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## 1. Introduction

It is the purpose of this note to sketch the proof of the following theorem.
Theorem A. Let $M$ be a triangulated n-manifold. If $G$ is a graph which is a subcomplex of $M$, and if $N$ is a regular neighbourhood of $G$ in $M$, then the double of $N$ is homeomorphic to an $n$-sphere with handles.

Here a graph is considered to be a connected 1-dimensional compact polyhedron. The term "regular neighbourhood" is used in the general simplicial complex setting as on p. 33 of [12]; in his earlier work [9] the author employed "barrel neighbourhood" to denote a canonic form of such a second derived mapping cylinder neighbourhood. If $M$ is a connected $n$-manifold without boundary, the addition of a handle to $M$ is defined as follows. Delete the interiors of two disjoint locally flat equivalently embedded $n$-cells from $M$ and call the remaining subspace $M_{1}$. Adjoin the $n$-annulus $S^{n-1} \times I$ to $M_{1}$ via a homeomorphism of $\partial\left(S^{n-1} \times I\right)$ onto $\partial M_{1}$. In the terminology of [12; p. 74] this is attaching a 1 -handle. We shall discuss this operation and its uniqueness in more detail in Section 3.
M. Brown and the author announced this theorem for oriented manifolds [4]. The proof has not appeared in print. Now that R. D. Edwards has shown that there exist non-combinatorial triangulations of $S^{n}$ for each $n \geqslant 5$ [5], there has been much work done recently on simplicial complexes which are manifolds. Accordingly, it seems appropriate at this time to place in the literature a proof of our early result. In the intervening years it has become possible to simplify drastically the proof, for example by eliminating several applications of the theory of stable manifolds [3].

## 2. Regular neighbourhoods of trees

Our notation will mostly follow that of our earlier work [8,9,10, 11]. A manifold will be considered to have no boundary. The join of $X$ and $Y$ will be written as $X * Y$. If $X$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic, we write $X \approx Y$. The double of a generalized manifold with boundary $X$ will be represented by $2 X$.

First of all we consider the case in which the graph is a tree, i.e., an acyclic graph. In a research announcement we outlined a proof of a result which is a precursor of Theorem A.

Theorem 2 of [9]. Let $T$ be a tree which is a subcomplex in the triangulated $n$-manifold $M$. Then, if $N$ is a regular neighbourhood of $T$ in $M, 2 N \approx S^{n}$.

It should be pointed out that for $n \geqslant 5$ this can now be deduced from Newman's
work [7]. Of course, our proof holds for all $n$ including dimension 4, about which little is known even now. Our construction will serve to simplify the exposition dealing with Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem 2. By the invariance of regular neighbourhoods [12; Theorem 3.8], we may use any convenient neighbourhood including second derived neighbourhoods.

Let $T=T_{0} \cup e$ where $T_{0}$ is a subtree, $e$ is a 1 -simplex $\left|v_{0} v_{1}\right|$ and $T_{0} \cap e=\left\{v_{0}\right\}$. We proceed by induction on the number of edges in $T$. Let $N_{0}$ be a regular neighbourhood of $T_{0}$ in $M$.

For the basis of our induction, we have the case in which $T_{0}=\left\{v_{0}\right\}$. Then the regular neighbourhood $N_{0}$ of $T_{0}$ is PL equivalent to $\mathrm{St}\left(M, v_{0}\right)$. By [8], $2 N_{0} \approx S^{n}$. Otherwise, in the induction step we assume that $2 N_{0} \approx S^{n}$. The proofs of both cases proceed in the same way from this point.

There exists $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Int} e=\left(v_{0} v_{1}\right)$ so that $N_{0} \cap e=\left|v_{0} x_{0}\right|$. Let $N_{2}$ be a regular neighbourhood of $\left|x_{0} v_{1}\right|$ in $M$. We may assume that $N_{0}$ and $N_{2}$ have been chosen so that $N_{0}{ }^{\prime}=N_{0} \cap \mathrm{St}(M, e)$ is a regular neighbourhood of $\left|v_{0} x_{0}\right|$ in $L *\left|v_{0} x_{0}\right|$, where $L=\operatorname{Lk}(M, e)$, and $\left(N_{0} \cup N_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{St}(M, e)$ is a regular neighbourhood of $e$ in $\operatorname{St}(M, e)$. This may be done using barrel neighbourhoods as in Lemma 9 of [9]. More abstractly this can be carried out by using a relative form of Corollary 3.30 in [12] applied successively to maximal simplexes of $\operatorname{St}(M, e)$.

