g. [î: kò:-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gú \(] \quad n a ̀ m a \hat{a}=\grave{W}=n d o ̌:\)
[1PlSbj eat-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def] meat=it.is=it.is.not
nìm \(\hat{\imath}=\grave{W}^{n} \quad\) nà
cow.pea=it.is rather
'What we ate was not meat, rather it was cow-peas'.
(headless relative)
Negative examples are (541).
a. \(k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}}\)
thing \(^{\text {L }} \quad 2 \mathrm{PlSbj}\)
kô:-ŋว̀:
'what you-Pl do not eat'
b. nànà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǐ: \({ }^{n} \quad\) tùrò-ndù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) bû:
cow \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 1 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) sell-Ppl.PfvNeg.AnP1 \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Def.AnPl
'the cows that I did not sell'

\subsection*{14.4 Possessor relative clause}

The possessor (always nonpronominal and always preceding the possessum) is tone-dropped as relative head. This frees the possessum from the tonosyntactic control of the possessor, so the possessum reverts to its unpossessed tonal form. This is the case with 'house' and 'cow' in (542a-b). Especially with kin and other inalienable relationship terms, a resumptive pronominal possessor may also appear following the possessed noun ( \(542 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}\) ). The possessor as head noun is bolded.
a. àr \(r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ńdô \(\quad y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\)
\(y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\) \(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) house fall-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the man whose house fell'
b. àr \(r^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) nàná \(\quad\) sà:dì-s̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:
\(\boldsymbol{m a n}^{\mathrm{L}}\) cow die.unslaughtered-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl
'the men whose cow died (naturally)'
c. à \(r^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) [bǎ: ǹ̀] ǹnè-s \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\)
\(\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}}\) [father 3SgPoss] go-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg
'the man whose father has gone'
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { d. } & \text { àr }^{n}{ }^{n}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & {[b a ̌:} & \text { [bû: } & \left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{\varepsilon}\right]\right] & \text { ǹnè-s } \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \\ & \text { man } & {[\text { father }} & {[3 \mathrm{Pl}} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { Poss.AnPl] }\right] & \text { go-Ppl.Pfv }\end{array}\)
'the men whose fathers have gone'

\subsection*{14.5 Relativization on the complement of a postposition}

In (543a), the head NP is logically the dative indirect object, but there is no sign of the dative postposition bay. Likewise, in (543b), 'daba' (native hoe) is logically instrumental, but the instrumental postpostion yànà is absent. And in (543c), 'honey' is purposive, cf. (543a) in \(\S 8.3\), above, but purposive postposition \(d \grave{r^{n}}{ }^{n}\) í is nowhere to be seen. Clearly the regular way to form a relative clause with the complement of a basic postposition as head NP is to delete the postposition entirely and then treat the head NP in the usual way.
a. yà: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) ŋ̀gú Ǐ: \(^{n} \quad\) kìyè-Sغ̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\) woman \(^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) Dem.InanSg 1 SgSbj say-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg 'the woman to whom I said that'
b. wàrà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) yû: Ǐ:n \(^{n}\) wàrà-m̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g u ́\)
daba \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) millet 1 SgSbj do.farming-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg
'the daba (hoe) with which I do farming' (wárâ)
c. ò:ndò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) bû: \(\quad\) è̀:-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad g\)
honey \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 3P1Sbj come-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg
'the honey for which they came'

\section*{15 Verb (VP) chaining and adverbial clauses}

I use the term chain to denote a sequence of two or more verbs, or VPs, where the nonfinal verbs are not inflected for pronominal subject. Direct chains have nonfinal verbs in their bare form (with lexical tone and vocalism), usually directly adjecent to the following (often final) verb in the chain, except that in nonsubject relative clauses a subject pronominal may intervene. Looser chains, often involving more complete VPs or clauses, make use of VP-final chaining morphemes, which to some extent distinguish same-subject from differentsubject clause sequences (switch-reference).

\subsection*{15.1 Direct chains (without chaining morpheme)}

Direct chaining of verbs is fairly productive in Nanga. A direct chain in Nanga may correspond to a single clause with an adverbial phrase in English. Prototypically, the two verbs express simultaneous co-events abstracted from a single, well-integrated event scenario. An intransitive example is 'fall' plus 'descend' in tómbó sígé 'fall down', as in the fourth Nanga line in (738) in the sample text. A transitive example is 'cut the throat of' and 'kill' in sémé jìyé 'slaughter (animal, by cutting its throat)', as in the penultimate Nanga line in (743).

Some chains attested in texts stretch this by juxtaposing verbs that denote consecutive rather than simultaneous co-events, though they are still closely connected. X gò-ndó kúwó 'take out and eat X' occurs in the last line of (747). This is in effect a transitive version of the chain type including a motion verb (§15.1.6.1-3 below). Other sequential direct chains in the sample text include bàrá jě: 'gather and bring' and wǒ: kúwó 'catch and eat', both in (759). A more extreme and rather atypical example is the triplex párá-gí yògó màrá 'snap (it) and run (away) and disappear' in (757).

A special case of direct chain, with bèré- 'get' in the sense 'be able to', is discussed in \(\S 17.5 .1\). For an occasional direct-chain construction with 'begin', see (665) in §17.3.10.

\subsection*{15.1.1 Verbal noun of directly chained verbs}

When a direct verb chain is converted into a verbal noun, the verbal noun suffix -ndé is added to the final verb only. The nonfinal verbs have their regular form (there is no tone-dropping). Thus tómbó sígé-ndé ‘fall(ing) and going down' = 'falling down'.

\subsection*{15.1.2 Presence of AN suffix in nonfinal verb in direct chains}

In a direct chain, a nonfinal verb is normally in bare-stem form, so it does not separately mark aspect or negation. Overt AN marking is therefore absent on the nonfinal verbs. Perfective negative -rí- and imperfective negative -ŋò:- do not occur in nonfinal verbs in chains.

In loose chains, an overt subordinator on the nonfinal clause or VP can mark temporal relations (as well as switch-reference category). Main-clause imperfective -m- ( 3 Sg subject form \(-\grave{j}\) ) corresponds to an imperfective subordinator -ì used in durative clauses (§15.2.2,
below). Chronological sequence between nonfinal and final event is expressed by subordinators that are not closely related to main-clause perfective inflectional suffixes.

Some of the main-clause positive perfective "suffixes" are better analysed as auxiliary verbs, combining with the main verb in a kind of direct chain. See \(\S 10.1 .1\) for discussion.

\subsection*{15.1.3 Arguments of directly chained verbs}

Consider the chain consisting of two transitive verbs, with a shared direct object (bolded), in (544).
(544) pèrgé-ý súyó jìyè- \(\varnothing\)
sheep-Acc hit kill.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He/She hit and killed the sheep.'

In effect, 'hit' and 'kill' fuse into a single event scenario, and it may not be meaningful to ask whether 'sheep' should be bracketed with 'hit' or with 'kill'. My assistant rejected a version of (544) with 'sheep' intervening between the verbs (which would require bracketing specifically with 'kill'). Replacing 'sheep' by a pronoun results in no change in order (ú- \(\check{y}\) súyó jíyદ́-1̀ 'he/she will hit and kill you-Sg').

For combinations of an intransitive motion verb with a following transitive verb, see §15.1.6 below.

\subsection*{15.1.4 Negation of direct verb chains}

Morphologically, a direct verb chain is negated as a whole. The negative AN inflection appears on the final verb, but usually has scope over the entire chain. For example, (545) does not mean \#'they jumped (but) didn't go down'.
(545) tómbó sùgò-ndú
junp go.down-PfvNeg.3PlSbj
'They didn't jump down.'
15.1.5 Direct chains including dògó- 'leave'

As usual in Dogon languages, the transitive verb 'leave, abandon' is often added after another verb that states (or implies) fixing the position of the object NP. In a free English translation, 'leave' would usually be omitted.
a. [jìnjà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] j̀gá dǔy dòg-̀̀ [water.jar \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] here put.down leave.Pfv-3P1Sbj 'They put down and left the water jar.' (dùní)
```

b. nà\etaá págí dògó-só-ý
cow tie leave-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I tied up and left the cow.'

```

See also (679b), the third Nanga line in (747), and the fifth Nanga line in (750).

\subsection*{15.1.6 Direct chains including a motion verb}
'Come/go and VP' can be expressed by a nonfinal motion verb plus a directly chained VP. The motion verb precedes the final verb, and if the latter is transitive the complements occur to the left of the two-verb chain. This construction is common in imperatives and hortatives, and in imperfective (future or generalized) contexts.

\subsection*{15.1.6.1 Chains with ńné 'go'}

Direct chains with nonfinal ńné 'go' are exemplified in (547).
a. pèrgé- \(\eta\) ńné sémâ
sheep-Acc go slaughter.Imprt
'Go slaughter (the) sheep-Sg!'
b. pèrgé-1́ ńné sémé-mày \({ }^{n}\)
sheep-Acc go slaughter-Hort.PlAddr
'Let's-2Sg go slaughter (a/the) sheep-Sg!'
c. \(\varepsilon\) é:クí pèrgé ńné sémè-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
tomorrow sheep go slaughter-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'Tomorrow I will go and slaughter (a/the) sheep-Sg.'
In texts it can be difficult to distinguish simple chained ńné from the overtly subordinated ńné \(\eta\), given that \(\eta\) g can be weakly articulated (e.g. as vowel nasalization) and can be indistinct in an already nasalized syllable. However, the distinction can be made in careful transcription, since the duration of the syllable nucleus in ńné \(\eta\) is longer even when \(\eta\) \(\eta\) is reduced to vocalic nasalization.

\subsection*{15.1.6.2 L-toned yè̀ \({ }^{\text {L }}\) come'}
yě:- 'come' takes the L-toned, short-voweled form yè in this type of chain.
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. jǎ: } & y \text { è }^{\mathrm{L}} & k \hat{o}: \\ \text { meal } & \text { come }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { eat.Imprt }\end{array}\)
'Come eat (a meal)!'
b. hà: yè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) láw(í) wá
well come \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) pass.QuotImprt Quot '(They) said: well, come and pass (through)!' (2004.02.03)

This is easily distinguished from yě: 1 , as in (574a) in §15.2.7.
Examples of yè \({ }^{L}\) in the sample text involve a following motion verb. See segments (740), (741), and (742), all with following 'go', and (758) with following 'go down'.

\subsection*{15.1.6.3 yě: dò: 'come and arrive’}

In this combination, yě: 'come' has its lexical tone. dǒ: 'arrive, approach' is heard as L-toned prepausally, but with lexical rising tone before other particles such as different-subject subordinator nà. The dǒ: may be followed by same-subject (SS) anterior subordinator \(\eta\) (§15.2.7) as in (549a). 'Come and arrive' denotes movement to the immediate presence of a person, to the gate or door of a dwelling, or to the edge of a place. English come up to as in they came up to me (not in the vertical sense) gives the idea.
a. [yě: dǒ: и́] [bû:-ŋ̀ pó:-mí ý] [come arrive and.SS] [3Pl-Acc greet and.SS] '(She) came up (to where they were), (she) greeted them, ...' (2004.02.03)
b. [íyê [ò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tùmâ] yě: dò: \(\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right]\) Sóró= \(=\), [again [place \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) one] come arrive \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) ] wilderness=it.is.Inan, [sòrò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gá] yě: dò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû: kán nà, [wilderness \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.Loc] come arrive \(^{\mathrm{L}} 3 \mathrm{PlSbj}\) do then.DS, j̀gò-jèmí ńné bíyé-mò cobra 3 SgSbj lie.down.Stat-while
'Again, they came up to a place, it was a remote wilderness. When they arrived in the wilderness, a spitting cobra was lying (there).' (2004.02.03)
c. [yě: jè \(\rightarrow\) gà] [sóró gó]
[come while.SS Topic] [wilderness Loc]
yě: \(d \grave{:}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{-S-\grave{\varepsilon}} \quad[n \hat{i}: \quad\) ŋ̀gó \(\rightarrow\) ]
come arrive \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\)-Pfv2-3P1Sbj [water not.be]
'As they were coming (=going), they came and arrived at a wilderness. There was no water.' (2004.02.03)
15.1.7 Backgrounded durative verb-iterations \(\left[\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{1}-\grave{v}_{1}\left(-\grave{v}_{1} \ldots\right)\right]\)

One type of durative adverbial clause (or its functional equivalent) is constructed by iterating the uninflected verb stem, with \(\{\mathrm{HL}\}\) overlay on the first occurrence and \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\) on the second and any later iterations. The \(\{\mathrm{HL}\}\) can reduce to \(\{\mathrm{H}\}\) on monosyllabic verbs. For the tonal patterns of this \(\left[\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{1}-\grave{\mathrm{v}}_{1}\left(-\grave{\mathrm{v}}_{1} \ldots\right)\right]\) iteration, and for further examples, see \(\S 11.6 .2\).

The iterated verbs are chained to a following verb that denotes either a subsequent event or a simultaneous co-event, and usually has the same subject.

In (550), the initial gìyé is a cognate nominal and is not part of the iteration, which begins with the following verb stem.
[gìyé
gíyè-gìyè]
yè:- \(\varnothing\)
[dance(n) dance \({ }^{H L}\) - \({ }^{\text {d dance] }}\) come. \(\mathrm{Pfv}-3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\)
'He/She came (while) dancing.'
(551) is another example.
 'In that way we keep putting (stems down), then at the base of (=beside) it (=stems), we will be planting millet.' (2004.01.03) (túyí-, pronounced as monosyllabic túy)

Further examples are (430) and (431a-b) in §11.6.2.

\subsection*{15.1.8 Chains including mǒ:ndí-yí- ‘be/do together’}

By itself, mǒ:ndí-yí- [mǒ:ndí:] is an intransitive verb meaning 'get together, assemble'. It occurs chained with another VP to translate adverbial 'together'. Thus 'work together' is expressed as 'get together and work'.
a. mǒ:ndí-yí bírè-m-è
gather-MP work-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'They work together.'
b. mǒ:ndí-yí ńní-m-è
gather-MP go-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'They will go together.'

\subsection*{15.1.9 Chaining with \(j \varepsilon ́ j \dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) go with'}
\(j \varepsilon ́ j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) is a specialized element (cf. Jamsay \(j i j \grave{j}\) ) that functions syntactically like a transitive verb with a sense on the order of 'have/take (something) with oneself, in one's custody'. It occurs only in nonfinal position in chains, before motion verbs, so it cannot be directly inflected. It may take a direct object (denoting anything from an inanimate object to a human) that is not otherwise part of the argument structure of the following verb. The object may be marked accusative (553b).
a. sùmǎylâ S sheep go.with go.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Soumaila went with (a/the) sheep.'
or: 'Sumaila took (a/the) sheep along with him.'
b. [ńné-ý jǵj̇̀ \(\rightarrow\) ] ìn-ò
[3Sg-Acc go.with] go.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They took him/her along.'
\(j \varepsilon ́ j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) is not transparently segmentable, nor is its syntactic status (verb, adverb) clear. A connection with same-subject subordinator \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\), which is likewise associated with following motion verbs (§15.2.4), is probable. If so, either \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) is a reduced form of \(j \varepsilon \bar{j} \dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\), or \(j \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) consists of an otherwise unattested (and phonologically irregular) verb jé- 'take' plus the subordinator. Cognates of \(j \varepsilon\) - 'take' include Bankan Tey zé and Najamba \(j \varepsilon\) with the same
meaning．Nanga＇s own recent perfect auxiliary \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\)－（§10．2．1．5）may also belong to this set etymologically．

\section*{15．1．10 Chains with perfective－1b tí－and perfective－1a（－）éré－}

H－toned tí－，morphologically a verb but functioning more as a perfective aspectual element （cf．L－toned perfective－1b suffix－tì－），can be chained to certain preceding verbs．In（554），it emphasizes finality and definitiveness．In this construction，tí－is attested only with imperfective inflections．

＇It（＝cow－peas covered in earth）becomes tightly packed．You－Sg（can）leave（it） once and for all，now the bugs won＇t get into it．＇（2004．01．04）
\(t i ́-c a n ~ a l s o ~ b e ~ e l i c i t e d ~ w i t h ~ i t s ~ o w n ~ p e r f e c t i v e-1 b ~ s u f f i x: ~ V b_{1} t i ́-t i ̀-. ~ I t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ c l e a r ~ t h a t ~ t h i s ~\) would have more than a slightly more emphatic sense than the perfective－ 1 b added directly to the main verb，and I have no textual examples．
tí－at the end of such a chain has a bisyllabic form tíyé－in hortatives，e．g．ńné sá： tíyé－mày \({ }^{n}\)＇let＇s go and completely uproot（＝destroy）！’ and quoted hortative ńné sá：tíyé－1́． The imperative stem is just \(t ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 乚 ⿴ 囗 十 . ~ I n ~ N a n g a ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ n o ~ c l e a r ~ s y n c h r o n i c ~ c o n n e c t i o n ~ b e t w e e n ~ t h i s ~\) tí－and the transitive verb tíy（î）－＇send＇，but the two are likely related etymologically．（The connection is more obvious in Donno So．）

Perfective－1a－غ̀rغ̀－has a similar \(\{\mathrm{H}\}\)－toned form（－）́eré－in chain－like constructions with following imperfective suffix．It occurs twice in（555）．However，（－）éré－contracts with the stem－final vowel under the same conditions as does suffixed perfective－1a－غ̀r \(\bar{\varepsilon}-\) ，as in kò－kóy－Éré－ŋ̀ from kóyó－in this example．Such sandhi does not occur in true verb chains．
```

[kú màyn] tùmbò-rú-ẃn ńdé,
[DiscDef like] knock-PfvNeg-2SgSbj if,
kò-kóy-\varepsilońr\varepsiloń-ŋ̀
Rdp-be.infested-Pfv1a-Ipfv.3Sg
[kj̀y\grave{- \varnothing ndé] náy }
[be.infested.Pfv-3SgSbj if] now be.ruined-Pfv1a-Ipfv.3Sg

```
＇If you－Sg don＇t knock it（＝tamp it down）like that，it will become infested（＝bored into by bugs）．If it is infested，now it will become ruined．＇（2004．01．04）

For the more general analysis of perfective－1a and -1 b suffixes as possible chained auxiliary verbs，see §10．1．1．

\section*{15．2 Adverbial clauses with overt chaining or subordinating morpheme}

This section begins with a number of durative or imperfective VP or clause types．See also the direct chain type with iterated uninflected verb（§15．1．7，above）．After covering these
constructions，we look at others involving a temporal separation between the chained eventualities．

\section*{15．2．1 Backgrounded imperfective and stative clauses（－mゝ̀）＇while＇}
－mò is a variant form of the imperfective participial－mì．－mò occurs instead of－mì in backgrounded imperfective clauses．An apparent variant \(-m \grave{\jmath}-y^{n}\) occurs in（430）in §11．6．2．

The eventuality denoted by the－mò clause is prolonged，and persists through a time interval（T）that leads up to or overlaps a following foregrounded event．With＇come＇and＇go＇ it generally denotes a prolonged backgrounded motion event that leads up to（but does not overlap）the next event（see below）．However，in complements of some verbs，e．g．with ＇find／see（sth happening）＇，it is a simple imperfective complement．Examples with＇see＇and ＇find＇are in \(\S 17.2 .2 .3\) and \(\S 17.2 .3 .2\) ．I will gloss－mò in interlinears as＇while＇，though this does not always capture the contextual sense．

The \(\rho\) vowel is somewhat obscure grammatically．－moे is added directly to the same form of the stem used before imperfective \(-\grave{m}(3 \mathrm{Sg}-\grave{\eta})\) ．Some examples showing the stem form are in（556）．As in the imperfective，\(/ \mathrm{LH} /\) melody is raised to \(\{\mathrm{H}\}\) in prosodically light（i．e． bimoraic）verb stems．
bare stem with -mò gloss
a．bimoraic
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
yě：－ & yé：－mゝ̀ & ＇come＇ \\
yй：－ & yí：－mゝे & ＇see＇ \\
ńné－ & ńní－mò & ＇go＇ \\
yègé－ & yégé－mò & ＇fall＇
\end{tabular}
b．heavier
gùnjó－gùnjó－mò＇dig＇
bă：rí－bă：rá－mò＇help＇
bègírí－bègíré－mò＇winnow＇
In elicited examples，the subjects of the－mo clause and the following clause may or may not be coindexed．If they are，the subject is not repeated in the－mo clause（557a）．If the two subjects are disjoint，the subject of the－mo clause is expressed overtly．If this subject is pronominal it takes the form of an independent pronoun（557b）．
a．yé：－mò yèbúmbà y̌̌：－só－ý
come－while snake see－Pfv2－1SgSbj
＇On my way（＝while coming）here，I saw a snake．＇
b．［î：yé：－mò］［bòndí wò̀̀－\(\varnothing]\)
［1P1Sbj come－while］［rain（n）rain．fall．Pfv－3SgSbj］
＇As we were on our way here，it rained．＇

In the sample text，－mò is associated with specific narrative contexts．An activity verb（such as a motion verb）is introduced in one clause（with its subject NP or pronominal），then from two to four iterated－mò clauses occur with flat high－pitched background－clause intonation to
indicate prolongation of this activity（usually with no repetition of the subject pronominal）， then a new foregrounded event is introduced．The free translations of two passages are given here；for full markup see the relevant excerpts from the text appended to this grammar．
＇The two of them were coming；come－mゝे，come－mゝे，come－m̀े［＝they kept coming and coming］．（Then）a storm arose．＇（740）
＇The two of them come－m̀े，come－m̀［＝were coming and coming］，（and）when they had gone a little way，he（＝hyena）said to hare：．．．＇（744）．

Like the regular imperfective participial－mì，－mゝ̀ is used with statives in addition to imperfectives of active verbs．For example，it can be added to stative quasi－verb bù－＇be＇to form bú－mə̀ when＇be＇functions as an auxiliary verb，following another imperfective verb．A pronominal subject is again expressed by an independent pronoun．In the sample text we find básá－1̀ ńné bú－mò＇while he（＝hyena）was pulling＇（followed by：＇the goat lay down motionless＇）（741，repeated in 743）．

\section*{15．2．2 Imperfective－rj as subordinator}

The L－toned \(\grave{\eta}\) described in the subsections below is distinct from the atonal same－subject anterior subordinator \(\eta\)（§15．2．7）．The latter gets its tone by spreading from a preceding verb in bare－stem form and is therefore usually H－toned．It is L－toned after a perfective auxiliary like recent perfect \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\)－，but imperfective \(-\grave{\eta}\) is incompatible with such auxiliaries．

\section*{15．2．2．1 Imperfective－ì on activity verb plus time－of－day verb}

A time－of－day verb（＇spend the night＇，＇spend the mid－day＇，etc．）may be chained to a preceding activity VP．The verb of the activity VP takes imperfective subordinating suffix \(-\grave{\eta}\) ， which is not inflected for pronominal subject．The verb stem has the same segmental and tonal form as in the inflected imperfective．
```

a．［gìyé gíyé－ı̀］nà̀̀－$\varnothing$ ［dance（n）dance－Ipfv］spend．night．Pfv－3SgSbj
＇He／She spent the night dancing．＇（＝＇danced all night＇）

```
b．［gìyé gíyé－ì］nà：－y
［dance（n）dance－Ipfv］spend．night．Pfv－1SgSbj
＇I spent the night dancing．＇（＝＇danced all night＇）
c．［Wórî wárá－1̀］dìr \({ }^{n} \grave{-}-\varnothing\)
［farming do．farming－Ipfv］spend．midday．Pfv－3SgSbj
＇He／She spent the（mid－）day farming．＇

\section*{15．2．2．2 Imperfective－ì（－ìm）plus bù－＇be＇}

This combination does not seem to be common，since there is a more productive progressive verb form with sò－（§10．2．2．4）．However，it was elicitable．From my assistant＇s comments，the bù－here has its literal sense＇be present，be（in a place）＇，so the－m clause can be taken as subordinated．

An example is (559a). When directly preceding bù-, the imperfective subordinator is always pronounced [m], which I take to reflect assimilation to the following labial. The negative counterpart has [ y ] before \(\mathfrak{\eta} g o ́-\) 'not be', and the two velar nasals contract. This too could be a point-of-articulation assimilation. In view of the clear -ì in the time-of-day construction (§15.2.2.1, just above) I normalize the transcription as \(-\grave{\eta}\).
a. [[tìyá
\(y \varepsilon ̌:]\)
nǎ: kó:-1̀]
[[friend 1 SgPoss.AnSg] meal
'My friend is (present) eating a meal.'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { [nǎ: } & \text { kó:-ı̀ }]\end{array} \quad \text { ŋ̀gó-ý } \\ & {[\text { meal }} & \text { eat-Ipfv }]\end{array} \quad\) not.be- 1 SgSbj
bù- \(\varnothing\)
eat-Ipfv] be-3SgSbj
phonetic [...kô:mbu]

\subsection*{15.2.3 Past imperfective adverbial clause with \(-\grave{m}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) gà \((-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-s \grave{\varepsilon} g a ̀)\)}

In this construction, the verb has imperfective-type tone overlay and ends in imperfective - \(\grave{m}\), followed by \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) gà. The less common full form is \(-\grave{m}=b \grave{\varepsilon}\)-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}\) gà, which reveals the origin of the formation as a participle of the past imperfective. The clause is otherwise in relativeclause form, with wágádí (or wágátí) 'time' in L-toned form as the head NP. A pronominal subject is expressed as a preverbal pronoun.

The clause can be translated as 'while', with a progressive, stative, or other durative VP understood as referring to a past time frame. The -s£̀ gà clause and the main clause may have coindexed or disjoint subjects. Morphologically, gà is presumably the locative postposition, here 'at (the time when...)'.

A synchronic identification of \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) with the perfective participial might explain why \(-\grave{m}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) gà is associated with past time frames ('while I was farming'), rather than being an allpurpose progressive or durative adverbial clause ('while I am farming'). Moreover, the fact that \(-\grave{m}-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) gà can be used with aspectually challenged stative quasi-verbs, like 'have' in só-m̀-s̀̀ gà 'when I had ...' (560c), shows that it does not behave like a progressive synchronically.

I will gloss -m̀-sè as "-Ipfv-while.Past."

b. [tègég gá] ú bú-m̀-sè gà,
[childhood Loc] 2 SgSbj be-Ipfv-while.Past Loc,

here come-Ipfv=Past-2SgSbj
'When you were a child, you used to come here (often).'
c. [Wàgàtì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) lègèsô: i.: \(^{n}\) Só-m̀-S̀̀ gà]
[time \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bicycle 1 SgSbj have-Ipfv-while.Past Loc]
[àsú \(\rightarrow \quad\) á:nd \(\hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing \quad\) ńní-m̀ \(=b \grave{\varepsilon}-y\) ]
[always Anda go-Ipfv=Past-1SgSbj]
'When I had a bicycle, I used to go to Anda all the time.'
-m̀-sغ̀ is also attested without gà, as in (489) in §13.2.10, above. Historically, -m̀-sè may have originated as a participial (i.e. relative-clause) form of the progressive. The latter is now expressed by a suffix -sò- following a form of the verb stem with lengthened final stem vowel with a final L-tone element, with a less common variant \(-\eta\)-sò- that retains an audible variant of imperfective \(-m \sim-\eta(\S 10.2 .2 .4)\). However, the progressive now has a distinct participial form in -só-mì (§14.1.7.2), so there is no clear synchronic connection between it and -m̀-sè.

\subsection*{15.2.4 Same-subject 'while' subordinator \(j \varepsilon \rightarrow\) before motion verb}

A construction with \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) added to a VP with its verb in bare-stem form, followed by a motion verb, denotes simultaneous co-events with the same subject. \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) has a segmental resemblance to recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}-(\S 10.2 .1 .5)\), which also follows the bare stem, but it cannot be identified with any specific form of \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\)-. There is no obvious perfect-like semantics, since the co-events are simultaneous.
a.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[hálî & mó:tí =yè] & [yògó & \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow]\) & ìnè \\
[all.the.way.to & \(\mathrm{M}=\) Loc] & [run & while.SS] & go. \\
'He/She ran all the way to Mopti.' & & \\
(lit. "He/She went all the way to Mopti while running.")
\end{tabular}
b. [bàrkô dàmbí jè \(\rightarrow\) ] yè-y
[gas.drum push while.SS] come.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I came (here) pushing a gas drum (large metal barrel).'
c. [[ə̀r \(r^{n} \grave{n \grave{o}-\grave{j} j a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gú] à àí-yí \(\quad j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) ]
[[scrub.acacia-seed \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] hold.MP while.SS]
ńní Wà
go.QuotImprt Quot
'(They said:) keep the scrub-acacia seed and go with it!' (2004.02.03)
\(t \varepsilon ́ w \varepsilon ́-j \dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) 'striding, walking fast' is a lexicalized expressive adverbial that may have originated as a subordinated verb with \(j \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\). Another possible case of this type is jéj \(\dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) 'have/take (something) with oneself’, which is also associated with motion verbs (§15.1.9).

In one textual example, the actions are not simultaneous co-events. Instead, they are sequenced, at least as I interpret the narrative. In this example, \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) clearly has the sense of \(j \varepsilon ́ j \dot{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) (accompanied motion).
\(\left.\left.\begin{array}{lllll}{[\text { ńné }} & \text { wá }] & {\left[\left[p \grave{n d i ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\right.} & \text { ŋ̀gú }] & \text { wògó }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{lll}{[3 \mathrm{\varepsilon} \rightarrow]}\end{array}\right]\)
ńní wà
go.QuotImprt Quot
'(He said): hey you, scoop up (some of) this wet clay and go with it!' (2004.02.03)

My assistant rejected combinations of \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\) with following non-motion verbs.

\subsection*{15.2.5 Durative clauses with prolonged final vowel}

\subsection*{15.2.5.1 Durative -દ́: ~ -é: ~ -í: ~ - \(\varnothing\) in complement of \(d \varepsilon ̌:-~ ‘ b e ~ t i r e d ' ~\)}

The usual verb 'become tired (weary)' (or more generally 'suffer physically') is áyá-. Another verb, ď̌:-, is used in contexts like 'I worked until I got tired', or more freely 'I worked a very long time', and it is this verb that interests us here.

In (563), from the sample text, the complement of \(d \check{\varepsilon}:-\) is a verb with apparent suffix \(-\varepsilon ́:\). As we will see, the suffix is actually based (segmentally) on the E/I-stem (§10.1.3), which also occurs in the 3 Sg subject form of the simple perfective (§10.2.1.1). This stem ends in \(\left\{\varepsilon \varepsilon_{i} i\right\}\) depending on the verb, and can be broken down into the E-stem \(\{\varepsilon\) e) of non-i-final verbs and the I-stem (identical to the bare stem) of \(i\)-final verbs. The complement of 'be tired' preserves lexical tone melodies, although these are neutralized to \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\) in the simple perfective. For verb stems of one or two syllables, the final vowel is also lengthened. No lengthening occurs for trisyllabic and longer stems, whose complement form is therefore homophonous with the bare stem (I do, however, transcribe the complement verb with \(-\varnothing\) ). The interlinear gloss is "Dur[ative]."
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [tà-tầ: & [bêr & [á & y \(\hat{\varepsilon}^{\text {b] }}\) & \\
\hline [hyena & [goat & [3ReflSg & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Poss.AnSg]]} \\
\hline bàs-É: & ńné & \(d \varepsilon ̌:\) & nà] & и́ní-ŋj̀:- \(\varnothing\) \\
\hline pull-Dur & 3 SgSbj & be.tired & then.DS] & go-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'Hyena tugged on his goat until he (= hyena) was exhausted (= for a long time), (but) it wouldn't go.'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I have found no construction other than that with \(d \check{\varepsilon}\) :- that elicits this form of the complement. However, with \(d \varepsilon \check{:}\) : it was easy to elicit similar examples with a wide range of complement verbs denoting activities. They show that a pronominal subject is expressed by an independent pronoun in the complement, not as a pronominal-subject suffix on the d \(\check{\text { : }}\) - verb (564). This suggests that even in (unsubordinated) main clauses, ď̌:- in this construction is impersonal, rather than constituting a typical verb-chain (with coindexed subjects).
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\(\left[\check{:}:{ }^{n}\right.\)} & bírá & bìr-é:] & \(d \varepsilon ̀:-\varnothing\) \\
{\([1 \mathrm{Sg}\)} & \(\operatorname{work(n)}\) & work-Dur] & be.tired.Pfv-3SgSbj
\end{tabular}
'I worked until getting tired (= for a very long time).'
Forms of the complement verb are in (565). The lexical tone melody, \(/ \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{LH} /\), of the stem is always preserved in the onset. The final vowel is lengthened in mono- and bisyllabic stems (565a-f), but not in trisyllabic and longer stems ( \(565 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{h}\) ).
stem 3Sg perfective before dž:- gloss
a. bisyllabic, final \(\varepsilon\) :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline bàsá- & bàsè- \(\varnothing\) & bàs-É: & 'pull' \\
\hline bìré- & bìrè- \(\varnothing\) & bìr-દ: & 'work' \\
\hline súyó & sùyè- \(\varnothing\) & súy-દ: & 'hit' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b．bisyllabic，final é：
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kóyó－ & kòyè－\(\varnothing\) & kóy－é： & ＇weep＇ \\
péré－ & pèrè－\(\varnothing\) & pér－é： & ＇jump off＇ \\
gùnjó－ & gùnjè－\(\varnothing\) & gùnj－é： & ＇dig＇
\end{tabular}
c．bisyllabic，final í：
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
págí－ & pàgì－\(\varnothing\) & pág－í： & ＇tie＇ \\
kó：Síl & kò：sì－\(\varnothing\) & kó：s－í： & ＇scratch＇ \\
dèwí－\([\mathrm{dčw]}\) & dèwì \(\varnothing\) & dèw－í： & ＇cover＇
\end{tabular}
d．monosyllabic
kó：－
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ká：－ & kà̀̀－\(\varnothing\) \\
nŏ：－ & nò̀े－\(\varnothing\) \\
gŏ：－ & gò̀̀－\(\varnothing\) \\
té：－ & tè：－\(\varnothing\) \\
yǐ：－ & yì：－\(\varnothing\)
\end{tabular}
kó－દ́：＇eat（meal）＇
ká：－kà̀̀－\(\varnothing\) ká－モ́：＇shave＇
nэ：－nう̀̀－\(\varnothing\) nò－モ́：＇go in＇
go：－gòè－\(\varnothing\) gò－é：＇go out＇
yǐ：－yì：－\(\varnothing\) \(t \varepsilon ́:-\varnothing \quad\)＇lay out（mat）＇
yǐ：－\(\varnothing \quad\)＇see＇
e．Cvy
nǔy \({ }^{n}\)－nù̀ \({ }^{n}-\varnothing \quad\) nǔy \({ }^{n}-\varnothing \quad\)＇hear＇
núy \({ }^{n}\)－nùy \({ }^{n}-\varnothing \quad\) núy \({ }^{n}-\varnothing \quad\)＇go in＇
f．\(n C V\)
ńné－ǹnè－\(\varnothing\) ńn－ध́：＇go＇
ńdí－ǹdì－\(\varnothing\) ńd－í：＇go＇
ǹdé－ǹdè－\(\varnothing \quad\) ǹd－ह́：＇go＇
g．trisyllabic with final \(i\)
bègírí－bègìrì－\(\varnothing \quad\) bègírí－\(\varnothing \quad\)＇winnow by shaking＇
yàgíbí－yàgìbì－\(\varnothing \quad\) yàgíbí－\(\varnothing \quad\)＇shake（grain）＇
h．trisyllabic with final \(e\)
bògóró－bògòrè－\(\varnothing\) bògóré－\(\varnothing\)＇bellow＇
mònjúró－mònjùrè－\(\varnothing\) mònjúré－\(\varnothing\)＇dream＇
A negative counterpart can be formed by adding the same 3 Sg perfective dè：－＇be tired＇to a pronominally conjugated perfective negative verb．
［nàmâ kùwò－rí－ý］dè：－Ø
［meat eat－PfvNeg－1SgSbj］be．tired．Pfv－3SgSbj
＇I didn＇t eat meat for a long time．＇
（＝＇I went a long time without eating meat．＇）

15．2．5．2 Other cases of prolonged final vowel of verb
In（567），which completes（428b）in §11．6．1 above，dè 1 í \(\rightarrow\) is intonationally prolonged and accompanied by a preverbal subject pronoun．This construction is possible with any
pronominal-subject category. My assistant accepted a version of this example with 3Sg ńné in place of \(1 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{1}\) : .
```

[bòró ńné-ŋ́ gùnjó-gùnjó-gùnjó-gùnjó]
[pit 3Sg-Acc dig-dig-dig-dig]

```

[1PlSbj stamp] [1P1Sbj stamp] [1P1Sbj stamp] end-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'We kept digging (pits) and kept stamping on (the locusts), (but) they (=locusts) did
not end.' (2004.01.01)

When asked to adapt this construction to another verb, like súyó 'hit' or pógó 'tap', my assistant used the -1̀ subordinator (§15.2.2), e.g. î: súyó-1̀ 'we kept hitting'.
dè 1 í \(\rightarrow\) in (567) resembles durative \(-\varepsilon\) : \(\sim\)-é: \(\sim-1\) í: \(\sim-\varnothing\) described in the preceding section, but (567) differs as follows: extra intonational prolongation, triple iteration, and a proclitic subject pronoun.
ná:-mí \(\rightarrow\) in (568) also shows final prolongation, but there is no iteration and no proclitic pronoun. There is also a shift in quoted speaker immediately after ná:-mí \(\rightarrow\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline bû:-1̀ & kǎwrû & wà, & bû:-r̀ & ná:-mí \(\rightarrow\), \\
\hline 3 Pl -Acc & excuse.me! & Quot, & 3 Pl -Acc & spend.night-Caus.Pfv, \\
\hline hà: & ósî \(=\grave{W}\) & & & \\
\hline well & road=it.is.I & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'(She) said: she said: "pardon me!" She said good day to them. (He) said: "well, it's the road." ' (2004.02.03)

\subsection*{15.2.6 Different-subject anterior 'and then'}

Under this rubric I treat nà ~ná-ŋà (§15.2.6.1) and nâ: \(y^{n} \sim\) ná- \(\eta a ̀ y^{n}\) 'and then' (§15.2.6.2).

