| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Received Date : 14-Oct-2016 |
| 5 | Revised Date - : 20-Dec-2016 |
| J | Nevised Date . 20 Dec-2010 |
| 6 | Accepted Date : 23-Jan-2017 |
| 7 | Article type : Standard Paper |
| _ | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Handling Editor: Nathan Swenson |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Ecological interactions and coexistence are predicted by gene expression similarity in freshwater |
| 13 | green algae |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Anita Narwani ^a *, Bastian Bentlage ^{b, c} , Markos A. Alexandrou ^d , Keith J. Fritschie ^e , Charles |
| 16 | Delwiche ^{bf} , Todd H. Oakley ^g , and Bradley J. Cardinale ^h |
| 17 | |
| 18 | ^a LA-H60.1 Überlandstrasse 133, Department of Aquatic Ecology, Eawag (Swiss Federal |
| 19 | Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology), 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland |
| 20 | * <u>Correspondence author</u> |
| 21 | email: <u>anita.narwani@eawag.ch</u> |
| 22 | phone: +41 (0) 79 466 1257 |
| 23 | fax: +41 (0) 58 765 5802 |
| 24 | ^o CMNS-Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, 2107 Bioscience Research Building, University |
| 25 | of Maryland, College Park, MD 20/42-4407, USA |
| 26 | email: <u>bastian.bentlage@gmail.com</u> |
| 27 | ³ 303 University Drive, Marine Laboratory, University of Guam, Mangilao, GU 96923, USA |
| | This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u> . Please cite this article as <u>doi</u> : |

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

10.1111/1365-2745.12759

| 28 | ^d W | Vildlands Conservation Science, LLC P.O. Box 1846, Lompoc, CA 93438 |
|----|-----------------|--|
| 29 | | email: markosalexandrou@me.com |
| 30 | ^e 78 | ³ College Street, Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755 |
| 31 | | USA |
| 32 | | email: keith.j.fritschie.gr@dartmouth.edu |
| 33 | ^f M | aryland Agricultural Experiment Station, AGNR, University of Maryland, College Park, MD |
| 34 | | 20742, USA |
| 35 | | email: delwiche@umd.edu |
| 36 | ^g 4 | 101 Life Sciences Building, UCEN Road, Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine |
| 37 | | Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA |
| 38 | | email: todd.oakley@lifesci.ucsb.edu |
| 39 | ^h 4 | 40 Church Street, School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan, |
| 40 | | Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1041, USA |
| 41 | | email: bradcard@umich.edu |
| 42 | | |
| 43 | Ke | y words: coexistence, competition, facilitation, gene expression, molecular phenotype, |
| 44 | ove | eryielding, phylogenetic distance, species interactions, transcriptomics |
| 45 | Su | mmary |
| 46 | 1) | Phenotypic variation controls the species interactions which determine whether or not species |
| 47 | | coexist. Long-standing hypotheses in ecology and evolution posit that phenotypic |
| 48 | | differentiation enables coexistence by increasing the size of niche differentiation. This |
| 49 | | hypothesis has only been tested using macroscopic traits to date, but niche differentiation, |
| 50 | | particularly of microscopic organisms, also occurs at the molecular and metabolic level. |
| 51 | 2) | We examined how phenotypic variation that arises at the level of gene expression over |
| 52 | | evolutionary time affects phytoplankton species interactions and coexistence. |
| 53 | 3) | We predicted that similarity in gene expression among species would decline with |
| 54 | | phylogenetic distance, and that reduced similarity in gene expression would weaken |
| 55 | | competition, increase facilitation, and promote coexistence. |
| 56 | 4) | To test this, we grew eight species of freshwater green algae in monocultures and bicultures |
| 57 | | for 46 days in a lab microcosm experiment. We quantified the strength of species interactions |
| 58 | | by: 1) fitting Lotka-Volterra models to time-series densities and estimating interaction |

59 coefficients, and 2) calculating relative densities that compare species' steady-state densities in biculture to those in monoculture. We used Illumina high throughput sequencing to 60 quantify the expression of 1,253 families of homologous genes, including a set of 17 61 candidate genes that we hypothesized *a priori* to be involved in competition or facilitation. 62 5) We found that closely related species had greater similarity in gene expression than did 63 distantly related species, but as gene expression became more similar, species experienced 64 weaker competition or greater facilitation, and were more likely to coexist. We identified 65 gene functional categories that were uniquely differentially regulated in association with 66 particular species interaction types. 67

6) *Synthesis* - Contrary to common thinking in ecology and evolution, similarity in gene
 expression, and not differentiation, was associated with weaker competition, facilitation and
 coexistence.

71 <u>Introduction</u>

72 Understanding biodiversity and species coexistence continues to be a central goal in 73 community ecology. Theories of biodiversity state that niche differentiation among species 74 enables coexistence by weakening competitive interactions that would otherwise lead to competitive exclusion (Chesson, 2000, MacArthur and Levins, 1967, Volterra, 1928, Lotka, 75 76 1920, Lotka, 1925). Niche differentiation and the strength of interactions among species are determined by phenotypic differentiation among species at lower levels of biological 77 78 organization. Understanding biodiversity and coexistence therefore requires investigations of the 79 evolution of the phenotypic basis of niche differentiation and the strengths of species interactions. 80

Long held views in biology predict that recently diverged species will be more 81 82 phenotypically similar to one another ('phylogenetic niche conservatism'), will share similar resource requirements and, in turn, will compete more strongly and be less likely to coexist than 83 species that diverged longer ago (Webb et al., 2002, Ackerly, 2003, Cooper et al., 2010, Wiens et 84 al., 2010, Darwin, 1859). The phenotypic traits considered to date are generally observed at the 85 organismal level and have been chosen because they are thought to mediate species interactions, 86 87 including competition for resources (e.g. beak shape (Lamichhaney et al., 2015), body shape (Ingram, 2015, Wanek and Sturmbauer, 2015), or body size (Ashton, 2004, Blomberg et al., 88 89 2003)). Species also express significant phenotypic variation at the molecular and metabolic

level in response to biotic and abiotic environmental stimuli (Tirosh et al., 2006, Grishkevich and
Yanai, 2013). Using high throughput sequencing technology, it is now possible to determine how
species' phenotypes are differentiated at the level of gene expression, and to determine how gene
expression profiles mediate and respond to the presence of other interacting species (Schulze et
al., 2016), and in turn influence coexistence.

To date, investigations of the molecular basis of species interactions at the level of gene 95 expression have largely focused on host-pathogen, -parasite or -symbiont interactions (Schulze et 96 al., 2016), and to a lesser extent, on facilitative interactions (e.g. Amin et al., 2015). They have 97 uncovered significant transcriptomic changes (i.e. gene expression changes measured across 98 99 numerous genes) occurring for each species during the interactions (Schulze et al., 2016). These transcriptomic changes can result in important functional changes within the organism, including 100 for example, the production of lysosomes used in cell lysis and macromolecular digestion, 101 changes in the cell cycle or the rate of ribosome production (Wohlrab et al., 2016, Schulze et al., 102 2016), and the detoxification of secondary metabolites (Arfi et al., 2013). Such changes, though 103 only observable at the molecular level, may be of major importance in determining the strength, 104 105 type and outcome of species interactions (Schulze et al., 2016).

In this study, we systematically investigated how the similarity in gene expression among 106 eight species of freshwater green algae mediates the type, strength and outcome of their 107 interspecific interactions. Interactions among algae are known to be both competitive and 108 109 facilitative (Venail et al., 2014, Fritschie et al., 2014). Algae experience competition for a limited number of inorganic resources and light (Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008, Hutchinson, 1961), 110 111 and recent transcriptomic studies of marine phytoplankton have shown complex transcriptomic responses to resource limitation (hundreds of differentially regulated genes) (Dyhrman et al., 112 113 2012, Frischkorn et al., 2014). A recent study also showed that two species of co-occurring marine diatoms had functionally unique sets of differentially-regulated genes in response to 114 nitrogen and phosphorus availability, suggesting that they may partition their niches at the 115 metabolic level, enabling coexistence (Alexander et al., 2015). By contrast, relatively little is 116 known about the mechanistic basis of facilitative interactions in algae, although some green 117 118 algae receive a yield benefit from mixotrophic carbon consumption (Tanoi et al., 2011, Gautam et al., 2013), and some are auxotrophic for particular vitamins (Croft et al., 2006). This suggests 119 that cross-feeding of metabolites or waste products from "leaky" interspecific neighbors may 120

lead to growth rate and yield benefits in the presence of other species. As a result, we
hypothesized that species with lower similarity in gene expression across their transcriptomes
would compete less strongly and be more likely to coexist due to greater ecological niche
differentiation at the molecular and metabolic level (Levy and Borenstein, 2012, Levy and
Borenstein, 2013, Lindemann et al., 2016). We also expected that species with lower similarity
in gene expression may be more likely to display facilitative interactions and coexistence due to
a greater possibility of metabolic complementarity and cross-feeding (Lindemann et al., 2016).

