

Motivic Analogues of MO and MSO

by

Dondi Ellis

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Mathematics)
in The University of Michigan
2017

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Igor Kriz, Chair
Professor Bhargav Bhatt
Professor James T. Liu
Professor Peter Scott
Professor Karen E. Smith

Dondi Ellis

dondi@umich.edu

ORCID iD: 0000-0001-5070-2666

© Dondi Ellis 2107

To my parents and my beautiful wife Nana.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people who were essential to the production of this thesis. First and foremost I wish to thank my advisor, Igor Kriz, who has been a continuous source of motivation and inspiration throughout my graduate studies. I would also like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Bhargav Bhatt, James Liu, Peter Scott, and Karen Smith.

I could not have produced this work without the support, encouragement, patience, and unwavering love of my wife, Nana. Her tolerance of my occasional ill-tempered moods is a testament in itself of her unyielding devotion and love. I thank my parents, Dondi and Donita, for their faith in me and for allowing me to be as ambitious as I wanted. It was under their watchful eye that I gained the drive and the ability to accomplish so much.

Finally, I would like to thank my grandmother Colleen, as well as the rest of my family, for all of their love and support over the years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	vi
 CHAPTER	
I. Introduction	1
1.1 Motivic homotopy theory	2
1.1.1 The bigraded family of spheres	4
1.1.2 The stable motivic homotopy category	4
1.2 G -equivariant motivic homotopy theory	5
1.2.1 The family of C_2 spheres	6
1.2.2 Two kinds of classifying spaces	6
1.3 G -equivariant stable motivic homotopy theory	7
II. A motivic analogue of MO	11
2.1 The construction of $MGLO$	11
2.1.1 Quadratic forms	12
2.1.2 Cellularity	17
2.1.3 Two-sided bar construction	19
2.1.4 The prespectrum for $MGLO$	20
2.2 Computing the coefficients of $MGLO$	22
2.2.1 Dual Motivic Steenrod Algebra	24
2.2.2 $2 = \eta = 0$ in $MGLO_*$	25
2.2.3 Comodule structure of $MGLO$	26
2.2.4 Applying the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem	27
2.2.5 $MGLO_*$ and a comparison with MO_*	28
2.2.6 The topological realization of $MGLO$ over \mathbb{R}	29
III. A motivic analogue of MSO	31

3.1	Computing the coefficients of MSLO	31
3.1.1	Calculating the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of MSLO	34
3.1.2	$H_*(H\mathbb{Z})$ comodule structure of MSLO	36
3.1.3	Calculating the \mathbb{Z}/p cohomology of MSLO for p an odd prime	36
3.1.4	Calculating the coefficients of MSLO_p for p an odd prime	37
3.1.5	$H\mathbb{Z}/2_*$ -algebra structure of $H_*(H\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$	40
3.1.6	The Sq^1 cohomology	42
3.1.7	A motivic version of Wall's Theorem	45
3.1.8	The homotopy type of MSLO	50
3.1.9	The dimension of the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ suspensions	50
IV.	A motivic analogue of MR	53
4.1	MGLR, an analogue of MR	53
4.1.1	The λ twist	54
4.2	Calculating the coefficients of $\theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\text{MGLR}$	58
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	61

ABSTRACT

Motivic Analogues of MO and MSO

by

Dondi Ellis

Chair: Igor Kriz

Abstract: This thesis makes progress in computing the coefficients of Algebraic Hermitian Cobordism ($MGLR$), a motivic C_2 -equivariant spectrum constructed by P. Hu, I. Kriz, and K. Ormsby. In the process of my research, I realized it would be possible to construct motivic analogues of unoriented and oriented cobordism, which I refer to as $MGLO$ and $MSLO$ respectively. In chapters 2-3 of my thesis, I construct $MGLO$ and $MSLO$ and give a concrete description of the homotopy groups of each of them. In particular, my work on $MGLO$ gives an answer to a question of Jack Morava. Using the tools of Tate cohomology and my computation of the coefficients of $MGLO$, the thesis ends with a computation of a localization of the homotopy groups of $MGLR$.

CHAPTER I

Introduction

My thesis is an extension of the work of P. Hu, I. Kriz, and K. Ormsby [HKO11]. In [HKO11], the authors construct a C_2 -equivariant E_∞ -ring spectrum \mathbf{MGLR} . This is the algebraic version of Landweber's topological real cobordism \mathbf{MR} [Lan67, Lan68]. Recall that \mathbf{MR} is a C_2 -equivariant analogue of complex cobordism \mathbf{MU} . By taking the geometric fixed points of \mathbf{MR} (i.e. $\Phi^{C_2}(\mathbf{MR})$) one obtains the unoriented cobordism ring \mathbf{MO} of Milnor and Thom. Motivically there is an étale geometric fixed points functor $\Phi_{et}^{C_2}$ satisfying $\Phi_{et}^{C_2}(\mathbf{MGLR}) = \mathbf{MGLO}$. The topological realization of \mathbf{MGLO} over $k = \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbf{MO} , and so \mathbf{MGLO} should be thought of as a motivic analogue of \mathbf{MO} . We will describe the cobordism spectrum \mathbf{MGLO} fully in this thesis for k any field of characteristic 0. The answer is very beautiful, and the proofs bear great similarity to the classical case. My construction and computation of the unoriented cobordism spectrum \mathbf{MGLO} answer a question of Jack Morava.

After having completed my work on \mathbf{MGLO} , it became clear to me that I could construct a motivic analogue of unoriented cobordism \mathbf{MSO} . I call this \mathbf{MSLO} , and its construction follows the construction of its topological counterpart \mathbf{MSO} . The key observation is that the determinant function is algebraic, and therefore the generalized orthogonal groups O_n used to construct \mathbf{MGLO} can be used to define special orthogonal groups SO_n . After restricting to a ground field k of characteristic 0 for

which -1 is a square in k , and completing at p an odd prime, MSLO splits as a wedge sum of suspensions of BPGL , the motivic Brown-Peterson spectrum. After restricting to a ground field k of characteristic 0 for which -1 is a square in k , and completing at the prime $p = 2$, MSLO splits as a wedge sum of suspensions of motivic $H\mathbb{Z}$ and $H\mathbb{Z}/2$.

In chapter 4, using computations relating to MGLO coupled with the tools of C_2 -equivariant homotopy theory, I give a computation of the motivic C_2 -equivariant spectrum MGLR (completed at 2) after inverting a twist λ of degree $1 - \sigma + \sigma\alpha - \alpha$ and a twist θ of degree $1 - \alpha$. Chapters 2 and 3 pertain to MGLO and MSLO respectively. The remainder of the current chapter will serve as a reference as well as a means to establishing notation for the material which will follow. We divide Chapter 1 into two parts. Part 1 will give the non-equivariant story and Part 2 will give the equivariant story.

1.1 Motivic homotopy theory

Informally, Motivic homotopy theory is an answer to the question “How does one do homotopy theory in the category of smooth schemes over some field k ?” Just as the category of smooth manifolds is too small to do classical homotopy theory, the category of smooth schemes over k is too small to do motivic homotopy theory. To fix this, we enlarge to the category $\Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Pre}((\text{Sm}/k)_{\text{Nis}})$ of simplicial Nisnevich presheaves. This allows us to do simplicial constructions as well as to impose a homotopy theoretic construction in which the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 plays the role of the unit interval. This theory was first constructed by Morel and Voevodsky in [MV99].

Our site is $(\text{Sm}/k)_{\text{Nis}}$. Here Sm/k denotes smooth separated schemes over the field k . We give Sm/k the Nisnevich topology; covers are étale covers such that over each point (possibly not closed) there is a point with the same residue field. The motivation behind using the Nisnevich topology, as opposed to say the Zariski topology, is that

the Nisnevich cohomology is often the same as in the Zariski topology, but it can be computed using Čech cohomology.

For any object X in a site \mathcal{C} , we have a representable presheaf $\mathcal{C}(\cdot, X)$. For each $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ this presheaf takes the value of the hom-set of morphisms in \mathcal{C} from Y to X .

Definition 1.1. We say that a site \mathcal{C} is subcanonical if each representable presheaf of sets on \mathcal{C} is a sheaf.

It turns out that the site of smooth schemes over k with the Nisnevich topology is subcanonical. Thus, we have an embedding $\text{Sm}/k \hookrightarrow \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}/k)$. To allow for simplicial constructions, we actually consider $\Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Pre}(\text{Sm}/k)$.

Definition 1.2. The category of k -spaces is

$$\text{Spc}(k) := \Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Pre}(\text{Sm}/k).$$

The site Sm/k has enough points, and so we are able to form stalks in $\text{Spc}(k)$. Noting that the stalks are simplicial sets, we put a model structure on $\text{Spc}(k)$ called the local model structure as follows:

Weak equivalences are maps of simplicial presheaves inducing equivalences of simplicial sets on all stalks

Cofibrations are the monomorphisms.

Fibrations Satisfy the right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations.

It is a theorem of Jardine [Jar87] that this produces a proper closed simplicial model structure on $\text{Spc}(k)$.

We define an object Z in $\Delta^{\text{op}}(\text{Pre}(\text{Sm}/k)_{\text{Nis}})$ to be \mathbb{A}^1 -local if for every projection map $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, the induced map $\text{Hom}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\pi, Z)} \text{Hom}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Z)$ is an isomorphism. We then say that a morphism $P \xrightarrow{f} Q$ is a local \mathbb{A}^1 weak equivalence

if the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}(Q, Z) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}(f, Z)} \mathrm{Hom}(P, Z)$ is an isomorphism for each \mathbb{A}^1 local Z . Using Bousfield localization, we form a new model category, called the \mathbb{A}^1 homotopy category, which we abbreviate as $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$.

Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{Spc}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{hom}(X, Y)$ be the simplicial set with n -simplices consisting of maps of simplicial presheaves $X \times \Delta^n \rightarrow Y$.

In the \mathbb{A}^1 homotopy category we can form pushouts and pullbacks, and so we are able to form wedge sums and smash products of pointed \mathbf{k} -spaces.

1.1.1 The bigraded family of spheres

One of the important features of motivic homotopy theory is that it admits a bigraded family of spheres. There are two circles in the homotopy category, S^1 and S^α . The circle S^1 can be formed as $\mathbb{A}^1/0 \sim 1$ or as $\Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$. As such, S^1 is best thought of as a topological circle. The circle S^α can be formed as $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbb{G}_m := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[z, z^{-1}])$. We refer to S^α as the geometric circle. We can form an $n + m\alpha$ dimensional sphere as the smash product of n type S^1 circles and m type S^α circles. It is well known that $S^1 \wedge S^\alpha \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$.

In our notation, 1 and α correspond to the more standard notation $1 = (1, 0)$ and $\alpha = (1, 1)$.

1.1.2 The stable motivic homotopy category

Definition 1.3. A motivic prespectrum X is a sequence of based \mathbf{k} -spaces X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots , along with structure maps $S^{1+\alpha} \wedge X_N \xrightarrow{\sigma} X_{N+1}$ satisfying the appropriate commutative diagrams. Each of the maps σ is adjoint to a map $X_N \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} \Omega^{1+\alpha} X_{N+1}$. If each of these maps is an equivalence, then we say that X is a spectrum. Any prespectrum can be promoted to a spectrum in a canonical way.

Definition 1.4. Let $U \in \mathrm{Sm}/\mathbf{k}$ and X be a motivic prespectrum. Then we define an

inductive sequence

$$[S^{m+n\alpha} \wedge U_+, X_0] \rightarrow [S^{m+n\alpha+(1+\alpha)} \wedge U_+, X_1] \rightarrow [S^{m+n\alpha+2(1+\alpha)} \wedge U_+, X_2] \rightarrow \dots$$

We define $\pi_{n+m\alpha}X(U)$ to be the colimit of the above sequence.

The following is a theorem of D. Dugger and D. Isaksen proved in [DI05].

Theorem 1.5. *Consider the family of bigraded functors $\pi_{n+m\alpha}(-) : Spt(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$ defined by $\pi_{n+m\alpha}X := \pi_{n+m\alpha}X(\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}))$. In the category of cellular \mathbf{k} spectra these functors detect equivalences. For the definition of cellular, see definition 2.4.*

Motivic spectra also produce (co)homology theories on smooth \mathbf{k} -schemes in a way familiar to topologists.

Definition 1.6. Given a \mathbf{k} -spectrum \mathbf{E} and $U \in \text{Sm}/\mathbf{k}$, we define the \mathbf{E} -cohomology of U by

$$\mathbf{E}^{n+m\alpha}(U) := [U_+, \Sigma^{n+m\alpha}\mathbf{E}].$$

We define the \mathbf{E} homology of U by

$$\mathbf{E}_{n+m\alpha}(U) := [S^{n+m\alpha}, \mathbf{E} \wedge U_+].$$

By abuse of notation, we will write $\mathbf{E}^{n+m\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{n+m\alpha}$ whenever we mean $\mathbf{E}^{n+m\alpha}(\text{Spec } \mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{E}_{n+m\alpha}(\text{Spec } \mathbf{k})$ respectively.

1.2 \mathbf{G} -equivariant motivic homotopy theory

Following [HKO11], let $(\text{Sm}/\mathbf{k})_{\text{Nis}_G}$ denote the site of G -equivariant smooth separated schemes over \mathbf{k} with the Nisnevich topology, for G a finite group. In our definition, the covers in the G -equivariant Nisnevich topology are G -equivariant étale maps f in which for each point x (in the scheme-theoretical sense) with isotropy

group $H \subseteq G$, there exists a point in $f^{-1}(x)$ with the same residue field and the same isotropy group. By the category of based G -equivariant \mathbf{k} -spaces we shall mean the category $\Delta^{\text{op}}\text{Pre}((\text{Sm}/\mathbf{k})_{\text{Nis}_G})$ of pointed simplicial presheaves on the site $(\text{Sm}/\mathbf{k})_{\text{Nis}_G}$.

1.2.1 The family of C_2 spheres

In C_2 -equivariant motivic homotopy theory we have four motivic circles. We have the two nonequivariant circles, S^1 and S^α , by giving them the trivial action. We also have two C_2 -equivariant circles S^σ and $S^{\sigma\alpha}$. The circle S^σ can be defined as $\Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$ with the action $z \mapsto -z$. The circle $S^{\sigma\alpha}$ will also be called $\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}$, defined as $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[z, z^{-1}])$ equipped with an action $z \mapsto z^{-1}$. We can form $p + q\alpha + r\sigma + s\sigma\alpha$ spheres by smashing p copies of S^1 with q copies of S^α with r copies of S^σ with s copies of $S^{\sigma\alpha}$. In particular, $S^\sigma \wedge S^{\sigma\alpha} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ with involution given by $z \mapsto -z^{-1}$. We denote this space by \mathbb{P}^1_- . We form the C_2 -equivariant stable category by stabilizing with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1_-$. We will sometimes denote $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1_-$ by \mathbb{T}_G .

