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ABSTRACT
The inability to convey contextual knowledge has proven to be harmful to the development of trust in virtual teams. Awareness systems have been offered as a way to provide contextual information and promote trust. However, awareness systems allow both team members and supervisors the ability to monitor virtual team members. Monitoring is a form of control and the relationship between trust and control is not well understood. Prior literature indicates that control can both impact the development of trust and alter the effects of trust. In some cases, control helps, hurts, or has no effect on the development and influence of trust. This position paper argues that a clear understanding of control and trust is needed to fully comprehend the implications of awareness systems.
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INTRODUCTION
Lack of knowledge about dispersed virtual team members is a major problem for virtual teams [5, 15, 26]. Absent of any information about team members, individuals often assume the worst. This degrades or retards the development of trust in virtual teams [26]. Awareness system (systems that provide information about the activities of one’s team members) has been proposed as a solution [6]. These awareness systems allow team members and supervisors to monitor the actions of individual team members. However, monitoring is a form of control and the relationship between trust and control is not well understood.

In the social science literature there are three views of control and trust: 1) complements 2) substitutes and 3) detriments. In the first view, the use of awareness systems could reinforce trust, in the second view the use of awareness systems could make up for a lack of trust, and in the third view, awareness systems could retard the positive impacts of trust. Despite this, much of the literature has proposed the use of awareness systems as a solution to the lack of contextual knowledge by members of dispersed teams. When one considers the potential implications of monitoring in virtual teams it becomes important to understand the relationship between control and trust.

TYPES OF CONTROL
Monitoring can be done externally or internally. External monitoring originates from outside the team. External monitoring is usually done by an individual who is responsible for evaluating the team’s performance at some level. This normally includes managers, supervisors and project leaders [13]. External monitoring allows external evaluators to observe and direct the behavior of team members [2, 3]. External monitoring is used by organizations to align the behavior of individuals with the objectives of the organizations [3, 23]. The control exerted by external monitoring is imposed from the top down; teams have very little influence over the actions taken by managers or supervisors.

Internal monitoring can be viewed as social control imposed by the team. Internal monitoring is driven by team self-regulation where team members take on the role of monitoring and directing each other’s behavior [19]. Two things are required for internal monitoring to be effective: 1) There needs to be a high level of agreement among team members on what comprises appropriate and inappropriate behavior [22] and 2) The virtual team members must commit to enforcing these appropriate behaviors. The control exerted through internal monitoring is a decentralized form of control and provides teams with a higher degree of autonomy than control exerted through external monitoring.

TYPES OF TRUST
There are many definitions of trust used throughout the literature [10]. However, one constant theme in most definitions of trust includes some level of vulnerability and
This position paper proposes that research should be conducted to reconcile the three views on trust and control in virtual teams. In addition, this paper proposes that one key to understanding this relationship is drawing distinctions between the type of trust and control.
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