First, we aimed to test whether patterns of gene expression among species tend to diverge 128 over evolutionary time, as represented by relatedness among species on a phylogenetic tree. 129 While relatively little is known about how transcriptomes evolve as species diverge along a 130 phylogeny, some recent investigations lend support to neutral models of evolution (Khaitovich et 131 al., 2005, Khaitovich et al., 2006, Li et al., 2010, Uebbing et al., 2016), which predict that as 132 species become more distantly related, species similarity in gene expression should decline 133 134 monotonically (see also Brawand et al., 2011, Yang and Wang, 2013). However, other patterns including gene expression conservatism (Liao and Zhang, 2006) and rapid divergence (Whittle et 135 136 al., 2014) are also observed, and there is currently no general consensus as to how sequence and expression divergence are related. Second, we aimed to determine whether gene expression 137 138 similarity influences the type (competition versus facilitation) and strength of species interaction and the likelihood of coexistence among species pairs. Specifically, we tested three predictions: 139 140 1) More distantly related species of freshwater green algae have more distinct patterns of gene expression across their transcriptomes than do closely related species, 2) Species with more 141 142 distinct patterns of gene expression experience weaker competitive interactions and are more likely to display facilitation, and 3) because of weaker competition and more likely facilitation, 143 144 species with lower gene expression similarity are more likely to coexist with one another. To test these predictions, we used a microcosm experiment in which we grew each of eight species of 145 freshwater algae either in monoculture or biculture, and we measured species interactions and 146 gene expression using high throughput Illumina RNA sequencing. We found that while gene 147 expression similarity did diverge over evolutionary time, competition grew weaker, and 148 149 facilitation and coexistence more likely when gene expression patterns among species were more similar. 150

151 <u>Methods</u>

152 Species selection and phylogeny

We selected eight species of freshwater green algae: Chlorella sorokiniana, Closteriopsis 153 acicularis, Cosmarium turpinii, Pandorina charkowiensis, Scenedesmus acuminatus, 154 Selenastrum capricornotum, Staurastrum punctulatum and Tetraedron minimum (Supporting 155 Information Table S1). Cultures were obtained from the University of Texas at Austin or the 156 157 University of Göttingen (Germany). We chose these algae because they are widespread and abundant in lakes across the United States (Naughton et al., 2015). It was also important that the 158 species were able to grow under laboratory conditions and be morphologically distinguished 159 under the microscope. This subset of species also provided a relatively even cross-section of 160 species from a phylogeny of green algae, and therefore also a good range of phylogenetic 161 distances (Alexandrou et al., 2015). Phylogenetic distance is defined here as the sum of all 162 163 branch lengths between a group of species on a phylogeny (Faith, 1992, Cavender-Bares et al., 2009, Cadotte et al., 2010), and we estimated the phylogenetic distances for this study based on 164 165 the molecular phylogeny published by Alexandrou et al. (2015).

166

167 Experimental design and sampling

We prepared 108 1L media bottles filled with 1L of modified COMBO growth medium 168 169 (enriched with 0.1 mM KCl and 30 µM NH₄Cl final concentrations)(Kilham et al., 1998). We inoculated bottles with either one of the 8 monocultures or one of the 28 possible bicultures at a 170 total initial density of 200 cells·mL⁻¹. Inoculations were conducted in a substitutive design such 171 that each species in a given biculture was inoculated at 100 cells·mL⁻¹. All species compositions 172 173 were replicated in triplicate. Bottles were then placed on Wheaton® (349000-A) roller racks at 20° C under a 16:8 hour light:dark cycle at a light intensity of ca. 81 µEinstein. We exchanged 174 10% (100 mL) of the culture volume every other day with sterile COMBO starting 4 days after 175 the initial inoculation. We monitored community-level biomass over time by measuring the 176 177 fluorescence of chlorophyll-a every second day on a well-plate reader (Fluorometer, Synergy H1 Hybrid Reader, Biotek). We used the community-level biomass to gauge when the majority of 178 communities had achieved steady-state biomass, as indicated by no further increase in 179 180 chlorophyll-a fluorescence. We continued exchanges and sampling for one more week after steady state had been achieved for the majority of communities, before terminating the 181 182 experiment at 46 days after inoculation (see Appendices A and B). Forty-eight days represents

between 14 and 36 generations of algal growth for the species used here. Samples for the
identification and counting of algae were taken from each bottle every other day until day 30,
and then every 4 days until day 46. The samples were preserved by pipetting 250 uL of sugared,
buffered Formalin into 1 mL of algae (final concentration of 2%) and densities of algal natural

units (cells or colonies) were counted on a FlowCamTM (Fluid Imaging Technologies Inc.).

187 188

189 *Transcriptomics*

On day 46, we took samples from each bottle for mRNA extraction and quantification. 190 We centrifuged between 100 mL and 900 mL of algal culture to obtain a pellet of algal biomass 191 for mRNA extraction. The supernatant was decanted and mRNA was extracted from the algal 192 pellet using the Ambion RNAqueous extraction kit (Life Technologies) following the 193 194 manufacturer's protocol. RNA was polyA-selected and the libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit, v2. The RNA was sequenced at the Beijing 195 Genome Institute (BGI; Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) on an Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencer 196 generating 91 basepair (bp) paired-end reads. For detailed descriptions of the methods used for 197 198 the transcriptome assemblies, read mapping, identification of open reading frames, candidate 199 gene annotations and gene family annotations, see the 'Transcriptomics' section of the Supporting Information. 200

201

202 Estimates of species interactions

We used two approaches to estimate the strength of interactions between species in biculture. First, we used the densities of algae at the final time point of the experiment to compare steady state densities of each species in biculture to those in monoculture:

206

 $RDi = Di_{biculture} / Di_{monoculture}$

[1]

where RDi is the relative density of species i, $Di_{biculture}$ is the cell density of species i in biculture (cells/mL), and $Di_{monoculture}$ is the density of species i in monoculture. Due to the substitutive design of our experiment, the expected relative density for each species in biculture was 0.5, assuming that each species has the same impact on an individual of another species as it has on itself. The relative density total of the biculture is then the sum of each species' individual relative density:

213 $RDT = \sum RDi$

[2]

The expected RDT, given that species have the same impact on others as they do on themselves,

is 1. An RDT < 1 indicates competition, and that interspecific interactions are stronger than

intraspecific interactions for at least one species. An RDT > 1 indicates that interspecific

competition is weaker than intraspecific competition for at least one species, which occurs when

218 species display niche partitioning or facilitation.

Second, we estimated species interactions by fitting Lotka-Volterra competition models to the time-series of each bottle to estimate interaction coefficients. First, we estimated each species' maximum growth rate (r) and carrying capacity (K) by fitting the time-series cell density counts from the 3 replicate monocultures to a logistic growth equation:

 $dI / dt = I \cdot r_i \cdot (K_i - I) / K_i$ [3]

where I is the density of species i in natural units, r_i is the maximum intrinsic growth rate of the
population of species i, and K_i is the carrying capacity, or the density of species i at steady state.
We then used the estimates of r and K for each species grown in monoculture to populate
parameters of the Lotka-Volterra model for bicultures:

228
$$dI/dt = I \cdot r_i \cdot ((K_i - I - \alpha_{ii} \cdot J) / K$$

$$uI/ut = I \cdot I_i \cdot ((K_i - I - u_{ij} \cdot J) / K_i)$$
[4]

$$dJ/dt = J \cdot r_j \cdot ((K_j - J - \alpha_{ji} \cdot I) / K_j)$$
[5]

E 4 1

In this model, α_{ij} and α_{ji} are the interaction coefficients, which represent the per capita impact of species j (i) on an individual of species i (j). For further details of the model-fitting procedures and parameter estimates, as well as examples of the model fits (Fig. S2), see the 'Estimates of species interactions' section of the Supporting Information.