\subsection*{15.2.6.1 nà ~ná-ŋà 'and then' (different subject) or 'rather (than)'}

This clause-final subordinator is usually heard as nà, but an extended variant ná-ŋà is also attested.

Clauses ending in nà denote eventualities that precede in time the temporal reference point (in the main clause). The subject of the nà clause is referentially disjoint from that of the main clause. This different-subject ("DS") subordinator follows a bare verb stem with lexical vocalism and tone, hence yǐ: nà 'see and ...', ńné nà 'go and ...', bă:rí nà 'help and ...', etc. A pronominal subject is required, even if a fuller subject NP is present, in the form of an preverbal proclitic pronoun. Examples are in (569); others are in the sample text.

\footnotetext{
a. [á:mádù [sèn \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] ńné bàsá nà]
[A [rope \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] 3 SgSbj pull and.DS]
pàrè- \(\varnothing\)
snap.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Amadou pulled the rope and (then) it snapped.' (sèní) (lit. "Amadou having pulled the rope, it snapped.")
}
b. [[ńné báy] ú ńné nà]
[[3Sg Dat] 2 SgSbj go and.DS]
[й-
[2Sg-Acc give-PfvNeg-3SgSbj]
'You-Sg went to him, but (then) he didn't give (it) to you.'
c. [kú ńné-p]
[InanSg go-and.SS]
[yû: ńné íré nà]
[millet 3 SgSbj ripen and.DS]
nípêy \({ }^{n}\) yè:- \(\varnothing\)
now come.Pfv-3SgSbj
'They (=locusts) went (away), but when the millet had ripened, now (=right then) they came back.' (2004.01.01)

In (569c), the first clause ('they went') is marked as same-subject since it has the same subject as the third clause ('they came'). That is, the first and second clauses are both treated as independently subordinated to the final main clause.

The nà form is of considerable interest syntactically. Although it is normally preceded by a bare verb stem, it also allows combinations of bare stems plus independent forms of certain perfective auxiliaries ("suffixes"). These combinations are more emphatically perfective aspectually, and favor free translations of the type 'after X had VPed'. The forms are shown in (570). For the perfective-1a, and (with marginal exceptions in relative clauses) for the perfective- 1 b , this is the only situation where the perfective suffix is separable from the stem.
category in main clause with nà
perfective-1a \(\quad-\grave{r} r \bar{\varepsilon}-\quad\) Vb PRON (y) \(̀\) řé nà
perfective- 1 b -tì \(\quad \mathrm{Vb}\) PRON tí nà
Textual example (571a) is interesting since simple main clause perfective-1a túw-èrè- is repeated as a subordinated clause with divided túwé ... yèré nà. (571b) is my only textual example involving the perfective-1a.
a. [yà: \({ }^{\text {L }}\)
né
túw-દ̀rè- \(\varnothing\),
[woman \({ }^{\text {L }}\)
Def.AnSg]
die-Pfv1a-3SgSbj,
[yà: \({ }^{\text {L }}\)
[woma
né] túwé ńné yèré nà,
[woman \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg] die 3SgSbj Pfv1a then.DS,
[yì: \(\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\right]\) wá [yì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) nàr \(\left.{ }^{n i ̀ y} \mathrm{y}^{2}\right]=\) ý wà
[child \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnSg] Quot [child \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) orphan]=it.is Quot
'The woman died. When the woman had died, the child (=girl) was an orphan, it is said.' (2004.02.03)
b. jìyé \(\hat{\text { i: tí nà gày, }}\)
kill 1PISbj Pfv1b then.DS Topic,
íyê bìndé yè:- \(\varnothing\)
again return come.Pfv-3SgSbj
'After we had killed (some locusts), they (the rest) came back.' (2004.01.01)

A somewhat similar example involving the recent perfect is in (741) in the sample text, where main-clause dě: jè- \(\varnothing\) 'was calm' is repeated as subordinated ńné dě: jé nà ( 3 Sg subject ńné). Here, however, the subject pronominal precedes the main verb.

Instead of simple [... verb nà], a subject switch can also be made by chaining the main verb to kární nà with the semantically light verb kárníl 'do’ separated from the main verb by the subject pronoun. kární nà usually contracts slightly to kán nà. Excerpt (572) begins a tale with such a construction, and ends with another example of yèré nà as in (571a) above.
```

bérî, [dě: n ${ }^{2}$ ] ńné-í nàrná ńné kán nà,
goat, [mother 3SgPoss] 3Sg-Acc bear 3SgSbj do then.DS,
[dágáy bèrí kéré-sò $\rightarrow$ ], túw-èrè- $\varnothing$,
[a.little fodder begin.to.eat-Pfv2], die-Pfv1a-3SgSbj,
[dè: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ né] túwé ńné yèré nà, ...
[mother ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.AnSg] die 3SgSbj Pfv1a then.DS, ...
'A goat, after his mother gave birth (to him), he had begun (eating) a little grass
(=had recently been weaned). She died. After the mother died, (he ...)' (2004.02.02)

```

An unusual feature of kán nà is that it can be added to a negative verb. An example is yé:-ndé àwà-rí ńné kán nà 'when (=after) it did not consent (=refused) to come' in (743) in the sample text.

Clause-final nà can also be used to distinguish a correct proposition (usually an identificational predicate) from an incorrect one. In this construction, the relationship between the two eventualities is not sequential, rather mutual exclusivity. Free translations with 'instead' or 'rather' convey the basic idea. In ( 540 g ) in \(\S 14.3\) ('What we ate was not meat, rather it was cow-peas'), one proposition is negated, then corrected by a positive clause. A similar passage in (751) in the sample text also involves correcting a misidentification (sun rather than fire). In that example, nà \(\rightarrow\) is prosodically lengthened since here the positive clause precedes the negated one.

\subsection*{15.2.6.2 nây \({ }^{n} \sim\) nâ: \(y^{n}\) or ná-này \({ }^{n}\) '(and) then' (different subject)}

Less common than nà, but attested in texts, are variants nây \(y^{n} \sim\) nâ: \(y^{n}\) and bisyllabic ná-pày \(y^{n}\). All of these seem to be interchangeable. nây \({ }^{n}\) occurs in, but is not limited to, narrative contexts where a clause is repeated as background for a new, chronologically sequenced event.

'She cut off their tail(s) (with one stroke). After she cut off the tail(s) (with one stroke), the giraffe(s) got up with a hubbub.' (2004.02.03)

\subsection*{15.2.7 Clauses with \(\eta\) (same-subject, anterior)}
\(\eta\) appears at the end of a clause whose time frame precedes that of the main clause. It is atonal, getting its tone from the preceding verb, which has the bare stem form. Since bare verb stems always end in an H-tone, \(\eta\) is (almost) always H -toned \(\eta\). However, it can also be added to recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\), in which case we get \(j \grave{\varepsilon} \grave{\eta}\), as in (574c) below. By contrast, imperfective subordinator - \(\grave{j}\) is always L-toned and does not combine with \(j \varepsilon\).

The subjects are coindexed, and the interlinear gloss is 'and.SS' (for "same subject"). The subject is normally expressed only once in the two-clause sequence. \(\eta\) is extremely common and it is clearly the basic same-subject subordinator involving chronologically sequenced past-time events.
yě: 'come' has a regular form yě: 1 y. This verb also has an L-toned form yè in nonfinal position in some verb chains ( \(\$ 15.1 .6 .2\) ). Aside from 'come', verbs show no tone changes or
 'go and ...'. Examples are in (574). There are many others in the sample text, including four in (747) in that text. In (574c) the two subjects overlap referentially.
a. [yě
ǵ]
è \(w-y\)-à
sit-MP.Pfv-3PISbj
'They came and sat.'
b. [[ńñé báy] ńné ń] [ńné-ŋ tèmbè-rú-ẃ] [[3Sg Dat] go and.SS] [3Sg-Acc find-PfvNeg-2SgSbj] 'You-Sg went to him (= to his place), and (= but) you didn't find him (there).'
c. kìwárî [â: wòy] kární jè j̀ ض̀, greeting [3ReflPl two] do RecPrf and.SS, [ñné wá] [3Sg Quot]
'The two of them finished the greetings, then he said: hey you-Sg, ...' (2004.02.03)
d. [[gòrníl [á yê]] bàrá ǵ]
[[geat [3ReflSg Poss.AnPI]] gather and.SS] [kú mày \({ }^{n}\) ] làw-à wà [DiscDef like] pass.Pfv-3PISbj Quot 'Having gathered her baggage, they went past (the cobra) at that point, it is said.' (2004.02.03)
15.2.8 Same-subject anterior subordinators for future time reference

\subsection*{15.2.8.1 gáy 'and then' (same-subject, future)}
gáy is another same-subject subordinator. It can be glossed 'and then', and specifies that the events of the two chained clauses are temporally sequenced (but not separated by a lengthy interval). In elicitation, my assistant allowed it only in future contexts, above all when the subsequent clause is an imperative or hortative, but he also accepted indicative clauses as in (575b). See also (227) in §8.4.6.2 and (481a) in §13.2.6.

The verb in the gáy clause is in bare-stem form segmentally, but it drops its tones.
a. [nǎ:
\(k \grave{\text { : }}{ }^{\text {L }}\) gáy]
[meal eat \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then.SS] go-Hort.SgAddr
ńné-má
'Let's eat and then go!' (< kó:)
b. [Wàrà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gáy] ńní-ì
[do.farming \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then.SS] go-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'He/She will work (= worked in the field) and then go.' (< wàrá)
c. [ỳgá sèmbì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gáy] ǹnò
[here sweep \({ }^{\text {L }}\) then.SS] go.Imprt
'Sweep up here and then go!’ (< sémbî)
Verbs that show irregularities elsewhere have regular forms here: yì: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gáy '(will) see and then ...', ìnè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gáy '(will) go and then ...'.

Textual examples are in (576a-b). Another example is (749) in the sample text.
 [[field \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Loc] [fear Loc] millet farm \((\mathrm{v})^{\mathrm{L}}\) then.SS now]
[nă: bèré [[ú námâ- \(\varnothing]\) nà]
[meal get [[2SgSbj want-Ppl.Ipfv] Loc]
bèré kò-kô:-mi- \({ }^{w}\) mà
get(=be.able.to) \(\quad\) Rdp-eat-Ipfv-2SgSbj \(\quad Q\)
'After cultivating millet in the fields in (a state of) fear (of marauding Fulbe),
would you-Sg (be able to) get meals as you-Sg would like?' (2004.01.07)
[námâ- \(\varnothing\) for námá-m̀, stative participle with imperfective -m̀]
b. [ńné bày] ńné [kò:-m \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gáy]
[3Sg Dat] go [sew-Caus \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) then.SS]
yě-y wá
come-QuotImprt Quot
'(Someone) said: "Go to her, have (her) sew it up, and come (back)!",
(2004.02.03)
gáy competes to some extent with the pseudo-conditional ndé constructions to be described below. Both occur in nonfinal chained clauses in imperfective contexts. The main difference is that gáy occurs in brief single clauses tightly embedded into the higher clause, while pseudo-conditionals can be longer and more loosely chained. gáy is also more strict in requiring subject coindexation.

\subsection*{15.2.8.2 Conjugated imperfective anterior (pseudo-conditional) with ndé}

In this construction, an \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned inflected form of the \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned simple perfective with its regular pronominal-subject suffixes is followed by ndé, so the formula is \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé. The H-tone on ndé is heard when the clause in question is quickly followed by the next clause with no conspicuous pause, otherwise (prepausally) it may have L-tone (or pitch).

This construction superficially resembles the usual conditional antecedent with nde 'if' added to a verb (often in one of the perfective-system inflections). The differences between the two constructions are summarized in (577). Both kinds of nde are cliticized or suffixed to the preceding predicate and may be transcribed accordingly. In addition to the two constructions compared here, there is also a third, namely a version of the pseudo-conditional with no pronominal-subject conjugation (§15.2.8.3 below).
conditional antecedent clause
a. any main-clause predicate is allowed;
b. nde 'if' gets its tones by spreading from the left;
c. there is a causal and/or sequential relationship ('if/when') between the antecedent and consequent clauses;
d. the overall time frame may be past or non-past;
e. the modal and aspectual category of the consequent clause does not have scope over the antecedent clause;
f. there is no restriction on the subject of either clause, and no coindexation requirement.
conjugated pseudo-conditional clause
a. the predicate is an \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned verb stem plus pronominal-subject suffix;
b. nde is H -toned after a 1 Sg or 2 Sg form, L-toned after a 1 Pl or 2 Pl form (with their dying-quail intonation);
c. this clause and the following clause denote closely spaced sequential events;
d. the time frame as defined primarily by the next main clause is imperfective (future, generalized time, or past imperfective/habitual);
e. the aspectual and modal category of the following clause has scope over the pseudo-conditional clause;
f. the subject of the pseudo-conditional clause is a first or second person pronominal category, and this subject is usually coindexed with that of the following clause (and often the preceding clause).

In (578), for example, a pseudo-conditional clause with suffixally marked 2 Sg or 2 Pl subject is followed by an imperative. (One can also use gáy instead of the pseudo-conditional.) It is understood that the first event is included in the scope of the imperative. In effect, the pseudoconditional functions like a chained VP, so that the inflection of the following main-clause verb has scope over the pseudo-conditional as well. Likewise, in (578e), the first clause is included in the scope of the final negation.
a. [yè:--W
ndé]
bíndò
[come-2SgSbj then] go.back.Imprt
'Come-2Sg (here) and then go back!'
b. [ǹnè- \(\grave{W}^{n}\) ndé] yô:
[go- 2 SgSbj then] come.Imprt
'Go-2Sg and then come (back)!'
c. [ŋ̀gá dògò-Ẁ ndé] bíndò
[here leave-2SgSbj then] go.back.Imprt
'Leave-2Sg (it) here then go back!'
d. [tòrò-Ẁ.: ndé] ńnô-ndì
[pound-2PlSbj then] go.Imprt-PlAddr
'Pound-2Pl (in a mortar) and then go!'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { e. } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { Yè̀:-Ẁ } & \text { ndé] } \\ \begin{array}{ll}{[\text { come- } 2 \mathrm{SgSbj}} & \text { then }]\end{array} & \text { bìndí-ndá } \\ \text { go.back-Proh] }\end{array} \\ & \text { Don't come-2Sg (here) and then go back!' }\end{array}\)

See also (183) in §7.1.3. If the same constructions occurred in a past-time narrative, the first clause would take same-subject anterior \(\eta\) (§15.2.7) rather than gáy or pseudo-conditional ndé.

The pseudo-conditional can also be used with first person subjects in imperfective contexts. It is common in texts describing recurrent activities such as farming that involve several sequenced actions. Excerpt (579) contains four occurrences (bolded). In such passages, a paragraph-like group of clauses is structured as one or more pseudo-conditionals culminating in a regular imperfective or deontic modal verb. In this passage the speaker pauses after the individual pseudo-conditional clauses, and ndè is heard with low pitch. The passage also includes one true conditional (dò:- \(\varnothing\) ndè, underlined not bolded).

'We will carry them and bring them and we will go put them in the courtyard (of the house). After we put them in the courtyard, once the beginning of the (next) rainy season has arrived, we will dig up the stems (which have been trampled into the ground) in the courtyard, we will carry them (on our heads) and bring them (back) to the field there (where they were cut), and put them down (as fertilizer). We will plant millet (seeds) at the base (=beside the old stems) like that.' (2004.01.02)

In all of the \(1 \mathrm{st} / 2 \mathrm{nd}\) person examples given so far, the verb in the pseudo-conditional clause has exactly the same form as the simple perfective, namely, an \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned form of the bare stem plus the pronominal-subject suffix. However, the true simple perfective occurs in sentences with a more or less focal preverbal constituent, while the pseudo-conditional may occur without any preverbal constituent whatever.

The fact that the inflected pseudo-conditional verb is not really the simple perfective is brought out when we look at third person subjects. The simple perfective uses the E/I-stem
for 3 Sg (e.g. gòè- \(\varnothing\) 'he/she went out', tùwغ̀- \(\varnothing\) 'he/she died'), and ends in a back or low vowel for 3Pl (g-ò: 'they went out', tùw-à 'they died'). Such forms do not occur in the pseudo-conditional, which instead has the \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned bare stem for both 3 Sg and 3 Pl (gò: ndé 'go out and...', tùwè ndé 'die and ...'). In other words, while \(1 \mathrm{st} / 2\) nd person subjects are compatible with either the inflected or uninflected versions of the pseudo-conditional, third person subjects require the uninflected version, which is described in the following section.

\subsection*{15.2.8.3 Unconjugated imperfective anterior (pseudo-conditional) with nde}

A variation on the pseudo-conditional as described just above is a version without the suffixal pronominal-subject conjugation on the predicate. Instead, a pronominal subject is expressed by a preverbal subject pronoun, as in nonsubject relative clauses. The pronoun may occur even when the subject is also expressed as a full NP, but there are some examples in the texts without the pronoun. The unconjugated version is required with third person subjects, and occurs as an optional alternative to the conjugated version for first and second persons.

Simple third-person examples are in (580a-b).
a. ńné dò: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) ndé níy \({ }^{n} \varepsilon-1 \grave{y}\)

3SgSbj arrive \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) then sleep-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'He/She will arrive (there) and then sleep.'
b. bû: dò: \({ }^{\text {L }} \quad n d e ́ \quad n i ́ y ~ ̀ ̀ ̀-m-\grave{\varepsilon}\)

3PISbj arrive \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) then sleep-Ipfv-3PlSbj
'They will arrive (there) and then sleep.'
Here the verb 'arrive' is \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\)-toned, and nde is H-toned. This is the same tonal configuration we saw for the conjugated pseudo-conditional, in the absence of dying-quail intonation for 1 Pl or 2 Pl subject suffixes (which are not relevant to the unconjugated version). The formula is therefore subject pronoun plus \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé.

The temporal and discourse context is the same as for the conjugated pseudo-conditional. For first and second persons, which have a choice between conjugated and unconjugated versions, the unconjugated version seems to be preferred in clauses that are more clearly backgrounded in the discourse.

Backgrounding (defocusing) can be of two types. In one, several events are run together, with no single event standing out much. This is illustrated by a passage with several \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé clauses leading up to a final quoted imperative in (756) in the sample text: pàgì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé \(\ldots\) jè: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé ... dò: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) ndé ... kúwí('tie \(\ldots\) bring ... roast ... devour').

Another type of backgrounding is echoing the content of a just uttered clause, now serving as background to the next highlighted event. (581a) is a good example, with two unconjugated pseudo-conditionals of this repetitive type. (581b) is similar, with a number of unconjugated pseudo-conditionals interspersed among three regular imperfectives.
(581)
 'The millet will bear fruit. When it bears fruit, The early millet ripens first. When the early millet has ripened, we hand-harvest that early millet, ...' (2004.01.03)
b. [jínjá gá] gàn \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé,
[waterjar Loc] put.in \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then,
[pò: \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) gú] dàní-m-̇̀ ndè,
[fonio \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] cook-Ipfv-3P1Sbj if,
yǎ: kú-ŋ́ gò-ndò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé,
woman InanSg-Acc go.out-Caus \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then,
[túndí gó] pésè-m-غ̀,
[mortar Loc] pound-Ipfv-3PlSbj,
bû: pèsè̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé,
3PISbj pound \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then,
[[kò-kǒm nò lèrè̀-gèrěw \(\rightarrow\) gò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gù] ndé]
[[chaff 3SgPoss \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] all go.out \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) then]
[Ér \({ }^{n} 1 y^{n} 1\) í-tì-yà ndè] [pìrì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú]
[winnow-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj if] [white \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg]
ńné wàsà \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndè,
3SgSbj be.left \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) then,
nǎ: [kú mày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) dàmí-m-è
meal [DiscDef like] cook-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'After putting it in jars, if they are going to cook the fonio, the women take it out, they pound it in a mortar. When they pound it, all of its chaff comes off. When they have winnowed it (in the wind), the white part (=inner grains) remains. At that point they cook a meal.' (2004.01.04)

The tonal pattern \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé is not consistent. Other tonal patterns that occur in texts in clauses that otherwise have characteristics of unconjugated pseudo-conditionals are (questionably) \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndè and (definitely) \(V b^{\mathrm{H}}\) ndè.
\(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndè tonally splits the difference between the standard pseudo-conditional \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé and true conditional antecedents. It may also be grammatically transitional between them. The presence of a (nonfocal) preverbal subject pronoun points to the pseudo-conditional rather than the true conditional. However, in (582a-b) the usual interclausal subject coindexation in pseudo-conditionals is absent. A clause of this type arguably functions as a kind of conditional antecedent in a strongly imperfective (e.g. habitual) environment. However, to be honest, transcription of tones in textual occurrences, which requires factoring out intonational effects, is not always reliable, and I am not certain that \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndè is really distinct from \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé.
a. [Ér \({ }^{n} 1 y^{n} 11-t i ̀-y a ̀\)
[winnow.in.wind-Pfv1b-3PlSbj
[pìrì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] ńné wàsà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndè,
[white \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] 3SgSbj remain \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) if/then,
jǎ: [kú mày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) dàmí-m-غ̀
meal [NonhSg like] cook-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'When they have winnowed it in the wind, the white part (=inner grains) remains.
At that point they cook a meal.' (2004.01.04)
b.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline seed-hole & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{three-three-three} & sow-Ipfv-1PlSbj, \\
\hline [bòndí & ńné & wò: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & ndè] \\
\hline [rain(n) & 3SgSbj & rain.fall \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) & then] \\
\hline [ \(\left[\right.\) tò. \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & gú] & & \\
\hline [ seeds \(^{\text {L }}\) & Def. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'We plant (seeds) in three spots for seeds (per area). After it rains, those seeds will sprout.' (2004.01.03)

The remaining tonal type, \(V b^{\mathrm{H}}\) ndè, puts more emphasis on the temporal prolongation of the denoted activity. Shifting the H-tones to the left in this construction may be compared to the \(\{\mathrm{HL}\}-\{\mathrm{L}\}\) or monosyllabic \(\{\mathrm{H}\}-\{\mathrm{L}\}\) overlays on verb iterations denoting prolongation (§11.6.2). In (583a), the verb glossed 'cultivate' refers to the first round of weeding around and thinning out the planted crops, an arduous process that may take two weeks or longer. It occurs first in a regular imperfective clause, and I suspect that the repetition of the clause as a pseudo-conditional suggests prolongation. This tonal pattern also occurs when the pseudoconditional clause is itself iterated to express prolongation, as with 'beat/thresh' in (583b). These passages additionally include a true conditional (underlined) with 'finish' in (583a), an inflected pseudo-conditional with 'take' in (583b), and a regular uninflected pseudoconditional of the type \(V b^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé with 'remain' in (583b). (583c) illustrates H-toned bú \({ }^{\mathrm{H}}\) from bù- 'be'.

'We cultivate (=do the first round of weeding). When we have cultivated (for a long time) and the (first round of) cultivating is finished, again a second time we do the second (and final) round of cultivating.' (2004.01.02)
b. béré
àyì-ỳ.:
ndè,
stick take-1PlSbj
[î: súyó \({ }^{\mathrm{H}}\) ndè] [î:
then,
[1PISbj beat \({ }^{\mathrm{H}}\) then]
[[pò: \({ }^{n}-n \grave{j a ̀ a ̀ ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] wàsà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ndé]
[[fonio-seed \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] remain \(^{\mathrm{L}}\) then]
'We take a stick and we keep beating and beating (=threshing the fonio). The fonio grains will remain (there) (and ...)' (2004.01.04)
```

c. ... sámbí-tì-ỳ.: ndè,
...do.second.round-Pfv1b-1PlSbj if,
[ná gây], î: bún}\mp@subsup{}{}{H
[now Topic], 1PISbj be }\mp@subsup{}{}{H}\mathrm{ then,
[yù: }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ gú] nàr ná nár ná-ì
[millet }\mp@subsup{}{}{L}\mathrm{ Def.InanSg] fruit bear-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'When we have done the second round of cultivating, now, we (just) stay (=wait),
the millet will bear fruit.' (2004.01.03)

```

\subsection*{15.2.8.4 -sı̀ gù-nde 'and then' (past)}

This rather frozen combination is common in narrative texts. It appears to contain perfective participial -s̀̀, plus a mysterious element gù- and an L- or H-toned variant of nde 'if' (conditional) or 'and then' (pseudo-conditional, see the preceding section). The verb stem is tone-dropped. The verb stem is tone-dropped. This suggests (faintly) that gù-nde might be synchronically parsable as definite plus 'if; and then'. However, the construction is rather opaque morphologically (see below on etymology). I will gloss it as 'and.then.Past' in interlinears.

As in relative clauses and in unconjugated pseudo-conditionals, pronominal subjects are expressed by preverbal pronouns, , for example \(1 \mathrm{Sg} \check{i}:{ }^{n}\) or \(2 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{u}\) :, rather than by suffixes. If the subject is third person, the pronoun is always 3Reflexive (identical to logophoric) in form: á \((\mathrm{Sg})\) or \(\hat{a}\) : \((\mathrm{Pl})\). This supports the view that \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\) gù-nde is a relative clause syntactically, and further suggests that the subject is coindexed to that of another clause, see §18.2.2. Indeed, -sغ̀ gù-nde usually does occur in sequences of clauses (e.g. describing a complex activity) with the same subject. However, there is no hard requirement that the subject of -s̀ gù-nde be coindexed with the subject of the following clause. Instead, the coindexation is more systematic with the preceding clause, as will be shown just below. One frequent use of -s \(\dot{\varepsilon}\) gù-nde clauses in narrative is to echo, now in backgrounded form, the content of a previously uttered clause, as the narrator catches his or her breath before moving on to the next highlighted event (which may or may not have a different subject NP). However, -s̀̀ gù-nde may also be used in unrepeated clauses within narrative sequences.

There are two instances of -sè gù-ndé in textual excerpt (584) below. The protagonist who is indexed by the reflexive subject á in the -sغ̀ gù-ndé clauses is referred to twice by the nonanaphoric 3 Sg pronoun ńnย elsewhere in the passage; this shows that the excerpt as a whole is not logophoric. The second -sè gù-ndé clause in the passage is an otherwise verbatim echo of the preceding perfective main clause; even the referent-introducing 'a man' is repeated as suuch, rather than being converted to discourse-definite 'the man'. The female subject of this second -sè gù-ndé clause is coindexed to the subject of the preceding clauses, not to the (male) subject of the following clause.

'Thus she went and gathered the (broken) houseware together. As she was going along, she encountered a man on the road. When she had encountered a man on the road, he greeted her, and ...' (2004.02.03)

Example (585) is from the same text. It contains two more occurrences of -sغ̀ gù-nde.

'She gathered up her baggage and left. She kept going, she went and found two young men going back and forth through the hole (=eye) of a needle. When she found them, she said: hey you-Pl, please pardon (me, for going past you).' (2004.02.03)

In elicitation, kìỳ̀-sŁ̀ \(\grave{W}\)-ndé and variant kìy \(\grave{\varepsilon}\)-s \(\varepsilon\) gù-ndè are common forms of the 'say' verb kíý́- (§11.3.1). These forms occur in clauses that precede the actual quotation, see §17.1.2.

The key to the historical origin of the synchronically opaque -sغ̀ gù-nde construction is the original morphemic identity of gù-. The only similar morpheme in Nanga is definite inanimate singular \(g u\) ú. This morpheme does indeed have an L-toned variant gù, at the end of NPs that include a possessor, see (155a) and (156a-c) in §6.5.1 above. gù also occurs, infrequently, at the end of relative clauses, as in (489) and (530c). It makes sense for a definite morpheme to follow perfective participial \(-s \grave{\varepsilon}\), and there are many quite transparent definite perfective relative clauses in texts with ('the day when I ran', etc.). However, the -sغ̀ gù-nde construction has no obvious semantic connection with definite participial -sè gú, and nde (either conditional or pseudo-conditional) is normally added to a verb stem or an inflected verb rather than to a relative clause or other NP. So the literal glossing "-Ppl.Pfv Def-if/then" makes no compositional sense.

One historical possibility worth considering is that gù-nde originally meant 'say (and then)'. Throughout Dogon, forms of 'say' verbs routinely develop specialized functions as clausal subordinators (sequential, purposive). In Nanga, gù- is admittedly a poor phonological match for kíyé- 'say'. However, the connection looks better when we bring in the two languages most closely related genetically to Nanga. Ben Tey gǔy'n- 'say' and Bankan Tey gùn 'said' are likely cognates to the mysterious gù- in Nanga gù-nde. Furthermore, Ben Tey has complex subordinators \(-\grave{W}\) kù dè and \(-\grave{W}\) dè that strikingly resemble Nanga gù-nde. The most likely historical scenario is that Nanga gù-nde and Ben Tey kù dè both derive from pseudo-conditional forms of 'say' (perhaps *gùn dé), but that the combination became morphologically opaque already in their common ancestor and was subject to contamination with phonologically similar definite markers (Nanga gú~gù, Ben Tey kù, cf. Jamsay kùn \({ }^{n}\) ).

\subsection*{15.2.8.5 - W clause with H -toned proclitics}

Here \(-\grave{W}\) is not the 2 Sg subject suffix, as is shown by the presence of a preverbal proclitic subject pronominal of any category. The construction is therefore syntactically a type of nonsubject relative clause, though it translates as an ordinary backgrounded clause. I take \(-\grave{W}\) to be a specialized participial ending (cf. Ben Tey inanimate perfective participial - \(\grave{y}\) ).

Unusually, the proclitic pronominals are H-toned in this construction: \(1 \operatorname{Sg} i i^{n}\left(\right.\) not \(\left.\check{i}:^{n}\right)\), 1P1 í: ( \(\operatorname{not} \hat{1}:\) ), 2Pl ú: (not \(\hat{u}:\) :), 3ReflPl á: (not â:), as well as 2Sg ú and 3ReflSg á which are already H -toned. The other case I know of where H -toned pronouns are required is in subject position in subject-object reciprocals (§18.3.1).
(586) occurs at the beginning of a typical Malian cruel-stepmother tale. A man has two wives, one of whom dies, leaving her orphaned child to be raised (and tormented) by the surviving co-wife.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { [àr }{ }^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { tùmâ] [yǎ: wǒy] á jé:-Ẁ }  \tag{586}\\
& \text { [man }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { one] [woman two] 3ReflSgSbj marry-Ppl }
\end{align*}
\]
'(Once) one man had married two women.' (2004.02.03)
In (586), the third person subject ('one man') is resumed as a 3 Reflexive preverbal subjcct pronominal, as though coindexed to the subject of an adjacent main clause. There happens to be no adjacent clause with the same male referent as subject, but in my other textual examples the \(-\grave{W}\) clause is part of a string of clauses with the same subject. All \(-\grave{W}\) clauses with thirdperson subjects in my data have 3Reflexive pronouns exactly as in (586). Another example of this is (587a). First and second person subjects are also attested, as in (587b), where 1Pl í: from \(\hat{i}\) : illustrates the H -toned pronoun.
```

a. á:nd\grave{\varepsilon màndê á gó:-\grave{,},},e,
Anda Mande 3ReflSgSbj go.out-Ppl,

```

```

[come while.SS] (repetitions)
[nî: á dímbí-ẁ],
[water 3ReflSgSbj follow-Ppl],
[ìgá yě: í] gòè-\varnothing
[here come and.SS] go.out.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Anda (village), it (=its founding group) came from Mande. It kept coming, it followed the water (=river). It came out here.' (2004.01.05)

```
```

b. [ó:ndí= yè [nî: dìmbí-yí ý] yě: ý]
[Old.Anda=Loc] [water follow-MP and.SS] come and.SS]
[í: púsó-\grave{W],}
[1PlSbj gush.out-Ppl]
ó:ndí= yè éw-yé î: dè:-s\grave{ < l}
Old.Anda=Loc sit-MP 1PlSbj be.tired-Ppl.Pfv \quad LDef.InanSg ...

```
'We followed (=were carried along by) the water and came and gushed out (like a
spring) at Old Anda. We stayed ("sat") at Old Anda until we were tired (=for a
long time), (then ...)' (2004.01.06)

Also noteworthy is the fact that mediopassive -yí- is omitted after a bisyllabic stem, therefore dímbí-Ẁ in (587a) above from dìmbí-yí- 'follow', which itself occurs in (587b). Compare stative dí-dìmbà 'be following, tagging along', also without the mediopassive suffix.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline stem with - \(\grave{W}\) & gloss \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{a. monosyllabic} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /H/ melody} \\
\hline ná:- ná:- \(\grave{W}^{n}\) & 'spend night' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /LH/ melody} \\
\hline \(j \varepsilon ̌:-\quad j \varepsilon ́:-\grave{W}\) & '(man) marry (woman)' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{b. bisyllabic} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /H/ melody} \\
\hline túwé- túwé-Ẁ & 'die' \\
\hline ńdí- ńdí-Ẁ & 'give' \\
\hline kár \({ }^{n}\) í- kár \({ }^{n} 1\) í \(\grave{W}^{n}\) & 'do' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /LH/ melody} \\
\hline dògó- dógó-Ẁ & 'leave, abandon' \\
\hline bìndé- bíndé-Ẁ & 'go back' \\
\hline dùyí- dúpú-ẁ & 'put down' \\
\hline bǎ:rí- bá:rú-Ẁ & 'help' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{c. trisyllabic} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /H/ melody} \\
\hline pígíré- pígíré-Ẁ & 'screw in' \\
\hline kémír \({ }^{\text {níl }}\) - kémír \({ }^{n}\) í- \(\grave{W}^{n}\) & 'have fun' \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lexical /LH/ melody} \\
\hline mònjúró- mónjúró- do \(^{\text {a }}\) & 'dream' \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline gònír \({ }^{\text {íl }} \quad\) gónúr \({ }^{n} u\) - \(\grave{w}^{n}\) & 'go around' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Two possible etymological sources suggest themselves for \(-\grave{W}\). One is an inanimate agreement suffix, cf. the inanimate 'it is' clitic \(=W\) (§11.2.1.1). In Neighboring Ben Tey, suffix \(-\grave{W}\) occurs on inanimate modifying adjectives, and (more relevantly here) as an inanimate perfective participial suffix. Some combination of adverbial perfective and perhaps stative participles, with covert inanimate head ('situation' or the like), could work as immediate prototypes for the Nanga construction. A less likely etymological possibility is the 'say' verb that I suspect survives as gù- in -sغ̀ gù-ndè (§15.2.8.3). Aside from phonological difficulties,
it would be difficult to explain how a bare 'say' verb came to be suffixed to another verb stem.

\subsection*{15.3 Other temporal adverbial clauses}

\subsection*{15.3.1 'Since \(\ldots\) ' clauses \(\left(-s \grave{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\) dày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right)\)}

The usual 'since' clause is a nonsubject perfective positive relative clause. The main verb has perfective participial suffix -sغ̀. The verb-participle is optionally followed by \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \({ }^{n}\) (cf. noun dǎy \({ }^{n}\) 'limit, boundary, bounds'). The 'since' clause is positive in form. A negative 'since' clause can be constructed in back-door fashion, by combining an unconjugated perfective negative main verb with a positive 'do' participle (589c).
a. [[bû: yě:-sغ̀] dày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) [nî: dìyò-ndú]
[[3PlSbj come-Ppl.Pfv] \({ }^{\text {L limit] }}\) [water bathe-PfvNeg.3PlSbj]
'Since they came, they have not bathed.'
b. [[mùr \({ }^{n a ́ ~ n ́ n \varepsilon ́-~} 1\) b \(\quad\) wǒ:-s̀̀ \(] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right] \quad\) gò:-rí- \(\varnothing\)
[[sickness 3Sg-Acc catch-Ppl.Pfv] \({ }^{\text {L }}\) limit] go.out-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'Since she got sick ("sickness caught her"), she has not gone out.'
c. [[làwà-rí
[[pass-PfvNeg
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
â: & kár \(^{n} 1 ́-s\) è \(]\) & \({ }^{\text {L }}\) dày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) & gò:-ndú \\
3LogoPlSbj & do-Ppl.Pfv] & \({ }^{\text {L limit }]}\) & go.out-PfvNeg.3PlSbj
\end{tabular}
'Since (the day when) they did not pass (= they failed exams), they haven't gone out.'

For parallelistic 'since/from the time that ..., until ...', both clauses ending in \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \({ }^{n}\), see §15.5.4 below.
'Since X ' with a temporal NP as X is expressed as \(X\) bǎ: or (less often) \(X^{(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{L}}\) dà \(y^{n}\). Thus yépìr \({ }^{n}\) ì: bǎ: ‘since yesterday', less often yépìr \({ }^{n}\) ì: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) dày \({ }^{n}\). bă: may be intonationally prolonged as \(b a ̌ \rightarrow\).

