

## MODULES WITH FINITE $F$ -REPRESENTATION TYPE

YONGWEI YAO

### ABSTRACT

Finitely generated modules with finite  $F$ -representation type over Noetherian (local) rings of prime characteristic  $p$  are studied. If a ring  $R$  has finite  $F$ -representation type or, more generally, if a faithful  $R$ -module has finite  $F$ -representation type, then tight closure commutes with localizations over  $R$ .  $F$ -contributors are also defined, and they are used as an effective way of characterizing tight closure. Then it is shown that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#(^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  always exists under the assumption that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition and  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ , in which all the  $M_i$  are indecomposable  $R$ -modules that belong to distinct isomorphism classes and  $a = [R/\mathfrak{m} : (R/\mathfrak{m})^p]$ .

### 0. Introduction

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then, for any  $e \geq 0$ , we can derive an  $R$ -module structure on the set  $M$  with its scalar multiplication determined by  $r \cdot m := r^p m$  for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . We denote the derived  $R$ -module by  ${}^e M$ .

We say that  $M$  has *finite  $F$ -representation type* by finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  if, for all  $e \geq 0$ , the  $R$ -modules  ${}^e M$  are all isomorphic to finite direct sums of the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , we use  $\#(^e M, M_i)$  to denote the number of copies of  $M_i$  in the above direct sum decomposition of  ${}^e M$ . We say that  $M_i$  is an  *$F$ -contributor* if  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (1/(ap^d)^e) \#(^e M, M_i)$  is positive or, non-existent, or, equivalently,  $\limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} (1/(ap^d)^e) \#(^e M, M_i) > 0$ , where  $d = \dim M$  and  $a = [R/\mathfrak{m} : (R/\mathfrak{m})^p] < \infty$ .

Rings with finite  $F$ -representation type were first studied by Smith and van den Bergh in [21]. Discussion of the concept of  $F$ -contributors and the importance of  $R$  being an  $F$ -contributor can be found in recent work [11] by Huneke and Leuschke.

First we show that  $F$ -contributors exist and are Cohen–Macaulay.

**THEOREM A** (see Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2). *Suppose that  $M \neq 0$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module that has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Then at least one of the  $M_i$  is a non-zero  $F$ -contributor and every non-zero  $F$ -contributor is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension  $= \dim M$ .*

There is a closure operation, called ‘tight closure’, that is defined over rings of prime characteristic  $p$  [7]. Ever since the inception of the tight closure theory, the question of whether tight closure commutes with localizations has resisted resolution, although it has been proved to have a positive answer in special cases. The next result shows that finite  $F$ -representation type implies commutation

of tight closure with localizations. It also demonstrates the importance of  $F$ -contributors in the computation of tight closures.

**THEOREM B** (see Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.6). *Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$ .*

(i) *If there is a faithful  $R$ -module that has finite  $F$ -representation type (for example  $R$  has finite  $F$ -representation type), then tight closure commutes with localizations over  $R$ .*

(ii) *Assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an analytically unramified, quasi-unmixed ring that has a completely stable test element (for example  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete domain) and that  $M$  is a faithful  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type by  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ , in which  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  are all the  $F$ -contributors. Set  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$ . Then  $K_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes_R N))$  for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$ . (In particular,  $I^* = (IN :_R N) = \text{Ann}_R(N/IN)$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .) This also implies that tight closure commutes with localization.*

Under the assumption that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a strongly  $F$ -regular local ring and satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition, Smith and van den Bergh proved in [21] that if  $R$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by indecomposable modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  that belong to distinct isomorphism classes, then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e R, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  always exists for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

We need to prove the existence of  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  in a more general situation.

**THEOREM C** (see Theorem 3.11). *Assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring that satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition and that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ , in which all the  $M_i$  are indecomposable  $R$ -modules belonging to distinct isomorphism classes. Then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  exists and is rational for every  $i$ , where  $a = [R/\mathfrak{m} : (R/\mathfrak{m})^p]$ .*

In Section 1, we set up the notation carefully and review some known results. In Section 2, implications of the finite  $F$ -representation type condition and the importance of  $F$ -contributors are studied. In Section 3, we study the existence of  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$ .

### 1. Notation and known results

All rings are assumed to be Noetherian and have prime characteristic  $p$  unless this is stated otherwise explicitly. For such a ring  $R$ , there is the Frobenius homomorphism  $F : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto r^p$  for any  $r \in R$ . Therefore we have iterated the Frobenius homomorphism  $F^e : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $r \mapsto r^{p^e}$  for any  $r \in R$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then, for any  $e \geq 0$ , we can derive an  $R$ -module structure on  $M$  with its scalar multiplication determined by  $r \cdot m := r^{p^e} m$  for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . We denote the derived  $R$ -module by  ${}^e M$ . Notice  ${}^0 M = M$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\text{Ass}_R(M) = \text{Ann}_R({}^e M)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \subseteq \text{Hom}_R({}^e M, {}^e N)$  for every  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then for any  $q = p^e$ , we use  $I^{[q]}$  to denote the ideal generated by  $\{x^q \mid x \in I\}$ . For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , it is easy to see that  $R/I \otimes_R {}^e M \cong {}^e M/(I \cdot {}^e M) \cong {}^e M/I^{[q]} M$ .

If  ${}^1R$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module (or equivalently  ${}^eR$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module for every  $e \geq 0$ ), then we say that  $R$  is  $F$ -finite. If we denote by  $k(P)$  the quotient field of  $R/P$  for  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , then by [14, Proposition 1.1, Proposition 2.3, Theorem 2.5], (also c.f. [13, Proposition 3.2]), we know that the  $F$ -finiteness of  $R$  implies that  $R$  has finite Krull dimension, that  $[k(P) : k(P)^p] = [k(Q) : k(Q)^p]p^{\dim R_Q/P R_Q}$  for any  $P, Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$ , and that  $R$  is excellent.

In general, if  ${}^1M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, we say that  $M$  is  $F$ -finite. Notice that this implies that the ring  $R/\text{Ann}(M)$  is  $F$ -finite and therefore implies that  ${}^eM$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module for every  $e \geq 0$ .

Next we define finite  $F$ -representation type, which will be our main interest in the following sections. Some notation is needed. For an  $R$ -module  $M$  and an integer  $n > 0$ , we use  $nM$  to denote the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $M$  while we agree that  $0M = 0$ . For non-negative integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s$  and  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ , we use matrix multiplication  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T$  to denote  $n_1M_1 \oplus n_2M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus n_sM_s = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_i^{\oplus n_i}$ .

Rings with finite  $F$ -representation type were first studied by Smith and van den Bergh in [21].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

(i) We say that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  if, for every  $e \geq 0$ , the  $R$ -module  ${}^eM$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ , that is, there exist non-negative integers  $n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es}$  such that

$${}^eM \cong (n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es})(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s n_{ei}M_i.$$

(ii) We say that  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  form a finite  $F$ -representation type system if the  $R$ -modules  ${}^1M_i$  are all isomorphic to finite direct sums of the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ , that is, there exist non-negative integers  $a_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq s$  such that

$${}^1M_i \cong (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{is})(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

(iii) We say that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  if the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  form a finite  $F$ -representation type system and there exists an integer  $e \geq 0$  such that the  $R$ -module  ${}^eM$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ , that is, there exist non-negative integers  $n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es}$  such that

$${}^eM \cong (n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es})(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T.$$

REMARK 1.2. We use the same notation as in Definition 1.1. Then the following hold.

(i) For the sake of convenience, we allow the  $M_i$  to be zero module or  $M_i \cong M_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ .

(ii) If  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type, then  $M$  is  $F$ -finite.

(iii) Suppose that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by indecomposable  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  belonging to different isomorphism classes. If  $R$  satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition and every  $M_i$  appears non-trivially in the direct sum decomposition of certain  ${}^e M$ , then  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by the finite  $F$ -representation type system  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ .

(iv) Suppose that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by the finite  $F$ -representation type system  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  as in Definition 1.1(iii), and let  $A := (a_{ij})$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$${}^{e+n}M \cong (n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es})A^n(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(v) If  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type or has finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system, then, for any multiplicatively closed set  $U$  in  $R$ , the localization  $M_U = U^{-1}M$  also has finite  $F$ -representation type or has finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system. The same is true for the completions of  $M$ .