234

235 Statistical analysis

In order to estimate gene expression similarity between pairs of species (hereafter 236 237 abbreviated 'GES') we estimated Spearman rank correlations (ρ) between the TPM values (transcripts per kilobase million –i.e. read counts normalized for read length (Wagner et al., 238 239 2012)) for the two species in each biculture bottle across all commonly-expressed genes with PANTHER IDs. We also estimated the average of this correlation among all 9 pairwise 240 combinations of the 3 replicate monocultures of both species in a given biculture. In calculating 241 242 the GES, we considered only genes that were expressed by all species in monoculture (i.e. commonly-expressed genes) so that it would be possible to estimate the level of gene expression 243 244 similarity among species pairs; it is impossible to compare levels of gene expression for genes

not occurring in all species. Lastly, we estimated the log fold change (logFC) of each PANTHER
ID in biculture relative to monoculture for each species, and estimated the correlation
coefficients of these fold changes for the two species in each biculture bottle. This is a measure

of how similarly two species modified their gene expression in biculture relative to monoculture.

We then tested whether measures of GES were correlated with variation in phylogenetic 249 distance (PD), species interactions strength (interaction coefficients and RD_is), and coexistence. 250 We estimated long-term coexistence by simulating the Lotka-Volterra model forward for 100 251 days (50 model discrete-time steps) and determining whether both species had positive densities 252 at the end of the model simulations (coexistence =1, competitive exclusion = 0). We tested 253 254 whether gene expression correlations were a significant predictor of the likelihood of coexistence and positive species interactions (i.e. negative interaction coefficient = 1, positive interaction 255 coefficient = 0) using logistic regression. 256

Many expressed genes may have little to do with ecological niche differentiation, 257 competitive abilities or facilitation, and may simply be 'house-keeping' genes. As a result, we 258 specifically aimed to identify a number of candidate genes that we hypothesized *a priori* to be 259 260 involved in competitive or facilitative interactions in algae. These included genes related to the ability of algae to compete for nutrients, light, and trace elements, as well as genes that may be 261 262 related to facilitative interactions via the cross-feeding of sugars and vitamins. While it is generally thought that green algae are solely autotrophic, some green algae have been observed 263 264 to benefit from mixotrophic carbon metabolism (Tanoi et al., 2011, Gautam et al., 2013, Li et al., 2014) and/or vitamin supplementation (Croft et al., 2006, Giovannoni, 2012). These genes 265 266 included: 1) Carbonic anhydrase, 2) Iron permease, 3) Light harvesting complexes A & B (LHCAB), 4) Glutamate semialdehyde transferase (GSA), 5) Nitrate reductase, 6) Nitrate 267 268 transporter, 7) Nitrite reductase, 8) Nitrite transporter, 9) Nitrogen assimilation regulatory protein (NARP), 10) Nitrogen regulatory protein (NRP), and 11) Phosphate transporter. Gene families 269 270 related to sugar metabolism included: 12) Glucose, 13) Mannose, and 14) Succinate. Gene families related to vitamin production or metabolism included: 15) Biotin B7, 16) Cobalamin 271 272 B12, and 17) Thiamine B1. When multiple gene sequences were identified within a given gene 273 family, TPM values within the gene family were summed across all genes (see 'Candidate gene annotation' in the Supporting Information). We then tested whether expression levels of 17 gene 274 families were able to predict relative density across all species and species combinations using 275

276 Mantel tests, and across pairwise combinations for a particular focal species using Spearman277 Rank correlations.

278 We were also interested in determining whether species that experienced facilitation or 279 overyielding were modulating the expression of genes with different gene functions than those species that experienced competition or undervielding. In order to better understand the putative 280 functions of the gene families that were significantly up- or downregulated under different 281 ecological scenarios, we performed a differential expression analysis and mapped the identified 282 genes back to Gene Ontology (GO) terms (for details, see 'Functional annotations of 283 differentially regulated genes' in the Supporting Information). We split the dataset into two, non-284 mutually exclusive sets of binary ecological categories: first among species that displayed 285 overyielding (RYi > 0.5) versus underyielding (RYi < 0.5), and separately among species that 286 experienced competition ($\alpha_{ii} > 0$) versus facilitation ($\alpha_{ii} < 0$). In total, we created 8 comparisons 287 for each GO category: 2 types of interaction coefficients + 2 types of relative density outcomes, 288 each crossed by 2 types of gene modulation (upregulated or downregulated). Using these 289 comparisons, we aimed to identify the functional differences among differentially regulated 290 291 genes in our dataset which were uniquely associated with different population-level responses to growth in biculture (i.e. overyielding versus underyielding, or competition versus facilitation). 292 Results 293

Results of our study are consistent with the prediction that more distantly related species 294 295 are more divergent in their patterns of gene expression. Gene expression was positively correlated for all species pairs, often quite strongly (note that all GES measures were > 0, Fig. 1). 296 297 However, the magnitude of these positive correlations tended to decline as phylogenetic distance among species pairs increased (P1, Fig. 1a, $\rho = -0.27$, P = 0.02). Although we were primarily 298 299 interested in gene expression among species when they were interacting, species can also differ in gene expression intrinsically (i.e. in monoculture), or may differ in how they up- or 300 301 downregulate gene expression in biculture relative to monoculture (estimated as the log fold change in TPM, 'logFC'). Therefore, we also tested how phylogenetic distance was related to the 302 303 GES of species grown in monoculture and to the GES of logFC. We observed the same general 304 trend between phylogenetic distance and GES, regardless of whether we looked at the GES across monocultures of species pairs (Fig. 1b, $\rho = -0.35$, P = 0.07), the GES of the logFC of 305 species grown as bicultures ($\rho = -0.28$, P = 0.01), or the similarity of expression of just the 306

candidate genes in biculture ($\rho = -0.21$, P = 0.07) or monoculture ($\rho = -0.18$, P = 0.36). This set of analyses indicates that, regardless of the conditions in which gene expression was measured, or the particular estimate of gene expression that was used, more distantly related species had greater differences in their patterns of gene expression than more closely related species.

Contrary to our second prediction, when species were more similar in gene expression (higher values of GES), competition between them was weaker (Fig. 2 top panels), and for other species facilitative interactions became more common (Fig 2 bottom panels). Increasing GES

314 was associated with a decline in interaction coefficients estimated from Lotka-Volterra models

fit to population dynamics (Spearman rank correlation of GES and α_{ij} for: *Closteriopsis*

316 *acicularis* (Fig 2a, ρ =-0.72, P<0.01), *Tetraedron minimum* (Fig 2b, ρ =-0.49, P=0.04),

Selenastrum capricornutum (Fig 2c, ρ=-0.45, P=0.05), and Staurastrum punctulatum (Fig 2d,

 $\rho=-0.79$, P<0.01), non-significant correlations not shown). This trend was also supported when

319 we investigated GES across the transcriptome for species grown separately in monoculture, or

320 when we investigated the GES of candidate genes in biculture or in monoculture (Table 1). This

321 again indicates that regardless of whether we consider a large part of the transcriptome or only a

322 set of genes presumed to be important in species interactions, and regardless of whether we

investigated gene expression in biculture or in monoculture, species with more similar patterns of
 gene expression tended to show weaker competition and in some cases, facilitation. Altogether,

13 of the 14 significant correlations between GES and interaction strength were negative, which is significantly greater than expected by chance ($\chi^2 = 10.29$, P<0.01).

Contrary to our third prediction, we found that GES was also associated with a greater likelihood of coexistence among species pairs. This is illustrated in Fig 3, which shows species pairs in which one or both species had a negative interaction coefficient (red dots), indicating that at least one species benefited from the presence of another species (i.e. facilitation). GES was a significant predictor of both the likelihood of positive species interactions ($\beta = 9.60$, P = 0.005), as well as the probability of coexistence ($\beta = 9.36$, P = 0.006).