\subsection*{15.4 Noun-headed temporal clause ('the time when ...')}

Wágádí ~ wágátí 'time, moment in time' (a regional word ultimately from Arabic) can be used as the (L-toned) head of a relative clause that functions as a temporal adverbial clause. The relative clause as a whole takes the locative postposition, which fuses with a definite morpheme if there is one (e.g. /gú gá/ > gá, see §8.2.3.2). The tone-dropping on the participle is controlled by the definite morpheme.
```

[wàgàdì }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ ńnغ́ yègè-s文}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}]\quadga
[time }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}33\textrm{SgSbj}\mathrm{ fall-Ppl.Pfv }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}] Def.InanSg.Lo
'at the time when he/she fell' (= 'when he/she fell')

```

Of course any temporal noun such as 'day', 'morning', 'month', or 'year' can also be the head of a temporal adverbial relative clause.

\subsection*{15.4.1 Reverse anteriority clause with final múgò 'before ...'}
'Before' clauses are expressed by clause-final múgò, with tone-dropping on the preceding bare verb stem. Although I struggle to segment or parse it, my assistant's intuition is that múgò is the combination of imperfective participial -mí on the verb followed by locative gò. This makes sense semantically ('at the time when X was about to VP' = 'before X VP'ed'), but all of the tones are wrong (tone-dropped verb, H-tone on -mí ~ -mú, L-tone on locative postposition). The morphology is non-transparent, and I transcribe múgò as an unsegmentable word.

The subject may be expressed by a preverbal independent pronoun, like bû: 'they' in (591a). The subject is usually omitted when the subject of the 'before' clause and that of a juxtaposed main clause are coindexed (591b).
a. [bìndé bû: yè: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) múgò] dàwí-yí-èrè
[go.back 3PlSbj come \({ }^{\mathbf{L}}\) before] hide-MP-Pfv1a-1SgSbj
'Before they came back, I hid (myself).'
b. [nǎ: kò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) múgò] bírè-mì-y:
[meal eat \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) before] work-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'We will work before eating.'
c. \(\left[\left[\check{i}::^{n}\right.\right.\) yè: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) múgò \(]\) ńné-èrr̀̀- \(\left.\varnothing\right]\) tèmbì-ỳ [[1SgSbj come \({ }^{\mathbf{L}}\) before] go-Pfv1a-3SgSbj] find.Pfv- 1 SgSbj
'I found that he had left before I came.'
15.4.2 'No sooner ..., than \(\ldots\) '. \((b u ́ \rightarrow, p u ́ \rightarrow, f u ́ \rightarrow)\)

In (592), the particle \(b u ́ \rightarrow\) (variant \(p u ́ \rightarrow\) or \(f u ́ \rightarrow\) ) with H-tone is added to a headless definite adverbial relative clause ending in definite inanimate singular gú. pú \(\rightarrow\) varying with fú \(\rightarrow\) (less often \(b u ́ \rightarrow\) ) is elsewhere one of the 'all' quantifiers (§6.6.1). The pronominal subject of the 'as soon as' clause is expressed as an independent pronoun. The subjects of the two clauses may be coindexed (592a-b) or disjoint (592c).

In this construction, definite \(g u ́\) itself is pronounced with relatively low pitch. This could be interpreted as the L-toned variant of gú that is occasionally attested in some types of relative. But it may simply be an anticipatory intonational downstep on an element immediately preceding the emphatically pronounced \(b u ́ \rightarrow\).
a. [î: \(y e ̀:-s \grave{\varepsilon}^{L}\)
gù \(\quad b u ́ \rightarrow\) ]
[1P1 come-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\text {L }}\)
Def.InanSg all]
bí-bìyè-y.:
Rdp-lie.down.Pfv-1PlSbj
'As soon as we came (= arrived), we went to bed.'
b. [ňă: \(\check{1}:^{n}\) kj̀:-s \(\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gù \(\left.\quad b u ́ \rightarrow\right]\) ǹǹ̀- \(y^{n}\) [meal 1 Sg eat-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg all] go.Pfv- 1 SgSbj
'As soon as I had eaten the meal, I went away.'
c. \(\left[\hat{i}: \quad\right.\) yè:-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) gù \(\left.\quad b u ́ \rightarrow\right]\)
[1Pl come-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg all]
[bòndí wj̀̀̀- \(\varnothing\) ]
[rain(n) rain.fall.Pfv-3SgSbj]
'As soon as we came, the rain fell.'
In (593), bú \(\rightarrow(p u ́ \rightarrow)\) occurs at the end of a temporal clause with bare verb stem and different-subject subordinator nà. The pronominal subject of the 'as soon as' clause is expressed by an independent pronoun. This construction is only used when the subjects are disjoint and the time reference is past.
[búrâ: ǐ:n táwá nà pú \(\rightarrow\) ] kòyè- \(\varnothing\)
[B 1SgSbj touch and.DS all] weep.Pfv-3SgSbj
'As soon as I touched Boura (man's name), he wept (=began weeping).'
In (594a-b), bú \(\rightarrow\) with L-tone follows a regular inflected verb (perfective aspect). The subjects of the two clauses may be coindexed (594a,c) or disjoint (594b). This construction is used when the time frame is in the future or is gnomic/habitual (denoting a recurrent event).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{a. โรย́wá:ré [S}} &  & \(b u\) b] & bìyé-mìy.: \\
\hline & & arrive-Pfv1a-1P1Sbj & all] & lie.down-Ipfv-1PISbj \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'As soon as we arrive in Sevare, we will go to bed.'
b. [búrâ: táwá-tù-w bú \(\rightarrow\) ] kóyó-j̀
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}B & \text { touch-Pfv1b-2SgSbj all] weep-Ipfv.3SgSbj }\end{array}\right.\)
'As soon as you-Sg touch Boura, he will weep.' (warning)
c. [fántà éw-yé-èrè̀- \(\varnothing \quad b u ́ \rightarrow\) ] níyné-ì
[F sit-MP-Pfv1a-3SgSbj all] sleep-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'As soon as Fanta sits down, she falls asleep.' (general statement)
Other 'all' quantifiers (kéréw, bé:ndè) are more common in postnominal position than in clausal constructions. Variants of \(p u ́ \rightarrow\) or \(f u ́ \rightarrow\) occur in other languages of the zone in both 'as soon as' and 'all' functions (Jamsay, Fulfulde, etc.).

A less common 'as soon as' construction is with final tán (§16.2.2).

\subsection*{15.5 Spatial and manner adverbials}

\subsection*{15.5.1 Spatial adverbial clause ('where ...')}

The noun \(\hat{\jmath}\) : 'place' occurs in L-toned form as the relative head. In adverbial function, the relative is followed by a locative postposition. In (595b) the relative construction is subject of the higher clause.
a. [[bèrèmbí j̀: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bèrè̀-mbè-ı̀̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ] gá] [[herder place \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) graze-Caus-Ppl.Ipfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ] Def.InanSg.Loc] ńnô go.Imprt
'Go to the place where the herders are having (the livestock) graze!'
b. [[̀̀: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ńné yègè-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] wàgá
[[place \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad 3 \mathrm{Sg}\) fall-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] be.far
'The place where he/she fell is far away.'

\subsection*{15.5.2 Manner adverbial clause ('how ...')}

A relative clause with dǎy 'manner' may function as an NP (596a). With may 'like' this can become a manner adverbial clause (596b).
a. [dày \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) ńné bírè-m]
èsù =ndó- \(\varnothing\)
[manner \({ }^{\text {L }} 3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) work-Ppl.Ipfv] be.good=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'The way he/she works isn't good.'
b. [[dày \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) ńné bírè-m] mày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) bíré-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
[[manner \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} 3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) work-Ppl.Ipfv] like] work-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I work the (same) way he/she works.'

\subsection*{15.5.3 Headless adverbial clause as spatiotemporal or manner clause}

The 'time', 'place', or 'manner' head noun may be omitted. The result is a headless relative clause whose exact interpretation requires contextual decipherment. In some cases there may be no determinable specific head noun, and something like 'situation' may be useful in translation. In cases like (597a), my assistant preferred a temporal reading even though 'be far' might have hinted at a spatial reading. In (597b), the 'like' postposition forces a manner adverbial reading.
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & {[[n ́ n \varepsilon ́} & y \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon} \text {-ss }{ }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { gú }]\end{array} \begin{gathered}\text { Wàgá } \\ {[[3 \mathrm{Sg}} \\ \text { fall-Ppl.Pfv }\end{gathered}\) '(The time) when he/she fell is far away (= was long ago).'
b. [[ńné bírè-m̀] mày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) bírè-m̀- \(\varnothing\) [[3SgSbj work-Ppl.Ipfv] like] work-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I work like (the way) he/she works.'

\subsection*{15.5.4 'From/since X, all the way to/until Y'}

Parallel adverbial relatives ending with dày \({ }^{n}\) (possessed, L-toned form of dǎy \({ }^{n}\) 'limit, outer bound') can be used to specify initial and terminal points of an extended duration. We have already seen 'since.. ' clauses of this type, with perfective participles (§15.3.1). hálè 'all the
way to' is optional at the beginning of the second clause. The regular 3 Pl pronoun in the 'since ...' clause binds a coindexed third-person reflexive subject in the second (§18.2.2-3).
(598) [[bû: bû:-ı̀ nàrná-sè] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dày \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) [[3PlSbj 3Pl-Acc bear.child-Ppl.Pfv] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) limit]
[[(hálè) â: túwè-m̀ \(\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) dà \(\left.y^{n}\right]\)
[[(until) 3ReflPl die-Ppl.Ipfv] \({ }^{\text {L }}\) limit]
mう̀sí-yદ́
be.bad-3P1Sbj
'From when they (= their mothers) bear them \({ }_{x}\), until when they \({ }_{x}\) die, they are wicked.'

\subsection*{15.5.5 'As though ...' clause}

A clause may be followed by may 'like' (§8.4.1) in an 'as though' manner adverbial. Since there is no subjunctive in Nanga, there is no distinction between 'as/like ...' clauses and 'as though ...' clauses (with the pragmatic implications of the latter). If the manner adverbial has a pronominal subject, it appears as an independent pronoun, and there is no pronominalsubject suffix on the verb (599a), except for 3 Pl subject ( 599 b ). The independent pronoun need not be immediately preverbal, so this is not exactly a nonsubject relative clause.
a. [[ú jǎ: kò:-rí] máy] kóyò-sò-ẁ [[2SgSbj meal eat-PfvNeg] like] weep-Progr- 2 SgSbj 'You-Sg are crying as though you hadn't eaten.'
b. [[nă: kò:-ndú] máy] kóyò-s-غ̀ [[meal eat-PfvNeg.3PlSbj] like] weep-Progr-3P1Sbj
'They are crying as though they hadn't eaten.'

\section*{16 Conditional constructions}

\subsection*{16.1 Hypothetical conditional antecedent with nde 'if'}

In a hypothetical conditional, the antedecent clause ends in atonal nde 'if', following the verb or other predicate. nde is arguably an enclitic or suffix. When it follows any negative predicate ending in an H -toned vowel (forms of perfective negative -rí-, stative negative clitic \(=\) ǹdó-, ŋ̀gó- 'not be (present)', or clitic = ̀̀dǒ:- 'it is not'), the H-tone spreads to nde, as in yè:-rú-ẃ ndé 'if you don't come' and yǎ- \(\eta=\) ǹdǒ:- \(\varnothing\) ndé 'if he/she is not a woman'. However, when nde is added to a positive predicate, nde has L-tone even when the predicate ends in an H-tone. The relevant cases are forms of perfective-2 -só-, as in kíyé-só-ẃ ndè 'if you-Sg say’ (perfective-2), and some combinations with 'it is' enclitic, like yă- \(\eta=\eta\) ndè 'if he/she is a woman'.

The 3 Sg subject simple perfective, which elsewhere takes the form of an E/I-stem (final \(e, \varepsilon\), or \(i\) ), reverts to lexical vocalism before nde (§10.2.1.1). An example is kòyò- \(\varnothing\) ndé 'if it is infested' in (555) in §15.1.10, compare kj̀yè- \(\varnothing\) 'it became infested'.

True conditional nde 'if' added to a fully inflected predicate is distinct from imperfective anterior ndé \(\sim\) ndè added to an uninflected verb stem, specifying sequential rather than causal relationships (§15.2.8.2). However, "true" conditional clauses can be interspersed with imperfective anterior clauses in texts.
nde 'if' should not be confused with phonologically similar but unrelated morphemes, including verbal noun suffix -ndé (§4.2.2.1) and the irregular causative suffix in sí:-ndé'take/bring down' (§9.2.2).

\subsection*{16.1.1 Regular antecedent clause with fully inflected predicate}

In simple hypothetical conditionals, the usual consequent clause is an imperative as in (600a), a hortative, or an imperfective clause as in (600b-c). The scope of the imperative or hortative is limited to the consequent clause.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{a.} & [ÉWÉ & gá] & á:mádù & yǐ: & \({ }^{\text {jx}}\) - \(\grave{W}\) & ndè, \\
\hline & [market & Loc] & Amadou & see & RecPrf-2SgSbj & if, \\
\hline & yógô & & & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{flee.Imprt} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{'If you-Sg see Amadou in the market, flee!'} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{b.} & [ÉWÉ & gá] & á:mádù & yǐ: & \(j \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}\) & ndè, \\
\hline & [market & Loc] & Amadou & see & RecPrf-1SgSbj & if \\
\hline & yò-yógó- & \(\grave{m}-\varnothing\) & & & & \\
\hline & Rdp-run- & Ipfv-1 & Sbj & & & \\
\hline & 'If I see & Amado & in the mar & t, I wil & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

c. háwâ nă: kô:-\eta\grave{:-\varnothing ndè, túw\varepsiloń-\età}
H meal eat-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj if, die-Ipfv.3SgSbj

```
    'If Hawa doesn't/won't eat, she will die.'

The verb of the antecedent clause is most often in one or another AN category from the perfective－system arsenal，including the simple perfective（601a），perfective－1a－ \(\begin{aligned} & \text { rèे－，}\end{aligned}\) perfective－1b－tì－（601b），recent perfect \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\)－（601e），and（for verbs like＇see＇and＇say＇that prefer this form）perfective－2－só－．The verb may also be stative，for example with quasi－verb ＇be＇or＇have＇（601c－d）．（600c）above has an imperfective negative antecedent；in this example there is no clean temporal break between antecedent and consequent eventualities．
（601）
a．á：mádù
yì：－W ndè
Amadou see．Pfv－2SgSb
if
＇if you－Sg see Amadou＇
b．\(y\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
yî： & Súyó－tì－\(W\) & ndè \\
child & hit－Pfv1b－2SgSbj & if
\end{tabular}
＇if you－Sg strike the child＇
c．nàクá yá sò－W ndè
cow Exist have－ 2 SgSbj if
＇if you－Sg have a cow＇
d．yá b－è ndè
Exist be－3PlSbj if
＇if they are（there）＇
e．ǹjí－\(\quad\) yǐ：\(\quad j \grave{\varepsilon}\)－Ẁ ndè
1 Sg－Acc see RecPrf－2SgSbj if
＇if you－Sg have just seen me＇

g．dógò＝ǹdǒ：－\(\varnothing \quad\) ndé
Dogon＝it．is．not－3 SgSbj if
＇if he／she is not a Dogon（person）＇

As usual，pronominal－subject suffixes consisting of a semivowel（ \(1 \mathrm{Sg}-y, 1 \mathrm{Pl}-y . \therefore, 2 \mathrm{Sg}-w\) ， \(2 \mathrm{Pl}-W . \therefore\) ）monophthongize with a preceding homorganic vowel \((i, u)\) ．In addition，before nde ＇if＇， \(1 \mathrm{Sg}-y\) is sometimes monophthongized with a preceding \(\{\mathrm{e} \varepsilon\}\) ，resulting in a long vowel with no clearly articulated upglide．The fact that ynd would be a rare triple cluster（§3．3．8．5） is undoubtedly behind this．In（602）， 1 Sg ńnモ́－èrè－y ndè varies with monophthongized ńnદ́－غ̀rè：－\(\varnothing\) ndè．The latter is still audibly distinct both from 3 Sg ńnモ́－દ̀rè－\(\varnothing\) ndè＇if he／she goes＇and from 1 Pl ńnદ́－غ̀rè－y．\(\therefore\) ndè＇if we go＇．
(602)
á: \(n d \hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing\)
Anda=Loc
[[ámbérì
[[chief Lhouse] Loc] go.down-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'If I go to Anda (village), I will go down (= lodge) at the chief's home.'

\subsection*{16.1.2 ndé yápá ‘even if/when’ (pseudo-conditional)}

An extended form ndé yápá, with (atonal) yaŋa ‘also, even’ (§19.1.3), means 'even if/when’. My textual example of this is really a pseudo-conditional (§15.2.8.3), with tone-dropped verb 'go down' and with preverbal proclitic subject pronoun.


See \(\S 16.2 .1\) below for 'even if' clauses that include postposition \(d \grave{r} r{ }^{n} \grave{1}\).

\subsection*{16.1.3 'Unless' antecedent}

This is simply a regular hypothetical conditional in which the antecedent clause is negative.
a. [bòndí
\(\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow\)
wò:-rí- \(\varnothing\)
ndé],
[rain(n) very.much rain.fall-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
if],
tǒ: tó: bérè-ŋò-y \({ }^{n} . \therefore\)
sowing(n) sow can-IpfvNeg-1P1Sbj
'Unless the rain falls ("if the rain didn't fall") heavily, we cannot sow (millet).'
b. [ámbérì ŋ̀g-ย́ ndé] [pèrgé sémé bérè-クò-y \({ }^{n} . \therefore\) ] [chief not.be-3P1Sbj if] [sheep slaughter can-IpfvNeg-1PlSbj] 'Unless the chiefs are here ("if the chiefs are not present"), we cannot slaughter a sheep.'
c. [wàrà-rú-W
ndé]
[do.farming-PfvNeg-2SgSbj
if]
[ú àmây \({ }^{n}\) jǎ:
[2Sg how mea
\(k \hat{0}:-\grave{m}^{w}{ }^{w}\)
'Unless you-2Sg do ("if you-2Sg don't do") the farming, how will you eat?'

\subsection*{16.2 Alternative 'if' particles}

\subsection*{16.2.1 'Even if ...' (dèr \({ }^{n}\) ì, dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) ý yáná \()\)}

Elicited 'even if ...' examples have (what looks like) purposive postposition dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) í at the end of the 'if' clause, replacing the usual 'if' morpheme. In 'even if' clauses, dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) is is optionally extended by adding yaya 'also'. The verb of the 'even if' clause has regular pronominalsubject conjugation (605a-c).
a. [yě:-só- \(\varnothing\) dèr \(\left.{ }^{n} \mathrm{i}\right]\) [ ŋ̀gá jǎ: kô:-ŋò:- \(\left.\varnothing\right]\)
[come-Pfv2-3SgSbj even] [here meal eat-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj]
'Even if he/she comes, he/she won't eat here.'
b. [yě:-S- \(\varepsilon\)
[come-Pfv2-3P1Sbj
dèr \(\left.{ }^{n} \mathrm{i}\right]\) [ŋ̀gá jǎ: kô:-ク-غ̀:]
'Even if they come, they won't eat here.'
c. [yě:-só-Ẃ dèr \({ }^{n}\) ì] [ìgá jǎ: kô:-ŋj̀-Wn \({ }^{n}\) ]
[come-Pfv2-2SgSbj even] [here meal eat-IpfvNeg-2SgSbj]
'Even if you-Sg come, you won't eat here.'
d. [bòndí wǒ:-só- \(\varnothing\) dèrní (yápá)] ńní-mì-y.:
[rain rain.fall-Pfv2-3SgSbj even (also)] go-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'Even if it rains, we'll go.'
For a distinct pseudo-conditional 'even if' construction, see §16.1.2 above.

\subsection*{16.2.2 'As soon as ...' (tán)}
tán (variant táy) is a Fulfulde particle meaning ‘only’. It is used in some nearby Dogon and Songhay languages, mainly as an alternative clause-final 'if' particle. It does not appear to be very common in Nanga, but examples were elicited, with the meaning 'as soon as'. In this function it combines with preceding same-subject subordinator \(\eta\) g or different-subject subordinator nà, depending on whether the two clauses have coindexed or disjoint subjects.
[ú
[2SgSbj
[bòndí yě: nà
tán] come and.DS
as.soon.as]
[rain(n) rain.fall-VblN begin.Pfv-3SgSbj]
'As soon as you-Sg came, the rain began to fall.'

The more common 'as soon as' construction has clause-final bú \(\rightarrow\) 'all' (§15.4.2).

\subsection*{16.3 Willy-nilly and disjunctive antecedents ('whether X or Y ...')}

In this construction, two mutually incompatible conditions (both irrelevant to the consequent) are spelled out, sometimes with a final \(k \hat{\varepsilon} W\) 'each/all' as right-edge marker. (607a) is a simple
example where the second condition is the negation of the first. In (607b), the two conditions are understood to be thought quotations from the reported agent's perspective, and each of the conditions is framed by 'he looks (= considers)'.
```

a. [bòndí
wó:-1̀
wô:-ŋŋ̀:- $\varnothing$ kêW]
[rain(n) rain.fall-Ipfv.3SgSbj rain.fall-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj all]
ńní-mì-y.:
go-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'Whether it rains or not, we are going.'

```
b. [[nǔ:
\({ }^{H L} g \widehat{o}\)
[Lperson
\({ }^{\text {HL }}\) Poss.InanSg] \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{}\) 万] \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) Poss.InanSg] nír\(\left.{ }^{n} \grave{\varepsilon}-\eta \grave{\jmath}:-\varnothing\right]\)
[Láson
look-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
лírn \(\left.{ }^{n}-\eta \grave{\jmath}:-\varnothing\right]\)
\([[3 \mathrm{LogoSg}\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { HLné } & \text { Poss.InanS }\end{array}\right]\) kéréw
look-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
nine gà] kò-kó:-ı̀
\([3 \mathrm{Sg}\) Topic] all Rdp-eat-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'He doesn't look at (= care whether) "it's somebody (else)'s," he doesn't look at "it's mine," as for him, he eats everything.'

\subsection*{16.4 Counterfactual conditional}

In this construction, the antecedent clause has nde 'if' as with hypothetical conditionals. However, now both the antecedent and the consequent have past clitic \(=b \varepsilon\) - For active (nonstative) verbs, the antecedent is normally past perfect (§10.5.1.3), positive or negative, as in (608b), but it may also be a past stative (608a) or a past imperfective (608c). The consequent is usually past perfect (608a), but it may be past imperfective (608b-c).
a. dògj̀tórô yá bù-m=bè̀- \(\varnothing \quad n d e ̀\),
doctor Exist be-Stat=Past-3Pl if,
bàyá- \(\grave{\varepsilon}-r \grave{\varepsilon}=b \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{y}\)
be.cured-Pfv=Past-1SgSbj
'If the doctor had been there, I would have been cured.'
b. kà-kă: yò:-ndú = b-á ndè,

Rdp-grasshopper come-PfvNeg.3PlSbj=Past-3PlSbj if,
[tàrá gá] yû: \(\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow \quad\) béré-m̀ \(\rightarrow b \grave{\varepsilon}-y .:\)
[granary Loc] millet very.much get-Ipfv=Past-1P1Sbj
'If the locusts hadn't come, we would have gotten (= were going to get) a lot of millet in the granary.'
e. [kòmbó yá bù-m=bè- \(\varnothing] \quad\) júgò-m \(=b \grave{m}-y\) ndè,
[war Exist be-Ipfv=Past-3SgSbj] know-Ipfv=Past-1SgSbj if,
bàmàkó ńní-ŋò: = bè-y
Bamako go-IpfvNeg=Past- 1 SgSbj
'If I had known that there was a war, I would not have gone to Bamako.'

\section*{17 Complement and purposive clauses}

\subsection*{17.1 Quotative complement}

Quotations are marked as such by up to three distinct features:
(609) a. inflectable 'say' verb (kíyé-), preceding or following the quotation, § 17.1.2;
b. invariable quotative particle wa (i.e. wà or wá) following the quotation (or segments thereof), §17.1.3;
c. logophoric pronouns substituting for (original) first person pronouns, §18.2.

\subsection*{17.1.1 Direct versus indirect in quotative complements}

Direct quotation is not normal. Instead, quotations make systematic replacements of categories used in simple, unquoted clauses.

Quoted imperatives have their own morphological verb form, which is also used in wishes ('may God [Verb] you!'). Quoted hortatives also have a special inflected form with suffix -y. See §17.1.4-1-2 for these constructions.

A 1 Sg or 1 Pl pronoun in the original direct speech is normally replaced by corresponding logophoric pronouns, unless the quoted speaker (or thinker) is also the current speaker or listener. For logophorics, see §18.2.1.

An original 2 Sg or 2 Pl is normally replaced by regular (nonanaphoric) 3 Sg or 3 Pl , provided the original addressee is not the current speaker or addressee. In quoted imperatives and hortatives, these third person pronouns function as pro forma vocatives.

Schematically, direct speech [X said "I will see you-Sg tomorrow"] is expressed as indirect [X said 3LogoSg will see him/her tomorrow]. Similarly, [X said, "pick up your stick!"] comes out as indirect [X said, (hey) him/her!, may he/she pick up his/her (own) stick!]. Aspectual categories are unchanged from direct to indirect, and shifty adverbs need not be replaced ('tomorrow' can be stretched to mean 'the following day').

\subsection*{17.1.2 'Say that ...' with inflectable 'say' verb (kíyé-)}
kíyé- 'say’ (§11.3.1) may precede or follow the quotation. When it precedes, it is set off prosodically from the quotation. When it follows, there is no obligatory prosodic break.

In elicitation, one common form of this verb, when it occurs in a clause preceding the quoted matter, is kiỳ̀-s̀̀ \(\grave{W}\)-ndé and variant kìỳ-s文 gù-ndè. This is a specialized perfective relative-clause form, and takes preverbal subject pronominals rather than suffixes. For this construction, see §15.2.8.3.

This 'say' form is preceded by an independent pronoun, even when this pronoun merely resumes an immediately preceding nonpronominal NP. This suggests that the nonpronominal NP is topicalized, and provides further evidence that kiỳ̀-sغ̀ \(\grave{W}\)-ndé at least originated as a nonsubject relative (cf. 'what I said [was]: ...').
(610)
a. [mò:dìbò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] bû: kìyè-s̀̀ \(\grave{W}\)-ndé
[holy.man \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] 3PlSbj
said
kà-kă: yê:-ク-غ̀: wà
Rdp-grasshopper come-IpfvNeg-3PlSbj Quot
'The holy men (= clerics) said that the locusts won't/wouldn't come (back).'

3 Sg said, 3 LogoSgSbj tomorrow go-Ipfv.3SgSbj Quot
' \(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{x}}\) said that he \(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}\) is going tomorrow/would go the next day.'

1 SgSbj said, go-IpfvNeg-1 Sg Sbj
'I said that I am not going.'
In imperfective positive (e.g. present or future) contexts, the morphologically regular imperfective of kíy \(\varepsilon\) - is used (611). If a subject NP for 'say' is present, there is no resumptive subject pronoun (611b).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline a. ńné & kì-kíyÉ-İ, & á & mùr \({ }^{\text {ná }}=\) ¢́ & Wà \\
\hline 3 SgSbj & Rdp-say-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj , & 3LogoSgSbj & sick.one=it.is. 3 SgSbj & Quot \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {She }}\) x w & say that she \({ }_{\mathrm{x}}\) is sick.' & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b. nǔ: kì-kíyغ̀-m-غ̀, â: bàyá-èr \(\grave{\varepsilon}\), wà person Rdp-say-Ipfv-3P1Sbj, 3LogoPlSbj be.cured-Pfv1a Quot '(The) people will say that they are cured.'

The 'say' verb may also follow the quoted clause. This is usual when the 'say' verb itself is negated or hypothetical.
a. [kà-kă:
í:-yé-ḿn bérè-m̀-Ø] kìyè-rí-ý
[Rdp-grasshopper stand-MP-Caus can-Ipfv-1SgSbj] say-PfvNeg-1 SgSbj
'I didn't say that I can stop the locusts.'
b. bû: [ǐ:n wá] [ú dě:rê-w] kíyè-ŋ-̀̀:

3PlSbj [1SgSbj Quot] [2Sg more] say-IpfvNeg-3PlSbj
'They don't say that I am better than you-Sg.'
c. [íyé j̀gá kô:- \(ŋ \grave{o}-y^{n}\) ] [ńné báy] kíyâ
[today here eat-IpfvNeg-1 SgSbj\(] \quad[3 \mathrm{Sg}\) Dat] say.Imprt
'Tell him/her that I will not eat here today.'
d. ńné [á sírñ́-só- \(\varnothing]\) kíý́-só- \(\varnothing\) ndè,

3 SgSbj [3LogoSgSbj be.sated-Pfv2-3SgSbj] say-Pfv2-3SgSbj if
kà-kǎr kárá-ŋ̀
falsehood lie(v)-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'If he says that he is full (of food), he is lying.' (kà-kàrı́)
```

e. yé:-m-غ̀ kìz̀-rí-\varnothing
come-Ipfv-3P1Sbj say-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'Hex

```

The complement of kíyé- 'say' may also be an NP such as interrogative 'what?' or a demonstrative. In this case, the 'say' verb follows the complement, and behaves like a normal transitive.
a. kò-nє́
kìy-à
what? say.Pfv-3P1Sbj
'What did they say?'
b. ŋ̀gú kìyè-rú-W
Dem.InanSg say-PfvNeg-2SgSbj
'You-Sg didn't say that.'

The 'say' verb kíyé- is often omitted when the quotative particle wa (see below) is present. When entire back-and-forth conversations are quoted, as happens often in tales, kíyé- is largely absent, while wa recurs constantly.

\subsection*{17.1.3 Quotative clitic wa}

This enclitic particle is very common when the quoted speaker is a third person. When the embedded quotation is propositional in nature, the particle can therefore have 'hearsay' evidential quality, suggesting that the present speaker does not vouch for the truth of the quoted proposition. The particle is also used with jussive complements (embedded imperatives), where truth is not at issue. It is also common in requests for confirmation or clarification: X wa? '(did you say/mean) X?'

The particle occurs at the end of the quotation, with no prosodic break. It is atonal and acquires its tone from the final tone of the preceding word, by Atonal-Morpheme ToneSpreading (§3.7.3.4). The two known exceptions, where L-toned wà follows an H-tone, are inflected forms of perfective-2 -só- (the only H-toned positive indicative suffix) and quoted hortative -1 . This is illustrated for the 3 Pl subject form of -só- in \((614 \mathrm{c}\) ) below; see also \(\S 10.2 .1 .3\). For the quoted hortative, see e.g. yè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ńné- \(\bar{\prime}\) wà in the penultimate Nanga line in (742) in the sample text. The perfective-2 induces similar tonal behavior in interrogative ma, see (462c) in §13.2.1.
```

a. ńn\varepsiloń kìyè-sغ̀ Ẁ-ndé,
3SgSbj said,
á síkórò sò-ndó-\varnothing wá
3LogoSgSbj sugar have-Neg-3SgSbj Quot
'He/She }\mp@subsup{}{x}{}\mathrm{ said that he/she }\mp@subsup{}{x}{}\mathrm{ has no sugar.'
b. á síkórò yá sò-\varnothing wà
3LogoSgSbj sugar Exist have-3SgSbj Quot
'(He/She }\mp@subsup{)}{x}{})\mathrm{ said that he/she}\mp@subsup{e}{x}{}\mathrm{ has some sugar.'

```

'Amadou said that the people have sown (the millet).'
Although both quotative wa and interrogative ma are lexically atonal, normally getting their tone by spreading from the left, when they co-occur in the order ma wa (i.e. quoting a question), the combination is realized as má \(\rightarrow\) wá regardless of the final tone of the preceding word, see §13.2.1.

Within a quoted clause, wa is optionally repeated after an overt subject, if any. This is analogous to the quotative-subject particles in languages like Ben Tey, though this construction is less systematic in Nanga. In addition, many quotations, especially but not only of imperatives, begin with an initial quoted vocative of the '(hey) you!' type, converted to third person pronoun (unless the original addressee is also a participant in the present speech act). This quoted vocative is obligatorily followed by wa. The effect is to indicate to whom the original quotation was directed. It is also used to highlight a switch in speaker in narratives reporting back-and-forth conversations, taking advantage of the narrative convention that each speaking turn begins with a vocative.

In (615a), wa occurs at the end of both quoted clauses, and optionally following the overt subject NP 'the people' in the first clause. In (615b), since 'you-Pl have' is conjugated, the clause-initial \(2 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{u}\) : is interpreted as a quoted vocative. The conversion to third person does not take place here, indicating that the original addressees are also the addressees in the current speech event. Therefore such a vocative makes it unnecessary to specify the original addressee in a dative complement to the 'say' verb ('He said, hey you, ...' = 'He said to you, \(\ldots\)..'). In ( 615 c ), the original addressee is not a participant in the current speech event, so the original 'hey you!' is expressed with a \(3 S g\) pronoun. There are many examples like this in the sample text. The alternative is to quote the actual appellation of the original addressee as the quoted vocative (615d).
```

