For me; because I'm awesome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As I sit, starting to write this dissertation in the gorgeous Bibliothèque Sainte Geneviève in Paris, I reflect on the past 4 years of my graduate career, and I can honestly say I look back on them fondly. I mean, come on, I'm writing my thesis in Paris!!! I must have done something right. Ergo, there will be no self-reflection here; however, don't think for a second that this acknowledgments section is going to be succinct. My ego will allow (this once) for acknowledgments of those that have helped me in my adventure.

I, of course, have to thank my research advisor, Sara Aton. Thanks for liking West Ham football and not batting an eye when a student with no lab background in neuroscience came up to you at the retreat in 2012 and asked if you were taking grad students. Thank you for allowing me to do my thing and be me – very few questions asked - for the past 4.5 years. It's hard to explain our mentor-student dynamic to people who haven't witnessed it, but it was/is a thing of beauty. I can go on forever, but I'll just say: Thank you. You know I appreciate all that you've done for me.

I need to next thank my family. As early as I can remember, education was of upmost importance. I don't think I so much chose to get a PhD, as it was something that was decided for me because of how much I came to love school. I know I was probably difficult and ridiculous much of my life; however, I can say that all I am now is

thanks to you. You rarely told me no – which provided me with a pretty solid work ethic. That and my dad's constant reminder of "Who's like you?" which I genuinely believe made me the person I am today. Who IS like me? I know how proud you are of me, and you know how much I appreciate EVERYTHING you've done for me over the years. The support both mentally and monetarily (grad school doesn't pay well enough for me to live as I do) have meant more than I can ever put into words. Thanks everyone for coming out to A² to eat out with me when I couldn't be bothered to travel the hour home. Especially thanks to Mom for always baking cookies. I didn't appreciate cookies enough as a kid, but as an adult- they are the best reminder of enjoying simple things. So, to everyone: Dad and Mom, Robert, and Gabby (and Gizmo can go here too!): Thank you so much.

To my extended family: I thank all of you all as well. Specifically: Ninka and Aja, Stephanie, Lorka, and Gregory thanks for the margaritas and wine at island parties. Thanks for indulging my crazy and letting me talk about all my hair-brained ideas on life and the world. Thanks to all my Babas and Dedos, who still probably think this degree is in neurosurgery and that I can help them with their medication. I would like to specifically acknowledge my Dedo Sofro, who was a professor in Yugoslavia, who always called me "dedo's prv student". I hope that getting this degree, the ultimate in academic achievement, makes him proud. I'd like to also acknowledge my Baba Milica, who, although didn't get to see the completion of this dissertation, attended my master's commencement and loved what a huge nerd I was. She also kept, for all these years, the walking stick that I used to climb a mountain when I was 9. So that was pretty dope of her. Specifically, because it's a kind of metaphor for my graduate career, as it

seemed like a daunting undertaking and people didn't think I could do it (the same way everyone told a 9 year old she couldn't climb a mountain). But hey I did (and 9-year old me did too). Ha.

I would definitely not have made it out of graduate school with all my mental capacities intact if it wasn't for my girlfriends. The Chain: I love you girls so much. Lauren, I'm glad you came back to Michigan to go to med school because that was a huge part of my being okay with staying at Michigan another 4 years. Thanks Nellie, Stacy, and Kim for letting me rant about everything besides science that happened to me the past 4 years. Meeting you all in college was the best thing to happen to me. Annalise and Vanessa: My travel companions, dinner daters, second families- I love you guys, and I think it's only fitting that I thank you together in this. Kristefer- my soul mate, co-selo-kid- thanks for being my therapist.

In grad school you spend a lot of time in lab. I owe thanks to my lab mates for listening to my ludicrous stories and telling me I'm smart and pretty even when I'm being insufferable. Specifically, I'd like to thank Sha, my lab mom, who keeps the lab running. We (I) would be so lost without you. Your kindness and supportive words everyday are so warming to my heart. Also, you are the single greatest cook I've ever encountered. All the lunches you made me while I was locked in the basement doing laser experiments were so appreciated. Jackie (my back-to-back) you are a radiant sunflower, and I am so grateful that I moved all your stuff to the desk behind me. I wouldn't have wanted to spend these past 3 years absentmindedly massaging anyone else's hair. I truly appreciate the serenity I got from talking with you and need you to know that you're gorgeous inside and out. Brittany- thanks for making sure I made it out

of Prague alive. You are such a ball of energy. Stress less though, remember how much fun Bougie and Bruggie had in Europe- except Zizkov, forget that place. James, I guess you're cool. Additionally, I had the pleasure of having the two most ABSOULELY WONDERFUL undergrads during my time in the lab, Nora Lashner and Sammy Schaeffer- you girls were so awesome! I couldn't have completed ½ (literally) of my thesis without you two.