As an aside, I would like to point out that the authors of [HKO11] use the greek letter γ instead of σ . The reason for this difference is an aesthetic one, although σ is also used in [HVØ16] in place of γ . However, in [HVØ16] the authors use a Voevodsky type grading. I prefer the grading convention of [HKO11].

1.2.2 Two kinds of classifying spaces

Recall that topologically, the classifying space BG for a group G is constructed by taking the quotient of a G -free contractible G -CW-complex EG by the group G . Topologically, all such constructions are equivalent. However, this is not the case motivically. Motivically, there are two different constructions of the classifying space BG ; there is the usual simplicial construction, and there is a geometric classifying space construction which can be found in [MV99, Tot99]. I will denote the usual simplicial model of the free contractible G space by EG , and I will denote the geometric

construction by $E_{et}G$. We will concern ourselves explicitly with the group $G = C_2$, and so I give an explicit model for $E_{et}C_2$.

Definition 1.7. Consider the spaces $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0$ pointed at 1 with a C_2 action given by $z \mapsto -z$. We have natural inclusions

$$\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus 0$$

for each n . Therefore, we can form a space $\mathbb{A}^\infty \setminus 0$, which we call $E_{et}C_2$, in the obvious way. We can also form a space $B_{et}C_2$ by forming the quotient $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0 / C_2$ for each n and then taking the direct limit of the spaces with respect to inclusion.

In [Voe03], V. Voevodsky computes the motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of $B_{et}C_2$.

Proposition 1.8. *The algebra structure of the motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of $B_{et}C_2$ is*

$$H^*(B_{et}C_2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^*[a, b] / (a^2 - \tau b).$$

Here τ is the Tate twist of degree $\alpha - 1$, a the cohomology class of degree α , and b the cohomology class of degree $1 + \alpha$.

1.3 G -equivariant stable motivic homotopy theory

Classically, the tools of stable G -equivariant stable homotopy theory are contained in a paper by Greenlees and May [GM95]. Those tools involve a cofibration sequence called the Tate diagram, a certain Adams isomorphism saying that fixed points and quotients behave well after smashing with a free contractible space EG , and a certain geometric fixed point functor Φ^G . The geometric fixed point functor Φ^G is supposed to be the naive notion of taking the fixed points of a spectrum \mathbf{E} which is implemented by taking fixed points at the prespectrum level. We can do the same construction motivically.

Definition 1.9. Let \mathbf{E} be a G equivariant motivic spectrum defined at the prespectrum level by a sequence of spaces X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots . Then we can define a nonequivariant motivic spectrum $\Phi_{et}^G(\mathbf{E})$. The prespectrum is formed by the sequence of spaces $Y_n := (X_n)^G$. The structure maps are then defined by taking G fixed points on the structure maps $\mathbb{T}_G \wedge X_n \xrightarrow{\sigma} X_{n+1}$. Since $(\mathbb{T}_G)^G = \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain structure maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge Y_n \xrightarrow{\sigma_{fixed}} Y_{n+1}$.

Another important tool of equivariant homotopy theory is the Tate diagram. Classically this is given by a cofibration sequence $EG_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{EG}$ where $EG := |B(G, G, *)|$. Smashing this with a G equivariant spectrum \mathbf{E} we can form an equivariant cofibration

$$EG_+ \wedge \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{EG} \wedge \mathbf{E}. \quad (1.1)$$

Taking G fixed points we obtain a diagram

$$EG_+ \wedge_G \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^G \rightarrow \Phi^G(\mathbf{E}).$$

Classically, an equivalent approach involves considering the reduced regular suspension V of the group G . Set $S(nV) := V^{\oplus n} \setminus 0$. We may then form cofibration sequences

$$S(nV)_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^{nV}.$$

Taking the colimit, we obtain an equivariant cofibration

$$S(\infty V)_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^{\infty V}.$$

Smashing the Tate diagram with a G -equivariant spectrum \mathbf{E} , we can form a G -

equivariant cofibration sequence

$$S(\infty V)_+ \wedge \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rightarrow S^{\infty V} \wedge \mathbf{E}. \quad (1.2)$$

Topologically, eq. (1.1) and eq. (1.2) are equivalent constructions. Unfortunately this is not the case motivically. In the motivic universe, $(S^{\infty V} \wedge \mathbf{E})^G = \Phi^G(\mathbf{E})$. Unfortunately, it is not true that $(\widetilde{EG} \wedge \mathbf{E})^G = (S^{\infty V} \wedge \mathbf{E})^G$ in general. This is easy to see in the case $G = C_2$. Since in this case $S^{\infty V} = S^{\infty\sigma + \infty\sigma\alpha}$, smashing it with \mathbf{E} kills both of the equivariant suspensions σ and $\sigma\alpha$, which we would expect to happen. However, $|B(C_2, C_2, *)|$ is a model for $S^{\infty\sigma}$, and so we only kill the σ suspensions but not the $\sigma\alpha$ suspensions whenever we smash with \mathbf{E} .

Motivically, the following two cofiber sequences of pointed C_2 \mathbf{k} -spaces are useful for computational purposes,

$$C_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^\sigma,$$

$$(\mathbb{A}(n\sigma) \setminus 0)_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^{n\sigma + n\sigma\alpha}.$$

Here $\mathbb{A}(n\sigma)$ denotes the affine n -space \mathbb{A}^n with C_2 action $z \mapsto -z$. These two cofiber sequences induces the cofiber sequences

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{EC}_2$$

and

$$E_{et}C_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{E_{et}C}_2$$

respectively. $\widetilde{E_{et}C}_2$ is a model for $S^{\infty\sigma + \infty\sigma\alpha}$, and so it follows that

$$(X \wedge \widetilde{E_{et}C}_2)^{C_2} \cong \Phi_{et}^{C_2}(X).$$

Equivariant stable motivic homotopy theory was first introduced in [HKO11] as a tool for solving Thomason’s homotopy limit problem for algebraic Hermitian \mathbf{K} -theory. The authors of that paper constructed the C_2 -equivariant motivic spectrum \mathbf{MGLR} , called algebraic Hermitian cobordism. In the process, they also proposed the spectrum $\Phi^{C_2}(\mathbf{MGLR})$ as a motivic analogue of the (topological) unoriented cobordism spectrum \mathbf{MO} . In the present thesis, I modify this definition by putting $\mathbf{MGLO} = \Phi_{et}^{C_2}(\mathbf{MGLR})$. One of my main results is calculating the coefficients of \mathbf{MGLO} . In particular, I prove:

Theorem 1.10. *\mathbf{MGLO} is a wedge of suspensions of $H\mathbb{Z}/2_{Mot}$. In particular, the coefficients of \mathbf{MGLO} are direct sums of Bloch Chow groups of the ground field with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/2$.*

A more precise statement is given in theorem 2.17 below. In chapter III, I also extend these results to a motivic analogue of oriented cobordism, and in chapter IV, I calculate the coefficients of a certain localization of \mathbf{MGLR} .

CHAPTER II

A motivic analogue of MO

In section 1 of this chapter, we give a detailed account of how to construct the motivic spectrum \mathbf{MGLO} . In section 2, we give a full computation of the coefficients of this spectrum up to knowledge of the coefficients of motivic $H\mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular, our computation gives an explicit description of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebra structure of the coefficients of \mathbf{MGLO} over the fields \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} . Moreover, the topological realization of \mathbf{MGLO} over the field \mathbb{C} is \mathbf{MO} .

2.1 The construction of \mathbf{MGLO}

The idea behind our definition of \mathbf{MGLO} is that, just as the geometric fixed points of \mathbf{MO} is \mathbf{MR} , the geometric fixed points of \mathbf{MGLR} should be \mathbf{MGLO} . The definition presented here is different than the definition given in [HKO11]. Using simplicial EC_2 , the authors of [HKO11] define,

$$\mathbf{MGLO} := (\widetilde{EC}_2 \wedge \mathbf{MGLR})^{C_2}. \quad (2.1)$$

However, this definition does not satisfy a crucial property. Topologically, given a G -equivariant spectrum \mathbf{E} , the functor $\Phi^G(-) := (- \wedge \widetilde{EG})^G$ applied to \mathbf{E} produces a nonequivariant spectrum $\Phi^G(\mathbf{E})$ which is equivalent to forgetting \mathbf{E} to the prespec-

trum level and then simultaneously taking G -fixed points of the spaces making up the prespectrum of \mathbf{E} and the connecting maps to form a nonequivariant prespectrum. One can then promote this to a nonequivariant spectrum in the usual way. Similarly, in our definition, \mathbf{MGLO} is defined by forgetting \mathbf{MGLR} to the level of prespectra and then taking C_2 -fixed points of the spaces and connecting maps to form a nonequivariant prespectrum. Promoting this to a spectrum defines \mathbf{MGLO} .

This alternative definition of \mathbf{MGLO} turns out to be different than eq. (2.1). The reason being that simplicial \widetilde{EC}_2 is a model for $S^{\infty\sigma}$. This only takes into account the σ -grading. However, we need to also take into account the $\sigma\alpha$ grading. In other words, our \widetilde{EC}_2 should really be a model of $S^{\infty\sigma+\infty\sigma\alpha}$. It turns out that the 1-point compactification of geometric EC_2 serves as a model, and we have that,

$$\mathbf{MGLO} \simeq (\mathbf{MGLR} \wedge S^{\infty\sigma+\infty\sigma\alpha})^{C_2}.$$

2.1.1 Quadratic forms

Following [HKO11, Section 6.1], we consider the hyperbolic quadratic form on \mathbf{k}^{2n} :

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = x_1x_2 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}.$$

The associated symmetric bilinear form is

$$b((x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), (y_1, \dots, y_{2n})) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i}y_{2i-1} + x_{2i-1}y_{2i}.$$

The b -adjoint of a matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=0}^{2n}$ is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix A^{T_b} such that

$$b(Ax, y) = b(x, A^{T_b}y). \tag{2.2}$$

Explicitly, putting $A^{T_b} = (b_{i,j=1}^{2n})$, one has

$$b_{2i,2j} = a_{2j-1,2i-1}$$

$$b_{2i-1,2j-1} = a_{2j,2i}$$

$$b_{2i,2j-1} = a_{2j,2i-1}$$

$$b_{2i-1,2j} = a_{2j-1,2i}$$

Notice that there is a C_2 action on the quadric

$$\mathcal{Q}_n := \mathbb{V}(x, y \mid b(x, y) = 1)$$

where $\mathbb{V}(x_i \mid E)$ (sometimes abbreviated to $\mathbb{V}(E)$) denotes the locus of the equations E in the variables x_i , given by

$$x \leftrightarrow y.$$

Taking C_2 fixed points of the quadric under this action, we have:

$$(\mathcal{Q}_n)_{C_2} = \mathbb{V}(x, y \mid b(x, y) = 1, x = y) = \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i}y_{2i-1} + x_{2i-1}y_{2i} - 1, x = y\right) \quad (2.3)$$

The projection from eq. (2.3) onto the x coordinate scaled by a factor of 2 gives an equivalence to $Q_{2n-1} := \mathbb{V}(x \in \mathbb{k}^{2n} \mid x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} - 1)$. But the projection from eq. (2.3) onto the x -axis gives the same thing as projecting \mathcal{Q}_n onto the x -axis. So long as $x \neq 0$ there exists a y such that $b(x, y) = 1$. But this means that the image of the projection map is $\mathbb{A}^{2n} \setminus 0$. It is a standard result that $\mathbb{A}^{2n} \setminus 0$ has the homotopy type of $S^{2n-1, n} = S^{n-1+n\alpha}$. Now returning to eq. (2.2) we will define

the even dimensional orthogonal groups by

$$O_{2n} := \{A \in GL_{2n}(k) \mid AA^{T_b} = I\}.$$

The group O_{2n} acts on the quadric Q_{2n-1} in a natural way. We can write Q_{2n-1} as

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{b(x, x)}{2} - 1\right).$$

The action on Q_{2n-1} is given element-wise by $A \cdot x = Ax$. Notice that

$$b(Ax, Ax) = b(x, A^{T_b}Ax) = b(x, x).$$

Therefore we have defined an O_{2n} action on Q_{2n-1} . We define O_{2n-1} to be

$$O_{2n-1} := \{A \in O_{2n} \mid A\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle = \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle\}.$$

For brevity we will write x^0 in place of $\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$. It is not out of place to ask if our definition for O_{2n-1} is a good one. If we would have defined O_{2n-1} to be matrices $A \in O_{2n}$ such that $Ae_1 = e_1$ then it is clear that $a_{1j} = a_{j1} = \delta_{ij}$ if one writes down what is going on. This gives the only restrictions on O_{2n-1} other than those induced from the ambient group O_{2n} , and so O_{2n-1} would have a natural inclusion into O_{2n} . For the vector $\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ things are not so clear, but we do know that there is a transition matrix (though not necessarily unique) from the point $\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ to e_1 . Therefore, the subgroup of matrices fixing the point $\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of matrices fixing e_1 . So it makes sense to identify O_{2n-1} as we have above.

Lemma 2.1. *O_{2n} acts transitively on Q_{2n-1} and the fixed point subgroup of $\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ is O_{2n-1} .*

Proof. For the transitivity claim we need to show that for $x, y \in Q_{2n-1}$ there is

some $A \in O_{2n}$ such that $Ax = y$. Note that it is enough to show that for any $x \in Q_{2n-1}$ there exists a matrix $A \in O_{2n}$ such that $Ax = x^0$. For if $Ax = x^0$ and $By = x^0$, we have that $B^{-1}Ax = y$. Consider the orthonormal basis \mathcal{B}_1 given by $\{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\langle 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, e_3, \dots, e_{2n}\}$, and an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B}_2 given by $\{\frac{x}{\|x\|}, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}\}$. Then there exists a change of basis matrix P from \mathcal{B}_2 to \mathcal{B}_1 which, in particular, sends $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$ to $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^0$. This then implies that $Px = \lambda x^0$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$. But if $x \in Q_{2n-1}$, then $\frac{b(x,x)}{2} = \frac{b(Px,Px)}{2} = \frac{b(\lambda x^0, \lambda x^0)}{2} = \lambda^2 \frac{b(x^0, x^0)}{2} = \lambda^2 = 1$. Therefore $\lambda = \pm 1$. Suppose that $\lambda = -1$. Then $Px = -x^0 \Rightarrow (-P)x = x^0$. But $-P \in O_{2n}$. This proves the transitivity claim. The claim about O_{2n-1} is true by definition. \square

We define,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2n-2} &:= \mathbb{V}(x \in \mathbb{k}^{2n} \mid b(x, x^0), b(x, x) + 1) \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{k}^{2n} \mid x_1x_2 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + 1 = x_1 + x_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We would like to make analogous statements to lemma 2.1 for O_{2n-1} and Q_{2n-2} . First, however, I will show that Q_{2n-2} is homotopy equivalent to a familiar space.