a. ńn\varepsiloń kìy\grave{\varepsilonे-s\grave{\varepsilonे Ẁ-ndé,}}\mathbf{~}\mathrm{ ,}
3SgSbj said,
[nù: }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ bû: (wà)] yé:-m-\̀ wà
[person }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathrm{ Def.AnPl] (Quot)] come-Ipfv-3PlSbj Quot
\etàgá jǎ:: kô:-\eta-\varepsiloǹ: wà
here meal eat-IpfvNeg-3P1Sbj Quot
' $\mathrm{He} /$ She said that the people will come, (but that) they won't eat here.'

```
b. ńné kìyè-sè Ẁ-ndé,
3 SgSbj said,
[û: (wà)] Síkórò sò-ndó-Ẃ: wà
[2PlSbj (Quot)] sugar have-Neg-2PlSbj Quot
'He/She \({ }_{x}\) said (to you-Pl) that you-Pl [topic] have no sugar.'
c. tà-tă:: [jòmó báy] ńné kìyè-sè Ẁ-ndé,
hyena [hare Dat] 3 SgSbj said,
[ńné wá] ńní wà
[3Sg Quot] go.QuotImprt Quot
'Hyena said to hare: hey you (lit. "he"), go!'
d. tà-tầ: ńné kìyè-sغ̀ \(\grave{\text { Ẁ -ndé, }}\)
hyena 3 SgSbj said
[jòmó wá] ńní wà
[hare Quot] go.QuotImprt Quot
'Hyena said: hey hare, go!'

The particle wa is omitted (because redundant) when it would otherwise be adjacent to the 'say' verb, i.e., when the latter immediately follows the quotation (616a). Even an intervening subject pronominal is enough to allow both the particle and the 'say' verb to appear (616b). Of course wa may co-occur with the 'say' verb when one quotation is embedded in another (616c).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline a. & á:mádù & [ \(\left[n u ̀:{ }^{\text {L }}\right.\) & bû: & (wà)] & tǒ & tó:-S-É \\
\hline & Amadou & [[person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def.AnPl & (Quot)] & sowing(n) & sow-Pfv2-3PlSbj \\
\hline & (\#wà) & kíyé-só- \(\varnothing\) & & & & \\
\hline & (\#Quot) & say-Pfv2-3 & SgSbj & & & \\
\hline & 'Amadou & said that the & people & sown & the millet).' & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b. [nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] tǒ: tó:-S-غ́ wà]
[person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl] sowing(n) sow-Pfv2-3P1Sbj Quot]
ńné kíyé-só- \(\varnothing\)
\(3 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad\) say-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He said that the people have sown (the millet).'
c. [... yě-y kìy-à] wà
[... come-QuotImprt say.Pfv-3P1Sbj] Quot
'"They told (me) to ... and come," (she) said.' (2003.02.03)

The quotative particle is also omitted when the 'say' verb is negated, even when the 'say' verb is (atypically) preposed, so that adjacency of 'say' verb and quotative particle is not possible (617a). The particle is also omitted when the 'say' clause is a polar interrogative (617b). In other words, when the fact that the quoted speech was uttered (or thought) is not asserted, the quotative particle is not added to the quotation. A possible factor in this is that hearsay evidentiality is irrelevant in this context.
a. á:mádù ńné kìyè-rí- \(\varnothing\),
Amadou \(3 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad\) say-PfvNeg-3SgSbj,
[nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] tǒ: tó:-s-غ́ (\#wà)
[person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] sowing(n) sow-Pfv2-3PlSbj (\#Quot)
'Amadou did not say that the people have sown (the millet).'
b. ńné
kíyé-só- \(\varnothing\)
mà
3SgSbj
say-Pfv2-3SgSbj
Q
[nǔ: tǒ: tó:-S-દ́ (\#wà)]
[person sowing(n) sow-Pfv2-3PlSbj (\#Quot)]
'Did he say that the people have sown (the millet)?'
When the quoted material takes the form of a factive complement (§17.2), with final definite morpheme \(g u\), the quotative particle is not used.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ńnย์ & kì̀z̀-sè \({ }^{\text {Wr }}\)-ndé, & [[nù: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & bû:] & \\
\hline 3 SgSbj & said, & [[person \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def. & \\
\hline tǒ: & \(t \grave{:}--s \grave{\varepsilon}^{\text {L }}\) & gú & (\#wá)], & kà-kàrú \(=\) Ẃ \\
\hline sowing(n) & sow-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def.InanSg & (\#Quot)], & Rdp-lie-it.is.3SgSbj \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'If he says (= claims) that the people have sown (the millet), it's false.'
Quotative wa is possible, but less systematic, when the quotation is attributed to the current addressee (619a). In ordinary contexts (with no special modal attributes), it is absent when the addressee is also the subject of the quoted clause (619b). The particle can be used in repetitions of what the addressee has just said if the speaker needs confirmation, but in this case there is usually no overt 2 Sg pronominal.
a. [ńné báy] kíyâ, á:mádù ńné-èrè- Ø (wà) [3Sg Dat] say.Imprt, Amadou go-Pfvla-3SgSbj (Quot) 'Tell her that Amadou has gone.'
b. [bàr \({ }^{n} 1\) ú kìyè-sè \(\grave{\text { Ẁ -ndé] }}\)
[1SgDat 2 SgSbj said]
ú yદ̀gè-Ẁ (?\# wà )
\(2 \mathrm{SgSbj} \quad\) fall.Pfv-2SgSbj (?\# Quot)
'You-Sg told me that it was you [focus] who fell down.'
c. é:ŋí wá
tomorrow Quot
'(Did you say) "tomorrow"?'
The quotative particle is generally not used in citations of the speaker's own previous speech.
(620)


In (621a), presence of wa is possible (though not obligatory). If the subject of the quoted proposition is switched to first person (621b), absence of wa is preferred.
a. [ńné báy] kíyâ, á:mádù
ńné-દ̀rè- \(\varnothing\)
wà
[3Sg Dat] say.Imprt, Amadou go-Pfv1a-3SgSbj Quot
'Tell her that Amadou has gone.'
b. [ńné báy] kíyâ, ńné-èrè-ỳ (?\# wà)
[3Sg Dat] say.Imprt, go-Pfv1a-1SgSbj (?\# Quot)
'Tell her that I have gone.'

The difference is undoubtedly due to the different epistemic status of the quoted proposition in the two cases, rather than an automatic effect of subject type. If the context in (621b) above is adjusted so that it involves telling a falsehood, e.g. to escape arrest as in (622), wa reappears.

Similar nuances have been found with second person (=current addressee) as subject of the quoted proposition. (623a) was elicited in the following context: the addressee has been injured in an accident, and is being informed that help is on the way. Quotative wà was usually absent, though possible, in this context. Here the speaker and especially the addressee are quite well aware of the injury having occurred. When the context was changed, so that the injury report was in fact a lie, presence of wà was consistent (623b).

'Amadou has already told (= informed) the doctor that you-Sg are injured.'
b. á:mádù [dògòtórô bày] kíyé-só- \(\varnothing\),

Amadou [doctor Dat] say-Pfv2-3SgSbj,
[ú bárnímí-yn-è̀rè-Ẁ wà]
[2SgSbj be.hurt-MP-Pfvla-2SgSbj Quot]
'Amadou has told the doctor (falsely) that you-Sg are injured.'
17.1.4 Jussive complement (reported imperative or hortative)

\subsection*{17.1.4.1 Quoted imperative and prohibitive}

When the quoted material represents an original positive imperative, the verb is not in the original imperative form ( \(\S 10.6 .1\) ). Instead, the verb takes a special quoted imperative form, which are also found in wishes of the 'may God [Verb] you!' type. The quoted imperative is expressed by the I-stem, for non- \(i\)-final as well as \(i\)-final verbs (for the latter, the I-stem and bare stem are identical). There are also some tonal changes. For the forms, see (375-376) in §10.6.4.

When imperatives are reported, this quoted imperative form is used regardless of the original addressee number. The quotative particle wa is added clause-finally, without a prosodic break, and it constitutes part of the phonological environment for syncope of the stem-final \(i\) in forms like gùrí.

An overt pronominal within the jussive clause itself, referring to the original addressee, is not obligatory. It is absent in (624), where the addressee has already been identified in the 'say' clause.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [ńné & báy] & kíyâ, & \\
\hline [3Sg & Dat] & say.Imprt, & \\
\hline [tè: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & gú] & náy & wá \\
\hline [tea \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def.InanSg] & put.up.QuotImprt & Quot \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{'Tell him to put the tea (kettle) up (on the burner)!' (náyí-)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Often, however, the original addressee is indexed in the form of a quotative-subject phrase. This is a somewhat pro forma quoted vocative ('hey you!'), converted to the appropriate pronoun in the current speech event's deictic structure (hence usually converted from second to third person, unless it refers to the current speaker or listener).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{a.} & \(\left[y i ̀ t \grave{g} g \grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\) & bû: & bày] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kíyé-só-y,} \\
\hline & [child-P1 \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def.AnPl & Dat] & say-Pfv2-1 & gSbj, \\
\hline & [bû: & wà] & [gǒ-y & & wá] \\
\hline & [3P1 & Quot] & [go.o & QuotImprt & Quot] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'I told the children to go out.'
(lit.: "I told the children: '[hey] them! May ... go out"')
b. [ńné báy] kíyâ, [ńné wá] yě-y wá
[3Sg Dat] say.Imprt, [3SgSbj Quot] come-QuotImprt Quot 'Tell him/her to come.'
c. ńné kìyè-sè Ẁ-ndé, [̌̌: \({ }^{n}\) wá] [yě-y wá]

3 SgSbj said, [1Sg Quot] [come-QuotImprt Quot]
'He/She told me to come.'
d. [bû: bày] kíyé-Só-ý
[3Pl Dat] say-Pfv2-1SgSbj
[bû: wà] yû: tór wá
[3P1 Quot] millet pound.QuotImprt Quot
'I told them them to pound the millet!.' (</tórí/ from stem tóró-)
In a quoted prohibitive, the verb appears in singular-addressee prohibitive (i.e., negative imperative) form, regardless of original addressee number. An independent pronoun denoting the original addressee, ostensibly a quoted vocative but somewhat pro forma, is often present, as in quoted positive imperatives. (626a) has a singular embedded addressee, (626b) a plural one. (626c) is a textual example.
a. [ńné báy] kíyâ,
[3Sg Dat] say.Imprt,
(ńné wá) [tè: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] nání-rná wá
(3Sg Quot) [tea \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.InanSg] put.up-Proh] Quot
'Tell him not to put the tea (kettle) up (on the burner)!'
b. [bû: bày] kíyâ,
[3Pl Dat] say.Imprt,
(bû: wà) [tè: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gú] nápí-rná wá
(3P1 Quot) [tea \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] put.up-Proh] Quot
'Tell them not to put the tea (kettle) up (on the burner)!'

'He said: hey you-Pl, our people who went to Anda, don't wait for them!' (2004.01.10)

\subsection*{17.1.4.2 Quoted hortative (positive \(-\eta\), and negative)}

In quoted positive hortatives attributed to a third person speaker, the originally imperative verb appears with quoted hortative (QuotHort) suffix - 1 , regardless of original first-person inclusive number. See \(\S 10.6 .5\) for the form.

Examples are in (627), along with the singular- and plural-addressee hortatives for comparison. The vocalism and tones of the stem are identical in the three cases. It is therefore reasonable to think of \(-1 \bar{\prime}\) as historically a reduction of one of the hortative suffixes, probably via *-m̀ (the L-tone shifting onto the following quotative particle).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{gloss} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{hortative} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{quoted hortative} \\
\hline & Sg addressee & Pl addressee & \\
\hline 'run' & yògó-má & yògó-mà \({ }^{n}\) & yògó-̧́ (Wà) \\
\hline 'go out' & gǒ:-má & gǒ:-mà \({ }^{n}\) & gǒ:-й (wà) \\
\hline 'buy' & ÉWé-má & Éwé-mày \({ }^{n}\) & દ́wé-1́ (wà) \\
\hline 'go' & ńné-má & ńné-mày \({ }^{n}\) & ńné-1́ (wà) \\
\hline 'give' & ńdé-má & ńdé-mà \({ }^{n}\) & ńdé-Í (wà) \\
\hline 'go in' & núy \({ }^{\text {º́-má }}\) & núy \({ }^{n}\) ó-mà \({ }^{n}\) & núy \({ }^{n}\) ó-ý (wà) \\
\hline 'scrub' & púgúsó-má & púgúsó-mà \({ }^{n}\) & púgúsó-ท́ (wà) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For the velar nasal, compare the \(m \sim \eta\) alternation in the imperfective verb paradigm (with \(\eta\) word-finally in the zero 3 Sg form), or in the conjugated 'it is' clitics. However, a morphemic equation of quoted hortative -1 , with the imperfective morpheme, or with same-subject anterior subordinator \(\check{\eta}\), would make no grammatical or semantic sense.

The quotative hortative suffix is followed by the quotative particle wa, which has L-tone in spite of the H-tone of \(\emptyset\) itself. This detail suggests that quoted hortative \(-\bar{\eta}\) is a reduced form of L-toned plural-addressee hortative suffix -mà \({ }^{n}\), rather than of the less common H toned singular-addressee hortative -má.

There is usually no preverbal independent pronoun. Examples of the quoted hortative are in (628).
a. ńné 3 SgSbj said
'He/She said, let's go!'

'He/She said, let's buy a sheep!'


If the quoted speaker is also the current addressee, the construction is the same, provided that the original first inclusive did not include the current speaker.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[á:mádù & bày] & ú & kìyè-sè \(\grave{\text { W }}\)-ndé & [ńné-ý & Wà] \\
[Amadou & Dat] & 2Sg & said, & [go-QuotHort Quot]
\end{tabular}

In self quotations, the quoted speaker is coindexed with the current speaker and is also included in the original first inclusive. In this case, instead of quoted hortative \(-\bar{\eta}\), the original full hortative verb form occurs, with suffix -má for singular addressee and -mày \({ }^{n}\) for plural addressee. Quotative wa is absent, presumably since it would have no evidential value.
a. [á:mádù

[Amadou Dat] 1 Sg said
ńné-má
go-Hort.SgAddr
'I said to Amadou, let's (= the two of us) go!'
b. [yì-tèĝ \(\quad\) bày] ǐ:n kìyè-s̀̀ \(\grave{\text { Ẁ -ndé ńné-mày }}{ }^{n}\)
[child-Pl Dat] 1Sg said
'I said to the children, let's (= all of us) go!'
go-Hort.PlAddr

When the quoted speaker is also the current addressee, if the original first inclusive included the current speaker, we again revert to the full hortative form used in direct speech. Therefore (631a) has the (unembedded) hortative form. By contrast, (631b) with third person quoted speaker has the quoted hortative \(-\underline{\prime}\) suffix.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline a. &  & ú & kìyè-ş̀ \(\grave{\text { Ẁ-ndé }}\) & ńné-mà \\
\hline & 1Sg.Dat & 2 Sg & said & go-Hort.SgAddr \\
\hline & 'You-Sg & to & let's (= you an & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Hortative negative -ndǎ:-má and plural-addressee -ndǎ:-mày \({ }^{n}\), and any of their variants -rá-má ~ -rá-mày \({ }^{n}\) and -ndá-má ~ -ndá-mày \({ }^{n}\), are merged as invariant -ndà: when quoted. I gloss -ndà: as quoted hortative negative (QuotHortNeg in interlinears). It is followed by the quotative particle wà. This -ndà: form appears under the same syntactic conditions as the reported positive hortative in -1 ( \(632 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}\) ). With e.g. first person attributed speaker (selfquotation), the original full hortative form is used (632c).



\subsection*{17.2 Factive (indicative) and related complements}

A factive complement (as the term is used here) has a verb with regular AN (aspect-negation) inflection, but without pronominal-subject marking (except for 3 Pl ). If the subject is pronominal, it is expressed as a preverbal subject pronoun, as in nonsubject relative clauses. A factive clause as a whole functions as an NP argument inside a higher clause.

Depending on the construction, a factive clause proper is followed by a pronominal possessor agreeing with the subject of the complement clause (with inanimate singular possessive classifier), or by inanimate singular definite gú. The type with a pronominal possessor is found with 'know' ( \(\$ 17.2 .1\) just below) and in one construction with 'see' (§17.2.2.1). Unpossessed factive clauses with definite \(g u ́\) can be used in one type of quoted clause, see (618) in §17.1.3.

\subsection*{17.2.1 'Know that ...' complement clause}

An example with imperfective negative verb is (633). A literal gloss would be "I know [your [you not coming]]."
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [ú & yê & [ú & \({ }_{\text {g }}\) ¢ \(\left.]\right]\) & রigò-m̀- \(\varnothing\) \\
\hline \([2 \mathrm{SgSbj}\) & con & \({ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{Sg}\) & ]] & know-Ipfv-1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'I know that you-Sg are not coming.'

If we replace the 2 Sg subject in (633) with other pronominal categories, we get the factive complements in (634) below. The forms shown are those that would occur subordinated to a 'know' matrix clause (not shown). The various first and second person categories, and 3Sg, have their usual postnominal possessor forms, in these examples based on inanimate singular possessive classifier \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath} \sim{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} k \hat{o}\). The 3 Pl has an L-toned, short-voweled form bù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} g \grave{\jmath}\), distinct from the usual 3 Pl possessor combination bû: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò seen in e.g. ńdô [bû: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò] 'their house'. 3 Pl subject also has subject agreement on the verb ( \(-\eta-\dot{\varepsilon}\) :), whereas all other categories have invariant -ŋŋ̀: .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline a. [ǐ: \({ }^{n}\) yê:-ŋjò: kñ:] & 'that I am not coming' \\
\hline [î: yê:-ŋう̀: [î: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò]] & 'that we are not coming' \\
\hline [ \(\mathrm{u}:\) yê:-ŋŋ̀: [û: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) g̀̀]] & 'that you-Pl are not coming' \\
\hline b. [ńné yê:-ŋว̀: nò] & 'that he/she is not coming' \\
\hline c. [bû: yê:-ŋ-¢̇: [bù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} g \grave{\mathrm{j}}\) ]] & 'that they are not coming' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

An example with the perfective negative (-rí) is (635).
(635)
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
{[\underline{u}} & \text { yè̀-rí } & {[\dot{u}} & \text { HL }_{\text {g } \hat{\jmath}]]} & \text { júgò-m̀̀ } \varnothing \\
{[2 \mathrm{SgSbj}} & \text { come-PfvNeg } & {[2 \mathrm{Sg}} & \left.\left.{ }^{\text {HL }} \mathrm{Poss.InanSg}\right]\right] & \text { know-Ipfv-1SgSbj }
\end{array}
\]
'I know that you-Sg did not come.'
Replacing 2Sg by other pronominal-subject categories, we have the data in (636). Again, the 3 Pl is the only category requiring its specific pronominal-subject suffix on the verb.
a. [ǐ:n yè:-rí kŏ:]
'that I did not come'
[î: yè:-rí [ 1 : \({ }^{\text {L }}\) g̀̀]] 'that we did not come'
[û: yè:-rí [û: \(\left.\left.{ }^{\text {L }} \mathrm{g} \grave{j}\right]\right] \quad\) 'that you-Pl did not come'
b. [ńné yè:-rí nò] 'that he/she did not come'
c. [bû: yè:-ndú [bù \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò \(]\) 'that they did not come'

An example with (positive) reduplicated imperfective verb is (637). The imperfective ending is \(-\grave{m}\) (not \(-\grave{j})\).
(637) [ú yè-yé:-m̀ [ú \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) gô]] júg̀̀-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
[2SgSbj come-Ipfv [2Sg \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) Poss.InanSg]] know-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I know that you-Sg will come.'
With the other pronominal categories, the outputs are in (638). The 3Pl form again has its inflection on the verb.
a. [i:n yè-yé:-m̀̀ kô:] 'that I will come'
[î: yè-yé:-m [î: \({ }^{\text {Lgòj] }] \quad \text { 'that we will come' }}\)
[û: yè-yé:-m̀ [û: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) g̀̀]] 'that you-Pl will come'
b. [ńné yè-yé:-m̀̀ nò] 'that he/she will come'
c. [bû: yè-yé:-m-è [bù \({ }^{\text {L }}\) g̀̀]] 'that they will come'

A (positive) perfective-2 example is (639). With pronominal categories other than 2 Sg , the forms are shown in (640). Again, the 3Pl form stands out.

'I know that you-Sg came.'
a. [ǐ:n yě:-só kŏ:]
'that I came'
[î: yě:-só î: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò ] 'that we came'
[û: yě:-só û: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) g̀̀] 'that you- Pl came'
b. [ńné yě:-só nò] 'that he/she came'
c. [bû: yě:-S-É bù \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò] 'that they came'

Other AN inflections beyond the four illustrated above can also be used in this construction. I have verified this for the perfective-1a (-غ̀rè ), perfective-1b (-tì), and the progressive (-sò ), in each case without pronominal-subject suffixation except in the 3Pl.

In English, there is a basic distinction between \(X\) know that \(P\) and \(X\) know whether \(P\) for some proposition \(P\). In the first case, but not the second, the current speaker presupposes the truth of P (cf. also \(X\) realize that \(P\) ). In Nanga, as generally in languages of the region, this distinction is not made. Therefore e.g. ' X doesn't know that [ Y is sick]' (when Y is in fact sick) is expressed by the same construction that translates ' X doesn't know whether [ Y is sick]'. In this construction, the factive complement is followed by polar interrogative particle ma. The philosophical significance of this distinction between English and Nanga is evident; in Nanga, one simply reports the state of mind of X , while in English the speaker superimposes his/her own knowledge on X's state of mind.
\(\left.\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (641) } & \text { ńné } & {[u ́} & \text { sèllè-rí }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll} & \text { má. }\end{array}\right]\)

\subsection*{17.2.2 Complement clauses for 'see' and 'hear'}

\subsection*{17.2.2.1 Factive complement clause}

In (642), the speaker (who expected the addressee to take the motorcycle away), arrives and sees that the motorcycle is still in its previous location. Here we get a factive complement of the same type used with 'know' (§17.2.1, above).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (642) & [ú & [mòtò: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & gú] &  \\
\hline & [2SgSbj & [motorcycle \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Def.InanSg] & take.away-PfvNeg \\
\hline & [ú & \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g}\) ¢̂]] & yǐ:-só-ý & \\
\hline & [2Sg & \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) Poss.InanSg] & see-Pfv2- & \\
\hline & 'I saw ( & ) that you-Sg d & \(t\) take the motor & cle away.' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (643), the speaker reports what he/she has heard from others. Again, the complement is factive in form.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [ú & bàmàkô= \(\varnothing\) & ńní-m̀ & [ú & \({ }^{\text {LL }} g\) ô]] \\
\hline [2SgSbj & \(B=L o c\) & go-Ipfv & \([2 \mathrm{Sg}\) & \({ }^{\text {HL Poss.InanSg]] }}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{nǔy \({ }^{n}\)-Só-ý} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{hear-Pfv2-1SgSbj} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'I heard (= hear) that you-Sg are going to Bamako.'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
17.2.2.2 Resultative complement with passive \(-y \varepsilon ́\)

When the agent sees that an event has already taken place, the passive construction with \(-y \varepsilon \in\) after an H-toned verb stem, and with tone-dropped subject NP (§9.3.2), may be used. In
(644), what the agent sees is a distressed cow on the ground in a position other than the usual resting position.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline [nànà \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & yégé-yé] & yǐ:-só-ý \\
\hline [cow \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & fall-Pass] & see-Pfv2-1SgSbj \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'I saw the fallen cow.'
This can of course shade into a factive sense: 'I saw that a cow had fallen', since the resulting situation implies a constitutive event.

\subsection*{17.2.2.3 Imperfective complement with -mò}

When the complement of 'see' denotes a durative activity (or an imminent event at the point where it is/was about to happen) that was actually viewed by the agent, a construction with durative subordinator -m̀̀ 'while' (§15.2.1) occurs instead of a factive complement.
a. [nàクá yégé-mò] y̌̌:-Só-ý
[cow fall-while] see-Pfv2-1 SgSbj
'I saw (a/the) cow falling (or: about to fall).'
b. [yì-tદ̀ĝ̂ gìyé bû: gíyé-mò] yǐ:-só-ý
[child-Pl dance(n) 3P1Sbj dance(v)-while] see-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I saw the children dance (= dancing).'

\subsection*{17.2.3 Indicative main clauses}

In some constructions, the "complement" clause has the form of an indicative main clause, with no sign of subordination. One can argue that the apparent higher predicate is really a parenthetical juxtaposition, cf. English parenthetical you know or you see.

\subsection*{17.2.3.1 After tílây \(=\varnothing\) 'it is certain'}
tílây \(=\varnothing\) 'it is certain' may precede an ordinary indicative clause (not factive in form), denoting a future event that is (all but) certain, or a situation or a past event that one infers from strong evidence or reasoning. tílây is a regionally ubiquitous form.
a. tílây \(=\varnothing\)
á:nd \(\hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing\)
ńnî-m- \(\varnothing\)
certainty \(=\) it.is \(\quad\) Anda \(=\) Loc \(\quad\) go-Ipfv- 1 SgSbj
'It's certain (definite) that I will go to Anda.'
b. tílây \(=\varnothing \quad\) séwá:rì \(=\) yè gǒ-èrè- \(\varnothing\)
certainty=it.is S go.out-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'He/She has certainly left Sevare (by now).'

\subsection*{17.2.3.2 With témbí- 'find (that ...)'}
témbí- 'find, encounter' occurs in contexts such as 'I arrived in Mopti only to find that my house had burned'. That is, an eventuality (often an unexpected fact or situation) is discovered by the protagonist after a motion event. The complement is an ordinary indicative clause with no sign of subordination.
(647)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. } & \text { ńné-èr-à } & \text { tèmbì-ỳ } \\ & \text { go-Pfv1a-3P1Sbj } & \text { find.Pfv- } 1 \mathrm{SgSbj} \\ & \text { 'I found that they had gone.' }\end{array}\)
b. [[ìdò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] gô: kúwó-tì- \(\left.\varnothing\right]\) [[house \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] fire burn-Pfv1b-3SgSbj]
yě: tèmbì-ỳ
come find.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I came and found that fire had burned the house (=the house had burned down).'
If the situation found is an event in progress or about to happen, a durative complement with -mò 'while' is used (648), as with 'see' in (645a-b) above.
(648) [[bérî̀ bû: gárná-mò] yě: tèmbì- Ø]
[[goat 3P1Sbj be.put.in.Stat-while] come find.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He came and found that goats were inside.' (2004.02.02)

\subsection*{17.3 Verbal noun (and other nominal) complements}

\subsection*{17.3.1 Structure of verbal noun phrase}

Subjects, objects, and other preverbal phrases are unchanged from main clauses to verbalnoun clauses. There is no compounding (or incorporation) of object nouns. Accusative marking is obligatory for 1 Sg objects (649b). For other objects, whose accusative marking is subject to phonetic attrition, it is optional or in some cases just not clearly audible. A subject NP may also be present, in its usual form (649d).
a. [yî:
súyó-ndé]
èsì = ǹdó- \(\varnothing\) [child hit-VbIN] good=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'Hitting a child is not good.'
b. [ǹjí-ń súyó-ndé] \(\grave{\varepsilon} s i ̀=n ̀ d o ́-\varnothing ~\)
[1Sg-Acc hit-VblN] good=it.is.not- 3 SgSbj
'Hitting me isn't good.'
c. [nàmá kúwó-ndé] jóró-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
[meat eat.meat-VbIN] want-Ipfv- 1 SgSbj
'I want to eat some meat.'
```

d. [ár ${ }^{n}$ à yǎ- $\eta$ súyó-ndé] $̇$ èsì = ǹdó- $\varnothing ~$
[man woman-Sg hit-VbIN] good=it.is.not-3SgSbj
'A man's hitting a woman isn't good.'

```

In constructions involving disjoint subjects in the main and subordinated clauses, other than those of the rather abstract type (649a-d), the verbal-noun clause is additionally followed by a pronominal possessor, as with 'prevent' (see just below).

Other nouns denoting actions, such as cognate nominals, may be substituted for the verbal noun proper, as in (650b) below.

\subsection*{17.3.2 'Prevent' (késé-, gǎ:ndí-)}

The verb kés \(\varepsilon\) - 'cut' can be used in the sense 'prevent (motion), block', and more abstractly 'prevent (an activity)'. The complement is expressed as a verbal-noun clause, with the agent appearing as a postnominal possessor. The possessed NP as a whole is optionally followed by definite inanimate singular \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gù (L-toned form).
a. [[wàgárî= yè
ńné-ndé] kô:
kô: \(\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀\right]\)
\(\left[\left[W=\right.\right.\) Loc go-VbIN] \(\quad\) 1SgPoss.InanSg \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.InanSg]
bòndí kèsè- \(\varnothing\)
rain(n) cut.Pfv-3SgSbj
'The rain prevented me from going (= blocked my going) to Wakara (village).'

Another 'prevent' verb is gǎ:ndí-, which also takes verbal-noun complements ending in a possessor (651).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (651) & á:mádù & [níy \({ }^{n} \varepsilon\) éndé & ǹ] & bû: & gà:nd-à \\
\hline & A & [sleep-VbIN & \(3 \mathrm{SgPoss}]\) & 3P1Sbj & prevent.Pfv-3P1Sbj \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'They prevented Amadou from sleeping.'

\subsection*{17.3.3 'Dare’ (dǎ:rí-)}

This verb takes verbal noun complements. The subjects of the two clauses are coindexed. There is no possessor following the verbal noun.
a. sígé-ndé
dǎ:rá- \(\eta-\) è:
go.down-VblN dare-IpfvNeg-3PlSbj
'They don't dare go down.'
```

b. [pà:クgǒ: báy] béré-ndíyé-ndé dǎ:rá-m̀- ${ }^{w}$ mà
[elephant Dat] approach-Inch-VblN dare-Ipfv-2SgSbj Q
'Do you-Sg dare to get close to the elephant(s)?'
c. [bǎr ${ }^{n}$ tín-ndé] dǎ:r-só- $\varnothing$
[1SgDat speak-VblN] dare-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She dared to speak to me.' ( $\operatorname{bàr}^{n}{ }_{1}$ )

```

\subsection*{17.3.4 'Consent’ (àwá )}

The verb àwá- 'accept, receive, take (sth given)' is also used in the sense 'consent, give one's agreement' with a clausal complement. In (653), the complement's subject is coindexed with that of the higher clause, and there is no possessor of the verbal noun.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ámbérì & yé:-ndé & àwá & \(j \dot{\varepsilon}-\varnothing\) \\
chief & come-VbIN & accept & \(\operatorname{RecPrf}-3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\)
\end{tabular}
'The chief has agreed to come.'

In (654), the two subjects are not coindexed. In one construction, the lower-clause is a verbal noun with following possessor (654a). In another, which has the form of a headless relative (covert head roughly 'the fact'), the complement takes imperfective participial -mì on the verb (654b).
a. [î: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bà:]
[1PlPoss \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) father]
[síkásò î: ńné-ndé [î: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò \(]\) ]
[Sikasso 1PlSbj go-VblN [1Pl \({ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }]}\)
àwá \(\quad j\) è- \(\varnothing\)
accept \(\quad \operatorname{RecPrf}-3 S g S b j\)
'Our father has consented to our going to Sikasso.'

'He did not agree that we do the marriage.'

\subsection*{17.3.5 'Cease’ (dògó-)}
dògó- 'leave, abandon' can also mean 'cease, stop (an activity)'. In many contexts it implies an abrupt or definitive abandonment of the activity, as opposed to 'finish, complete'. It takes a verbal-noun complement, without a possessor (655a). In the perfective positive, the preferred inflection is perfective-1a -tì- rather than perfective-2 -só-. This construction gets some competition from a monoclausal transitive construction with the cognate nominal of a verb, like 'song' from 'sing' (655b).
```

a. [nàmá kúwó-ndé] dògó-tì-\varnothing
[meat eat-VbIN] leave-Pfv1b-3SgSbj
'He/She has ceased to eat meat.'

```
b. nùyá dò-dógò-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
song Rdp-leave-Ipfv- 1 SgSbj
'I will stop singing.'

\subsection*{17.3.6 'Want' (jòró)}

For the different 'want' and 'like, love' verbs and quasi-verbs, see §11.2.4.3 above. jòró- 'want' takes verbal-noun as well as NP complements. In (656), the lower-clause subject is coindexed with the higher-clause subject.
(656)
a. ńné-ndè jóró-m̀̀- \(\varnothing\)
go-VblN want-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I want to go.'

[3Sg-Acc see-VblN] want-IpfvNeg-1PlSbj
'We don't even want to see him/her.'
In (657), the two clauses have different subjects, so the lower-clause subject is expressed as the possessor of a verbal noun.

[2SgPoss \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) father] [here come-VbIN \(\quad\left[2 \mathrm{Sg}{ }^{\text {HL }}\right.\) Poss.InanSg] \(]\)
jórò-ŋ̀̀:- \(\varnothing\)
want-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'Your-Sg father doesn't want you-Sg to come here.'

\subsection*{17.3.7 'Forget' (ìré)}

A partial paradigm of this verb is (658). It is transitive as a simple verb, taking an accusative object. The perfective form in common use is the perfective-1a.
(658) 'Forget'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline perfective-1a & ìré-Èrè- \\
\hline perfective negative & ìrè-rí- \\
\hline imperfective ( 3 Sg ) & ì-Pírè̀ \(\eta\) \\
\hline imperfective negative & írè-ŋゝ̀:- \\
\hline imperative & írâ \\
\hline prohibitive & ìrì-ndá \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In the perfective positive, this verb is commonly chained with a following simple perfective bèsì- 'buried' (659a,c). The verb bèsí' elsewhere ranges from 'bury' to 'set down (for storage)', implying that the item in question will be not be removed from its storage place any time soon.

The 'forget' verb takes verbal noun complements when the lower-clause subject is coindexed to the higher-clause subject and the complement denotes an action that was intended to be carried out ( \(659 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\) ). 'Buried' is omitted in the prohibitive example (659b).
a. [[bìdò: \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\)
gú] témbí-rí-ndé]
[[jug \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnSg] become.wet-Tr-VbIN]
ìré bèsì-ỳ
forget bury.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I forgot to moisten the jug.'
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b. } & {[[\text { sá:gù }} & {[u ́} & \left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{o}\right]\right] & \text { jé:-ndé] }\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { ìrí-ndá } \\ & {[[\text { sack }}\end{aligned}\)
'Don't forget to bring your sack.'
c. yé:-ndé ìré bèsì- \(\varnothing\)
come-VblN forget bury.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He/She forgot to come.'
When the complement denotes a fact that the agent has forgotten, as opposed to an intended action that has slipped his or her mind, 'forget' takes different types of complement. In one construction, the complement is a headless relative clause ending in inanimate singular definite \(g\) ú, which forces tone-dropping on the verbal participle (660a). In another, the complement-clause verb has an AN suffix but no pronominal-subject conjugation, as in relatives, but ends in a postnominal possessor expressing the subject (660b-c). This pattern is possible even when the subject of the complement clause is coindexed with the possessor (660d). For similar factive complements see \(\S 17.2 .2 .1\). A third construction (660e) is translatable 'forget whether P ' rather than 'forget that P ', and the complement contains polar interrogative ma (§13.2.1).
a. [ńné
s \(\grave{\varepsilon} 11 \grave{\varepsilon}-r{ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú]
[3SgSbj be.healthy-Ppl.PfvNeg \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.InanSg]
ìré bèsì-ỳ
forget bury.Pfv- 1 SgSbj
'I forgot that he/she was sick.' (lit. "was not healthy")
b. [sèllè-rí nò] ìré bèsì-ỳ
[be.healthy-PfvNeg 3 SgPoss ] forget bury.Pfv-1 SgSbj
[= (a)]
c. [bû: sèllà-ndú
[bû: \(\left.\left.\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g \grave{\jmath}\right]\right]\)
[3PlSbj be.healthy-PfvNeg.3P1Sbj [3P1 \({ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }]}\)
ìré bèsì-ỳ
forget bury.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I forgot that they were sick.'
d. [sèllè-rí kô:] ìré bèsì-ỳ
[be.healthy-PfvNeg \(1 \mathrm{SgPoss} . I n a n S g] ~ f o r g e t ~ b u r y . P f v-1 S g S b j ~\)
'I forgot that I am sick.'
e. [ú yě:-só-w mà.:] ìré bèsì-ỳ
[2SgSbj come-Pfv2-2SgSbj \(\mathbf{Q}]\) forget bury.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I forget whether/that you-Sg came.'
(mà.: pronounced [mààà] with dying-quail effect, §3.8.3)
'Remember' is illí-rí- (an irregular reversive of 'forget'), or a phonologically unrelated verb nángírí-. The more general verb mă:ndí- 'think' can also be used in the sense 'remember' (cf. English think of). Yet another expression for 'remember' is ìré bèllí-, where ìré- 'forget' is chained to what appears to be an irregular reversive of bèsí- 'bury'.

\subsection*{17.3.8 Obligational (wá:jíbì ‘duty’)}

The noun wá:jíbì 'duty, obligation, ultimately from Arabic and familiar in languages of the zone, indicates that the agent in question has a duty to carry out the activity. The free translation is of the type ' X must VP '. In Nanga, the complement is expressed by a verbalnoun clause, the agent appearing as a possessor. The NP headed by the verbal noun can be taken as the subject (or topic) of wá:jíbì=ì 'it is a duty' (661a). Alternatively, wá:jíbì can take the purposive postposition to form an adverbial phrase within a larger indicative clause. This construction is useful when the event in question has already transpired (661b).
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & \left.\begin{array}{lll}
\text { [séwá:rì = yè } & \text { ńné-ndé } & \text { kŏ:] } \\
& {[\mathrm{S}=\text { Loc }} & \text { go-VbIN }
\end{array} \quad \text { 1SgPoss.InanSg] }\right] & \begin{array}{l}
\text { wá:jíbì= ̀̀ } \\
\text { duty=it.is }
\end{array}  \tag{661}\\
& \text { 'I must go to Sevare.' ("My going to Sevare is a duty.") }
\end{array}
\]

'I had to go to the field.' ("I went to the field [because of a duty].")

\subsection*{17.3.9 'Fear, be afraid to' (ú:-yí-)}

When the complement denotes a hypothetical act whose subject is coindexed with the subject of 'fear' ('be afraid to ...'), the complement is based on a verbal noun (662a-b), or on some other nominal denoting the action, such as the cognate nominal yégî in (662c), which also has an overt possessor. For the forms of the 'fear' verb see §11.2.4.4.
a. [ìgá
yé:-ndé]
ú:-y-દ̀rè- \(\varnothing\)
[here come-VbIN] fear-MP-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
'He/She was afraid to come here.'
b. [ká ńné-ndé ú-Pùwà-y
[there go-VbIN] Rdp-fear.Stat-1SgSbj
'I am afraid to go there.'
c. [yî: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) yègì \(]\) ú-?ùwà-y
[child \(\quad{ }^{\text {L }}\) fall(n)] Rdp-fear.Stat- 1 SgSbj
'I am afraid of the child's falling (=that the child will fall).'

When the complement denotes any other type of eventuality, it takes the form of an imperfective relative complement. A pronominal-subject (if any) is expressed as an independent pronoun.
(663)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { a. } & \text { [ǹjí-ń } & \text { bû: } & \text { súỳ̀-mì } \\ & {[1 S g-A c c} & 3 P 1 S b j & \text { hit-Ppl.Ipfv] }\end{array}\) ú-Pùwà-ỳ \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Rdp-fear.Stat-1SgSbj }\end{array}\)
'I'm afraid he/she will hit me.'
b. [ńné-1́ Ǐ:n súyò-mì] ú-?ùwà- \(\varnothing\)
[3Sg-Acc 1 SgSbj hit-Ppl.Ipfv] Rdp-fear.Stat-3SgSbj
' \(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{x}}\) 's afraid I will hit \(\operatorname{him}_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}}\).'
c. [nǔ: ǹjí yǐ:-mì] ú-Pùwà-y
[person 1SgAcc see-Ppl.Ipfv] Rdp-fear.Stat-1SgSbj
'I am afraid that the person will see me.'
d. [ńné yégè-mì] ú-Rùwà-y
[3SgSbj fall-Ppl.Ipfv] Rdp-fear.Stat-1 SgSbj
'I am afraid that he/she will fall.'

\subsection*{17.3.10 ‘Begin’ (tóró-)}

The 'begin' verb is tóró-. In (664) it is paired with its antonym dìmé- 'finish' (which is covered in the following section just below).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline wàgàti \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & àr \({ }^{\text {náná }}\) & tóró-m̀- \\
\hline time \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & where? & begin-Ipfv-2SgSbj \\
\hline wàgàtì \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & àr \({ }^{n}\) áná & dímé-m- \({ }^{\text {w }}\) \\
\hline time \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & where? & finish-Ipfv-2SgSbj \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'What time do you-Sg begin, (and) what time do you end?'

An overt complement clause sometimes ends in a bare verb stem that is directly chained with the 'begin' verb (665).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[nă: & kó:] & tóró-só- \(\varnothing\) \\
{\([\) meal } & eat.meal] & begin-Pfv2-3SgSbj
\end{tabular}
'He/She began to eat (the meal).'

However, the bulk of my examples involve a verbal-noun or other nominal complement. If there is a cognate nominal associated with a verb, the cognate nominal by itself is sufficient as complement of 'begin' (666a). A compound including an incorporated object can also serve as the complement (666b); see \(\S 5.1 .3\) for the \(\{\mathrm{L}\}-\{\mathrm{HL}\}\) tone combination. The verbal noun in -ndé can be used with any verb (666c-e).
(666)
```

