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ABSTRACT

Fundamental organometallic studies at well-defined metal centers provide important insight into the reactivity and selectivity profiles of catalytically relevant systems. Of particular interest are high oxidation state nickel and palladium complexes (i.e. Pd\textsuperscript{IV}, Ni\textsuperscript{IV}, Ni\textsuperscript{III}). These species have been implicated as reactive intermediates in a variety of catalytic transformations including C–H bond functionalization, alkene difunctionalization, and carbon-carbon coupling reactions. However, the transient nature of these intermediates has hindered definitive characterization and confirmation of their roles in catalysis. Ultimately, a fundamental understanding of catalytically relevant organometallic complexes will inform the optimization of known transformations and the development of new catalytic reactions. This thesis describes the design, synthesis, and isolation of high-valent nickel and palladium complexes and studies of their reactivity towards challenging bond-forming reactions.

Chapter 1 describes in detail the roles of palladium and nickel catalysts in carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions, as well as the relevant history and precedent for the work detailed herein.

Chapter 2 is focused on reactivity studies of well-defined Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes supported by bipyridines and cyclometallated carbon-donor ligands. These design strategies enable the direct study of C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV}. We demonstrate that a diverse set of oxygen nucleophiles participate as coupling partners in C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O coupling from Pd\textsuperscript{IV} and that cationic additives play an important role in the chemoselectivity of competing C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–F bond-forming reactions. Experimental
and computational studies provide insight into the mechanism of these reductive elimination reactions.

Chapter 3 details our systematic investigation into the organometallic chemistry of high-valent nickel. We demonstrate that a series of isolable Ni$^{IV}$ complexes can be accessed by the treatment of Ni$^{II}$ precursors with common two-electron oxidants. The importance of the trifluoromethyl (CF$_3$) ligand and tris(pyrazolyl)borate (Tp) scaffold in stabilizing these traditionally transient species is highlighted. Furthermore, reactivity studies show that these Ni$^{IV}$ complexes participate in highly selective carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions that remain extremely challenging to achieve at lower oxidation states of nickel.

In Chapter 4, the reactivity profiles of diorgano-Ni$^{III}$ complexes are evaluated and compared to the Ni$^{IV}$ counterparts. Throughout these studies, Ni$^{IV}$ was shown to promote reductive elimination events more readily than analogous Ni$^{III}$ complexes. In addition, selective carbon–carbon or carbon–heteroatom coupling could be achieved depending on the oxidation state of the nickel center.

Finally, Chapter 5 details a comparative study between high-valent nickel and palladium complexes. Electrochemical analyses, kinetic studies, and computational insights demonstrate the remarkable similarities in the chemistry of Ni$^{IV}$ and Pd$^{IV}$, but an enhanced role for Ni$^{III}$ in enabling reactivity that is distinct from palladium.
CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1. Palladium-Catalyzed Carbon–Carbon and Carbon–Heteroatom Bond-Forming Reactions

The selective formation of carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds is an essential chemical transformation in organic synthesis. Over the past several decades, transition metal-catalyzed reactions that utilize palladium catalysts have served as one of the most powerful and reliable methods for achieving these bond connections.\textsuperscript{1} The vast majority of Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions involve Pd\textsuperscript{0/II} catalytic cycles (low-valent Pd catalysis, Scheme 1.1).\textsuperscript{1} This area of research has been the subject of more than four decades of development and mechanistic study, culminating in the 2010 Nobel Prize in Chemistry.\textsuperscript{2}

Scheme 1.1. (a) Low-valent Pd Catalysis (b) Pd-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions

Despite the ubiquity and synthetic importance of low-valent Pd catalysis, certain classes of transformations have yet to be realized through the Pd\textsuperscript{0/II} manifold. Reactions such as C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–heteroatom and C–CF\textsubscript{3} bond-formation remain prohibitively challenging through low-valent Pd mechanisms.\textsuperscript{3–5} In these reactions, high kinetic barriers to reductive elimination are thought to impede efficient catalysis. These limitations to the remarkable scope of Pd\textsuperscript{0/II} cross-
coupling reactions have driven the field of high-valent Pd catalysis, which involve Pd intermediates in the +3 and/or +4 oxidation state (Scheme 1.2). Reductive elimination from these species generally has a high thermodynamic driving force and relatively low activation barrier. Consequently, the rate of reductive elimination from high-valent Pd centers is often faster than analogous transformations at low-valent Pd. This leads to a broader scope of viable coupling reactions as well as different mechanisms and selectivities. As a result, high-valent Pd catalysis has emerged as a highly complementary method to low-valent Pd catalysis in terms of both substrate scope and the types of bonds that can be formed.

Scheme 1.2. (a) High-Valent Pd Catalysis (b) Pd-catalyzed C–H Functionalization Reactions

![Scheme 1.2 Diagram]

The unique reactivity and selectivity of high-valent Pd intermediates have increasingly been recognized and exploited in catalysis. In particular, carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from transient PdIV species is commonly proposed as the product forming step in PdIII/IV catalyzed reactions, including C–H functionalization, allylic acetoxylation, and alkene difunctionalization (Scheme 1.3a-c). While low-valent Pd catalysts dominated early developments of cross-coupling reactions, the emergence of high-valent Pd catalysis has led to unique transformations that were previously inaccessible from more traditional Pd centers.

Scheme 1.3. PdII/IV-catalyzed (a) C–H iodination (b) allylic acetoxylation and (c) aminoacetoxylation

![Scheme 1.3 Diagram]
1.2. High-Valent Organometallic Chemistry of Palladium

Fundamental organometallic studies of well-defined Pd$^{IV}$ and Pd$^{III}$ complexes have provided insight into the reactivity profiles and mechanistic pathways of catalytically-relevant Pd intermediates.$^{9,10,16}$ In 1986, Canty reported the first example of a structurally characterized Pd$^{IV}$ complex [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$[(CH$_3$)$_3$I] (bpy = 2,2’-bypridine), which was accessed by the net two-electron oxidation of a Pd$^{II}$ precursor with methyl iodide (Scheme 1.4).$^{16b}$ This complex underwent rapid carbon–carbon reductive elimination to release ethane, demonstrating the competency of Pd$^{IV}$ in mediating important bond-forming reactions.

Scheme 1.4. Synthesis and Reactivity of the First Structurally Characterized Pd$^{IV}$ Complex

![Chemical structure](image)

Canty, 1986

characterized by X-ray

After this seminal discovery, the field of high-valent Pd catalysis did not greatly advance until almost twenty years later. The renaissance of Pd$^{II/IV}$ catalysis in the mid-2000s is largely attributed to the development of ligand-directed C–H functionalization reactions.$^{6,11,12}$ These reactions convert ubiquitous C–H bonds into functionalized products in
the presence of a directing group, a terminal oxidant, and a Pd\textsuperscript{II} catalyst (representative example shown in Scheme 1.5).\textsuperscript{17}

**Scheme 1.5.** Pd-catalyzed C–H acetoxylation via a Proposed Pd\textsuperscript{IV} Intermediate

The rapid development of high-valent palladium catalysis in recent years has been accompanied by extensive systematic investigations of reaction mechanisms at well-defined Pd\textsuperscript{IV} centers.\textsuperscript{16,18} These studies have provided support for the following mechanism for Pd\textsuperscript{II/IV}-catalyzed C–H functionalization: (i) ligand-directed C–H activation at a Pd\textsuperscript{II} center; (ii) the two-electron oxidation of Pd\textsuperscript{II} to Pd\textsuperscript{IV} in the presence of a terminal oxidant (X–Y); and (iii) C–X bond-forming reductive elimination from the Pd\textsuperscript{IV} center, regenerating Pd\textsuperscript{II} and releasing the functionalized product (where X = carbon, heteroatom).

**Figure 1.1.** Proposed Mechanism for Pd\textsuperscript{II/IV}-catalyzed C–H Functionalization

Stoichiometric studies by our lab, Canty,\textsuperscript{9a,b,16b,d,19} Vedernikov,\textsuperscript{9f,16l,20} Mirica,\textsuperscript{16n,p,21} Ritter,\textsuperscript{10,15c,18a,22} and others have provided insight about the scope of oxidants capable of
accessing Pd\textsuperscript{IV}, the ability of these species to participate in carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom coupling reactions, and the mechanistic profiles of these transformations. In particular, the two-electron oxidation of Pd\textsuperscript{II} to Pd\textsuperscript{IV} with a number of catalytically-relevant oxidants (i.e., alkyl halides, hypervalent iodine reagents, electrophilic halogenating reagents) has been well-documented.\textsuperscript{9} Moreover, it has been demonstrated that reductive elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV} often proceeds with high selectivity for C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–X (X = heteroatom) bond-formation over competing C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–X coupling, which contrasts with the selectivity typically observed at Pd\textsuperscript{II} centers.\textsuperscript{9,16} Overall, fundamental organometallic studies have led to tremendous advancements in the field of Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling, providing mild and complementary access to important bond formations that remain challenging to achieve at low-valent Pd centers.

Chapter 2 of this thesis is focused on the systematic investigation of C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from well-characterized Pd\textsuperscript{IV} centers, with a focus on the scope, selectivity, and mechanism of these transformations.\textsuperscript{23}

1.3. Nickel-Catalyzed Carbon–Carbon and Carbon–Heteroatom Bond-Forming Reactions

Over the past decade, tremendous progress has been made in the field of nickel catalysis.\textsuperscript{24} For one, nickel catalysts offer the advantage of being more sustainable and cost-effective than their palladium analogues (Table 1).\textsuperscript{25} Nickel can also readily perform many of the same elementary reactions as palladium (i.e., oxidative addition and reductive elimination). However, the fundamental properties of nickel have enabled its use as an effective catalyst for a variety of challenging bond-forming reactions, including transformations that are not accessible with palladium systems.\textsuperscript{24}
Table 1.1. Estimated Prices of Group 10 Metal Salts and Metal–Carbon Bond Dissociation Energies (BDE) in L₂(X)Mⁿ⁻¹–CH₃ Complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCl₂</th>
<th>Price (USD/mmol)</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>BDE (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Ni–C</td>
<td>38–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdCl₂</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Pd–C</td>
<td>48–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCl₂</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>Pt–C</td>
<td>61–66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a first-row transition metal, it is anticipated that organonickel complexes are most reactive among the platinum group metals (Table 1.1).²⁶,²⁷ Moreover, while palladium and platinum complexes generally prefer two-electron processes (with Mⁿ⁻¹ intermediates¹⁰ being less common), nickel species readily undergo single electron transfer reactions.²⁴ The reactivity of nickel species is therefore enriched (and also complicated) by the availability of the Ni¹ and Niⁿ⁻¹ states as well as the possible involvement of outer-sphere radical processes. These characteristics have enabled unique cross-coupling reactions that utilize tertiary alkyl halides²⁸ and phenol derivatives as electrophiles²⁹ (Scheme 1.6).

Scheme 1.6. Ni-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions of (a) Tertiary Alkyl Bromides and (b) Aryl Ethers

Mechanistic studies have shown that Ni-catalyzed reactions can occur via organometallic Ni⁰, Ni¹, Niⁿ⁻¹, and Niⁿ⁻¹ intermediates (Figure 1.2a-b).²⁴ These pathways have enabled transformations such as the reductive coupling of alkynes,³⁰ the cross-coupling of alcohols,³¹ and photocatalytic bond-forming reactions.²⁴ In marked contrast, the accessibility of Ni⁴⁺ in catalysis has not been established (Figure 1.2c). A few reports³² have proposed the
intermediacy of Ni$^{IV}$, for example in Ni-catalyzed C–H functionalization. However, the transient nature of these species has hindered characterization and confirmation of their mechanistic roles.

**Figure 1.2. (a-b) Commonly Proposed Ni-catalyzed Mechanisms via Ni$^{0}$, Ni$I$, Ni$^{II}$, and Ni$^{III}$ Intermediates and (c) Rarely Invoked Ni$^{II/IV}$ Mechanism**

Although the field of nickel catalysis has rapidly expanded over the past decade, challenges in controlling or predicting the operative pathways remain. It is anticipated that systematic studies of organometallic Ni complexes will facilitate mechanistic understanding and ultimately aid in the development of new transformations that promote even more difficult bond-forming reactions.

### 1.4. High-Valent Organometallic Chemistry of Nickel

In comparison to palladium, the organometallic chemistry of high-valent nickel is far less developed. Early stoichiometric studies first suggested nickel’s enhanced ability to mediate carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions in the presence of external oxidants. For example, Kochi demonstrated oxidatively–induced carbon–carbon coupling reactions at Ni to form biaryl linkages (Scheme 1.7). Hillhouse and co-workers later showed carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions from cyclometallated Ni$^{II}$ precursors (Scheme 1.7). High-oxidation state Ni$^{III}$ intermediates (rather than Ni$^{IV}$) were generally proposed as the operative species in these reactions; however, no intermediates were structurally characterized.

While a large amount of indirect evidence supports the transient formation of organoNi$^{\text{III}}$ species, examples of isolable Ni$^{\text{III}}$ complexes remain rare. In the early 1980s, van Koten employed a pincer ligand to stabilize and ultimately isolate an organometallic Ni$^{\text{III}}$ complex (Figure 1.8a). Later studies by our lab demonstrated the ability of this species to mediate C–Br reductive elimination. Most recently, Mirica and co-workers reported the first example of C–C coupling from a Ni$^{\text{III}}$ center (Figure 1.8b). This complex was supported by a tetradeinate nitrogen donor ligand but could only be characterized by in situ EPR studies. Because of the well-documented challenges associated with stabilizing highly reactive Ni$^{\text{III}}$ intermediates, systematic studies investigating the formation, reactivity, and mechanism of these species are lacking. It is anticipated that a fundamental understanding of the organometallic chemistry of Ni$^{\text{III}}$ will aid in the development of high-valent Ni-catalyzed reactions.
Scheme 1.8. Examples of Characterized Ni\textsuperscript{III} Complexes and their Reactivity towards (a) Carbon–Bromine and (b) Carbon–Carbon Bond-Forming Reactions

While Ni\textsuperscript{III} intermediates are commonly implicated in catalysis, the feasibility of the +4 oxidation state is less certain. In the early 1990s, Klein and co-workers reported the first example of a structurally characterized Ni\textsuperscript{IV} species, which was accessed by the two-electron oxidation of a Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursor with methyl iodide (Figure 1.3).\textsuperscript{39} More recent studies by Dimitrov\textsuperscript{40} and later Nuckolls,\textsuperscript{41} demonstrated the use of exotic carbon-donor ligands to stabilize the highly reactive metal centers (Figure 1.3). However, in all cases, these complexes contained highly specialized ligands that did not allow catalytically-relevant bond-forming reactions to be directly investigated. Overall, the uncertainty of Ni\textsuperscript{IV} in catalysis can be attributed, in part, to the limited examples of well-characterized Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes and the inability to directly investigate their reactivity thus far.\textsuperscript{42}

Figure 1.3. Representative Examples of Structurally-Characterized Ni\textsuperscript{IV} Complexes

Our interest in the design and synthesis of organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes stems from the hypothesis that such complexes could possess distinct reactivity from the more common oxidation states of Ni. This hypothesis is predicated on the organometallic chemistry of Pd\textsuperscript{IV}, in which fundamental studies have demonstrated its complementary reactivity to low-valent
Pd centers.\(^9\) Moreover, the intrinsic properties of Ni could provide access to challenging transformations that are not accessible with Pd.

Chapter 3 of this thesis is focused on our design strategies for synthesizing isolable organometallic Ni\(^{IV}\) complexes.\(^{42c,d}\) We demonstrate that the use of stabilizing tridentate ligands, trifluoromethyl groups, and chelating carbon-donor ligands support catalytically-relevant Ni\(^{IV}\) centers. Systematic studies provide insight into the reactivity of these complexes towards carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions. In Chapter 4, the formation and reactivity of Ni\(^{III}\) complexes are directly investigated and compared to the reactivity of their Ni\(^{IV}\) analogues.\(^{43}\) These studies offer preliminary evidence that complementary selectivity can be achieved by accessing distinct oxidation states of nickel. These chapters are followed by a comparative investigation into the formation, reactivity, and mechanism of well-characterized Ni\(^{IV}\) and Pd\(^{IV}\) complexes (Chapter 5), which concludes the work detailed herein.
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CHAPTER 2

Carbon–Heteroatom Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV} Complexes\textsuperscript{1}

2.1. Introduction

Carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV} centers is a key elementary step in numerous high-valent Pd-catalyzed reactions,\textsuperscript{2} including ligand-directed C–H functionalization,\textsuperscript{3,4} alkene difunctionalization,\textsuperscript{5} and allylic acetoxylation.\textsuperscript{6} Studies by our group\textsuperscript{7,8} and others\textsuperscript{9–12} have probed the mechanism of C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination reactions from high-valent Pd complexes. In turn, these studies have helped to inform the design and development of new catalytic processes.\textsuperscript{3–6}

Scheme 2.1. Proposed mechanism for Pd\textsuperscript{II/IV}-catalyzed ligand-directed C–H functionalization

In marked contrast, much less is known about the corresponding C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–heteroatom coupling processes at high-valent Pd (Scheme 2.1).\textsuperscript{13,14} Previous attempts to investigate these transformations have been plagued by the low stability of high-valent Pd intermediates and by side reactions, such as competing methyl group transfer from Pd\textsuperscript{IV} intermediates to Pd\textsuperscript{II} reactants, as well as C–C coupling at Pd\textsuperscript{IV}.\textsuperscript{15} As a result, the mechanisms of these
transformations remain opaque, and the scope of nucleophiles that can serve as coupling partners has not been well studied. Furthermore, the chemoselectivity of C–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination is poorly understood in systems where multiple competing reductive elimination processes could take place.

This chapter describes the design of a model system that enables a detailed exploration of the scope, chemoselectivity, and mechanism of C(sp³)–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from PdIV. Throughout our studies, C(sp³)–heteroatom bond formation was found to proceed selectively over potentially competing C(sp³)–heteroatom coupling, which is in contrast to the selectivity typically observed at lower oxidation states of Pd. We have also found that these transformations can proceed with weak oxygen nucleophiles such as nitrate and tosylate. In addition, we demonstrate that cationic additives (i.e., Li⁺ versus NBu₄⁺) play an important role in the chemoselectivity of competing C(sp³)–O and C(sp³)–F coupling at PdIV centers. Finally, studies suggest the reversibility of this reductive elimination process when electron deficient nucleophiles such as nitrate, tosylate, and iodide participate as coupling partners.

2.2. Results and Discussion

2.2.1. C(sp³)–O Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from PdIV with Diverse Oxygen Nucleophiles

Design of a Model System

Several considerations went into the design of a model system for studying C(sp³)–O bond-forming reductive elimination from PdIV (Scheme 2.2). First, a PdIV-alkyl complex that does not contain β-hydrogens was selected to avoid competing β-hydride elimination. Second, a ligand environment was targeted that would render the PdIV intermediates isolable and still be highly modular to allow for the introduction of diverse oxygen nucleophiles. Finally, a system that would enable the investigation of competing C–O, C–F, and C–C bond-
forming reductive elimination was sought to mimic important elementary steps in catalytic methodologies. For instance, prior work in our lab has shown that competing C(sp³)–O and C(sp³)–F bond-formation occurs from a putative high-valent Pd center in catalytic fluorination reactions of 8-methylquinoline with AgF/PhI(OPiv)₂.¹⁷ Achieving the selective formation of a single product remains a major challenge in this and related PdII/IV-catalyzed methods.

**Scheme 2.2.** The Design of a Model System for Studying C–O Coupling from PdIV

---

Our group has previously demonstrated that cyclometallated PdIV derivatives of the general structure (bpy)Pd(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(F)(X) can be stable and often isolable complexes (Scheme 2.3).¹⁸ When X = OTf, this ligand can be readily displaced by other anions or Lewis bases (e.g., TsNH⁻, pyridine). Some of these complexes have been shown to participate in selective reductive elimination at the sp³-carbon ligand. Furthermore, depending on the conditions, competing reductive eliminations can be observed.¹⁸b Thus, this system was selected to probe the scope, mechanism, and selectivity of C(sp³)–O bond-forming reductive elimination at PdIV.
Scheme 2.3. Competitive C–N vs. C–F Reductive Elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV}

![Scheme 2.3](image)

**Initial Studies with Phenoxide as the Nucleophile**

Phenoxide ligands are known to serve as coupling partners in numerous reductive elimination reactions, including both C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–O\textsuperscript{19} and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O\textsuperscript{14} coupling at Pd\textsuperscript{II} centers and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O bond formation at Pd\textsuperscript{IV}\textsuperscript{13b}. Based on these precedents, we targeted Pd\textsuperscript{IV} phenoxide complex 2a for our initial investigations. Complex 2a was obtained in 73% isolated yield by treatment of the Pd\textsuperscript{IV} triflate complex 1\textsuperscript{18a} with 1 equiv of sodium phenoxide in CH\textsubscript{3}CN at room temperature (Scheme 2.4). Complex 2a\textsuperscript{20} was fully characterized by one- and two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C, and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy.

Scheme 2.4. Synthesis and Reactivity of Phenoxide-Ligated Pd\textsuperscript{IV} Complex 2a

When 2a was heated at 50 ºC for 2 h in CD\textsubscript{3}CN it underwent C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O bond-forming reductive elimination to form 3a in 54% yield as determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 2.4). The main by-product in this reaction was cyclobutane 4 (derived from C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–C(sp\textsuperscript{3}) coupling),\textsuperscript{18b} which was formed in 26% yield. Importantly, no C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–F or C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–O/F
Reductive elimination products were observed under these conditions. This is in notable contrast to a recent report by Mirica, who showed that a closely related Pd$^{IV}$($\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2$-$\text{o}$-$\text{CsH}_4$)(OH) complex undergoes clean C(sp$^2$)–OH coupling upon thermolysis (eq. 1). We rationalize the difference in reactivity between the two systems based on the comparatively stronger nucleophilicity of −OH. This would inhibit dissociation from the Pd$^{IV}$ center, favoring a concerted or quasi-concerted intramolecular reductive elimination processes. DFT calculations carried out by the authors suggest that this intramolecular C(sp$^2$)–O bond formation is favored over intramolecular S_N2-type C(sp$^3$)–O reductive elimination in their model system.

Based on some of the prior studies in our group, we hypothesized that the addition of exogenous −OPh to reductive elimination reactions from 2a might enhance the selectivity for C(sp$^3$)–O coupling. Indeed, the addition of 2-5 equiv of NaOPh resulted in the quantitative formation of 3a as determined by NMR spectroscopic analysis. This Pd$^{II}$ fluoride product was challenging to isolate because of the highly hygroscopic fluoride ligand. It is well documented that hydrogen bond donors such as water can interact with the fluoride ligand in Pd$^{II}$–F complexes. This interaction facilitates rapid ionization of the Pd–F bond, making it susceptible to ligand substitution and decomposition pathways. However, washing dichloromethane solutions of 3a with brine resulted in substitution of the fluoride ligand for chloride to form 5a, which was isolated in 72% yield (Scheme 2.5).
Scheme 2.5. Optimized Conditions for the Isolation of Pd\textsuperscript{II} Product 5a

![Scheme 2.5](image)

**Scope of Oxygen Nucleophiles for C-O Coupling from Pd\textsuperscript{IV}**

We next explored the scope of oxygen nucleophiles that participate in this transformation, with a focus on weakly nucleophilic oxyanions. The treatment of 1 with 1 equiv of NaOR (OR = acetate, difluoroacetate, nitrate, dimethyl phosphate, and tosylate) at –10 °C resulted in the quantitative formation of new Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes, as determined by \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopic analyses.\textsuperscript{24} Unlike the phenoxide adduct 2a, these complexes (2b-f) were not sufficiently stable for isolation; however, they were all characterized \textit{in situ} using one and two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C, and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{25} Warming solutions of 2b-f to between 25 and 50 °C in the presence of 4 equiv of exogenous NaOR resulted in clean C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O coupling, and the products 5b-e were isolated in high yield after washing with aqueous brine (Scheme 2.6).\textsuperscript{26,27} The structure of the Pd\textsuperscript{II} nitrate product 5d was confirmed by X-ray crystallography, and an ORTEP representation of this structure is shown in Figure 2.1.

Scheme 2.6. Scope of C–O Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Pd\textsuperscript{IV}

![Scheme 2.6](image)

\begin{itemize}
\item 50 °C, 2 h.\textsuperscript{a} 25 °C, 5 h.\textsuperscript{b} NMe\textsubscript{2}DFA, 40 °C, 1 h.\textsuperscript{c} DMSO, 50 °C, 1 h.\textsuperscript{d} NaOTs/NMe\textsubscript{2}OTs, 25 °C, 12 h
\item \textsuperscript{a}50 °C, 2 h. \textsuperscript{b}25 °C, 5 h. \textsuperscript{c}NMe\textsubscript{2}DFA, 40 °C, 1 h. \textsuperscript{d}DMSO, 50 °C, 1 h. \textsuperscript{e}NaOTs/NMe\textsubscript{2}OTs, 25 °C, 12 h
\end{itemize}
Influence of the Cation on Chemoselectivity

Selectivity for C–O versus C–F reductive elimination in catalysis is often rationalized based on the relative nucleophilicity of \( F^- \) versus \( RO^- \), with the more nucleophilic anion dominating the reductive elimination process.\(^\text{28} \) As such, we were intrigued that products of C–F coupling were not observed in any of the reactions in Scheme 2.6, even with the very weakly nucleophilic NaNO\(_3\) and NaOTs. However, changing the nitrate/tosylate source from NaOR to NBu\(_4\)OR under otherwise identical conditions resulted in a dramatic change in product distribution. For instance, as shown in Scheme 2.7, the treatment of 1 with 5 equiv of NBu\(_4\)NO\(_3\) resulted in competitive formation of products derived from C(sp\(^3\))–O (3d, 56% yield) and C(sp\(^3\))–F (6d, 44% yield) bond-forming reductive elimination. Similarly, the use of NMe\(_4\)OTs resulted in 42% yield of C(sp\(^3\))–O coupled product 3f and 58% of the corresponding alkyl fluoride 6f.

Figure 2.1. ORTEP Structure of Reductive Elimination Product 5d. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
We hypothesize that these counterion effects are due to interactions between the Lewis acidic cation and the Lewis basic fluoride ligand.\textsuperscript{29} Consistent with this proposal, the \textsuperscript{19}F NMR signal for the fluoride ligand in 1 (~336 ppm in CD\textsubscript{3}CN) shifts in a concentration dependent manner upon the addition of Lewis acidic cations. For instance, in the presence of 5 equiv (0.11 M) of NaOTf, this signal appears at ~338 ppm, while with 20 equiv (0.44 M) of NaOTf the resonance appears at ~341 ppm. This effect is cation-specific; for instance, no analogous shift was observed upon the addition of 5 equiv of NBu\textsubscript{4}OTf. The stoichiometry of this interaction was assessed by evaluation of a series of solutions with a constant total concentration of 1 and NaOTf ([1] + [NaOTf] = 36 mM), but with varied molar ratios of the two components. The resulting Job Plot (Figure 2.2) shows a maximum at $\chi = 0.5$, indicative of a 1:1 interaction between 1 and NaOTf.\textsuperscript{30}
**Figure 2.2.** Job Plot of $\Delta\delta_F \times \chi$ vs. $\chi$ at 25 °C, where $\chi$ is the mol fraction of Substrate 1

![Chemical structure and graph](image)

**Figure 2.3.** The Effect of the Cation ($Y^+$) on the $^{19}$F NMR Chemical Shift of Complex 1 in the Presence of 5 equiv of (a) LiOTf, (b) NaOTf, (c) KOTf, (d) CsOTf (e) NBu$_4$OTf

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)
LiOTf, a stronger Lewis acid than NaOTf,\textsuperscript{31} has an even greater impact on the $^{19}$F NMR chemical shift of 1 (–343 ppm with 5 equiv (0.11 M) of LiOTf; Figure 2.3a). In contrast, the weaker Lewis acids KOTf and CsOTf produced negligible changes in the chemical shift (–336 ppm; Figure 2.3c, d). Subjecting 1 to 5 equiv of KNO$_3$ and CsNO$_3$ led to 29% and 53% yield of the C–F reductive elimination product, respectively, while the addition of LiNO$_3$ resulted in exclusive formation of the C(sp$^3$)–O coupling product 3d (Table 2.1). Taken together, these data suggest that interactions between the Pd$^{IV}$–F and the Lewis acidic cation decrease the accessibility of C–F bond-forming pathway(s). These results provide unprecedented new information about the role of cations in reductive elimination reactions from Pd$^{IV}$, and, as such, they have numerous potential applications in catalysis.\textsuperscript{32}

**Table 2.1.** Product Distribution of C–O and C–F Reductive Elimination from 1 as a Function of Cation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation (Y$^+$)</th>
<th>C-O (%)</th>
<th>C-F (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBu$_4$</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yields determined by $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude reaction

**Mechanistic Investigations**

A variety of experimental and computational studies were conducted to gain further insights into the mechanism of these C(sp$^3$)–O bond-forming reductive elimination reactions. Complexes 2a-c were selected for detailed mechanistic study because they all undergo high
yielding C(sp³)–O bond formation under a standard set of conditions, thereby enabling the direct comparison of reaction rates. Unless otherwise stated, these studies were conducted using tetramethylammonium salts of the oxyanions to render the CH₃CN solutions completely homogeneous for kinetic measurements. As described in detail below, the data are all consistent with the mechanism presented in Scheme 2.8. Here, pre-equilibrium dissociation of OR is followed by rate-limiting C(sp³)–O bond formation proceeding via an S_N2-type pathway. The rate expression for the proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.8, and a summary of the mechanistic data is provided in Table 2.2.

Scheme 2.8. Proposed Mechanism for C(sp³)–O Bond Formation

Table 2.2. Summary of Experimental Mechanistic Data for Reductive Elimination from Complexes 2a-c to form 3a-c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>RO⁻</th>
<th>( pK_a ) (conj acid)</th>
<th>EXSY (minimum temp for exchange)</th>
<th>( k_{obs} ) at 35 °C (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5 )O⁻</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15 °C</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>( \text{CO} )⁻</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10 °C</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>( \text{CF}_3\text{CO} )⁻</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>−10 °C</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Exchange Studies.** We first examined the lability of the \( {\text{OR}} \) ligands in Pd\( ^\text{IV} \) complexes 2a-c using EXSY NMR experiments (Figure 2.4). In all cases, \(^1\)H and \(^{19}\)F EXSY studies show exchange between free and bound oxyanions at temperatures where complexes 2a-c are stable to reductive elimination (−10 to 15 °C). As shown in Table 2.2, the minimum temperature for exchange parallels the basicity of the oxyanion, with more basic (and therefore presumably more coordinating) ligands requiring higher temperatures for exchange. These results support the feasibility of rapid pre-equilibrium dissociation of \( {\text{OR}} \) to form a cationic intermediate prior to C–O bond formation.

**Figure 2.4.** Representative EXSY NMR Spectrum of 2a at 15 °C Showing Exchange of Phenoxide Ligand

---

**Rate Studies.** Rate studies were next carried out to probe the kinetic order of C(sp\(^3\))–O bond-formation from 2a-c in both [Pd] and [\( {\text{OR}} \)]. First, the reactions of 1 with 5 equiv of NMe\(_4\)OR (0.071 M) (\( {\text{OR}} = \) phenoxide, acetate, difluoroacetate) at 35 °C in CD\(_3\)CN were monitored by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy. Under these conditions, the decay of in situ-generated 2a-c proceeded with clean first-order kinetic behavior over 3 half-lives, and a representative kinetics plot is shown in Figure 2.5. The value of \( k_{\text{obs}} \) for reductive elimination was determined over a range of concentrations of exogenous [NMe\(_4\)OR] (0.021 M to 0.13 M, 1.5-
9.0 equiv). In all cases, a zeroth-order dependence on [NMe₄OR] was observed. These data rule out a mechanism involving direct attack of an external oxyanion nucleophile on complexes 2a-c, as such a process would be expected to display a first-order dependence on [NMe₄OR]. Instead, the zeroth-order dependence on the nucleophile is fully consistent with the proposed mechanism (see rate expression in Scheme 2.8).

**Figure 2.5. Reaction Profile for Reductive Elimination from 2a to form 3a at 35 °C**

The value of $k_{\text{obs}}$ for this reaction was nearly identical for complexes 2a-c (ranging between 8.0 and 8.1 x $10^{-4}$ s⁻¹). There is no correlation between the pKₐ of the conjugate acid of the oxyanion and the rate of reductive elimination, over a pKₐ range of >8. Hartwig has reported a similar observation in studies of C(sp³)–O bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd^{II} centers.¹⁴ These data are consistent with a mechanism involving two sequential steps that have opposing electronic requirements. In our system, the pre-equilibrium `OR dissociation is fastest with the most electron deficient oxyanions. In contrast, S_N2-type attack of RO⁻ on the Pd^{IV}–C bond is expected to be fastest with more electron rich oxyanions. In both our system and in Hartwig’s, the electronic requirements of these two steps appear to essentially cancel one another out.
Additive Effects. While the electronic properties of \(-OR\) had a negligible effect on the rate of reductive elimination, the addition of water impacted the kinetics of reductive elimination. In the presence of 100 equiv of water, $k_{\text{obs}}$ for reductive elimination from complex 2b was approximately 2-fold faster ($18 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ at 35 ºC) than under anhydrous conditions ($8.1 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ at 35 ºC). Protic additives have been previously reported to increase the rate of reductive elimination for reactions proceeding through an ionic intermediate, presumably by facilitating pre-equilibrium dissociation of $X^-$. Consistent with this proposal, EXSY experiments for complex 2b in the presence of 100 equiv of water show that exchange of free and bound acetate occurs at a lower temperature (0 ºC) than observed under anhydrous conditions (10 ºC, Table 2.2). Thus, the addition of water may also shift the pre-equilibrium proposed in Scheme 2.8 and Figure 2.6 towards the cationic intermediate A.

Figure 2.6. The Effect of Water on the Rate of C–O Coupling from 2b
Finally, the cation was found to dramatically impact the rate of reductive elimination. For instance, $k_{\text{obs}}$ for reductive elimination from 2a was more than an order of magnitude faster for reactions conducted in the presence of 4 equiv of NMe$_4$OPh than with 4 equiv of NaOPh ($k_{\text{obs}} = 81 \times 10^{-5}$ vs. $4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively). Notably, solubility is not the origin of this effect as both salts are completely soluble under the experimental conditions. Moreover, no competing C–F reductive elimination was observed under any of the conditions examined when the comparatively stronger nucleophile OPPh served as the coupling partner. We thus rationalize these observations based on the relative strengths of the ion pairs in these two species, with NaOPh existing as a much tighter ion pair in CH$_3$CN than NMe$_4$OPh. Tight ion pairing is expected to render PhO$^-$ less accessible as a nucleophile, which is expected to slow the S$_n$2-type reductive elimination step. Consistent with this hypothesis, the addition of 15-crown-5 to the reactions with NaOPh led to a seven-fold increase in the observed rate constant ($k_{\text{obs}} = 27 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$), presumably by decreasing the tightness of the NaOPh ion pair. $^{35}$
**Figure 2.7.** The Effect of the Counterion on the Rate of C–O Coupling from 2a

![Reaction Scheme](image)

**Computational Studies**

DFT calculations were conducted by Prof. Allan Canty at the University of Tasmania to further assess the viability of the mechanism proposed in Scheme 2.8 for OR = OPh, O$_2$CCF$_2$H, and OAc. The calculated reaction profile is nearly identical for the three complexes, and a representative profile (for 2a) is shown in Figure 2.8. In all cases, DFT scans for dissociation of the RO$^-$ ligand from 2 show an essentially barrier-less process with steadily increasing energy to form A. For complexes 2a-c, the formation of A is endergonic ($\Delta G = 7.1-15.9 \text{ kcal/mol}$; Table 2.3, column 3). These data are consistent with the fact that A is not detected experimentally in these three systems and are also consistent with the experimental observation that anion exchange occurs at temperatures lower than those required for reductive elimination. Furthermore, the calculated $\Delta G$ values are in agreement with the experimental exchange results, with more electron rich OR requiring higher temperatures for dissociation ($\Delta G$ for PhO$^-$ > AcO$^-$ > CF$_2$HCO$_2^-$; Table 2.3, column 3).
Figure 2.8. Energy Profile for Reductive Elimination from 2a, Together with Gaussview Diagrams. Energies $\Delta G$ ($\Delta H$) in kcal/mol and bond distances in Å.

