Technical Report Documentation Page

2 Government Accession No.

Michigan Fatal and Serious-Injury Traffic-Crash Patterns: 1993-

3. Recipient's Catalog No.

5. Report Date

January 1999
6. Performing Organization Code

8. Performing Organization Report No.

UMTRI-99-2

10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)

11. Contract or Grant No.

CP-99-06

13. Type of Report and Period Covered

Report

1/93 - 12/97

14. Sponsoring Agency Code

15. Supplementary Notes

2901 Baxter Road

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address

16. Abstract

1. Report No.

UMTRI-99-2

1997

7. Author(s)

Streff, F.M.

9. Performing Organization Name and Address

The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute

Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning

4. Title and Subtitle

This report details facts and figures relevant to a better understanding of possible causes of and prevention implications for deaths and serious injuries due to traffic crashes in the state of Michigan during the period January 1993 through December 1997. Select results in brief:

- Statistical analyses suggest that the performance goals selected by OHSP are appropriate.
- Michigan experienced significant drops in fatal or serious-injury (KA) crash frequencies and rates in 1996 and 1997 after three years of relative stability.
- It is reasonable to target all drivers age 16-20 and male drivers age 21-34 years as special targets to help OHSP achieve its traffic safety goals.
- The summer months of June, July, and August are particularly good targets for program efforts.
- The vast majority of KA crashes occur on city/county roads, thus it is rational to continue to focus efforts on reducing the KA crash count on these city/county roads.
- By far the largest vehicle group involved in KA crashes is passenger cars.
- Because of the hazard drivers age 14-18 present to themselves and others as demonstrated by their crash rates, this is an important, if small, target group.
- Drivers age 70 and over represent only 10% of all KA crash cases and do not have a higher crash rate per driver than the 'average' driver. Therefore, this is not a promising target group for achieving the overall traffic safety goals set by OHSP.
- Males age 21-34 have the largest number of crashes and highest rates of KA-HBD 'had-been-drinking' crash involvement, and therefore are an important target group.
- The largest reductions in KA-HBD crashes have occurred on months and days that have had the
 historic highs. The effect seems to be toward leveling off month-to-month, day-to-day variation. This
 may mean that we are beginning to see the boundaries of the "hard-core" problem with respect to KAHBD crashes.

TIBB GIGGIIGG:					
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement			
Fatal, traffic crash, statistics			Unlimited		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of t	his page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
Unclassified	Unclassified		99		

Reproduction of completed page authorized

The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning nor the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Prepared in cooperation with the Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning and U.S. Department of Transportation National Highway Traffic Safety Administration through

Highway Safety Project # CP-99-06

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Michigan Department of Commerce, Michigan Department of State, and Michigan Department of Transportation provided information used in the calculation of crash and fatality rates, for which we are very grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statistical Trend Analyses	Executive Summary	
KA Crashes — All Drivers		
Number and Rate by Year 9 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 10 Number by Month and Year 12 Number by Day of Week and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Boad Surface Condition and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 15 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Peicipitation and Year 21 Number by Pight Condition and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehic	Key Results	. 3
Number and Rate by Year 9 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 10 Number by Month and Year 12 Number by Day of Week and Year 13 Number by Light Condition and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Bead Limit and Year 14 Number by Bead Surface Condition and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 22 Number by Highway Class and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) <td></td> <td></td>		
Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 10 Number by Month and Year 12 Number by Day of Week and Year 13 Number by Light Condition and Year 13 Number by Light Condition and Year 13 Number by Heighway Class and Year 14 Number by Heighway Class and Year 14 Number by Boed Limit and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Uphicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 18 Number by Dy Month and Year 20 Number by Dy Month and Year 20 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Honth and Year 21 Number by Honth and Year 21 Number by Heighty Class and Year 21 Number by Honth and Year 22 Number by Haardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous		
Number by Month and Year 12 Number by Day of Week and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Hjohway Class and Year 14 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Number by Day of Week and Year 13 Number by Light Condition and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 19 Number and Rate by Year 19 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 22 Number by Precipitation and Year 22 Number by Boad Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multi		
Number by Light Condition and Year 13 Number by Precipitation and Year 14 Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Hoad Surface Condition and Year 14 Number by Hoad Surface Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 18 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Usylor (Condition and Year 20 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 22 Number by Boad Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23		
Number by Precipitation and Year 13 Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Boad Surface Condition and Year 21 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number		
Number by Highway Class and Year 14 Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 21 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Precipitation and Year 26 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
Number by Speed Limit and Year 14 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 14 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 21 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Hoad Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 27 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Nu	Number by Precipitation and Year	13
Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 20 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 21 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Wohlord Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 26 Number by Joay of Week and Year 26 Number by Light Condition and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Hazard	Number by Highway Class and Year	14
Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 20 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 21 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Wohlord Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 26 Number by Joay of Week and Year 26 Number by Light Condition and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Hazard		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 15 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 22 Number by Hosad Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 27 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 30 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 31 Number by Highway Class and Year 32 Number by Honth and Year 33 Number by Highway Class and Year 33 Number by Highway Class and Year 33 Number by Precipitation and Year 33 Number by Highway Class and Year 33 Number by Highway Class and Year 33 Number by Highway Class and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 16 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation a		
Number by Vehicle Type and Year 17 KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30<		
KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18 18 Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 29 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Hoad Condition and Year 30 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 32 Number by Vehicle Ty		
Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Hercipitation and Year 31 Number by Hoad Condition and Year <td< td=""><td></td><td>.,</td></td<>		.,
Number and Rate by Year 18 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Hercipitation and Year 31 Number by Hoad Condition and Year <td< td=""><td>KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18</td><td>18</td></td<>	KA Crashes Drivers Age 14-18	18
Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 19 Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Hoad Condition and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 <td></td> <td></td>		
Number by Month and Year 20 Number by Day of Week and Year 20 Number by Light Condition and Year 21 Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Hold Condition and Year 31 Number by Hoazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 32 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36		
Number by Day of Week and Year		
Number by Light Condition and Year		
Number by Precipitation and Year 21 Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 27 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Speed Limit and Year 31 Number by Road Condition and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36		
Number by Highway Class and Year 21 Number by Speed Limit and Year 22 Number by Road Surface Condition and Year 22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 27 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Frecipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Road Condition and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36		
Number by Speed Limit and Year Number by Road Surface Condition and Year Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) Number by Vehicle Type and Year EXA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ Number and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year Number by Month and Year Number by Day of Week and Year Number by Light Condition and Year Number by Precipitation and Year Number by Highway Class and Year Number by Speed Limit and Year Number by Road Condition and Year (Single-Vehicle) Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) Number by Vehicle Type and Year Shumber and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)		
Number by Road Surface Condition and Year (22 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 23 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 27 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Speed Limit and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)23Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)24Number by Vehicle Type and Year25KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+26Number and Rate by Year26Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year27Number by Month and Year29Number by Day of Week and Year29Number by Light Condition and Year30Number by Precipitation and Year30Number by Highway Class and Year30Number by Speed Limit and Year31Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)32Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)33Number by Vehicle Type and Year34KA Injured Occupants All Drivers35Number and Rate by Year35Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)36		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 24 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 25 KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+ 26 Number and Rate by Year 26 Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year 27 Number by Month and Year 29 Number by Day of Week and Year 29 Number by Light Condition and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Precipitation and Year 30 Number by Highway Class and Year 30 Number by Speed Limit and Year 31 Number by Road Condition and Year 31 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36	Number by Hoad Surface Condition and Year	22
Number by Vehicle Type and Year	Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)	23
KA Crashes Drivers Age 70+	Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)	24
Number and Rate by Year	Number by Vehicle Type and Year	25
Number and Rate by Year	KA O a da a Diferent A se 70	
Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year		
Number by Month and Year	Number and Hate by Year	26
Number by Day of Week and Year	Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year	27
Number by Light Condition and Year	Number by Month and Year	29
Number by Precipitation and Year	Number by Day of Week and Year	29
Number by Highway Class and Year	Number by Light Condition and Year	30
Number by Speed Limit and Year		
Number by Road Condition and Year		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle) 32 Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year 34 KA Injured Occupants All Drivers 35 Number and Rate by Year 35 Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) 36	Number by Speed Limit and Year	31
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year		
Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle) 33 Number by Vehicle Type and Year	Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)	32
Number by Vehicle Type and Year	Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)	33
KA Injured Occupants All Drivers	Number by Vehicle Type and Year	34
Number and Rate by Year		
Number and Rate by Year	KA Injured Occupants All Drivers	35
Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)		
Number by Age, Sex, and Year	Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)	36
	Number by Age, Sex, and Year	37

KA Inju	red Occupants Drivers Age 14-18 Number and Rate by Year Number by Age, Sex, and Year Number by Age, Sex, and Year	43
KA Inju	rred Occupants Drivers Age 70+ Number and Rate by Year Number by Age, Sex, and Year	48
'Had-B	een Drinking' KA Crashes — All Drivers Number and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Age, Sex and Year Number and Rate by Age, Sex and Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists) Number by Month and Year Number by Day of Week and Year Number by Highway Class and Year	53 54 56 59 60
'Had-B	een Drinking' KA Crashes — Drivers Age 14-18 Number and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year Number by Month and Year Number by Day of Week and Year Number by Highway Class and Year	61 62 63 64
'Had-B	een Drinking' KA Crashes — Drivers Age 70+ Number and Rate by Year Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year Number by Month and Year Number by Day of Week and Year Number by Highway Class and Year	65 66 68 69
KA Inju	red Occupants — 'Had-Been Drinking' Crashes	
Belts, E	Bags and Helmets Belt Use by Seat Position and Year Air Bag Deployment by Seat Position and Year Motorcycle Helmet Use by Seat Position and Year Belt Use by Seat Position, Air Bag Deployment, and Year Belt Use by Occupant Age, Sex, Injury, Seat Position and Year	75 75 76 76


Executive Summary

Statistical Trend Analyses


In our 1998 report, the focus of this section was on examining Michigan's fatal crash trends to determine if there was an upward swing in traffic crash fatalities beginning in 1993. As noted in that report, the pattern of crash fatalities in the period 1993-95 did not differ from pre-1993 levels.

This year, the focus of the statistical trend analyses was shifted to examine trends related to two central goals for OHSP — namely reducing the proportion of fatal and severe injury (KA) crashes to 3% by the year 2001 and reducing the proportion of crash-involved occupants who experience fatal or serious (KA) injury to 1.5% by the year 2001.

Proportion of Crashes Resulting in KA Injury 1993-1997



Proportion of Occupants Receiving KA Injury 1993-1997



The statistical question in 1998 involved the test of a specific scientific hypothesis (i.e., were fatals higher in 1993-1995 than in previous years). The statistical methods used to calculate the predictions presented here are nearly identical to those used in the previous report. Data on the proportion of KA crashes or injuries for each month for the period 1993-1997 were included in a statistical time-series model that estimated what Michigan could expect to experience in the future, based on the statistical experience observed in the data. These statistical procedures result in data describing the "best" estimate of what is expected, as well as 95% confidence limits. These limits describe the range within which we can be 95% confident that the future KA crash and injury rates will fall if there is no change in the statistical pattern (like would be caused by passing a standard enforcement belt law).

The charts to the left show the proportion of crashes resulting in KA injury and the proportion of crash-involved occupants experiencing KA injury for the period 1993-1997 and predicted proportions for 1998-2001.

Because the general shape and statistical predictions for both proportions examined here are nearly identical, descriptions in the following discussion apply to both unless otherwise noted.

The charts show a general decline in the proportion of KA crashes and crash-involved persons experiencing KA injury from 1993 to 1997. Predictions about future proportions show a slight increase in these proportions from 1997 levels may be anticipated. Based on the statistical analyses, we cannot count on a continuation of the current trend to achieve the proposed goals of reducing the proportion of fatal and severe injury (KA) crashes to 3% by the year 2001 and to reduce the proportion of crash-involved occupants who experience fatal or serious (KA) injury to 1.5% by the year 2001 without some change to further reduce these numbers. An examination of the 95% confidence levels shows that we should not be surprised if (absent some new change to the environment) we see a slight increase in these proportions, or even a modest decrease in the near term. However, the "best estimate" predictions from these statistical models suggest that continued efforts will be required to achieve the current goals.

How do these findings impact OHSP planning? First, the statistical analyses suggest that the goals selected by OHSP are appropriate and rational. The goals are not beyond what one could expect to achieve given the efforts that can be exerted by OHSP and its partners, yet the goals appear to be beyond that which could be expected to occur in the absence of new program efforts from OHSP. Furthermore, if the apparent decline in these proportions from 1993 to 1997 is due in part to OHSP and partner efforts, then it may well be the case that OHSP and its partners must not only continue their current program efforts, these efforts will need to be increased in intensity, focus, or efficiency to achieve the selected goals. Of course, if the efforts that caused the apparent downward trend are continued, it is well within the realm of statistical probability that the lower bound of predictions will hold true, suggesting the goals are achievable without an increased program effort.

The new focus within OHSP on specific reductions in the proportion of crashes that result in KA injury and the proportion of crash-involved persons who experience KA injury as goals to be achieved should help OHSP effectively select, design, and evaluate programs. Not only do their goals appear to be rational statistically (as evidenced in the preceding discussion), but these goals are appropriate for practical reasons. The most common goal for traffic safety programs has traditionally been fatal rate per 100 million miles of travel (VMT). While this goal remains important to OHSP, their ability to achieve that goal is not in their own hands in large part. That is, while OHSP may be successful in reducing the number of persons killed in traffic crashes through their programming efforts, this may not always be evident in the rate per VMT if travel patterns change during the same period. On the other hand, the measure of the proportion of persons experiencing fatal or serious injury is not subject to change in a related measure that is outside the sphere of influence for OHSP, making it an excellent candidate for a central goal. These goals can be achieved through crash prevention efforts and occupant safety promotion efforts, both of which form the core of OHSP programs.

Key Results

	Numbe	and Rate of F	atal and Serio	us Injury Crash	ies
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 100 Million VMT	Rate per 1000 Registered Vehicles	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
93	14,826	17.302	1.924	1.569	2.273
94	14,762	17.325	1.869	1.555	2.236
95	14,890	17.373	1.846	1.559	2.231
96	13,820	15.765	1.673	1.445	2.071
97	12,843	14.393	1.534	1.341	1.881

The table shown above lists the number of crashes in which the most serious injury noted on the police crash report was a fatal or serious (A-level) injury (henceforth identified in the text as KA crashes) along with associated rates as indicated. This table shows that Michigan experienced significant drops in KA crash frequencies and rates in 1996 and 1997 after three years of relative stability. From 1995 to 1996 and again from 1996 to 1997, each of these measures experienced a 7% to 10% decline. In other words, as the number of miles traveled, the number of registered vehicles, the licensed driver population, and the population overall increased the number of crashes resulting in a fatal or serious injury actually decreased.

OHSP has established performance goals based on the need to set objective, measurable, and specific goals that are sufficiently difficult to achieve so that complacency with the status quo does not set in. If in fact the observed declines in KA crashes and rates in 1996 and 1997 remain stable for the next year or two (that is we continue to observe 7-10% declines in KA crashes and rates), OHSP may want to consider reexamining the goals it has set for itself. However, it will probably be difficult for OHSP to sustain this level of change for more than 4-5 years (a total change from 1995 of 28% to 50%) without some significant change in programming efforts because future decreases will likely require new programming efforts targeting the increasingly hard to reach crowd.

Based on KA crash rate per 1000 licenced drivers and total number of crashes shown in the next table (abbreviated from the complete table that can be found in the report body), it would seem reasonable to target all drivers age 16-20 and male drivers age 21-34 years as special targets to help OHSP achieve its traffic safety goals. The 16-20 age group has the highest rate of KA crashes and higher crash counts than do the 55 and older age groups. The 21-34 male driver group has a high KA crash rate about the same as the 16-20 year old females, and also represents the single largest number of KA crashes for the groups examined. While the 55 and older group does represent an opportunity for change given the relatively flat or oscillating KA crash rates from 1993-1997, the number of crashes that these age groups represent is quite small compared to drivers under age 55. This means that even a large decline among the age 55 and above categories will have only a small effect on the overall crash numbers.


Fa	ital an			y Crash Frequency ge Group and Sex	and Rates
Driver Age	Sex	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 population	Rate per 1000 licensed driver
		93	1,029	3.156	4.086
		94	1,010	3.103	3.964
	F	95	1,040	3.154	4.001
		96	973	2.895	3.743
40.00		97	881	2.272	3.279
16-20 yrs.		93	1,704	5.100	6.305
		94	1,732	5.187	6.395
	М	95	1,747	5.152	6.374
		96	1,594	4.548	5.816
		97	1,493	4.160	5.264
		93	1,587	1.545	1.664
		94	1,590	1.563	1.686
	F	95	1,557	1.557	1.679
		96	1,512	1.551	1.630
04.04		97	1,401	1.517	1.562
21-34 yrs.		93	3,287	3.260	3.454
		94	3,214	3.251	3.411
	М	95	3,138	3.230	3.377
		96	2,805	2,945	3.019
		97	2,605	2.686	2.868

Data detailed in the report body suggest that the summer months (June, July and August) are particularly good targets for program efforts. More KA crashes occur during these months than any others and the number of crashes during these months has shown some stability over the last 3 years, suggesting that program efforts may have had less effect during these months than others. Of course during the summer months, Michigan has a considerable influx of out-of-state tourists. This may well be a large part of the reason that the numbers in the summer months have not declined as much as other months. This would suggest that in addition to targeting June-August, strategies should be developed to reach the out-of-state tourist with Michigan's traffic safety messages and programs.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Highway Class and Year

The vast majority of KA crashes occur on city/county roads. These also appear to the roads that are experiencing the most significant decrease in crashes. It is rational to continue to focus efforts on reducing the KA crash count on these city/county roads.

By far the largest vehicle group involved in KA crashes is passenger cars (almost 10,000 KA crashes more than all other vehicle types combined). For this reason, crashes involving passenger cars should be the central focus of OHSP program efforts. Efforts targeting other vehicle types may well result in declines in KA crashes involving those vehicle types, but would not, in all probability, move the state markedly toward the OHSP goals, even if the declines were dramatic.



Number an	d Rate of Fatal and Drivers age	d Serious Injury Crashes 14-18
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
93	2601	8.98
94	2792	9.48
95	2735	9,00
96	2513	8.27
97	2390	6.79

As can be seen in the table above, both the number of crashes and the rate per 1000 licensed drivers has decreased considerably for drivers age 14-18 since 1994.