From the join structure of $\operatorname{St}(M, e)=L^{*} e$ it may be concluded that $N_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is PL equivalent to $X \times\left|v_{0} x_{0}\right|$ and that $\left(N_{0} \cup N_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{St}(M, e)$ is PL equivalent to $X \times e$, where $X=C(L)$-the cone over $L$. If $N_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ and $N_{2}{ }^{\prime}=N_{2} \cap \mathrm{St}(M, e)$ have been nicely chosen as in Lemma 9 of [9] we may assume that in both mapping cylinder structures, if $p \in e$ then the fibre over $p$ corresponding to $X \times\{p\}$ is $(p * L) \cap$ ( $N_{0}{ }^{\prime} \cup N_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ ). Let $X_{0}$ denote the fibre over $x_{0}$ in $N_{0}{ }^{\prime}$.

From the choice of $N_{0}$ and $N_{2}$ it now follows that $N_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}\left(N_{2}-N_{0}\right)$ is a regular neighbourhood of $\left|x_{0} v_{1}\right|$ in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(M-N_{0}\right)$. Also $N_{0} \cup N_{1}=N$ is a regular neighbourhood of $T$ in $M$ and $N_{0} \cap N_{1}=X_{0}$.

Select a point $x_{0}{ }^{\prime} \in\left(v_{0} x_{0}\right)$, and let $X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ be the fibre over $x_{0}{ }^{\prime}$. Then $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is a flat suspension ( $n-1$ )-sphere in $2 N_{0} \approx S^{n}$. That is, $2 X_{0}^{\prime}$ has the following properties: if $S\left(2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ stands for the suspension of $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ then

$$
S\left(2 X_{0}^{\prime}\right) \approx S(2 X) \approx S(S(L)) \approx S^{1} * L \approx S^{n}
$$

by [8]; since $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is bicollared in $2 N_{0}$ it is flat [9, 11], that is, each closed domain in $2 N_{0}$ bounded by $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ is a topological cone over $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$.

Symmetrically we choose $x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in\left(x_{0} v_{1}\right)$ so that if $X_{1}^{\prime}$ is the fibre over $x_{1}^{\prime}$ in $N_{1}{ }^{\prime}=N_{1} \cap \operatorname{St}(M, e)$, it follows that $2 X_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is a flat suspension ( $n-1$ )-sphere in $2 N_{1} \approx 2 \operatorname{St}\left(M, v_{1}\right) \approx S^{n}$.

We may now represent the double of $N$ as $2 N=W_{0} \cup W_{1}$, so that $W_{0} \cap W_{1}=2 X_{0}$ and $W_{i}-2 X_{0} \approx 2 N_{i}-X_{0}$ for $i=0,1$. This is a canonic representation induced by $N=N_{0} \cup N_{1}$ because in $N_{i}$ we have $X_{0} \subseteq \partial N_{i}$ so that $X_{0}$ is injected into $2 N_{i}$, for $i=0,1$. In $N$, however, $X_{0} \cap \partial N=\partial X_{0}$, so that $2 X_{0}$ is injected into $2 N$. It may be observed that $W_{0}$ is homeomorphic to the closed domain in $2 N_{0}$ bounded by $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ which is exterior to $X_{0}$. The latter closed domain is homeomorphic to $C(2 X)$ as previously noted. This gives us the pair equivalence $\left(W_{0}, 2 X_{0}\right) \approx(C(2 X), 2 X)$. Similarly, $\left(W_{1}, 2 X_{0}\right) \approx(C(2 X), 2 X)$. Consequently, $2 N \approx 2 C\left(2 X_{0}\right) \approx S\left(2 X_{0}\right) \approx S^{n}$.