The values of $\Delta G^\ddagger$ for $S_N2$ attack on intermediate A track well with the nucleophilicity of $RO^-$ ($\Delta G^\ddagger$ for $PhO^-$ = 2.1 kcal/mol; for $AcO^-$ = 5.9 kcal/mol; for $CF_2HCO_2^-$ = 9.3 kcal/mol; Table 2.3, column 4). Because of the offsetting electronic requirements of the oxyanion dissociation and $S_N2$ reductive elimination steps, the overall $\Delta G^\ddagger$ values for moving from 2a-c to TS_2 are essentially identical within the error of DFT (ranging from 16.4 to 18.0 kcal/mol; Table 2.3, column 5). This is consistent with the experimental results showing very similar rates of reductive elimination from 2a-c (Table 2.2).
Table 2.3. Summary of DFT Data for Reductive Elimination from 2a-c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{2\rightarrow A}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{A\rightarrow TS2}^{\dagger}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{2\rightarrow TS2}^{\dagger}$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kinetic studies of the nitrate system were not feasible experimentally, therefore computational studies were carried out to gain more insight into this reductive elimination process. The formation of nitrate complex 2d from 1 computes as exergonic by just 0.9 kcal/mol (Scheme 2.9). This computation is consistent with experimental NMR data showing the presence of an equilibrium between 2d and 1d (Figure 2.9) and is in contrast to the more nucleophilic oxygen donors in which the ligated phenoxide, acetate, and difluoroacetate complexes (2a, 2a, and 2c) are the sole species detected (*vide infra*). Despite the weak nucleophilicity of the nitrate coupling partner, DFT calculations show a low barrier for nucleophilic attack at the Pd$^{IV}$–alkyl carbon in intermediate A. Overall, the combined experimental and computational mechanistic investigations support an $S_N2$-type reductive elimination pathway proceeding via a cationic, five-coordinate intermediate for all nucleophiles examined.
Scheme 2.9. Equilibria and Reaction Pathway for Reductive Elimination from 2d. Energies ΔG (ΔH) are in kcal/mol.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(1d)} & \quad \text{Pd}^{	ext{IV}} \quad \frac{\text{F}}{\text{C\text{O}}_{2}\text{CN}} + \text{NO}_{3}^{-} \quad -40 ^\circ \text{C} \quad \Delta G(\Delta H) = 0.9 (2.9) \\
\text{A} & \quad \text{Pd}^{	ext{IV}} \quad \frac{\text{F}}{\text{C\text{N}}} \quad -40 ^\circ \text{C} \quad \Delta G(\Delta H) = 4.7 (13.5) \\
\text{(2d)} & \quad \text{Pd}^{	ext{IV}} \quad \frac{\text{F}}{\text{ONO}_{2}} \quad \Delta G(\Delta H) = 0.0 (0.0)
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \Delta G(\Delta H)^{\ddagger} = 17.3 (18.4) \quad \text{warm to 0 } ^\circ \text{C} \]

Figure 2.9. Identification of an equilibrium between 1d and 2d in the presence of (a) 1 equiv of NBu₄NO₃ (b) 20 equiv of NBu₄NO₃ and (c) 50 equiv of NBu₄NO₃ at –40 °C

2.2.2. Carbon–Halogen Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Pd⁴⁺: Evidence for Re-Oxidative Addition Pathways with Non-Traditional Nucleophiles

We reasoned that replacement of the labile triflate ligand in 1 with other X-type ligands (i.e., Cl, Br, I) would allow the reactivity, selectivity, and mechanism of C–halogen bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd⁴⁺ to be more generally explored.²,³,⁸,³⁹ Preliminary studies of Pd⁴⁺–halide species 7a-c provide insight into their relative reactivity.
towards C–X (X = Cl, Br, I) vs. C–F bond-forming reductive elimination.\textsuperscript{18a} The treatment of model complex 1 with NBu\textsubscript{4}X (Cl, Br, I) led to the \textit{in situ} formation of Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes 7a-c (Scheme 2.10). In comparison to the phenoxide-ligated complex 2a in section 2.2.1, the halide adducts 7a-c were unstable and could not be isolated. \textit{In situ} characterization of these complexes were therefore carried out at or below room temperature.

In the presence of 1 equiv of exogenous halide, complexes 7a and 7b underwent selective C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–Br and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–I coupling, respectively, after 2-4 h at room temperature. Thermolysis of the chloride adduct 7a led to clean C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–Cl bond formation after 2 h at 50 °C. The relative rates of C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–X coupling track well with the leaving group ability of the halides and suggest the following trend: I > Br > Cl > F. These results mirror catalytic development in the area of Pd\textsuperscript{II/IV} catalysis in which methods for C–F bond formation remain less prevalent in the literature.\textsuperscript{40}

\textbf{Scheme 2.10.} Competitive C–Halogen Reductive Elimination from \textit{in situ} Generated Complexes 7a-c

Interestingly, we observed a dramatic difference in the reactivity/selectivity for C–halogen reductive elimination from 7a in the absence of exogenous iodide. As shown in Scheme 2.10, exclusive C–F reductive elimination from iodide adduct 7a was observed after 2 h at room temperature. Notably, these mild conditions contrast the high temperatures
typically required for C–F reductive elimination in this model system.\textsuperscript{18} One possible explanation for this observation is depicted in Scheme 2.11: (i) Facile C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–I reductive elimination initially occurs, generating the kinetic product 8a. (ii) In the absence of added iodide, this reductive elimination event is reversible and the alkyl iodide undergoes an intramolecular oxidative addition to form an unstable Pd\textsuperscript{IV} isomer. (iii) C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–F reductive elimination readily occurs from this intermediate, providing mild access to the alkyl fluoride product 9. Previous work by Campora\textsuperscript{41} has demonstrated the feasibility of alkyl-iodide oxidative addition in a related Pd\textsuperscript{II/IV} system.\textsuperscript{42}

**Scheme 2.11.** Proposed Mechanism for the Observed Reactivity Depicted in Scheme 2.10

To gain evidence in support of the proposed reversible reductive elimination event, complex 1 was treated with 1 equiv of NBu\textsubscript{4}I, and the reaction was monitored by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2.10). Consistent with our hypothesis, alkyl iodide 8a was detected after 20 min at –10 °C (Figure 2.10, bottom spectra). However, over time, new \textsuperscript{1}H NMR
resonances consistent with a new Pd$^{IV}$ intermediate appeared (proposed species 7a' and/or other isomers) with concomitant decay of 8a and growth of the C–F coupled product 9. Finally, warming the reaction mixture to room temperature led to complete consumption of the reactive intermediates and >95% conversion to the C–F reductive elimination product (Figure 2.10, top spectra). Overall, these preliminary results support the feasibility of the pathway outlined in Scheme 2.11.

As a final set of studies, we sought to explore the generality of the transformation proposed in Scheme 2.11. We reasoned that if oxidative addition of the pendant alkyl iodide was occurring, then complexes containing even better leaving groups should also participate in this intramolecular reaction. The reactivity of Pd$^{II}$ complexes 5d and 5f containing alkyl-nitrate and tosylate functionalities was therefore monitored by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.

As depicted in Figure 2.11, the treatment of alkyl nitrate 5d with 1 equiv of NMe$_4$Cl led to formation of the previously characterized C–Cl reductive elimination product 8c after 36 h at 50 °C. The tosylate analogue was even more reactive, consistent with its greater leaving group ability, as subjecting 5f to 1 equiv of NMe$_4$Cl led to C–Cl coupling after 2 h at 10 °C (eq. 2). Importantly, studies carried out in the absence of Pd suggest that this reactivity is likely not the result of direct nucleophilic attack of Cl$^-$ at the alkyl carbon (eq. 3).
2.2.3. Exploring the Synthesis and Reactivity of a Model Pd\textsuperscript{IV}(biphenyl) System

In parallel with studies centered on alkyl-Pd\textsuperscript{IV} derivatives, we also examined the synthesis and reactivity of Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes containing sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbons. Initial studies targeted Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes of general structure 12 for several reasons. First, reductive elimination is favored at sp\textsuperscript{3} over sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon centers for all of the nucleophiles examined in our initial model system, which precludes study of the latter transformation. In addition, model complex 12 would allow us to assess the viability of direct C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–O vs. C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–F reductive elimination from an octahedral Pd\textsuperscript{IV} center with electronic deficient OR ligands.\textsuperscript{7}

As shown in Scheme 2.12, Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes 12a and 12b were prepared via a multi-step synthetic sequence starting from Pd\textsuperscript{II} precursor [(COD)PdCl\textsubscript{2}] (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene). Lithiation of 1,2-dibromobiphenyl at low temperature and subsequent treatment with [(COD)PdCl\textsubscript{2}] gave the corresponding [(COD)Pd(biphenyl)] complex 10 in 44% yield. Ligand exchange with bipyridine afforded the penultimate product 11, which was isolated as a bright orange solid in 95% yield. The treatment of [(bpy)Pd(biphenyl)] (11) with the F\textsuperscript{+} oxidant NFTPT (N-Fluoro-trimethylpyridinium triflate) and the corresponding NBu\textsubscript{4}OR salt afforded the desired Pd\textsuperscript{IV} complexes 12a and 12b in 54 and 62% isolated yields, respectively. X-ray quality crystals of 12b were obtained from a concentrated
dichloromethane solution at 40 °C, and an ORTEP representation of the structure is shown in Figure 2.12.

**Scheme 2.12. Synthesis of PdIV Model System 12**

![Scheme 2.12](image)

**Figure 2.12.** ORTEP Representation of PdIV Complex 12b. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

In contrast to acetate and nitrate bound complexes 3b and 3d, complexes 12a and 12b were remarkably stable and did not undergo C(sp²)–O or C(sp²)–F reductive elimination after heating between 50-80 °C overnight (Scheme 2.13). The difference in reactivity between 3 and 12 can be attributed, in part, to the requirement for reductive elimination to occur from a
pentacordinate intermediate in these model systems. Previous work has shown that carbon–
heteroatom reductive elimination reactions from Pd$^\text{IV}$ and Pt$^\text{IV}$ centers often proceed from
five-coordinate species following ligand dissociation.$^{2,7,13,15,18}$ However, in the biphenyl
system, dissociation of the electron-deficient nitrate and acetate anions from 12 would lead to
a cationic intermediate that prevents the direct, cis reductive elimination needed for C(sp$^2$)–O
coupling. The lack of products attributed to C(sp$^2$)–F reductive elimination can be
rationalized by the strength of the Pd$^\text{IV}$–F bond and the challenging nature of the C(sp$^2$)–F
reductive elimination event.$^{40}$

**Scheme 2.13.** Difference in Stability/Reactivity of Model Complexes 3 and 12 Towards C–O
Coupling with Acetate and Nitrate as Coupling Partners

To provide further evidence for this hypothesis, the reactivity of complex 13 bearing
two labile acetate ligands was studied. Diacetate 13 was synthesized via oxidation of
precursor 11 with MesI(OAc)$_2$. In contrast to 12, complex 13 underwent C(sp$^2$)–O bond-
forming reductive elimination upon heating at 50 °C for 2.5 h (Scheme 2.14a). Facile ligand
exchange of the −OAc trans to the sp$^2$–C in complex 12 with NMe$_4$OAc-d$_3$ suggests that a
five-coordinate intermediate is accessible (Scheme 2.14b). These preliminary results provide
evidence for C(sp$^2$)–O reductive elimination proceeding from a five-coordinate complex,
which is consistent with the vast majority of reductive elimination reactions from Pd$^\text{IV}$
centers.$^{2,7,13,15,18}$
2.3. Conclusions

In summary, this chapter describes experimental and computational studies of carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reductive elimination from PdIV complexes. In section 2.2.1 we demonstrate that oxyanions ranging from strongly nucleophilic phenoxide to weakly nucleophilic tosylate and nitrate participate as coupling partners in C(sp3)–O bond-forming reactions. In all cases, C(sp3)–O bond formation occurs with high selectivity over C(sp2)–O coupling, which is in contrast to the selectivity that typically occurs from low-valent Pd centers. Additives have a profound impact on the chemoselectivity of these reductive elimination reactions. Specifically, the addition of excess RO– limits competing C(sp3)–C(sp2) bond-forming reductive elimination, while the presence of Lewis acidic cations suppresses competing C(sp3)–F coupling. Both experimental and computational mechanistic investigations are consistent with an S替换2-type reductive elimination pathway proceeding via a cationic, five-coordinate intermediate.

Studies in section 2.2.2 provide evidence for the reversibility of this reductive elimination process when good leaving groups (i.e., iodide, nitrate, and tosylate) serve as coupling partners. Finally, section 2.2.3 explores the synthesis and reactivity of an analogous sp2-hybridized model system. These complexes exhibit remarkable stability and do not undergo C(sp2)–O coupling reactions with weakly nucleophilic anions such as nitrate.
We anticipate that the detailed studies described herein will ultimately prove valuable in the development, optimization, and mechanistic understanding of high-valent Pd-catalyzed C(sp³)–heteroatom coupling reactions.

2.4. Experimental Procedures and Characterization of Compounds

2.4.1. General Procedures and Materials and Methods

General Procedures

All experiments were conducted under ambient atmosphere unless otherwise stated. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VNMR 700 (699.76 MHz for ¹H; 175.95 MHz for ¹³C) or a Varian VNMR 500 (500.09 MHz for ¹H; 470.56 MHz for ¹⁹F; 125.75 MHz for ¹³C; 202.43 MHz for ³¹P) spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak as an internal reference. ¹⁹F chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to CCl₃F. NMR signals were assigned based on the following 2D experiments: ¹H/¹H COSY, ¹H/¹H TOCSY, ¹H/¹H NOESY, ¹H/¹H ROESY, ¹H/¹³C HSQC, ¹H/¹⁹F HOESY. Abbreviations used in the NMR data: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; td, triplet of doublets; ddd, doublet of doublets of doublets; br, broad signal. Mass spectral data were obtained on a Micromass magnetic sector mass spectrometer in electrospray ionization mode. X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-I CCD-based X-ray diffractometer.

Materials and Methods

The following compounds were prepared via literature procedures: Pd^{II}(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(COD),³³ [(bpy)Pd^{IV}(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(F)(OTf)] (1),¹⁸a lithium p-toluenesulfonate, cesium trifluoromethanesulfonate,⁴⁴ and sodium dimethyl phosphate.⁴⁵ All syntheses were conducted under ambient atmosphere. The cyclobutane by-product (4) was characterized by comparison of its ¹H NMR spectrum with that reported in the literature.⁴⁶ Sodium trifluoromethanesulfonate, potassium trifluoromethanesulfonate, sodium p-toluenesulfonate, potassium nitrate, tetramethylammonium acetate, and tetramethylammonium hydroxide solution (1 M in H₂O) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Phenol, sodium acetate, sodium nitrate, p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate, and tetramethylammonium chloride were obtained from Acros. Sodium phenoxide, tetramethylammonium nitrate, lithium nitrate, and 15-crown-5 were obtained from Alfa Aesar. 1-Fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate
(NFTPT) and iodomesitylene diacetate were obtained from TCI America. Cesium nitrate was obtained from Fisher. Difluoroacetic acid was obtained from Oakwood. Acetonitrile (Aldrich), dichloromethane (Fisher), pentane (Fisher), petroleum ether (Fisher), and diethyl ether (EMD) were used without further purification. CD₃CN, CD₂Cl₂, and CD₃OD were obtained from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and used without further purification.

2.4.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of NMe₄OR [OR = phenoxide (OPh), difluoroacetate (O₂CCF₂H), tosylate (OTs)]**

A 20 mL vial was charged with HOR (2.5 mmol). NMe₄OH (2.5 mL of a 0.1 M solution in H₂O) was then added, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. H₂O was removed under reduced pressure (heating at 80 °C). The product was further dried by heating at 70 °C under vacuum for 15 h.

**NMe₄OPh** was obtained according to the procedure above as a light brown powder (401 mg, 96% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 6.99 (t, JHH = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.62 (d, JHH = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (t, JHH = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (s, NMe₄, 12H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 164.55, 128.57, 117.76, 114.65, 54.41 (t, J₁₄N-₁₃C = 4.0 Hz, N(CH₃)₄).

**NMe₄O₂CCF₂H** was obtained according to the procedure above as a white powder (410 mg, 97% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 5.57 (t, JHF = 57.0 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, NMe₄, 12H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN): δ 165.38 (t, JCF = 22.4 Hz), 111.05 (t, JCF = 250 Hz), 54.92 (t, J₁₄N-₁₃C = 4.0 Hz, N(CH₃)₄). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN): δ –122.25 (d, JHF = 57.0 Hz).
NMe₄OTs was obtained according to the procedure above as a white powder (556 mg, 91% yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 25 °C) δ 7.61 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.11 (s, NMe$_4$, 12H), 2.34 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN): δ 146.03, 138.38, 128.24, 125.65, 55.09 (t, $J_{14N-13C} = 5.3$ Hz, N(C$_3$H$_3$)).

**Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(OPh)] (2a).** A 20 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(OTf)] (1) (30 mg, 0.053 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and CH$_3$CN (5 mL) was added. NaOPh (6.8 mg, 0.059 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added, and the resulting red solution was stirred for 5 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite, and the filtrate was collected and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The precipitate was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 5 mL) and then redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL). Pentane (5 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was isolated as a red solid (19 mg, 73% yield). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 20 ºC): δ 8.91 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.19 (m, 1H), 8.11 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.04-7.92 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.83 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.38 (m, 1H), 7.31 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.38 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.13 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 4.33 (dd, $J_{HF} = 15.9$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, $J_{HH} = 6.3$, $J_{HF} = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 20 ºC): δ 166.10, 159.46, 156.35, 155.02, 151.48, 151.12, 147.17, 141.00, 140.64, 130.24, 128.07, 127.81, 127.71, 127.56, 127.13, 125.53, 124.72, 123.02, 120.33, 114.19, 64.56, 45.98, 31.31, 30.44. $^{19}$F NMR (470 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 20 ºC): δ –340.8 (d, $J_{FH} = 15.9$ Hz) HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – OPh]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{20}$FN$_2$Pd, 413.0645; Found, 413.0651.

**in situ Generation of [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(OAc)] (2b).** A screw cap NMR tube was charged with [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(OTf)] (1) (5.0 mg, 0.0088 mmol, 1.0 equiv). A solution of NMe$_4$OAc (1.2 mg, 0.0088 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the NMR tube was placed in an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-cooled to –10 ºC. The sample was allowed to equilibrate in the spectrometer for 5 min before acquiring the spectra. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ 8.88 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.47-8.39 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.26 (t, $J_{HH} =
7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.01-7.92 (multiple peaks: 2H), 7.83 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.18 (m, 1H), 7.02 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, $J_{HF} = 15.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 (dd, $J_{HF} = 6.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ 175.12, 159.14, 156.75, 152.81, 151.71, 147.01, 140.88, 140.53, 130.25, 127.62, 127.22, 126.91, 126.70, 125.05, 124.29, 122.90, 67.23, 46.01, 31.16, 30.65, 24.75. $^{19}$F NMR (470 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ –327.5 (d, $J_{FH} = 15.3$ Hz).

**in situ** Generation of [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$]((CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(O$_2$C$_2$F$_2$H)] (2c). A screw cap NMR tube was charged with [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$]((CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(F)(OTf)] (1) (5.0 mg, 0.0088 mmol, 1.0 equiv). A solution of NMe$_4$OCOCF$_2$H (1.5 mg, 0.0089 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the NMR tube was placed in an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-cooled to –10 ºC. The sample was allowed to equilibrate in the spectrometer for 5 min before acquiring spectra. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ 8.89 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.47-8.41 (multiple peaks: 2H), 8.29 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.18 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.91-7.83 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.43 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.05 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.47 (t, $J_{HF} = 56$ Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, $J_{HH} = 15.3$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 6.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, $J_{HH} = 6.1$ Hz, $J_{HF} = 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ 166.27 (t, $J_{CF} = 23.9$ Hz, CCF$_2$H), 159.06, 156.76, 156.31, 152.70, 151.79, 147.17, 141.32, 140.86, 129.75, 127.87, 127.45, 127.31, 126.95, 125.19, 124.60, 123.09, 109.90 (t, $J_{CF} = 248.7$ Hz, CCF$_2$H), 69.49, 46.45, 30.97, 30.33. $^{19}$F NMR (470 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –10 ºC): δ –327.6 (d, $J_{FH} = 15.3$ Hz, Pd–F), –123.9 (d, $J_{FHH} = 56$ Hz, CH–F$_2$)

**General Procedure for the in situ Generation of Pd$^{IV}$ Derivatives 2d-f**
A screw cap NMR tube was charged with [(bpy)Pd^IV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)F](OTf) (1) (5.0 mg, 0.0088 mmol, 1.0 equiv). A solution of the corresponding NR₄OR salt (0.0089 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CD₂CN (0.5 mL) was added, and an NMR tube was placed in the NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-cooled to −40 °C. The sample was allowed to equilibrate in the spectrometer for 5 min before acquiring spectra. The Pd^IV–OR products 2d-f are formed as equilibrium mixtures with the cationic solveto complex 1d-f. Complexes 1d-f have identical spectra data to complex 1 from the literature. We believe that 1, which contains a very weakly coordinating triflate anion, also exists as a cationic acetonitrile solvate in CD₂CN. Low temperature ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR characterization for complexes 2d-f are reported below. ¹³C NMR data could not be obtained for these complexes due to their instability over the time period required for the experiment.

[(bpy)Pd^IV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)F](ONO₂) 2d was generated in situ according to the procedure above and characterized at low temperature (−40 °C in CD₂CN). The Pd^IV nitrate product formed as an equilibrium mixture with the cationic solveto complex 1d (1d : 2d = 4 : 1 as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy). A ¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum confirms that the two species are undergoing exchange on the NMR timescale at −40 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂CN, −40 °C): δ 8.87 (m, 1H), 8.58 (m, 1H), 8.49 (t, J_HH = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (m, 1H), 8.23 (t, J_HH = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (br, 1H), 7.76 (d, J_HH = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (br, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.08 (m, 1H), 4.76–4.67 (multiple peaks, 2H), 4.04 (br, 1H) 1.35 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₂CN, −40 °C): δ −324.80 (br, Pd_F₂d), −333.55 (br, Pd-F₁d).

[(bpy)Pd^IV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)F](OPO(OMe)₂) 2e was generated in situ according to a modified version of the procedure above and characterized at low temperature (20 °C in DMSO). The Pd^IV phosphate adduct formed as an equilibrium mixture of the cationic solveto complex 1e and the phosphate-bound complex 2e (1e : 2e = 1.5 : 1.0 as determined by ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy). A ¹H-¹H ROESY spectrum confirms that the two species are undergoing exchange on the NMR timescale at 20 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO, 20 °C): δ 8.90 (d, J_HH = 7.6 Hz Hz, 1H), 8.78–8.72 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.42–8.39 (m, 1H), 8.32 (t, J_HH = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (m, 1H), 7.93 (t, J_HH = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (m, 1H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.15 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.99 (d, J_HH = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (dd, J_HF = 14.9, J_HH = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (d, J_HH = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (d, J_HH = 10.6 Hz, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, DMSO) δ 2.82 (q, J_HP = 10.6 Hz, P₂e), 1.38 (br, P₁e). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO) δ −328.46 (d, J_HF = 14.9 Hz, Pd-F₂e), −329.07 (d, J_HF = 13.8 Hz, Pd-F₁e).
[(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-\textit{o}-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})(F)(OTf)] (2f) was generated \textit{in situ} according to the procedure above and characterized at low temperature (−30 °C in CD\textsubscript{3}CN). The Pd\textsuperscript{IV} tosylate product formed as an equilibrium mixture with the cationic solveto complex 1f (1f : 2f = 1.0 : 1.4 as determined by \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy). A \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H ROESY spectrum confirms that the two species are undergoing exchange on the NMR timescale at −30 °C. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, −30 °C): δ 8.72 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 8.61-8.52 (m, 1H), 8.39, 8.30 (t, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26-8.12 (multiple peaks: 3H), 8.08 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.05-7.92 (m, 1H), 7.75 (m, 1H), 7.43 (t, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.20 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.03 (dd, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (m, 2H), 6.85 (m, 2H), 4.62 (dd, J\textsubscript{HH} = 15.1, J\textsubscript{H-H} 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H). \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (470 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, −40 °C): δ −323.73 (br, Pd-F\textsubscript{2f}), −334.13 (br, Pd-F\textsubscript{1f}).

\textbf{Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{II}(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}-\textit{o}-CMe\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}OPh)(Cl)] (5a).} A 20 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-\textit{o}-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})(F)(OTf)] (1) (50 mg, 0.089 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and sodium phenoxide (52 mg, 0.44 mmol, 5.0 equiv). CH\textsubscript{3}CN (4 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at 50 °C. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered through a Celite plug, and concentrated via rotary evaporation. The crude oil was redissolved in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (4 mL), and a saturated solution of NaCl (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The organic layer was then separated, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL, and petroleum ether was added until the product precipitated. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to afford 5a as a yellow solid (33 mg, 72% yield). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (700 MHz, CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 25 °C) δ 9.25 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (td, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.6 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83-7.74 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.67 (td, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.8 Hz, J\textsubscript{HH} = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (ddd, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.6 Hz, J\textsubscript{HH} = 5.7 Hz, J\textsubscript{HH} = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (m, 1H), 7.30 (dd, J\textsubscript{HH} = 8.0 Hz, J\textsubscript{HH} = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10-6.98 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.88-6.92 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.67 (t, J\textsubscript{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (m, 2H) 6.30 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, J\textsubscript{HH} = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (176 MHz, CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 25 °C): δ 158.94, 155.36, 153.53, 151.23, 150.23, 149.18, 147.85, 138.84, 138.09, 134.63, 128.72, 127.28, 126.24, 126.18, 124.20, 123.39, 121.34, 121.23, 119.43, 113.77, 77.52, 40.24, 28.46, 27.56. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M − Cl]\textsuperscript{+} calcd. for C\textsubscript{26}H\textsubscript{25}N\textsubscript{2}OPd, 487.0996; Found, 487.1010.
Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{II}($C_6H_4$-$o$-$CMe_2$CH_2OCO)(Cl)] (5b). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{IV}(CH_2CMe_2-$o$-$C_6H_4)(F)(OTf)] (1) (50 mg, 0.089 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and sodium acetate (37 mg, 0.45 mmol, 5.0 equiv). CH\textsubscript{3}CN (4 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude oil was redissolved in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (4 mL), and a saturated solution of NaCl (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The organic layer was then separated, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL, and petroleum ether was added until the product precipitated. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to afford 5b as a yellow solid (33 mg, 76% yield).

1\textsuperscript{H} NMR (700 MHz, CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 25 ºC) δ 9.26 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.12 - 8.05 (multiple peaks: 3H), 7.99 (td, $J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.65 (ddd, $J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.7$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, $J_{HH} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (ddd, $J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (ddd, $J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dt, $J_{HH} = 8.0$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.98 (ddd, $J_{HH} = 8.0$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, $J_{HH} = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, $J_{HH} = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 3H).

13\textsuperscript{C} NMR (176 MHz, CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, 25 ºC): δ 170.69, 155.91, 153.42, 151.45, 149.38, 149.29, 147.91, 139.03, 138.61, 134.82, 127.25, 126.52, 124.27, 123.33, 121.99, 121.44, 73.90, 39.46, 28.06, 27.69, 20.69. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – Cl]\textsuperscript{+} calcd. for C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{20}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}Pd, 453.0789; Found, 453.0793.

Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{II}($C_6H_4$-$o$-$CMe_2$CH\textsubscript{2}OCOCF\textsubscript{2}H)(Cl)] (5c). [(bpy)Pd\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-$o$-$C_6H_4)(F)(OTf)] (1) (100 mg, 0.178 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and tetramethylammonium difluoroacetate (150 mg, 0.88 mmol, 5.0 equiv) were combined in CH\textsubscript{3}CN (5 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at 40 ºC. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a Celite plug, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude oil was redissolved in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (5 mL), an excess of NMe\textsubscript{4}Cl was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 min. The solution was then washed 5 times with water, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL, and petroleum ether was added to precipitate the product. The precipitate was collected and dried
under vacuum to afford 5e as a yellow solid (65 mg, 70% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25 °C) \(\delta\) 9.26 (d, \(J_{HH} = 5.3\) Hz, 1H), 8.18-8.07 (multiple peaks: 3H), 8.01 (td, \(J_{HH} = 7.8\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.80 (dd, \(J_{HH} = 7.3\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.66 (ddd, \(J_{HH} = 7.1\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 5.3\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, \(J_{HH} = 5.6\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.28 (ddd, \(J_{HH} = 7.2\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 5.6\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.3\) Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.01 (td, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 6.93 (td, \(J_{HH} = 7.3\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.81 (t, \(J_{HF} = 53\) Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, \(J_{HH} = 10.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.01 (d, \(J_{HH} = 10.6\) Hz, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (176 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25 °C): \(\delta\) 162.39 (t, \(J_{CF} = 28.2\) Hz, CCF\(_2\)H), 155.94, 153.44, 151.33, 149.36, 148.26, 147.85, 139.13, 138.79, 134.95, 127.23, 126.56, 126.54, 124.58, 123.51, 122.10, 121.50, 106.86 (t, \(J_{CF} = 248.2\) Hz, CF\(_2\)H), 75.92, 39.53, 27.61, 27.49. \(^{19}\)F NMR (470 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25 °C): \(\delta\) –127.16 (d, \(J_{FH} = 53\) Hz, CH-F\(_2\)). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M + NH\(_4\)]\(^+\) calcd. for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{25}\)ClF\(_2\)N\(_3\)O\(_2\)Pd, 542.0633; Found, 542.0635.

**Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd\(^{II}\)(C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-o-CMe\(_2\)CH\(_2\)ONO\(_2\))(Cl)] (5d).** A 20 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd\(^{IV}\)(CH\(_2\)CMe\(_2\)-o-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(F)(OTf)] (1) (50 mg, 0.089 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and sodium nitrate (38 mg, 0.45 mmol, 5.0 equiv). CH\(_3\)CN (4 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude oil was redissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (4 mL), and a saturated solution of NaCl (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The organic layer was then separated, dried over MgSO\(_4\), and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL, and petroleum ether was added until the product precipitated. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to afford 5d as a pale yellow solid (39 mg, 88% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25 °C) \(\delta\) 9.26 (d, \(J_{HH} = 5.6\) Hz, 1H), 8.16-8.06 (multiple peaks, 3H), 8.01 (t, \(J_{HH} = 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.66 (td, \(J_{HH} = 5.6\) Hz, \(J_{HH} = 2.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, \(J_{HH} = 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, \(J_{HH} = 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, \(J_{HH} = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 5.86 (d, \(J_{HH} = 9.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, \(J_{HH} = 9.6\) Hz, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (176 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25 °C): \(\delta\) 155.86, 153.43, 151.36, 149.35, 147.84, 147.70, 139.16, 138.82, 134.95, 127.09, 126.67, 126.54, 124.76, 123.62, 122.04, 121.49, 82.56, 39.05, 27.90, 27.74. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M + NH\(_4\)]\(^+\) calcd. for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{24}\)ClN\(_3\)O\(_3\)Pd, 509.0566; Found, 509.0566.
Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd^{II}(C_6H_4-o-CMe_2CH_2OPO(O Me)_2)(Cl)] (5e). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd^{IV}(CH_2CMe_2-o- C_6H_4)(F)(OTf)] (I) (100 mg, 0.176 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and sodium dimethyl phosphate (130 mg, 0.88 mmol, 5.0 equiv). DMSO (5 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at 50 °C for 1 h. Following conversion to the reductive elimination product, NMe_4Cl (~3 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 10 min. Water (20 mL) was then added to the DMSO solution, which was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). The organic layer was then washed thoroughly with water (5 x 20 mL) to remove residual DMSO, dried over MgSO_4, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL, and petroleum ether (4 mL) was added to precipitate the product. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to afford 5e as a yellow solid (78 mg, 80% yield).

1H NMR (700 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, 25 ºC) δ 9.25 (d, J_{HH} = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.05 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.07 (dd, J_{HH} = 7.4 Hz, J_{HP} = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (ddd, J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, J_{HH} = 5.3 Hz, J_{HH} = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (ddd, J_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, J_{HH} = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (m, 1H), 6.89 (t, J_{HH} = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J_{HH} = 9.5 Hz, J_{HP} = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (dd, J_{HH} = 9.5 Hz, J_{HP} = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.60-3.53 (multiple peaks, 6H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H).

13C NMR (176 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, 25 ºC): δ 155.76, 153.55, 151.55, 149.30, 148.45, 148.04, 139.09, 138.61, 134.92, 127.40, 126.71, 126.45, 124.40, 123.37, 121.95, 121.44, 76.87, 76.83, 40.47, 40.42, 27.48, 27.33. 31P NMR (202 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, 25 ºC): δ 0.89. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – Cl]^+ calcd. for C_{22}H_{26}N_{2}O_{4}PPd, 519.0660; Found, 519.0678.

in situ Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd^{II}(C_6H_4-o-CMe_2CH_2OTs)(Cl)] (5f). A 4 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd^{IV}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)(F)(OTf)] (I) (10 mg, 0.018 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and a solution of NaOTs (8.6 mg, 0.044 mmol, 2.5 equiv)/ NMe_4OTs (10.6 mg, 0.044 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in CD_3CN (1 mL) was added. After stirring at 25 ºC for 12 h NMe_4Cl (~3 equiv) was added to the crude reaction mixture. The resulting solution was transferred into an NMR tube and placed in an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been set to 10 ºC. Complex 5f formed in 68% crude yield as determined by 1H NMR analysis, and the respective signals are reported. Complex 5f was not stable at room temperature and therefore could not be isolated. 1H NMR (700 MHz, CD_3CN, 10 ºC) δ 9.07 (d, J_{HH} = 5.2 Hz,
1H), 8.37-8.27 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.22-8.18 (multiple peaks, 1H), 8.08 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.8 \) Hz, 1H), 7.74-7.67 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.51 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 7.43 (dd, \( J_{HH} = 5.6, 1.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 6.87 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, \( J_{HH} = 9.3 \) Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, \( J_{HH} = 9.3 \) Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H).

\( ^{13} \)C NMR (176 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 10 ºC): δ 155.77, 153.72, 151.04, 148.39, 147.83, 145.15, 144.83, 139.93, 139.59, 138.82, 135.00, 129.71, 127.61, 127.24, 127.06, 126.87, 124.57, 123.54, 123.01, 122.52, 79.89, 39.71, 26.78, 26.53, 20.36.

General Procedure for the Formation of Reductive Elimination Products 8a-c

A 4 mL vial was charged with [(bpy)Pd\(^{IV}\)(CH\(_2\)CMe\(_2\)-o-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(F)(OTf)] (1) (10 mg, 0.018 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and a solution of the corresponding tetrabutylammonium halide (0.036 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in CD\(_3\)CN (1 mL) was added. After stirring at the indicated time and temperature, analysis by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy showed formation of the Pd\(^{II}\) reductive elimination products 8a-c. Complexes 8a-c were formed in >95% conversion and characterized in situ by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy.

Characterization of the Pd\(^{IV}\) intermediates 7a-c were not carried out.

[(bpy)Pd\(^{IV}\)(C\(_4\)H\(_4\)-o-CMe\(_2\)CH\(_2\))F] (8a) was formed in >95% yield and characterized in situ according to the procedure above. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD3CN, 25 ºC) δ 9.54 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.6 \) Hz, 1H), 8.31 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.20 (m, 1H), 8.15 (m, 1H), 8.08 (m, 1H), 7.77 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 6.84 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, \( J_{HH} = 9.5 \) Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, \( J_{HH} = 9.5 \) Hz, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H).

