INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a manuscript sent to us for publication and microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted. Pages in any manuscript may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify notations which may appear on this reproduction.

- 1. Manuscripts may not always be complete. When it is not possible to obtain missing pages, a note appears to indicate this.
- 2. When copyrighted materials are removed from the manuscript, a note appears to indicate this.
- 3. Oversize materials (maps, drawings, and charts) are photographed by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each oversize page is also filmed as one exposure and is available, for an additional charge, as a standard 35mm slide or in black and white paper format.*
- 4. Most photographs reproduce acceptably on positive microfilm or microfiche but lack clarity on xerographic copies made from the microfilm. For an additional charge, all photographs are available in black and white standard 35mm slide format.*

*For more information about black and white slides or enlarged paper reproductions, please contact the Dissertations Customer Services Department.

niversity Microfilms

8600442

Fernandez de la Pradilla Sainz de Aja, Roberto

Ŧ

TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF PROSTAGLANDINS VIA SEQUENTIAL CYANOCUPRATE ADDITIONS

•

.

The University of Michigan

Рн.D. 1985

,

University Microfilms International 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 . . .

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark $\sqrt{}$.

- 1. Glossy photographs or pages _____
- 2. Colored illustrations, paper or print
- 3. Photographs with dark background _____
- 4. Illustrations are poor copy
- 5. Pages with black marks, not original copy _____
- 6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
- 7. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages
- 8. Print exceeds margin requirements _____
- 9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine
- 10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
- 11. Page(s) ______ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.
- 12. Page(s) ______ seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.
- 13. Two pages numbered _____. Text follows.
- 14. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
- 15. Dissertation contains pages with print at a slant, filmed as received _____
- 16. Other_____

University Microfilms International

TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF PROSTAGLANDINS VIA

SEQUENTIAL CYANOCUPRATE ADDITIONS

by

Roberto Fernández de la Pradilla Sainz de Aja

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Chemistry) in The University of Michigan 1985

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Joseph P. Marino, Chairman Professor Arthur J. Ashe III Professor M. David Curtis Professor John R. Wiseman Assistant Professor Ronald W. Woodard .

а 1970 г. – Са 1971 г. – Са

.

RULES REGARDING THE USE OF MICROFILMED DISSERTATIONS

Microfilmed or bound copies of doctoral dissertations submitted to The University of Michigan and made available through University Microfilms International or The University of Michigan are open for inspection, but they are to be used only with due regard for the rights of the author. Extensive copying of the dissertation or publication of material in excess of standard copyright limits, whether or not the dissertation has been copyrighted, must have been approved by the author as well as by the Dean of the Graduate School. Proper credit must be given to the author if any material from the dissertation is used in subsequent written or published work. A Jesús y Flora, sin vosotros no hubiera podido.

A Vicki,

÷

por tu paciencia y cariño a lo largo de unos tiempos muy difíciles.

.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to several people whose help has made this effort possible and more meaningful.

To my research advisor, Joseph P. Marino, for his guidance and friendship throughout my time at The University of Michigan.

To the members of my Dissertation Committee for their helpful advise.

To Arlene Bristol for her extraordinary typing and "numerous notarizations" which helped in keeping me out of the Army through these years.

To Bob, Carl, Edgardo, Juan Carlos and Scott with whom I shared a lot of good and bad times; in any case, it was great working with friends!

To my parents, family and friends in Spain, for their love and encouragement; they showed that for some special people time and distance are merely words.

Finally, I want to thank Vicki for her constant love, patience and support throughout a very difficult period of my life.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7

DEDICATIO	ON .	• •	•	•••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
ACKNOWLE	DGMEN	TS	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
LIST OF	FIGUR	ES	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vi
LIST OF '	TABLE	s.	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
LIST OF	APPEN	DICI	ES	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	viii
INTRODUC	TION		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	1. 2.	Mecl Syn	han the	ist tic	tic c A	A spe	spe ect	ect :s	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 11
CHAPTER																					
I.	REGI BETW EPOX	O- A IEEN IDE:	AND CY. S	ST ANC	TER DCU	EO: PR	SEI ATH	LEC ES	CTI AN	• 1D	CTY CY		OF LOE	TH PEN	IE ITE •	RE NY •	EAC IL	ст1 •	101	•	16
	1. 2.	Stu cup: epo: Stu	dy rat xid dy	of es e of	th an •	e d e	Rea Cyc Rea		bio bpe	on ent on	Be tac Be	etv di∉ etv	vee ene vee	en e N en	Cy Ion Cy	ar 10- 1ar	10-	-	•	•	16
•	3.	cup: pen Stu	rat ten dy	es yl of	an Ph th	d osj e	4-8 pha Rea	Sub ate act	es tic	51 t	Eut Be	tec • •tv	i F vee	spc • • •	Al	ky	yc 1-	-	•	•	28
		Сус	lop	ent	ten	y1	S:	i ly	yl	Er	10.	1 H	Etł	iei	:s	•	•	• Y	•	•	36
II.	TOT <i>A</i> SEQU	AL S JENT	YNT IAL	HES C	SIS YAN	0 100	F I UPI	PR(RA!	os: re	FAC AI	GLA	ANI ITI	11C 101	1S 1S	vı •	:A •	•	•	•	•	49
	1. 2. 3. 4.	Int Mod Syn Syn	rod el the the	uc Sti si: tio	tic udi s c c S	n es f tu	Pro	ost	taç To	yla Dwa	and ard	din ds	ns PC	GE:	•		• • •	• • •	• • •	•	49 60 65 74

III.	AN A	API TTF	PRC		CH (-C	TC TCC) I 'At	201 . A (ROS CTTC	SIN SNE	N A Es	ANE (C	ר (AF	CHE K T	E S GAC	SYN CTC	ITH DNE	IES SS	5IS) (5 (717	OF A		
	LAC	roi	NIZ	ZAJ)N	OE	7 7	JIN	IYI	5	SUI	LFC		DE	ËS	•	•	•	•	•	88	
	1.	Ir	iti		luc	ti	.or	1. - ~ -	•	•	• • 1	•	•	•	•	• • h c	•	•	•	•	•	88	
	2.		igr	lar be	i E	Por			1 1 7 1 6	-91	• •	.0	• •	·	•	-R-	- Mc	•		•	•	94	
	J.	00	cta	ala	act	on	nes	5	(0a	ak	La	act	or	nes	3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	118	
EXPERIME	NTAL	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	126	
	1. 2.	Ge Pi	ene rep	era bar	al :at	ic	n	ot	E I	Rei	lev	/ar	nt	Co	omp	>01	ind	Is	•	•	•	126 128	
APPENDIC	ES .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	263	
REFERENC	ES .	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	306	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1.	A Mechanistic Rationale for the Reaction of Cyanocuprates with Cyclohexenyl Epoxides.	9
2.	Alternative Mechanism for the Reaction of Cyanocuprates with Cyclohexenyl Epoxides	10
3.	Conformations and NMR Data for Adducts $\underline{13}$, $\underline{14}$ and $\underline{15}$	22
4.	Conformations and NMR Data for Adducts 40 and 44	33
5.	¹ H-NMR Data for Adducts 53, 59 and 60	42
6.	Noyori's Approach to Prostaglandins	54
7.	Noyori's Synthesis of PGI ₂	55
8.	Marino/Kelly Synthesis of PGA_1 and PGB_1	57
9.	¹ H-NMR Data for Compounds <u>90</u> and <u>91</u>	67
10.	Mechanism of the Sulfoxide-Directed Lactoni- zation of Vinyl Sulfoxides	89
11.	"Bicyclic Epoxy Enol Ether" Approach to <u>8.1'</u> Neolignans	96
12.	Synthesis of Lactone <u>152</u>	102
13.	Synthesis of Oak Lactones 183 and 184	120

vi

LIST OF TABLES

.

Table

.

I.	Reactions of Cyanocuprates with Cyclopenta- diene Monoepoxide	18
II.	Synthesis of Epoxy Enol Phosphates and Epoxy Silyl Enol Ethers	27
III.	Reactions of Cyanocuprates with Epoxy Enol Phosphates <u>10</u> , <u>35</u> and <u>36</u>	30
IV.	Reaction of Alkylcyanocuprates with Triethyl- silyl Epoxy Enol Ethers <u>37</u> and <u>38</u>	39

LIST OF APPENDICES

.

¥

Appendix

Α.	Structure and Nomenclature of Prosta- glandins	264
в.	360 MHz ¹ H-NMR Spectra of Some Key Compounds	266

•

.

,

•

INTRODUCTION

For the past two decades organocopper chemistry has assumed an increasingly prominent role in organic synthesis.¹ A pivotal point for organocopper reagents came in their utilization in numerous syntheses of prostaglandins. The research described in this thesis represents a new chapter in the unique regioselectivity of organocopper reagents that allows for new synthetic approaches to the prostaglandins.

Since the central functional group in our synthetic approach to prostaglandins is an allylic epoxide, it would be appropriate to survey the recent literature on the reactions of nucleophiles with allylic electrophiles.

Allylic substrates are ambident electrophiles and their reaction with nucleophiles may take place by either S_N^2 or S_N^2 ' pathways. S_N^2 displacements normally proceed



with inversion. S_N^2 ' reactions may occur in an <u>anti</u> or <u>syn</u> fashion, depending upon the steric requirements of the substrate, the nature of the nucleophile, etc.



Allylic epoxides are especially interesting substrates since their reaction with nucleophiles yields highly functionalized products which may possess two chiral centers.



It has been known for quite some time that dialkylcuprates and acyclic allylic epoxides react in a highly regioselective manner to yield the corresponding 1,4-adducts.³ Cyclic allylic epoxides, however, lack such desirable regioselectivity. Indeed, mixtures of 1,2- and 1,4-adducts arise from the reaction of dialkylcuprates with cyclic allylic epoxides,⁴ and this greatly diminishes the synthetic usefulness of the reaction.

Anderson:⁵



<u>A</u>

B

		<u>A</u>	B
R=H,	$R' = \underline{n} - Bu$	93	4
R=H,	R'=Ph	85	15
R=Me,	R'=Me	92	8

Rickborn <u>et al</u>.:⁶



In 1979, Marino and Floyd discovered the high degree of regio- and stereoselectivity of the reaction of cuprates possessing an electron withdrawing ligand, such as cyano or acrylate, with cyclic 1,3-diene monoepoxides.⁷ The exact role of these ancillary ligands is not clearly understood.

Marino and Floyd:7





95%

Subsequent work by Marino and Hatanaka⁸ demonstrated that this methodology could be extended to silyl enol ethers of 4-methyl-2,3-epoxycyclohexanone.



In 1981, Marino and Abe⁹ successfully employed the reaction between cyanocuprates and epoxy enol phosphates to synthesize $(\pm) - \alpha$ -multistriatin.



Before the work described in this manuscript was begun, Marino and Jaen¹⁰ had completed a general study of the reaction between cyanocuprates and enol ethers of α,β -epoxycyclohexanones. The effect of substitution within the reactive allylic epoxide moiety, as well as in the cyanocuprate, was thoroughly researched. The influence of the hybridization of the carbon bound to copper was also investigated. Some of their results are summarized below.



$$P = Si^{t}BuMe_{2}, SiMe_{3}, P(O)(OEt)_{2}$$

$$R' = Me, n-Bu, tert-Bu, vinyl, phenyl, (Z-2-ethoxy-vinyl)$$

$$X = Li, MgBr$$

Alkylcyanocuprates were found to react in a completely regio- and stereospecific manner, producing exclusively 1,4-<u>trans</u> adducts, <u>A</u>, in good yields (74-100%). Hydrolysis to the corresponding cyclohexanones, <u>C</u>, was performed under very mild conditions and in excellent yields. Thus, highly substituted alkyl groups were introduced α to a ketone in a stereospecific manner; <u>gem</u>-disubstituted centers α to the ketone could be created with strict stereochemical control if both alkyl chains were sequentially introduced. A limitation to the use of this methodology was the failure to introduce a <u>tert</u>-butyl group into an already substituted position (R₁=R₃=Me; R₂=R₄=H; R'=<u>tert</u>-Bu; X=Li) which resulted in almost exclusive formation of the corresponding 1,2-adduct, B.

The reactivity of phenylcyanocuprates seemed to follow the same pattern as that of alkylcyanocuprates. It was also determined that, in most cases, the outcome of the reaction was not dependent upon the nature of the counterion, \underline{X} . Thus, Grignard reagents were successfully used as precursors to cyanocuprates. Subsequent work by Marino and Jaen^{10b} showed that in some cases the use of a cyanocuprate derived from an organomagnesium reagent may present serious problems.

Vinylcyanocuprates produced the <u>trans</u>-1,4-adducts, <u>A</u>, when reacted with the enol ethers of β -substituted α , β epoxycyclohexanones (R₃=Me; R₁=R₂=R₄=H). When the

 β -position was unsubstituted, mixtures of 1,2- and 1,4adducts were usually obtained.

1. Mechanistic Aspects.

There are several mechanisms in the literature for the reactions between organocuprates and allylic systems,¹¹ although the differences among them are often a matter of degree rather than one of concept.^{2,12} It is generally accepted^{1c} that an oxidative addition of the Cu(I) reagent occurs initially to generate either a radical pair or a Cu(III) intermediate.¹³

Marino and Jaen¹⁰ proposed two mechanisms for the reaction of cyanocuprates with cyclohexenyl epoxides. The first one is shown in Figure 1. The electron-withdrawing cyano ligand increases the Lewis acid character of the copper atom, thus facilitating the polarization of the allylic system by coordination of copper(I) to the epoxide oxygen. Subsequent oxidative addition of another cuprate complex in a <u>trans</u>-1,4 manner, followed by reductive elimination of CuCN, would produce the corresponding <u>trans</u>-1,4-adduct <u>3</u>.





2

Figure 2 shows an alternative mechanism. In this model, a kinetic 1,2-opening of the vinyl epoxide, aided by complexation of the epoxide to another cuprate complex to generate the Cu(III) intermediate <u>4</u>, is envisaged. Reductive elimination of CuCN would lead to a <u>trans</u>-1,2-product, <u>6</u>, while allylic transposition of the double bond with concomitant transfer of the R' group could explain the <u>trans</u>-1,4-product <u>3'</u>. Alternatively, when <u>4</u> is sufficiently stable, its conformer 5 may play an important role by promoting a 1,2-reductive elimination to 6.

Figure 2. Alternative Mechanism for the Reaction of Cyanocuprates with Cyclohexenyl Epoxides.



2. Synthetic Aspects.

Another important aspect of this methodology is the synthetic versatility of the intermediate 1,4-adducts. Shown in Scheme 1 are some of the possible applications of these hydroxy silyl enol ethers and hydroxy enol phosphates.



Scheme 1

Some of these transformations have already been employed for the synthesis of natural products.^{9,10b} An elegant example is the facile synthesis of the terpene piperitone in 85% yield from the readily available 2,3-epoxy-3-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)-6-cyclohexene.^{10b}



At the initiation of this research, the reactivity of six-membered ring allylic epoxides had been well studied.⁷⁻¹⁰ The same could not be said, however, of the behavior of cyclopentenyl allylic epoxides. In 1981, Marino and Kelly¹⁴ reported an application of this methodology to the synthesis of prostaglandins which involved two cyanocup-rate conjugate additions onto five-membered ring allylic epoxides; the complete synthetic strategy will be discussed in detail later on in this manuscript.

The reaction of cyclopentadiene monoepoxide with cyanocuprate 7 gave a 4:1 mixture of <u>trans</u>-1,4- and <u>trans</u>-1,2adducts (<u>8</u> and <u>9</u>) in 80% yield. This was the only example involving cyclopentadiene monoepoxide which had been carefully examined in our laboratory. Thus, a more thorough







study of the reaction of cyclopentadiene monoepoxide with different cyanocuprates was deemed to be a logical extension of the methodology developed for the six-membered ring allylic epoxides. The reaction of cyanocuprate <u>11</u> with epoxy enol phosphate <u>10</u> gave 1,4-adduct <u>12</u> in 60% yield. While adduct <u>12</u> was completely characterized, its stereochemistry could not be conclusively assigned due to the fact that epoxy enol phosphate <u>10</u> was present as a pair of diastereomers at C 15 (prostaglandin numbering); therefore, the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of hydroxy enol phosphate <u>12</u> was too complicated to allow for determination of the stereochemical relationship between the side chains.

Additionally, no mild hydrolysis of hydroxy enol phosphate <u>12</u>, which would proceed without loss of the llhydroxyl group (prostaglandin numbering), could be developed. Several sets of conditions were examined, but all of them promoted dehydration to the corresponding cyclopentenone. Further studies were necessary in order to preserve that hydroxyl group and thus allow for a direct synthesis of PGE1 and PGF1a.

In order to address a number of unanswered questions from our previous work, the following objectives were set at the start of this research. A systematic study of the reactions of cyanocuprates with cyclopentenyl epoxides was undertaken to further extend the synthetic methodology not only to prostaglandins but to other cyclopentanoid natural products. Results of this study with regard to regiochemistry and stereochemistry of the 1,4-addition reaction should also shed some light on the mechanism of this

process. Finally, a total synthesis of PGE and PGF was set as a realistic goal.

The research in this thesis is presented in three chapters. Chapter I deals with the reaction between cyclopentenyl epoxides and cyanocuprates. Chapter II describes the synthesis of several prostanoids. Chapter III details some synthetic work in an approach to the neolignan porosin as well as the synthesis of two oak lactones <u>via</u> lactonization of vinyl sulfoxides.

CHAPTER I

REGIO- AND STEREOSELECTIVITY OF THE REACTION BETWEEN CYANOCUPRATES AND CYCLOPENTENYL EPOXIDES

 Study of the Reaction Between Cyanocuprates and Cyclopentadiene Monoepoxide.

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide is one of the most readily available functionalized cyclopentane derivatives, easily prepared in multigram quantities by selective monoepoxidation of cyclopentadiene with peracetic acid.¹⁵ It has been employed as a starting material for many synthetic efforts in the prostaglandin field, an example of which is shown below.

Stork:¹⁶



It should be emphasized, however, that all previous approaches to prostaglandins involved 1,2-openings. The development of the cyanocuprate methodology has made viable the utilization of the regioselective 1,4-opening of cyclopentadiene monoepoxide for the synthesis of prostaglandins. The addition of simple alkylcyanocuprates to cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was found to be completely regio- and stereospecific, producing exclusively 1,4-<u>trans</u> adducts (<u>13-</u><u>15</u>) in excellent yields, as shown in Table I.¹⁷ The purity of the crude products is usually very high, as determined from the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of the crude adducts. It should be pointed out that, generally, one equivalent of cuprate is sufficient to bring the reaction to completion.

Vinylcyanocuprate yielded a 1:1 mixture of 1,4- and 1,2-adducts, which could not be separated by column chromatography. This "anomalous" behavior is consistent with previous results on cyclohexenyl systems reported by Marino and Jaen.¹⁰ Entry 5 indicates that the regiochemical outcome of the reaction is highly dependent on the precise nature of the organic residue attached to copper. Furthermore, the effect of the cyano ligand on the regiochemistry of the reaction is very significant; when the same reaction is carried out with the corresponding homocuprate, 8 and 9 are obtained in roughly equal amounts. The effect of "dummy" ligands other than cyano was also explored (alkynes, phosphites, etc.); however, the regioselectivity observed for the cyanocuprate could not be equalled. From a preparative point of view, the 4:1 ratio of adducts 8 and 9 could be systematically reproduced.

The addition of phenylcyanocuprate showed a slightly better regioselectivity than that of vinylcyanocuprate;

Reactions of Cyanocuprates with Cyclopentadiene Monoepoxide

Ý



Entry No.	R	l,2- Adduct	1 ,4- Adduct	Yield ^a	Ratio of 1,4/1,2
1	Me		13	78%	
2	n _{Bu}		14	95%	
3	t _{Bu}		<u>15</u>	888	
4	vinyl	16	17	75%	1:1
5	H ₁₁ C₅┬ू∖∖ OSi [‡] Bu	<u>9</u> Me₂	8	80%	4:1
6	Ph	18	<u>19</u>	50%	2:1
7	EtO2CCH2		20	17%	
8	Bu ₃ SnCH ₂		21	5%	

^aYields of pure products.

however, a mixture of regioisomers <u>18</u> and <u>19</u> was produced. They were easily separated by column chromatography and fully characterized. These results differed markedly from those obtained for cyclohexadiene monoepoxide.⁸



A method to introduce, in a conjugate manner, a β -functionalized two-carbon piece was examined as well. Previous efforts in our laboratory to utilize the cuprate derived from the lithium enolate of tert-butyl acetate for this purpose had not been successful. It was considered that a less hindered ester enolate could achieve satisfactory results. Indeed, the cuprate derived from the lithium enolate of ethyl acetate and copper(I) cyanide reacted in a conjugate fashion with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide. The yield, however, was disappointingly low. Mention should be made of the fact that when copper(I) iodide was employed the results were comparable to those obtained with copper(I) cyanide, although the yield was somewhat lower. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a conjugate addition by a cuprate of this kind. These species have been previously utilized for S_N^2 displacements of allylic halides. 18

In an effort to utilize other functionalized cyanocuprates, tri-<u>n</u>-butylstannylmethyl iodide was subsequently prepared (see Chapter II), and the reaction of its corresponding cuprate with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was examined. (Tri-<u>n</u>-butylstannyl)methyllithium was prepared according to Kauffmann¹⁹ by halogen-lithium exchange with <u>n</u>butyllithium in ether, and the formation of the anion was ensured by quenching the mixture with benzaldehyde and

 $(\underline{n}-Bu)_{3}SnCH_{2}I$ $\xrightarrow{1)}{2}$ $\xrightarrow{n-BuLi}$ $(\underline{n}-Bu)_{3}SnCH_{2}-CHPh$

isolating the resulting carbinol. The corresponding cyanocuprate was formed by standard procedures (see Experimental) and its reaction with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was effected. Unfortunately, the yield of 1,4-adduct <u>21</u> was extremely low. A large amount of non-polar material was isolated, presumably $(\underline{n}-Bu)_3-SnCH_2CH_2Sn(\underline{n}-Bu)3$, resulting from oxidative coupling of the cuprate.

The structural assignments for these adducts were derived from their spectral data, especially their ¹H-NMR spectra. A preliminary study of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra of adducts <u>8</u>, <u>9</u>, <u>13-21</u> allowed for a conclusive regiochemical assignment, mostly based upon the chemical shift of the carbinol proton; typical values are 4.76-4.98 ppm for 1,4-adducts and 3.60-4.20 ppm for 1,2adducts.
A much more thorough examination of their ^LH-NMR spectra was needed in order to assign the stereochemistry of the 1,4-adducts. Figure 3 presents some of the information obtained from the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of adducts 13, 14 and 15 as representative examples. These systems may adopt two envelope conformations (A, B) and a planar one. The planar conformation may be discarded on the basis of its severe eclipsing interactions. Of the envelope conformations, A appears to be the most favored since the bulkier substituent R takes a pseudoaxial disposition and thus the allylic strain (H_3-R) is diminished. That allylic strain should favor an increase of the dihedral angle between the $C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - C_4$ plane and the $C_1 - C_5 - C_4$ plane, with an increase of the size of R. This increase of the torsional angle should bring about changes in the chemical shifts of the cyclopentyl protons; one of the factors contributing to these changes would be the different spatial relationship between each proton and the anisotropic carboncarbon double bond.

It is generally accepted that <u>cis</u>-1,4-disubstituted cyclopentenes present large differences in the chemical shifts of $H_{5\alpha}$ and $H_{5\beta}$, often in the range of 1 ppm. This large difference is rationalized in terms of a very different magnetic environment for those protons. The upfield proton is normally assigned as the one which is <u>syn</u> to both substituents. This is based on the well-established



•



B

<u>13</u>	R-Me	14	R= <u>n</u> -Bu	15	R= <u>tert</u> -Bu
Chemical Shifts(δ)	J's(Hz)	Chemical Shifts(δ)	J's(Hz)	Chemical Shifts(δ)	J's(Hz)
H ₁ =4.860	J ₁₂ =2.1	H ₁ =4.820	J ₁₂ =2.2	H ₁ =4.805	5 J ₁₂ =2.3
H ₂ =5.771	J ₁₃ =0.5	$H_2 = 5.779$	$J_{13} = 0.7$	$H_2 = 5.840$) J ₁₃ =0.9
H ₃ =5.881	J ₁₄ =2.1	$H_3 = 5.920$	J ₁₄ =2.1	H ₃ =5.943	$J_{14} = 2.2$
$H_4 = 2.937$	J _{15α} =2.6	$H_4 = 2.820$	J ₁₅ =2.7	$H_4 = 2.719$) J ₁₅ =2.9
$H_{5\alpha} = 1.944$	J _{15β} =7.1	H _{5α} =1.884	J _{15α} =7.1	H _{5α} =1.682	2 J _{15α} =7.2
H _{5β} =1.693	J ₂₃ =5.5	H _{5β} =1.737	J _{23β} =5.6	H _{5β} =1.947	^{7 J} 23β ^{=5.7}
	J ₂₄ =2.2		$J_{24} = 2.2$		J ₂₄ =2.3
	J ₃₄ =2.1		$J_{34} = 2.0$		J ₃₄ =2.1
	J _{45α} =7.5		J _{45α} =7.5		J _{45α} =8.0
	J _{45β} =5.2		$J_{45\beta} = 5.2$		$J_{45\beta} = 5.4$

Figure 3. Conformations and NMR Data for Adducts $\underline{13}$, $\underline{14}$ and $\underline{15}$.

fact that protons syn to a substituent undergo an upfield shift.^{20,21} Alternatively, this difference does not usually exceed 0.3 ppm for trans isomers.

Inspection of the literature coupling constant data for these systems reveals a potentially useful trend in which the <u>anti</u> vicinal coupling constants are generaly smaller than the <u>syn</u> within the same molecule. Some examples from the literature²² are listed below. Extreme







	22	23	24	25	<u>26</u>
δH _{5α} =	2.66	2.42	2.56	2.86	2.62
δH _{5β} =	1.51	1.24	1.58	2.08	2.27
^J 15α ⁼	7.3	7.8	7.5	8.0	5.0
J _{45α} =	7.3	8.1	8.7	8.0	8.0
^J 15β ⁼	3.6	7.8	3.3	6.0	8.0
^J 45β ⁼	3.6	7.8	5.0	6.0	4.0

care must be exercised, however, in promoting configurational assignments in five-membered rings based purely upon the magnitudes of coupling constant data. In the absence of geometrically restraining factors, the conformational flexibility of cyclopentyl-ring systems makes application of the Karplus equation for prediction of vicinal coupling constants not as generally dependable as in conformationally fixed ring systems.

The <u>trans</u> stereochemistry of adducts <u>13-15</u> was thus assigned by the criteria discussed above. The difference of chemical shift between $H_{5\alpha}$ and $H_{5\beta}$ was found to be smaller than 0.3 ppm (Figure 3). Both protons present one large coupling constant (7.1-8.0 Hz) and one small coupling constant (2.6-5.4 Hz). This is consistent with a large <u>cis</u> coupling and a smaller <u>trans</u> coupling, its value further reduced in the case of $J_{15\alpha}$ by the electronegative oxygen atom. The certainty of these assignments is reinforced by the following facts:

(1) Upfield shift for H_1 with increasing steric bulk of R. This is consistent with a more pseudoaxial character of H_1 .

(2) Fairly large homoallylic coupling of approximately 2 Hz between H_1 and H_4 . Careful examination of molecular models shows that the stereochemical relationship between H_1 and H_4 is very similar to that encountered in cyclohexene derivatives for a 1,4-pseudoaxial-pseudoaxial

disposition, which, in turn, is known to be the most favorable situation for homoallylic couplings.

(3) Upfield shift for $H_{5\alpha}$ and downfield shift for $H_{5\beta}$ with increasing steric bulk of R. This is consistent with an increase of the relative population of conformation <u>A</u> and/or an increase of the torsional angle which renders $H_{5\beta}$ closer to being coplanar with C_1 and C_2 , therefore, placing it in the deshielding cone of the double bond, and, consequently placing $H_{5\alpha}$ in the shielding region of the anisotropic double bond.

The stereochemistry of the other 1,4-adducts was assigned by correlation of their spectral data with that of adducts <u>13-15</u> whose stereochemistry had been proven beyond any doubt. It should be pointed out that while adducts <u>20</u> and <u>21</u> were completely characterized, their stereochemistry could not be firmly established and is tentatively assigned as <u>trans</u> on the basis of the presumed mechanism of formation.

Returning to the synthetic aspects of this methodology, Scheme 1 illustrates the transformations that led to epoxy enol phosphates, \underline{D} , and epoxy enol ethers \underline{E} , which will be the subject of the second part of this study. The yields of these transformations are summarized in Table II.



Reagents: a) tert-BuOOH, VO(acac)₂, PhH; b) CrO₃·pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; c) LDA, THF, -78°C, then ClP(O)(OEt)₂; d) LDA, THF, -78°C, then Et₃SiCl.

Hydroxyl directed <u>cis</u> epoxidation²³ with <u>tert</u>-butyl hydroperoxide and VO(acac)₂ gave epoxy alcohols <u>B</u> (<u>27-30</u>) in excellent yields (see Table II). It should be mentioned that this reaction proceeded with superb chemoselectivity; allylic alcohol <u>8</u> underwent selective epoxidation in the presence of its homoallylic isomer <u>9</u>. This allowed for an easy separation of epoxy alcohol <u>29</u> from carbinol <u>9</u> by a simple column chromatography; this facilitated the preparation of large quantities of <u>29</u> which were required for the synthesis of prostaglandins (see Chapter II).

Tal	b1	e	II

Synthesis of Epoxy Enol Phosphates and Epoxy

	<u>A</u> ć	a,b		B		C		D		E	
R R	=	Me ⁿ Bu	<u>13</u> <u>14</u>	<u>27</u> <u>28</u>	(95) (100)	<u>31</u> <u>32</u>	(75) (80)	<u>35</u> 36	(94) (90)	 37	(100)
R	=	C₅H ₁₁ OSi [⊄] BuMe	<u>8</u> 2	29	(98)	<u>33</u>	(82)	<u>10</u>	(85)	<u>38</u>	(100)
R	=	Ph	<u>19</u>	<u>30</u>	(90)	<u>34</u>	(79)				

Silyl Enol Ethers

^aSee Scheme 1 for general structure of <u>A</u>, <u>B</u>, <u>C</u>, etc. ^bYields of pure products in parentheses.

The stereochemistry of epoxy alcohols $\underline{27}-\underline{30}$ was assigned by spectral data as well as by the known stereochemical course of the epoxidation of allylic alcohols. Furthermore, one of them ($\underline{29}$) was eventually transformed into natural products of known stereochemistry (see Chapter II). For instance, the stereochemistry of $\underline{27}$ is verified by the following spectral characteristics: J_{12} is 1.4 Hz, which is very small (due to the electronegative oxygen), but still larger than J_{34} , which is 0 Hz. This difference strongly suggests a trans stereochemistry for H_3 and H_4 and a cis stereochemistry for H_1 and H_2 .²²

Collins oxidation²⁴ produced epoxy ketones <u>C</u> (<u>31-34</u>) in consistently good yields, as shown in Table II. Unfortunately, the work-up of a large-scale Collins oxidation is extremely cumbersome. Therefore, many other oxidants such as Jones reagent (in several sets of conditions), PDC, DMSO/Ac₂O, N-chlorosuccinimide/Me₂S/Et₃N, etc., were examined. However, none of them afforded comparable results to those obtained with Collins reagent.

Enolate formation with LDA (THF, -78°C, 1 h) followed by trapping with diethyl chlorophosphate proceeded in excellent yield to give epoxy enol phosphates \underline{D} (<u>10</u>, <u>35</u>, <u>36</u>). Alternatively, trapping of the enolate with triethylsilyl chloride afforded epoxy silyl enol ethers \underline{E} (<u>37</u>, <u>38</u>) in quantitative yields.

Study of the Reaction Between Cyanocuprates and 4-Substituted Epoxy Cyclopentenyl Phosphates.

The chemical manipulation just described had set the stage for the study of a second conjugate addition. The stereochemistry of enol phosphates <u>10</u>, <u>35</u> and <u>36</u> and silyl enol ethers <u>37</u> and <u>38</u> represents a challenge to the regioand stereoselectivity of the cyanocuprate reaction. Indeed, if the reaction is to proceed in a <u>trans</u> 1,4-fashion, the incoming group would have to be placed 1,2-cis to another

group in a cyclopentyl system. This was seen as a potentially limiting situation for the stereospecificity of the reaction and represented a substitution pattern previously untested in our laboratories.

The reaction of epoxy enol phosphates 10, 35 and 36 with a variety of cyanocuprates was examined and the results obtained are shown in Table III.¹⁷ It was found that the addition of simple alkylcyanocuprates proceeded in good to excellent yields (58%-95%) and was, in most cases, completely regio- and stereospecific. Entry 3, however, showed a surprising lack of regiochemical control and also the formation of an anomalous "reduction" product 43, formally produced by conjugate hydride transfer. This phenomenon is unprecedented in our laboratories; similar products have not been detected in other analogous reactions involving tert-butylcyanocuprate. A very tentative rationalization of this result is presented in Scheme 2. The transfer of the tert-butyl group may be an unfavorable process in this case due to the presence of the methyl group in a 1,2-cis disposition. This may allow for alternative reaction pathways to take place, such as formation of the 1,2-adduct or hydride transfer, both of which are observed. The hydride transfer pathway may be favored by the fact that the cuprate has 9β hydrogens. Thus, the chance of a hydride transfer is statistically large.



Entry	Sub- strate	R R	М	l,2- Addu c t	l,4- Adduct	Ratio of 1,4/1,2	Yield ^a
	25		+ :		20		
T	35	Me	ΓŢ		39		90
2	35	ⁿ Bu	Li		40		95
3 ^b	35	t _{Bu}	Li	41	<u>42</u>	2:3	60
4	35	vinyl	MgBr	44			98
5	35	allyl	Li,MgBr	45			99
6	35	Ph	Li	46	<u>47</u>	1:2	80
7	35	Ph	MgBr	46	<u>47</u>	l:6	75
8	36	ⁿ Bu	Li		48		85
9	<u>10</u>	ⁿ Bu	Li		<u>49</u>		58
10	10	t _{Bu}	Li		<u>50</u>		61
11	10	TMSO(CH ₂) ₇	- Li		12		60

Table III (continued)

^aPercent yields of pure products.