This completes the proof.

## 3. Attaching handles to manifolds

Let $M$ be a connected $n$-manifold. Suppose that $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are disjoint locally flat $n$-cells in $M$ which are annularly equivalent [3;p.19]. Set $M_{1}=M-\operatorname{Int}\left(D_{0} \cup D_{1}\right)$ and $A^{n}=S^{n-1} \times I$. If $h_{i}$ is a homeomorphism of $S^{n-1} \times i$ onto $\partial D_{i}, i=0,1$, then form the adjunction space from the disjoint union $M_{1}+A^{n}$ via $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$. The adjunction space $M_{1} \cup A^{n}$ is said to be formed by adding a handle to $M$. If $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ carry the same sense of orientation from the product orientation on $A^{n}$, then the handle is called orientable. If $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ carry opposite orientations, the handle is called nonorientable.

To discuss the conditions under which this operation is well defined and unique up to orientation, it is convenient to redescribe the attaching of handles using the method of Brown and Gluck [3; p. 46].

Consider the following propositions.
$\left(\mathrm{SHC}_{n}\right)$ : Every orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of $S^{n}$ is stable.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$ : Two disjoint flatly embedded ( $n-1$ )-spheres in $S^{n}$ bound an annulus.
$\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}\right)$ : Every orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of $S^{n}$ is isotopic to the identity.
$\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n}\right)$ : Every orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism $h$ of $S^{n}$ is weakly isotopic to the identity, that is, there is a homeomorphism $G$ of $S^{n} \times I$ onto itself such that for all $x \in S^{n}$ we have $G(x, 0)=(x, 0)$ and $G(x, 1)=(h(x), 1)$.

In [3; Theorem 9.3, p. 12] it was shown that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)+\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n-1}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\mathrm{SHC}_{n}\right)$. Further, $\left(\mathrm{SHC}_{n}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}\right)$ [3; p. 6]. Obviously $\left(I_{n}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n}\right)$.

From classical results it had been known that $\left(\mathrm{SHC}_{n}\right)$ is true for $n \leqslant 3$. Kirby proved that $\left(\mathrm{SHC}_{n}\right)$ is true for $n \geqslant 5$ [6].

Thus, we make the following observation.

1. $\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n}\right)$ is true for all $n$.

If $h$ is a self-homeomorphism of $S^{n-1}$, let $X_{h}$ be the identification space derived from $S^{n-1} \times I$ by identifying $(x, 0)$ with $(h(x), 1)$. Note that $X_{1} \approx S^{n-1} \times S^{1}$, which we denote by $T_{n}$. If $h$ is orientation-preserving, it may be easily proved that ( $\mathrm{WI}_{n-1}$ ) implies that $X_{h} \approx T_{n}$.

Now let $r: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ be the standard equatorial reflection. We call $X_{r}$ the $n$-dimensional Klein bottle and denote it by $K_{n}$. If $h$ is orientation-reversing, it may be established that $\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n-1}\right)$ implies that $h$ is weakly isotopic to $r$ and consequently that $X_{h} \approx K_{n}$.

If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are connected $n$-manifolds, $D_{i}$ is a locally flat $n$-cell in $M_{i}, i=1,2$, and $h: \partial D_{1} \approx \partial D_{2}$, then the connected sum $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ is the (possibly ambiguous) adjunction space formed from $\left(M_{1}-\operatorname{Int} D_{1}\right)+\left(M_{2}-\operatorname{Int} D_{2}\right)$ via identification by $h$.

A connected $n$-manifold $M$ is called homogeneous if for any two locally flat embeddings $f_{i}: D^{n} \rightarrow M, i=1,2$, there exists a self-homeomorphism $h$ of $M$ so that $h f_{1}=f_{2}$.