Instead of being encoded by similarity in expression levels across multiple genes, it is possible that interaction strengths are determined by the expression of individual, functionally important genes. Indeed, when we investigated whether the expression levels of each particular candidate gene were correlated with the interaction strengths of each individual species across bicultures, we found that almost all candidate gene families were negatively correlated with the

338 magnitude of the interaction coefficients of at least one of the eight species (Table 2). Of the 32 significant correlations between gene expression and species interaction strength, 30 were 339 negative, which is significantly greater than expected from chance (Table 2, $\chi^2 = 24.5$, 340 P<0.0001). This result indicates that the expression of candidate genes tended to be negatively 341 associated with species interaction strengths overall, indicating weaker competition and more 342 frequent facilitation. When we considered correlations between expression of candidate gene 343 families and RD_is for individual species (Supporting Information Table S2), 52 of 56 significant 344 and marginally non-significant correlations were positive ($\chi^2 = 41.14$, P<0.01), again indicating 345 that candidate gene expression similarity was generally associated with weaker competition and 346 more frequent facilitation. We found that expression levels of all of the candidate gene families 347 except nitrite reductase and cobalamin were significant predictors of RD_i across species and 348 species combinations (Fig. 4, Supporting Information Table S2). Both the frequency of 349 overyielding (RD_i >1) and the frequency of facilitation (α_{ii} <0) increased as expression levels of 350 the candidate gene families increased (two left most columns in Fig 4). 351

Finally, to identify other potential genes and gene families that may correlate with species 352 353 interaction strengths, we searched for genes whose expression patterns were differentially regulated in species experiencing different types of interactions. We referenced these differently 354 regulated gene families against the GO annotation database and found 28 Molecular Process 355 (level 3) GO annotations. The majority of these gene functions were differentially regulated in 356 357 the same fashion (both up or both down) regardless of whether the species experienced competition or facilitation, or whether they experienced over- or undervielding (Fig. S3, pluses 358 359 and minuses are black and on the same side of the zero line). This suggests that the majority of differentially expressed genes were not contrastingly regulated in a different fashion in species 360 361 experiencing different types of species interactions, i.e. competition or facilitation. However, six of the 28 Molecular Function annotations were either upregulated when species experienced 362 363 competition and undervielding but were downregulated when species experienced facilitation and overvielding, or vice versa (annotations are bolded in the legend of Fig. S3, and are indicated 364 by red plus and minus signs being on opposite sides of the zero line). Because these GO 365 366 annotations were contrastingly regulated among species experiencing different types of interactions, these gene functions may be involved in determining species interaction strengths. 367 Species experiencing facilitation and overvielding tended to upregulate gene functions generally 368

- 369 associated with transcription (e.g. DNA/RNA binding molecules) and energy metabolism (Fig. S3, GO annotation #17, #26 and #27). More specifically, annotations for these GO terms, using 370 371 the AmiGO2 portal (amigo.geneontology.org) and restricting search results to only those derived from Viridiplantae and with experimental evidence for gene function, included Ribulose-1,5-372 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCo), a key enzyme in the Calvin cycle, as well as 373 pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase and succinate-CoA ligase, enzymes involved in the production of 374 Acetyl-CoA and the Citric Acid Cycle. By contrast, species experiencing competition and 375 underyielding tended to upregulate genes associated with molecular transport, both within the 376 cell and across cell membranes (Fig. S3, GO annotation #7, #21 and #22). For example, GO 377 terms 0022857 and 0022892 (Fig S3, #21 and #22) identified as highly abundant in cultures 378 experiencing negative ecological interactions (competition or density under-yielding) contained 379 annotations to nitrate, ammonium, sugar, silicon, magnesium, and other metal transporters.
 - Aut

380

381 <u>Discussion</u>

In this study, we investigated whether patterns of gene expression among freshwater 382 green algae tend to diverge over evolutionary time, and in turn, whether gene expression 383 similarity among species predicts the type, strength and outcome of species interactions in terms 384 of coexistence. Consistent with our first prediction and with previous studies on plants and 385 mammals (Yang and Wang, 2013, Brawand et al., 2011), we found that as species diverge from 386 one another along a molecular phylogeny, their similarity in gene expression tends to decline. 387 This finding holds regardless of whether we investigated similarity of genes expressed among 388 species pairs in monoculture or biculture, and whether we considered all genes or just genes 389 390 thought to be responsible for species interactions. Contrary to our second prediction, as gene expression similarity increased, interaction strengths tended to decline, indicating weaker 391 392 competition and more frequent facilitation. Moreover, counter to our third prediction, species with greater similarity in gene expression tended to be more likely to coexist. 393

394 A fundamental assumption of our original hypothesis is the idea that as species' gene expression diverges over evolutionary time, greater differences in gene expression cause species 395 396 to become more ecologically niche differentiated, which weakens the impact of competition and enables coexistence. This idea, sometimes referred to as "phylogenetic limiting similarity", 397 "phylogenetic niche conservatism", or "evolutionary character displacement", has been widely 398 supported and adopted in both ecology and evolution (Violle et al., 2011, MacArthur and Levins, 399 400 1967, Pfennig and Pfennig, 2009, Davies et al., 2007, Grant and Grant, 2006, Schluter, 2000). However, evidence for these hypotheses is not universal (Kraft et al., 2015, Kunstler et al., 2012, 401 402 Best et al., 2013, Venail et al., 2015), and in particular they are unsupported for freshwater green algae (Narwani et al., 2013, Venail et al., 2014, Fritschie et al., 2014, Naughton et al., 2015, 403 404 Alexandrou et al., 2015). Our findings here support the opposite trend: while more distantly related species have greater differences in gene expression, species with greater similarity in 405 gene expression are more likely to experience weakened competition and coexistence. Clearly 406 these hypotheses are then either incorrect or incomplete for the species and interactions 407 investigated here. While niche differences among species are necessary to mitigate the negative 408 409 influence of competitive interactions and stabilize long-term, stable coexistence (Chesson, 2000, Narwani et al., 2013, Adler et al., 2007), contemporary coexistence theory tells us that the 410 411 outcome of competition ultimately depends on a balance between two things -1) relative fitness

412 differences among species, which define their competitive inequalities and lead to competitive exclusion, and 2) their niche differences, which overcome competitive inequalities and stabilize 413 coexistence (Chesson, 2000, Narwani et al., 2013, Godoy et al., 2014, Adler et al., 2007). If 414 transcriptomic differences accumulated over evolutionary time contribute more on average to 415 relative fitness differences than niche differences, then they would tend to limit coexistence, not 416 promote it (Hillerislambers et al., 2012, Mayfield and Levine, 2010, Godoy et al., 2014). In this 417 paper however, we did not directly estimate niche and fitness differences, and therefore we 418 currently do not have evidence to directly support this hypothesis, but it is consistent with our 419 results. Furthermore, our data suggest that similarity in expression across the many shared genes 420 in the transcriptomes of these species are important for coexistence, and not just the expression 421 levels of a few candidate genes. This may lend support to the idea that some phenotypes, i.e. 422 those related to ecological fitness, are "degenerate" or generated by multiple molecular or 423 genetic pathways rather than deterministically by single pathways (Greenspan, 2012). 424

425 Niche differences are necessary in order for competing species to show long-term stable coexistence, and because our analysis shows that competition weakens with gene expression 426 427 similarity, and not differences, the transcriptomic basis of niche differentiation must lie either in the expression of genes that were excluded from our analysis (i.e. non-commonly expressed 428 429 genes), or in the expression of particular genes or gene families with particular functions (e.g. Alexander et al., 2015). It has been previously proposed that many genes in the genome are 430 431 genes that all species need to express in order to survive and reproduce in a given environment – termed the "core genome" (Cordero and Polz, 2014). These genes are likely to encode essential 432 433 metabolic and house-keeping functions (Cordero and Polz, 2014). Similarity in the expression of these genes would reflect similarity in the ecological fitness of species in this environment. 434 435 Genes related to niche differentiation may then be rare (i.e. not observed in all species or populations), part of the "flexible genome" (genes that display turnover in response to local, 436 negative frequency-dependent selection), and would allow species to evolve unique phenotypes 437 and functionalities over time (Cordero and Polz, 2014). This possibility has already been 438 supported for some microbial taxa (Cordero and Polz, 2014), but not yet algae. Unfortunately, 439 440 logistical constraints in our study limited further investigation of genes whose expression were not detected in all species. We were not able to distinguish low-level expression from the 441 442 complete absence of expression because we did not implement RNA spikes in the sequencing

experiment (they come at the high cost of sequencing depth of actual samples). However, our
analysis of genes that were differentially regulated in bicultures did identify particular gene
functions that were contrastingly regulated in competition and facilitation (Fig. S3). This analysis
lends some support to the idea that niche differences and facilitative interactions may be
determined by the expression of a limited number of genes and gene families, rather than by
differentiation of expression over the whole transcriptome.