Lemma 2.2. *Q_{2n-2} is homotopy equivalent to $S^{2n-1, n-1} = S^{n-1+(n-1)\alpha}$.*

Proof. We have that

$$Q_{2n-2} = \mathbb{V}(x \in \mathbb{k}^{2n} \mid x_1x_2 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + 1, x_1 + x_2). \tag{2.5}$$

We note that this space is homotopy equivalent to

$$\mathbb{V}((y, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{k}^{2n-1} \mid -y^2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + 1). \tag{2.6}$$

But this is easily seen to equivalent to

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[y, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}] / ((1-y)(1+y) + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n})).$$

Now, by [ADF14, Theorem 2], we notice that

$$S^{n-1+(n-1)\alpha} \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[z, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}]/(a_3a_4 + \dots + a_{2n-1}a_{2n} - z(1+z))).$$

Using the change of variables $z \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}(1+y)$, $a_i \mapsto \frac{1}{2}x_i$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[z, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}]/(a_3a_4 + \dots + a_{2n-1}a_{2n} - z(1+z))) \\ & \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}]/(\frac{1}{4}(x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + (1-y)(1+y)))) \\ & \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}]/(x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + (1-y)(1+y))). \end{aligned}$$

□

The O_{2n} action on Q_{2n-1} induces an O_{2n-1} action on Q_{2n-2} . Recall that O_{2n-1} acts point-wise on the quadric Q_{2n-2} by $A \cdot x \mapsto Ax$. Notice that Q_{2n-2} is induced from the form $b_{2n}(x, y)$. $x \in Q_{2n-2}$ implies that $\frac{b_{2n}(x, x)}{2} = -1$. Since

$$b(Ax, Ax) = b(x, A^{T_b}Ax) = b(x, x),$$

it only remains to show that if $x_1 = -x_2$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n})$ is the image of x , then $y_1 = -y_2$. But notice that for $x \in Q_{2n-2}$ we have that $b(x, \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle) = 0$. Let $A \in O_{2n-1}$ and let $y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n} \rangle$ be the image of x . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 + y_2 &= b(y, \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle) = b(Ax, \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle) = b(x, A^{T_b}\langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle) \\ &= b(x, \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle) = x_1 + x_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that O_{2n-1} acts on the quadric Q_{2n-2} .

Lemma 2.3. *O_{2n-1} acts transitively on Q_{2n-2} and the fixed point subgroup of $y^0 = \langle 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ can be naturally identified with O_{2n-2} .*

Proof. We prove the transitivity claim in a similar manner to lemma 2.1. It will

be enough to show that for any $x \in Q_{2n-2}$ there is a matrix $A \in O_{2n-1}$ such that $Ax = y^0$.

Notice that technically our O_{2n-1} lives inside of O_{2n} . We choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|}, \frac{y^0}{\|y^0\|}, e_3, \dots, e_{2n}\}$, and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{\frac{x^0}{\|x^0\|}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}, v_3, \dots, v_{2n}\}$. Then there exists a change of basis matrix P from \mathcal{B}_2 to \mathcal{B}_1 which sends x^0 to x^0 and x to $\frac{y^0}{\|y^0\|}$. This implies that for $x \in Q_{2n-2}$ we have that $Px = \lambda y_0$. We have that

$$-1 = \frac{b(x, x)}{2} = \frac{b(Px, Px)}{2} = \frac{b(\lambda y^0, \lambda y^0)}{2} = \frac{\lambda^2 b(y^0, y^0)}{2} = -\lambda^2 \Rightarrow \lambda = \pm 1.$$

If $\lambda = 1$ then we are done. If $\lambda = -1$ then we have that $(-P)x = y^0$. This proves the transitivity claim.

The subgroup of O_{2n-1} which fixes the point $y^0 = \langle 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle \in \mathbb{k}^{2n}$ is,

$$\{A \in O_{2n} \mid Ax^0 = x^0 \text{ and } Ay^0 = y^0\} = \{A \in O_{2n-1} \mid Ae_1 = e_1 \text{ and } Ae_2 = e_2\}.$$

But this is just matrices $A \in O_{2n}$ of the form:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{3,3} & \dots & x_{3,2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & x_{2n,3} & \dots & x_{2n,2n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows that O_{2n-2} can be naturally identified with the subgroup of O_{2n-1} which fixes the point y^0 . □

2.1.2 Cellularity

The following definition is due to [DI05, Definition 2.1].

Let \mathcal{M} be a pointed model category, and let \mathcal{A} be a set of objects in \mathcal{M} .

Definition 2.4. The class of \mathcal{A} -cellular objects is the smallest class of objects of \mathcal{M} such that

1. every object of \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A} -cellular;
2. if X is weakly equivalent to an \mathcal{A} -cellular object, then X is cellular;
3. if $\mathcal{D} : I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a diagram such that \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{A} -cellular, then so is $\text{hocolim } \mathcal{D}$.

Choosing \mathcal{M} to be the stable motivic homotopy category, and choosing \mathcal{A} to be the motivic sphere spectrum, we obtain the cellular stable motivic homotopy category.

Adapting the proof of [DI05, Proposition 4.1], I will prove the following.

Proposition 2.5. *The variety O_n is stably cellular for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We first suppose that $n = 2k$. Let $x = \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$. Now consider the fiber bundle $O_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by

$$O_n \xrightarrow{m_x} \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n / \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

Here m_x denotes the map $A \mapsto Ax$. Notice that m_x induces a transitive action of O_n on the motivic sphere Q_{n-1} . The fiber over the point $[1, 0, 0, \dots, 0]$ consists of all $A \in O_n$ such that $a_{11} \neq 1$, and $a_{j1} = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. Recall that

$$O_{n-1} \cong \{A \in O_n \mid A\langle 1, 0, 0, \dots \rangle = \langle 1, 0, 0, \dots \rangle\}.$$

But this is just $\{A \in m_x^{-1}([1, 0, 0, \dots]) \mid a_{11} = 1\}$. Since $\det(AA^T) = \det(A) \det(A^T) = \det(A)^2 = 1$, it follows that $a_{11} = \pm 1$, and so $m_x^{-1}([1, 0, 0, \dots]) = O_{n-1} \times \{\pm 1\}$. As a scheme, but not as a group, this is isomorphic to

$$\{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \times O_{n-1},$$

which is stably cellular by induction and [DI05, Lemma 3.4]. The usual cover of \mathbb{P}^n by affines is a completely trivializing cover for the bundle, so [DI05, Lemma 3.8] applies. \square

2.1.3 Two-sided bar construction

Recall that we have the following equivalences,

$$Q_n \simeq \begin{cases} S^{k+k\alpha} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ S^{k-1+k\alpha} & \text{if } n = 2k - 1. \end{cases}$$

The groups O_n act on the quadrics Q_{n-1} , allowing us to form the two-sided bar construction, which we now discuss.

Let G be a finite group and X and Y motivic spaces. If $X \times G \rightarrow X$ is a right G action and $G \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is a left G action, then we form the two sided bar construction $B(X, G, Y)$ as the left derived functor of the coequalizer of $X \times G \times Y \rightrightarrows X \times Y$. We denote the geometric realization of $B(X, G, Y)$ by $|B(X, G, Y)|$.

Definition 2.6. In the special case $X = Y = *$, we define $BG := |B(*, G, *)|$.

Lemma 2.7. $|B(O_n, O_{n-1}, *)| \simeq Q_{n-1}$.

Proof. It is well known for $H \hookrightarrow G$ an inclusion of groups that the left coset G/H is isomorphic to $|B(G, H, *)|$. Taking $G = O_n$ and $H = O_{n-1}$, this gives

$$O_n/O_{n-1} \cong |B(O_n, O_{n-1}, *)|.$$

Notice that by the above discussion, O_n acts on Q_{n-1} , and the stabilizer of a point is O_{n-1} . This induces an isomorphism between O_n/O_{n-1} and Q_{n-1} , proving that

$$Q_{n-1} \cong |B(O_n, O_{n-1}, *)|.$$

□

Lemma 2.8. $|B(G, G, *)| \simeq *$. In particular, we have $|B(O_n, O_n, *)| \simeq *$.

Proof. $* \cong G/G \cong |B(G, G, *)|$.

□

Proposition 2.9. $|B(*, O_n, Q_{n-1})| \simeq BO_{n-1}$.

Proof. We have that $|B(*, O_n, Q_{n-1})| \simeq |B(*, O_n, |B(O_n, O_{n-1}, *)|)| \simeq$

$$|B(|B(*, O_n, O_n)|, O_{n-1}, *)| \simeq |B(*, O_{n-1}, *)|.$$

□

2.1.4 The prespectrum for MGLO

The identifications from Theorem proposition 2.9 imply that we have a map

$$BO_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi} BO_n \tag{2.7}$$

which is built from gluing together face maps which are projections,

$$\underbrace{O_n \times O_n \times \dots \times O_n}_{m \text{ times}} \times Q_{n-1} \rightarrow \underbrace{O_n \times O_n \times \dots \times O_n}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

Therefore, we can think of eq. (2.7) as a sphere bundle. This allows us to define Thom space like objects as the homotopy cofiber of π . That is, the Thom space of BO_n , denoted $Thom(BO_n)$, is defined by the homotopy pushout;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BO_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & BO_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & Thom(BO_n) \end{array}$$

The spaces $Thom(BO_{2n})$ will form the spaces for the prespectrum of MGLO. Now we discuss how to define the connecting maps.

Definition 2.10. Notice that the natural inclusions $O_{n-1} \times O_{m-1} \subset O_n \times O_m$ induce maps $B(O_{n-1} \times O_{m-1}) \rightarrow B(O_n \times O_m)$. We define

$$Thom(B(O_n \times O_m)) := B(O_n \times O_m)/B(O_{n-1} \times O_{m-1}).$$

It is clear that $Thom(B(O_{2r} \times O_{2s})) \simeq Thom(BO_{2r}) \wedge Thom(BO_{2s})$.

The even dimensional thom spaces $Thom(BO_{2n})$ form the terms of the prespectrum. Since $\mathbb{G}_m \simeq SO_2 \subset O_2$ by proposition 3.1, we get the canonical map

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq \Sigma \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow BO_2.$$

We can then define the structure maps by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge Thom(BO_{2n}) &\rightarrow Thom(BO_2) \wedge Thom(BO_{2n}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Thom(B(O_2 \times O_{2n})) \\ &\rightarrow Thom(BO_{2n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have defined a prespectrum and so we can promote it to a spectrum in the usual way. This defines the spectrum MGLO.

Notice that since the orthogonal groups are stably cellular by proposition 2.5, it follows that the classifying spaces BO_n is also stably cellular. Since each of the thom spaces $Thom(BO_n)$ are constructed as the homotopy cofiber of the inclusion $BO_{n-1} \rightarrow BO_n$, it follows that the spaces $Thom(BO_n)$ are also cellular. Since these are the spaces defining the prespectrum of MGLO, it follows that MGLO is cellular.

2.2 Computing the coefficients of MGLO

Combining proposition 2.9 with a Mayer-Vietoris argument as in [MS16] gives us the following Thom isomorphisms in motivic $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ (co)homology.

$$H^*(BO_{n+}) \cong H^{*+\omega_n}(Thom(BO_n))$$

$$H_*(BO_{n+}) \cong H_{*+\omega_n}(Thom(BO_n))$$

Here $\omega_{2k} := k + k\alpha$ and $\omega_{2k+1} := k + 1 + k\alpha$.

For each space BO_n , we get a unique Thom class $Thom(BO_n) \xrightarrow{w_n} \Sigma^{\omega_n} H\mathbb{Z}/2$. Composing w_n with the homotopy cofiber of the map $BO_{n-1+} \rightarrow BO_{n+}$, we get a class $w_n \in H^{\omega_n}(BO_{n+})$. The following theorem has essentially been proved by A. Smirnov and A. Vishik in [SV14] using different language from the present paper. The biggest difference between [SV14] and the theorem presented here is that [SV14] only applies to fields of characteristic 0 for which $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbf{k}$, whereas the present theorem holds for any field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0.

Theorem 2.11. *There are a unique set of classes w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n belonging to motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology for which,*

$$H^*(BO_{n+}) \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n].$$

Here $\deg(w_{2i}) = i + i\alpha$ and $\deg(w_{2i+1}) = i + 1 + i\alpha$.

Proof. Notice that the cofibration $BO_{n-1+} \rightarrow BO_{n+} \rightarrow Thom(BO_n)$ induces a long exact sequence in cohomology given by

$$\dots \rightarrow H^*(Thom(BO_n)) \rightarrow H^*(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^*(BO_{n-1+}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(Thom(BO_n)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Using the Thom isomorphism $H^*(BO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{*+\omega_n}(Thom(BO_n))$ we get the long

exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^*(BO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{f_n^*} H^{*+\omega_n}(BO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{g_n^*} H^{*+\omega_n}(BO_{n-1+}) \xrightarrow{h_n^*} H^{*+1}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Notice that f_n^* is multiplication by some nonzero class w_n . By induction, $H^*(BO_{n-1+}) = H^*[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]$. Since BO_n is cellular, we have that $H^{p+q\alpha}(BO_{n+}) = 0$ for $q < 0$. It is also clear that the map f_n^* is injective on $\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong H^0(BO_{n+})$. We can start with the case $n = 0$ by identifying BO_0 with $|B(*, O_1, Q_0)|$ which is contractible. Therefore, we have that $h_n^*(w_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. It follows that each of the w_i can be uniquely lifted to $H^*(BO_{n+})$. Moreover, since $h_n^*(w_i) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, it follows that $h_n^* = 0$. Thus, the long exact sequence splits and we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^*(BO_n) \xrightarrow{f_n^*} H^{*+\omega_n}(BO_n) \xrightarrow{g_n^*} H^{*+\omega_n}(BO_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The key point is that f_n^* is multiplication by the cohomology class $w_n \in H^{\omega_n}(BO_n)$. In other words $f_n^* = \smile w_n$.