(vi) If  $R$  is  $F$ -finite and has finite Cohen–Macaulay representation type, then every finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by the finite  $F$ -representation type system of all distinct indecomposable Cohen–Macaulay modules.

In general, if a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ , then the number of copies of  $M_i$  in decompositions of  ${}^e M$  is not uniquely determined. However, we can fix a decomposition  ${}^e M \cong (n_{e1}, n_{e2}, \dots, n_{es})(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s n_{ei}M_i$  of  ${}^e M$  for each  $e \geq 0$  in advance. Thus when we study an  $R$ -module  $M$  that has finite  $F$ -representation type, we agree on the fixed decompositions as above. To make our notation more transparent, we use  $\#({}^e M, M_i)$  to denote  $n_{ei}$ , the number of copies of  $M_i$  in the pre-fixed decompositions of  ${}^e M$ . It is in this sense that the following notion of  $F$ -contributors is defined.

The concept of  $F$ -contributors and an explanation of its importance can be found in recent work [11] by Huneke and Leuschke. Here we give an explicit definition.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module that has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$  and let  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Set  $d(P) = \dim_{R_P}(M_P)$  and  $a(P) = [k(P) : k(P)^p]$ . We say that  $M_i$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , is an  $F$ -contributor of  $M$  at  $P$  if  $\limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i) / (a(P)p^{d(P)})^e) > 0$ , or, equivalently,  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i) / (a(P)p^{d(P)})^e)$  is either positive or non-existent.

**REMARK 1.4.** Keep the notation of the above definition. Then the following hold.

(i) Our definition of  $F$ -contributor depends on the pre-fixed  $F$ -representation of  ${}^e M$ .

(ii) If  $M_P \neq 0$  for some  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , then at least one of the  $M_i$  is an  $F$ -contributor at  $P$ . See Lemma 2.1.

(iii) Let  $P, Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  be two prime ideals of  $R$  such that  $a(P)p^{d(P)} = a(Q)p^{d(Q)}$ . Then  $M$  has the same  $F$ -contributors at  $P$  and at  $Q$ . For this reason, when  $a(P)p^{d(P)}$  is constant for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , we can simply say the

$F$ -contributors of  $M$ . In particular, by [14], we know that  $a(P)p^{d(P)}$  is constant for all  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  if  $\text{Spec}(R/\text{Ann}(M))$  is connected and  $R/\text{Ann}(M)$  is locally equidimensional.

QUESTION 1.5. Does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  always exist for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ?

There is a positive answer to Question 1.5 in [21] in the case when  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular. Recall that we say a reduced Noetherian ring  $R$  of characteristic  $p$  is strongly  $F$ -regular if, for any  $c$  in the complement of the union of all minimal primes of the ring  $R$ , the inclusion map  $Rc^{1/p^e} \subset R^{1/p^e}$  splits for all  $e \gg 0$  (or, equivalently, for some  $e \geq 0$ ).

THEOREM 1.6 (see Smith and van den Bergh’s results on finite  $F$ -representation type and growth [21]). *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $F$ -regular ring that satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition. If  $R$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by indecomposable modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  that belong to distinct isomorphism classes, then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e R, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  always exists for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Also  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e R, M_i)/(ap^d)^e) > 0$  if  $M_i$  appears non-trivially as a direct summand of  ${}^e R$  for some  $e \geq 0$ .*

DEFINITION 1.7 [7]. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring of characteristic  $p$  and let  $L$  be an  $R$ -module. The tight closure of 0 in  $L$ , denoted by  $0_L^*$ , is defined as follows. An element  $x \in L$  is said to be in  $0_L^*$  if there exists an element  $c \in R^\circ$  such that  $0 = x \otimes c \in L \otimes_R {}^e R$  for all  $e \gg 0$ , where  $R^\circ$  is the complement of the union of all minimal primes of the ring  $R$ . Given  $K \subseteq L$ , the tight closure of  $K$  in  $L$ , denoted by  $K_L^*$ , is then defined as the pre-image of  $0_{L/K}^*$  under the natural map  $L \rightarrow L/K$ .

If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $I_R^*$  is usually denoted by  $I^*$ . It is easy to see that an element  $x \in R$  is in  $I^*$  if and only if there exists an element  $c \in R^\circ$  such that  $cx^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]}$  for all  $e \gg 0$ .

An open question in the tight closure theory is that of whether tight closure commutes with localizations. Given  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$  and a multiplicatively closed set  $U \subset R$ , does  $(U^{-1}K)_{U^{-1}L}^* = U^{-1}(K_L^*)$  always hold? It suffices to prove the case  $K = 0$ . We also mention that it is straightforward to show that  $(U^{-1}K)_{U^{-1}L}^* \supseteq U^{-1}(K_L^*)$ .

THEOREM 1.8 [15]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Noetherian local ring of characteristic  $p$  and let  $M \neq 0$  be a finitely generated  $R$  module with  $\dim M = d$ . Then the following hold.*

(i) *The limit (with  $k = R/\mathfrak{m} = k(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $a = [k : k^p]$ )*

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(M/I^{[p^e]}M)}{p^{de}} \quad \left( = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(R/I \otimes_R {}^e M)}{(ap^d)^e} \text{ if } a = [k : k^p] < \infty \right)$$

*exists and is positive for every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . The limit is called the Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity of  $M$  with respect to  $I$ .*

(ii) *Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity is additive with respect to short exact sequence. Therefore we have the associativity formula.*

The existence of the Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity of  $M$  is generalized in [17].

**THEOREM 1.9** (Seibert’s results [17, p. 278]). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $F$ -finite Noetherian local ring of characteristic  $p$ , let  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  and let  $a = [k : k^p]$ . Suppose that  $j$  is an integer, that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of finite  $R$ -modules with dimension at most  $j$ , and that  $g$  is a function from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , such that, for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ , the following hold.*

- (a)  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $M' \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $M'' \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (b)  $g(M) \leq g(M') + g(M'')$ , with equality if the sequence splits.

Then we have the following conclusions.

- (i) If  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  ${}^eM \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) For each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a real number  $c(M)$  such that

$$a^{-e}g({}^eM) = c(M)p^{je} + O(p^{(j-1)e}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore,  $c(M)$  is an additive function of  $M$  on exact sequences.

- (iii) If  $g$  itself is additive on exact sequences, then, for any  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ , the function  $a^{-e}g({}^eM)$  is a polynomial in  $p^e$  of the form

$$a^{-e}g({}^eM) = b_0 + b_1p^e + b_2p^{2e} + \dots + b_jp^{je},$$

with  $b_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$ .

Some examples of possible functions  $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  may be defined by  $g(M) := \lambda_S(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(L, M))$ ,  $\lambda_S(\mathrm{Ext}_S^i(L, M))$  or  $\lambda_S(\mathrm{Ext}_S^i(M, L))$  for any  $i \geq 0$ , any Noetherian local ring  $S$  of characteristic  $p$  such that  $R \cong S/I$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $S$ , and any  $S$ -module  $L$  such that  $\lambda_S(L) < \infty$ .

**NOTATION 1.10.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an ( $F$ -finite) Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic  $p$ , and let  $L$  and  $M$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules with  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$  and  $\dim(M) = d$ .

- (i) We denote  $e_{HK}(L, M) := \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_R(L \otimes_R {}^eM) / (ap^d)^e)$ , where  $a = [k : k^p]$  with  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

- (ii) In the case  $L = R/I$  with  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, we usually write  $e_{HK}(L, M)$  as  $e_{HK}(I, M)$ , which is exactly the Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity of  $M$  with respect to  $I$  in Theorem 1.8.

- (iii) Actually, the  $F$ -finite assumption can be avoided simply by considering the bimodule structure of  ${}^eM$ .

**THEOREM 1.11** [7, Theorem 8.17]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring, let  $M$  and  $K \subseteq L$  be  $R$ -modules such that  $\dim(M) = \dim(R)$  and  $\lambda(L) < \infty$ , and let  $I \subseteq J$  be  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$ .*

- (i) If  $K \subseteq 0_L^*$ , then  $e_{HK}(L, M) = e_{HK}(L/K, M)$ . In particular, if  $J \subseteq I^*$ , then  $e_{HK}(I, M) = e_{HK}(J, M)$ .