One of the more surprising and interesting results of our study is that many algal species 449 experience facilitation in biculture, and that facilitation was associated with a greater likelihood 450 of coexistence. Facilitation does not necessarily lead to coexistence in the Lotka-Volterra model 451 of competition, although it has been shown that positive species interactions can increase the 452 likelihood of coexistence among species in more explicit models of resource competition (Gross 453 454 2008). Consistent with estimates from prior studies (Venail et al., 2014, Fritschie et al., 2014), almost a third of all possible species interactions resulted in an increase in density at steady state, 455 relative to monoculture. Despite their prevalence, facilitative and co-operative interactions are 456 understudied in phytoplankton, with the vast majority of theory and empirical research in algal 457 458 ecology being focused on competitive and predatory interactions (Tilman, 1982, Huisman and Weissing, 1995, Schippers et al., 2001, Passarge et al., 2006, Benincà et al., 2009, Litchman and 459 460 Klausmeier, 2001, Klausmeier et al., 2004, Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008). As a result, little is known about the mechanism by which facilitative interactions might occur. In this study, we 461 462 identified several molecular process gene functions that were preferentially up- or downregulated in different categories of ecological interactions. These gene functions provide clues as to how 463 464 competitive and facilitative interactions differ at the molecular level. In particular, transporter gene transcripts for a variety of nutrients including nitrate, sugars, and other micronutrients were 465 466 highly abundant in cultures experiencing negative ecological interactions, consistent with the notion that these species are competing for inorganic resources. By contrast, cultures 467 experiencing positive interactions expressed a higher abundance of genes associated with the 468 core cellular metabolism (e.g., the Citric Acid Cycle) and carbon fixation through the Calvin 469 cycle, and a relatively low abundance of genes associated with the acquisition of nutrients. This 470 471 suggests that facilitated and overyielding species generate growth and yield benefits from a boost in core metabolism. 472

473

474 *Caveats and suggestions for future work*

475 Our study offers new insight into the transcriptomic changes that are associated with phytoplankton species interactions and coexistence; but the study is not without limitations. First, 476 for methodological reasons, our transcriptome-wide analysis of gene expression only allowed 477 comparisons of genes that were expressed in all monocultures. Future work would benefit from 478 the use of RNA spikes to determine detection limits and enable comparisons of absolute 479 expression levels. This would allow an investigation of the relative roles of the expression of 480 shared genes versus uniquely-expressed genes in determining species interactions, and in 481 particular niche differentiation and facilitation among species. Second, we measured gene 482 expression at the final time point of the competition experiment, however, tracking changes in 483 gene expression through time would allow comparisons of gene expression between the 484 485 exponential growth phase, the onset of density-dependence, and the full effects of competition. Gene expression changes over time would allow comparisons of molecular basis of resource-486 487 unlimited growth versus density-dependent growth in the presence of conspecific versus interspecific neighbors. Third, it is important to keep in mind that our inference of gene functions 488 489 were, by necessity, based on transitive annotations. That is, we inferred the functions of genes of interest by finding a gene with similar sequence (a homologous sequence) that is already 490 annotated. These reference annotations may also have been inferred in a similar way and so on, 491 leading to a daisy chain of annotations (Iliopoulos et al., 2003). At some point along the chain, 492 493 the gene's function was tested in the lab, but it may have been in a very distantly related organism. Final validation and confirmation of gene functions in any particular organism must 494 495 still be achieved using gene knock-out or knock-down experiments. Fourth, as always, future studies would benefit from a greater biological replication. We were limited to three replicates in 496 497 this study, but due to the plasticity and variability in gene expression responses, we recommend 498 up to 10 biological replicates in the future to increase statistical power and the insure against 499 library failure.

Lastly, our study is obviously limited in its scale and complexity. While the use of microcosms allowed us to control the environment and directly investigate associations between species interactions and patterns of gene expression, the homogenous, artificial and simplified nature of our study limits our broader conclusions about the occurrence or prevalence of similar phenomena in nature. For example, does gene expression similarity predict interaction strength

505 or coexistence of three or more species? Does the relationship between gene expression similarity and coexistence change in patchy, heterogeneous or fluctuating environments? All but 506 507 one of the species pairs in our study have been known to co-occur in natural lakes across the U.S. according to the 2007 EPA National Lakes Assessment Survey (Naughton et al., 2015), but it is 508 possible that spatial and temporal heterogeneity in climate and resource availability are more 509 510 important in these natural systems than the strength of species interactions. Species gene expression responses to natural environmental variation may also be important in determining 511 interaction strength and coexistence (e.g. Alexander et al., 2015). The role of temporal and 512 spatial biotic and abiotic environmental complexity on gene expression, species interactions and 513 coexistence is an exciting area for future follow-up research. 514

In conclusion, in contrast to the widely-held notion that phenotypic similarity leads to 515 competitive exclusion, we found that similarity in gene expression among species across the 516 transcriptome tends to lead to weaker competition, more likely facilitation and greater 517 518 coexistence. This suggests that the expression of the majority of commonly-expressed genes is required for basic survival and fitness in a particular environment, while niche differences and 519 520 facilitative interactions may be encoded by just a few, or possibly rare genes. We identified gene functions to be investigated directly for their role in determining different types of species 521 interactions in the future. 522

523 <u>Authors' contributions</u>

AN, KJF, CD, THO and BJC designed the study, AN, KJF and BJC performed the research,
MA performed the phylogenetic analysis, BB performed the transcriptomic analysis, AN
performed the modeling and statistical analysis, AN wrote the manuscript, and all authors
contributed substantially to the editing.

529 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank S. Beaudry, K. Kulacki, M. McCarthy, E. Mitchell, P. Parent, J. Thierer,
P. Venail, C. Wilson, C. Zhou, and E. Zimmermann for help in sampling and sample processing.
We thank B. Matthews and M. Tamminen for editorial comments on the manuscript. We thank J.
Lavine for the production of an R package which compiles the biculture Lotka-Volterra modelfitting algorithm in the C programming language. This work was supported by a US National

Science Foundation DIMENSIONS of Biodiversity grant to T.H.O. (DEB-1046307), C.F.D.
(DEB-1046075) and B.J.C. (DEB-1046121).

537

538 Data accessibility statement

- 539 The data and pipelines supporting the transcriptomics results in this paper are available on
- 540 Github (<u>https://github.com/bastodian</u>). All other data and scripts are available on the Data
- available from the Dryad Digital Repository (Narwani et al., 2017).

Tables

Table 1. Effects of gene expression similarity (GES) on interaction coefficients. Values in each cell indicate the size of the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ) between GES and the interactions coefficients (α_{ij}) of individual species in biculture. Negative correlation coefficients with α_{ij} s indicate that GES was negatively associated with the strength of competition and, in some cases, positively associated with facilitation (negative α_{ij} s). GES values were measured either in monoculture or in biculture, and either across the shared transcriptome, or only the 17 candidate genes. [†]indicates the correlation is significant with 0.05<P<0.1; * indicates the correlation is significant at P≤0.05.

| Species/ | All genes in | All genes in | Candidate genes | Candidate genes | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Gene expression | biculture | monoculture | in biculture | in monoculture | |
| correlation | | | | | |
| Chlorella | 0.11 | -0.25 | -0.47* | -0.52* | |
| sorokiniana | | | | | |
| Closteriopsis | -0.72* | -0.76* | 0.21 | 0.37 | |
| acicularis | | | | | |
| Cosmarium | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.42 | 0.59* | |
| turpinii | | | | | |
| Pandorina | -0.27 | -0.14 | -0.44* | -0.29 | |
| charkowiensis | | | | | |

| Scenedesmus | -0.26 | -0.45* | -0.35 | -0.69* | |
|---------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------|--|
| acuminatus | | | | | |
| Selenastrum | -0.45* | 0.009 | 0.22 | -0.15 | |
| capricornutum | | | | | |
| Staurastrum | -0.72* | -0.31 | -0.009 | -0.38 [†] | |
| punctulatum | | | | | |
| Tetraedron | -0.49* | -0.41 [†] | -0.55* | 0.07 | |
| minimum | | | | | |
| S. | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| | _ | | | | |
| σ | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| C | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| $-\pm$ | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

