

Manuscript EMBO-2016-94058

# A covalently bound inhibitor triggers EZH2 degradation through CHIP-mediated ubiquitination

Wei Cao, Jianjun Zhang, Ming Yan, Qin Xu, Xiangbing Wu, Lixin Wan, Zhiyuan Zhang, Chenping Zhang, Xing Qin, Dongxia Ye, Yuyang Liu, Zeguang Han, Shaomeng Wang, Li Mao, Wenyi Wei and Wantao Chen

Corresponding author: Wantao Chen, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine, Shanghai Ninth people's hospital

Review timeline: Submission date: 06 February 2016
Accepted: 15 February 2017

Editor: Anne Nielsen

# **Transaction Report:**

No Peer Review Process File is available with this article, as the authors have chosen not to make the review process public in this case.

## EMBO PRESS

# YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL CELLS WITH A PINK BACKGROUND $\Psi$

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS CHECKLIST WILL BE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE YOUR PAPER

Corresponding Author Name: Wantao Chen Journal Submitted to: EMBO J Manuscript Number: EMBOJ-2016-94058

### Reporting Checklist For Life Sciences Articles (Rev. July 2015)

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. These guidelines are consistent with the Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research issued by the NIH in 2014. Please follow the journal's authorship guidelines in preparing your manuscript.

#### A- Figures

- Data
   The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:
   the data were obtained and processed according to the field's best practice and are presented to reflect the results of the experiments in an accurate and unbiased manner.
   figure panels include only data points, measurements or observations that can be compared to each other in a scientifically meaningful way.
   graphs include clearly labeled error bars for independent experiments and sample sizes. Unless justified, error bars should not be shown for technical replicates.
   if ns 5, the individual data points from each experiment should be plotted and any statistical test employed should be justified.

  - justified

    Source Data should be included to report the data underlying graphs. Please follow the guidelines set out in the author ship guidelines on Data Presentation

#### 2. Captions

### Each figure caption should contain the following information, for each panel where they are relevant:

- a specification of the experimental system investigated (eg cell line, species name).
   the assay(s) and method(s) used to carry out the reported observations and measurements
   an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(eig) that are being measured.
   an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(eis) that are altered/varied/perturbed in a controlled manner.

- the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a number, not a range;
   a description of the sample collection allowing the reader to understand whether the samples represent technical or biological replicates (including how many animals, litters, cultures, etc.).
   a statement of how many times the experiment shown was independently replicated in the laboratory.
   definitions of statistical methods and measures:
   common tests, such as t-test (please specify whether paired vs. unpaired), simple x2 tests, Wilcoxon and Mann-Wh tests, can be unambiguously identified by name only, but more complex techniques should be described in the met section:

  - are tests one-sized or two-sized?
     are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?
     exact statistical test results, e.g., P values = x but not P values < x;</li>
     definition of 'center values' as median or average;
     definition of error bars as s.d. or s.e.m.

Any descriptions too long for the figure legend should be included in the methods section and/or with the source data.

Please ensure that the answers to the following questions are reported in the manuscript itself. We encourage you to include a specific subsection in the methods section for statistics, reagents, animal models and human subjects.

In the pink boxes below, provide the page number(s) of the manuscript draft or figure legend(s) where the information can be located. Every question should be answered. If the question is not relevant to your research, please write NA (non applicable).

### **USEFUL LINKS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM**

http://www.antibodypedia.com

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-repo

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm

earchguidance/Useofanimals/index.htm

http://ClinicalTrials.gov

http://www.consort-statement.org

http://www.consort-statement.org/checklists/view/32-consort/66-title

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/reporting-recommendations-for-tun

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega

http://biomodels.net/

http://jjj.biochem.sun.ac.za http://oba.od.nih.gov/biosec urity/biosecurity documents.html

http://www.selectagents.gov/

# B- Statistics and general methods

# 1.a. How was the sample size chosen to ensure adequate power to detect a pre-specified effect size? 1.b. For animal studies, include a statement about sample size estimate even if no statistical methods were used. 2. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if samples or animals were excluded from the analysis. Were the criteria pre-Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals/samples to treatment (e.g. randomization procedure)? If yes, please describe. r animal studies, include a statement about randomization even if no randomization was used. fter 5 days, the tumor sizes were determined using micrometer calipers, and the nude mice wit milar tumor volumes (eliminating mice with tumors that were too large or too small) were thei indomly divided into 3 groups (10 nude mice per group) 4.a. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during group allocation or/and when a (e.g. blinding of the investigator)? If yes please describe. 4.b. For animal studies, include a statement about blinding even if no blinding was done 5. For every figure, are statistical tests justified as appropriate? the variance similar between the groups that are being statistically compared?