^bIn this case, a 10% yield of diethyl <u>trans-3-hydroxy-4-</u> methyl-2-cyclopentenyl phosphate, 43, was also obtained.

Scheme 2



Vinyl and allylcyanocuprate gave exclusively 1,2-opening. The influence of the counterion (Li vs. MgBr) was studied for allylcyanocuprate, and no significant difference was found. Phenylcyanocuprate, however, did show a marked dependency on the nature of the counterion. The amount of 1,4-adduct was significantly increased when MgBr was replaced by Li. This kind of dependency, albeit not common, is not totally unprecedented in our group.^{10b}

From a preparative point of view, the 1,2-adducts were found to be stable for an indefinite period of time if stored in a refrigerator. This was not the case for the 1,4-adducts. Complete decomposition to the corresponding 4,5-disubstituted-2-cyclopentenones was observed upon standing at room temperature for 2-3 days. Storage in a freezer preserved them for 7-10 days without noticeable decomposition. All adducts were sufficiently stable to be purified by column chromatography.

Figure 4 presents some of the information obtained from the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of adducts <u>40</u> and <u>44</u>, as representative examples of a $1,4-\underline{\text{trans}}$ adduct and a $1,2-\underline{\text{trans}}$ adduct, respectively, as well as their presumably preferred conformations.

The preferred conformation for adduct $\underline{40}$ should minimize the allylic strain between the bulky phosphate residue and the <u>n</u>-butyl group. In order to accomplish this, the latter should adopt a pseudoaxial disposition. The same reasoning leads to the envelope conformation shown in Figure 4 for adduct $\underline{44}$. The regiochemical assignment may be done in a very straightforward manner by examination of the chemical shift of the carbinol proton, which presents an upfield shift for 1,2-adducts with respect to the 1,4allylic alcohols.





40



44

Chemical Shifts (δ)	J's (Hz)	Chemical Shifts (δ)	<u>J's (Hz)</u>
H ₂ =5.364	J ₂₃ =2.0	H ₂ =3.213	J ₂₃ =5.3
H ₃ =4.311	J ₃₄ =5.1	H ₃ =3.602	J ₃₄ =5.3
H ₄ =2.151	J ₃₅ =1.0	H ₄ =2.540	J ₄₅ =1.9
H ₅ =2.754	J ₄₅ =7.3	H ₅ =5.177	

The stereochemistry of 1,2-adduct <u>44</u> may be assigned as <u>trans</u> from the process of S_N^2 displacement (nucleophilic opening of an epoxide). The spectral evidence corroborates this assumption; H_3 is unusually shielded for a cyclopentyl carbinol proton, which is consistent with the upfield shift caused by two <u>cis</u> 1,4-substituents. Furthermore, H_3 shows relatively small and equal couplings with H_2 and H_4 , consistent with two <u>trans</u> couplings. No homoallylic coupling was detected between H_2 and H_4 , which also supports the proposed stereochemistry. 1,4-Adduct <u>40</u> does show a homoallylic coupling (H_3-H_5) , typical of a 1,4-<u>trans</u> disposition of substituents at C_3 and C_5 (see discussion of assignments for 1,4-adducts derived from cyclopentadiene monoepoxide on previous pages). Moreover, H_4 shows two fairly different couplings of 7.3 Hz and 5.1 Hz, consistent with a <u>cis</u> and a trans coupling, respectively.

The stereochemistry of the other adducts was established by correlation of their spectral characteristics with those of <u>40</u> and <u>44</u>. Adducts <u>12</u>, <u>49</u> and <u>50</u> were the only cases in which, due to the complexity of their 360 MHz 1 H-NMR spectrum (mixture of diastereoisomers), their stereochemistry could not be conclusively ascertained.

In some cases, spontaneous hydrolysis of some 1,4adducts led to 4,5-disubstituted cyclopentenones which were unequivocally characterized. Such is the case of dibutyl enol phosphate <u>48</u>, which decomposed upon standing to an enone whose structure was confirmed as <u>cis</u>-4,5-di-<u>n</u>-butyl-2-cyclopentenone in the following part of this study. This chemical evidence reinforced the accuracy of the spectroscopic assignments.



Once the stereochemistry of these 1,4-adducts had been secured, the reductive cleavage of the phosphate group with lithium in liquid ammonia was examined.⁹ Some initial attempts afforded the corresponding 4,5-<u>cis</u>-disubstituted-2cyclopentenols in low yields.



These results are in sharp contrast with those obtained in our group for cyclohexenyl phosphates,⁹ for which good yields were consistently recorded. The stereochemistry of <u>51</u> and <u>52</u> was derived from their 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra which showed a homoallylic coupling between H₁ and H₄ (1.8 and 1.5 Hz, respectively) which is typical

for these 1,4-<u>trans</u> adducts. Furthermore, both presented significantly larger J_{45} than J_{15} , strongly suggesting a cis and a trans coupling, respectively.

Study of the Reaction Between Alkylcyanocuprates and 4-Substituted Epoxy Cyclopentenyl Silyl Enol Ethers.

This part of the study arose in connection with our prostaglandin synthesis, which will be discussed in detail in Chapter II of this manuscript. The regio- and stereochemical results of the reaction between alkylcyanocuprates and epoxy cyclopentenyl silyl enol ethers will be examined here.

Silyl enol ethers $\underline{37}$ and $\underline{38}$ present the same substitution pattern as enol phosphates $\underline{10}$, $\underline{35}$ and $\underline{36}$. Therefore, they are subject to the same steric constraints (see previous section). However, the electronics of these two types of allylic epoxides are quite different, as can be seen by comparison of 13 C and 1 H-NMR chemical shifts. This difference in electronics may alter the selectivity of the reaction.



At the initial stage of this study, trimethylsilyl epoxy enol ethers were examined. They were easily synthesized but, unfortunately, they were found to be too labile; complete hydrolysis to the corresponding epoxy ketones occurred after two or three days, even when they were stored under nitrogen in a freezer. Some preliminary attempts to carry out the 1,4-addition on freshly prepared trimethylsilyl epoxy enol ether were successful. However, the desired 1,4-adduct could not be isolated, but, instead, good yields of the corresponding enone <u>70</u> were obtained.



The preparation of a more stable epoxy silyl enol ether was then examined. It was found that triethylsilyl epoxy enol ethers $\underline{37}$ and $\underline{38}$ could be easily prepared from the corresponding epoxy cyclopentanones $\underline{32}$ and $\underline{33}$, and were stable for months if stored in a freezer. It was expected that a less labile protecting group would also make the isolation of the intermediate 1,4-adducts possible. This would allow for the development of hydrolytic conditions leading to the desired β -hydroxycyclopentanones. The ability to effect this hydrolysis without concomitant dehydration was paramount for our strategy towards PGE1.

The isolation of these triethylsilyl hydroxy enol ethers was not easily effected. Preliminary attempts to isolate dibutyl adduct 53 produced exclusively cyclopentenone 70 after standard workup. A systematic study of the reaction was then undertaken. A variety of factors, ranging from reagents to temperature and reaction time, were checked, and the reaction conditions were very carefully controlled. This study demonstrated that decomposition to the enone was taking place upon concentration in vacuo of a dry ethereal solution of the 1,4-adduct with a lukewarm water bath! This seemingly innocent factor proved to be crucial for the success of our overall strategy towards PGE,. Indeed, when no external heat was applied while concentrating in vacuo, excellent yields of 1,4-adducts could be obtained. These triethylsilyl hydroxy enol ethers were found to be remarkably more unstable than their sixmembered ring analogues. In most instances, they were not characterized, but they were immediately hydrolyzed to the corresponding β -hydroxycyclopentanones, which could be purified and characterized. This hydrolysis was best effected by treatment of the crude 1,4-adducts with potassium fluoride dissolved in pH 7 phosphate buffer/ethanol. The results obtained in the course of this research are summarized in Table IV.

Table IV

Reaction of Alkylcyanocuprates with Triethylsilyl Epoxy

ĢSiEt₃ **OSiEt**₃ (RCuCN) Li R R KF d R_β Rβ R HO HÒ ΗÔ <u>c</u> B <u>A</u>

$$\mathbf{R}_{\beta} = {}^{n} \mathbf{Bu}(\underline{37}), \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{11}} \quad (\underline{38})$$

OSi[‡]BuMe₂

Entry No.	R _β	R	<u>A</u>	Ba	<u>c</u> a	Yield ^b
1	n _{Bu}	n _{Bu}	<u>53</u>	<u>59</u>		85
2	n _{Bu}	ⁿ heptyl	54	<u>61</u> (2)	<u>62</u> (1)	60
3	n _{Bu}	TMSO(CH ₂)7	<u>55</u>	<u>63</u> (1) ^C	<u>64</u> (7) ^C	78
4	OSi [#] BuMe ₂	t _{Bu}	<u>56</u>	<u>65</u>		65
5	OSi ^t BuMe₂	ⁿ heptyl	<u>57</u>	<u>66</u> (1)	<u>67</u> (4)	70
6	OSi ^t BuMe ₂	TMSO (CH ₂) 7	58	<u>68</u> (1) ^C	<u>69</u> (8) ^C	80 80

Enol Ethers 37 and 38

Table IV (continued)

Higher yields were obtained when the conjugate additions were carried out with 3-4 equivalents of cyanocuprate reagent. All the cases examined proceeded with excellent regiochemical control, as evidenced by the fact that only 2,3-disubstituted hydroxycyclopentanones B and C were detected. Chronologically, 59 was the first β -hydroxycyclopentanone isolated. A quick inspection of its 360 MHz 1 H-NMR spectrum allowed for elucidation of its regiochemistry. A more detailed study strongly suggested a cis arrangement of both alkyl chains. However, it was considered that some chemical verification was necessary. This was achieved by epimerization of 59 with ethanolic potassium acetate. These conditions have been successfully employed to effect the epimerization of 8-iso-PGE, to PGE, ²⁵ The results obtained are presented in Scheme 3 (the relative ratio of products is indicated in parentheses). It should be pointed out that this reaction was not optimized. No

^aRelative ratio of products indicated in parentheses when appropriate.

^bPercent yield of purified <u>B+C</u> when two isomers were obtained.

^CFluoride treatment deprotected the primary alcohol but did not affect the allylic alcohol.

Scheme 3





attempts were made to reduce the amount of enones formed since the only objective of this experiment was to unequivocally prove the structure of hydroxycyclopentanone 59.

Column chromatography allowed for the separation of cyclopentenones $\underline{70}$ and $\underline{71}$ from hydroxy ketones $\underline{59}$ and $\underline{60}$. These epimeric hydroxycyclopentanones could not be separated in this manner, nor was it necessary; the spectral characteristics of <u>trans</u> isomer <u>60</u> were easily obtained from the spectrum of the mixture. Figure 5 summarizes some ¹H-NMR data for hydroxy enol ether <u>53</u> and isomeric hydroxy ketones 59 and 60.



 $H_3 = 1.866$

 $J_{32} = --$

¹H-NMR Data for Adducts <u>53</u>, <u>59</u> and <u>60</u>. Figure 5.

42

60

ⁿBu

The proposed 1,4-<u>trans</u> stereochemistry of hydroxy enolether <u>53</u> is strongly supported by several facts: there is a fairly large homoallylic coupling between H_3 and H_5 ; there is a large <u>cis</u> coupling between H_4 and H_5 and a smaller <u>trans</u> coupling between H_3 and H_4 ; finally, hydroxycyclopentanone <u>59</u> was the only product detected upon fluoride induced hydrolysis of <u>53</u>.

The most striking spectroscopic difference between $\underline{59}$ and $\underline{60}$ is the tremendous upfield shift for H₂ in the <u>trans</u> isomer, <u>60</u>, accompanied by a smaller upfield shift for H₃. This trend is consistent with the fact that both protons are shielded by <u>cis</u> alkyl groups in the <u>trans</u> isomer. Also, remarkable is the long distance coupling between H_{5α} and H₂ for <u>59</u> and H_{5β} and H₂ for <u>60</u>. This implies a <u>cis</u>-relationship between the protons which are coupled and, therefore, supports the assigned stereochemistry.

The spectral characteristics of the other adducts in Table IV followed the same trends as those discussed above and the assignments were always very conclusive. Whenever mixtures of epimers were obtained, their relative ratios were easily measured by integration of their 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra, especially of the carbinol protons (typical chemical shifts for H₄ are 4.3 ppm for "<u>cis</u>" isomers, <u>B</u>, and 4.1 ppm for "trans" isomers <u>C</u>).

The reaction of epoxy enol ether <u>37</u> with the mixed cyanocuprate <u>11</u> (the preparation of which is presented in Scheme 4) was then examined. It was found that considerable amounts of <u>37</u> remained unreacted after stirring at -78°C for several hours (see Experimental). Gradual increase of temperature brought the reaction to completion. Standard workup, followed by immediate hydrolysis to the corresponding β -hydroxyketone gave, much to our surprise, a 1:7 mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> ketols, <u>63</u> and <u>64</u>, which could not be separated by column chromatography.

Scheme 4



<u>Reagents</u>: a) MCPBA, CH₂Cl₂, 80%; b) TMSCl, NaI, CH₃CN; c) BH₃·THF; d) hexamethyldisilazene, TMSCl, diethyl ether (66% overall from ω lactone); e) <u>tert</u>-butyllithium, diethyl ether, -78°C; f) CuCN, diethyl ether, -40°C.



These anomalous results indicated the necessity of examining this sequence more in depth. Thus, treatment of epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> with <u>tert</u>-butylcyanocuprate, followed by fluoride induced hydrolysis, gave exclusively <u>cis</u>-2,3disubstituted ketol <u>65</u>, while a mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> cyclopentanones <u>68</u> and <u>69</u> (predominantly <u>trans</u>) was obtained when <u>38</u> were treated with cyanocuprate <u>11</u>, derived from 1-lithio-7-trimethylsilyloxyheptane.

The only apparent difference between these two reactions was considered to be the origin of the alkyllithium employed as precursor to the cyanocuprate. Commercially available <u>tert</u>-butyllithium is salt-free. On the other hand, 1-lithio-7-trimethylsilyloxyheptane was generated by metal-halogen exchange in ether at -78°C. When the corresponding cyanocuprate <u>11</u> was formed, one equivalent of lithium iodide was present, due to its relatively high solubility in ether, even at -78°C. The presence of this salt in the reaction medium appeared to be the only difference



between these reactions which could account for the anomalous results observed.

In order to test this hypothesis, <u>n</u>-heptyllithium was prepared by metal-halogen exchange from <u>n</u>-heptyl iodide and <u>tert</u>-butyllithium, and the corresponding cyanocuprate was formed. Treatment of epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> with <u>n</u>-heptylcyanocuprate, in the presence of lithium iodide and subsequent fluoride induced hydrolysis, produced a mixture of hydroxycyclopentanones <u>66</u> and <u>67</u>, predominantly <u>trans</u>. Pure <u>cis</u> isomer <u>66</u> was submitted to the hydrolytic conditions employed (KF, pH 7 buffer, ethanol) for several days, and it was found that the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the crude product showed no signs of either epimerization or dehydration to the corresponding cyclopentenone. Finally, treatment of epoxy enol ether <u>37</u> with <u>n</u>-heptylcyanocuprate, generated as above, and quenching of the reaction before completion (see Experimental), followed by fluoride induced hydrolysis, gave a predominantly <u>cis</u> mixture of β -hydroxyketones <u>61</u> and <u>62</u>.





This capricious influence of the presence of salts in reactions of mixed cyanocuprates with α,β -unsaturated epoxides is unprecedented in our laboratories. The fact that when the addition of <u>n</u>-heptylcyanocuprate onto epoxy

enol ether 37 was quenched before completion a predominantly cis mixture of ketols was obtained (Table IV, Entry 2) strongly suggests that the cyanocuprate addition, even in the presence of lithium iodide, proceeds via an overall 1,4-trans opening of the allylic epoxide, followed by epimerization, presumably by the excess cuprate reagent. Lithium iodide may be responsible for reducing the solubility of the cyanocuprate, making higher temperatures and longer reaction times necessary to bring the reaction to completion, thus facilitating epimerization by the excess cuprate. When salt-free conditions were employed (Entries 1 and 4), the reaction proceeded in good yields and was completely regio- and stereospecific, even in situations very sterically demanding. The preparation of β -hydroxycyclopentanone 65 with its 1,2-cis arrangement of a tertbutyl group and the bulky prostaglandin β chain constitutes, in our opinion, a remarkable achievement.

CHAPTER II

TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF PROSTAGLANDINS VIA SEQUENTIAL CYANOCUPRATE ADDITIONS

1. Introduction.

The prostaglandins²⁷⁻³³ are a group of hydroxylated fatty acids characterized by a highly potent and diverse spectrum of biological activities. They have been detected in virtually all tissues investigated and they appear to be biosynthesized on demand, which suggests their role could be that of a tissue hormone. Once formed, prostaglandins are rapidly inactivated by enzymatic reactions; this property, and their wide spectrum of action, have limited their medicinal usefulness and encouraged synthetic chemists to explore synthetic routes to find more selective and stable derivatives. Currently, some prostaglandins are used as abortifacients and in treating certain congenital heart abnormalities while many more are being investigated for other actions.²⁶

There are two major problems encountered in the synthesis of prostaglandins: stereochemical control, and sensitivity of the functional groups present in the molecule. Natural prostaglandins present a maximum number of five asymmetric centers, one of them located in a conformationally mobile side-chain, and at a considerable distance from the ring. This represents a challenge to the synthetic chemist in the control of stereochemistry. Prostaglandins contain at least one double bond which renders them susceptible to air oxidation. The E-type prostaglandins, key to the production of all other types (Scheme 5), contain an extremely labile β -hydroxycyclopentanone functionality, sensitive to both acids and bases.



PGF_{1a}





Many of the synthetic strategies employed for prostaglandins have been thoroughly discussed in excellent reviews.³⁴ However, the search for shorter, more convergent syntheses of optically active prostaglandins still continues. Three synthetic schemes that will put our own research into perspective will be presented here.

One of the classic syntheses of prostaglandins is one of E. J. Corey's which utilizes a bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane intermediate.³⁵ A brief outline of this approach is shown in Scheme 6. The synthesis is quite lengthy but each step proceeds in good yields. The main features of Corey's synthesis are:

- excellent stereochemical control;
- (2) optical resolution at an early stage and
- (3) large-scale reactions.



Lactone <u>74</u>, commonly referred to as the "Corey lactone," can be efficiently converted into $PGF_{2\alpha}$ <u>via</u> modified Wittig reactions. The synthesis of intermediates with that general structure is now considered a formal total synthesis of prostaglandins.

Another general approach to prostaglandins involves the conjugate addition of the β -chain to a protected 4hydroxycyclopent-2-enone, followed by trapping of the corresponding enolate with a fully functionalized α -chain or an appropriate synthon. The Michael addition is known to proceed <u>trans</u> to the ll-hydroxyl group, and the alkylation should give the thermodynamically more stable all-<u>trans</u> orientation around the ring. In practice, the slow rate of enolate trapping leads to equilibration of enolates and subsequent elimination to give cyclopentenone <u>78</u>.³⁶ The nature of the enolate and the choice of a suitable electrophile are crucial for the success of this approach.³⁷

52

Scheme 6





One of the most recent adaptations of the conjugate addition approach is Noyori's general synthesis of primary prostaglandins³⁸ (Figure 6). Optically active enone <u>79</u> was reacted with one equivalent of the organocuprate derived from optically active vinyl iodide <u>80</u>.³⁹ Trapping of the resulting enolate with one equivalent of 6-methoxycarbonyl-2-hexynal at -78°C resulted in formation of aldol <u>81</u>. Deoxygenation was achieved by treatment of the corresponding Figure 6. Noyori's Approach to Prostaglandins.



<u>Reagents</u>: (1) 1.0 eq. vinyl iodide, 2.0 eq. <u>tert</u>-BuLi, 1.0 eq. CuI, 2.6 eq. <u>n</u>-Bu₃P/Et₂O, -78°C, 1 h; then 1.0 eq. HOC-CEC-(CH₂)₃CO₂Me, -78°C, 30 min. (2) PhC(S)Cl, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 18°C, 3 h. (3) <u>n</u>-Bu₃SnH, di-<u>tert</u>-butyl peroxide, 50°C, 35 min.

thiobenzoate with tributyltin hydride to give a 5,6-dehydroprostaglandin E_2 derivative, <u>82</u>, which can be transformed to a variety of chiral primary prostaglandins in a stereoselective manner. ⁴⁰ Shortly after this work was reported, the methodology was expanded and a very short synthesis of prostacyclin (PGI₂), <u>via</u> intramolecular

Figure 7. Noyori's Synthesis of PGI2.



<u>Reagents</u>: (1) mercury(II) trifluoroacetate and triethyl amine (1.1 eq. each), THF, -78°C, 1h; then 5 eq. NaBH₄ in 1N methanolic NaOMe, -78°C, 1 h. (2) (<u>n</u>-Bu)₃N⁺F⁻ (8 eq.), THF. (3) alkaline hydrolysis.

oxymercuration of acetylenic alcohol <u>83</u>, was achieved.^{41,42} The success of this synthesis relies heavily on the stereospecificity of the reductive demercuration of vinylic mercury compounds with sodium borohydride, which proceeds with retention of configuration.

A number of successful syntheses have employed a 1,2opening of a cyclopentane oxide or cyclopentadiene monoepoxide itself to introduce the prostaglandin β -chain.⁴³ The major drawback of this strategy has been the lack of regioselectivity of the epoxide opening. The regioselective 1,4-opening of cyclopentadiene monoepoxide had not been previously explored. The application of the methodology discussed earlier in this manuscript to the synthesis of prostaglandins was first reported by Marino and Kelly¹⁴ in 1981 and is outlined in Figure 8. The reaction of cyanocuprate $\underline{7}$ with freshly distilled cyclopentadiene monoepoxide at -78°C gave a 4:1 mixture of the <u>trans</u>-1,4 and <u>trans</u>-1,2 adducts ($\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$) in 80% yield. These regioisomers were easily separated by column chromatography or preparative HPLC. <u>Cis</u> epoxidation of the 1,4-adduct and subsequent oxidation with Collins reagent afforded the key epoxy-ketone 33.

The introduction of the top chain was performed by using a second conjugate addition of cyanocuprate <u>11</u> onto epoxy enol phosphate <u>10</u>, which gave exclusively the 1,4regioisomer <u>12</u>. The conversion of enol phosphate <u>12</u> into PGA_1 and PGB_1 was effected by two different sets of basic conditions. A number of additional attempts were made in order to effect a milder hydrolysis of enol phosphate <u>12</u> with retention of the 11-hydroxyl group (prostaglandin numbering), but these were unsuccessful.












Reagents: (1) tert-BuOOH, VO(acac)₂,PhH.(2) CrO₃·pyridine/ CH₂Cl₂. (3) LDA/THF, -78°C, then (EtO)₂P(O)Cl. (4) NaH,BzBr/THF. (5) Jones reagent. (6) aq. HF/CH₃CN. (7) NaOMe/MeOH.

At the inception of this thesis, epoxy ketone $\underline{33}$ was envisioned as a general precursor to prostanoids in two different routes (Scheme 8). In an alkylation reaction, a reactive halide could be used to introduce the α -chain. The cuprate route, alternatively, would be best suited for the introduction of saturated α -chains.

A great deal of effort was devoted in our laboratories to develop the alkylation route.⁴⁴ The results were not satisfactory, and therefore a different approach was sought.



Eventually, a synthesis of prostaglandins <u>via</u> siloxycyclopropanes was developed within our group 44,45 by Laborde. A brief outline of that approach is shown below.



 $R_{\beta} = C_{5}H_{11}$ OSi^t BuMe

The second major route outlined in Scheme 8, namely, the sequential cuprate route, was one of the major objectives of this thesis. The following sections of this chapter will present the results of this endeavor.

2. Model Studies.

It was initially considered that additional studies on the mild hydrolysis of hydroxy enol phosphates such as <u>12</u> were necessary. It was anticipated that a model system would be extremely helpful in order to accomplish that goal. The reasons for this were twofold: a simpler spectral profile would allow for an easier interpretation of experimental results, and secondly, the greater ease of preparation of a dibutyl model would facilitate studies on the hydrolysis of the enol phosphate functionality, while maintaining most of its key structural features. Hydroxy enol phosphate <u>48</u> was then synthesized as described in the previous chapter and was subjected to various conditions in order to effect a mild hydrolysis to the corresponding β -hydroxycyclopentanone <u>59</u>. A summary of these hydrolysis attempts is given in Scheme 9.

Scheme 9



Reagents: (1) (<u>n</u>-Bu)₄NF/THF, <u>i</u>-PrOH, RT, 1 day. (2) KF/ MeOH, RT, 96 h. (3) <u>tert</u>-BuLi (1 eq.), then MeLi (1 eq.)/THF. (4) LiAlH₄/THF.

Fluoride catalyzed transesterification of phosphate esters⁴⁶ was envisioned to be an attractive possibility since it is reported to occur under very mild, neutral conditions. Unfortunately, when this reaction was attempted on enol phosphate <u>48</u>, it was found that short reaction times led to recovery of starting material. If the reaction was allowed to continue for longer periods of time or the temperature was increased, complete decomposition was observed. The reaction of enol phosphate <u>48</u> with lithium aluminum hydride failed to effect the desired transformation as well. Finally, deprotonation of hydroxy enol phosphate <u>48</u> with <u>tert</u>-butyllithium, followed by addition of methyllithium, gave, after standard workup, a 50% yield of <u>trans</u>-4,5-di-n-butyl-2-cyclopentenone, 71.

It became apparent that a different protecting group had to be employed. A trialkyl silyl group appeared to be a good alternative since silyl enol ethers are cleaved under very mild conditions. After some initial studies (see Chapter I), enol ether <u>53</u> could be isolated and conditions to hydrolyze it were examined (Scheme 10).

Treatment of hydroxy enol ether 53 with a slight excess of pyridine polyhydrogen fluoride in THF at low temperature proceeded with concomitant dehydration and epimerization of the side chains. The mixture of cyclopentenones (predominantly <u>trans</u>) was transformed into exclusively the <u>trans</u> isomer <u>71</u> upon standing for a few days in the NMR tube. This transformation was presumably catalyzed by a trace of acid present in the deuterochloroform. Treatment of hydroxy enol ether <u>53</u> with methanolic potassium fluoride produced the desired β -hydroxy ketone <u>59</u> but it was accompanied by substantial amounts of cyclopentenones <u>70</u> and <u>71</u>. The reaction of 53 with potassium fluoride in





pH 7 phosphate buffer with ethanol as cosolvent gave excellent yields of the labile β -hydroxyketone <u>59</u> without any contamination by enones <u>70</u> and <u>71</u>, as determined from the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra of crude reaction mixtures.

The introduction of the prostaglandin α -chain was then examined and epimeric β -hydroxyketones <u>63</u> and <u>64</u> were prepared as described in Chapter I. These isomers could not be separated by chromatography. Therefore, the mixture was employed as a model system for the next step, namely, the selective oxidation of the primary alcohol in the presence of the sensitive β -hydroxyketone functionality. Selective catalytic oxidation was envisioned to be the best choice since it is reported to be extremely sensitive to steric hindrance, 47 and furthermore, it had been employed for the synthesis of prostanoids of the F type. 43d These oxidations are generally performed in the presence of variable amounts of sodium bicarbonate. However, basic conditions were not appropriate for this particular reaction. Selective oxidation of the primary alcohol occurred with concomitant base catalyzed dehydration to give a good yield of enone <u>87</u>. A few additional attempts, such as replacing sodium bicarbonate with potassium acetate, or utilizing a



mixture of pH 7 phosphate buffer and acetone as solvent, were not successful. At this point, the supply of hydroxyketone <u>64</u> was exhausted. It was decided, therefore, to proceed with these studies on the system possessing the prostaglandin β -chain.

These model studies had solved one of the major problems in our approach to prostaglandins, namely, the preparation of β -hydroxyketones from hydroxy enol ethers such

as <u>53</u>. This would allow for the completion of the synthesis of a PGE type prostanoid which was one of our major objectives.

3. Synthesis of Prostaglandins.

Hydroxyketone 69 was easily prepared from epoxy cyclopentanone 33 by formation of the triethylsilyl enol ether, cuprate addition of the α chain and fluoride induced hydrolysis, as described in Chapter I; however, it was contaminated by small amounts of its cis epimer 68. Both were present as a mixture of diastereomers at C15 (prostaglandin numbering) and separation of these four isomers by column chromatography was not possible at this stage. Removal of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl group with aqueous hydrofluoric acid in acetonitrile⁴⁸ gave a good yield of the bronchodilator, 2-decarboxy-2-hydroxymethyl-PGE1, 91, accompanied by the corresponding isomers (88-90). All of these isomers could be easily separated by column chromatography and were fully characterized. The spectral characteristics of our synthetic (±)-2-decarboxy-2-hydroxymethyl-PGE1, 91 (Figure 9), were identical to those of an authentic sample.⁴⁹ Catalytic oxidation of triol <u>91</u>, without any added base, proceeded in good yield. However, all conditions examined proceeded with concomitant oxidation







of the 15-hydroxyl group to give exclusively 15-dehydroprostaglandin E_1 , <u>92</u>. Conditions to selectively oxidize the primary alcohol in the presence of the unprotected allylic alcohol, thus leading to PGE_1 , could not be found.

¹H-NMR Data for Compounds <u>90</u> and <u>91</u>. Figure 9.





Σ	
Z	
11	
Ξ	

2.006	(dist dt, J=12.0, 6.0 Hz)	H ₈	1.965	(dist dt, J=12.0, 6.0 Hz)
2.212	(dd, J=18.4, 9.6 Hz)	H 10 lpha	2.193	(dd, J=18.4, 9.9 Hz)
2.363	(dt, J=12.0, 8.5 Hz)	Н ₁₂	2.321	(dt, J=12.0, 8.7 Hz)
2.723	(ddd, J=18.4, 7.4, 0.8 Hz)	H10B	2.700	(ddd, J=18.4, 7.4, 0.8 Hz)
4.055	(dist q, J=8.2 Hz)	H ₁₁	3.965-4	.032 (m)
4.113-4	l.164 (m)	H ₁₅	4.038-4	.089 (m)
5.583	(dd, J=15.4, 8.2 Hz)	H ₁₃	5.503	(dd, J=15.2, 8.7 Hz)
5.727	(dd, J=15.4, 5.9 Hz)	H14	5.627	(dd, J=15.2, 7.4 Hz).



It was then realized that in order to complete the synthesis of PGE_1 , it would be necessary to separate isomers at the stage of hydroxyketones <u>68</u> and <u>69</u>. This was achieved by HPLC, although only small amounts of diastereomerically pure <u>trans</u> isomer <u>69a</u> could be obtained, since the separation was very difficult. The synthesis of isomerically pure PGE_1 was completed by selective oxidation to the corresponding carboxylic acid <u>93</u> with oxygen and platinum, followed by removal of the <u>tert</u>-butyldimethylsilyl group with aqueous hydrofluoric acid in acetonitrile.⁴⁸ To our knowledge, this is the first case in which a PGE system, with its labile β -hydroxyketone functionality, has been selectively oxidized at the primary hydroxyl.



Having completed the total synthesis of PGE_1 , work towards $PGF_{1\alpha}$ was started. The first step was envisioned to be the reduction of the ketone functionality present in <u>69a</u>. Initial studies with the cyclic trialkyl borohydride reagent <u>94⁵⁰</u> (lithium <u>cis,cis,trans</u>-perhydro-9β-boraphenalyl hydride PBPH) proceeded cleanly, as determined by TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture. Oxidative workup and column chromatography afforded impure triol <u>95</u>, presumably contaminated with the cyclic triol derived from the borohydride reagent. It was not possible to purify triol <u>95</u> by conventional methods. Therefore, other reducing agents were examined. The first reagent employed was KS-Selectride⁵¹ (potassium trisiamylborohydride), which, due



to its basicity, promoted elimination of the ll-hydroxyl group to the corresponding cyclopentenone. Conjugate reduction of that enone yielded cyclopentanone <u>96</u>. When a larger excess of the reducing agent was employed, <u>96</u> was initially formed, as determined by TLC analysis of the reaction mixture. This was subsequently reduced to cyclopentanol <u>97</u> upon stirring at room temperature overnight (Scheme 11).

The second reducing agent employed was L-Selectride⁵² (lithium tri-sec-butylborohydride), which proved to be the most convenient in terms of stereoselectivity and simplicity of workup. From a practical point of view, the separation of diastereomerically pure <u>69a</u> was very cumbersome. Therefore, the reaction was attempted on a mixture of <u>68a</u> and <u>69a</u>, homogeneous at Cl5 (this was achieved in a straightforward manner by purification <u>via</u> HPLC or by careful column chromatography and HPLC analysis of the





fractions). It was found that isomeric triols <u>95</u> and <u>98</u> could be easily separated by a simple column chromatography and, therefore, the desired triol <u>95</u> was subsequently prepared in this manner.



Finally, the synthesis of $PGF_{1\alpha}$ was completed by selective oxidation of the primary alcohol to the corresponding carboxylic acid <u>99</u> and removal of the <u>tert</u>-butyldimethylsilyl group with aqueous hydrofluoric acid in acetonitrile.⁴⁸





The successful completion of a short synthesis of PGE_1 from the readily available cyclopentadiene monoepoxide amply demonstrates the synthetic utility of the strategy involving the sequential addition of cyanocuprates onto cyclopentenyl epoxides. This sequence has been carried forward in a racemic manner. It should be mentioned that its chiral version, although not effected, does not seem too problematic. Indeed, the use of an optically active β -chain precursor³⁹ should give adducts <u>8a</u> and <u>8b</u> as the major products of the cuprate conjugate addition. Separation of these diastereomers⁵³ should give optically active 8a,



which would ultimately lead to optically active prostanoids without any further resolution.