Now suppose that we have attached the handle $A^{n}$ to $M_{1}$ along $\partial D_{0}$ and $\partial D_{1}$, $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ being disjoint annularly equivalent locally flat $n$-cells in $M$. Let $D_{2}$ be a locally flat $n$-cell in $M$ which is annularly equivalent with each $D_{i}$, and such that Int $D_{2} \supseteq D_{0} \cup D_{1}$. It may now be seen that because $\left(\mathrm{WI}_{n-1}\right)$ is true, $M_{1} \cup A^{n}$ is
homeomorphic to $M \# T_{n}$ if the handle is orientable, and homeomorphic to $M \# K_{n}$ if the handle is non-orientable. The connected sum is derived from $M$ by deleting the interior of $D_{2}$.

In [3; pp. 55-56] it is demonstrated that $T_{n}$ has exactly two global equivalence classes of locally flat embeddings of $S^{n-1}$; those which separate or do not separate $T_{n}$.

This has the following consequences.
2. $T_{n}$ is homogeneous.
3. By [3; Theorem 11.1, p. 53], $M \# T_{n}$ is uniquely defined independently of the adjunction map. Furthermore, if $M$ is homogeneous, $M \# T_{n}$ is homogeneous as well.

By slight modifications of the proofs of the above results, it is possible to establish similar properties for $K_{n}$.

For example, to adapt Lemma 14.1 of $\left[3 ;\right.$ p. 56] to $K_{n}$, we proceed in the following way. The space $S^{n-1} \times R$ is the universal covering space of $K_{n}$ with projection map $p$ satisfying the relation, $p(x, t)=p(r(x), t+1)$. A generating covering transformation $\tau$ of $S^{n-1} \times R$ is defined by $\tau(x, t)=(r(x), t+1)$. Now, as in the cited lemma, let $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n}$ be a locally flat embedding which does not separate $K_{n}$ and let $f^{x}$ cover $f$. Then $f$ separates the ends of $S^{n-1} \times R$.

It may be verified that $\tilde{f}$ and $\tau \tilde{f} r$ have the same sense of orientation, have disjoint images and are stably equivalent in $S^{n-1} \times R$. This allows us to produce an embedding $G: S^{n-1} \times I \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times R$ which agrees with $\tilde{f}$ on $S^{n-1} \times 0$, and with $\tau \tilde{f} r$ on $S^{n-1} \times 1$. This gives us a homeomorphism $h: K_{n} \rightarrow K_{n}$ satisfying $h p=p G$. Accordingly, $h p(x, 0)=f(x)$; that is, $f$ is globally equivalent to the standard embedding $p \mid S^{n-1} \times 0$.

Lemma 14.2 of [3; p. 57] may be used without change of proof to show that if $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n}$ is a locally flat embedding which separates $K_{n}$, then $f$ may be extended over $D^{n}$.

In the proof that $T_{n}$ is homogeneous, only one additional change need be made to adapt the proof to $K_{n}$. In the last line of p. 57 of [3], a stable homeomorphism $h_{2}$ of $T_{n}$ is described. More precisely, $h_{2}$ may be required to be the identity on a nonempty open set. This construction carries over without change to $K_{n}$. Since $h_{2}$ is somewhere the identity, the appropriately chosen covering $\tilde{h}_{2}$ is stable on $S^{n-1} \times R$. The other details go through unchanged.

Hence, we make the following observations.
4. $K_{n}$ is homogeneous.
5. $M \# K_{n}$ is uniquely defined. If $M$ is homogeneous, then so is $M \# K_{n}$.
6. If $M$ is a connected sum of $S^{n}$ with $p$ copies of $T_{n}$ and $q$ copies of $K_{n}$, then $M$ is homogeneous and topologically unique depending only on the integers $p$ and $q$.

Applying (6) to spheres with handles, we see that such spaces are uniquely defined provided that at each stage the handle has been attached along annularly equivalent $n$-cells. This is automatic for $n \neq 4$ by $\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right)$. For $n=4$, in our construction in the next section the cells will always be subcomplexes of some common triangulation of the manifold. Using Lemma 1 from the next section or the proof of Theorem 11.2 from [3; p. 33], we are assured that here, too, the cells will be annularly equivalent.

The author acknowledges a helpful discussion with M. Brown concerning the material in this section.