- (ii) Conversely, if  $R$  is an analytically unramified, quasi-unmixed ring with a completely stable test element (for example  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete domain), then  $e_{HK}(L, R) = e_{HK}(L/K, R)$  implies that  $K \subseteq 0_L^*$ . In particular,  $e_{HK}(I, R) = e_{HK}(J, R)$  implies that  $J \subseteq I^*$ .

In [7, Theorem 8.17], more general results are proved.

2.  $F$ -contributors and tight closures

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M \neq 0$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module that has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Set  $a = [k(\mathfrak{m}) : k(\mathfrak{m})^p]$  and  $d = \dim(M)$ . Then the sequence  $\{\#(^eM, M_i)/(ap^d)^e\}_{e=0}^\infty$  is bounded for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  such that  $M_i \neq 0$  and at least one of the  $M_i$  is a non-zero  $F$ -contributor.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $M_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then, by [15],

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}M)}{p^{de}} = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\#(^eM, M_i)}{(ap^d)^e} \lambda_R(M_i/\mathfrak{m}M_i)$$

exists and is equal to  $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, M) > 0$ . The existence of the limit and the fact that  $\lambda_R(M_i/\mathfrak{m}M_i) > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  prove the boundedness, while the fact that  $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, M) > 0$  proves the existence of at least one  $F$ -contributor.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be local, and let  $M \neq 0$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module that has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Set  $a = [k(\mathfrak{m}) : k(\mathfrak{m})^p]$  and  $d = \dim(M)$ . For any  $i_0 = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , if  $M_{i_0} \neq 0$  and  $\liminf_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#(^eM, M_{i_0})/(ap^c)^e) > 0$ , then  $\text{depth } M_{i_0} \geq c$ . In particular, every non-zero  $F$ -contributor of  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension  $= \dim(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $M$  is a faithful  $R$ -module. Let  $\underline{x} := x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$  be a system of parameters of  $R$ . Then  $\underline{x}^q := x_1^q, x_2^q, \dots, x_d^q$  is also a system of parameters of  $R$  for every  $q = p^e$ . Let  $H_R^j(\underline{x}^q, M)$  be the  $j$ th Koszul cohomology. Then we have  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_R(H_R^j(\underline{x}^{p^e}, M))/p^{ce}) = 0$  for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, c-1$  by a result which is implicit in [16] and explicitly stated in [8, Theorem 6.2]. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(H_R^j(\underline{x}^{p^e}, M))}{p^{ce}} &= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(H_R^j(\underline{x}, ^eM))}{(ap^c)^e} \\ &= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\#(^eM, M_i)}{(ap^c)^e} \lambda_R(H_R^j(\underline{x}, M_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\lambda_R(H_R^j(\underline{x}, M_{i_0})) = 0$  for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, c-1$  by our assumption on  $M_{i_0}$ . Hence  $\text{depth } M_{i_0} \geq c$ . In particular, every non-zero  $F$ -contributor of  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay.  $\square$

Next we study the localization problem under the assumption of finite  $F$ -representation type. One way to attack the question of whether tight closure commutes with localizations is to study, for a given  $I \subset R$ , the finiteness of  $\bigcup_{e \geq 0} \text{Ass}(R/I^{[p^e]})$  and the annihilators of  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p^e]})$  (see [7, 12] and also [10, 22] for results along this line), while another is to study the ‘linear growth’ property of the primary decompositions of  $I^{[p^e]}$  in  $R$  (see [20] or [19]). Our next theorem shows that rings with finite  $F$ -representation type satisfy nice properties that one would want and consequently tight closure commutes with localizations whenever

$R$  has finite  $F$ -representation type. The proof of Theorem 2.3(ii) below is similar to that of [19, Theorem 7.6(ii)] and that of [2, Theorem 3.7].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Noetherian rings of prime characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ .*

(i) *For any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $L$ , the set  $\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}(L \otimes_R {}^e M)$  is finite and there exists an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (a) and (b) are satisfied.*

(a) *For every  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a primary decomposition*

$$0 = Q_{e1} \cap Q_{e2} \cap \dots \cap Q_{es_e} \quad \text{of } 0 \text{ in } L \otimes_R {}^e M,$$

where  $\text{Ass}(L \otimes_R {}^e M) = \{P_{ej} \mid 1 \leq j \leq s_e\}$  and  $Q_{ej}$  are  $P_{ej}$ -primary components of  $0 \subset L \otimes_R {}^e M$  satisfying  $P_{ej}^k(L \otimes_R {}^e M) \subseteq Q_{ej}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq s_e$ .

(b) *For all  $J \subset R$  and for all  $q = p^e$ , we have*

$$J^k(0 :_{L \otimes_R {}^e M} J^\infty) = 0, \quad \text{that is, } J^k H_J^0(L \otimes_R {}^e M) = 0.$$

(ii) *Consequently, tight closure commutes with localization if  $\text{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq \sqrt{(0)}$ , the nilradical of  $R$  (for example  $M$  is faithful over  $R$  or  $M = R$ ).*

(iii) *More generally, tight closure commutes with localizations over  $S$  provided that  $S/\sqrt{(0)} \cong R/\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)}$  as rings.*

*Proof.* (i) For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , write down a primary decomposition of 0 in  $L \otimes_R M_i$  (ignore the  $M_i$  such that  $L \otimes_R M_i = 0$ ) as follows.

$$0 = Q'_{i1} \cap Q'_{i2} \cap \dots \cap Q'_{it_i},$$

where  $Q'_{ij}$  is a  $P'_{ij}$ -primary component of  $0 \subset L \otimes_R M_i$ . Naturally we get an induced primary decomposition of  $0 \subset L \otimes_R {}^e M$  for every  $e$  since  ${}^e M$  is a direct sum of the  $M_i$ . Choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $P'_{ij}{}^k(L \otimes_R M_i) \subseteq Q'_{ij}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t_i$ . Then (a) is evidently true. We also have  $J^k(0 :_{L \otimes_R M_i} J^\infty) = 0$  for all  $i$  and all  $J \subset R$ . Thus  $J^k(0 :_{L \otimes_R {}^e M} J^\infty) = 0$  for all  $J \subset R$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) Let  $L$  be any finitely generated  $R$ -module, and let  $U$  be any multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . We need to show that  $0_{U^{-1}L}^* \subseteq U^{-1}(0_L^*)$ . We know that  $\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}(L \otimes_R {}^e M)$  is finite by part (i), say  $\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}(L \otimes_R {}^e M) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t\}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that, for some  $1 \leq r \leq t$ ,  $P_i \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $P_j \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $r+1 \leq j \leq t$ . Then there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $u \in \bigcap_{j=r+1}^t P_j$ . To prove  $0_{U^{-1}L}^* \subseteq U^{-1}(0_L^*)$ , it suffices to show that if  $\frac{x}{1} \in 0_{U^{-1}L}^*$  with  $x \in L$ , then  $x \in U^{-1}(0_L^*)$ . The assumption that  $\frac{x}{1} \in 0_{U^{-1}L}^*$  implies that there exist  $c \in R^o$  and  $u_e \in U$  such that  $0 = u_e x \otimes c \in L \otimes_R {}^e R$  for all  $e \gg 0$  (see [2, Lemma 3.3]). This implies that  $0 = u_e x \otimes cm \in L \otimes_R {}^e M$  for all  $m \in M$  and all  $e \gg 0$  (since the  $R$ -linear map  $R \rightarrow M$  defined by  $1 \mapsto m \in M$  induces an  $R$ -linear map  ${}^e R \rightarrow {}^e M$ ). Since part (i)(a) holds for  $M$ , we adopt the notation there. In particular, for every  $m \in M$  and  $e \gg 0$ ,

$$u_e(x \otimes cm) = u_e x \otimes cm = 0 \in Q_{e1} \cap Q_{e2} \cap \dots \cap Q_{es_e} \subseteq L \otimes_R {}^e M,$$

as in (i)(a). Then, for each  $e \gg 0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s_e$ , we have  $x \otimes cm \in Q_{ej}$  if  $P_{ej} \cap U = \emptyset$  while  $u^k x \otimes cm \in P_{ej}^k L \otimes_R {}^e M \subseteq Q_{ej}$  if  $P_{ej} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . All in



all, we have

$$u^k x \otimes cm \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{s_e} Q_{ej} = 0 \subseteq L \otimes_R {}^e M \quad \text{for all } e \gg 0 \text{ and all } m \in M.$$