[(bpy)Pd\(^{IV}\)(C\(_4\)H\(_4\)-o-CMe\(_2\)CH\(_2\))Br] (8b) was formed in >95% yield and characterized in situ according to the procedure above. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_3\)Cl, 25 ºC) δ 9.49 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.3 \) Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 2H), 8.03 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.98 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.5 \) Hz, 1H), 7.60 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.29 (t, \( J_{HH} = 5.3 \) Hz, 1H), 7.22
(d, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.90 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, $J_{HH} = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, $J_{HH} = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H).

[(bpy)Pd(II)(C$_6$H$_4$-o-CMe$_2$CH$_2$Cl)(F)] (8c) was formed in >95% NMR yield and characterized in situ according to the procedure above. $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 25 ºC) $\delta$ 9.12 (m, 1H), 8.32 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.18 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.09 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$, Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.5$, Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, $J_{HH} = 5.6$, Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.9$, Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$, Hz, 1H), 6.90 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$, Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, $J_{HH} = 10.4$, Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, $J_{HH} = 10.4$, Hz, 1H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H).

Synthesis of [(COD)Pd(II)(C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_6$)] (10).

2,2'-dibromobiphenyl (2.0 g, 6.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed into a N$_2$ flushed round bottom flask and dissolved in 50 mL of dried diethyl ether. The resulting solution was allowed to cool to 0 ºC under a constant flow of N$_2$. At this temperature, $n$-BuLi (5.9 mL, 14.1 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added dropwise and the colorless solution turned yellow. After stirring for 5 hr at 0 ºC, the reaction mixture was then cooled to –78 ºC and PdCl$_2$(COD) (1.8 g, 6.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added in small increments over a 5 min period. The resulting suspension was allowed to stir for 14 hours and gradually warm to room temperature. Solvent was then removed by rotary evaporation and the dark grey residue was re-dissolved in dichloromethane and allowed to stir with activated carbon (20 mg) for 30 min. The suspension was then filtered through a celite plug and the resulting orange solution was concentrated to about 15 mL. Hexanes (40 mL) was added to precipitate the product. The contents of the flask were then cooled to –30 ºC for 5 h and filtered to afford the title complex as a pale yellow solid (1.03 g, 44%). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 ºC): $\delta$ 7.24 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.98 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.83 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.04 (dd, $J_{HH} = 4.6$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 2.4$ Hz, 4H), 2.72-2.75 (multiple peaks, 4H), 2.64-2.52 (multiple peaks, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 ºC): $\delta$ 164.80, 156.58, 133.98, 125.66, 119.93, 116.09, 28.95.
Synthesis of \([\text{bpy}]\text{Pd}^{II}(C_6\text{H}_4-o-C_6\text{H}_4)\] (11). Complex 10 (890 mg, 2.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed into a round bottom flask and dissolved in 100 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 2,2'-bipyridine (402 mg, 2.57 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min. The solution was then concentrated to about 10 mL and hexanes was added until the product precipitated. The contents in the flask were cooled to –30 °C for 2 hr and then filtered to afford the title compound as a bright orange solid (935 mg, 95%). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 ºC): δ 9.24 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.6$ Hz, 2H), 8.11 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 8.04 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.61 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.01 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.95-6.86 (multiple peaks, 2H).

Synthesis of \([\text{bpy}]\text{Pd}^{IV}(C_6\text{H}_4-o-C_6\text{H}_4)(\text{OAc})(\text{F})\] (12a). \([\text{bpy}]\text{Pd}^{II}(C_6\text{H}_4-o-C_6\text{H}_4)\] (11) (30 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 1-Fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (NFTPT) (21 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were combined in a 4 mL vial and dissolved in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL). NMe$_4$OAc (10.5 mg, 0.079 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was then added in slight excess. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was collected and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The yellow residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL) and redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL). The solution was then washed 5 times with water, dried with MgSO$_4$, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL and petroleum ether (5 mL) was added to precipitate the product. The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum to afford the title complex as a yellow solid (19 mg, 54%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC) δ 9.13 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.73 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.58 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.49 (t, $J_{HH} = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.17 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.10-8.03 (m, 1H), 7.97 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, $J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.32 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.24 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.73 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.17 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC): δ 178.19, 169.29, 156.92, 156.29, 152.38, 150.77, 147.18, 146.42, 144.29, 141.71, 141.58,
129.43, 128.79, 128.58, 128.42, 128.09, 127.79, 127.24, 124.72, 123.68, 123.49, 123.19, 23.37. $^{19}$F NMR (470 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC): $\delta$ –344.46 (s, Pd–F). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M - F]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{19}$N$_2$O$_2$Pd, 473.0476; Found, 473.0484.

Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$ (C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(ONO$_2$)(F)] (12b).
[(bpy)Pd$^{II}$ (C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (11) (30 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 1-Fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (NFTPT) (21 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were combined in a 20 mL vial and dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4 mL). NBu$_4$NO$_3$ (33 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was then added in slight excess. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was collected and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The yellow residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL) and redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 mL). The solution was then washed 5 times with water, dried with MgSO$_4$, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL and petroleum ether (5 mL) was added to precipitate the product. The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum to afford the title complex as a yellow solid (22 mg, 62 %). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC) $\delta$ 9.20 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 8.85 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.75 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (m, 1H), 7.95 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC): $\delta$ 169.54, 156.43, 155.75, 151.42, 147.22, 146.42, 143.37, 143.04, 142.51, 129.22, 128.99, 128.42, 128.00, 125.99, 124.75, 124.16. $^{19}$F NMR (470 MHz, CD$_3$OD, 25 ºC): $\delta$ –338.19 (s, Pd–F). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M - NO$_3$]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{16}$FN$_2$Pd, 433.0327; Found, 433.0337.

Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$ (C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(OAc)$_2$] (13).
[(bpy)Pd$^{II}$ (C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_6$)] (11) (30 mg, 0.072 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and iodomesitylene diaceto (29 mg, 0.080 mmol, 1.1 equiv) were charged in a 20 mL vial and dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was collected and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The yellow residue was washed with diethyl ether (5 x 10 mL), redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL), and precipitated with pentane (4 mL). The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum to
afford the title complex as an orange-yellow solid (29 mg, 76%). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 °C): δ 9.36 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 8.26–8.20 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.10 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (m, 1H), 7.86 (td, J$_{HH}$ = 5.1 Hz, J$_{HH}$ = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, J$_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, J$_{HH}$ = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (dd, J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, J$_{HH}$ = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J$_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.10 (multiple peaks: 2H), 6.98 (t, J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (m, 1H), 6.33 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 ºC): δ 173.46, 169.92, 157.32, 156.05, 152.89, 149.45, 147.40, 145.91, 140.15, 140.05, 130.52, 130.41, 127.97, 127.12, 127.09, 126.76, 126.65, 125.86, 122.89, 122.69, 122.20, 122.01, 25.07, 23.46.

HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – OAc]+ calcd. For C$_{24}$H$_{19}$N$_2$O$_2$Pd, 473.0476; Found, 473.0493.

Synthesis of [(bpy)Pd$^{II}$](C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_4$-OAc)(OAc)]

[(bpy)Pd$^{IV}$](C$_6$H$_4$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(OAc)$_2$] (13) (25 mg, 0.047 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was charged into a 20 mL vial and dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4 mL). The solution was sealed with a Teflon lined cap and allowed to stir at 50 ºC for 2.5 h. The solution was then filtered through Celite and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (5 x 10 mL), redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL), and precipitated with pentane (5 mL). The precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum to afford the title complex as a yellow solid (17 mg, 68%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 °C) δ 8.51 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 8.00–7.93 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.91–7.86 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.54 (ddd, J$_{HH}$ = 7.1, J$_{HH}$ = 5.2, J$_{HH}$ = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.15 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.14-7.08 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.07–7.01 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.88 (dd, J$_{HH}$ = 7.9, J$_{HH}$ = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 25 ºC): δ 176.76, 169.95, 155.66, 153.52, 153.06, 152.83, 148.81, 147.64, 140.92, 138.96, 138.17, 137.83, 136.23, 133.86, 128.88, 127.08, 126.32, 126.12, 125.08, 124.96, 123.04, 122.15, 121.60, 121.34, 23.62, 20.93. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – OAc]+ calcd. For C$_{24}$H$_{19}$N$_2$O$_2$Pd, 473.0485; Found, 473.0493.

Synthesis of 2-methyl-2-phenylpropyl tosylate. 2-methyl-2-phenyl-propanol (900 mg, 6 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF and cooled to 0 ºC. nBuLi (2.5 ml, 6.2 mmol of a 2.5 M solution in hexanes) was added dropwise and the reaction
mixture was allowed to stir at 0 ºC for 30 min. A solution of \( p \)-toluenesulfonyl chloride (1.3 g, 6.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in THF (5 mL) was added at this temperature. The resulting colorless solution was stirred at 0 ºC for 30 min, allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for another 2 h. The solution was slowly quenched with isopropanol (10 mL). The solvent was removed on a rotatory evaporator to afford a slightly yellow oil. Purification by flash column chromatography (mobile phase: 9:1 hexane: ethyl acetate) afforded the title product as a white solid (1.5 g 42% yield).

\[ ^1H \text{NMR (700 MHz, CD}_3\text{Cl, 25 ºC)} \delta 7.63 (d, J_{HH} = 8.3 \text{ Hz, 2H}), 7.27–7.21 (\text{multiple peaks, 6H}), 7.18 (m, 1H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 2.42 (s, 4H), 1.32 (s, 6H). \]

\[ ^{13}C \text{NMR (176 MHz, CD}_3\text{Cl, 25 ºC)} \delta 144.67, 144.51, 132.74, 129.70, 128.31, 127.83, 126.50, 125.84, 78.40, 38.42, 25.24, 21.63. \]

### 2.4.3. General Procedures for Mechanistic Experiments

**Procedure for the Method of Continuous Variation**

A Job plot for the complexation of Na\(^{+}\) with \((\text{bpy})\text{Pd}^{IV}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)(\text{F})(\text{OTf})\) (1) was constructed using the method described by Newcomb and co-workers.\(^{47}\) A series of \(^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR spectra were collected with different relative ratios of NaOTf and 1 while maintaining a constant total concentration ([NaOTf] + [1]) of 35.5 mM in CD}_3\text{CN). At each ratio, the chemical shift (\(\delta\)) of the Pd\(^{IV}\)–F resonance was determined, and \(\Delta\delta\) values represent the difference between \(\delta\) in the presence of NaOTf versus in free 1. Samples were prepared from standard solutions of 1 (0.5 M in CD}_3\text{CN) and NaOTf (0.5 M in CD}_3\text{CN). Additional CD}_3\text{CN was added to maintain a constant total volume of 0.4 mL. Each data point in Figure 2.2 is the average of three trials shown in Table 2.4, and the error represents the standard deviation of the \(\Delta\delta\) values. The maximum shift was observed when the mol fraction of 1 was 0.5, indicative of 1:1 binding.**

**Table 2.4. Chemical Shift Data for Job Plot at 25 ºC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[1] (M)</th>
<th>[NaOTf] (M)</th>
<th>Trial 1 ((\delta) ppm)</th>
<th>Trial 2 ((\delta) ppm)</th>
<th>Trial 3 ((\delta) ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>−335.99</td>
<td>−335.94</td>
<td>−335.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>−336.07</td>
<td>−336.01</td>
<td>−336.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−336.19</td>
<td>−336.13</td>
<td>−336.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>−336.30</td>
<td>−336.29</td>
<td>−336.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>−336.43</td>
<td>−336.37</td>
<td>−336.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>−336.58</td>
<td>−336.5</td>
<td>−336.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>−336.87</td>
<td>−336.63</td>
<td>−336.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Procedure for Determining the Order in Reagents

Determining the Order in Pd. Complex 1 (4.0 mg, 0.0071 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to an NMR tube equipped with a Teflon lined screw cap. Various amounts of NMe₄OR (0.018 mmol-0.071 mmol) were weighed into 4 mL vials, and the solids were dissolved in CD₃CN (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was added to the NMR tube to generate 2a-c in situ. The tube was immediately placed in an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 35 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination from 2 to 3 was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. A plot of (ln[2]) vs. time showed that the rate of reductive elimination is first-order in PdIV. A representative plot for 2a is shown in Figure 2.13.

Figure 2.13. (ln[2]) vs time plot. y = −4.21−0.0396x. R² = 0.9995. Starting conditions: T = 35 ºC; [2a]₀ = 0.014 M, [OPh]₀ = 0.070 M.

Determining the Order in OR. Complex 1 (4.0 mg, 0.0071 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to an NMR tube equipped with a Teflon lined screw cap. Various amounts of NMe₄OR (0.018 mmol-0.071 mmol) were weighed into 4 mL vials, and the solids were dissolved in CD₃CN (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was added to the NMR tube to generate 2a-c in situ. The tube was immediately placed in an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 35 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination from 2 to form 3 was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at
this temperature. A plot of $k_{\text{obs}}$ vs. [OR] showed that the rate of reductive elimination is zero-order in OR. A representative plot for complex 2a is shown in Figure 2.14.

![Figure 2.14](image)

**Figure 2.14.** Plot of $k_{\text{obs}}$ vs. [free OPh]. $y = 0.00059 + 0.0014x$, $R^2 = 0.9712$. The slope of the line is approximately zero.

**Procedure for Determining Rate Constants Under Anhydrous Conditions**

**Purification of reagents and solvents:** NMe$_4$OR salts were dried under vacuum over P$_2$O$_5$ at 80 ºC for 2 days and then stored under an inert atmosphere. CD$_3$CN was dried over CaH$_2$ and then stored under activated 3 Å molecular sieves for 2 days in the glove box. All reagents and solvents were weighed out in the glove box.

**Experimental Procedure:** NMe$_4$OR (0.036 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and then dried CD$_3$CN was added to dissolve the solid (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was added to a Teflon lined screw cap NMR tube charged with complex 1 (4.0 mg, 0.0071 mmol, 1.0 equiv) at room temperature to generate 2a-c *in situ*. The tube was immediately placed in an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 35 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination from 2 to form 3 was monitored by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired by integration of the $^1$H NMR signals of 2 and 3. The rate constants were obtained by fitting the decay of 2 to single exponentials. In all cases, the reported $k_{\text{obs}}$ is the average rate constant associated with the decay of 2 over three reaction runs. A representative reaction profile for complex 2a is shown in Figure 2.15.
**EXSY Studies**

The lability of OR in Pd<sup>IV</sup> complexes 2a-c was investigated. A 2D EXSY (EXchange SpectroscopY) experiment was carried out to obtain information about exchange between free and bound [OR] on the EXSY timescale. Complex 1 (4.0 mg, 0.007 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and a solution of the corresponding NMe<sub>4</sub>OR (0.035 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (0.5 mL) were combined in an NMR tube at room temperature and immediately placed in an NMR spectrometer pre-cooled to the respective temperature (−10 °C to 15 °C). ROESY spectra showed cross peaks between free and bound OR, suggesting that exchange is occurring on the EXSY timescale.

---

**Figure 2.15.** Plot of concentration vs. time for reductive elimination from 2a (generated *in situ*) to form 3a under anhydrous conditions.
2.4.4. X-ray Structural Determination

All X-ray data were collected and solved by Dr. Jeff Kampf at the University of Michigan. The experimental details are described below.

X-Ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 3d

Pale yellow (near colorless) plates of 3d were grown from a petroleum ether / dichloromethane solution of the compound at –30 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.16 x 0.02 x 0.02 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode (λ = 1.54187 Å) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 3481 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in ø. The exposure time was 2 sec. for the low angle images, 10 sec. for high angle. The integration of the data yielded a total of 27038 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 136.42° of which 4059 were independent and 3855 were greater than 2σ(I). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids 18791 reflections above 10σ(I). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with CrystalClear 2.0 and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/4) software package, using the space group P1bar with Z = 2 for the formula C21H22ClN3O3Pd. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on F² converged at R1 = 0.0369 and wR2 = 0.0970 [based on I > 2sigma(I)], R1 = 0.0385 and wR2 = 0.0993 for all data. Acknowledgement is made for funding from NSF grant CHE-0840456 for X-ray instrumentation.


CrystalClear Expert 2.0 r12, Rigaku Americas and Rigaku Corporation (2011), Rigaku Americas, 9009, TX, USA 77381-5209, Rigaku Tokyo, 196-8666, Japan.
Table 2.5. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 3d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(11)</td>
<td>2.009(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-Pd(1)-N(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.60(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>2.059(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)-Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>169.99(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.125(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-Pd(1)-Cl(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>173.93(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-Cl(1)</td>
<td>2.3060(9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92.78(10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X-Ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 12b

Yellow blocks of 12b were grown from a dichloromethane solution at 40 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.22 x 0.20 x 0.16 mm was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX-I CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and fine focus Mo-target X-ray tube (λ = 0.71073 Å) operated at 1500 W power (50 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K; the detector was placed at a distance 5.081 cm from the crystal. A total of 2067 frames were collected with a scan width of 0.5° in ω and 0.45° in phi with an exposure time of 30 s/frame. The integration of the data yielded a total of 28480 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 54.30° of which 4203 were independent and 3533 were greater than 2σ(I). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids of 9961 reflections above 10σ(I). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with SADABS and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHLEXTL (version 2008/4) software package, using the space group P2(1)/n with Z = 4 for the formula C_{22}H_{16}F_{4}N_{4}O_{3}Pd. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on F^2 converged at R1 = 0.0287 and wR2 = 0.0681 [based on I >
2σ(I), R1 = 0.0370 and wR2 = 0.0734 for all data.


CrystalClear Expert 2.0 r12, Rigaku Americas and Rigaku Corporation (2011), Rigaku Americas, 9009, TX, USA 77381-5209, Rigaku Tokyo, 196-8666, Japan.

Table 2.6. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 12b

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-F(1)</td>
<td>1.9517(14)</td>
<td>F(1)-Pd(1)-C(11)</td>
<td>88.26(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>2.1849(17)</td>
<td>C(11)-Pd(1)-C(22)</td>
<td>82.13(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.125(2)</td>
<td>C(22)-Pd(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>95.27(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>2.002(2)</td>
<td>N(2)-Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>79.92(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(11)</td>
<td>2.002(2)</td>
<td>F(1)-Pd(1)-N(2)</td>
<td>173.56(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(22)</td>
<td>2.009(2)</td>
<td>C(22)-Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>176.00(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.5. Computational Details

All computations were carried out by Prof. Allan Canty and collaborators at the University of Tasmania. The experimental details for these calculations are described below.

Gaussian 09 was used for DFT calculations at the B3LYP level for optimization, using the Stuttgart/Dresden ECP (SDD) basis set for Pd and the 6-31G(d) basis set for other atoms (referred to as BS1). Single point calculations were performed at the B3LYP-D3 level; these calculations utilized the quadruple-ξ valence polarized def2-QZVP basis set on Pd along with the corresponding ECP and the 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set on other atoms (referred to as BS2). All calculations were carried out with acetonitrile as solvent using the IEFPCM (SCRF) model. All thermodynamic data were calculated at the standard state (298.15 K and 1 atm). Energy differences calculated by DFT methods are rendered less rigorous when dissociation, ion separation, and changes in the number of species are involved. To partly account for this, entropy calculations were adjusted by the method proposed by Okuno. All transition structures contained one imaginary frequency, exhibiting atom displacements.
consistent with the anticipated reaction pathway. The nature of transition structures was confirmed by Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate (IRC) searches, vibrational frequency calculations, and potential energy surface scans.

2.5. References


(8) Gary, J. B.; Sanford, M. S. Organometallics 2011, 30, 6143.


(20) Unless otherwise noted, all Pd^{IV} complexes were formed as a >20:1 ratio of stereoisomers.

(21) In our system, treatment of 1 with NaOH or NMe_4OH led to the formation of a complex mixture of products.


(24) Tetramethyl- and tetrabutylammonium salts were used for low temperature NMR characterization, except for complex 2e, which was generated with sodium dimethyl phosphate in DMSO.
(25) Complexes 2d-f are formed as an equilibrium mixture with the corresponding cationic species (likely the acetonitrile adduct, [(bpy)Pd(CH₂CN)(CH₃CN)]X⁻). This is likely due to the poor nucleophilicity of the oxyanions.

(26) The tosylate reductive elimination product could not be isolated cleanly and was therefore characterized by crude NMR.

(27) Reductive elimination from complex 2e only proceeded cleanly in DMSO.


(33) The values of kₐₒₛ for reductive elimination from complexes 2a-c under rigorously anhydrous conditions (ie, in an inert atmosphere glovebox with dried solvents and reagents) were nearly identical to those obtained under ambient conditions (i.e., on the benchtop with commercial solvents and reagents) where trace amounts of water are expected. Only the addition of exogenous water (10-500 equiv) impacted the reductive elimination kinetics.


(35) In order to ensure that the increase in the observed rate constant can be attributed to a cation effect rather than being the result of a change in reaction medium, we monitored the rate of reductive elimination from 2a to form 3a in the presence of 5 equivalents of NMe₄OPh and 200 equiv of 15-crown-5. The observe rate constants were indistinguishable from each other.

(36) Gaussian 09 was used at the B3LYP level for geometry optimization with acetonitrile as solvent utilizing the SDD basis set on Pd and the 6-31G(d) basis set for other atoms. Single point energy calculations for all structures at the M06 level employed the quadrupole-ξ valence def2-QZVP basis set on Pd along with the corresponding ECP and the 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set on other atoms.

(37) An alternative pathway that would also be consistent with the experimental data is direct reductive elimination following isomerization to a different Pd⁴⁺ isomer. However, DFT calculations suggest that this pathway is much higher in energy.


CHAPTER 3

Design, Synthesis, and Reactivity of Organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ Complexes

3.1. Introduction

In recent years, nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have emerged as valuable synthetic methods for the construction of carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds. The mechanisms of these transformations are generally proposed to involve sequences of 1 and 2$e^-$ redox events that interconvert Ni$^0$, Ni$^1$, Ni$^{II}$ and/or Ni$^{III}$ intermediates. In contrast, organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ intermediates are rarely invoked in cross-coupling reactions. While a few recent reports have proposed the intermediacy of Ni$^{IV}$ (for example, in Ni-catalyzed C–H bond functionalization reactions), the transient nature of these intermediates has hindered definitive characterization and confirmation of their mechanistic roles (Scheme 3.1). Overall, examples of well-defined Ni$^{IV}$ complexes are exceedingly rare, and the reactivity of these species in carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions has not been thoroughly investigated.

Scheme 3.1. Ni-Catalyzed C(sp$^3$)–H Functionalization via Proposed Ni$^{IV}$ Intermediate

This chapter describes the design, synthesis, and characterization of a series of organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ complexes accessed by the reaction of Ni$^{II}$ precursors with different 2$e^-$
oxidants. We demonstrate the importance of the trifluoromethyl (CF$_3$) ligand and tris(pyrazolyl)borate (Tp) scaffold in stabilizing these traditionally transient species. Furthermore, reactivity studies show that these Ni$^{IV}$ complexes participate in highly selective carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions that remain extremely challenging to achieve at lower oxidation states of Ni.$^7$

3.2. Results and Discussion

3.2.1. Design, Synthesis, and Carbon–Heteroatom Coupling Reactions of Organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ Complexes

Initial Studies with Bipyridine-Supported Ni$^{II}$ Complex

We first sought to access a model Ni$^{IV}$ complex via the 2$e^-$ oxidation of the Ni$^{II}$ starting material [(bpy)Ni$^{II}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (1). Seminal work by Hillhouse first demonstrated that cyclometalated Ni complexes such as 1 undergo oxidatively-induced carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions in the presence of stoichiometric oxidants.$^8$ Although the authors proposed Ni$^{III}$ and/or Ni$^{IV}$ intermediates in these reactions, no high-valent species were detected (Scheme 3.2). These studies suggested that high-valent Ni intermediates can promote challenging bond-forming reactions and that modification of the ligand environment could enable a direct study of their reactivity.

Scheme 3.2. Previous Studies by Hillhouse Demonstrating C–X Coupling via Proposed Ni$^{III}$ and Ni$^{IV}$ intermediates
Electrochemical analyses of [(bpy)Ni(II)(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (1) were carried out in our lab to further assess the accessibility and stability of high-valent Ni. As shown in Figure 3.1, the cyclic voltammogram of 1 in CH₃CN shows two oxidative waves at approximately –0.61 V and +0.27 V versus Fc/Fc⁺ (Fc = ferrocene). We assign these features to the Ni(II)/Ni(III) and the Ni(III)/Ni(IV) couples, respectively. These waves are both quasi-reversible and at relatively low potentials, suggesting that Ni(IV) could be accessible and potentially isolable with this ligand system.

**Figure 3.1.** Cyclic Voltammogram of (bpy)Ni(II)(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄). [Ni] = 0.01 M in CH₃CN; [NBu₄BF₄] = 0.1 M; Scan Rate = 100 mV/s

The chemical oxidation of 1 was first examined using three different inner-sphere oxidants that are known to promote the 2e⁻ oxidation of other group 10 metal complexes: N-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (NFTPT), iodobenzene diacetate [PhI(OAc)₂], and iodobenzene dichloride (PhICl₂) (Scheme 3.3). We anticipated that these oxidants would react with 1 to generate coordinatively saturated, diamagnetic Ni(IV) intermediates of general structure 2 (X = F/OTf, OAc, or Cl). If intermediate 2 were sufficiently long-lived, it should be detectable by NMR spectroscopy. The treatment of 1 with each of these oxidants in CD₃CN resulted in near quantitative formation of benzocyclobutane 3 within minutes at room temperature (Scheme 3.3). Organic product 3 is likely generated via C(sp²)–C(sp³) bond-forming reductive elimination from Ni(IV) intermediate 2. However, 2 could not be detected by
$^1$H NMR spectroscopy at room temperature or at $-40 \, ^\circ$C with any of these oxidants, suggesting that this putative intermediate is highly unstable.

**Scheme 3.3. Initial Chemical Oxidation Studies with Complex 1**

We reasoned that this type of Ni$^{IV}$ intermediate might be stabilized by replacing one of the X-type ligands with a trifluoromethyl group (CF$_3$). The trifluoromethyl ligand uniquely exhibits both electron-accepting and electron-donating character, thereby disfavoring reductive elimination processes, while also providing stabilization to high-valent metal centers. This apparent contradiction can be rationalized by competing inductive effects. The strongly electronegative fluorine atoms sequester electrons from the neighboring metal center, disfavoring reductive elimination processes. At the same time, the strong trans influence of a CF$_3$ group has been well documented. Experimental studies have shown that it serves as an excellent $\sigma$-donor to the adjacent metal center, and, as such, M–CF$_3$ bonds are typically very strong (Figure 3.2).

**Figure 3.2.** Computed Natural Atomic Charges for Rh–CH$_3$ and Rh–CF$_3$ Complexes Illustrating the Unique Electronic Effects of a Trifluoromethyl Group on a Metal System
In an effort to install a CF$_3$ ligand onto the putative Ni$^{IV}$ center, we tested the reactivity of the Ni$^{II}$ precursor 1 with strong electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagents. In the event, the reaction of 1 with the “CF$_3^+$” oxidant S-(trifluoromethyl)dibenzothiophenium triflate (Umemoto’s Reagent) in CD$_3$CN at room temperature resulted in transfer of a CF$_3$ ligand to Ni to form a detectable, diamagnetic Ni–CF$_3$ complex (Scheme 3.4). This intermediate persists in solution for several hours, and in situ $^1$H and $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopic studies implicate the formation of Ni$^{IV}$ complex 4. Complex 4 undergoes C(sp$^2$)–C(sp$^3$) coupling to form benzocyclobutane 3 over the course of 15 h at 25 ºC. The detection of 4 in this reaction provided the first evidence that Ni$^{IV}$ can be formed under these conditions and suggested that further modification of the ligand scaffold could yield an isolable Ni$^{IV}$ complex.

**Scheme 3.4.** Formation of a Detectable Ni$^{IV}$ Intermediate by the Oxidation of Complex 1 with Umemoto’s Reagent
Installation of a Stabilizing Tripodal Ligand

Previous work from our lab and others have demonstrated that facially coordinated tridentate ligands can have a dramatic stabilizing effect on octahedral PdIV and PtIV complexes. Based on these precedents, we replaced the bidentate bipyridine ligand in 1 with a facial tridentate ligand, tris(2-pyridyl)methane (Py3CH), to further enhance the stability of the proposed NiIV intermediate. Complex 5 was prepared in 73% isolated yield via the treatment of [(PMe3)NiII(CH2CMe2-ο-C6H4)] with 1.1 equiv of Py3CH in diethyl ether (Figure 3.3). Cyclic voltammetry studies of NiII complex 5 reveal two distinct oxidation peaks (−0.85 V and 0.35 V) that are comparable potentials to those observed for bpy derivative 1. These data suggest that high-valent Ni intermediates with this ligand system should be accessible with a similar set of oxidants.

**Figure 3.3.** Synthesis and Cyclic Voltammogram of NiII Complex 5. [Ni] = 0.01 M in CH3CN; [NBu4BF4] = 0.1 M; Scan Rate = 100 mV/s

Consistent with the electrochemical analysis, the chemical oxidation of [(Py3CH)NiII(CH2CMe2-ο-C6H4)] (5) with Umemoto’s Reagent in CH3CN at 25 °C led to an
immediate color change from dark red to yellow with concomitant formation of the diamagnetic Ni^{IV}–CF$_3$ complex 6 as determined by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3.5). Complex 6 was isolated in 92% yield by recrystallization from benzene, and X-ray quality crystals were obtained via slow evaporation of a concentrated acetone solution (Figure 3.4). The solid-state structure of this Ni^{IV} complex displays the expected octahedral geometry, with tridentate facial coordination of the Py$_3$CH ligand. Notably, Umemoto’s Reagent is frequently used as an oxidant in transition-metal catalysis$^{18}$; as such, the isolation and characterization of 6 suggests the potential feasibility of Ni^{II/IV} catalysis manifolds employing this reagent.

**Scheme 3.5. Synthesis of the Stable Ni^{IV} Complex 6**

![Scheme 3.5](image)

**Figure 3.4.** ORTEP Diagram of Cationic Complex 6. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and disorder in the triflate are omitted for clarity

We next investigated the reactivity of this isolated Ni^{IV} complex towards both carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom coupling reactions. Upon heating at 95 ºC for 7 h, complex 6 underwent C(sp$^2$)–C(sp$^3$) bond-forming reductive elimination to produce benzocyclobutane 3.
in quantitative yield (Scheme 3.6a). Furthermore, the treatment of 6 with exogenous acetate (1.2 equiv of NMe₄OAc) in CH₃CN at room temperature resulted in selective C(sp³)–O coupling to afford Ni^{II} product 7 in >98% yield, as determined by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy. Complex 7 was isolated as a yellow powder in 78% yield (Scheme 3.6b).

**Scheme 3.6.** Reactivity of Complex 6 Towards: (a) C(sp³)–C(sp³) Reductive Elimination and (b) C(sp³)–O Coupling

While complex 6 reacted cleanly with NMe₄OAc, several other nucleophiles (for example, NMe₄X with X = OPh, SPh, F) afforded complicated mixtures of products. We hypothesized that this might be due, at least in part, to the overall +1 charge on 6, which would render the complex highly electrophilic and thus susceptible to side reactions involving electron transfer.$^{19}$ In addition, solid-state binding interactions between anions and the tris(pyrindyl) methane backbone at other metal centers have been well documented.$^{16f,19}$ Consistent with these findings, the treatment of 6 with NMe₄OAc at 0 °C revealed a 0.8 ppm downfield shift of the methine proton resonance in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, likely corresponding to formation of the acetate adduct 6-OAc in Figure 3.5. In the presence of stronger bases, this interaction could potentially lead to equilibrium deprotonation of the acidic proton and subsequent decomposition of the complex.$^{19}$ Thus, for our next studies we targeted a tridentate supporting ligand that would stabilize Ni^{IV} without participating in these undesirable side reactions.
Scope of Carbon–Heteroatom Coupling from TpNi^{IV}

The anionic tripodal ligand tris(pyrazolyl)borate (Tp) has served as an effective scaffold for stabilizing related Pd^{IV} and Pt^{IV} complexes.\textsuperscript{17} In addition, we reasoned that the borohydride moiety would likely be compatible with strong nucleophiles and would minimize the decomposition pathways observed with complex 6. We thus next targeted the neutral TpNi^{IV} complex 9.

Anionic TpNi^{II} complex 8 was prepared in 90\% yield via ligand exchange between [(PMe\textsubscript{3})Ni\textsuperscript{II}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-o-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})] and KTp (Scheme 3.7). [(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-o-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})(CF\textsubscript{3})] (9) was then prepared by the treatment of 8 with Umemoto’s reagent in CH\textsubscript{3}CN at room temperature and was isolated in 92\% after purification by flash chromatography. Yellow crystals of 9 were obtained via slow evaporation of a methanol solution, and an ORETIP diagram of the structure is shown in Figure 3.6. The Ni^{IV}–CF\textsubscript{3} bond distances in both 5 (1.956 Å) and 9 (1.941 Å) are considerably longer than typical Ni^{II}–CF\textsubscript{3} bond lengths reported in the literature (1.853-1.921 Å).\textsuperscript{15} While complexes of higher oxidation states may be expected to
have shorter bond distances due to enhanced electrophilicity, this discrepancy may be due to increased steric crowding at the octahedral centers.

**Scheme 3.7.** Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni^{IV}(CH_{2}CMe_{2-o-C_{6}H_{4}})(CF_{3})] (9)

![Scheme 3.7. Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni^{IV}(CH_{2}CMe_{2-o-C_{6}H_{4}})(CF_{3})] (9)](image)

**Figure 3.6.** ORTEP Diagram of Complex 9. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

TpNi^{IV} complex 9 was found to react with a diverse set of nucleophiles [NMe_{3}X; X = OAc, OPh, SPh, and N(Me)(Ms), where Ms = MeSO_{2}^{-}] to yield the products of C(sp^{3})–oxygen, C(sp^{3})–sulfur, and C(sp^{3})–nitrogen coupling (Scheme 3.8; products 10a-d). The C(sp^{3})–heteroatom coupling reactions to form 10a-d were extremely selective and high-yielding (>98% conversion as determined by {^{19}F NMR spectroscopy; 78-94% isolated yields). Products derived from competing C(sp^{2})–C(sp^{3}) coupling or C(sp^{2})–heteroatom coupling were not detected in the {^{1}H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures. Notably, C(sp^{3})–heteroatom coupling reactions of this type are rare in organometallic chemistry, and most previously reported examples involve second or third row metal centers. In addition, the observation of selective C(sp^{3})–heteroatom coupling is complementary to the reactivity of other oxidation
states of Ni, where C(sp^2)–heteroatom bond formation has significant precedent.\textsuperscript{4j,lm,21} Other nucleophiles including halides (i.e., Cl\textsuperscript{−}, Br\textsuperscript{−}, I\textsuperscript{−}), trifluoroacetate, and more electron-deficient sulfonamides were also examined; however, these anions were unreactive after heating at 90 °C for several days. We rationalize these results based on the comparatively weaker nucleophilicities of these coupling partners.\textsuperscript{22}

**Scheme 3.8.** Selective C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–O, C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–S, and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–N Bond Formation from 9

Whereas Ni\textsuperscript{II} products 10a\texttextsuperscript{,d} were stable towards isolation, the treatment of Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex 9 with 1 equiv of NBu\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{3} led to intermediate 10e, which was observed in situ but was unstable in solution (Scheme 3.9). Azide intermediate 10e slowly converted to 3,3’-dimethylindoline (quantitative conversion) over 15 h at room temperature. This transformation likely proceeds via the pathway shown in Scheme 3.9. Here, the pendant alkyl azide that results from C–N coupling inserts into the C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–Ni bond to generate Ni\textsuperscript{II} intermediate 11. Related intermolecular azide insertions into Ni\textsuperscript{II}–C bonds have been reported by Hillhouse.\textsuperscript{4f,g} Protonation of the Ni–N bond by adventitious water then releases the indoline product.
Scheme 3.9. Distinct Reactivity of Ni$^{IV}$ Complex 9 with Azide as the Nucleophile

Figure 3.7. $^1$H NMR Spectra Showing the Reaction Progress for the Formation of 3,3-dimethyl indoline from Complex 9 and Azide Intermediate 10e after (a) 2 h, 23 °C and (b) 15 h, 23 °C

Figure 3.8. $^{19}$F NMR Spectra Showing the Reaction Progress for the Formation of Ni$^{II}$(MeCN)$_2$(CF$_3$)$_2$ from Complex 9 and Azide Intermediate 10e after (a) 2 h, 23 °C and (b) 15 h, 23 °C

The Ni$^{II}$ byproducts of the reaction shown in Scheme 3.9 are Tp$_2$Ni and (CD$_3$CN)$_2$Ni(CF$_3$)$_2$ (Figures 3.7 and 3.8). These are presumably generated via ligand disproportionation from the initial reductive elimination product, TpNi$^{II}$CF$_3$. X-ray quality
crystals of Tp₂Ni were obtained from the crude acetonitrile reaction mixture and an ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.9.