I also have to thank all of my collaborators at the University of Michigan over the years. To Dr. Michal Zochowski and his students, Dan, Sima, Quinton, and Jiaxing, I have learned more and become more excited about math than I ever thought I would in grad school. Your expertise and guidance helped make my thesis as beautiful as it is. Additionally, I would like to thank Christopher Broussard, Igor Belopolsky, and Abbey Roelofs at the University of Michigan for their technical assistance and helping me with all the MatLab scripts (Stractor, Spectral, NapMapper) I needed for data analysis.

To all the friends, domestic and foreign, I've made in grad school and the fun times we had: BROadtrips, PBRs, nights at 8-Ball, Fleetwood, grad lounge parties, and numerous other shenanigans. You know who you all are. We had some fun ©

Sleep peeps... ugh... I don't even know how to put into words how many different ways I feel about you. Allison, Jimmy, Zoltan, and Allen- Never leave me.

At this point people usually thank lovers/significant others. I don't have those, so I'll thank the 2 things I've loved most during my time in Ann Arbor: Ashley's and my cat, Shakira. One offers me tons of love and attention, the other sits in front of the TV when I'm trying to watch soccer.

I also have to thank the hospitality of the people of France, Germany, Switzerland, Italy, Slovenia, Croatia, Montenegro, Serbia, Macedonia, Morocco, Spain, the Dominican Republic, England, the Czech Republic, the Netherlands, and Belgium for providing me with mental respite and beauty. My semiannual escapes from my work were so vital to my ultimate success.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION		i
ACKNOWLEDGEN	MENTS	ii
LIST OF FIGURES		хi
LIST OF ABBREVI	ATIONS	X۱
ABSTRACT		xvii
CHAPTER		
I. Introduc	tion	1
1.2 1.3	Sleep	77 9 10 11 12 14 15 16
	Coordinated network dynamics	18 19 19
	pocampal network activity changes during sleep-dependent	23

	3.4 3.5	sta Change fear learn	atistical significancein FuNS predicts subsequent memory formation after ning in mice	58 60 63
	3.4	sta Change	in FuNS predicts subsequent memory formation after	58
			and and the state of the control of	
			e functional connectivity strength over timeomputational measurements of network dynamics for	57
	3.3	3.2.1 3.2.2 Function	Directional connectivity	49 50 51
	3.2	=	ing functional connectivity between neurons: how and	
	3.1	Introduct	tion	48
III.	•	•	unctional network dynamics underlying memory	48
		2.4.3	Post-CFC stabilization of the CA1 network	45
			Post-CFC increases in theta oscillations	44
		2.4.1	Post-CFC firing rate increases in CA1 neurons	42
	2.4		on	42
		2.3.4	CA1 field activity is altered during CFM consolidation CA1 network structure is stabilized during CFM consolidation	36 40
			CFM is associated with increased CA1 neuronal activity	32
		;	CFC induces contextual fear memory without altering sleep behavior	32
	2.3	Results.		32
			Functional clustering algorithm and network stability analysis	30
			Sleep/wake, firing rate, and LFP analysis	29
			Contextual fear conditioning (CFC)	28
			Recording procedures	
	2.2		Mouse handling and surgical procedures	
	2.1 2.2		tions and Methods	24

