deKlerk can hardly be wished away as some obscure writer. One becomes acutely conscious of these omissions and lack of sensitivity when one compares February's study with Stephen Grey's *History of Southern Africa Literature*, which deals much with the same South African obsessions.

I always get suspicious when the author dogmatically argues that only "coloureds" can interpret and meaningfully write about the "coloured" experience, especially when the author goes further and asserts that some "coloured" authors have duped themselves (especially Adam Small) while February alone, and some of his ideological cronies, possess a superior "consciousness." Arguments or insinuations like this should be backed up with proper evidence. In this study they are not.

In sum, this attempt to deal with the "coloured" stereotype in South Africa, while containing many interesting data, lacks theoretical and intellectual rigor. The author's long isolation from events in South Africa has also regrettably resulted in some stultification and ignorance of some exciting recent developments and epitomized best perhaps by some of the work published in Ravan Press's *Staffrider* Series.


MAXWELL OWUSU
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Comaroff (an anthropologist) and Roberts (a lawyer) focus on dispute processes and the ideas that Tswana—especially the chiefdoms of the Kgatl and Barolong boo Ratshidi in which they carried out extensive field research—have about them. Their major concern is to understand "the logic of these [dispute] processes and, in particular, their location within the socio-cultural orders in which they occur" (p. 3). This they do primarily through the analysis of several cases brought to the chief's kgotla, the records of which were translated verbatim by an educated Motswana.

The major comparative theoretical and substantive conclusions the authors draw from their Tswana field data are likely to provoke heated debate among legal anthropologists. The history of legal anthropology—in fact, anthropology in general, they argue—is marked by two broad, mutually exclusive "tendencies," the rule-centered and the processual paradigms, which find analogues in the "normative" versus the "interpretative," "formal" versus "substantivist" orientations in general sociology and economic anthropology, respectively.

The rule-centered paradigm is associated with Radcliffe-Brown and his intellectual descendants—notably Gluckman, Fallers, Pospisil, and Hamnett—who are criticized a bit unfairly for arguing that valid cross-cultural comparison may be possible using the linguistic, conceptual, and institutional categories of Anglo-American law for descriptive, analytical, and perhaps explanatory purposes. The processual paradigm that the authors extol is associated with the writings of Malinowski and his students, particularly Firth and Mair.

The unique advantages of the processual approach to the study of dispute processes include the avoidance of factual distortions common with the imposition on non-Western data categories of Western jurisprudence, which are the result of seeing indigenous rules a priori as "laws" that "have the capacity to determine the outcome of disputes in a straightforward fashion" (p. 14). Again, the processual approach explicitly recognizes the politics of "law," the importance of manipulative strategies, and, as Joan Vincent has pointed out, is capable of handling a whole range of theoretical frameworks, as Comaroff and Roberts's Tswana study clearly demonstrates.

In the introduction and in chapter 2 the authors discuss imaginatively the "essential features" of the sociocultural order of the two Tswana chiefdoms, identifying a set of rules—primary, secondary (not to be confused with the Hartian ones), and tertiary—that provide the framework for strategic negotiations and for the dialectical resolution of the paradox inherent in Tswana social life: "the cultural logic" of ascription (genealogical reckoning) and the ideology of achievement (utilitarian individualism). In chapter 3, perhaps the most analytically provocative one, Comaroff and Roberts examine on the basis of relevant cases the Mekgwa le melao ya Setswana, the body of norms that the Tswana see as ordering their daily lives and providing the language of dispute settlement. To argue against Gluckman, Fallers, and others who hold a contrary view, the authors try to show, less persuasively, that mkgwa (traditional usages) and melao ("laws" enacted by a chief in his kgotla) constitute an ambiguous, undifferentiated repertoire of norms.

That the authors' informants—probably "Westernized" migrant workers—never spontaneously made the distinction between melao and mkgwa or that when made the "categories are only tentatively differentiated, or have no pragmatic significance" (p. 71), may simply reflect the proverbial difficulties faced by the contemporary fieldworker in Africa and elsewhere, who may have to rely on educated or acculturated native informants for the collection of field data on indigenous thought and culture in rapidly modernizing, culturally and racially pluralistic, ex-colonial societies.

Chapter 4 takes up the procedural and institutional characteristics of Tswana dispute processes, again using a variety of cases to explain the systematic variations in the relationships between their form and content. In chapter 5 they analyze skillfully a substantive example of such dispute processes—those arising out of marriage.
and negotiation of conjugal status. Chapter 6 follows with an interesting and original discussion of the case of devolution of property (notably cattle) and the definition of kinship relationships. They show that Tswana property devolution is linked primarily to the developmental cycle of the family rather than to death (p. 176 ff.).

In chapter 7, which deals with "rules and outcomes," the authors explicate more fully their arguments about Tswana normative repertoire and the ways by which they are manipulated by the Tswana in the course of disputes in accordance with their calculation of relative advantages and support base, and conclude by pointing out that a "narrowly defined, judicially oriented anthropology of law is viable at best in a relatively few, highly centralized systems" (p. 243). They accordingly insist that the argument for "perpetuating a discrete anthropology of law, if this implies the continued refication of 'the legal,' is not compelling" (p. 249). The analysis of dispute offers, as everyone agrees, important theoretical insights into other cultures.

This is certainly a brilliant book. Nevertheless, contrary to the authors' basic point, the viability of the anthropology of law may depend on how well we are able to integrate, for analytical and explanatory purposes, the contributions of both the rule-centered and processual paradigms in our effort to understand the universal historical processes of the development backwards and forwards of social and legal norms and their correlative sanctions in different cultures. In the sphere of "law," traditional methods of dispute settlement everywhere in Africa are combined with procedures that, despite their foundation on existing indigenous institutions, are nevertheless transformed as a result of the introduction of a Westernized system of courts. It is noteworthy that in response to the request of the colonial administration, Schapera (1938) compiled his influential classic A Handbook of Tswana Law and Custom, and that, more recently, Roberts acted as advisor on Customary Law to the Botswana government.

Again, everywhere in Africa the problem of legal pluralism (associated with Western imperialism and socioeconomic and political changes), of integration of courts, of unification, codification, and harmonization of rules of obligation, in short, the problem of law reform, confounds and complicates the ascertainment of truly indigenous ideas about law and other norms.

This initial survey is followed by two chapters that describe in detail the spirit-possession beliefs and practices of the Akans, and the role of the priest-healer, a term the author uses to cover the full range of traditional Akan healers. He discusses the role of these people in the context of their cult or shrine in which they operate. These first three chapters carry the main body of ethnographic information. The succeeding four chapters are written from a more polemical point of view. Appiah-Kubi is very much concerned with the interaction of traditional and Western technological medicine. This theme has received previous treatment in Ghana by Twumasi (1975), Medical Systems in Ghana, but it is presented in more drastic terms in the present book. Appiah-Kubi advocates more conscious integration of the two health systems and more deliberate effort on the part of Western scientific medicine to accommodate to the holistic viewpoint of traditional peoples.

It is perhaps for this reason that a chapter is devoted to the role of faith healing and the emergence of indigenous African Christian churches in Ghana. This is followed by a chapter on the relationship between the church and medical systems. In these chapters the author's own religious background is made explicit as he turns to social advocacy absent in more conservative treatises.