Number ar	nd Rate of Fatal and Drivers ag	d Serious Injury Crashes e 70+
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
93	1,261	2.16
94	1,290	2.13
95	1,348	2.14
96	1,333	2.11
97	1,234	1.89

The table immediately above shows that the number of KA crashes and rate per 1000 licensed drivers among drivers age 70 and older has decreased since 1995. Although KA crashes among this age group make up only 10% of all KA crashes, it is interesting to note that the 1997 rate of 1.89 per 1000 licensed drivers age 70 and over is nearly

However, the 1997 rate of 6.79 KA crashes per 1000 licensed drivers age 14-18 is quite a bit higher than the rate of 5.3 per 1000 licensed drivers for males age 16-20 years. Because of the hazard these drivers present to themselves and others as demonstrated by their crash rates, this is an important, if small, target group.


identical to the overall rate of 1.88 shown in an earlier table. At this point it should be noted that because this age group only makes up 10% of all KA crash cases, it is not a promising target group for achieving the overall traffic safety goals set by OHSP.

Indeed, a 40% decrease in KA crashes involving this age group (a seemingly unreachable goal barring some major, unforseen policy change) probably would only result in only a 4% decrease in the overall rates established by OHSP as goals. However, it is equally important to note that this is a fast growing segment of the population and should be monitored closely to assess whether crash profiles for this group change as it expands in the future.

'На				sh Frequency a by Year, Age Gr	
Age	Sex	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
		93	60	0.184	0.238
		94	59	0.181	0.232
	F	95	56	0.170	0.215
		96	64	0.190	0.246
10.00		97	37	0.095	0.138
16-20 yrs.		93	303	0.907	1.121
		94	291	0.871	1.075
	M	95	296	0.873	1.080
		96	250	0.713	0.912
		97	221	0.616	0.779
		93	306	0.298	0.321
		94	306	0.301	0.324
	F	95	271	0.271	0.292
		96	261	0.268	0.281
04.04		97	225	0.244	0.251
21 - 34 yrs.		93	1402	1.390	1.473
		94	1280	1.295	1.359
	М	95	1247	1.284	1.342
		96	1007	1.057	1.084
		97	1035	1.067	1.140
		93	200	0.153	0.160
		94	179	0.133	0.139
	F	95	215	0.156	0.163
		96	206	0.150	0.156
		97	176	0.123	0.129
35-54 yrs.		93	845	0.667	0.689
		94	822	0.632	0.652
	М	95	849	0.635	0.658
		96	763	0.558	0.591
		97	744	0.563	0.555

Male drivers (age 16-54) are the most significant 'at-risk' group for KA-HBD crash involvement because of their high frequency and rates of involvement. Within that rather large group, we can see that males age 21-34 have the largest number of crashes and highest rates of KA-HBD crash involvement. While the rates of involvement are not nearly so high, a considerable number of KA-HBD crashes involve male drivers age 35-54. Taken as a whole, the male driver age 21-54 represents the majority of the KA-HBD crash experience in Michigan. If inroads are to be made in achieving Michigan's traffic safety performance goals, it would seem that this is a target group that should not be ignored.

Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Month and Year




- * 20 crashes added to each data point
- + 40 crashes added to each data point

This chart shows an interesting phenomena that is repeated in the day of the week chart that follows. Specifically, it would appear that the largest reductions in KA-HBD crashes have occurred on months that have had the historic highs. In addition, the effect seems to be toward leveling off the month-tomonth variation. One interpretation of this observation is that new laws and the efforts of OHSP and others have exerted their influence mainly during the periods that have been targeted for emphasis, namely the problem periods around certain events and holidays. While this is probably true (and everyone involved should take a bow), there may be another interpretation lurking here that has significant implications for future planning.

If in fact we are observing a flattening of the seasonal change that normally occurs from month-tomonth, we may also be beginning to see the boundaries of the "hard-core" problem with respect to KA-HBD crashes. What I mean is that we may be seeing reductions in crashes caused by seasonal or occasional indulgence that can be controlled relatively easily through PI&E backed up by enforced laws, leaving us crashes caused by a group of persons for whom these pleas go unheeded. It also suggests that in addition to maintaining current PI&E and accompanying enforcement efforts (which will likely be necessary to prevent backsliding), new efforts targeting this less malleable group will be necessary to gain new change. If this were true, we would also see it in the day of week data, with frequencies of KA-HBD crashes that occur on the weekend declining at greater rates than weekdays.

Number of Fatal or Serious 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Day of Week and Year

As was the case for the chart showing KA-HBD crashes by month, this chart shows relatively large reductions in crashes on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday compared to the other days of the week. While one could expect the highest days to change the most, the fact that such small change was observed on Monday and Tuesday bolsters the argument that we may be beginning to see the boundaries of a hard-to-reach segment that will at least require continued close scrutiny.



In sum, it would seem that OHSP is well on its way to achieving its performance goals for traffic safety. However, the interpretations of the data presented here strongly suggest that in many respects each forward step will be more difficult to attain than the last. In addition to the potential difficulties on the horizon for impaired driving prevention, safety belt use may have reached a plateau at just over 70% that may not be significantly improved upon barring standard enforcement or some large, yet unseen and unfunded program. These statements are not made to invoke a spirit of doom. Indeed, these observations are intended to inform OHSP policy and program efforts and enable planners to foresee and hopefully prepare programming for shifts in the traffic safety challenges in the future.

In the following sections of the report, crash data are presented for each of the years 1993 through 1997 for various crash categories. Some of these data are presented in tables, some are presented in charts. The purpose for the analyses was to prepare data to assist OHSP in their program planning and evaluation processes. Using these data, this report identifies likely targets for future intervention based on maximizing the chance that OHSP will achieve its specified performance goals. In addition, trends that may tend to support or impede progress toward achieving the performance goals are also discussed.

KA Crashes -- All Drivers Number and Rate by Year

	Number ar	nd Rate of Fa	atal and Seri	ous Injury Cr	ashes
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 100 Million VMT	Rate per 1000 Registered Vehicles	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
93	14,826	17.302	1.924	1.569	2.273
94	14,762	17.325	1.869	1.555	2.236
95	14,890	17.373	1.846	1.559	2.231
96	13,820	15.765	1.673	1.445	2.071
97	12,843	14.393	1.534	1.341	1.881

The table shown above lists the number of crashes in which the most serious injury noted on the police crash report was a fatal or serious (A-level) injury (henceforth identified in the text as KA crashes) along with associated rates as indicated. This table shows that Michigan experienced significant drops in KA crash frequencies and rates in 1996 and 1997 after three years of relative stability. From 1995 to 1996 and again from 1996 to 1997, each of these measures experienced a 7% to 10% decline. In other words, as the number of miles traveled, the number of registered vehicles, the licensed driver population, and the population overall increased the number of crashes resulting in a fatal or serious injury actually decreased.

The implications of this finding on OHSP planning is unclear. On the one hand, it appears obvious that the traffic safety environment in Michigan has been getting considerably better in the last two years, and that reflects quite well upon OHSP and its program efforts. This would suggest that OHSP should continue doing what it has been doing. On the other hand, if OHSP is responsible in large part for the observed changes, it may well take a considerable extra effort to maintain the level of change observed in 1996 and 1997. That is, we may have achieved significant change among a portion of the population that is easy to reach relative to the population we have yet to reach. A parallel example could be seen in safety belt use. It took only the secondary enforcement belt law to reach about 45% use. Extra programming has raised belt use to just over 70%. But to reach that last 30% of the population will likely require a significant change (like a standard enforcement belt law) above and beyond the current program efforts. If in fact the declines in KA crashes observed in 1996 and 1997 are the result of reaching the audience most amenable to our message, then future decreases will require new programming efforts targeting the hard to reach crowd. However, we must not overlook the fact that the changes already effected in the population could be partially lost if the programming efforts that lead to these declines are not continued. These findings also have implications for setting OHSP performance goals.

OHSP has established performance goals based on the need to set objective, measurable, and specific goals that are sufficiently difficult to achieve so that complacency with the status quo does not set in. If in fact the observed declines in KA crashes and rates in 1996 and 1997 remain stable for the next year

or two (that is we continue to observe 7-10% declines in KA crashes and rates), OHSP may want to consider reexamining the goals it has set for itself. It will probably be difficult for OHSP to sustain this level of change for more than 4-5 years (a total change from 1995 of 28% to 50%) without some significant change in programming efforts because future decreases will likely require new programming efforts targeting the increasingly hard to reach crowd.

Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year

Fatal	and 9			y Crash Frequency ge Group and Sex	
Driver Age	Sex	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 population	Rate per 1000 licensed driver
		93	1,029	3.156	4.086
		94	1,010	3.103	3.964
	F	95	1,040	3.154	4.001
		96	973	2.895	3.743
40.00		97	881	2.272	3.279
16-20 yrs.		93	1,704	5.100	6.305
		94	1,732	5.187	6.395
	М	95	1,747	5.152	6.374
		96	1,594	4.548	5.816
		97	1,493	4.160	5.264
		93	1,587	1.545	1.664
		94	1,590	1.563	1.686
	F	95	1,557	1.557	1.679
		96	1,512	1.551	1.630
04.04		97	1,401	1.517	1.562
21-34 yrs.		93	3,287	3.260	3.454
		94	3,214	3.251	3.411
	М	95	3,138	3.230	3.377
		96	2,805	2.945	3.019
		97	2,605	2.686	2.868


Fatal	and (A STATE OF THE STA		ry Crash Freque ge Group and S	
		93	1,279	0.975	1.025
		94	1,266	0.942	0.984
	F	95	1,374	0.994	1.042
		96	1,340	0.973	1.017
		97	1,191	0.829	0.871
35-54 yrs.		93	2,245	1.771	1.829
		94	2,315	1.781	1.836
	М	95	2,440	1.825	1.890
		96	2,243	1.641	1.737
		97	2,171	1.641	1.620
		93	387	0.647	0.740
		94	386	0.650	0.737
	F	95	384	0.650	0.730
		96	343	0.582	0.653
== 00		97	323	0.572	0,597
55-69 yrs.		93	661	1.239	1.291
		94	624	1.175	1.217
	М	95	675	1.272	1.311
		96	609	1.152	1.183
		97	604	1.100	1.146
		93	332	0.678	1.079
		94	371	0.746	1.156
	F	95	330	0.654	0.987
		96	343	0.671	1.026
70		97	343	0.725	0.987
70+ yrs.		93	462	1.516	1.682
		94	475	1.524	1.665
	М	95	520	1.631	1.756
		96	495	1.514	1.672
		97	460	1.712	1.504

The preceding table shows the same general patterns of decline in 1996 and 1997 from the relatively stable 1993-1995 period as were found for drivers overall. However, in this table we can see that the declines were not equally distributed across all age groups. Specifically, we can see that the largest changes were among drivers 16-20 years old and male drivers 21-34 years old and the smallest changes were among drivers age 55 and above.

Based on KA crash rate per 1000 licenced drivers and total number of crashes, it would seem reasonable to target all drivers age 16-20 and male drivers age 21-34 years as special targets to help OHSP achieve its traffic safety goals. The 16-20 age group has the highest rate of KA crashes and

higher crash counts than do the 55 and older age groups. The 21-34 male driver group has a high KA crash rate about the same as the 16-20 year old females, and also represents the single largest number of KA crashes for the groups examined. While the 55 and older group does represent an opportunity for change given the relatively flat or oscillating KA crash rates from 1993-1997, the number of crashes that these age groups represent is quite small compared to drivers under age 55. This means that even a large decline among the age 55 and above categories will have only a small effect on the overall crash numbers. However, we should remain aware that the over 55 year age group is growing dramatically, and that this group may well play a larger and larger role in Michigan's traffic safety picture in the future.

Number by Month and Year




Based on the data from the preceding chart, it would seem that the summer months (June, July and August) are particularly good targets for program efforts. More KA crashes occur during these months than any other and the number of crashes during these months has shown some stability over the last 3 years, suggesting that program efforts may have had less effect during these months than others. Of course during the summer months, Michigan has a considerable influx of out-of-state tourists. This may well be a large part of the reason that the numbers in the summer months have not declined as much as other months. This would suggest that in addition to targeting June-August, strategies should be developed to reach the out-of-state tourist with Michigan's traffic safety messages and programs.

Number by Day of Week and Year

This chart shows that while the most dramatic decreases in KA crashes have occurred on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday, those also remain the peak times for KA crashes. In 1996 and 1997, Friday and Saturday had more KA crashes than any other days of the week, indicating these are good targets for programming. On the other hand, we cannot overlook the fact that over 60% of crashes occurred during the Sunday through Thursday period, with crashes generally declining from Sunday through Tuesday, and picking up again through Thursday.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Light Condition and Year



Number by Precipitation and Year

Similar to light conditions, most KA crashes occur on clear or cloudy days, with only about 2000 crashes occurring each year in rain or snow. Clear weather crashes appear to have decreased the most from 1993 to 1997, while crash counts in the other weather categories remained relatively flat. While this would indicate that there is an opportunity to create change, especially in rainy or snowy conditions. However, these crashes make up only about 20% of all crashes in the state. That means it would take a lot of change among these categories to have a significant impact on the overall state numbers.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Day of Week and Year



Number by Light Condition and Year

As is obvious in the two charts immediately above, the majority of KA crashes occur during daylight and on clear days. There is little difference in the patterns of the data between the categories when examining the period 1993-1997. This would suggest that OHSP programming has affected crashes within each of the light conditions to about the same extent. Program components may note that while nighttime creates a whole new set of challenges to the driver that contributes to a crash, most serious and fatal crashes occur during the day may increase caution among daylight drivers.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Precipitation and Year



Number by Highway Class and Year

Once again, it is clear that the vast majority of KA crashes occur on city/county roads. These also appear to the roads that are experiencing the most significant decrease in crashes. It is rational to continue to focus efforts on reducing the KA crash count on these city/county roads.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Speed Limit and Year



Number by Road Surface Condition and Year

As was the case for precipitation, most KA crashes occur on dry roads, and it is on these dry roads that we see the largest change in crash frequency. Little change is evident in KA crash counts on slick road surfaces. Because there are relatively few KA crashes on slick roads, it would take a lot of change among these categories to have a significant impact on the overall state numbers.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Highway Class and Year



Number by Speed Limit and Year

The number of KA crashes declined for all speed limit categories in 1997. This was the first year of decline after 4 years of increases on 65 mph roads.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Road Surface Condition and Year



Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)

				Num	lber of	Vehicle by Ha	es Invo	lved in	cles Involved in Single-Veh Hazardous Action and Year	Number of Vehicles Involved in Single-Vehicle Crashes by Hazardous Action and Year	Crashe	3				
		None	Speed Too Fast	Speed Too Slow	Fail to Yield	Traffic Control	Wrong Way	1	Improper Passing	Left of Improper Improper Improper Improper Center Passing Lane Use Turn Signal Backing	Improper Turn	Improper Signal	Improper Backing	Clear Dist	Other	Unknown
	1993	507	1894	11	10	39	11	108	19	58	6	1	2	182	1227	218
	1994	492	1799	- 17	7	42	8	86	14	57	6	4	4	196	1177	208
Number of	1995	494	1889	12	20	41	4	74	6	47	9	3	5	168	1190	254
Venicies	1996	476	1749	10	23	44	3	78	14	40	4	2	3	168	1174	215
	1997	446	1627	10	15	32	2	29	21	33	9	1	0	155	1047	218
	1993	0.4%	35.7%	18.2%	80.0%	38.5%	36.4%	25.9%	42.1%	43.1%	11.1%	100.0%	50.0%	32.4%	34.9%	2.8%
Proportion of	1994	0.8%		37.6% 17.7%	28.6%	ı	12.5%	27.6%	64.3%	43.9%	11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	27.0%	35.9%	6.7%
Hazardous	1995	1.4%	34.8%	50.0%	45.0%	26.8%	0.0%	27.0%	33.3%	48.9%	16.7%	33.3%	20.0%	30.4%	35.2%	5.1%
Actions Cited	1996	0.8%	34.2%	30.0%	39.1%	1	33.3%	26.9%	42.9%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	%0:0	33.9%	36.1%	2.6%
	1997	1.1%	34.2%	10.0%	46.7%	43.8%	50.0%	28.8%	38.1%	27.3%	16.7%	0.0%		30.3% 31.7%	5.1%	11.0%

(especially speed-related crashes) in which persons are cited would likely act to impress on persons that safe, legal driving is important and will be strictly enforced. The table above shows that, according to the officer completing the crash report, most single-vehicle crashes are the result of excessive speed. However, in only about 34% of these crashes is the driver cited for the hazardous action that precipitated the crash. While it is well understood that in fatal and severe-injury crashes there are often ethical and legal constraints to issuing a citation, increasing the proportion of KA crashes

Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)

				Num	ber of \			NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Multiple on and	e-Vehicle Year	Crashes		Section 1	TOWN STREET		
		None	Speed Too Fast	Speed Too Slow	Fail to Yield	Traffic Control	Wrong Way		Improper Passing	Improper Lane Use	Improper Turn	Improper Signal	Improper Backing	Clear Dist	Other	Unknown
	1993	10555	918	46	3062	1515	67	610	139	271	337	28	55	1656	1386	403
100	1994	10962	923	27	3182	1413	79	575	133	251	270	22	57	1736	1403	467
Number of Vehicles	1995	11266	1018	51	3114	1401	72	609	129	236	289	21	45	1704	1476	523
	1996	10371	939	48	2996	1350	62	493	144	250	250	17	36	1504	1344	589
	1997	9784	882	30	2875	1267	55	465	105	211	221	14	29	1418	1228	490
	1993	0.3%	36.4%	37.0%	55.7%	54.8%	22.4%	36.9%	46.0%	37.3%	46.3%	14.3%	40.0%	50.7%	27.8%	2.5%
Proportion of	1994	0.2%	38.2%	33.3%	55.3%	54.8%	38.0%	36.9%	48.9%	38.7%	48.9%	22.7%	21.1%	50.9%	28.8%	1.7%
Hazardous Actions Cited	1995	0.5%	37.6%	37.3%	55.2%	53.9%	27.8%	37.1%	51.2%	39.8%	43.3%	23.8%	37.8%	53.7%	27.4%	1.3%
	1996	0.4%	38.6%	41.7%	52.3%	52.4%	19.4%	39.8%	43.8%	38.8%	34.8%	35.3%	27.8%	51.2%	27.2%	2.0%
	1997	0.3%	40.0%	33.3%	53.3%	49.2%	30.9%	36.6%	39.1%	41.2%	42.5%	35.7%	44.8%	52.2%	25.2%	2.2%

In contrast to single-vehicle crashes in which the most common hazardous action was excessive speed, among multiple-vehicle crashes the most common hazardous action reported by police was "none." Readers should note, however, that these crashes involve multiple vehicles and thus some of the vehicles were innocent bystanders. Among the hazardous actions listed, "failure to yield" had the most cases by nearly 2 to 1 over the next leading action. Note that, like the single-vehicle crashes, hazardous actions in multiple-vehicle crashes are cited by police generally less than half the time they are noted on the crash report form.