4. Synthetic Studies Towards PGE₂.

The successful completion of the short, convergent synthesis of PGE_1 described in the previous section prompted us to examine the extension of this methodology to prostaglandins in which the α -chain is unsaturated. Previous approaches to these prostaglandins involved the utilization of the cyclopentyl moiety as nucleophile and a suitable α chain derivative as electrophile.^{34,38} In other cases,³⁷ the cyclopentyl moiety was elaborated to an α -methylen-cyclopentanone, and the α -chain was introduced by a conjugate addition of the corresponding <u>syn</u> vinylic cuprate. The application of the sequential cyanocuprate methodology to the synthesis of PGE₂ would involve the unprecedented introduction of the entire α -chain in a nucleophilic fashion.



Two possibilities were initially considered: the first required the utilization of propargylic organometallics; the second involved allylic organometallics. Of these routes, the former appeared more versatile since it would not only allow for the synthesis of PGE_2 but would also constitute a promising entry to prostacyclin. Our retrosynthetic analysis for this route is presented in Scheme 12. It was envisioned that conjugate addition of propargyl cyanocuprate <u>101</u> onto silyl enol ether <u>38</u> could result in 5,6-dehydro PGE_2 derivative <u>100</u>, which in turn could be transformed into PGE_2 by selective catalytic hydrogenation. Alternatively, selective reduction of the



PGI,

carbonyl group 52 and transition metal assisted cyclization 41 should, after manipulation of functional groups, give an entry to prostacyclin (PGI₂).

Preliminary examination of the propargyl organometallic literature⁵⁴ pointed out the capricious behavior of these species. In most cases, mixtures of propargylic products, 102, and allenic ones, 103, are obtained. Its relative ratio is highly dependent on the nature of R',⁵⁵ the nature of the metal, 56 the nature of R, 57 etc. The search for new reagents of this type still continues. 58



At the time this work was begun, there were few precedents of propargylic copper compounds effecting conjugate additions. One of them is presented below.⁵⁹ The allene: acetylene ratio was observed to be strikingly sensitive to the steric environment around the δ -carbon of the dienoate.



Several approaches toward the generation of propargyl cyanocuprate <u>101</u> were examined. Propargyl lithium compounds are generally prepared by metalation of 2-alkynes with <u>tert</u>-butyllithium in ether in the presence of TMEDA (tetramethylethylenediamine).^{54a,60} The preparation of the corresponding starting material by standard transformations is shown in Scheme 13.

Scheme 13



$$CH_3C \equiv C - (CH_2)_2 - CH_2OSi^tBuMe_2$$

<u>106</u>

The efficiency of the metalation was then tested, and analogous results to those reported by Zweifel^{58b} were obtained. The corresponding cyanocuprate <u>101</u> was then formed by standard techniques and its reaction with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was examined. Careful chromatography of the complex reaction mixture afforded a low yield of a 1:1 mixture of 107 and 108, which were tentatively assigned as



1,4-adducts. These isomers could not be separated nor could their stereochemistry be firmly established due to the high complexity of their 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum.

It is well known that the outcome of reactions involving propargyl organometallics is extremely sensitive to the steric requirements of the substrate.^{59b} Therefore, it was decided that the addition should be attempted on the prostanoid system <u>38</u>, using the same conditions just developed, i.e., cuprate addition, immediately followed by fluoride induced hydrolysis. When this was performed, no desired β -hydroxyketone <u>100</u> could be detected. It was then thought that the presence of the strong complexing agent TMEDA in the reaction medium could be the cause of the failure of the reaction. A systematic examination of different metalation conditions showed that the lithio derivative could be generated with <u>tert</u>-butyllithium in tetrahydrofuran. This was not completely satisfactory since it is well known that the reaction of cyanocuprates with epoxy enol ethers proceeds more regioselectively in ether than in tetrahydrofuran.⁶¹ Nevertheless, the conjugate addition was attempted with identical results to those obtained in the presence of TMEDA.

It was then considered that a clean, effective method of generating cyanocuprate <u>101</u> in ether and without TMEDA was paramount for the success of our strategy. Propargylic iodide <u>109</u> was synthesized by standard procedures⁶² and its metal-halogen exchange with <u>tert</u>-butyllithium in ether at different temperatures was studied. It was found that, even at low temperatures, the yields of anion were extremely low. The metal-halogen exchange was

 $ICH_{2}C \equiv C - (CH_{2})_{3} - CH_{2}OSiEt_{3}$ $\frac{1)^{2} eq^{t}BuLi / Et_{2}O / -110}{2}$ $\frac{109}{11 \times 100}$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{OH}} PhCH-CH_2C \equiv C-(CH_2)_3CH_2OSiEt_3 + PhCH-C-(CH_2)_3CH_2OSiEt_3 \\ C \\ C \\ CH_2 \\ 110(2) \\ 111(1)$$

also tried with a propargylic bromide but without success. It is reasonable to believe that the cause of this failure is the high reactivity of the propargylic halide functionality towards nucleophilic displacement, even when hindered nucleophiles (such as <u>tert</u>-butyllithium) are employed. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that large amounts of non-polar materials were obtained in these trials.

Generation of cyanocuprate <u>101</u> (Scheme 12) from the corresponding Grignard reagent (M=MgBr) was also attempted, and its reaction with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was effected. Unfortunately this led to an intractable mixture; therefore, this approach was not pursued.

Finally, activation of the propargylic position with a sulfide group was examined. It was expected that this would facilitate the metalation and furthermore alter the allene: alkyne ratio, in favor of the latter.⁶⁶ Additionally, it was considered that the presence of a sulfide group in the corresponding prostanoid would not be synthetically inefficient since no major problems were anticipated to achieve desulfurization. In order to test these hypotheses, phenylsulfide <u>112</u> was prepared by standard methods⁶⁴ and it was found that the formation of the anion was easily effected with <u>tert</u>-butyllithium in ether at -78 °C (Scheme 14). The reaction of the corresponding cyanocuprate with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide was then





attempted and an intractable mixture was obtained. Though some allenic 1,4- and 1,2-adducts appeared to have been formed, no major product could be characterized.

All of these unsuccessful efforts prompted a change in strategy. It was decided to focus our attention on an alternative approach which involved the utilization of allylic organometallics. The corresponding retrosynthetic analysis is shown in Scheme 15. It was envisioned that



ⁿBu₃SnCH₂I

Prostaglandin E_2 could be obtained by desilation and functional group manipulation from hydroxyketone <u>114</u>. This, in turn, could arise from the conjugate addition of allylcyanocuprate <u>115</u> onto epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> and subsequent fluoride induced hydrolysis. There are two intrinsic problems associated with the utilization of substituted allylic organometallics: first, allylic organometallics are ambident nucleophiles⁶⁵ and thus may react by either or both ends of the allylic system; secondly, if the desired regiochemistry is obtained, the stereochemistry of the double bond could be \underline{Z} or \underline{E} or a mixture of both. It was expected that the bulky triethylsilyl group in <u>115</u> would serve a double function: first, it would favor reaction by the least substituted end of the allylic system, and second, it would lock the double bond into the desired \underline{E} stereochemistry, which would result in a \underline{Z} alkene after desilation. The situation is even more complex in this case since epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> is an ambident electrophile. Crucial to the viability of this approach was the stereospecific carbometallation of triethylsilylacetylene⁶⁶ with cuprate <u>117</u>, followed by alkylation of the resulting vinylic cuprate with tri-n-butylstannylmethyl iodide.

Triethylsilyl acetylene was prepared from triethylsilyl chloride and ethynyllithium in tetrahydrofuran. Tri-<u>n</u>-butylstannylmethyl iodide was prepared by literature procedures.⁶⁷ It was decided that for these initial studies a more accessible cuprate could be employed as a model system. Thus, the reaction of <u>n</u>-butylcopper with triethylsilyl acetylene was examined (following the procedure developed by Utimoto for the trimethylsilyl ana- \log^{68}). Several alternative routes were attempted, but this was found to be the most efficient procedure. Trapping of the intermediate vinylcopper species with



tri-<u>n</u>-butylstannylmethyl iodide was then attempted by the same procedure utilized before. Allyl tin compound <u>119</u> was



thus obtained in approximately 40% yield. It should be mentioned that this is, to our knowledge, a novel synthetic route for silyl allyl tin compounds of well-defined stereochemistry. However, its scope and generality have not yet been determined. Since <u>119</u> could not be separated from uncharacterized by-products, several alternative routes were examined. When a more effective method could not be found, the next step of the sequence was attempted on the relatively impure material. Anion formation was best achieved by treatment with methyllithium in THF at -78°C, as determined by quenching the anion with benzaldehyde and subsequent isolation of adducts 120 and 121.



The next step in our synthetic strategy was then tried. Allyl tin compound <u>119</u> was treated with methyllithium as described before, and the corresponding cyanocuprate was formed by standard methods. The reaction of vinyl epoxide <u>37</u> with the cyanocuprate derived from <u>119</u> was carried out and the crude reaction mixture was immediately treated with potassium fluoride in pH 7



buffer/ethanol. Workup led to an intractable mixture that resisted even the most careful purification and characterization attempts. Further studies with this type of silyl allyl cuprate were discontinued; thus, a suitable solution for the use of stereochemically defined allyl cuprates remains to be found.

CHAPTER III

AN APPROACH TO POROSIN AND THE SYNTHESIS OF β -METHYL- γ -OCTALACTONES (OAK LACTONES) VIA LACTONIZATION OF VINYL SULFOXIDES

1. Introduction.

In 1981, Marino and Neisser⁶⁹ developed a new reaction that led to α -substituted- γ -arylthio- γ -lactones, <u>122</u>. This reaction was a new type of cyclization directed by a sulfoxide functionality. The lactone products were deemed of



special interest for two reasons: First, γ -lactones are present in many natural products which possess antibiotic and antitumor properties. Second, the γ -carbon in these lactones is in the oxidation state of a ketone, which suggests the possibility of further annulation processes leading to cyclopentenones such as 123, 70 also present in many biologically active products.

The proposed mechanism for this lactonization is shown in Figure 10. Nucleophilic attack of the sulfingl

Figure 10. Mechanism of the Sulfoxide-Directed Lactonization of Vinyl Sulfoxides.







1<u>22</u>

oxygen upon a ketene generates enolate <u>124</u>, which then may add to the β -carbon of the vinyl sulfoxide producing ylide <u>125</u>, which then rearranges, possibly <u>via</u> zwitterion <u>126</u>, to give the lactone <u>122</u>.

Initial work on this reaction employed the dehydrohalogenation of dichloroacetyl chloride with triethylamine as the method of ketene generation. This led to low yields of lactones, together with unreacted starting



material and vinyl sulfide. The method of ketene generation was then changed to reductive dehalogenation of trichloroacetyl chloride, which proved to be much cleaner and afforded higher yields. Some of the substrates examined by Marino and Neisser⁷¹ are shown below. It was found that the reaction proceeded in a stereospecific manner; thus, <u>cis</u> vinyl sulfoxide <u>129</u> gave a <u>cis</u>- β , γ -substituted lactone, and the corresponding <u>trans</u> vinyl sulfoxide <u>130</u> yielded the <u>trans</u>-disubstituted lactone. Another interesting feature of this reaction is that each diastereomer of <u>133</u> led to a different <u>cis</u>-fused lactone, with remarkable



stereoselectivity. This suggested that the stereochemical outcome of the reaction was controlled by the absolute configuration of the sulfur atom, and, therefore, a high degree of asymmetric induction might be attainable if an optically active sulfoxide were employed.

Subsequent work by Marino and Perez⁷⁰ further expanded the scope of this methodology. The reactions of a variety of cyclic sulfoxides with different ketenes (dichloro, monochloro, bromomethyl, chloromethyl, monobromo) were explored. It was found that the yields were highest when dichloroketene was employed. Several chemoselective transformations of these α , α -dichloro- γ -arylthiolactones were developed as well. Some examples are listed below.



134



The possibility of achieving asymmetric induction with this lactonization was also addressed. (\underline{R}) - and (\underline{S}) -1-Tolylsulfinylcyclohexenes were prepared and their lactonization was studied. Optically active dichlorolactones
were obtained and they were degraded to the corresponding diols as shown in Scheme 16. The enantiomeric purity of

Scheme 16



diol <u>140a</u> was evaluated by esterification with the chiral acid developed by Mosher,⁷² α -methoxy- α -phenyl- α -trifluoromethyl acetic acid (MPTAA), and careful analysis of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the corresponding ester. Within the limits of detection, the sulfoxide-directed lactonization was found to be enantiospecific, allowing for the generation of two new chiral centers at the expense of the sulfur chirality. The starting materials were readily accessible and the absolute configuration of the lactones could be controlled by using either enantiomer of the sulfoxide.

At the inception of this part of the thesis, there were several problems to be addressed for the further development of this methodology. First, it was desirable to expand the range of acyclic sulfoxides, especially trisubstituted vinyl sulfoxides. Second, the development of a higher yielding desulfurization method was deemed important since the use of Raney nickel (Scheme 16) resulted in very low yields of desulfurized products. Furthermore, the stereochemical outcome of such a reaction in monocyclic cases had not been previously researched within our group. The third aim was to attempt the application of this lactonization to the total synthesis of natural products. The results obtained are discussed in the following sections.

2. Synthetic Strategy Towards the Neolignan Porosin.

Lignans are natural products of plant origin that arise from the oxidative coupling of two <u>p</u>-hydroxyphenylpropene units.⁷³ The neolignans constitute a class of lignans characterized by the propenylphenyl and/or allylphenyl units contained in their structures. Among these, the <u>8.1'</u> neolignan skeleton is of particular interest since members of this group have shown promising antitumor activity.⁷⁴ Listed below are some examples of this class of compounds.





BURCHELLIN

POROSIN





MEGAPHONE

The synthesis of this class of compounds has been explored recently in our group. Marino and Jaen^{10b} studied three synthetic schemes towards megaphone,^{75,76} the most promising of which is shown in Figure 11. Lithium enolate <u>142</u> was treated with triethylsilyloxy aldehyde <u>141</u> to give a quantitative yield of adducts <u>143</u> and <u>144</u> (ca. 3:1 ratio). Treatment of hemiketal <u>144</u> with CH_3SO_2Cl followed by elimination (DBN) and removal of the triethylsilyl group gave epoxy alcohol <u>145</u>, which reacted very cleanly with several cyanocuprates to give the corresponding 1,4-<u>trans</u>-adducts if organolithium





<u>Reagents</u>: (1) MsCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C. (2) DBN, CH_2Cl_2 , 25°C. (3) <u>n</u>-Bu₄NF, THF, 25°C. (4) Allylcyanocuprate, Et_2O , -78°C.

compounds were employed as precursors of the cuprate reagents. Adduct <u>146</u> contains all the structural and stereochemical features required for the synthesis of megaphone. The completion of this synthesis is currently being investigated in our group.

The fascinating synthetic possibilities of the sulfoxide directed lactonization together with our interest in the synthesis of 8.1' neolignans originated the following approach to porosin.⁷⁷ The retrosynthetic analysis is presented in Scheme 17. The key step in this approach was the inverse electronic demand cycloaddition between a suitably protected cyclic ketene acetal, 150, and dienyl sulfoxide 151 to give allylic sulfoxide 149. Sigmatropic rearrangement, followed by inversion of the carbinol carbon would lead to allylic alcohol 148. Alternatively, if the cycloaddition was not to proceed in an endo fashion, no inversion would be needed. Hydroxyl directed epoxidation, methylation and elimination (see Figure 11) would afford allylic epoxide 147. The synthesis could then be completed by conjugate addition of allylcyanocuprate and oxidation.

An interesting feature of this approach is the initial elaboration of the five-membered ring that then serves as a building block on which the six-membered ring is attached. Other syntheses of neolignans proceed by initially building

the six-membered ring and then attaching the five-membered ring.

Scheme 17



POROSIN



÷







.









Aru



Similar cycloadditions to the one proposed are precedented in the literature. Evans⁷⁸ developed an elegant route to functionalized hasubanan derivatives (Scheme 18), which involved the cycloaddition of enamine 153 with

Scheme	18
--------	----



151

153





1-butadienyl phenyl sulfoxide. This resulted in a diastereomeric mixture of allylic sulfoxides <u>154</u>. The sequence was then completed by a [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangement⁷⁹ to give isomerically pure amino alcohol <u>155</u>. The cycloaddition was found to occur with an endo orientation.

The synthesis of lactone <u>152</u> was considered to be a good probe for the stereospecificity of the sulfoxide directed lactonization and for the stereochemical outcome of the desulfurization in monocyclic systems. The retrosynthetic analysis is shown in Scheme 19. Lactonization

Scheme 19



of the vinyl sulfoxide 157 with dichloroketene should give lactone 156, if the stereochemistry of the substituents in the sulfoxide is preserved. Dehalogenation and desulfurization should provide lactone 152, if the latter proceeds in a stereospecific manner. Moreover, the possibility of achieving a chiral synthesis of 152 via optically active 157 made this approach very attractive. Lactone 152 was prepared as shown in Figure 12. Bromination of commercially available trans-isoeugenol methyl ether with bromine in carbon tetrachloride at 0°C gave a mixture of dibromides in ca 4-5:1, erythro:threo ratio. Alternatively, bromination in chloroform at -30°C produced mixtures of dibromides in ca. 10:1, erythro:threo ratio, from which the desired erythro isomer, 158, was obtained by fractional recrystallization with 5% i-PrOH/hexane. Dibromide 158 could be dehydrohalogenated in good yield with potassium hydroxide in isopropanol/dichloromethane to give vinyl bromide 159. Other conditions were initially attempted, such as ethanolic potassium hydroxide 80 and triethylamine in dichloromethane. The results are shown below.





<u>Reagents</u>: (1) Br_2 , $CHCl_3$, -30 °C; fractional recrystallization, hexane/<u>i</u>-PrOH. (2) KOH, <u>i</u>-PrOH, CH_2Cl_2 , $0 °C \rightarrow RT$. (3) <u>sec</u>-butyllithium, Et_2O , -78 °C; then <u>p</u>-tols(O)Cl, 53% from <u>158</u>. (4) Cl_3CCOCl , Zn(Cu), Et_2O , reflux, 60%. (5) Al(Hg), THF/H_2O , $0 °C \rightarrow RT$, 90%. (6) RaNi, EtOH, RT, 20%.



Vinyl bromide <u>159</u> was found to be rather thermally unstable and was used without purification shortly after it had been prepared. A sample of pure bromide <u>159</u> was kept at room temperature and monitored periodically by 360 MHz ¹H-NMR. This showed a significant amount of isomerization to its <u>Z</u> isomer after 2-3 days. Unfortunately, this process could not be utilized in a synthetic fashion since prolonged standing of the sample led to complete decomposition.

The preparation of vinyl sulfoxide <u>157</u> was then examined. At this stage of the synthesis, it was considered that racemic <u>157</u> was the appropriate target. Nevertheless, some preliminary attempts to synthesize optically active <u>157</u> were made. Thus, metal-halogen exchange of bromide <u>159</u> with <u>n</u>-butyllithium in THF, followed by quenching of the anion with menthol p-toluenesulfinate, resulted in

very low yields of the corresponding sulfoxide. A more reactive electrophile, <u>p</u>-toluene sulfinyl chloride, was then tried and, surprisingly, the yields were also very low. The cause for this could conceivably be an inherent lack of stability of the vinyl anion in THF. This hypothesis was verified when the lithium-halogen exchange was performed with <u>sec</u>-butyllithium in ether as solvent. Indeed, quenching of the anion with <u>p</u>-toluene sulfinyl chloride afforded substantially higher yields (53% overall from dibromide 158).

Sulfoxide directed lactonization of 157 with dichloroketene (generated by dehalogenation of trichloroacetyl chloride) was effected under standard conditions⁷⁰ to give a 60% yield of dichlorolactone <u>156</u>. It should be mentioned that when this reaction was carried out on a large scale a trace amount of isomeric dichlorolactone 162 was



fortuitously isolated and fully characterized (see Experimental). The ratio of isomeric lactones <u>156</u> and <u>162</u> was found to be <u>ca</u>. 30:1. Such a ratio might well be attributed to some contamination of (<u>E</u>)-vinyl sulfoxide <u>157</u> with trace amounts of its (Z) isomer.

Treatment of dichlorolactone <u>156</u> with aluminum amalgam⁸¹ produced dechlorinated lactone <u>160</u> in excellent yield. γ -Arylthio-lactones of this type are remarkably unstable in deuterochloroform solution which is in sharp contrast with their chlorinated analogues. They are indefinitely stable, however, when stored neat in a refrigerator.

Desulfurization was then achieved with Raney nickel⁸² in ethanol and was found to be highly stereoselective. Lactone <u>152</u> was obtained in 20% yield (not optimized), accompanied by 25% recovery of starting material. Detailed examination of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of <u>152</u> showed only trace amounts of its <u>trans</u> isomer <u>165</u>. The synthesis of <u>cis</u>-3,4-disubstituted- γ -lactone <u>152</u> was thus completed, although the desulfurization step still needed improvement.

Tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin hydride has recently been explored in our group as a reagent to achieve simultaneous dehalogenation and desulfurization in analogous systems.⁸³ Some of the results obtained are shown below. Undoubtedly the



high ratio in favor of the <u>cis</u> isomer is related to the bicyclic character of this system. When dichlorolactone <u>156</u> was subjected to these conditions, a mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> lactones <u>152</u> and <u>165</u> was obtained. Surprisingly, the mixture was predominantly cis. These lactones



could be separated by careful flash chromatography or HPLC, and the <u>trans</u> isomer was fully characterized. The stereochemistry of these lactones was assigned on the basis of their 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra. <u>Cis</u>-lactone <u>152</u> presents an unusually shielded methyl group (0.699 ppm), while the <u>trans</u>-lactone <u>165</u> shows a more standard methyl

shift (1.156 ppm). The chemical shift of the benzylic proton varies substantially as well (5.537 ppm for the <u>cis</u> isomer vs. 4.862 ppm for the <u>trans</u> isomer). This is consistent with the well-known shielding effect of substituents <u>cis</u> to a given proton (see Chapter I). Finally, the coupling constant, J_{34} , is considerably smaller for the <u>cis</u> isomer (5.7 Hz) than for the <u>trans</u> isomer (8.6 Hz), which is typical for this class of lactones.⁸⁴

Continuing with the retrosynthetic analysis depicted in Scheme 17, the next step would be the preparation of a suitably protected ketene acetal derived from lactone <u>152</u>. No difficulties were anticipated for this transformation since analogous systems are well known in the literature. It was considered that the use of a model system would substantially simplify the experimental protocol; therefore, commercially available γ -butyrolactone would be employed for the initial studies on the cycloaddition reaction.

Sulfoxide <u>151</u> was then synthesized by a literature procedure,⁷⁸ as shown in Scheme 20. However, some modifications had to be introduced. First, dehydrohalogenation of chlorosulfide <u>166</u> was found to give a mixture of vinyl sulfides instead of exclusively <u>trans</u> isomer. Second, oxidation of vinyl sulfides <u>167</u> with sodium periodate in methanol had not occurred after stirring for two days at





<u>Reagents</u>: (1) PhSCl, 90%. (2) Potassium <u>tert</u>-butoxide, THF, 0°C, 60%. (3) MCPBA, CH_2Cl_2 , -78°C \rightarrow RT, 80%.

room temperature. Peracid oxidation, alternatively, proceeded very efficiently, affording a mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> dienyl sulfoxides, which were easily separated by flash chromatography. Pure dienyl sulfoxides <u>151</u> and <u>168</u> could be stored in a refrigerator for months with only a small degree of decomposition.

Treatment of γ -butyrolactone with LDA, followed by trapping of the enolate with triethylsilyl chloride, gave

an excellent yield of silyl ketene acetal <u>169</u>.⁸⁵ The choice of triethylsilyl as a protecting group was based on stability considerations.

Participation of a silyl enol ether as dienophile in a Diels-Alder reaction is rare. To our knowledge, there are only two examples of such reactivity, both involving the mono-trimethylsilyl derivative of biacetyl⁸⁶ and reactive dienes such as cyclopentadiene, 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran, etc. It was thought, however, that the reaction of an extremely electron-rich alkene such as ketene acetal <u>169</u> with a fairly electron-deficient diene, <u>151</u>, should have favorable electronics. With these considerations in mind, the cycloaddition was attempted. Initial



trials under Evans' conditions⁷⁸ (CH₃CN, 70°C) were not successful. If the reaction was allowed to proceed for several days, almost complete decomposition occurred, and the only product which could be isolated and characterized in very low yield was adduct <u>170</u>. Adduct <u>170</u> was obtained as a single isomer although its stereochemistry



could not be conclusively assigned. Formation of <u>170</u> was observed whenever the reaction was allowed to proceed for long periods of time. Many different sets of conditions were tried:

- (a) refluxing p-cymene.
- (b) CH_2Cl_2 , $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, -78° \rightarrow RT
- (c) CH₃CN, RT, 2 days.
- (d) DMSO, RT, 2 days.
- (e) CH_3CN , $ZnCl_2$, $RT \rightarrow 60^\circ$.
- (f) CH_2Cl_2 , $Me_3SiOS(O)_2CF_3$, $-78^\circ \rightarrow RT$.
- (g) Neat, 200°, 1 h.

None of the desired adduct was detected in any of these trials; instead, intractable mixtures were obtained. In most cases, significant amounts of 1-butadienyl-phenylsulfoxide were recovered (30-60%). In many cases trace amounts of other products were isolated but could not be identified. An exception is entry g, from which a 14% yield of adduct <u>171</u> was isolated and characterized by 360 MHz 1 H-NMR and mass spectrometry.



At this point, modification of the dienophile was pursued. It was thought that an electron-withdrawing group attached to the alkene might alter the electronics of the system and allow for the reaction with dienyl sulfide <u>167</u> to occur. The presence of an ester in an angular position, such as in <u>173</u>, would not be synthetically inefficient since hydrolysis and decarboxylation could lead



to the desired allylic epoxide <u>147</u>. Oxidation of allylic sulfide <u>172</u> would provide the corresponding sulfoxide for the sigmatropic rearrangement leading to <u>173</u>. Thus, silyl ketene acetal <u>174</u> was prepared by standard procedures⁸⁷ and its reaction with butadienyl phenyl sulfide <u>167</u> under several different conditions was examined. No desired adduct was detected. The reaction with dienyl sulfoxide 151 was also carried out and was found to give low yields



of adducts 175 and 176 as well as starting material and other unidentified products. The formation of 175 can be understood by considering the nucleophilicity of the sulfinyl oxygen, capable of effecting desilylation of 174 and thus generating a stable enolate which could then add in a 1,2-fashion to the silylated sulfoxide. Compound 175was obtained as a mixture of diastereomers and compound 176 was formed as a single isomer whose stereochemistry could not be conclusively assigned.

At this point, a change in strategy was deemed necessary. The fact that the only known successful example of such a cycloaddition (Scheme 18) involved an enamine, <u>153</u>, suggested that the presence of that functionality could be necessary for the reaction to occur. An additional model system was then examined and the results are shown in Scheme 21. It was considered that since the synthesis of the corresponding oxygenated analogue did not seem straightforward, an initial attempt should be effected with a readily available enamine. The reaction of the

Scheme 21



151

pyrrolidine enamine of cyclopentanone with sulfoxide <u>151</u> was thus examined and, surprisingly, it was found that the main product was 2-thiophenylcyclopentanone. The rest of the many reaction products were thoroughly analyzed. However, no cycloaddition adduct was detected. These puzzling results convinced us to abandon, at least temporarily, this approach.

Two additional routes remained to be explored. These are indicated in Scheme 22.

Scheme 22





Michael additions of masked acyl carbanions to 3methyl-1,3-butadienyl phenyl sulfoxide are precedented in the literature.⁸⁸ Unfortunately, treatment of



1-butadienyl phenyl sulfoxide with either the lithium enolate of γ -butyrolactone or the sodium enolate of 2-carboethoxy- γ -butyrolactone (route A) led only to decomposition.

The second route shown in Scheme 22 (route B) involved the utilization of γ -butyrolactone as an electrophile and a sulfoxide anion, <u>178</u>. If this approach were successful, the basic carbon skeleton would be formed and subsequent functional group manipulation would possibly allow for the completion of the synthesis. The previously unknown anion <u>178</u> was prepared by deprotonation with LDA in ether and trapped with heptanal to give diastereomeric adducts <u>180a</u> and <u>180b</u>, which were easily separated by flash chromatography. The use of THF resulted in much lower yields of adducts, presumably due to decomposition of anion 178 in THF, even at low temperatures.



The reaction of this anion with γ -butyrolactone was then attempted but without success. Compound <u>178</u> was found to be too basic and not sufficiently nucleophilic. No addition product was detected.

A great deal of time and effort had been devoted to the development of this approach to porosin, but unfortunately the results were extremely disappointing. It was decided, therefore, to interrupt our efforts in the total synthesis and continue the development of the methodology of the sulfoxide-directed lactonization for acyclic vinyl sulfoxides. The remainder of this manuscript will describe those results.

The availability of <u>cis</u>- and <u>trans</u>-l-butadienyl phenyl sulfoxides <u>168</u> and <u>151</u> prompted us to study their ketene cycloaddition reactions. Both isomers were found to react cleanly, in a stereospecific manner, as shown in Scheme 23.





It was found, however, that dichlorolactones <u>181</u> and <u>182</u> decomposed extensively upon chromatography. They were purified by fast filtration through a small amount of deactivated silica gel. The stereochemistry of these isomeric lactones was established from the chemical shifts of H_3 and H_4 (both upfield in the <u>trans</u> isomer, with respect to the <u>cis</u> isomer) and by the values of the coupling constant between them: 6.2 Hz for <u>181</u> and 9.9 Hz for <u>182</u>. These lactones are interesting intermediates since the presence of the vinyl group allows, in principle, for subsequent elaboration, such as cleavage to the aldehyde, Diels-Alder cycloadditions, etc. The combination of this lactonization with the chemistry of anion <u>178</u>, although not yet explored, should further expand the scope of this methodology.

3. Synthesis of <u>Cis-</u> and <u>Trans- β -methyl- γ -octalactones (Oak Lactones).</u>

<u>Cis</u> and <u>trans</u> 4-butyl-3-methyl-4-butanolides, <u>183</u> and <u>184</u>, are natural products extracted by wine or other alcoholic beverages from oak barrels in which they are kept for maturing.⁸⁹ Hence they were named "quercus lactones" or "oak lactones." The structure elucidation of these compounds has been the subject of some controversy,^{89c,90} and it is only recently that their structures have been conclusively established.⁹¹



<u>183</u>



There are several non-selective syntheses of these lactones in the literature 92 as well as some selective

syntheses,⁹³ which proceed by stereoselective condensations of pentanal with the reagents shown below and subsequent functional group manipulation. A common feature of these syntheses is the formation of the C_3-C_4 bond in the key step.



The synthesis of these oak lactones by means of the sulfoxide directed lactonization of vinyl sulfoxides seemed to be a relatively simple application of that methodology. From a retrosynthetic point of view, it would be different from previous approaches since the key step would form the C_2-C_3 bond. The synthesis of racemic lactones <u>183</u> and <u>184</u> was accomplished, as shown in Figure 13.











Figure 13 (continued)

<u>Reagents</u>: (1) tol-S(O)Cl, THF, 72%. (2) LDA, THF, -78°C, 20 min; then HMPA (1 eq.); then <u>n</u>-butyl iodide, 60%. (3) Cl₃CCOCl, Zn(Cu), ether, 75%. (4) <u>n</u>-Bu₃SnH, AIBN, toluene, 70% of a 1:1.8, <u>cis/</u> <u>trans</u> mixture. (5) Raney nickel, benzene, reflux, 38% of a 2:1 <u>cis/trans</u> mixture. (6) Al(Hg), THF/H₂O, $0^{\circ} \rightarrow RT$, 90%.

1-Bromo-1-propene (as a 1:3 mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> isomers) was transformed into the corresponding Grignard reagent by standard methods and its reaction with <u>p</u>-toluene sulfinyl chloride was effected to give a 1:3 mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> sulfoxides <u>189</u> and <u>190</u>.⁹⁴ These isomeric sulfoxides were easily separated by flash chromatography. Pure <u>trans</u> isomer <u>190</u> was then deprotonated α - to the sulfoxide functionality^{95,96} with lithium diisopropylamide and alkylated with <u>n</u>-butyl iodide in the presence of HMPA to give a 4:1 mixture of vinyl sulfoxide <u>191</u> and allylic sulfoxide <u>192</u>. This relatively large amount of allylic deprotonation appears to occur only in alkenyl sulfoxides substituted with a methyl group. Other substituents do not allow allylic deprotonation and exclusive formation of a vinylic lithium species is observed.⁹⁵ Separation of isomeric sulfoxides <u>191</u> and <u>192</u> was not necessary. The mixture was subjected to the lactonization conditions to give a 75% yield of dichlorolactone <u>193</u>, which was easily purified by flash chromatography.

Dehalogenation and desulfurization could be effected by two different methods. Tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin hydride⁹⁷ was found to be a fairly efficient reagent, giving a 70% yield of a 1:1.8 mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> lactones <u>183</u> and <u>184</u>, which were separated by flash chromatography and characterized by comparison of their 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra with literature values.^{93c}

Alternatively, treatment of dichlorolactone <u>193</u> with Raney nickel⁸² in refluxing benzene gave a predominantly <u>cis</u> mixture of lactones <u>183</u> and <u>184</u> in 38% yield. Selective dehalogenation of dichlorolactone <u>193</u> could be achieved in excellent yield by treatment with aluminum amalgam⁸¹ in THF/water to give γ -arylthiolactone <u>194</u>. A few attempts to improve the yield and stereoselectivity of the desulfurization of <u>194</u> were performed. Unfortunately, none of them was successful.

Raney nickel desulfurizations of organic sulfides are believed to proceed through free-radical mechanisms.⁹⁸ This is supported by the fact that desulfurizations of acyclic optically active sulfides, having sulfur at the asymmetric center, result in completely racemic products.⁹⁹

It is believed, however, that the stereochemical course of sulfide desulfurization in cyclic systems is not thermodynamically controlled. This has been suggested by studies of van Tamelen and Grant^{100} on the desulfurization of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans-3-methyl-3-benzylmercapto-cyclohexyl</u> β -naphthoate, <u>195</u> and <u>196</u>.