	4.1	Introdu	ction	65
	4.2	Method	s	67
		4.2.1	Mouse handling and surgical procedures	67
		4.2.2	Recording procedures	68
		4.2.3	Conditioning and pharmacogenetic inhibition	69
		4.2.4	Immunohistochemistry	70
		4.2.5	Single-neuron discrimination and firing analysis	71
		4.2.6	Sleep/wake behavior and LFP analysis	74
		4.2.7	Functional connectivity analysis	75
		4.2.8	Functional Similarity and stability analysis	76
		4.2.9	Optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons	77
		4.2.10	Data analysis for optogenetic recordings	79
	4.3	Results		81
		4.3.1	CA1 neurons' firing coherence increases after	
			learning	81
		4.3.2	Inhibition of CA1 PV+ interneurons blocks CFM	
			consolidation	84
		4.3.3	PV+ interneuron-driven oscillations predict memory	
			recall	86
		4.3.4	PV+ interneurons coordinate ensembles during	
			consolidation	91
		4.3.5	PV+ interneurons coordinate ensemble reactivation	
			over time	93
		4.3.6	PV+ interneuron-driven rhythms promote network	
			stability	95
	4.4	Discuss	sion	99
	4.5	Supplei	mental Figures	103
			•	
٧.	Parvalbu	ımin-exp	pressing interneurons are critical for NREM sleep	
		_	is that play a causal role in memory consolidation	119
	5.1	Introdu	ction	119
	5.2	Method	s	121
		5.2.1	Mouse handling and surgical procedures	121
		5.2.2	Recording procedures	
		5.2.3	Contextual fear conditioning (CFC) and sleep	
			deprivation (SD)	122
		5.2.4	Optogenetic inhibition of PV+ interneurons	
		5.2.5	Optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons	
		5.2.6	Single-neuron discrimination and firing analysis	
			- g	

		5.2.7	Sleep/wake behavior and LFP analysis	125
		5.2.8	Functional connectivity and functional network	
			stability (FuNS) analysis	126
	5.3	Results	S	
		5.3.1	Post-CFC sleep is necessary for stability increases	
			facilitating consolidation	127
		5.3.2	NREM sleep-targeted inhibition of PV+ interneurons disrupts NREM oscillatory activity, REM theta	
			oscillations, and CFM consolidation	130
		5.3.3	Stimulation of PV+ interneurons at a theta frequency	
			is sufficient to rescue CFM in the absence of sleep	135
		5.3.4	Theta stimulation during post-CFC SD partially	
			rescues lingering oscillatory deficits in recovery sleep	137
		5.3.5	Sleep-dependent oscillations, driven by PV+	
			interneurons, coordinate CA1ensembles during	
			consolidation	139
	5.4	Discus	sion	142
			mental Figures	
VI.	Summar	y and co	onclusions	160
		-		
IBI IOG	SRAPHY			169

LIST OF FIGURES

F	ig	u	re	S
	_			
	F	Fig	<u>Figu</u>	<u>Figure</u>

1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Two stage model of memory processing	3 5 8 13 17 20
2.1	CFC initiates fear memory formation without significantly altering sleep-wake behavior	33
2.2	CA1 neuronal spiking and field potential recordings	35
2.3	CFC induces long-lasting increases in CA1 neuronal firing rates	37
2.4	CFC induces long-lasting increases in CA1 theta (4-12 Hz) oscillatory	
	activity	39
2.5	CA1 network communication becomes more stable during SWS following CFC	41
3.1	Average minimum distance as a non-direction based communication metric	52
3.2	Functional network stability (FuNS) as a metric of functional connectivity dynamics	56
3.3	Comparison of functional stability within identical networks in the presence and absence of a localized heterogeneity	59
3.4	FuNS changes in the hippocampal network predict fear memory	
	consolidation	61
4.1	Coherence changes in CA1 neurons during CFM consolidation	82
4.2	CFC induces coherence changes in CA1 neurons for both delta- and theta-frequency oscillatory across behavioral states, as well as	-
	increases in NREM SPWR events	83
4.3	Pharmacogenetic inhibition of PV+ FS interneurons	85
-	3	