Number by Vehicle Type and Year

Number of Vehicles Involved in KA Injury Crashes by Vehicle Type							
Vehicle Type	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 Vehicles				
	93	17640	3.29				
	94	17613	3.23				
Car	95	17732	3.21				
	96	16462	2.92				
	97	15001	2.63				
	93	798	7.39				
	94	935	8.53				
Heavy Truck	95	885	8.07				
	96	924	8.22				
	97	811	7.12				
	93	247	4.02				
	94	324	5.26				
Light Truck	95	333	5.45				
	96	320	5.28				
	97	319	5.32				
	93	830	7.35				
[94	804	6.86				
Motorcycle	95	725	6.09				
	96	657	5.44				
	97	665	5.26				
	93	3034	2.86				
	94	3348	3.02				
Pickup	95	3438	2.97				
	96	3159	2.61				
	97	3095	2.46				
	93	1438	9.57				
	94	1555	10.18				
Van	95	1799	11.62				
	96	1684	10.73				
	97	1573	9.89				

By far the largest vehicle group in this table is passenger cars (almost 10,000 KA crashes more than all other vehicle types combined). For this reason, crashes involving passenger cars should be the central focus of OHSP program efforts. Efforts targeting other vehicle types may well result in declines in KA crashes involving those vehicle types, but would not, in all probability, move the state markedly toward the OHSP goals, even if the declines were dramatic.

KA Crashes -- Drivers Age 14-18

Number and Rate by Year

Number and Rate of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Drivers age 14-18							
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers					
93	2601	8.98					
94	2792	9.48					
95	2735	9,00					
96	2513	8.27					
97	2390	6.79					

As can be seen in the table above, both the number of crashes and the rate per 1000 licensed drivers has decreased considerably for this group of drivers since 1994. However, the 1997 rate of 6.79 KA crashes per 1000 licensed drivers is quite a bit higher than the rate of 5.3 per 1000 licensed drivers for males age 16-20 years. Clearly this remains an important, if small, target group.

Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year

Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Frequency and Rate

Driver Age	Sex	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
		93	156	83.69
		94	153	87.13
	M	95	150	97.9 ⁻
		96	124	80.94
		97	116	5.49
14		93	67	232.64
ļ		94	85	290.1
	F	95	71	282.8
		96	68	270.9
		97	67	3.2
		93	415	10.0
		94	466	11.3
	M	95	459	10.6
		96	408	9.4
		97	404	9.1
16		93	342	8.7
		94	374	9.4
	F	95	347	8.3
İ		96	344	8.2
		97	309	7.3
		93	483	9.5
		94	499	9.4
	M	95	481	9.1
		96	447	8.4
17		97	436	7.9
17		93	303	6.3
		94	331	6.6
	F	95	304	5.9
		96	301	5.9
		97	274	5.1
		93	492	8.8
		94	543	9.6
	M	95	532	9.0
		96	475	8.1
18		97	443	7,4
10		93	304	5.8
1		0.4	000	E 4

94

95

96

97

F

283

328

302

297

5.40

5.96

5.49

5.28


This table shows that among this age group, males have a higher crash rate than females, and that the crash rates generally decline with age (and presumably driving experience). While these data are interesting, at this point in time it is difficult to suggest programs for OHSP based on these data because Michigan has only recently begun a graduated driver's licensing system. We have little data to date on the effects of this new licensing system because it is so recent. However, these baseline data provide a good starting point to gauge possible effects of the new system.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes


Number by Month and Year

This chart shows that among the 14-18 year old driver age group, August is generally the month with the largest number of KA crashes.

August also appears to be a likely candidate for reaching this age group because of all the summer months (each with high numbers of crashes), August is the month that has experienced the smallest amount of change since 1993.



Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Day of Week and Year: Age 14-18



Number by Day of Week and Year

This chart shows that Friday and Saturday have typically been the most problematic days for this age group of drivers. However, while the number of KA crashes that occur on Saturday declined in 1996 and 1997, the number of KA crashes remained relatively stable for Friday, indicating that this may be a day to have special programs targeting this age group.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes


by Light Condition & Year: Age 14-18


Number by Light Condition and Year

Most crashes among this age group occur in daylight. Declines from 1995 levels were seen in each category except crashes at dawn

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

by Precipitation and Year: Age 14-18






Number by Precipitation and Year

Most KA crashes among this age group occurred on clear or cloudy days. Among the weather conditions noted by police, the only declines for this age group were seen in clear and snow conditions. Perhaps there would be value in focusing additional attention in programs involving this age group on the challenges of driving in cloudy and rainy conditions.

Number by Highway Class and Year

This chart shows that the vast majority of KA crashes among this age group occur on city/county roads, followed distantly by Michigan routes. It seems clear that messages and programs involving this age group should focus on the behavior of these drivers on local roads that are often perceived to be safer than highways.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Highway Class and Year: Age 14-18




Number by Speed Limit and Year

It is interesting that among this age group of drivers there are two distinct modes to the data on this item. The highest number of KA crashes consistently occur on 55 mph roads, followed by roads signed at 30 mph or less. This validates much of what we saw in the previous chart. That is, among drivers of this age group, the most hazardous roads are local/rural highways and city streets.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

by Road Condition & Year: Age 14-18



Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

by Speed Limit and Year: Age 14-18



Number by Road Surface Condition and Year

As expected, most KA crashes among this age group are on dry roads. While there may well be value in considering programs targeting drivers of this age group to impress upon them the dangers associated with driving on slippery roads (as indicated by relatively flat levels across years), the effect of even a highly successful program on the overall OHSP traffic safety goal would be negligible.

Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)

	Unk.	26	17	23	21	22	3.9%	11.8%	4.4%	9.5%	%0.0
	Other	148	171	175	175	138	39.9%	33.3% 49.7%	42.3%	39.4%	28.6% 36.2%
	Clear Dist	21	30	22	27	14	38.1%	33.3%	40.9%	55.6%	28.6%
	Improper Backing	0	0	1	1	0	NA	NA	100.0% 40.9% 42.3%	0.0%	NA
	Improper Signal	0	L	0	.	0	NA	100.0%	NA	%0.0	ΝΑ
rashes	Improper Turn	0	2	0	0	0	NA	20.0%	NA	NA	NA
Involved in Single-Vehicle Crashes Indous Action and Year Irivers Age 14-18	Improper Lane Use	8	12	5	1	+	37.5%	58.3%	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%
s Involved in Single-Veh ardous Action and Year Drivers Age 14-18	` .	2	5	4	5	5	20.0%	80.0%	25.0%	40.0%	%0'09
olved i us Act ers Age	Left of Center	7	16	9	8	11	%0.0	12.5%	33.3%	50.0%	63.6%
ehicles Inversion by Hazardo Drive	Wrong Way	-	0	0	0	0	100.0%	Ą	ΑN	ΑN	Ϋ́
Number of Vehicles by Haza D	Traffic Control	4	3	3	6	4	50.0% 100.	33.3%	33.3%	44.4%	
lumber	Fail to Yield	-	+	2	2	·	%0.0	0.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	%0.0
4	Speed Too Slow	2	5	2	2	3	%0 0	40.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%
	Speed Too Fast	355	372	364	315	307	0.0% 41.4%	0.0% 44 1%		0.0% 46.7%	1997 0.0% 36.5%
	None	62	54	52	49	41	%U U	0.0%	1 9%	%0.0	0.0%
		1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1003	1994	1995	1996	1997
				Number of	Vehicles			:	Proportion of	Actions Cited	

Once again we see that the most common hazardous action noted by police for single-vehicle crashes is excessive speed. And once again we see that less than half of offenders receive a citation for the hazardous acts that precipitated the crash (according to the police officer).

Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)

	Unkn	78			+	-	r.	_	_	_	
	Other	143	147	183	151	105	32.2%	26.5%			31 20/
	Clear Dist	191	24.4	219	158	190	%0.29	61.6%	63.0%	63.9%	64 2%
	Improper Backing	5	2	7	6	١١٥	3			100.0%	
	Improper Signal	9	5	-	2	Ι,	16.7%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	%00
rashes	Improper Turn	44	31	32	27	27	52.3%	61.3%	20.0%	48.2%	48.2%
nvolved in Multiple-Vehicle Crashes irdous Action and Year rivers Age 14-18	Improper Lane Use	24	30	15	25	24	41.7%	26.7%	53.3%	36.0%	37.5%
nvolved in Multiple-Vel irdous Action and Year rivers Age 14-18	Improper Passing	18	23	14	22	12	44.4%	%9.69	%9'82	54.6%	33.3%
nvolved in Multip irdous Action an rivers Age 14-18	Left of Center	56	99	75	29	69	48.2%	43.9%	53.3%	52.2%	42.0%
- 0 0	Wrong Way	5	6	9	8	7	%0.09	22.2%	%0.0	12.5%	14.3%
Number of Vehicles I by Haza D	Traffic Control	199	203	192	163	184	%8'89	64.5%	67.7%	65.6%	59.2%
iber of ∖	Fail to Yield	453	505	485	479	450	%9.09	61.8%	63.5%	57.0%	58.7%
Num	Speed Too Slow	5	5	8	7	2	%0.09	80.0%	37.5%	57.1%	%0.0
	Speed Too Fast	129	142	157	145	131	51.9%	47.9%	41.4%	49.7%	44.3%
	None	703	744	739	693	692	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.7%	0.4%
		1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
		Number of Vehicles						;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Proportion or Hazardous	Actions Cited	

hazardous action reported by police was "none." However, compared to all drivers taken as a whole, far fewer drivers age 14-18 were reported as having engaged in no hazardous action. Among the hazardous actions listed, "failure to yield" had the most cases by over 2 to 1 over the next leading action. Note that, like the single-vehicle crashes, hazardous actions in multiple-vehicle crashes are cited by police generally less than half the time they are noted on the In contrast to single-vehicle crashes in which the most common hazardous action was excessive speed, among multiple-vehicle crashes the most common crash report form.

Number by Vehicle Type and Year

Number of Vehicles Involved in KA Injury Crashes by Vehicle Type Drivers Age 14-18

Vehicle Type	Year	Count
	93	2046
Car	94	2191
	95	2164
	96	1945
	97	1841
	93	4
	94	5
Heavy Truck	95	1
	96	2
	97	4
	93	21
	94	21
Light Truck	95	19
	96	23
	97	23
	93	64
,	94	62
Motorcycle	95	49
	96	44
	97	43
	93	253
	94	306
Pickup	95	305
	96	309
	97	297
	93	67
	94	78
Van	95	78
	96	86
	97	94

Passenger cars are the vehicle of choice among this age group. Pickup trucks also make up a notable proportion of the vehicles involved in KA crashes for this age group.

KA Crashes -- Drivers Age 70+

Number and Rate by Year

Number and Rate of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Drivers age 70+								
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers						
93	1,261	2.16						
94	1,290	2.13						
95	1,348	2.14						
96	1,333	2.11						
97	1,234	1.89						

This table shows that the number of KA crashes and rate per 1000 licensed drivers among drivers age 70 and older has decreased since 1995. Although KA crashes among this age group make up only 10% of all KA crashes, it is interesting to note that the 1997 rate of 1.89 per 1000 licensed drivers is nearly identical to the overall rate of 1.88 shown in an earlier table. At this point it should be noted that because this age group only makes up 10% of all KA crash cases, it is not a promising target group for achieving the overall traffic safety goals set by OHSP. Indeed, a 40% decrease in KA crashes involving this age group (a seemingly unreachable goal barring some major, unforseen policy change) probably would only result in only a 4% decrease in the overall rates established by OHSP as goals. However, it is equally important to note that this is a fast growing segment of the population and should be monitored closely to assess whether crash profiles for this group change as it expands in the future.

Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year

Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Frequency and Rate by Year, Age and Sex Drivers Age 70+							
Driver Age	Sex	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers			
		93	193	1.39			
		94	226	1.59			
	F	95	203	1.40			
		96	185	1.28			
70.74		97	181	1.25			
70-74		93	280	2.23			
		94	256	1.97			
	М	95	306	2.31			
		96	303	2.28			
		97	258	1.93			
		93	179	1.85			
		94	165	1.66			
	F	95	166	1.61			
		96	181	1.75			
75 70		97	161	1.47			
75-79	М	93	213	2.54			
		94	228	2.66			
		95	246	2.76			
		96	219	2.46			
		97	215	2.29			
		93	95	1.86			
		94	109	2.00			
	F	95	92	1.57			
		96	118	2.01			
00.54		97	107	1.70			
80-84		93	144	3.28			
		94	155	3.31			
	М	95	164	3.30			
		96	168	3.38			
		97	138	2.65			


As was stated earlier, the number of cases reported for each age group within the larger age 70 and over group do not warrant special attention if one wants to achieve the overall OHSP target goals because of the small numbers of cases involved. However, it will become increasingly important to track these numbers as the population of older Michigan drivers increases to determine if at some point in the future if specific remedial or prophylactic programming will be needed to address emerging problems.

Driver Age	Sex	Year	Count	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
		93	37	2.01
		94	41	2.03
	F	95	50	2.22
		96	31	1.37
85-89		97	49	1.98
85-89		93	77	4.55
		94	69	3.88
	M	95	70	3.63
		96	60	3.11
		97	72	3.50
		93	3	0.95
	F	94	8	2.13
		95	7	1.53
		96	7	1.53
90-94		97	10	1.88
90-94	M	93	21	5.39
		94	13	3.06
		95	20	4.09
		96	20	4.09
		97	14	2.77
		93	0	0.00
		94	0	0.00
	F	95	0	0.00
		96	1	2.53
95+		97	2	4.51
95+		93	0	0.00
		94	0	0.00
	M	95	2	3.57
l		96	4	7.14
		97	2	3.16

Number by Month and Year

Contrary to findings from all ages and the age 14-18 driver group, KA crashes involving drivers age 70 and over are not highly concentrated in the summer months. In fact, the months from May through December have about the same crash experience with the months of January through April experiencing slightly fewer KA crashes.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Month and Year: Age 70+




Number by Day of Week and Year

Drivers age 70 and over also have a unique distribution of KA crashes when examined by day of the week. Among drivers of this age group, Saturday and Sunday actually represent low points in the weekly count of KA crashes. Much like drivers of other ages, however, KA crashes among drivers age 70 and over are at their highest on Fridays.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes


by Day of Week and Year: Age 70+



Number by Light Condition and Year

This chart shows the extent to which drivers age 70 and over restrict their driving to daylight hours. Compared to the other age groups, drivers age 70 and over have very, very few crashes at times other than full daylight. This is probably due in large part to the increased difficulty we all have with seeing clearly in the dark as we age.


Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Precipitation and Year: Age 70+



Number by Highway Class and Year

Most KA crashes involving drivers age 70 and over occur city/county roads and Michigan routes, much like the younger drivers age 14-18 years.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Light Condition & Year: Age 70+




Number by Precipitation and Year

Most KA crashes involving drivers age 70 and over occur on clear or cloudy days, just like their other aged cohorts.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes

by Highway Class and Year: Age 70+




Number by Speed Limit and Year

Also like the drivers age 14-18, drivers age 70 and over have a large number of KA crashes on roads signed at 55 mph and 30 mph and below. This is probably due in large part to their staying on city/county roads and Michigan routes.

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes


by Speed Limit and Year: Age 70+



Number by Road Condition and Year

The pattern of KA crashes among drivers age 70 and over is quite similar to that of younger drivers. Again we can see declines in KA crashes on dry pavement from 1994 levels, but the number of crashes on wet pavement does not show a similar pattern, indicating a potential target for intervention (although the number of KA crashes involving older drivers on wet pavement is quite small relative to the statewide crash picture).

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Road Condition & Year: Age 70+



Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Single-Vehicle)

			Nui	mber of	by Haza	Involve ardous <i>A</i> Drivers	action ar		ole Crasi	ies			
		None	Speed Too Fast	Speed Too Slow	Fail to Yield	Traffic Control	Left of Center	Improper Lane Use	Improper Turn	Improper Backing	Clear Dist	Other	Unkn.
	1993	21	25	0	1	0	6	2	1	1	7	44	9
	1994	24	25	1	0	0	8	4	0	0	7	38	12
Number of Vehicles	1995	22	29	0	1	0	6	1	1	1	13	46	16
Vermores	1996	21	38	0	0	2	4	3	0	1	8	43	11
	1997	19	34	0	1	3	4	3	0	.0	5	44	9
	1993	0.0%	12.0%	NA	100.0%	NA	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Proportion of	1994	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	12.5%	0.0%	NA	NA	14.3%	10.5%	0.0%
,	1995	0.0%	17.2%	NA	0.0%	NA	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	19.6%	0.0%
Actions Cited	1996	0.0%	26.3%	NA	NA	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	NA	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%	9.1%
	1997	0.0%	32.4%	NA	100.0%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	20.0%	13.6%	0.0%

Unlike the other driver age groups, hazardous actions for drivers age 70 and over involved in single-vehicle crashes are not dominated by excessive speed. Indeed, nonspecific hazardous actions (i.e., other, none, unknown) make up the majority of hazardous actions noted for this age group in single-vehicle crashes.