	<u>cis</u> (<u>197</u>)	<u>trans</u> (<u>198</u>)
<u>cis</u> (<u>195</u>)	378	63%
<u>trans (196</u>)	56%	44%

The varying amounts of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> products from the two precursors indicate that at least one of the product mixtures was not thermodynamically equilibrated. It was found that the reaction proceeded predominantly with retention for both isomers. That appears to be the case, as well, for γ -arylthio- γ -lactones <u>160</u> and <u>193</u>. Desulfurization of <u>193</u> proceeded with moderate stereoselectivity (2:1) in good agreement with the results summarized above.



Alternatively, desulfurization of lactone <u>160</u> with Raney nickel proceeded with unusual selectivity to give <u>cis</u>-lactone <u>152</u>, contaminated by trace amounts (<u>ca</u>. 30:1 ratio) of its <u>trans</u> epimer. This high selectivity may be produced by the presence of the 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl group in <u>160</u> which may have a distinct effect on the adsorption of the sulfide substrate on the catalyst surface and thereby on the steric course of the desulfurization.

In summary, the sulfoxide directed lactonization of several acyclic vinyl sulfoxides (<u>151</u>, <u>157</u>, <u>168</u> and <u>191</u>) has been studied. The reaction was found to proceed in a stereospecific manner and in good yields. Further studies are necessary, however, to improve the stereoselectivity of the desulfurization of the resulting γ -arylthiolactones. Raney nickel was found to proceed predominantly with retention, although in variable ratios and low yields.

Tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin hydride, alternatively, proceeds in good yields and is the reagent of choice for bicyclic systems.⁸³ In monocyclic cases, however, it shows a significant lack of stereoselectivity.

EXPERIMENTAL

1. General.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) spectra were obtained at 60 MHz on a Varian T-60A, at 300 MHz on a Brüker AM-300 FT NMR spectrometer or at 360 MHz on a Brüker WM-360 FT NMR spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane or the 7.24 ppm resonance of residual chloroform as internal reference.

Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (13 C-NMR) spectra were measured at 22.5 MHz on a JEOL FX90Q, at 75.3 MHz on a Brüker AM-300 FT NMR spectrometer or at 90.4 MHz on a Brüker WM-360 FT NMR spectrometer in chloroform-d solution with a deuterium lock and proton-noise decoupling. The CDCl₃ resonance at 77.00 ppm was used as the internal reference.

In both ${}^{1}H$ -NMR and ${}^{13}C$ -NMR, chemical shifts are reported in δ units downfield from tetramethylsilane. The following abbreviations are used to describe peak patterns when appropriate: br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet and dist = distorted.

Melting points (mp) were determined in Kimax-51 soft glass capillary tubes using a Thomas-Hoover Uni-Melt oil

immersión capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on either a Perkin-Elmer 727B or 457 grating infrared spectrophotometer calibrated with the 1601 cm⁻¹ absorption of polystyrene. Band positions are reported in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}) .

Low resolution mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a Finnigan 4021 GCMS/DS instrument at 70 eV. Masses are reported in units of mass over charge (m/z). The molecular and base peaks are indicated by (M) and (100%), respectively.

Elemental analyses were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratories, Eagle Harbor, Michigan, or Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, Tennessee.

Air and/or moisture-sensitive reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware, equipped with tight-fitting rubber serum septa, under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Reagents and solvents were handled using standard syringe techniques.

Reactions at 0°C, -20°C, -40°C, and -78°C were carried out in an ice/water, dry-ice/carbon tetrachloride, dry-ice/isopropanol bath, respectively.

The following solvents were dried and purified by distillation from the reagents indicated: diethyl ether

(ether), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) from lithium aluminum hydride; dichloromethane, acetonitrile, and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) from calcium hydride; dimethylformamide (DMF), $\underline{N}, \underline{N}$ -diisopropylamine and pyridine from barium oxide; benzene from sodium. All other solvents used were ACS reagent grade.

Commercial methyllithium (low halide in ether), <u>n</u>butyllithium (in hexane) and <u>tert</u>-butyllithium (in hexane) were purchased from Alfa Chemicals and titrated¹⁰¹ prior to use. Technical copper(I) cyanide was purchased from J. T. Baker and was employed without further purification.

Flash chromatography was performed on Baker 40 µm particle diameter silica gel. Other column chromatography was done on Merck 70-230 mesh, Grace 100-200 mesh, or Baker 40-140 mesh silica gel. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (tlc) was carried out on Analtech 250 µm or on Eastman chromagram 100 µm silica gel plates, with visualization by UV light (254 nm), or by staining with either iodine vapor, 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine or acidic vanillin solution.

2. Preparation of Relevant Compounds.

The following section describes the experimental procedures for the preparation of the relevant compounds included in this thesis.
Reaction of Cyclopentadiene Monoepoxide¹⁵ with Cyanocuprates.

All cuprates were prepared <u>in situ</u> by reaction of organolithium or organomagnesium compounds with copper(I) cyanide in diethyl ether. The salt content of the starting organolithium or organomagnesium solution is very important, especially in the case of methyllithium. A common ion effect seems to cause the cyanocuprate to be quite insoluble, to the extent that the reaction with the epoxide may not take place at all or result in lower yields when the solutions are not salt free.

Ether solutions of (MeCuCN)Li appear as an intense yellow color, while (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li and (<u>tert</u>-BuCuCN)Li solutions are dark brown-black in color. Other alkyl-, vinyl- and aryl-cyanocuprates range from light beige to black. No change in color is usually observed when the epoxide is added to the cuprate reagent, but the reactions invariably turn black upon warming to room temperature.

General Procedure for the Reaction of Cyclopentadiene Monoepoxide with Mixed Cyanocuprates.

In most cases a suspension of 1.5 equivalents of CuCN in ether was cooled to -40°C under nitrogen and 1.3 equivalents of the organolithium or organomagnesium solution was slowly added. The suspension would then be

129

stirred at -40°C for 1 h. In some runs, alkylcyanocuprates were allowed to warm up to 0°C for 1 h without any lowering of the yield of the reaction. After the solution was cooled to -78°C (acetone-dry ice bath), a solution of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene monoepoxide in ether was added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to slowly warm up to room temperature.

In a typical run, a 1-L three-necked flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a pressure-equalizing addition funnel was charged with 34.0 g (0.38 mol) of technical-grade copper(I) cyanide and 500 mL of anhydrous ether. The above suspension was cooled to -40 °C and 157 mL of <u>n</u>-BuLi (2.1 M, 0.33 mol) was added slowly from the dropping funnel. The resulting black solution of the cuprate was stirred at -40 °C for 1 h and then cooled to -73 °C. A solution of 20.5 g (0.25 mol) of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene monoepoxide in 50 mL of anhydrous ether was added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight.

The reaction mixture was quenched with 100 mL of a saturated ammonium chloride solution and filtered through a Celite pad. The organic layer was separated, washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution (2 x 50 mL), and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration of the drying agent, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator to afford 58.2 g (95%) of $\underline{14}$ as a light yellow oil. The

130



<u>13</u>

crude product was of high purity as determined by tlc and ¹H-NMR. Only one product was detected by the mentioned techniques.

trans-4-Methylcyclopent-2-enol (13).

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (27.0 g, 0.33 mol) was reacted with (MeCuCN)Li according to the general procedure to give 25.2 g of <u>13</u> (78% yield) as a light yellow liquid. An analytical sample was obtained by distillation, bp 70-72°C (30 mm Hg).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.010 (3H, d, J = 7.10 Hz), 1.514-1.539 (1H, br), 1.693 (1H, ddd, J = 13.96, 7.08, 5.20 Hz), 1.944 (1H, ddd, J = 13.96, 7.47, 2.59 Hz), 2.937 (1H, m), 4.860 (1H, dqd, J = 7.10, 2.10, 0.53 Hz), 5.771 (1H, dt, J = 5.54, 2.20 Hz), 5.881 (1H, ddd, J = 5.54, 2.06, 0.53 Hz).

132.075, 141.501.

IR (neat): 840, 940, 980, 1020, 1090, 1190, 1360, 1460, 1615, 2870-3050, 3350.



MS: 90 (M), 81 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₆H₁₀O: C, 73.43; H, 10.27. Found: C, 73.32; H, 10.43.

trans-4-n-Butylcyclopent-2-enol (14).

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (20.5 g, 0.25 mol) was reacted with (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure to give 58.2 g of <u>14</u> (95% yield) as a light yellow oil. An analytical sample could be obtained by distillation, bp 84°C (2.5 mm Hg) or flash chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate, 5:1; $R_f = 0.21$).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.724, 22.555, 29.922, 35.394, 40.216, 43.845, 76.350, 132.755, 139.980.



IR (neat): 750, 1030, 1120, 1370, 1470, 1620, 2860-3050, 3350. MS: 140 (M), 123 (52%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₉H₁₆O: C, 77.09; H, 11.50.

Found: C, 77.12; H, 11.57.

trans-4-tert-Butylcyclopent-2-enol (15).

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (820 mg, 10 mmol) was reacted with (<u>tert</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure to give 1.23 g of <u>15</u> (88% yield) as a light yellow oil. An analytical sample could be obtained by distillation, bp 70-71°C (l.6 mm Hg) or flash chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1; $R_f = 0.20$).

1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.820 (9H, s), 1.509-1.535 (1H, br), 1.682 (1H, ddd, J = 14.20, 8.00, 2.90 Hz), 1.947 (1H, ddd, J = 14.20, 7.25, 5.40 Hz), 2.719 (1H, ddq, J = 8.00, 5.40, 2.20 Hz), 4.805 (1H, dqd, J = 7.20, 2.20, 0.85 Hz), 5.840 (1H, dt, J = 5.70, 2.26 Hz), 5.943 (1H, ddd, J = 5.70, 2.10, 0.85 Hz).



¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 27.427, 29.120, 35.982, 55.539, 77.101, 133.819, 137.286.

IR (neat): 820, 875, 1165, 1390, 1430, 1500, 1510, 2820-3100, 3370.

MS: 140 (M), 123 (54.5%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₉H₁₆O: C, 77.09; H, 11.50. Found: C, 77.20; H, 11.51.

<u>trans-2-Vinylcyclopent-3-enol (16)</u> and <u>trans-4-</u> Vinylcyclopent-2-enol (<u>17</u>).

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (12.30 g, 150 mmol) was reacted with (vinyl-CuCN)Li according to the general procedure to give 12.40 g of a pale yellow liquid (75% yield) of a 1:1 mixture of <u>16</u> and <u>17</u> after distillation (bp 70°C at 15 mm Hg) as determined by integration of its ¹H-NMR spectrum. The adducts could not be separated by chromatography or distillation. Compound $(\underline{16}):^{102}$

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz): 2.30-2.65 (2H, m), 3.12-3.43 (1H, m), 4.10-4.42 (1H, m), 4.83-5.21 (3H, m), 5.50-5.83 (2H, m).
- IR (neat): 820, 850, 915, 990, 1040, 1320, 1420, 1640, 2850-3080, 3340.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_7H_{10}O$: C, 76.33; H, 9.08.

Found: C, 76.22; H, 9.15.

Compound (17) (in the mixture):

.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz): 1.80-2.21 (2H, m), 3.42-3.63

(1H, m), 4.75-5.30 (4H, m), 5.75-5.95 (2H, s).

- IR (neat): 795, 915, 1000, 1035, 1645, 2850-3000, 3060, 3350.
- Anal. (on mixture) Found: C, 76.05; H, 9.43.



<u>trans-2-[(lE)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-l-</u> enyl]-cyclopent-3-enol (<u>9</u>) and <u>trans-4-[(lE)-3-tert-Butyl-</u> dimethylsilyloxyoct-l-enyl]-cyclopent-2-enol (<u>8</u>).

A 500 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a pressure equalizing addition funnel and a magnetic stirring bar was charged with 20.0 g (54.3 mmol) of $(1\underline{E})$ -1-iodo-3-<u>tert</u>butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-octene and 215 mL of anhydrous ether, and cooled to -78°C (nitrogen atmosphere). From the addition funnel was added dropwise 62 mL of <u>tert</u>BuLi (1.84 M, 114.0 mmol). After the addition was complete, the mixture was further stirred at -78°C for 3 h. The resulting solution of the corresponding vinyllithium was then transferred <u>via</u> a double-tipped needle to a mechanically stirred suspension of 10.2 g (114.0 mmol) of technical grade copper(I) cyanide in 215 mL of anhydrous ether at -40°C. After being stirred at this temperature for 1.5 h, the brown-orange solution of the organocuprate reagent was ready for use as evidenced by a negative Gilman's test.¹⁰³ To the above solution was added dropwise 9.4 g (114.0 mmol) of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene monoepoxide. The mixture was stirred between -40°C and -30°C for 2 h and then allowed to warm up to room temperature over 5 h. The reaction was then quenched with 100 mL of a saturated ammonium chloride solution and filtered through a Celite The organic layer was decanted, washed with a satupad. rated sodium chloride solution (2 x 100 mL), and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The drying agent was filtered and the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator to give 19.1 g of light yellow oil. Column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1) provided 1.90 g of 3tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-octene and 14.07 g (80% yield based on vinyl iodide) of a 1:4 mixture of 1,2- and 1,4-adducts, 9 and 8, respectively. A second chromatography of an aliquot of this mixture provided analytically pure samples of each regioisomer.

Compound (9):

R_f = 0.35, hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.00 (6H, 4 x s, two per epimer at C3'), 0.81-0.90 (3H, m), 0.86 and 0.87 (9H, 2 x s, one per epimer at C3'), 1.24-1.48 (8H, m), 2.26 (1H, m), 2.67 (1H, dtd, J = 17.0, 4.0, 2.0 Hz), 3.14-3.15 (1H, m), 4.01-4.03 (1H, m), 4.13 (1H, m), 5.41-5.46 (2H, m), 5.59-5.62

```
(1H, m), 5.71-5.74 (1H, m).
IR (neat): 770, 840, 965, 1080, 1255, 1360, 1470,
     2860-3050, 3400.
Compound (8):
R_f = 0.30, hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1.
<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 360 MHz): -0.009 and 0.013 (6H, 2 x
     s), 0.81-0.88 (3H, m), 0.86 (9H, s), 1.24-1.48
      (8H, m), 1.87 (1H, dddd, J = 13.9, 6.8, 5.1,
     2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1.95 (1\text{H}, \text{ddt}, \text{J} = 13.9, 7.6, 2.9 \text{ Hz}),
     3.48-3.50 (1H, m), 3.99 (1H, dt, J = 5.8, 5.5,
     6.6 \text{ Hz}, 4.85-4.89 (1H, m), 5.33 (1H, ddd, J =
     15.4, 7.0, 1.0 \text{ Hz}, 5.41 (1H, ddd, J = 15.4,
      5.9, 1.3 Hz), 5.81-5.87 (2H, m).
<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -4.0, -3.5, 14.7, 23.3, 25.7, 26.6,
     28.0, 32.5, 39.0, 41.6, 47.4, 74.2, 77.5, 133.3,
      134.0, 134.3, 138.9.
IR (neat): 770, 840, 970, 1080, 1260, 1360, 1405,
     1470, 2860-3050, 3400.
```

```
<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: C, 70.31; H, 11.18.
Found: C, 70.21; H, 11.15.
```



<u>trans-2-Phenylcyclopent-3-enol (18) and trans-4-</u> Phenylcyclopent-2-enol (<u>19</u>).

Cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (l g, 12.2 mmol) was reacted with (PhCuCN)Li according to the general procedure to give 320 mg of <u>18</u> and 650 mg of <u>19</u> (50% combined yield) as light yellow oils after chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1).

Compound $(\underline{18})$: $R_f = 0.42$, hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1. 1 H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 2.20-2.60 (2H, m), 2.78-2.90 (1H, br s), 3.48-3.70 (1H, m), 3.90-4.25 (1H, m), 5.60-5.78 (2H, m), 7.02-7.20 (5H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 41.2, 60.5, 80.6, 126.4, 127.3, 128.4, 129.3, 132.2, 142.7. IR (neat): 690, 745, 1045, 3350. MS: 160 (M), 142 (100%), 115, 104, 91, 77. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₂O: C, 82.46; H, 7.55. Found: C, 82.35; H, 7.35.



Compound $(\underline{19})$: $R_f = 0.20$ hexane:diethyl ether, l:l. 1 H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 1.95-2.34 (2H, m), 3.86-3.97 (1H, br s), 3.96-4.28 (1H, m), 4.82-5.15 (1H, m), 5.94-6.02 (2H, s), 7.19-7.22 (5H, s). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 44.0, 50.2, 77.0, 126.4, 127.2, 128.7, 134.3, 138.7, 145.1. IR (neat): 690, 740, 1021, 1600, 3345. MS: 160 (M), 142, 115 (100), 104, 91, 77. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₂O: C, 82.46; H, 7.55. Found: C, 82.35; H, 7.61.

4-Carboethoxymethylen-cyclopent-2-enol (20).

<u>n</u>-Butyllithium (8 mmol, 4.44 mL of 1.80 M solution) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a cold (0°C) solution of dry <u>N</u>,<u>N</u>-diisopropylamine (1.12 mL, 8 mmol) in 10 mL of anhydrous THF. After 30 min, the solution of LDA was cooled to -78°C and then transferred under nitrogen onto a well-stirred suspension of technical-grade copper(I) cyanide (1.61 g, 18 mmol) and dry ethyl acetate (700 mg,

8 mmol) in 30 mL of anhydrous THF at -100 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm up to -30°C slowly and was then cooled down to -78°C. To the above solution was added dropwise 328 mg (4.0 mmol) of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene monoepoxide. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over 4 h. The reaction was then quenched with 30 mL of a saturated ammonium chloride solution and the organic layers were removed on a rotary evaporator. Then 50 mL of ether was added, and the mixture was filtered through a Celite pad. The organic layer was decanted and the aqueous extracted with ether (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a crude product which was chromatographed on flash silica using hexane-ether 1:1 as an eluent to give 115 mg of 20 as a light yellow liquid (178).

$$\begin{split} & R_{f} = 0.25, \text{ hexane:ether, 1:1.} \\ ^{1}\text{H-NMR} (CDCl_{3}, 360 \text{ MHz}): 1.212 (3H, t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}), \\ & 1.352 (1H, dt, J = 13.9, 4.8 \text{ Hz}), 2.374 (1H, dd, \\ & J = 15.7, 7.4 \text{ Hz}), 2.447 (1H, dd, J = 15.7, 6.5 \\ & \text{Hz}), 2.523 (1H, dt, J = 13.9, 8.0 \text{ Hz}), 2.893 - \\ & 2.949 (1H, m), 4.087 (2H, q, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}), 4.761 \\ & (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 4.8 \text{ Hz}), 5.776 - 5.823 (m, 2H). \\ & ^{13}\text{C-NMR} (CDCl_{3}): 14.212, 39.782, 40.432, 40.649, \\ & 60.368, 77.000, 134.315, 136.699, 172.616. \end{split}$$

141



IR (neat): 770, 1035, 1075, 1370, 1730, 2880-3000, 3065, 3200-3600.

MS: 170 (M), 152, 125, 107, 95, 82, 79 (100%). Anal. Calcd for $C_9H_{14}O_3$: C, 63.51; H, 8.29. Found: C, 63.56; H, 8.31.

$4-tri-\underline{n}-Butylstannylmethylen-cyclopent-2-enol$ (21).

<u>n</u>-Butyllithium (1 mmol, 0.38 mL of 2.63 M solution) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a cold (-50°C) solution of 431 mg (1 mmol) of tri-<u>n</u>-butylstannylmethyl iodide⁶⁷ in 5 mL of anhydrous ether. After 1 h, the solution was transferred under nitrogen onto a well-stirred suspension of copper(I) cyanide (134 mg, 1.5 mmol) in 5 mL of dry ether. From this point, the general procedure described before was followed to give 19 mg of <u>21</u> (5% yield) as a light yellow oil after chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether, 3:1; $R_f = 0.36$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.750-1.646 (31H, m), 1.994 (1H, dd, J = 14.2, 7.6 Hz), 3.031-3.112 (1H, m), 4.820-4.891 (1H, m), 5.736-5.754 (1H, m), 5.846-5.862 (1H, m). IR (CDCl₃): 1030-1050, 2860-3000, 3600. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{36}OSn$: C, 55.85; H, 9.37. Found: C, 55.62; H, 9.26.

General Procedure for the Epoxidation of trans-4-Substituted Cyclopent-2-enoles.

In all cases the crude allylic alcohols could be employed without affecting the yield of the reaction. When the crude consisted of a mixture of 1,4- and 1,2-adducts, only the 1,4-adduct was epoxidized, thus allowing for an easier chromatographic separation.

In a typical run, a 1-L three-necked round-bottomed flask fitted with a magnetic stirring bar, dropping funnel and reflux condenser was charged with 217 mg (0.80 mmol) of vanadyl acetylacetonate and 50 mL of anhydrous benzene. A solution of 40.7 g of crude product from the previous cuprate reaction ($\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$) in 250 mL of dry benzene was added dropwise followed by 16.3 g (0.16 mol) of 90% <u>tert</u>-butylhydroperoxide. The resulting light orange solution was stirred at 40°C for 24 h. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator and the residue taken up in ether and filtered through a Florisil pad. Concentration of the filtrate under reduced pressure afforded 46.3 g of a brown-orange oil which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1) to provide 6.0 g of 1,2-adduct $\underline{9}$ and 23.1 g (98%) of epoxyalcohol 29.



trans-4-Methyl-cis-2,3-epoxycyclopentanol (27).

The epoxidation of <u>13</u> (20.9 g, 0.21 mol) according to the general procedure gave 22.8 g of <u>27</u> (95% yield) as a brown-orange oil. An analytical sample was obtained by chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:2; $R_f = 0.57$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.885 (3H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.379 (1H, dt, J = 13.0, 7.9 Hz), 1.617 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 7.9 Hz), 2.356 (2H, quintet, J = 7.4 Hz and OH-broad), 3.236 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 3.456 (1H, td, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz). IR (neat): 810, 830, 850, 870, 930, 980, 1065, 1080, 1230, 1390, 1465, 2880-3010, 3420.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₆H₁₀O₂: C, 63.14; H, 8.83. Found: C, 63.21; H, 8.76.

trans-4-n-Butyl-cis-2,3-epoxycyclopentanol (28).

The epoxidation of <u>14</u> (120.3 g, 0.86 mol) according to the general procedure gave 134.3 g of <u>28</u> (100% yield) as a brown-orange oil. An analytical sample was obtained by chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1; $R_f = 0.24$).



29

¹_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.813 (3H, dist t, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.051-1.228 (6H, m), 1.296 (1H, dt, J = 13.2, 8.0 Hz), 1.647 (1H, dd, J = 13.2, 8.0 Hz), 2.182 (1H, dist q, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.800-2.900 (1H, br), 3.234 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 3.408 (1H, dist dd, J = 2.7, 1.2 Hz), 4.225 (1H, td, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.779, 22.555, 29.435, 31.223, 33.173, 38.320, 58.526, 59.772, 72.232.

IR (neat): 850, 870, 1070, 1450, 2880-3000, 3420. MS: 156 (M), 138, 109, 95, 83, 71, 57, 41 (100%). Anal. Calcd for $C_9H_{16}O_2$: C, 69.19; H, 10.32. Found: C, 69.23; H, 10.21.

<u>cis-2,3-Epoxy-trans-4-[(lE)-3-tert-butyldimethyl-</u> silyloxyoct-l-enyl]-cyclopentanol (<u>29</u>).

The epoxidation of 40.7 g of crude product containing <u>8</u> and <u>9</u> according to the general procedure gave 6.0 g of <u>9</u> and 23.1 g of <u>29</u> (98% yield), as light yellow oils, after chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1).

 $R_f = 0.29$, hexane:ethyl acetate 4:1.



- 1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): -0.015-0.016 (6H, 4xs, two of them collapsed), 0.82-0.91 (3H, m), 0.859-0.862 (9H, 2xs), 1.22-1.51 (9H, m), 1.81 (1H, ddd, J = 13.0, 10.3, 9.0 Hz), 1.87-1.92 (1H, m, OH), 2.94 (1H, t, J = 7.7 Hz), 3.32 (1H, dd, J = 5.3, 2.7 Hz), 3.49-3.51 (1H, m), 4.00 (1H, dist q, J = 5.8 Hz), 4.32 (1H, br t, J = 7.9 Hz), 5.36 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 7.6 Hz), 5.48 (1H, dd, J = 15.6, 6.1 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.64, -4.21, 13.94, 18.27, 22.61, 24.94, 25.91, 31.82, 34.20, 38.32, 40.81, 58.47, 58.91, 72.56, 73.26, 128.14, 135.61.
- IR (neat): 780, 815, 840, 970, 1080, 1255, 1465, 2880-3000, 3430.

trans-4-Phenyl-cis-2,3-epoxycyclopentanol (30).

The epoxidation of <u>19</u> (328 mg, 2.05 mmol) according to the general procedure gave 325 mg of <u>30</u> (90% yield) as a brown-orange oil. An analytical sample was obtained by chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1). ¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 1.42-2.16 (m, 2H), 3.35-3.66 (m, 4H), 4.34-4.70 (lH, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.92-7.34 (5H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 36.153, 44.441, 59.556, 60.097, 72,882, 126.839, 127.164, 128.789, 141.400. IR (neat): 698, 760, 850, 972, 1165, 3400. MS: 176 (M), 158, 115, 104 (100%). 91, 77. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₂O₂: C, 74.98; H, 6.86. Found: C, 74.68; H, 6.88.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4-Substituted-2,3-epoxycyclopentanones.

A large excess of chromium trioxide was always used (6-10 equivalents). Use of smaller amounts of oxidizing agent resulted in decreased yields. These yields were also highest when the reagent was preformed <u>in situ</u>. When the chromium trioxide-pyridine complex was isolated and subsequently used for the reaction, lower yields were obtained. Optimum yields were achieved when the oxidation was carried out under fairly dilute conditions (0.011 M in substrate).

In a typical run, a 3-L three-necked flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer and an addition funnel was charged with 28.0 g (354 mmol) of dry pyridine and 1.5 L of anhydrous dichloromethane. The flask was cooled to

0°C and 17.7 g (177 mmol) of vacuum-dried chromium trioxide was added in small portions. The resulting burgundy solution was stirred at 10-15°C for 15 min and then cooled to 0°C again. A solution of 6.0 g (17.6 mmol) of epoxyalcohol 29 in 100 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane was added slowly. After the addition was complete, the dark brown suspension was stirred at room temperature for an additional 2 h. The mixture was then filtered through a Celite pad , and the gummy residue was rinsed exhaustively with dichloromethane. The combined organic solution was concentrated on a rotary evaporator. The residue was taken up in 400 mL of ether and successively washed with cold 5% HCl, saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and brine and finally dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and concentration under reduced pressure gave 5.60 g of a viscous brown-orange oil which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1) to afford 4.90 g (82%) of epoxyketone 33 as a light vellow oil.

148



trans-4-Methyl-2,3-epoxycyclopentanone (31).

Epoxy alcohol $\underline{27}$ (4.7 g, 41 mmol) was oxidized according to the general procedure to give 3.5 g of $\underline{31}$ (75% yield) as a pale yellow liquid after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.023 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.630 (1H, d, J = 17.7 Hz), 2.475 (1H, dd, J = 17.7, 7.8 Hz), 2.628 (1H, quintet, J = 7.2 Hz), 3.262 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 3.656 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 17.084, 29.652, 38.970, 54.030, 62.319, 209.725.

IR (neat): 800, 845, 990, 1180, 1215, 1385, 1415, 1465, 1755, 2880-3060.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₆H₈O₂: C, 64.27; H, 7.19. Found: C, 64.18; H, 7.24.



trans-4-n-Buty1-2,3-epoxycyclopentanone (32).

Epoxy alcohol <u>28</u> (7.8 g, 50 mmol) was oxidized according to the general procedure to give 6.2 g of <u>32</u> (80% yield) as a light yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1; $R_f = 0.60$). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.858-0.897 (3H, m), 1.275-1.464 (6H, m), 1.752 (1H, d, J = 17.9 Hz), 2.434 (1H, dd, J = 17.9, 8.0 Hz), 2.508-2.567 (1H, m), 3.277 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 3.719 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.83, 22.65, 28.85, 31.65, 35.23, 37.49, 54.35, 61.57, 209.89. IR (neat): 735, 805, 905, 995, 1130, 1180, 1205, 1295, 1425, 1470, 1745, 2840-2980. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₉H₁₄O₂: C, 70.09; H, 9.15. Found: C, 69.10; H, 9.02.



33

trans-2,3-Epoxy-4-[(lE)-3-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-l-enyl]-cyclopentanone (33).

Epoxy alcohol 29 (6.0 g, 17.6 nmol) was oxidized according to the general procedure to give 5.6 g of 33 (82% yield) as a light yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1; $R_f = 0.60$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): -0.033-0.005 (6H, 4xs), 0.83-0.89 (3H, m), 0.846-0.851 (9H, 2xs), 1.17-1.43 (8H, m), 1.85 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 6.7 Hz), 2.55 (1H, dd, J = 18.1, 8.1 Hz), 3.21 (1H, t, J = 8.1 Hz), 3.32 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 3.73 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 4.03 (1H, dist q, J = 6.1 Hz), 5.41 (1H, ddt, J = 15.6, 8.1, 1.4 Hz), 5.58 (1H, ddd, J = 15.6, 6.1, 0.9 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -3.39, -2.91, 15.35, 19.58, 23.91, 26.19, 27.21, 33.07, 38.97, 39.51, 55.55, 62.21, 74.04, 128.03, 138.22, 210.27. IR (neat): 780, 830, 970, 1060-1090, 1255, 1365,

1405, 1465, 1750, 2860-3060.



<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₄O₃Si: C, 67.41; H, 10.12. Found: C, 67.53; H, 10.12.

trans-4-Phenyl-2,3-epoxycyclopentanone (34).

Epoxy alcohol <u>30</u> (238 mg, 1.35 mmol) was oxidized according to the general procedure to give 186 mg of <u>34</u> (79% yield) as a pale yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:1).

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 2.01 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 2.0 Hz), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 8.0 Hz), 3.29-3.40 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 3.57-3.82 (2H, m), 6.94-7.42 (5H, m).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 39.1, 40.6, 54.6, 61.8, 126.9,

127.4, 129.1, 209.2.

IR (neat): 700, 760, 787, 845, 1175, 1750.
MS: 174 (M), 157, 131, 117 (100%), 77.
Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₀O₂: C, 75.84; H, 5.79.
Found: C, 75.66; H, 5.85.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Epoxycyclopentenyl Phosphates.

The crude products were in most cases sufficiently pure for further reaction with cyanocuprates. They could be purified by vacuum distillation or flash chromatography. When possible, distillation was the method of choice since significant decomposition takes place when the epoxy enol phosphates are chromatographed.

In a typical run: To a magnetically stirred solution of 3.64 mL (26 mmol) of dry N,N-diisopropylamine in 300 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran in a 500-mL round bottomed flask at 0°C was added 15.2 mL of <u>n</u>-butyllithium (1.58 M, 24 mmol). After 30 min, the solution was cooled to -78°C and 2.24 g (20 mmol) of epoxy ketone 31 dissolved in 30 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. After 1 h at -78°C, the enolate was quenched with 3.47 mL (24 mmol) of freshly distilled diethyl chlorophosphate, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over 5 h. The solution was then quenched with 75 mL of a saturated ammonium chloride solution and tetrahydrofuran was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and concentration under reduced pressure gave a crude product which was distilled under reduced pressure to give 4.66 g (94%) of pure 35 as

153



a pale yellow liquid (bp = 100-110°C - bath temperature - at 0.025 mm Hg).

Diethyl trans-2,3-Epoxy-4-methyl-5-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (35).

The reaction of <u>31</u> (2.24 g, 20 mmol) with LDA and diethyl chlorophosphate according to the general procedure gave 4.66 g of <u>35</u> (94% yield) as a pale yellow liquid after distillation (bp 100-110°C - bath temperature - at 0.025 mm Hg).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.005 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.259-1.308 (6H, m), 2.715-2.735 (1H, m), 3.550 (1H, t, J = 2.8 Hz), 3.781 (1H, dd, J = 2.8, 2.2 Hz), 4.105-4.173 (4H, m), 5.327-5.338 (1H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 15.729, 16.054, 17.190, 37.610, 56.197, 56.522, 59.820, 64.431, 64.702, 118.226,

118.442, 151.055.

IR (neat): 825, 1000, 1180, 1280, 1340, 1375, 1450, 1630, 2850-3000.



<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₇O₅P: C, 48.39; H, 6.90. Found: C, 48.36; H, 6.80.

Diethyl <u>trans-2,3-Epoxy-4-n-butyl-5-cyclopentenyl</u> Phosphate (<u>36</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{32}$ (3.08 g, 20 mmol) with LDA and diethyl chlorophosphate according to the general procedure gave 5.22 g of $\underline{36}$ (90% yield) as a yellow-orange oil. Compound $\underline{36}$ was not purified.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz): 0.70-1.10 (3H, m), 1.10-1.60 (12H, m), 2.50-2.90 (1H, m), 3.50-3.70 (1H, m), 3.70-3.90 (1H, m), 4.00-4.40 (4H, m), 5.20-5.40 (1H, m).

IR (neat): 820, 1000, 1170, 1280, 1370, 1445, 1630, 2800-3000.



10

Diethyl trans-2,3-Epoxy-4-[l(E)-3-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-l-enyl]-5-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>10</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{33}$ (340 mg, 1 mmol) with LDA and diethyl chlorophosphate according to the general procedure gave 430 mg of $\underline{10}$ (85% yield) as a yellow-orange oil. Compound 10 was not purified.

1_{H-NMR} (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.00 (6H, s), 0.80-0.90 (12H, m), 1.05-1.50 (14H, m), 3.15-3.30 (1H, m), 3.50-3.60 (1H, m), 3.60-3.70 (1H, m), 3.80-4.40 (5H, m), 5.10-5.25 (1H, m). 5.30-5.55 (2H, m). IR (neat): 780, 840, 970, 1040, 1190, 1260, 1285, 1370, 1460, 1635, 2850-3050.