4.4	consolidation	87
4.5	Inhibition of PV+ interneurons disrupts augmentation of CA1 delta and theta oscillations, which are associated with successful CFM	01
	consolidation	89
4.6	Inhibition of PV+ interneurons disrupts augmentation of CA1 ripple	
4 =	oscillations during CFM consolidation	90
4.7	Inhibition of PV+ interneurons disrupts learning-induced stabilization of CA1 network dynamics	92
4.8	PV+ interneurons promote consistent reactivation of CA1 neural	02
	ensembles following learning	94
4.9	Rhythmic optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons is sufficient to	
4.10	drive network activity patterns	96
4.10	network coherence, stability, and connection strength	98
5.1	Post-CFC sleep is necessary for stability increases facilitating	
5.2	consolidation	
5.∠ 5.3	Post-CFC theta increases are predictive of consolidation	129
0.0	critical for CFM	131
5.4	PV+ interneurons during NREM sleep are critical for delta and theta	
	oscillatory increases following learning	132
5.5	Inhibition of PV+ interneurons during NREM sleep disrupts augmentation of CA1 ripple oscillations during CFM consolidation	121
5.6	Theta stimulation during sleep deprivation rescues CFM	
5.7	Theta stimulation following CFC in the absence of sleep partially	
	rescues continued suppression of sleep-specific oscillatory activity	
5 0	during recovery period	138
5.8	PV+ interneurons coordinate CA1 ensembles and increase FuNS during NREM sleep leading to consolidation	140
5.9	PV+ interneurons are sufficient to coordinate CA1 ensembles and	170
	increase FuNS during sleep deprivation	141
Supple	emental Figures	
4.1	Spike sorting for recordings from freely-behaving mice	103
4.2	Recording sites for C57BL/6J mice	104
4.3	Sleep architecture in C57BL/6J mice	
4.4	Electrode placement in hM4Di- and mCherry-expressing mice	106

4.5	LFP spectral changes across REM, NREM, and wake across hours 0-6 and 6-12 post-CFC	107
4.6	Sleep architecture during pharmacogenetic experiments	
4.7	CA1 LFP spectral power for mCherry-expressing mice	
4.8	CA1 firing rate changes following pharmacogenetic inhibition of PV+	103
4.0	interneurons	110
4.9	Comparison of AMD-based and cross-correlation-based metrics for	110
т.Э	functional connectivity and stability analyses	111
4.10	FSMs for a representative mouse calculated across all behavioral	
7.10	states, and across only the longest intervals of NREM sleep	112
4.11	Relationship between LFP spectral power and functional connectivity	112
7.11	patterns in a representative mouse at baseline	113
4.12	Recording paradigms for optogenetic experiments	
4.13	Responses of CA1 neurons and LFPs across a range of optogenetic	117
4.10	stimulation frequencies	115
4.14	Responses of CA1 neurons and LFPs across a range of optogenetic	
7.17	stimulation frequencies following viral transduction of PV+	
	interneurons with ChR2	116
4.15	Rhythmic optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons increases CA1	
11.10	network coherence, stability, and connection strength	117
4.16	Rhythmic optogenetic stimulation of ChR-2 expressing PV+	
	interneurons synchronizes firing and LFP rhythms in CA1 under non-	
	anesthetized conditions	118
5.1	Recording sites for C57BL/6J mice	145
5.2	Sleep architecture during C57BL/6J experiments	
5.3	CA1 LFP spectral power for C57BL/6J mice during recovery hours 6-	
	12 post-CFC	147
5.4	Electrode placement for PV+ interneuron silencing of each state	148
5.5	Supplemental Figure 5.5: Sleep architecture during silencing	
	experiments	149
5.6	Supplemental Figure 5.6: CA1 LFP spectral power for Control and	
	Arch mice during REM and wake-targeted silencing	150
5.7	CA1 LFP remains elevated at later time points, but is suppressed by	
	0-6 h Arch-silencing during NREM	151
5.8	PV+ silencing during NREM sleep augments alpha power but has no	
	effect on spindle power	152
5.9	PV+-interneuron silencing following CFC shows increases in ripple	
	occurrence	153

5.10	CA1 firing rates are elevated following CFC, but is suppressed by 0-6	
	h Arch-silencing during NREM	154
5.11	Electrode placement for stimulation experiments	155
5.12	Sleep architecture during stimulation experiments	156
5.13	CA1 LFP power in separate states during stimulation experiments	157
5.14	Rhythmic optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons in CA1	158
5.15	Raw spectral power during recovery from rhythmic stimulation and	
	sleep deprivation	159