542**Table 2.** Spearman rank correlation coefficients between expression levels of individual gene families (TPM) in individual species 543when in bicultures with the size of their interaction coefficients. The first 11 genes were proposed due to their ability to impact 544resource acquisition and metabolism. Genes 12-14 were chosen due to their role in vitamin production or metabolism and their 545potential ability to mediate facilitative interactions. Genes 15-17 were chosen due to their role in organic sugar production or 546metabolism and their potential ability to mediate heterotrophic / facilitative interactions. † indicates the correlation is marginally non-547significant with 0.05<P<0.1; * significant at P≤0.05.

| Gene/ | Chlorella | Closteriopsis | Cosmarium | Pandorina | Scenedesmus | Selenastrum | Staurastrum | Tetraedron |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Gene family | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Carbonic | -0.21 | -0.12 | -0.08 | -0.16 | 0.25 | -0.71* | NA | 0.14 |
| Anhydrase | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Glutamate | NA | -0.21 | 0.11 | NA | -0.72* | -0.51* | 0.003 | -0.12 |
| Semialdehyde | | | | | | | | |
| Aminetransferase | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Iron Permease | 0.28 | 0.26 | NA | -0.23 | -0.46* | -0.58 | NA | 0.17 |
| 4. Light | 0.59* | 0.01 | 0.02 | -0.10 | -0.36 | -0.28 | -0.66* | 0.06 |
| Harvesting | | | | | | | | |
| Complex AB | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Nitrogen | 0.18 | 0.25 | NA | NA | -0.21 | NA | NA | 0.13 |
| Assimilation | | | | | | | | |

| Regulatory | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|------|------|---------|--------|----------------------------|--------|-------|
| Protein | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Nitrate | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.24 | -0.30 | -0.74 | -0.52* | -0.46* | -0.06 |
| Reductase | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Nitrate | -0.63* | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.15 | -0.45* | -0.50* | 0.39 |
| Transporter | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Nitrite | 0.19 | NA | 0.35 | -0.560* | NA | -0.50* | -0.53* | -0.37 |
| Reductase | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Nitrite | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.26 | -0.16 | 0.04 | -0.51* | NA | 0.16 |
| Transporter | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Nitrogen | -0.01 | 0.24 | 0.22 | -0.14 | -0.19 | - 0.42 [†] | -0.01 | 0.04 |
| Regulatory | | | | | | | | |
| Protein O | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Phosphate | -0.11 | 0.06 | 0.17 | -0.15 | -0.47* | -0.53* | -0.05 | 0.13 |
| Transporter | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Biotin, | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.20 | -0.21 | 0.01 | -0.65* | 0.08 | 0.21 |
| vitamin B7 | | | | | | | | |

| 13. Cobalamin, | 0.01 | -0.21 | 0.08 | -0.38 [†] | -0.77* | -0.60* | -0.46* | -0.00 |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------|------|--------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| vitamin B12 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Thiamine, | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.12 | -0.20 | -0.80* | -0.57* | -0.43 [†] | -0.10 |
| vitamin B1 | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Glucose | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.19 | -0.22 | -0.47* | - 0.45 [†] | -0.25 | 0.18 |
| S | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Mannose | -0.63* | 0.22 | 0.12 | NA | -0.48* | -0.68* | -0.20 | 0.16 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Succinate | 0.41 [†] | 0.10 | 0.14 | -0.33 | 0.23 | -0.28 | 0.02 | -0.10 |
| \geq | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| A | | | | | | | | |

548<u>Figures</u>



549

550**Fig. 1.** The relationship between phylogenetic distance (PD) among species pairs and the gene expression similarity (GES) of all 551commonly expressed genes across two species in (a) biculture (N=84), and b) monoculture (N=28). Values in each panel are the 552Spearman rank correlations (ρ) and the p-value (p).

Authe



553

554Fig. 2. Species interaction strengths, measured as Lotka-Volterra interaction coefficients (α_{ii}), as a function of gene expression 555similarity (GES) across all genes expressed in bicultures. Interaction coefficients indicate the per capita size and direction of the 556 impact of individuals of another species in biculture on individuals of the focal species relative to the per capita impact of the focal 557species on itself. Positive interaction coefficients indicate competitive interactions (a negative effects on steady-state density) and 558negative coefficients indicate facilitative interactions (a positive effects on steady-state density). The focal species in each panel is: a) 559*Closteriopsis acicularis* (N=17), **b**) *Tetraedron minimum* (N=18), **c**) *Selenastrum capricornutum* (N=19), **d**) *Staurastrum punctulatum* 560(N=21). The horizontal grey line indicates an interaction coefficient value of zero. Above this line, species interactions are 561competitive, and below it they are facilitative. In the top two panels, higher values of gene expression similarity are associated with

562weaker levels of competition, indicated by the decline in the size of the interaction coefficients (all are positive). In the bottom two 563panels, the interaction coefficients change from positive to negative, indicating a switch from competition to facilitation with 564increasing GES. Significant correlations between the gene expression correlation coefficients and the competition coefficients at 565P \leq 0.05 are indicated by an asterisk in the panel label. All interaction coefficients were sign-square-root transformed to aid visual 566interpretation in the figures.



568**Fig. 3.** Coexistence (0=no, 1=yes) as a function of gene expression similarity (GES) across all genes in biculture (N=80). Coexistence 569was estimated by using fitted interaction coefficients to simulate Lotka-Volterra models forward 100 days or 50 time steps and 570determining whether both species would have non-zero densities at the end of the simulation. Points in red are species pairs in which

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

567

571one or both species had a negative interaction coefficient, indicating that the species benefited from the presence of the other species 572(i.e. facilitation). GES was a significant predictor of both coexistence ($\beta = 9.36$, P = 0.006), and the likelihood of positive species 573 interactions ($\beta = 9.60$, P = 0.005).

Author Manuscri

Author Manuscript



Fig. 4. Heat map representing natural log-transformed TPM values for the 17 candidate gene families in monocultures and all 576biculture combinations as well as natural log-transformed relative densities of each species (RDi) in biculture, and the presence (dot) 577or absence (no dot) of overyielding and facilitation (negative competition coefficients). Gene families which were significant 578predictors of relative density according to the Mantel tests (P \leq 0.05) are indicated in bold font, and the strength of the correlation 579coefficient is indicated in parentheses.

Author Manus

584<u>References</u>

585Ackerly, D. D. (2003) Community assembly, niche conservatism, and adaptive evolution in changing
environments. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 164, S165-S184.

587Adler, P. B., Hillerislambers, J. & Levine, J. M. (2007) A niche for neutrality. Ecology letters, 10, 95-104.

588Alexander, H., Jenkins, B. D., Rynearson, T. A. & Dyhrman, S. T. (2015) Metatranscriptome analyses

indicate resource partitioning between diatoms in the field. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, E2182-E2190.

591Alexandrou, M. A., Cardinale, B. J., Hall, J. D., Delwiche, C. F., Fritschie, K., Narwani, A., Venail, P. A.,

592 Bentlage, B., Pankey, M. S. & Oakley, T. H. (2015) Evolutionary relatedness does not predict

593 competition and co-occurrence in natural or experimental communities of green algae.

594 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **282**.

595Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215, 403-410.

597Amin, S. A., Hmelo, L. R., van Tol, H. M., Durham, B. P., Carlson, L. T., Heal, K. R., Morales, R. L.,

598 Berthiaume, C. T., Parker, M. S., Djunaedi, B., Ingalls, A. E., Parsek, M. R., Moran, M. A. &

Armbrust, E. V. (2015) Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton and
 associated bacteria. *Nature*, **522**, 98-U253.

601Arfi, Y., Levasseur, A. & Record, E. (2013) Differential Gene Expression in Pycnoporus coccineus during

602 Interspecific Mycelial Interactions with Different Competitors. *Applied and Environmental*

603 *Microbiology*, **79**, 6626-6636.

604Ashton, K. G. (2004) Comparing phylogenetic signal in intraspecific and interspecific body size datasets.
Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 17, 1157-1161.