Number by Hazardous Action and Year (Multiple-Vehicle)

Number of Vehicles Involved in Multiple-Vehicle Crashes by Hazardous Action and Year Drivers Age 70+	Traffic Wrong Left of Improper Improper Improper Improper Clear Other Control Way Center Passing Lane Use Turn Signal Backing Dist	92 8 32 5 13 44 3 1 86 42	109 4 31 3 16 32 2 3 87 72	102 7 29 3 13 34 3 5 93 45	101 4 29 5 26 31 1 2 79 75	91 6 29 1 13 23 1 3 93 65	54.4% 25.0% 34.4% 40.0% 53.9% 50.0% 0.0% 100.0% 50.0% 14.3%	58.7% 75.0% 29.0% 0.0% 50.0% 56.3% 50.0% 33.3% 48.3% 19.4%	50.0% 14.3% 34.5% 0.0% 38.5% 55.9% 33.3% 20.0% 44.1% 24.4%	58.4% 0.0% 55.2% 40.0% 42.3% 51.6% 100.0% 50.0% 44.3% 26.7%	22 29/ 24 09/ 400 09/
Vehicle Crasl ear		13	16	13	26	13				4	oe % 69
Multiple-Ye and Ye 70+	Improper Passing	5	3	3	5	-	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	400 0%
Ived in us Actioners Age	Left of Center	32	31	29	29	29	34.4%	29.0%	34.5%	55.2%	21 0%
es Invo lazardo Driv	Wrong Way	8	4	2	4	9	25.0%	75.0%	14.3%	%0.0	/00 00
Vehicle by H	Traffic Control	92	109	102	101	91	54.4%	58.7%	20.0%	58.4%	/00 63
nber of	Fail to Yield	349	986	990	346	298	29.9%	52.3%	53.6%	48.0%	40.40/
Na	Speed Too Slow	4	7	5	5	4	25.0%	0.0%	20.0%	%0.0	75 00/
	Speed Too Fast	21	15	20	26	19	19.1%	40.0%			/00
	None	406	379	446	440	396	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.5%	,000
	-	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1993	1994	1995	1996	

The patterns of hazardous actions reported for drivers age 70 and over are quite similar to those of the other age groups, and are predominated by actions that tend to violate the driving space of another vehicle (e.g., failure to yield, left of center) rather than speed.

Number by Vehicle Type and Year

Number of Vehicles Involved in KA Injury Crashes by Vehicle Type Drivers Age 70+

Vehicle Type	Year	Count
	93	1075
	94	1082
Car	95	1124
310.00	96	1130
	97	1026
	93	3
	94	1
Heavy Truck	95	5
	96	2
	97	6
	93	5
	94	7
Light Truck	95	12
	96	4
	97	7
	93	1
	94	2
Motorcycle	95	5
	96	0
	97	4
	93	78
	94	104
Pickup	95	103
	96	97
	97	85
	93	45
	94	50
Van	95	54
	96	53
	97	67

The vast majority of KA crashes involving drivers age 70 and over involve the use of passenger vehicles. The next largest vehicle type group for this age is pickup trucks. However, it would appear that the number of KA crashes involving vans is increasing steadily (if slowly), and should continue to be monitored.

KA Injured Occupants -- All Drivers

Number and Rate by Year

		y Occupant Position a	
Occupant Position	Year	Number of KA Injured Occupants	Rate per 1000 Population
	93	11,659	1.234
	94	11,489	1.210
Driver	95	11,723	1.228
	96	10,988	1.149
	97	10,028	1.047
	93	211	0.022
	94	212	0.022
Front Center	95	183	0.019
	96	152	0.016
	97	159	0.017
Front Right	93	3,289	0.348
	94	3,459	0.364
	95	3,423	0.359
	96	3,154	0.330
	97	2,937	0.307
	93	205	0.022
	94	204	0.022
Rear Center	95	199	0.021
	96	171	0.018
,	97	195	0.020
	93	492	0.052
	94	489	0.052
Rear Left	95	457	0.048
	96	419	0.044
	97	386	0.040
	93	488	0.052
	94	574	0.061
Rear Right	95	536	0.056
	96	474	0.050
	97	425	0.044

In order for OHSP to achieve its traffic safety goals, the focus should clearly be on drivers and front-right passengers. This is because these are the only two groups of sufficient size to have an impact on the statewide goals.

When this table is examined, we can also see that each of these measures shows a general decline from 1994 or 1995 levels, showing that not only did the number of KA crashes tend to decline from 1994-95, but the number of persons who experienced KA injuries also declined during the same period.

Number and Rate by Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)

		Rate of Fatal or S Pedestrians and	
	Year	Number of KA Injuries	Rate per 100K Population
	93	419	4.435
	94	467	4.920
Bike	95	427	4.472
	96	397	4.151
	97	389	4.062
	93	1141	12.077
	94	1210	12.748
Pedestrian	95	1271	13.310
	96	1189	12.432
1 (1) 1 (1)	97	1073	11.205

One can see in the adjacent table that pedestrian crashes are a much more serious threat to OHSP reaching its traffic safety goals than are bicycle crashes. However, neither of these categories of crashes is sufficiently pervasive that even a dramatic change in the frequency of these crashes would make much of a dent in OHSP achieving its statewide goals. On the other hand, these data do show declining numbers of KA injuries since 1995.

The following sets of tables show the number of occupants the experienced fatal or serious (A-level) injury by the occupant's age, sex, and whether the injured person was a vehicle occupant, a pedestrian, or a bicyclist. These tables include all KA crashes, regardless of the age of the drivers involved in the crash. Based on the data in the following tables, OHSP would do well toward achieving its statewide traffic safety goals if the focus of programming efforts were on drivers age 21-34. This group, spanning 14-years of ages, had the highest number of KA injuries. Indeed, the next highest group (drivers age 35-54), spanned 20 years of ages (six fewer than the highest group), yet still experienced fewer KA crash injuries.

Number by Age, Sex, and Year

Occupant	Sex	Vaar	Numbe	r of KA Injured P	ersons
Age		Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	16	2	
		94	5	1	C
	F	95	11	3	(
		96	20	1	
0		97	12	0	(
0 yr		93	21	1	(
,		94	22	1	(
	М	95	18	1	(
		96	16	1	(
		97	15	0	. (
		93	10.	3	
		94	16	7	(
	Ė	95	11	4	
		96	15	4	(
4		97	6	3	(
1 yr		93	14	0	(
		94	20	3	(
	М	95	18	3	(
		96	24	2	(
		97	6	2	(
		93	17	3	
		94	22	6	
	F	95	23	4.	
2 yr		96	23	8	
		97	10	3	C
		93	30	4	C
		94	24	6	C
	М	95	32	12	C
		96	22	6	C
	Ī	97	17	6	C

Number	r of Oce Age	cupants e, Gende	with Fatal or r and Occu	or Serious Inj pant Type	uries by		
Occupant			Number of KA Injured Persons				
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists		
		93	26	6	1		
		94	25	5	0		
	F	95	30	9	0		
		96	24	9	0		
0		97	19	1	0		
3 yr		93	45	10	0		
		94	30	9	2		
	М	95	42	11	1		
		96	39	8	0		
	<i>i</i>	97	27	7	0		
	F	93	29	4	2		
		94	36	7.	1		
		95	33	4	1		
		96	36	9	1		
4		97	19	5	0		
4 yr	М	93	26	10	2		
		94	35	10	1		
		95	24	13	2		
		96	33	12	6		
		97	22	13	2		
		93	138	48	18		
		94	118	46	15		
	F	95	148	51	23		
		96	134	45	9		
		97	105	37	16		
5-9 yr		93	173	73	45		
		94	170	79	66		
j	М	95	173	82	45		
		96	131	98	42		
		97	120	7 7	52		

Occupant			r and Occu	r of KA Injured F	ersons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	415	73	41
		94	442	71	43
	F	95	421	86	33
		96	346	66	35
10.15		97	308	66	22
10-15yr		93	389	93	98
		94	411	104	125
	М	95	387	93	112
		96	343	: 86	115
		97	255	94	95
		93	1403	44	10
	E	94	1431	36	5
		95	1401	27	15
		96	1368	41	Į.
10.00		97	1167	37	(
16-20yr		93	1644	53	28
		94	1725	71	30
	М	95	1621	62	3(
		96	1564	57	22
		97	1305	71	38
		93	2302	74	1!
		94	2298	68	1!
	F	95	2346	82	,
04.04		96	2095	58	1:
		97	1809	60	1:
21-34yr		93	3268	175	54
		94	3131	149	50
	М	95	3061	170	40
		96	2776	137	4
		97	2426	132	35

Hambel			r and Occu	or Serious Inj pant Type	
Occupant	Cav	Voor	Numbe	r of KA Injured F	Persons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	1937	67	14
		94	1995	91	6
	F	95	2132	89	6
		96	2016	81	11
05.54		97	1807	72	14
35-54yr		93	2121	155	46
		94	2163	167	55
	М	95	2236	198	51
		96	2172	196	43
•		97	2004	162	54
		93	442	21	2
	F	94	463	18	2
		95	492	22	(
		96	423	16	-
		97	383	11	2
55-64yr		93	409	27	
		94	411	29	10
	М	95	414	28	(
		96	411	44	- /
		97	409	33	7
		93	214	6	(
		94	212	14	2
	F	95	225	8	1
		96	205	7	(
05.00		97	160	9	
65-69yr		93	190	17	(
		94	168	15	. 4
	М	95	189	17	-
		96	153	19	Ę
		97	150	14	4

	Age	, Gende	r and Occu		
Occupant	Sex	Year	Numbe		
Age			Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	186	14	0
		94	221	12	C
	F	95	218	9	(
		96	170	11	(
70-74yr		97	163	10	•
70-74yi		93	146	15	•
		94	147	8	
	М	95	160	17	•
		96	163	12	(
		97	140	18	•
		93	210	8	(
	F	94	198	11	
		95	201	7	
		96	193	7	(
75 70		97	177	6	
75-79yr		93	119	7	
		94	122	15	
	М	95	163	11	
		96	119	8	-
		97	121	10	
		93	104	5	
		94	112	12	(
	F	95	130	9	
		96	135	4	
00.04		97	113	.5	(
80-84yr		93	84	9	(
		94	93	4	
	М	95	85	7	
		96	114	12	
		97	93	10	(

Occupant			Number of KA Injured Persons				
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists		
		93	38	4	0		
		94	54	4	0		
	F	95	53	3	C		
		96	49	3	C		
05 00ur		97	63	5	C		
85-89yr	М	93	45	6	1		
		94	45	6	2		
		95	46	3	(
		96	40	5	(
		97	53	4	2		
		93	11	0	(
		94	14	0	(
	F	95	17	0	(
		96	20	0	(
90 + yr		97	22	5	(
90 + yr		93	16	1	(
	М	94	14	1	(
		95	12	3	(
		96	16	3	-		
		97	9	0	-		

KA Injured Occupants -- Drivers Age 14-18 Number and Rate by Year

Number and Rate of Fatal and Serious Injuries by Seat Position and Year **Drivers Age 14-18**					
Seat Position	Year	Number of KA Injuries	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers		
	93	1247	4.305		
	94	1343	4.560		
Driver	95	1329	4.374		
	96	1242	4.088		
	97	1114	3.166		
	93	39	0.135		
	94	45	0.153		
Front Center	95	28	0.092		
	96	41	0.135		
-	97	27	0.077		
Front Right	93	507	1.751		
	94	610	2.071		
	95	543	1.787		
	96	553	1.820		
	97	506	1.438		
	93	39	0.135		
	94	54	0.183		
Rear Center	95	32	0.105		
	96	30	0.099		
	97	37	0.105		
	93	89	0.307		
	94	111	0.377		
Rear Left	95	85	0.280		
	96	92	0,303		
	97	68	0.193		
	93	85	0.294		
	94	151	0.513		
Rear Right	95	101	0.332		
	96	102	0.336		
	97	85	0.242		

As was the case for all drivers, among crashes involving drivers age 14-18, the most common seating positions for occupants who experience KA injuries are the driver and front-right passenger.

While driver injuries show a steady decline from 1994, front-right passenger injuries have not shown a consistent upward or downward pattern. This would suggest that passengers of drivers age 14-18 would make a likely target for intervention. However, it should once again be noted that even a dramatic change in this front-right passenger KA injury count would not likely have much of an impact on the statewide goals.

Number by Age, Sex, and Year

Number of Occupants with Fatal or Serious Injuries by Age, Gender and Occupant Type **Drivers age 14-18**										
Occupant	0		1	ber of KA Injured Persons						
Aģe	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists					
		93	2	0	0					
	F	96	- 1	0	0					
0 yr		97	2	0	0					
	М	93	1	0.0	0					
	IVI	96	2	0	0					
	F	94	1	0	0					
	Г	95	3	0	0					
1		94	2		0					
1 yr	М	95	1	0	0					
	IVI	96	2	0	0					
:		97	1	0	0					
		94	1	0	0					
	F	95	2	0	0					
0		97	. 2	0	0					
2 yr	М	93	2	0	. 0					
		94	3	0	0					
		95	1	0	0					
		94	2	0	0					
	F	96	1	0	0					
		97	1	0	0					
		93	1	0	0					
3 yr		94	1	0	0					
	М	95	4	0	0					
		96	1	0	0					
		97	2	0	0					
		94	1	0	0					
	-	95	2	0	0					
	F	96	1	0	0					
4 yr		97	2	0	0					
	·	93	1	0	0					
	М	94	1	0	0					
		97	2	0	0					

The data in the accompanying tables shows that most of the occupants of drivers age 14-18 who experience KA crash injuries are age cohorts of the driver. That is, the majority of KA injured occupants in vehicles driven by a driver age 14-18 are between the ages of 10 and 20 years old.

This would suggest that program efforts that target this driver age group would likely do well to consider including program elements that also target passengers of these young drivers.

Number of Occupants with Fatal or Serious Injuries by Age, Gender and Occupant Type **Drivers age 14-18**										
Occupant			Number of KA Injured Persons							
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists					
		93	5	0	0					
		94	6	0	0					
	F	95	4	0	0					
		96	9	0	0					
5.0 ····		97	3	0	0					
5-9 yr		93	7	0	0					
		94	8	0	0					
	М	95	2	0	0					
		96	4	0	0					
		97	8	0	0					
		93	167	36	6					
		94	207	35	8					
	F	95	192	28	9					
		96	152	24	12					
10 15.4		97	145	29	4					
10-15yr		93	168	29	25					
		94	206	36	38					
	М	95	176	42	39					
		96	158	35	28					
		97	122	29	34					
		93	760	25	5					
		94	825	23	2					
	F	95	779	22	9					
		96	773	29	3					
16 200		97	674	27	4					
16-20yr		93	863	32	23					
		94	1004	44	21					
	М	95	911	36	21					
		96	903	35	18					
		97	734	44	29					

Number of Occupants with Fatal or Serious Injuries by
Age, Gender and Occupant Type
Drivers age 14-18

Occupant	Sex	Vaar	Number	of KA Injured P	ersons	
Age	sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists	
		93	14	0	0	
		94	19	0	0	
	F	95	18	0	0	
		96	17	0	0	
04.045#		97	9	0	0	
21-34yr		93	15	0	0	
		94	52	0	0	
	М	95	32	0	0	
		96	28	0	0	
		97	20	0	0	
		93	25	0	0	
	F	94	17	0	0	
		95	22	Ó	0	
		96	29	0	0	
35-54yr		97	24	0	0	
35-5 4 yl	М	93	14	0	0	
		94	8	0	0	
		95	9	0	0	
		96	6	0	0	
	e e	97	10	0	0	
		93	3	0	0	
		94	1	0	0	
	F	95	1	0	0	
		96	4	0	0	
55-64yr		97	1	0	0	
	,	94	1	0	0	
	М	95	1	0	0	
	IVI	96	4	0	0	
		97	2	0	0	

Number of Occupants with Fatal or Serious Injuries by Age, Gender and Occupant Type **Drivers age 14-18**											
Occupant Sox Voor Number of KA Injured Persons											
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists						
		93	1	0	0						
		94	2	0	0						
	F	95	2	0	0						
05 00		96	1	0	0						
65-69yr		97	1	0	0						
		94	1	0	0						
	М	95	2	0	0						
		97	1	0	0						
70.74	F	95	1	0	0						
70-74yr	М	96	1	0	0						
	F	93	1	0	0						
	Г	96	1	0	0						
75-79yr		93	1	0	0						
	М	95	1	0	0						
		97	1	0	0						
90 94vr	F	96	1	0	0						
80-84yr	М	93	1	0	0						
85 - 89yr	F	97	3	0	0						
00	М	94	1	0	0						
90 + yr	IVI	95	1	0	0						

KA Injured Occupants -- Drivers Age 70+ Number and Rate by Year

Number and Rate of Fatal and Serious Injuries by Seat Position and Year <i>Drivers Age 70+</i>									
Seat Position	Year	Number of KA Injuries	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers						
	93	691	1.186						
	94	728	1.201						
Driver	95	772	1.225						
	96	750	1.190						
	97	720	1.102						
	93	2	0.003						
	94	6	0.010						
Front Center	95	4	0.006						
	96	5	0.008						
	97	4	0.006						
	93	201	0.345						
	94	208	0.343						
Front Right	95	219	0.347						
	96	205	0.325						
	97	192	0.294						
	93	2	0.003						
	94	3	0.005						
Rear Center	95	5	0.008						
	96	3	0.005						
	97	3	0.005						
	93	8	0.014						
	94	14	0.023						
Rear Left	95	13	0.021						
	96	10	0.016						
	97	9	0.014						
	93	7	0.012						
	94	12	0.020						
Rear Right	95	14	0.022						
_	96	12	0.019						
	97	11	0.017						

One can see in this table that there has been little change in the frequency of KA crash injuries to persons occupying vehicles driven by drivers age 70 and over since 1993. This should not be surprising given that this age group comprises the fastest growing segment of drivers in the state.

While it is true that the frequencies and rates among this driving group have changed little, there is a slight downward trend from 1995.

While this group of drivers is small, as was noted earlier, it is growing and should continue to be monitored closely. While the numbers of KA injured persons involved in these crashes is relatively small at this point in time, and would not likely have much of an impact of the statewide traffic safety goals, this may change in the future as this group of drivers becomes more prevalent.

Number of Occupants with Fatal or

	T		ge 70+
Age	Sex	Year	Number of KA Injured Occupants
0 yr	F	94	1
0	T _	95	1
2 yr	F	97	2
3 yr	М	95	1
		93	2
	F	94	1
		95	4
5-9 yr		93	2
		94	2
	M	95	3
		96	1
		94	1
	F	95	4
		96	3
10.45		97	3
10-15yr	M	93	3
		95	1
		96	3
		97	
		94	3
	F	96	1
10.00		97	1
16-20yr		93	Í
	М	94	2
		97	
		93	4
		94	2
	F	95	5
		96	4
04 04		97	1
21-34yr		93	
		94	
	М	95	
		96	
		97	

As was the case for the young drivers age 14-18, occupants who experience KA injuries in crash-involved vehicles driven by drivers age 70 and above are generally age cohorts of the driver. That is, most KA injured occupants in vehicles with drivers age 70 and above are also age 70 and above, primarily concentrated in the 70-84 year age span.

As was the case for seating position, we can see in this table that there is little evidence of a trend from 1993-1997 in the number of KA injured occupants in these crashes, either increasing or decreasing. This would suggest that this is a likely group for intervention. However, as has so often been the case for this age group of drivers, there are really too few cases in these categories to effect much change in the statewide goal targets even if significant change were affected among these specific groups.