**Figure 3.9.** ORTEP diagram of Tp₂Ni. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

![ORTEP diagram of Tp₂Ni](image)

**Mechanistic Insights**

Finally, we sought to gain insights into the mechanism of these C(sp³)–heteroatom coupling reactions. Related transformations at octahedral Pd⁴⁺ and Pt⁴⁺ centers are believed to proceed via Sₐ₂-type attack on the metal–C bond by the nucleophile²⁰a,b,c,f,g,h In the current system, an Sₐ₂ mechanism would be expected to show a first-order kinetic dependence on both [Ni] and NMe₄X. Indeed, rate studies of the reaction between 9 and NMe₄OAc showed that this transformation is first-order in [Ni] and first-order in NMe₄OAc, consistent with an Sₐ₂ pathway (eq. 1).

![Mechanistic equation](image)

Another common feature of Sₐ₂ reactions is that the reaction rates show a correlation with the Swain-Scott nucleophilicity parameters.²²a These nucleophilicity parameters (nₓ,
where $X = a$ series of different nucleophiles) are derived from a prototypical $S_{N2}$ reaction (that of a given nucleophile with $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$). The initial rate ($r_0$) of C–heteroatom coupling at 9 with $\text{AcO}^-, \text{PhO}^-, \text{PhS}^-, \text{N(\text{Me})(Ms)}$, and $\text{N}_3^-$ was determined at 23 °C in $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$. A plot of $\log(r_0)$ versus $n_X$ is shown in Figure 3.10. The excellent linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.97$) provides further support for an $S_{N2}$ type C–X coupling pathway in this system.

**Figure 3.10.** Correlation Between Experimental Initial Rates ($r_0$) of Reductive Elimination from Complex 9 and Swain-Scott Nucleophilicity Values ($n_X$)

![Graph showing correlation between $\log(r_0)$ and nucleophilicity ($n_X$)]

**Outlook**

The studies described herein demonstrate the feasibility of generating Ni complexes in the $+4$ oxidation state that can undergo important and challenging bond-forming reactions. Moreover, the systematic development of a series of Ni$^{IV}$ complexes has revealed important design principles that lay the foundation for our continued studies of high-valent Ni chemistry. In particular, the use of Umemoto’s reagent as an inner sphere CF$_3^+$ transfer reagent to forge a Ni$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ bond demonstrates that CF$_3$ ligands can stabilize a Ni$^{IV}$ center and limit/slow down reductive elimination processes. Replacement of the bidentate bipyridine ligand for facially-coordinated tridentate ligand scaffolds also proved critical for stabilizing this rare oxidation state. The anionic nitrogen-donor ligand, tris(pyrazolyl) borate, was found to be the most
effective, providing coordinative saturation and electron density to the Ni center. These design features allowed us to directly study this unusual oxidation state and have been implemented in our ongoing studies of high-valent Ni model systems.

**Figure 3.11. Design Features of Model Ni^{IV} System**

![Diagram of design features of Model Ni^{IV} System]

**3.2.2. Aryl–CF₃ Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Ni^{IV}**

Section 3.2.1 described the formation of a stable TpNi^{IV} complex accessed by the 2e⁻ oxidation of a cyclometalated Ni^{II} precursor with the “CF₃⁺” oxidant S-(trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate (Figure 3.11). This complex was found to undergo highly selective C(sp³)–C(sp³) and C(sp³)–heteroatom coupling upon heating; however, no products derived from C–CF₃ bond formation were observed under any of the reaction conditions. The preferential coupling of the C(sp³)–C(sp³) ligands suggested that other CF₃–ligated Ni^{IV} complexes could be stable for isolation due to the sluggish reactivity of CF₃ towards reductive elimination reactions.

---

1 Work in this section was collaborative with James Bour. His contributions involved the synthesis of complexes related to the aryl-based oxidation pathway as well as complete characterization of complex 13 (X-ray, elemental analysis, NMR spectroscopy). My work focused on the synthesis and scope of complexes related to the trifluoromethyl-based oxidation pathway as well as mechanistic studies of the system.
Scheme 3.10. Targeted Model System for Studying Ar–CF₃ Coupling from Ni⁴⁺

**Previous System:**

![Diagram of Previous System]

**Proposed Model System:**

![Diagram of Proposed Model System]

We envisioned that an appropriately designed nickel complex bearing trifluoromethyl ligands could allow the reactivity of Ni⁴⁺ towards catalytically relevant aryl–CF₃ bond formation to be directly investigated. We hypothesized that a Ni⁴⁺ model system of general structure 13 could be accessed by two complementary pathways: (a) via the oxidation of 12 with CF₃⁺ reagents (by analogy to our previous system) or (b) via the reaction of 14 with aryl electrophiles (Aryl⁺) (Scheme 3.10). This strategy would allow the accessibility of Ni⁴⁺ with both types of oxidants to be assessed. Furthermore, the viability of aryl–CF₃ coupling from high-valent nickel could be directly investigated.

**Synthesis and Reactivity of Targeted Ni⁴⁺(Aryl)(CF₃) Complex**

We first sought to access 13 by the 2e⁻ oxidation of NBu₄[TpNi⁵⁺(Ph)(CF₃)] (12) with S-(trifluoromethyl)-dibenzothiophenium triflate (Umemoto’s Reagent). The Ni⁵⁺ starting material [TpNi⁵⁺(Ph)(CF₃)]NBu₄ (12) was prepared via treatment of a 0.085 M solution of (dtbpy)Ni⁵⁺(Ph)(CF₃) (dtbpy = 4,4’-di-tert-butylbipyridine) in acetonitrile with 1 equiv of NBu₄Tp. The reaction changed color from dark orange to yellow-brown along with
concomitant precipitation of dtbpy. Product 12 was isolated in 46% yield after recrystallization from an ether/pentane solution (Scheme 3.11).

**Scheme 3.11.** Synthesis of [TpNi^{IV}(Ph)(CF₃)₂] (13) via the Oxidation of 12 with Umemoto’s Reagent (pathway a)

![Scheme 3.11](image)

The treatment of 12 with 1.3 equiv of Umemoto’s reagent afforded the diamagnetic Ni^{IV} product TpNi^{IV}(Ph)(CF₃)₂ (13) in 93% NMR yield and 90% isolated yield following purification from flash chromatography. Complex 13 was characterized by ^1H, ^13C, ^11B, and ^19F NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and X-ray crystallography (Figure 3.12).

**Figure 3.12.** ORTEP Diagram of Complex 13. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and disorder in the trifluoromethyl ligands have been omitted for clarity.

![Figure 3.12](image)

The rapid formation of 13 prompted the investigation of additional trifluoromethyl-based oxidants. The oxidation of 12 with 1-trifluoromethyl-1,2-benziodoxolone (Togni Reagent II) afforded 13 in 95% yield as determined by ^19F NMR spectroscopy. Given the strongly oxidizing character of Umemoto- and Togni-type reagents, the accessibility of Ni^{IV}
with milder trifluoromethyl sources was also examined. While the use of trifluoromethyl bromide as an oxidant did not lead to product formation, the treatment of \( \text{12} \) with excess trifluoromethyl iodide afforded \( \text{13} \) in a modest 25% \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR yield.\(^{23}\) A mixture of paramagnetic and diamagnetic resonances were observed in the \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectra, suggesting alternate or competing radical mechanisms may be occurring with this oxidant.

**Scheme 3.12. Scope of Oxidants for the Formation of 13**

\[ \text{Ni}^{\text{II}} \text{PhCF}_3 \text{N} \text{N} \text{HB} \text{N} \text{N} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Ni}^{\text{IV}} \text{CF}_3 \text{CF}_3 \text{N} \text{N} \text{HB} \text{N} \text{N} \\ \text{(12)} \end{array} \]

(a) CF\(_3\)\(^+\) oxidant (1.3 equiv) rt., \(<5 \text{ min}\) CH\(_3\)CN

(b) PhN\(_2\)BF\(_4\) or Ph\(_3\)BF\(_4\)

\(-35^\circ\text{C} \text{ to rt, } 10 \text{ min}\) CH\(_3\)CN (42-77%) \n
\[^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR yields of Ni}^{\text{IV}} \text{ Complex:} \]

- \(93\%\)^a
- \(84\%\)^a
- \(95\%\)^a
- \(<1\%\)^a
- \(25\%\)^b
- \(<1\%\)^c

\[^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR yields of Ni}^{\text{IV}} \text{ Complex:} \]

\[^{1.3 \text{ equiv oxidant, r.t., } 5 \text{ min}, ^{10 \text{ equiv oxidant (2.5 M solution in MeCN), r.t., } 6 \text{ h, } ^{10 \text{ equiv oxidant (0.25 M solution in CH\(_3\)CN), rt, 24 h} \]

Seminal studies by Vicic\(^{15b}\) and Mirica\(^{15c}\) have shown that Ni\(^{\text{II}}\)(CF\(_3\))\(_2\) complexes react with outer-sphere 1e\(^-\) oxidants to yield Ni\(^{\text{III}}\) products. However, the analogous 2e\(^-\) oxidation of such complexes had not been disclosed at the time of our work. The treatment of Tp-ligated Ni\(^{\text{II}}\)(CF\(_3\))\(_2\) (14) with electrophilic arylating reagents (diphenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (Ph\(_2\)IBF\(_4\)) and phenyl diazonium tetrafluoroborate (PhN\(_2\)BF\(_4\)) afforded Ni\(^{\text{IV}}\) complex 13 in 77% and 42% yield, respectively. (Scheme 3.12, pathway b). These results demonstrate that Ni\(^{\text{II/IV}}\) manifolds are accessible under mild reaction conditions with aryl diazonium and diaryliodonium reagents.

We next investigated the reactivity of the Ni\(^{\text{IV}}\) product 13. Upon heating at 55 °C for 15 h in CD\(_3\)CN, 13 underwent clean C(sp\(^2\))–CF\(_3\) bond-forming reductive elimination to afford benzotrifluoride in 76% yield as determined by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3.13). The Ni\(^{\text{II}}\) byproducts of the reaction\(^{24}\) were characterized as Ni\(^{\text{II}}\)-Tp\(_2\) (26% yield, maximum yield
50%) and \((\text{CD}_3\text{CN})_2\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}\text{CF}_3\text{$_2$} \) (29% yield, maximum yield 50%),\(^{1a,25}\) which are presumably generated via ligand disproportionation from the initial reductive elimination product, \(\text{TpNi}^{\text{II}}\text{CF}_3\). This reaction represents the first reported example of high yielding aryl–CF$_3$ reductive elimination from a discrete Ni complex.\(^{26}\)

**Scheme 3.13.** Reductive Elimination from Complex 13 to Form Benzotrifluoride

**Mechanistic Investigations**

A series of Ni$^{\text{IV}}$ complexes bearing substituted aryl ligands were prepared to investigate electronic effects on this aryl–CF$_3$ coupling reaction. The complexes 13-OMe, 13-Me, 13-Br, and 13-CO$_2$Me were synthesized via the treatment of NBu$_4[(\text{Tp})\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}\text{CF}_3\text{$_2$}]$ (14) with the respective Ar$_2$IBF$_4$ reagents. Heating the substituted Ni$^{\text{IV}}$ complexes at 55 °C in CD$_3$CN for 4-18 h afforded the corresponding benzotrifluorides in 70-95% yield as determined by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Table 3.1). Reductive elimination from each complex was monitored by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy at 55 °C, and a representative reaction profile for complex 13 (R = H) is shown in Figure 3.13. In all cases, first-order kinetic behavior was observed.

**Table 3.1.** Reductive Elimination from Ni$^{\text{IV}}$ Complexes 13-R at 55 °C. Yields of Ar–CF$_3$ are determined by $^{19}$F NMR integration against the fluorine standard 4,4'–difluorobiphenyl
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Ar-CF$_3$ $^{19}$F NMR Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-H</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-OMe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Me</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Br</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-CO$_2$Me</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.13.** Plot of concentration vs. time data for reductive elimination from 13-H to form benzotrifluoride (Ar-CF$_3$) at 55 °C. $y_{13-H} = 0.023e^{-0.00026x}$ $R^2 = 0.99$; $y_{Ar-CF3} = 0.017(1-e^{-0.00020x})$, $R^2 = 0.99$
The rate constants ($k_{obs}$) for each reaction were obtained by fitting the decay of 13-R to single exponentials, and a Hammett plot of the resulting data is shown in Figure 3.14. The plot shows a $\rho$ value of $-0.91$, indicating that reductive elimination is accelerated by electron-donor substituents on the aromatic ring. This effect mirrors the trend reported for aryl–CF$_3$ bond-forming reductive elimination from related Pd$^{IV}$(aryl)(CF$_3$)$_2$ complexes. The observed electronic effect can be rationalized in two ways: (1) the larger $trans$ effect of electron-rich $\sigma$-aryl groups facilitates ligand dissociation to generate a reactive five-coordinate Ni$^{IV}$ intermediate from which reductive elimination occurs and/or (2) the electron donor substituents accelerate a nucleophilic attack by the $\sigma$-aryl ligand onto the electrophilic CF$_3$ group in the transition state (Scheme 3.14).
Various mechanistic studies were carried out to probe the lability of the pyrazole ligands in 13. The \( p \)-methoxy ligated Ni\(^{IV} \) complex 13-OMe was used as the substrate for these studies as it is expected to exhibit the greatest *trans* effect. EXSY experiments were first performed to determine whether exchange of the axial and equatorial pyrazole ligands could be observed.\(^{30} \) As shown in Figure 3.15, the \(^1\)H/\(^1\)H ROESY spectrum showed no chemical exchange cross peaks between pyrazole rings 1 or 2 from \(-10 \, ^{\circ}\)C to \(40 \, ^{\circ}\)C on the NMR time scale. We also conducted line broadening studies of 13-OMe between \(-25 \, ^{\circ}\)C and \(25 \, ^{\circ}\)C. However, no differences in peak width between the equatorial and axial ligands were observed at these temperatures.
Figure 3.15. $^1$H/$^1$H ROESY Spectrum of 13-OMe at 40 °C Showing No Chemical Exchange Between the Pyrazole Rings on the NMR Time Scale

We next examined ligand substitution reactions with nucleophilic anions to gain further insights into possible exchange processes at the Ni$^{IV}$ center. The treatment of 13 with NMe$_4$SPh led to consumption of the Ni$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ starting material (–19.3 ppm) and formation of a new $^{19}$F NMR resonance at –22.3 ppm (Scheme 3.15). This $^{19}$F NMR shift is within the range of Ni$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ peaks reported in the literature.$^{1,6}$ We therefore tentatively assign this as the thiophenolate adduct 15. Complex 15 was stable in solution for several hours. Upon heating at 50 °C for 12 h, the consumption of 15 was accompanied by the growth of two quartets in the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum that we attribute to stereoisomer 15'. The two $^{19}$F quartets couple one another ($J_{FF} = 4.2$ Hz) which is indicative of two inequivalent CF$_3$ groups on the Ni center (Figure 3.16). The proposed structure 15' bears two distinct CF$_3$ ligands, and is therefore consistent with the $^{19}$F
NMR data. Additional heating of the crude reaction mixture (3 h at 50 °C) led to C(sp²)–SPh coupling to form the diphenyl sulfide, which was determined to be the major product by GC/MS analysis. These results provide preliminary evidence for pyrazole lability in our model system.

**Scheme 3.15.** The Reactivity of 13 with NMe₄SPh, Demonstrating Pyrazole Lability at Ni⁴

**Figure 3.16.** ¹⁹F NMR Resonances of Proposed Complex 15′

**Low Temperature Studies with Bipyridine-Ligated Derivatives**

While facially coordinated tridentate ligands provide stabilization for the isolation of discrete high-valent complexes, bidentate-nitrogen donor ligands such as bipyridines are commonly used in Ni-catalyzed C–C and C–heteroatom coupling reactions, making them much more catalytically relevant. Moreover, if aryl–CF₃ reductive elimination is preceded by ligand dissociation to generate a five coordinate intermediate, replacement of the tridentate Tp scaffold with the bidentate 4,4′-di-tert-butylbipyridine (dtbpy) would enable even milder conditions for aryl-trifluoromethyl coupling.

The treatment of dtbpy-supported Ni¹¹ complexes 16 and 17 with 1.5 equiv of Umemoto’s Reagent or PhN₂BF₄, respectively, afforded benzotri fluoride in 57% and 67%
yield as determined by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3.16). Notably, these transformations proceeded to completion within 10 min at room temperature. As such, they are among the fastest reported examples of aryl–CF$_3$ coupling at a group 10 metal center.\cite{10,33} Monitoring these reactions by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy at $-25$ °C showed the presence of the same transient diamagnetic intermediate in both cases.\cite{34} The $^{19}$F NMR resonances associated with this intermediate (a pair of quartets at $-19.8$ and $-23.8$ ppm, $J_{FF} = 7.9$ Hz; Figure 3.17) are consistent with an unsymmetrical Ni$^{IV}$ bis-trifluoromethyl complex of general structure 18. The decay of intermediate 18 was accompanied by growth of the resonance associated with benzotrifluoride. Overall, these results strongly suggest that organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ complexes are accessible under mild conditions using catalytically relevant bidentate-nitrogen donor ligands.

**Scheme 3.16.** Oxidation and Subsequent Aryl-CF$_3$ Coupling from (dtbpy)Ni$^{II}$ Complexes 16 and 17

**Figure 3.17.** $^{19}$F NMR Spectrum at $-25$ °C Showing the Two Signals Assigned to the CF$_3$ Resonances of Ni$^{IV}$ Intermediate 18
3.3. Conclusions

The combined fundamental studies described in this chapter demonstrate the mild accessibility of organometallic Ni$^{IV}$ complexes as well as their reactivity in challenging bond-forming reactions. The replacement of bidentate ligands with facially coordinating tridentate scaffolds proved critical for the isolation and characterization of these traditionally reactive species. Moreover, the implementation of chelating carbon ligands and trifluoromethyl groups served to both stabilize the Ni center and facilitate catalytically-relevant reactivity.

In section 3.2.1 we demonstrated that a CF$_3^+$ oxidant (Umemoto’s reagent) can be used to access a series of isolable Ni$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ complexes. In these studies, the CF$_3$ group served as a stabilizing bystanding ligand, as these Ni$^{IV}$ complexes underwent selective C(sp$^3$)–C(sp$^2$) coupling as well as C(sp$^3$)–heteroatom bond-forming reactions in the presence of exogenous nucleophiles. Finally, the incorporation of CF$_3$ and Ar ligands in section 3.2.2 provided a platform for assessing the accessibility of Ni$^{IV}$ with aryl-based oxidants. These complexes underwent high-yielding C(sp$^2$)–CF$_3$ reductive elimination under mild conditions, demonstrating the first examples of trifluoromethylation from a Ni$^{IV}$ center.

We anticipate that the ability of Ni$^{IV}$ complexes to engage in selective carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom coupling reactions can ultimately be exploited in catalysis. A key challenge for achieving this objective will be to delineate the classes of oxidants and supporting ligands that enable selective access to Ni$^{IV}$ (rather than more common Ni$^{III}$) intermediates. This should allow for the design of catalytic sequences in which a Ni–carbon bond-forming step (e.g., transmetallation, C–H activation) is coupled with oxidation and reductive elimination via Ni$^{II/IV}$ catalysis.
3.4. Experimental Procedures and Characterization of Compounds

3.4.1. General Procedures and Materials and Methods

General Procedures

All experiments and manipulations were carried out under an inert nitrogen atmosphere using standard glovebox or Schlenk techniques unless otherwise indicated. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VNMR 700 (699.76 MHz for \(^1\)H; 175.95 MHz for \(^{13}\)C), a Varian VNMR 500 (500.09 MHz for \(^1\)H; 470.56 MHz for \(^{19}\)F) or a Varian VNMR 400 spectrometer (399.54 MHz for \(^1\)H; 128.187 for \(^{11}\)B). \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak as an internal reference. \(^{19}\)F chemical shifts and \(^{11}\)B chemical shifts are reported in ppm and are referenced on a unified scale, where the single primary reference is the frequency of the residual solvent peak in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum. Abbreviations used in the NMR data: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; t, triplet; td, triplet of duplets; m, multiplet; br, broad signal. Elemental analyses were conducted by Midwest Microlabs. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a CHI 600C potentiostat from CH instruments. The electrodes were obtained from BASi. Mass spectral data were obtained on a Micromass magnetic sector mass spectrometer in electrospray ionization mode. X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-I CCD-based X-ray diffractometer. Flash chromatography was conducted using a Biotage Isolera One system with cartridges containing high performance silica gel.

Materials and Methods

The following compounds were prepared via literature procedures: [(bpy)Ni\(^{II}\)(CH\(_2\)CMe\(_2\)-o-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))] (1),\(^{35}\) (PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Ni(CF\(_3\)) (OTFA),\(^{36}\) Ph\(_2\)IBF\(_4\),\(^{37}\) (4-MeOC\(_6\)H\(_4\))\(_2\)IBF\(_4\),\(^{38}\) (4-Br-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(Mes)IBF\(_4\),\(^{39}\) (3-CO\(_2\)MeC\(_6\)H\(_4\))(Mes)IBF\(_4\),\(^4\) (dtbpy)\(_2\)Ni(CF\(_3\))\(_2\),\(^{15b}\) tris(2-pyridyl)methane,\(^{16f}\) NMe\(_4\)OPh,\(^{21g}\) and NMe\(_4\)N(Me)(Ms)\(^{21f}\). 1,1-dimethylbenzocyclobutane (4)\(^{40}\) and 3,3’-dimethylindoline\(^{41}\) were characterized by comparison of their \(^1\)H NMR spectra with those reported in the literature. 2-methyl-2-phenylpropyl magnesium chloride (0.5 M solution in diethyl ether), (PMe\(_3\))\(_2\)NiCl\(_2\), S-(trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate, 4,4’-di-tert-butylbipyridine, NMe\(_4\)OAc, and NBu\(_4\)N\(_3\) were obtained from Aldrich. The tetramethylammonium salts were dried over P\(_2\)O\(_5\) at 70 °C under vacuum for 15 h and stored in the glovebox prior to use. Electrochemical studies of complexes 1 and 5 were performed
with electrochemical grade NBu$_4$BF$_4$, which was purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. N-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate was obtained from TCI America. Iodobenzene diacetate was obtained from Oakwood. 3-(Trifluoromethyl)anisole was obtained from Matrix Scientific. Potassium tris(pyrazolyl)borate (KTP) was purchased from Strem. CD$_3$CN (Cambridge Isotopes) was dried over 4 Å molecular sieves prior to use. Diethyl ether (EMD), tetrahydrofurane (Fisher), and pentane (Fisher) were deaerated via an N$_2$ sparge and dried using a solvent purification system. Acetonitrile (Alfa Aesar, anhydrous >99.8%), acetone (Sigma-Aldrich), and benzene (EMD) were used without further purification. Celite was dried under vacuum for 12 h at 50 °C prior to use.

3.4.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds

The synthesis of [(PMe$_3$)Ni$^{II}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] was synthesized from the following modification of a literature procedure.$^{35}$ In the glovebox, (PMe$_3$)$_2$NiCl$_2$ (1.0 g, 3.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed in a 150 mL round bottom Schlenk flask. The solid was dissolved in 60 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether. A sub-stoichiometric amount of MgI$_2$ pellets (approximately 50 mg) were dissolved in 5 mL of diethyl ether and added to the reaction flask. The Schlenk flask was capped with a septum and taken out of the glovebox. The flask was put under a constant flow of nitrogen and cooled to –78 °C (dry ice/acetone bath). At this temperature, 2-methyl-2-propyl phenyl magnesium chloride (16 mL of a 0.5 M solution in diethyl ether) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was allowed to gradually warm to room temperature overnight during which time the reaction turned from purple-red to green. Solvent was removed on the Schlenk line to afford a brown residue and the flask was brought back into the glovebox. Pentane was added (75 mL) and the solution was filtered through a Celite plug. Solvent was concentrated to approximately 10 mL at which point orange crystals began to form. The crystals were collected and dried under vacuum (720 mg, 60 % yield). The spectra for the title complex matched that reported in the literature.$^{35}$ It should be noted that this complex is highly air and moisture sensitive.
**in situ** Characterization of [(bpy)Ni^{II}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)(CF_3)(OTf)] (4). A screw cap NMR tube was charged with [(bpy)Ni^{II}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)] (1) (8.0 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and CD_3CN (0.6 mL) was added. S-(Trifluoromethyl)dibenzothiophenium triflate (9.3 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was subsequently added at room temperature, and the dark blue solution immediately turned light yellow. The NMR tube was then removed from the glovebox and transferred to a liquid nitrogen/ethyl acetate bath (−84 °C). The sample was then placed in the NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-cooled to −25 °C. The sample was allowed to equilibrate in the spectrometer for 5 min before acquiring spectra. The starting material and oxidant were fully consumed to generate the Ni^{IV} complex as a mixture of two isomers. Major isomer 4 was formed in a 10:1 isomeric ratio as determined by integration of the methylene protons in the crude ^1^H NMR spectrum. Only the signals of the major isomer are reported. Because of very low intensity, the carbon resonances of the CF_3 groups could not be observed directly in the ^1^C NMR spectrum. The values were extracted from a ^1^9_2C–^1^3C HMBC NMR experiment.

At the time of acquisition, approximately 10% of cyclobutane product 3 had already formed. Over the course of 15 h, the consumption of 4 to form 3 was monitored by ^1^H NMR spectroscopy at room temperature. ^1^H NMR (700 MHz, CD_3CN, −25 °C) δ 9.30 (d, J_{HH} = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (d, J_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.41-8.31 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.24 (m, 1H), 7.95-7.85 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.58 (d, J_{HH} = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J_{HH} = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J_{HH} = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J_{HH} = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (d, J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 0.80 (s, 3H). ^1^C NMR (176 MHz, CD_3CN, −25 °C) δ 159.27, 153.94, 152.90, 149.05, 141.28, 139.04, 135.28, 132.60, 128.08, 127.81, 127.33, 127.22, 126.63, 124.28, 124.16, 123.36 (Ni-CF_3, shift for CF_3 group extracted from ^1^9_2C–^1^3C HMBC NMR spectrum), 122.56 (–OSO_2CF_3, shift for CF_3 group extracted from ^1^9_2C–^1^3C HMBC NMR spectrum), 80.73, 47.20, 30.29, 29.63. ^1^9_2C NMR (471 MHz, CD_3CN, −25 °C) δ −20.42 (s, Ni-CF_3), −79.52 (s, –OSO_2CF_3).

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)
Synthesis of [(Py$_3$CH)Ni$^{II}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (5). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(PMMe$_3$)$_2$Ni$^{II}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (300 mg, 0.88 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in diethyl ether (10 mL). Tris(2-pyridyl)methane (238 mg, 0.96 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added, and the light orange solution immediately turned red. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min. The solution was then concentrated to approximately 3 mL, during which time the product precipitated from solution. The precipitate was collected by filtration. The resulting solid was dried under vacuum to afford complex 5 as a bright red solid (281 mg, 73% yield).

Ni$^{II}$ complex 5 exists as a mixture of equilibrating isomers due to the fluxonial pyridyl arm. As a result, the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of complex 5 are extremely broad, even at –25 °C. Because of extensive overlap between the isomers, not all of the individual resonances in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum could be extracted. $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –25 °C) δ 9.04 (br, 1H), 8.46 (br, 2H), 7.85 (br, 4H), 7.40 (br, 2H), 7.19 (br, 3H), 6.63 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.49 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.46 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.2, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dd, $J_{HH} = 7.2, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 1.28 (s, 6H), 0.72 (s, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, –25 °C) δ 169.22, 164.63, 159.20, 150.95, 149.17, 137.33, 136.55, 124.93, 124.11, 122.44, 121.41, 119.74, 61.28, 47.39, 46.11, 33.32, 22.28, 13.56. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{25}$N$_3$Ni, 437.1396; found, 437.1404.

Synthesis of [(Py$_3$CH)Ni$^{IV}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)(CF$_3$)(OTf)] (6). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(Py$_3$CH)Ni$^{II}$](CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (5) (200 mg, 0.46 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). S-(Trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate (202 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added at rt, and the red solution immediately turned yellow. The reaction mixture was then removed from the glovebox and concentrated in vacuo. Benzene (5 mL) was added to the residue, and yellow crystals precipitated from solution over the course of 10 min. The solids were filtered, collected, and dried under vacuum to afford complex 6 as a yellow solid (278 mg, 92% yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 9.21 (d, $J_{HH} = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, $J_{HH}$
= 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.09 (multiple peaks, 2H), 8.08-8.00 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.95 (td, \( J_{HH} = 7.6, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H), 7.87 (m, 1H), 7.73 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, \( J_{HH} = 7.5, 5.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.29 (m, 1H), 7.22 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.7 \) Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.7 \) Hz, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 5.15 (dd, \( J_{HH} = 5.7 \) Hz, \( J_{HF} = 2.5 \) Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.7 \) Hz, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H).

\( ^{13}C \) NMR (176 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 23 °C) \( \delta \) 160.08, 159.51, 153.34, 153.25, 153.15, 152.62, 150.98, 150.89, 141.54, 141.35, 141.18, 132.35, 127.64, 127.09, 126.98, 126.64, 125.87, 125.75, 125.25, 125.12, 124.93, 122.56 (\( \text{–OSO}_2\text{CF}_3 \), shift for CF\(_3\) group extracted from \( ^{19}F–^{13}C \) HMBC NMR spectrum), 199.47 (Ni-CF\(_3\), shift for CF\(_3\) group extracted from \( ^{19}F–^{13}C \) HMBC NMR spectrum), 81.62, 58.98, 47.43, 30.87, 30.26.

\( ^{19}F \) NMR (471 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 23 °C) \( \delta \) –13.12 (s, Ni-CF\(_3\)), –79.32 (s, –OSO\(_2\)CF\(_3\)).

HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – OTf]+ calcd. for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{25}\)F\(_3\)N\(_3\)Ni, 506.1349; found, 506.1351.


**Synthesis of [(Py\(_3\)CH)Ni\(_{II}\)(C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-o-CMe\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OAc)(CF\(_3\))] (7).** A 20 mL vial was charged with [(Py\(_3\)CH)Ni\(_{IV}\)(CH\(_2\)CMe\(_2\)-o-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(CF\(_3\))(OTf)] (6) (50 mg, 0.076 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). NMe\(_4\)OAc (12 mg, 0.091 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added at rt, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. Solvent was then removed in vacuo. The yellow residue was re-dissolved in benzene, and the solution was concentrated. This process was repeated two times to remove NMe\(_4\)OTf and excess NMe\(_4\)OAc. The residue was then washed with diethyl ether (2 x 10 mL) and dried under vacuum to afford complex 7 as a yellow solid (33 mg, 78% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 23 °C) \( \delta \) 9.15 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.5 \) Hz, 1H), 8.75 (m, 1H), 7.95 (m, 1H), 7.90 (m, 1H), 7.82 (d, \( J_{HH} = 5.5 \) Hz, 1H), 7.78 (td, \( J_{HH} = 7.6, 1.7 \) Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.1 \) Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, \( J_{HH} = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 6.98-6.91 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.68 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.4 \) Hz, 1H), 6.43 (t, \( J_{HH} = 7.4 \) Hz, 1H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 4.77 (d, \( J_{HH} = 10.7 \) Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, \( J_{HH} = 10.7 \) Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H) 1.98 (s, 3H). \(^{13}C \) NMR (176 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 23 °C) \( \delta \) 171.06, 160.13, 158.33, 158.03, 156.57, 153.33, 152.49, 150.14, 149.42, 138.88, 138.20, 137.35, 136.77, 134.44 (Ni-CF\(_3\), shift for CF\(_3\) group extracted from \( ^{19}F–^{13}C \) HMBC NMR spectrum),
127.23, 126.42, 125.90, 124.02, 123.07, 122.58, 121.77, 121.64, 74.33, 60.85, 39.39, 27.76, 27.24, 20.47. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC) δ –22.45, (s, Ni-CF₃). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M]+ calcd. for C₂₉H₂₈F₃N₃NiO₂, 565.1482; found, 565.1486

Synthesis of [(K)(Tp)NiII(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (8). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(PMe₃)₂NiII(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (250 mg, 0.73 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (8 mL). Potassium trispyrazolylborate (202 mg, 0.80 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added at rt, and the dark orange solution was stirred at rt for 30 min. Solvent and free PMe₃ were removed in vacuo. Diethyl ether (10 mL) was added to the brown residue, and the insoluble material was collected. Complex 8 was dried under vacuum and isolated as a yellow solid (301 mg, 93% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC) δ 8.13 (br, 3H), 7.57 (br, 3H), 6.74 (d, JHH = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (t, JHH = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, JHH = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (t, JHH = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (br, 3H), 4.71 (br, B-H), 1.31 (s, 6H), 1.14 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (128 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC) δ 170.14, 164.49, 140.79, 138.19, 134.75, 121.85, 120.77, 119.73, 103.60, 47.16, 40.62, 33.61. ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC) δ –2.40 (d, JBH = 112.0 Hz).

Synthesis of [(Tp)NiIV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (9). A 20 mL vial was charged with K[(Tp)NiII(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (8) (210 mg, 0.47 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in the glovebox. The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL). S-(Trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate (247 mg, 0.61 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added at room temperature and the yellow-orange solution immediately turned yellow-brown. The reaction mixture was taken out of the glovebox and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude yellow-brown solid was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (mobile phase: ethyl acetate/hexanes with a gradient from 90:10 to 80:20). Compound 9 was isolated as a yellow solid (204 mg, 92% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₂CN, 23 ºC) δ 8.13 (d, JHH = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, JHH = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, JHH = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, JHH = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.04 (d, JHH = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t, JHH = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, JHH = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.08 (t, JHH = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, JHH = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (dd, JHH = 5.5 Hz, JHF = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (br, B-H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (128 MHz, CD₂CN, 23 ºC) δ 160.51, 156.03, 142.68, 141.64,
[NMe₄(Tp)NiᴵΙ(C₆H₄-o-CMe₂CH₂OAc)(CF₃)] (10a). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(Tp)Ni⁴(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (9) (30 mg, 0.063 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). NMe₄OAc (10 mg, 0.075 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at 40 °C for 3 days. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 × 10 mL). The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 10a as a yellow solid (33 mg, 88% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ 8.12 (d, J₃H = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J₃H = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, J₃H = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J₃H = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J₃H = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J₃H = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J₃H = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (m, 1H), 6.61 (t, J₃H = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (d, J₃H = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (d, J₃H = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (d, J₃H = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d, J₃H = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (br, B-H), 4.48 (d, J₃H = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J₃H = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (s, 12H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ 170.79, 159.57, 150.58, 142.40, 142.18, 140.75, 138.14 (Ni-CF₃, shift for CF₃ group extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum), 136.35, 135.49, 134.76, 134.19, 124.95, 121.24, 120.81, 104.05, 103.84, 103.46, 73.96, 56.23, 39.38, 27.25, 27.02, 20.17. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ −20.62 (s, Ni-CF₃). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ −2.54 (d, J₉H = 116.9 Hz). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe₄]− calcd. for C₂₂H₂₅BF₃NiO₂, 531.1438; found, 531.1442.