Now, the assumption that  $\text{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq \sqrt{(0)}$  implies that there is an  $R$ -linear map  $h : M \rightarrow R/\sqrt{(0)}$  such that

$$h(m_0) \in (R/\sqrt{(0)})^\circ$$

for some  $m_0 \in M$ . Applying  $h$ , we get

$$0 = u^k x \otimes ch(m_0) \in L \otimes_R {}^e (R/\sqrt{(0)})$$

for all  $e \gg 0$ . Notice that  $h(m)$  can be lifted back to some  $d \in R^\circ$  under the natural ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R/\sqrt{(0)}$ . Also observe that, for any given  $q_0 = p^{e_0}$ , the Frobenius mapping  $r \mapsto r^{p^{e_0}}$  defines an  $R$ -linear map  $F^{e_0} : {}^e R \rightarrow {}^{e+e_0} R$  for all  $e$ . Choose  $q_0$  large enough so that

$$\sqrt{(0)}^{[q_0]} = 0.$$

Then  $F^{e_0}$  factors through  ${}^e (R/\sqrt{(0)})$ , which means that there exists an  $R$ -linear map

$$G^{e_0} : {}^e (R/\sqrt{(0)}) \rightarrow {}^{e+e_0} R$$

such that  $G^{e_0}(h(m_0)) = d^{q_0} \in {}^{e+e_0} R$  for all  $e$ . Now apply  $G^{e_0}$  to the equation

$$0 = u^k x \otimes ch(m_0) \in L \otimes_R {}^e (R/\sqrt{(0)})$$

to get  $0 = u^k x \otimes (cd)^{q_0} \in L \otimes_R {}^{e+e_0} R$  for all  $e \gg 0$ , which implies that  $u^k x \in 0_L^*$  or, equivalently,  $x \in U^{-1}(0_L^*)$ .

(iii) This follows from part (ii) as, for a general ring  $T$  of characteristic  $p$ , tight closure commutes with localization over  $T$  if and only if it is true over  $T/\sqrt{(0)}$ .  $\square$

Next we see the usefulness of  $F$ -contributors in the tight closure theory.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $\dim(M) = \dim(R)$ . Assume that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$  and that  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r\}$  is the set of all  $F$ -contributors for some  $r \leq s$ . Set  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$ .*

(i) *For any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$ ,  $K_L^*$  is contained in the kernel of  $L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes_R N)$ , the composition of the natural and the evaluation  $R$ -homomorphisms.*

(ii) *If, furthermore,  $R$  is analytically unramified and quasi-unmixed with a completely stable test element (for example  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a complete domain) and  $M$  is faithful over  $R$ , then  $K_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes_R N))$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $K=0$ . Since  $0_L^* \subseteq \bigcap_{n>0} (\mathfrak{m}^n L)_L^*$  and equality holds if there is a test element (by [7, Proposition 8.13(b)]) and

$$\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N)) = \bigcap_{n>0} \ker(L/\mathfrak{m}^n L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/\mathfrak{m}^n L \otimes N)),$$

we assume that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$ , still, without loss of generality. Let  $D$  be an arbitrary  $R$ -submodule of  $L$  and denote  $L' := L/D$ . Set  $a = [k : k^p]$ , and  $d = \dim(R) = \dim(M)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{HK}(L, M) - e_{HK}(L', M) &= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(L \otimes_R {}^e M)}{(ap^d)^e} - \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(L' \otimes_R {}^e M)}{(ap^d)^e} \\
&= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\#({}^e M, M_i)}{(ap^d)^e} \lambda_R(L \otimes_R M_i) \\
&\quad - \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\#({}^e M, M_i)}{(ap^d)^e} \lambda_R(L' \otimes_R M_i) \\
&= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\#({}^e M, M_i)}{(ap^d)^e} (\lambda_R(L \otimes_R M_i) - \lambda_R(L' \otimes_R M_i)) \\
&= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\#({}^e M, M_i)}{(ap^d)^e} (\lambda_R(L \otimes_R M_i) - \lambda_R(L' \otimes_R M_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $e_{HK}(L, M) = e_{HK}(L', M) \iff \lambda_R(L \otimes_R M_i) = \lambda_R(L' \otimes_R M_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r \iff \lambda_R(L \otimes_R N) = \lambda_R(L' \otimes_R N) \iff D \subseteq \{x \in L \mid 0 = x \otimes y \in L \otimes_R N, \forall y \in N\} = \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$ .

(i) Since  $e_{HK}(L, M) = e_{HK}(L/0_L^*, M)$  by Theorem 1.11, we have, by the above argument,  $0_L^* \subseteq \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$ .

(ii) Let  $D' = \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$  and  $L'' = L/D'$ . Then, by the above argument again,  $e_{HK}(L, M) = e_{HK}(L'', M)$ . This implies that  $e_{HK}(L, R/P) = e_{HK}(L'', R/P)$  for every  $P \in \min(M) = \min(R)$  by the associativity formula, the fact that  $R$  is equidimensional, and the fact that, a priori,  $e_{HK}(L, R/P) \geq e_{HK}(L'', R/P)$  for each minimal prime  $P$ . Hence  $e_{HK}(L, R) = e_{HK}(L'', R)$ , by the associativity formula again, which implies that  $D' \subseteq 0_L^*$  by Theorem 1.11. Combined with the result in (i), this gives  $0_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is a global version of Proposition 2.4. Notice that Theorem 2.5(iii) is just a special case of Theorem 2.3(ii), but is proved differently. Recall that persistence of tight closure holds if  $R$  is essentially of finite type over an excellent local ring or if  $R/\sqrt{(0)}$  is  $F$ -finite by [9, Theorem 6.24].

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Consider the following conditions.*

(1)  $[k(\mathfrak{m}) : k(\mathfrak{m})^p] p^{\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$  is constant for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Under this condition, we set  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$  to be a direct sum of all the  $F$ -contributors (see Remark 1.4(iii)).

(2) Either (a) persistence of tight closure holds, or (b)  $\dim(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$  for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ .

(3)  $M$  is faithful,  $R$  has a test element, and, for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ ,  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is analytically unramified and quasi-unmixed, and has a completely stable test element.

Then the following hold.

(i) Assume (1) and (2). Then  $K_L^* \subseteq \ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes_R N))$  for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$ .

(ii) Assume (1) and (3). Then  $K_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes_R N))$  for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$ .

(iii) Assume (3). Then tight closure commutes with localization over  $R$ , that is,  $(U^{-1}K)_{U^{-1}L}^* = U^{-1}(K_L^*)$  for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$  and for any multiplicatively closed set  $U \subset R$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $K = 0$ . Notice that condition (3) implies condition (2)(b).

(i) If condition (2)(a) is satisfied, then it is enough to prove the desired result over  $R/\text{Ann}(M)$  via the natural map  $R \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}(M)$ . However, notice that  $M$  is faithful over  $R/\text{Ann}(M)$ ; hence (2)(b) is satisfied. Therefore we assume (2)(b) without loss of generality. For every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , we have  $(0_L^*)_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq 0_{L_{\mathfrak{m}}}^*$ . We then apply Proposition 2.4(i) to the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and get  $0_{L_{\mathfrak{m}}}^* \subseteq (\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N)))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence  $0_L^* \subseteq \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$ .