General Procedure for the Reaction of Epoxycyclopentenyl Phosphates with Mixed Cyanocuprates.

The generation of the cuprate was performed as described before. In most cases 3-4 equivalents were employed. In order to ensure good yields, the aqueous layer had to be extracted with ether or ethyl acetate. (The adducts were very polar and if the aforementioned extractions were not performed a significant amount of product was lost.) The adducts were sufficiently stable to be purified by chromatography.

In the case of the 1,4-adducts, complete decomposition was observed upon standing at room temperature for 2-3 days. Storage in a freezer allowed them to keep for 7-10 days without noticeable decomposition.

Diethyl 3α -Hydroxy-4 β , 5β -dimethyl-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (39).

The reaction of 35 (2.73 g, ll mmol) with (MeCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 2.62 g of 39 (90% yield) as a pale yellow oil after chromatography on silica



gel (ethyl acetate; $R_f = 0.20$). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.949 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.004 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.297-1.338 (6H, m), 2.106 (1H, quintet d, J = 7.2, 5.2 Hz), 2.380-2.460 (1H, br), 2.781 (1H, dist quintet, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.088-4.175 (4H, m), 4.308 (1H, ddd, J = 5.2, 3.0, 1.0 Hz), 5.293 (1H, dd, J = 3.0, 1.0 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 12.804, 13.292, 15.838, 16.163, 40.378, 40.649, 44.279, 64.377, 64.648, 80.358, 110.479, 110.641, 156.472. IR (neat): 1010, 1260, 1445, 1650, 2850-3000, 3400. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₁O₅P: C, 49.99; H, 8.01. Found: C, 48.25; H, 7.85.

Diethyl 5β -<u>n</u>-Butyl- 3α -hydroxy- 4β -methyl-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>40</u>).

The reaction of <u>35</u> (4.72 g, 19 mmol) with (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 5.53 g of <u>40</u> (95% yield) as a pale yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate; $R_f = 0.33$).



¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.866 (3H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.016 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.207-1.467 (12H, m), 1.811-1.894 (1H, br), 2.151 (1H, quintet d, J = 7.3, 5.1 Hz), 2.754 (1H, dist q, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.109-4.194 (4H, m), 4.311 (1H, ddd, J = 5.1, 2.0, 1.0 Hz), 5.364 (1H, br s, $W_{1/2}$ = 3.9 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.237, 13.942, 15.892, 16.163, 22.934, 27.052, 29.760, 44.441, 45.525, 64.323, 64.594, 80.304, 110.858, 155.980. IR (neat): 1030, 1275, 1650, 2880-3000, 3430. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇O₅P: C, 54.89; H, 8.88. Found: C, 55.06; H, 8.84.

Diethyl 2β- <u>tert</u> -Butyl-3α-hydroxy-4β-methyl-5-cyclo-								
pentenyl	Phosphate	(41),	Diethyl	5β -	tert	-Buty	yl-3a-hyd	iroxy-
4β-methy:	L-2-cyclope	enteny	l Phosph	ate	(<u>42</u>)	and	Diethyl	trans-
3-Hydroxy	y-4-methyl-	-2-cyc	lopenten	yl P	hospl	nate	(43).	

The reaction of $\underline{35}$ (496 mg, 2 mmol) with (<u>tert-BuCuCN</u>)-Li according to the general procedure gave 180 mg of <u>41</u>, 120 mg of <u>42</u> and 50 mg of <u>43</u> (60% combined yield of a 3:2:1 mixture) as pale yellow oils after chromatography on

```
silica gel (ethyl acetate).
```

Compound (41): $R_{f} = 0.41$, ethyl acetate

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.885 (9H, s), 0.987 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.215-1.257 (6H, m), 2.319-2.382 (2H, m), 3.100-3.300 (1H, br), 3.514-3.525

(1H, m), 4.005-4.068 (4H, m), 5.121 (1H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 15.729, 16.000, 19.196, 27.864,

31.873, 44.225, 62.752, 63.023, 63.998, 64.269,

79.708, 113.512, 113.675, 147.642, 148.075.

IR (neat): 755, 855, 910, 975, 1030, 1270, 1370,

1400, 1655, 2870-3000, 3430.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇O₅P: C, 54.89; H, 8.88. Found: C, 54.78; H, 8.90.

Compound (42):

 $R_f = 0.35$, ethyl acetate.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.943 (9H, s), 1.15 (3H,

d, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.258-1.300 (6H, m), 2.149-2.219(1H, m), 2.520 (1H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.048-4.114(4H, m), 4.270 (1H, br d, J = 5.7 Hz), 5.448

(1H, br s).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.863, 15.946, 16.112, 29.273, 34.090, 48.017, 56.197, 64.215, 64.486, 78.950, 114.000, 154.955, 155.389.
- IR (neat): 855, 1040, 1275, 1370, 1400, 1650, 2880-3000, 3430.
- <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₇O₅P: C, 54.89; H, 8.88. Found: C, 54.62; H, 8.75.



44

Compound (43):

R_f = 0.27, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.090 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.300-1.365 (6H, m), 1.976 (1H, ddd, J = 16.3, 6.1, 1.2 Hz), 2.061-2.140 (1H, m), 2.272-2.413 (1H, br), 2.760 (1H, ddd, J = 16.3, 8.2, 2.0 Hz), 4.087-4.176 (4H, m), 4.284-4.293 (1H, m), 5.293 (1H, br s).

- IR (neat): 755, 850, 960, 1030, 1170, 1270, 1660, 2880-3000, 3420.
- <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₉O₅P: C, 47.99; H, 7.65. Found: C, 48.08; H, 7.78.

Diethyl 3α -Hydroxy- 4β -methyl- 2β -vinyl-5-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>44</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{35}$ (496 mg, 2 mmol) with (vinyl CuCN)-MgBr according to the general procedure gave 540 mg of 44 (98% yield) as a light brown oil. An analytical sample was obtained by chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether; $R_f = 0.24$).

- 1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.087 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.276-1.357 (6H, m), 2.540 (1H, qdd, J = 7.0, 5.3, 1.9 Hz), 2.60-2.80 (1H, br), 3.194-3.232 (1H, m), 3.602 (1H, t, J = 5.3 Hz), 4.065-4.148 (4H, m), 5.120 (1H, ddd, J = 10.1, 1.6, 0.8 Hz), 5.182 (1H, ddd, J = 17.1, 2.1, 1.1 Hz), 5.177 (1H, q, J = 1.9 Hz), 5.711 (1H, ddd, J = 17.1, 10.1, 8.3 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 15.784, 16.054, 18.980, 44.170, 57.226, 57.497, 64.323, 64.594, 83.229, 112.917, 113.133, 117.630, 136.699, 146.504, 146.938.
- IR (neat): 850, 915, 980, 1030, 1270, 1655, 2880-3000, 3090, 3420.
- <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₂H₂₁O₅P: C, 52.17; H, 7.66. Found: C, 51.96; H, 7.61.



Diethyl 2β -Allyl- 3α -hydroxy- 4β -methyl-5-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (45).

The reaction of <u>35</u> (496 mg, 2 mmol) with either (allyl CuCN)Li or (allyl CuCN)MgBr according to the general procedure gave 570 mg of <u>45</u> (99% yield) as a light brown oil. An analytical sample was obtained by chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether; $R_f = 0.20$).

¹H-NMR (360 MHz, $CDCl_3$): 1.058 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.282-1.323 (6H, m), 2.095 (1H, dtd, J = 14.2, 7.1, 1.1 Hz), 2.415-2.518 (3H, m), 2.627-2.661 (1H, m), 3.541 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz), 4.067-4.155 (4H, m), 5.005-5.112 (3H, m), 5.787 (1H, ddt, J = 17.2, 10.2, 7.3 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 15.784, 16.109, 19.196, 35.232, 44.279, 52.080, 52.351, 64.269, 64.486, 82.417, 112.212, 112.321, 116.709, 135.832, 147.696, 148.129.

IR (neat): 890, 1035, 1270, 1650, 2880-3000, 3090, 3420.



<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₃O₅P: C, 53.79; H, 7.99. Found: C, 53.66; H, 8.00.

Diethyl 3α -Hydroxy- 4β -methyl- 2β -phenyl-5-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>46</u>) and Diethyl 3α -Hydroxy- 4β -methyl- 5β -phenyl-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>47</u>).

The reaction of <u>35</u> (496 mg, 2 mmol) with (PhCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 350 mg of <u>46</u> and 172 mg of <u>47</u> (80% combined yield of a 2:1 mixture) as pale yellow oils after chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate).
Compound (46): $R_f = 0.41$, ethyl acetate. $^{\perp}$ H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.162 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.185-1.225 (6H, m), 2.653-2.685 (1H, m), 2.830-3.050 (1H, br), 3.746 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz), 3.842(1H, dd, J = 5.6, 1.5 Hz), 3.891-3.976 (4H, m),5.372 (1H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.204-7.330 (5H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDC1₃): 15.675, 15.946, 19.034, 44.116, 59.827, 64.160, 64.431, 86.967, 114.325, 126.839, 128.193, 128.410, 140.220, 146.450, 146.884. IR (neat): 705, 760, 875, 970, 1020, 1265, 1455, 1655, 2870-3040, 3410. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₃O₅P: C, 58.89; H, 7.10. Found: C, 58.65; H, 7.00. Compound (47): $R_f = 0.21$, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.720 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.190-1.280 (6H, m), 2.396-2.506 (2H, m), 3.916-4.023 (5H, m), 4.517 (1H, br d, J = 3.9 Hz), 5.674 (lH, br s, $W_{1/2} = 3$ Hz), 7.078-7.307 (5H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.429, 15.729, 16.000, 46.392, 54.084, 128.248, 128.898, 137.620, 153.380. IR (neat): 705, 735, 860, 965, 1035, 1270, 1655, 2880-3000, 3420.



<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₃O₅P: C, 58.89; H, 7.10. Found: C, 58.75; H, 7.05.

Diethyl 4 β ,5 β -di-<u>n</u>-Butyl-3 α -hydroxy-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate (<u>48</u>).

The reaction of <u>36</u> (5.80 g, 20 mmol) with (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 5.92 g of <u>48</u> (85% yield) as a light brown oil.

R_f = 0.43, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz): 0.80-1.10 (m, 6H), 1.10-1.70 (18H, m), 1.85-2.10 (1H, m), 2.70-3.00 (1H, m), 4.00-4.70 (5H, m), 5.50-5.60 (1H, br s, W_{1/2} = 4 Hz). IR (neat): 1020, 1170, 1270, 1470, 1650, 2850-3000,

3400.

166



 $\frac{\text{Diethyl } 5\beta-\underline{n}-\text{Butyl}-4\beta-[(l\underline{E})-3-\underline{\text{tert}}-\text{butyldimethyl}-\text{silyloxyoct-l-enyl}]-3\alpha-hydroxy-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate}{(\underline{49}).}$

The reaction of <u>10</u> (470 mg, 1 mmol) with (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 309 mg of <u>49</u> (58% yield) as a light yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether; $R_f = 0.53$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.003-0.028 (6H, 4xs), 0.830-0.920 (15H, m), 1.19-1.44 (20H, m), 2.70- 2.72 (1H, m), 2.84-2.86 (1H, m), 4.06-4.12 (1H, m), 4.13-4.21 (4H, m), 4.47-4.52 (1H, m), 5.40- 5.41 (1H, br s), 5.49-5.60 (2H, m). IR (neat): 780, 840, 880, 980, 1040, 1180, 1260,

1470, 1655, 2860-3050, 3400.



 $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Diethyl } 5\beta-\underline{\text{tert}}-\text{Butyl}-4\beta-[(\underline{1E})-3-\underline{\text{tert}}-\text{butyldimethyl}-\\ \underline{\text{silyloxyoct-l-enyl}}-3\alpha-hydroxy-2-cyclopentenyl Phosphate}\\ (\underline{50}). \end{aligned}}$

The reaction of <u>10</u> (470 mg, 1 mmol) with (<u>t</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 324 mg of <u>50</u> (61% yield) as a pale yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether; $R_f = 0.49$).

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.00 (6H, 2xs), 0.85 (12H, m), 0.95 (9H, s), 1.10-1.60 (14H, m), 2.55-2.80 (2H, m), 3.80-4.30 (6H, m), 5.35-5.60 (3H, m). IR (neat): 840, 960, 1040, 1170, 1260, 1370, 1400, 1470, 1650, 2860-3050, 3420.

÷



<u>12</u>

Diethyl $4\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl] - 5 - [7 - trimethylsilyloxyheptyl] - 3\alpha - hydroxy - 2 - cyclo-pentenyl Phosphate (12).$

The reaction of <u>10</u> (470 mg, 1 mmol) with cyanocuprate <u>11</u> (for preparation see experimental for compound <u>58</u>) according to the general procedure gave 398 mg of <u>12</u> (60% yield) as a light yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether).

- ¹H-NMR (CDC1₃, 360 MHz): 0.00 (6H, 4xs), 0.09 (9H, s), 0.84-0.93 (12H, m), 1.17-1.60 (26H, m), 2.69-2.72 (1H, br m), 2.85 (1H, br m), 3.52-3.62 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.06-4.13 (1H, m), 4.14-4.22 (4H, m), 4.48-4.52 (1H, m), 5.40 (1H, br s), 5.47-5.59 (2H, m).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.5, -4.3, 14.1, 16.0, 18.1, 22.4, 24.7, 25.8, 27.3, 28.2, 29.2, 29.5, 31.8, 32.7, 38.6, 46.4, 53.5, 62.1, 64.5, 73.1, 78.4, 111.4, 127.1, 136.5, 152.2.
- IR (neat): 780, 840, 970, 1040, 1100, 1260, 1470, 1660, 2860-3050, 3420.

General Procedure for the Reductive Cleavage of Substituted Hydroxy Enol Phosphates.⁹

To a solution of 0.7 g (100 mmol) of lithium metal in 150 mL of liquid ammonia was added 10 mmol of the appropriate hydroxy enol phosphate. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, 2 mL of methanol was added, and the solution was neutralized with a saturated ammonium chloride solution. The liquid ammonia was then evaporated at room temperature, and the residue was extracted with ether. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After filtration of the drying agent, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator. The products were then isolated by column chromatography.



 4β -n-Buty1-5 β -methy1-2-cyclopenten-1 α -o1 (51).

The reaction of <u>40</u> (3.06 g, 10 mmol) with lithium according to the general procedure gave 460 mg of <u>51</u> (30% yield) as a pale yellow liquid after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1; $R_f = 0.20$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.843 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.960 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.150-1.440 (6H, m), 2.023 (1H, quintet d, J = 7.3, 5.2 Hz), 2.120-2.210 (1H, br), 2.615-2.677 (1H, m), 4.320 (1H, dtd, J = 5.2, 1.8, 1.0 Hz), 5.718 (1H, dt, J = 5.8, 1.8 Hz), 5.897 (1H, ddd, J = 5.8, 2.4, 1.0 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.183, 13.942, 22.880, 29.869, 30.519, 45.525, 46.454, 83.771, 132.744, 138.757. IR (neat): 730, 1015, 1045, 1120, 1465, 2860-2960, 3060, 3340.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₈O: C, 77.86; H, 11.76. Found: C, 77.70; H, 11.74.



 4β , 5β -di-<u>n</u>-Butyl-2-cyclopenten-la-ol (<u>52</u>).

The reaction of <u>48</u> (3.50 g, 10 mmol) with lithium according to the general procedure gave 685 mg of <u>52</u> (35% yield) as a pale yellow oil after chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1; $R_f = 0.24$).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.851-0.952 (6H, m), 1.163-1.581 (12H, m), 1.815 (1H, qd, J = 7.4, 6.4 Hz), 2.648-2.656 (1H, m), 4.437 (1H, dq, J = 6.4, 1.5 Hz), 5.730 (1H, dt, J = 5.8, 1.5 Hz), 5.995 (1H, ddd, J = 5.8, 2.6, 1.4 Hz).¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.833, 22.826, 27.539, 30.194, 30.735, 45.416, 51.484, 81.442, 133.773, 137.674. IR (neat): 1460, 1680, 2850-2950, 3300. MS: 196 (M), 178, 139, 83 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O: C, 79.53; H, 12.32. Found: C, 79.49; H, 12.40. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Epoxycyclopentenyl Silyl Enol Ethers.

The crude products were sufficiently pure for further reaction with cyanocuprates. Purification chould be achieved by flash chromatography on deactivated silica gel (washed with 5% sodium bicarbonate in methanol and oven dried). However, only mediocre results were obtained when purification was attempted on a medium or large scale; extensive decomposition was observed. The silyl enol ethers are stable for months when stored under nitrogen in a freezer.

In a typical run: To a magnetically stirred solution of 1.31 g (1.82 mL, 13 mmol) of dry $\underline{N}, \underline{N}$ -diisopropylamine in 250 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran in a 500-mL round bottomed flask at 0°C was added 7.6 mL of <u>n</u>-butyllithium (1.58 M, 12 mmol). After 30 min, the solution was cooled to -78°C and 1.54 g (10 mmol) of epoxy ketone <u>32</u> dissolved in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. After 1 h at -78°C, the enolate was quenched by the dropwise addition of 1.96 g (13 mmol) of freshly distilled triethylsilyl chloride, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over ca. 6 h.

The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator and the residue was taken up in petroleum ether and filtered through a short column of Florisil. Concentration under

173



reduced pressure provided 2.70 g (100%) of silyl enol ether 37 as a light orange oil.

tene (<u>37</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{32}$ (1.54 g, 10 mmol) with LDA and triethylsilyl chloride according to the general procedure gave 2.70 g of 37 (100%) as a light orange oil.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.670-0.730 (6H, q, J = 7.9 Hz), 0.880-1.010 (12H, m), 1.280-1.316 (6H, m), 2.600-2.660 (1H, m), 3.531 (1H, t, J = 2.0 Hz), 3.563 (1H, t, J = 2.4 Hz), 4.725 (1H, dd, J = 4.8, 2.4 Hz).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.570, 6.303, 13.225, 22.664, 28.460, 32.631, 42.816, 57.931, 59.122, 109.774, 155.822.
- IR (CDCl₃): 1010, 1210, 1250, 1350, 1380, 1415, 1460, 1630, 2880-2960.



trans-2, 3-Epoxy-4-[(1<u>E</u>)-3-<u>tert</u>-butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-l-enyl]-l-triethylsilyloxy-5-cyclopentene (<u>38</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{33}$ (3.40 g, 10 mmol) with LDA and triethylsilyl chloride according to the general procedure gave 4.60 g of 38 (100%) as a light orange oil.

,

IR (neat): 830, 970, 1080, 1200, 1255, 1345, 1380, 1465, 1630, 2860-3000.

General Procedure for the Reaction of Epoxycyclopentenyl Silyl Enol Ethers with Mixed Cyanocuprates.

When commercially available alkyllithiums were employed, the generation of the cuprate was performed as described before. In other cases, alkyllithiums were generated by metal-halogen exchange from the corresponding alkyl iodide and tert-butyllithium as described below. When these alkyllithiums were employed, longer reaction times and higher temperatures were necessary to drive the reaction to completion. Generally the adducts could not be purified and were very thermally unstable (complete decomposition to the corresponding enone was observed upon standing at room temperature for 6-10 h). Yields were highest when the ethereal solutions of the adducts were thoroughly dried with magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo without any external heat. The dry adducts could be stored in a freezer for 2-4 days without noticeable decomposition.

In a typical run: To a magnetically stirred solution of 3.92 g (12.45 mmol) of 1-iodo-7-trimethylsilyloxyheptane in 100 mL of anhydrous ether at -78°C was added 11.58 mL of <u>tert</u>-butyllithium (2.15 M, 24.92 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 4 h. The resulting solution of 1-lithio-7-trimethylsilyloxyheptane was transferred via a double-tipped needle to a magnetically stirred suspension of 2.45 g (27.40 mmol) of copper(I) cyanide in 170 mL of anhydrous ether at -40°C. After being stirred at this temperature for 1.5 h, the organocuprate was ready for use as evidenced by a negative Gilman's test. The mixture was then cooled to -78°C and 1.41 g (3.11 mmol) of silyl enol ether 38 in 20 mL of anhydrous ether was added dropwise. Stirring was continued at -78°C for 5 h and then at 2 h between -40°C and -30°C. The reaction vessel was then sealed with Parafilm and kept at -10°C (freezer) overnight. The reaction was then quenched with 50 mL of a saturated ammonium chloride solution and filtered through a Celite pad. The organic layer was decanted, washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution (25 mL) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The drying agent was filtered off and the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator whose water bath was kept at room temperature. In most runs the crude adduct 58 was immediately hydrolyzed (see below).

When cyanocuprates prepared from commercially available alkyllithiums were employed, the conjugate addition proceeded faster (typically 4 h at -78°C and then allowed to warm up to room temperature over <u>ca</u>. 4 h), as determined by monitoring the reaction by tlc.

177



4β,5β-di-<u>n</u>-Butyl-3α-hydroxy-l-triethylsilyloxycyclopentene (<u>53</u>).

The reaction of $\underline{37}$ (1.34 g, 5 mmol) with (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure gave 1.65 g of <u>53</u> (100% yield) as a light yellow oil.

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{R_{f}} = 0.28, \ \mathrm{hexane:ether}, \ 9:1. \\ ^{1}\mathrm{H-NMR} \ (\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \ 360 \ \mathrm{MHz}): \ 0.641-0.710 \ (6\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{m}), \ 0.836- \\ & 0.972 \ (15\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{m}), \ 1.230-1.386 \ (12\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{m}), \ 1.846 \ (1\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{qd}, \ \mathrm{J} = 7.3, \ 5.4 \ \mathrm{Hz}), \ 2.555 \ (1\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{dist} \ \mathrm{q}, \ \mathrm{J} = \\ & 7.3 \ \mathrm{Hz}), \ 4.345 \ (1\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{m}), \ 4.625 \ (1\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{dist} \ \mathrm{dd}, \ \mathrm{J} = \\ & 2.5, \ 2.0 \ \mathrm{Hz}). \end{split}$$

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.678, 6.520, 13.942, 23.043, 27.756, 28.027, 29.814, 30.790, 45.958, 50.888, 79.654, 104.032, 161.727.
- IR (neat): 735, 750, 1010-1020, 1245, 1380, 1420, 1465, 1640, 3150-3600.



 $\frac{4\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enýl] - 3\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}{2 - cyclopentene (58)}$

The reaction of <u>38</u> (1.41 g, 3.11 mmol) with $(TMSOCH_2(CH_2)_5CH_2CuCN)Li$ according to the general procedure gave 3.80 g of crude product containing <u>58</u> and, presumably, $CH_3(CH_2)_5CH_2OTMS$ and $TMSOCH_2-(CH_2)_{12}-CH_2OTMS$. A sample of this crude (<u>ca</u>. 100 mg) was purified by fast filtration through <u>ca</u>. 2 g of silica gel (hexane:ether, 3:1), to give <u>ca</u>. 20 mg of not totally pure <u>38</u>, for which the spectral data are reported.

R_f = 0.38, hexane:ether, 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.006-0.019 (6H, 4xs), 0.082 (9H, s), 0.655-0.720 (6H, m), 0.832 (12H, m), 0.850-0.975 (9H, m), 1.233-1.612 (20H, m), 2.150-2.191 (1H, m), 2.601-2.732 (1H, m), 3.510-3.583 (2H, m), 3.998-4.061 (1H, m), 4.310-4.415 (1H, m), 4.623-4.702 (1H, m), 5.401-5.589 (2H, m).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.639, -4.097, -0.413, 4.786, 6.574, 14.050, 18.275, 22.664, 25.047, 25.914, 27.052, 29.435, 30.032, 31.873, 32.848, 38.843, 50.292, 55.872, 62.752, 73.641, 79.817, 103.274, 131.823, 134.261, 160.481.
- IR (neat): 750, 780, 840, 1095, 1250, 1465, 1645, 2880-3000, 3420.

General Procedure for the Hydrolysis of 3-Hydroxy-1triethylsilyloxycyclopentenes.

The β -hydroxy ketones obtained from this hydrolysis could be purified by column chromatography and the pure <u>cis</u> isomers were stored in a refrigerator for more than a year without appreciable decomposition or epimerization.

Whenever mixtures of epimers were obtained, their relative ratios were easily measured by integration of their 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra, especially of the cyclopentyl carbinol protons, H_4 (typical chemical shifts for H_4 are 4.3 ppm for 2,3-<u>cis</u> isomers and 4.1 ppm for 2,3-trans isomers).

In a typical run: To a magnetically stirred solution of 3.06 g (52.7 mmol) of potassium fluoride in 60 mL of pH=7 phosphate buffer was added 3.1 mmol of crude adduct 58 in 90 mL of ethanol and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Ethanol was then removed <u>in vacuo</u>, and the resulting aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl



ether (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration of the drying agent, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator to give 2.76 g of a yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (diethyl ether) to give 1.13 g (2.48 mmol) of a 1:8 mixture of <u>68</u> and <u>69</u> (80% yield) as a light yellow oil.

 2β , 3β -di-<u>n</u>-Butyl- 4α -hydroxycyclopentanone (<u>59</u>) and 2α , 3β -di-<u>n</u>-Butyl- 4α -hydroxycyclopentanone (<u>60</u>).

Epoxy enol ether <u>37</u> (270 mg, 1 mmol) was reacted with four equivalents of (<u>n</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure. Standard workup gave 1,4-adduct <u>53</u> which was hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (990 mg, 17 mmol) in 20 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer, and 30 mL of ethanol, to give 180 mg (85% yield) of <u>59</u> (pale yellow oil) after chromatography (hexane:ether, 1:1; $R_f = 0.21$).



Compound (<u>59</u>): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.830-0.890 (6H, m), 1.153- 1.621 (12H, m), 1.900-1.967 (1H, br), 2.170-2.209 (1H, m), 2.201 (1H, dd, J = 19.4, 1.7 Hz), 2.428 (1H, dd, J = 19.4, 5.9 Hz), 2.611 (1H, dist q, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.362 (1H, dt, J = 5.9, 2.2 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.774, 22.712, 23.785, 26.586, 29.148, 29.804, 44.701, 47.264, 50.124, 70.564, 218.349. IR (neat): 735, 915, 1465, 1745, 2860-2960, 3450. MS: 212 (M), 194, 155, 99 (100%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O₂: C, 73.53; H, 11.39. Found: C, 73.31; H, 11.21.

Treatment of pure <u>59</u> with 80 equivalents of potassium acetate in ethanol at room temperature for 100 h gave a ll:1:4:7 mixture of <u>71:70:59:60</u>, as determined by 360 MHz ¹H-NMR of the crude mixture. Separation of β -hydroxy ketones <u>59</u> and <u>60</u> from enones <u>70</u> and <u>71</u> was easily effected by column chromatography (hexane:ether, 2:1). However, 59 and 60 could not be separated.



$3\beta-\underline{n}-Butyl-2\beta-\underline{n}-heptyl-4\alpha-hydroxycyclopentanone$ (61)

and	$3\beta - \underline{n} - Buty 1$	2α- <u>n</u> -hepty.	L-4a-hydroxycyc	lopentanone	(62).

Epoxy enol ether $\underline{37}$ (270 mg, 1 mmol) was treated with four equivalents of (<u>n</u>-heptyl CuCN)Li prepared from 0.66 mL of 1-iodoheptane, 3.8 mL of <u>tert</u>-butyllithium (2.1 M solution) and 716 mg (8 mmol) of copper(I) cyanide in 88 mL of ether. The reaction mixture was quenched after 5 h at -78°C and 30 min at -40°C. Thin layer chromatography analysis of the mixture still showed a significant amount of <u>37</u>. Standard workup gave a crude 1,4-adduct <u>54</u> which was immediately hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (990 mg, 17 mmol) in 20 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer, and 30 mL of ethanol, to give 150 mg (60% yield) of a 2:1 mixture of 61 and 62 (pale yellow oil) after chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1). Compounds <u>61</u> and <u>62</u> could not be separated; however, their spectral characteristics were very easily obtained from the spectrum of the mixture.

Compound (61) (in the mixture):

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.818-0.905 (6H, m), 1.231-1.613 (18H, m), 2.199 (1H, dd, J = 19.3, 1.2 Hz), 2.153-2.226 (1H, m), 2.426 (1H, dd, J = 19.3,
- 5.9 Hz), 2.576-2.643 (1H, m), 4.346-4.362 (1H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): upfield region very complex due to mixture of epimers, 44.701, 47.264, 50.243, 70.683, 218.349.
- IR (neat): 1080, 1465, 1740, 2865-2965, 3450.

<u>Anal</u>. (on mixture) Calcd for C₁₆H₃₀O₂:

C, 75.53; H, 11.89.

Found: C, 75.53; H, 11.94.

- Compound (<u>62</u>) (in the mixture): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.818-0.905 (6H, m), 1.231-1.613 (18H, m), 1.838-1.868 (2H, m), 2.207 (1H, dd, J = 18.3, 6.8 Hz), 2.627 (1H, ddd, J = 18.3, 6.8, 1.0 Hz), 4.112 (1H, dist q, J = 6.8 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): upfield region very complex due to mixture of epimers, 32.306, 47.264, 49.171, 54.057, 73.067, 217.813.



 $\frac{3\beta-\underline{n}-Butyl-2\beta-[7-hydroxyheptyl]-4\alpha-hydroxycyclopenta$ $none (<u>63</u>) and 3\beta-\underline{n}-Butyl-2\alpha-[7-hydroxyheptyl]-4\alpha-hydroxy$ cyclopentanone (<u>64</u>).

Epoxy enol ether $\underline{37}$ (470 mg, 1.75 mmol) was treated with four equivalents of (TMSOCH₂(CH₂)₅CH₂CuCN)Li prepared as described in the general procedure. Standard workup gave a crude 1,4-adduct $\underline{55}$ which was hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (1.7 g, 30 mmol) in 35 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer and 52 mL of ethanol, to give 368 mg (78% yield) of a 1:7 mixture of <u>63</u> and <u>64</u> (pale yellow oil) after chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether, 1:4). Compounds <u>63</u> and <u>64</u> could not be separated and therefore spectral data for <u>63</u> could not be clearly ascertained due to its very small ratio in the mixture.



Compound $(\underline{64})$: $R_f = 0.18$, hexane:ether, 1:4. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.873 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.190-1.568 (18H, m), 1.795-1.890 (2H, m), 2.162 (1H, dd, J = 18.3, 6.6 Hz), 2.599 (1H, ddd, J = 18.3, 6.6, 0.8 Hz), 3.565 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.072 (1H, dist q, J = 6.6 Hz). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.893, 23.010, 25.573, 26.824, 29.089, 29.208, 29.327, 29.565, 32.306, 32.604, 47.145, 49.051, 53.938, 62.817, 72.888, 217.932. IR (neat): 845, 965, 1080, 1380, 1735, 3400. MS: 270 (M), 253, 156, 138, 99 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₀O₃: C, 71.07; H, 11.18. Found: C, 70.96; H, 11.06.

 $\frac{2\beta-\underline{tert}-Butyl-3\beta-[(l\underline{E})-3-\underline{tert}-butyldimethylsilyloxy-}{oct-l-enyl]-4\alpha-hydroxycyclopentanone (65).}$

Epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> (225 mg, 0.5 mmol) was treated with four equivalents of (<u>tert</u>-BuCuCN)Li according to the general procedure. Standard workup gave 1,4-adduct <u>56</u> which was immediately hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (490 mg, 8.4 mmol) in 10 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer and 15 mL of ethanol, to give crude $\underline{65}$, which was chromatographed (hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1) to give 40 mg of $\underline{65a}$, 30.7 mg of $\underline{65b}$ and 58 mg of a mixture of $\underline{65a}$ and $\underline{65b}$ (65% overall yield).

Compound (
$$\underline{65a}$$
):
 $R_f = 0.20$, hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1
 I_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.009, -0.037 (6H, 2xs),
0.812-0.848 (12H, m), 0.985 (9H, s), 1.219-1.424
(8H, m), 2.228 (1H, br d, J = 19.2 Hz), 2.401
(1H, dd, J = 19.2, 5.4 Hz), 2.684 (1H, br d, J =
7.0 Hz), 3.015-3.064 (1H, m), 4.005 (1H, dist q,
J = 6.4 Hz), 4.152 (1H, br d, J = 5.4 Hz), 5.201
(1H, dd, J = 15.2, 10.7 Hz), 5.568 (1H, dd, J =
15.2, 7.0 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.579, -4.222, 13.893, 18.124, 22.593, 24.738, 25.871, 28.552, 31.651, 38.087, 45.476, 52.746, 58.824, 72.173, 73.305, 128.069, 136.769, 215.548.

IR (neat): 780, 840, 1250, 1465, 1745, 2880-3000, 3450.

MS: 339, 325, 295, 253, 75 (100%).

•

```
<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: C, 69.64; H, 11.18.
Found: C, 69.35; H, 11.19.
```

Compound $(\underline{65b})$: $R_f = 0.14$, hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): -0.048, -0.008 (6H, 2xs), 0.825-0.866 (12H, m), 1.005 (9H, s), 1.225-1.428 (8H, m), 2.220 (1H, br d, J = 19.1 Hz), 2.387 (1H, dd, J = 19.1, 5.4 Hz), 2.667 (1H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.019-3.067 (1H, m), 4.022-4.072 (1H, m), 4.097 (1H, br d, J = 5.3 Hz), 5.281 (1H, ddd, J = 15.2, 10.7, 1.0 Hz, 5.608 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 5.1 Hz).

MS: 339, 325, 295, 253, 75, 57 (100%).

- -

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd. for C₂₃H₄₄O₃Si: C, 69.64; H, 11.18. Found: C, 69.42; H, 11.26.