606Benincà, E., Johnk, K. D., Heerkloss, R. & Huisman, J. (2009) Coupled predator-prey oscillations in a
607 chaotic food web. *Ecology letters*, **12**, 1367-78.

608Best, R. J., Caulk, N. C. & Stachowicz, J. J. (2013) Trait vs. phylogenetic diversity as predictors of

competition and community composition in herbivorous marine amphipods. *Ecology Letters*, 16,
 72-80.

611Blomberg, S. P., Garland, T. & Ives, A. R. (2003) Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data:

Behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution*, **57**, 717745.

614Brawand, D., Soumillon, M., Necsulea, A., Julien, P., Csardi, G., Harrigan, P., Weier, M., Liechti, A., Aximu-

615 Petri, A., Kircher, M., Albert, F. W., Zeller, U., Khaitovich, P., Grutzner, F., Bergmann, S., Nielsen,

R., Paabo, S. & Kaessmann, H. (2011) The evolution of gene expression levels in mammalian
organs. *Nature*, **478**, 343-+.

618Cadotte, M. W., Davies, T. J., Regetz, J., Kembel, S. W., Cleland, E. & Oakley, T. H. (2010) Phylogenetic

diversity metrics for ecological communities: integrating species richness, abundance and
evolutionary history. *Ecology Letters*, **13**, 96-105.

621Cavender-Bares, J., Kozak, K. H., Fine, P. V. A. & Kembel, S. W. (2009) The merging of community ecology
and phylogenetic biology. *Ecology letters*, **12**, 693-715.

623Chesson, P. (2000) Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and*624 *Systematics*, **31**, 343-366.

625Coggill, P., Finn, R. D. & Bateman, A. (2008) Identifying protein domains with the Pfam database. *Current*626 *Protocols in Bioinformatics,* suppl. 23.

627Conesa, A. & Gotz, S. (2008) Blast2GO: A comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant genomics.
628 International journal of plant genomics, 2008, 619832-619832.

629Cooper, E. D., Bentlage, B., Gibbons, T. R., Bachvaroff, T. R. & Delwiche, C. F. (2014) Metatranscriptome
profiling of a harmful algal bloom. *Harmful Algae*, **37**, 75-83.

631Cooper, N., Jetz, W. & Freckleton, R. P. (2010) Phylogenetic comparative approaches for studying niche
 conservatism. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23, 2529-2539.

633Cordero, O. X. & Polz, M. F. (2014) Explaining microbial genomic diversity in light of evolutionary
ecology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **12**, 263-273.

635Croft, M. T., Warren, M. J. & Smith, A. G. (2006) Algae need their vitamins. *Eukaryotic Cell*, **5**, 1175-1183. 636Darwin, C. (1859) On the Origin of Species. John Murray, London.

637Davies, T. J., Meiri, S., Barraclough, T. G. & Gittleman, J. L. (2007) Species co-existence and character
divergence across carnivores. *Ecology Letters*, **10**, 146-152.

639Dyhrman, S. T., Jenkins, B. D., Rynearson, T. A., Saito, M. A., Mercier, M. L., Alexander, H., Whitney, L. P.,

640 Drzewianowski, A., Bulygin, V. V., Bertrand, E. M., Wu, Z., Benitez-Nelson, C. & Heithoff, A.

641 (2012) The transcriptome and proteome of the diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* reveal a

642 diverse phosphorus stress response. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e33768.

643Eddy, S. R. (2011) Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. Plos Computational Biology, 7.

644Faith, D. P. (1992) Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological Conservation*, 61, 1-10.

645Frischkorn, K. R., Harke, M. J., Gobler, C. J. & Dyhrman, S. T. (2014) De novo assembly of Aureococcus

646 *anophagefferens* transcriptomes reveals diverse responses to the low nutrient and low light

647 conditions present during blooms. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **5**, 375.

648Fritschie, K. J., Cardinale, B. J., Alexandrou, M. A. & Oakley, T. H. (2014) Evolutionary history and the

strength of species interactions: testing the phylogenetic limiting similarity hypothesis. *Ecology*,
95, 1407-1417.

651Gautam, K., Pareek, A. & Sharma, D. K. (2013) Biochemical composition of green alga Chlorella

minutissima in mixotrophic cultures under the effect of different carbon sources. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, **116**, 624-627.

- 654Giovannoni, S. J. (2012) Vitamins in the sea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the* 655 *United States of America*, **109**, 13888-13889.
- 656Godoy, O., Kraft, N. J. B. & Levine, J. M. (2014) Phylogenetic relatedness and the determinants of 657 competitive outcomes. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 836-844.

658Grant, P. R. & Grant, B. R. (2006) Evolution of character displacement in Darwin's finches. *Science*, **313**, 224-6.

660Greenspan, R. J. (2012) Biological Indeterminacy. Science and Engineering Ethics, 18, 447-452.

661Grishkevich, V. & Yanai, I. (2013) The genomic determinants of genotype x environment interactions in 662 gene expression. *Trends in Genetics*, **29**, 479-487.

663Haas, B. J., Papanicolaou, A., Yassour, M., Grabherr, M., Blood, P. D., Bowden, J., Couger, M. B., Eccles,

664 D., Li, B., Lieber, M., MacManes, M. D., Ott, M., Orvis, J., Pochet, N., Strozzi, F., Weeks, N.,

665 Westerman, R., William, T., Dewey, C. N., Henschel, R., Leduc, R. D., Friedman, N. & Regev, A.

666 (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for

667 reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, **8**, 1494-1512.

668Hillerislambers, J., Adler, P. B., Harpole, W. S., Levine, J. M. & Mayfield, M. M. (2012) Rethinking

669 community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. *Annual Review of Ecology and*670 *Systematics*, 43, 227-248.

671Huisman, J. & Weissing, F. J. (1995) Competition for nutrients and light in a mixed water column - A

672 theoretical analysis. *American Naturalist*, **146**, 536-564.

673Hutchinson, G. E. (1961) The paradox of the plankton. American Naturalist, 95, 137-145.

674Iliopoulos, I., Tsoka, S., Andrade, M. A., Enright, A. J., Carroll, M., Poullet, P., Promponas, V., Liakopoulos,

675 T., Palaios, G., Pasquier, C., Hamodrakas, S., Tamames, J., Yagnik, A. T., Tramontano, A., Devos,

D., Blaschke, C., Valencia, A., Brett, D., Martin, D., Leroy, C., Rigoutsos, I., Sander, C. & Ouzounis,

677 C. A. (2003) Evaluation of annotation strategies using an entire genome sequence.

678 *Bioinformatics,* **19,** 717-726.

679Ingram, T. (2015) Diversification of body shape in Sebastes rockfishes of the north-east Pacific. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **116**, 805-818.

681Khaitovich, P., Enard, W., Lachmann, M. & Paabo, S. (2006) Evolution of primate gene expression.

682 *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **7**, 693-702.

683Khaitovich, P., Paabo, S. & Weiss, G. (2005) Toward a neutral evolutionary model of gene expression.
684 *Genetics*, **170**, 929-939.

685Kilham, S. S., Kreeger, D. A., Lynn, S. G., Goulden, C. E. & Herrera, L. (1998) COMBO: a defined
686 freshwater culture medium for algae and zooplankton. *Hydrobiologia*, **377**, 147-159.

687Klausmeier, C. A., Litchman, E. & Levin, S. A. (2004) Phytoplankton growth and stoichiometry under 688 multiple nutrient limitation. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **49**, 1463-1470.

689Kraft, N. J. B., Godoy, O. & Levine, J. M. (2015) Plant functional traits and the multidimensional nature of

690 species coexistence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

691 *America*, **112**, 797-802.

692Kunstler, G., Lavergne, S., Courbaud, B., Thuiller, W., Vieilledent, G., Zimmermann, N. E., Kattge, J. &

693 Coomes, D. A. (2012) Competitive interactions between forest trees are driven by species' trait

hierarchy, not phylogenetic or functional similarity: implications for forest community assembly.
 Ecology letters, **15**, 831-840.

696Lamichhaney, S., Berglund, J., Almen, M. S., Maqbool, K., Grabherr, M., Martinez-Barrio, A., Promerova,
M., Rubin, C. J., Wang, C., Zamani, N., Grant, B. R., Grant, P. R., Webster, M. T. & Andersson, L.