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CFM Contextual Fear Memory

CFC Contextual Fear Conditioning

CA1 Cornu Ammonis area 1

REM Rapid Eye Movement Sleep

NREM Non Rapid Eye Movement Sleep

SWS Slow Wave Sleep

SPWR Sharp-wave Ripple Complexes

PV Parvalbumin

FS Fast-Spiking

EMG Electromyographic

LFP Local Field Potential

EPSP Excitatory Post-synaptic Potential

PC Principal Cells

GABA Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid

CA3 Cornu Ammonis area 3

FCA Functional Clustering Algorithm

LTP Long Term Potentiation

mTOR Mammalian Target of Rapamycin

EEG Electroencephalogram

GC Granger Causality

TE Transfer Entropy

AMD Average Minimum Distance

MPC Mean Phase Coherence

ISI Inter Spike Interval

FuNS Functional Network Stability

AAV Adeno-associated Virus

CNO Clozapine-N-oxide

DMSO Dimethyl-sulfoxide

PSD Power Spectral Density

DB Davies-Bouldin validity index

GFP Green Fluorescence Protein

ChR2 Channelrhodopsin

FSM Functional Similarity Matrix

SD Sleep deprivation

ABSTRACT

Hippocampal Network Mechanisms Underlying Sleep-Dependent Memory Consolidation

by

Nicolette Nevena Ognjanovski

Chair: Sara J. Aton

Sleep is thought to play a critical role in promoting various forms of learning and memory, and is also thought to regulate plasticity in brain circuits *in vivo*. In many human neuropathologies (e.g. Alzheimer's disease, schizophrenia, and epilepsy) there exist both cognitive deficits as well as disrupted sleep patterns, suggesting that sleep is critically linked to cognitive function. However, the mechanisms underlying this relationship are poorly understood.

The focus of this dissertation is to explore how sleep-associated effects on neural network activity affect the consolidation of contextual fear memory (CFM) in mice. In a contextual fear conditioning (CFC) paradigm, a single training trial pairs exposure to a novel environment with a foot shock. Proper consolidation of CFM (a sleep-dependent process) leads to long-lasting fear memory exemplified as stereotyped freezing behavior when a mouse is put back into the same context 24 hours later. Using a combination of behavioral analysis, electrophysiological recordings, new computational methods, and pharmacogenetic and optogenetic tools, I have investigated the role of sleep-associated CA1 network dynamics in promoting CFM.

To characterize how activity patterns in CA1 during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and non-REM (NREM) sleep might promote memory, I first recorded ongoing neuronal and network activity in CA1 during CFM consolidation. I found that during this time, there are multiple changes occurring in the network: increases in neuronal firing rate, increases in delta (0.5-4 Hz), theta (4-12 Hz), and sharp-wave ripple (SPWR, 150-250 Hz) oscillations, and increasingly stable functional communication patterns between neurons during NREM sleep. Because I also observed that fast-spiking (FS) interneurons show greater firing coherence with CA1 network oscillations during CFM consolidation, I next aimed to test whether these specific cells play a critical role in controlling sleep-specific oscillations and memory formation. Using pharmacogenetics to transiently inhibit parvalbumin-expressing (PV+) FS-interneurons after learning, I found that these neurons are critical for the changes in CA1 associated with CFM

consolidation. Mice treated in this way show an absence of CFM. This effect is associated with loss of three network changes associated with normal consolidation: 1) augmented sleep-associated delta, theta, and SPWR oscillations, 2) long-lasting stabilization of CA1 neurons' functional connectivity patterns and, 3) consistent NREM specific reactivation of ensembles of neurons in CA1.

To further clarify the state-specific role of PV+ interneurons in CFM consolidation, I employed targeted optogenetic manipulations in CA1 following learning. I found that theta-frequency optogenetic stimulation of PV+ interneurons drives frequency-specific, rhythmic activity in the CA1 LFP associated with enhanced neuronal spike-field coherence. Optogentically induced coherent firing stabilized functional communication between CA1 neurons. Critically, rhythmic stimulation of CA1 PV+ interneurons is sufficient to rescue CFM from deficits caused by sleep deprivation. To test whether PV+ interneuron-mediated oscillations during sleep are specifically required for CFM, I optogenetically silenced these cells in a state-specific manner. I found that PV+ interneuron activity during NREM sleep, but not wake or REM sleep, is critical for CFM consolidation. This suggests that PV+ interneurons amplify NREM sleep-associated CA1 network oscillations to regulate spike timing in a manner that could promote systems-level memory consolidation.

Together, this work sheds light on how sleep contributes to long-term memory formation, which has been a long-standing mystery in neuroscience. An understanding of these mechanisms may lead to targeted interventions for the multitude of neuropathologies where sleep quality and cognitive function are impaired.