## WebTable 2. Details on the set up and differences among SWAT models

			Models					
Aspect of SWAT Modeling	Modeling Decision	Decision Options	HU	LT	OSU	TA MU	UM	
	Model Version	Revision 635-modified <sup>+</sup>		х	х	х	х	
		Revision 637-modified <sup>+</sup>	х					
	Tile Drain Routine	Old (SWAT_TDRAIN)				x		
		New (SWAT_HKdc)	x	x	x		x	
	Water Table Routine	Old	x	x	x	x	А	
Model/Sub-Model		New					x	
Algorithms	In-Stream Processes	On (OUAL2E)	x		x	x	x	
-		On modified <sup>‡</sup>		x				
	Soil Phosphorus Model Evapotranspiration Method	Old	x			x		
		New		x	x		x	
		Penman-Monteith	x		X	x	X	
		Hargreaves		x				
	Land Use Data	NLCD 2001		х				
		NLCD 2006					х	
		CDL 2007			х			
		CDL 2010-2011				х		
		CDL 2009-2012	х					
	Elevation Model	NED 10m	х					
		NED 30m		х	х	х	х	
Model Inputs	Soils Data	SSURGO	х		х	х	х	
_		STATSGO		х				
	Climate Inputs*	NOAA NCDC - precipitation and	х	х	Х	х	х	
		temperature						
		Simulated solar radiation, wind,	х	х	х	х	х	
		relative humidity						
	Point Source Inputs*	Measured data from EPA DMR;	х	х	х	х	х	
		aggregated to average monthly						
	HRU Thresholds	LU-Soil-Slope: 0/10/0					Х	
		LU-Soil-Slope: 200 ha/800 ha/800			х			
		ha						
Spatial Resolution		LU-Soil-Slope: 5/10/0		X		X		
	" C 11 .	LU-Soil-Slope: 50/25/0	X	202	252	201	250	
	# Subbasins	Calculation after model setup	265	203	252	391	358	
	Average HRU Area (ha)	Calculation after model setup	107	121	800	12	169	
Model Parameterization & Measured Data	Methods for Assessing	K Nach Systeliffe Efficiency (NSE)	X		X		X	
	Model Ferformance	Dercent bios (DPLAS)	X	X	X	X	X	
	Variables Model	Streemflow	v	X	X	X	X	
	Performance Was Assessed	Total Phosphorus	A v	A v	A v	A v	A v	
	For	Dissolved Peactive Phosphorus	A v	A v	A v	A v	A v	
		Total Nitrogen	A v	A V	Λ	A V	A V	
		Nitrate	A v	A V		A V	A V	
		Sediment	x	Λ	v	x	x	
	Additional Calibration Checks	Cron Yields	x	x	x	x	x	
		Tile Flow	x	x	x	x	x	
		Field Losses	A	x	A	A		
		Nutrient Loss via Tile Drains		x	x	x	x	
	Calibration Time Period	2001-2005					X	
		2000-2009			x			
		1998-2010		х				
		2009-2012	x					
		1990-1999				Х		

	1	-				T	
	Spatial Extent of Calibration	At Waterville only	х		Х	Х	X
		At Waterville, Blanchard and		х			
		Tiffin					
	Method to Fill in Missing	LOADEST for everything except				х	
	Data	DRP; Obenour et al. (2014)					
		method for DRP					
		Model is calibrated only to	х	х	Х		Х
		observed data; missing data not					
		included in calibration					
	Fertilizer Applications	Estimated from county fertilizer					Х
		sales data from 2002					
		Estimated based on maintenance	х		Х		
		application from Tri-State					
		Standards					
		Aggregated inputs from USDA-		х			
		ARS NHDPlus SWAT model					
		(Daggupati et al. 2015)					
		Estimated from Ag Census yield				х	
		and Fertilizer Use data 1990-2010					
	Manure Applications	Estimated from Ag Census					х
		Aggregated inputs from USDA-		х	1	1	
		ARS NHDPlus SWAT model					
		(Daggupati et al. 2015)					
		Not included	х		X	х	
	Crop Rotations	CS	x	х	х	X	x
	(C = Corn,	CSS	х		х		x
	S = Soybean,	CSW	х		x	x	
	W = Winter Wheat,	CWS		x			
Land	H = Hay)	CSWCSSW					x
Management Operations		CSWH			x		
		SS	x	x	x	x	
			x	x	x	x	
	Tillage	Estimated from CTIC	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	v
	Thiage	Estimated from USDA/OSU			v		л
		Estimated from OSDA/OSU			А		
		Extension consultation	v				
		Planted	А				
		Estimated based on modified		v		v	
		DUSLE2		х		Х	
	Tile Drainage	All agricultural lands with					v
		somewhat poorly poorly or yerry					A
		poorly drained soils					
		C S W HPLL's with poorly or yerry			v		
		poorly drained soils			A		
		Pow crop or hay lands with		v			
		hydrologic group C or D soils		х			
		Agricultural lands with loss then on	v		1	ł	}
		agual to 3% slope	х				
		A gricultural lands with \$10% along					
1		Agricultural failus with <1% slope	1	1	1	Х	1

Notes: CDL (Cropland Data Layer); CTIC (Conservation Technology Information Center); DRP (dissolved reactive phosphorus); EPA DMR (US Environmental Protection Agency Discharge Monitoring Report); HRU (hydrologic response unit); HU (Heidelberg University); LT (LimnoTech); LU (land use); NED (National Elevation Dataset); NLCD (National Land Cover Dataset); NOAA NCDC (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Climatic Data Center); OSU (Ohio State University); SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool); SSURGO (Soil Survey Geographic database); STATSGO (State Soil Geographic database); TAMU (Texas A&M University); UM (University of Michigan); USDA ARS (US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service).

\*Data homogenized for this project.

†SWAT versions were modified to fix a bug where soluble P was not properly moving through subsurface drains.

‡watqual3 routine is an adaption LimnoTech developed based on White et al. (2014).

## WebReferences

- Daggupati P, Yen H, White MJ, *et al.* 2015. Impact of model development decisions on hydrological processes and streamflow simulations in West Lake Erie basin. *Hydrol Process* **29**: 5307–20.
- Obenour D, Gronewold A, Stow CA, *et al.* 2014. Using a Bayesian hierarchical model to improve Lake Erie cyanobacteria bloom forecasts. *Water Resour Res* **50**: 7847–60.
- White MJ, Storm DE, Mittelstet A, *et al.* 2014. Development and testing of an In-Stream Phosphorus Cycling Model for the Soil and Water Assessment Tool. *Environ Qual* **43**: 215–23.