698 (2015) Evolution of Darwin's finches and their beaks revealed by genome sequencing. *Nature*,
699 **518**, 16.

700Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of
 short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology*, **10**.

702Levy, R. & Borenstein, E. (2012) Reverse Ecology: From Systems to Environments and Back. *Evolutionary Systems Biology* (ed S. O. Soyer), pp. 329-345. Springer New York, New York, NY.

704Levy, R. & Borenstein, E. (2013) Metabolic modeling of species interaction in the human microbiome

elucidates community-level assembly rules. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 12804-12809.

707Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. (2011) RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without
a reference genome. *Bmc Bioinformatics*, **12**.

709Li, T., Zheng, Y., Yu, L. & Chen, S. (2014) Mixotrophic cultivation of a Chlorella sorokiniana strain for

enhanced biomass and lipid production. *Biomass & Bioenergy*, **66**, 204-213.

711Li, X. G., Wu, H. X. & Southerton, S. G. (2010) Comparative genomics reveals conservative evolution of
the xylem transcriptome in vascular plants. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology*, **10**, 14.

713Liao, B.-Y. & Zhang, J. (2006) Low rates of expression profile divergence in highly expressed genes and

tissue-specific genes during mammalian evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 23, 11191128.

716Lindemann, S. R., Bernstein, H. C., Song, H.-S., Fredrickson, J. K., Fields, M. W., Shou, W., Johnson, D. R. & 717 Beliaev, A. S. (2016) Engineering microbial consortia for controllable outputs. *ISME J*.

718Litchman, E. & Klausmeier, C. A. (2001) Competition of phytoplankton under fluctuating light. *American Naturalist*, **157**, 170-187.

720Litchman, E. & Klausmeier, C. A. (2008) Trait-Based Community Ecology of Phytoplankton. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, **39**, 615-639.

722Lotka, A. J. (1920) Analytical note on certain rhythmic relations in organic systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 6, 410-415.

724Lotka, A. J. (1925) *Elements of Physical Biology*. Williams and Wilkins.

725MacArthur, R. & Levins, R. (1967) The limiting similarity, convergence, and divergence of coexisting
 species. *American Naturalist*, **101**, 377-385.

727Martin, M. (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

728 *EMBnet.journal,* **17,** 10-12.

729Mayfield, M. M. & Levine, J. M. (2010) Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic
 structure of communities. *Ecology letters*, **13**, 1085-1093.

731Mi, H. Y., Lazareva-Ulitsky, B., Loo, R., Kejariwal, A., Vandergriff, J., Rabkin, S., Guo, N., Muruganujan, A.,

732 Doremieux, O., Campbell, M. J., Kitano, H. & Thomas, P. D. (2005) The PANTHER database of

protein families, subfamilies, functions and pathways. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **33**, D284-D288.

734Narwani, A., Alexandrou, M. A., Oakley, T. H., Carroll, I. T. & Cardinale, B. J. (2013) Experimental

evidence that evolutionary relatedness does not affect the ecological mechanisms of

coexistence in freshwater green algae. *Ecology letters*, **16**, 1373-1381.

737Narwani, A., Bentlage, B., Alexandrou, M. A., Fritschie, K. J., Delwiche, C., Oakley, T. H. & Cardinale, B. J.

738 (2017) Data from: Ecological interactions and coexistence are predicted by gene expression

similarity in freshwater green algae. Dryad Digital Repository. doi:10.5061/dryad.2959s.

740Naughton, H. R., Alexandrou, M. A., Oakley, T. H. & Cardinale, B. J. (2015) Phylogenetic distance does not

741 predict competition in green algal communities. *Ecosphere*, **6**.

742Passarge, J., Hol, S., Escher, M. & Huisman, J. (2006) Competition for nutrients and light: Stable

743 coexistence, alternative stable states, or competitive exclusion? *Ecological Monographs*, **76**, 57744 72.

745Pfennig, K. S. & Pfennig, D. W. (2009) Character displacement: ecological and reproductive responses to 746 a common evolutionary problem. *Quarterly Review of Biology*, **84**, 253-276.

747Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential 748 expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 139-140.

749Robinson, M. D. & Oshlack, A. (2010) A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis 750 of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biology*, **11**.

751Schippers, P., Verschoor, A. M., Vos, M. & Mooij, W. M. (2001) Does "supersaturated coexistence"

resolve the "paradox of the plankton"? *Ecology letters*, **4**, 404-407.

753Schluter, D. (2000) Ecological character displacement in adaptive radiation. *American Naturalist*, **156**,
754 S4-S16.

755Schulze, S., Schleicher, J., Guthke, R. & Linde, J. (2016) How to Predict Molecular Interactions between 756 Species? *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **7**.

757Tanoi, T., Kawachi, M. & Watanabe, M. M. (2011) Effects of carbon source on growth and morphology of 758 Botryococcus braunii. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **23**, 25-33.

759Tilman, D. (1982) *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Princeton University Press, Princeton,
760 New Jersey.

761Tirosh, I., Weinberger, A., Carmi, M. & Barkai, N. (2006) A genetic signature of interspecies variations in
gene expression. *Nature Genetics*, **38**, 830-834.

763Uebbing, S., Kunstner, A., Makinen, H., Backstrom, N., Bolivar, P., Burri, R., Dutoit, L., Mugal, C. F., Nater,

A., Aken, B., Flicek, P., Martin, F. J., Searle, S. M. J. & Ellegren, H. (2016) Divergence in gene

765 expression within and between two closely related flycatcher species. *Molecular ecology*, 25,
766 2015-28.

767Venail, P., Gross, K., Oakley, T. H., Narwani, A., Allan, E., Flombaum, P., Isbell, F., Joshi, J., Reich, P. B.,

768 Tilman, D., van Ruijven, J. & Cardinale, B. J. (2015) Species richness, but not phylogenetic

769 diversity, influences community biomass production and temporal stability in a re-examination

of 16 grassland biodiversity studies. *Functional Ecology*, **29**, 615-626.

771Venail, P. A., Narwani, A., Fritschie, K., Alexandrou, M. A., Oakley, T. H. & Cardinale, B. J. (2014) The

influence of phylogenetic relatedness on species interactions among freshwater green algae in a

mesocosm experiment. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 1288-1299.

774Violle, C., Nemergut, D. R., Pu, Z. C. & Jiang, L. (2011) Phylogenetic limiting similarity and competitive
exclusion. *Ecology letters*, 14, 782-787.

776Volterra, V. (1928) Variations and fluctuations of the number of individuals in animal species living

together. Journal du Conseil Permanent International pour l'Exploration de la Mer, **3**, 3–51.

778Wagner, G. P., Kin, K. & Lynch, V. J. (2012) Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNA-seq data:
779 RPKM measure is inconsistent among samples. *Theory in Biosciences*, **131**, 281-285.

780Wanek, K. A. & Sturmbauer, C. (2015) Form, function and phylogeny: comparative morphometrics of
Lake Tanganyika's cichlid tribe Tropheini. *Zoologica Scripta*, 44, 362-373.

782Webb, C. O., Ackerly, D. D., McPeek, M. A. & Donoghue, M. J. (2002) Phylogenies and community
ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **33**, 475-505.

784Whittle, C. A., Sun, Y. & Johannesson, H. (2014) Dynamics of transcriptome evolution in the model
eukaryote Neurospora. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 27, 1125-1135.

786Wiens, J. J., Ackerly, D. D., Allen, A. P., Anacker, B. L., Buckley, L. B., Cornell, H. V., Damschen, E. I.,

787 Davies, T. J., Grytnes, J. A., Harrison, S. P., Hawkins, B. A., Holt, R. D., McCain, C. M. & Stephens,

788 P. R. (2010) Niche conservatism as an emerging principle in ecology and conservation biology.

789 *Ecology letters,* **13**, 1310-1324.

790Wohlrab, S., Tillmann, U., Cembella, A. & John, U. (2016) Trait changes induced by species interactions in
two phenotypically distinct strains of a marine dinoflagellate. *ISME J*.

792Yang, R. L. & Wang, X. F. (2013) Organ Evolution in Angiosperms Driven by Correlated Divergences of
Gene Sequences and Expression Patterns. *Plant Cell*, **25**, 71-82.

794

Authol