Synthesis of [(NMe₄)(Tp)Niᴵᴵ(C₆H₄-o-CMe₂CH₂OPh)(CF₃)] (10b). A 20 mL vial was charged with [(Tp)Ni⁴(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (9) (30 mg, 0.063 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). NMe₄OPh (13 mg, 0.075 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether.
The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 10b as a yellow solid (31 mg, 78% yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 8.13 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.71 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.9, 1H), 6.63 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.80 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (br, B-$H$), 4.39 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (s, 12H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 159.84, 159.54, 151.22, 142.50, 142.18, 140.77, 138.57 (Ni-CF$_3$, shift for CF$_3$ group extracted from $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C HMBC NMR spectrum), 136.13, 135.39, 134.67, 134.37, 129.22, 125.05, 121.22, 120.80, 119.89, 114.50, 104.03, 103.81, 103.44, 77.96, 55.72, 40.00, 27.19, 27.15. $^{19}$F NMR (471 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ –20.52 (s, Ni-CF$_3$). $^{11}$B NMR (128 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ –2.57 (d, $J_{BB}$ = 115.7 Hz). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe$_4$]$^-$ calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{27}$BF$_3$N$_6$NiO, 565.1645; found, 565.1640.

Synthesis of [(NMe$_4$)(Tp)Ni$^{II}$][C$_6$H$_4$-o-CMe$_2$CH$_2$SPh](CF$_3$)] (10c).

A 20 mL vial was charged with [(Tp)Ni$^{IV}$][C$_6$H$_4$-o-CMe$_2$CH$_2$SPh](CF$_3$)] (9) (50 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). NMe$_4$SPh (23 mg, 0.13 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 10c as a yellow solid (68 mg, 94% yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 8.20 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.24 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.18 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (t, $J_{HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (br, 1H), 6.28 (br, 1H), 6.17 (br, 1H), 5.77 (br, 1H), 4.83 (br, B-$H$), 3.68 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (s, 12H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 159.24, 152.73, 142.37, 142.06, 140.90, 139.31, 138.99 (Ni-CF$_3$, shift for CF$_3$ group extracted from $^{19}$F–$^{13}$C HMBC NMR spectrum), 136.03, 135.34, 134.70, 134.38, 128.60, 127.85, 124.95, 124.68, 121.26, 120.87, 103.99, 103.89, 103.43, 55.16, 47.94, 40.21, 29.29, 29.28. $^{19}$F NMR (471 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ –20.50 (s, Ni-CF$_3$). $^{11}$B NMR (128 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ –2.57 (d, $J_{BB}$ = 115.7 Hz). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe$_4$]$^-$ calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{27}$BF$_3$N$_6$NiS, 581.1417; found, 581.1430.
Synthesis of \[(\text{NMe}_4)(\text{Tp})\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-o-\text{CMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NMeSO}_2\text{Me})(\text{CF}_3)]\) (10d). A 20 mL vial was charged with \([(\text{Tp})\text{Ni}^{\text{IV}}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-o-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)(\text{CF}_3)]\) (9) (50 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL). \text{NMe}_4\text{N}(_3)\text{MsMeN} (23 mg, 0.13 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, and the resulting solution was stirred at 40 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo}. The yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 10d as a yellow solid (65 mg, 90% yield).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (700 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, 23 ºC) \(\delta\) 8.26 (d, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (br, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.43-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.33 (br, 1H), 7.02 (d, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (t, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (t, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (br, 1H), 6.22 (d, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, \(J_{\text{HH}}\) = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (s, 12H), 2.61 (s, 3H) 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (176 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, 23 ºC) \(\delta\) 159.53, 151.85, 142.15, 141.95, 141.10, 138.51 (Ni–CF\textsubscript{3} group extracted from \textsuperscript{19}F–\textsuperscript{13}C HMBC NMR spectrum), 136.01, 135.45, 134.88, 134.27, 125.41, 121.32, 120.81, 104.00 (two overlapping peaks), 103.57, 61.64, 55.74, 40.30, 37.98, 32.90, 28.80, 28.11. \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (471 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, 23 ºC) \(\delta\) –20.48 (s, Ni–CF\textsubscript{3}). \textsuperscript{11}B NMR (128 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN, 23 ºC) \(\delta\) –2.57 (d, \(J_{\text{BH}}\) = 114.4 Hz).

HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M–NMe\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{−} calcd. for C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{28}BF\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{7}NiO\textsubscript{2}S, 580.1424; found, 580.1398.

\textit{in situ} Observation and Decomposition of \([(\text{NBu}_4)(\text{Tp})\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-o-\text{CMe}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}_3)](\text{CF}_3)]\) (10e). A J. Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal was charged with \([(\text{Tp})\text{Ni}^{\text{IV}}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-o-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)(\text{CF}_3)]\) (9) (10 mg, 0.021 mmol, 1.0 equiv). This solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (0.5 mL). \text{NBu}_4\text{N}_3 (6.0 mg, 0.021 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added, and the resulting solution was monitored at room temperature by \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy.
Over the course of 1 h, the reaction mixture changed color from yellow to purple. Over an additional 15 h, the color changed again to orange.

Intermediate 10e was observed in situ and characterized at approximately 20% conversion (see p. S16, Fig. S2a). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 8.28 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.79 (m, 1H), 7.63 (br, 1H), 7.37 (br, 1H), 7.32 (br, 1H), 7.10 (m, 1H), 6.72 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.64 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, $J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.33 (br, 1H), 6.19 (overlapping peaks, 1H), 5.79 (br, 1H), 3.72 (d, $J_{HH} = 11.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.71 (d, $J_{HH} = 11.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.76 (s, 3H). $^{19}$F NMR (471 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ −20.59 (s, Ni-CF$_3$).

After 15 h at room temperature, complex 9 and intermediate 10e were fully consumed to generate 3,3'-dimethylindoline in quantitative conversion. Reaction conversion was determined by integration of the methylene protons of 3,3'-dimethylindoline vs an internal standard, 3-(trifluoromethyl)anisole via $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. 3,3'-dimethylindoline was characterized by comparison of its $^1$H NMR spectrum with that reported in the literature$^{41}$ and by mass spectrometry.

The [Ni$^{II}$] byproducts of the reaction were Ni$^{II}$MeCN$_2$(CF$_3$)$_2$ (identified by comparison of its $^{19}$F NMR spectrum with that reported in the literature$^{20c}$ and Ni$^{II}$Tp$_2$, which was characterized by X-ray crystallography. These complexes are likely formed through a ligand disproportionation reaction following the protonation of the indoline.

**Synthesis of NBu$_4$Tp**

A 20 mL vial was charged with potassium trispyrazolylborate (KTP) (300 mg, 1.19 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the white solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL). A solution of tetrabutylammonium bromide (NBu$_4$Br) (383 mg, 1.19 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (3 mL) was added to the KTP solution, and a white solid immediately precipitated. The reaction
mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was collected and concentrated under vacuum to afford tetrabutylammonium trispyrazolylborate (NBu$_4$Tp) as a white solid (520 mg, 96% yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C): δ 7.66-7.12 (multiple peaks, 6H), 6.06 (dd, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, 3H), 4.76 (q, $J_{HH} = 112$ Hz, 3H), 3.22-2.85 (m, 8H), 1.60 (m, 8H), 1.36 (m, 8H), 0.98 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 12H). $^{11}$B NMR (225 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ –1.13 (d, $J_{BH} = 112$ Hz). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ 138.55, 132.93, 102.65, 58.29, 23.30, 19.32, 12.79. HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NBu$_4$]$^-$ calcd. for C$_9$H$_{10}$BN$_6$, 213.1065; found, 213.1066.

**Synthesis of [(dtbpy)Ni$^{	ext{II}}$(CF$_3$)(OTFA)]]:** Under ambient conditions, a 200 mL round bottomed flask was charged with (PPh$_3$)$_2$Ni(CF$_3$)(OTFA)$^{36}$ (1.0 g, 1.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 4,4'-di-tert-butylbipyridine (385 mg, 1.4 mmol, 1.1 equiv). Dry dichloromethane (50 mL) was added, and the resulting dark orange solution stirred for 5 min at room temperature. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and pentane (20 mL) was added to triturate the residue. The resulting solids were collected, washed with a 10:1 solution of pentane: diethyl ether (3 x 30 mL), and dried under reduced pressure to afford (dtbpy)Ni(CF$_3$)(OTFA) as a yellow solid (603 mg, 91% yield). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of this complex were recorded at –30 ºC to slow the fluxional processes associated with this complex.$^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, –30 ºC): δ 8.21 (br, 1H), 7.82 (br, 2H), 7.74 (br, 1H), 7.46 (br, 1H), 7.39 (br, 1H), 1.36 (br, 18H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, –30 ºC): δ 165.83, 165.42, 161.98, 155.35, 153.10, 152.84, 147.40, 124.26, 124.06, 118.36, 117.81, 115.08, 35.66, 35.62, 29.91, 29.85. $^{19}$F NMR (471 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 23 ºC): δ –34.40 (br, 3F, CF$_3$), –75.35 (br, 3F, OCOCF$_3$). IR (ATR, cm$^{-1}$): 1695 (s), 1617 (m), 1415 (m), 1195 (s).

**Synthesis of [(dtbpy)Ni$^{	ext{II}}$(CF$_3$)(Ph)]] (16):** In the glovebox, a 150 mL round bottomed flask was charged with (dtbpy)Ni$^{	ext{II}}$(CF$_3$)(OTFA) (590 mg, 1.16 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and this yellow solid was dissolved in THF (60 mL). The resulting solution was cooled to –35 ºC, and then ZnPh$_2$ (140 mg, 0.63 mmol, 0.55 equiv) in THF (5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over approximately 5 min, during which time the solution changed color from dark orange to dark red. The solution was then filtered through a 3 cm pad of basic alumina, and the pad was washed with THF (5 mL). The washes were combined, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting dark red
residue was triturated with pentane (10 mL), and the solids were collected by filtration. The solids were washed with additional pentane (40 mL) and then dried under reduced pressure to yield complex 16 as an orange solid (334 mg, 61% yield)  \[ ^1H \text{NMR} (700 \text{ MHz, CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 23 ^\circ\text{C}) : \delta 8.78 (d, J_{HH} = 6.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.90 (d, J_{HH} = 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.84 (d, J_{HH} = 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.65-7.61 \text{ (multiple peaks, 2H)}, 7.50 (dd, J_{HH} = 6.0, 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.14 (dd, J_{HH} = 6.1, 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.11 (d, J_{HH} = 6.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.00 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.89 (t, J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 1.40 (s, 9H), 1.31 (s, 9H). \]  

\[ ^{13}C \text{NMR} (176 \text{ MHz, CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 23 ^\circ\text{C}) : \delta 163.32, 163.20, 155.20, 154.05, 151.51, 151.48, 150.63, 139.31 (q, J_{CF} = 359 \text{ Hz}), 135.45, 125.96, 123.73, 123.23, 122.01, 117.51, 117.22, 35.36, 35.29, 29.96, 29.88. \]

\[ ^{19}F \text{NMR} (377 \text{ MHz, CD}_3\text{CN, 23} ^\circ\text{C}) : \delta -21.95 (s, 3 \text{ F}). \]

\[ \text{HRMS-electrospray (} m/z) : [M–F]^+ \text{ calcd. for C}_{25}H_{29}F_2N_2Ni, 453.1652; \text{ found, 453.1644.} \]

Elemental Analysis calcd. for C_{25}H_{29}F_2N_2Ni, C: 63.45, H: 6.18, N: 5.92; found, C: 63.30, H: 6.26, N: 5.82

---

**Synthesis of [NBu_4(Tp)Ni^{II}(CF_3)(Ph)] (12):** In the glovebox, a 20 mL vial was charged with (dtbpy)Ni^{II}(CF_3)(Ph) (200 mg, 0.43 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the orange solid was dissolved in a minimal amount of acetonitrile (3 mL). A solution of NBu_4Tp (194 mg, 0.43 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (2 mL) was added, and the resulting dark orange solution immediately changed color to yellow-brown. Over the course of approximately 5 min, 4,4’-di-tert-butylbipyridine (dtbpy) precipitated from solution in the form of a white crystalline solid. The solution was concentrated to approximately 3 mL, which led to further precipitation of dtbpy. The precipitate was collected on a fritted filter and washed with acetonitrile (5 mL). The filtrates were collected and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting brown residue was washed with ether (3 x 10 mL) and pentane (3 x 10 mL) and the remaining solid was collected to afford complex 12 as a light tan powder (130 mg, 46% yield).

The \(^1H\) and \(^{13}C\) NMR spectra of 12 were recorded at –10 °C in order to resolve the fluxional pyrazolyl signals. \(^1H\) NMR (700 MHz, CD_3CN, –10 °C): \(\delta 7.89 \text{ (br, 3H)}, 7.43 \text{ (d, } J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 2H}), 7.30 \text{ (br signal, 3H)}, 6.76 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 6.66 \text{ (t, } J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 6.14 \text{ (br, 3H)}, 5.00-4.36 \text{ (br, } B-H), 3.15-3.04 \text{ (m, 8H)}), 1.61 \text{ (m, 8H)}, 1.36 \text{ (m, 8H)}, 0.98 \text{ (t, } J_{HH} = 7.4 \text{ Hz, 12H}). \]

\(^{13}C\) NMR (176 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, –10 °C): \(\delta 164.98, 141.70, 139.25 \text{ (q, } J_{CF} =361 \text{ Hz)}, 136.51, 134.81, 124.48, 120.44, 103.78, 58.51, 23.65, 19.64, 13.48. \]

\(^{11}B\) NMR (225 MHz, CD_3CN, 23 °C): \(\delta –2.62 \text{ (d, } J_{BB} = 114 \text{ Hz}) \]

\(^{19}F\) NMR (471 MHz, CD_3CN, 23 °C): \(\delta –21.32 \text{ (s, 3F)} \). Elemental
Analysis calcd. for C$_{32}$H$_{51}$BF$_3$N$_7$Ni, C: 58.21, H: 7.79, N: 14.85; found, C: 58.27, H: 7.91, N: 14.83.

**Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni$^{IV}$(CF$_3$)$_2$(Ph)] (13).**

**Procedure A:** [NBu$_4$(Tp)Ni$^{II}$(CF$_3$)$_2$(Ph)] (12) (120 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and S-(trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate (95 mg, 0.24 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were combined in a 20 mL vial under an inert atmosphere. Acetonitrile (8 mL) was added, and the resulting yellow solution was allowed to stand for 1 min at room temperature. The vial was then removed from the glovebox and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow-brown residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (mobile phase: hexanes/ethyl acetate with a gradient from 100:1 to 60:40). Complex 13 was isolated as a yellow solid (79 mg, 90% yield).

$^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.14 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.72 (s, 2H), 6.43 (t, $J_{HH} = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.27 (t, $J_{HH} = 2.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.69 (br, B-H).

$^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ 158.54, 143.53, 143.18, 136.60, 135.98, 135.15, 127.46, 126.69, 112.44 (q, $J_{CF} = 383$ Hz), 106.28, 105.97. $^{11}$B NMR (225 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ −4.22 (d, $J_{BB} = 117.7$ Hz). $^{19}$F NMR (379 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC): δ −19.38 (s, 6F).

Elemental Analysis calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{18}$BF$_6$N$_6$Ni, C: 41.94, H: 3.11, N: 17.26; found, C: 41.59, H: 2.95, N: 17.37

**General Procedure B:** Under an inert atmosphere, a 20 mL vial was charged with [NBu$_4$(Tp)Ni$^{II}$(CF$_3$)$_2$] (14) (230 mg, 0.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and acetonitrile (17 mL). The resulting yellow-orange solution was then cooled to −35 ºC. After equilibrating for 10 min at this temperature, the corresponding diaryl iodonium or aryldiazonium salt was added to the solution of 14. The vial was shaken vigorously for 10 s, at which point the reaction mixture immediately turned brown. After 3 min at −35 ºC the solution was warmed to room temperature. The reaction was removed from the glovebox and filtered through a 2 cm thick pad of silica on the benchtop. The pad was washed with THF (5 mL), and the combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude solid was further purified by flash chromatography (mobile phase: hexanes/ethyl acetate with a gradient from 100:1 to 90:10). The products 13-OMe, 13-Me, 13-Br, and 13-CO$_2$Me were isolated in 24-48% yield.
3.4.3. NMR Oxidation Studies

Initial Oxidant Screen with Ni\textsuperscript{II} Precursor 1

**Experimental Procedure:** A screw cap NMR tube was charged with \([(bpy)\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-o-C_6\text{H}_4)]\) (1) (8.0 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and CD\textsubscript{3}CN (0.6 mL) was added. \textit{N}-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (NFTPT) (6.7 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was subsequently added at room temperature, and the dark blue solution immediately turned light yellow. In two separate experiments, the hypervalent iodine reagents PhI(OAc)\textsubscript{2} (7.4 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) or PhICl\textsubscript{2} (6.3 mg, 0.023 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were added to Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursor (1) in CD\textsubscript{3}CN at room temperature. Under these conditions, the dark blue solutions immediately turned red-brown. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopic analyses of the crude reaction mixtures were consistent with formation of cyclobutane product 3 with any of the three oxidants.

The oxidant screens were repeated at low temperature in an attempt to observe proposed intermediates 2 by NMR spectroscopy. The solutions were prepared as previously described; however, the reagents were cooled prior to mixing in a glovebox cold well (at approximately –40 °C). Once the oxidants were added, the NMR tubes were removed from the glovebox and placed in a liquid nitrogen/ethyl acetate bath (–84 °C). The samples were then placed in the NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-cooled to –40 °C. The samples were allowed to equilibrate in the spectrometer for 5 min before acquiring spectra.

In all cases, attempts to detect proposed intermediates 2 at or below room temperature were unsuccessful. Instead, cyclobutane product 3 was observed as the major product.
Trifluoromethyl Oxidation Studies to Form NiIV Complex 13

**General Procedure A:** A screw cap NMR tube was charged with complex 12 (5.0 mg, 0.0076 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl, and 0.5 mL of CD3CN. The ratio between the standard and 12 was determined by 19F NMR integration. The NMR sample was taken back into the glovebox and the respective oxidant (0.0098 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added. After 10 min, the sample was analyzed by 19F NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of NiIV complex 13 (84-93%).

**Figure 3.18.** 19F NMR Spectra Monitoring the Reaction Progress of 12 in the Presence of CF3I
**General Procedure B:** A screw cap NMR tube was charged with complex 12 (5.0 mg, 0.0076 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl, and 0.5 mL of acetonitrile-$d_3$. The ratio between the standard and 12 was determined by $^{19}$F NMR integration. The NMR sample was taken back into the glovebox and CF$_3$I was added from a 2.5 M solution in dimethylformamide (30 µL, 0.076 mmol, 10 equiv). After 30 min at room temperature, the sample was analyzed by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy and only fluoroform was observed. However, after an additional 6 h at room temperature, Ni$^{IV}$ complex 13 was formed in 25% yield. These data suggest that this process likely involves the formation of CF$_3$ radicals and paramagnetic Ni$^{III}$ intermediates (Figure 3.18).

**In situ Observation of (dtbpy)Ni$^{IV}$ Intermediate**

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.19.** $^{19}$F NMR Spectra at $-25 ^\circ$C Showing the Two Signals Assigned to the CF$_3$ Resonances of Ni$^{IV}$ Intermediate 18 via (a) Pathway A; CF$_3^+$ Oxidant and (b) Pathway B; Aryl$^+$ Oxidant

**Procedure for Pathway A:** A 4 mL vial was charged with complex 16 (8.0 mg, 0.017 mmol, 1.0 equiv), tetrabutylammonium triflate (19 mg, 0.051 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl. CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was transferred to an
NMR tube. The sample was removed from the glovebox and placed in an NMR spectrometer pre-cooled to −25 ºC. The ratio between the standard and 16 was determined by $^{19}$F NMR integration at this temperature. The sample was removed from the spectrometer, and a solution of S-(trifluoromethyl)dibenzothiophenium triflate (10 mg, 0.026 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in CD$_3$CN (0.2 mL) was added under a N$_2$ atmosphere. The NMR tube was shaken vigorously and then placed back into the NMR spectrometer at −25 ºC. After 1 min at this temperature, two new $^{19}$F resonances (which we attribute to the formation of 18) were observed in 21% yield along with 27% of the reductive elimination product (−19.8 ppm, $J_{FF} = 7.9$ Hz, −24.8 ppm, $J_{FF} = 7.9$ Hz). After 30 min at room temperature, the sample was analyzed by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy and full consumption of putative intermediate 18 was observed along with 63% of benzotrifluoride.

**Procedure for Pathway B:** A 4 mL vial was charged with complex 17 (4 mg, 0.0086 mmol, 1.0 equiv), tetrabutylammonium triflate (10 mg, 0.0025 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and the internal standard 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl. CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the resulting solution was transferred to an NMR tube. The sample was removed from the glovebox and placed in an NMR spectrometer pre-cooled to −25 ºC. The ratio between the standard and 17 was determined by $^{19}$F NMR integration at this temperature. The sample was removed from the spectrometer and allowed to warm to room temperature, and a solution of PhN$_2$BF$_4$ (1.8 mg, 0.0095 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in CD$_3$CN (0.15 mL) was added under a N$_2$ atmosphere. The NMR tube was shaken vigorously for 15 s and then placed back into the NMR spectrometer at −25 ºC. After 1 min at this temperature, two new $^{19}$F resonances (−19.8 ppm, $J_{FF} = 7.9$ Hz, −24.8 ppm, $J_{FF} = 7.9$ Hz) were observed in 28% yield along with 14% of the reductive elimination product, and 24% of unreacted 17 as determined by $^{19}$F NMR integration against the standard. After 60 min at room temperature, the sample was analyzed by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy and full consumption of intermediate 18 was observed along with 43% yield of benzotrifluoride.
3.4.4. Reductive Elimination Studies

Determining Order in Reagents for C-X Bond Formation

**Experimental Procedure:** Complex 9 (4.0 mg, 0.0084 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed into a J. Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal. Various amounts of NMe₄OAc (0.010 mmol to 0.085 mmol) were weighed into 4 mL vials, and the solids were dissolved in CD₃CN (0.6 mL). The resulting solution was added to the NMR tube at room temperature. The tube was then placed into an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 50 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination from 9 to form 10a was monitored by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy at 50 ºC. Concentration versus time data were acquired by integration of the CF₃ signals of 9 and 10a (Figure 3.20). Initial rates were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line monitoring the first 10% of the reaction progress (Figure 3.21). A plot of ln($r_0$) vs. ln([OAc]) showed that the rate of reductive elimination is first-order in [OAc] (Figure 3.22).

**Figure 3.20.** Plot of Concentration versus Time for Reductive Elimination from 9 to form 10a with 5 equiv of NMe₄OAc at 50 ºC
Figure 3.21. Initial Rates Plot of Concentration versus Time for Reductive Elimination from 9 to form 10a at 50 °C. ▲ = 10 equiv NMe₄OAc, \( y_9 = 0.0148 - 5.07e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.999 \); \( y_{10a} = -1.75e^{-5} + 5.06e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.998 \). ● = 5 equiv NMe₄OAc, \( y_9 = 0.0143 - 2.04e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.992 \); \( y_{10a} = 4.87e^{-4} + 2.04e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.992 \). ◆ = 2.5 equiv NMe₄OAc, \( y_9 = 0.0148 - 1.44e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.999 \); \( y_{10a} = -4.01e^{-5} + 1.44e^{-6}x \), \( R^2 = 0.998 \). □ = 1.2 equiv NMe₄OAc, \( y_9 = 0.0148 - 6.00e^{-7}x \), \( R^2 = 0.998 \); \( y_{10a} = -1.08e^{-5} + 5.98e^{-7}x \), \( R^2 = 0.998 \).

Figure 3.22. Plot of \( \ln(r_0) \) versus \( \ln([OAc]) \). \( y = 0.994x - 10.3 \), \( R^2 = 0.995 \). The slope of the line is approximately 1.
Determining Initial Rates for C–X Bond Formation at 23 ºC

**Experimental Procedure A:** Complex 9 (3.5 mg, 0.0074 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal. The respective nucleophile, NR₄X, where X = OAc, OPh, N(Me)(Ms), N₃ (0.0089 mmol, 1.2 equiv), was weighed into a 4 mL vial and then dissolved in CD₃CN (1.6 mL). 3-(Trifluoromethyl)anisole (1.0 µL, 0.0074 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added as an internal fluorine standard. The resulting solutions were added to the NMR tubes at 23 ºC. The rates of reductive elimination were determined by monitoring the first 10% of the reaction progress by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the CF₃ signals of 9 and 10 with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the decay of 9. A representative reaction profile is shown in Figure 3.23.

**Experimental Procedure B:** Complex 9 (3.5 mg, 0.0074 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal. NMe₄SPh (0.0089 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and dissolved in CD₃CN (1.6 mL). 3-(Trifluoromethyl)anisole (1.0 µL, 0.0074 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added as an internal fluorine standard. The resulting solution was added to the NMR tube and immediately placed in a liquid nitrogen/ethyl acetate bath (~84 ºC). The sample was then placed into an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-set to 23 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination was determined by monitoring approximately the first 10% of the reaction by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the CF₃ signals of 9 and 10c with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the decay of 9.
Figure 3.23. Initial Rates Plot of Concentration versus Time for Reductive Elimination from 9 to form 10a at 23 ºC. \( y_9 = 0.00464 - 4.51e^{-9}x \), \( R^2 = 0.996 \); \( y_{10a} = -2.23e^{-5} + 4.53e^{-9}x \), \( R^2 = 0.994 \)

Nucleophilicity Values

The Swain-Scott nucleophilicity parameters for the various nucleophiles (acetate, phenoxide, thiophenolate, \( \text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{Ms}) \), and azide) were obtained from a report published by Pearson and co-workers.\(^{22b}\) These values are based on an S\(_{N2}\) reaction between the nucleophiles and CH\(_3\)I in CH\(_3\)OH at 23 ºC and are derived from the following equation:

\[
\log \frac{k}{k_0} = ns
\]

From this equation, \( k \) is the rate constant of a given S\(_{N2}\) reaction using the nucleophile (X\(^-\)), \( n \) is the nucleophilicity, and \( s \) is the sensitivity. The reference rate constant, \( k_0 \), corresponds to the reaction between CH\(_3\)OH and CH\(_3\)I. The nucleophilicity parameters for a given reaction are, therefore, defined as follows:

\[
n = \log \frac{k_{\text{CH}_3\text{I} + X^{-}}}{k_{\text{CH}_3\text{I} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH}}}
\]
The reported nucleophilicity parameters were plotted vs. our experimental initial rates. The value for \( \text{-N(Me)(Ms)} \) was not available and was, therefore, estimated based on the nucleophilicity value of a related sulfonamide, \( \text{-NHSO}_2\text{Ph} \).

**Table 3.2.** Nucleophilicity Parameters and Initial Rate Values for C-X Bond-Formation Reactions from Complex 9 to form 10a-e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleophile ( (X^-) )</th>
<th>Nucleophilicity ( (n_X) )</th>
<th>Initial Rate ( (r_0) ) ( (M/s) )</th>
<th>( \log(r_0) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.51e-9</td>
<td>-8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPh</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>8.07e-8</td>
<td>-7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPh</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>1.01e-5</td>
<td>-4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{-N(Me)(Ms)}^* )</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>1.80e-8</td>
<td>-7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{-N}_3 )</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>2.34e-8</td>
<td>-7.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The nucleophilicity value for \( \text{-N(Me)(Ms)} \) is an estimation based on the available \( n_X \) value for \( \text{-NHSO}_2\text{Ph} \).*

**Hammet Plot**

**Experimental Procedure:** A Teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube was charged with the respective Ni\(^{IV} \) complex 13-R \( (R = p\text{-OMe, } p\text{-Me, } H, p\text{-Br, } m\text{-CO}_2\text{Me}) \) (0.010 mmol). 4,4’-Difluorobiphenyl (0.010 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added as an internal standard. Dry CD\(_3\)CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the NMR sample was removed from the glovebox and placed in the NMR spectrometer pre-set to 55 °C. The rates of reductive elimination from complexes 13-R to form the corresponding benzotrifluoride products were obtained by monitoring the reactions by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR signals of 13-R and the substituted benzotrifluoride \((\text{Ar-CF}_3)\) versus the internal standard. The rate constant for each experiment was determined by fitting the decay of 13-R and the growth of the coupled product \((\text{Ar-CF}_3)\) to single exponentials. A plot of the Hammett value, \( \sigma \), versus \( \log(k_\text{R}/k_\text{H}) \) showed a linear correlation \( (R^2 = 0.98) \) with a negative slope, \( \rho = -0.91 \) (Figure 3.24, solid line). Rate constants obtained from the growth
of the Ar-CF₃ reductive elimination product gave a similar trend (Figure 3.24, dotted line; ρ = −1.05, R² = 0.99).

**Table 3.3.** Summary of Kinetic Data for Reductive Elimination from Complexes 13-R at 55 ºC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substituent (R)</th>
<th>Hammett Value (σ)</th>
<th>Ni⁴⁺ decay kₕobs (x10⁻⁴ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ar-CF₃ growth kₕobs (x10⁻⁴ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-OMe</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Me</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Br</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-CO₂Me</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.24.** Hammett Plot for Reductive Elimination from Ni⁴⁺ Complexes 13-R to form the Corresponding Ar–CF₃ Coupled Product at 55 ºC. The solid line represents kinetic data obtained from the decay in Ni⁴⁺ concentration over time, whereas the dotted line represents kinetic data obtained from the growth in Ar-CF₃ concentration over time.
Radical Trap Experiments

**Experimental Procedure:** A 4 mL vial was charged with Ni\(^{IV}\) complex 13 (4.0 mg, 0.0083 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the respective radical trap (0.016 mmol, 2.0 equiv). 4,4'-Difluorobiphenyl was added as an internal standard. CD\(_3\)CN (0.5 mL) was added, and the resulting yellow solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. The ratio between the standard and 13 was determined by \(^{19}\)F NMR integration at room temperature. The NMR tube was heated in an oil bath at 55 ºC for 18 h. After the reaction reached completion, the solution was analyzed by \(^{19}\)F NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of benzotrifluoride. In all cases, the yield of coupled product was not affected by the presence of radical traps, suggesting that the reductive elimination process does not proceed via radical homolysis.

**Table 3.4.** Comparison of Ar–CF\(_3\) Yields from the Reductive Elimination of 13 in the Absence and Presence of Radical Traps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radical Trap</th>
<th>(^{19})F NMR Yield of Ar-CF(_3) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPO</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.5. Cyclic Voltammetry Studies

**General Procedure:** Cyclic voltammetry was performed in a 3-electrode cell consisting of a 3 mm glassy carbon disc working electrode, a Ag/Ag\(^+\) reference electrode with a Ag wire in a fritted chamber containing a solution of AgBF\(_4\) (0.01 M) and NBu\(_4\)BF\(_4\) (0.1 M) in acetonitrile, and a Pt wire counter electrode. A 2 mL solution of the complex (0.01 M) and NBu\(_4\)BF\(_4\) (0.1 M) in acetonitrile was added to the electrochemical cell. Cyclic voltammetry scans were taken at 100 mV/s. In order to determine the redox potentials of the complexes against Fc/Fc\(^+\), ferrocene (0.01 M) was added afterwards as an internal reference.
3.4.6. X-ray Structural Determination

X-ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 6

Yellow block-like crystals of \([(\text{Py}_3\text{CH})\text{Ni}^{IV}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-o-C_6\text{H}_4)(\text{CF}_3)(\text{OTf})]\) (6) were grown from an acetone solution of the compound at 25 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.22 x 0.20 x 0.16 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode (\(\lambda = 1.54187 \text{ Å}\)) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 3955 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in \(\omega\). The exposure time was 1 sec. for the low angle images, 8 sec. for high angle. The integration of the data yielded a total of 83621 reflections to a maximum 2\(\theta\) value of 136.48° of which 5614 were independent and 5285 were greater than 2\(\sigma(I)\). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids 45119 reflections above 10\(\sigma(I)\). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with CrystalClear 2.0 and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/4) software package, using the space group P2(1)/n with \(Z = 4\) for the formula \(\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{31}\text{F}_6\text{N}_3\text{NiO}_4\text{S}\). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. The triflate anion is disordered in two orientations. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on F\(^2\) converged at R1 = 0.0398 and wR2 = 0.1030 [based on I > 2\(\sigma(I)\)], R1 = 0.0418 and wR2 = 0.1045 for all data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X-ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 9

Yellow block-like crystals of 9 were grown from a methanol solution of the compound at 22 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.19 x 0.06 x 0.02 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode (λ = 1.54187 Å) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 2028 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in ω. The exposure times were 1 sec. for the low angle images, 6 sec. for high angle. Rigaku d*trek images were exported to CrysAlisPro for processing and corrected for absorption. The integration of the data yielded a total of 15630 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 138.58° of which 3185 were independent and 3178 were greater than 2σ(I). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids 13366 reflections above 10σ(I). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2014/6) software package, using the space group Cc with Z =
4 for the formula $C_{20}H_{22}BF_{3}N_{6}Ni$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in both idealized and refined positions. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on $F^2$ converged at $R1 = 0.0357$ and $wR2 = 0.0947$ [based on $I > 2\sigma(I)$], $R1 = 0.0375$ and $wR2 = 0.0948$ for all data.