## 4. A proof of Theorem $A$

Let $T$ be a spanning tree in $G$. Since the addition of handles to $S^{n}$ is interchangeable in order and can, in fact, be carried out in our case so that the handles are " disjoint", we may reduce the proof to consideration of the case in which we add in one additional edge.

So we assume that $G=T \cup e$, that $e=\left|v_{0} v_{1}\right|$ is a 1 -simplex of $M$ and that $T \cap e=\partial e=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$. Select $x_{0} \in\left(v_{0} v_{1}\right)$ and $x_{1} \in\left(x_{0} v_{1}\right)$. Choose $N_{0}$ to be a regular neighbourhood of $T$ in $M$ and $N_{1}$ to be a regular neighbourhood of $\left|x_{0} x_{1}\right|$ in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{St}(M, e)-N_{0}\right)$ so that $N=N_{0} \cup N_{1}$ is a regular neighbourhood of $G$ in $M$. Furthermore, we may suppose that if $L=\operatorname{Lk}(M, e)$ and $X=C(L), N_{1} \approx X \times\left|x_{0} x_{1}\right|$ and $N_{0} \cap N_{1}=X_{0} \cup X_{1}$ with $X_{i}$ corresponding to $X \times\left\{x_{i}\right\}, i=0,1$.

Next select $x_{0}{ }^{\prime} \in\left(v_{0} x_{0}\right)$ and $x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in\left(x_{1} v_{1}\right)$. Let $X_{i}{ }^{\prime}(\approx X)$ be the fibre over $x_{i}{ }^{\prime}, i=0,1$, of the mapping cylinder $N_{0} \cap \operatorname{St}(M, e)$. It follows that $2 X_{i}^{\prime}$ is flat in $2 N_{0} \approx S^{n}$. Again each closed domain in $N \cap S t(M, e)$ bounded by any distinct pair of $X_{0}{ }^{\prime}, X_{0}$, $X_{1}, X_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $X \times I$. By Lemma 5 of [9] we may select flat $(n-1)$-spheres $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ in $2 N_{0}$ so that $S_{0}$ separates $2 X_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ and $2 X_{0}$ in $2(N \cap(\operatorname{Int} \operatorname{St}(M, e)))$ and $S_{1}$ separates $2 X_{1}$ and $2 X_{1}^{\prime}$ in $2(N \cap(\operatorname{Int~St}(M, e)))$.

If $n \geqslant 5$, then $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ bound an annulus $A_{0}\left(\approx S^{n-1} \times I\right)$ in $2 N_{0}$ [6]. Similarly the closed domain $A_{1}$ bounded by $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ in $2(N \cap(\operatorname{Int} \operatorname{St}(M, e)))$ is also an annulus. Thus $2 N=A_{0} \cup A_{1}$ and $A_{0} \cap A_{1}=\partial A_{i}=S_{0} \cup S_{1}$. Therefore $2 N$ is an $n$-sphere with handle.

If $n=4$, then $L \approx S^{2}$ because $S^{1} * L \approx S^{4}$ [10; Section I]. In this case we have that $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ are 3-cells so that $2 X_{0}$ and $2 X_{1}$ are 3 -spheres. The closed domain $A_{1}$ in $2(N \cap(\operatorname{Int} S t(M, e)))$ bounded by $2 X_{0}$ and $2 X_{1}$ has already been observed to be homeomorphic to $2 X \times I$. Since $2 X \approx S^{3}, A_{1}$ is a bona fide annulus. We may subdivide $2 N_{0}$ by starring from $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ so that $2 X_{0}$ and $2 X_{1}$ become subcomplexes of this triangulation of $S^{4}$. By the construction, $2 X_{0}$ and $2 X_{1}$ are flat in $S^{4}$. We may then conclude from [3; p. 13] that these 3 -spheres bound an annulus $A_{0}$ in $2 N_{0}$. Alternatively, this step can be verified as a separate lemma given below.