From this the claim follows. We have

$$H^*(BO_n) \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}] \oplus H^*[w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}] \smile w_n \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n].$$

□

If we define $BO := \text{colim}_{j>0}(BO_j \subset BO_{j+1})$, then $H^*(BO) = \varprojlim H^*(BO_{n+})$.

A quick word is in order. We have a Thom isomorphism in (co)homology. I have computed the cohomology of BO_n , but there is a motivic universal coefficient theorem, and so the (co)homology are essentially the same and there is a duality between the (co)homology classes. Motivically, this is not always the case. However, $\text{MGLO} \wedge H\mathbb{Z}/2$ is a wedge sum of suspensions of $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ of dimensions $p + q\alpha$ with

$p \geq q$ and so we can show that the (co)homology classes are dual to one another [Hoy15]. This gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 2.12. *There are a unique set of classes w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n belonging to motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology for which,*

$$H_*(BO_{n+}) \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n].$$

Here $\deg(w_{2i}) = i + i\alpha$ and $\deg(w_{2i+1}) = i + 1 + i\alpha$.

Since $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ is an E_∞ ring spectrum, we have a universal coefficients theorem. Therefore, the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology classes in \mathbf{MGLO} give dual homology classes in the coefficients of $\mathbf{MGLO} \wedge H\mathbb{Z}/2$, and so we have that $H_*(\mathbf{MGLO})$ is a free polynomial ring over generators u_k with $\deg(u_{2i}) = i + i\alpha$ and $\deg(u_{2i+1}) = i + 1 + i\alpha$.

We now take a slight detour to discuss the Motivic Steenrod algebra.

2.2.1 Dual Motivic Steenrod Algebra

We can define the Dual Motivic Steenrod Algebra \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee to be $H\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge H\mathbb{Z}/2$. As an H_* algebra, the coefficients of \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee are given by

$$H_*[\tau_i, \xi_{i+1}]_{i \geq 0} / (\tau_i^2 - \tau \xi_{i+1} - \rho \tau_{i+1} - \rho \tau_0 \xi_{i+1}) \quad (2.8)$$

where $|\xi_{i+1}| = (2^{i+1} - 1)(1 + \alpha)$ and $|\tau_i| = (2^i - 1)(1 + \alpha) + 1$. Let $\xi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) := \xi^{r_1} \xi^{r_2} \dots \xi^{r_n}$ for $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and $\tau(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_m) := \tau_{i_0}^{\epsilon_0} \tau_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots \tau_{i_m}^{\epsilon_m}$ for $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_m$ and $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$. It is clear from eq. (2.8) that a basis for \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee is given by products of the form $\xi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \tau(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_m)$.

By comparing the H_* module basis for the coefficients of $\mathbf{MGLO} \wedge H$ and \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee , we see that $\mathbf{MGLO} \wedge H$ is a wedge sum of suspensions of \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee . Consider the submodule \mathcal{M} of $H_*(\mathbf{MGLO})$ obtained by deleting all generators of degree (ξ_{i+1}) and squaring all

generators of degree(τ_i). Let \mathfrak{M} be an H_* module basis for this submodule. Then,

$$\text{MGLO} \wedge H\mathbb{Z}/2 \simeq \bigvee_{m_i \in \mathfrak{M}} \Sigma^{|m_i|} \mathcal{A}_{Mot}^\vee.$$

2.2.2 $2 = \eta = 0$ in MGLO_*

Consider the stable cofibration induced by multiplication by 2,

$$S^0 \xrightarrow{2} S^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(2).$$

The cofiber $\mathbf{M}(2)$ is called the mod 2 Moore spectrum, and $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge \mathbf{M}(2) \simeq H\mathbb{Z}/2$. Recall that classically $2 = 0$ in the coefficients of MO . The analogous statement will be shown to be true for MGLO .

Consider the Hopf map given by the projection $h : \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Recall that $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0 \simeq S^{1+2\alpha}$ and that $\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq S^{1+\alpha}$. It follows that h induces a stable map $\eta : \Sigma^\alpha S^0 \rightarrow S^0$. We denote the cokernel of this map by S^0/η . For a general spectrum \mathbf{E} , we denote the cokernel of the map $\eta \wedge \mathbf{E}$ by \mathbf{E}/η .

Let \mathbf{E} denote a cellular spectrum. We will say that \mathbf{E} is k -connected if $\pi_{n+*\alpha}(\mathbf{E}) = 0$ for $n < k$. Analogously to the topological case, one can easily show that $\pi_{n+*\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \pi_{n+1+*\alpha}(BC_2)$. Notice that $\pi_0(\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proposition 2.13. *$2 = 0$ in the coefficients of MGLO .*

The unit map $S^0 \rightarrow \text{MGLO}$ can be decomposed as

$$S^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}BC_2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\text{Thom}(BC_2) \rightarrow \text{MGLO}.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_1(B\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \pi_0(\Sigma^{-1}B\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Since the unit map of MGLO factors through the map representing the generator of $\pi_0(\Sigma^{-1}BC_2)$, it follows that $2 = 0$.

Proposition 2.14. *$\eta = 0$ in the coefficients of MGLO .*

Proof. It is well known that $\eta = 0$ in the coefficients of MGL. Therefore, it will be enough to produce a map from MGL to MGLO. We accomplish this by producing a surjective map $GL_n \rightarrow O_{2n}$. This map is given by $A \mapsto A \oplus (A^{T_b})^{-1}$. This in turn induces a map $MGL \rightarrow MGLO$ as desired.

□

2.2.3 Comodule structure of MGLO

If E is a motivic spectrum, and H denotes the mod 2 Moore spectrum, then $H_*(E)$ has the structure of a left comodule over \mathcal{A}_* . In particular, we can apply this to $E = MGLO$, giving us a coproduct:

$$H_*(MGLO) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{A}_* \otimes_{H_*} H_*(MGLO).$$

If we were to follow the classical argument, we would want to show that there exists a projection map $H_*(MGLO) \xrightarrow{\pi} C$, for some H_* -module C , such that

$$H_*(MGLO) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{A}_* \otimes_{H_*} H_*(MGLO) \xrightarrow{1 \times \pi} \mathcal{A}_* \otimes_{H_*} C$$

is an isomorphism of left \mathcal{A}_* -comodule algebras. Unfortunately this cannot be true. Notice that there is only one element of degree 1 in $H_*(MGLO)$. Call this element u_1 . Since $H_*(MGLO)$ is an H_* -polynomial algebra on infinitely many generators, one of which is u_1 , it follows that u_1^2 must represent the single H_* -module basis element of $H_*(MGLO)$ in degree 2. However, as an H_* -module, \mathcal{A}_* has no basis element of degree 2. It follows that $(1 \times \pi) \circ \Delta(u_1) = \tau_0$ and $(1 \times \pi) \circ \Delta(u_1^2) \in C$. However, this contradicts the formula $(1 \times \pi) \circ \Delta(u_1^2) = ((1 \times \pi) \circ \Delta(u_1))((1 \times \pi) \circ \Delta(u_1))$.

One notices that while $H_*(MGLO)$ is not equal to $\mathcal{A}_* \otimes_{H_*} C$ as an H_* -algebra, it is as an H_* -module. This is accomplished by simply comparing the H_* -module basis of $H_*(MGLO)$ to the H_* -module basis of \mathcal{A}_* , and then observing that as an

H_\star -module, $H_\star(\text{MGLO})$ is a direct sum of \mathcal{A}_\star . Recalling that $\mathcal{A} \simeq H \wedge H$, it follows that $H \wedge \text{MGLO} \simeq H \wedge (\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \Sigma^{a_i} H)$. One then only has to construct a map between MGLO and $\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \Sigma^{a_i} H$ which is an isomorphism on $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology. The point is that for each $i \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a cohomology basis element $a_i \in H^{a_i}(\text{MGLO})$ representing the map $\text{MGLO} \rightarrow \Sigma^{a_i} H$. Piecing these cohomology classes together, this gives a map $\text{MGLO} \xrightarrow{f} \bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \Sigma^{a_i} H$. Since (co)homology classes of MGLO are dual to one another, it follows that the map f induces an equivalence on homology.

2.2.4 Applying the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem

We will use a modified version of the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem of [Bac15].

We recall what it means to be $(n-1)$ -connected in the motivic sense.

Definition 2.15. We say that a motivic spectrum \mathbf{E} is $(n-1)$ -connected if $\pi_{k+\star\alpha}(\mathbf{E}) = 0$ whenever $0 < k < n$. We also require that $\pi_{k+m\alpha}(\mathbf{E}) = 0$ for all but at most finitely many $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.16. *Let \mathbf{k} have characteristic 0, and suppose that \mathbf{E} is an $(n-1)$ -connected cellular stable motivic spectrum for which 2 and η are 0. Then*

$$H_{n+\star\alpha}(\mathbf{E}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \pi_{n+\star\alpha}(\mathbf{E}).$$

Consider the basis elements $v_i \in \mathfrak{M} \subset H_\star(\text{MGLO})$. Then each of the v_i is dual to a cohomology class $c_i \in H^\star(\text{MGLO})$, and so there exists a map

$$\text{MGLO} \xrightarrow{f} \bigvee_{m_i \in \mathfrak{M}} \Sigma^{|m_i|} H\mathbb{Z}/2$$

which induces an equivalence on homology. Taking the cofiber of the map f we obtain a cofibration

$$\text{MGLO} \xrightarrow{f} \bigvee_{m_i \in \mathfrak{M}} \Sigma^{|m_i|} H\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$$

The idea is that we know that F is cellular, and the coefficients of $F \wedge H\mathbb{Z}/2$ are 0 by construction. Since 2 and η are 0 in $\bigvee_{m_i \in \mathfrak{M}} \Sigma^{|m_i|} H\mathbb{Z}/2$, it follows that 2^2 and η^2 are 0 in F and so the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem combined with the Nakayama lemma implies that $F = 0$ and so f is an equivalence.

2.2.5 MGLO_* and a comparison with MO_*

Combining everything, we have that

Theorem 2.17. *As an H_* algebra,*

$$\text{MGLO}_* \cong H_*[u_{n+n\alpha}, u_{n+1+n\alpha}, u_{(2^i-1)(1+\alpha)+2} \mid n, i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, n \neq 2^i - 1].$$

Let $t^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complex topological realization functor. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) &= S^1, \\ t^{\mathbb{C}}(S^\alpha) &= S^1, \\ t^{\mathbb{C}}(H\mathbb{Z}/2_{\text{Mot}}) &= H\mathbb{Z}/2. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $t^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{MGLO}) = \text{MO}$. Over $k = \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MGLO}_* &= H\mathbb{Z}/2_{\text{Mot}*}[x_2, x_{2+2\alpha}, x_{3+2\alpha}, u_{4+2\alpha}, u_{4+4\alpha}, u_{5+4\alpha}, x_{5+5\alpha}, \dots] \\ &= \mathbb{Z}/2[\theta][u_2, u_{2+2\alpha}, x_{3+2\alpha}, u_{4+2\alpha}, u_{4+4\alpha}, u_{5+4\alpha}, u_{5+5\alpha}, \dots] \\ &= \mathbb{Z}/2[\theta, u_2, u_{2+2\alpha}, u_{3+2\alpha}, u_{4+2\alpha}, u_{4+4\alpha}, u_{5+4\alpha}, u_{5+5\alpha}, \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\text{MO}_* = \mathbb{Z}/2[a_2, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, \dots].$$

So the generators of MO_* correspond to generators in MGLO_* twisted by powers of θ .

2.2.6 The topological realization of MGLO over \mathbb{R}

The following results about topological realization functors can be found in [HK11, HVØ16]. The application discussed herein is an observation of the current author. There is a topological realization functor from the motivic stable homotopy category to the C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy category, and from the C_2 -equivariant motivic stable homotopy category to the $C_2 \times C_2$ -equivariant stable homotopy category. Let us start off with the realization functor $t_{C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$ which lands in SH_{C_2} . At the level of schemes, the functor $t_{C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$ sends real algebraic varieties to the \mathbb{C} points of X , which we denote $X(\mathbb{C})$. This gives a complex manifold, and since X is a real algebraic variety, we have a group action $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \cong C_2$. This gives us the desired functor. On the other hand, the real algebraic variety X may have already been equipped with some sort of C_2 action. We could combine these two C_2 actions to get a functor $t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$ landing in the $C_2 \times C_2$ stable homotopy category.

In particular, the functor $t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$ sends MGLR to $\mathrm{MR}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. We can use this to figure out where $t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}$ sends MGLO. $\mathrm{MR}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a 4-graded ring spectrum, and the grading is $1, \alpha, \sigma, \sigma\alpha$.

We denote the nonzero elements $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(1, 1)$ of $C_2 \times C_2$ by g_α, g_σ , and $g_{\sigma\alpha}$ respectively. We write α for the $C_2 \times C_2$ representation which is defined by letting g_α act by -1 and g_σ act by the identity. We write σ for the $C_2 \times C_2$ representation which is defined by letting g_σ act by -1 and g_α act by the identity. We write $\sigma\alpha$ for the $C_2 \times C_2$ representation $g_{\sigma\alpha} = g_\sigma \otimes g_\alpha$ which is defined by letting g_σ and g_α act by -1 .

The effect of the topological realization functor on the spheres is as follows.

Lemma 2.18. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(S^1) &\simeq S^1, t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(S^\sigma) \simeq S^\sigma, \\ t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(S^\alpha) &\simeq S^\alpha, t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(S^{\sigma\alpha}) \simeq S^{\sigma\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the periodicities of $\mathbf{MR}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{MGLO}) &= t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_{et}^{C_2}(\mathbf{MGLR})) = (S^{\infty\alpha + \infty\sigma} \wedge t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{MGLR}))^{C_2\{g_{\sigma\alpha}\}} \\ &= (S^{\infty\alpha + \infty\sigma} \wedge \mathbf{MR}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})^{C_2\{g_{\sigma\alpha}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows by [HK01, page 9] that

$$t_{C_2 \times C_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{MGLO}) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{n(1+\sigma\alpha)} B\mathbb{U}_+ \wedge \mathbf{MR},$$

where \mathbb{U} is the infinite unitary group with C_2 -action given by complex conjugation.