Number of Occupants with Fatal or Serious Injuries by Age and Gender Drivers Age 70+

Age	Sex	Year	Number of KA Injured Occupants
		93	10
		94	7
	F	95	13
		96	16
25 E 4 vr		97	10
35-54yr		93	1
		94	4
	М	95	4
	4	96	3
		97	8
		93	12
	F	94	12
		95	12
		96	10
FF 0.4.m		97	5
55-64yr	M	93	5
		94	2
		95	4
		96	1
		97	1
		93	30
		94	26
	F	95	24
	ľ	96	30
CE CO		97	11
65-69yr		93	3
		94	2
	М	95	2
		96	1
		97	3.

N	ur	nl	эe	r	of	C)c	CI	uľ	a	n	ts	V	vit	th	F	al	a	l c	r	
S	eri	O	US	3 [ni	Ul	ΊE	29	Ľ	V	Æ	۱a	е	a	ne		àЕ	n	de	er:	
										. 7.4		9/T-									
)ri	W	er	S	Δ	0	le.	1	OH.	L						

Age	Sex	Year	Number of KA Injured Occupants
		93	26
		94	44
	F	95	39
		96	37
05.00		97	46
85-89yr		93	41
	de la constant	94	44
	M	95	43
		96	37
		97	46
		93	6
		94	7
	F	95	7
		96	14
00		97	14
90 + yr		93	14
		94	7
	М	95	8
		96	14
		97	6

'Had-Been Drinking' KA Crashes — All Drivers Number and Rate by Year

	Number and Rate of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes										
Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 100 Million VMT	Rate per 1000 Registered Vehicles	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers						
93	3,384	3.949	0.439	0.358	0.519						
94	3,294	3.866	0.417	0.347	0.499						
95	3,198	3.731	0.397	0.335	0.479						
96	2,781	3.172	0.337	0.291	0.417						
97	2,635	2.953	0.315	0.275	0.386						

This table shows that KA crashes in which the police officer recorded that a least one driver "had-been-drinking" (henceforth denoted as KA-HBD crashes) have declined steadily since 1993. However, a larger than average decline is noted between 1995-96.

Number and Rate by Age, Sex and Year

Fat	Fatal or Serious Injury Crash Frequency and Rates 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Year, Age Group and Sex											
Age	Sex	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers							
		93	60	0.184	0.238							
	-	94	59	0.181	0.232							
	F	95	56	0.170	0.215							
		96	64	0.190	0.246							
40.00		97	37	0.095	0.138							
16-20 yrs.		93	303	0.907	1.121							
		94	291	0.871	1.075							
	М	95	296	0.873	1.080							
		96	250	0.713	0.912							
		97	221	0.616	0.779							
	C	93	306	0.298	0.321							
		94	306	0.301	0.324							
	F	95	271	0.271	0.292							
		96	261	0.268	0.281							
04.04		97	225	0.244	0.251							
21-34 yrs.		93	1402	1.390	1.473							
		94	1280	1.295	1.359							
	М	95	1247	1.284	1.342							
		96	1007	1.057	1.084							
		97	1035	1.067	1.140							
		93	200	0.153	0.160							
		94	179	0.133	0.139							
	F	95	215	0.156	0.163							
		96	206	0.150	0.156							
h= =4		97	176	0.123	0.129							
35-54 yrs.		93	845	0.667	0.689							
		94	822	0.632	0.652							
	М	95	849	0.635	0.658							
		96	763	0.558	0.591							
		97	744	0.563	0.555							

Fatal or Serious Injury Crash Frequency and Rates 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Year, Age Group and Sex							
Age	Sex	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Population	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers		
,		93	20	0.033	0.038		
		94	11	0.019	0.021		
	F	95	20	0.034	0.038		
		96	8	0.014	0.015		
55 00 vms		97	17	0.030	0.031		
55-69 yrs.	Ņ.	93	125	0.234	0.244		
		94	100	0.188	0.195		
		95	142	0.268	0.276		
		96	112	0.212	0.218		
		97	117	0.213	0.222		
		93	6	0.012	0.020		
	F	94	2	0.004	0.006		
		95	2	0.004	0.006		
		96	1	0.002	0.003		
70		97	5	0.011	0.014		
70+ yrs.		93	28	0.092	0.102		
	М	94	30	0.096	0.105		
		95	28	0.088	0.095		
		96	37	0.113	0.125		
		97	26	0.097	0.085		

The preceding table shows that male drivers (age 16-54) are the most significant 'at-risk' group for KA-HBD crash involvement because of their high frequency and rates of involvement. Within that rather large group, we can see that males age 21-34 have the largest number of crashes and highest rates of KA-HBD crash involvement. While the rates of involvement are not nearly so high, a considerable number of KA-HBD crashes involve male drivers age 35-54. Taken as a whole, the male driver age 21-54 represents the majority of the KA-HBD crash experience in Michigan. If inroads are to be made in achieving Michigan's traffic safety performance goals, it would seem that this is a target group that should not be ignored.

Number and Rate by Age, Sex and Year (Pedestrians and Bicyclists)

Pedestrian	s with Fat	al or Se	king' Bicycl rious Crash der, and Yea	Injuries
Age Group	Gender	Year	Bicyclists	Pedestrians
		93	0	1
		94	0	(
	F	9.5	0	(
		96	0	
10.15 vr		97	0	(
10-15 yr.		93	0	_
		94	0	(
	М	95	0	-
		96	0	
		97	0	(
		93	0	
		94	. 0	
	F	95	0	1
		96	0	1
16-20 yr.		97	0.	
		93	0	(
	М	94	1	(
		95	1	1:
		96	2	10
		97	0	1.

Number of 'Had-Been-Drinking' Bicyclists and Pedestrians with Fatal or Serious Crash Injuries -by Age Group, Gender, and Year

Age Group	Gender	Year	Bicyclists	Pedestrians
		93	2	14
		94	2	13
	F	95	0	16
		96	1	11
04.04		97	0	6
21-34 yr.		93	7	47
		94	9	41
	M	95	10	57
		96	10	35
		97	4	36
	F	93	0	9
		94	0	11
		95	1	12
		96	1	15
OE EA ve		97	1	12
35-54 yr.		93	9	43
	M	94	15	34
		95	11	51
		96	10	58
		97	4	60


Number of 'Had-Been-Drinking' Bicyclists and Pedestrians with Fatal or Serious Crash Injuries -- by Age Group, Gender, and Year

Age Group	Gender	Year	Bicyclists	Pedestrians
		93	0	1
	F	94	0	0
		95	0	1
		96	0	0
FF 04.m		97	0	1
55-64 yr.		93	0	6
		94	0	8
	M	95	0	11
		96	3	9
		97	20	7
	F	93	0	0
		94	0	1
		95	0	0
		96	0	0
05.00.44		97	0	0
65-69 yr.	M	93	0	1
		94	0	1
		95	0	5
		96	0	5
		97	0	1

The preceding table is included in this report to assist in program planning for a specific program area request. While any death or injury is unfortunate, even tragic, the fact is that there are too few of these types of crashes to warrant targeting significant resources.

Number by Month and Year

Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Month and Year




This chart shows an interesting phenomena that is repeated in the day of the week chart that follows. Specifically, it would appear that the largest reductions in KA-HBD crashes have occurred on months that have had the historic highs. In addition, the effect seems to be toward leveling off the month-tomonth variation. One interpretation of this observation is that new laws and the efforts of OHSP and others have exerted their influence mainly during the periods that have been targeted for emphasis, namely the problem periods around certain events and holidays. While this is probably true (and everyone involved should take a bow), there may be another interpretation lurking here that has significant implications for future planning.

If in fact we are observing a flattening of the seasonal change that normally occurs from month-to-month, we may also be beginning to see the boundaries of the "hard-core" problem with respect to KA-HBD crashes. What I mean is that we may be seeing reductions in crashes caused by seasonal or occasional indulgence that can be controlled relatively easily through PI&E backed up by enforced laws, leaving us crashes caused by a group of persons for whom these pleas go unheeded. It also suggests that in addition to maintaining current PI&E and accompanying enforcement efforts (which will likely be necessary to prevent backsliding), new efforts targeting this less malleable group will be necessary to gain new change. If this were true, we would also see it in the day of week data, with frequencies of KA-HBD crashes that occur on the weekend declining at greater rates than weekdays.

Number of Fatal or Serious 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Day of Week and Year

Number by Day of Week and Year


As was the case for the chart showing KA-HBD crashes by month, this chart shows relatively large reductions in crashes on Friday, Saturday, and Sunday compared to the other days of the week. While one could expect the highest days to change the most, the fact that such small change was observed on Monday and Tuesday bolsters the argument that we may be beginning to see the boundaries of a hard-to-reach segment that will at least require continued close scrutiny.



Number by Highway Class and Year

The most dramatic changes in crashes have been seen on the city/county roads, but downward change can be seen on all road types. Special program emphasis should be paid to KA-HBD crashes on the city/county roads because of the large number of crashes that occur on these roads.

Number of Fatal or Serious 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Highway Class and Year



Parallel charts and tables have been prepared for had-been-drinking crashes where the driver was either (1) age 14-18 or (2) was age 70+ and these are presented on the following pages. Because of the low number of cases that these groups experience, they do not represent significant targets for OHSP plans to achieve their statewide performance goals. These data are presented for completeness and program interest.

'Had-Been Drinking' KA Crashes — Drivers Age 14-18 Number and Rate by Year


'Had-Been	Drink		and Serious les by Year 8
	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
	93	166	0.573
Driver Age 14-18	94	167	0.567
	95	153	0.504
	96	147	0.484
	97	116	0.330

Number and Rate by Age, Sex, and Year

Age	Sex	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
		93	4	13.889
14		94	2	6.826
	F	95	1	3.984
		96	2	7.968
		97	1	0.048
		93	1	0.536
		94	4	2.278
	М	95	0	0
		96	1	0.653
		97	4	0.189
		93	13	0.333
		94	3	0.075
	F	95	3	0.072
		96	7	0.168
16		97	6	0.142
		93	20	0.482
		94	20	0.486
	М	95	19	0.442
		96	13	0.302
		97	15	0.339
		93	8	0.167
		94	16	0.319
	F	95	5	0.098
		96	13	0.255
47		97	5	0.095
17		93	45	0.885
		94	46	0.869
	М	95	45	0.854
		96	35	0.664
		97	29	0.527
		93	10	0.191
		94	15	0.286
	F	95	16	0.291
		96	12	0.218
10		97	12	0.213
18		93	64	1.145
		94	60	1.069
	М	95	63	1.076
		96	63	1.076
		97	41	0.694

Number by Month and Year


Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Month and Year



Number by Day of Week and Year


Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Day of Week and Year

Drivers Age 14-18



Number by Highway Class and Year

Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes by Highway Class and Year: Age 14-18



'Had-Been Drinking' KA Crashes — Drivers Age 70+ Number and Rate by Year

'Had-Been-	Drink		and Serious hes by Year +
	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
	93	35	0.573
Driver Age 70+	94	33	0.054
	95	30	0.048
	96	40	0.063
	97	31	0.047

Age	Sex	Year	ge Group, Number of	Rate per 1000
	OGA		Crashes	Licensed Drivers
		93	4	0.029
		94	0	0.000
	F	95	1	0.007
		96	0	0.000
70		97	4	0.028
,0		93	15	0.119
		94	14	0.108
	M	95	10	0.075
		96	19	0.143
		97	17	0.127
		93	1	0.010
	F	94	1	0.010
		95	1	0.010
		96	1	0.010
75		97	0	0.000
/5	М	93	6	0.072
		94	9	0.105
		95	14	0.157
		96	6	0.067
		97	6	0.064
		93	11	0.020
	F	94	1	0.018
		95	0	0.000
		96	0	0.000
_		97	1	0.016
80		93	7	0.159
		94	6	0.128
	М	95	3	0.060
		96	9	0.181
		97	2	0.038

Age	Sex	Year	Number of Crashes	Rate per 1000 Licensed Drivers
		93	0	0.000
		94	0	0.000
	F	95	0	0.000
		96	0	0.000
0.5		97	0	0.000
85		93	0	0.000
		94	0	0.000
	М	95	1	0.052
		96	2	0.104
		97	1 .	0.049
		93	0	0.000
		94	0	0.000
	F	95	0	0.000
		96	0	0.000
00		97	0	0.000
90		93	0	0.000
		94	1	0.236
	М	95	0	0.000
		96	0	0.000
		97	0	0.000
		93	0	0.000
		94	0	0.000
	F	95	0	0.000
		96	0	0.000
ΩE		97	0	0.000

0.000

0.000

0.000

1.786


0.000

М

Number by Month and Year

Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Month and Year






Number by Day of Week and Year


Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Day of Week and Year

Drivers Age 70+



Number by Highway Class and Year

Number of Fatal or Serious Injury 'Had-Been-Drinking' Crashes by Highway Class and Year Drivers Age 70+



KA Injured Occupants — 'Had-Been Drinking' Crashes Number by Age, Sex, and Year

Occupant	_{Cav}	Vaar	Λ	lumber of KA Injured	Persons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	0	1	0
		94	0	0	0
	F [95	2	0	0
		96	1	0	0
0		97	1	0	0
0 yr		93	2	0	0
		94	4	0	0
	М	95	2	1	0
		96	0	1	0
		97	1	0	0
-		93	2	0	0
		94	1	0	0
	F [95	3	0	. 0
		96	2	0	0
4		97	1	0	0
1 yr		93	3	0	0
		94	2	0	0
	М	95	3	0	0
		96	3	0	0
		97	2	0	0
		93	2	0	0
		94	4	0	0
	F	95	2	0	0
	Γ	96	3	0	0
		97	0	0	0
2 yr		93	5	0	0
		94	5	0	0
	м	95	5	1	0
		96	2	0	0
		97	2	0	0

Occupant	0	V	N	lumber of KA Injured	Persons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	3	0	0
		94	4	1	0
	F	95	6	0	0
		96	3	0	0
0		97	3	0	0
3 yr		93	7	0	0
		94	2	1	0
	М	95	7	0	0
		96	5	0	0
	1.	97	3	1	0
		93	6	0	1
		94	3	0	1
	F [95	2	0	C
		96	6	0	1
4.10		97	1	0	C
4 yr		93	5	2	C
		94	6	0	0
	М [95	7	0	0
		96	3	0	i C
		97	2	0	0
		93	9	1	2
		94	20	0	1
	F	95	25	2	C
		96	14	0	C
5-9 yr	,	97	14	1	2
J-a yı		93	17	4	2
		94	27	4	2
	М	95	23	2	3
		96	18	6	3
		97	17	4	2

Occupant	0	V	Λ.	lumber of KA Injured	Persons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	63	2	1
		94	64	1	
	F [95	42	6	
		96	37	5	
10 1Ev#		97	26	4	
10-15yr		93	34	4	3
		94	75	2	3
	М [95	37	8	3
		96	30	5	4
		97	25	2	
		93	185	6	C
		94	182	4	1
	F [95	178	5	2
		96	152	5	C
10.00		97	117	3	C
16-20yr		93	395	16	2
		94	402	17	2
	м [95	351	15	2
		96	336	12	5
		97	319	13	i
		93	508	21	3
		94	494	19	
	F	95	490	23	(
		96	387	14	
04.04		97	324	9	0
21-34yr		93	1,377	57	Ti
		94	1,271	63	12
	мГ	95	1,208	76	10
		96	1,015	48	1:1
		97	971	49	(
		93	308	16	
	l F	94	311	15	
	F	95	343	14	2
	·	96	304	15	
05.54		97	269	19	
35-54yr		93	766	53	1
		94	735	46	į.
	м	95	743	64	10
		96	679	66	1
		97	631	71	20

Occupant	Cav	Vaar	٨	lumber of KA Injured	Persons
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists
		93	48	3	0
		94	45	0	0
	F	95	46	2	(
		96	25	2	(
55 C 41 m		97	30	1	(
55-64yr		93	96	7	(
		94	79	9	(
	М [95	89	11	(
		96	81	11	(
		97	71	8	2
		93	16	1	(
		94	8	1	(
	F	95	18	1	(
		96	20	0	
05.00		97	9	0	
65-69yr		93	27	3	(
		94	24	3	(
	М	95	24	7	
		96	19	6	(
		97	33	1	(
		93	7	3	(
	[94	12	0	
	F	95	16	0	
		96	9	0	(
70-74yr		97	11	2	
70-74y1		93	23	0	-(
		94	15	0	
	М	95	14	2)(
		96	20	1	(
		97	14	4	
		93	9	0	
		94	6	1	
	F	95	4	0	
		96	11	0	
75-79yr		97	4	0	
10 10y1		93	7	0	
		94	10	1	
	М	95	17	2	
		96	8	t l	
		97	12	1	

Number o				ious Injuries from 'l er, and Occupant Ty	Had-Been-Drinking' ⁄pe	
Occupant			٨	lumber of KA Injured	Persons	
Age	Sex	Year	Occupants	Pedestrians	Bicyclists	
		93	4	0	0	
	[94	4	1	0	
	F	95	1	0	0	
		96	3	0	0	
		97	4	0	0	
80-84yr		93	8	2	0	
		94	8	1	0	
	М	95	3	0	0.	
		96	14	1	0	
		97	4	0	0	
		93	2	0	0	
	_	94	2	0	0	
	F	95	1	0	0	
		97	6	0	0	
85-89yr		93	2	0	0	
•		94	1	0	0	
	M [95	1	0	0	
		96	1	1	0	
		97	1	1	0	
		93	1	0	0	
	F	94	2	0	0	
90+ yr		96	1	0	0	
	М	96	2	0	0	
	IVI	97	1	0	0	

Belts, Bags and Helmets

Belt Use by Seat Position and Year

Belt Use Among Persons Involved in Fatal or Serious Injury Crashes by Seat Position and Year										
Seat Position	Year	Belted	CRD Used	Not Belted	% Belted					
	93	14513	0	4539	76.18%					
	94	15240	0	4521	77.12%					
Driver [95	15848	0	4373	78.37%					
	96	15166	0	3580	80.90%					
	97	13961	0	3355	80.62%					
	93	3329	113	2713	55.92%					
	94	3480	132	2793	56.39%					
Passenger	95	3722	152	2474	61.03%					
	96	3603	150	2159	63.48%					
	97	2835	130	1679	63.85%					

As can be seen in the adjoining table, belt use is higher for drivers than passengers. For both groups, use has remained about the same for the past two years after three years of increase.

