Received Date: 26-Jul-2016

Accepted Date: 28-Jul-2016

Article type : Invited Discussion Paper

Dietary guidelines for irritable bowel syndrome are important for gastroenterologists, dietitians and people with IBS

Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is one of the most common gastrointestinal disorders experienced by the general public. IBS is not a single disease but a syndrome defined by the presence of characteristic symptoms including abdominal pain and alterations in bowel habits (1). Though IBS does not shorten life expectancy nor predispose to gastrointestinal cancer, it can profoundly impact quality of life and work productivity. IBS has been associated with increased rates of unnecessary diagnostic testing, surgical procedures and accounts for an extensive economic cost to direct and indirect healthcare expenditure⁽²⁾.

For decades, the cornerstones of IBS treatment have been establishing a trusting and empathic patientprofessional relationship and using medications targeting patients' most bothersome symptoms. Increasingly, healthcare providers are under pressure to maximize efficiency and productivity, often at the expense of the patient-professional relationship. Further, many would argue that imaging and endoscopy have replaced taking of a thorough history and listening to patients regarding their IBS symptoms. Though over-the-counter and prescription medications can be useful in some, randomised controlled trials (RCTs) show that they yield therapeutic gains of 8-20% over placebo and typically improve symptoms in fewer than half of those treated⁽³⁾.

Given the harsh realities facing many people with IBS, it is not difficult to understand why so many search for other solutions to address their symptoms. Surveys report that up to 80% of patients with IBS associate their symptoms with eating food⁽⁴⁾. Despite this, diet was thought to play little role in

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jhn.12413

pathogenesis or management of IBS for many years. More recently, there has been mounting scientific evidence for the role of food in both the pathogenesis and treatment of IBS⁽⁵⁾. At present, the largest body of evidence supports dietary restriction of fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) in IBS. The osmotic properties and byproducts of colonic fermentation of FODMAPs are thought to serve as important triggers for symptoms in IBS patients but not healthy individuals^(6,7).

The increase in research regarding the effect of food and diet in IBS has been remarkable, but at the same time has created challenges for gastroenterologists and dietitians who must keep abreast of the latest research findings in this area. Clinical guidelines are an opportunity for the totality of evidence to be critically reviewed to inform recommendations to be applied in practice for the benefit of patients.

The Gastroenterology Specialist Group of the British Dietetic Association have published evidence-based guidelines for the use of diet and probiotics in the management of IBS^(8,9). These are updates to the original guidelines published in 2012 that were read and applied by dietitians and gastroenterologists across the world and were widely cited by practitioners and researchers⁽¹⁰⁾.

In these updated guidelines, 12 clinical questions were posed regarding topics ranging from healthy eating and lifestyle, milk and dairy exclusion, dietary fibre, the low FODMAP diet, gluten, probiotics and elimination diets/food hypersensitivity in IBS. The guidelines were informed by systematic review of the scientific literature in the these areas and 86 studies were used to generate 46 evidence statements, 15 clinical recommendations and four research recommendations together with an algorithm for dietary management of IBS⁽⁸⁾.

Probiotics are viewed by some patients as a natural and safe addition or alternative to pharmaceutical management⁽¹¹⁾. The previous guidelines only included reference to studies of probiotics that were available in the United Kingdom, at that time totaling only five RCTs⁽¹⁰⁾. In contrast the current guidelines include RCTs of any probiotic, thus increasing the number of eligible studies to 35 RCTs, recognising the availability of probiotics beyond geographic boundaries (e.g. via the internet) and considerably widening the international applicability of the recommendations⁽⁹⁾. What is also novel is that, for the probiotic recommendations only, a systematic review of systematic reviews was adopted. This was because nine systematic reviews of probiotics in IBS have been published between 2008 and 2015, and acknowledging the futility of repeating a search for individual trials, RCTs were identified from those included in these nine previous reviews. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of probiotics in IBS was not performed, in part due

to the variations in microbiological characteristics that will impact on their clinical efficacy in IBS⁽¹²⁾. Instead a summary has been produced identifying which probiotics have been shown to be effective and for which symptoms in order to inform selection of the probiotics by practitioners and patients⁽⁹⁾.