CHAPTER III

A motivic analogue of MSO

Recall that the classical oriented cobordism spectrum MSO is closely related to MO . Similarly to MO , the spectrum MSO can be constructed from the thom spaces of the classifying spaces of SO_n , which we denote by BSO_n . Recall that the group SO_n is defined as $\{A \in O_n \mid \det(A) = 1\}$.

Although many results found in this chapter can be generalized to more general fields, many of the proofs will rely on the coefficients of the motivic \mathbb{Z}/p cohomology of the mod p Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum being equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2[\tau]$, where τ denotes the tate twist of degree $\alpha - 1$. Therefore, for the entirety of Chapter 3, the reader should always assume that $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbf{k}$, and that \mathbf{k} is a field of characteristic 0.

3.1 Computing the coefficients of MSLO

Having constructed a motivic analogue of MO , it became apparent that it would be possible to construct a motivic analogue of MSO by mimicking the construction of MGLO . The simple observation is that we can again consider the quadratic form,

$$q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}.$$

To this we can associate a unique orthogonal group O_{2n} . Since the determinant function is algebraic, we can define the $2n$ -dimensional special orthogonal groups as,

$$SO_{2n} := \{A \in O_{2n} \mid \det A = 1\}.$$

Again, for $n \geq 1$ we get a transitive group action of SO_{2n} on

$$Q_{2n-1} := \mathbb{V}(x \in \mathbf{k}^{2n} \mid q(x) - 1) \simeq S^{n-1+n\alpha}.$$

Letting $x^0 = \langle 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$, the stabilizer of x^0 with respect to the group action of SO_{2n} on Q_{n-1} is defined to be SO_{2n-1} . One easily sees that this is exactly equal to $\{A \in O_{2n-1} \mid \det(A) = 1\}$. Defining as before

$$Q_{2n-2} := \mathbb{V}(x \in \mathbf{k}^{2n} \mid q(x) + 1, x_1 + x_2) \simeq S^{n-1+(n-1)\alpha},$$

we get a group action of SO_{2n-1} on Q_{2n-2} . This action is transitive, and defining $y^0 \in \mathbf{k}^{2n}$ to be $\langle 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$, we can show that the stabilizer of y^0 is SO_{2n-2} .

In the lower dimensional cases, we note that $SO_2 \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$, and $SO_1 \simeq *$. The later equivalence is obvious. For the former, we have to do a bit of work.

Proposition 3.1. $SO_2 \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$.

Proof. We consider the symmetric bilinear form $b((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2))$ to see how A is related to A^T . Recall that A^T is defined to be the unique matrix $A \in GL_2(\mathbf{k})$ for which $b(Ax, y) = b(x, A^T y)$. We write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^T = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \quad y = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Recall that $b(x, y) = x_1y_2 + x_2y_1$. Therefore,

$$b(Ax, y) = ax_1y_2 + bx_2y_2 + cx_1y_1 + dx_2y_1$$

and

$$b(x, A^T y) = c'x_1y_1 + d'x_1y_2 + a'x_2y_1 + b'x_2y_2$$

Comparing, we see that

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} d & b \\ c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Now notice that we have the further relations $\det(A) = 1$ and $AA^T = I$. Explicitly multiplying the matrices, we see that

$$AA^T = \begin{bmatrix} ad + bc & 2ab \\ 2cd & ad + bc \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\det(A) = ad - bc = 1$, we have that $ad + bc = (ad - bc) + 2bc = 1 + 2bc$. Therefore, we get the relations $2bc = 2ab = 2cd = 0$. It follows, from these relations alone, that either $a = c = 0, b = d = 0$, or $b = d = 0$. But we also have the relation $ad - bc = 1$. Therefore, it must be the case that $b = c = 0$. Therefore,

$$SO_2 = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{k}^4 \mid b = c = 0, ad = 1\} \simeq \{(v, w) \in \mathbb{k}^2 \mid vw = 1\} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m.$$

□

Using a two sided bar construction as before, we have

$$|B(SO_n, SO_{n-1}, *)| \simeq Q_{n-1}.$$

Moreover, we are able to show that

$$|B(*, SO_n, Q_{n-1})| \simeq BSO_{n-1}.$$

We are able to define the thom spaces for prespectrum of MSLO in the same way as before as the homotopy cofiber of

$$BSO_{n-1+} \rightarrow BSO_{n+}.$$

Notice that in particular we have,

Lemma 3.2. $\mathbb{P}^\infty \simeq B\mathbb{G}_m \simeq BSO_2 \simeq Thom(BSO_2)$.

Proof. Since $SO_1 \simeq *$, we have $BSO_1 \simeq *$. By definition of $Thom(BSO_2)$, the statement follows. \square

3.1.1 Calculating the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of MSLO

The goal of this section is to calculate the motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of MSLO. To do this, we first note that O_n acts on the unit sphere $S^0 \simeq \{\pm 1\}$ by $A \cdot g \mapsto (\det(A))g$ for $A \in O_n, g \in \{\pm 1\}$. This action is easily seen to be transitive, and the stabilizer of $1 \in S^0$ is $\{A \in O_n \mid \det(A) = 1\} = SO_n$. It follows that $|B(*, O_n, S^0)| \simeq BSO_n$. As before, we get a thom isomorphism

$$H^*(BO_{n+}) \cong \tilde{H}^{*+1}(BO_n/BSO_n).$$

We can use this to get a Gysin sequence. We consider the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^*(BO_n/BSO_n) \rightarrow H^*(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^*(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(BO_n/BSO_n) \rightarrow \dots$$

Substituting in the thom isomorphism gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{\star-1}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow \\ H^{\star+1}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a surjective map,*

$$H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BSO_{n+})$$

with kernel generated by w_1 as an H^{\star} module. Hence, $H^{\star}(BSO_{n+}) \cong H^{\star}[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n]$ with $|w_{2i}| = i + i\alpha$, and $|w_{2i+1}| = i + 1 + i\alpha$.

Proof. Form $x \in H^1(BO_{n+})$ as the composition of the thom class $u \in H^1(BO_n/BSO_n)$ with the homotopy cofiber of the the map

$$BSO_{n+} \rightarrow BO_{n+}.$$

This gives a nonzero class $x \in H^1(BO_{n+})$. Since there is only one nonzero class $H^{\star}(BO_{n+})$ of degree 1, it is clear that x is the same class as $w_1 \in H^1(BO_{n+})$ from Theorem theorem 2.11.

Thus, we can write

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{\sim w_1} H^{\star+1}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $H^{\star}(BO_{n+}) = H^{\star}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$, the map $\smile w_1$ is injective in all dimensions, and so the Gysin sequence breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^{r+s\alpha-1}(BO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{\sim w_1} H^{r+s\alpha}(BO_{n+}) \rightarrow H^{r+s\alpha}(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The conclusion follows. □

3.1.2 $H_*(H\mathbb{Z})$ comodule structure of MSLO

Classically, Pengelley gives a description of the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ -homology of MSO [Pen82] to be $H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{F}_2[x_k \mid k \neq 2, k \neq 2^i - 1]$. We can give a similar description of MSLO as an H_* -module, although this will not be the approach we will end up taking in proving our main results. Nevertheless, the description is interesting. One realizes that since MSLO is cellular, $H\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge \text{MSLO}$ must be equivalent to a wedge sum of suspensions of motivic $H\mathbb{Z}/2$. Moreover, $H\mathbb{Z}$ is known to be cellular, which means that $H\mathbb{Z}/2 \wedge H\mathbb{Z}$ must be a wedge sum of suspensions of motivic $H\mathbb{Z}/2$. One then notices by inspection that $H \wedge \text{MSLO}$ is equivalent to a wedge sum of suspensions of $H \wedge H\mathbb{Z}$. While we cannot give a nice algebra description of $H \wedge \text{MSLO}$ in this manner, we may give a nice description of the H_* -module structure of $H \wedge \text{MSLO}$. Let $\pi_*(\text{MGLO}) = H_*[u_k \mid k \neq 2^i - 1]$. Then, as an H_* -module, we have that

$$H_*(\text{MSLO}) \cong H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{H_*} H_*[u_k \mid k \neq 2, k \neq 2^i - 1].$$

3.1.3 Calculating the \mathbb{Z}/p cohomology of MSLO for p an odd prime

Definition 3.4. The Euler class $x_n \in H^{\omega_n}(BSO_{n+})$ is defined to be the composition of the thom class $c \in H^{\omega_n}(\text{Thom}(BSO_n))$ with the homotopy cofiber f of

$$BSO_{n-1+} \rightarrow BSO_{n+} \xrightarrow{f} \text{Thom}(BSO_n).$$

Theorem 3.5. $H^*(BSO_{n+}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is the polynomial ring $H\mathbb{Z}/p^*[x_1^2, \dots, x_k^2]$ for $n = 2k + 1$ and $H\mathbb{Z}/p^*[x_1^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^2, x_k]$ for $n = 2k$.

Proof. The sphere bundle $S(n-1) \rightarrow BSO_{n-1} \rightarrow BSO_n$ induces a Gysin sequence

with \mathbb{Z}/p coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^i(BSO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{\smile x_n} H^{i+\omega_n}(BSO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{g_n^*} H^{i+\omega_n}(BSO_{n-1+}) \xrightarrow{h_n^*} \\ H^{i+1}(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $n = 2k$, then by induction we have that $H^*(BSO_{n-1+}) \cong H^*[x_1^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^2]$. Recall that by [MVW11], $H\mathbb{Z}/p_\star^{m+n\alpha}(BO_{n+}) = 0$ for $n < 0$. Using the fact that $\smile x_n$ is an isomorphism on $H^0(BSO_{n+}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, we see that $h_n^* = 0$ and so g_n^* is surjective and the map breaks into short exact sequences. The proof then follows that of Theorem theorem 2.11.

If $n = 2k + 1$, then x_n is zero in $H^{\omega_n}(BSO_{n+})$ since it has order 2. To see that x_n has order 2, we note that x_n is the element corresponding to $x_n \smile x_n$ under the thom isomorphism. Therefore, $x_n \smile x_n = -x_n \smile x_n$ by the commutativity relation of the cup product. It follows that $\smile x_n = 0$ and so the Gysin sequence splits into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^{i+\omega_n}(BSO_{n+}) \xrightarrow{g_n^*} H^{i+\omega_n}(BSO_{n-1+}) \xrightarrow{h_n^*} H^{i+1}(BSO_{n+}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore g_n^* injects $H^*(BSO_{n+})$ as a subring of $H^*(BSO_{n-1+}) \cong H^*[w_1^2, \dots, w_{k-1}^2, w_k]$. The subring $\text{Im}(g_n^*)$ contains $H^*[x_1^2, \dots, x_k^2]$, and we can show it equals this ring by comparing ranks in each dimension. \square

3.1.4 Calculating the coefficients of MSLO_p for p an odd prime

Recall that the computation of MSO at an odd prime is more or less the same as the computation of complex cobordism MU . Similarly, the computation of MSLO will be no harder than the computation of MGL .

We denote the Milnor primitives by $Q_i \in \mathcal{A}^*$, $|Q_i| = p^i(1 + \alpha) - \alpha$. Recall that if p is odd, then the mod p motivic cohomology of MSLO is generated by classes x_i of

degree $2(1 + \alpha)i$ as a free H^* -module.

The following proof is based off the proof of a similar result due to S. Borghesi [Bor03, Proposition 6].

Theorem 3.6. *Let p be an odd prime. The mod p cohomology of MSLO takes the form*

$$H^*(\text{MSLO}) = (\mathcal{A}^*/(Q_0, Q_1, \dots))[m_i \mid i \neq p^n - 1]$$

as an \mathcal{A}^* -module where $|m_i| = 2i(1 + \alpha)$.

Proof. For c a cohomology class of degree $p + q\alpha$, we define $\|c\| := p - q$. We will call the number $\|c\|$ the invariance of the cohomology class c . Now note that the motivic steenrod algebra \mathcal{A}^* acts on the cohomology of MSLO. Let Q_i denote the Milnor primitives in degree $2^i(1 + \alpha) - \alpha$. Notice that $\|Q_i\| = 1$. Recall that as an H^* module, the cohomology of MSLO has a basis in monomials whose invariance is equal to 0. Call this basis \mathfrak{M} . Therefore, $\|Q_i c\| = 1$ implies that $Q_i c = 0$. The reason is because for any $x \in H^*$, $\|x\| \leq 0$. Putting this together, we have that if $m \in \mathfrak{M}$, and that y is a basis element of \mathcal{A}^* as an H^* module, then the action of y on m sends m to a sum of elements in \mathfrak{M} with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Now, since $Q_i c = 0$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{M}$, it follows that the action of \mathcal{A}^* on $H^*(\text{MSLO})$ factors through $\mathcal{A}^*/(Q_0, Q_1, \dots)$. By discussion of \mathcal{A}^* on the cohomology of MSLO, it now follows that the action produces an H^* linear map in which there is no interplay between the H^* coefficients. Therefore, any dependencies must be topologically induced. But topologically, there are no dependencies, and so the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let p be an odd prime. The mod p cohomology of MSLO takes the form*

$$H^*(\text{MSLO}) = H^*(\text{BPGL})[m_i \mid i \neq p^n - 1]$$

as an \mathcal{A}^* -module where $|m_i| = 2i(1 + \alpha)$.

For the remainder of this subsection, we will be over the field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$. By [Sta16], we know that over \mathbb{C} , the motivic \mathbb{Z}/p cohomology of a point is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$, where $|\tau| = \alpha - 1$. Dually, the motivic \mathbb{Z}/p homology of a point is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/p[\theta]$ where $|\theta| = 1 - \alpha$. Furthermore, we have that $\mathcal{A}_\star \cong \mathcal{A}_\star^{\text{top}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathbb{Z}/p[\theta]$.

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{E}(n), 0 \leq n < \infty$, denote the quotient Hopf algebroid

$$\mathcal{E}(n) := \mathcal{A}_\star // (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \tau_{n+1}, \tau_{n+2}, \dots) = H_\star[\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n] / (\tau_i^2 \mid 0 \leq i \leq n).$$

If $n = \infty$, let

$$\mathcal{E}(\infty) := \mathcal{A}_\star // (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = H_\star[\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots] / (\tau_i^2 \mid 0 \leq i).$$

There is a way of switching between \mathcal{A}^* structures on cohomology and \mathcal{A}_* structures on homology. In our case we have the following.