Air Bag Deployment by Seat Position and Year

			Fatal or Position	Serious Injury and Year
	Year	Air Bag Deployed	Air Bag Not Deployed	% of Available Air Bags that Deployed
	93	780	1519	33.93%
	94	1281	1957	39.56%
Driver	95	1977	2883	40.68%
	96	2589	3365	43.48%
	97	2962	3901	43.16%
	93	34	172	16.50%
	94	98	236	29.34%
Passenger	95	251	370	40.42%
·	96	379	497	43.26%
	97	369	487	43.11%

The most evident fact from this table is that more crash-involved vehicles are equipped with air bags every year. For the last two years, about 43% of air bags were reported to have deployed in crashes that were sufficiently serious to cause a death or serious injury. This finding further emphasizes the importance of safety belt use since injuries are being sustained in crashes in which air bags do not deploy.

Motorcycle Helmet Use by Seat Position and Year

Motorcycle helmet use has remained consistently high since 1993.

			in Fatal or Position a	
	Year	Helmet Worn	Helmet Not Worn	% Wearing Helmet
	93	639	98	86.70%
	94	598	85	87.55%
Driver	95	569	60	90.46%
	96	533	7 5	87.66%
	97	537	65	89.20%
	93	96	23	80.67%
	94	85	20	80.95%
Passenger	95	80	15	84.21%
	96	77	16	82.80%
	97	76	12	86.36%

Belt Use by Seat Position, Air Bag Deployment, and Year

Belt Use Among Persons Involved in Fatal or Serious Injury Crashes by Seat Position, Air Bag Deployment, and Year

		Po	osition, Air I	Bag Deploym	nent, and \	/ear	
	Year	Belted-Bag Deployed	Not Belted-Bag Deployed	% Belt Use-Bag Deployed	Belted-No Deployment	Not Belted-No Deployment	% Belt Use-No Deployment
	93	606	120	83.47%	1179	177	86.95%
Driver	94	993	222	81.73%	1552	222	87.49%
	95	1524	314	82.92%	2287	346	86.86%
	96	2065	339	85.90%	2779	364	88.42%
	97	2291	427	84.29%	3185	450	87.62%
	93	22	7	75.86%	121	35	77.56%
	94	77	16	82.80%	172	49	77.83%
Passenger	95	194	44	81.51%	255	85	75.00%
	96	296	64	82.22%	361	101	78.14%
	97	273	68	80.06%	346	110	75.88%

A consistent pattern can be seen in the table above. For each year (except 1994) belt use was lowest among passengers in crashes in which the bag did not deploy, slightly higher among passengers in crashes in which the bag did deploy, slightly higher still was belt use among drivers in crashes in which the bag deployed, and the highest belt use rate of these groups was for drivers in crashes in which the bag did not deploy. While consistent, these differences are small and may well be due to reporting bias.

The following set of tables are included to provide specific information on where occupants were seated in the vehicle, the extent of their injury (KA injured or not), and their reported belt use. These data are broken out by age and gender as well as year within each seating position to provide a rich resource for program planning efforts.

Belt Use by Occupant Age, Sex, Injury, Seat Position and Year

			3			t Positio					
	0	Sex		ŀ	KA Injured	l Occupant	s	No	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants
<i>lge</i>	Occupant Position		Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
	ļ	977.11.13.	93	3	0360	1	75.00%	1	0	0	100.009
			94	0	0	0	0.00%	2	0	Ö	100.00
		F	96	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
			97	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	Driver/Errors		93	1	0	1	50.00%	3	0	0	100.00
		1	94	0	0	4	0.00%	6	. 0	0	100.00
		М	95	2	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00
		1	96	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00
			97	1	0	1	50.00%	3	0	1	75.00
		F	94	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	2	0.00
	Center front	12.5	95	0	0	21	0.00%	0	1	0	100.00
	Center none	М	93	0	2	0	100.00%	- 0		2	33.33
			94	1	0	1	50.00%	0	1	0	100.00
			93	0	2	2	50.00%	1	4	1	83.33
			94	0	3	0	100.00%	1	3	0	100.00
			F	95	0	2	3	40.00%	1	3	1
Right front		96	2	5	1	87.50%	0	3	1 0	100.00	
		97	0	0	1 1	0.00% 83.33%	0	3	0	100.00	
			93	0	5 4	4	55.56%	0	3	1 0	100.00
		М	95	1 1	3	2	66.67%	0	2	1 1	66.6
		l W	96		1	2	50.00%	1 0	5	+	100.00
		1	97	+ +	2	0	100.00%	0	0	1 0	0.0
		540 A.S. 10	93	0	1	2	33.33%	0	1	Ö	100.0
			94	1	0	1	50.00%	2	4	Ö	100.00
		l F	95	0	2	Ö	100.00%	0	3	0	100.0
yr	1		96	1	4	1	83.33%	1	5	0	100.0
, .			97	0	1	1	50.00%	0	1	0	100.00
	Left rear		93	0	0	2	0.00%	0	2	0	100.0
			94	0	0	0	0.00%	0	4	1	80.0
		М	95	0	1	1	50.00%	0	1	- 0	100.0
			96	0	2	1	66.67%	2	1	0	100.0
			97	0	3	0	100.00%	0	5	0	100.0
			93	0	1	0	100.00%	0	6	0	100.0
			94	0	0	0	0.00%	0	5	0	100.0
		F	95	0	1	0.	100.00%	1	3	0	100.0
			96	0	1	0	100.00%	0	3	0	100.0
	Center rear		97	0	2	0	100.00%	0	1	0	100.0
		1	93	1 1	0	0	100.00%	0	3	0 1	100.0
		М	94 95	1 0	0	1 0	50.00% 0.00%	0	4	1 0	50.0 100.0
		IVI	96	0	1	1 1	50.00%	1 1	2	1 0	100.0
			97	1	2	0	100.00%	 	1	1 0	100.0
		5.7555	93	0	2	0	100.00%	0	6	ŏ	100.0
			94	1 0	0	0	0.00%	0	8	0	100.0
		F	95	0	0	1 1	0.00%	0	2	Ö	100.0
	1.		96	0	1	0	100.00%	0	2	0	100.0
	1 5		97	0	2	1	66.67%	0	3	Ö	100.0
	Right rear		93	0	3	0	100.00%	0	2	2.4	100.0
			94	0	2	1 1	66.67%	Ö	3		100.0
	1	М	95	0	3	1 1	75.00%	1	4		83.3
			96	0	2	0	100.00%	0	7	0	100.0
		1	97	0	0	0	0.00%	0	3	0	100.0

Belt Use Among Persons Involved in Fatal or Serious Injury Crashes by Occupant Age, Sex, Injury, Seat Position, and Year KA Injured Occupants Not KA Injured Occupants Occupant Sex Year Belt Not % Belt CRD Belt Not % Belt Age Belt CRD Belt Position Used Used Used Use Used Used Used Use 100.00% 0.00% F 0.00% 100.00% Driver/Errors М 0.00% n 100.00% 0.00% 50.00% 33.33% 0.00% F 66.67% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% Center front 50.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% М 0.00% n 100.00% 50,00% ō 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 50.00% 100.00% 66.67% 66.67% 100.00% 66.67% 0.00% 66.67% Right front 66.67% 66.67% 80.00% 88.89% М 50.00% 85.71% 50.00% 100.00% 66.67% 50.00% 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% F 66.67% 100.00% 100.00% 1 yr 0.00% 100.00% Left rear 66.67% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% М 100.00% 66.67% 100.00% 0.00% q 66.67% 100.00% 100.00% 75.00% F 100.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% Center rear 66.67% 100.00% 100.00% ō 100.00% 75.00% 80.00% М 50.00% 50.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 75.00% 71.43% 100.00% F 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 80.00% 50.00% Right rear 66.67% 80.00% 100.00% 33.33% 100.00% 88.89% М 85.71% 100.00% 87.50% 0.00%

				,	KA Injured	d Occupani	ts	l No	ot KA Inju	red Occup	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt
	1 Oshion	1		Used	Used	Used	Use	Used	Used	Used	Use
		F	94	1 1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
	Driver/Errors	М	95	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
			97 93	0	0	0	0.00% 0.00%	0	0		0.00% 50.00%
			94	0	1	2	33.33%	0	1	0	100.009
		l F	95	0	0	1 1	0.00%	2	0	2	50.009
			96	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	0	100.009
	Center front	2000 1000 010	93	2	0	4	33.33%	1	1	2	50.009
		1	94	0	0	2	0.00%	0	1	0	100.009
		М	95	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.009
		1	96	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	2	33.339
		_	97	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	1	0.009
			93	2	0	2	50.00%	2	<u>3</u>	3 1	62.50°
	Right front	l F	94 95	2	1	7	100.00% 22.22%	0	2	1 1	66.679
			96	2	0	2	50.00%	3	1	+ +	80.00
			97	0	0	ō	0.00%	1	2	Ó	100.00
			93	3	1	1	80.00%	3	2	1	83.33
			94	4	1	3	62.50%	3	0	2	60.00
		М	95	4	2	2	75.00%	0	2	1	66.67
		1	96	1	1	2	50.00%	2	0	0	100.00
			97	2	1	2	60.00%	0	1	1	50.00
			93	0	0	0	0.00%	1 0	2	2	100.00° 71.43°
		F	94 95	1 0	4	4	55.56% 50.00%	5	5 3	2	80.00
			96	1 1	4	2	71.43%	1	3	1 1	80.00
2 yr			97	3	0	1	75.00%	1	4	i o	100.00
	Left rear	rear	93	1	1	2	50.00%	3	1	1	80.00
			94	1	1	0	100.00%	0	7	0	100.00
	Ì	M	95	3	1	11	80.00%	3	1	0	100.00
		l l	96	3	2	0	100.00%	3	4	0	100.00
			97	1	4	0	100.00%	1	1	1	66.67
			93	1	0	1	50.00% 50.00%	0	2	1 0	66:67 100.00
		l _F	94 95	1 0	0	1 2	0.00%	2	3	1	83.33
		Ι'	96	2	0	1 0	100.00%	0	2	1	66.67
	_		97	2	0	0	100.00%	0	3	i	100.00
	Center rear		93	2	2	1	80.00%	1	2	2	60.00
			94	1	0	2	33.33%	1	2	0	100.00
	}	М	95	1	0	1	50.00%	0	2	1	66.67
	1	-	96	2	0	1	66.67%	0	1	0	100.00
			97	0	0	0	0.00%	3	1	0	100.00
			93	3	0	1	75.00%	2	3	0	100.00
		F	94 95	0	2	0	100.00% 83.33%	2	0	0	100.00
		[96	3	5 5	0	100.00%		1	0	100.00
			97	0	2	2	50.00%		1	0	100.00
	Right rear		93	1	2	3	50.00%		1	1	66.67
			94	Ö	0	2	0.00%		Ö		100.00
	1	М	95	2	5	0	100.00%		4	0	100.00
		1	96	0	2	2	50.00%		2		75.00
		ı	97	1	1	0	100.00%	2	4	0	100.00

				ŀ	KA Injured	d Occupant	s	No	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants
4 <i>ge</i>	Occupant	Sex	Year	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt
-9 -	Position			Used	Used	Used	Use	Used	Used	Used	Use
		F	94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00
		Г	97	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	Driver/Errors		95	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00
		M	96 97	1 0	0	0	100.00% 100.00%	1 0	0	0	100.00
		C45,5103	93	0	0	0	0.00%	3	0	0	100.00
			94	0	0	1	0.00%	2	0	Ö	100.00
		l F	95	1	0	2	33,33%	1	0	0	100.00
			96	1	0	1	50.00%	0	0	1	0.00
	Contar front		97	2	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	Center front		93	0	0	3	0.00%	1	0	2	33.33
		1	94	4	0	3	57.14%	1	0	0	100.00
		М	95	1	0	0	100.00%	2	0	0	100.00
			96	0	0	1 1	0.00% 100.00%	2	0	1 0	66.67 0.00
		-	97 93	2 5	0	0 6	45.46%	5	0	2	71.43
			94	7	0	2	77.78%	6	0	2	75.00
		l F	95	5	1	5	54.55%	3	0	1 1	75.00
		l i	96	3	0	2	60.00%	- 5	1	1	85.71
	Diabt front		97	4	0	3	57.14%	2	1	0	100.00
	Right front		93	6	1	2	77.78%	8	1	2	81.82
			94	5	0	111	83.33%	5	3	1 1	88.88
	Lettroor	М	95	6	3	5	64.29%	2	2	0	100.00
			96 97	7	2	3	75.00% 66.67%	5 4	2	0 2	100.00 71.43
		United States	93	0	0	1 1	0.00%	4	1	1	83.33
			94	3	0	Ö	100.00%	3	2	1 2	71.43
3 yr		l F	95	2	0	3	40.00%	2	0	4	33.33
, y.			96	3	0	1	75.00%	3	3	1	85.7
			97	1	1	0	100.00%	. 2	0	0	100.00
	Left rear		93	3	2	2	71.43%	2	1	1	75.00
			94	3	0	2	60.00%	5	0	0	100.00
		M	95	1	1	11	66.67%	2	4	2	75.00
			96	7	0	1 1	87.50% 66.67%	5	1 2	0	100.00
			97 93	0	0	3	0.00%	1	1	0	100.00
			94	0	0	0	0.00%	2	0	1 i	66.6
		F	95	2	0	0	100.00%	3	0	1	75.0
	1		96	1	1	1	66.67%	1	0	2	33.33
	Contor roor		97	0	0	2	0.00%	1	1	1	66.6
	Center rear		93	2	2	1	80.00%	0	0	0	0.00
		١	94	0	0	3	0.00%	1	0	0	100.0
		М	95	3	2	1 1	83.33%	0	0	3	100.0 25.0
			96 97	0	2	1 1	80.00% 66.67%	1 0	2	1 0	100.0
		1 2 2 2	93	1	1	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.0
	1		94	1	2	2	60.00%	2	3	0	100.0
		F	95	2	0	1	66.67%	4	3	1	87.5
	1		96	3	2	2	71.43%	6	2	2	80.0
	Dight roor		97	1	1	0	100.00%	2	1	0	100.0
	Right rear		93	3	1	4	50.00%	6	1	1	87.5
	1	1	94	5	1	0	100.00%	2	0	1 1	66.6
		M	95	4	1	1 1	83.33%	4	4 3	1 1	88.8 85.7
	1	1	96 97	1	3	0	71.43% 100.00%	3 4	3 2	1 0	100.0

ID2	Sex, Injury, Seat Position, and Y	
46 0	Y bae acitized tee? valual yes	
	[2] 가수 있는 경우 가장 하는 점점 성격 없었다. 그 경우는 다른 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.	_
'ANY IURANAAA KA SAUSIA A I	nvolved in Fatal or Serious Injui	Lenvera i privilia seo jisa
and tregues () vd aggaes () vs	illiai siioisez so letea ai peviova	I SUOSIBA DUOMA ASII IIAH
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		지 않는데 그는 그는 그는 그리는 이번 경험을 하는데 하는데 사람들이 되었다면 하는데

%00°9Z %00°9Z %00°09 %EE'E8 %00°08 %00°001 %00°001 %00°08 %Z9°99 %Z9°99 %Z9°99 %O0°09	5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	L 0 L 0 L 0	2 3 2 9	%00.001 %00.08 %00.87	L D	ح 0	t	96 96	М		
%00'09 %EE'E8 %00'08 %00'001 %00'001 %00'08 %49'99 %49'99 %49'99	1 1 1 0 0	0 1 0	2 2	%00.001		0	Þ	96	M		
%00'09 %EE'E8 %00'08 %00'001 %00'001 %00'08 %49'99 %49'99 %49'99	L L L 0	0	2 2	%00.001	0						
%EE'E8 %60'001 %00'001 %00'001 %00'08 %49'99 %49'99 %00'09	L L L 0	0	g			0	7	7 6	1 1		
%EE'E8	0 0	0	Þ	. /0UU UU L	0	0	9	86	1		
%00'08 %00'001 %00'001 %00'08 %29'99 %29'99 %00'09	0 0	0	(1811)	%00'09	Š	0	3	<u> </u>		Right rear	
%00'001 %00'08 %29'99 %29'99 %00'09	0		ታ	%EE.E8	L	1	7	96			
%00'001 %00'08 %29'99 %29'99 %00'09	0		ל	100.00%	ó	0	7	96	4		
%00'08 % <u>29'99</u> %00'09		0	5	%00.03	5	0	8	7 6	- 1		
% <u>79.99</u> %00.03	P	0	7	%00.001	0	0	2	66			
%29'99 %00'09	L I	0	7	%00.00	0	0	0	<u>26</u>			
%00'09	i	0	2	%00.04 %00.0	ε	0	2				
	1	0	L	%00.001	0	0		96	ایرا		
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>	96	W		
	0	0	<u> </u>	33.33%	5	<u> </u>	ŀ	76			
	l .	0	ļ.	%00.001	0	<u> </u>	0	69		Center rear	
	0	0	l i	%00.001	0	0	Þ	<u> </u>		, ,	
	0	0	3	%EE.EE	5	<u> </u>	0	96			
20.00%	1	0	L .	%00:0	L	0	0	96	E		
	0	0	9	%29.99	2	1.	6	⊅ 6		ļ	
	0	0	7	45.86%	フ	0	3	63			
%00.08	1	0	Þ	%00.08	l l	ļ	3				
100.00%	0	0	Þ	%49.99	ŀ	0	7	96			
100.00%	0	2	G	400.001	0	7	7	96	М		
%00 [.] 97	ļ	0	£	%00.87	2	ļ	g	7 6			
%49.99	1	0	7	%00.08	L.	0	Þ	63			
400:001	0	0	7	%00.001	0	7	0	<u> </u>		Left rear	
100:00%	0	L	Þ	95.50%	ε	Ĺ	b	96			
400.001	0	0	7	%49:99	i i	Mr. Walley	L	96	4		
	3	3	ε	%00.03	5	0	5	7 6	- T.		1γ ໂ
%00.001	0	L	8	%00.08	ī	0	b	£6			
%00.001	0	2	8	%00.08	L	0	7	<u> </u>			
%17.28	ĭ	I	g	%03.78	7	0	71	96			
%00.87	7	0	9	%00.001	0	0	9	96	W		
%00.08 %00.35	7	-	<u> </u>	%Z1.46	i	0	91	76	"		
%29°16	Ť	0	<u> </u>	%00.27	ļ	0	8	86			
%00.001	0	0	9	%00.08		0			880 888	Fight front	
%68'88	L	0	COX CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR				7	<u> </u>			
%49.99			8	%00.08	5	0	8	96		İ	
	7	0	7	%00.27	7	7	01	96	1 1		
%t9:19	<u>g</u>	0	8	%29.48	5	0	11	7 6			
%00'06	1	1	8	%pp'pp	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	86			
%00.0	0	0	0	%00'09	2	0	5	<u> 76</u>			
%00'0	0	0	0	%00.0	5	0	0	96	l l		
%00.001	0	0	1	%00.001	0	0	1	96	M		
%00.001	0	0	3	%00.0	0	0	0	7 6		ŀ	
%00.001	0	0	_ _	%00.03	l l	0	L L	63		Center front	
%00.001	0	0	ļ	%00:0	0	0	0	Z 6		,,,	
100.00%	0	Į.	1	%00.001	0	0		96			
%00.001	0	0	1	20.00%	1	0	l.	96	4		
%00:001	0	0	7	%EE.EE	5	0	L.	⊅ 6	3.5	ļ	
100:00%	0	0	1	%00.03	1	0	L.	63			
%00.0	0	0	0	%00.0	ļ	0	0	Z 6			
%00.0	0	0	0	100.00%	0	0	ļ	96	M		
%00.0	1	0	0	%00.0	0	0	0	86			
%00'0	0	Ö	0	%00.001	Ö	0	L.	96	14.37	Driver/Errors	
%00.001	0	1	Ö	%00.0	0	0	Ó	96	4		
%00.001	0	0	ĭ	%00.001	0	0	1	£6	- 1	1	
			nasco				naco	60			
əsn	pəs∩	pəs∩	pəs∩	əs∩	pəs∩	pəs∩	pəs∩			noitieo9	_
1198 %	Belt Not	CBD	#JIÐB	#P8 %	Belt Not	CBD	1l98	Хеаг	XƏS	undroo	әб∀
sinac	dnoon pa	iujal AX to	N	S.	Occupant	rA Injured	1			Occupant	