In any event, for $n=4$ we again have a representation $2 N=A_{0} \cup A_{1}$ with $A_{0} \cap A_{1}=\partial A_{i}=2 X_{0} \cup 2 X_{1}$. Thus again $2 N$ is a 4 -sphere with handle.

If $M$ is orientable, then clearly so is $N$ and, therefore, $2 N$ as well. In this case, $2 N$ is an $n$-sphere with oriented handles. If $M$ is non-orientable, $2 N$ may or may not be orientable depending on how $G$ is situated in $M$.

The result we have used for dimension 4 may be stated as follows.
Lemma 1. Let $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ be subcomplexes of a triangulation of $S^{n}$. Suppose that $S_{0} \cap S_{1}=\varnothing$, and $S_{i}$ is a flat $(n-1)$-sphere, $i=0,1$. Then $A$, the closed domain in $S^{n}$ bounded by $S_{0} \cup S_{1}$, is an annulus.

Remark. Substantially the same proof works if we assume that $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ are homeomorphic to the same suspension ( $n-1$ )-sphere.

Proof. Let $K$ be the ( $n-2$ )-skeleton of $S^{n}$. The simplicial structure on $S^{n}$ restricts to a PL structure on $S^{n}-K$ (the union of the interiors of all $n$-dimensional and ( $n-1$ )dimensional simplexes).

Hence, we may run a PL flat arc $J$ in $A-K$ from a point $x_{0} \in S_{0}-K$ to a point
$x_{1} \in S_{1}-K$. The arc $J$ is chosen so that it is the core of a PL flat $n$-cell $C \subseteq A-K$, so that $C \cap S_{i}$ is an ( $n-1$ )-simplex $F_{i}$ interior to an ( $n-1$ )-simplex of $S_{i}, i=0,1$.

It follows easily that $S=\left(S_{0} \cup \partial C \cup S_{1}\right)-\operatorname{Int}\left(F_{0} \cup F_{1}\right)$ is a flat $(n-1)$-sphere and bounds an $n$-cell $D \subseteq A$ so that $\mathrm{Cl}(A-D)=C$. There is a homeomorphism $h$ of $\partial\left(I^{n-1} \times I\right)$ onto $\partial D$, carrying $I^{n-1} \times 0$ onto $S_{0}-\operatorname{Int} F_{0}$ and $I^{n-1} \times 1$ onto $S_{1}-\operatorname{Int} F_{1}$. Of course, $h$ can be extended over $I^{n-1} \times I$ onto $D$ giving a product structure under which $h\left(\partial I^{n-1} \times I\right)=\partial C-\operatorname{Int}\left(F_{0} \cup F_{1}\right)$. This structure may be extended over $C$ to give a homeomorphism $\left(A, S_{0}, S_{1}\right) \approx\left(S^{n-1} \times I, S^{n-1} \times\{0\}, S^{n-1} \times\{1\}\right)$.

## 5. Concluding observations

It is well known that a closed connected combinatorial $n$-manifold $M$ can be expressed as the union of $n+1 \mathrm{PL} n$-cells. In [4] an analogous result was described without assuming the triangulation of $M$ to be combinatorial; in this situation, of course, the cells are only topologically embedded.

Theorem B. Let $M$ be a connected triangulated n-manifold. Then $M$ is the union of $n+1$ open $n$-cells. If $M$ is compact, then each open cell may be chosen to be the interior of an $n$-cell.

This can be established by use of the following elementary lemmas.
Lemma 2. Let $\Gamma=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ be a discrete collection of cellular subsets of a connected n-manifold $M$. Then $\bigcup \Gamma$ lies in an open $n$-cell $U$. If $\Gamma$ is finite then $U$ may be chosen to be the interior of a closed n-cell.

The proof reduces to the fact that a point $x \in M-D$, where $D$ is an $n$-cell, may be engulfed by Int $D$. See Lemmas 1 and 2 of [2].

Lemma 3. Let $S$ be a simplex of a triangulation of the n-manifold $M$. Suppose that $A$ is a compact starlike subset of Int $S$. Then $A$ is cellular in $M$.

This involves a straight-forward application of Theorem 2 of [8].
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