Proposition 3.9. *As an \mathcal{A}_* -comodule algebra, $H_*\text{BPGL} = \mathcal{A}_* \square_{\mathcal{E}(\infty)} H_*$.*

Using a change of rings isomorphism, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}(H_*, H_*(\text{BPGL})) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*}(H_*, \mathcal{A}_* \square_{\mathcal{E}(\infty)} H_*) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}(\infty)}(H_*, H_*).$$

If we let $\mathcal{E}(\infty)^{\text{top}}$ and H_\star^{top} denote the topological analogues of $\mathcal{E}(\infty)$ and H_\star respectively, then it follows that over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$,

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}(\infty)}(H_*, H_*) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{E}(\infty)^{\text{top}}}(H_\star^{\text{top}}, H_\star^{\text{top}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathbb{Z}/p[\theta].$$

From here the proof proceeds classically, and so we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *After completing at an odd prime p , the coefficients of MSLO are given by*

$$\pi_\star(\text{MSLO}_p^\wedge) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\theta, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots],$$

where $|x_i| = 2i(1 + \alpha)$.

3.1.5 $H\mathbb{Z}/2_\star$ -algebra structure of $H_\star(H\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

By [Voe03], the map

$$\psi_* : \mathcal{A}_\star \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\star \otimes_{H_\star} \mathcal{A}_\star$$

is given by

$$\psi_*(\xi_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i,$$

$$\psi_*(\tau_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes \tau_i + \tau_k \otimes 1.$$

As in [Mil58], we define the conjugate of ξ_i and τ_i inductively as

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes c(\xi_i) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes c(\tau_i) + \tau_k \otimes 1 = 0$$

respectively.

This gives us

$$c(\xi_k) = -\xi_k - c(\xi_1)\xi_{k-1}^2 \dots - c(\xi_{k-1})\xi_1^{2^{k-1}},$$

$$c(\tau_k) = -\tau_k - c(\tau_0)\xi_k - c(\tau_1)\xi_{k-1}^2 - \dots - c(\tau_{k-1})\xi_1^{2^{k-1}}$$

respectively.

As in topology, motivically we have a cofibration

$$H\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} H\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} H\mathbb{Z}/2$$

induced from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking motivic $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology of $H\mathbb{Z}$, we get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^*(H\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2} H^*(H\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} H^*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

This gives us an exact couple and so induces a bockstein spectral sequence. In particular, we get the following,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \swarrow \partial & \searrow \text{mod } 2 & \swarrow \partial & \searrow \text{mod } 2 \\ & & H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{d} & H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

Notice that $2 = 0$ in $H_*(H\mathbb{Z})$, and so we have that

$$H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is injective, and so we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{d} H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here d is the dual of the steenrod operation Sq^1 . Notice that $H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) = \ker(d)$.

Lemma 3.11. *The motivic cohomology of $H_*(H\mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]/(\tau_i^2 - \theta\xi_{i+1}).$$

Proof. First one observes that $d(\tau_0) = 1$ and $d(\tau_i) = \xi_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$. Next, one

observes that since d commutes with the tate twist θ , and since $\tau_i^2 = \theta\xi_{i+1}$, we have

$$0 = 2\tau_i d(\tau_i) = d(\tau_i^2) = \theta d(\xi_{i+1}).$$

Therefore $d(\xi_{i+1}) = 0$. Now, as a $\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta]$ -algebra, the classes $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, and the classes $\{c(\xi_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ both generate the same algebra. Looking now at the inductive formula for the conjugate of τ_i , and acknowledging that $2=0$ in the coefficients, we have

$$c(\tau_k) = \tau_k + c(\tau_0)\xi_k + c(\tau_1)\xi_{k-1}^2 + \dots + c(\tau_{k-1})\xi_1^{2^{k-1}}.$$

First we notice that $c(\tau_0) = \tau_0$, and so $d(c(\tau_0)) = 1$. I claim that $d(c(\tau_i)) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$. For τ_1 , we have that $c(\tau_1) = \tau_1 + \tau_0\xi_1$. Taking the differential of each side, we have that

$$d(c(\tau_1)) = d(\tau_1) + \tau_0 d(\xi_1) + \xi_1 d(\tau_0) = d(\tau_1) + \xi_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_1 = 0.$$

Now, by induction we can assume $d(c(\tau_{n-1})) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(c(\tau_n)) &= d(\tau_n) + d(c(\tau_0)\xi_n) + d(c(\tau_1)\xi_{n-1}^2) + \dots + d(c(\tau_{n-1})\xi_1^{2^{n-1}}) = \\ &= d(\tau_n) + d(c(\tau_0)\xi_n) = d(\tau_n) + \xi_n = \xi_n + \xi_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ker(d) = \mathbb{Z}/2[\theta, c(\tau_1), c(\tau_2), \dots, c(\xi_1), c(\xi_2), \dots]$. One can show that $c(\tau_i)^2 = \theta c(\xi_{i+1})$. This proves the claim. □

3.1.6 The Sq^1 cohomology

Notice that the motivic steenrod operation Sq^1 has the property that $\text{Sq}^1 \circ \text{Sq}^1 = 0$. Therefore, we can think of Sq^1 as a differential of $H^*(\text{MSLO})$. I will use the notation

$H^*(M; \text{Sq}^1)$ to denote the cohomology of the \mathcal{A}^* module M with respect to the differential M .

Let $I = (\epsilon_0, s_1, \epsilon_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, \epsilon_k)$ be a sequence where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and s_i are non-negative integers. Denote by P^I the product

$$P^I = \beta^{\epsilon_0} P^{s_1} \dots P^{s_k} \beta^{\epsilon_k}.$$

A sequence I is called admissible if $s_i \geq 2s_{i+1} + \epsilon_i$. Monomials P^I corresponding to admissible sequences are called admissible monomials. Here $\beta = \text{Sq}^1$.

Lemma 3.12. *Admissible monomials generate \mathcal{A}^* as a left H^* -module.*

Proof. See [Voe03]. □

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose $I = (0, s_1, \dots, s_k, 0)$ and $J = (0, t_1, \dots, t_r, 0)$ with $s_1, s_k, t_1, t_r \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$. Then $\beta P^I \neq P^J \beta$. Also, $\beta P^s \neq P^t \beta$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma lemma 3.12. □

Lemma 3.14. *$H^*(\mathcal{A}^*; \text{Sq}^1) = 0$ and $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1; \text{Sq}^1) = H^*$.*

Proof. To prove the first statement, we notice $\text{im}(\text{Sq}^1) = \text{Sq}^1 \mathcal{A}^* = \ker(\text{Sq}^1) = \text{Sq}^1 \mathcal{A}^*$. For the second statement, we notice that $\text{im}(\text{Sq}^1) = \text{Sq}^1 \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1$. Since $\text{Sq}^1 \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1$ is clearly in both the kernel and image of Sq^1 , and using Lemma lemma 3.13, we know that if $I = (0, s_1, \dots, s_k, 0)$ with $s_1, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ or $I = (s), s \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, then $\text{Sq}^1 P^I \notin \mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1$. We have shown what happens to admissible monomials. We only have to look at what happens to elements of H^* . Clearly these elements get sent to zero since they commute with the Sq^1 operation. Since elements of H^* are clearly not in the image of Sq^1 , it follows that $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1) = H^*$. □

We will need the following result. But first, from [SV14] we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.15. *Recall that $H^*(BO_{n+}) \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n]$ as an H^* -module. If -1 is a square in \mathbf{k} , then*

$$Sq^k(w_m) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m-k}{j} w_{k-j} w_{m+j}.$$

The Cartan formula over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ gives the following.

Proposition 3.16. *Let τ be the Tate twist of degree $\alpha-1$ in H^* , and let $H^*(BO_{n+}) \cong H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n]$. We define*

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & k \text{ is even and } i, j \text{ are odd.} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If -1 is a square in \mathbf{k} , then

$$Sq^k(w_r w_s) = \sum_{i+j=k} \tau^{\epsilon_{i,j}} Sq^i(w_r) Sq^j(w_s).$$

Proof. This follows from the formulas given in [Voe03], along with relations between the geometric and simplicial classifying spaces of O_n found in [SV14]. \square

Lemma 3.17. *$Sq^1 t_n = 0$ where $t_n \in H^*(Thom(BSO_n))$ is the Thom class.*

Proof. Let $H^*(BO_{n+}) = H^*[w_1, \dots, w_n]$. Recall that by proposition 3.3, $H^*(BSO_{n+})$ can be identified with $H^*[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n] \subset H^*(BO_{n+})$. Recall also that there is a Thom isomorphism

$$H^*(BSO_{n+}) \smile w_n \cong H^*(Thom(BSO_{n+})). \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, $Sq^1(t_n)$ can be identified with $Sq^1(w_n)$ under eq. (3.1) and so we can work out the Steenrod operation on $H^*(Thom(BSO_n))$ by comparison with $H^*(BO_{n+})$. In particular, $Sq^1(w_n) = w_n w_1$. Since $w_1 = 0$ in $H^*(BSO_{n+})$, the claim follows. \square

Since $H^*(\text{MSLO})$ is an \mathcal{A}^* module, we can compute its Sq^1 cohomology.

Proposition 3.18. $H^*(H^*(\text{MSLO}); \text{Sq}^1) = H^*[u_2^2, u_4^2, u_6^2, \dots]$.

Proof. By Lemma lemma 3.17, Sq^1 commutes with the thom isomorphism. Therefore it is enough to show that $H^*(H^*(\text{BSO}); \text{Sq}^1) = H^*[w_2^2, w_4^2, w_6^2, \dots]$. We note that $\text{Sq}^1(w_{2n}) = w_{2n+1}$. From this it follows that $H^*[u_3, u_5, u_7, \dots] \subset \text{im}(\text{Sq}^1)$. This implies that the only elements which can be in the kernel but not in the image of Sq^1 are $H^*[w_2^2, w_4^2, w_6^2, \dots] \subset H^*(\text{BSO})$. Noting that $\text{Sq}^1(w_{2n}^2) = 0$ for all n , the claim follows. \square

3.1.7 A motivic version of Wall's Theorem

Lemma 3.19. *The morphism of \mathcal{A}^* -modules,*

$$\mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow H^*(\text{MSLO})$$

given by $a \mapsto a \cdot 1$ where 1 denotes the thom class $t_0 \in H^{0,0}(\text{MSLO})$ has kernel $J = \mathcal{A}^ \text{Sq}^1$.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we write $\mathcal{A}^*/\beta := \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^* \text{Sq}^1$.

First, it is clear that $\text{Sq}^i(w_j) = 0$ if $i > j$ by proposition 3.15. If $i \leq j$, then $\text{Sq}^1(w_j)$ is a sum of monomials $w_k w_l$ with $k, l < 2j$. The monomials $\text{Sq}^{i_n} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_1}$ with $i_n \geq 2i_{n-1}$ and $i_1 > 1$ form an H^* -module basis for \mathcal{A}^*/β . Therefore, it is enough to show that the polynomials $\text{Sq}^{i_n} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_1}(t)$ are linearly independent in $H^*(\text{MSLO})$. Let $I = (i_k, \dots, i_1)$ with $i_s \geq 2i_{s-1}$ and $i_1 > 1$. We will order the monomials $w^I = w^{i_k} w^{i_{k-1}} \dots w^{i_1}$ lexicographically. For example, $w_8 w_4$ is of higher order than $w_4 w_2$ and $w_8 w_2$, but lower order than $w_8 w_4 w_2$ and $w_{10} w_2$. By induction, we will assume that $\text{Sq}^{i_{n-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_1}(t) = w_{i_{n-1}} \dots w_{i_1} t + \text{lower order terms}$.

Now suppose that $w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} t \in H^*(\text{MSLO})$ is such that $j_{n-1} \geq j_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq j_1$. If $i \geq 2j_{n-1}$, then we will show $\text{Sq}^i(w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} t) = w_i w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} t + \text{lower order terms}$.

Using the Cartan formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^i(w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} t) &= \text{Sq}^i(t) \cdot w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} + \text{lower order terms} \\ &= w_i w_{j_{n-1}} \dots w_{j_1} t + \text{lower order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

Theorem 3.20. $H^*(\text{MSLO})$ is a wedge sum of suspensions of \mathcal{A}^* and $\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we write $M := H^*(\text{MSLO})$, and $\mathcal{A}^*/\beta := \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\text{Sq}^1$. Notice that Sq^1 acts on any \mathcal{A}^* -module as a differential. This is immediate from the fact that $\text{Sq}^1\text{Sq}^1 = 0$. Therefore, for any \mathcal{A}^* -module P we can define the Sq^1 cohomology $H^*(P; \text{Sq}^1)$ of P . We will be working with H^* -modules, and so this cohomology theory will have coefficients in H^* . As we have already shown in Lemma lemma 3.14, $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*; \text{Sq}^1) = 0$, and $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*/\beta; \text{Sq}^1) = H^*$.

We will now use this cohomology theory to define a map from a wedge sum of suspensions of \mathcal{A}^*/β to M which will induce an isomorphism in Sq^1 cohomology.

Choose classes $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \in M$ whose images in $H^*(M; \text{Sq}^1)$ form a basis. By Proposition proposition 3.18, we can choose the classes $u_2^2, u_4^2, \dots \in H^*(\text{MSLO}) \cong H^*[u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots]$. The x_α are killed by Sq^1 and so we can define a map

$$\phi_1 : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}^*/\beta[-\text{deg}(x_\alpha)] \rightarrow M.$$

Next, we define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}^*} := \{\text{admissible monomials } x \in \mathcal{A}^* \mid |x| > 0\}.$$

Using this definition, we define

$$\overline{M} := M/\overline{\mathcal{A}^*}M.$$

Notice that $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}^*/\beta[-deg(x_\alpha)] \cong \mathcal{A}^*/\beta \otimes_{H^*} C$ for $C = H^*[u_2^2, u_4^2, \dots]$. We consider the projection map

$$M \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{M}.$$

We then choose an H^* -submodule $Z \subset M$ such that $\pi|_Z$ is injective, and

$$\overline{M} \cong \pi(\phi_1(\mathcal{A}^*/\beta \otimes C)) \oplus \pi(Z).$$

Set

$$N = \mathcal{A}^*/\beta \otimes C \oplus \mathcal{A}^* \otimes Z.$$

The natural map

$$\phi_2 : \mathcal{A}^* \otimes Z \rightarrow M$$

gives a map

$$\Phi := \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 : N \rightarrow M.$$

Writing $N = \mathcal{A}^*/\beta \otimes C \oplus \mathcal{A}^* \otimes Z$, we let N_i denote the \mathcal{A}^* -submodule of N given by $N = \mathcal{A}^*/\beta \otimes C_i \oplus \mathcal{A}^* \otimes Z_i$. Here C_i and Z_i denotes all elements in C and Z respectively of total degree i . We say the class x with degree $n + m\alpha$ has total degree $n + m$. We define M_i to be the image of N_i under the map Φ . We then define $N^{(n)}$ and $M^{(n)}$ to be $\bigoplus_{i \leq n} N_i$ and $\bigoplus_{i \leq n} \Phi(N_i)$ respectively.