a. kòyô
tóró-tì- $\varnothing$
weeping(n) begin-Pfv1b-3SgSbj
'He/She began to weep.' (cognate nominal, cf. kòyô kóyó- ‘weep')

```
b. ńné pèrgè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{\text {Sémê }}\) tóró-Só- \(\varnothing\)

3 SgSbj sheep \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) slaughter.Nom begin- \(\mathrm{Pfv} 2-3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\)
' \(\mathrm{He} /\) She began to slaughter the sheep \(-\mathrm{Sg} / \mathrm{Pl}\) ', cf. (e) below
c. [nǎ: kó:-ndé] tóró-tì- Ø
[meal eat-VbIN] begin-Pfv1b-3SgSbj
'He/She began to eat (the meal).'
d. [nùyá núŋú-ndé] tóró-tì- \(\varnothing\)
[song sing-VbIN] begin-Pfv \(1 \mathrm{~b}-3 \mathrm{SgSbj}\)
'He/She began to sing (a song).'
e. [ńné pèrgé sémé-ndé] tóró-só- \(\varnothing\)
[3SgSbj sheep slaughter-VbIN] begin-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She began to slaughter the sheep-Sg/Pl', cf. (b) above

\subsection*{17.3.11 'Finish' (dìmé-)}

The complements are parallel to those of 'begin' (just above). Verbal-noun complements are exemplified in (667).
a. [nǎ: kó:-ndé] dímè-ŋò:- \(\varnothing\)
[meal eat-VbIN] finish-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She doesn't stop (= keeps on) eating.'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & {[b \hat{i l:}} & \text { Wárá-ndé } \\ & {[3 \mathrm{PlSbj}} & \text { do.farming-VbIN }]\end{array} \quad\) dìmé-èr-à
'They have finished farming (=weeding).'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { c. } & \text { [tò̀ó } & \text { tón-ndé] } \\ & {[\text { writing(n) }} & \text { write-VbIN] } \\ & \text { 'I havent' (yet) finished writing.' } & \end{array}\)
It is also possible to express similar concepts using a possessed verbal noun or other nominal that functions as the subject of 'finish'.
(668)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([\) [wórî } & {\([b \hat{u}:\)} & \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò \(\left.]\right]\) & dìmé-èrèे- \(\varnothing\) \\
{\([\) farming(n) } & {\([3 \mathrm{P} 1\)} & \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Poss.InanSg]] & finish-Pfv1a-3SgSbj
\end{tabular}
'Their farming work is finished.' (= 'They have finished farming.')

Recent perfect \(j \grave{\varepsilon}\) - (§10.2.1.5) is sometimes used in senses approaching 'finish VP-ing', as in kó: \(j \varepsilon ̀-y . \therefore\), which can mean 'we have (already) eaten' or 'we have (recently) finished eating'. gò-ndó 'cause to go out, take out' appears to be used in the sense 'complete' (building a house) as a directly chained verb at the end of (718) in the sample text.

\subsection*{17.4 Locative verbal noun or other nominal complement}

\subsection*{17.4.1 'Help’ (bǎ:rí-)}

This verb normally takes nominal complements with final locative postposition gá 'in' or variant (§8.2.3), added to a verbal noun (which allows complements), or to some other nominal. This use of the locative might be compared with the pseudo-locative in purposive clauses \(\S 17.6 .3\). Regular verbal-noun examples are in (669).
a. [éw-yé-ndé gá] ǹjīi-ý bă:rí-só- \(\varnothing\)
[sit-MP-VbIN Loc] 1Sg-Acc help-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She helped me to sit down.'
b. á:mádù [ú-ŋ jón-ndé gá] ǹjīi-ń bă:rí-só- \(\varnothing\)

Amadou [2Sg-Acc treat-VbIN Loc] 1Sg-Acc help-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'Amadou helped me to treat you-Sg (medically)'
c. [nàná págí-ndé gá] ǹjí-ý bă:rí-só- \(\varnothing\)
[cow tie-VblN Loc] 1Sg-Acc help-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She helped me to tie up a/the cow.'
In (670), the complement is a locative PP containing a nominal compound with incorporated object. For the compound pattern, see \(\S 5.1 .3\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} &  & מذ̀ & ú-n & bă:rá-m̀- \(\varnothing\) \\
\hline & [letter \({ }^{\text {L }}\) L \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) write.Nom & Loc] & 2Sg-Acc & help-Ipfv-1 SgSbj \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{'I will help you-Sg to write a/the letter.'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

[sheep \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) slaughter.Nom Loc] 1 Sg-Acc help.Imprt
'Help-2Sg me to slaughter a/the sheep!'

' \(\mathrm{He} /\) She helped me to tie up a/the cow.'
A noun wórî, elsewhere used mainly as cognate nominal for wàrá- 'do farming', is the complement in (671).
á:mádù [wór gó] ú-ý bă:r-só- \(\varnothing\)
Amadou [farming(n) Loc] 2Sg-Acc help-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'Amadou helped you-Sg do the farming.'

\subsection*{17.5 Capability and possibility}

\subsection*{17.5.1 'Be able to, can' (bèré-)}

The verb bèré- 'get, obtain' readily combines with a preceding chained VP ending with a verb in bare-stem form (§15.1).. The sense is 'can, be able to'. The two verbs have the same subject, which (if overt) appears to the left of the nonfinal chained VP. This is a direct chain in the sense of \(\S 15.1\).
a.
\[
\begin{array}{lc}
{[b i ́ r a ́} & \text { bìré }]  \tag{672}\\
{[\text { work(n) }} & \text { work(v)] } \\
\text { 'He/She cannot work.' }
\end{array}
\]
bérè-ŋว̀:- \(\varnothing\)
can-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
b. ǹdé bérè-m- \({ }^{W} \quad\) mà
go.up can-Ipfv-2SgSbj \(\quad\) Q
'Can you-Sg go up?'
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { c. } & {[\varepsilon ́: \eta i ́} \\ {[\text { tomorrow }} & \text { yě:] }\end{array}\)
'I can't come come],
bérè-クò- \(y^{n}\)
can-IpfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I can't come tomorrow.'
d. [kúr \({ }^{n} \hat{o}\) írí-yé-mí] bérè-m- \({ }^{w}\) mà
[stone rise-MP-Caus] can-Ipfv. 2 SgSbj Q
'Can you-Sg lift the stone?'

A relative-clause example is (673), confirming that a subject pronoun in a nonsubject relative has its usual position just before the final verb-participle, as expected in a direct chain.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ùsù \(^{\text {L }}\) & yě: & ú
\end{tabular} & bérè-mì \\
day \(^{\text {L }}\) & come & \(\mathbf{2 S g S b j}\) & can-Ppl.Ipfv \\
'the day you-Sg can come' &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{17.5.2 'Be possible to VP'}

\subsection*{17.5.2.1 -yé-'be possible'}

This auxiliary verb is suffixed (or cliticized) to the main verb in (impersonal) 3 Sg subject forms. It is usually imperfective, and is translated as 'be possible'. The negation 'be impossible' is very common. Perfective forms are elicitable (with difficulty). The perfective negative is interesting in that it controls tone-dropping on the main verb as well as on \(-y \varepsilon\) (674d). This is possible evidence for suffixal rather than chained-verb status for \(-y \varepsilon\)-. However, a similar tone-dropping pattern is also found in the experiential perfect negative (§10.2.3.2), although in some other respects the experiential perfect morpheme patterns as a separate auxiliary verb.
a. dògó-yغ̀-ŋ̀̀:- \(\varnothing\)
leave-be.possible-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'It is impossible to leave (it).'
b. [tàgá yànà] [tùmá ná] ǹdé-yè-ทò:- \(\varnothing\)
[shoe Inst] [tree Loc] go.up-be.possible-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'It's impossible to go up a tree with (=wearing) shoes.' (2004.02.01),
c. ìd \(\varepsilon\)-y \(\varepsilon\) - \(\grave{\jmath}\)
go.up-be.possible-Ipfv. 3 Sg
'It's possible to go up.'
d. ǹdè-yè-rí- \(\varnothing\)
go.up-be.possible-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'It was/become impossible to go up.'
e. kúr\({ }^{n} \hat{o} \quad\) bùyó-yغ̀-ŋ̀̀:- \(\varnothing\)
stone break.rock-be.possible-IpfvNeg-3 SgSbj
'The rock is impossible (=too hard) to break.'
The historical relatiionship between inflectable \(-y \varepsilon\) - 'be possible' and the adjective-like passive \(-y \varepsilon ́(\S 9.3 .2)\) is unclear. They are quite distinct grammatically.

\subsection*{17.5.2.2 -só- 'be possible to VP'}

Another minor 'be possible' construction is attested (675). The stem-final vowel shifts to long í: before suffixed -só- \(\varnothing\). No other inflected forms, and no negative counterparts, were accepted. Only a handful of verbs allow this formation, which is not widely used. The suffix resembles sò- 'have' and ordinary AN suffixes -só- (perfective-2) and -sò- (progressive). It is possible that all four elements are etymologically related. It is also possible that the long í: reflects a contraction of the stem-final short vowel with -yध́- (preceding section).
a. ǹdí:-só- \(\varnothing\)
go.up-be.possible-3SgSbj
'It is/was possible to go up (climb).' (ǹdé-)
b. sígí:-só- \(\varnothing\)
go.down-be.possible-3SgSbj
'It is/was possible to go down.'
c. í:-yí:-só- \(\varnothing\)
stand-MP-be.possible-3SgSbj
'It is/was possible to stand.'

\subsection*{17.6 Purposive, causal, and locative clauses}

\subsection*{17.6.1 Purposive clauses with postposition \(d \check{r^{n}}{ }^{n} 1\) 'for'}
17.6.1.1 Positive purposive clause with imperfective -mì and dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) í

The basic purposive-clause construction has an unconjugated imperfective form, ending in invariant -m. This is consistent with an imperfective positive relative clause with participial \(-m i ̀ ~ \sim ~-m ~(§ 14.1 .7 .2), ~ f u n c t i o n i n g ~ a s ~ c o m p l e m e n t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p o s t p o s i t i o n ~ d e ̀ r ~ n ' ~ ' f o r ' . ~\). The subjects of the two clauses may be disjoint or coindexed. The clause is often headless, but it may alternatively be headed by L-toned dày \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) from noun dǎy \({ }^{n}\) 'limit, boundary' or 'manner', as in (678b) below, cf. also (681a-b) farther below. A literal translation of the construction is 'for [(a) limit/goal that ...]', cf. English to the end that ...

In the frequent case where the two clauses have the same subject, the subject is not overtly expressed in the purposive clause. Therefore the purposive clause [[ńdô pó:-m̀] dèr \({ }^{n}\) í] 'in order to replaster the house' in (676) remains unchanged when the pronominal category of the subject (expressed on 'go up' at the end) is changed to \(3 \mathrm{Sg}, 1 \mathrm{Pl}\), or whatever.
[[ńdô pó:-m̀] dèrní] [[bàrkô: gò] ńdè-m-è]
[[house replaster-Ppl.Ipfv] Purp] [[barrel Loc] go.up-Ipfv-3P1Sbj]
'They will go up (and stand) on the barrel in order to replaster the house (= ceiling).'
Another example of this construction is (677).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(677)} & [[ámbérì & bày] & dàmá & dámá-m̀] & \(\left.d e ̀ r{ }^{n} 1{ }^{\prime}\right]\) \\
\hline & [[chief & Dat] & speaking(n) & speak-Ppl.Ipfv] & Purp] \\
\hline & yè-ỳ: & & & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{'We have come in order to speak with the chief.'}} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When the main clause and the purposive clause have distinct subjects, if the subject of the purposive clause is pronominal, it is expressed as a proclitic, as in nonsubject relatives (678a-b).

'I put the pot down, so that they (could) eat.'
b. [[á:nd \(\hat{\varepsilon}=\varnothing\) dà \(y^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) î: ńní-m̀] dèrrì]
[[Anda=Loc manner \({ }^{\text {L }}\) 1PlSbj go-Ppl.Ipfv] Purp]
[lغ̀gèsô: [á \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) ĝ̂]] 1 î:-ŋ̀ ńdí-só- Ø
[bicycle [3ReflSg \({ }^{\text {HL Poss.InanSg]] 1Pl-Acc give-Pfv2-3SgSbj }}\)
'He \({ }_{\mathrm{x}}\) gave us his \(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}\) bicycle, so that we (could) go to Anda.'

\subsection*{17.6.1.2 Negative clause with dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) and prohibitive -rá}
 negative purposive clause. The verb has -rá suffix or variant, which (in this construction) is used for plural as well as singular addressee. Prohibitive plural -rá-ndì does not occur in such clauses.

In (679a-b), the subjects of the two clauses are distinct. In (679b), note suffix -rá even with (animate) plural subject.
```

a. dèwí ké:ndè-mì-y.:
roof fix-Ipfv-1PlSbj
[[gă: ${ }^{n}$ yègí-rá] dèr ${ }^{n} 1$ í]
[[beam fall-Proh] Purp]
'We'll fix the roof, so the roof beam(s) won't fall.'

```
b. [ǹdò-nò: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] késé dògò-y. \(\therefore\),
[house-mouth \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.InanSg] cut leave.Pfv-1P1Sbj,
[[pèrgغ̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] [màngòrò \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú]
[[sheep \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Def.AnPl] [mango \(^{\text {L }}\) Def.InanSg]
kó:-rá] dèrní]
eat-Proh] Purp]
'We (have) blocked the doorway, so that the sheep-Pl will not eat the mango(es).'

A same-subject example is (680).
(680) [nǎ: áyà-mì-y: \(\therefore]\) [[[ósú gó] túy-rá] dèrní] [meal take-Ipfv-1P1Sbj] [[[road Loc] die-Proh] Purp]
'We'll take food (along), so as not to die (= starve) on the way.'
17.6.1.3 Negative clause with imperfective negative -ŋ̀̀: and dèr \(r^{n}\) í

Another negative purposive clause type has unconjugated imperfective negative suffix - \(\eta \grave{y}\) : on the verb. The form is consistent with a relative clause, headed by L-toned dày \({ }^{n L}\) 'manner' or 'limit', cf. (678b) above. If the subject is pronominal, it is expressed as a preverbal pronoun. This construction is an alternative to the type with prohibitive -rá, discussed just above. The subjects of the two clauses may be disjoint (681a) or coindexed (681b). Literal translations might be "in such a way (or: to such an extent) that you-Sg do not sleep," etc.

```

b. [chaise gà] ná:-m̀-\varnothing,
[chair Loc] spend.night-Ipfv-1SgSbj

```

```

    [[sleeping(n) manner }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{L}}\mathbf{1SgSbj sleep-Ppl.IpfvNeg] Purp]
    'I will spend the night in a chair, so as not to sleep.'
    ```

\subsection*{17.6.2 Purposive-like imperfective participial clause (-mì ) without dèrní}

A clause with unconjugated imperfective -mì, without postposition dèr \({ }^{n}\) í, also occurs in contexts allowing a purposive construal. However, in cases like (682) it is difficult to tease apart the specifically purposive element ('sit in order to eat') from the mere temporal simultaneity of 'be siting' (stative) and 'eat', in the absence of an explicit purposive morpheme.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[nǎ: \(\quad\) kó:-mì] & èw-yè-yè \\
[meal & eat-Ppl.Ipfv] & sit-MP.Stat-3PlSbj \\
'They are & sitting in order to eat.'
\end{tabular}

For imperfective relative complements of 'fear', see \(\S 17.3 .9\).

\subsection*{17.6.3 Purposive clause with motion verb and pseudo-locative gó}

In the purposive clause-type favored with main-clause motion verbs (especially 'go' and 'come'), assuming a positive purposive clause and coindexation of the subjects ('X went in order to VP'), the verb of the purposive clause occurs in its bare stem, but is tone-dropped, and is followed by gó. This construction has no negative counterpart.
a. [[á
\({ }^{\text {HL }}\) bâ:] jè: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gó] ǹnè- \(\varnothing\) [[3ReflSgPoss \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) father] bring \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Purp] go.Pfv-3SgSbj
'She \({ }_{x}\) went to in order to bring her \(_{\mathrm{x}}\) father.' (<jě:)
b. [gô: gìy \(\grave{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{L}}\) gó] ǹn \(\mathrm{E}-\grave{y}^{n}\)
[fire kill \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Purp] go.Pfv-1SgSbj
'I went in order to put out the fire.'
c. [nî: nò: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gó] \(y\)-ò:
[water drink \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Purp] come.Pfv-3PlSbj
'They came in order to drink the water.'
d. á [kú kò:-mì \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gó] ńnî:-sò- \(\varnothing ~ w a ̀ ~\) 3LogoSgSbj [InanSg sew-Caus \({ }^{\text {L }}\) Purp] go-Prog-3SgSbj Quot '(She said:) I am going in order to have that sewn.' (2004.02.03)

Here is a hypothesis, far from certain, about the origin of this construction. Consider a sequence meaning *‘in/at/on the drinking of water' in the case of (683c). This would have been something like *nî: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) nò:-X kú gó/gá, with nî: 'water' as possessor, some nominal based on nǒ: 'drink' in L-toned form due to the possessor-controlled \(\{\mathrm{L}\}\) and also due to the
following determiner, then an inanimate singular definite marker and an allomorph of the locative suffix. Elsewhere, inanimate singular definite \(k u ́ \sim g u ́ \sim\) ẃ combines with the otherwise highly variable locative postposition as invariant gá (§8.2.3.2), so this scenario would require a divergent contraction to invariant gó in this case. However, both gá and gó occur as simple (indefinite) locative allomorphs. Or perhaps an archaic purposive postposition, distinct from the locative, occurred in the present construction. Possible purposive postposition cognates include Ben Tey ǧn and Jamsay \(j \dot{\varepsilon}\), which are offshoots of a 'say' verb. In any event, a definite-locative contraction analysis would explain why gó is invariant in this construction, unaffected by the phonological form of the nominalized verb, whereas the simple locative has a range of variants, reflecting the vocalism and nasality of the noun (§8.2.3.1).

The possessor-possessum portion of this reconstruction receives unexpected support from a mysterious linking morpheme m̀, not otherwise attested, found between the object NP and the verb in one textual passage (684). It may be related to genitive linkers in some other Dogon languages, the most productive of which are Tommo So mı̀ and Jamsay mà.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [ńné & Q & \(\left[g \grave{r}{ }^{n}{ }^{\text {i }}\right.\) L & yěy] & mう̀ & kò:-mì \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & gó] \\
\hline [3Sg & Quot] & [gear \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Dem.InanPl] & Poss(?) & sew-Caus \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Purp] \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{yè:-rí- \(\varnothing\)} & má \(\rightarrow\) & & & \\
\hline come & fv.Neg & SgSbj & Q & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'(She asked:) Hey you-Sg, did you-Sg not come in order to have that houseware there sewn up?' (2004.02.03)

This diachronic speculation has some obstacles to overcome, but it is not entirely fanciful.
This apparent use of the locative postposition might be compared with its occurrence in complements of 'help' (§17.4.1).

\subsection*{17.6.4 Causal ('because') clause (ságù)}
ságù comes at the end of the 'because' clause, which has the form of a normal main clause.
(685) ósî \(\grave{s} s i ̀=\) ǹdó- \(\varnothing\) ságù,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline road & good=StatNeg-3SgSbj & \\
\hline [isè \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & gól ñné & bérè-ŋj̀-y.: \\
\hline [village \({ }^{\text {L }}\) & Loc] & can-IpfvNeg-1 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'We can't go to the village because the road isn't good.'
Nanga ságù might reflect a cross between some combination of the following ingredients. First, 'because of X ' adverbials and 'because ...' clauses in Dogon and other Malian languages contain forms like sábù and sábá:bù, going back to Arabic sabab- 'reason, cause'. Nanga has a noun sábá:bì 'reason', and clause-initial sábù 'because' is common in neighboring languages like Jamsay and Bankan as well as Fulfulde. Second, there is a form *sàgú (e.g. Ben Tey sǎw, Jamsay and Toro So sàgú ) that occur in formulaic expressions like '(I put X) in God's trust and in your trust'. Third, Jamsay and Togo Kan sógò, whose relationship to the two earlier sets is unclear, means 'because of' or 'for the sake of' in some contexts. So one possibility is that Nanga ságù is a hybrid of some sort.

\subsection*{17.6.5 'Because of (NP)' (dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) í)}

The purposive postposition \(\operatorname{dèr}^{n \prime}\) (§8.3) usually means 'for X ', but in some contexts it can be glossed 'because of X ', as in (686). The difference is prospective 'for' versus retrospective 'because of'.
(686) [ńdó gó] [bòndí dèr \({ }^{n} 1\) í] nù- \(y^{n}\). [house Loc] [rain(n) Purp] go.in.Pfv-1PlSbj 'We went into the house because of the rain.'

\section*{18 Anaphora}

The most important anaphoric morphemes are singular á and plural â: . They can be thirdperson reflexive (generally coindexed to a clausemate subject), or logophoric (coindexed to the author of a quoted utterance or thought). Their functions are covered in this chapter, along with reciprocals and certain emphatic pronoun.

\subsection*{18.1 Reflexive}

\subsection*{18.1.1 Third person reflexive object (á-ý, plural â:-ỳ)}

If the subject is first or second person, the regular object form of the pronominal is used for a coindexed direct object, with no explicit reflexive marking (687). As always, the accusative suffix - \(\boldsymbol{y}\) may or may not be audible, except in the distinctive 1 Sg accusative form (contrast independent 1 Sg pronoun \(\check{\check{:}}:^{n}\) ).

b. î:-ŋ̀ késé-só-ý.: 'We cut ourselves.'
c. ú-í \(k \varepsilon ́ s \varepsilon ́-\)-só-Ẃ 'You-Sg cut yourself.'
d. û:-ŋ̀ késé-só-ẃ.: 'You-Pl cut yourselves.'

If the subject is third person (pronoun or NP), the coindexed direct object is the accusative form of 3Reflexive singular á or 3Reflexive plural â:, depending on grammatical number ( \(688 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}\) ). In ( 688 d ), the 3Reflexive pronoun could alternatively be either reflexive or logophoric, or both simultaneously, since both coindexation relationships are present. In nonlogophoric contexts, I gloss á and â: as "3ReflSg" and "3ReflPl," respectively, in interlinears.
```

a. á- 1 र́ kéś--só- $\varnothing$ 3ReflSg-Acc cut-Pfv2-3SgSbj

```
'He cut himself.' or 'She cut herself.'

3ReflPI-Acc cut-Pfv2-3PISbj
'They cut themselves.'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { c. á:mádù } & \text { á-1́n } & \text { késé-só- } \varnothing \\ \text { A } & \text { 3ReflSg-Acc } & \text { cut-Pfv2-3SgSbj }\end{array}\)
'Amadou cut himself.'
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
d. á:mádù ńné & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ kìyè-sè ̀̀-ndé } & \\
A & 3SgSbj & said & \\
[á-1́ & & késé-Só- \(\varnothing\) ] & wà \\
[3ReflSg-Acce & cut-Pfv2-3SgSbj] & Quot
\end{tabular}
'Amadou said that he cut himself.'
18.1.2 Third person reflexive PP complement (á, â:)
( \(689 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}\) ) involve dative complements that are coindexed to the clausemate subject. With a first or second person pronominal, like 1 Sg in (689a), the regular dative form is used. When a third person pronominal is coindexed to the subject, the regular \(3 S g\) ńné is replaced by 3Reflexive singular á (689b), and the regular 3Pl bû: is replaced by 3Reflexive plural â: (689c).
a. kě:r̂̂ bàrní tíy-só-ý
money 1Sg.Dat send-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I sent the money to myself.'
b. á:mádù kě:rê [á báy] tíy-só- \(\varnothing\)

Amadou money [3ReflSg Dat] send-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'Amadou sent the money to himself.'
c. kě:rê [â: bày] tíy-s-દ́
money [3ReflPl Dat] send-Pfv2-3PlSbj
'They sent the money to themselves.'
The same third person reflexive forms occur with other postpositions (adpositions), like 'under' in (690). However, in such compound postpositions the pronominal may better be taken as a possessor (see the following section).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline á:mádù & [dòsí & [á & \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g}\) ¢ \(\left.]\right]\) & sùngó & bèrè- \(\varnothing\) \\
\hline Amadou & [under & [3ReflSg & \({ }^{\text {HL Poss.Inan]] }}\) & boubou & get.Pfv-3SgSbj \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{'Amadou found (a/the) boubou under himself.'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{18.1.3 Third person reflexive possessor (á, â:)}

When the possessor of a direct object or other nonsubject NP is coindexed to the clausemate subject, if the possessor is pronominal we get the same patterns seen for accusatives. For first or second person, the regular possessor forms are used (691). As a reminder, some pronominal possessors precede the possessed noun if it is a kin term (§6.2.2) as in (691a), otherwise the pronominal possessor combines with a possessive classifier and follows the noun (§6.2.1) as in (691b).
a. [ú
\({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) bâ:]
\({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) father]
yǐ:-só-w
see-Pfv2-2SgSbj
[2SgPoss \({ }^{\text {'You-Sg saw your father.' }}\),
```

b. [n\varepsiloǹrn\hat{1} y\check{z:] yǐ:-Só-ý}
[dog 1SgPoss.AnSg] see-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I saw my dog.'

```

If a third person possessor is coindexed to the clausemate subject, 3Reflexive pronouns (á, â:) function as possessors. The positioning of the reflexive-possessor pronoun is the same as for those other pronominals ( \(1 \mathrm{Pl}, 2 \mathrm{Sg}, 2 \mathrm{Pl}\), and 3 Pl ) that precede kin terms but follow other nouns.
(692)
a. \(\left[n \grave{r} r^{n}\right.\)
[á \(\quad \mathrm{HL} y \hat{\varepsilon}]]\)
yǐ:-só- \(\varnothing\)
[dog [3ReflSg
\({ }^{H L}\) Poss.AnSg]] see-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'She saw her (own) dog.'
b. [nèr \(\left.{ }^{n \hat{1}} \quad\left[\hat{a}: \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}} y \grave{̀}\right]\right] \quad\) y̌̌:-S- \(\varepsilon\)
[dog [3ReflPl \({ }^{\text {L}}\) Poss.AnPl] \(]\) see-Pfv2-3P1Sbj
'They saw their (own) dog.'
c.
\({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) bâ:]
yǐ:-só- \(\varnothing\)
[3RefiSgPoss
\({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) father]
see-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'She saw her (own) father.'
d. [â:
[3ReflPIPoss
\({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bà:]
\({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) father]
yǐ:-S-É
see-Pfv2-3P1Sbj
'They saw their (own) father(s).'
e. [ńdô [á \(\left.\left.{ }^{H L} g \hat{\imath}\right]\right]\) yǐ:-Só- \(\varnothing\)
[house [3ReflSg \({ }^{\text {HL }}\) Poss.InanSg]] see-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He saw his (own) house.'
f. [ńdô
[house
[â: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) yè]]
\({ }^{\text {LPoss.InanPl] }}\) ]
yǐ:-S-غ́
'They saw their (own) houses.'

\subsection*{18.1.4 Emphatic pronouns}

\subsection*{18.1.4.1 With màr \({ }^{n a ́}\) 'self'}

Emphatics with adverbial màr \({ }^{n}\) á following an independent pronoun are in (693a-b). When the referent is spelled out by a name or other nonpronominal NP, it is topicalized. It is followed by a resumptive pronoun with màr \({ }^{n}\) á (693c). The specific type of emphasis here is exclusionary. Where it might have been expected that X would perform the activity with the help of others, he/she does it without help. For this exclusionary sense, see also the construction with tùmâ (following section). Or, where it might have been expected that X would have someone else perform the activity, he/she does it in person. Regular (not anaphoric) third-person pronouns, e.g. 3Sg ńné, occur in this construction.
(693)
```

a. [yî: [child [3ReflSg Poss.AnSg]]
tìyغ̀-rí-\varnothing,
[ńn\varepsiloń màrná] yè:-\varnothing
[3SgSbj self] come.Pfv-3SgSbj
'He didn't send his son, (rather) he came himself.

```
b. [î: màr \({ }^{n}\) á \(]\) wárà-mì-y:
[1P1 self] farm-Ipfv-1P1Sbj
'We will do the farming ourselves.'
c. hàmídû [ńné màrná] ǹnè- \(\varnothing\)

Hamidou [3Sg self] go.Pfv-3SgSbj
'Hamidou went himself (in person).'
Most examples involve subjects, but this is not a syntactic requirement. In (694), the relevant pronoun is an accusative functioning as direct object.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline [yî: & \(y \check{\varepsilon}:]\) & nà: \(r^{n}\) à-r \(r^{n} 1\) - \(\varnothing\), \\
\hline [child & 1SgPoss.Anim] & call-PfvNeg-3SgSbj \\
\hline [ǹjí-ŕ] & màr \({ }^{\text {ná] }}\) & jà: \(r^{n \grave{1}}\) - \(\varnothing\) \\
\hline [1Sg-Acc & self] & call.Pfv-3SgSbj \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{'She didn't call my son, she called me myself (i.e. directly).'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
18.1.4.2 With tùmâ or variant tùmáy \({ }^{n}\) 'one/alone'

The stem tùmâ 'one', in context also 'single, sole' or 'alone, solitary', elsewhere patterns as a modifying adjective (§4.7.1.1). It can also function adverbially in a construction meaning ' X alone', 'X by him/herself' (i.e. without accompaniment or assistance). In one pattern, tùmâ follows an independent pronoun. Here it is optionally followed, somewhat redundantly, by sǎy 'only'. In this adverbial construction, tùmâ has a variant tùmáy \({ }^{n}\) (695b) that is not used as a simple numeral. See also tùmá(-yध́) 'nothing but, exclusively' in §19.4.3.
a. [ú tùmâ (sày)] késध́ bérè-クò-W \({ }^{n}\)
[2Sg alone (only)] cut.up can-IpfvNeg-2SgSbj
'You can't cut up the meat alone.'
b. [ǐ:n tùmáy \({ }^{n}\) [jìnjà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú]
[1Sg alone] [water.jar \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg]
ǎy írí-yé-m bérè-ŋò-y \({ }^{n}\)
take rise-MP-Caus can-IpfvNeg-1 SgSbj
'I can't lift the water jar by myself.'

If the subject is nonpronominal, it is treated as a topicalized NP and resumed by a 3Reflexive pronoun (696).
a. [yì-tègغ̀ \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] [â: tùmâ] [child- \(\mathrm{Pl}^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] [3ReflPl alone] [jìnjà \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] ǎy bérè- \(\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}\) : [water.jar \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] take can-IpfvNeg-3P1Sbj
'The children can't pick up the water jar by themselves (=without help).'
b. [bǎ: yě:] [á tùmáy \(\left.{ }^{n}\right]\) wàrá
[father 1SgPoss.AnSg] [3ReflSg alone] do.farming
bérغ̀-ŋว̀:- \(\varnothing\)
can-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj
'My father cannot do the farming by himself.'

\subsection*{18.1.4.3 With \(k u\) û: 'head'}

Possessed forms of 'head' are used as emphatic alternatives to ordinary pronominal possessors (including third person reflexive possessors) when coindexed to the clausemate subject. 'Head' is singular in this construction even with plural possessor. In (697a-b), the possessed noun is a cognate nominal associated with the verb.
a. \(\quad[[k \hat{u}: \quad[\hat{1}\)
[î: \(\quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò \(\left.]\right] \quad{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bìrà \(]\)
bírè-mì-y.:
[[head [1Pl \({ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }] ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) work(n)] work-Ipfv-1PlSbj
'We work for ourselves.' (lit.: "we do [the work [of our head]]")
b. [[kû: [â: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gò \(\left.]\right]\) \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bìrà \(]\) bírè-m-
[[head [3ReflPl \({ }^{\text {L Poss.InanSg] }] ~}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) work(n)] work-Ipfv-3P1Sbj
'They work for themselves.'
c. [[kû: kô:] \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bìrà \(] \quad\) bírè-m̀- \(\varnothing\)
[[head 1SgPoss.InanSg] \({ }^{\text {L }}\) work(n)] work-Ipfv-1SgSbj
'I work for myself.'

\subsection*{18.1.4.4 With yó}

Ǐ:" yó 'I myself' is attested only once, in a textual passage where the speaker wonders where he (as opposed to someone else) is being asked to speak on a topic. See (179c) in §7.1.1. This is tonally distinct from \(\check{:}:^{n}\) yò 'I and ...' with the conjunctive particle (§7.1).

\subsection*{18.2 Logophoric and indexing pronouns}

\subsection*{18.2.1 True third person logophoric function}

In a quotation (of speech or thought) attributed to one or more third persons (i.e. not the current speaker or addressee), any occurrence of a pronoun coindexed to the attributed speaker(s) takes logophoric form. The forms are singular á and plural â:, which we have already seen in third-person reflexive function (§18.1). In quotations, á represents an embedded 'I', and â: an embedded 'we'.

In nonsubject functions, á and \(\hat{a}\) : have the same forms and linear positions as other pronouns. For example, they have regular accusative and dative forms (accusative á- 1 and â:-ŋ̀̀, dative á báy and â: bày, respectively). They pattern with pronouns rather than with nouns as possessors, notably by combining with possessive classifiers and following alienably possessed nouns (i.e. other than kin terms), whereas nonpronominal NPs precede the possessed noun (698).
a.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ńdô & [ú & \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g}\) ¢ \(]\) & 'your-Sg house' \\
\hline ńdô & [ \(\hat{1}\) & \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò] & 'your-Pl house' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ńdô & [á & \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{g}\) ¢ \(]\) & 'his-Logo house' \\
\hline ńdô & [â: & \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gò] & 'their-Logo house \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
c. á:mádù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ǹdò
yǎ: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) ńdô
'Amadou's house'
'(a/the) house of women'

However, logophorics in subject function in main clauses pattern like nouns, preceding the verb and requiring the verb (in a simple main clause) to agree with them. á requires a 3 Sg suffix (usually zero, but nonzero in the imperfective positive), while â: requires a 3 Pl suffix on the verb (699b).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{a.} & \(n \varepsilon r^{n}{ }^{\text {in }}\) & súyó-só-Ẃ & 'you-Sg hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline & \(n \varepsilon r^{n} \hat{1}\) & súyó-só-w. & 'you-Pl hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline b. á & \(n \varepsilon r^{n}{ }_{1}\) & súyó-só- \(\varnothing\) & 'He/She-Logo hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline â: & \(n \varepsilon r^{n i ̂}\) & súyó-s-દ́ & 'They-Logo hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline c. á:mádù & \(n \varepsilon r^{n \hat{1}}\) & súyó-só- \(\varnothing\) & 'Amadou hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline yǎ: & \(n \varepsilon r^{n i ̂}\) & súyó-s-غ́ & '(The) women hit (a/the) dog.' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Incidentally, \((699 \mathrm{c})\) brings out the communicative importance of NP tonosyntax. If nèr \({ }^{n \hat{1}}\) 'dog' were tone-dropped to \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \grave{i}\), á and \(\hat{a}\) : would be parsed as possessors rather than subjects, e.g. [á \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} n \grave{\varepsilon} r^{n} \grave{i}\) ] súyó-só- \(\varnothing\) 'he hit [his (own) dog'.

In subject function in nonsubject relative clauses, logophorics do not behave like nonpronominal NPs. The latter precede all verbs in a direct chain, frequently with a resumptive third person subject pronoun immediately preceding the final verb (i.e. the participle); see \(\S 14.1 .8\). Logophorics take the same position as do other subject pronouns in relatives, i.e. immediately preceding the final participle. (700a-c) are main clauses, for comparison. (701a-c) are versions of (700a-c) converted to adverbial (hence nonsubject) relatives. 3 Sg ńné, either as simple pronominal subject (701a) or as resumptive (701b), and 3Logophoric pronouns (701c), immediately precede sígé- 'go down', following the chained verb tómbó 'jump'. Therefore 3Logophoric á as subject has a different position relative to the nonfinal chained verb in main (700c) and relative (701c) clauses.
main clauses
```

a. tómbó sígé-só- }
jump go.down-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She jumped down.'

```
b. \(\left[a ̀ r^{n a ̀}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.\) né] tómbó sígé-só- \(\varnothing\) [man \({ }^{L}\) Def.AnSg] jump go.down-Pfv2-3SgSbj 'The man jumped down.'
c. á tómbó sígé-só- \(\varnothing\) wà 3LogoSgSbj jump go-down-Pfv2-3SgSbj Quot ' \(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{x}}\) said that he \(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}\) jumped down.'
a. ùsù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tómbó ńné sìgè-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\) jump \(\mathbf{3 S g S b j}\) go.down-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg 'the day he/she jumped down'
b. \(u ̀ s \grave{u}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\left[\right.\) àr \(\left.{ }^{n a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad n \varepsilon ́\right]\)
day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\left[\operatorname{man}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\right.\) Def.AnSg]
tómbó (ńné) sigè-sè \({ }^{\text {L }}\) gú
jump (3SgSbj) go.down-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg
'the day the man jumped down'
c. [ùsù \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tómbó á sìgè-sè \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) gú] wà [day \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) jump 3LogoSgSbj go.down-Ppl.Pfv \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.InanSg] Quot ' \(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{x}}\) said, the day he \(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}\) jumped down, ...'

So, overall, 3Logophoric pronouns are treated for syntactic purposes variously like pronouns or like nonpronominal NPs.

A logophoric is not normally used when the attributed speaker is the current speaker or addressee.
a. yě: bérè- \(ŋ \grave{\partial}-y^{n} \quad\) (wà)
come can-IpfvNeg-1SgSbj (Quot)
'(I) said that I can't come.'
b. yě: bérè-ŋò-W \(W^{n}\) Wà
come can-IpfvNeg-2SgSbj Quot
'(You-Sg) said that you-Sg can't come.'
If the pronominal is plural, and its reference includes the attributed speaker (along with at least other person who is not a speech-event participant), the logophoric plural category is valid. In other words, an embedded 'we' in a quotation attributed to a single speaker appears as logophoric plural.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline á:mádù & ก́nย์ &  & \\
\hline Amadou & 3Sg & said & \\
\hline [â: & mótì = yè & ńní-m- \({ }^{\text {c }}\) ] & wà \\
\hline [3LogoPISbj & Mopti=Loc & go-Ipfv-3PISbj] & Quot \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'Amadou \({ }_{x}\) said that they \({ }_{x y}\) (e.g. Amadou and Seydou) are going to Mopti.'