Implementation of these evidence-based dietary guidelines has the potential to empower patients to better manage their IBS symptoms, whilst the increased demand for dietetic expertise can be met by greater resourcing of specialist dietitians and innovative models of care that can achieve both clinical and economic effectiveness⁽¹³⁾. We recommend these guidelines are read in detail, applied internationally and the effectiveness of the dietary interventions evaluated in practice^(8,9). However, the publication of these guidelines is not the final word on dietary modification in IBS. What is clear is that there remains a need for further well-designed, large RCTs of a range of dietary interventions in IBS that address both mechanisms of action and clinical effectiveness, as well as the impact on a wider range of outcomes including nutrient intake, quality of life and gastrointestinal microbiology and immunology, and this can only be achieved with effective collaboration between gastroenterologists and specialist dietitians.

William D. Chey

Timothy T. Nostrant Professor of Gastroenterology and Nutrition Sciences

Division of Gastroenterology

University of Michigan Health System

Email: wchey@umich.edu

Kevin Whelan

Professor of Dietetics

Diabetes and Nutritional Sciences Division

King's College London

Email: kevin.whelan@kcl.ac.uk

References

1. Mearin F, Lacy B, Chang L, Chey WD, Lembo A, Simren M, Spiller R. Rome IV: The Functional Bowel Disorders. Gastroenterol, 2016; 150:1393-1407.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 2. Chey WD, Eswaran S, Kurlander J. Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. J Amer Med Assoc. 2015; 313: 949-958.
- 3. Ford AC, Moayyedi PM, Lacy BE, et al. ACG Monograph on the management of irritable bowel syndrome and chronic idiopathic constipation. 2014;109:S2-S26.
- 4. Bohn L, Storsrud S, Tornblom H, et al. Self-reported food related gastrointestinal symptoms in IBS are common and associated with more severe symptoms and reduced quality of life. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:634-41.
- 5. Spencer M, Chey WD, Eswaran S. Dietary renaissance in IBS: Has food replaced medications as a primary treatment strategy? Curr Treatment Op Gastroenterol 2014;12:424-40,
- 6. Barbara G, Feinle-Bisset C, Ghoshal UC, et al. The intestinal microenvironment and fGIDs, Gatroenterol 2016:1305-18.
- 7. Staudacher HM, Irving PM, Lomer MC, Whelan K. Mechanisms and efficacy of dietary FODMAP restriction in IBS. Nature Rev Gastro Hep. 2014; 11: 256-266.
- 8. McKenzie YA, Bowyer RK, Leach H, Gulia P, Horobin J, O'Sullivan NA, Pettitt C, Reeves LB, Seamark L, Williams M, Thompson J, Lomer MCE (IBS Dietetic Guideline Review Group on behalf of Gastroenterology Specialist Group of the British Dietetic Association). British Dietetic Association systematic review and evidence-based practice guidelines for the dietary management of irritable bowel syndrome in adults (2016 update). J Hum Nutr Diet. 2016 (XXUpdate at page proof stage with the volume, page numbers in which they are publishedXX).
- 9. McKenzie YA, Thompson J, Gulia P, Lomer MCE (IBS Dietetic Guideline Review Group on behalf of Gastroenterology Specialist Group of the British Dietetic Association). British Dietetic Association systematic review of systematic reviews and evidence-based practice guidelines for the use of probiotics in the management of irritable bowel syndrome in adults (2016 update). J

Hum Nutr Diet. 2016 (XXUpdate at page proof stage with the volume, page numbers in which they are publishedXX).

- 10. McKenzie YA, Alder A, Anderson W et al., British Dietetic Association evidence-based guidelines for the dietary management of irritable bowel syndrome in adults. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2012; 25: 260-74.
- 11. Mercer M, Brinich MA, Geller G, et al. How patients view probiotics: findings from a multicenter study of patients with inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2012; 46: 138-44.
- 12. Whelan K. The importance of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of probiotics and prebiotics. Am J Gastro. 2014. 109: 1563-1565.
- 13. Whigham L, Joyce T, Harper G, Irving PM, Staudacher HM, Whelan K, Lomer MC. Clinical effectiveness and economic costs of group versus one-to-one education for short-chain fermentable carbohydrate restriction (low FODMAP diet) in the management of irritable bowel syndrome. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2015; 28: 687–696.