(ii) We have  $0_L^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{m}^n L)_L^*$  (by [7, Proposition 8.13(b)]), where  $\mathfrak{m}$  runs over all maximal ideals of  $R$ . For each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , let  $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}$  denote the natural ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . By [7, Proposition 8.9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{m}^n L)_L^* &= \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \left( ((\mathfrak{m}^n L)_{\mathfrak{m}})_{L_{\mathfrak{m}}}^* \right) \\ &= \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \left( \ker \left( L_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \left( \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \right)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( N_{\mathfrak{m}}, \left( \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \right)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes N_{\mathfrak{m}} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \left( \left( \ker \left( L \rightarrow \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( N, \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \otimes N \right) \right) \right)_{\mathfrak{m}} \right) \\ &= \ker \left( L \rightarrow \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( N, \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \otimes N \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0_L^* &= \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{m}^n L)_L^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}} \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \ker \left( L \rightarrow \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left( N, \frac{L}{\mathfrak{m}^n L} \otimes N \right) \right) \\ &= \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, L \otimes N)). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is disconnected, that is  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ , then both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Also, to show that tight closure commutes with localization for  $R$ , it is enough to show the same results for both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .

Hence we may assume that  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is connected so that  $[k(P) : k(P)^p]_p^{\dim R_P} = [k(Q) : k(Q)^p]_p^{\dim R_Q}$  for any two prime ideals  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $R$ . Therefore condition (1) is satisfied by any localization of  $R$  and hence the result in part (i) applies.

To prove that tight closure commutes with localization, it is enough to show that, for any multiplicatively closed set  $U \subset R$ ,  $U^{-1}(0_L^*) = 0_{U^{-1}L}^*$ . Applying the result in part (i) to  $U^{-1}R$ , we have  $0_{U^{-1}L}^* \subseteq \ker(U^{-1}L \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U^{-1}N, U^{-1}L \otimes U^{-1}N)) = U^{-1}(\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, L \otimes N)))$ . However, we have  $0_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, L \otimes N))$  by (ii) above. Hence  $0_{U^{-1}L}^* \subseteq U^{-1}(0_L^*)$ . We conclude that  $U^{-1}(0_L^*) = 0_{U^{-1}L}^*$  as  $U^{-1}(0_L^*) \subseteq 0_{U^{-1}L}^*$  is automatic.  $\square$

REMARK 2.6. We might be interested in the ideals cases of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5. It is straightforward to obtain the results by letting  $L = R/I$ .

(i) Theorem 2.3(i) states that the set  $\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}(R/I \otimes_R {}^e M) = \bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}(M/I^{[q]}M)$  is finite and  $J^k \cdot H_J^0({}^e M/I \cdot {}^e M) = 0$ , for all  $J \subset R$  and for all  $q = p^e$ , which implies that

$$J^{(k+\mu(J))q}(I^{[q]}M :_M J^\infty) \subseteq I^{[q]}M, \text{ that is, } J^{(k+\mu(J))q} H_J^0\left(\frac{M}{I^{[q]}M}\right) = 0,$$

where  $\mu(J)$  is the least number of generators of the ideal  $J$ .

(ii) Theorem 2.5(ii) simply states that  $I^* = (IN :_R N) = \text{Ann}_R(N/IN)$ .

REMARK 2.7. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$  that has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Say that  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t\}$  is the set of all modules that appear in the decompositions of  ${}^e R$  non-trivially for infinitely many  $e$ . Let  $N' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_i$ . Then the Frobenius closure of 0 in an  $R$ -module  $L$ , denoted by  $0_L^F$ , is determined by  $0_L^F = \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N', L \otimes_R N')$ . In particular, the Frobenius closure of an ideal  $I$  in  $R$ , denoted by  $I^F$ , is characterized by  $I^F = (IN' :_R N')$ . The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.4 but more direct.

DISCUSSION 2.8. Let  $R$  be as in Theorem 2.5(ii) and adopt the notation there. We furthermore assume that  $\#({}^e R, M_i) > 0$  for some  $e_0$  and for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Let  $q_0 = p^{e_0}$ . Then  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$  may be realized as a direct summand of  $R^{1/q_0}$  since  ${}^e R \cong R^{1/p^e}$  as  $R$ -modules for every  $e$ . Say that  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$  is generated by  $c_1^{1/q_0}, c_2^{1/q_0}, \dots, c_t^{1/q_0}$  as an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^{1/q_0}$ . Let  $\tau_0 = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t)$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t$ . Then for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and an element  $x \in R$ , we have  $x \in I^*$  if and only if  $\tau_0 x^{q_0} \subseteq I^{[q_0]}$ . Indeed,  $x \in I^*$  if and only if  $xN \subseteq IN$ , that is,  $x(c_1^{1/q_0}, c_2^{1/q_0}, \dots, c_t^{1/q_0}) \subseteq I(c_1^{1/q_0}, c_2^{1/q_0}, \dots, c_t^{1/q_0})$  if and only if  $x(c_1^{1/q_0}, c_2^{1/q_0}, \dots, c_t^{1/q_0}) \subseteq IR^{1/q_0}$  if and only if  $\tau_0 x^{q_0} \subseteq I^{[q_0]}$ . Here the second ‘if and only if’ follows from the fact that  $N$  is a direct summand of  $R^{1/q_0}$ , while the third ‘if and only if’ follows by the taking of the  $q_0$ th Frobenius power or the  $q_0$ th root. Once again we deduce that tight closure commutes with localization in this case.

REMARK 2.9. Of course we can talk about  $F$ -contributors for any  $F$ -finite  $R$ -module  $M$  without the assumption of finite  $F$ -representation type. If  $a(P)p^{d(P)}$  is constant over  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $N$  is a non-zero  $F$ -contributor of  $M$ , then we always have the following.

(i) Suppose that  $\dim M = \dim R$ . Then for any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $L$ , we have  $0_L^* \subseteq \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes_R N))$ .

(ii)  $N$  is necessarily a Cohen–Macaulay module if  $R$  is local. More generally, results similar to Lemma 2.2 can be proved.

### 3. The sequence $\{\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e\}_{e=0}^\infty$

In this section we study the growth of  $\#({}^e M, M_i)$  as  $e \rightarrow \infty$ . We restrict ourselves to the case where  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local and  $M \neq 0$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ . Without loss of generality, we may simply assume that  $M \cong XY$  and  ${}^e Y \cong A^e Y$  for all  $e \geq 0$ , where  $X = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$  is a  $1 \times s$  matrix,  $A := (a_{ij})$  is an  $s \times s$  matrix with non-negative integer entries, and  $Y = (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T$ .

Consequently  ${}^eM \cong XA^eY$  for all  $e \geq 0$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , let  $E_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Then we can easily see that  $\#({}^eM, M_i) = XA^eE_i$ . Then  $\#({}^eM, M_i)/(ap^d)^e = XB^eE_i$ , where  $B = (1/ap^d)A$ . We use  $E$  to denote the identity matrix of various sizes and use  $Z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s)^T \in \mathbb{C}^s$  to denote an arbitrarily chosen and then fixed  $s \times 1$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Similarly  $X = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$  is used to denote an arbitrarily chosen and then fixed vector. However, we may insist that the entries of  $X$  be non-negative integers in order to maintain the realization that  $B^eE_i = \#({}^eM, M_i)/(ap^d)^e$ , where  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s n_i M_i$ .

We also assume that  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r\}$  is the set of all  $F$ -contributors of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_i$  so that, for any  $R$ -module  $M \cong XY$ , the set of  $F$ -contributors of  $M$  is contained in  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r\}$ . We call  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  the general  $F$ -contributors of the finite  $F$ -representation type system  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Also we set  $Y' = (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ ,  $a = [k(\mathfrak{m}) : k(\mathfrak{m})^p]$ , and  $d = \dim M$ .

We will keep this notation throughout this section.

Therefore Question 1.5 can be restated as follows. Does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^eE_i$  exist for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ? Or equivalently, does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^e$  exist? Or still equivalently, does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^eZ$  exist for every  $Z \in \mathbb{C}^s$ ?

A slightly stronger question would be the following.

QUESTION 3.1. Does the limit  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^eE_i$  exist for every  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ? Or equivalently, does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} B^e$  exist? Or still equivalently, does  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^eZ$  exist for every  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and every  $Z \in \mathbb{C}^s$ ?