				1	KA Injured	d Occupani	ts	No	ot KA Injui	red Occup	ants
4ge	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
			93	1	1	3	40.00%	1	0	0	100.009
			94	1	0	1	50.00%	2	0	0	100.00°
		F	95	1	0	1	50.00%	0	0	0	0.00
			96 97	0	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.009
	Driver/Errors		93	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	1	50.00
	1		94	1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00
		М	95	1	0	1	50.00%	0	0	0	0.00
			96 97	0	0	0	0.00%	2	0	0	100.00
		+	93	1 2	0	2	50.00%	8	0	3	100.00 72.73
			94	2	0	3	40.00%	1	0	2	33.33
		F	95	3	0	5	37.50%	2	0	3	40.00
			96	3	0	1	75.00%	4	0	3	57.14
	Center front	1	97 93	0 4	0	2 5	0.00% 44.44%	8	0	3	66.67 50.00
			94	5	0	3	62.50%	2	0	1	66.67
		М	95	3	Ö	4	42.86%	4	1	3	62.50
			96	4	0	3	57.14%	5	0	3	62.50
			97	2	0	4_	33.33%	3	0	2	60.00
			93 94	26 27	0	15 13	63.42% 67.50%	27 35	0	6 9	81.82 79.55
		l F	95	33	1	15	69.39%	40	0	5	88.89
			96	37	0	6	86.05%	24	0	5	82.76
	Right front		97	20	0	10	66.67%	20	0	5	80.00
	Right front		93	23	0	22	51.11%	24	0	12	66.67 74.29
		М	94 95	28 38	0	25 19	52.83% 67.24%	26 40	0	10	80.00
		IVI	96	33	0	12	73.33%	31	0	9	77.50
•			97	26	0	11	70.27%	36	0	1	97.30
-9 yr			93	19	. 0	7	73.08%	14	0	5	73.68
		F	94	12	1	8	61.91%	14	0	6 4	70.00 82.6
		-	95 96	16 17	0	11 6	59.26% 73.91%	19 12	0	8	60.0
			97	18	0	7	72.00%	24	0	5	82.7
	Left rear		93	12	1	9	59.09%	19	0	9	67.8
		1	94	11	0	8	57.90%	14	1	3	83.3
	1	М	95	17	0	10	62.96%	18 12	0	 	94.7 65.0
	1		96	12 12	0	9	85.71% 57.14%	10	1	4	73.3
			93	7	0	4	63.64%	1	0	6	14.2
			94	4	0	8	33.33%	10	0	2	83.3
		F	95	7	0	5	58.33%	9	0	1 1	90.0
	Center rear		96	5	0	4 2	55.56% 80.00%	9 2	0	5	68.7 40.0
		-	97 93	8	0	6	45.46%	10	0	3	76.9
		1	94	4	0	2	66.67%	6	0	11	35.2
		М	95	3	0	7	30.00%	8	0	4	66.6
		1	96	4	0	4	50.00%	7	1	3	72.7
			97	5	1 0	5	75.00% 70.59%	20	0	5	58.3 75.0
			93 94	12 8	0	8	50.00%		0	6	72.7
		F	95	16	0	4	80.00%	23	2	7	78.1
			96	16	0	5	76.19%	16	.0	4	80.0
	Right rear		97	10	0	7	58.82%	15	1 1	4	80.0
	19.11.104		93 94	16	0	10	61.54% 65.71%		1 0	5 8	68.7 65.2
		М	95	23	0	11	50.00%		1 1	1 2	92.0
		М	96	16	0	4	80.00%		1 6	9	70.9

				<i> </i>	KA Injured	d Occupant	s	No	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
	<u> </u>		93	5	0	8	38.46%	12	0	2	85.719
			94	6	0	12	33.33%	20	0	5	80.00
		F	95	14	0	13	51.85%	11	0	5	68.75
			96	9	0	6	60.00%	14	0	0	100.00
	Driver/Errors		97	11	0	8	57.90%	11	0	2	84.62
	Dilyon Ziron	Į.	93	13	0	14	48.15%	12	1	13	50.00
		1	94	15	0	18	45.46%	16	0	9 8	64.00 65.22
		М	95 96	11 9	0	17 12	39.29% 42.86%	15 13	0	6	68.42
			97	7	1	10	44.44%	13	1	6	70.00
			93	6	0	1	85.71%	3	0	6	33.33
			94	5	0	9	35.71%	3	0	4	42.86
		l F	95	4	. 0	11	26.67%	4	0	5	44.44
			96	2	0	16	11.11%	3	0	8	27.27
	0		97	5	0	4	55.56%	3	0	3	50.00
	Center front		93	1	0	2	33.33%	0	0	3	0.00
			94	1	0	10	9.09%	4	0	4	50.00
		M	95	2	0	10	16.67%	5	0	6	45.46
			96	2	0	4	33.33%	3	0	6	33.33
			97	2	0	9	18.18%	3	0	5	37.50
			93	89	0	73	54.94%	67	0	19	77.91
	l	1 _	94	100	1	71	58.72%	68	1	40	63,30
		F	95	119	0	62	65.75%	72	0	20	78.26
			96 97	89	0	51 57	63.57% 58.39%	74 53	0	18 16	80.44 76.81
	Right front		93	80 59	0	47	55.66%	66	0	21	75.86
		1	94	73	0	70	51.05%	63	0	30	67.74
		М	95	64	0	67	48.86%	59	0	24	71.08
		'"	96	75	0	46	61.98%	73	0	21	77.66
			97	49	0	22	69.01%	57	1	17	77.33
0-15yr		F-311-2	93	21	0	30	41.18%	9	0	6	60.00
			94	31	0	23	57.41%	18	0	22	45.00
		F	95	15	0	24	38.46%	27	0	11	71.05
			96	18	0	20	47.37%	14	0	19	42.42
			97	10	0	16	38.46%	20	0	8	71.43
	Leit ieai		93	9	0	18	33.33%	15	0	16	48.39
		1	94	14	0	13	51.85%	15	0	15	50.00
		М	95	10	0	18	35.71%	19	0	11	63.33
			96	19	0	15	55.88%	28	0	15	65.12
			97	12	0	5	70.59%	19	0	6	76.00
			93 94	5 7	0	14 19	26.32% 26.92%	7	0	6	53.85 26.67
		l _F	95	4	0	9	30.77%	8	0	8	50.00
		•	96	3	0	9	25.00%	6	0	8	42.86
	Center rear		97	5	0	19	20.83%	7	0	9	43.75
			93	3	0	7	30.00%	7	0	6	53.85
			94	2	0	11	15.39%	4	Ō.	10	28.57
		М	95	4	0	8	33.33%	5	0	4	55.56
			96	3	0	9	25.00%	4	0	4	50.00
			97	7	0	7	50.00%	2	0	4	33.33
			93	19	0	32	37.26%	16	0	32	33.33
	1		94	28	0	32	46.67%	20	1	31	40.39
	1	F	95	26	0	25	50.98%	21	0	15	58.33
			96	25	0	17	59.52%	26	0	15	63.42
	Right rear		97	14	0	18	43.75%	22	0	14	61.1
			93	19	0	12	61.29%	13	0	24	35.14
			94	22	0	20	52.38%	18 24	0	17	51.43
		М	95 96	21	0	15 13	58.33%		0	12	88.89 70.73
	1		97	20 16	0	11	60.61% 59.26%		1	12	58.6

					KA Injured	d Occupant	's	No.	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants	
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	
		Mr. Ente	93	530	0	265	66.67%	540	0	73	88.09%	
			94	526	1	252	67.65%	570	0	89	86.50°	
		l F	95	581	1	258	69.29%	571	0	80	87.71	
			96	590	0	191	75.54%	558	0	56	90.88	
	Driver/Errors		97	529	0	170	75.68%	517	0	63	89.14	
	Dilvei/Ellere		93	468	0	395	54.23%	968	0	213	81.96 80.51	
		1	94	497	0	452 414	52.37%	925 1004	0	224 202	83.25	
		М	95 96	507 525	1	351	55.05% 59.98%	896	0	155	85.25	
			97	411	0	328	55.62%	935	0	153	85.94	
			93	8	0	21	27.59%	3	0	5	37.50	
	•		94	7	0	15	31.82%	4	0	7	36.36	
		ΙF	95	10	0	11	47.62%	8	0	6	57.14	
			96	6	0	12	33.33%	3	0	9	25.00	
			97	7	0	8	46.67%	4	0	2	66.67	
	Center front		93	1	0	8	11.11%	3	0	3	50.00	
		1	94	4	0	11	26.67%	4	0	11	26.67	
		М	95	1	0	7	12.50%	2	1	8	27.27	
		1	96	2	0	11	15.39%	6	0	2	75.00	
			97	2	0	7	22.22%	3	0	5	37.50	
			93	159	0	164	49.23%	106	0	45	70.20	
			94	196	0	161	54.90%	90	0	56	61.64	
		F	95	160	1	133	54.76%	124	0	42	74.70 74.79	
			96	196	0	122	61.64%	89 66	0	30 34	66.00	
			97	147	0 0	111	56.98%	108	0	73	59.67	
		ı	93 94	109 107	0	188	38.11% 36.27%	101	0	70	59.06	
		Тм	95	107	0	159	40.23%	109	0	57	65.66	
		IVI	96	125	0	156	44.48%	108	Ö	69	61.02	
			97	102	0	122	45.54%	92	Ö	48	65.71	
6-20yr			93	12	. 0	18	40.00%	13	1	16	46.67	
			94	10	0	26	27.78%	8	0	17	32.00	
		F	F	95	16	0	15	51.61%	11	0	12	47.83
			96	13	0	23	36.11%	10	0	8	55.56	
			97	9	0	17	34.62%	9	0	10	47.37	
	Left rear		93	15	0	32	31.92%	6	1	23	23.33	
			94	5	1	24	20.00%	14	0	26	35.00	
		М	95	12	0	26	31.58%	7	0	24	22.58 34.88	
		1	96	8	0	24	25.00%	15	0	28 17	32.00	
			97	5	0	20	20.00%	1 1	0	9	10.00	
	1		93 94	6	0	18 16	25.00% 15.79%	5	0	9	35.71	
	Continues	ÌF	95	2	0	20	9.09%	2	0	4	33.33	
			96	5	0	14	26.32%		. 0	7	22.22	
			97	3	0	15	16.67%		0	2	66.67	
	Center rear		93	1	1	21	8.70%	3	0	6	33.30	
			94	2	0	19	9.52%	3	0	10	23.08	
		М	95	2	0	12	14.29%	0	0	12	0.00	
			96	5	0	11	31.25%	1	1	5	28.5	
			97	5	0	5	50.00%	1	0	6	14.2	
			93	7	0		17.95%		0	13	48.0	
			94	15	0		26.32%		0		30.7	
		F	95	20	0		43.48%		0	21	34.3	
			96	13	0		39.39%		0	13 15	40.9 53.1	
	Right rear		97	10	0		34.48%	17	0	37	21.2	
			93	14	0		23.73%		0		23.3	
			94	15	0		22.73% 21.28%		0	28	40.4	
		М	95 96	10	0		21.26%		0		40.9	
	Į.	1	96	6	0		19.36%		1 0		36.3	

				ŀ	KA Injured	d Occupant	's	No	ot KA Injui	red Occup	ants		
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt Use		
			00	Used	Used	Used	Use 70.34%	Used 1028	Used 0	Used 151	87.19°		
			93 94	1029 1047	0 2	434 437	70.54%	1026	0	128	89.41		
		l F	95	1125	0	384	74.55%	1119	0	101	91.72		
			96	1049	0	344	75.31%	1026	0	99	91.20		
	Driver/Errors		97	944	0	313	75.10%	1027	0	101	91.05		
	Dilvei/Litois		93	933	0	959	49.31%	2005	0	404	83.23		
		М	94 95	968 984	1 2	884 867	52.29% 53.21%	2139 2084	0	413 382	83.82 84.51		
		l M	96	956	0	714	57.25%	1953	0	267	87.97		
		1	97	770	0	692	52.67%	1724	0	261	86.85		
		11140	93	9	0	14	39.13%	6	0	4	60.00		
			94	.5	0	9	35.71%	6	0	6	50.00		
		F	95	6	0	10	37.50%	4	0	3	57.14 60.00		
			96	11	0	5 7	68.75% 30.00%	3 5	0	2 4	55.56		
	Center front		97 93	3 2	0	18	10.00%	4	0	3	57.14		
		1	94	5	0	17	22.73%	2	0	6	25.00		
		М	95	4	0	10	28.57%	5	0	9	35.71		
		1	96	1	0	9	10.00%	6	0	7	46.15		
			97	1	0	6	14.29%	1	0	5	16.67		
		2 13	93	241	0	179	57.38%	138	0	54	71.88		
		١	94	239	0	205	53.83%	104 146	0	47	68.87 77.25		
		F	95 96	268 222	0	171 149	61.05% 59.95%	151	0	36	80.75		
			97	184	0	105	63.67%	97	0	29	76.98		
	Right front		93	166	0	250	39.90%	120	0	81	59.70		
	Ū		94	155	0	215	41.89%	105	1	99	51.7		
		М	95	167	0	216	43.60%	108	0	86	55.67		
			96	169	0	165	50.60%	127	0	63	66.84		
1-34yr			97	122	0	136	47.29%	102	0	48	68.00		
			93 94	18 11	0	26 15	40.91% 42.31%	8	0	12	40.00 69.23		
		F	F	F	95	12	0	25	32.43%	9	0	15	37.50
			96	13	0	10	56.52%	9	0	8	52.94		
			97	1	0	9	10.00%	7	0	11	38.89		
			93	8	0	22	26.67%	10	0	14	41.67		
		1	94	10	0	30	25.00%	5	0	18	21.74		
		M	95	6	0	13	31.58%	7	0	7	50.00		
			96	9	0	13	25.00% 13.33%	7 6	0	9	43.75 35.29		
			93	4	0	6	40.00%	2	0	5	28,5		
			94	3	0	12	20,00%	3	1	5	44.44		
		F	95	5	0	7	41.67%	- 1	0	4	20.00		
			96	0	0.	4	0.00%	0	0	4	0.00		
	Center rear		97	0	0	6	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00		
		l	93	3	0	10	23.08% 26.67%	3	1 0	10	16.6 27.2		
		М	95	4 0	0	13	0.00%	1 1	0	8	11.1		
		'"	96	0	0	10	0.00%		0	- 5	16.6		
			97	2	0	13	13.33%		0	4	33.3		
			93	12	0	20	37.50%	5	0	13	27,7		
	1		94	6	0	19	24.00%		0	12	36.8		
		l F	95	10	0	23	30.30%		0	6	66.6		
	1		96	6	0	16	27.27%		0	13	31.5		
	Right rear		97 93	9 2	0	12 34	42.86% 5.56%	(0.) (1.) (0.0 (0.1 (- 1/0.) (/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	0	12	56.2 45.4		
	1	1	94	16	0	34	32.00%		1 0	15	42.3		
	1	М	95	14	0	27	34.15%		Ö	15	34.7		
			96	10	Ö	23	30.30%		0	19	26.9		
	1	I	97	8	1	20	31.03%	8	0	10	44.4		

				l I	KA Injured	d Occupani	s	N	ot KA Injui	red Occupa	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
			93	1021	0300	273	78.90%	952	0300	73	92.889
			94	1083	0	261	80.58%	1029	1	67	93.89
		F	95	1165	1	290	80.08%	1102	0	65	94.43
			96	1116	2	249	81.79%	1045	0	70	93.72
	Driver/Errors		97	1041	0	221	82.49%	992	0	47	95.48
	Dilvei/Ellois		93	790	1	560	58.55%	1763	0	226	88.64
		1	94	849	1	601	58.58%	1962	0	208	90.42
		М	95	891	0	587	60.28%	2046	0	237	89.62
			96 97	957	0	490	66.14%	1934	0	198	90.71
		10000000	97	871	0	457 10	65.59%	1812	1 0	143 0	92.69 100.00
			94	5 3	0	6	33.33% 33.33%	2	0	1	66.67
	l	F	95	5	0	4	55.56%	6	. 0	2	75.00
			96	3	0	6	33.33%	1 1	0	2	33.33
			97	7	0	4	63.64%	1	0	2	33.33
	Center front		93	3	0	5	37.50%	0	0	2	0.00
		1	94	2	0	4	33.33%	3	0	0	100.00
		М	95	4	0	3	57.14%	4	0	3	57.14
		"	96	2	0	3	40.00%	2	0	2	50.00
		1	97	0	0	3	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
			93	230	0	104	68.86%	107	0	19	84.92
			94	265	0	110	70.67%	121	0	25	82.88
		F	95	273	1	119	69.72%	147	0	33	81.67
	Right front		96	265	0	87	75.28%	135	0	11	92.47
			97	222	0	67	76.82%	112	0	14	88.89
		1	93	76	0	102	42.70%	80	0	30	72.73
		1	94	99	0	80	55.31%	65	0	24	73.03
		М	95	101	0	78 101	56.43%	71 72	0	32	68.93° 78.26°
			96 97	93 76	0	60	47.94% 55.88%	51	1	16	76.47
5-54yr		57.57	93	4	0	10	28.57%	7	0	7	50.00
			94	8	0	9	47.06%	8	0	2	80.00
		l F	95	8	0	15	34.78%	12	0	4	75.00
			96	6	0	6	50.00%	7	0	2	77.78
			97	11	0	7	61.11%	6	0	2	75.00
	Left rear		93	4	0	3	57.14%	3	0	2	60.00
			94	8	0	9	47.06%	2	0	4	33.33
		М	95	3	0	10	23.08%	4	0	7	36.36
	1	1	96	4	0	2	66.67%		0	4	50.00
			97	4	0	3	57.14%	4	0	0	100.00
			93	2	0	8	20.00%	1	0	1	50.00
	Center rear	1_	94	3	0	1 1	75.00%	3	0	5	37,50
		F	95	2	0	6	25.00%	2	0	3	50.00 25.00
			96 97	2	1 0	3	50.00% 50.00%	1 1	0	2	33.33
			93	0	0	6	0.00%	Ö	0	2	0.00
			94	6	0	1	0.00%	1 1	0	1	50.00
		М	95	1	0	6	14.29%	t i	0	Ö	100.00
		'''	96	1	0	4	20.00%	Ö	0	1	0.00
		1	97	0	0	3	0.00%	1	0	1	50.00
			93	11	0	15	42.31%	12	0.	4	75.00
			94	15	0	17	46.88%	9	0	9	50.00
		F	95	13	0	11	54.17%	10	0	5	66.67
	1		96	17	1	15	54.55%	9	0	3	75.00
	Right rear		97	9	0	4	69.23%	12	0	9	57.14
	riigiit ieai		93	2	0	15	11.77%	6	0	7	46.1
		1.	94	4	0	9	30.77%	4	0	9	30.7
		М	95	3	0	11	21.43%	4	0	9	30.7
		1	96 97	3 6	0	8 12	27.27% 36.84%	8	0	2	66.6 71.4