# C- Reagents

e antibodies that were used in this study were as follows: mouse monoclonal antibody against 142 (612667, 8D Science); rabbit polyclonal antibody against 5Uz-12 (20366-1-4P, Proteintent, A), mouse monoclonal antibody against §-acris (a6276, Abcam, USA); rabbit polyclonal tibody against H3K27Me3 (07-449, Millipore, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against H3K37Me3 (197-449, Millipore, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against H3K36Me3, and sinst \$MI-11 (10332-1-4P, Proteintent); rabbit polyclonal antibody against \$MI-11 (2016) and antibody against \$MI-11 (2016) AP, Proteintent, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against caspace 3 (1957-1-4P, Proteintent, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against seasopse 3 (1957-1-4P, Proteintent). SAJ; rabbit polyclonal antibody against seasopse 3 (1957-1-4P), Proteintent, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against seasopse 3 (1957-1-4P). Proteintent, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibody against seasopse 3 (1957-1-4). 6. To show that antibodies were profiled for use in the system under study (assay and species), provide a citation, catalog number and/or clone number, supplementary information or reference to an antibody validation profile. e.g., ntibodypedia (see link list at top right), 1DegreeBio (see link list at top right nit polyclonal antibody against caspase-3 (19677-1.AP, Proteintech, USA); rabbit polyclonal aodo against 81c.1 (27893-1.AP, Proteintech, USA); rabbit polyclonal antibod against 81c.3 (27893-1.AP); broteintech, USA); proteintech, USA); the rabbit polyclonal antibody against subiquitin (1666-1.5 piston); mouse monoclonal antibody against Smurf (s-c.106165, santa Cruz, USA); rabbit monoclonal antibody against Simurf (soc.106165, santa Cruz, USA); rabbit monoclonal antibody against Big mid 3, cell Signal, USA); and mouse monoclonal antibody against Flag (Flago), signa, USA); mone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl Histone H3 Antibody Sampler Ki (19847, Cell Signal, USA); Tri-Methyl H3 (19847, Ce

	The human head and neck cancer cell lines UMSCC-12 and SCC-25 as well as breast cancer cell
mycoplasma contamination.	lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and MCF-7 and their drug-resistant variant MCF-7/ADM were
	obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. The human head and neck cell lines HN-4, HN-
	6, HN-12, HN-13, HN-30, Cal-27, KB, and KB/VCR; the hepatocyte carcinoma cell line SMMC-7721;
	and the cervical cancer cell line HeLa were obtained from NIH. These cells were maintained in
	Dulbecco's minimum essential medium (Invitrogen) that was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine
	serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. MV4-11, RS4-11, Reh, Daudi, Pfeiffer
	and KE-37 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured with RPMI-1640
	medium and 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). The indicated cell lines were incubated in a
	humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 at 37°C.

\* for all hyperlinks, please see the table at the top right of the document

## D- Animal Models

<ol> <li>Report species, strain, gender, age of animals and genetic modification status where applicable. Please detail housing and husbandry conditions and the source of animals.</li> </ol>	Animal experiments Male BABLPC nude mice, aged 30-35 days and weighing 18-22 g, were used for the animal experiments. The mice were maintained in autoclaved filter-top micro-isolator cages with soutclaved water and sterile food all bithum. The cages were kept in an isolator unit that was provided with filtered air. To generate the results for Fig 5, thirty mice were subcutaneously inoculated with injections of 1-100 cells/nude mice. After 5 days, the tumor sizes were determined using micrometer calipers, and the nude mice with similar tumor volumes (eliminating mice with tumors that were too large or too small) were then randomly divided into 3 groups (10 nude mice per group): the saline tumor control group (negative control group); the oral gavage group with the oral administration of GNA002 100 m/gk/day group and the intrapertioneal
	injection (i, p.) group with intro injection of cisplatin 5 mg/kg/week group. At the end of 4 weeks, the nude mice were sacrificed, and the tumor xenografts were excised and measured. Tumor volume (TV) was calculated using the following formula: TV (mm3)=02x0/2, where d and 0 are the shortest and the longest diameters, respectively. The entire experimental procedure was performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (revised 1996). In addition, the animals were weighed twice per week and monitored for mortality throughout the experimental period to assess treatment toxicity. Pharmacokinetic study
	Adult male ICR mice were fasted overnight prior to drug administration. GNA002 was administered as a single dose of 12 mg/kg by tail vain injection or oral gavage. At pre-dose and at 0.083, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hours post-dose, blood was collected from three male mice and immediately processed for plasma by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 3,000 xg. The resulting plasma was frozen on dry (e.g. and the samples were stored at 8-070 cuntil analysis. Proper measures were taken to minimize pain and discomfort experienced by the mice. The experimental procedures were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (revised 1996).
<ol> <li>For experiments involving live vertebrates, include a statement of compliance with ethical regulations and identify the committee(s) approving the experiments.</li> </ol>	The experiments were performed in compliance with ethical regulations and the protocols were approved by the institute's committee.
10. We recommend consulting the ARRIVE guidelines (see link list at top right) [PLOS Biol. 8(6), e1000412, 2010) to ensure that other relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported. See author guidelines, under "Reporting Guidelines". See also: NIH (see link list at top right) and MRC (see link list at top right) recommendations. Please confirm compilance.	We confirm compliance with the recommended ARRIVE guidelines.