\subsection*{18.2.2 Relative clause subject coindexation}

The subject of a relative clause can be expressed as a 3Reflexive pronoun to coindex it to the third-person subject of the main clause. In (704a), the subject of both clauses is 1 Sg . As usual in nonsubject relative clauses, a pronominal subject takes proclitic form. When 1 Sg is replaced by a singular third person subject, the relative clause has 3Reflexive singular á as subject (704b). A plural third person subject requires 3Reflexive plural â: (704c).

\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { kár }_{\text {nì-m̀ }} \text { do-Ipfv-1SgSbj } \tag{704}
\end{align*}
\]

'Amadou will do as much as he can.'
c. [nù: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bû:] [dày \({ }^{n \mathrm{~L}}\) â: górnj̀-mì] kár \({ }^{n i ̀}-m-\grave{\varepsilon}\)
[person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] [limit \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) 3ReflPISbj be.able-Ppl.Ipfv] do-Ipfv-3PISbj
'The people will do what they can.'
Examples showing that the targeted relative-clause subject must be coindexed to the subject, not to some other NP, in the main clause are in (705). The requirement is met in (705a), but not in (705b), which therefore has an ordinary 3 Sg subject pronoun in the relative clause.
a. \(\left[1 i ̀: g i^{L}\right.\) á jìyé-sè \(]\) ǹjí- \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) á ké:rí-só- \(\varnothing\)
[bird \({ }^{\text {L }}\) 3LogoSgSbj kill-Ppl.Pfv] 1Sg-Acc show-Pfv2-3SgSbj
' \(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{x}}\) showed me a bird that he \(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{x}}\) (had) killed.'

'I showed him \({ }_{x}\) the damage that he (or: she \({ }_{\mathrm{y}}\) ) had made.'

\subsection*{18.2.3 Topic-indexing function}

In texts, after a discourse referent is introduced, this referent may subsequently be indexed by singular á or plural â: in subject or preclausal topic function. For example, in (737) in the sample text, Hare and Hyena are introduced, then referred to as â: wóy 'they two' as topic/subject of the next clause.

\subsection*{18.3 Reciprocal}

\subsection*{18.3.1 Simple reciprocals (từ:) with H-toned pronouns}

The reciprocal is used when a plural direct object or other nonsubject NP is coindexed in a distributive fashion with a plural clausemate subject. The reciprocal form is invariant tư: for
any pronominal category of subject. This form differs (slightly) in tone from the noun tǔ: 'agemate'. It behaves like a noun, and may take a postposition or accusative \(-\eta\).
a. tû:
yǐ:-só-y.:
Recip see-Pfv2-1P1Sbj
'We saw each other.'
b. [tû: yàyà] jòríyé-s-દ
[Recip Comit] fight-Pfv2-3PlSbj
'They fought with each other.'
c. tû:-ŋ̀ súyó-só-ý.:

Recip-Acc hit-Pfv2-1P1Sbj
'We hit each other.'

The reciprocal pronoun is optionally preceded by a plural pronominal possessor. A preposed pronominal possessor is grammatically correct for possession of a kin term or similar relationship term (including tǔ: 'agemate'); see §6.2.2.1. The normal forms of such possessor pronouns are \(1 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{1}\) :, \(2 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{u}:\), and 3Reflexive plural â:, with \(\{H L\}\) tone. However, in combination with the reciprocal the pronominal is \(\mathbf{H}\)-toned. The reciprocal morpheme is L-toned tù:, as it would have been as a possessed noun after an \(\{\mathrm{HL}\}\)-toned possessor. In this combination it does not allow the accusative morpheme. Thus first person í: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tù:, second person ú: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tù:, third person á: \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tù: . This tonal quirk permits audible distinctions between reciprocals like (707a) and simple combinations of a pronominal possessor with 'agemate', as in (707b). With a pronominal possessor other than \(1 \mathrm{Pl}, 2 \mathrm{Pl}\), or 3Reflexive plural, only the latter reading would be possible anyway, as in \((707 \mathrm{c})\).
a. [í:
\({ }^{\text {L }}\) tù:]
\({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Recip]
'We saw each other.'
b. \([1\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[î:: & \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) tù:] \\
[1PlPoss & \({ }^{\text {Lagemate] }}\)
\end{tabular}
'We saw our agemate(s).'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { c. } & {[b \hat{\mathrm{u}}:} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { tù: }\right] \\ & {[3 P 1 \text { Poss }} & \left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \text { agemate }\right]\end{array}\)
'They \({ }_{x}\) saw their \({ }^{\mathrm{y}}\) (another group's) agemate(s).'

\subsection*{18.3.2 'Together’(bèndèy)}

This is expressed with a morpheme bèndèy preceded by a pronominal denoting a nonsingular set. It is used in intransitive and transitive clauses where the subjects acted in concert. Since the subject is coindexed with the 'together' pronominal, the 3 Reflexive plural form of the pronominal is required for third persons. The forms are irregular and are given in (708). One expects \#î: bèndèy, \#û: bèndèy, and \#â: bèndèy, with the usual long vowel and HL-tone of these pronouns. Instead, the vowel is H-toned (as in reciprocals, preceding section), and it is optionally shortened. The L-tone on bèndèy is consistent with an original *HL tone on a
preceding possessor. In other contexts, the shortened versions 2 Pl ú and 3Reflexive plural á would be confused with the corresponding singulars, but since bèndèy is used only in the context of collective action, no mis-parsing is possible. The fact that the first person form has \(i_{1}(:)\) from \(1 \mathrm{Pl} \hat{1}\) : rather than from nasalized \(1 \mathrm{Sg} \check{1}:{ }^{n}\) confirms this analysis.
(708)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
category & form \\
& \\
1 Pl & í(:)
\end{tabular}\({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bèndèy

Examples are in (709).
(709)
a. [í(:) \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bèndèy] yè-ỳ.
[1Pl \({ }^{\text {L }}\) together] come.Pfv-1PlSbj
'We came together.'
b. [nù: \({ }^{\text {L }}\) bû:] [á(:) \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bèndèy]
[person \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) Def.AnPl] [3ReflPl \({ }^{\text {L }}\) together]
yû: wàr-à
millet farm(v).Pfv-3PlSbj
'The people farmed (= raised) millet together.'
c. [yě: dò: \(\left.{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{\eta}\right]\) [bû:-ŋ̀ pó:-mí 1 亿́]
[come \(\operatorname{arrive}^{\mathrm{L}}\) and.SS] [3Pl-Acc greet and.SS]
[á(:) \({ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) bèndèy] kìwárî kár \({ }^{n}\) í jè j̀
[3ReflPl Ltogether] greetings do RecPrf and.SS
'She came up (to where they were), she greeted them, she and they finished (their) mutual greetings, (and ...).' (2004.02.03)

The construction can also be used when the antecedent is the direct object, like 'leaves and onions' in (710).

'We pounded leaves and onions together.'

\subsection*{18.4 Restrictions on reflexives}
18.4.1 No antecedent-reflexive relation between coordinands

Parallel to 'I and my father' (711a), we have the third person pattern 'Amadou \({ }_{x}\) and his \(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}}\) father' in (711b), where (in the primary reading intended) the possessor of the right coordinand is coindexed with the left coordinand. (711b) uses the ordinary 3 Sg possessor form no, which does not specifically coindex the possessor to a particular antecedent. Therefore (711b) also has a reading involving a possessor for 'father' other than Amadou.
(711) a. [ǐ:n yò] [bǎ: yě: yò] [1Sg and] [father 1SgPoss.AnSg and] 'I and my father'
b. [á:mádù yò] [bǎ: nò yò]
[Amadou and] [father 3SgPoss and]
'Amadou \({ }_{x}\) and his \({ }^{x}\) father.'
(or: 'Amadou \({ }_{x}\) and her \(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}\) father')

\section*{19 Grammatical pragmatics}

\subsection*{19.1 Topic}
19.1.1 Topic (gây ~gày and variants, ŋ́gôy \(\sim\) ỳgòy \()\)
gây ~ gày is especially common with pronouns, but it may occur after other NPs and adverbials: [[ú \({ }^{\mathrm{HL}}\) dê::] gày] 'as for your mother', [[àr \({ }^{n}{ }_{a}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\) né] gây]. The final \(y\) is often omitted in allegro speech, resulting in variants gây ~ gày, but the full pronunciation is normal in careful styles. Other regional languages have counterparts with \(k\), like kày, and I have heard \(k\) occasionally in Nanga (either as an archaism or as a borrowing). The tone of the particle is \(<\mathrm{HL}>\) after a final high (including rising) tone on the preceding word, L-tone after a final low (including falling) tone.

The topicalized constituent may be presentential, in which case it is resumed by a pronoun in the clause proper. Or the topicalized constituent may be clause-internal. It is difficult to make the distinction with an NP that functions as subject of the clause, since in this situation there is no change in linear position, and since the "resumptive" pronoun is just the obligatory pronominal-subject suffix on the verb.

Combinations with independent pronouns are in (712).
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
category & independ \\
1 Sg & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Ǐ:n gây \\
î: gày
\end{tabular} \\
1 Pl & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ú gây \\
û: gày
\end{tabular} \\
2 Sg & ńné gây \\
2 Pl & bû: gày \\
3 Sg & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kú gây \\
3 Pl
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
kû: gày
\end{tabular} \\
InanSg & á gây \\
3LogoSg & â: gày
\end{tabular}

The topic particle can also follow accusative nouns and pronouns. This is easiest to hear with 1 Sg object, which has a distinctive accusative form. It shows clearly that the NP containing the topic particle can function as a clause-internal argument.
(713) ńné [tìyá yê:] súyó-só- \(\varnothing\) mè:,

3 SgSbj [friend \(1 \mathrm{SgPoss} . A n S g\) ] hit-Pfv2-3SgSbj but,
[ǹjí- 1 gây] sùyò-rí- \(\varnothing\)
[1Sg-Acc Topic] hit-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She hit my friend, but me he/she didn't hit.'

Another particle, ggôy, occurs once in a text and appears to have the same function. Forms include 1Sg ǐ:" 1 ǵgôy and 1Pl î: g̀gòy.

\subsection*{19.1.2 'Now' (náy \({ }^{n}\) )}

The temporal adverb 'now' is nípèy \({ }^{n}\). However, a shorter form náy \({ }^{n}\) (always H-toned) is used, in close conjunction with a preceding NP (often a pronoun), as an alternative to the topic particle gây. Thus 1 Sg ǐ:n náy \({ }^{n}\) '(as for) me now, ..., 1 Pl î: náy \({ }^{n}\) '(as for) us now, ..', and so forth. This form is common in narratives.

When the 'now' particle functions as a topical element by itself, it appears in the form náy \({ }^{n}\) gây, with variants ná gây, néy \({ }^{n}\) gây, and néy \({ }^{n}\) gâ.

\subsection*{19.1.3 'Also, even' (yaŋa)}

This atonal particle can follow any NP or adverbial constituent. It is distinct from invariably L-toned instrumental yànà~ ỳnà (§8.1.2).

When added directly to a noun or pronoun, the 'also, even' particle gets its tones by spreading from the left, see Atonal-Morpheme Tone-Spreading (§3.7.3.4). This H- or L-tone extends across both syllables: î: \(^{n}\) yápá 'me too', î: yànà 'us too', é:pí yáná 'tomorrow too'. This justifies the analysis of yaya as lexically atonal. However, it is also unexpectedly L-toned after the accusative suffix \(-\eta\), even when the latter is H-toned due to spreading from its left (714). This suggests a possible underlying or etymological L-tone for the accusative morpheme (§3.7.3.4).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [ńnย์-ŋ́ & ńdí-tì-W & ndè] & [ǹjí-ŕ] & yànà] & ńdî \\
\hline [3Sg-Acc & give-Pfv1b-2SgSbj & if] & [1Sg-Acc & too] & give.Imprt \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'If you give (some) to him/her, give (some) to me too!'
The particle may follow a PP, including one with the (partially) homophonous instrumental postposition yàyà.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
{\([\) nàyá } & yàyà] & wárà-m̀- \(\varnothing\), \\
{\([\) cow } & Inst] & farm(v)-Ipfv- 1 SgSbj,
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([[\) jòj̀̀ǹ̀yó } & yànà \(]\) & yàyà \(]\) & wárà-m̀- \(\varnothing\) \\
{\([[\) camel } & Inst \(]\) & too \(]\) & farm(v)-Ipfv-1SgSbj,
\end{tabular}
```

'I do farming with an ox, (and) I do farming with a camel also.'

For yaya 'also' after each NP (including the first) in an extended list, as an alternative to the usual 'and' conjunction, see §7.1.2.

My assistant did not allow yaya after verbs, e.g. with clausal scope. Since most verbs have a natural complement, often a referentially unspecific cognate nominal, it is normally
possible to find a nominal to serve as the immediate scope of yaya. In (716), note 'meal' and 'place' in the interlinears (omitted from the free English translation).
(716) лǎ: dání-ŋ̀, [ô: yàクà] sémbí-ì meal cook-Ipfv.3SgSbj, [place also] sweep-Ipfv. 3 SgSbj
'He/She cooks, and he/she sweeps (the place) too.'
yaya can also function in the emphatic sense 'even $X$ ', which is closely related logically to 'also, too'.
a. [[yì-tغ̀gغ̀ $\quad \grave{\varepsilon} W r \grave{\varepsilon}]]^{\mathrm{L}}$
bû: yàmà] wórî
wárà-m-غ̀
[[child-Pl small] ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Def.AnPl even] farming(n) farm-Ipfv-3PlSbj
'Even the little kids will do farming (= weeding).'
b. [pǒ: yáná] pò:-mè-rní- $\varnothing$
[greeting(n) even] greet-Caus-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She didn't even say hello.'

### 19.2 Presentential discourse markers

### 19.2.1 'As much as ...' (hálì ~ hálè)

This particle, omnipresent in languages of the zone, may occur at the beginning of a constituent phrase (NP or adverbial). The clause itself may be negated, resulting in the sense 'not as much as' (hence 'not even').
a. [hálì ǹjí-1́ pǒ:] kìyè-rì- $\varnothing$
[as.much.as $1 \mathrm{Sg}-A c c$ greeting] say-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She didn't say so much as hello to me.'
b. [hálì bú:dù wǒy] ǹjí-ń ǹdè-rì- $\varnothing$
[as.much.as riyal two] 1Sg-Acc give-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She didn't give me so much as a red cent.'
c. [hálì nà tùmâ yàmà] yè:-rí- $\varnothing$
[as.much.as time one even] come-PfvNeg-3SgSbj
'He/She didn't come so much as (=even) once.'
d. [hálì
nùná] nùní-só- $\varnothing$
[as.much.as song] sing-Pfv2-3SgSbj
'He/She even sang (a song).'
19.2.2 'Well, ...' (háyà)

This is the common 'well, ...' expression, giving the speaker time to formulate a clause. This is a regional form also common in e.g. Fulfulde and Jamsay.

### 19.2.3 'So, ...'(wálà:)

French voilà appears in the form wálà:, also in regional use.
(719) wálà: jǎ: bèré jè-Ẁ so meal get RecPrf-2SgSbj
'So you have gotten a meal!'
19.2.4 Clause-initial emphatic particle ( $p \varepsilon ́ y, p \varepsilon ́ s$ )
péy can be used with positive and negative clauses. pés is used with negative clauses only ('not at all').
a. péy séllé-só-y

Emph be.healthy-Pfv2-1SgSbj
'I'm perfectly healthy.'
b. péy

| Emph | nǎ: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | meal |

kò:-rì-y
'I haven't eaten at all.'
c. pés jǎ: kò:-rì-y
[= (b)]
19.2.5 'But ...' (mě:)

The attested form is mě:, It is French mais, also now used in many other Malian languages. Unlike the French original, mě: is prononced at the end of the preceding clause.
(721)

| yě:-só- $\varnothing$ | mě: | bè:-rí- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| come-Pfv2-3SgSbj but | remain-PfvNeg-3SgSbj |  |
| 'He/She came but did not stay.' |  |  |

In prepausal position the particle is usually heard as L-toned mè:, as in (713) above.

### 19.2.6 'Lo, ...' (jágà $\rightarrow$ )

This particle, which occurs in various forms in most local languages, is used in narrative at the beginning of a clause introducing a dramatic or surprising new event.

### 19.3 Pragmatic adverbials or equivalents

### 19.3.1 '(Not) again', 'on the other hand'

'Again', i.e. 'one more time' or 'a second time’, is expressed by the adverb íyê (contrast íyé 'today’, §8.4.6.1). It can also be expressed by the adverb bèndí (also the adjective 'other') or by the chained verb bìndé- 'go back, return'. bèndí is preferred in negative or irrealis contexts (722a-b), while bìndé is usual in positive indicatives (722c).
(722)
a. bèndí òmbòrí $=y$ ê ńní-yò- $y^{n}$
other Hombori=Loc go-IpfvNeg-1SgSbj
'I won't go to Hombori again.'
b. bèndí ŋ̀gá yè:-Ẁ ndè, há:jè bérè-m̀̀- ${ }^{W}$ other here come.Pfv- 2 SgSbj if, problem get-Ipfv- 2 SgSbj 'If you-Sg come here again, you'll get trouble.'
c. [nǎ: [á báy] kó:-ท́]
[meal [3ReflSg Dat] eat-and.SS]
[bìndé jògá kì̀-Ø]
[go.back here eat.Pfv-3SgSbj]
'Having eaten at home, he ate here again.'

## 19.4 'Only' particles

### 19.4.1 'Only' (sǎy)

The usual 'only' particle is sǎy (as in Jamsay). It follows the constituent (X), either an NP or an adverb, that it has scope over. It is often heard as L-toned sày, especially prepausally.
[té:mdérè $\quad$ sǎy] $\quad$ sò-y

| $[$ hundred | only $]$ | have- 1 SgSbj |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'I have only | one hundred (riyals).' |  |

sǎy can indirectly have scope over a VP by being added to a cognate nominal or other conventionalized object associated with the verb.

```
bírè-\etaò:-\varnothing, [gìrè-níy}n\hat{\varepsilon}\mp@code{sǎy] níy}\mp@subsup{}{}{n}\varepsilon\in-\grave{\
work-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj, [eye-sleeping(n) only] sleep-Ipfv.3SgSbj
'He/She doesn't work, he/she just sleeps.'
```

As is true in all languages of the zone, 'only X ' or 'none other than X ' can also be expressed by a negated clause combined with an 'if not' (i.e. 'unless') conditional (725a). A somewhat similar nuance can be expressed by a construction with dó:mó ~ dó:クó, attested once in a textual passage talking about an episode in the past when there were only occasional scraps to eat (725b).

a. | búrà | [ò:ndó | ǹdò:- $\varnothing$ | ndè $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | [honey | not.be- $3 \operatorname{SgSbj}$ | if $]$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{kj}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ | bèndí | mùrá- $\varnothing$ |  |
|  | $\left[\right.$ thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ | other $]$ | not.want-3SgSbj |

'Boura wants nothing other than honey.'
(lit. "Boura, if it isn't honey, he doesn't want anything else.")
b. [nà: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ह̀wré] bèré nèmè- $\grave{W}^{n}$ ndè,
[meal ${ }^{\text {L }}$ small] get taste.Pfv- 2 Sg Sbj if,
[kú= ̀̀ dó:mó]
[DiscDef=it.is otherwise]

| [n | tá ${ }^{n} \rightarrow$ | kó: | $\operatorname{sir}^{n}{ }^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{nd}$ ¢́] | j̀gó- $\varnothing$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [meal | fully.sated | eat | be | not.be-3SgSbj |

'(Except) if you-Sg got and tasted a little bit of food, other than that there was no eating enough food and being full (of food).' (2004.01.07)

### 19.4.2 'Just (one)', 'a mere’ (lén~ lék)

lén ~ lék is a colorful intensifier for tùmâ 'one’ (726a). Its stylistic flavor is along the lines of colloquial English 'I have one lousy cow', where 'lousy' deprecates 'one' (expressing the meager number of cows) rather than the unfortunate animal. It can occasionally extend to higher numbers (§8.4.3.2).

Less emphatic is déndè (726b). Like a second adjective, it controls tone-dropping on the noun and on the adjective-like numeral.
a. [nànàà tùmâ lén] sò-y [cow ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ single mere] have- 1 SgSbj 'I have a single (=only one) cow.'
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b. } & \begin{array}{lll}{[[n u ̀ ~} & \text { tùmà }]^{\mathrm{L}}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { déndè }] \\ {[[\text { person }} \\ \text { one }]^{\mathrm{L}}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { nuly }{ }^{n} i ́ l\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { nà } \\ \text { go.in }\end{array} \\ \text { then.DS }\end{array}$
'Only one person goes in (the granary), ...' (2004.02.03)

### 19.4.3 tùmá(-yह́) 'nothing but, exclusively'

tùmá (related to tùmâ 'one', but homonymous with tùmá 'tree') can be used adverbially to mean 'nothing but, exclusively'. It is optionally extended as tùmá-yé.

'Throughout the open area there were none but Fulbe lying down.' (2004.01.10)

### 19.5 Phrase-final emphatics

### 19.5.1 Phrase-final já:dì 'exactly'

This emphatic, common in Fulfulde and (through borrowing) some other languages of the zone in the form já:ti, is often a single-word confirmation of a proposition uttered by an interlocutor ('Exactly!'). It may also be added to a phrase or clause with similar sense.
a. kò-kj̀sú $=$ w
já:dì
Rdp-viper=it.is.InanSg exactly
'Yes, indeed it is a viper.'
b. ú $\quad$ já:dì

For 'precisely, exactly' without the confirmational context, see the particles described in §8.4.3.2.

### 19.5.2 Clause-final kòy

This clause-final emphatic particle is used to give strong assent to a proposition by an interlocutor, or to give a confident answer to a polar interrogative. Often it can be translated colloquially as adverbial sure in responses like it sure was!

| $k \check{\varepsilon}: r \hat{\varepsilon}$ | nǒm | kòy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| money | difficult | Emph |
| 'Money sure is hard to come by.' (nòmí) |  |  |

This particle is regional (Jamsay, Fulfulde, Humburi Senni, etc.).

### 19.5.3 Clause-final dè

This clause-final emphatic particle is more adversative or admonitive than kòy, suggesting that the addressee needs to be made aware or reminded of something. (730a) could be said to someone who has just announced plans to mount an expensive project.
a. kě:rê
nǒm
dè money difficult Emph
'(But) money is hard to come by!'

c. [[nù
[ [person
[[nù: ${ }^{\text {L }}$
[[person ${ }^{\text {L }}$
tùmà $]^{\text {L }}$
déndè] núyní nà then.DS dè] Emph]
'Only one person goes in (the granary). No-one else goes in, mind you.' (2004.02.03)

### 19.6 Backchannel and uptake checks

Backchannel support from the listener to the speaker who has the floor, especially during a narrative or other extended speaking turn, can take the form of uh-huh type utterances (not easily transcribed phonologically). The more formal nâ:m is used, for example, to punctuate an imam's sermon.

Before narrating a tale, the narrator requests audience permission with the phrase té:njè $\rightarrow$ $\grave{n}(d) \grave{i} \rightarrow$ (obscurely related to the noun té:njè 'tale'), as in (736) below. The audience responds with yáwò $\rightarrow$, and the tale begins.

The speaker may initiate backchannel with a question like pá:mí-só-W mà 'did you understand?' Often such questions are pro forma and no actual reply is required.

### 19.7 Greetings

### 19.7.1 Time-of-day greetings

Time-of-day related greetings ('good morning!' etc.) and their responses (R) are in (731). The unmarked pǒ: is used in the middle of the day. The greetings presented early in the day and at night have a retrospective time perspective: the 'good morning!' expressions are based on ná:- 'spend (the) night', while the 'good night' expressions are based on $d \grave{r} r^{n} \varepsilon$ - 'spend (the) mid-day'. By contrast, the late-afternoon greeting uses the term for 'late afternoon' (dèndèsî). The imperative plural suffix -ndì on the plural-addressee versions of the greetings suggests that all the greetings are imperative in form. àwâ: is only used as a greeting response and therefore has no "literal" meaning.

```
greeting situation
náyn}\mp@subsup{}{}{n}\quad\mathrm{ morning 6-9 AM (singular addressee)
ná:-ndì (plural addressee)
àwâ
ná:-kò
pǒ:
pǒ:-ndì
mid-day 9AM to 4PM (singular addressee)
(plural addressee)
R: àwâ:
R: pǒ: bèrè-mì
(archaic)
```

dèndèsî pǒ: late afternoon 4PM to sunset (singular addressee)
dèndèsî pǒ:-ndì (plural addressee)
R : àwâ.

$d e ̀ r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon} y^{n} \quad$ sunset to 4 AM (Sg addressee)
dèr $r^{n} \varepsilon y^{n}$-ndì (plural addressee)
R : àwâ:
$\mathrm{R}:$ déríl bèrè-mì (archaic)

The '(have a) good night!' expressions are in (732). They may be addressee-directed (imperative), or hortative and inclusive ('let's ...'). jápâ is another word meaning 'health'. à:mí: ${ }^{n}$ is the 'amen!' word and functions to acknowledge a blessing or wish.

```
já\etaâ nâ: final 'good night!' (singular addressee)
    já\etaâ ná:-ndì (plural addressee)
```

R : à:mí: ${ }^{n}$
jáyâ ná:-má final 'good night!' hortative (singular addressee)
jánâ ná:-mày ${ }^{n} \quad$ hortative (plural addressee)
R: à:mí: ${ }^{n}$

Also using the verb ná:- 'spend night' are the questions in (733), which can be added to a 'good morning!' greeting.

| jánâ nà:-W ${ }^{n}$ mà | 'Did you sleep well?' (Sg addressee, familiar) |
| :---: | :---: |
| jánâ nà:-W ${ }^{n}$ | (Sg addressee, respectful) |
| (û:) jáyâ nà:-W ${ }^{n}$. | (Pl addressee), for $\therefore$ see §3.8.3 |
| R : ná:-só-ý | 'I slept well' (said by a friend) |
| R : ná:-só | 'I slept well' (respectful) |
| R : ná:-s-غ́ | 'we slept well' (respectful) |

### 19.7.2 Situation-specific greetings

Activity- and/or place-specific greetings and their responses are of two basic structures. One type is based on the locative form (postposition gó, gá, etc.) of a noun like 'field(s)', 'market', 'well', or 'work' (734). These greetings are primarily used when the addressee is at the relevant location or is performing the indicated activity, though [ò: gó] pǒ: can also be addressed to someone returning from the fields.

```
    [ò: gó] pǒ: (at, or returning from, fields)
R: àwâ:
    [\varepsilońw\varepsiloń gá] pǒ: (at the market)
R: àwâ:
```