 $\frac{3\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl) - 2\beta - \underline{n} - heptyl - 4\alpha - hydroxycyclopentanone (\underline{66}) and 3\alpha - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl] - 2\alpha - \underline{n} - heptyl - 4\alpha - hydroxycyclopentanone (\underline{67}).$

Epoxy enol ether 38 (452 mg, 1 mmol) was treated with four equivalents of (n-heptyl CuCN)Li prepared from 0.66 mL of 1-iodoheptane, 3.8 mL of tert-butyllithium solution (2.1 M) and 716 mg (8 mmol) of copper(I) cyanide in 88 mL of ether, according to the general procedure. Standard workup gave a crude 1,4-adduct 57 which was immediately hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (990 mg, 17 mmol) in 20 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer, and 30 mL of ethanol, to give a crude product which was chromatographed (hexane:diethyl ether, 2:1; $R_f = 0.23$); this allowed for the separation of 37 mg of 66a (diastereomerically pure), 115 mg of 67 (mixture of diastereomers at Cl5) and 150 mg of 66 and 67 (mixture of four diastereomers) (70% overall yield). All fractions were subsequently combined and the relative ratio of 66 and 67 was measured by integration of the carbinol

was found to be 1:4.

Compound (66a):

protons in the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture and

¹H-NMR (CDCl₂, 360 MHz): -0.020-0.006 (6H, 2xs),

0.830-0.867 (15H, m), 1.227-1.693 (21H, m), 2.282 (1H, dd, J = 19.2, 1.5 Hz), 2.474 (1H, dd, J =19.2, 5.6 Hz), 2.589-2.650 (1H, m), 2.939 (1H, br t, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.011 (1H, q, J = 6.2 Hz), 4.310 (1H, dist d, J = 5.6 Hz), 5.125 (1H, ddd, J = 15.3, 10.0, 0.9 Hz, 5.599 (1H, dd, J =15.3, 6.3 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDC1₃): -4.639, -4.281, 14.072, 18.243, 22.653, 24.858, 25.215, 25.871, 27.480, 29.208, 29.625, 31.889, 38.385, 44.821, 50.124, 51.614, 72.352, 73.245, 125.328, 138.140, 217.515. IR (neat): 780, 840, 970, 1075, 1255, 1465, 1740, 2870-2970, 3500. Compound (67): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.007-0.037 (6H, 4xs), 0.818-0.869 (15H, m), 1.100-1.624 (20H, m), 2.092-2.128 (1H, m), 2.100-2.200 (1H, m), 2.195 (1H, dd, J = 18.4, 9.4 Hz), 2.336 (1H, dt, J =11.6, 8.6 Hz), 2.698 (1H, dd, J = 18.4, 7.4 Hz), 4.005 (lH, dist q, J = 8.7 Hz), 4.096 (lH, dist q, J = 5.8 Hz, 5.457 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 8.6 Hz), 5.655 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 5.9 Hz).



¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.699, -4.281, 14.012, 18.243, 22.653, 24.977, 25.871, 26.824, 27.837, 29.089, 29.804, 31.830, 38.385, 46.191, 54.117, 54.295, 54.713, 72.292, 72.947, 128.665, 137.901, 214.893. IR (neat): 780, 840, 970, 1075, 1255, 1465, 1740, 2870-2970, 3450. MS: 381, 363, 349, 337, 241, 75 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₂₆H₅₀O₃Si: C, 71.17; H, 11.49.

Found: C, 71.05; H, 11.41.

 $\frac{3\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-1 - enyl] - 2\beta - [7 - hydroxyheptyl] - 4\alpha - hydroxycyclopentanone (<u>68</u>) and <u>3\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-1 - enyl] - 2\alpha - [7 - hydroxyheptyl] - 4\alpha - hydroxycyclopentanone (<u>69</u>).$ </u>

Epoxy enol ether <u>38</u> (1.41 g, 3.11 mmol) was treated with four equivalents of $(TMSOCH_2(CH_2)_5CH_2CuCN)Li$, as described in the general procedure. The crude 1,4-adduct <u>58</u> was immediately hydrolyzed by treatment with KF (3.06 g, 52.7 mmol) in 60 mL of pH 7 phosphate buffer and 90 mL of ethanol to give 1.13 g (80% yield) of a 1:8 mixture of 68 and 69 (light yellow oil) after chromatography (diethyl ether). The ratio of isomers was determined as described in the general procedure. Pure 69a possessing the prostanoid relative stereochemistry (one diastereomer) was obtained via HPLC separation (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1; ca. 2 mg per run; µ PORASIL 8 mm x 10 cm analytical column; 4 mL/min; refraction index and ultraviolet-290 nm-detectors) of a mixture of 69a and one of the diastereomers in 68 obtained by careful column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1) of a mixture of 68 and 69 and HPLC or NMR analysis of the fractions; the desired diastereomer was eluted first under these conditions although complete separation could not be achieved. In the HPLC separation, 69a was eluted last and was obtained by collecting the last "cuts" of the HPLC trace. A pure sample of 68 (either diastereomer) could not be obtained in this manner.

Compound (69a):

 $R_{f} = 0.28, \text{ diethyl ether.}$ $^{1}\text{H-NMR} (CDCl_{3}, 360 \text{ MHz}): 0.025-0.050 (6H, 2xs),$ 0.708-0.884 (12H, m), 1.200-1.700 (20H, m), 1.974 (1H, dist dt, J = 11.1, 5.5 Hz), 2.212 (1H, dd, J = 18.3, 9.5 Hz), 2.340 (1H, dt, J = 11.9, 8.7 Hz), 2.720 (1H, ddd, J = 18.3, 7.4, 0.9 Hz), 3.607 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.016 (1H, dist q, J = 8.6 Hz), 4.104 (1H, dist q, J = 6.0

Hz), 5.478 (1H, ddd, J = 15.4, 8.6, 0.7 Hz), 5.672 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 5.8 Hz). ¹³N-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.639, -4.222, 14.012, 18.303, 22.653, 24.977, 25.930, 26.705, 27.718, 29.148, 29.744, 31.830, 32.783, 38.444, 46.132, 54.713, 62.996, 72.292, 72.947, 128.665, 138.021, 214.595. IR (neat): 780, 835, 1075, 1255, 1470, 1745, 2880-3000, 3400. MS: 397, 379, 253, 95, 75 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{50}O_{4}Si$: C, 68.67; H, 11.08. Found: C, 68.35; H, 10.94.

I(CH2)CH2OTMS

1-Iodo-7-trimethylsilyloxyheptane.

Crude 7-iodoheptanoic acid¹⁰⁴ (50.93 g, 199.02 mmol) was dissolved in 400 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran in a 1-L round-bottomed flask fitted with a pressure equalizing addition funnel. To the above solution was added with stirring 238 mL (238 mmol) of a 1M solution of BH₃·THF complex in THF under an inert atmosphere. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The excess borane was then destroyed by the addition of 100 mL of water, and the solution was concentrated in a rotary evaporator. The product was then isolated by extraction with ether. The organic layer was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> afforded 47.31 g (98%) of crude 1-iodo-7-hydroxyheptane.

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 1.20-2.40 (10H, m), 3.20 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.55 (2H, dist t, J = 6.0 Hz), 5.00-5.30 (1H, br).

The crude 1-iodo-7-hydroxyheptane (30.0 g, 124 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous ether (186 mL) and 35 mL (148 mmol) of hexamethyldisilazide was added. The solution was placed under nitrogen and three drops of trimethylsilyl chloride were added. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 20 h after which time it was diluted with 300 mL of ether, washed with water and a saturated ammonium chloride solution and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, concentration <u>in vacuo</u> and distillation gave 21.0 g of 1-iodo-7-trimethyl-silyloxyheptane as a clear liquid (bp 60-64°C at 0.025 mm Hg) (66% yield overall).

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.00 (9H, s), 1.10-2.00 (10H, m), 3.05 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.45 (2H, dist t, J = 6.0 Hz).

IR (neat): 750, 840, 1100, 1250, 2860-3000.



 $\underline{cis}-4, 5-\underline{di}-\underline{n}-\underline{Butyl}-2-\underline{cyclopentenone}$ (70).

The title compound could be obtained in several manners: spontaneous decomposition upon standing at room temperature of hydroxy enol phosphate <u>48</u>; chromatography on silica gel of hydroxy silyl enol ether <u>53</u>; stirring an ethereal solution of adduct <u>53</u> with an equal volume of 2% HCl for 5 min. When <u>70</u> was prepared by either of the last two ways, good yields (85-95%) were consistently realized after standard workup and chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate, 3:1).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.838-0.896 (6H, m), 1.274-1.480 (10H, m), 1.633-1.683 (2H, m), 2.268-2.320 (1H, m), 2.923-2.937 (1H, m), 6.128 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 1.7 Hz), 7.692 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 2.8 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.996, 22.880, 28.081, 29.869, 30.573, 44.333, 49.804, 132.473, 166.657,

215.025.

IR (neat): 800, 1180, 1465, 1595, 1710, 2880-3000.

195



trans-4,5-di-n-Butyl-2-cyclopentenone (71).

To a solution of 348 mg (1 mmol) of hydroxy enol phosphate <u>48</u> in 20 mL of anhydrous THF (nitrogen atmosphere/-78°C) was added 0.56 mL of <u>tert</u>-butyllithium (1.96 M, 1.1 mmol). After 15 min, 0.69 mL of methyllithium (1.6 M, 1.1 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was then quenched with 150 mL of a pH 7 buffer solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1) gave 100 mg of 71 (51%).

Alternatively, to a solution of 130 mg (0.4 mmol) of hydroxy silyl enol ether <u>53</u> in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (nitrogen atmosphere/-78°C) was added 0.20 mL of pyridine polyhydrogen fluoride. After 1 h at -78°C, the mixture was quenched with 25 mL of pH 7 buffer and extracted with ether. The combined organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate. Fitlration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> and filtration through Florisil (ether) gave 73.5 mg of a 3:2 mixture of <u>trans</u>-cyclopentenone <u>71</u> and its <u>cis</u> isomer <u>70</u> (95%), as determined by integration of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture. The mixture was transformed into exclusively the <u>trans</u> isomer <u>71</u> upon standing for <u>ca</u>. 10 days in the NMR tube. This transformation was presumably catalyzed by a trace of acid present in the deuterochloroform solution.

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.838-0.896 (6H, m), 1.274-1.480 (10H, m), 1.633-1.683 (2H, m), 1.898-1.933 (1H, m), 2.533-2.565 (1H, m), 6.074 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 1.0 Hz), 7.577 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 2.1 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.887, 22,826, 29.219, 29.598, 31.006, 34.311, 47.963, 51.646, 132.798, 167.036, 212.325.

IR (neat): 800, 1180, 1465, 1595, 1710, 2880-3000.
Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₂O: C, 80.35; H, 11.41.
Found: C, 80.29; H, 11.20.

197



trans-4-n-Buty1-5-[6-carboxyhexy1]-2-cyclopentenone
(87).

Platinum oxide (70 mg) was hydrogenated (Parr apparatus, 30 psi, 30 min) in 15 mL of a 1:1 mixture of acetone and water. The catalyst and solvents were then transferred to a 50 mL round bottomed flask and 65 mg (0.776 mmol) of sodium bicarbonate was added, followed by 70 mg (0.259 mmol) of a 1:7 mixture of ketols 63 and 64 in 6 mL of 1:1 acetone/water. The suspension was warmed to 55°C and oxygen was bubbled through for 6 h. The catalyst was then removed by filtration and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting aqueous layer was neutralized with saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were dried on anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and concentration in vacuo gave a crude product which was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate; $R_f = 0.39$) to give 46.8 mg of 87 (68%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.871-0.953 (3H, m), 1.267-1.752 (16H, m), 1.903-1.939 (1H, m), 2.317 (2H,

198



t, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.529-2.690 (lH, m), 6.081 (lH, dd, J = 5.7, 2.2 Hz), 7.581 (lH, dd, J = 5.7, 2.5 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 13.893, 22.772, 24.619, 26.764, 28.791, 29.327, 29.565, 31.115, 33.856, 34.213, 47.979, 51.554, 132.717, 167.220, 179.019, 212.450.

IR (neat): 1590, 1670-1750, 2860-2930, 2500-3600.
MS: 267 (M+1), 249, 95 (100%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₆O₃: C, 72.14; H, 9.84. Found: C, 72.24; H, 9.78.

To a solution of 340 mg (0.747 mmol) of protected ketols $\underline{68}$ and $\underline{69}$ (as a 1:8 mixture of C8 epimers, each as a mixture of diastereomers at C15) in 10 mL of acetonitrile was added 1.1 mL of aqueous hydrofluoric acid (47-52%). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h after which it was diluted with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with 5% sodium bicarbonate solution until the washings were neutral and then with a saturated sodium chloride solution. The solution was then dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a crude product which was purified by chromatography on silica gel, by sequential elution with ether, then ether: ethyl acetate 1:1, then ethyl acetate, then ethyl acetate: acetone 1:1. Samples of all four isomers were thus isolated in 90% combined yield [15 mg of 88 (colorless oil), 9 mg of 89 (colorless oil), 30 mg of 90 (colorless oil), 37 mg of 91 (white solid) and 139 mg of a mixture of all isomers]. It should be mentioned that the stereochemistry at C15 of the "cis" epimers 88 and 89 is only tentatively assigned, based upon the order of elution (88, 90, 89, 91); generally 8-epi prostanoids are eluted before natural prostanoids.²⁵ The spectral (¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR) and chromatographic characteristics of 91 were identical to those of an authentic sample. 49

Compound (<u>88</u>):

 $R_f = 0.33$, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.865 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.150-1.698 (23H, m), 2.285 (1H, dd, J = 19.2,
1.5 Hz), 2.497 (1H, dd, J = 19.2, 5.7 Hz), 2.592-2.666 (1H, m), 2.927-2.976 (1H, m), 3.599 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 4.052 (1H, dist q, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.287-4.303 (1H, m), 5.259 (1H, ddd, J = 15.3, 9.8, 0.9 Hz), 5.666 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 6.2 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.012, 22.593, 25.096, 25.573, 26.586, 27.122, 28.969, 29.327, 29.684, 31.711, 32.664, 37.431, 44.940, 50.243, 51.554, 62.936, 72.292, 126.400, 137.365, 217.217.

IR (CDC1₃): 980-1080, 1740, 2865-3000, 3460, 3620. MS: 323, 251, 196, 55, 43 (100%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₆O₄: C, 70.55; H, 10.66. Found: C, 70.36; H, 10.53.

Compound (89):

 $R_{f} = 0.23, \text{ ethyl acetate.}$ $^{1}\text{H-NMR} (CDCl_{3}, 360 \text{ MHz}): (0.867 (3H, t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}), 1.177-1.643 (23H, m), 2.299 (1H, dd, J = 19.2, 1.6 \text{ Hz}), 2.512 (1H, dd, J = 19.2, 5.8 \text{ Hz}), 2.598-2.661 (1H, m), 2.928-2.977 (1H, m), 3.615 (2H, t, J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}), 4.043 (1H, dist q, J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}), 4.329-4.345 (1H, m), 5.232 (1H, ddd, J = 15.2, 10.1, 0.8 \text{ Hz}), 5.651 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 6.7 \text{ Hz}).$ $^{13}\text{C-NMR} (CDCl_{3}): 14.012, 22.593, 25.096, 25.632, 3.551 (2.551) (2$

27.241, 29.148, 29.446, 31.711, 32.724, 37.431,

44.880, 50.184, 51.793, 62.996, 72.232, 72.709, 126.996, 137.365, 217.217. IR (CDC1₂): 1740, 2870-2980, 3620. 322, 251, 99, 43 (100%). MS: <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{36}O_4$: C, 70.55; H, 10.66. Found: C, 69.93; H, 10.19. Compound (90): $R_f = 0.30$, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.874 (3H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.186-1.628 (23H, m), 2.006 (1H, dist dt, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz, 2.212 (1H, dd, J = 18.4, 9.6 Hz), 2.363 (lH, dt, J = 12.0, 8.5 Hz), 2.723 (lH, ddd, J = 18.4, 7.4, 0.8 Hz), 3.607 (2H, t, J =6.5 Hz), 4.055 (dist q, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.113-4.164 (1H, m), 5.583 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 8.2 Hz), 5.727 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 5.9 Hz).¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.012, 22.593, 25.096, 25.513, 26.526, 27.778, 28.969, 29.446, 31.711, 32.664, 37.491, 46.191, 54.355, 54.593, 62.936, 72.232, 129.857, 137.127, 214.536. IR (CDCl₃): 1075, 1745, 2870-2920, 3460, 3620. 323, 305, 251, 99, 55, 43 (100%). MS: <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{36}O_4$: C, 70.55; H, 10.66. Found: C, 70.62; H, 10.73.

Compound (91): $R_f = 0.20$, ethyl acetate. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.863 (3H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.233-1.595 (20H, m), 1.965 (1H, dist dt, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz, 2.193 (1H, dd, J = 18.4, 9.9 Hz), 2.321 (1H, dt, J = 12.0, 8.7 Hz), 2.700 (1H, ddd, J = 18.4, 7.4, 0.8 Hz, 3.592 (2H, t, J = 6.5Hz), 3.965-4.032 (1H, m), 4.038-4.089 (1H, m), 5.503 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 8.7 Hz), 5.627 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 7.4 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.012, 22.593, 25.156, 25.632, 26.645, 27.718, 29.029, 29.565, 31.711, 32.724, 37.431, 46.012, 54.534, 54.713, 62.936, 71.994, 73.007, 131.525, 136.888, 214.595. IR (CDC1₂): 975, 1075, 1745, 2870-2940, 3420, 3620. 323, 305, 269, 208, 95, 55, 43 (100%). MS: mp: 96-98°C. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₆O₄: C, 70.55; H, 10.66. Found: C, 70.64; H, 10.60.



(±)-15-Dehydroprostaglandin E_1 (92).

Platinum oxide (30 mg) was hydrogenated (Parr apparatus, 30 psi, 30 min) in 7 mL of water. The catalyst was then added to a solution of 30 mg of 2-decarboxy-2-hydroxymethyl-PGE₁ in 10 mL of acetone kept at 50°C. Oxygen was bubbled through the suspension with rapid stirring for 4 h. The catalyst was then removed by filtration and the solution was concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The resulting aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent <u>in</u> <u>vacuo</u> and chromatography on silica gel (acetone:methanol, 4:1; $R_f = 0.21$) gave 18.6 mg of <u>92</u> (colorless oil, 60% yield).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_5$: C, 68.15; H, 9.15.

٠.

Found: C, 68.24; H, 9.20.



Platinum oxide (10 mg) was hydrogenated (Parr apparatus, 30 psi, 30 min) in 7 mL of water. The catalyst was then transferred to a solution of 8 mg of ketol <u>69a</u> in 10 mL of acetone kept at 40°C and oxygen was bubbled through the suspension during 4 h. The catalyst was then removed by filtration and the solution was concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. Extraction of the resulting aqueous layer with ethyl acetate, drying with magnesium sulfate, filtration of the drying agent and concentration <u>in vacuo</u> gave a crude product which was purified by chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate followed by 2% methanol:ethyl acetate to give 5.7 mg of <u>93</u> (70%).

$$\begin{split} \text{R}_{\text{f}} &= 0.33, \text{ acetone:methanol, 9:1.} \\ ^{1}\text{H-NMR} (\text{CDCl}_{3}, 360 \text{ MHz}): 0.012-0.040 (6H, 2xs), \\ & 0.800-0.877 (12H, m), 1.207-1.554 (18H, m), \\ & 1.969-2.010 (1H, m), 2.197 (1H, dd, J = 18.5, 9.1 \\ & \text{Hz}), 2.312 (2H, dist t, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}), 2.342-2.396 \end{split}$$

(1H, m), 2.712 (1H, ddd, J = 18.5, 7.2, 0.9 Hz), 4.010 (1H, dist q, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.104 (1H, dist q, J = 6.5 Hz), 5.475 (1H, ddd, J = 15.2, 8.3, 0.9 Hz), 5.674 (1H, dd, J = 15.2, 6.0 Hz).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.639, -4.222, 14.012, 18.303, 22.653, 24.917, 25.930, 27.658, 28.850, 29.267, 31.830, 38.385, 46.250, 53.938, 54.593, 72.352, 73.007, 128.665, 137.901, 215.191.
- IR (neat): 778, 835, 1075, 1260, 1715, 1740, 3100-3550.

MS: 411, 393, 301, 75 (100%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₈O₅Si: C, 66.62; H, 10.32. Found: C, 66.45; H, 10.18.



(±)-Prostaglandin E₁.

To a solution of 5.3 mg (0.0113 mmol) of protected ketol $\underline{93}$ in 2 mL of acetonitrile was added 0.1 mL of aqueous hydrofluoric acid (47-52%). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h after which it was diluted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with 5% sodium bicarbonate solution until the washings were neutral and then with saturated sodium chloride solution. Drying over magnesium sulfate, filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> gave a crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel (ethyl acetate, then 2%, 6% and 10% methanol/ethyl acetate mixtures) to give 3.2 mg of (±)-prostaglandin E₁ (80%).

¹H-NMR (acetone-d₆, 360 MHz): 0.905 (3H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 1.261-1.682 (18H, m), 2.023-2.143 (2H, m), 2.293 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.309-2.383 (1H, m), 2.594 (1H, ddd, J = 18.4, 7.1, 0.7 Hz), 4.031-4.119 (2H, m), 5.614-5.669 (2H, m).



96

<u>trans-3-[(lE)-3-tert</u>-Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct-l-enyl]-2-[7-hydroxyheptyl]-cyclopentanone (<u>96</u>).

A 25 mL round-bottomed flask was charged with 0.95 mL (0.475 mmol) of a 0.5 M solution of KS-Selectride (potassium trisiamylborohydride) in THF, placed under nitrogen and cooled to -78°C. A solution of 60 mg (0.132 mmol) of ketol 69 in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was then added dropwise via syringe, upon which the reaction mixture turned pink. The solution was stirred at -78°C for 2 h and then at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of 0.5 mL of water followed by 2 mL of ethanol and the borane was then oxidized with 5 mL of a 3 M solution of sodium hydroxide and 5 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide. The aqueous layer was then saturated with sodium carbonate and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo followed by column chromatography (diethyl ether) afforded 40.5 mg of 96 (70%), as a light yellow oil.

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.007-0.030 (6H, 2xs), 0.813-0.918 (12H, m), 1.167-1.617 (22H, m), 1.770-1.823 (1H, m), 2.025-2.137 (2H, m), 2.295-2.432 (2H, m), 3.608 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.028-4.050 (1H, m), 5.474-5.526 (2H, m).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.699, -4.162, 14.012, 18.303, 22.653, 24.977, 25.692, 25.930, 26.764, 27.718, 28.135, 29.208, 29.863, 31.830, 32.783, 37.729, 38.444, 45.297, 45.536, 54.832, 62.996, 73.245, 73.424, 131.764, 134.803, 219.839.
- IR (neat): 775, 835, 1060, 1250, 1740, 2840-2980, 3420.
- MS: 381, 363, 289, 281, 135, 75 (100%).
- <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{50}O_3Si$: C, 71.17; H, 11.49.

Found: C, 71.19; H, 11.55.



97

 $\frac{3\beta - [(l\underline{E}) - 3 - tert - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl] - 2\alpha - [7 - hydroxyheptyl] - 1\alpha - hydroxycyclopentane (97).$

A 0.5 M solution (2.22 mL) of KS-Selectride was added dropwise to a solution of 70 mg (0.154 mmol) of ketol 69 in 5 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran kept at 0°C under an inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and at room temperature for 16 h. TLC analysis of the mixture showed almost immediate formation of ketone 96 and slower conversion of this to alcohol 97. The reaction was quenched by addition of 1 mL of water and 2 mL of ethanol followed by 3 mL of 3 M sodium hydroxide and 3 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide. The mixture was then stirred for 30 min after which time the aqueous layer was saturated with sodium carbonate and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo followed by column chromatography (diethyl ether) yielded 57.7 mg of 97 (85%), as a pale yellow oil.

211

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): -0.009-0.018 (6H, 4xs, 2 per diastereomer), 0.855-0.861 (12H, m), 1.228-1.648 (28H, m), 1.861-2.023 (2H, m), 2.251-2.271 (1H, m), 3.604 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.964-4.015 (1H, m), 4.201 (1H, br s), 5.271-5.394 (2H, m).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.639, -4.151, 13.986, 18.275, 22.609, 25.101, 25.697, 25.968, 27.106, 28.299, 29.327, 29.977, 31.819, 32.214, 33.877, 38.536, 45.579, 45.687, 51.701, 62.969, 73.695, 73.858, 74.074, 133.733, 133.936.
- IR (neat): 780, 840, 1070, 1260, 1465, 2880-2950, 3400.
- MS: 423, 365, 309, 291, 273, 219, 95, 75 (100%).
 Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₅₂O₃Si: C, 70.85; H, 11.89.
 Found: C, 70.77; H, 11.85.



```
\frac{2\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl] - 3\beta - [7 - hydroxyheptyl] - 1\alpha, 4\beta - dihydroxycyclopentane (<u>98</u>) and <math display="block">\frac{2\beta - [(1\underline{E}) - 3 - \underline{tert} - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - 1 - enyl] - 3\alpha - [7 - hydroxyheptyl] - 1\alpha, 4\alpha - dihydroxycyclopentane (<u>95</u>).
```

A solution of 110.8 mg (0.244 mmol) of a 1:8 mixture of ketols <u>68a</u> and <u>69a</u> (homogeneous at C15) in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was placed under nitrogen and cooled to 0°C. L-Selectride (1.75 mL of 1 M solution in tetrahydrofuran) was then added dropwise. The clear solution was allowed to reach room temperature over 6 h and then quenched by sequential addition of water (1 mL), ethanol (2 mL), 3 M sodium hydroxide (3 mL) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (3 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, the aqueous layer was saturated with sodium carbonate and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> followed by column chromatography (diethyl ether, then ethyl acetate) afforded 11.7 mg of $\underline{98}$ and 94.1 mg of $\underline{95}$ (95% combined yield; colorless oils).

Compound (98):

 $R_{f} = 0.35$, ethyl acetate.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.006-0.020 (6H, 2xs), 0.837-0.892 (12H, m), 1.207-1.536 (23H, m), 1.941 (1H, dt, J = 14.5, 5.5 Hz), 2.110 (1H, ddd, J = 14.5, 6.5, 2.0 Hz), 2.154-2.197 (1H, m), 2.461 (1H, td, J = 9.9, 2.4 Hz), 3.618 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 4.010 (1H, dist q, J = 6.3 Hz), 4.214-4.251 (1H, m), 4.285-4.320 (1H, m), 5.389 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 6.6 Hz), 5.496 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 9.6 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.693, -4.210, 13.996, 18.275, 22.664, 24.993, 25.751, 25.914, 29.381, 29.923,

31.873, 32.794, 38.591, 44.170, 46.229, 54.518,

62.023, 73.425, 73.695, 74.237, 129.981, 135.724.

Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₅₂O₄Si: C, 68.36; H, 11.47.

Found: C, 68.25; H, 11.36.

Compound (<u>95</u>): $R_f = 0.21$, ethyl acetate. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.003-0.027 (6H, 2xs), 0.840-0.894 (12H, m), 1.253-1.596 (22H, m), 1.794 (1H, ddd, J = 14.6, 2.0, 1.1 Hz), 2.060 (1H, ddd, J = 14.6, 7.0, 4.6 Hz), 2.242 (1H, dist td, J = 8.8, 4.1 Hz), 3.611 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.924-3.972 (1H, m), 4.027 (1H, dist q, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.199-4.203 (1H, m), 5.371 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 8.5 Hz), 5.474 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 6.1 Hz).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -4.693, -4.206, 13.996, 18.275, 22.609, 25.047, 25.643, 25.914, 28.027, 29.219, 29.814, 31.819, 32.686, 38.428, 42.979, 50.834, 56.359, 62.914, 73.478, 73.749, 78.679, 78.841, 131.065, 135.019.
- IR (neat): 775, 835, 970, 1065, 1255, 1465, 3100-3600.

MS: 399, 381, 363, 289, 75 (100%).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{52}O_4Si$: C, 68.36; H, 11.47.

Found: C, 68.10; H, 11.17.



```
\frac{2\beta - [(l\underline{E}) - tert - Butyldimethylsilyloxyoct - l - enyl] - 3\alpha - [6-carboxyhexyl] - l\alpha, 4\alpha - dihydroxycyclopentane (<u>99</u>).
```

Triol <u>95</u> (6.6 mg) was oxidized following the same procedure described for the oxidation of diol <u>69a</u> to give 5.1 mg of <u>99</u> (colorless oil) after chromatography (ethyl acetate, then 5%, 10% and 20% methanol/ethyl acetate mixtures (75% yield).

R_f = 0.18, ethyl acetate:methanol, 9:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.007-0.028 (6H, 2xs), 0.851-0.924 (12H, m), 1.191-1.610 (21H, m), 1.809 (1H, ddd, J = 15.0, 2.0, 1.1 Hz), 2.047 (1H, ddd, J = 15.0, 7.5, 5.0 Hz), 2.241 (1H, m), 2.322 (2H, dist t, J = 7.4 Hz), 3.940-3.958 (1H, m), 4.033 (1H, dist q, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.196-4.210 (1H, m), 5.377 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 8.7 Hz), 5.479 (1H, dd, J = 15.4, 6.1 Hz).

IR (neat): 775, 835, 970, 1075, 1255, 1710, 3150-3600.

MS: 395, 377, 351, 303, 187, 75 (100%).



PGF₁₀

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₂₆H₅₀O₅Si: C, 66.34; H, 10.71. Found: C, 66.49; H, 10.84.

 (\pm) -Prostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$.

Treatment of <u>99</u> (5.1 mg) with hydrofluoric acid in acetonitrile according to the procedure described for PGE_1 gave 3.5 mg of (±)-prostaglandin $F_{1\alpha}$ after chromatography (ethyl acetate, then 10% and 20% methanol/ethyl acetate mixtures) (90% yield). The spectral and chromatographic characteristics of our synthetic $PGF_{1\alpha}$ were identical to those of an authentic sample.⁴⁹

¹H-NMR (acetone-d₆, 360 MHz): 0.873 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.228-1.646 (20H, m), 2.152-2.214 (2H, m), 2.254 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 3.794-3.846 (1H, ddd, J = 6.9, 6.0, 4.4 Hz), 3.975 (1H, dist q, J = 6.2 Hz), 4.095-4.127 (1H, m), 5.382-5.496 (2H, m).

$$CH_3C \equiv C - (CH_2)_2 - CH_2OSi^tBuMe_2$$

106

1-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-5-heptyne (106).

Commercially available 5-hexyn-1-ol was transformed into 1-<u>tert</u>-butyldimethylsilyloxy-5-hexyne by standard procedures (<u>tert</u>-BuMe₂SiCl, DMF, imidazole, 50°C, 24 h), and the product was distilled under reduced pressure (bp 100-102°C at 15 mm Hg) to give pure <u>105</u> in 98% yield.

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.00 (s, 6H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 1.55-1.90 (5H, m), 2.00-2.20 (2H, m), 3.60 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz).

IR (neat): 770, 830, 1090, 1470, 2830-3000, 3330
 (sharp).

To a solution of 2.12 g (10 mmol) of <u>105</u> in dry tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) kept at -78°C was added <u>n</u>-butyllithium (5.4 mL of 2.04 M solution in hexane), and the mixture was stirred at that temperature for 1 h. Methyl iodide (2.84 g, 20 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over <u>ca</u>. 3 h. After stirring at that temperature for 1 h, the solution was diluted with ether, washed with water and a



saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent in <u>vacuo</u> and distillation at reduced pressure afforded 1.908 g of <u>106</u> as a colorless liquid (bp $73-76^{\circ}C$ at 8 mm Hg) (84%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.023 (6H, s), 0.875 (9H, s), 1.445-1.637 (4H, m), 1.746 (3H, t, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.074-2.163 (2H, m), 3.594 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -5.343, 3.324, 18.275, 18.492,

25.481, 25.914, 32.036, 62.698, 79.058. IR (neat): 780, 840, 1110, 1260, 1365, 1390, 1465, 1475, 2860-3000.

Metalation of l-<u>tert</u>-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-5-heptyne (<u>106</u>) in Tetrahydrofuran.

A flame-dried 25 mL round-bottomed flask was placed under nitrogen and was charged with 226 mg (1 mmol) of <u>106</u> dissolved in 5mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. The solution was cooled down to 0°C and <u>tert</u>-butyllithium (0.53 mL of 2.10 M solution in pentane) was added dropwise. After stirring at this temperature for 1 h, the anion was quenched with 0.12 mL (1.1 mmol) of benzaldehyde and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution, diluted with ether and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> followed by flash chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether, 9:1) gave 27 mg of the allenic adduct, 61 mg of the propargylic carbinol and 78 mg of a mixture of both (50% combined yield, <u>ca</u>. 1:2 ratio of allenic: propargylic carbinols).

[7-<u>tert</u>-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-1,2-heptadien-3-y1]phenyl methanol:

R_f = 0.21, hexane:ether, 9:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.001 (6H, s), 0.858 (9H, s), 1.396-1.484 (4H, m), 1.773-1.829 (2H, m), 2.231-2.243 (1H, m), 3.524 (2H, t, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.968-4.995 (2H, m), 5.077 (1H, br s), 7.239-7.365 (5H, m).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): -5.289, 18.330, 23.855, 25.968, 27.702, 32.361, 62.914, 74.183, 79.762, 108.149, 126.677, 127.760, 128.302, 142.116, 204.253. [7-<u>tert</u>-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-heptyn-1-y1] phenyl methanol:

 $R_{f} = 0.14, \text{ hexane:ether, 9:1.}$ $^{1}_{H-NMR} (CDCl_{3}, 360 \text{ MHz}): 0.043 (6H, s), 0.891 (9H, s), 1.504-.1594 (4H, m), 2.159-2.203 (2H, m), 2.517-2.523 (1H, br), 2.556-2.599 (2H, m), 3.599 (2H, t, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.783 (1H, dist t, J = 6.1 Hz), 7.261-7.378 (5H, m).$ $^{13}_{C-NMR} (CDCl_{3}): -5.289, 18.546, 25.372, 25.968, 0.564$

30.085, 31.927, 62.644, 72.612, 76.187, 83.338, 125.756, 127.706, 128.302, 142.929.

The data obtained from these adducts were very helpful in tentatively assigning the structures of the cyclopentadiene monoepoxide adducts <u>107</u> and <u>108</u>. This was especially true for the ¹³C data (i.e., allenic adduct: 204.253, 108.149 and 79.762 ppm); propargylic adduct: (83.338 and 76.187 ppm).