**Table 3.6.** Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.019(3)</td>
<td>N(1)-Ni(1)-N(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.038(3)</td>
<td>C(17)-Ni(1)-N(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.093(4)</td>
<td>C(20)-Ni(1)-N(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(17)</td>
<td>1.931(3)</td>
<td>C(17)-Ni(1)-C(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(20)</td>
<td>1.941(3)</td>
<td>C(20)-Ni(1)-C(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(10)</td>
<td>2.003(4)</td>
<td>C(10)-Ni(1)-N(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**X-ray Crystallography Experimental Data of Tp$_2$Ni$^{II}$**

Purple polyhedra of [NiTp$_2$] were grown from an acetonitrile solution of the compound at 25 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.20 x 0.17 x 0.12 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode ($\lambda = 1.54187$ Å) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 3913 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in $\omega$. The exposure time was 1 sec. for the low angle images, 6 sec. for high angle. The integration of the data yielded a total of 60418 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 136.48° of which 3971 were independent and 3913 were greater than 2$\sigma(I)$. The final cell
constants were based on the \(xyz\) centroids 47130 reflections above \(10\sigma(I)\). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with CrystalClear 2.0 and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/4) software package, using the space group \(P2(1)/n\) with \(Z = 4\) for the formula \(C_{18}H_{20}B_{2}N_{12}Ni\). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in a combination of idealized and refined positions. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on \(F^2\) converged at \(R_1 = 0.0287\) and \(wR_2 = 0.0815\) [based on \(I > 2\sigma(I)\)], \(R_1 = 0.0291\) and \(wR_2 = 0.0818\) for all data.

| Table 3.7. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for \(Tp_2Ni\) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Ni (1)-N(3)      | 2.0806(12)       | N(3)-Ni(1)-N(11) | 92.93(5) |
| Ni(1)-N(11)      | 2.0814(12)       | N(11)-Ni(1)-N(9) | 86.17(5) |
| Ni(1)-N(9)       | 2.0855(13)       | N(9)-Ni(1)-N(1)  | 94.22(5) |
| Ni(1)-N(1)       | 2.0863(12)       | N(1)-Ni(1)-N(5)  | 86.97(5) |
| Ni(1)-N(5)       | 2.0909(12)       | N(5)-Ni(1)-N(7)  | 177.81(5) |
| Ni(1)-N(7)       | 2.0982(12)       | N(3)-Ni(1)-N(9)  | 178.81(5) |
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(23) In addition to complex 13, 24% of Ph-CF3 was also detected in the crude 19F spectrum. See the Experimental Section for more details.
(24) A mixture of [Ni-CF3] compounds is observed at the end of the reaction. After additional
heating, these products converge to NiTp₂ and (MeCN)₂Ni(CF₃)₂.
(25) The maximum yield of (CD₃CN)₂Ni(CF₃)₂ and NiTp₂ are both 50% respectively.
(26) Vicic has reported the low yielding (11-22%) formation of aryl–CF₃ upon the treatment of (diphosphine)Ni²⁺(Ph)(CF₃) with Zn salts and/or water over extended reaction times. The nature of the reactive Ni species is unclear in this system. See ref. 7c.
(28) The presence of the radical traps styrene, TEMPO, or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) did not impact the yield of benzotrifluoride in reductive elimination from 2. This suggests that reductive elimination does not proceed via a free radical mechanism.
(29) Another possible pathway for aryl–CF₃ coupling from 13 would be the in situ formation of a Ni³⁺ intermediate and subsequent reductive elimination from that species. In an attempt to probe for this possibility, we conducted the stoichiometric 1e⁻ chemical reduction of 13-Me with Cp₂Co and then examined the resulting products. This reaction provided <5% yield of the aryl–CF₃ product along with organic products (CF₃H and toluene) consistent with the formation of free radical intermediates. This suggests strongly against aryl–CF₃ reductive elimination from Ni³⁺.
(31) The treatment of 13 with other nucleophiles such as F⁻, SCN, PMe₃, and pyridine did not result in ligand substitution.
(34) The reaction of complex 17 with PhN₂BF₄ was conducted at 23 °C and then rapidly cooled down to –25 °C to resolve J_FF coupling.
CHAPTER 4

Reactivity Studies of Organometallic Ni$^{III}$ and Ni$^{IV}$ Complexes

4.1. Introduction

Over the past several decades there have been numerous proposals that invoke Ni$^{III}$ intermediates in nickel-catalyzed carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions.\(^2\),\(^3\) Seminal studies by Kochi first implicated transient diorgano-Ni$^{III}$ species in Ni-mediated biaryl coupling (Figure 4.1).\(^4\) Twenty years later, Hillhouse demonstrated oxidative carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactivity of cyclometallated Ni$^{II}$ complexes, presumably via Ni$^{III}$ intermediates.\(^5\) However, in all cases these intermediates were only inferred from reactivity and low temperature cyclic voltammetry studies, and were never directly observed or structurally characterized. The notorious instability of this oxidation state and the presence of paramagnetic species complicate the full understanding of Ni$^{III}$-mediated transformations. Indeed, examples of well-defined diorgano-Ni$^{III}$ complexes remain rare, and the reactivity of these species towards important bond-forming reactions has largely eluded direct study (Figure 4.1b).\(^6\),\(^7\)

Figure 4.1. (a) Kochi Mechanism for Biaryl Coupling and (b) Stoichiometric Studies by Mirica Demonstrating C–C Coupling from in situ-generated Ni$^{III}$
In comparison to Ni\textsuperscript{III}, the involvement of Ni\textsuperscript{IV} intermediates in nickel-catalyzed transformations is less commonly accepted.\textsuperscript{8} Our lab\textsuperscript{9} and others\textsuperscript{10} have begun to investigate the synthesis and reactivity of organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes, providing evidence that these species are competent in carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions. Despite these contributions, very little work has been done to directly compare the properties of different oxidation states Ni (i.e., Ni\textsuperscript{III} vs. Ni\textsuperscript{IV}).\textsuperscript{7,9} A fundamental understanding of the relative reactivity, selectivity, and mechanisms of transformations at high-valent nickel complexes would inform the rational development of new nickel-catalyzed reactions.

This chapter describes the synthesis and isolation of organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes and studies of their reactivity towards catalytically-relevant bond-forming reactions. We demonstrate that design strategies employed to isolate reactive Ni\textsuperscript{IV} centers (i.e., facially coordinated tridentate scaffolds, cycloneophyl groups, and electronically deactivating trifluoromethyl substituents) additionally serve to stabilize Ni\textsuperscript{III}. Electrochemical analyses and experimental studies provide insight into the relative reactivity of Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} analogues in mediating carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom coupling reactions. In particular, the studies offer preliminary evidence that complementary selectivity can be achieved by accessing distinct oxidation states of nickel.

4.2. Results and Discussion

4.2.1. Carbon–Carbon Coupling Reactions from Diorgano-Ni\textsuperscript{III} Complexes\textsuperscript{1}

Design and Synthesis of Ni\textsuperscript{II} Precursors

In order to study the fundamental reactivity of traditionally unstable Ni\textsuperscript{III} species, we targeted a model system that implemented a number of key design principles borrowed from our earlier studies at high-valent Ni.\textsuperscript{9} A common design feature in known Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV}

\textsuperscript{1}Studies in this section were collaborative with James Bour. For the data presented here, James obtained the X-ray structure of complex 2b and fit the EPR data of 1b and 2b.
complexes is that they contain multidentate nitrogen donor ligands that impart rigidity to the metal center.\textsuperscript{6,9} In addition, our lab and others have demonstrated that cyclometallated carbon donor ligands\textsuperscript{5} and perfluoroalkyl groups\textsuperscript{6} add additional stability, owing to their slow reductive eliminations.\textsuperscript{11} Thus, for our model system we targeted diorgano-Ni\textsuperscript{III} complexes of general structure A, bearing a facially coordinated tris(pyrazolyl) borate (Tp) scaffold and traditionally inert carbon donor ligands (Figure 4.2).

**Figure 4.2.** Targeted Model System for Studying C–C Coupling from Isolable Ni\textsuperscript{III} Complexes

![Diagram showing targeted Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursors and their reactions](image)

Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursors 1a and 1b were selected for our studies based on the aforementioned design criteria. Importantly, complex 1a contains geometrically constrained ligands whereas 1b features electronically deactivating trifluoromethyl groups, allowing their structures and reactivities to be compared. These complexes were synthesized from procedures described in Chapter 3. Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursor 1c was also targeted for high-oxidation state studies as it bears both a trifluoromethyl ligand and a catalytically relevant methyl group.

Complex 1c was prepared via a multi-step synthetic sequence commencing with the previously reported (dtbpy)Ni(CF\textsubscript{3})(OTFA) starting material (dtbpy = 4,4’-di-\textit{tert}-butylbipyridine; OTFA = trifluoroacetate).\textsuperscript{9b} Transmetallation between (dtbpy)Ni(CF\textsubscript{3})(OTFA) and Me\textsubscript{2}Zn at low temperature afforded the penultimate product
(dtbpy)Ni(CF₃)(Me), which was isolated as a bright red solid in 39% yield. The treatment of a 0.044 M solution of (dtbpy)Niᴵᴵ(CF₃)(Me) in acetonitrile with 1 equiv of NBu₄Tp led to a gradual change in color from dark red to yellow-brown along with concomitant precipitation of dtbpy. The Tp-ligated Niᴵᴵ precursor 1c was isolated as a tan solid in 22% yield and was characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F, and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy as well as elemental analysis.

**Scheme 4.1. Synthesis of Niᴵᴵ Complex 1c**

Electrochemical Analyses

The ¹e⁻ oxidation of TpNiᴵᴵ complexes 1a-f was first evaluated using cyclic voltammetry (CV). We anticipated that complexes exhibiting reversible or quasi-reversible ¹e⁻ oxidations by CV were most likely to form stable NiᴵᴵΙ complexes upon chemical oxidation. As shown in Figure 4.3, complexes 1a and 1b show quasi-reversible ¹e⁻ oxidative waves, while 1c exhibits a highly irreversible ¹e⁻ oxidation, even at scan rates as high as 500 mV/s. The onset potentials of the Niᴵᴵ/ᴵᴵᴵ couples provide insight into the electronic character of the Niᴵᴵ complexes. As anticipated, complex 1a bearing the strongly electron donating cyclometallated ligand has the lowest oxidation potential (−1.1 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺). The electron withdrawing trifluoromethyl ligands in 1b and 1c lead to more positive oxidation potentials (−0.5 V, −0.8 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺, respectively).
Figure 4.3. Cyclic Voltammograms of 1a-c in MeCN. [Ni] = 0.01 M; [NBu$_4$PF$_6$] = 0.1 M; Scan Rate = 100 mV/s

One Electron Oxidation Studies

The chemical oxidation of complexes 1a-c to generate Ni$^{III}$ products 2a-c was next investigated. Ferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (FcBF$_4$) was selected as the oxidant for in situ EPR and NMR studies due to its solubility in acetonitrile and suitable redox potential. The treatment of 1a and 1b with 1 equiv of FcBF$_4$ at −35 °C resulted in the immediate consumption of the Ni$^{II}$ starting material and subsequent formation of paramagnetic species. These complexes exhibit diagnostic $^{11}$B NMR shifts upon oxidation, and these provide a spectroscopic handle for paramagnetic NMR analyses (Scheme 4.2). Moreover, structures 2a and 2b were detectable by EPR spectroscopy, and a representative EPR spectrum showing the $S = 1/2$ Ni$^{III}$ species 2a is depicted in Figure 4.4.

Scheme 4.2. The in situ Generation of Ni$^{III}$ Complexes 2a and 2b

1a, $^{11}$B = −2.40 ppm
1b, $^{11}$B = −2.65 ppm
2a, $^{11}$B = −3.07 ppm
2b, $^{11}$B = −14.0 ppm
The synthesis and isolation of complexes 2a and 2b were carried out with AgBF$_4$ ($E^0 = –0.04$ vs. Fc/Fc$^+$) as the oxidant because of the ease at which the insoluble Ag$^0$ could be removed by filtration at low temperature.$^{12}$ Complex 2a was isolated in 60% yield, and analytically pure samples were obtained via recrystallization from acetonitrile and trace amounts of dimethylformamide at $–35$ °C. Complex 2b was purified by column chromatography and subsequently characterized by elemental analysis. The structures of the Ni$^{III}$ complexes 2a and 2b were also confirmed by X-ray crystallography and ORTEP representations of both structures are shown in Figure 4.5. In both complexes, the tridentate ligand binds in a $\kappa^3$-fashion, stabilizing the Ni$^{III}$ centers. While 2a exhibits a slightly disordered square planar geometry, complex 2b is octahedral with an acetonitrile ligand occupying the sixth coordination site. The formation of the acetonitrile adduct is likely due to the electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl ligands, which render this Ni$^{III}$ complex more electrophilic than 2a.
**Figure 4.5.** Synthesis and ORTEP Structure of Ni^{III} Complex 2a. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and disorder in the methyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

1 equiv AgBF₄ → MeCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1a)</th>
<th>(2a, 60%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Figure 4.6.** Synthesis and ORTEP Structure of Ni^{III} Complex 2b. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

1 equiv AgBF₄ → MeCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1b)</th>
<th>(2b, 54%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The corresponding 1e⁻ oxidation of 1c (conducted at –35 °C with FcBF₄ and then immediately frozen at –196 °C) did not lead to a detectable Ni^{III} product, as determined by EPR
spectroscopy (Scheme 4.3). This observation is consistent with the CV of this complex which shows an irreversible Ni$^{II/III}$ couple (Figure 4.3).

Scheme 4.3. One Electron Oxidation Studies of Complex 1c

To further probe the instability of this putative Ni$^{III}$ species, *in situ* NMR oxidations were carried out with 1c. In a closed system, 1 equiv of FcBF$_4$ was added to a solution of complex 1c in acetonitrile at $-35$ °C. After 30 min at room temperature, $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopic analysis showed the presence of fluoroform (CF$_3$H/D) in 10% yield. This is presumably generated via Ni–CF$_3$ bond homolysis, which is a well-documented decomposition pathway of high-valent Ni complexes (Scheme 4.4).$^{6g,h}$

Scheme 4.4. Ni–CF$_3$ Bond Homolysis from Unstable Ni$^{III}$ Intermediate 2c

Analysis by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy showed the formation of the C–C coupled product, ethane, in 33% yield. Diamagnetic proton resonances corresponding to an unstable Ni$^{IV}$–CH$_3$ complex were also observed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. This complex could be formed via Ni–CH$_3$ bond homolysis to generate CH$_3$• which would then combine with another equivalent of 2c to afford putative Ni$^{IV}$ structure 2c' (Scheme 4.5a). Alternatively, rapid methyl group transfer from TpNi$^{III}$(Me)(CF$_3$) (2c) to another molecule of 2c is also a plausible pathway.
(Scheme 4.5b). Related methyl group transfer pathways at Ni centers have been proposed in the literature.\textsuperscript{7m,13}

**Scheme 4.5.** Plausible Decomposition Pathways of Unstable Ni\textsuperscript{III} Intermediate 2c

To provide evidence for the formation of a Ni\textsuperscript{IV}–CH\textsubscript{3} intermediate via either of the proposed pathways, an authentic sample of TpNi\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}) (2c\textsuperscript{*}) was prepared. The treatment of 1c with excess MeI at room temperature led to the formation of a diamagnetic complex (proposed species 2c\textsuperscript{*}) that slowly underwent C–C coupling to form ethane (Scheme 4.6). This complex contains resonances associated with the Ni byproduct of the \textit{in situ} 1 e\textsuperscript{-} oxidation studies of 1c (Figure 4.7).\textsuperscript{7m} Overall, these results suggest that the instability of Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex 2c could be attributed to both trifluoromethyl and methyl radical processes.

**Scheme 4.6.** The Oxidation of 1c with CH\textsubscript{3}I to Generate Proposed Ni\textsuperscript{IV} Complex 2c\textsuperscript{*}
Figure 4.7. $^1$H NMR Spectra Providing Evidence for the Formation of Ni$^{IV}$-Me Species 2c$^\prime$

Reductive Elimination Studies

The isolation of Ni$^{III}$ complexes 2a and 2b enabled a direct investigation of their reactivity towards C–C bond-forming reductive elimination. TpNi(CF$_3$)$_2$(MeCN) (2b) was inert to reductive elimination processes, as heating an acetonitrile solution of the complex at 70 °C for 12 h led to <1% of the expected coupled product, F$_3$C–CF$_3$ (Scheme 4.7).$^{14}$ Instead, CF$_3$H (16%) and [(MeCN)$_2$Ni$^{II}$](CF$_3$)$_2$ (53%) were determined to be the major identifiable products by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy. These data are consistent with Ni$^{III}$–CF$_3$ bond homolysis to generate CF$_3$ radicals, which has significant precedence at Ni$^{III}$ centers.$^{6g,h,15}$

Scheme 4.7. Thermolysis of Ni$^{III}$ Complex 2b

The thermolysis of the metallacyclic Ni$^{III}$ complex 2a was next evaluated. Heating complex 2a at 70 °C for 8 h led to C(sp$^3$)–C(sp$^2$) bond-forming reductive elimination to generate 1,1-dimethyl benzocyclobutane in 69% yield as determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.
Analysis of the crude reaction mixture by paramagnetic $^{11}$B NMR spectroscopy revealed the presence of Tp$_2$Ni$^{	ext{II}}$ in 30% yield based on nickel (maximum theoretical yield = 50%). We propose that the TpNi$^{	ext{I}}$ reductive elimination product undergoes disproportionation and ligand exchange to yield Tp$_2$Ni$^{	ext{II}}$ and Ni$^0$. Notably, disproportionation of Ni$^{	ext{I}}$ to Ni$^{	ext{II}}$ and Ni$^0$ has been reported under similar conditions.$^5e,7i$

**Scheme 4.8.** Reactivity of Ni$^{	ext{III}}$ Complex 2a Towards C–C Coupling in the (a) Absence and (b) Presence of the Weak Oxidant Additive, Cp$^*$$^2$FeBF$_4$
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In a final set of studies, the reactivity of Ni$^{	ext{III}}$ complex 2a was evaluated in the presence of a weak 1 $e^−$ oxidant, Cp$^*$$^2$FeBF$_4$, (decamethylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate, = $−0.59$ mV vs. Fe/Fe$^+$).$^{18}$ We hypothesize that the low yield of 2a is due to unproductive side reactions between the Ni$^{	ext{III}}$ starting material and the Ni$^{	ext{I}}$ reductive elimination product. The addition of a weak oxidant should quench any Ni$^{	ext{I}}$ species and therefore potentially improve the yield of C–C coupling from Ni$^{	ext{III}}$. Consistent with this hypothesis, the addition of 2 equiv of Cp$^*$$^2$FeBF$_4$ to thermolysis studies of 2a led to an improved 80% yield of 1,1-dimethyl-benzocyclobutane (Scheme 4.8b).

### 4.2.2. Comparing the Stability, Reactivity, and Selectivity of Ni$^{	ext{III}}$ and Ni$^{	ext{IV}}$ Complexes in Coupling Reactions

**Initial Oxidation Studies**

In parallel with studies centered on the isolation and reactivity of organometallic Ni$^{	ext{III}}$, efforts were also focused on comparing the reactivity of these complexes to their Ni$^{	ext{IV}}$
analogues. Complex 1a was selected as the model Ni\textsuperscript{II} precursor for these studies due to our previous work with this system that demonstrated the combined stability and catalytically-relevant reactivity of Ni\textsuperscript{III} species 2a.

The accessibility and stability of the desired Ni\textsuperscript{IV} analogue was first evaluated by electrochemical analysis. Figure 4.8 displays the cyclic voltammogram (CV) of 1a in acetonitrile using tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (NBu\textsubscript{4}BF\textsubscript{4}) as supporting electrolyte. The full CV of complex 1a reveals a second oxidation wave (0.1 V vs. Fc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}) that we attribute to the Ni\textsuperscript{III/IV} redox couple. The quasi-reversibility of this couple suggests that Ni\textsuperscript{IV} intermediates should be observable and potentially isolable with this ligand system.

**Figure 4.8.** Cyclic Voltammogram of 1a in MeCN. [Ni] = 0.01 M, [NBu\textsubscript{4}BF\textsubscript{4}] = 0.1 M, scan rate = 100 mV/s

The chemical oxidation of 1a with outer-sphere 1e\textsuperscript{-} oxidants was next investigated. The treatment of an acetonitrile solution of 1a with 1 equiv of common 1e\textsuperscript{-} oxidants (AgBF\textsubscript{4}, FcBF\textsubscript{4}, or AcFcBF\textsubscript{4}; acetylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate) afforded the previously synthesized Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex 2a (Scheme 4.9a). Subjecting 1a to 2 equiv of AgBF\textsubscript{4} or AcFcBF\textsubscript{4} led to the generation of a diamagnetic species consistent with cationic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex 3a (Scheme 4.9b). Complex 3a was isolated in 51% yield following low temperature filtration of Ag\textsubscript{0} and was characterized by \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C, and \textsuperscript{11}B NMR spectroscopy. Notably, 2 equiv of FcBF\textsubscript{4} did not generate any detectable Ni\textsuperscript{IV} products after 30 min at room temperature.\textsuperscript{12,16} Overall, these results demonstrate the viability of sequential 1e\textsuperscript{-} oxidations at Ni\textsuperscript{II} with outer-sphere oxidants.
Scheme 4.9. Synthesis and Isolation of Ni\textsuperscript{III} Complex 2a and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} Complex 3a

\[ \text{Scheme 4.9} \]

Isolated yields obtained with AgBF\textsubscript{4} as the oxidant

The X-ray structure of the five-coordinate Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex 2a shows a slightly distorted square pyramidal geometry, as seen with related Ni\textsuperscript{III} compounds in the literature (see Figure 4.5).\textsuperscript{1,7p} In contrast, Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex 3a is found to be octahedral with an acetonitrile group occupying the sixth coordination site, as detected by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy (vide infra). The difference in coordination between the two complexes is likely related to the enhanced electrophilicity of the cationic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} center. The isolation of these complexes allowed their study towards challenging bond-forming reactions to be directly compared.

Reactivity and Selectivity Studies at Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV}

The impact of the oxidation state on the rates and selectivities of reductive elimination processes at Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex 2a versus Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex 3a was next investigated. Upon heating to 55 °C, complexes 2 and 3 both undergo C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–C(sp\textsuperscript{3}) bond-forming reductive elimination to form 1,1-dimethyl benzocyclobutane (4) in 68% and 93% yield, respectively (Scheme 4.10). The initial rate of this C–C bond-forming reaction at each complex was determined by monitoring the product formation by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy at room temperature. As shown in Figure 4.9, the initial rate at cationic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex 3a was approximately one order of magnitude faster than at the Ni\textsuperscript{III} analogue 2a (3.10e\textsuperscript{-7} M/s vs. 3.26e\textsuperscript{-8} M/s, respectively, at 25 °C). This dramatic difference in reactivity demonstrates that Ni intermediates in the +4
oxidation state have the potential to promote more facile reductive elimination events than their lower valent counterparts.

**Scheme 4.10.** C(sp$^3$)-C(sp$^3$) Coupling to form 1,1-dimethyl benzocyclobutane Product 4 from (a) Ni$^{III}$ Complex 2a and (b) Ni$^{IV}$ Complex 3a Conducted at 55 ºC
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**Figure 4.9.** Initial Rates Plot for the C–C Coupling Event from 2a and 3a Conducted at 25 ºC. [Ni] = 0.023 M in MeCN.

The reactivity of these high-valent Ni complexes towards carbon-heteroatom coupling reactions was next investigated. Interestingly, cyclic voltammetry studies of 1a with tetramethyl ammonium acetate (NMe$_4$OAc) as the supporting electrolyte revealed dramatic differences in the reversibilities of the Ni$^{II/III}$ and Ni$^{III/IV}$ redox couples. As shown in Figure 4.10, the Ni$^{II/III}$ couple is essentially unaffected by the presence of acetate. In contrast, scanning to higher potentials in NMe$_4$OAc/MeCN revealed complete irreversibility of the Ni$^{III/IV}$ couple. The loss of reversibility is not observed when non-coordinating anions such as BF$_4^-$ or PF$_6^-$ serve as the supporting electrolyte (See Figure 4.8). Thus, these studies initially suggested that
distinct reactivity between the high oxidation state complexes in the presence of nucleophilic coupling partners could be occurring.

**Figure 4.10.** Cyclic Voltammograms of 1a with NMe₄OAc as the Supporting Electrolyte in MeCN. [Ni] = 0.01 M, [NMe₄OAc] = 0.1 M in MeCN, Scan rate = 100 mV/s

Based on the electrochemical analyses of 1a, acetate was used as the nucleophile for reactivity studies. An isolated sample of Ni⁴⁺ complex 3a in acetonitrile was subjected to 2 equiv of NMe₄OAc at room temperature for 10 min. Under these conditions, Ni²⁺ product 5 was formed in 95% yield as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4.11a). The Ni²⁺ product 5 was not sufficiently stable for isolation and instead the σ-aryl ligand underwent protodemetallation to form the organic product 6 in 65% yield after 12 h at room temperature. Importantly, under these conditions <1% of benzocyclobutane (4) was detected. This result demonstrates that C(sp³)–O bond-formation occurs with high selectivity over C(sp³)–C(sp²) coupling at this Ni⁴⁺ center. In addition, these results corroborate our CV studies of 1a, which initially suggested the instability of Ni⁴⁺ to nucleophilic anions.
Scheme 4.11. Selectivity Differences as a Function of Oxidation State Demonstrating Preferential (a) C–O Coupling from 3a and (b) C–C Coupling from 2a in the Presence of Tetramethyl Ammonium Acetate

The electrochemical studies of 1a provided initial support for the stability of the NiIII center to exogenous acetate. Indeed, the reactivity of NiIII complex 2a proved to be highly complementary to that of 3a. In the presence of 2 equiv of NMe₄OAc, no reaction was observed after 1 h at room temperature. However, after prolonged heating of the reaction mixture at 70 °C, dimethyl benzocyclobutane (4) was determined to be the major product by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (35%, Scheme 4.11b). Treatment of the crude reaction mixture with acid and subsequent analysis by GC/MS also did not show the formation of any products derived from C–O coupling.

The lower yields obtained for benzocyclobutane product 4 under these reaction conditions may be due to decomposition pathways caused by acetate binding to the metal center. For example, previous studies in our lab¹ have demonstrated that octahedral NiIII complexes undergo low-yielding reductive eliminations when compared to related pentacoordinate species. Recent work by Mirica and co-workers have also demonstrated that the octahedral complex (N⁴)NiIII(CH₂CMe₂-ο-C₆H₄) undergoes very low yielding C–C coupling (~10% yield of 1,1-dimethylbenzocyclobutane, Scheme 4.12) when compared to five-coordinate 2a. These studies suggest that the reactivity of octahedral NiIII complexes may be
different from their five-coordinate analogues. Thus, in the present system we propose that acetate binds to complex 2a to generate an octahedral complex, from which C–C coupling is unfavorable.17

**Scheme 4.12.** Recent Report by Mirica Demonstrating Low-yielding Benzocyclobutane Formation from an Octahedral NiIII Center.

Overall, the selectivity differences observed between 2a and 3a demonstrate that complementary reactivity can be achieved by accessing distinct oxidation states of nickel. These results can be attributed to a number of contributing factors including: (1) the enhanced electrophilicity of a NiIV-σ-alkyl carbon, (2) favorable electrostatic interactions between the cationic NiIV center and the anionic nucleophile and (3) intrinsic properties of the high-valent metal centers. To begin probing these features, DFT calculations2 were carried out to assess the point charges on the σ-alkyl carbon for 2a, 3a, and their tris(pyrazolyl) methane (Tpm) derivatives. As shown in Figure 4.11, the point charges on the methylene carbon in NiIV complexes 3a and 3a-Tpm are comparable (–0.38 e–; –0.35 e–), but are significantly more positive than their NiIII analogues (2a = –0.56 e–; 2a-Tpm = –0.57 e–). These data suggest that the oxidation state of the Ni center has a greater effect on the methylene carbon electrophilicity than the overall charge of the complex. We propose that this may contribute, at least in part, to the observed selectivity differences. Future work investigating the reactivity of proposed Ni

---

2 DFT calculations were carried out by visiting research scholar Eric Bowes (University of British Columbia). NBO charges were obtained for geometries optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory, using the SDD effective core potential for Ni and the PCM solvation model.
complexes 2a-Tpm and 3a-Tpm with neutral and anionic coupling partners will be needed to further elucidate the origin of these selectivity differences.

**Figure 4.11.** Atomic Point Charges on the Methylene Carbon of Complexes 2a, 2a-Tpm, 3a, 3a-Tpm

![Atomic Point Charges](image)

4.3. Conclusions

Studies in this chapter were aimed at investigating the synthesis, stability, and reactivity of organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{III} complexes and their Ni\textsuperscript{IV} analogues. Section 4.2.2 established that the combination of tripodal nitrogen donor ligands, electronically deactivating groups, and geometrically constrained scaffolds were effective for the stabilization of reactive Ni\textsuperscript{III} centers. Direct observation of C–C coupling was achieved from a crystallography characterized cycloneophyl-Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex, demonstrating one of the first examples of this transformation.

In section 4.2.3, the comparative reactivity of Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes was evaluated through electrochemical analyses, kinetic studies, and competition experiments. Throughout these studies, Ni\textsuperscript{IV} was shown to promote reductive elimination events more readily than analogous Ni\textsuperscript{III} complexes. In addition, selective carbon–carbon or carbon–heteroatom coupling could be achieved depending on the oxidation state of the nickel center. Overall, the
studies described herein demonstrate the feasibility of bond-forming reactions from high-
valent nickel model systems and the complementary reactivity of the distinct oxidation states.

4.4. Experimental Procedures and Characterization of Compounds

4.4.1. General Procedures and Materials and Methods

General Procedures

All manipulations were performed inside an N\textsubscript{2} filled glovebox unless otherwise noted. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VNMR 700 (699.76 MHz for \textsuperscript{1}H; 175.95 MHz for \textsuperscript{13}C) or a Varian VNMR 500 (500.09 MHz for \textsuperscript{1}H; 470.56 MHz for \textsuperscript{19}F; 125.75 MHz for \textsuperscript{13}C; 225 MHz for \textsuperscript{11}B) spectrometer. \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak used as an internal reference. \textsuperscript{19}F NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to CCl\textsubscript{3}F. \textsuperscript{11}B NMR spectra are referenced to BF\textsubscript{3}Et\textsubscript{2}O. Abbreviations used in the NMR data are as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; bq, broad quartet; br, broad signal. Yields of reactions that generate fluorinated products were determined by \textsuperscript{19}F NMR analysis using a relaxation delay of 12 s. Quantitative \textsuperscript{11}B NMR were recorded according to the literature\textsuperscript{18} at a 90\textdegree pulse angle with a 125 s relaxation delay (longest $T_1 = 23$ s) and a 10 s acquisition period and were checked against a calibration curve. Magnetic susceptibilities were determined by the Evans method in CH\textsubscript{3}CN at 23 °C on a 700 MHz spectrometer.\textsuperscript{19} Mass spectral data were obtained on a Micromass magnetic sector mass spectrometer in electrospray ionization mode. Elemental analyses were conducted by Midwest Microlabs. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a CHI600C potentiostat from CH instruments. EPR spectra were collected at −176 °C using a Bruker EMX ESR spectrometer with a nitrogen-cooled Cryostat. X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-I CCD-based X-ray diffractometer. Flash chromatography was performed using a Biotage Isolera One system with cartridges containing high performance silica gel.

Materials and Methods

The following compounds were prepared via literature procedures: (PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Ni(CF\textsubscript{3})(OTFA),\textsuperscript{20} (dtbpy)Ni(CF\textsubscript{3})(OTFA),\textsuperscript{9b} NMe\textsubscript{4}[(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{II}(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}],\textsuperscript{9b} K[(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{II}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-o-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})].\textsuperscript{9b} AgBF\textsubscript{4} was purchased from Strem Chemicals. 4,4'-di-tert-butylbipyridine (dtbpy), ZnMe\textsubscript{2} (1.2 M solution in toluene), and ferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (FcBF\textsubscript{4}) were purchased from Aldrich. 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl was purchased from Oakwood Chemicals. Potassium trispyrazolylborate (KTP) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Electrochemical studies were performed with
electrochemical grade NBu₄BF₄ or NMe₄OAc, which were purchased from Aldrich. NBu₄BF₄ was used without further purification and NMe₄OAc was dried at 70 ºC overnight under vacuum. Pentane (Fisher), diethyl ether (EMD), and tetrahydrofuran (Fisher) were deaerated via a N₂ sparge and were purified by a solvent purification system. Acetonitrile (Acros) was sparged and used without further purification. CD₂Cl₂ and CD₃CN were obtained from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and were stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves (EMD Millipore). Basic alumina (Aldrich) was dried for 48 h under vacuum at 210 ºC. Celite was dried for 12 h under vacuum at 100 ºC. Unless otherwise noted, all glassware was dried overnight in an oven at 150 ºC and cooled under an inert atmosphere before use. All commercial reagents were used without further purification/drying unless explicitly stated in the experimental section. Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere in a N₂ glovebox.

4.4.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds

**Synthesis of [(dtbpy)Ni(OTFA)(Me)]:** A 150 mL round bottom flask was charged with (dtbpy)Ni(OTFA)(Me) (600 mg, 1.18 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the yellow solid was dissolved in THF (60 mL). The resulting yellow-orange solution was cooled to –35 ºC, and then ZnMe₂ (0.55 mL of a 1.2 M solution in toluene, 0.55 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over approximately 5 min, during which time the solution changed color from dark orange to dark red. The solution was then filtered through a 3 cm pad of basic alumina, and the pad was washed with THF (5 mL). The washes were combined, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting dark red residue was triturated with pentane (10 mL), and the solids were collected by filtration. The solids were washed with additional pentane (40 mL) and then dried under reduced pressure to yield the title compound as a red solid (189 mg, 39% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 23 ºC): δ 8.82 (d, J_HH = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (d, J_HH = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93-7.83 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.51-7.43 (multiple peaks, 2H), 1.42 (s, 18H), 0.01 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 23 ºC): δ 163.06, 162.56, 155.68, 153.73, 151.03, 148.07, 142.05 (Ni-CF₃ shift extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC spectrum) 123.59, 122.99, 117.38, 117.20, 29.91, –6.26. ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 23 ºC): δ –24.65 (s, 3F). Elemental Analysis calcd for C₂₀H₂₇F₃N₂Ni, C: 58.43, H: 6.62, N: 6.81; found, C: 58.33, H: 6.28, N: 6.72.
Synthesis of NMe₄[(Tp)Ni^{II}(CF₃)(Me)] (1c) A 20 mL vial was charged with (dtbpy)Ni^{II}(CF₃)(Me) (180 mg, 0.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the red solid was dissolved in a minimal amount of acetonitrile (10 mL). A solution of NMe₄Tp (132 mg, 0.46 mmol, 1.05 equiv) in acetonitrile (3 mL) was added, and the resulting dark orange solution immediately changed color to yellow-brown. Over the course of approximately 5 min, 4,4’-di-tert-butylbipyridine (dtbpy) precipitated from solution in the form of a white crystalline solid. The solution was concentrated to approximately 3 mL, which led to further precipitation of dtbpy. The precipitate was collected on a fritted filter and washed with acetonitrile (5 mL). The filtrate was collected and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting brown residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL) and pentane (3 x 10 mL) and the remaining solids were collected to afford complex 1c as a light tan powder (41 mg, 22% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC): δ 7.88 (br, 3H), 7.58 (br, 3H), 6.17 (br, 3H), 5.10-4.43 (bq, B-H, 1H), 3.07 (s, 12H), –0.54 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC): δ 140.72, 140.50 (Ni-CF₃ shift extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC spectrum), 134.79, 103.83, 55.88, –9.01. ¹¹B NMR (225 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC): δ –2.55 (d, J_{BH} = 113 Hz, B-H). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC): δ –23.22 (s, 3F). Elemental Analysis calcd for C₁₅H₂₅BF₃N₇Ni, C: 41.91, H: 5.86, N: 22.81; found, C: 41.46, H: 6.05, N: 22.59.

Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni^{III}(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (2a) In a glovebox, a 20 mL vial was charged with K[(Tp)Ni^{III}(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)]⁹⁻ (180 mg, 0.41 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The yellow solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL), and a solution of AgBF₄ (78 mg, 0.41 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (5 mL) was added at –35 ºC. The orange solution immediately turned dark red, with concomitant precipitation of a Ag mirror. The crude reaction mixture was then filtered through a celite plug. The plug was washed with acetonitrile (5 mL), and the filtrates were combined and concentrated to approximately 3 mL. Orange crystals precipitated from the solution over the course of 10 min. These crystals were collected, washed with acetonitrile (5 mL), and dried under vacuum to afford 2a as an orange solid (98 mg, 60% yield). Samples for elemental analysis were obtained by cooling a saturated solution of 2a in acetonitrile to –35 ºC to obtain orange-red crystals of 2a. ¹¹B NMR (225 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 ºC): δ –3.07 (br, B-H). Elemental Analysis calcd for C₁₉H₂₂BN₆Ni, C: 56.50, H: 5.49, N:
Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{III}(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(MeCN)] (2b) In the glovebox, a 20 mL vial was charged with (MeCN)\textsubscript{2}Ni\textsuperscript{II}(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} (150 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (8 mL). A solution of NMe\textsubscript{4}Tp (163 mg, 0.57 mmol, 1.05 equiv) in acetonitrile (3 mL) was added, and the yellow-brown solution immediately turned orange-brown. A solution of AgBF\textsubscript{4} (105 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (2 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture at \(-35\, ^\circ\text{C}\). The orange-brown reaction mixture immediately changed color to purple, with concomitant formation of a Ag mirror. The crude reaction mixture was removed from the glovebox and filtered through a celite plug. The celite plug was washed with acetonitrile (10 mL), and the combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude purple-brown solid was purified further by flash chromatography on silica gel (mobile phase: hexanes/ethyl acetate with a gradient from 90:10 to 80:20). Compound 2b was obtained as a purple solid (132 mg, 54% yield). \(^{11}\text{B} \text{NMR (225 MHz, CD}_{3}\text{CN, 23 }^\circ\text{C}}): \delta \text{–}14.03 \text{ (br).}

Elemental Analysis calcd. for C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{13}BF\textsubscript{6}N\textsubscript{7}Ni, C: 34.64, H: 2.91, N: 21.75; found, C: 34.80, H: 2.98, N: 21.77.