We will show by induction that the map $\Phi : N^{(n)} \rightarrow M^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism. Starting with $n = 0$, $N^{(0)} = \mathcal{A}^*/\beta$ and $M^{(0)} = \mathcal{A}^* \cdot t$, where t is the thom class. By Lemma lemma 3.19 this map is an isomorphism. Suppose we have proved $\Phi : N^{(n-1)} \rightarrow M^{(n-1)}$ is an isomorphism and let $\lambda : N/N^{(n-1)} \rightarrow M/M^{(n-1)}$ be the map

induced by Φ . We will show $\lambda|_{(N^{(n)}/N^{(n-1)})}$ is injective. Let $P \subset N$ be the subspace generated by elements of the form $c, z, \text{Sq}^1(z)$ for $c \in C_n, z \in Z_n$. We can regard P as an H^* -submodule of $N/N^{(n-1)}$.

We will first prove that $\lambda|_P$ is injective. Notice that since $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*; \text{Sq}^1) = 0$, the map

$$\Phi^* : H^*(N; \text{Sq}^1) \rightarrow H^*(M; \text{Sq}^1)$$

is still an isomorphism. Since

$$\Phi : N^{(n-1)} \rightarrow M^{(n-1)}$$

is an isomorphism by induction, it follows that

$$\lambda^* : H^*(N/N^{(n-1)}; \text{Sq}^1) \rightarrow H^*(M/M^{(n-1)}; \text{Sq}^1)$$

is also an isomorphism.

Suppose $v \in P$ and $\lambda(v) = 0$. Notice that the total dimension of v is n or $n + 1$. We will consider the two cases separately. If the total dimension of v is n ; then $v = c + z$ for $c \in C_n, z \in Z_n$. $\lambda(v) = 0$ implies $\Phi(c + z) \in M_n^{(n-1)}$. By choice of Z , $z = 0$. Then, $v = c$, and so $\lambda(c) = 0$. Since λ^* is an isomorphism, it follows that $\text{Sq}^1(c) = 0$, and $c = \text{Sq}^1(c')$ for some $c' \in (N/N^{(n-1)})_{n-1}$. But $(N/N^{(n-1)})_{n-1} = 0$, and so $c' = 0$, which implies $c = 0$.

Now, suppose that the total dimension of v is $n + 1$: then $v = \text{Sq}^1(z)$, some $z \in Z_n$. If $\lambda(v) = 0$, then $\text{Sq}^1(\lambda(z)) = 0$. But, this means $\lambda(z) = \lambda(c) + \text{Sq}^1(z')$ for some $z' \in (M/M^{(n-1)})_{n-1}$. But, this means $z' = 0$. Therefore we reduce to the previous case.

Now, returning to the induction step, we have that the multiplication map

$$\mu : \text{MSLO} \wedge \text{MSLO} \rightarrow \text{MSLO}$$

induces a coproduct map

$$\mu^* : H^*(\text{MSLO}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{MSLO}) \otimes_{H^*} H^*(\text{MSLO}).$$

We define a projection map

$$p : M \rightarrow M/M^{(n-1)}.$$

Let $u \in C_n \oplus Z_n$. Then $\mu^*\Phi(u) = 1 \otimes_{H^*} \Phi(u)$ modulo $M \otimes_{H^*} M^{(n-1)}$. Therefore, for any $v \in P$ we have

$$(1 \otimes_{H^*} p)\mu^*\Phi(v) = 1 \otimes_{H^*} \lambda(v).$$

Now choose a basis c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r for C_n , z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s for Z_n . Then we can give P a basis $\{v_i\} = \{c_1, \dots, c_r, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s, \text{Sq}^1(z_1), \text{Sq}^1(z_2), \dots, \text{Sq}^1(z_s)\}$. Any $v \in N^{(n)}/N^{(n-1)}$ then has a unique expression in the form $v = \sum_i a_i v_i$ for $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^* \setminus \mathcal{A}^* \text{Sq}^1 \cup \{0\}$. Now, we let m denote the maximum total dimension of all of the a_i . Next, we let $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_v}\}$ denote all of the a_j of total dimension m .

Notice that if $\lambda(v) = 0$, then $\Phi(v) \in M^{(n-1)}$ and hence

$$0 = (1 \otimes_{H^*} p)\mu^*\Phi(v) = \sum a_{i_j} \cdot t \otimes_{H^*} \lambda(v_{i_j}) + \sum b_k \cdot t \otimes_{H^*} m_k,$$

some $m_k \in M$, $b_k \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with $\dim b_k < m$. However, we showed that $\lambda|_P$ is injective, and so the $\lambda(v_{i_j})$ are linearly independent. and hence $a_{i_j} \cdot t = 0$ for all j . But, $a_{i_j} \cdot t = 0$ implies $a_{i_j} \in \mathcal{A}^* \text{Sq}^1$. This is a contradiction, and so $\lambda(v) = 0$ implies $v = 0$.

□

Corollary 3.21. *Over the field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$,*

$$H_*(\text{MSLO}) \cong H_*(H\mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta]} C \oplus \mathcal{A}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta]} Z.$$

Here C is the algebra $\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta, x_4, x_8, \dots]$ where the x_{4i} are generators of degree $2(1+\alpha)i$. Z is a $\mathbb{Z}/2[\theta]$ polynomial algebra.

3.1.8 The homotopy type of MSLO

Once we know that the motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology of MSLO is a wedge sum of suspensions of \mathcal{A}^* and $\mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{A}^*\mathrm{Sq}^1$, we can again construct a map

$$\mathrm{MSLO} \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} H\mathbb{Z}/2[r_i] \bigoplus \bigvee_{j \in J} H\mathbb{Z}[s_j]$$

which is an equivalence on motivic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ homology. Then, by applying the Nakayama lemma and the motivic Hurewicz theorem [Bac15] to show that the map is a homotopy equivalence.

3.1.9 The dimension of the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ suspensions

Let \mathbf{N} be an H_* -module with basis \mathfrak{N} . Assume $\mathfrak{N} = \bigcup_{n,m \geq 0} \mathfrak{N}_{n+m\alpha}$, where $\mathfrak{N}_{n+m\alpha}$ is a finite set consisting of all basis elements in degree $n + m\alpha$. Call the motivic spectrum \mathbf{E} *special* if it satisfies these assumptions.

If \mathbf{E} is *special*, then we can define a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[[x, y]]$ by considering the formal sum $\sum_{n+m=j} r_{n+m\alpha}$. We then map this to a polynomial in $f \in \mathbb{Z}[[x, y]]$ by sending $r_{n+m\alpha} \mapsto x^n y^m$. In this way, for \mathbf{E} *special*, we can define $|\mathbf{N}| := f$.

In considering MSLO, it is clear where the $H\mathbb{Z}$ suspensions must live; they consist of suspensions of degrees corresponding to all monomials in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots where x_i has degree $2i(1+\alpha)$. The tricky part is to see where all the $H\mathbb{Z}$ suspensions must live.

The way we will figure this out is through a combinatorial counting argument. To explain the idea behind the counting argument, I will first give a simple example.

Example 3.22. Suppose we wanted to know where the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ suspensions are in the

dual motivic steenrod algebra \mathcal{A}_\star . Notice that as an H^\star -module,

$$\mathcal{A}_\star \cong H^\star[\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]/(\tau_i^2 \mid 0 \leq i).$$

In other words, we can sort of pretend that $\tau_i^2 = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_\star since we only care about the $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ module structure. We also only care about how many suspensions we have in each degree. Letting the monomial $x^n y^m$ represent suspension by $n + m\alpha$, we can consider the formal sum of all monomials in \mathcal{A}_\star of the form $\tau_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \tau_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2} \dots \tau_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n} \xi_{j_1}^{r_1} \xi_{j_2}^{r_2} \dots \xi_{j_m}^{r_m}$ where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ modulo their degree. For example, we represent $\tau_1 \xi_1$ by $x^2 y^1 \cdot xy = x^3 y^2$. Therefore, we obtain the formal sum

$$|\mathcal{A}_\star| = \frac{\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x^{2^{i+1}} y^{2^{i+1}-2})}{\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x^{2^{i+1}-1} y^{2^{i+1}-1}) \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x^{2^i} y^{2^i-1})}.$$

Here formal sum refers to the taylor series expansion about the origin $(0, 0)$. Using a computer software program such as matlab, or by expanding by hand, one can deduce where the suspensions of $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ live. Similarly, we can deduce,

$$|\mathcal{A}_\star/\mathcal{A}_\star Sq^1| = \frac{\prod_{i > 0} (1 - x^{2^{i+1}} y^{2^{i+1}-2})}{\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x^{2^{i+1}-1} y^{2^{i+1}-1}) \prod_{i > 0} (1 - x^{2^i} y^{2^i-1})}.$$

We end up with the following formula.

Proposition 3.23. *Consider the taylor series expansion around the point $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ of the following rational binomial polynomial:*

$$\frac{1-x}{\prod_{n \neq 2^i-1, i > 0} (1-x^n y^n) \prod_{n \neq 2^i} (1-x^{n+1} y^n) \prod_{n=2^i} (1-x^{2n+2} y^{2n})} - \frac{1}{(1+x) \prod_{n \neq 2^i-1} (1-x^{2n} y^{2n})}$$

Its coefficients tells us how many suspensions of $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ we have in a given degree. For example, the term $cx^n y^m$ means that we have c suspensions of $H\mathbb{Z}/2$ in degree $n + m\alpha$.

Proof. First we notice that

$$|H_\star(\text{MSLO})| = \frac{1}{\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x^i y^i) \prod_{i > 0} (1 - x^{i+1} y^i)}.$$

We also have that the degree suspensions of the $H\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $|H_\star[x_1, x_2, \dots]|$ where $|x_i| = 2i(1 + \alpha)$. It follows that

$$|\text{MSLO}_\star| = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}_\star|} (|H_\star(\text{MSLO})| - |H_\star[x_1, x_2, \dots]|).$$

The claim follows. □

CHAPTER IV

A motivic analogue of MR

4.1 MGLR, an analogue of MR

There is a C_2 -equivariant spectrum belonging to classical topology which was constructed by Landweber. The coefficients of this spectrum were computed by P. Hu and I. Kriz in [HK01]. The coefficients of this spectrum are bigraded. While the bigrading given in [HK01] is $\mathrm{MR}_{**'\alpha}$, we will use σ grading instead of α . The reason for this is that the authors of [HK01] used the α to signify the relationship between motivic homotopy theory and classical C_2 -equivariant homotopy theory. The topological realization functor over \mathbb{R} sends motivic α grading to the C_2 grading. However, in the present case, we want to stress the relationship between C_2 motivic homotopy theory and C_2 classical homotopy theory using the topological realization over \mathbb{C} .

In this chapter we discuss a C_2 -equivariant motivic spectrum MGLR which was constructed by P. Hu and I. Kriz in [HKO11]. There is a complex topological realization functor $t_{C_2}^{\mathbb{C}}$ for C_2 -equivariant motivic spectra, and $t_{C_2}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{MGLR}) = \mathrm{MR}$.

One should think of MGLR as a motivic analogue of MR . Roughly speaking, the spectrum MR can be thought of as complex cobordism MU endowed with a C_2 action. At its heart, MU is built from the classifying spaces BU_n , where U_n denotes the n -dimensional unitary group. We get an involution on this group given by $A \leftrightarrow \bar{A}^T$.

The groups U_n equipped with this involution action determine the construction of MR. If one wanted to mimic this construction motivically, he would immediately be faced with a problem; complex conjugation is not algebraic. A priori this means that the groups U_n are not definable; however, it turns out that over the complex numbers, $U_n \cong GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. In fact, the motivic analogue of MU is the well known algebraic cobordism MGL.

In analogy with MR, MGLR should be thought of as algebraic cobordism MGL endowed with a C_2 action. Consider the symmetric bilinear form

$$b((x_1, \dots, x_{2n}), (y_1, \dots, y_{2n})) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i}y_{2i-1} + x_{2i-1}y_{2i}.$$

Then for any $A \in GL_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$, there exists a unique matrix A^{T_b} for which $b(Ax, y) = b(x, A^{T_b}y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{k}^{2n}$. The C_2 action of MGLR is induced from the involution action $A \leftrightarrow (A^{T_b})^{-1}$.

4.1.1 The λ twist

In [HK01], the authors show that MR completed at 2 splits as a wedge sum of suspensions of a spectrum BPR whose suspensions are in degrees $m_i(1 + \sigma)$ for $m_i \neq 2^{i+1} - 1$, $\Phi^{C_2}(\text{BPR}) = H\mathbb{Z}/2$, and nonequivariantly $\text{BPR} = \text{BP}$. This splitting comes from applying the Quillen idempotent to the formal group law on $\text{MR}_{*(1+\sigma)}$. From this, it follows that MR_* is freely generated by generators x_n of degree $n(1 + \sigma)$ for $n \neq 2^{i+1} - 1$ as a BPR_* algebra. One could ask whether MGLR splits as a wedge sum of suspensions of BPGLR, with $\Phi^{C_2}(\text{BPGLR}) = H\mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\text{BPGLR} = \text{BPGL}$ nonequivariantly, in such a way that MGLR_* is free as a BPGLR_* algebra. Unfortunately, there does not appear to be any way to construct such a splitting. However, there exists an element $\lambda \in \pi_{1-\sigma+\sigma\alpha-\alpha}(\text{MGLR})$. If we invert this element, then we get a formal group law and we can use the Quillen idempotent construction

to get a splitting. First, let us elaborate on this mysterious element λ .

In the topological setting there is the notion of real-oriented spectra and it turns out that \mathbf{MR} is universal among real-oriented spectra. There is also a notion of real-orientation found in [HKO11]. Following notation in [HKO11], we define \widetilde{X} to be the functorial fibrant replacement of \overline{X} , the reduced suspension of X .