A flame-dried 50 mL round bottomed flask was charged with 0.51 mL of a tert-butyllithium solution in pentane (2.15 M) and the solvent was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen at room temperature. The solid tert-butyllithium was cooled to -78°C and dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous ether. After sequential addition of TMEDA (0.17 mL, 1.1 mmol) and 1-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-5-heptyne (226 mg, 1 mmol), the resulting yellow slurry was gradually warmed up to 0°C (yellow solution) and stirred for 1 h. The solution was then transferred under nitrogen onto a suspension of CuCN (197 mg, 2.2 mmol) in 5 mL of ether kept at -30°C. The reaction mixture was warmed up to 0°C and stirred for 15 min at that temperature. The dark red solution was cooled to -50°C, cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (181 mg, 2.2 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over ca. 4 h. Usual workup (see general procedure for cuprate additions) gave a crude product which was chromatographed (hexane:diethyl ether, 5:1) to give an inseparable 1:1 mixture of <u>107</u> and <u>108</u> (92 mg, 30% yield, light yellow oil) as the only products that could be characterized. The structural assignment for <u>107</u> and <u>108</u> is tentative and based primarily on the ¹³C-NMR data. The reaction was also attempted without TMEDA, by performing the metalation in THF, with identical results to those just described.

R_f = 0.15, hexane:ether, 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.043 (6H, s), 0.891 (9H, s), 1.451-2.225 (11H, m), 3.550-3.636 (2H, m), 4.655-4.682 (1H, m), 4.857-4.896 (1H, m), 5.830-5.857 (1H, m), 5.916-5.948 (1H, m).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, the underlined values are tentatively assigned to the allenic adduct): -5.289, 18.330, 18.492, 23.910, 24.830, 25.481, 25.914, <u>30.248</u>, 31.927, <u>32.469</u>, <u>39.999</u>, 43.900, <u>46.554</u>, 62.698, <u>63.023</u>, <u>77.000</u>, <u>81.063</u>, <u>106.091</u>, <u>133.286</u>, 133.719, 137.782, 138.649, 204.741.

IR (neat): 780, 840, 1100, 1255, 1465, 1960, 2860-3000, 3400.

223

 $ICH_2C \equiv C - (CH_2) - CH_2OSiEt_3$

109

1-Iodo-7-triethylsilyloxy-2-heptyne (109).

Commercially available 5-hexyn-l-ol was transformed into l-triethylsilyloxy-5-hexyne by standard procedures (Et₃SiCl, DMF, imidazole, 50°C, 24 h) and the product was distilled under reduced pressure (bp 113-115°C at 10 mm Hg) (95%).

1_{H-NMR} (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.25-1.20 (15H, m), 1.30-1.80 (5H, m), 1.95-2.25 (2H, m), 3.55 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz). IR (neat): 760, 1025, 1120, 1250, 1470, 2850-2950,

3280 (sharp).

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 10.68 g (50.3 mmol) of 1-triethylsiloxy-5-hexyne was dissolved in 50 mL of anhydrous THF and the solution was cooled to 0°C; <u>n</u>-butyllithium (1.1 eq, 27.1 mL of a 2.04 M solution) was then added and the solution was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. Parafarmaldehyde (6.80 g) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and at reflux for <u>ca</u>. 10 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and poured onto 40 mL of ice-water. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated ammonium chloride solution, brine and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u>, followed by distillation under reduced pressure (bp 90-93°C at 0.1 mm Hg) gave 9.1 g (75% yield) of 1-hydroxy-7-triethylsilyloxy-2-heptyne as a colorless liquid.

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.25-1.20 (15H, m), 1.35-1.80 (4H, m), 2.00-2.35 (2H, m), 3.60 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.00-4.20 (2H, m).

To a cold (0°C) solution of 4 g (16.5 mmol) of 1hydroxy-7-triethylsilyloxy-2-heptyne in 30 mL of ether was added triethylamine (2.53 mL, 18.14 mmol) and mesyl chloride (1.54 mL, 19.8 mmol). The resulting white slurry was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then filtered through Florisil. Evaporation <u>in vacuo</u> gave 5.0 g of crude mesylate (100%).

¹H-NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): 0.25-1.20 (15H, m), 1.35-1.80 (4H, m), 2.00-2.40 (2H, m), 3.00 (3H, s), 3.60 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.85 (2H, t, J = 3 Hz). To a solution of the crude mesylate (5.02 g) in 48 mL of acetone was added sodium iodide (9.8 g, 65.9 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, after which time the solvent was evaporated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was taken up into ether (100 mL) and water (20 mL) was added; the layers were separated and the organic extracts were washed with a 10% sodium thiosulfate solution and a saturated solution of sodium chloride. The solution was dried over magnesium sulfate; filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent gave a crude product which was purified by chromatography (hexane: ether, 99:1) to give 2.8 g of <u>109</u> as a pale yellow liquid (50% yield).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz): 0.25-1.20 (15H, m), 1.35-1.80 (4H, m), 2.00-2.40 (2H, m), 3.60-3.90 (4H, m).



Metal-Halogen Exchange of 1-Iodo-7-triethylsilyloxy-2-heptyne (109).

1-Iodo-7-triethylsilyloxy-2-heptyne (350 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether in a 25 mL round bottomed flask. Under a nitrogen atmosphere the solution was cooled to -ll0°C and tert-butyllithium (2.2 equivalents, 1.02 mL of a 2.15 M solution in pentane) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -110°C for 2 h and then quenched with 0.15 mL of benzaldehyde and allowed to warm up to room temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 1 h, the mixture was quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution, the layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, 9:1) to give 37 mg (11%) of an inseparable 2:1 mixture of 110 and 111.

 $R_{f} = 0.17$, hexane:ether, 9:1.

227



1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz; on mixture): 0.630 (6H, m), 0.880-1.010 (9H, m), 1.396-1.700 (4H, m),2.159-2.203 (2H, m), 2.517-2.599 (3H, m), 3.580-3.631 (2H, m), 4.785 (1H, dist t, J = 6.1 Hz; <u>110</u>), 4.968-4.995 (2H, m; <u>111</u>), 5.077 (1H, br s; <u>111</u>), 7.260-7.379 (5H, m).

2-Triethylsilyl-2(\underline{E})-heptene ($\underline{118}$).

The reaction of triethylsilyl acetylene (140 mg, 1 mmol) with 1.5 equivalents of <u>n</u>-BuCu·MgBr₂ (prepared from 0.94 mL of 1.6 M solution of <u>n</u>-butyl MgBr and 230 mg of cuprous bromide) in the presence of EtO_3P (0.34 mL, 2 mmol), followed by alkylation with methyl iodide (0.13 mL, 2 mmol) in the presence of EtO_3P (0.68 mL, 4 mmol) and HMPA (1.5 mL), according to the procedure developed by Utimoto⁶⁸ for the carbometalation and alkylation of trimethylsilyl acetylene gave 80 mg (40% yield) of <u>118</u> (colorless liquid) after chromatography (hexane; $R_f = 0.64$).



¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.550 (6H, q, J = 8.2 Hz), 0.892 (12H, t, J = 8.2 Hz), 1.243-1.363 (4H, m), 1.623 (3H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 2.089 (2H, dist q, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.673 (1H, dist t, J = 6.1 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 2.674, 7.387, 13.996, 14.917, 22.393, 28.027, 31.602, 132.527, 141.195. IR (neat): 720, 1010, 1465, 1620, 2850-3010. <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₈Si: C, 73.50; H, 13.28. Found: C, 73.21; H, 12.93.

<u>l-(tri-n-Butylstannyl)-2-triethylsilyl-2(E)-heptene</u> (<u>119</u>).

The reaction of triethylsilyl acetylene (1.54 g, 11 mmol) with 0.9 equivalents of <u>n</u>-BuCu·MgBr₂ (prepared from 6.25 mL of 1.6 M solution of <u>n</u>-butyl MgBr and 1.6 g of cuprous bromide) in the presence of EtO_3P (1.90 mL, 11 mmol), followed by alkylation with $(tri-\underline{n}-butylstannyl)$ -methyl iodide (4.32 g, 10 mmol) in the presence of EtO_3P (3.80 mL, 22 mmol) and HMPA (10 mL), according to the procedure developed by Utimoto⁶⁸ for the carbometalation and alkylation of trimethylsilyl acetylene, gave 3.50 g of

impure <u>119</u> (colorless liquid) after chromatography (hexane). Repeated attempts to obtain pure <u>119</u> by a second chromatography or distillation under reduced pressure were not successful; <u>119</u> was always contaminated by some tin byproduct and this prevented the accurate measurement of the integrals in the upfield region of its ¹H-NMR spectrum. The reaction proceeded in <u>ca</u>. 40% yield (by integration of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture, under the assumption that the by-product was ⁿpentyl SnⁿBu₃).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz: 0.545 (6H, q, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.788-0.961 (m), 1.238-1.561 (m), 1.746 (2H, t, J = 32.3 Hz, coupling with tin), 1.936 (2H, dist q, J = 6.7 Hz), 5.386 (1H, dist t, J = 6.2 Hz).



Lithium Tin Exchange of Allyl Tin Compound <u>119</u>. Preparation of [2-Triethylsilyl-2(<u>E</u>)-hepten-1-yl] Phenyl Methanol, (<u>120</u>), and [2-Triethylsilyl-1-hepten-3-yl] Phenyl Methanol (<u>121</u>).

Under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, 0.19 mL of methyllithium solution was added to a cooled (-78°C) solution of 125 mg of 119 (0.25 mmol) in 3 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1 h and 0.04 mL of benzaldehyde (0.50 mmol) was then added. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred at that temperature overnight. The reaction was then quenched with saturated ammonium chloride, diluted with ether, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and concentration in vacuo followed by column chromatography (hexane:ether, 9:1) allowed for the separation of pure samples of 120 and 121 which were subsequently combined with the rest of the fractions to give

232

<u>ca</u>. 40 mg (50% yield) of a 2.5:1 mixture of <u>120</u> and <u>121</u>, as determined by integration of the 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture. It should be mentioned that <u>121</u> was formed as a 3:1 mixture of diastereoisomers (by integration of the ¹H-NMR spectrum of pure <u>121</u>) while only one isomer was detected for <u>120</u>, whose stereochemistry was tentatively assigned as <u>E</u>.

Compound (120):

^LH-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.631 (6H, m), 0.856-0.943 (12H, m), 1.220-1.384 (2H, m), 2.076-2.189 (2H, m), 2.405 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 4.2 Hz), 2.651 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 9.8 Hz), 4.622 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 4.2 Hz), 5.960 (1H, t, J = 6.9 Hz), 7.305-7.544 (5H, m).

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}$ SiO: C, 75.41; H, 10.75. Found: C, 74.88; H, 10.93. Compound (<u>121</u>) (for major diastereomer): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.554-.0671 (6H, m), 0.892-0.965 (12H, m), 1.221-1.403 (6H, m), 2.613-2.681 (1H, m), 4.624 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 5.638 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 5.857 (1H, br s), 7.302-7.538 (5H, m).



1<u>58</u>

Experimental Procedures for an Approach to Porosin and The Synthesis of Oak Lactones <u>via</u> Lactonization of <u>Vinyl Sulfoxides</u>.

1,2-Dibromo-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-propane (<u>158</u>). Under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen, 10.2 mL of bromine (0.2 mol) dissolved in 20 mL of chloroform was added dropwise to a cooled (-30°C) solution of 35.6 g (0.2 mol) of commercially available 1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-propene (<u>ca</u>. 95% <u>E</u>) in 60 mL of chloroform. After evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u>, the crystalline residue (67 g, 90% yield) was a 10:1, erythro:threo mixture (by integration of the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture). Pure erythro isomer (mp 107-108°C¹⁰⁶) could be obtained by recrystallization with 5% <u>i</u>-PrOH/hexane. In some instances, the crude dibromide was employed for the next reaction.

Erythro (118):

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 2.014 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.861 (3H, s), 3.883 (3H, s), 4.576 (1H, dq, J = 10.3, 6.5 Hz), 5.011 (1H, d, J = 10.3 Hz), 6.797 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.872-6.946 (2H, m). OEt ArCHCHBrCH,

161

Threo $(\underline{118})$: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.691 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 5.174 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz) in the mixture.

1-Ethoxy-1-(3,4-dimethoxypheny1)-2-bromopropane (161).

To a solution of potassium hydroxide (1.83 g, 32.5 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) kept at 55°C was added 5 g of 1,2dibromo-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-propane, <u>158</u> (14.8 mmol). The solution was stirred at 55°C for 2 h after which time the starting material had been completely consumed. The solvent was then evaporated <u>in vacuo</u> and the residue was taken up into ether and filtered through a Celite pad. Concentration <u>in vacuo</u> and column chromatography gave 3.60 g (80% yield) of 161 as a yellow oil.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.148 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.610 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz), 3.314-3.437 (2H, m), 3.821 (3H, s), 3.837 (3H, s), 4.153 (1H, dist quintet, J = 6.3 Hz), 4.299 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz), 6.738-6.992 (3H, m).

IR (neat): 770, 810, 1025, 1260, 1415, 1450, 1510, 1580, 2820-3010.

234

1-Bromo-1-(3,4-dimethoxypheny1)-1(E)-propene (159).

A solution of 1.7 g (5 mmol) of dibromide <u>158</u> in 15 mL of dichloromethane was added to a cold (0°C) solution of 2.2 g of potassium hydroxide in 15 mL of isopropanol. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 15 min and at room temperature for 30 min and was then concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was taken up into ether and filtered through Florisil. The ether was removed <u>in vacuo</u> and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, 9:1) to give 0.9 g of pure <u>159</u> (70%) as a colorless oil. Crude <u>159</u> was sufficiently pure to be used for the next step without chromatography. Standing at room temperature for 2-3 days caused decomposition and partial isomerization to the Z-isomer.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.654 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 3.865 (3H, s), 3.869 (3H, s), 6.199 (1H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.865-6.911 (3H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 16.423, 55.944, 110.856, 112.771, 120.844, 121.855, 128.284, 131.195, 148.677, 149.190.



IR (neat): 760, 860, 1025, 1140, 1235, 1255, 1405, 1460, 1510, 1600, 2840-3020.

MS: 258 (M+2), 256 (M), 177 (100%), 146, 131, 91.

The \underline{Z} isomer was characterized by its downfield methyl shift (1.906 ppm) and upfield vinylic shift (6.155).

 $\frac{1-(3,4-\text{Dimethoxyphenyl})-1-(\underline{p}-\text{toluenesulfinyl})-1(\underline{E})-}{\text{propene} (\underline{157}).}$

Sec-butyllithium (8.4 mmol, 5.8 mL of a 1.44 M solution in cyclohexane) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a precooled (-78°C) solution of 2.06 g (8 mmol) of crude vinyl bromide <u>159</u> in 80 mL of anhydrous ether. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 1 h, after which time 2.83 g (16 mmol) of p-toluenesulfinyl chloride was added <u>via</u> syringe. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm up to room temperature over <u>ca</u>. 4 h. After quenching the mixture with 40 mL of saturated sodium bicarbonate, it was diluted with 50 mL of ether, and the resulting layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution, and dried over
magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent <u>in vacuo</u> and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1; $R_f = 0.20$) gave 1.33 g of pure <u>157</u> (53% overall from dibromide <u>158</u>) as a yellow oil.

1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.756 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.286 (3H, s), 3.630 (3H, s), 3.809 (3H, s), 6.237 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 6.471 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 1.9 Hz), 6.621 (1H, q, J = 7.0 Hz), 6.722 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.089 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.182 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz).

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 14.429, 21.201, 55.655, 110.750, 112.592, 122.505, 123.426, 125.051, 129.223, 139.624, 141.033, 145.908, 148.400, 148.922.

IR (neat): 765, 815, 1030, 1140, 1235, 1255, 1410, 1450, 1510, 1600, 2840-3020.

MS: 317 (M+1), 300, 177 (100%), 91.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₀O₃S: C, 68.33; H, 6.37; S, 10.13. Found: C, 68.24; H, 6.45; S, 10.09.

General Procedure for the Sulfoxide-Directed Lactonization of Vinyl Sulfoxides.⁷⁰

A solution of five equivalents of an α -halo acid chloride in anhydrous ether (20 mL per mmol of sulfoxide) was added dropwise over a 15-min period to a rapidly stirred suspension containing twenty equivalents of zinc-copper



couple¹⁰⁷ in a refluxing ether solution of one equivalent of the vinyl sulfoxide (30 mL per mmol of sulfoxide). After addition of the acid chloride, reflux was continued for 15 min. The excess zinc was removed <u>via</u> filtration through Celite and the resulting yellow solution was poured into cold, saturated sodium bicarbonate (50 mL per mmol of sulfoxide). This mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min and then the layers were separated. The aqueous portion was extracted once with 100 mL of ether, and the combined ether fractions were dried over magnesium sulfate. The ether was removed <u>in vacuo</u>, leaving the crude lactone as a brown oil. Purification was effected by chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate solvent systems).

 $\frac{2,2-\text{Dichloro}-4\alpha-(3,4-\text{dimethoxyphenyl})-3\alpha-\text{methyl}-4\beta-}{p-\text{tolylthio}-\gamma-\text{butyrolactone} (156) \text{ and } 2,2-\text{Dichloro}-4\beta-}(3,4-\text{dimethoxyphenyl})-3\alpha-\text{methyl}-4\alpha-p-\text{tolylthio}-\gamma-\text{butyro-lactone} (162).$

Vinyl sulfoxide <u>157</u> (1.33 g, 4.20 mmol) was treated with 2.34 mL (3.82 g, 21 mmol) of trichloroacetyl chloride and 5.48 g (84 mmol) of zinc-copper couple in 210 mL of ether as described in the general procedure. Column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1) afforded 1.077 g of pure <u>156</u> (60%) as a yellow oil and <u>ca</u>. 70 mg of more polar products. A second column chromatography of the 70 mg (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1; 10 g of silica) provided 34 mg of isomeric lactone <u>162</u> (yellow oil), along with an uncharacterized, slightly more polar, material.

Compound $(\underline{156})$: $R_f = 0.19$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.322 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.306 (3H, s), 3.061 (1H, q, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.848 (6H, s), 6.783 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.878 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz), 6.959 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 2.3 Hz), 7.111 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.385 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz).

13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 10.198, 21.283, 54.593, 55.845, 80.813, 99.227, 110.251, 110.549, 120.084, 125.328, 126.579, 130.095, 136.173, 140.643, 148.091, 149.343, 166.803.

IR (CHCl₃): 845, 980, 1150, 1460, 1795, 2840-2980. MS: 429, 427 (M+1), 347, 303, 165 (100%), 123, 77.

239

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{20}O_4SCl_2$: C, 56.21; H, 4.72, C1, 16.59; S, 7.50. Found: C, 55.88; H, 4.69; C1, 16.04; S, 7.65. Compound (<u>162</u>): $R_f = 0.16$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.692 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.215 (3H, s), 3.277 (1H, q, J = 6.9 Hz), 3.737 (3H, s), 3.815 (3H, s), 6.720 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.764 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 6.911 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 6.957 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz), 7.097 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): 10.291, 21.092, 55.825, 59.357, 81.331, 99.161, 107.789, 110.578, 117.629, 124.732, 129.351, 132.355, 135.741,

139.224, 148.358, 148.780, 167.127.

IR (CDCl₃): 1020, 1215, 1260, 1410, 1445, 1505, 1595, 1795, 2850-3020.

MS: 428, 426 (M), 347, 303, 165 (100%), 123, 77.



To a solution of 216 mg (0.5 mmol) of dichlorolactone 156 in 25 mL of THF/H₂O, 9:1, was added <u>ca</u>. 243 mg (5 mmol) of aluminum amalgam generated from aluminum foil by the procedure of Corey.⁸¹ The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and at room temperature overnight. The mixture was then filtered through a Celite pad and the filter cake was rinsed with ether. The combined organic extracts were then washed with a saturated ammonium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, concentration in vacuo and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1; $R_f = 0.23$) gave 160 mg of lactone 160 (90%) as a colorless oil. It was observed that a $CDCl_3$ solution of <u>160</u> suffered complete decomposition of 160 upon standing at room temperature for ca. 24 h. Compound 160 could be stored indefinitely, however, if it was kept in a refrigerator without any solvent.

- 1_{H-NMR} (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.791 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.240 (3H, s), 2.281 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 2.9 Hz), 2.906 (1H, quintet d, J = 7.2, 2.9 Hz), 3.032 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 7.8 Hz), 3.774 (3H, s), 3.832 (3H, s), 6.733 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.794 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz), 6.875 (1H, dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz), 6.950 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.124 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 17.246, 21.093, 38.157, 40.812, 55.818, 100.836, 109.937, 110.262, 119.092, 126.189, 129.277, 130.035, 135.832, 139.137, 148.292, 175.324.
- IR (neat): 735, 810, 920, 1025, 1260, 1510, 1595, 1785, 2860-3020,
- MS: 359 (M+1), 283, 236, 165 (100%), 123, 79.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₄S: C, 67.02; H, 6.19; S, 8.94. Found: C, 67.25; H, 6.10; S, 8.67. 152

<u>cis-4-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-</u>y-butyrolactone

To a suspension of Raney nickel (prepared according to Burgstahler⁸² from 657 mg of 50% alloy) in 5 mL of ethanol was added 100 mg (0.28 mmol) of arylthiolactone <u>160</u> in 10 mL of ethanol. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and was then filtered through a Celite pad. The filter cake was washed with ethanol and the combined organic extracts were concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was then taken up into ether, washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, evaporation of the solvent and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1, then 2:1) gave 22.1 mg of starting material, <u>160</u> (22%) and 13.2 mg of lactone <u>152</u> (20%), as a colorless oil, contaminated with trace amounts (<u>ca</u>: 30:1 ratio) of its epimer 165.

 $R_{f} = 0.13$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1.



- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.699 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.298-2.373 (1H, m), 2.776-2.851 (2H, m), 3.863 (3H, s), 3.867 (3H, s), 5.537 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 6.725-6.769 (2H, m), 6.852 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz).
- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): 15.190, 35.213, 37.244, 55.986, 56.064, 84.055, 108.696, 111.155, 117.808, 128.633, 148.784, 149.091, 176.769. IR (CDCl₃): 1025, 1160, 1415, 1510, 1595, 1775, 2880-3010.

MS: 236 (M), 167 (100%), 151, 139, 95.

Reaction of 2,2-Dichloro- 4α -(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)- 3α methyl- 4β -p-tolylthio- γ -butyrolactone (<u>156</u>) with tri-<u>n</u>-Butyltin Hydride.

To a solution of 213 mg (0.5 mmol) of dichlorolactone <u>156</u> in 10 mL of toluene was added 0.94 mL (3.5 mmol) of tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin hydride and 10 mg of AIBN. The solution

was refluxed for ca. 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Concentration in vacuo and column chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate, 2:1, then 1:1) afforded 88.6 mg of a 2.5:1 mixture of cis-lactone 152 and trans-lactone 165, as determined by integration of its 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum (75% yield). A second chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1; 15 g silica) allowed for the separation of a sample of pure trans-lactone 165, as a colorless oil. Compound (165): $R_f = 0.40$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.156 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.326 (1H, dd, J = 16.8, 10.9 Hz), 2.422-2.515 (1H, m), 2.779 (1H, dd, J = 16.8, 7.5 Hz), 3.872 (3H, s), 3.879 (3H, s), 4.862 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.819-6.849 (3H, m). ¹³C-NMR (CDC1₃, 75 MHz): 16.476, 37.492, 39.789, 56.049, 56.096, 88.354, 109.039, 111.089, 118.869, 130.228, 149.455, 149.561, 176.047. IR (CDCl₃): 1025, 1150, 1215, 1235, 1265, 1420, 1520, 1595, 1775, 2840-3020. 236 (M), 167 (100%), 151, 139, 95. MS: <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}O_4$ (on the mixture): С, 66.09; Н, 6.83. Found: C, 65.93; H, 7.01.



Reaction of 2-Triethylsiloxy-4,5-dihydrofuran (<u>169</u>) with (<u>E</u>)-l-Butadienyl Phenyl Sulfoxide (<u>151</u>) in Aceto-<u>nitrile</u>.

To a solution of 178 mg (1 mmol) of diene 151^{109} in 2 mL of dry acetonitrile was added 212 mg (1 mmol) of freshly distilled silyl ketene acetal 169^{110} (bp 43°C at 0.025 mm Hg). The mixture was refluxed under nitrogen for 3 days after which time it was diluted with ether. The ether solution was washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried with magnesium sulfate. Evaporation of the ether gave a crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1, then 4:1, then 1:1, then ethyl acetate). Starting material <u>151</u> (43.4 mg) and 8.1 mg (7%) of adduct <u>170</u> were isolated as the only products which could be characterized.

Compound (170):

 $R_f = 0.15$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1).



¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.606-1.841 (5H, m), 2.934-2.943 (1H, m), 4.161-4.171 (1H, m), 5.631-5.685 (1H, m), 5.839-5.882 (1H, m), 6.238 (1H, dt, J = 15.3, 1.6 Hz), 6.596 (1H, dd, J = 15.3, 6.7 Hz), 7.437-7.597 (5H, m). IR (CDCl₃): 1030, 1445, 1620, 2850-3080, 3200-3550, 3620.

Reaction of 2-Triethylsilyloxy-4,5-dihydrofuran (<u>169</u>) with (<u>E</u>)-l-Butadienyl Phenyl Sulfoxide (<u>151</u>) at 200°C Neat.

A 25 mL round bottomed flask containing 425 mg of 169^{110} (2 mmol) and 90 mg of diene 151^{109} was kept <u>in vacuo</u> (1 mm Hg) for 1 h. The flask was then immediately flushed with nitrogen and heated at 200°C for 1 h. The mixture was chromatographed (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1) to give 12.0 mg of an uncharacterized product and 14.0 mg of 2-phenylsul-finyl- γ -butyrolactone <u>171</u> (14% yield).



Compound (<u>171</u>): R_f = 0.33, hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 2.262 (1H, ddt, J = 13.5, 7.4, 6.1 Hz), 2.610-2.712 (1H, m), 3.850 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 6.2 Hz), 4.173-4.280 (2H, m), 7.310-7.571 (5H, m). IR (CDCl₃): 1020, 1150, 1370, 1440, 1585, 1765, 2900-3100. MS: 210 (M), 125 (100%), 97, 73, 45.

Reaction of 3-Carboethoxy-2-triethylsilyloxy-4,5dihydrofuran (<u>174</u>) with (<u>E</u>)-1-Butadienyl Phenyl Sulfoxide (<u>151</u>) in Acetonitrile.

A solution of 381 mg (1.4 mmol) of 174^{87} and 180 mg (1 mmol) of diene 151^{109} in 2 mL of dry acetonitrile was heated at 60°C for 16 h and then refluxed for 6 h. The solvent was then evaporated <u>in vacuo</u> and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate mixtures, 9:1, 7:1, 5:1, 4:1, 3:1, 2:1). This yielded 24.5 mg

(5% yield) of adduct <u>175</u> (pale yellow oil), which was formed as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers (from integration of very complex 360 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum), and 11.0 mg (4% yield) of adduct <u>176</u> (pale yellow oil), formed as a single isomer. Further elution produced 213 mg of a 3:1 mixture of 2-carboethoxy- γ -butyrolactone and diene <u>151</u>.

Compound (175): $R_{f} = 0.48$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.495-0.723 (6H, m), 0.851-0.973 (9H, m), 1.123 (3H, m), 2.345-2.927 (2H, m), 3.472-3.568 (1H, m), 3.994-4.420 (5H, m), 5.221-5.417 (2H, m), 5.823-5.981 (1H, m), 7.243-7.541 (5H, m). IR (CDCl₂): 1030-1160, 1240, 1735, 1770, 2880-3000. 341 (100), 319, 115, 109, 87. MS: Compound (176): $R_f = 0.29$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.261 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.497 (lH, ddd, J = 13.1, 6.9, 4.1 Hz), 3.227 (1H, dt, J = 13.1, 8.2 Hz), 4.181-4.302 (3H, m),4.380-4.439 (lH, m), 5.127 (lH, d, J = 17.8 Hz), 5.303 (lH, dd, J = 11.6, 1.2 Hz), 6.543 (lH, s), 6.624 (lH, dd, J = 17.8, ll.6 Hz), 7.236-7.368(5H, m). IR (CDCl₃): 1000-1120, 1730, 1770, 2880-3000.

MS: 318 (M), 245, 167 (100%), 109, 77.

Reaction of 1-Pyrrolydino-1-cyclopentene with (\underline{E}) -1-Butadienyl Phenyl Sulfoxide $(\underline{151})$ in Acetonitrile.

A solution of 180 mg (1 mmol) of 151^{109} and 274 mg (2 mmol) of 1-pyrrolidino-1-cyclopentene in 1 mL of dry acetonitrile was heated at 60°C for 1 h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The solvent was evaporated <u>in vacuo</u> and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: ethyl acetate mixtures, 9:1, 5:1, 2:1) to give 115 mg (60% yield) of 2-thiophenylcyclopentanone (pale yellow liquid) as the only product which could be characterized.

 $R_f = 0.23$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1.

- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 1.837-1.986 (2H, m), 2.004-2.095 (1H, m), 2.246-2.367 (3H, m), 3.558 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.222-7.298 (3H, m), 7.436-7.465 (2H, m).
- IR (neat): 740, 1145, 1400, 1440, 1480, 1590, 1740, 2900-3080.

MS: 192 (M), 136 (100%), 109, 91.

The reaction was carried out several times with different stoichiometries of the reagents. In all cases, 2thiophenylcyclopentanone was the predominant product and careful analysis of the other reaction products showed no signs of formation of the cycloaddition adduct.



Lithiation of (\underline{E}) -l-Butadienyl Phenyl Sulfoxide $(\underline{151})$. Preparation of (\underline{E}) -(l-Hydroxyheptyl)-l-phenylsulfinyl-l,3butadiene ($\underline{108a}$, $\underline{180b}$).

n-Butyllithium (1.1 mmol, 0.71 mL of 1.55 M solution) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a cold (0°C) solution of 0.16 mL (1.2 mmol) of dry N,N-diisopropylamine in 5 mL of anhydrous ether. After 30 min, the solution of LDA was cooled to -78°C and an ether solution (1 mL) of 180 mg (1 mmol) of dienyl sulfoxide 151^{109} was added dropwise. After 30 min at -78°C, 0.27 mL of heptanal was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over 1 h. The solution was then quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution and diluted with ether. The organic layer was separated, washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and concentration under reduced pressure gave a crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1) to give 73 mg of 180a (least polar diastereomer) and 70 mg of

```
180b (more polar diastereomer) (50% combined yield), both as colorless oils.
```

Compound (<u>180a</u>): R_f = 0.29, hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.809 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.050-1.413 (9H, m), 1.616-1.680 (1H, m), 2.587-2.591 (1H, br), 4.516 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 4.8 Hz), 5.470 (1H, dd, J = 9.9, 1.5 Hz), 5.575 (1H, dd, J = 16.5, 1.4 Hz), 6.742 (1H, ddd, J = 16.5, 11.3, 9.9 Hz), 6.892 (1H, d, J = 11.3 Hz), 7.417-7.473 (3H, m), 7.600-7.651 (2H, m).

IR (neat): 690, 750, 1035, 1440, 2850-3000, 3150-3600.

MS: 293, 292 (M), 275, 207, 126, 43 (100%).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₂S: C, 69.82; H, 8.27;

S, 10.96. Found: C, 69.96; H, 8.45;

s, 10.79.

Compound (180b):

R_f = 0.21, hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.799 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.982-1.421 (10H, m), 3.113-3.201 (1H, br), 4.485 (1H, dd, J = 9.2, 4.2 Hz), 5.441-5.560 (2H, m), 6.799-6.885 (2H, m), 7.429-7.485 (3H, m), 7.586-7.624 (2H, m).



IR (neat): 690, 750, 1030, 1450, 2860-3000, 3100-3600. MS: 293, 292 (M), 291, 275, 207, 126, 43 (100%). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₂S: C, 69.82; H, 9.27; S, 10.96. Found: C, 69.55; H, 8.44; S, 10.24.

<u>cis-2,2-Dichloro-4-phenylthio-3-vinyl-y-butyrolactone</u> (<u>181</u>).

(\underline{Z})-1-Butadienyl phenyl sulfoxide $\underline{168}^{109}$ (534 mg, 3 mmol) was treated with 1.67 mL (2.73 g, 15 mmol) of trichloroacetyl chloride and 3.91 g (60 mmol) of zinc-copper couple in 150 mL of ether as described in the general procedure for the sulfoxide-directed lactonization of vinyl sulfoxides. Flash chromatography of the crude reaction mixture (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1) afforded 433 mg of <u>181</u> (50%) as a yellow oil. It was observed that both <u>181</u> and <u>182</u> were unstable to silica gel; this was determined by spotting a TLC plate with the lactones (practically pure by 1 H-NMR) and allowing it to stand for <u>ca</u>. 1 h before developing the plate. This qualitatively showed a large amount of decomposition products and a very small amount of lactone. Those same solutions of <u>181</u> and <u>182</u> when spotted on a plate which was immediately developed showed only traces of decomposition products.

Compound (181):

 $R_f = 0.25$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 20:1.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 3.787 (1H, dd, J = 9.5,

6.2 Hz), 5.505 (1H, d, J = 16.6 Hz), 5.575 (1H, d)

dd, J = 10.1, 1.0 Hz), 5.881 (1H, dt, J = 16.6,

10.1 Hz), 5.943 (1H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 7.333-7.365 (3H, m), 7.509-7.536 (2H, m).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): 60.602, 79.571, 90.536, 124.653, 127.505, 128.749, 129.402, 132.391, 132.553, 166.720.
- IR (neat): 865, 965, 1175, 1290, 1445, 1485, 1595, 1800, 2950-3100.

MS: 290, 288 (M), 253, 209, 110 (100%), 87, 51.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀O₂Cl₂S: C, 49.84; H, 3.48; S, 11.09; Cl, 24.52.

Found: C, 50.05; H, 3.61; S, 10.89; Cl, 24.98.



tone (182).