Synthesis of [(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{IV}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-o-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})(MeCN)]BF\textsubscript{4} (3a): In the glovebox, a 20 mL vial was charged with K[(Tp)Ni\textsuperscript{III}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-o-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4})] (150 mg, 0.34 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The yellow solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL), and a solution of AgBF\textsubscript{4} (134 mg, 0.69 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetonitrile (5 mL) was added at \(-35\, ^\circ\text{C}\). The orange solution immediately turned dark red, with concomitant precipitation of Ag\textsubscript{0}. The crude reaction mixture was then filtered through a celite plug. The plug was washed with acetonitrile (5 mL), and the filtrates were combined and concentrated to approximately 2 mL. Red-orange crystals precipitated from the solution over the course of 15 min. These crystals were collected, washed with acetonitrile (5 mL), and dried under vacuum to afford 3a as a red-orange solid (91 mg, 51% yield). \(^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR (700 MHz, CD}_{3}\text{CN, 23 }^\circ\text{C}}) \delta 8.18 \text{ (d, } J_{HH} = 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.13 \text{ (d, } J_{HH} = 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.99 \text{ (d, } J_{HH} = 2.3 \text{ Hz,
In situ generation of [(Tp)NiII(CH2CMe2-o-C6H4OAc)(NCCD3)]
(5). A 4 mL vial was charged with 3a (5.2 mg, 0.0098 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NMe2OAc (2.6 mg, 0.020 mmol, 2 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.2 equiv) as an internal 1H NMR standard, and CD3CN (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was transferred to a teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. The NMR tube was analyzed by 1H NMR spectroscopy after <10 min at room temperature which showed generation of NiII reductive elimination product 5 in 95% yield 1H NMR (401 MHz, CD3CN, 23 ºC) δ 8.16 (d, JHH = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, JHH = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (m, 1H), 7.02-6.93 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.85 (ddd, JHH = 8.5, 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, JHH = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (t, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (t, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (t, JHH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, JHH = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, JHH = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H).
4.4.3. EPR Studies

**Procedure for EPR detection of complexes 2a and 2b:** A 4 mL vial was charged with the appropriate Ni^{III} complex (0.005 mmol) and acetonitrile (1 mL). Four drops of this solution were added to 300 µL of a 3:1 PrCN:MeCN solution. The sample was then flash-frozen in a septum-capped EPR tube in liquid nitrogen until analysis at 100 K.

![Diagram of 2a]

**Figure 4.12.** EPR Spectrum of 2a (bottom/blue) and the Simulated Spectrum (top/red). Fit using the following parameters: \( g_x = 2.29, g_y = 2.25, g_z = 2.01, A_{a(N)} = 21 \text{ G} \)

**Procedure for the attempted detection of complex 2c:** A 4 mL scintillation vial was charged with \( \text{NMe}_4[\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{Tp})(\text{Me})(\text{CF}_3)]) \) (1c) (0.005 mmol) and acetonitrile (1 mL). A separate 4 mL vial was charged with \( \text{FcBF}_4 \) (0.02 mmol) and acetonitrile (1 mL). Both solutions were then cooled to –78 °C in a glovebox cold well. After 10 min, 200 µL of the \( \text{FcBF}_4 \) solution (0.004 mmol, 0.8 equiv) was added in one portion via syringe to the solution of 1c. The vial was quickly shaken, resulting in the immediate disappearance of the blue \( \text{FcBF}_4 \) salt, indicating rapid consumption of the oxidant. Four drops of this solution were transferred to 300 µL of a precooled (–78 °C) solution of 3:1 PrCN:MeCN. The sample was then flash-frozen at –196 °C in a septum-capped EPR tube until analysis. EPR signals consistent with the formation of 2c were not observed.
4.4.4. Cyclic Voltammetry Studies

**General Experimental Procedure:** Cyclic voltammetry on complexes 1a-c was performed in a 3-electrode cell consisting of a 3 mm glassy carbon disc working electrode, a Ag/Ag$^+$ reference electrode with a Ag wire in a fritted chamber containing a solution of AgBF$_4$ (0.01 M) and the corresponding supporting electrolyte (0.1 M) in acetonitrile, and a Pt wire counter electrode. A 2 mL solution of each complex (0.01 M) and the supporting electrolyte (0.1 M) was added to the electrochemical cell. Cyclic voltammetry scans were taken at 100 mV/s. After obtaining the CV for each complex, ferrocene was added as an internal reference.

**For complexes 1a-c (Ni$^{II/III}$ couple):** Supporting electrolyte = 0.1 M NBu$_4$BF$_4$ in MeCN

**For complex 1a (full window):** Supporting electrolyte = 0.1 M NBu$_4$BF$_4$ in MeCN

**For complex 1a (Ni$^{II/III}$ couple and full window):** Supporting electrolyte = 0.1 M NMe$_4$OAc in MeCN.

4.4.5. Reactivity Studies

NMR oxidation studies of 1c

\[
\text{1 equiv AgBF}_4 \text{ or FcBF}_4 \text{ MeCN ~} -35 ^\circ C \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{II} \text{CF}_3 \text{N} \text{HB} \text{N} \text{N} \text{N} \text{CH}_3 \text{ (1c)} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{III} \text{CF}_3 \text{N} \text{HB} \text{N} \text{N} \text{N} \text{CH}_3 \text{ (2c) \ not \ detected}}
\]

**Procedure for the oxidation of 1c:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 1c (5.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv) as an internal $^1$H NMR standard, and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). This light yellow solution was transferred to a screw cap NMR tube and cooled to $-35 ^\circ C$. A cooled solution of ferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (FcBF$_4$, 3.1 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CD$_3$CN was added at $-35 ^\circ C$, filling the NMR tube completely. The tube was quickly capped, shaken vigorously, and was analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy after 30 min at room temperature to determine the yield of ethane (33 %). A final spectrum was taken 2 h later at which point no additional ethane was observed.
**Figure 4.13.** $^1$H NMR Spectrum of the Crude Reaction Mixture after Oxidation of 1c with FcBF$_4$. Standard = 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene

**Evidence for Ni–CF$_3$ and Ni–CH$_3$ group homolysis from putative intermediate 2c**

**Experimental Procedure:** A modified procedure of the reaction described above was followed. After addition of the oxidant at $-35$ °C the sample was analyzed after $<10$ min at room temperature. Analysis of the crude reaction mixture by $^1$H NMR and $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of CF$_3$H/D which is presumably generated via CF$_3$ homolysis from unstable Ni$^{III}$ intermediate 2c. In addition, resonances associated with a
diamagnetic Ni–CF₃ complex were also observed in low yield (10%, ¹⁹F NMR = s, ~26.14 ppm, ¹H NMR (Ni⁴–Me) 2.61 ppm). We tentatively assign these features to Ni⁴ complex 2c’ generated via Me group transfer from the unstable Ni³ to the Ni² starting material 1c.

**Procedure for the oxidation of 1c with Mel:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 1c (5.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and dissolved in acetonitrile (0.5 mL). An excess of Mel was added (approximately 20 equiv) and the resulting solution was transferred to an NMR tube and taken out of the glovebox. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. The resonances in Figure 4.14 (top spectra) correspond to proposed complex 2c’.

**Figure 4.14.** ¹H NMR Spectra Showing the Treatment of Complex 1c with FcBF₄ and Mel, Generating Proposed Ni⁴–Me Complex, 2c’ in Both Experiments
Reductive Elimination Studies from 2a

Procedure for the thermolysis of 2a: A 4 mL vial was charged with 2a (5 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.1 mg, 0.0124 mmol, 1.0 equiv) as an internal $^1$H NMR standard, and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was transferred to a teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. The NMR tube was heated in an oil bath at 70 ºC for 8 h. The solution was then analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of 1,1-dimethylbenzocyclobutane (69% yield). The NMR tube was then brought back into the glove box. Next, NBu$_4$BF$_4$ (0.038 M in MeCN, 1.0 equiv) was added to the NMR tube as an $^{11}$B NMR standard. The tube was capped, and the sample was analyzed by quantitative $^{11}$B NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of Ni$^{II}$Tp$_2$ (30% based on Ni). Representative NMR spectra are shown in Figure 4.15.
Procedure for the thermolysis of 2a with added weak oxidant: A 4 mL vial was charged with 2a (5.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.0 equiv), decamethylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (Cp*₂FeBF₄) (10 mg, 0.024 mmol, 2.0 equiv) 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.1 mg, 0.0124 mmol, 1.0 equiv) as an internal ¹H NMR standard, and CD₃CN (0.5 mL). The resulting green solution was transferred to a screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glove box. The sample was heated in an oil bath at 70 °C for 8 h. The solution was then analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of benzocyclobutane (74-80% yield).
Figure 4.16. $^1$H NMR Spectrum of the Crude Reaction Mixture after Heating 2a at 70 °C for 8 h with the Additive Cp*$_2$FeBF$_4$. Standard = 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene.

C–C Coupling from Ni$^{IV}$ Complex 3a at 55 °C

Experimental Procedure: A 4 mL vial was charged with 3a (4.0 mg, 0.0075 mmol), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal $^1$H NMR standard, and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was transferred to a teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. After 5 h at 55 °C the reaction mixture was analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of 3,3-dimethylbenzocyclobutane (4, 93%).
Figure 4.17. $^1$H NMR Spectra of (a) Unreacted Ni$^{IV}$ Complex 3a and the Standard 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (b) Dimethyl Benzocyclobutane Formation after 5 h at 55 °C
Determining Initial Rates for C-C Coupling Ni^{III} vs. Ni^{IV} at 25 ºC

**Experimental Procedure:** In the glovebox, the respective Ni complex (0.0094 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and then dissolved in CD$_3$CN (4.0 mL) at 25 ºC. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.0094 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added as an internal $^1$H NMR standard. The resulting solutions were transferred to J-Young valve NMR tubes equipped with an O-ring seal and taken out of the glovebox. The initial rates of reductive elimination were determined by monitoring the first 8-20% of the reaction progress by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy at 25 ºC. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the $^1$H NMR signals of 1,1-dimethylbenzocyclobutane (4) with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the formation of 4.

![Diagram showing the reductive elimination process and chemical structures]

**Figure 4.18.** Plot of Concentration vs. Time Data for the Formation of C–C Coupled Product dimethyl benzocyclobutane from 3a and 2a. Conditions: [Ni] = 0.0023 M; T = 25 ºC.
**C–O vs. C–C Competition Experiments from Ni^{III} and Ni^{IV}**

**Experimental Procedure:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 3a (5.2 mg, 0.0098 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NMe$_4$OAc (2.6 mg, 0.020 mmol, 2 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 1.2 equiv) as an internal $^1$H NMR standard, and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was transferred to a teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. The NMR tube was analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy after <10 min at room temperature which showed generation of Ni$^{II}$ reductive elimination product 5 in 95% yield (Figure 4.19b). After 12 h at room temperature, this complex underwent proto-demetallation to form the organic product 6 in 68% yield (Figure 4.19c).
Figure 4.19. \(^1\)H NMR Spectra of (a) Unreacted Ni\(^{IV}\) Starting Material 3a (b) the Reaction of 3a with 2 equiv of NMe\(_2\)OAc after 10 min at rt to Generate 5 (c) Organic Product 6 Formed after 12 h at 23 °C

**Experimental Procedure:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 2a (5.5 mg, 0.014 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NMe\(_2\)OAc (3.6 mg, 0.028 mmol, 2 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2.4 mg, 0.014 mmol, 1.0 equiv) as an internal \(^1\)H NMR standard, and CD\(_3\)CN (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was transferred to a teflon-lined screw cap NMR tube and removed from the glovebox. The
NMR tube was analyzed by 1H NMR spectroscopy after 1 hr at room temperature and no reactivity of 2a was observed. The sample was then heated in an oil bath at 70 °C for 15 h. The solution was then analyzed by 1H NMR spectroscopy to determine the yield of 1,1-dimethylbenzocyclobutane (4, 35%). Trifluoroacetic acid was added afterwards to ensure that no products of C–O coupling were attached to paramagnetic species. The NMR spectra in Figure 4.20 shows the yield of C-C coupled product after the addition of acid. The sample was also analyzed by GC/MS which only showed formation of compound 4.

Figure 4.20. 1H NMR Spectrum of the Reaction between 2a and 2 equiv of NMe₃OAc after 15 h at 70 °C and Treatment with Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA).
4.4.6. X-ray Structural Determination

X-ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 2a

Orange plates of 2a were grown by slow evaporation of an acetonitrile solution of the compound with a trace of added formamide at –35 ºC. A crystal of dimensions 0.24 x 0.19 x 0.02 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode (λ = 1.54187 A) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 2028 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in ω. The exposure times were 5 sec. for the low angle images, 30 sec. for high angle. Rigaku d*trek images were exported to CrysAlisPro for processing and corrected for absorption. The integration of the data yielded a total of 32434 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 139.12° of which 6827 were independent and 6785 were greater than 2σ(I). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids 32434 reflections above 10σ(I). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2014/6) software package, using the space group P2(1)/c with Z = 8 for the formula C19H22BN6Ni. There are two crystallographically independent complexes in the asymmetric unit. The crystal was found to be a two-component pseudo-merohedral twin. The 2-methyl-2-phenylpropyl group bonded to Ni1 is partially disordered in two orientations. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on F2 converged at R1 = 0.0496 and wR2 = 0.1308 [based on I > 2sigma(I)], R1 = 0.0499 and wR2 = 0.1315 for all data. Acknowledgement is made for funding from NSF grant CHE-0840456 for X-ray instrumentation.
Table 4.1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond/Angle</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.032(3)</td>
<td>N(1)-Ni(1)-N(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.050(3)</td>
<td>C(10)-Ni(1)-C(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.012(3)</td>
<td>N(1)-Ni(1)-C(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(17)</td>
<td>1.966(6)</td>
<td>N(1)-Ni(1)-C(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)-C(10)</td>
<td>1.926(3)</td>
<td>N(3)-Ni(1)-C(17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5. References


(14) Thermolysis of 2b was also carried out in THF but did not lead to any desired coupled product.


(16) The difference in reactivity between the two reagents could be related to the driving force of Ag⁰ precipitation upon oxidation of 1a and reduction of AgBF₄.

(17) An alternative path of decomposition could be undesirable side reactions of Ni¹ with the Ni³⁺. However, the addition of Cp₂FeBF₄ leads to Ni⁷⁺ formation in the presence of acetate. This is consistent with the CV data using NMe₄OAc as electrolyte which shows a considerably lower Ni⁷⁺ onset potential (Figure 4.10).


(20) Maleckis, A.; Sanford, M. S. *Organometallics* 2014, 33, 3831.
CHAPTER 5

Investigation of the Accessibility, Reactivity, and Mechanisms of High-Valent Ni and Pd Complexes

5.1. Introduction

Over the past several decades, fundamental organometallic studies of high-valent Pd complexes have helped to establish Pd\textsuperscript{IV} as a viable and synthetically useful intermediate in catalysis (Figure 5.1).\textsuperscript{1,2} These studies have demonstrated that Pd\textsuperscript{IV} can be accessed under mild reaction conditions using a variety of 2\textit{e}\textsuperscript{−} oxidants.\textsuperscript{1,2} Furthermore, they have shown that Pd\textsuperscript{IV} can enable challenging reductive elimination reactions that are often complementary to those occurring from more traditional Pd\textsuperscript{II} centers.\textsuperscript{1–3} While Pd\textsuperscript{III} complexes are less common in the literature, studies by Ritter, Mirica, and others have demonstrated the competency of these species in mediating carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions.\textsuperscript{4} Overall, these fundamental studies have played a central role in driving the field of high-valent Pd catalysis, which is now widely used for challenging transformations such as C–H functionalization and alkene difunctionalization reactions.\textsuperscript{5}

Figure 5.1. (a) High-Valent Pd Catalysis and (b) Representative Organometallic Pd\textsuperscript{III} and Pd\textsuperscript{IV} Complexes
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In recent years, tremendous progress has been made in the field of nickel catalysis.\textsuperscript{6} In addition to being a sustainable and low-cost alternative to palladium, new developments in organonickel chemistry have demonstrated that the intrinsic properties of nickel enable transformations that are often not accessible with palladium (i.e., cross-coupling reactions that utilize tertiary alkyl halides\textsuperscript{7} or phenol derivatives\textsuperscript{8} as electrophiles).\textsuperscript{9} However, in comparison to Pd, the organometallic chemistry of high-valent Ni remains largely underdeveloped. Our lab and others have begun to investigate the synthesis and reactivity of organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complexes, and these investigations have provided support for their involvement in challenging bond-forming reactions (Figure 5.2).\textsuperscript{10,11} Despite these contributions, very little work has been done to directly compare the relative reactivity and selectivity profiles of Ni to its group 10 congener Pd.\textsuperscript{12} A systematic comparison of the organometallic chemistry of high-valent Ni to the more established chemistry of high-valent Pd would provide insight into the similarities and differences of these systems. This, in turn, would inform the rational development of new transition metal catalyzed reactions.

**Figure 5.2.** (a) High-Valent Ni Catalysis and (b) Representative Organometallic Ni\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} Complexes

This chapter describes a direct investigation of the organometallic chemistry of high-valent Pd and Ni in order to probe several key features, including: (i) the accessibility of high-valent Pd (Pd\textsuperscript{IV} and/or Pd\textsuperscript{III}) complexes versus high-valent Ni (Ni\textsuperscript{IV} and/or Ni\textsuperscript{III}); (ii) the relative reactivity and selectivity of Pd\textsuperscript{IV} versus Ni\textsuperscript{IV} in carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions; and (iii) the mechanistic pathways of these transformations. Overall, these experimental and computational studies demonstrate that Ni undergoes 1e\textsuperscript{−} redox chemistry
more readily than Pd to access catalytically relevant Ni$^{III}$ and Ni$^{IV}$ species. The accessibility of these distinct oxidation states enables transformations and mechanistic pathways not seen at Pd centers.

5.2. Results and Discussion

Probing the Accessibility of High-Valent Ni and Pd: Electrochemical Oxidations

We first sought to compare the accessibility of high-valent Ni and Pd complexes by studying the electrochemistry of the M$^{II}$ analogues 1-Ni and 1-Pd. Prior studies on related systems have demonstrated that tris(pyrazolyl) borate (Tp) and cyclometallated neophyl ligands are particularly stabilizing to high-valent group 10 metal complexes. Complex 1-Ni was prepared by ligand displacement of the precursor $(\text{PMe}_3)_2\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_2-o-C_6\text{H}_4)$ with potassium tris(pyrazolyl)borate (KTP) in 93% yield (Scheme 5.1a). Similarly, the treatment of (COD)Pd(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$) with 1 equiv of NMe$_4$Tp afforded 1-Pd in 89% isolated yield (Scheme 5.1b).

Figure 5.3 displays the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of 1-Ni and 1-Pd in acetonitrile using tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (NBu$_4$PF$_6$) as supporting electrolyte. The CV of 1-Ni reveals two quasi-reversible redox couples at approximately $-1.1$ V and $+0.10$ V vs. Fc/Fc$^+$, which correspond to the Ni$^{II/III}$ and Ni$^{III/IV}$ couples, respectively. The onset potential associated with the Ni$^{II/III}$ couple is among the lowest reported for an organometallic Ni complex, which is likely a result of the strong electron-donating character of the Tp and
cycloneophyl ligands. Furthermore, the distinct redox couples demonstrate the propensity of nickel to readily undergo single electron transfer chemistry. Despite the relatively low onset potentials of both couples, the large peak separation between the Ni$^{III}$ and Ni$^{IV}$ oxidations (approximately 1.2 V) indicates that the Ni$^{III}$ to Ni$^{IV}$ electron transfer is at much higher energy than the Ni$^{II}$ to Ni$^{III}$ process with this ligand system.

**Figure 5.3.** Cyclic Voltammograms of M$^{II}$ Precursors 1-Ni and 1-Pd. Conditions: [Ni] = 0.01 M in MeCN, [NBu$_4$BF$_4$] = 0.1 M, Scan Rate = 100 mV/s; [Pd] = 0.005 M in MeCN/pyr, [NBu$_4$PF$_6$] = 0.1 M, Scan Rate = 100 mV/s.

In comparison, the CV of Pd$^{II}$ complex 1-Pd (Figure 5.3) contains a single 2$e^-$ oxidation wave at −0.10 V and a corresponding reduction at −0.8 V vs. Fc/Fc$. We assign these features to the Pd$^{II/IV}$ redox couple. CVs depicting net two electron transfer processes for *mer*-coordinated Pt complexes and related Pd complexes have been reported.$^{15,16}$ The unique redox chemistry in these systems was rationalized by the anticipated instability of the corresponding M$^{III}$ species.$^{15}$ An alternative explanation for the electron transfer process in Figure 5.3 can be related to the energy cost differences between a Pd$^{II}$ to Pd$^{III}$ oxidation versus a Pd$^{III}$ to Pd$^{IV}$ oxidation. In this scenario, the energy required to remove the first electron from Pd$^{II}$ is much larger than the energy required to remove the second electron.$^{17}$ Notably, this contrasts with the trend seen at Ni, in which removal of the first electron occurs at much lower energy than that of the second.

The large peak separation between the oxidation and reduction waves in both CVs shown in Figure 5.3 can be rationalized based on the large molecular reorganization that
accompanies an octahedral and square planar interconversion.\textsuperscript{15,16,18} This effect is more
dramatic for Pd, possibly due to the comparatively more stable octahedral center. Overall, CVs
of \textit{1-Ni} and \textit{1-Pd} suggest that while Ni can access distinct one-electron redox pathways, the
analogous Pd\textsuperscript{II} complex preferentially undergoes two-electron redox events. Moreover, while
the +4 oxidation state of Ni and Pd can be accessed at relatively similar onset potentials (+0.10
V and −0.10 V, respectively), Ni\textsuperscript{III} is readily accessed at a significantly lower potential (−1.1
V). The difference between the accessibilities of the high-oxidation states for nickel and
palladium mirror the trends seen in the literature in which Pd\textsuperscript{IV} and Ni\textsuperscript{III} complexes are more
common than their Pd\textsuperscript{III} and Ni\textsuperscript{IV} counterparts.\textsuperscript{1,4,10,11}

\textbf{Probing the Accessibility of High-Valent Ni and Pd: Chemical Oxidations}

The chemical oxidation of \textit{1-Ni} and \textit{1-Pd} with outer-sphere 1\textit{e}\textsuperscript{−} oxidants was next
evaluated by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. These studies were conducted with acetylferrocenium
tetrafluoroborate (AcFcBF\textsubscript{4}) as the oxidant due to its suitable redox potential and solubility
under the reaction conditions (\textit{E}\textsuperscript{0} = +0.27 V vs. Fc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}).\textsuperscript{19} The treatment of \textit{1-Ni} with 1 equiv
of AcFcBF\textsubscript{4} resulted in full consumption of the diamagnetic Ni\textsuperscript{II} starting material and
concomitant formation of paramagnetic species in the \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{11}B NMR spectra. The observed
resonances correspond to those that were previously assigned to the Ni\textsuperscript{III} complex \textit{2-Ni} (Figure
5.4).\textsuperscript{20} In contrast, the use of 2 equiv of AcFcBF\textsubscript{4} under otherwise identical conditions afforded
a diamagnetic product in >95\% NMR yield that we assign as the cationic Ni\textsuperscript{IV} complex \textit{3-Ni}
(Figure 5.4) Together with electrochemical analyses, these results support the viability of
sequential 1\textit{e}\textsuperscript{−} oxidations of \textit{1-Ni}. 
Figure 5.4. $^1$H NMR Oxidation Studies of 1-Ni with 1 or 2 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$, Generating the Paragmanetic Ni$^{III}$ Complex 2-Ni or Diamagnetic Ni$^{IV}$ Complex 3-Ni

The analogous chemical oxidations of Pd$^{II}$ precursor 1-Pd were subsequently examined. While the Ni$^{IV}$ complex 3-Ni was stable in the presence of the weakly coordinating acetonitrile ligand, the treatment of 1-Pd with 2 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$ in MeCN led to an unidentifiable complex mixture. However, the addition of a stronger donor ligand, pyridine-$d_5$, afforded the cationic Pd$^{IV}$ complex 3-Pd in quantitative NMR yield (Figure 5.5). Pyridine likely increases the stability of the cationic Pd$^{IV}$ center for detection, and the $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3-Pd is consistent with that reported in the literature.$^{16}$ Interestingly, the treatment of 1-Pd with 1 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$ resulted in a 50:50 mixture of Pd$^{IV}$ complex 3-Pd and unreacted Pd$^{II}$ starting material 1-Pd (Figure 5.5). No Pd$^{III}$ products were observed by NMR or EPR spectroscopy. This observation is consistent with the electrochemical analyses of 1-Pd, which suggest the propensity of Pd to undergo selective two-electron oxidation events.
**Figure 5.5.** $^1$H NMR Oxidation Studies of 1-Pd with 1 or 2 equiv of AcFeBF$_4$

Synthetic and Mechanistic Studies at Ni$^{IV}$ and Pd$^{IV}$

The design of high-valent metal catalyzed reactions requires not only a detailed understanding of the accessibility of the reactive intermediates, but also the impact of the metal center on the anticipated reactivity. As such, the reactivity, selectivity, and mechanistic profiles of bond-forming reactions at Ni$^{IV}$ and Pd$^{IV}$ centers were investigated. For these studies, we targeted isolable complexes of general structure TpM$^{IV}$CF$_3$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$) ($Tp =$ tris(pyrazolyl)borate). We have previously shown that the trifluoromethyl ligand stabilizes the Ni$^{IV}$ analogue 4-Ni, thereby enabling mechanistic studies of C–C and C–heteroatom coupling reactions from that complex.$^{11f}$ Ni complex 4-Ni was prepared in 92% isolated yield following treatment of 1-Ni with the electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagent, S-(trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate (Umemoto’s Reagent), and subsequent purification by silica gel chromatography (Scheme 5.2). Similarly, the Pd analogue 4-Pd was synthesized by the net
two-electron oxidation of Pd\textsuperscript{II} precursor 1-Pd with Umemoto’s Reagent in 86% isolated yield (Scheme 5.3). Both complexes were sufficiently stable for isolation, were not sensitive to water or air, and did not undergo decomposition in an acetonitrile solution over several days. These results provide ongoing support that similar design strategies can be employed for stabilizing other high-valent group 10 metal centers.\textsuperscript{2,13,14}

\textbf{Scheme 5.2.} Synthesis of TpNi\textsuperscript{IV} CF\textsubscript{3}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-\textit{o}-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}) (4-Ni)

\textbf{Scheme 5.3.} Synthesis of TpPd\textsuperscript{IV} CF\textsubscript{3}(CH\textsubscript{2}CMe\textsubscript{2}-\textit{o}-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}) (4-Pd)

Complexes 4-Ni and 4-Pd were fully characterized by \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C, \textsuperscript{11}B, and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra (Figure 5.6) of these complexes are remarkably similar, and display proton resonances consistent with a κ\textsuperscript{3}-tris(pyrazolyl) borate scaffold bound to an octahedral metal(IV) center. One notable distinction between the two spectra is the chemical shift of the diastereotopic methylene protons in 4-Ni (4.7-4.9 ppm) and 4-Pd (4.1-4.2 ppm). The greater deshielding effect of the α-protons in 4-Ni suggests a comparatively more electrophilic M\textsuperscript{IV}–σ-alkyl carbon.
Characterization of the Ni and Pd analogues by X-ray crystallography allowed comparison of the structural features of these complexes. X-ray quality crystals of **4-Ni** were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanol solution, and colorless needles of **4-Pd** were grown from a concentrated acetone solution of the compound at room temperature. The solid-state structures of both complexes are shown in Figure 5.7. The Tp ligand binds $\kappa^3$ to both metal centers, forming the anticipated octahedral geometry. The Pd analogue exhibits significantly longer bond lengths, as might be expected for the second-row transition metal. For example, the Pd–CF$_3$ bond length (2.036 Å) is approximately 0.1 Å longer than that of the Ni analogue (1.941 Å), but comparable to that of related Pd$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ complexes reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{21} Consequently, the CF$_3$ group in the crystal structure of **4-Pd** is disordered, owing to its free rotation about the metal center. This is in contrast to the X-ray structure of **4-Ni** in which the shorter bond length and greater steric congestion appear to restrict rotation around the Ni$^{IV}$–CF$_3$ axis.\textsuperscript{22}
Figure 5.7. ORTEP diagrams of (a) 4-Ni and (b) 4-Pd. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and disorder in the trifluoromethyl group of 4-Pd have been omitted for clarity.

The reactivity of the Ni and Pd analogues toward bond-forming reactions was next evaluated. As shown in Figure 5.8, heating Ni^{IV} complex 4-Ni at 70 °C in MeCN resulted in slow C(sp^{3})–C(sp^{2}) bond-forming reductive elimination to afford cyclobutane product, 5 ($r_0 = 7.1 \times 10^{-9}$ M/s at 70 °C, where [Ni] = 0.011 M). This reaction is approximately 100-fold slower than from the cationic Ni^{IV} complex 3-Ni ($r_0 = 9.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M/s at 70 °C, where [Ni] = 0.011 M). This is presumably due to stabilization of the Ni^{IV} by the CF$_3$ ligand. The effect was even more dramatic for palladium, with no decomposition of 4-Pd observed at 70 °C over several weeks (Scheme 5.4). The enhanced stability of the Ni and Pd analogues allowed the reactivity and mechanisms of carbon–heteroatom couplings from these complexes to be directly compared.
Figure 5.8. Initial Rate Data for C–C Coupling from NiIV–CF3 4-Ni and Cationic NiIV 3–Ni to Form Benzocyclobutane 5 at 70 ºC, Demonstrating Stabilization of the CF3 Ligand

Scheme 5.4. Stability of 4-Pd towards C–C Reductive Elimination

The treatment of 4-Ni with O, N, and S-based nucleophiles (NMe3X; where X = OAc, OPh, SPh, NMeMs, and N3) led to highly selective C(sp3)–heteroatom coupling to form NiII products 6a-e-Ni (Scheme 5.5). Kinetic studies revealed that these reactions are 2nd order overall: first order in [NiIV] and first order in [nucleophile]. Similar studies were carried out with complex 4-Pd to compare the relative reactivity of the two metal centers. The treatment of 4-Pd with 1.1 equiv of the respective nucleophiles led to C(sp3)–heteroatom coupled products 6a-e-Pd in 56-84% isolated yields (Scheme 5.5).23 No products attributed to C–C or C(sp2)–heteroatom coupling were observed under any of the conditions examined. In all cases, the reactions exhibited a first order dependence on [PdIV] and a first order dependence on [nucleophile]. Plots of the Swain-Scott nucleophilicity parameters ($n_x$) versus the initial rates
of these reactions \( r_0 \) show a linear correlation for both Ni and Pd (0.975 and 0.940, respectively, Figure 5.9).24 Overall, these data are consistent with an \( \text{S}_2\text{N}_2\)-type reductive elimination pathway for both complexes, which is generally favored for C(sp\(^3\))-heteroatom couplings from high-valent group 10 metal centers.\(^{13c-e,25}\)

**Scheme 5.5.** C(sp\(^3\))-heteroatom Coupling from \( \text{M}^{IV} \) Complexes 4-Ni/Pd to Form Reductive Elimination Products 6a-e

![Scheme 5.5](image)

\( ^a \)Represents crude NMR yield; reaction required 5 equiv of \( \text{NR}_4\text{X} \) to reach completion.

**Figure 5.9.** Swain-Scott Plot Relating the Relative Nucleophilicities \( (n_x) \) with the Initial Rate of C–Heteroatom Coupling. Starting Conditions: [Ni] = 0.0044 M, [X] = 0.0054 M, 23 °C; [Pd] = 0.011 M, [X] = 0.057 M, \( T = 60 \) °C

![Figure 5.9](image)

While complexes 4-Ni/Pd exhibited the same selectivity for C(sp\(^3\))-heteroatom coupling in the presence of external nucleophiles, the rates at which these processes occurred were dramatically different. For example, in the presence of 5 equiv of NMe\(_3\)OPh, C(sp\(^3\))-O...
coupling at Ni^{IV} proceeded with a significantly faster rate ($r_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ M/s at 30 ºC) than from the analogous Pd^{IV} center ($r_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ M/s at 30 ºC). The dramatic difference in reactivity between the two metal centers prompted us to investigate the mechanisms of these transformations further.

The initial rates of C(sp$^3$)--O from 4-Ni and 4-Pd were examined as a function of temperature (For Ni: –10 to 40 ºC; For Pd: 30 to 70 ºC). The resulting Eyring plots are shown in Figure 5.10, and the activation parameters from this analysis are provided in Table 5.1. The negative entropy of activation values ($\Delta S^\ddagger$) obtained for both Ni and Pd (–12.0 and –8.09 eu, respectively) indicate an increase in order in the transition states for these reductive elimination reactions. These results are consistent with an associative mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of $^–$OPh on the M^{IV}–alkyl carbon.$^{13c,25}$ Overall, the Eyring parameters suggest similar mechanisms for C–O coupling at the two metal centers, with the Pd system being a significantly higher energy process.

**Figure 5.10. Eyring Plot for C(sp$^3$)–heteroatom Coupling from M^{IV} Complexes 4-Ni and 4-Pd.** Conditions: [Ni] = 0.011 M, [NMe$_4$OPh] = 0.055 M, –10 to 40 ºC; [Pd] = 0.011 M, [NMe$_4$OPh] = 0.055 M, T = 30 to 70 ºC

\[
y_{4-Ni} = -8902.8x + 17.808 \\
R^2 = 0.999
\]

\[
y_{4-Pd} = -11080x + 19.68 \\
R^2 = 0.974
\]
Table 5.1. Activation Parameters for C(sp³)–O Coupling from 4-Ni and 4-Pd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4-Ni</th>
<th>4-Pd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔH(^{298})</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS(^{298})</td>
<td>−12.0</td>
<td>−8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔG(_{303K}^{298})</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)kcal/mol\(^{b}\)cal/molK

Computational Details

The experimental data strongly implicate a mechanism involving S\(_{N2}\)-type attack by the nucleophiles on the methylene group attached to the M\(^{IV}\) center. Similar mechanisms have been proposed both experimentally and computationally for reductive elimination reactions at related cycloneophyl Pd\(^{IV}\) complexes.\(^{13c,e}\) However, in these reactions, dissociation of a ligand (typically the nucleophile, X) is often required prior to nucleophilic attack. Indeed, the vast majority of reductive elimination reactions at high-valent group 10 centers (i.e., Pd\(^{IV}\) and Pt\(^{IV}\)) are proposed to occur from five-coordinate intermediates\(^{1,2,13c-e,25}\) In the present system, C(sp³)–heteroatom coupling at M\(^{IV}\) complexes 4-Ni and 4-Pd could occur via dissociation of a pyrazole group (pathway A) or by direct nucleophilic attack at the six-coordinate complex (pathway B), a transformation that has much less precedence at high-valent group 10 centers (Figure 5.11).\(^{26}\) Thus, in collaboration with Dr. Allan Canty (University of Tasmania), we utilized Density Functional Theory (DFT) to explore several key features of these processes including: (i) whether an open coordination site at M\(^{IV}\) is necessary for S\(_{N2}\)-type C–heteroatom coupling and (ii) how the mechanistic pathways and transition states for reductive elimination at Pd\(^{IV}\) centers compare to those at Ni\(^{IV}\).
Figure 5.11. Possible $S_{N2}$ Mechanisms for Carbon–Heteroatom Coupling from $M^{IV}$ Complexes $4$-$\text{Ni/Pd}$

Models$^1$ for $S_{N2}$ transition states for C–X coupling from complexes $4$-$\text{Ni}$ and $4$-$\text{Pd}$ were examined after dissociation of one pyrazole group to give a five-coordinate $M^{IV}$ center (pathway A) as well as those formed via direct nucleophilic attack at the octahedral centers (pathway B). Computation for the Pd and Ni systems were carried out for X = OPh, OAc, SPh, and $N_3$. The results with phenoxide (OPh) and thiophenoxide (SPh) are shown as representative examples in Figures 5.12 and 5.13. Energy profiles for the reaction of phenoxide with $4$-$\text{Ni}$ and $4$-$\text{Pd}$ are shown in Figure 5.12. The transition states for C–O bond-formation at the Pd$^{IV}$ center (for X = OPh) via pathways A (19.3 kcal/mol) and B (19.6 kcal/mol) are substantially higher in energy than the analogous processes at Ni$^{IV}$ (12.8 kcal/mol and 11.0 kcal/mol, respectively), consistent with our experimental studies. However, in both systems, the barriers for C–O coupling at the five-coordinate and six-coordinate centers are remarkably similar in energy (for Pd, $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger = 0.3$ kcal/mol; For Ni, $\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger = 1.8$ kcal/mol). These calculations indicate that ligand dissociation to generate a five-coordinate intermediate is not essential for $S_{N2}$-type coupling at Pd$^{IV}$ and Ni$^{IV}$ centers. This is in marked contrast to most literature examples of this type of transformation.$^2,25$

---

$^1$ Computational studies were carried out by Professor Allan Canty and Professor Alireza Ariafard at the University of Tasmania. For full computational details, see the experimental section.
Figure 5.12. Energy Profiles for the Reaction of Phenoxide with (a) 4-Ni and (b) 4-Pd via a Five-coordinate Intermediate (mechanism A, blue), or Direct Nucleophilic Attack (mechanism B, black). Energies ΔG (ΔH) in kcal/mol.