EXAMPLE 3.2. Actually we should not expect a positive answer to the above question in general. There might be relations among  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  in terms of direct sums. Indeed, let  $R = k$  be a field of characteristic  $p = 2$  such that  $[k : k^2] = 2$  and let  $M = M_1 = M_2 = k$ . Then  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system  $M_1, M_2$  and we may pre-fix the direct sum decompositions of  ${}^eM$  so that  $X = (1, 0)$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . However, it is easy to see that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^eM, M_i)/2^e)$  do not exist for  $i = 1, 2$ . Or even more simply, let  $R = k = M = M_1 = M_2$  where  $k$  is a perfect field and  $X = (1, 0)$  so that  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By a result of Smith and van den Bergh, quoted as Theorem 1.6, the limit always exists and is always positive for  $M = R$ , where  $R$  is a strongly  $F$ -regular ring with finite  $F$ -representation type by finitely many indecomposable modules, which satisfies the Krull-Schmidt condition. Notice that in this case  $R$  does have finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system.

In this section, we first study the properties of the matrix  $B$  in the general situations of finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system. Then, in Theorem 3.11, we give a positive answer to Question 3.1 under the assumption that  $R$  satisfies the Krull-Schmidt condition and that  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  are all indecomposable, are non-zero and belong to different isomorphism classes.

LEMMA 3.3. All of the eigenvalues of  $B$  have absolute values of at most 1.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.1. Suppose that, on the contrary, there exist a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and a complex vector  $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)^T \neq 0$  such that  $|\lambda| > 1$  and  $BV = \lambda V$ . Then  $B^eV = \lambda^eV$ . By choosing a proper  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $XV \neq 0$ , we have  $|XB^eV| = |\lambda^eXV| = |\lambda|^e|XV| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $e \rightarrow \infty$ . However, by Lemma 2.1

applied to  $M = X(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s)^T$ ,  $|XB^e V| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s |v_i| (\#({}^e M, M_i) / (ap^d)^e)$  defines a bounded sequence, a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $B$  such that  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $|\lambda_i| < 1$  for  $i = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, l$ . We can think of  $B$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^s$ . Now, by the primary decomposition theorem (or Jordan canonical form theorem), we can write  $\mathbb{C}^s$  as  $\mathbb{C}^s = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{Z}_j$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_j = \ker((\lambda_j E - B)^s) = \ker((\lambda_j E - B)^n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Then every  $Z \in \mathbb{C}^s$  can be written as  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^l Z_i$ , where  $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . In particular,  $N_i^s Z_i = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , where  $B_i$  is the restriction of  $B$  to  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  and  $N_i := B_i - \lambda_i E$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

Then we have  $XB^e Z = \sum_{i=1}^l XB^e Z_i$ . For all  $e \geq s$ , we have  $XB^e Z_i = X(\lambda_i E + N_i)^e Z_i = X(\sum_{j=0}^s \binom{e}{j} \lambda_i^{e-j} N_i^j) Z_i = \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{e}{j} \lambda_i^{e-j} X N_i^j Z_i$ , which can be realized as  $\lambda_i^e \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \binom{e}{j} = \lambda_i^e P_i(e)$ , where  $c_{ij} = X((1/\lambda_i) N_i)^j Z_i$  and  $P_i(e)$  is the value of the polynomial  $P_i(W) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \binom{W}{j} \in \mathbb{C}[W]$  at  $W = e$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . (Here we assume that all the eigenvalues of  $B$  are non-zero. If 0 is an eigenvalue of  $B$ , we can treat the part corresponding to 0 separately to get a similar result.) Therefore we have  $XB^e Z = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e P_i(e)$ .

Alternatively we can derive the above result in the following (essentially the same) way by means of matrices. By the primary decomposition theorem, there exists an invertible  $s \times s$  matrix  $T$  with complex entries such that

$$T^{-1}BT = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & & B_l \end{pmatrix},$$

where, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ,  $B_i$  is an  $s_i \times s_i$  matrix such that  $N_i' = B_i - \lambda_i E$  is nilpotent for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . In particular,  $(N_i')^s = 0$ .

Let  $U = XT$  and  $V = T^{-1}Z$ . Corresponding to the partition of  $T^{-1}BT$ , we write  $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_l)$  and  $V^T = (V_1^T, V_2^T, \dots, V_l^T)$  so that  $U_i$  and  $V_i^T$  are both  $1 \times s_i$  complex matrices. Then we have  $XB^e Z = \sum_{i=1}^l U_i B_i^e V_i$ . For all  $e \geq s$ , we have  $U_i B_i^e V_i = U_i (\lambda_i E + N_i')^e V_i = U_i (\sum_{j=0}^s \binom{e}{j} \lambda_i^{e-j} (N_i')^j) V_i = \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{e}{j} \lambda_i^{e-j} U_i (N_i')^j V_i$ , which can be realized as  $\lambda_i^e \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \binom{e}{j} = \lambda_i^e P_i(e)$ , where  $c_{ij} = U_i (\frac{1}{\lambda_i} N_i')^j V_i$  and  $P_i(e)$  is the value of the polynomial  $P_i(W) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \binom{W}{j} \in \mathbb{C}[W]$  at  $W = e$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . (Here we assume that all the eigenvalues of  $B$  are non-zero. If 0 is an eigenvalue of  $B$ , then we can treat the part corresponding to 0 separately to get a similar result.) Therefore we have  $XB^e Z = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e P_i(e)$ .

LEMMA 3.4. *Keep the notation as above. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *The value 1 is an eigenvalue of  $B$ .*
- (ii)  *$P_i(W) = c_{i0} = XZ_i$  are constant polynomials for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .*
- (iii) *For some fixed  $X$  and  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^l Z_i$ , where  $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , we have  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^e Z$  exists if and only if  $P_i(W) = c_{i0} = XZ_i = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that  $\lambda_i \neq 1$ .*

The proof follows from a lemma in [18], either directly or indirectly. Also we need to use the fact that the set  $\{\binom{W}{j} | j = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ , considered as a subset of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ , is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . First we state the lemma.

LEMMA 3.5 [18, Lemma 2.3]. We have  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $P_1(W), P_2(W), \dots, P_t(W) \in \mathbb{C}[W] \setminus \{0\}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t$  are distinct. Set  $f(e) := \sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i^e P_i(e)$  for all  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have the following.

- (i) The following are equivalent.
  - (a)  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} f(e) = 0$ .
  - (b)  $|\gamma_i| < 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .
- (ii) For any  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , the following are equivalent.
  - (a)  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} f(e) = c$ .
  - (b) There is an  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq i_0 \leq t$  such that  $\gamma_{i_0} = 1$ ,  $P_{i_0} = c$  and  $|\gamma_i| < 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$  with  $i \neq i_0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.4.* (i) This is basically proved in [18]. We include a proof for completeness.

Let  $Z = (\lambda_R(M_1/\mathfrak{m}M_1), \lambda_R(M_2/\mathfrak{m}M_2), \dots, \lambda_R(M_s/\mathfrak{m}M_s))^T$ . Then

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e P_i(e) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R({}^e M/\mathfrak{m} \cdot {}^e M)}{(ap^d)^e} = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}M)}{p^{de}} = e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, M)$$

and the fact that  $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, M) > 0$  implies that  $\lambda_{i_0} = 1$  for some  $1 \leq i_0 \leq l$  by Lemma 3.5(ii).

(ii) For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , set  $P'_i(W) = (P_i(W) - P_i(0)/W) \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ . Since  $\{XB^e Z = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e P_i(e)\}_{e=0}^\infty$  is bounded, we have

$$0 = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{XB^e Z}{e} = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e \frac{P_i(e)}{e} = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i^e P'_i(e),$$

which forces  $P'_i(W) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , which implies that  $P_i(W) = c_{i0} = XZ_i$  are constant polynomials for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

(iii) This follows directly from part (ii) and Lemma 3.5(ii).  $\square$

LEMMA 3.6. Keep the above notation. Then the following hold.

(i)  $\mathcal{Z}_i = \ker(B - \lambda_i E) = \ker(N_i)$  is the eigenspace of  $\lambda_i$  (or, in matrix terms,  $B_i = \lambda_i E$ , that is,  $N'_i = 0$ ) for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

(ii) Let  $M = XY$  be a fixed  $R$ -module. Also we assume that  $\lambda_k = 1$  without loss of generality. Then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  exists for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  if and only if  $XZ = 0$  for every  $Z \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{Z}_j$ .