```
R: àwâ:
```

```
    [bíré gá] pǒ: (at work) (with bíré, not bírá )
R: àwâ.
```

The greetings in (735) are of the form 'you and \{fields, work, market, water\}' and are generally addressed to someone returning from the place and activity in question.
[ú yò] [ǒ: yò] (at, or returning from, fields)
R : àwâ:
[ú yò] [bírá yò] (coming back from work)
R : àwâ:
[ú yò] [Éwé yò] (returning from the market))
R : àwâ:
[ú yò] [nî: yò] (coming back from well, with nî: 'water')
R : àwâ:

A traveler arriving at a house is greeted with [[ńdó gó] dô:] 'arrive at (or: approach) the house!', an invitation to come in and deposit one's baggage. One who is leaving on a long trip is sent off with the phrase [jánâ dô:], literally 'arrive (there) in health!'. The reply is à:mí: ${ }^{n}$ 'amen!'.

One gives condolences to a survivor of a deceased person with the phrase [[pòr ${ }^{n}$ ó $\eta$ Ǿ] pǒ:], literally 'greetings in high worth'. The visitor who has presented condolences and is about to leave is told: [děnjê [ú ${ }^{\text {HL }}$ gô] gùró-ndíyé-mì 'may God lengthen your (life)', and/or [děnjê [í bèndéy ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kò] wàgá-ndíyé-mì] 'may God put distance between us (i.e. us and the dead person)'. On returning home from giving condolences in another village, one is greeted with [yógí gó] pǒ:, literally 'greetings in running'.

### 19.7.3 Islamic greetings

The usual Islamic greetings and similar formulaic phrases, from Arabic, are present, since the Nanga-speaking zone is now largely Muslim. ásàlâ:màlé:kùm (Arabic 'peace to you-Pl') is the formal greeting, especially on entering the presence of a group of men. The reply is wă:lékùmàsàlâ:m. The Islamic formula for inviting someone to come in, to join in a meal, etc., is bìsímílà (Arabic 'in God's name'). àlbárkà 'thank you!' (Arabic 'blessing') is used to thank someone for a meal or a gift, and in markets as a polite refusal to accept an offer to buy or sell.

## Text

Text recorded in 2007 (reference Nanga 2007.01.01). Phrases spoken by hyena in Jamsay, see (744-45, 754-55, and 759), are in green and their free translations are italicized.
(736) té:njè $\rightarrow$ ǹdì $\rightarrow$,
[formulaic story opening phrase, cf. té:njè 'tale'; audience should respond yáwò $\rightarrow$ ]

'Hare and hyena went to (get) day-labor work. The two of them went to (get) paid work, and they (= people) took them in paid work, (for them) to build apiaries (manmade beehives).'
[ $X$ yò $Y$ yò ' X and Y ' §7.1.1; topic-indexing 3Reflexive plural â: §18.2.3; $\eta$ 'and.SS' in same-subject VP chains §15.2.7]
(738) donc [lògòrò-mé kémè ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}}$ kèmè] [nǎ: dég̀̀ $\left.-\eta-\varepsilon ̀: ~ w a ̀ ~\right], ~$ so [apiary build ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}}$ build] [hand lick-IpfvNeg-3P1Sbj Quot], wó:tì-yô: wà, [lògòrò-mé kémè $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \varepsilon ̀\right] ~$ all.right Quot, [apiary build ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ build] tà-tă: [nǎ: [á kô]] dègè- $\varnothing$, Rdp-hyena [hand [Refl Poss.InanSg]] lick.Pfv-3SgSbj lògòrò-mé yègé sìgè- $\varnothing$, apiary fall go.down.Pfv-3SgSbj, donc [ńné wá] [bèndí dègí-rá wá] so [3Sg Quot] [other lick-Proh Quot] [wó:tì-yô: wà], [kú mày ${ }^{n}$ ] [all.right Quot], [DiscDef like] $k \varepsilon ́ m \dot{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \dot{\varepsilon}-{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \grave{\varepsilon}-{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k \varepsilon ̀ m \grave{\varepsilon}$
build ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ build- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ build- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ build
[ǹdò ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ kú] kémé gò-nd-ò,
[house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg] build go.out-Caus.Pfv-3P1Sbj
'So (the bosses) said, when building the apiary, they (= hare and hyena) will (= must) not lick their hands. They said, all right. When building the apiary, hyena licked his hand. The apiary fell down (= collapsed). (The bosses) said, "hey you (= hyena), don't lick (your hand) again!" In that way they kept building and building, and they finished building that house (= apiary).
[iterated verb stem with $\{\mathrm{HL}\}$ then $\{\mathrm{L}\}$ overlay $\S 11.6 .2$; 'fall' and 'go down' in verb chain $\S 15.1 ; 3$ Sg pronoun for original 2 Sg vocative 'hey you!' §17.1.1; 'cause to go out' here in the unusual sense 'complete']

| kémé | gò-ndó | ý, | donc | [sàrâ | $[b \hat{a}:$ | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gòj]], |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| build | go.out-Caus | and.SS, | so | $[\operatorname{pay}(\mathrm{n})$ | $[3 \mathrm{Pl}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \operatorname{Poss.InanSg]],}$ |

ńné dǒ: nà, 3 SgSbj arrive then.DS, [ńné wá] [tà-tă: wà] [nǎ: dègé=bé-Ø wá], [3Sg Quot] [Rdp-hyena Quot] [hand lick=Past-3SgSbj Quot], [tà-tă:-1̀ bêr sárá [Rdp-hyena-Acc goat pay(v) and.SS] [[jòmó-ý] nàyá ǹd-à], [[hare-Acc] cow give.Pfv-3PlSbj],
'Having finished building, their pay (= wages), when it (= payday) arrived, (they) said: "hey you, hyena, you licked your hand previously." (So) having paid hyena (with) a goat, they gave (= paid to) hare a cow.'
[Hyena was paid less because of his having licked; nà 'then.DS' in different-subject clause $\S 15.2 .6 .1$; past clitic $\S 10.5 .1]$
 [3ReflPl two] come ${ }^{\text {HL}}{ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ come [house ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ road] catch and.SS, [â: wòy] yê:-yè:, yé:-mò yé:-mò yé:-mı̀, [3ReflPl two] come ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ come, come-while come-while come-while, bòndì-úsúr${ }^{n} \grave{\grave{~}}$ ìrì-y- $\varnothing$, rain-wind get.up-MP.Pfv-3SgSbj, bòndì-úsúr ${ }^{n}$ ذ̀ ńné írí-y nà, rain-wind 3 SgSbj get.up-MP then.DS, jòmó [nàyá [á yê]] nòngìyè- $\varnothing$, hare [cow [3ReflSg Poss.AnSg]] mount.Pfv-3SgSbj, [tà-tă:: [bêr [á yê]] [Rdp-hyena [goat [3ReflSg Poss.AnSg]] bàs-é: ńné dě: nà] ńní-クò:- $\varnothing$, pull-Dur 3 SgSbj be.tired then.DS] go-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj [jòmó [ńné wá] màrnándí-yé yè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ńné-ŋ́ wà] [hare [3Sg Quot] have.courage-MP come ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ go-QuotHort Quot] [wó:tì-yô: wà], [all.right Quot]
'As the two of them were coming (back), they took the road of $(=$ to $)$ the houses (= village). The two of them were coming. They kept coming and coming, (then) a rain storm arose. When the storm arose, hare mounted his cow. Hyena tugged on his goat until he (= hyena) was exhausted (= for a long time), (but) it wouldn't go. Hare said: "hey you, have courage, come and (let's) go!" He (= hyena) said, "all right.",
[mò in durative background clause §15.2.1; - $\varepsilon$ : §15.2.5; verb-verb chain with L-toned nonfinal verb yè̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ 'come' §15.1.6.2; ńné-1́ wà quoted hortative §10.6.5]
básá-ì ńné bú-mò]
pull-Ipfv 3 SgSbj be-while]

| [bèr ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | né] bìyé | dě: $\quad j$ è- $\varnothing$, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [goat ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | Def.AnSg] lie.down | be.calm | finish.Pfv-3SgSbj, |  |
| bìyé | ńnย́ dě: | jغ́ | nà, |  |
| lie.down | 3 SgSbj be.calm | RecPrf | then.DS, |  |
| [ ${ }^{\text {begr }}{ }^{\text {L }}$ | $n \varepsilon ́] ~ b i ̀ y e ́ ~$ | ńné dě: | $j \varepsilon ์$ | nà] |
| [ goat $^{\text {L }}$ | Def.AnSg] lie.down | 3 SgSbj be.calm | RecPrf | then.DS] |
| [jòmó | [ńné wá] [yè ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | ñи́-ŋว̀: | ndè] |  |
| [hare | [3Sg Quot] [come ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | go-IpfvNeg | if] |  |
| [á | ńné-ŋ́ dògó-tì | kày] ńnî:- ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |  | wà], |
| [3LogoSg | 3Sg-Acc leave-Pfv1b | Top] go-Ip | fv.3SgSbj | Quot] |
| [wó:tì-yô: | wà], |  |  |  |
| [all.right | Quot] |  |  |  |

'As he (= hyena) was pulling, the goat lay down motionless (refusing to budge). When it lay down motionless-, when the goat lay down motionless, hare said: "hey you (= hyena), if you (= hyena) won't come and go (with me), I (= hare) will leave you (here) and go." He (= hyena) said: "all right.",
[imperfective $-\grave{\eta}(-\grave{m})$ plus bú 'be' $\S 15.2 .2 .2$; dě: $j \varepsilon$ - is a fixed collocation; logophoric pronoun indexing author of quotation $\S 18.2 .1$; perfective-1b -tì indicates a temporal gap between 'leave' and 'go'; L-toned ndè 'if' § 16.1]
[bàsá ńné kán nà]
[pull 3SgSbj do then.DS]
[[bèr né] yê:-ŋว̀: náné kán nà]
[goat Def.AnSg] come-IpfvNeg 3 SgSbj do then.DS]
[[bèr ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ né] ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ [lósò tùmà]] [gùwà ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ tùmâ] $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { goat }^{\mathrm{L}} & \text { Def.AnSg] }\end{array}{ }^{\mathrm{HL}}[\right.$ leg one $\left.]\right] \quad\left[\right.$ front.leg ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ one] álá kùwè- $\varnothing$, [álá kúwó ý] yank.off eat.meat.Pfv-3SgSbj, [yank.off eat.meat and.SS] [yè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ńné-ท́ wà], jòmó [ńné wá] íyê encore, [come ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ go-QuotHort Quot], hare [3Sg Quot] again still, ńní-ŋò:- $\varnothing$ Wà, go-IpfvNeg-3SgSbj Quot,
'When he (= hyena) had pulled and the goat wouldn't come, he (= hyena) yanked off and ate one of the goat's legs, one front leg. Having yanked it off and eaten it, (hare) said, "come and (let's) go!" Hare said, "hey you, once again!" He (= hyena) said: "I am not going.",
[bare verb stem plus kární nà ~ kán nà ‘do’ for subject switch, see (572) in §15.2.6.1; 'one of goat's legs' possessed core NP with tonosyntactic control over noun and adjective §6.2.1.2]


'As he (= hyena) was pulling and pulling, the goat did not consent (=refused) to come. When (=after) it did not consent (=refused) to come, he (= hyena) again yanked off and ate the whole goat- (or rather) one (= the other) front leg (of the goat). Now two legs (i.e. the hind legs) remained. Not three legs, now (just) two remained. When the two legs remained, he (= hyena) cut its throat to kill it, and he gave one goat-ear to hare.'
[àwá 'accept, consent' with verbal-noun complement $\S 17.3 .4$; negative clause plus kán- 'do’ §15.2.6.1; "goat-mother" = 'entire goat' §5.1.9]

'Now, when he (= hyena) had finished eating his thing (= meal), (eating) the whole goat, the two of them (= hyena and hare) were coming, (and) when they had gone a little way, he (hyena) said: "hey hare, give me (= hyena) (back) my goat-ear!" He (= hyena) thought (= assumed) that hare had already eaten (it), (but) hare took (it) out and gave it to him (= hyena). (Hyena) said (in Jamsay): "I am just kidding now!" (Hare) said: "all right.",
[QuotImprt in jussive, i.e. in quoted command, §10.6.4 and §17.1.4.1]

'The two of them were coming and coming. Again hyena said: hey hare, "give (me) my (goat-)ear!" Hare again took (it) out and gave it to him. (Hyena) said (in Jamsay): "I am just kidding now!' (They were) coming and coming.'

'In that way they came and arrived at the houses (= village). Having come and arrived at the houses-. Having come and arrived at the houses, (hyena) said: "hey hare, give (me) my goat meat (= ear)!" Hare took (it) out and gave it to him, saying "now I (= hare) am kidding (= joking)."
[Hare speaks in Nanga]
(747)

'When two days had arrived (= elapsed) in that way, hare went and put and left (the goat-ear) in his mother's cotton-gear basket. When hare had put and left (it) in the cotton-gear basket, and had gone out (completely), hare's younger brother came and took out the (goat) ear and ate (it).'
 [his ${ }_{x}$ younger sibling]' with topicalized possessor, cf. the simpler phrasing jòmó ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n} j o ̀}$ 'hare's younger sibling']

'After he took (it) out and ate (it), hyena came and said: "give (me) my goat-ear!" Hare came and looked, (but) it (= ear) wasn't in (the basket). (Hyena) said: "so, hey you, pay for (= replace) my goat-ear!" Hare said, "all right." Hare pulled his cow, the two of them (= hare and hyena) went to the field-. The two of them went to the field, and hare said: "hey hyena, do you see that sun which is rising (and coming)? Do you see it?",
[kùrǹ̀-ndó-, negation of stative yá kùrnj̀- 'be (put) in' §11.2.3; same-subject $\eta$ §15.2.7 in 'went to the field' with partial coindexation of subjects, singular versus plural; imperfective relative clause 'sun which is rising and coming' §14.1.7.2]

yě-y wá,
come-QuotImprt Quot,
'Hyena said, "yes, I saw (it)." (Hare) said, "that sun which is rising, the sun is fire; you (= hyena) go get that (= fire, i.e. hot coals) and come!",
[gáy 'and then' after tone-dropped verb §15.2.6; jě: here denotes the action of scooping up hot embers in a pottery shard, commonly done to transport live coals to another location to start a fire or boil tea]
 kírâ kě:y dògè- $\varnothing$, horn sticking.out leave.Pfv-3SgSbj
'When hyena had gone in order to get fire ( $=$ hot coals), hare-, hare slaughtered his cow, he finished skinning and butchering the meat cuts (= sections), he took out and roasted the choice meat cuts, he went up into a tree hollow, (and) he hung up and left (the choice meat cuts). He buried the entrails (and) the heads, and left the horns sticking out (of the ground).'
[purposive clause with pseudo-locative gó § 17.6.3]
[kě:y dògó í],
[sticking.out leave and.SS]

| tà-tă: | [[ńné | 万́] | gònı́r ${ }^{\text {níl }}$ - $\varnothing$ | $d \grave{:}-\varnothing]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rdp-hyena | [ [go | and.SS] | go.around-Dur | be.tired.Pfv-3SgSbj |

[fire get.PfvNeg-3SgSbj]
[ùsí nà $\rightarrow$ [gŏ: = ndǒ: yè],
[sun rather] [fire=not.be rather.than]
[kú mày $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ tà-tă: [yě: ý]
[DiscDef like] Rdp-hyena [come and.SS]
[á kòy] gô: bèrè-rí wá,
[3LogoSg Top] fire get-PfvNeg Quot,
jòmó wó:tìyô: wà,
hare all.right Quot,
bèndí déy ${ }^{n} \rightarrow \quad\left[k \grave{j}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad\right.$ kámâ $]=$ ǹdǒ: wá,
other apart [thing ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ any]=not.be Quot,
'He (= hare) left (the horns) sticking out. Hyena went walking around until he was exhausted (i.e. trying in vain to get fire from the sun), (but) he didn't get any fire. It was the sun, not fire. In that way hyena came and said: "as for me, I haven't gotten any fire." Hare said, "all right, it's nothing special (= it doesn't matter).",
[ 1 ' 'and.SS' at end of same-subject clause sequence; trisyllabic durative gə̀ $1 i^{n}{ }^{n} 1$ í $\varnothing$, $(565 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\S 15.2 .5 .1$; [ [X nà $\rightarrow$ ] [Y ìdǒ: (yè)]] 'it is $X$, rather than $Y^{\prime}$ §15.2.6.1]

| donc | $[[n ́ n \varepsilon ́$ | wá $]$ | $\left[k i ̀ r a ̀{ }^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ | bù-mù $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | gú $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| so | $[[3 \mathrm{Sg}$ | Quot $]$ | $\left[\right.$ horn $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | be-Ppl.Ipfv $^{\mathrm{L}}$ | Def.InanSg] |

$k \check{\varepsilon} y \rightarrow$ yî:-sò mà] [é yì:-sò wà], sticking.out see.Prog Q] [yes see-Prog Quot],

| $[$ donc | $[[k u ́$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}$ | námà | ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ gù $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ kéréw] [so [[DiscDef ${ }^{\text {HL meat }}{ }^{\text {L Def.InanSg }] ~ a l l] ~}$ ká yá kùrǹ̀ wà] there.Def Exist be.put.in Quot] [ńné wá] [gò-ndê wà] wó:tìyô:, [3Sg Quot] [go.out-Caus.QuotImprt Quot] all.right,

'(Hare) asked him: "so, do you see those horns that are (there) sticking out?" (Hyena) said: "yes, I see it." (Hare) said: "so, all that meat is in there (= underground), you (= hyena) should take it out." (Hyena) said, "all right.",
[combination of preposed possessor, noun, and L-toned definite morpheme §6.5.4; existential particle yá §11.2.2.1; quoted imperative gò-ndê (375e)]
[[kú mày $\left.{ }^{n}\right]$ ńné kán nà]
[[DiscDef like] 3SgSbj do then.DS]
[[bòndì dùgí] yè:- $\varnothing]$, [[bòndì ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dùgí] ńné yě: nà] [[rain ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big] come.Pfv-3SgSbj], [[rain ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big] 3 SgSbj come then.DS] [jòmó [á gây] [témbè gà] ńdé-ỳ wà], [hare [3LogoSg Top] [above Loc] go.up-Ipfv.3SgSbj Quot], [wò:tìyô: wà] tà-tầ: [all.right Quot] Rdp-hyena [á gày] [[dósú gó] bé:-ŋ̀ wà], [3LogoSg Top] [[below Loc] stay-Ipfv.3SgSbj] Quot],
'After it happened like that, a big (=heavy) rain came. Hare said: "as for me, I will go up above (= into the tree)". (Hyena) said, "all right." Hyena said: "as for me, I will stay below.",

éy $\rightarrow \quad\left[\right.$ àr ${ }^{n} a^{\mathrm{L}}$ démbéré] mìrná:-rnà- $\varnothing$ wà, hey! [rain ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ big] rain.fall-Ipfv-3SgSbj Quot, $\grave{j}^{n} h o^{n}$ jòmó á yî:-sò wà, uhhuh! hare 3LogoSgSbj see-Prog Quot,
'Hare went up above, and when he would eat his meat, he threw the bones (down) on hyena's head. (Hyena thought [in Jamsay]:) "woo-woo, today a big (=heavy) rain sure is falling!" (Hyena) said: "elder brother hare, do you see?" "Uh-huh," hare said, I see (it)." When hare would eat his meat, threw the bone(s) down with a thud on his (= hyena's) head. (Hyena) said, "hey, a big rain is falling!" "Uh-huh," hare said, "I see (it)." ,
[Hyena mistakes the bones for hailstones; H-toned anterior ndé 'then' after L-toned verb in imperfective contexts $\S 15.2 .8 .2]$

'In that way he ate, and at the end (of the meal) he threw the big bone down hard on hyena's head. Hyena said: "oh, elder brother hare, is it you [focus] who is throwing (bones) on me?" (Hare) said: "yes." (Hyena) said: "all right, come down (here)! You (= hare) will see!",
[yèré sígé is a mix of Jamsay and Nanga; yì-yí:-ŋ̀ reduplicated imperfective §10.2.2.3]


'In that way, when hare came down, (hyena said:) "I will devour you (= hare)." (Hare) said: "if you devour me like that, if I fall down there, you won't have gotten (back at) me. So, you there, tie me up with thin thread! Tie me up with thin thread, bring some good firewood, roast me, and then eat me!'
[the final string of pseudo-conditional clauses, $\S 15.2 .8 .2$, is completed by a quoted imperative; pragmatically the entire sequence is imperative]

| tà-tă: | $<\left[\left[\right.\right.$ tir $^{n}{ }^{\text {i }}$ L | غ̀sí] jòrò ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | gó]-> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rdp-hyena | < ... > |  |  |
| [ńné-ŋ́ | págí | dògó | 万] |
| [3Sg-Acc | tie | leave | and.SS] |
| [/[tìr ${ }^{n}{ }^{\text {L }}$ | èsí] jòroj ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | gó] | и́né ńné | [[[firewood ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ good] look.for ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Purp] 3SgSbj go then.DS] [jòmó párá-gí-tì- $\varnothing]$, párá-gí yògó màrغ̀- $\varnothing$, [hare snap-Caus-Pfv1b-3SgSbj], snap-Caus run be.lost.Pfv-3SgSbj, tà-tă: encore íyê ńné-ŋ́ [jòró ń] yì:- $\varnothing$, Rdp-hyena again again 3Sg-Acc [look.for and.SS] see.Pfv-3SgSbj ńné-ŋ́ jòró ń] yǐ: ń, [3Sg-Acc look.for and.SS] see and.SS,

 [3Sg-Acc look.for ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}}{ }_{-}^{\mathrm{L}}$ look.for- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ look.for- ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ look.for]
'Hyena tied up and left him, then he (= hyena) went in search of good firewood. Hare snapped (the rope). He snapped (it) and ran (away) and disappeared. Hyena looked for him (= hare) again and saw (him). He looked for him and saw (him). He kept looking and looking and looking for him.'
[jórı̀̀-jòrı̀̀.... iteration of verb with HL then all-L-tones §11.6.2 (on the tape the speaker began with the lexical / $\mathrm{LH} /$ melody but corrected this during transcription)]

'He (= hyena) went there, he went inside a house, and found him (= hare) slipped in among the thin ceiling poles. While he (= hare) was in among the thin ceiling poles, (hyena) said: "elder brother hare, come down!" Hare said: "I won't go down.",
[stative form of verb 'be slipped in' §10.3]
[ńné wá] [níjèy á ùmǎy yègé sìgè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ndé]
[3Sg Quot] [now 3LogoSgSbj like.this fall go.down ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ if]
[[nàmá [á

[ńné wá] dùyá ńné bàrá jè: ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ndé,
[3Sg Quot] ashes go gather bring ${ }^{\text {L }}$ if, [dòsù gá] ńné tìy ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ ndé,
[below Def.Loc] 3 SgSbj dump ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ if,
[á [dùyà ${ }^{\text {L }}$ gá] yégé-ท̀̀]
[3LogoSgSbj [ashes ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Loc] fall-Ipfv]
[á-ท́n bàrnùmè-r $\left.r^{n} 1 ́-\varnothing\right]$ [ńné wá]
[3LogoSg-Acc wound-PfvNeg-3SgSbj [3Sg Quot]

[nàmá [á wǒ: kúwó-ì | HL $g \hat{\imath}]]$ | wà, |
| :--- | :--- | [meat [3LogoSg ${ }^{\text {HL Poss.InanSg]] catch eat.meat-Ipfv Quot, }}$ wó:tìyô: [kò ké] tò ${ }^{n}$ 万́ $=\grave{y}^{n}$ wà

all.right [Nonh Top] truth=it.is Quot
'(Hare) said: "hey you, if I fall down like that now, my flesh will have been ruined. When you (= hyena) have gone and gathered some ashes and brought them, and when you have dumped them down below (on the ground), (then) I will fall (= land) on the ashes and it will not have injured me, (then) you will catch me and eat my meat." (Hyena) said (in Jamsay): a"ll right, that's true.",

'So, hyena went and looked for ashes, and brought (them), and dumped (them) all around inside the house. Hare for his part was (still) up among the ceiling poles. (Hyena) said: "hey hou, the coast is clear, (now) come (= drop) down!" When hare made a thud (falling) on the ashes, the dust (kicked up from the ashes) got into hyena's eyes (halfblinding him). Hare ran (from) there and disappeared.'
[kûngà, used in contexts like 'the coast is clear', is obscurely related to kú=ì 'it's that']
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (761) } & {[t \text { [ź:njè }} & \text { mélèm] } & \text { [dùmá } & \text { mélèm] } & \text { quoi } \\ \text { [story } & \text { submerged }] & \text { [finish(n) } & \text { submerged] } & \text { Emph } \\ & \text { [story-closing formula] } & & & \end{array}$
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## Abbreviations and symbols

| Abbreviati |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acc | accusative, §6.7 |
| Adj | adjective |
| Addr | addressee (in SgAddr and PlAddr) |
| Agent | agentive nominal |
| An | animate |
| ATR | advanced tongue root (vowel feature) |
| C | consonant (in e.g. CvCv ) |
| Caus | causative, §9.2 |
| Char | characteristic (nominal derivative), §4.2.1 |
| Comit | comitative, §8.1.2 |
| Dat | dative, §8.1.1 |
| Def | definite, §4.4.1 |
| Dem | demonstrative |
| Det | determiner (demonstrative or definite) |
| DiscFunct | discourse-functional elements (e.g. 'only') |
| DiscDef | (strong) discourse-definite, §4.4.1.3 |
| DS | different-subject (subordinator), §15.2.6 |
| Dur | durative (§15.2.5.1) |
| EA | expressive adverbial, §8.4.7 |
| Emph | emphatic (various clause-final particles), §19.5 |
| Exist | existential particle, §11.2.2.1 |
| ExpPrf | experiential perfect, §10.2.1.4 |
| Fact | factitive ('cause to become' with adjective), $\S 9.5$ |
| Foc | focus |
| H | high (tone) |
| Hort | hortative, §10.6.2 |
| Imprt | imperative |
| Inan | inanimate |
| Inch | inchoative ('become' with adjective), §9.5 |
| Inst | instrumental, §8.1.2 |
| Ipfv | imperfective |
| L | low (tone) |
| Loc | locative |
| Logo | logophoric, §18.2 |
| MP | mediopassive, $\S 9.3$ |
| N | noun |
| (n) | noun (in interlinear glosses) |
| Neg | negative |
| Nom | nominalization |
| Nonh | nonhuman (e.g. in Jamsay) |


| NP | noun phrase |
| :--- | :--- |
| Num | numeral |
| Obj | object |
| Pass | passive, §9.3.2-3 |
| Pl | plural |
| Prf | perfect (in ExpPrf, RecPrf) |
| Pfv | perfective |
| Pl | plural |
| Poss | possessor, possessive, §6.2.3 |
| PP | postpositional phrase |
| Ppl | participle |
| Pss | possessor |
| Prog | progressive |
| Pron | pronoun |
| Proh | prohibitive |
| Purp | purposive |
| Q | question |
| QTop | interrogative topic ('what about X?'), §19.1.4 |
| Quot | quotative particle, §17.1.3-4 |
| Rdp | reduplication |
| Recip | reciprocal, §18.3 |
| Refl | reflexive, §18.1 |
| RelCl | relative clause |
| Rev | reversive (verb derivation, §9.1 |
| Sbj | subject (in e.g. '"2PlSbj") |
| SFoc | subject-focus |
| Sg | singular |
| SS | same subject (subordinator), §15.2.4-8 |
| Stat | stative, §10.4 (derived), §11.2-4 (lexical) |
| Top | topic |
| Tr | transitive, §9.3 |
| V | verb (in e.g. S-O-V) |
| (v) | verb (as part of a gloss) |
| v | vowel (in e.g. CvCv) |
| VblN | verbal noun, §4.2.2 |
| VP | verb phrase |
|  |  |

## Symbols