	0				KA Injured	d Occupan	ts	No	ot KA Inju	red Occup	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt
				Used	Used	Used	Use	Used	Used	Used	Use
		100	93	214	0	52	80.45%	211	0	4	98.14
		F	94 95	211	0	45	82.42%	210	0	7	96.77
		Г	96	221 234	0	48 30	82.16% 88.64%	219 203	0	11 5	95.22 97.60
			97	222	0	34	86.72%	187	0	8	95.90
	Driver/Errors		93	189	1	102	65.07%	439	0	48	90.14
		Ì	94	187	1	103	64.61%	461	0	36	92.7
		М	95	203	0	103	66.34%	475	0	39	92.4
		Ì	96	197	0	89	68.88%	413	0	31	93.0
			97	204	0	78	72.34%	386	0	38	91.0
			93 94	2	0	0	100.00%	2	0	0	100.0
		l _E	95	2 4	0	1 0	66.67% 100.00%	0	0	0	0.0
	1		96	1	0	0	100.00%	1	. 0	1	50.0
			97	2	0	0	100.00%	2	0	0	100.0
	Center front		93	0	0	2	0.00%	0	0	2	0.0
			94	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.0
		М	95		0	1	50.00%	1	0	1	50.0
			96	1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.0
		autoritais	97 93	1 98	0	0 17	100.00%	39	0	0	0.0
			94	101	0	26	85.22% 79.53%	55	0	7 2	84.7 96.4
		lF	95	118	0	36	76.62%	48	0	3	94.1
			96	89	0	26	77.39%	41	0	3	93.1
	Diabt fromt		97	57	0	12	82.61%	49	0	1	98.0
	Right front		93	24	0	10	70.59%	14	0	2	87.5
			94	28	0	14	66.67%	15	0	5	75.0
		M	95	25	0	10	71.43%	12	0	0	100.0
				96 97	31 18	0	10	75.61%	14	0	2
5-64yr			93	4	0	6 8	76.00% 33.33%	11 2	0	1 0	91.6 100.0
			94	7	0	2	77.78%	1	0	2	33.3
	ŀ	F	95	5	0	1	83.33%	6	0	1	85.7
			96	1	0	5	16.67%	4	0	0	100.0
	Left rear		97	2	1	1	75.00%	3	0	1	75.0
	Longitud	1	93	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	1	50.0
			94	2	0	0	100.00%	1	0	1	50.0
	1	М	95 96	3	0	0 4	100.00% 20.00%	1 1	0	0	100.0 100.0
		1	97	0	0	1 1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.0
			93	0	0	2	0.00%	0	0	2	0.0
			94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	2	0.0
		F	95	1	0	2	33.33%	- 1	0	0	100.0
	1		96		0	2	33.33%	0	0	0	0.0
	Center rear		97	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	1	0.0
			93 94	1	0	┼──┼	0.00% 50.00%	0	0	1 0	0.0
		М	95	0	0	+	0.00%	0	0	0	0.0
	1	1	96	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.0
			97	0	0	2	0.00%	0	0	0	0.0
			93	7	0	4	63.64%	1	. 0	1	50.0
	1	1.	94	7	0	5	58.33%	2	0	2	50.0
		F	95	5	0	6	45.46%	2	0	1	66.6
			96 97	3 4	0	3	50.00%	5	0	1	83.3
	Right rear	-	93	4 0	0	2	66.67% 0.00%	3	0	0 2	100.0 60.0
	1		94	1	0	1 1	50.00%	0	0	1	0.0
	1	М	95	 i	0	Ö	100.00%	2	0	1 0	100.0
			96	0	0	0	0.00%	3	0	0	100.0
	1	1	97	2	0	2	50.00%	0	0	0	0.0

				ŀ	KA Injured	d Occupant	s	No	ot KA Injui	red Occupa	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
		1000000	00		0360	20	81.31%	77	0360	2	97.47%
			93 94	87 92	0	23	80.00%	88	0	2	97.789
		F	95	107	0	18	85.60%	73	0	4	94.819
		15	96	107	0	15	87.18%	77	0	3	96.25
			97	85	0	18	82.52%	75	0	4	94.949
	Driver/Errors	-	93	99	0	45	68.75%	176	0	13	93.129
		1	94	96	0	29	76.80%	186	0	16	92.089
		М	95	107	0	41	72.30%	189	0	9	95.469
		101	96	85	0	33	72.03%	161	0	10	94.159
			97	79	0	37	68.10%	151	0	11	93.219
		\$1,601,030	93	0	0	1 1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.009
	Contar front	l _F	94	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.009
	Center front		96	2	0	0	100.00%	3	0	0	100.009
			93	55	0	20	73.33%	24	0	1	96.00
			94	58	0	11	84.06%	23	0	4	85.19
		l F	95	57	0	111	83.82%	23	0	2	92.00
		F	96	55	0	11	83.33%	20	0	1 1	95.24
			97	36	0	6	85.71%	22	0	0	100.00
	Right front		93	6	0	5	54.55%	4	0	2	66.67
			94	12	0	4	75.00%	5	0	5	50.00
		М	95	4	0	4	50.00%	8	0	1	88.89
] IVI	96	12	0	1 0	100.00%	3	0	2	60.00
			97	10	0	1 6	100.00%	1	Ō	2	33.33
5-69yr		10000	93	3	0	2	60.00%	1	0	0	100.00
•			94	1	0	2	33.33%	3	0	1	75.00
		l F	95	2	0	3	40.00%	1	0	1	50.00
			96	1 1	0	3	25.00%	2	0	2	50.00
			97	0	0	1 1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	Left rear	-	93	0	0	1 0	0.00%	2	0	0	100.00
		1	94	1 1	0	0	100.00%	1 1	Ō	0	100.00
		Ιм	95	2	 0	2	50.00%	0	0	0	0.00
		'''	96	0	0	1 1	0.00%	0	Ō	0	0.00
			97	1 1	0	 0	100.00%	0	Ö	0	0.00
			93	Ö	0	1	0.00%	2	0	0	100.00
			94	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
	Contor rear	F	95	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	Center rear		97	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00
		М	96	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
		101	93	2	0	3	40.00%	1	0	1	50.00
	1		94	0	1 0	3	0.00%	1	0	(0)	20.00
		F	95	3	0		60.00%		0	0	0.00
	1	'	96	6	0		100.00%		0		0.00
	Right rear		97	1 1	0		50.00%		0		100.00
			93	1 1	0		100.00%		0		0.00
	1	1	94	1	0		100.00%		0		0.00
	Right rear	М	95	+	0		100.00%		0		0.00

	Occupant			1	KA Injured	d Occupant	's	No	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
		Sole Kers	93	83	0	25	76.85%	67	0	3	95.71%
			94	109	0	20	84.50%	81	0	1	98.78%
		l F	95	115	0	16	87.79%	61	0	2	96.83%
			96	88	0	10	89.80%	74	0	4	94.87%
	Driver/Errors		97	88	0	16	84.62%	59	0	3	95.16%
	Driver/Errors		93	76	0	32	70.37%	124	0	13	90.51%
			94	74	0	30	71.15%	126	0	4	96.92%
		М	95	86	0	35	71.07%	145	0	11	92.95%
			96	90	0	31	74.38%	143	0	8	94.70%
			97	85	0	29	74.56%	104	0	9	92.04%
			93	1	0	0	100.00%	- 0	0	0	0.00%
		l F	94	0	0	1	0.00%	- 0	0	0	0.00%
	Center front	I F	95	2	-0	0	100.00%	0	0	1	0.00%
			97	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		М	93	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			93	45	0	11	80.36%	17	0	2	89.47%
			94	50	0	11	81.97%	11	0	1	91.67%
	ĺ	F	95	51	0	11	82.26%	27	0	1	96.43%
			96	45	0	4	91.84%	17	0	0	100.00%
	Right front		97	36	0	4	90.00%	23	0	2	92.00%
	I riight horit		93	6	0	3	66.67%	8	0	4	66.67%
70-74yr			94	12	0	2	85.71%	11	0	3	78.57%
i O i -i y i		М	95	13	0	8	61.91%	4	0	0	100.00%
		- [96	13	0	4	76.47%	6	0	0	100.00%
			97	5	0	4	55.56%	7	0	1	87.50%
			93	0	0	. 1	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%
		L	94	3	0	2	60.00%	0	. 0	1	0.00%
		F	95	2	0	1	66.67%	0	0	0	0.00%
	Left rear		96	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			97	0	0	2	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		1	93	1	0	1	50.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		М	94	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		450 11 12	95	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
	0	l F	94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	. 0	0.00%
	Center rear		95	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		M	94	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		11000	93	6	0	2	75.00%	0	0	1	0.00%
		l _F	94 95	1	0	2	33.33%	2	0	0	100.00%
	1	F .		3	0	4	42.86%	2	0	3	40.009
	Right rear		96	3	0	4	42.86%	2	0	0	100.009
	1		97	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		1,,	93	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%
	I	M	95	1 1	0	0	100.00%	0	l 1	0	100.00%

				,	KA Injured	d Occupani	's	N.	ot KA Inju	red Occupa	ants
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt	Belt	CRD	Belt Not	% Belt
				Used	Used	Used	Use	Used	Used	Used	Use
			93	94	0	19	83.19%	50	0	3	94.34%
		1 _	94	89	0	14	86.41%	44	0	3	93.62%
		F	95	101	0	19	84.17% 88.70%	40 52	0	5	97.56% 91.23%
			96 97	102 89	0	13 14	86.41%	55	0	0	100.00%
	Driver/Errors		93	70	0	23	75.27%	95	0	4	95.96%
			93	54	0	42	56.25%	108	0	4	96.43%
		М	95	95	0	24	79.83%	88	0	8	91.67%
		IVI	96	78	0	20	79.59%	103	0	6	94.50%
			97	74	0	21	77.90%	94	0	9	91.26%
			93	1 1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			94	3	0	1	75.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		F	95	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
	Center front		96	3	0	0	100.00%	0	0	1 1	0.00%
		5.55.65.9	94	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		М	96	1	0	0	100.00%	'	0	0	0.00%
		Cities (5)	93	58	0	17	77.33%	9	0	4 4	69.23%
	l		94	51	0	12	80.95%	9	0	3	75.00%
		l F	95	50	0	10	83.33%	12	0	1 1	92.31%
			96	48	0	13	78.69%	13	0	Ö	100.00%
			97	46	0	8	85.19%	13	0	0	100.00%
	Right front	SELECTION, AR	93	9	0	2	81.82%	1	Ō	0	100.00%
75 - 79yr			94	8	0	2	80.00%	Ö	ō	0	0.00%
		Ιм	95	13	0	3	81.25%	7	Ö	0	100.00%
		'''	96	11	0	3	78.57%	4	0	0	100.00%
			97	6	0	5	54.55%	5	0	1	83.33%
			93	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
			94	2	0	1	66.67%	1	0	1	50.00%
		l F	95	0	0	. 0	0.00%	3	0	0	100.00%
	Left rear		96	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			97	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		М	96	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		F	94	- 0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
	Center rear		95	1	0	0	100.00%	- 1	0	0	100.00%
		М	93	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%
			93	4	- 0	1	80.00%	2	0	1	66.67%
			94	1	0	3	25.00%	2	0	2	50.009
		l F	95	4	- 0	3	57.14%	2	0	1	66.679
			96	0	0	1	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
	Right rear		97	1	0	2	33.33%	0	0	1	0.00
	-		94	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	1	0.00
	1	1,4	95	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00
		М	96	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00
	1	1	97	2	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00

Belt Use Among Persons Involved in Fatal or Serious Injury Crashes by Occupant Age, Sex, Injury, Seat Position, and Year KA Injured Occupants Not KA Injured Occupants Occupant Belt Not CRD Belt Not % Belt Age Sex | Year CRD % Belt Belt Belt Position Use Used Used Used Used Used Use Used 90.63% 79.66% 72.31% 93.10% 95.83% F 70.69% 92.00% 91.89% 100.00% 86.49% Driver/Errors 65.63% 90.63% 75.00% 92.54% М 71.88% 87.06% 92.73% 73.56% 91.67% 80.28% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% n 50.00% F 100.00% 0.00% Center front 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 79.49% 100.00% 100.00% 75.00% 92.31% 77.78% 77.27% 100.00% 100.00% 80.00% Right front 80-84yr 83.33% 100.00% 100.00% 90.00% М 44.44% 100.00% 83.33% 80.00% 0.00% 100.00% 100.00% 50.00% 100.00% F 0.00% 100.00% Left rear 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% М 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% Center rear 0.00% 0.00% М 50.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% F 50.00% 50.00% 66.67% 100.00% Right rear 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 50.00% 0.00% Μ

0.00%

0.00%

Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	KA Injured Occupants				Not KA Injured Occupants				
				Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	
94	25	0	6	80.65%	6	0	1	85.71%				
95	24	0	8	75.00%	13	0	0	100.00%				
96	16	0	6	72.73%	5	0	0	100.00%				
97	23	.0	6	79.31%	13	0	0	100.00%				
М	93	25	0	10	71.43%	33	0	0	100.00%			
	94	19	0	15	55.88%	20	0	4	83.33%			
	95	19	0	12	61.29%	26	0	1	96.30%			
		96	22	0	9	70.97%	21	0	1	95.46%		
		97	27	0	9	75.00%	23	0	1.	95.83%		
	Center front		93	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
		F	96	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
85-89yr			97	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	Right front	F	93	6	0	8	42.86%	3	0	1 1	75.00%	
			94	16	0	2	88.89%	0	0	1	0.00%	
			95	12	0	0	100.00%	6	0	0	100.00%	
			96	16	0	6	72.73%	4	0	0	100.00%	
			97	16	0	6	72.73%	4	0	0	100.00%	
		M	93	2	0	2	50.00%	3	0	0	100.00%	
			94	3	0	2	60.00%	4	0	0	100.00%	
			95	3	0.	3	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%	
			96	3	0	2	60.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
			97	5	0	4	55.56%	1	0	1	50.00%	
	Left rear	F	93	0	0	0	0.00%	-1	0	0	100.00%	
			94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%	
			95	1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%	
			96	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%	
			97	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%	
		М	93	0	0	2	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%	
	Center rear	М	93	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
	Right rear	F	93	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
			94	i	0	0	100.00%	Ō	0	0	0.00%	
			95	- 0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
			96	0	0	i	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	
			97	2	0	3	40.00%	0	0	1	0.00%	
		М	94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.009	

Belt (Jse Among	Pers					ous Inju n, and Y		hes by	Occupa	nt Age,
Age	Occupant Position	Sex	Year	KA Injured Occupants				Not KA Injured Occupants			
				Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use	Belt Used	CRD Used	Belt Not Used	% Belt Use
90 + yr	Driver/Errors	F	93	1	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			94	3	0	2	60.00%	2	0	0	100.00%
			95	4	0	1	80.00%	1	0	1	50.00%
			96	5	0	0	100.00%	2	0	0	100.00%
			97	7	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		M	93	9	0	2	81.82%	6	0	0	100.00%
			94	4	0	2	66.67%	5	0	1	83.33%
			95	3	0	1	75.00%	12	0	0	100.00%
			96	8	0	5	61.54%	7	0	1	87.50%
			97	3	0	2	60.00%	11	0	0	100.00%
	Center front	F	96	0	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
	Right front	Ш	93	2	0	2	50.00%	- 2	0	2	50.00%
			94	4	0	2	66.67%	1	0	0	100.009
			95	9	0	0	100.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			96	6	0	4	60.00%	2	0	0	100.00%
			97	7	0	3	70.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
		М	93	1	1	1	66.67%	1	0	0	100.00%
			94	3	0	3	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			95	1	0	1	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
			96	2	0	0	100.00%	3	0	0	100.00%
			97	3	0	1	75.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
	Left rear	F	93	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
			96	1	0	0	100.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
			97	1	0	1.5	50.00%	1	0	0	100.00%
	Right rear	F	93	0	0	1	0.00%	0	. 0	0	0.00%
			94	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
			96	1	0	1	50.00%	0	0	0	0.00%
		M	95	0	0	1	0.00%	0	0	1	0.00%