# E- Human Subjects

11. Identify the committee(s) approving the study protocol.	N/A
12. Include a statement confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.	N/A
<ol> <li>For publication of patient photos, include a statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained.</li> </ol>	N/A
14. Report any restrictions on the availability (and/or on the use) of human data or samples.	N/A
<ol> <li>Report the clinical trial registration number (at ClinicalTrials.gov or equivalent), where applicable.</li> </ol>	N/A
16. For phase II and III randomized controlled trials, please refer to the CONSORT flow diagram (see link list at top right) and submit the CONSORT flecklist (see link list at top right) with your submission. See author guidelines, under "Reporting Guidelines". Please confirm you have submitted this list.	N/A
17. For tumor marker prognostic studies, we recommend that you follow the REMARK reporting guidelines (see link list at top right). See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have followed these guidelines.	N/A

# F- Data Accessibility

18. Provide accession codes for deposited data. See author guidelines, under 'Data Deposition'.	N/A
Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:	
a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences	
b. Macromolecular structures	
c. Crystallographic data for small molecules	
d. Functional genomics data	
e. Proteomics and molecular interactions	
19. Deposition is strongly recommended for any datasets that are central and integral to the study; please consider the	N/A
journal's data policy. If no structured public repository exists for a given data type, we encourage the provision of	
datasets in the manuscript as a Supplementary Document (see author guidelines under 'Expanded View' or in	
unstructured repositories such as Dryad (see link list at top right) or Figshare (see link list at top right).	
20. Access to human clinical and genomic datasets should be provided with as few restrictions as possible while	N/A
respecting ethical obligations to the patients and relevant medical and legal issues. If practically possible and compatible	
with the individual consent agreement used in the study, such data should be deposited in one of the major public access	-
controlled repositories such as dbGAP (see link list at top right) or EGA (see link list at top right).	
21. As far as possible, primary and referenced data should be formally cited in a Data Availability section. Please state	N/A
whether you have included this section.	
Examples:	
Primary Data	
Wetmore KM, Deutschbauer AM, Price MN, Arkin AP (2012). Comparison of gene expression and mutant fitness in	
Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. Gene Expression Omnibus GSE39462	
Referenced Data	
Huang J, Brown AF, Lei M (2012). Crystal structure of the TRBD domain of TERT and the CR4/5 of TR. Protein Data Bank	
4026	
AP-MS analysis of human histone deacetylase interactions in CEM-T cells (2013). PRIDE PXD000208	
22. Computational models that are central and integral to a study should be shared without restrictions and provided in a	N/A
machine-readable form. The relevant accession numbers or links should be provided. When possible, standardized	
format (SBML, CellML) should be used instead of scripts (e.g. MATLAB). Authors are strongly encouraged to follow the	
MIRIAM guidelines (see link list at top right) and deposit their model in a public database such as Biomodels (see link list	
at top right) or JWS Online (see link list at top right). If computer source code is provided with the paper, it should be	
deposited in a public repository or included in supplementary information.	

# G- Dual use research of concern

23. Could your study fall under dual use research restrictions? Please check biosecurity documents (see link list at top	N/A
right) and list of select agents and toxins (APHIS/CDC) (see link list at top right). According to our biosecurity guidelines,	
provide a statement only if it could.	