The transition structures and mechanistic pathways for the two complexes are more distinct when the strongest nucleophile, thiophenoxide, serves as the coupling partner (X = SPh). For palladium, the calculations again show similar barriers for five-coordinate (ΔG‡ = 14.2 kcal/mol, pathway A) and six-coordinate (ΔG‡ = 13.6 kcal/mol, pathway B) mechanisms. However, transition structures formed from the octahedral center via pathway B exhibit lengthened Pd···N distances trans to the site of nucleophilic attack at the methylene group (Pd···Nax = 2.937 Å, for X = SPh).27 The very long Pd···N distance appears to represent a very weak bonding interaction, and thus pathway B can be considered a “five-coordinate-like” transition state in this system.
Figure 5.13. Energy Schemes for the Reaction of Thiophenoxide with 4-Ni and 4-Pd via a Five-coordinate Intermediate (pathway A), or Direct Nucleophilic Attack (pathway B).

Energies $\Delta G$ in kcal/mol

In marked contrast, for Ni, the $\kappa^3$-Tp mechanism (pathway B, Figure 5.13) is clearly the lower energy pathway. This mechanism is favored with a $\Delta \Delta G^\ddagger$ 3.7 kcal/mol. Notably, examples of reductive elimination events occurring from six-coordinate high-valent group 10 metal centers are rare in the literature.\textsuperscript{26} We attribute this unusual reaction pathway to the highly electrophilic Ni$^{IV}$–alkyl carbon, which renders direct nucleophilic attack of strongly nucleophilic \textsuperscript{1}SPh to be lower in energy than pyrazole dissociation. We anticipate that this mode of reactivity can potentially be exploited at related Ni$^{IV}$ centers, enabling milder and more selective reaction conditions. For example, selectivity issues arising in Pd$^{II/IV}$ catalysis could potentially be avoided with the use of a highly electrophilic/coordinate saturated Ni$^{IV}$ intermediate.

**Reactivity and Mechanism of M$^{II}$-Alkyl Azides**

As a final set of experimental and computational studies, the distinct reactivity of the M$^{IV}$ complexes in the presence of tetrabutylammonium azide (NBu$_4$N$_3$) was compared. As shown in Scheme 5.6, the pendant alkyl azide 6e-Ni that results from C(sp$^3$)–N coupling at 4-Ni, inserts into the C(sp$^3$)-N bond to generate Ni$^{II}$ intermediate 7 at room temperature.\textsuperscript{28} The
corresponding Pd\textsuperscript{II} reductive elimination product \textit{6e-Pd}, however, does not undergo the same insertion chemistry as Ni. Instead, \textit{6e-Pd} is remarkably stable, with no decomposition observed even upon heating at 70 °C for several weeks.

\textbf{Scheme 5.6. Distinct Reactivity of M\textsuperscript{II}–alkyl Azides 6e-Ni and 6e-Pd}

We hypothesized that the unique modes of reactivity between \textit{6e-Ni} and \textit{6e-Pd} could be related to the accessibility/and or reactivity of high-valent Ni and Pd intermediates. DFT calculations were therefore carried out (again in collaboration with Prof. Allan Canty) to explore the viability of such pathways. The lowest energy profiles for the Ni system were determined to be the singlet open shell pathway via a Ni\textsuperscript{IV}-imido intermediate (Figure 5.14, blue) and the triplet mechanism via a Ni\textsuperscript{III}-iminyl intermediate (Figure 5.14, black). For the singlet mechanism (blue profile, Figure 5.14), an initial weak Ni···N interaction in S-I leads to transition structure S-TS-I, resulting in formation of the Ni\textsuperscript{IV}–imido complex S-III. This species then undergoes reductive elimination to generate C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–N coupled product 7.

Using this profile for guidance, a reaction manifold for the triplet species was obtained that was substantially lower in energy (\(\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 9.2\) kcal/mol) (Figure 5.14, black). This pathway involves a Minimum Energy Crossing Point (MECP) from S-I to the initial triplet structure T-II. The triplet transition structure T-TS-I leads to Ni\textsuperscript{III}-iminyl intermediate T-III following loss of N\textsubscript{2}. C–N coupling from this triplet species and subsequent collapse of T-IV to Ni product 7 occurs via a Minimum Energy Crossing Point (MECP, \(\Delta E 13.1\) kcal/mol).
Overall, the calculations suggest that the distinct reactivity of the Ni$^{II}$ alkyl azide 6e can likely be attributed to a Ni$^{III}$ mechanism.

**Figure 5.14.** Energy Profiles Computed for the Formation of Ni$^{II}$ Indolinide Complexes from 6e-Ni via Singlet (blue) and Triplet States (black). Energies $\Delta G$ ($\Delta H$) in kcal/mol referenced to 6e, except for the Minimum Energy Crossing Points (MECP) computed as $\Delta E$ 3.1 kcal/mol above T-II, and $\Delta E$ 13.1 kcal/mol above T-IV, at the BS1 level.

The analogous transformations at Pd were found to be substantially higher in energy than the Ni system (minimum $\Delta G^\ddagger$ = 6.4 kcal/mol). This is consistent with experimental studies in which the Ni$^{II}$ reductive elimination product 6e-Pd did not undergo any bond-forming reactions after prolonged heating (Scheme 5.6). Interestingly, while the triplet Ni$^{III}$-iminyl pathway was favored over the singlet Ni$^{IV}$-imdo mechanism ($\Delta G^\ddagger$ = 9.2 kcal/mol), the two analogous processes for Pd were indistinguishable ($\Delta G^\ddagger$ = 1.9 kcal/mol; Figure 5.15). These results are reminiscent of electrochemical studies of the system, which demonstrate the accessibility of Ni$^{III}$ and, in contrast, Pd’s preference for 2$e^-$ pathways (Figure 5.3). Here, the ability of Ni to readily undergo single electron chemistry leads to unique reactivity that is not readily accessible at the Pd center.
5.3. Conclusions

The combined experimental and computational studies in this chapter reveal remarkable similarities in the chemistry of Ni^{IV} and Pd^{IV}, but a significantly enhanced role for Ni^{III} in enabling reactivity that is distinct from palladium. In particular, electrochemical analyses and chemical oxidation studies of Tp-ligated M^{II} precursors demonstrate the surprisingly comparable accessibility of the Ni^{IV} and Pd^{IV} oxidation states, despite only sporadic examples of well-defined Ni^{IV} complexes in the literature. Reactivity and mechanistic studies of isolated Ni^{IV} and Pd^{IV} complexes showed that both species undergo selective carbon–heteroatom bond-forming reactions, with the Ni system reacting under much milder conditions.

In contrast to Pd, the +3 oxidation state for Ni is readily accessible and thus, more widely-accepted in the literature. The propensity of Pd to undergo 2e⁻ redox chemistry and for Ni to readily promote one-electron transfer processes was highlighted in this chapter through electrochemical analyses, oxidation studies monitored by NMR spectroscopy, and distinct reactivity profiles of M^{II}-alkyl azide derivatives. Computations carried out on the latter system suggest that the Ni-mediated C(sp³)–N insertion process occurs via a transient Ni^{III}-iminy1 intermediate, via a pathway that was not accessible for the analogous Pd complex. Overall, these results demonstrate the importance of metal, ligand, and oxidation state on
reactivity and selectivity as well as the potential for similar roles of Ni^{IV}/Pd^{IV} and a complementary role for Ni^{III} in organic synthesis.

5.4. Experimental Procedures and Characterization of Compounds

5.4.1. General Procedures and Materials and Methods

General Procedures

All experiments and manipulations were carried out under an inert nitrogen atmosphere using standard glovebox or Schlenk techniques unless otherwise indicated. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VNMR 700 (699.76 MHz for $^1$H; 175.95 MHz for $^{13}$C), a Varian VNMR 500 (500.09 MHz for $^1$H; 470.56 MHz for $^{19}$F) or a Varian VNMR 400 spectrometer (399.54 MHz for $^1$H; 128.187 for $^{11}$B). $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak as an internal reference. $^{19}$F chemical shifts and $^{11}$B chemical shifts are reported in ppm and are referenced on a unified scale, where the single primary reference is the frequency of the residual solvent peak in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. Abbreviations used in the NMR data: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; t, triplet; td, triplet of doublets; m, multiplet; br, broad signal; bq, broad quartet. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a CHI600C potentiostat from CH instruments. The electrodes were obtained from BASi. Mass spectral data were obtained on a Micromass magnetic sector mass spectrometer in electrospray ionization mode. X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-I CCD-based X-ray diffractometer. Flash chromatography was conducted using a Biotage Isolera One system with cartridges containing high performance silica gel.

Materials and Methods

The following compounds were prepared via literature procedures: $K[(Tp)Ni^{{II}}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)]$ (1-Ni),$^{11f}$ $Pd^{III}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)(COD)$,$^{30}$ [(Tp)Ni^{IV}(CH_2CMe_2-o-C_6H_4)(CF_3)] (4-Ni),$^{11f}$ $NMe_4SPh$,$^{11f}$ $NMe_4OPh$,$^{13d}$ $NMe_4N(Me)(Ms)$,$^{11f}$ $NMe_4Tp$,$^{11g}$ and acetylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (AcFcBF_4)$^{19}$ The spectra of complex 3-Pd matched that reported in the literature.$^{16}$ AgBF_4 was purchased from Strem Chemicals. NBu_4N$,^+$ NMe_3OAc, $S$-(trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium triflate and ferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (FeBF_4) were purchased from Aldrich. 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl was purchased from Oakwood Chemicals. Potassium trispyrazolyl borate (KTP) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Electrochemical studies
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were performed with electrochemical grade NBu$_4$BF$_4$ or NBu$_4$PF$_6$, which were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Pentane (Fisher), diethyl ether (EMD), and tetrahydrofuran (Fisher) were deaerated via a N$_2$ sparge and were purified by a solvent purification system. Acetonitrile (Acros) was sparged and used without further purification. Pyridine-$d_6$ and CD$_3$CN were obtained from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and were stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves (EMD Millipore). Basic alumina (Aldrich) was dried for 48 h under vacuum at 210 °C. Celite was dried for 12 h under vacuum at 100 °C. Unless otherwise noted, all glassware was dried overnight in an oven at 150 °C and cooled under an inert atmosphere before use. All commercial reagents were used without further purification/drying unless explicitly stated in the experimental section. Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere in a N$_2$ glovebox.

5.4.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Compounds

**Synthesis of NMe$_4$[(Tp)Pd$^{II}$(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)] (1-Pd):** A 250 mL round bottom flask was charged with (COD)Pd(CH$_2$CMe$_2$-o-C$_6$H$_4$)$_3$ (300 mg, 0.864 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The yellow solid was dissolved in dichloromethane (50 mL) and NMe$_4$Tp (260 mg, 0.907 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added at room temperature. The light tan solution was stirred for 2 h. The crude reaction mixture was then concentrated to a tan solid, washed several times with ether (3 x 10 mL), and dried under vacuum to afford **1-Pd** as a white solid (404 mg; 89 % yield). $^1$H NMR (700 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 8.02–7.79 (br, 2H), 7.67–7.57 (br, 2H), 7.27 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.80 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.72 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.69 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.21–6.13 (br, 1H), 4.73 (bq, B-$H$), 3.04 (s, 12H), 1.96 (s, 2H), 1.33 (s, 6H). $^{13}$C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ 168.40, 161.91, 140.44, 135.95, 134.72, 122.92, 121.46, 121.10, 103.62, 55.1, 47.31, 40.72, 33.59. $^{11}$B NMR (225 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 °C) δ –1.83 (d, $J_{BH} = 112$ Hz, B-$H$). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe$_4$]$^–$ calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{22}$BN$_6$Pd, 451.1034; found, 451.1067.
Synthesis of [(Tp)PdIV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (4-Pd): A 20 mL vial was charged with NMe₄[(Tp)PdII(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)] (1-Pd) (290 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solid was dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL). S-(Trifluoromethyl) dibenzothiophenium trflate (288 mg, 0.72 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added at room temperature and the light tan solution immediately turned orange-brown. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude brown solid was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (mobile phase: ethyl acetate/hexanes with a gradient from 90:10 to 70:30). The title complex was isolated as a white solid (245 mg, 86% yield).

Synthesis of NMe₄[(Tp)PdII(C₆H₄-o-CMe₂CH₂OPh)(CF₃)] (6a-Pd): A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with [(Tp)PdIV(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (4-Pd) (50 mg, 0.094 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and dissolved in acetonitrile (8 mL). NMe₄OPh (17 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at 70 °C for 32 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 6a-Pd as a yellow solid (35 mg, 56% yield).
150.59, 141.25, 136.98, 136.83 (Pd-CF₃, shift for CF₃ group extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum), 135.52, 135.20, 134.06, 129.07, 126.12, 122.56, 121.79, 119.65, 114.48, 103.90, 103.88, 103.61, 77.53, 55.18, 39.64, 27.03, 27.02. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ –18.75. ¹¹B NMR (225 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ –2.04 (d, Jₓᵧ = 113 Hz, B-H). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe₄]⁻ calcd. for C₂₆H₂₇BF₃N₆PdO, 613.1326; found, 613.1344.

**Crude Synthesis of NMe₄[(Tp)Pd⁴⁺(C₆H₄-o-CMe₂CH₂OAc)(CF₃)] (8b-Pd):** A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with [(Tp)Pd⁴⁺(CH₂CMe₂-o-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (4-Pd) (20 mg, 0.037 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and dissolved in acetonitrile (4 mL). NMe₄OAc (24 mg, 0.19 mmol, 5 equiv) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at 70 °C for 3 weeks. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). Due to the extremely slow reactivity of NMe₄OAc and the Pd complex, this reaction required prolonged heating and excess acetate to reach only 95% conversion after the three-week time period. Complex 8b-Pd was characterized without complete removal of excess tetramethylammonium acetate. ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C): δ 7.86 (d, Jₓᵧ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, Jₓᵧ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.44 (d, Jₓᵧ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, Jₓᵧ = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (m, 1H), 6.74 (t, Jₓᵧ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.30 (t, Jₓᵧ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (t, Jₓᵧ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (t, Jₓᵧ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (bs, B-H), 4.44 (d, Jₓᵧ = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, Jₓᵧ = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 12H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C): δ 170.61, 149.85, 141.28, 137.10, 136.81 (Pd-CF₃, shift for CF₃ group extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum), 135.51, 135.09, 134.89, 134.26, 125.94, 122.53, 121.75, 103.88, 103.86, 103.58, 73.68, 55.01, 39.02, 27.11, 25.12, 20.12. ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C): δ –18.78. ¹¹B NMR (225 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C): δ –2.04 (d, Jₓᵧ = 117 Hz, B-H). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe₄]⁻ calcd. for C₂₆H₂₇BF₃N₆O₂Pd, 579.1112; found, 579.1136
Synthesis of NMe₄[(Tp)Pd²⁺(C₆H₄-ο-CMe₂CH₂SPh)(CF₃)] (6c-Pd): A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with [(Tp)Pd⁴⁺(CH₂CMe₂-ο-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (4-Pd) (50 mg, 0.094 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and dissolved in acetonitrile (8 mL). NMe₄SPh (19 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at 70 °C for 12 h. Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The solids were further dried under vacuum to afford complex 6c-Pd as a yellow solid (55 mg, 84% yield). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ 7.93 (d, J_HH = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.18 – 7.09 (multiple peaks, 4H), 7.03 (m, 1H), 6.86 (t, J_HH = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (t, J_HH = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 6.29 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (t, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (bq, B-H), 3.59 (d, J_HH = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (br, 1H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ 154.49, 151.49, 141.31, 139.46, 137.03, 136.81 (Pd-CF₃, shift for CF₃ group extracted from ¹⁹F–¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum), 135.45, 133.84, 128.46, 127.42, 125.78, 124.31, 122.62, 121.68, 103.94, 103.88, 103.51, 55.17, 47.33, 39.77, 29.75, 29.12. ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ -18.78. ¹¹B NMR (225 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ -2.04 (d, J_BH = 109 Hz, B-H). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe₄]⁻ calcd. for C₂₆H₂₇BF₃N₆PdS, 629.1098; Found, 629.1116.

Crude Synthesis of NMe₄[(Tp)Pd²⁺(C₆H₄-ο-CMe₂CH₂N₃)(CF₃)] (6e-Pd): A 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with [(Tp)Pd⁴⁺(CH₂CMe₂-ο-C₆H₄)(CF₃)] (4-Pd) (20 mg, 0.037 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and dissolved in acetonitrile (4 mL). NBu₄N₃ (54 mg, 0.19 mmol, 5 equiv) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at 70 °C for one week. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting yellow residue was washed several times with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). Due to the extremely slow reactivity of NBu₄N₃ and the Pd complex, this reaction required prolonged heating and excess azide to reach only 90% conversion after the one-week time period. Complex 6e-Pd was characterized without complete removal of excess tetrabutylammonium azide.

¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN, 23 °C) δ 7.97 (d, J_HH = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, J_HH = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, J_HH = 7.9, 1.5 Hz,
1H), 6.85 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.4$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.9$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 6.33 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d, $J_{HH} = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.94 (t, $J_{HH} = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.77 (bq, B-$H$), 3.62 (d, $J_{HH} = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, $J_{HH} = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.06 (m, 8H), 1.62 (overlapping peaks, 14H), 1.37 (m, 8H), 0.99 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, 12 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (176 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC) δ 154.91, 149.98, 141.63, 141.08, 140.94, 136.90 (Pd-CF$_3$, shift for CF$_3$ group extracted from \(^{19}\)F–\(^{13}\)C HMBC NMR spectrum), 136.84, 135.89, 135.64, 133.41, 125.91, 122.79, 121.74, 104.15, 103.80, 103.67, 62.88, 58.32, 40.06, 27.77, 27.39, 23.31, 19.32, 12.79. \(^{19}\)F NMR (471 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC) δ –19.03. \(^{11}\)B NMR (225 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 23 ºC) δ –2.06 (d, $J_{BH} = 113$ Hz, B-$H$). HRMS-electrospray (m/z): [M – NMe$_4$]$^-$ calced. for C$_{20}$H$_{22}$BF$_3$N$_9$Pd, 562.1078; found, 562.1093

5.4.3. Cyclic Voltammetry Studies

**Experimental Procedure:** Cyclic voltammetry on complex 1-Pd was performed in a 3-electrode cell consisting of a 3 mm glassy carbon disc working electrode, a Ag/Ag$^+$ reference electrode with a Ag wire in a fritted chamber containing a solution of AgBF$_4$ (0.01 M) and NBu$_4$PF$_6$ (0.1 M) in acetonitrile, and a Pt wire counter electrode. A 2 mL solution of the complex (0.01 M) and NBu$_4$PF$_6$ (0.1 M) in acetonitrile was added to the electrochemical cell. Cyclic voltammetry scans were taken at 100 mV/s. After obtaining the CV for each complex, ferrocene was added as an internal reference.

**Figure 5.16.** CV of 1-Pd in the Absence of Added Pyridine. Conditions: [Pd] = 0.01 M in MeCN, [NBu$_4$PF$_6$] = 0.1 M in MeCN, Scan rate = 100 mV/s

We hypothesized that the irreversibility in the CV of complex 1-Pd could be improved with the addition of a strong L-type ligand such as pyridine to stabilize the high-valent center. Cyclic voltammetry of complex 1-Pd was therefore performed under the previous conditions with the
addition of 2 mL of pyridine. [Pd] = 0.005 M in a 50/50 mixture of acetonitrile/pyridine. As shown in Figure 5.17, the reversibility of the complex is improved with added pyridine.

**Figure 5.17.** CV of 1-Pd with Added Pyridine. Conditions: [Pd] = 0.005 M in MeCN/pyr, [NBu₄BF₄] = 0.1 M, Scan Rate = 100 mV/s

### 5.4.4. NMR Oxidation Studies

*For Ni:*

![Chemical Structures](image)

**Experimental Procedure for the oxidation of 1-Ni:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 1-Ni (5.0 mg, 0.0096 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and CD₃CN (0.5 mL). This light tan solution was transferred to a screw cap NMR tube. A solution of the corresponding amount of acetylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (AcFcBF₄; 3.0 mg, 0.0096 mmol, 1.0 equiv or 6.0 mg, 0.0192 mmol, 2 equiv) in CD₃CN was added. The tube was quickly capped, shaken vigorously, and was analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy after <5 min at room temperature. In the presence of 2 equiv of AcFcBF₄, Ni⁴⁺ complex 3-Ni was formed in 95% NMR yield. In the presence of 1 equiv of AcFcBF₄, analysis by ¹H NMR and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of a paramagnetic species that we previously characterized as Ni³⁺ complex 2-Ni.²⁰
**Figure 5.18.** $^1$H NMR Spectra of 1-Ni and the Treatment of 1-Ni with 1 or 2 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$

1-Ni + 2 equiv AcFcBF$_4$

1-Ni + 1 equiv AcFcBF$_4$

1-Ni

---

For Pd:

![Diagram showing the reaction of 1-Pd with AcFcBF$_4$](image)

**Experimental Procedure for the oxidation of 1-Pd:** A 4 mL vial was charged with 1-Pd (5.0 mg, 0.0096 mmol, 1.0 equiv), pyridine-$d_6$ (4 µL; 0.05 mmol; 5.2 equiv), and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). This light tan solution was transferred to a screw cap NMR tube. A solution of the corresponding amount of acetylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (AcFcBF$_4$; 3.0 mg, 0.0096 mmol, 1.0 equiv or 6.0 mg, 0.0192 mmol, 2 equiv) in CD$_3$CN was added. The tube was quickly capped, shaken vigorously, and was analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy after <5 min at room temperature. In the presence of 2 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$, Pd complex 3-Pd was formed in approximately quantitative yield against acetylferrocene as the internal $^1$H NMR standard. In the presence of 1 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$, Pd complex 3-Pd was formed in approximately 50% yield against acetylferrocene as the internal $^1$H NMR standard with 50% of unreacted 1-Pd remaining.
Figure 5.19. $^1$H NMR Spectra of 1-Pd and the Treatment of 1-Pd with 1 or 2 equiv of AcFcBF$_4$

1-Pd + 2 equiv AcFcBF$_4$

1-Pd + 1 equiv AcFcBF$_4$

1-Pd
5.4.5. Reductive Elimination Studies

Determining Initial Rates for C–C Coupling: NiIV vs. PdIV

For Ni:

Experimental Procedure: In the glovebox, complex 4-Ni (2.8 mg, 0.0059 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal and then dissolved in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) at room temperature. DMSO (1.0 µL, 0.014 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added as an internal proton standard. The NMR sample was taken out of the glovebox and analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to obtain integrations for the internal standard and complex 4-Ni. The sample was then placed in an oil bath at 70 ºC to induce reductive elimination. At various time points, the NMR sample was taken out of the oil bath and immediately cooled in an ice bath. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the methylene proton signals of 5 and 4-Ni with respect to the internal standard. The initial rate of reductive elimination was determined by monitoring the first 10% of the reaction progress by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the growth of 5 (Figure 5.20).

Figure 5.20. Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination of 4-Ni to Form 5.
Starting Conditions: [Ni] = 0.011 M, T = 70 ºC
For Pd:

Experimental Procedure: In the glovebox, complex 4-Pd (3.0 mg, 0.0059 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal and then dissolved in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) at room temperature. DMSO (1.0 µL, 0.014 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added as an internal proton standard. The NMR sample was placed in an oil bath at 70 ºC. However, no reactivity or decomposition of 4-Pd was observed after monitoring the reaction by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy for 3 weeks.

Determining Order in Reagents for C–X Bond Formation at Pd$^{IV}$

Experimental Procedure: Pd$^{IV}$ Complex 4-Pd (3.0 mg, 0.0057 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was weighed into a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal. Various amounts of NMe$_4$OPh (0.0068 mmol to 0.057 mmol) and the $^{19}$F NMR standard 4,4'-difluorobiphenyl (~ 2 mg) were weighed into 4 mL vials, and the solids were dissolved in CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was added to the NMR tube at room temperature. The tube was then placed into an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 60 ºC. The rate of reductive elimination from 4-Pd to form 6a-Pd was monitored by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy at 60 ºC. Concentration versus time data were acquired by integration of the CF$_3$ signals of 4-Pd and 6a-Pd with respect to the internal standard (Figure 5.21). Initial rates were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line monitoring the first 5-20% of the reaction progress. A plot of ln($r_0$) vs. ln([OPh]) showed that the rate of coupling is first-order in [OPh] (Figure 5.22).
**Figure 5.21.** Concentration vs. Time Data for the Reductive Elimination of 4-Pd to Form 6a-Pd in the Presence of 1.2, 2.5, 5, and 10 equiv of NMe₄OPh.

**Figure 5.22.** (ln[OPh]) vs (ln[r₀]) Plot. The slope of the line is approximately 1.
Determining Initial Rates for C-X Bond-Formation at 60 °C

**Experimental Procedure:** In the glovebox Pd⁴⁺ complex 4-Pd (3.0 mg, 0.0057 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal. The respective nucleophile, NR₄X, where X = OPh, OAc, SPh, N(Me)(Ms), N₃ (0.0288 mmol, 5 equiv), along with the internal standard 4,4’-difluorobiphenyl (~ 2 mg) was weighed into a 4 mL vial and then dissolved in CD₃CN (0.5 mL). The resulting solutions were added to the NMR tubes at room temperature and taken out of the glovebox. The tube was then placed into an NMR spectrometer that had been pre-heated to 60 °C. The rates of reductive elimination were determined by monitoring the first 10-40% of the reaction progress by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy at this temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the CF₃ signals of 4-Pd and 6-Pd with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the decay of 4-Pd.

**Figure 5.23.** Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination from 4-Pd to Form 6a-Pd. Starting Conditions: [Pd] = 0.011 M, [OPh] = 0.057 M, T = 60 °C
Figure 5.24. Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination from 4-Pd to Form 6b-Pd. Starting Conditions: [Pd] = 0.011 M, [OAc] = 0.057 M, T = 60 °C

Figure 5.25. Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination from 4-Pd to Form 6c-Pd. Starting Conditions: [Pd] = 0.011 M, [SPh] = 0.057 M, T = 60 °C

Figure 5.26. Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination from 4-Pd to form 6d-Pd. Starting Conditions: [Pd] = 0.011 M, [NMeMs] = 0.057 M, T = 60 °C
Figure 5.27. Concentration vs. Time Data for Reductive Elimination from 4-Pd to Form 6e-Pd. Starting Conditions: [Pd] = 0.011 M, [N₃] = 0.057 M, T = 60 °C

Nucleophilicity Values

The Swain-Scott nucleophilicity parameters for the various nucleophiles (acetate, phenoxide, thiophenolate, −N(Me)(Ms), and azide) were obtained from a report published by Pearson and co-workers. The reported nucleophilicity parameters were plotted vs. experimental initial rates. The value for −N(Me)(Ms) was not available and was, therefore, estimated based on the nucleophilicity value of a related sulfonamide, −NHSO₂Ph.

Table 5.2. Nucleophilicity Parameters and Initial Rate Values for C–X Bond-Formation Reactions from Complex 4-Pd to Form 6a-e-Pd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleophile (X⁻)</th>
<th>Nucleophilicity (n_X)</th>
<th>Initial Rate (r₀) (M/s)</th>
<th>log(r₀)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPh</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>1.53e-7</td>
<td>−6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.60e-8</td>
<td>−7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹SPh</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>4.85e-6</td>
<td>−5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−N(Me)(Ms)*</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>1.93e-8</td>
<td>−7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−N₃</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>3.32e-8</td>
<td>−7.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The nucleophilicity value for −N(Me)(Ms) is an estimation based on the available n_X value for −NHSO₂Ph.
**Figure 5.28.** Plot of Nucleophilicity Parameters vs. Initial Rate of C–X Coupling from 4-Pd to form 6a-e-Pd

$$y = 0.4571x - 9.8517$$  
$$R^2 = 0.93979$$

**Determining Activation Parameters for C–O Coupling at Ni$^{IV}$ and Pd$^{IV}$**

**Experimental Procedure:** The activation parameters for C–O coupling at Ni$^{IV}$ were determined through an Eyring Plot in the temperature range of −10 to 40 °C. In the glovebox, complex 9 (2.6 mg, 0.0055 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NMe$_4$OPh (4.4 mg, 0.027 mmol, 5.0 equiv), and the $^{19}$F NMR standard 4,4-difluorobiphenyl (~2 mg) were weighed into a 4 mL vial. CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) was added at −35 °C and the resulting solution was transferred to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal at this temperature. The NMR tube was taken out of the glovebox and immediately flash frozen in an ethyl acetate/liquid nitrogen bath (~84 °C). The sample was then placed into an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-set to the respective temperature (~10 to 40 °C). The rate of reductive elimination was determined by monitoring approximately the first 10% of the reaction by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy at −10 °C, 5 °C, 25 °C, 30 °C, and 40 °C. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the CF$_3$ signals of 4-Ni and 6a-Ni with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the decay of 4-Ni. The activation parameters for C–O coupling were extracted from the resulting Eyring Plot.
Table 5.3. Eyring Plot Data for the Reductive Elimination of 4-Ni to Form 6a-Ni

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (K)</th>
<th>Initial Rate (M s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Rate Constant (k)</th>
<th>1/T (K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ln(k/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>263.2</td>
<td>1.91 \times 10^{-8}</td>
<td>2.88 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>−16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278.2</td>
<td>1.22 \times 10^{-7}</td>
<td>1.84 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>−14.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298.2</td>
<td>1.21 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>1.83 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>−12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>2.01 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.03 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>−11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.2</td>
<td>4.73 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>7.14 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>−10.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Pd:

**Experimental Procedure:** The activation parameters for C–O coupling at Pd\(^{IV}\) were determined through an Eyring Plot in the temperature range of 30 to 70 °C. In the glovebox, complex 4-Pd (3 mg, 0.0055 mmol, 1.0 equiv), NMe\(_{3}\)OPh (4.4 mg, 0.027 mmol, 5.0 equiv), and the \(^{19}\)F NMR standard 4,4-difluorobiphenyl (~2 mg) were weighed into a 4 mL vial. CD\(_3\)CN (0.5 mL) was added at −35 °C and the resulting solution was transferred to a J-Young valve NMR tube equipped with an O-ring seal at this temperature. The NMR tube was taken out of the glovebox and immediately flash frozen in an ethyl acetate/liquid nitrogen bath (~84 °C). The sample was then placed into an NMR spectrometer where the probe had been pre-set to the respective temperature 30 to 70 °C. The rate of reductive elimination was determined by monitoring approximately the first 10% of the reaction by \(^{19}\)F NMR spectroscopy at the indicated temperature. Concentration versus time data were acquired from the integration of the CF\(_3\) signals of 4-Pd and 6a-Pd with respect to the internal standard. Initial rate values were obtained from the slope of a linear-fit line corresponding to the decay of 4-Pd. The activation parameters for C–O coupling were extracted from the resulting Eyring Plot.
Table 5.4. Eyring Plot Data for the Reductive Elimination of 4-Pd to Form 6a-Pd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (K)</th>
<th>Initial Rate (M s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Rate Constant (k)</th>
<th>1/T (K⁻¹)</th>
<th>ln(k/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>1.31 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.98 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>−16.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.2</td>
<td>2.39 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>3.61 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>−15.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323.2</td>
<td>3.34 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.11 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>−14.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.2</td>
<td>2.86 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>4.32 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>−13.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343.2</td>
<td>9.33 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.41 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>−12.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.6. X-ray Structural Determination

X-ray Crystallography Experimental Data of 4-Pd

Colorless needles of 4-Pd were grown from an acetone solution of the compound at 25 °C. A crystal of dimensions 0.14 x 0.12 x 0.08 mm was mounted on a Rigaku AFC10K Saturn 944+ CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and Micromax-007HF Cu-target micro-focus rotating anode (λ = 1.54187 Å) operated at 1.2 kW power (40 kV, 30 mA). The X-ray intensities were measured at 85(1) K with the detector placed at a distance 42.00 mm from the crystal. A total of 2028 images were collected with an oscillation width of 1.0° in ω. The exposure times were 1 sec. for the low angle images, 10 sec. for high angle. The integration of the data yielded a total of 32046 reflections to a maximum 2θ value of 136.30° of which 3856 were independent and 3643 were greater than 2σ(I). The final cell constants were based on the xyz centroids 22474 reflections above 10σ(I). Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with CrystalClear 2.0 and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL
(version 2014/6) software package, using the space group P2(1)/c with Z = 4 for the formula C$_2$H$_{22}$BN$_6$F$_3$Pd. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. The –CF$_3$ group is rotationally disordered in two orientations. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on F2 converged at R1 = 0.0573 and wR2 = 0.1516 [based on I > 2sigma(I)], R1 = 0.0588 and wR2 = 0.1525 for all data. Acknowledgement is made for funding from NSF grant CHE-0840456 for X-ray instrumentation.

Table 5.5. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for 4-Pd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Length (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(1)</td>
<td>2.165(5)</td>
<td>84.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>2.217(6)</td>
<td>173.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-N(6)</td>
<td>2.128(5)</td>
<td>91.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(1)</td>
<td>2.020(6)</td>
<td>82.1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(8)</td>
<td>2.068(7)</td>
<td>90.2(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(1)-C(11)</td>
<td>2.036(6)</td>
<td>92.1(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.7. Computational Details

Gaussian 09$^{31a}$ was used for DFT calculations at the B3LYP level for optimization, using the Stuttgart/Dresden ECP (SDD) basis set for Pd$^{31b}$ and the 6-31G(d) basis set for other atoms (referred to as basis set BS1). Single point calculations were performed at the B3LYP-D3 level,$^{31c,d}$ utilizing the quadruple-ζ valence polarized def2-QZVP$^{31e}$ basis set on Ni and Pd along with the corresponding ECP and the 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set on other atoms (basis set BS2). All calculations were carried out for acetonitrile as solvent with the IEFPCM (SCRF) model. All thermodynamic data were calculated at the standard state (298.15 K and 1 atm) and entropy calculations were adjusted by the method proposed by Okuno.$^{31f}$ This computational procedure has been benchmarked for palladium when applied to C···C coupling from a closely related 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy) cation [Pd$^{IV}$CH$_2$CMe$_2$-α-C$_6$H$_4$-C,C’(F)(bpy-N,N’)]$^+$ in acetonitrile.$^{13e}$ The triflate (OTf) salt of this cation computes as ΔG$^+$ 24.7 kcal/mol, compared with experimental (ΔG$^+$ 23.8 kcal/mol) and different computation procedures (ΔG$^+$ 23.3 kcal/mol) for a sulfonamide (Tf$_2$N) salt.$^{13e}$ All transition structures contained one imaginary
frequency, exhibiting atom displacements consistent with the anticipated reaction pathway. The nature of transition structures was confirmed by Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate (IRC) searches, vibrational frequency calculations, and potential energy surface scans. Natural bond order analyses\textsuperscript{32} were performed in conjunction with BS1. For studies of formation of the indolinide complex, computation for geometry optimization and single-point employed the UCAM-B3LYP and UCAM-B3LYP-D3 functionals, respectively, within the broken-symmetry unrestricted methodology to facilitate calculations for triplet and open-shell singlet configurations.
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