(<u>E</u>)-1-Butadienyl phenyl sulfoxide, <u>151</u>¹⁰⁹ (534 mg, 3 mmol) was treated with 1.67 mL (2.73 g, 15 mmol) of trichloroacetyl chloride and 3.91 g (60 mmol) of zinc-copper couple in 150 mL of ether, as described in the general procedure for the sulfoxide-directed lactonization of vinyl sulfoxides. The crude dichlorolactone was purified by filtration through deactivated silica gel (<u>ca</u>. 5 g, hexane: ethyl acetate, 9:1) to give 624 mg of "clean" <u>trans</u>-lactone <u>182</u> (82%). A sample for analysis was obtained by flash chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 20:1).

Compound (182):

 $R_{f} = 0.30$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 20:1.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 3.150 (1H, ddt, J = 9.9, 7.6, 0.8 Hz), 5.509 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz), 5.526 (1H, dt, J = 17.0, 0.9 Hz), 5.612 (1H, dt, J = 10.4, 0.7 Hz), 5.882 (1H, ddd, J = 17.0, 10.4, 7.6 Hz), 7.337-7.541 (5H, m).



191

¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz): 60.297, 80.551, 87.964, 125.240, 126.537, 129.488, 129.572, 129.641, 134.045, 166.006.

IR (neat): 650, 750, 810, 870, 970, 1190, 1210, 1440, 1470, 1800, 2850-3100.

MS: 290, 288 (M), 253, 209, 110 (100%), 87, 51.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₀O₂Cl₂S: C, 49.84; H, 3.48; S, 11.09; Cl, 24.52. Found: C, 50.15; H, 3.77; S, 10.93; Cl, 24.21. (<u>E</u>)-l-<u>n</u>-Butyl-l-(<u>p</u>-tolylsulfinyl)-l-propene (<u>191</u>).

<u>n</u>-Butyllithium (7.98 mmol, 5.15 mL of 1.55 M solution) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere to a cold (0°C) solution of 1.21 mL (8.64 mmol) of dry <u>N</u>,<u>N</u>-diisopropylamine in 40 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. After 30 min, the solution of LDA was cooled to -78° C and a cold (-78° C) solution of 1.199 g (6.65 mmol) of (<u>E</u>)-1-propenyl <u>p</u>-tolylsulfoxide <u>190¹¹¹</u> in 15 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise <u>via</u> a transfer needle under nitrogen. After 30 min at -78° C, 1.16 mL (6.65 mmol) of HMPA was added. The solution was stirred at -78° C for 10 min and then 1.63 mL of <u>n</u>-butyl iodide (11.30 mmol) was added. The reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 20 min at -78°C and was then quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution at that temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1) to give 942 mg of a ca. 4:1 mixture of vinyl sulfoxide 191 and allylic sulfoxide 192 (60% yield) (pale yellow oil). This ratio was determined by integration of the 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture. This mixture was employed for the sulfoxide directed lactonization. A second careful chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1) provided an almost pure sample of vinyl sulfoxide 191, as determined by 300 MHz ¹H-NMR.

Compound $(\underline{191})$: $R_f = 0.20$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 4:1. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 0.771 (3H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.059-1.358 (4H, m), 1.836 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.929-2.087 (2H, m), 2.383 (3H, s), 6.482 (1H, q, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.253 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.471 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz).

257



¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 13.582, 13.838, 21.344, 22.606, 24.137, 31.050, 125.241, 129.609, 129.746, 140.134, 141.144, 145.698.

IR (neat): 810, 1045, 1080, 1450, 1490, 2885-3000. MS: 237, 236 (M), 219, 140 (100%), 92, 55.

 $\frac{2,2-\text{Dichloro}-4\alpha-\underline{n}-\text{butyl}-3\alpha-\text{methyl}-4\beta-\underline{p}-\text{tolylthio}-\gamma-}{\text{butyrolactone (193)}}.$

A 4:1 mixture of vinyl sulfoxide <u>191</u> and allylic sulfoxide <u>192</u> (2.22 g, 9.398 mmol) was treated with 5.24 mL (46.99 mmol) of trichloroacetyl chloride and 12.22 g (187.96 mmol) of zinc-copper couple in 470 mL of ether as described in the general procedure for the sulfoxide-directed lactonization of vinyl sulfoxides. The crude dichlorolactone was purified by flash chromatography (hexane:ether, 30:1) to give 1.96 g of pure <u>193</u> as an off-white solid (mp 88-90°C) (75% yield, based on 80% pure starting material).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): 0.926 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.146-1.371 (2H, m), 1.332 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.639-1.792 (3H, m), 2.186-2.265 (1H, m), 2.332



(3H, s), 2.810 (1H, q, J = 6.9 Hz), 7.163 (2H,d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.337 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz). $^{13}C-NMR (CDCl_3, 90 MHz): 8.285, 13.932, 21.385,$ 22.992, 26.172, 34.408, 52.645, 81.454, 99.243,124.569, 130.424, 136.674, 140.800, 166.624. $IR (CDCl_3): 695, 740, 815, 835, 980, 1210, 1380,$ 1450, 1490, 1600, 1800, 2880-3050.MS: 349, 347 (M+1), 223, 123 (100%), 91, 77, 57.<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₂SCl₂: C, 55.33; H, 5.81;s, 9.21; Cl, 20.42.Found: C, 55.01; H, 5.81;

S, 8.97; Cl, 20.15.

 $\frac{4\alpha - \underline{n} - Butyl - 3\alpha - methyl - 4\beta - \underline{p} - tolylthio - \gamma - butyrolactone}{(\underline{194}).$

To a solution of 1.078 g (3.10 mmol) of dichlorolactone <u>193</u> in 200 mL of THF/H_2O , 9:1, was added <u>ca</u>. 1.23 g (45.5 mmol) of aluminum amalgam generated from aluminum foil by the procedure of Corey.⁸¹ The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and at room temperature overnight, after which time it was filtered through a Celite pad, and the filter cake was rinsed with ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated ammonium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate. Filtration of the drying agent, concentration <u>in vacuo</u> and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 9:1; $R_f = 0.23$) afforded 776 mg of thiolactone <u>194</u> (90%) as a colorless oil.

Compound (194).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 0.890 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.083 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.209-1.329 (2H, m), 1.345-1.506 (1H, m), 1.511-1.779 (3H, m), 2.187 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 6.4 Hz), 2.333 (3H, s), 2.437-2.555 (1H, m), 2.696 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 8.1 Hz), 7.127 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.389 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz).

- ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 13.898, 14.531, 21.242, 22.788, 26.467, 34.042, 37.613, 38.050, 100.088, 126.025, 129.810, 136.402, 139.610, 175.044.
- IR (neat): 815, 925, 1130, 1210, 1380, 1460, 1490, 1595, 1785, 2980-3050.

MS: 278 (M), 155 (100%), 123, 109, 85, 57.

<u>Anal</u>. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₂S: C, 69.03; H, 7.96;

S, 11.52. Found: C, 69.11; H, 7.70; S, 11.74.



Reaction of 2,2-Dichloro- 4α -<u>n</u>-butyl- 3α -methyl- 4β -<u>p</u>tolylthio- γ -butyrolactone(<u>193</u>) with tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin Hydride.

To a solution of 213 mg (0.61 mmol) of dichlorolactone <u>193</u> in 10 mL of toluene was added 1.15 mL (4.3 mmol) of tri-<u>n</u>-butyltin hydride and 10 mg of AIBN. The solution was placed under nitrogen and refluxed for <u>ca</u>. 12 h. Concentration <u>in vacuo</u> and column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1) afforded 67 mg (70%) of a 1:1.8 mixture of <u>cis</u>-lactone, <u>183</u>, and <u>trans</u>-lactone, <u>184</u>, as a colorless liquid (determined by integration of its 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum). A second chromatography (hexane: ethyl acetate, 5:1) allowed for the separation of pure samples of both isomeric lactones. Their 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra were in very good agreement with literature values.^{93c}

Compound (183):

 $R_f = 0.27$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 0.896 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.987 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.203-1.687 (6H, m),

261

2.173 (1H, dd, J = 16.8, 3.8 Hz), 2.513-2.593 (1H, m), 2.669 (1H, ddd, J = 16.8, 7.8 Hz), 4.407 (1H, ddd, J = 9.1, 5.7, 4.4 Hz). Compound (<u>184</u>): $R_f = 0.33$, hexane:ethyl acetate, 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 0.870 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.093 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.256-1.671 (6H, m), 2.092-2.205 (2H, m), 2.571-2.681 (1H, m), 3.964 (1H, td, J = 7.6, 4.0 Hz).

Reaction of 2,2-Dichloro- 4α -<u>n</u>-butyl- 3α -methyl- 4β -<u>p</u>tolylthio- γ -butyrolactone (<u>193</u>) with Raney Nickel in Benzene.

To a suspension of Raney nickel (prepared according to Burgstahler⁸² from 3.5 g of 50% alloy) in 20 mL of benzene was added 236 mg (0.68 mmol) of dichlorolactone <u>193</u> in 5 mL of benzene. The mixture was placed under nitrogen and refluxed for <u>ca</u>. 12 h after which time it was filtered through a Celite pad. The filter cake was thoroughlywashed with ether and methanol. The combined organic extracts were concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:1) to give 40.4 mg of a 2:1 mixture of <u>cis</u>-lactone <u>183</u> and <u>trans</u>-lactone <u>184</u>, as determined by integration of its 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum (38%). APPENDICES

•

APPENDIX A

STRUCTURE AND NOMENCLATURE OF PROSTAGLANDINS

AND THEIR METABOLIC INTERMEDIATES

The prostaglandins are classified according to the general nomenclature shown below: 108

PGX_{na}

where	Х	denotes	the	functionality	in	the
		cycloper	ntane	e ring		

- n denotes the number of double bonds in the side chains
- and α denotes the stereochemistry of the $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$ hydroxyl group.







1

PGA





PGD





PGF









.



.





Arachidonic acid

APPENDIX B

360 MHz ^lh-NMR SPECTRA OF SOME KEY COMPOUNDS

.

.





Ý












ŕ















•









.























,











•



,







REFERENCES

.

REFERENCES

- 1. For reviews on organocopper chemistry see: (a) J. F. Normant, "Organocopper Reagents in Organic Synthesis," J. Organometal. Chem. Lib., <u>1</u>, 219 (1976). (b) G. H. Posner, "An Introduction to Synthesis Using Organocopper Reagents," Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1980. (c) G. H. Posner, "Substitution Reactions Using Organocopper Reagents," Org. Reactions, <u>22</u>, 253 (1975). (d) J. F. Normant, "Organocopper(I) Compounds and Organocuprates in Synthesis," <u>Synthesis</u>, 63 (1972). (e) G. H. Posner, "Conjugate Addition Reactions of Organocopper Reagents," <u>Org. Reactions</u>, <u>19</u>, 1 (1972). (f) A. E. Jukes, "The Organic Chemistry of Copper," <u>Adv. Organometal. Chem.</u>, <u>12</u>, 215 (1974).
- C. B. Chapleo, M. A. W. Finch, T. V. Lee, S. M. Roberts and R. F. Newton, <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-</u> mun., 676 (1979).
- 3. (a) J. F. Normant, C. Cohiez and A. Alexakis, <u>Syn-thesis</u>, 528 (1978). (b) R. W. Herr and C. R. John-<u>son</u>, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 4979 (1970).
- 4. D. M. Wieland and C. R. Johnson, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>93</u>, 3047 (1971).
- 5. R. J. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 4978 (1970).
- 6. J. Staroscik and B. Rickborn, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>93</u>, 3046 (1971).
- 7. (a) J. P. Marino and D. M. Floyd, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>,
 675 (1979). (b) D. M. Floyd, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1976.
- 8. J. P. Marino and N. Hatanaka, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>44</u>, 4467 (1979).
- 9. J. P. Marino and H. Abe, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>46</u>, 5379 (1981).
- 10. (a) J. P. Marino and J. C. Jaen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>104</u>, 3165 (1982). (b) J. C. Jaen, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1984.

- 11. For a review on reactions between organometallics and allylic compounds see: R. M. Magid, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>36</u>, 1901 (1980).
- 12. (a) R. G. Pearson and C. D. Gregory, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>, 4098 (1976). (b) C. R. Johnson, R. W. Herr and D. M. Wieland, J. Org. Chem., <u>39</u>, 4263 (1973). (c) G. Teutsch and A. Belanger, Tetrahedron Lett., 2051 (1979). (d) A. Belanger, D. Philibert and G. Teutsch, <u>Steroids</u>, <u>37</u>, 361 (1981). (e) A. Kreft, Tetrahedron Lett., 1035 (1977). (f) H. L. Goering and V. D. Singleton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>, 7854 (1976). (g) C. Gallina and P. G. Ciattini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u>, 1035 (1979). (h) P. Vermeer, J. Meijer and L. Brandsma, <u>Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas</u>, <u>94</u>, 112 (1976). (i) R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 735 (1970).
- 13. (a) A. R. Lewin and N. L. Goldberg, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett., 491 (1972). (b) C. R. Johnson and G. A. Dutra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 7783 (1973). (c) E. J. Corey and I. Kuwajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 395 (1970).
- 14. J. P. Marino and M. G. Kelly, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>46</u>, 4389 (1981).
- 15. M. Korach, D. R. Nielsen and W. H. Rideout, <u>Org.</u> <u>Syntheses</u>, Coll. Vol. V, John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1973, p. 414.
- 16. G. Stork and M. Isobe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>97</u>, 4745 (1975).
- 17. We thank Dr. M. G. Kelly and Mr. M. P. Skinner for the preparation of some of these adducts.
- 18. I. Kuwajima and Y. Doi, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1163 (1972).
- 19. T. Kauffmann and R. Kriegesmann, Chem. Ber., <u>115</u>, 1810 (1982).
- 20. (a) M. Anteunis and D. Danneels, Org. Magn. Reson., 7, 345 (1975). (b) L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, "Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry," Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969, p. 287.
- 21. C. K. Fay, J. B. Grutzner, L. F. Johnson, S. Sternhell and P. Wasterman, J. Org. Chem., <u>38</u>, 3122 (1973).
- 22. (a) F. G. Cocu, G. Wolczunowicz, L. Bors and Th. Posternak, <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u>, <u>53</u>, 739 (1970). (b) B. M. Trost and T. R. Verhoeven, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>102</u>, 4730 (1980). (c) B. M. Trost and G. A. Molander, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>103</u>, 5969 (1981). (d) P. DeClerq, D. Van Haver, D. Tavernier and M. Vandervalle, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>30</u>, 55 (1974).
- 23. T. Itoh, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda and S. Teranishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u>, 159 (1979).
- 24. R. Ratcliffe and R. Rodehorst, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>35</u>, 4000 (1970).
- 25. J. E. Pike, F. H. Lincoln and W. P. Schneider, J. Org. Chem., <u>34</u>, 3552 (1969).
- 26. (a) P. W. Ramwell, Ed., "The Prostaglandins," Plenum Press, New York, N.Y., 1973-1977, Vols. 1-3. (b) S. M. Roberts and F. Scheimann, Eds., "Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmacological Activity of Prostanoids," Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- 27. (a) R. Kurzrak and C. Lieb, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. (N.Y.), 28, 268 (1930). (b) M. W. Goldblatt, J. Chem. Soc. Ind. (London), 52, 1056 (1933). (c) U. S. von Euler, Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. Exp. Path. Pharmak., 175, 78 (1934). (d) M. W. Goldblatt, J. Physiol. (London), 84, 208 (1935). (e) U. S. von Euler, Klin. Wschr., 14, 1182 (1935).
- 28. (a) S. Bergström, R. Ryhage, B. Samuelsson and J. Sjövall, Acta Chem. Scand., <u>16</u>, 501 (1962). (b) S. Bergström, R. Ryhage, B. Samuelsson and J. Sjövall, J. Biol. Chem., <u>238</u>, 3555 (1963). (c) B. Samuelsson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>85</u>, 1878 (1963).
- 29. (a) S. Abrahamsson, Acta Crystallogr., <u>16</u>, 409 (1963).
 (b) D. H. Nugteren, D. A. van Dorp, S. Bergström, M. Hamberg and B. Samuelsson, Nature, <u>212</u>, 38 (1966).
- 30. N. A. Nelson, R. C. Kelly and R. A. Johnson, "Prostaglandins and the Arachidonic Acid Cascade," Special Report, Chemical and Engineering News, August 16, 1982.
- 31. (a) M. Hamberg and B. Samuelsson, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), <u>70</u>, 899 (1973). (b) D. H. Nugteren and E. Hazelhof, Biochem. Biophys. Acta, <u>326</u>, 448 (1973).

•

- 32. M. Hamberg, J. Svensson and B. Samuelsson, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), <u>72</u>, 2994 (1975).
- 33. S. Moncada, R. Gryglewski, S. Buntig and J. R. Vane, Nature, <u>263</u>, 663 (1976).
- 34. (a) The Upjohn Company, "Prostaglandin Bibliography."
 (b) S. M. Roberts and F. Scheinmann, Eds., "New Synthetic Routes to Prostaglandins and Thromboxanes," Academic Press, London, 1982. (c) R. F. Newton and S. M. Roberts, Eds., "Prostaglandins and Thromboxanes," Butterworth and Co., London, 1982. (d) R. F. Newton and S. M. Roberts, Tetrahedron, <u>36</u>, 2163 (1980). (e) M. P. L. Caton, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>35</u>, 2705 (1979). (f) K. C. Nicolau, G. P. Gasic and W. E. Barnette, <u>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</u>, <u>17</u>, 293 (1978). (g) A. Mitra, "The Synthesis of Prostaglandins," John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1977. (h) J. S. Bindra and R. Bindra, "Prostaglandin Synthesis," Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1977.
- 35. E. J. Corey, N. M. Weinshenker, T. K. Schaff and W. Huber, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>91</u>, 5675 (1969). See also: E. J.Corey, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., <u>180</u>, 24 (1971).
- 36. (a) A. A. Bothner-By and C. Sun, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>32</u>, 492 (1967). (b) R. Davis and K. G. Untch, <u>J. Org.</u> Chem., <u>44</u>, 3755 (1979).
- 37. For examples of enolate trapping see: (a) G. Stork, <u>Pure Appl. Chem.</u>, <u>17</u>, 393 (1968). (b) G. Stork, P. Rosen, N. Goodman, R. V. Coombs and J. Tsuji, <u>J. Am</u>. <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>87</u>, 275 (1965). (c) G. Stork and J. D'Angelo, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>96</u>, 7114 (1974). (d) G. Stork and M. Isobe, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>97</u>, 6260 (1975).
- 38. M. Suzuki, T. Kawagishi and R. Noyori, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett., <u>23</u>, 5563 (1982).
- 39. (a) R. Noyori, I. Tomino and M. Nishizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u>, 5843 (1979). (b) For optical resolution of racemate see: A. F. Kluge, K. G. Untch and J. H. Fried, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>94</u>, 7827 (1972).
- 40. For a related synthesis of PGE1 see: T. Tanaka, T. Toru, N. Okamura, A. Hazato, S. Sugiura, K. Manabe, S. Kurozumi, M. Suzuki, T. Kawagishi and R. Noyori, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>24</u>, 4103 (1983).

- M. Suzuki, A. Yanagisawa and R. Noyori, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett., <u>24</u>, 1187 (1983).
- 42. For other syntheses of PGI₂ see: (a) E. J. Corey, G. E. Keck and I. Szekely, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 2006 (1977). (b) R. A. Johnson, F. H. Lincoln, J. L. Thompson, E. G. Nidy, S. A. Mizsak and U. Axen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 4182 (1977). (c) K. C. Nicolau, W. E. Barnette, G. P. Gasic, R. L. Magolda and W. J. Sipio, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 630 (1977). (d) R. A. Johnson, F. H. Lincoln, E. G. Nidy, W. P. Schneider, J. L. Thompson and U. Axen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>100</u>, 7690 (1978). (e) K. C. Nicolau, W. E. Barnette and R. L. Magolda, J. Chem. Res. (S), 202 (1979); (M) 2444 (1979). (f) R. F. Newton, S. M. Roberts, B. J. Wakefield and G. T. Woolley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 922 (1981).
- 43. For syntheses involving epoxide openings see: (a)
 E. J. Corey and R. Noyori, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 311 (1970). (b) E. J. Corey, K. C. Nicolau and D. J. Beams, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 2439 (1974). (c) J. Fried, C. H. Lin, J. C. Sih, P. Dalven and G. F. Cooper, J. <u>Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>94</u>, 4342 (1972). (d) J. Fried and J. C. Sih, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 3899 (1973). (e) G. Stork and M. Isobe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>97</u>, 4745 (1975). (f) C. B. Chapleo, M. A. Finch, T. V. Lee, S. M. Roberts and R. F. Newton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 2084 (1980). (g) C. B. Chapleo, M. A. Finch, T. V. Lee, S. M. Roberts and R. F. Newton, Chem. Commun., 676 (1979).
- 44. E. Laborde, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1984.
- 45. J. P. Marino, R. Fernández de la Pradilla and E. Laborde, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>49</u>, 5279 (1984).
- 46. K. K. Ogilvie, S. L. Beaucage, N. Theriault and D. W. Entwistle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 1277 (1977).
- 47. K. Heyns and H. Paulsen, "Selective Catalytic Oxidations with Noble Metal Catalysts," "Newer Methods of Preparative Organic Chemistry," W. Foerst, Ed., Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1963, p. 303.
- 48. R. F. Newton and D. P. Reynolds, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 3981 (1979).
- 49. We thank Dr. Douglas R. Morton of the Upjohn Company for providing us with samples of pure 2-decarboxy-2hydroxymethyl PGE₁, PGF_{1α} and PGF_{2α}.

- 50. H. C. Brown and W. C. Dickason, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u>, 709 (1970). For the use of this reagent in the selective reduction of PGE_2 to $PGF_{2\alpha}$, see E. J. Corey and R. K. Varma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>, 7319 (1971).
- 51. S. Krishnamurthy and H. C. Brown, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>40</u>, 1864 (1975). See also S. Krisnamurthy and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., <u>42</u>, 1197 (1977).
- 52. C. A. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>95</u>, 4100 (1973).
- 53. The separation of these isomers by HPLC or by formation of suitable derivatives should not be problematic.
- 54. (a) J. Klein in "The Chemistry of the Carbon-Carbon Triple Bond," S. Patai, Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1978. (b) J. L. Moreau, in "The Chemistry of Ketenes, Allenes and Related Compounds," S. Patai, Ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1980, pp. 363-414.
- 55. E. J. Corey and H. A. Kirst, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>., 5041 (1968).
- 56. R. G. Daniels and L. A. Paquette, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>22</u>, 1579 (1981).
- 57. M. Ishiguro, N. Ikeda and H. Yamamoto, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., <u>47</u>, 2225 (1982).
- 58. (a) E. J. Corey and C. Rücker, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>23</u>, 719 (1982). (b) N. R. Pearson, G. Hahn and G. Zweifel, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>47</u>, 3364 (1982).
- 59. (a) H. A. Kirst, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University,
 1972. (b) B. Ganem, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>., 4467 (1974).
- 60. A. D. Despo, S. K. Chin, T. Flood and P. E. Peterson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 5120 (1980).
- 61. M. F. Schlect, <u>J. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>Chem. Commun</u>., 1331 (1982).
- 62. Prepared from commercially available 5-hexyn-1-ol as follows: (1) Et₃SiCl, DMF, imidazole, 95%; (2) <u>n</u>-BuLi, THF, then paraformaldehyde, 75%; (3) MsCl, Et₃N; (4) NaI, acetone, 50%.

- 63. (a) H. Chwastek, R. Epsztein and N. LeGoff, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>29</u>, 883 (1973). (b) Y. Yamakado, M. Ishiguro, N. Ikeda and H. Yamamoto, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>103</u>, 5568 (1981).
- 64. Prepared from $HOCH_2 C \equiv C C_{10}H_{21}$ as follows: (1) MsCl, Et₃N; (2) PhSLi, THF, 92% overall.
- 65. For background on allylic organometallics see: (a) P. Miginiac, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1077 (1970). (b) W. H. Glaze, D. J. Berry and D. P. Duncan, J. Organometal. Chem., 52, 233 (1973). (c) J. A. Katzenellenbogen and R. S. Lenox, J. Org. Chem., 38, 326 (1973). (d) W. D. Korte, K. Cripe and R. Cooke, J. Org. Chem., 39, 1168 (1974). (e) V. Rautenstrauch, Helv. Chim. Acta, 57, 496 (1974). (f) R. B. Bates and W. A. Beavers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 5001 (1974). (g) F. Barbot, C. H. Chan and Ph. Miginiac, Tetrahedron Lett., 2309 (1976). (h) T. E. Stauberry, M. J. Darmon, H. A. Fry and R. S. Lenox, J. Org. Chem., 41, 2052 (1976).
- 66. For an excellent review on carbometallation of alkynes see: J. F. Normant and A. Alexakis, <u>Synthesis</u>, 841 (1981).
- 67. It is reported that the best manner to prepare Bu₃SnCH₂I employs iodomethyl zinc iodide produced by reaction of ethyl zinc iodide and diiodomethane. See: D. Seyferth, S. B. Andrews and R. L. Lambert, Jr., J. Organometal. Chem., <u>37</u>, 69 (1972). However, these results could not be reproduced. It was found that the reagent prepared from diiodomethane and zinc/copper couple was superior. See: D. Seyferth and S. B. Andrews, J. Organometal. Chem., <u>30</u>, 151 (1971).
- 68. M. Obayashi, K. Utimoto and H. Nozaki, <u>J. Organo-</u> metal. Chem., <u>177</u>, 145 (1979).
- 69. J. P. Marino and M. O. Neisser, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>103</u>, 7687 (1981).
- 70. (a) A. D. Perez, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1983. (b) J. P. Marino and A. D. Perez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 7643 (1984).
- 71. M. O. Neisser, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1981.

- 72. J. A. Dale, D. L. Dull and H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 34, 2543 (1969).
- 73. R. S. McCredie, E. Ritchie and W. C. Taylor, <u>Aust. J.</u> Chem., <u>22</u>, 1011 (1969).
- 74. For a review on neolignans see: O. R. Gottlieb in "Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products," W. Herz, H. Grisebach and G. W. Kirby, Eds., Springer-Verlag, Wien, Austria, 1978, Vol. 35, pp. 1-72.
- 75. Megaphone was first isolated by S. M. Kupchan from an alcoholic extract of Aniba megaphylla mez. (Laura-ceae), S. M. Kupchan, K. L. Stevens, E. A. Rohlfing, B. R. Sickles, A. T. Sneden, R. W. Miller and F. R. Bryan, J. Org. Chem., <u>43</u>, 586 (1978).
- 76. For syntheses of megaphone see: (a) G. Büchi and P.-S. Chu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>103</u>, 2718 (1981).
 (b) P. A. Zoretic, C. Bhakta and R. H. Khan, <u>Tetra-hedron Lett</u>., 1125 (1983).
- 77. Isolated from Octea porosa, see: (a) C. J. Aiba, R. Braz Filho and O. R. Gottlieb, <u>Phytochemistry</u>, <u>12</u>, 413 (1973).
 (b) C. J. Aiba, O. R. Gottlieb, M. Yoshida, J. C. Mourao and H. E. Gottlieb, <u>Phytochemistry</u>, <u>15</u>, 1031 (1976).
- 78. D. A. Evans, C. A. Bryan and C. L. Sims, <u>J. Am. Chem.</u> <u>Soc.</u>, <u>94</u>, 2891 (1972).
- 79. (a) D. A. Evans, G. C. Andrews and C. L. Sims, <u>J. Am</u>. <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>93</u>, 4956 (1971). (b) D. A. Evans and G. C. Andrews, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>94</u>, 3672 (1972).
- 80. (a) G. F. P. Kernaghan and H. M. R. Hoffmann, <u>J. Am.</u> <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>92</u>, 6988 (1970). (b) I. L. Reich, C. L. Haile and H. J. Reich, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>43</u>, 2402 (1978).
- 81. Generated according to E. J. Corey and M. Chaykovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>86</u>, 1639 (1964).
- 82. Prepared according to Burgstahler. "Reagents for Organic Synthesis," L. F. Fieser and M. Fieser, John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., Vol. I, 1967, p. 729.
- 83. R. S. Paley, unpublished results.

- 84. D. Savastianoff and M. Pfau, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. de</u> France, <u>11</u>, 4162 (1967).
- 85. For an excellent review on the chemistry of silyl enol ethers see: P. Brownbridge, "Silyl Enol Ethers in Synthesis. Part I," Synthesis, 1 (1983); "Part II," Synthesis, 85 (1983).
- 86. (a) S. Murai, I. Ryu, Y. Kadono, H. Katayama, K. Kondo and N. Sonoda, <u>Chem. Lett.</u>, 1219 (1977). (b) R.J. Ardecky, F. A. J. Kerdesky and M. P. Cava, J. Org. Chem., <u>46</u>, 1483 (1981).
- 87. Prepared from γ-butyrolactone as follows: (1) NaH, (EtO)₂C=O, 65%; (2) NaH, THF, Et₂SiCl, 95%.
- 88. E. Guittet and S. Julia, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1155 (1978).
- 89. (a) H. Suomalainen and L. Nykänen, <u>Naeringmitt lindustrien</u>, <u>23</u>, 15 (1970). (b) K. Nishimura and M. Masuda, J. Food. Sci., <u>36</u>, 819 (1971). (c) M. Masuda and K. Nishimura, <u>Phytochem.</u>, <u>10</u>, 1401 (1971). (d) R. E. Kepner, A. D. Webb and C. J. Muller, <u>Am. J. Enol</u>. <u>Viticult.</u>, <u>23</u>, 144 (1972). (e) P. Schreier and F. Drawert, <u>Chem. Mikrobiol. Tech. Lebensm.</u>, <u>3</u>, 154 (1974). (f) K. Otsuka, Y. Zenibayashi, M. Itoh and A. Totsuka, Agr. Biol. Chem., <u>38</u>, 485 (1974).
- 90. R. ter Heide, P. J. de Valois, J. Visser, P. P. Jaeaers and R. Timmer, "Analysis of Food and Beverages," Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1978, p. 249.
- 91. M. Masuda and K. Nishimura, Chem. Lett., 1333 (1981).
- 92. (a) See 96d. (b) T. Miyakoshi and S. Saito, <u>Yukagaku</u>, <u>32</u>(12), 749 (1983).
- 93. (a) D. Hoppe and A. Brönneke, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>24</u>, 1687 (1983). (b) D. Hoppe and F. Lichtenberg, <u>Angew</u>. <u>Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</u>, <u>23</u>, 239 (1984). (c) E. Moret and M. Schlosser, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>25</u>, 4491 (1984).
- 94. Optically active vinyl sulfoxide <u>190</u> has been previously prepared: G. H. Posner and P. Tang, <u>J. Org.</u> <u>Chem.</u>, <u>43</u>, 4131 (1978).
- 95. G. H. Posner, P. Tang and J. P. Mallamo, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett., 3995 (1978).

- 96. It is well known that α-lithiation of (E)-l-alkenyl aryl sulfoxides proceeds without racemization: G. H. Posner, J. P. Mallamo and K. Miura, <u>J. Am. Chem</u>. Soc., <u>103</u>, 2886 (1981).
- 97. For some recent examples of tri-n-butyltin hydride reductions of unsymmetric sulfides see: (a) C. G. Gutierrez and L. R. Summerhays, J. Org. Chem., <u>49</u>, 5206 (1984). (b) D. J. Hart and Y.-M. Tsai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 8209 (1984). (c) D. A. Burnett, J-K. Choi, D. J. Hart and Y-M. Tsai, <u>J. Am. Chem.</u> Soc., <u>106</u>, 8201 (1984).
- 98. For a background on the mechanisms of Raney nickel desulfurizations see: W. A. Bonner and R. A. Grimm, "Mechanisms of Raney Nickel Desulfurization" in "The Chemistry of Organic Sulfur Compounds," Vol 2., N. Kharasch and C. Y. Meyers, Eds., Pergamon Press, 1966.
- 99. (a) W. A. Bonner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>74</u>, 1034 (1952). (b) S. Imaizumi, J. Chem. Soc. Japan, Pure Chem. <u>Sect.</u>, <u>77</u>, 1516 (1956); <u>Chem. Abstr.</u>, <u>53</u>, 5180e (1959).
- 100. E. E. van Tamelen and E. A. Grant, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>81</u>, 2160 (1959).
- 101. S. C. Watson and J. E. Eastham, J. Organometal. Chem., 9, 165 (1967).
- 102. A pure sample of <u>16</u> was prepared by reaction of vinyl magnesium bromide CuI with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide in THF.
- 103. Gilman's test for organometallics: L. F. Fieser and M. Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis," Vol. I, John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1957, p. 417.
- 104. G. A. Olah, S. C. Narang, B. G. Balaram Gupta and R. Malhotra, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>44</u>, 1247 (1979).
- 105. L. Brandsma, "Preparative Acetylenic Chemistry," Elsevier, 1971.

.

106. A. Bruckner (Wilhelms), Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Rolando Eotvos Nominatae, Sect. Chim., <u>13</u>, 101 (1972).

- 107. Zinc-copper couple was prepared by the method of W. T. Brady, Synthesis, 155 (1977).
- 108. N. A. Nelson, J. Med. Chem., 17, 911 (1974).
- 109. Prepared from 1,3-butadiene as follows: (1) PhSCl, 90%; (2) KO^tBu, THF, 0°C, 60%; (3) MCPBA, CH₂Cl₂, -78°C→RT, 80%; (4) Chromatographic separation of <u>151</u> and 168.
- 110. Prepared fromγ-butyrolactone as follows: (1) LDA, THF, -78°C; then Et₃SiCl, -78°C→RT (bp 43°C at 0.025 mm Hg).
- 111. Prepared from commercially available 1-bromo-1-propene as follows: (1) Fractional distillation (75 cm column packed with glass beads) gave a <u>ca</u>. 1:3 mixture of <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> isomers; (2) Mg turnings, THF; then tolS(0)Cl, 72% yield; (3) Chromatographic separation of <u>cis</u> isomer <u>189</u> and <u>trans</u> isomer <u>190</u> (silica gel, hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1).

.

· ·