(iii) We assume that  $\lambda_k = 1$  without loss of generality. Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^l Z_i$ , where  $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} XB^e Z$  exists for every  $X$  if and only if  $Z_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

(iv) The limit  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e)$  exists for every module  $M = XY$  and for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  if and only if  $k = 1$ , that is,  $\lambda_1 = 1$  is the only eigenvalue of  $B$  with absolute value equal to 1.

*Proof.* (i) By the above lemma, we know that  $\sum_{j=1}^s c_{ij} \binom{W}{j} = P_i(W) = c_i$ . Since the set  $\{\binom{W}{j} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , considered as a subset of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ , is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have  $c_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . In particular,  $c_{i1} = 0$ . However,  $c_{i1} = (1/\lambda_i)XN_i Z_i$ . Therefore  $XN_i Z_i = 0$ . By running  $X$  over

all possible choices and running  $Z$  over all vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^s$  (actually it is enough to run  $Z$  over all vectors in  $\mathcal{Z}_1$ ), we deduce that  $N_1 Z_1 = 0$  for all  $Z_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ , which proves (i).

(ii) and (iii) immediately follow from the above lemma.

(iv) immediately follows from (ii) or (iii). Alternatively it can be proved directly.  $\square$

**DISCUSSION 3.7.** For any  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_X$  be the set of all  $s \times 1$  matrices  $V \in \mathbb{C}^s$  with complex entries such that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e V$  exists. It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{V}_X$  is a  $B$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^s$  and that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{V}_X = \mathbb{C}^s$ . By the definition of  $F$ -contributors, we know that  $E_i \in \mathcal{V}_X$  for all  $i = r+1, r+2, \dots, s$  if  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  are all the  $F$ -contributors of  $M = XY$ .

Similarly, we define  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the set of all  $s \times 1$  matrices  $V \in \mathbb{C}^s$  with complex entries such that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} B^e V$  exists. It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $B$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^s$  for any  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} B^e$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^s$ . By the definition of the general  $F$ -contributors, we know that  $E_i \in \mathcal{V}$  for all  $i = r+1, r+2, \dots, s$  since  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r\}$  contains all the  $F$ -contributors of  $M = XY$  for all possible  $X$ .

Let  $L$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$  and  $M \cong XY$  so that  $M$  has finite  $F$ -representation type by  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ . By [17], we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) &= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y, L)) \\ &= \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R({}^e M, L))}{(ap^d)^e} \end{aligned}$$

exists. Hence  $\{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) \mid \lambda_R(L) < \infty\}$  and  $\{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y, L)) \mid \lambda_R(L) < \infty\}$  are all contained in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence a sufficient condition for a positive answer to Question 3.1 would be that the  $\{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y, L)) \mid \lambda_R(L) < \infty\}$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}^s$  or that  $\{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) \mid \lambda_R(L) < \infty\}$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}^r$ .

In the remaining part of this section we assume that the  $R$ -modules  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  satisfy the following unique condition.

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i M_i \cong \sum_{i=1}^r m_i M_i \quad \text{if and only if } m_i = n_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r. \quad (3.1)$$

This condition is satisfied if, for example,  $R$  satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition and  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  are all indecomposable, are non-zero and belong to different isomorphism classes. Indeed, under the uniqueness condition (3.1), we can show that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i) / (ap^d)^e) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e E_i$  exists for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and every  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . Its proof uses the following theorems of Guralnick [6] and Auslander [3]. We only quote a special version of each of the theorems. See the original papers for their general versions and proofs.

**THEOREM 3.8** [6, Corollary 1]. *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring, not necessarily of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. If  $M/\mathfrak{m}^n M \cong N/\mathfrak{m}^n N$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $M \cong N$ .*

The next theorem of Auslander can be found in [3, 4]. A simple and direct proof of the result is provided by Bongartz in [5].



**THEOREM 3.9** [3, 4, 5]. *Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring, not necessarily of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. Then  $M \cong N$  if and only if  $\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, L)) = \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, L))$  for all finite  $R$ -modules  $L$ , which is also equivalent to  $\lambda_R(M \otimes L) = \lambda_R(N \otimes L)$  for all finite  $R$ -modules  $L$ .*

Actually it is the following corollary of the above two theorems that is used in the proof of Theorem 3.11.

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring, not necessarily of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. Then  $M \cong N$  if and only if  $\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, L)) = \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, L))$  for all finite  $R$ -modules  $L$  such that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$  if and only if  $\lambda_R(M \otimes L) = \lambda_R(N \otimes L)$  for all finite  $R$ -modules  $L$  such that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any finitely generated  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ -module  $L$ , we have  $\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, L)) = \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, L))$  by assumption. This is the same as saying that  $\lambda_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n}(\text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n}(M/\mathfrak{m}^n M, L)) = \lambda_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n}(\text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{m}^n}(N/\mathfrak{m}^n N, L))$  for any finitely generated  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ -module  $L$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.9,  $M/\mathfrak{m}^n \cong N/\mathfrak{m}^n$  as  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$ -modules (and as  $R$ -modules) for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then Theorem 3.8 gives the desired result that  $M \cong N$  as  $R$ -modules.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.11.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type by a finite  $F$ -representation type system  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ , of which  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  are the general  $F$ -contributors which satisfy the uniqueness condition (3.1). Then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e E_i$  exists and is rational for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and every  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , where  $M \cong XY$ , or, equivalently, the matrix  $B$  has exactly one eigenvalue, that is, 1, with absolute value equal to 1.*

*Proof.* We first arbitrarily choose and then fix an  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and set  $M \cong XY$ . By Discussion 3.7, it suffices to show that the set of vectors  $\{\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) = (\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_1, L)), \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_2, L)), \dots, \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_r, L))) \in \mathbb{Q}^r \mid \lambda(L) < \infty\}$  spans  $\mathbb{Q}^r$ . Suppose that this is not the case. Then there are integers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r$ , not all zero, such that  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) = 0$ , that is,

$$c_1 \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_1, L)) + c_2 \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_2, L)) + \dots + c_r \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(M_r, L)) = 0$$

for all  $R$ -modules  $L$  such that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $c_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  and  $c_j = -b_j < 0$  for  $j = t+1, t+2, \dots, r$ . Let  $N' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t c_i M_i$  and  $N'' = \bigoplus_{j=t+1}^r b_j M_j$ . Then  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r)\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(Y', L)) = 0$  means that  $\lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(N', L)) = \lambda_R(\text{Hom}_R(N'', L))$  for all  $R$ -modules  $L$  such that  $\lambda_R(L) < \infty$ , which implies that  $N' \cong N''$  from Corollary 3.10. However, this is impossible as  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r$  satisfy the uniqueness condition (3.1).

It remains to show that  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#({}^e M, M_i)/(ap^d)^e) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} X B^e E_i$  is rational for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and every  $X \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . This follows directly from a lemma of Seibert [18, Lemma 2.4]. We include a proof for completeness. Indeed, since we know that the only unimodular eigenvalue of  $B$  is 1 and the zero space of  $B - E$  is the same as the zero space of  $(B - E)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an invertible

matrix  $T \in M_{s \times s}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$T^{-1}BT = \begin{pmatrix} E_{s_1 \times s_1} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{s_2 \times s_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $E_{s_1 \times s_1}$  is the  $s_1 \times s_1$  identity matrix and  $B_{s_2 \times s_2}$  is an  $s_2 \times s_2$  matrix with all its eigenvalues having absolute values strictly less than 1. In particular,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{s_2 \times s_2}^n = 0$ .

Write  $XT^{-1} = (X', X'')$  and  $TE_i = (E'_i, E''_i)^T$ , where  $X', X'', E'_i$  and  $E''_i$  are  $1 \times s_1, 1 \times s_2, s_1 \times 1$  and  $s_2 \times 1$  matrices respectively, with rational entries. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} XB^nE_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X'E'_i + X''B_{s_2 \times s_2}^nE''_i) = X'E'_i,$$

which is rational.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p$  (not necessarily satisfying the Krull–Schmidt condition), and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite  $F$ -representation type. If we use  $\#(^eM, R)$  to denote the maximal number of copies of  $R$  appearing as a direct summand of  ${}^eM$ , then  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#(^eM, R)/(ap^d)^e)$  exists.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $R$  is complete since  $\#(^eM, R) = \#(^e\widehat{M}, \widehat{R})$ . Then the existence of the limit follows immediately from Theorem 3.11 as complete rings satisfy the Krull–Schmidt condition.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.13.** The limit  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} (\#(^eR, R)/(ap^d)^e)$  was studied in [11] by Huneke and Leuschke and is called the  $F$ -signature of  $R$  there.