```
* reconstructed
# ungrammatical, unacceptable, unattested
á, à, â, ǎ, â tones on vowels (or syllables), §3.7
\overline{x}},\hat{\textrm{x}},\hat{\textrm{x}},\hat{\textrm{x}},\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{P}}\textrm{X}\mathrm{ tone changes on stem in compounds, chapter 5
<..> a) contour tones on a single syllable, e.g. <HL> and <LH>
    b) false starts in texts (omitted from translations), e.g. (665)
/.../ a) lexical tone melody, e.g. /LH/, /H/
    b) underlying or lexical representation, e.g. /gàrá/
{\ldots} a) tone overlay, e.g. {HL},{H},{L}
    b) enclosing any set, e.g. {l l a i}
[...] a) phonetic (IPA) representation, e.g. [bǔ:]
downstep
[\ldots]}\mp@subsup{]}{}{L}{{L} tone overlay controlled by an element to the right, §6.1.
[..] ] like preceding but with extra H-tone on final syllable/mora
HL[...], }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{H}}[\ldots]\quad{\textrm{H}}\mathrm{ or {HL} tone overlay controlled by a possessor to the left, §6.2.1
L}[\ldots]}{L} on demonstrative or numeral in certain combinations, §3.7.3.5, §4.6.1.
->
"intonational" prolongation of final vowel or sonorant, §3.8.3
\therefore\quaddying-quail terminal intonation effect, §3.8.4
= clitic boundary, §3.6
& conjunction (in interlinears, e.g. X.& Y.& 'X and Y')
```


## Index

## 1. prosody (grammatical)

## a. tone patterns

downstep
before 'all' quantifier, §15.4.2
lexical tone melodies (/L/, /LH/, /HL/, /H/, etc.)
nouns and other non-verbs: §3.7.1.3-4, §3.7.1.6-7
verbs: §3.7.1.2
grammatical tone overlays (erasing lexical melodies)
$\{\mathrm{L}\}$ tone overlay
initial stem in some compounds
ordinary noun-noun compounds, §5.1.2-3
incorporated noun in agentive compounds, §5.1.5
tone-dropped nouns etc. before a tonosyntactic controller
noun or adjective before adjective, §6.3.1
noun, adjective, or numeral before a determiner, $\S 6.5 .2$
participle before a determiner, §14.1.9
head NP in relative clause, $\S 14.1 .2$
determiners
L-toned after pronominally possessed noun, §3.7.2.4
predicates
simple perfective, $\S 10.2 .1$
before negative morphemes
verbs before perfective negative -rí-, §10.2.3.1
verbs and adjectives before stative negative = ìdó-, §10.4.2, §11.4.2
quasi-verbs ('be', 'have') except in participles, $\S 11.2 .2 .2, \S 11.5 .1$
\{HL \} tone overlay
phonological realization on heavy stems, §3.7.3.2
possessed noun after possessor ending in H-tone, §6.2.1.2
final in some compounds
bahuvrihi, §5.2.1.1-2
possessive-type compounds, §5.1.4
verb stem
in verb-stem iterations, §11.6
$\{\mathrm{H}\}$ tone overlay
verb stem
for prosodically light bimoraic verb stems
imperatives, §10.6
imperfective, §10.2.2.1
$\{\mathrm{LH}\}$ tone overlay
agentives, §5.1.5

## b. intonation

dying-quail final intonation, §3.8.3
prolongation of final syllable, §3.8.2

## 2. selected morphemes

- $\varnothing$

3 Sg subject suffix on predicates, $\S 10.3 .1$
$:=\varnothing$ (lengthening of vowel), contracted from 3 Sg 'it is' clitic $=\eta$, §11.2.1
à- ~ àn-, frozen initial in a few nouns, §4.1.7
á-, frozen initial in a few nouns, §4.1.8
-à, 3 Pl subject suffix (in $-\varepsilon ̀ r-a ̀, ~-j$-à, $=b-a ̀$ ), §10.3.1
á, anaphoric singular pronoun
form, §4.3.1
as preposed possessor with inalienables, $\S 6.2 .2 .3$
third-person reflexive
object, §18.1.1
complement of postposition, $\S$ 18.1.2
possessor, §18.1.3
logophoric, §18.2.1
coindexed subject of relative clause, $\S 18.2 .2$
â, anaphoric plural pronoun
form, §4.3.1
á: in $-\grave{W}$ construction, $\S 15.2 .8 .5$
reflexive object, §18.1.1
as preposed possessor with inalienables, $\S 6.2 .2 .3$
à:bádá, 'never', §8.4.7.5
à-má:nì, 'So-and-so', §13,2,9, §4.1.3
àmâyn, 'how?', §13.2.6
àn-, frozen initial in a few nouns, §4.1.8
in cognate nominals, §11.1.5.1
-(à)ndú-, 3Pl perfective negative, §10.2.3.1
ǎy, ‘who?', §13.2.2
ǎn optionally reduced from àngú ‘which?', §13.2.8
à:ŋgǎy, 'how much/many?', §13.2.7
àngú, ‘which?’, §13.2.8
àngú tû: gò, 'when?', §13.2.5
árnâ, 'man', §4.1.2
àr ${ }^{n}$ á, 'male’, §5.1.7
àr"áŋá, 'where?', §13.2.4
àwá, 'accept, consent, §17.3.4
$b a ̌ \rightarrow$, 'all the way (from)', §8.2.12
'approximately', §8.4.3.1
'since', §15.3.1
in comparatives, §12.2.1
bǎ:-, 'be equal to', §12.2.1
bàyá, 'owner', §5.1.8
compounds ( $X$ bápà, $X$ bàクà), §5.1.8
bǎ:rí-, 'help’, §17.4.1
bàr ${ }^{n}$ í, 1 Sg dative, §4.3.1
bay, dative or 'at the place of', $\S 8.1 .1$
with pronominals, §4.3.1
bèndí
'other', §4.7.1.1
'again', §19.3.1
bě:, 'remain', §11.2.4.1
inchoative predicates with expressive adverbial, §8.4.7
bèndèy, 'together', §18.3.2
$=b \varepsilon$-, past clitic (inflected), $\S 10.5 .1$
after adjective
in comparative construction, $\S 12.1 .1$
after stative negative clitic = ǹdó-, §12.1.1
in comparatives, §12.1.4
relative-clause participles, §14.1.7.6
in counterfactual conditionals, $\S 16.4$
bèré, 'get'
'be able to', §17.5.1
bèrè-kéndè, 'middle'
[X bérè-kèndè] gá~ [X bèrè̀-kèndè] gá 'between', §8.2.10
bìndé ~ bèndí, 'other', §4.7.1.1
bìndé- 'go back', 'do again', §19.3.1
bù gò, see under bû:
bù-, 'be (somewhere)', §11.2.2.2
with past clitic, $\S 10.5 .1 .2$
with expressive adverbials, §8.4.7
-ŋ̀ bù-, with imperfective, §15.2.2.2
bu:
bû:, 3 Pl pronoun, §4.3.1
bù gò, in factive complement clause, §17.2.1
preposed inalienable possessor, §6.2.2.3
bû: ~ bù:, animate plural definite (after tone-dropped noun), §4.3.1
bû:, after conjoined NPs, §7.1.3
$b u ́ \rightarrow \sim p u ́ \rightarrow \sim$ fú $\rightarrow$, in 'no sooner $\ldots$, than $\ldots$ '. construction, §15.4.2
cók, 'exactly identical' with measurements, §8.4.3.2
-dágá, in cardinal-direction terms, §8.4.6.3
dágáy ~ dákáy, 'a little, slightly', §8.4.2
dă:rí-, ‘dare’, §17.3.3
dǎy ${ }^{n}$ (noun)
'manner'
dà ${ }^{n}$ as head of manner adverbial relatives, §15.5.2
in purposive clauses, (678b) in §17.6.1.1
'limit, outer bound'
dǎy ${ }^{n}$ sò-ndó, 'it has no limit', §8.4.2
-sè ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dày ${ }^{n}$, 'since' clause, §15.3.1
double final ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dà ${ }^{n}$ in parallel 'since' and 'until' clauses, §15.5.4
dè, clause-final admonitive particle, §19.5.3
dé:, 'authentic' or 'entire', §5.1.9
dém $\rightarrow$, 'straight', §8.4.7.3
déndè, 'just (one)', §19.4.2
dě:rê, in comparatives
dě:rê-, 'be better/more', §12.1.4
dě:rê = ̀̀, 'more than', §12.1.1
déy ${ }^{n} \rightarrow$, apart', §8.4.7.4
dě:-, 'become tired'
with durative clause complement, $\S 15.2 .5$
démì $\rightarrow$, 'somewhat, a little', §8.4.2
$d \dot{\varepsilon} r^{n} \dot{\varepsilon}$, 'spend (the) mid-day'
with clausal complement, §15.2.2.1
in greetings, §19.7.1
ùsí dèr ${ }^{n} \varepsilon^{-}$'night fall', §11.1.4
dèr ${ }^{n}$ í, purposive-causal postposition, §8.3
in 'even if' clause, §16.2.1
in purposive clause, §17.6.1.1-3
'because of', §17.6.5
occasional use as 'than' in comparatives, (447b) in §12.1.6
dìmé-, 'finish'
complement clause, §17.3.11
dósî, 'bottom'
[ $[X$ dósù $]$ gò $\sim$ [ $[X$ dòsù gò $], ~ ‘ u n d e r ~ X ', ~ § 8.2 .9 ~$
dǒ:, 'arrive, reach, attain'
in comparatives, $\S 12.2 .3$
yě: dò: 'come and arrive', §15.1.6.3
dògó- 'leave, abandon'
in verb chains, $\S 15.1 .5$
in sense 'cease' with complement clause, $\S 17.3 .5$
dùmá, 'last' (adjective), §4.7.2.1
$(-) \grave{e ̀}$, see $(-) \grave{\varepsilon} \sim(-) \grave{e} \sim(-) \grave{i}$
-é:, see - $\varepsilon$ : ~ -é: ~ -í:
$-\grave{\varepsilon}, 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ subject suffix (in $-m-\grave{\varepsilon},-s-\grave{\varepsilon},=$ ǹd- $\hat{\varepsilon}$ ), §10.3.1
$-\varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon}$ : 3 Pl subject suffix (in $-\eta-\grave{\varepsilon}$ :), §10.3.1
$(-) \grave{\varepsilon} \sim(-) \grave{e} \sim(-) \grave{i}$ (stem-final vowel), 3Sg simple perfective (based on E/I-stem), §10.2.1.1

- $\varepsilon: \sim$-é: ~ -í:, durative subordinator before 'become tired' (based on E/I-stem), §15.2.5
-દ̀rè-, perfective-1 a, §10.2.1.2
$\grave{\varepsilon} s i ́ \rightarrow$, 'a lot, very much', §8.4.2
غ̀Wré, 'small'
as noun 'a little’, §8.4.2
fú $\rightarrow$, in 'no sooner ..., than ...' construction, §15.4.2
$g a \sim g 0 \sim g o$, locative postposition, §8.2.3
nasalized variant $\eta a \sim \eta 0 \sim \eta o, \S 8.2 .3$
fuses with inanimate singular definite kú as gá, §8.2.3
combines with inanimate plural definite ý as ý gò, §8.2.3
fuses with inanimate singular demonstrative ìgú as ìgá, §8.2.3
combines with inanimate plural demonstrative yěy as yěy gò, §8.2.3
-m̀-sغ̀ gà, imperfective adverbial clause, $\S 15.2 .3$
gá, fusion of definite kú and locative $g v, \S 4.4 .1 .1, \S 8.2 .3$
gâ, variant of topic gây, §19.1.1
gàmbí, 'some, certain (ones)' or 'sometimes', §6.3.2
gǎ:ndí-, 'prevent', §17.3.2
gàr"í-, 'put (liquid, grain) in (container)'
valency, §11.1.1
stative gàrnà- '(liquid, grain) be (put) in’, §11.2.3
gáy, same-subject anterior subordinator (future time reference) after $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned verb,
§15.2.8.1
gây ~gày ~gâ, topic, §19.1.1
gě: $r^{n} 1 ́-\sim ~ j \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1 ́-, ~ ' t a k e ~ a w a y ~(r e m o v e) ’ ~(r e g u l a r ~ v e r b), ~ § 10.1 .3 .5 ~$
-gí, characteristic derivational suffix, §4.2.1
-gí-, minor causative suffix, §9.2.2
gírê, 'front'
[[X gírè] gà $] \sim$ [[X gìrè $]$ gà], 'in front of', §8.2.7
go
$g o$, see locative postposition $g a \sim g \Omega \sim g o$
gó (invariant), in purposive clauses after $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned verb, $\S 17.6 .3$
gǒ:, 'go out, leave'
ablative function, §8.2.1, §8.2.11
gô:, 'fire'
irregular locative gǒ: gó, (194b) in §8.2.3.1
$g \Omega$, see locative postposition $g a \sim g \Omega \sim g o$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$, for inanimate singular possessed noun with pronominal possessor
forms, §4.3.1
morphsyntax, §6.2.1.3
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\imath}=\grave{\eta}$ in predications of possession (' X belong to Y '), §11.5.2
$g u ́ \sim k u ́ \sim \dot{W}^{\sim}{ }^{\mathrm{L}} g u ̀ \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} k u ̀ \sim{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \grave{W}$, inanimate singular definite (after tone-dropped noun), §4.4.1.1
combines with locative $g a$ (or allomorph) as invariant gá, $\S 8.2 .3$
hálì ~ hálè, 'all the way to', §8.2.11
abstract extensions, §19.2
î:, 1 Pl pronoun, §4.3.1
í: in -ฟ̀ construction, §15.2.8.5
preposed possessor with inalienables, §6.2.2.3
$-i ́ \rightarrow$, predicate adjectives, §11.4.1.3
$(-) \grave{i}$ (stem-final vowel of verb), see $(-) \grave{\varepsilon} \sim(-) \grave{e} \sim(-) \grave{i}$
-í: (on verb), see -દ́: ~ -é: ~ -í:
ǐ: ${ }^{n}, 1 \mathrm{Sg}$ pronoun, §4.3.1
í: ${ }^{n}$ in $-\underset{W}{c}$ construction, $\S 15.2 .8 .5$
ìré, 'forget'
complement clause, §17.3.7
íyé, 'today’, §8.4.6.1
íyê, ‘again', §8.4.6.1
jâ:", 'right, proper', §8.4.4.2
já:di, 'exactly' (confirming), §19.5.1, §8.4.3.2
jágà $\rightarrow$, 'lo!', §19.2.6
jě:-, 'bring' (regular verb), §10.1.3.1
$j \grave{\varepsilon}$-, recent perfect, §10.2.1.5
as chained verb, §10.1.1
$j \dot{\text { críl}}$, recent perfect negative, $\S 10.2 .3 .3$
-jغ̀-s̀̀-, participle, §14.1.7.1
$j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$, same-subject 'while' clause, §15.2.4
$j \varepsilon ́ j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$, 'go along with', §15.1.9
$j \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1 ́-$, see $g \varepsilon ̌: r^{n} 1 ́-$
jó:, 'many, much', §8.4.2
jòró-, 'want, like', §11.2.4.3
complement clause, §17.3.6
jùgó-, 'know'
factive complement clause, $\S 17.2 .1$
kámâ, 'each', §6.6.2
following a numeral in summative sense, §6.1.1
follows participle in relative clauses, §14.1.10
kò kámâ '(not) anything', §6.6.2
kár ${ }^{n}$ í-, 'do'
in predicates with expressive adverbials, §8.4.7
kǎy, 'be best', §12.1.5
kéréw, 'all', §6.6.1
follows participle in relative clauses, §14.1.10
right-edge marker in conjoined NPs, §7.1.1.2, §7.1.3
kérî, 'side'
[ $X$ kérì] gà $\sim[X$ kèrì] gà, 'next to X , beside X ', §8.2.6
kék, ‘completely’, §8.4.7.2
kéndè, 'heart'
pseudo-subject in collocations, §11.1.4
késé, 'cut'
complement in sense 'prevent', §17.3.2
$k \hat{\varepsilon} W$, 'each; equally', $\S 12.2 .2, \S 6.1 .1, \S 6.6 .2$ at end of double conditional antecedent clause, $\S 16.3$
$k \hat{\varepsilon} W-y \varepsilon ̀$, 'be equal', §12.2.2 $k \varepsilon ́-k \varepsilon ́ w, ~ ' s a m e, ~ e q u a l ', ~ § 12.2 .2$
kìyá, 'first'
adverb, §8.4.6.2
ordinal, §4.6.2.1
kìyǎ-w, 'previously, long ago’, §4.6.2.1
kíyé-, ‘say’, §11.3.1, §17.1
kìyè-sغ̀ Ẁ-ndé ~ kìyと̀-sغ̀ gù-ndè 'said', §17.1
kòy, clause-final emphatic, §19.5.2
kó~kó-ŋ, 'thing', §4.1.2
$k \hat{o}$, inanimate singular possessed noun, §6.2.1.3
kô:, 1 Sg possessor, §4.3.1
uncommon variant of ${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} g \hat{\jmath}$ with other pronominal possessors
kò kámâ '(not) anything', §6.6.2
kú kô, with discourse-definite kú, §4.4.1.3
$k \hat{o}=\grave{\eta}$ in predications of possession ('X belong to $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ ), §11.5.2
plural yé, §4.1.2
kó- $\eta$, see $k o ́(t h i n g ')$
kò-nદ́, 'what?', §13.2.3
kò-nદ́ ỳnà, 'with what?’, §13.2.3
kò-né dèr"í, ‘why?’, §13.2.3
ku
kú, inanimate singular pronoun, §4.1.3
kú, prenominal discourse-definite, §4.4.1.3, §6.5.1
kú ~ kù, variant of $g u ́ \sim g u ̀ ~ d e f i n i t e ~ i n a n i m a t e ~ s i n g u l a r, ~ § 4.4 .1 .1 ~$
kû:, inanimate plural pronoun, §4.1.3
kû: 'head'
in emphatic pronoun construction, § 18.1.4.3
locative kú: gó, (194) in §8.2.3.1
postposition [X kû:] gò ~ [X kù:] gò 'on (the head of) X', §8.2.5
kúr ${ }^{n}$ ú-, 'put (object) in (container)'
valency, §11.1.1
stative kùr ${ }^{n} \grave{o}-$ ' (object) be (put) in', §11.2.3
láwá, 'pass'
'surpass' in comparatives, §12.1.3
lék, 'just (one)', §19.4.2
lén, 'just (one)', §19.4.2
-lí- (verbal suffix), see -rí-
$=\grave{m}-$, 'it is' (conjugated), §11.2.1.1
forms
$3 \mathrm{Sg}=\eta \sim:={ }^{n} \sim:=\varnothing$ (postvocalic), $=y \varepsilon ̀ \sim=y \varepsilon$ (postconsonantal) §11.2.1.1
$3 \mathrm{Pl}=y \grave{\varepsilon} \sim=y \varepsilon, \S 11.2 .1 .1$
inanimate $=w($ postvocalic $) \sim=y \grave{\varepsilon} \sim=y \varepsilon$ (postconsonantal) §11.2.1.1
with predicate adjectives, §11.4.1.2
- $\grave{m}$-, imperfective, §10.2.2.1

3Sg $-\eta \sim \vdots_{-n}^{n}, \S 10.2 .2 .1$
before past $=b \varepsilon$ -
with statives, §10.5.1.2
in relative clauses, §14.1.7.2
imperfective clause
with -ì, §15.2.2
purposive clause
with -m, §17.6.1.1
-m̀-sغ̀ gà, imperfective adverbial clause, §15.2.3
mà, 'or', §7.2.1
mà ~ má, yes-no interrogative, § 13.2.1
-má, hortative ( Pl -mày ${ }^{17}$ ), §10.6.2
embedded hortative, §17.1.4.2
màr ${ }^{n}$ á, 'self'
with emphatic pronouns, §18.1.4.1
may $^{n}$
may ${ }^{n}$, 'like (similar to)'
with NPs, §8.4.1
in manner clauses, $\S 15.5 .2$
in 'as though' clauses, $\S 15.5 .5$
m̀mǎy", 'like this' or 'this way', §4.4.1.7
kú màyn, 'like that (discourse-definite)’, §4.4.1.7
-mày ${ }^{n}$, plural hortative, §10.6.2
in -rá-mà ${ }^{n}$ plural hortative negative, $\S 10.6 .3$
m̀bá 'love, be very fond of (someone)', §11.2.5
m̀bó- 'blow (nose)', §10.1.3.4
m̀bùrǎ- 'not want', §11.2.5
$-m-\grave{\varepsilon}, 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ subject agreement form of -mì imperfective participial -mì, §14.1.7.1, §14.1.7.5
-mì, imperfective
variant of -m̀-, §10.2.2.1
in Imperfetive relative-clause participles, §14.1.7.2
instrumental relative compounds, §5.1.11
purposive clauses, §17.6.2
in stative participles, §14.1.7.2
-mí-, verbal derivational suffix
causative (productive), §9.2.1
valency, §11.1.2
-mí agentive of causative, §5.1.11
factitive with adjectival verbs, $\S 9.5$
passive ('be found', 'be gotten'), §9.3.3
m̀mǎy", 'like this' or 'this way', §4.4.1.7
mo
$-m \grave{0}$, in backgrounded durative clauses ('while'), §15.2.1
in complement of 'see', §17.2.2.1
in complement of 'find', §17.2.3.2
$m \grave{2}$, linker between object NP and nominalized verb in purposive clause, $\S 17.6 .3$
mǒ:ndí-yí-, 'assemble'
in verb chains, §15.1.8
$-\grave{m}-s \grave{\varepsilon}$
participle of perfect of the imperfective, §14.1.7.1
-m̀-sغ̀ gà, imperfective adverbial clause, §15.2.3
múgò, final in 'before' clause, §15.4.1
$\vdots-{ }^{n}$ (length plus nasalization and L-tone), 3Sg imperfective, §10.2.2.1
nà
different-subject anterior subordinator 'and then', §15.2.6.1
'rather' in corrections of incorrect propositions, §15.2.6.1
'X time(s)' with following numeral, §4.1.1
ná:, 'spend (the) night', §9.7
with clausal complement, $\S 15.2 .2$. 1
in greetings, §19.7.1
ná:-mí- 'greet in the morning', §9.7
nàmà-, 'want, like' (stative), §11.2.4.3
ná-ŋà, extended variant of subordinator nà, §15.2.6.1
ná-ŋày ${ }^{n}$, §15.2.6.2
náyí-, 'put (sth) up on (e.g. oven)'
valency, §11.1.1
stative nànà- 'be (put) up on (sth)', §11.2.3
nàr ${ }^{n a ́}$, 'fruit'
as compound final, §5.1.6
náy ${ }^{n}$, 'now', §19.1.2
nâ $y^{n} \sim$ nâ:: $y^{n}$, different-subject anterior subordinator 'and then', §15.2.6.2
-ndá-, -ndà:-, prohibitive (variants of -rá), §10.6.1.2
-ndà, -ndà:, quoted prohibitive, §10.6.4
-ndà:, quotative hortative negative, §10.6.5, §17.1.4.2
-nde, 'if' in conditionals, $\S 16.1$
counterfactual conditionals, $\S 16.4$
-Ẁndé, same-subject anterior subordinator (future time reference), §15.2.8.1
-ndé-, minor causative suffix (in 'take down'), §9.2.2
ǹdé, 'go up', §10.1.3.4
source of initial nd cluster, §3.3.8.1
$=n d-\varepsilon, 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ subject form of stative negative $=\grave{n} d o ́-, \S 10.4 .2$
$-n d \varepsilon ́$, verbal noun suffix, $\S 4.2 .2 .1$
in verb chains, §15.1.1
verbal noun complements, §17.3.1
-ndì~ -nì
plural imperative, §10.6.1.1
in greetings, §19.7.1
in plural prohibitive -rá-ndì, §10.6.1.2
ńdí, 'give', § 10.1.3.4
source of initial nd cluster, §3.3.8.1
valency, §11.1.1
-ndíyé-, inchoative with some adjectival stems, §9.5
ńdô, 'house'
source of initial nd cluster, §3.3.8.1
= ̀̀ dó-, stative negative clitic
with stative form of regular verb, § 10.4.2
with adjective
in comparative construction, $\S 12.1 .1$
plus past clitic $=b \varepsilon$-, §12.1.1
$=n d o \check{ }(:)-$ - 'it is not' (negation of 'it is' clitic), §11.2.1.2
-ndú-, 3Pl perfective negative, §10.2.3.1
$n \varepsilon ́ \sim n \grave{\varepsilon}$, animate singular definite (after tone-dropped noun), §4.4.1.1
néy ${ }^{n}$, 'now', §19.1.2
-nì
variant of plural imperative -ndì, §10.6.1.1
in bahuvrihi compounds, §5.2.1.3
nî:, 'water'
irregular locative ní: ŋó, (194) in §8.2.3.1
nípèyn, 'now’, §8.4.6.1
nípè $y^{n}$ ỳnà, 'until now, so far' or '(not) yet', §10.5.2
ǹjâ, 'seed'
source of initial nj cluster, §3.3.8.1
as compound final, §5.1.6
ǹjí- $\eta, 1 \mathrm{Sg}$ accusative, §4.3.1
ńnâ, 'field'
source of initial nn cluster, §3.3.8.1
ńné, 'go', §10.1.3.4 (paradigm)
source of initial nn cluster, §3.3.8.1
variant ńné- or ǹnè- in some morphological contexts, §10.1.3.4
valency, §11,1.1
in verb chains, §15.1.6.1, §15.6.7
after $j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ subordinator ('while'), §15.2.4
ǹné, 'tooth'
source of initial nn cluster, §3.3.8.1
nné
ńné, 3 Sg pronoun, $\S 4.3 .1$
source of initial nn cluster, §3.3.8.1
ńnદ́- (in ńnモ́-غ̀-rè- etc.), variant of ńné- 'go', §10.1.3.4
ǹnદ́, ‘what (things)?', §13.2.3
nò, occasional variant of 3 Sg possessor nı̀ after +ATR noun, §6.2.1.3
no
nı̀, 3 Sg possessor (postnominal), §6.2.1.3
alienables, §6.2.1.3
inalienables, §6.2.2.3
-nó, deadjectival nominal in gàw-nó ‘height', §4.2.5
-nǒ:, Ordinal, §4.7.2.2
$n u$, see nǔ: and nùyní
nǔ:, 'person', §4.1.2
nú before numerals, §3.7.4.1
nù tùmâ, 'one person', §3.7.4.1
núy ${ }^{n} 1$-, 'go in', §10.1.3.2
nùy ${ }^{n} 1$ í, 'hear', §10.1.3.2
nù-ŋó-, irregular imperfective negative, §10.2.3.4
$=\grave{m}-$, 'it is' (conjugated), §11.2.1.1
$=\eta \sim:={ }^{n} \sim:=\varnothing, 3 \mathrm{Sg}$ form of 'it is' clitic $=\grave{m}-$, §11.2.1.1
ŋ́, same-subject anterior subordinator ('and then'), §15.2.7
$-\eta$ (suffixed)
$-\eta$ (atonal), archaic animate singular suffix
yǎ-ŋ, 'woman', §4.1.2
$W O ̌-\eta$, animate singular demonstrative, §4.4.1.2
ǎ- $\eta$, 'who', §13.2.2
$-\eta$ (atonal), accusative, §6.7
with pronouns, §4.3.1
transitivity, §11.1.1
in causative clauses, §11.1.2
possible underlying L-tone, §3.7.3.4, §19.1.3
-1 , imperfective allomorph, variant $\vdots{ }^{n}$ (length plus nasalization and L-tone)
3 Sg imperfective §10.2.2.1
imperfective clause subordinator, §15.2.2
-1 , quoted hortative, $\S 10.6 .5, \S 17.1 .4 .2$
na
see locative postposition $g a \sim g 0 \sim g o, \S 8.2 .3$
in ná-ŋà, extended variant of nà subordinator, §15.2.6.1.
ŋ̀gá
'here', §4.4.2.1
fusion of demonstrative $\grave{\eta g}$ ú and locative postposition, §8.2.3
ŋ̀gó-, 'not be (somewhere), be absent', §11.2.2.2
ŋ̀gú, inanimate singular demonstrative, §4.4.1.2
$-\eta i ́-$, minor causative suffix, $\S 9.2 .2$
$\eta o$, see locative postposition $g a \sim g \Omega \sim g o, \S 8.2 .3$
$\eta \rho$, see locative postposition $g a \sim g \rho \sim g o, \S 8.2 .3$
-ŋŋ̀(:)-, imperfective negative, $\S 10.2 .3 .4$
variant -ŋб́- with 'hear' and 'see', §10.1.3.2
in relative clauses, §16.1.7.2
in negative purposive clauses, $\S 17.6 .1 .3$
$-n \varepsilon ́$
part of kò-né, 'what?', §13.2.3
part of ǹné, 'what (things)?', §13.2.3
nù-ŋó-, irregular imperfective negative of yǐ:- 'see', §10.2.3.4
-ò, variant 3 Pl subject suffix, simple perfective, $\S 10.3$.
ǒ:, 'field(s)'
irregular locative ò: gó, §8.2.3.1
-̀, variant 3 Pl subject suffix, simple perfective, $\S 10.3 .1$
ô:, 'place'
head NP in spatial adverbial relative, §15.5.1
乞̌:-, 'very', with following $\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned adjective, §5.2.2
pé:rù, 'ten', §4.7.1.3
in decimal multiples, §4.7.1.3
pés, '(not) at all', §19.2.4
pés, 'very much’ or '(not) at all’, §19.2.4
pǒ:, greeting, §19.7
$p u ́ \rightarrow$, 'all', §6.6.1
in 'no sooner $\ldots$, than $\ldots$ ' construction, $\S 15.4 .2$
-rá-, prohibitive (variants -ndá, -ndà:), §10.6.1.2
in negative purposive clause, $\S 17.6 .1 .2$
hortative negative -rá-má, plural -rá-máy ${ }^{n}, \S 10.6 .3$
QuotImprt negative, §10.6.4
-ré- (verbal suffix), see -rí-
-rí-, verbal suffix
perfective negative, §10.2.3.1
in experiential perfect negative -tà:-rí-, §10.2.3.2
in recent perfect negative $j \grave{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{rí}^{\prime}$, $\S 10.2 .3 .3$ in relative-clause participles, $\S 14.1 .7 .2$
reversive, §9.1
transitive (often paired with mediopassive -yí-), §9.3.1
-rné- (verbal suffix), see -rí́

- r $^{n} 1$ Í- (verbal suffix), see -rí-
ságǎ, 'plus' in decimal plus unit numerals, §4.7.1.3
ságù, 'because', §17.6.4
sákô, 'a fortiori', §12.3
sǎ:ndâ, 'false/secondary', §5.1.9
sǎy, 'only', §19.4.1
$-s-\varepsilon ́, 3 \mathrm{Pl}$ perfective-2, §10.2.1.3
$-s-\varepsilon$, 3 Pl progressive, §10.2.2.4
$-s \grave{\varepsilon}$, perfective participle (relative clauses), §14.1.7.1
-ŋ̀-s̀̀ gà, imperfective adverbial clause, §15.2.3
-sè gù-ndè, 'and then' subordinator, §15.2.8.4
kìyè-sè Ẁ-ndé ~ kìyè-s文gúndé ‘said', §17.1
-s̀̀ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ dày ${ }^{n}$, 'since' clause, §15.3.1
sígé, 'go down', §9.2.2
irregular causative sí:-ndé- 'take down'
so-
sò-, 'have', §11.5.1
Só-ŋ̀m $=b \grave{\varepsilon}$-, with conjugated past clitic, §10.5.1.2
só-mì, relative-clause participle, §11.5.1, §14.1.7.5
-só-
perfective-2, §10.2.1.3
'be possible to' (after stem-final í:), §17.5.2.2
-sò-, progressive, §10.2.2.4
-só-mì, relative-clause participle, §14.1.7.2
sóy, 'all', §6.6.1
tá:-, experiential perfect
tá:-só-, experiential perfect positive, §10.2.1.4
as chained verb, §10.1.1
-tà:-rí-, experiential perfect negative, §10.2.3.2
-tá:-sغ̀-, participle, §14.1.7.1
tán ~ táp, 'as soon as', §16.2.2
tání, 'become' (with noun complement), §11.2.4.2
$t e ́ \rightarrow$, 'specifically’, §8.4.3.3
'exactly', with time expressions, §8.4.3.2
témbè, 'top'
[ $[X$ témbè] gà] ~ [[X tèmbè] gà], 'over X, above X', §8.2.9
-tì,
-tì, perfective-1b, §10.2.1.2
possible analysis as chained auxiliary verb, §10.1.1
occasionally preceded by preverbal subject pronoun in relatives, §14.1.7.1
in different-subject anterior claue, §15.2.6.
$t i ́$ as chained verb with perfective flavor, §15.1.10
tîlây, 'certainty', §17.2.3
tìy(i)-
‘send’, §10.1.3.3
'put down', variant of túy(í)-, § 10.1.3.3
tóró-, ‘begin', §17.3.10
tu:
tû̀:, reciprocal, §18.3.1
tǔ:.; 'agemate', §6.2.2.3
tùmâ, 'one' (adjective), §4.7.1.1
'alone' with pronouns, § 18.1.4.2
adverbial variant tùmáy ${ }^{n}$, 18.1.4.2
tùmá(-yé) 'nothing but, exclusively’, §19.4.3
tùndí, 'back, rear'
[ $[X$ túndù] gò ]~ [[X tùndù] gò], 'behind X , after X ', §8.2.8
túy(i)-~ tìy(î)- 'put down', §10.1.3.3
ú, 2 Sg pronoun, §4.3.1
preposed possessor with inalienables, §6.2.2.3
û:, 2Pl pronoun, §4.3.1
preposed possessor with inalienables, $\S 6.2 .2 .3$ ú: in -刘 construction, §15.2.8.5
ùmbá 'not want, dislike', §11.2.5
ùsí, ‘sun'
subject of meteorological/seasonal collocations, §11.1.4
ùwà-, see uí:-yí-
ú:-yí- (stative ùwà-), 'fear, be afraid of', §11.2.4.4
w
$=w$, postvocalic inanimate subject of 'it is' clitic $=\grave{m}-$-, §11.2.1.1
$\dot{W} \sim \grave{w}$, variant of $g u ́ u$ (definite inanimate Sg ), §4.4.1.1
$-w, 2$ Sg subject suffix on predicates, $\S 10.3 .1$
$-w . \therefore, 2$ Pl subject suffix on predicates, $\S 10.3 .1$
$-W$, specialized relative-clause participial, §15.2.8.5
$-w$, deadjectival nominal in kìy̆-w '(in) the past’, §4.2.5, §4.7.2.1
wa, quotative, §17.1.3
quotative subject, §17.1.3
wágádí ~ wágátí, 'time’
head of temporal adverbial relative, $\S 15.4$
wàgàtì àrnápá, 'when?’, §13.2.5
wá:jibì, ‘duty’, §17.3.8
wê:, animate plural demonstrative, §4.4.1.2
wغ̀ré, presentative plural ('here's ...!'), §4.4.3
-ẁndé, same-subject anterior subordinator (future time reference), §15.2.8.1
wǒy, 'two', §4.7.1.1
wǒ- $\eta$, animate singular demonstrative, §4.4.1.2
wùné, presentative ('here's ...!'), §4.4.3
wùnér"é, presentative ('here's ...!'), §4.4.3
-y
1Sg subject suffix on predicates, $\S 10.3 .1$
QuotImprt (in quoted imperatives), § 10.6.4
$-y . \therefore, 1$ Pl subject suffix on predicates, §10.3.1
$\bar{y} \sim \bar{y}$, inanimate plural definite (after tone-dropped noun), §4.4.1.1
yá, existential, §11.2.2.1
with bù-- 'be (somewhere)', §11.2.2.2
with 'be put in', etc., §11.2.3
with nàmà- 'want', §11.2.4.3
with ùwà- 'fear', §11.2.4.4
with sò- 'have', §11.5.1
absent from focalized clauses, §13.1.5
absent from negative clauses, § 11.2.2.2
-yà, 3 Pl subject suffix (in -tì-yà), §10.3.1
yă: (Sg yă-y) 'woman', §4.1.2
in compounds, §5.1.7
yàyà ~ ỳnà, instrumental postposition, §8.1.2.2
yaya (atonal, hence yápá or yàyà), 'also, even', §19.1.3
conjunction in lists, §7.1.2
in 'even if' conditionals, §16.1.2, §16.2.1
yàrí, 'rainy season, cloudy weather'
subject in seasonal collocations, §11.1.4
-yé- (verbal suffix), see -yí-
yè
$=y e ̀$, clitic with place names, §8.2.4
-yè~ -yê, plural (cf. -yê), §4.1.2
wǒ:-yè 'these/those (animate)', §4.4.1.2
ŋ̀gú-yè, 'these/those (inanimate)', §4.4.1.2
wă:-yè 'the counterparties', §4.4.1.5
ă:-yè, 'who?.Pl', §13.2.2
in gàmbí-yê 'certain ones', §6.3.2
yè variant of yò, 'and', §7.1.1
reduced form of yě: 'come' in verb chains, §15.1.6.2
yě:, 'come'
reduction to yè nonfinally in verb chains, §15.1.6.2
final member of verb chain, §15.1.7
after $j \grave{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ subordinator ('while'), §15.2.4
yě: dò: 'come and arrive', §15.1.6.3
yê:, 'a fortiori', §12.3
yěy, inanimate plural demonstrative, §4.4.1.2
$=y \grave{\varepsilon} \sim=y \varepsilon$, variant of conjugated 'it is' clitic $=\grave{m}-$
3 Pl subject (all positions), §11.2.1.1
postconsonantal (uncommon position) for other third persons, §11.2.1.1
historical background, §11.2.1.3
occasional before 1 Pl and 2 Pl 'it is' forms, $\S 11.2 .1 .4$
$-y \varepsilon ́, ~ v e r b a l ~ s u f f i x ~$
passive, §9.3.2
with past clitic, §10.5.1.4
in resultative complement of 'see', §17.2.2.2
3 Pl subject of stative, $\S 10.4 .1$
3 Pl subject with adjectival predicate
in comparatives, §12.1.4
'be possible to’, §17.5.2.1
$y \varepsilon$, animate singular or inanimate Pl
$y \varepsilon ́, ~ ' t h i n g s ', ~ s u p p l e t i v e ~ p l u r a l ~ o f ~ k o ́ \eta ~ ~ ~ k o ́, ~ § 4.1 .2 ~$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$, inanimate plural possessor, §6.2.1.3
$y \hat{\varepsilon}$, 'critter' (singular or plural)
${ }^{H L} y \hat{\varepsilon}$, after pronominal possessor, §4.3.1, §6.2.1.3
$k u ́{ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}$, with discourse-definite $k u ́, ~ § 4.4 .1 .3$
${ }^{\mathrm{HL}} y \hat{\varepsilon}=\grave{\eta}$ in 'belong to' predicate, $\S 11.5 .2$
yèbúmbâ, 'snake', §6.2.1.3
yê:, 1 Sg possessor
with inalienable, §6.2.2.3
$y \varepsilon ̌:-$, form of y̌̌:- 'see' in hortatives, §10.1.3.3
yê:, 1 Sg possessor, §4.3.1
-yí-, verbal derivational suffix
mediopassive (often paired with transitive -rí-), §9.3.1
inchoative with adjectival stem, $\S 9.5$
factitive -yé-mí-~-yé-mí-, §9.5
-yย́-mí- ~ -yé-mí-, factitive, §9.5
part of inchoative -ndíyé-, §9.5
yi:
yî:, ‘child', §4.1.3
as compound final, §5.1.6
yǐ:-, 'see', §10.1.3.2
nù-ŋ́-, irregular imperfective negative, §10.1.3.2
variant $y \varepsilon(:)-$
perfective negative yè-rí-, §10.2.3
hortative yě:-mày, §10.6.2
valency, §11.1.1
form of complement clause, §17.2.2
ỳnà, see yànà
yò, ‘and', §7.1.1
yó, in ǐ: ${ }^{n}$ yó 'I myself', § 18.1.4.4
$=y^{n}$ è, see $=y$ è,
- $y^{n} e^{-}$(verbal suffix), see -yí-
- $y^{n i ́ l}$-(verbal suffix), see -yí-


## 3. grammar

accusative, §6.7
adjective, $\S 4.5$
ordinals, §4.7.2
lexical tones, §3.7.1.4
grammatical tones, §3.7.2.3
bahuvrihi compounds, §5.2.1.1
syntax (in NP), §6.3
expansions of adjective, §6.3.3
intensifiers of adjectives, §8.4.7.2
deadjectival verbs, $\S 9.5$
adjectival predicates, $\S 11.4$
in comparatives, §12.1.1
Adjective-Numeral Inversion, §6.4.2
adverb (see also expressive adverbial)
demonstrative (locative), §4.4.2.1
spatial, §8.4.6.3
temporal, §8.4.6
adverbial clauses, $\S 15.2$
'a fortiori', §12.3
'again', §8.4.6.1, §19.3.1
agentive, §4.2.4
compounds, §5.1.5
'all' (see quantification)
'also', §19.1.3
ambi-valent, §9.4
AN (aspect-negation), $\S 10.1$
anaphora, chapter 18
animacy
'tale' and 'song' sometimes animate, $\S 4.4$
in determiners, §4.4.1.1-2
in 'it is' clitic, §11.2.1.1
anterior clause, $\S 15.2 .2$
different subject, §15.2.6
same subject, §15.2.7-8
A/O-stem, $\S 3.4 .8, \S 10.6 .1 .1, \S 10.4 .1$ (stative), $\S 10.2 .1 .1$ (3Pl simple perfective)
apocope, §3.5.4
apposition
pronominal possessives, §6.2.1.3
pronoun and relative-clause head, §14.1.3
'approximately', §4.4.2.2, §8.4.3.1
aspect (see AN, perfective, perfect, imperfective, stative)
aspect-negation (AN) category, $\S 10.1$
Atonal-Morpheme Tone-Spreading, §3.7.3.4
ATR (advanced tongue root), §3.4
harmony, §3.4.5
autosegmental, §3.7.1.5
backchannel, §19.6
bahuvrihi, §5.2.1
'be' and 'become'
'it is' clitic, §11.2.1
phonology, §3.6.1
with adjectival predicate, §4.5.1.3
locative/existential 'be (somewhere)', §11.2.2.2
with past clitic, §10.5.1.2
'become'
with NP, §11.2.4.2
with adjective (see inchoative)
with expressive adverbial, §8.4.7
'be able to', §17.5.1
'because' (see causal)
'become' (see 'be'; for adjectives see inchoative)
'before ...' clauses, §15.4.1
bifurcation (of NP in relative clause), §14.1, §14.1.9-10
bracketing (within NP), §6.1.4
'but', §19.2.5
causal
postposition ('because of'), $\S 8.3$
causal clauses ('because'), §17.6.4
causative verb, $\S 9.2$
valency, §11.1.2
chaining (of verbs or VPs), chapter 15
arguments of chained verbs, §15.1.3
in relative clause, $\S 14.1 .1$
verbal suffix versus chained auxiliary verb, §10.1.1
characteristic nominal, §4.2.1
cliticization, §3.6
clusters, §3.3.8
rules affecting clusters, $\S 3.5 .5$
cognate nominal, §11.1.5
comitative, §8.1.2
comparatives, chapter 12
compounds
nominal, §5.1
agentive, §5.1.5
verbal noun, §5.1.4
'owner', §5.1.8
iterated stem and medial linker, §5.1.10
instrumental relative compounds, §5.1.11
adjectival, §5.2
bahuvrihi, §5.2.1
conjunction
of NPs, §7.1
of VPs, see chaining
conditionals, chapter 16 (see also pseudo-conditional)
counterfactual, §16.4
coordination, chapter 7
no anaphoric relationship among coordinands, §18.4.1
consonants, §3.3
Contour-Tone Stretching, §3.7.4.2
dative, §8.1.1
deadjectival verb, §9.5
definite
postnominal definite, §4.4.1.1
prenominal discourse-definite kú, §4.4.1.3, §6.5.1
defocalized verb or adjective, $\S 13.1$
deictic (see demonstrative)
demonstratives
demonstrative pronouns, §4.4.1
grammatical tone overlays, §3.7.2.4
syntax (in NP), §6.5
follow verb in relative clause, $\S 6.1 .3, \S 14.1 .12$
demonstrative adverbs, §4.4.2
denominal verb, §9.6
Derhoticization (absent), §3.5.5.1
Desyllabification, §3.5.6.3
detachability of NP in relative clause (see bifurcation)
determiners (see also definite, demonstrative)
Determiner Tone-Dropping, §6.5.4
different-subject (see switch-reference)
diphthong, §3.4.9
in perfective positive for 3 Pl subject, (282) in $\S 10.2 .1 .1$
in perfective negative for 3 Pl subject, (322a) in $\S 10.2$.3.1
discourse markers
presentential, §19.2
disjunction, §7.2.2
dissimilation (see also Tone-Polarization)
among rhotics (reversive verbs), §3.5.5.3
distributive
numerals (iterated), §4.7.1.6
'each', §6.6.2
'do'
in predicates with expressive adverbials, §8.4.7
with expressive adverbials, §8.4.7
downstep
intonational, before 'all' quantifier, § 15.4.2
dying-quail intonation effect, §3.8.3
with 1 Pl and 2 Pl subject predicates, $\S 10.2 .1 .1, \S 10.3 .1$
$\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$-stem (E-stem for non- $i$-final verbs, I-stem $=$ bare stem for $i$-final verbs)
in 3 Sg simple perfective, $\S 10.2 .1 .1$
in complement of dě:- 'be tired', §15.2.5
emphatic
phrase-final particles, $\S 19.5$
clause-initial, §19.2.4
pronouns, §18.1.4

Epenthesis (absent), §3.5.3.2
evaluation, §8.4.4
'even', §19.1.3
'even if', §16.2.1
existential, §11.2.2.1
experiential perfect, $\S 10.2 .1 .4$
negation, §10.2.3.2
in relative clause, §14.1.7.1
expressive adverbials, §8.4.7
intensifiers, §8.4.7.2
'flat', §4.5.2
derived from adjectives, in predicates, §11.4.1.3
extent (see quantification)
factitive
deadjectival (suffixal derivation), $\S 9.5$
bě:-mí- with expressive adverbial §8.4.7
factive complement clause, $\S 17.2$
with 'know', §17.2.1
with 'see', §17.2.2.1
in quoted clause, §17.1.3
'fear', §11.2.4.4
complement clause, §17.3.9
Final- $\mathrm{CV}_{V}<\mathrm{LH}>$-to-H Reduction, §3.7.4.6
Final-High-Vowel Apocope, §3.5.4
Final-Tone Resyllabification, §3.7.4.2
Floating-Tone Linking, §3.7.4.5
focalization, §13.1
fraction, §4.7.3
'from', §15.5.4
'give', §10.1.3.4
valency, §11.1.1
glottal stop, §3.5.6.1
greetings, §19.7
harmony (see ATR harmony)
'have', §11.5.1 (see also possession)
head-doubling (after relative clause), §14.1.12
headless
NP, §6.1.2
relative clause, $\S 14.1 .5, \S 15.5 .3$
hortative, $\S 10.6 .2$
negative, §10.6.3
third-person hortative, §10.6.4-5
quoted, §10.6.5, §17.1.4.2
$i$-final verb stem, §10.1.3
imperative, $\S 10.6 .1$ (see also prohibitive)
quoted imperative (jussive), §17.1.4.1
in greetings, §19.7.1
imperfective (see also progressive)
positive imperfective system, §10.2.2
imperfective positive, $\S 10.2 .2 .1$
imperfective negative, $\S 10.2$.3.4
in complement clauses, $\S 15.2 .2 .1-3$
inalienable, §6.2.2
inchoative (suffixal derivation), $\S 9.5$
instrumental
instrumental-comitative postposition, §8.1.2
instrument nominals, §4.2.3
instrumental relative compounds, §5.1.11
intensifier, §8.4.7.2 (see also "expressive adverbial")
interrogatives, §13.2
polar interrogative vis-à-vis 'or' disjunction, §13.2.1
tag question, §13.2.1
embedded, §13.2.9
intonation, §3.8
I-stem, §3.4.8
iteration (of the full stem), see also reduplication
nouns, §4.1.7 (lexical)
adverbials, §4.5.3
numerals, §8.4.8.1 (distributive)
verbs, §11.6, §15.1.7
'it is' clitic, $\S 11.2 .1$
phonology, §3.6.1
in adjectival predicates, $\S 4.5 .3$
negative 'it is not', $\S 11.2 .1 .2$
jussive, §17.1.4
kin terms
inalienable possession, §6.2.2
'know'
complement clause, §17.2.1
'like' (see similarity)
linear order
within NP, §6.1.1
Adjective-Numeral Inversion, §6.4.2
of arguments in verb chains, §15.1.3
locative
tonal locative, §8.2.4 (reduction of clitic $=y$ è)
postposition, §8.2.2
in situational greetings, §19.7.2
logophoric, §18.2
manner (see also similarity)
adverb, §8.4.5 (see also similarity)
adverbial clause, §15.5.2-3
mediopassive (verbal derivative), §9.3.1
valency, §11.1.2
metrical structure, §3.2.2
modal (see also imperative, hortative, 'be able')
obligation, §17.3.8
'proper, right', §8.4.4.2
certainty, $\S 17.2 .3$ (see also emphatic)
Monophthongization, §3.5.7.2
'more', §12.1.1
'be more', §12.1.4
motion verbs
in direct verb chains, §15.1.6
durative verb iterations plus motion verb, $\S 15.1 .7$
'while' clause plus motion verb, §15.2.4
'go with', §15.1.9
Nasalization
(forward) Nasalization-Spreading, §3.5.1.1
Backward Nasalization, §3.5.1.2
imperfective negative nù-ŋó- ‘did not see’, §10.2.3.4
nasalized vowels, §3.4.2
nasalized sonorants, §3.3.7
negation
of aspectual inflections, $\S 10.2 .3$
of imperative, §10.6.1.2
of hortative, $\S 10.6 .3$
stative negative, $\S 10.4 .2$
with adjectives §11.4.2
'it is not', $\S 11.2 .1 .2$
adjectival predicates, §11.4.2
'not be (somewhere)', 'be absent', §11.2.2.2
'not want', §11.2.4.3
interaction with quantifier, $\S 6.6 .3$
relative clauses, §14.1.7.3-4
of direct verb chains, §15.1.4
nominalization
deadjectival, §4.2.5
denominal, §4.2.1
deverbal, §4.2.2-4
non-high stem, $\S 3.4 .8, \S 10.2 .3 .1$ (perfective negative),
non- $i$-final verb stem, $\S 10.1 .3$
nouns, $\S 4.1$
lexical tone melodies, §3.7.1.3, §3.7.1.6-7
grammatical tone overlays, §3.7.2.2
noun phrase, chapter 6
numerals, §4.7
lexical tone melodies, §3.7.1.4
grammatical tone overlays, §3.7.2.3
Tone-Dissimilation, §3.7.3.3
in bahuvrihi compounds, §5.2.1.2
obligation, §17.3.8
object (see also accusative)
idiomatic and cognate objects, §11.1.5
focalized, §13.1.2
head of relative clause, $\S 14.3$
'only', §19.4
ordinal, §4.7.2
parallel constructions (see also conjunction, disjunction)
'some ..., some (others) ...', §6.3.2
'apart', §8.4.7.4
polar interrogatives, $\S 13.2 .1$
participle, §4.6, §14.1.7
passive
mediopassive (suffixal derivation), §9.3.1
passive -yદ́, §9.3.2
minor passive suffix (identical to causative), §9.3.3
past (conjugated clitic), §10.5.1
with statives
'have', §11.5.1
in comparatives, §12.1.1
'best', §12.1.5
in counterfactuals, $\S 16.4$
participles, §14.1.7.6
perception verb
perfective-2, §10.2.1.3
irregular imperfective negative, $\S 10.2 .3 .4$
factive complement clause, $\S 17.2 .2$
perfect, see experiential perfect and recent perfect
perfective (see also perfect)
perfective positive system, $\S 10.2$
simple perfective, $\S 10.2 .1 .1$
$\{\mathrm{L}\}$-toned, but followed by H-toned variant -ǹdé 'if', §16.1
perfective-1a and -1b, §10.2.1.2
perfective-2, §10.2.1.3
perfective negative, $\S 10.2 .3 .1$
reduplicated, §10.2.1.6
person
from direct to indirect discourse, $\S 17.1 .4$
plural
not marked
n noun (except 'woman'), §4.1.1-2
in modifying adjective, $\S 4.5$
marked
in pronouns, §4.3.1
in determiners, §4.4.1.1-2, §4.4.1.5
in pronominal-subject suffixes on main verbs, $\S 10.3 .1$
marked in negative categories only
head NP in relative-clause participles, §4.1.7.3-4
possession, §6.2
possessive classifiers, §6.2.1.3
possessive-type compounds, §5.1.4
predicates
'have', §11.5.1
'belong to', §11.5.2
possessor relative, §14.4
postposition, chapter 8
pronominal complements, §4.3.2
focalized, §13.1.3-4
complement as head of relative clause, $\S 14.5$
presentative, §4.4.3
progressive, §10.2.2.3
negative, §10.2.3.5
relationship to -m-sغ̀ subordinator, §15.2.3
prohibitive, §10.6.1.2
prolongation (intonational), §3.8.2
pronouns, §4.3
irregularly H-toned, §18.3.1-2 (reciprocal), §15.2.8.5 (-Ẁ subordinator)
pronominal possessor
alienable, §6.2.1.3
inalienable, §6.2.2.3
pronominal-subject suffixes, $\S 10.3$
emphatic, §18.1.4
pseudo-conditional, §15.2.8.2
pseudo-subject, §11.1.4
purposive
purposive-causal postposition, $\S 8.3$
purposive clause, $\S 17.6$
quantification
'certain (ones), some’, §6.3.2
'all', §6.6.1
in relative clauses, §14.1.10
'each', §6.6.2
extent ('a lot', 'a little', 'man'), §8.4.2
quasi-verb, §11.2.2
question (see interrogative)
quotation
'say' verb, §11.3.1
quotative particle, §17.1.3
quotative complement, $\S 17.1$
quoted imperative and hortative, §17.1.4
recent perfect, §10.2.1.5
negation, §10.2.3.3
in relative clause, §14.1.7.1
reciprocal, § 18.3
reduplication (see also iteration)
initial $C v$ - reduplication, §4.1.5
glottal stop separating vowels, §3.5.6.1
lexicalized, in nouns, $\S 4.1 .5$
reduplicated perfective, $\S 10.2 .1 .6$
reduplicated imperfective, $\S 10.2 .2 .3$
reduplicated stative, $\S 10.4 .1$
initial $C V W$-reduplication lexicalized, in a few nouns, §4.1.5
final reduplications in nouns, §4.1.5
reflexive (third person), $\S 18.1$
not in conjunctions, §18.4.1
relative clauses, chapter 14
with repeated L-toned copy of head noun, §14.1.7
headless, §14.1.5
head-doubling, §14.1.12
'remain', §11.2.4.1
reversive (suffixal derivation), $\S 9.1$
Rhotic Assimilation, §3.5.5.2
Rhotic-Cluster Lateralization, §3.5.5.3
Rightward H-Spreading, §3.7.4.4
Rising-Tone Mora-Addition, §3.7.4.1
same-subject (see switch-reference)
'say', §11.3.1 (see also quotation)
similarity
'like', §8.4.1
'like this/that', §4.4.1.7
'since ...'
clauses, §15.3.1, §15.5.4
with NP, §15.3.1
'So-and-so', §4.1.4
sound symbolism (vocalic), §3.4.7 (see also "expressive adverbial")
spatial
postpositions, $\S 8.2$
deictic adverbs, §4.4.2.1
other simple spatial adverbs, §8.4.6.3
spatial adverbial clause, $\S 15.5 .1, \S 15.5 .3$
specificity ('approximately', 'exactly'), §8.4.3
spirantization, §3.3.2.2
stative
stative form of regular verbs, $\S 10.4$
in relative clause, §14.1.7.2
'be', 'become', 'it is', §11.2.2.1
'be in', 'be on', §11.2.3
'fear', §11.2.4.4
'want', §11.2.4.3
'have' (see possession)
with past clitic, §10.5.1.2
stative negative, $\S 10.4 .2, \S 11.4 .2$
in relative clauses, §14.1.7.2
subject
fixed (low-referentiality) subjects, §11.1.4
focalized, §13.1.1
head of relative clause, $\S 14.2$
switch-reference
same-subject clauses, $\S 15.2 .4$
different-subject clauses, §15.2.6
syllables, §3.2.1
Syncope, §3.5.3.3
temporal adverb
simple adverbs, §8.4.6.1
adverbial clauses, §15.1-4
'together', §8.4.7.6, §15.1.8, §18.3.2
tone, §3.7
polarization, §3.7.3.3
in stem iterations, §11.6
tone-dropping
in NP, §6.1.4
Tone-Polarization (decimal numerals), §3.7.3.3
tonosyntax
within NP, §6.1.4
topic, §19.1
'until'
clause, § 15.5.4
valency
of underived verbs, §11.1.1
ambi-valent verbs, $\S 9.4$
of causatives, §11.1.2
verb
stem shapes, §10.1.3
vocalism, §3.5.2
lexical tone melidies, §3.7.1.2, §3.7.1.5
grammatical tone overlays, §3.7.2.1
derivation, chapter 9
inflection, chapter 10
participle in relative clauses, §14.1.7
verbal noun
uncompounded, §4.2.2
of chained verbs, $\S 15.1 .1$
final in compounds, §5.1.5
verbal noun complement, § 17.3
verb phrase, §11.1.3 (see also chaining)
vocative
in quoted speech, $\S 17.1 .3$
vowels, §3.4
sequence of vowel plus vowel or semivowel, §3.5.7
ATR harmony, §3.4.5
vocalic sound symbolism, §3.4.7
Vv-Contraction, §3.5.6.2
'want', §11.2.4.3
'while' clauses, §15.2.1-5
'with' (see instrumental-comitative)


[^0]:    a. nàmâ [sà:g gá] (yá) kùrnò- $g^{L}$
    meat [sack ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ Def.InanSg.Loc] (Exist) be.put.in.Stat-3SgSbj
    'The meat is in the sack.' (sá:gì)

[^1]:    a. pà:ทgǒ: [tà-tă: dě:rê=Ẁ] dùgú
    elephant [Rdp-hyena more.than] big
    'An elephant is bigger than a hyena (is).'