Definition 4.1. A C_2 -equivariant ring spectrum E is real-oriented if the following two conditions are satisfied. Here $\mathbf{MGLR}(1)$ will denote the first term of the prespectrum defining \mathbf{MGLR} .

1. The unit in $E^*(S^{1+\sigma\alpha+\sigma+\alpha})$ restricts to the unit ϕ_E of $E^*(\mathbf{MGLR}(1))$.
2. The map $S^{2+2\sigma\alpha} \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \wedge \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \rightarrow B(\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}) \rightarrow BGL_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{MGLR}(1)$ with representative $\omega \in \pi_{2+2\sigma\alpha}$ composes with ϕ_E to give a unit λ_E .

Whenever this is satisfied we get many results analogous to those found in [HK01].

Theorem 4.2. *If the C_2 -equivariant ring spectrum E is real-oriented, then $E^*(B\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}) = E^*[u]$ where $\deg(u) = -(1 + \sigma\alpha)$.*

Unfortunately, it is not clear whether or not \mathbf{MGLR} satisfies definition 4.1. Clearly \mathbf{MGLR} satisfies condition 1 of definition 4.1. However, it is not clear that $\lambda_{\mathbf{MGLR}}$ is invertible. Using the methods of [EKMM07] we can “invert” $\lambda_{\mathbf{MGLR}}$ to construct a spectrum $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR}$ satisfying the conditions of definition 4.1. The formal group law of theorem 4.2 then gives a canonical map

$$\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR}_{*(1+\sigma\alpha)}.$$

Here \mathbf{L} denotes the Lazard ring.

Notice that the topological realization functor over \mathbb{C} , which we denote by $t^{\mathbb{C}}$, is a symmetric monoidal functor, and so applied to the spectrum \mathbf{MGLR} , we get a ring

homomorphism

$$\mathrm{MGLR}_\star \rightarrow \mathrm{MR}_\star.$$

One can show that λ_{MGLR} is sent to the unit 1 under this ring homomorphism, and so we get a ring homomorphism

$$\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_\star \rightarrow \mathrm{MR}_\star. \quad (4.1)$$

Since the homomorphism $t^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends $1 + \sigma\alpha$ grading to $1 + \sigma$ grading, and since $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_{\star(1+\sigma\alpha)} \subset \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_\star$ and $\mathrm{MR}_{\star(1+\sigma)} \subset \mathrm{MR}_\star$ are commutative rings, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *The restriction of the ring homomorphism eq. (4.1) to $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_{\star(1+\sigma\alpha)}$ induced by the topological realization functor $t^{\mathbb{C}}$ sends the formal group law on $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_\star$ to the formal group law on MR_\star .*

Proof. This is clear since $t^{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}) = BS^\sigma$. □

Since MGLR is an E_∞ -ring spectrum, we may apply constructions as in [EKMM07]. In particular, we may “kill” or “invert” the image of any sequence of elements of \mathbf{L} in the spectrum $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. The ring $\mathrm{MGL}_{\star(1+\alpha)} = \mathrm{MU}_{2\star}$ is the universal formal group law and so the generator x_i of degree $i(1+\alpha)$ is sent to an element of degree $i(1+\sigma\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.4. $\Phi^{C_2}(\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}) = \theta^{-1}\mathrm{MGLO}$.

Proof. Recall that λ is the map

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2+2\sigma\alpha} &\simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \wedge \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}} \rightarrow B(\mathbb{G}_m^{1/z} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{1/z}) \rightarrow BGL_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{MGLR}(1) \\ &\rightarrow \Sigma^{1+\sigma+\sigma\alpha+\alpha}\mathrm{MGLR}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

After taking geometric fixed points, this becomes a map,

$$S^2 \simeq S^1 \wedge S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow BO_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{MGLO}(1) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1+\alpha}\mathbf{MGLO}.$$

This map is nonzero, and it realizes as an element of degree $1 - \alpha$ in $\pi_*(\mathbf{MGLO})$. Notice that there exists exactly one element in $\pi_*(\mathbf{MGLO})$ of degree $1 - \alpha$, the tate twist. Therefore, the coefficients of $\Phi^{C_2}(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR})$ is $\pi_*(\theta^{-1}\mathbf{MGLO}) \cong \pi_*(\mathbf{MO})[\theta^{\pm 1}]$. \square

Corollary 4.5. $\mathbf{MGLR} \not\cong \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR}$.

Proof. Since \mathbf{MGLR} and $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR}$ are not equal on geometric fixed points, they cannot possibly be equal equivariantly. \square

It is interesting to note that while inverting λ has the effect of inverting the tate twist θ under the geometric fixed points map, it is not the case that θ is inverted under the forgetful map $\mathbf{MGLR} \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}$ which thinks of the structure nonequivariantly. The reason for this is the forgetful map sends σ and $\sigma\alpha$ grading to 1 and α respectively. Therefore, λ gets sent to the unit under this map. In more detail,

Theorem 4.6. *Nonequivariantly, $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR} \simeq \mathbf{MGL}$.*

Proof. Notice that nonequivariantly, λ realizes as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2+2\alpha} \simeq \Sigma\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \Sigma\mathbb{G}_m &\rightarrow \Sigma\mathbb{G}_m \times \Sigma\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow B(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow BGL_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}(1) \\ &\rightarrow \Sigma^{2+2\alpha}\mathbf{MGL}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Notice that this map is clearly nonzero, and represents an element in $\pi_*(\mathbf{MGL})$ of degree 0. Notice that the only nonzero element in $\pi_*(\mathbf{MGL})$ of degree 0 is the identity element. Therefore, $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{MGLR}$ is nonequivariantly equivalent to \mathbf{MGL} . \square

Theorem 4.7. *Localizing at $p = 2$, we have that*

$$\mathrm{MGL} = \bigvee_{m_i} \Sigma^{m_i(1+\alpha)} \mathrm{BPGL}$$

for integers m_i . There exists a spectrum BPGLR such that

$$\mathrm{MGLR} = \bigvee_{m_i} \Sigma^{m_i(1+\sigma\alpha)} \mathrm{BPGLR}$$

Furthermore, $\Phi^{C_2}(\mathrm{BPGLR}) = \theta^{-1} H\mathbb{Z}/2$.

4.2 Calculating the coefficients of $\theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$

Proposition 4.8. *There exists an element of order $1 - \alpha$ in the Borel cohomology and the Tate cohomology of $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. We will call this element θ .*

Proof. Using simplicial EC_2 , we can set up a Borel Cohomology Spectral Sequence for $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$ as follows. First we note that since we have inverted λ , we can choose to ignore all $\sigma\alpha$ grading, and instead only consider the grading $*+*\sigma+*\alpha$. Moreover, we will filter by α twists. In other words, we will consider the grading $*+*\sigma+k\alpha$ for fixed k . Now for each $k \leq 0$, we have a bijection between the motivic Borel Cohomology Spectral Sequence of $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$ and the classical Borel Cohomology Spectral Sequence of MR . This is true since $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$ is nonequivariantly MGL , and over \mathbb{C} , there is a bijection between $\pi_{*+k\alpha}(\mathrm{MGL})$ and $\pi_*(\mathrm{MU})$. It follows that the motivic Borel Cohomology Spectral Sequence associated to $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}_{*+*\sigma+*\alpha}$, where $*, *' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $*'' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0}$, converges to $\pi_{*+*\sigma+*\alpha}(F(EC_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})) \cong \pi_*(\mathrm{MR})[\theta]$. It follows that $\theta \in \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. The same argument works for the Tate cohomology of $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. \square

Corollary 4.9. *There exists an element of degree $1 - \alpha$ in the coefficients of $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. We again call this element θ .*

Proof. This follows by considering the following square originating from the Tate diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR} & \longrightarrow & S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}) & \longrightarrow & S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}). \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the element $\theta \in \pi_*(F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}))$ is sent to $\theta \in \pi_*(S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}))$. This is true since the topological realization of θ is just 1, and since the Borel and Tate cohomology spectral sequences of $\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$ and MR are isomorphisms for fixed alpha twist $k\alpha, k \leq 0$. Now, notice that there is an easily described twist in $\pi_*(S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})$ of degree $1 - \alpha$, which we also call θ . If s is the euler class $s \in \pi_{-\sigma}(\mathrm{MGLR})$, and t is the euler class $t \in \pi_{-\sigma\alpha}(\mathrm{MGLR})$, the $\theta \in \pi_{1-\alpha}(S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})$ is given by $\lambda s^{-1}t$. By comparison with topology, and in view of the fact that the topological realization of θ is 1, it follows that $\theta \in \pi_{1-\alpha}(S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})$ is sent to $\theta \in \pi_{1-\alpha}(S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}))$. Therefore, the element named θ commutes in the bottom row and rightmost column of the diagram corollary 4.9. Since the commutative square corollary 4.9 is a pullback, there must exist an element $\theta \in \pi_*(\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})$ which is sent to $\theta \in \pi_*(F(\mathrm{EC}_{2+}, \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}))$. \square

Now, as we inverted $\lambda \in \pi_{1-\sigma+\sigma\alpha-\alpha}(\mathrm{MGLR})$, so too can we invert $\theta \in \pi_{1-\alpha}(\mathrm{MGLR})$. This gives us a spectrum $\theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}$. In its coefficients, the element $\lambda^{-1}\theta$ has degree $\sigma - \sigma\alpha$ and is invertible.

Proposition 4.10. $(S^{\infty\sigma+\infty\sigma\alpha} \wedge \theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})^{C_2} \simeq (S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR})^{C_2}$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we write

$$E := S^{\infty\sigma} \wedge \theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}, F := S^{\infty\sigma+\infty\sigma\alpha} \wedge \theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{MGLR}.$$

Notice that $\Sigma^{\sigma\alpha-\sigma}E \simeq E$ since $\theta\lambda^{-1} \in \pi_{\sigma-\sigma\alpha}(E)$ is invertible. Also, it is clear that $\Sigma^\sigma E \simeq E$. Putting this together, we have that $\Sigma^{\sigma\alpha}E \simeq E$. Therefore, it follows that

$$F = \Sigma^{\infty\sigma\alpha} E \simeq E. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.11. $\pi_*(\theta^{-1}\text{BPGLR}) = \pi_*(\text{BPR})[\lambda^{\pm 1}, \theta^{\pm 1}]$. Here, $\pi_*(\text{BPR}) =$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_{n,l}, a \mid n \geq 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}] / \left(\begin{array}{l} v_{0,0} = 2, \\ a^{2^{n+1}-1} v_{n,l} = 0, \\ \text{for } n \leq m : v_{m,k} \cdot v_{n,l} = v_{m,k+l} \cdot v_{n,0} \end{array} \right),$$

$$|a| = -\sigma, |v_{n,l}| = (2^n - 1)(1 + \sigma) + l2^{n+1}(\sigma - 1).$$

Proof. The claim is clear by comparison with topology [HK01]. In more detail, considering the commutative square of corollary 4.9, the C_2 fixed points of the top right corner is easily seen to be equal to $\pi_*(\text{MO})[\theta^{\pm 1}]$. The bottom right corner is calculated by comparing the Tate cohomology spectral sequence for $\theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\text{MGLR}$ to topology. One deduces from the calculation that the C_2 fixed points of the the top and bottom right hand column are equal. From this it follows that $\theta^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\text{MGLR}$ is equal to its Borel cohomology. By comparing with topology, the claim follows. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ADF14] Aravind Asok, Brent Doran, and Jean Fasel. *Smooth models of motivic spheres*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.0413, 2014.
- [Bac15] Tom Bachmann. *The Hurewicz and Conservativity Theorems for $\mathbf{SH}(\mathbf{k})$ to $\mathbf{DM}(\mathbf{k})$* . arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.07375, 2015.
- [Bor03] Simone Borghesi. *Algebraic Morava K -theories*. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 151(2):381–413, 2003.
- [DI05] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen. *Motivic cell structures*. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 5(2):615–652, 2005.
- [EKMM07] Anthony D. Elmendorf, Igor Kriz, Michael A. Mandell, and J. Peter May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*. Number 47. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [GM95] John Patrick Campbell Greenlees and J. Peter May. *Generalized Tate cohomology*, volume 543. American Mathematical Society, 1995.
- [HK01] Po Hu and Igor Kriz. *Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*. *Topology*, 40(2):317–399, 2001.
- [HK11] Po Hu and Igor Kriz. *Topological Hermitian Cobordism*. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, pages 1–25, 2011.
- [HKO11] Po Hu, Igor Kriz, and Kyle Ormsby. *The homotopy limit problem for Hermitian K -theory, equivariant motivic homotopy theory and motivic Real cobordism*. *Advances in Mathematics*, 228(1):434–480, 2011.
- [Hoy15] Marc Hoyois. *From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology*. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle’s Journal)*, 2015(702):173–226, 2015.
- [HVØ16] Jeremiah Heller, Mircea Voineagu, and Paul Arne Østvaer. *Topological comparison theorems for Bredon motivic cohomology*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.07500, 2016.
- [Jar87] John Frederick Jardine. *Simplicial presheaves*. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 47(1):35–87, 1987.

- [Lan67] Peter S. Landweber. *Fixed point free conjugations on complex manifolds*. Annals of Mathematics, pages 491–502, 1967.
- [Lan68] Peter S. Landweber. *Conjugations on complex manifolds and equivariant homotopy of MU*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 74(2):271–274, 1968.
- [Mil58] John Milnor. *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*. Annals of Mathematics, pages 150–171, 1958.
- [MS16] John Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic Classes*. (AM-76), volume 76. Princeton University Press, 2016.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, 90(1):45–143, 1999.
- [MVW11] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles A. Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [Pen82] David J. Pengelley. *The mod two homology of MSO and MSU as \mathcal{A} comodule algebras, and the cobordism ring*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 2(3):467–472, 1982.
- [Sta16] Sven-Torben Stahn. *The motivic Adams-Novikov spectral sequence at odd primes over \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R}* . arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06085, 2016.
- [SV14] Alexander Smirnov and Alexander Vishik. *Subtle characteristic classes*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.6661, 2014.
- [Tot99] Burt Totaro. *The Chow ring of a classifying space*. In Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, volume 67, pages 249–284. Providence, RI; American Mathematical Society; 1998, 1999.
- [Voe03] Vladimir Voevodsky. *Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology*. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, 98:1–57, 2003.