**QUESTION 3.14.** Now let us return to the general situation at the beginning of the section, that is, we do not assume that  $R$  satisfies the Krull–Schmidt condition or that  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$  are all indecomposable and belong to distinct isomorphism classes. Let  $P(W) \in \mathbb{Q}[W]$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $B$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of  $P(W)$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Then is  $\lambda$  an  $n$ th root of 1?

Does Theorem 3.11 help with anything in this direction, as we can complete the ring  $R$  without loss of generality? If the answer to the above question is positive, then we can show that the sequence  $\{\#(^eM, M_i)/(ap^d)^e\}_{e=0}^{\infty}$  is ‘periodically convergent’, that is, there exists an integer  $k > 0$  such that, for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\#(^{nk+i}M, M_i)/(ap^d)^{nk+i})$  exists.

#### 4. About $\bigcap_L \text{Ann}_R(\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N)))$

Let us return to the situation of Proposition 2.4(ii) and Theorem 2.5(ii) and keep the notation. Both results claim that  $K_L^* = \ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes N))$  for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $K \subseteq L$ , in which  $N$  is the direct sum of all  $F$ -contributors. Thus the test ideal of  $R$  is

$$\tau = \bigcap_{K \subseteq L} (K :_R (\ker(L \rightarrow L/K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L/K \otimes N))),$$

where  $K \subseteq L$  run over all finitely generated  $R$ -modules. As  $K_L^*/K = 0_{L/K}^*$ , we may always assume that  $K = 0$  to get  $\tau = \bigcap_L \text{Ann}_R(\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N)))$ , and it is easy to see that  $\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N))$  consists of  $x \in L$  such that  $x \otimes N$  is zero in  $L \otimes_R N$ . In the case of  $R$  being approximately Gorenstein, the test ideal can be simplified as  $\tau = \bigcap_{I \subseteq R} (I :_R I^*) = \bigcap_{I \subseteq R} (I :_R (IN :_R N))$ . Our next definition is inspired by this observation.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, not necessarily of characteristic  $p$ . For any  $R$ -module  $N$ , we define  $\tau(N) = \bigcap_L \text{Ann}_R(\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N)))$ , with  $L$  running over all finitely generated  $R$ -modules.

**LEMMA 4.2.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, not necessarily of characteristic  $p$ , let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and let  $U$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Then  $\tau(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  if and only if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nN_U = N_U \oplus \dots \oplus N_U$  has a direct summand isomorphic to  $R_U$  ( $n = 1$  if  $R_U = U^{-1}R$  is local).

*Proof.* First we assume that  $nN_U$  has a direct summand isomorphic to  $R_U$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Since  $\tau(nN) = \tau(N)$ , we may assume that  $n = 1$ . Therefore there exists an element  $c \in U$  such that  $R_c$  is a homomorphic image of  $N_c$ . That is the same as saying that there is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : N \rightarrow R$  such that  $c^i \in f(N)$  for some  $i$ . We may as well assume that  $i = 1$ . Then, for any finitely generated  $R$ -module  $L$  and for any  $x \in \ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N))$ , we have  $x \otimes N = 0$  in  $L \otimes_R N$ . Applying  $1_L \otimes f$  to  $L \otimes_R N$ , we get  $cx = 0 \in L \cong L \otimes R$ , which in turn implies that  $c \in \text{Ann}_R(\ker(L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, L \otimes N)))$ . Hence  $c \in \tau(N)$ , which gives  $\tau(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , the desired result.

For the converse implication, we assume that  $\tau(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . By relabeling  $R_U$  and  $N_U$  with  $R$  and  $N$  respectively, we may simply assume that  $\tau(N) = R$  and prove that  $nN$  has a direct summand isomorphic to  $R$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Say that  $N$  is generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Define an  $R$ -linear map  $\phi : R \rightarrow nN$  by  $r \mapsto (rx_1, rx_2, \dots, rx_n)$ . The assumption that  $\tau(N) = R$  says exactly that the induced map  $1_L \otimes \phi : L \otimes_R R \rightarrow L \otimes_R nN$  is injective for any finitely generated (and hence any)  $R$ -module  $L$ , that is,  $\phi$  is pure. Since  $nN$  is Noetherian, we find that  $\phi : R \rightarrow nN$  is a split injection and hence  $nN$  has a direct summand isomorphic to  $R$ . □

**REMARK 4.3.** Let us again return to Proposition 2.4(ii) and Theorem 2.5(ii), with  $M$  being a finite  $F$ -representation type faithful  $R$ -module. Then  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -regular if and only if  $\tau(N) = R$  if and only if  $R$  is an  $F$ -contributor of  $M$  (by Lemma 4.2) if and only if  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular (by a recent result in [1]).

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank his academic adviser, Professor Craig Huneke, for his generous help during the process of writing the paper. The material in this paper is part of the author's doctoral thesis with some generalizations.

The author also wishes to thank the referee of this paper, whose comments prompted the author to write down the current version of Theorem 2.3, and whose careful reading and suggestions improved the readability of the current version.

## References

1. I. ABERBACH and G. LEUSCHKE, 'The  $F$ -signature and strong  $F$ -regularity', *Math. Res. Lett.* 10 (2003) 51–56.
2. I. ABERBACH, M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, 'Localization of tight closure and modules of finite phantom projective dimension', *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal)* 434 (1993) 67–114.
3. M. AUSLANDER, 'Representation theory of finite dimensional algebras', *Contemporary Mathematics* 13 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1982) 27–39.
4. M. AUSLANDER and I. REITEN, 'Modules determined by their composition factors', *Illinois J. Math.* 29 (1985) 280–301.
5. K. BONGARTZ, 'A generalization of a theorem of M. Auslander', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21 (1989) 255–256.
6. R. M. GURALNICK, 'Lifting homomorphisms of modules', *Illinois J. Math.* 29 (1985) 153–156.
7. M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, 'Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon–Skoda theorem', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (1990) 31–116.
8. M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, 'Phantom homology', *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1993).
9. M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, ' $F$ -regularity, test elements, and smooth base change', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 346 (1994) 1–62.
10. C. HUNEKE, 'The saturation of Frobenius powers of ideals', *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000) 5563–5572.
11. C. HUNEKE and G. LEUSCHKE, 'Two theorems about maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules', *Math. Ann.* 324 (2002) 391–404.
12. M. KATZMAN, 'Finiteness of  $\bigcup_e \text{Ass } F^e(M)$  and its connections to tight closure', *Illinois J. Math.* 40 (1996) 330–337.
13. E. KUNZ, 'Characterizations of regular local rings of characteristic  $p$ ', *Amer. J. Math.* 91 (1969) 772–784.
14. E. KUNZ, 'On Noetherian rings of characteristic  $p$ ', *Amer. J. Math.* 98 (1976) 999–1013.
15. P. MONSKY, 'The Hilbert–Kunz function', *Math. Ann.* 263 (1983) 43–49.
16. P. ROBERTS 'Le théorème d'intersection', *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 304 (1987) 177–180.
17. G. SEIBERT, 'Complexes with homology of finite length and Frobenius functors', *J. Algebra* 125 (1989) 278–287.
18. G. SEIBERT, 'The Hilbert–Kunz function of rings of finite Cohen–Macaulay type', *Arch. Math.* 69 (1997) 286–296.
19. R. Y. SHARP and N. NOSSEM, 'Ideals in a perfect closure, linear growth of primary decompositions, and tight closure', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004) 3687–3720.
20. K. SMITH and I. SWANSON, 'Linear bounds on growth of associated primes', *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997) 3071–3079.
21. K. SMITH and M. VAN DEN BERGH, 'Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 75 (1997) 32–62.
22. A. VRACIU, 'Local cohomology of Frobenius images over graded affine algebras', *J. Algebra* 228 (2000) 347–356.

Yongwei Yao  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Michigan  
 East Hall  
 530 Church Street  
 Ann Arbor  
 MI 48109  
 USA

ywyao@umich.edu